



CA / CMA INTER

INCOME TAX

THALAIVA QUESTION BANK

(Finance Act 2024)





Hey Bro!

Namaste, Namaskara, Vanakkam!

The **“Thalaiva Notes”** you are holding, is a weapon through which you can enhance your target of
- **“40s into 60s (or) 60s into 80+.”**

This book is the result of countless hours of hard work, research, and deep thought — all with just one goal in mind: *to turn your “Tax Fear into Tax Power.”*

As a teacher and a student once myself, I understand how tough and confusing taxation can seem. That’s why I have written this book in the simplest language possible, with practical examples at relevant places, and plenty of **“Thalaiva tips”** to help you remember key points.

I have carefully followed the **latest ICAI syllabus** and included relevant amendments applicable for the exams. You will also find diagrams, charts, and summary notes to make revision easier.

Whether you're starting your tax journey or revising for your exams, this book is **“The Last Mile to become a True Tax Thalaiva”**.

Through this book, I wish that every Student must achieve leadership and mastery in the Tax Subject and become a true **“Tax Thalaiva”** (**“Tax Leader”**).

Study well, stay consistent, and always believe in yourself. If this book helps you even a little in reaching your goals, all my efforts will be worth it.

“Trust Tax Thalaiva – You will be the Next Tax Thalaiva.”

All the Best **Bro!**

CA Deepak Patel

Dear Future - Tax Thalaiva,

This book is made with utmost care and diligence.

While this book has been proof read multiple times, there may still be some errors.

You are welcome to point out any errors/suggestions for improving future editions of the book!

All the best **Bro!**





CA | CMA INTERMEDIATE

THALAIVA - QUESTION BANK

S.NO	CHAPTER	PAGE NO.
1	BASIC CONCEPTS	01
2	RESIDENTIAL STATUS	09
3	INCOME FROM SALARY	21
4	INCOME FROM HOUSE PROPERTY	47
5	PROFIT & GAIN FROM BUSINESS OR PROFESSION	59
6	CAPITAL GAINS	91
7	INCOME FROM OTHER SOURCES	107
8	CLUBBING OF INCOME	115
9	SET OFF & CARRY FORWARD OF LOSSES	125
10	DEDUCTIONS FROM GTI	141
11	ADVANCE TAX, TDS & TCS	161
12	RETURN FILING AND SELF ASSESSMENT	175

Question 1

Mr. B grows sugarcane and uses the same for the purpose of manufacturing sugar in his factory. 30% of sugarcane produce is sold for ₹ 10 lakhs, and the cost of cultivation of such sugarcane is ₹ 5 lakhs. The cost of cultivation of the balance sugarcane (70%) is ₹ 14 lakhs and the market value of the same is ₹ 22 lakhs. After incurring ₹ 1.5 lakhs in the manufacturing process on the balance sugarcane, the sugar was sold for ₹ 25 lakhs. Compute B's business income and agricultural income.

Answer

Computation of Business Income and Agriculture Income of Mr. B			
Particulars	Business Income	Agricultural Income	
	(₹)	(₹)	(₹)
<u>Sale of Sugar</u>			
<u>Business income</u>			
Sale Proceeds of sugar	25,00,000		
Less: Market value of sugar- cane (70%)	(22,00,000)		
Less: Manufacturing exp.	(1,50,000)		
	1,50,000		
<u>Agricultural income</u>			
Market value of sugarcane (70%)		22,00,000	
Less: Cost of cultivation		14,00,000	
			8,00,000
<u>Sale of sugarcane</u>			
<u>Agricultural Income</u>			
Sale proceeds of sugarcane (30%)		10,00,000	
Less: Cost of cultivation		(5,00,000)	
			5,00,000
			13,00,000

Question 2

Mr. C manufactures latex from the rubber plants grown by him in India. These are then sold in the market for ₹ 30 lakhs. The cost of growing rubber plants is ₹ 10 lakhs and that of manufacturing latex is ₹ 8 lakhs. Compute his total income.

Answer

The total income of Mr. C comprises of agricultural income and business income.

Total profits from the sale of latex= ₹ 30 lakhs – ₹ 10 lakhs – ₹ 8 lakhs= ₹ 12 lakhs.

Agricultural income = 65% of ₹ 12 lakhs = ₹ 7.8 lakhs

Business income = 35% of ₹ 12 lakhs = ₹ 4.2 lakhs

Question 3

Mr. X has a total income of ₹ 16,00,000 for P.Y.2024-25, comprising of income from house property and interest on fixed deposits. Compute his tax liability for A.Y.2025-26 under the default tax regime under section 115BAC.

Answer

Computation of tax liability of Mr. X for A.Y. 2025-26

First ₹ 3,00,000	- Nil		
Next ₹ 3,00,001 – ₹ 7,00,000	- @5% of ₹ 4,00,000	=	₹ 20,000
Next ₹ 7,00,001 – ₹ 10,00,000	- @10% of ₹ 3,00,000	=	₹ 30,000
Next ₹ 10,00,001 – ₹ 12,00,000	- @15% of ₹ 2,00,000	=	₹ 30,000
Next ₹ 12,00,001 – ₹ 15,00,000	- @20% of ₹ 3,00,000	=	₹ 60,000
Balance i.e., ₹ 16,00,000 minus ₹ 15,00,000	- @30% of ₹ 1,00,000	=	₹ 30,000
Total Basic Tax Liability		=	₹ 1,70,000
Add: Health and Education cess@4%		=	₹6,800
Total Tax Liability		=	₹1,76,800

Question 4

Mr. X has a total income of ₹ 16,00,000 for P.Y.2024-25, comprising of income from house property and interest on fixed deposits. Compute his tax liability for A.Y.2025-26 assuming his age is –

- (a) 45 years
- (b) 63 years
- (c) 82 years

Assume that Mr. X has exercised the option to shift out/ opt out of the default tax regime.

Answer:

- (a) 3,04,200
- (b) 3,01,600
- (c) 2,91,200

Question 5

Compute the tax liability of Mr. A (aged 42), having total income of ₹ 51 lakhs for the Assessment Year 2025-26. Assume that his total income comprises of salary income, Income from house property and interest on fixed deposit. Assume that Mr. A has exercised the option to shift out of section 115BAC

Answer:

Marginal Relief = ₹64,250

Total Tax Liability = ₹14,69,000

Question 6

Compute the tax liability of Mr. B (aged 51) under the default tax regime, having total income of ₹ 1,01,00,000 for the Assessment Year 2025-26. Assume that his total income comprises of salary income, Income from house property and interest on fixed deposit.

Answer:

Marginal Relief = ₹69,000

Total Tax Liability = ₹31,81,360

Question 7

Compute the tax liability of Mr. C (aged 58), having total income of ₹ 2,01,00,000 for the Assessment Year 2025-26. Assume that his total income comprises of salary income, Income from house property and interest on fixed deposit. Assume that Mr. C has exercised the option to shift out of section 115BAC.

Answer:

Marginal Relief = ₹5,18,750

Total Tax Liability = ₹70,55,750

Question 8

Compute the tax liability of Mr. D (aged 65) in a most beneficial manner. He is having total income of ₹ 5,01,00,000 for the Assessment Year 2025-26. Assume that his total income comprises of salary income, Income from house property and interest on fixed deposit and is the same under both tax regimes.

Answer:

(a) Default tax Regime

- Marginal Relief = NA
- Total Tax Liability = ₹ 1,91,36,000

(b) Optional Tax Regime

- Marginal Relief = ₹ 17,18,300
- Total Tax Liability = ₹ 1,93,57,000

Question 9

Mr. Raghav aged 26 years and a resident in India, has a total income of ₹ 6,50,000, comprising his salary income and interest on bank fixed deposit. Compute his tax liability for A.Y.2025-26 under default tax regime under section 115BAC.

Answer:

Computation of tax liability of Mr. Raghav for A.Y. 2025-26	
Particulars	₹
Tax on total income of ₹ 6,50,000	
Tax@5%of ₹ 3,50,000	17,500
Less: Rebate u/s 87A (Lower of tax payable or ₹ 25,000)	17,500
Tax Liability	Nil

Question 10

Mr. Pawan aged 35 years and a resident in India, has a total income of ₹ 7,15,000, comprising his salary income and interest on bank fixed deposit. Compute his tax liability for A.Y.2025-26 under default tax regime under section 115BAC.

Answer:

Computation of tax liability of Mr. Pawan for A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	₹	
Step 1: Total Income of ₹ 7,15,000 - ₹ 7,00,000	15,000	(A)
Step 2: Tax on total income of ₹ 7,15,000		
Tax@10%of ₹ 15,000 + ₹ 20,000	21,500	(B)
Step 3: Since B>A, rebate u/s 87A would be {B-A}		
[₹ 21,500 - ₹ 15,000]	6,500	
	15,000	
Add: HEC@4%	600	
Tax Liability	15,600	

Question 11

Mr. Piyush, aged 35 years and a resident in India, has a total income of ₹ 4,15,000, comprising his salary income and interest on bank fixed deposit. Compute his tax liability for A.Y.2025-26 if he exercises the option to shift out of the default tax regime.

Answer:

Computation of tax liability of Mr. Piyush for A.Y. 2025-26	
Particulars	₹
Tax on total income of ₹ 4,15,000	
Tax@5%of ₹ 1,65,000	8,250
Less: Rebate u/s 87A (Lower of tax payable or ₹ 12,500)	8,250
Tax Liability	Nil

Question 12

Mr. X, a resident, has provided the following particulars of his income for the P.Y. 2024-25.

- (i) Income from salary (computed) - ₹ 10,80,000
 - (ii) Income from house property (computed) - ₹ 2,50,000
 - (iii) Agricultural income from a land in Jaipur - ₹ 4,80,000
 - (iv) Expenses incurred for earning agricultural income - ₹ 1,70,000
- Compute his tax liability for A.Y. 2025-26 assuming his age is -
- (a) 45 years and
 - (b) 70 years

Answer:

(a) If Age is 45 years

- Under DTR → Total Income = ₹13,30,000, Total tax payable = ₹1,73,160
- Under OTR → Total Income = ₹13,30,000, Total tax payable = ₹2,91,200

(b) If Age is 70 years

- Under DTR (same) → Total Income = ₹13,30,000, Total tax payable = ₹1,73,160
- Under OTR → Total Income = ₹13,30,000, Total tax payable = ₹2,80,800

Question 13

Who is an “Assessee”? Explain

Answer:

As per section 2(7), assessee means a person by whom any tax or any other sum of money is payable under the Income-tax Act, 1961. In addition, the term includes –

- Every person in respect of whom any proceeding under the Act has been taken for the assessment of
 - his income; or
 - the income of any other person in respect of which he is assessable; or
 - the loss sustained by him or by such other person; or
 - the amount of refund due to him or to such other person.
- Every person who is deemed to be an assessee under any provision of the Act;
- Every person who is deemed to be an assessee in default under any provision of the Act.

Question 14

State any four instances where the income of the previous year is assessable in the previous year itself instead of the assessment year.

Answer:

The income of an assessee for a previous year is charged to income-tax in the assessment year following the previous year. However, in a few cases, the income is taxed in the previous year in which it is earned. These exceptions have been made to protect the interests of revenue. The exceptions are as follows:

- (i) Where a ship, belonging to or chartered by a non-resident, carries passengers, livestock, mail or goods shipped at a port in India, the ship is allowed to leave the port only when the tax has been paid or satisfactory arrangement has been made for payment thereof. 7.5% of the freight paid or payable to the owner or the charterer or to any person on his behalf, whether in India or outside India on account of such carriage is deemed to be his income which is charged to tax in the same year in which it is earned.
- (ii) Where it appears to the Assessing Officer that any individual may leave India during the current assessment year or shortly after its expiry and he has no present intention of returning to India, the

total income of such individual for the period from the expiry of the respective previous year up to the probable date of his departure from India is chargeable to tax in that assessment year.

- (iii) If an AOP/BOI etc. is formed or established for a particular event or purpose and the Assessing Officer apprehends that the AOP/BOI is likely to be dissolved in the same year or in the next year, he can make assessment of the income up to the date of dissolution as income of the relevant assessment year.
- (iv) During the current assessment year, if it appears to the Assessing Officer that a person is likely to charge, sell, transfer, dispose of or otherwise part with any of his assets to avoid payment of any liability under this Act, the total income of such person for the period from the expiry of the previous year to the date, when the Assessing Officer commences proceedings under this section is chargeable to tax in that assessment year.
- (v) Where any business or profession is discontinued in any assessment year, the income of the period from the expiry of the previous year up to the date of such discontinuance may, at the discretion of the Assessing Officer, be charged to tax in that assessment year.

Question 15

Whether the income derived from saplings or seedlings grown in a nursery is taxable under the Income-tax Act, 1961? Examine.

Answer:

As per *Explanation 3* to section 2(1A), income derived from saplings or seedlings grown in a nursery shall be deemed to be agricultural income and exempt from tax, whether or not the basic operations were carried out on land.

Question 16

What are the two schools of Hindu law and where are they prevalent? Explain. Also, mention the difference between the two schools of Hindu Law.

Answer:

- The two schools of Hindu law are Dayabaga school, prevalent in West Bengal and Assam, and Mitakshara school, prevalent in rest of India.
- Under the Dayabaga school of Hindu Law, nobody acquires the right, share in the property by birth as long as the head of family is living. Thus, the children do not acquire any right, share in the family property, as long as his father is alive and only on death of the father, the children will acquire right/share in the property. Hence, the father and his brothers would be the coparceners of the HUF.
- Under the Mitakshara school of Hindu Law, one acquires the right to the family property by his birth and not by succession irrespective of the fact that his elders are living. Thus, every child born in the family acquires a right/share in the family property.

Question 17

What is the difference between an Association of Persons and Body of Individuals?

Answer:

- In order to constitute an Association of Persons (AOP), persons must join for a common purpose or action and their object must be to produce income; it is not enough that the persons receive the income jointly. Body of Individuals denotes the status of persons like executors or trustees who merely receive the income jointly and who may be assessable in like manner and to the same extent as the beneficiaries individually. Thus, co- executors or co-trustees are assessable as a BOI as their title and interest are indivisible.
- The difference between an AOP and BOI is that in case of a BOI, only individuals can be the members, whereas in case of AOP, any person can be its member i.e. entities like company, firm etc. can be the member of AOP but not of BOI.
- In case of an AOP, members voluntarily come together with a common will for a common intention or purpose, whereas in case of BOI, such common will may or may not be present.

Question 18

Mr. Sumit, a resident Indian, earns income of ₹ 15 lakhs from sale of rubber manufactured from latex obtained from rubber plants grown by him in India and ₹ 20 lakhs from sale of rubber manufactured from latex obtained from rubber plants grown by him in Malaysia during the A.Y.2025-26. What would be his business income, assuming he has no other business?

Answer:

Since Mr. Sumit is a resident, his global income would be taxable in India. Income of ₹ 20 lakhs from sale of rubber manufactured from latex obtained from rubber plants grown by him in Malaysia would be his business income since it is from rubber plants grown **outside India**. 35% income from sale of rubber manufactured from latex obtained from rubber plants grown by him in India would be taxable as business income and balance 65% would be exempt as agricultural income.

Business income = 35% of ₹ 15 lakhs + ₹ 20 lakhs = ₹ 25.25 lakhs.

Question 19

Mr. Raja, a resident Indian, earns income of ₹ 10 lakhs from sale of coffee grown and cured in India during the A.Y.2025-26. His friend, Mr. Shyam, a resident Indian, earns income of ₹ 20 lakhs from sale of coffee grown, cured, roasted and grounded by him in India during the A.Y.2025-26. What would be the business income chargeable to tax in India of Mr. Raja and Mr. Shyam?

Answer:

In case of income derived from the sale of coffee grown and cured by the seller in India, 25% income on such sale is taxable as business income. In case of income derived from the sale of coffee grown, cured, roasted and grounded by the seller in India, 40% income on such sale is taxable as business income.

Business income of Mr. Raja = 25% of ₹ 10 lakhs = ₹ 2.5 lakhs

Business income of Mr. Shyam = 40% of ₹ 20 lakhs = ₹ 8 lakhs

Question 20

The Jain HUF in Assam comprises of Mr. Suresh Jain, his wife Mrs. Sapna Jain, his son Mr. Sarthak Jain, his daughter-in-law Mrs. Preeti Jain, his daughter Miss Seema Jain and his unmarried brother Mr. Pritam Jain. Which of the members of the HUF are eligible for coparcenary rights?

Answer:

- Dayabaga school of Hindu law is prevalent in Assam. In Dayabaga school of Hindu law, nobody acquires the right, share in the property by birth as long as the head of family is living.
- Thus, the children do not acquire any right, share in the family property, as long as his father is alive and only on death of the father, the children will acquire right/share in the property.
- Hence, Mr. Suresh Jain and his brother, Mr. Pritam Jain would be the coparceners of the Jain HUF and are eligible for coparcenary rights.

Question 21

Mr. Agarwal, aged 40 years and a resident in India, has a total income of ₹ 6,50,00,000, comprising long term capital gain taxable @20% under section 112 of ₹ 55,00,000, short term capital gain taxable @15% under section 111A of ₹ 65,00,000 and other income of ₹ 5,30,00,000. Compute his tax liability for A.Y.2025-26 under the default tax regime and optional tax regime as per the normal provisions of the Act assuming that the total income and its components are the same in both tax regimes.

Answer:

Tax liability under Default tax regime - ₹2,27,48,700

Tax liability under Optional tax regime - ₹2,48,68,870.

Question 22

Mr. Sharma aged 62 years and a resident in India, has a total income of ₹ 2,30,00,000, comprising long term capital gain taxable @12.5% under section 112 of ₹ 52,00,000, short term capital gain taxable @20% under section 111A of ₹ 64,00,000 and other income of ₹ 1,14,00,000. Compute his tax liability for A.Y.2025-26 under the default tax regime and optional tax regime as per the normal provisions of the Act assuming that the total income and its components are the same in both tax regimes.

Answer:

Tax liability under Default tax regime - ₹ 60,27,840

Tax liability under Optional tax regime - ₹ 61,71,360

Question 1

Mr. Anand is an Indian citizen and a member of the crew of a Singapore bound Indian ship engaged in carriage of passengers in international traffic departing from Chennai port on 6th June, 2024. From the following details for the P.Y. 2024-25, determine the residential status of Mr. Anand for A.Y. 2025-26, assuming that his stay in India in the last 4 previous years (preceding P.Y. 2024-25) is 400 days:

Particulars	Date
Date entered into the Continuous Discharge Certificate in respect of joining the ship by Mr. Anand	6 th June, 2024
Date entered into the Continuous Discharge Certificate in respect of signing off the ship by Mr. Anand	9 th December, 2024

Answer

- In this case, since Mr. Anand is an Indian citizen and leaving India during P.Y. 2024-25 as a member of the crew of the Indian ship, he would be resident in India if he stayed in India for 182 days or more.
- The voyage is undertaken by an Indian ship engaged in the carriage of passengers in international traffic, originating from a port in India (i.e., the Chennai port) and having its destination at a port outside India (i.e., the Singapore port). Hence, the voyage is an eligible voyage for the purposes of section 6(1).
- Therefore, the period commencing from 6th June, 2024 and ending on 9th December, 2024, being the dates entered into the Continuous Discharge Certificate in respect of joining the ship and signing off from the ship by Mr. Anand, an Indian citizen who is a member of the crew of the ship, has to be excluded for computing the period of his stay in India. Accordingly, 187 days [25+31+31+30+31+30+9] have to be excluded from the period of his stay in India. Consequently, Mr. Anand's period of stay in India during the P.Y. 2024-25 would be 178 days [i.e., 365 days – 187 days]. Since his period of stay in India during the P.Y. 2024-25 is less than 182 days, he is a non-resident for A.Y. 2025-26.

Question 2

Brett Lee, an Australian cricket player visits India for 100 days in every financial year. This has been his practice for the past 10 financial years.

- (a) Find out his residential status for the assessment year 2025-26.
- (b) Would your answer change if the above facts relate to Srinath, an Indian citizen who resides in Australia and represents the Australian cricket team?
- (c) What would be your answer if Srinath had visited India for 120 days instead of 100 days every year, including P.Y.2024-25?

Answer

- (a) RNOR
- (b) NR
- (c) If total income > ₹15lakhs = RNOR. If total income < ₹15lakhs = NR

Question 3

Mr. B, a Canadian citizen, comes to India for the first time during the P.Y. 2020-21. During the financial years 2020-21 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24 and 2024-25, he was in India for 55 days, 60 days, 90 days, 150 days and 70 days, respectively. Determine his residential status for the A.Y. 2025-26.

Answer

During the P.Y. 2024-25, Mr. B was in India for 70 days and during the 4 years preceding the P.Y. 2024-25, he was in India for 355 days (i.e. 55+ 60+ 90+ 150 days).

Thus, he does not satisfy the basic condition under section 6(1). Therefore, he is a non-resident for the P.Y. 2024-25.

Question 4

The business of a HUF is transacted from Australia and all the policy decisions are taken there. Mr. E, the Karta of the HUF, who was born in Kolkata, visits India during the P.Y. 2024-25 after 15 years. He comes to India on 1.4.2024 and leaves for Australia on 1.12.2024. Determine the residential status of Mr. E and the HUF for A.Y. 2025-26.

Answer

- (a) Residential status of Mr. E → RNOR
- (b) Residential status of HUF → NR

Question 5

From the following particulars of income furnished by Mr. Anirudh pertaining to the year ended 31.3.2025, compute the total income for the A.Y. 2025-26, if he is:

- (i) Resident and ordinary resident;
- (ii) Resident but not ordinarily resident;
- (iii) Non-resident

	Particulars	₹
(a)	Short term capital gains on sale of shares of an Indian Company, received in Germany	15,000
(b)	Dividend from a Japanese Company, received in Japan	10,000

Residential Status

(c)	Rent from property in London deposited in a bank in London, later on remitted to India through approved banking channels	75,000
(d)	Dividend from RP Ltd., an Indian Company	6,000
(e)	Agricultural income from land in Gujarat	25,000

Answer

Computation of total income of Mr. Anirudh for the A.Y. 2025-26			
Particulars	Resident & ordinarily resident ₹	Resident but not ordinarily resident ₹	Non-Resident ₹
1) Short term capital gains on sale of shares of an Indian company, received in Germany	15,000	15,000	15,000
2) Dividend from a Japanese company, received in Japan	10,000	-	-
3) Rent from property in London deposited in a bank in London [See Note (i) below]	52,500	-	-
4) Dividend from RP Ltd., an Indian Company	6,000	6,000	6,000
5) Agricultural income from land in Gujarat [See Note (ii) below]	-	-	-
Total Income	83,500	21,000	21,000

Notes:

- (i) It has been assumed that the rental income is the gross annual value of the property. Therefore, deduction @30% under section 24, has been provided and the net income so computed is taken into account for determining the total income of a resident and ordinarily resident.

Particulars	₹
Rent received (assumed as gross annual value)	75,000
Less: Deduction under section 24 (30% of ₹ 75,000)	22,500
Income from house property	52,500

- (ii) Agricultural income is exempt under section 10(1).

Question 6

Mr. David, an Indian citizen aged 40 years, a Govt. employee serving in the Ministry of External Affairs, left India for the first time on 31.03.2024 due to his transfer to High Commission of Canada. He did not visit India any time during the P.Y. 2024-25. He has received the following income for the F.Y. 2024-25:

S. No.	Particulars	₹
(i)	Salary (Computed)	5,00,000
(ii)	Foreign Allowance [not included in (i) above]	4,00,000
(iii)	Interest on fixed deposit from bank in India	1,00,000
(iv)	Income from agriculture in Nepal	2,00,000
(v)	Income from house property in Nepal	2,50,000

Compute his Gross Total Income for A.Y. 2025-26.

Answer

As per section 6(1), Mr. David is a non-resident for the A.Y. 2025-26, since he was not present in India at any time during the P.Y. 2024-25.

As per section 5(2), a non-resident is chargeable to tax in India only in respect of following incomes:

- Income received or deemed to be received in India; and
- Income accruing or arising or deemed to accrue or arise in India.

In view of the above provisions, income from agriculture in Nepal and income from house property in Nepal would not be chargeable to tax in the hands of David, assuming that the same were received in Nepal. Income from 'Salaries' payable by the Government to a citizen of India for services rendered outside India is deemed to accrue or arise in India as per section 9(1)(iii). Hence, such income is taxable in the hands of Mr. David, even though he is a non-resident.

However, allowances or perquisites paid or allowed as such outside India by the Government to a citizen of India for rendering service outside India is exempt under section 10(7). Hence, foreign allowance of ₹ 4,00,000 is exempt under section 10(7) in the hands of Mr. David.

Gross Total Income of Mr. David for A.Y. 2025-26	
Particulars	₹
Salaries (computed)	5,00,000
Income from other sources (Interest on fixed deposit in India)	1,00,000
Gross Total Income	6,00,000

Question 7

Miss Vivitha paid a sum of 5000 USD to Mr. Kulasekhara, a management consultant practising in Colombo, specializing in project financing. The payment was made in Colombo. Mr. Kulasekhara is a non-

resident. The consultancy is related to a project in India with possible Ceylonese collaboration. Is this payment chargeable to tax in India in the hands of Mr. Kulasekhara, since the services were used in India?

Answer

A non-resident is chargeable to tax in respect of income received outside India only if such income accrues or arises or is deemed to accrue or arise to him in India.

The income deemed to accrue or arise in India under section 9 comprises, *inter alia*, income by way of fees for technical services, which includes any consideration for rendering of any managerial, technical or consultancy services. Therefore, payment to a management consultant relating to project financing is covered within the scope of “fees for technical services”.

The *Explanation* below section 9(2) clarifies that income by way of, *inter alia*, fees for technical services, from services utilized in India would be deemed to accrue or arise in India in case of a non-resident and be included in his total income, whether or not such services were rendered in India or whether or not the non-resident has a residence or place of business or business connection in India.

In the instant case, since the services were utilized in India, the payment received by Mr. Kulasekhara, a non-resident, in Colombo is chargeable to tax in his hands in India, as it is deemed to accrue or arise in India.

Question 8

Compute the total income in the hands of an individual aged 35 years, being a resident and ordinarily resident, resident but not ordinarily resident, and non-resident for the A.Y. 2025-26, assuming that he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A)–

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Interest on UK Development Bonds, 50% of interest received in India	10,000
Income from a business in Chennai (50% is received in India)	20,000
Short term capital gains on sale of shares of an Indian company, received in London	20,000
Dividend from British company received in London	5,000
Long term capital gains on sale of plant at Germany, 50% of gains are received in India	40,000
Income earned from business in Germany which is controlled in Delhi (₹ 40,000 is received in India)	70,000
Profits from a business in Delhi but managed entirely from London	15,000
Income from house property in London deposited in a Bank at London, brought to India (Computed)	50,000
Interest on debentures in an Indian company, received in London	12,000
Fees for technical services rendered in India but received in London	8,000
Profits from a business in Mumbai, managed from London	26,000

Income from property situated in Nepal received there (Computed)	16,000
Past foreign untaxed income brought to India during the previous year	5,000
Income from agricultural land in Nepal, received there and then brought to India	18,000
Income from profession in Kenya which was set up in India, received there but spent in India	5,000
Gift received on the occasion of his wedding	20,000
Interest on savings bank deposit in State Bank of India	12,000
Income from a business in Russia, controlled in Russia	20,000
Dividend from Reliance Petroleum Limited, an Indian Company	5,000
Agricultural income from a land in Rajasthan	15,000

Answer:

Computation of total income for the A.Y. 2025-26			
Particulars	Resident and ordinarily resident ₹	Resident but not ordinarily resident ₹	Non-resident ₹
Interest on UK Development Bonds, 50% of interest received in India	10,000	5,000	5,000
Income from a business in Chennai (50% is received in India)	20,000	20,000	20,000
Short term capital gains on sale of shares of an Indian company, received in London	20,000	20,000	20,000
Dividend from British company received in London	5,000	-	-
Long term Capital gains on sale of plant at Germany, 50% of gains are received in India	40,000	20,000	20,000
Income earned from business in Germany which is controlled in Delhi, out of which ₹ 40,000 is received in India	70,000	70,000	40,000
Profits from a business in Delhi but managed entirely from London	15,000	15,000	15,000
Income from house property in London deposited in a Bank at London, later on remitted to India	50,000	-	-



Residential Status

Interest on debentures in an Indian company, received in London	12,000	12,000	12,000
Fees for technical services rendered in India but received in London	8,000	8,000	8,000
Profits from a business in Mumbai, managed from London	26,000	26,000	26,000
Income from property situated in Nepal and received there	16,000	-	-
Past foreign untaxed income brought to India during the previous year	-	-	-
Income from agricultural land in Nepal, received there and then brought to India	18,000	-	-
Income from profession in Kenya which was set up in India, received there but spent in India	5,000	5,000	-
Gift received on the occasion of his wedding [not taxable]	-	-	-
Interest on savings bank deposit in State Bank of India	12,000	12,000	12,000
Income from a business in Russia, controlled in Russia	20,000	-	-
Dividend from Reliance Petroleum Limited, an Indian Company	5,000	5,000	5,000
Agricultural income from a land in Rajasthan [Exempt under section 10(1)]	-	-	-
Gross Total Income	3,52,000	2,18,000	1,83,000
Less: Deduction under section 80TTA [Interest on savings bank account subject to a maximum of ₹ 10,000]	10,000	10,000	10,000
Total Income	3,42,000	2,08,000	1,73,000

Question 9

Mr. Ram, an Indian citizen, left India on 22.09.2024 for the first time to work as an officer of a company in Germany. Determine the residential status of Ram for the A.Y. 2025-26.

Answer

Under section 6(1), an individual is said to be resident in India in any previous year if he satisfies any one of the following conditions -

- He has been in India during the previous year for a total period of 182 days or more, or
- He has been in India during the 4 years immediately preceding the previous year for a total

period of 365 days or more and has been in India for at least 60 days in the previous year.

In the case of Indian citizens leaving India for employment, the period of stay during the previous year must be 182 days instead of 60 days given in (ii) above.

During the previous year 2024-25, Mr. Ram, an Indian citizen, was in India for 175 days only (i.e., 30+31+30+31+31+22 days). Thereafter, he left India for employment purposes.

Since he does not satisfy the minimum criteria of 182 days stay in India during the relevant previous year, he is a non-resident for the A.Y. 2025-26.

Question 10

Mr. Dey, residing in US since 1990, visits India for 30 days every year. He came back to India on 1.4.2023 for permanent settlement. What will be his residential status for A.Y. 2025-26?

Answer

Mr. Dey is a resident in A.Y. 2025-26 since he has stayed in India for a period of 365 days (more than 182 days) during the P.Y. 2024-25.

As per section 6(6), a person will be “Not ordinarily Resident” in India in any previous year, if such person, *inter alia*:

- (a) has been a non-resident in 9 out of 10 previous years preceding the relevant previous year; or
- (b) has during the 7 previous years immediately preceding the relevant previous year been in India for 729 days or less.

If he does not satisfy either of these conditions, he would be a resident and ordinarily resident.

For the previous year 2024-25 (A.Y. 2025-26), his status would be “Resident but not ordinarily resident” since he was non-resident in 9 out of 10 previous years immediately preceding the P.Y. 2024-25. He was resident only in the P.Y. 2023-24. Prior to that, he was non-resident in all the years since his stay in India was only for 30 days each year.

He can be resident but not ordinarily resident also due to the fact that he has stayed in India only for 546 days [366 days in P.Y. 2023-24 + (30 days × 6 years)] in 7 previous years immediately preceding the P.Y. 2024-25, which is less than 730 days.

Question 11

Mr. Ramesh & Mr. Suresh are brothers, and they earned the following incomes during the F.Y. 2024-25. Mr. Ramesh settled in Canada in the year 1996 and Mr. Suresh settled in Delhi. Compute the total income for the A.Y. 2025-26 assuming that both have exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Sr. No.	Particulars	Mr. Ramesh (₹)	Mr. Suresh (₹)
1.	Interest on Canada Development Bonds (only 50% of interest received in India)	35,000	40,000

Residential Status

2.	Dividend from British company, received in London	28,000	20,000
3.	Profits from a business in Nagpur, but managed directly from London	1,00,000	1,40,000
4.	Short term capital gain on sale of shares of an Indian company, received in India	60,000	90,000
5.	Income from a business in Chennai	80,000	70,000
6.	Fees for technical services rendered in India, but received in Canada	1,00,000	-
7.	Interest on savings bank deposit in UCO Bank, Delhi	7,000	12,000
8.	Agricultural income from a land situated in Andhra Pradesh	55,000	45,000
9.	Rent received in respect of house property at Bhopal	1,00,000	60,000
10.	Life insurance premium paid	---	30,000

Answer

S. No.	Particulars	Mr. Ramesh (Non-Resident) (₹)	Mr. Suresh (Resident) (₹)
1.	Interest on Canada Development Bond (See Note 2)	17,500	40,000
2.	Dividend from British Company received in London (See Note 3)	-	20,000
3.	Profits from a business in Nagpur but managed directly from London (See Note 2)	1,00,000	1,40,000
4.	Short term capital gain on sale of shares of an Indian company received in India (See Note 2)	60,000	90,000
5.	Income from a business in Chennai (See Note 2)	80,000	70,000
6.	Fees for technical services rendered in India, but received in Canada (See Note 2)	1,00,000	-
7.	Interest on savings bank deposit in UCO Bank, Delhi (See Note 2)	7,000	12,000
8.	Agricultural income from a land situated in Andhra Pradesh (See Note 4)	-	-
9.	Income from house property at Bhopal (See Note 5)	70,000	42,000
	Gross Total income	4,34,500	4,14,000
	Less: Deduction under Chapter VI-A		
	Section 80C - Life insurance premium	-	30,000
	Section 80TTA (See Note 6)	7,000	10,000
	Total Income	4,27,500	3,74,000

Notes:

1. Mr. Ramesh is a non-resident since he has been living in Canada since 1996. Mr. Suresh, is settled in

Delhi, and thus, assumed as a resident and ordinarily resident.

2. In case of a resident and ordinarily resident, his global income is taxable as per section 5(1). However, as per section 5(2), in case of a non-resident, only the following incomes are chargeable to tax:

- (i) Income received or deemed to be received in India; and
- (ii) Income accruing or arising or deemed to accrue or arise in India.

Therefore, fees for technical services rendered in India would be taxable in the hands of Mr. Ramesh, even though he is a non-resident.

The income referred to in Sl. No. 3,4,5 and 7 are taxable in the hands of both Mr. Ramesh and Mr. Suresh since they accrue or arise/ deemed to accrue or arise in India.

Interest on Canada Development Bond would be fully taxable in the hands of Mr. Suresh, whereas only 50%, which is received in India, is taxable in the hands of Mr. Ramesh.

3. Dividend received from British company in London by Mr. Ramesh, a non-resident, is not taxable since it is accrued and received outside India. However, such dividend received by Mr. Suresh is taxable, since he is a resident and ordinarily resident.
4. Agricultural income from a land situated in India is exempt under section 10(1) in the case of both non-residents and residents.
5. Income from house property -

Particulars	Mr. Ramesh (₹)	Mr. Suresh (₹)
Rent received	1,00,000	60,000
Less: Deduction u/s 24(a) @30%	30,000	18,000
Net income from house property	70,000	42,000

The net income from house property in India would be taxable in the hands of both Mr. Ramesh and Mr. Suresh, since the accrual and receipt of the same are in India.

6. In case of an individual, interest upto ₹10,000 from savings account with, *inter alia*, a bank is allowable as deduction under section 80TTA.

Question 12

Examine the correctness or otherwise of the statement - "Income deemed to accrue or arise in India to a non-resident by way of interest, royalty and fees for technical services is to be taxed irrespective of territorial nexus".

Answer

This statement is correct.

As per *Explanation* to section 9, income by way of interest, royalty or fees for technical services which is deemed to accrue or arise in India by virtue of clauses (v), (vi) and (vii) of section 9(1), shall be included in the total income of the non-resident, whether or not -

- (i) non-resident has a residence or place of business or business connection in India; or
- (ii) the non-resident has rendered services in India.

In effect, the income by way of fees for technical services, interest or royalty from services utilized in India would be deemed to accrue or arise in India in case of a non-resident and be included in his total income, whether or not such services were rendered in India and irrespective of whether the non-resident has a residence or place of business or business connection in India.

Question 13

Examine with reasons whether the following transactions attract income-tax in India in the hands of recipients:

- (i) Salary payable by Central Government to Mr. John, a citizen of India of ₹ 7,00,000 for the services rendered outside India considering that he pays tax as per the provisions of section 115BAC.
- (ii) Interest on moneys borrowed from outside India ₹ 5,00,000 by a non-resident for the purpose of business within India say, at Mumbai.
- (iii) Post office savings bank interest of ₹ 19,000 received by a resident assessee, Mr. Ram, aged 46 years if he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).
- (iv) Royalty paid by a resident to a non-resident in respect of a business carried on outside India.
- (v) Legal charges of ₹ 5,00,000 paid in Delhi to a lawyer of United Kingdom who visited India to represent a case at the Delhi High Court

Answer

	Taxable/ Not Taxable	Amount liable to tax (₹)	Reason
(i)	Taxable	6,25,000	As per section 9(1)(iii), salaries payable by the Government to a citizen of India for service rendered outside India shall be deemed to accrue or arise in India. Therefore, salary paid by Central Government to Mr. John for services rendered outside India would be deemed to accrue or arise in India since he is a citizen of India. He would be entitled to standard deduction of ₹ 75,000 under section 16(ia).
(ii)	Taxable	5,00,000	As per section 9(1)(v)(c), interest payable by a non-resident on moneys borrowed and used for the purposes of business carried on by such person in India shall be deemed to accrue or arise in India in the hands of the recipient.
(iii)	Partly Taxable	5,500	The interest on Post office savings bank a/c would be exempt u/s 10(15)(i) only to the extent of ₹ 3,500 in case of an individual a/c. Further, interest upto ₹ 10,000, would be allowed as deduction u/s 80TTA from Gross Total Income. Balance ₹

			5,500 i.e., ₹ 19,000 - ₹ 3,500 - ₹ 10,000 would be taxable in the hands of Mr. Ram, a resident.
(iv)	Not Taxable	-	Royalty paid by a resident to a non- resident in respect of a business carried outside India would not be taxable in the hands of the non-resident provided the same is not received in India. This has been provided as an exception to deemed accrual mentioned in section 9(1)(vi)(b).
(v)	Taxable	5,00,000	In case of a non-resident, any income which accrues or arises in India or which is deemed to accrue or arise in India or which is received in India or is deemed to be received in India is taxable in India. Therefore, legal charges paid in India to a non-resident lawyer of UK, who visited India to represent a case at the Delhi High Court would be taxable in India.

Question 1

Mr. Raj Kumar has the following receipts from his employer:

- (1) Basic pay ₹ 40,000 p.m.
- (2) Dearness allowance (D.A.) ₹ 6,000 p.m.
- (3) Commission ₹ 50,000 p.a.
- (4) Motor car for personal use (expenses met by the employer) ₹ 1,500 p.m.
- (5) House rent allowance ₹ 15,000 p.m.

Find out the amount of HRA exempt in the hands of Mr. Raj Kumar assuming that he paid a rent of ₹ 16,000 p.m. for his accommodation at Kanpur. DA forms part of salary for retirement benefits. Mr. Raj Kumar exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

Taxable HRA = ₹43,200

Question 2

Mr. Srikant has two sons. He is in receipt of children education allowance of ₹ 150 p.m. for his elder son and ₹ 70 p.m. for his younger son. Both his sons are going to school. He also receives the following allowances:

Transport allowance : ₹ 1,800 p.m.

Tribal area allowance : ₹ 500 p.m.

Compute his taxable allowances

Answer

Taxable allowance in the hands of Mr. Srikant is computed as under -

If Mr. Srikant exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC

Children Education Allowance:

Elder son [(₹ 150 – ₹ 100) p.m. × 12 months]	= ₹ 600	
Younger son [(₹ 70 – ₹ 70) p.m. × 12 months]	= Nil	₹ 600
Transport allowance (₹ 1,800 p.m. × 12 months)		₹ 21,600
Tribal area allowance [(₹ 500 – ₹ 200) p.m. × 12 months]		<u>₹ 3,600</u>
Taxable allowances		<u>₹ 25,800</u>

If Mr. Srikant pays tax under default tax regime under section 115BAC

Children Education Allowance [(₹ 150 + ₹ 70) p.m. × 12 months]	₹ 2,640
Transport allowance (₹ 1,800 p.m. × 12 months)	₹ 21,600
Tribal area allowance (₹ 500 p.m. × 12 months)	<u>₹ 6,000</u>
Taxable allowances	<u>₹ 30,240</u>

Question 3

Mr. Sagar who retired on 1.10.2024 is receiving ₹ 5,000 p.m. as pension. On 1.2.2025, he commuted 60% of his pension and received ₹ 3,00,000 as commuted pension. You are required to compute his taxable pension assuming:

- He is a government employee.
- He is a private sector employee and received gratuity of ₹ 5,00,000 at the time of retirement.
- He is a private sector employee and did not receive any gratuity at the time of retirement.

Answer

- ₹24,000
- ₹ 1,57,333
- ₹ 74,000

Question 4

Mr. Ravi retired on 15.6.2024 after completion of 26 years 8 months of service and received gratuity of ₹ 15,00,000. At the time of retirement, his salary was:

Basic Salary : ₹ 50,000 p.m.
Dearness Allowance : ₹ 10,000 p.m. (60% of which is for retirement benefits)
Commission : 1% of turnover (turnover in the last 12 months was ₹ 1,20,00,000)
Bonus : ₹ 25,000 p.a.

Compute his taxable gratuity assuming:

- He is private sector employee and covered by the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972.
 - He is private sector employee and **not** covered by Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972.
- He is a Government employee.

Answer

- ₹5,65,385
- ₹ 6,42,000
- Nil

Question 5

Mr. Gupta retired on 1.12.2024 after 20 years of service and received leave salary of ₹ 5,00,000. Other details of his salary income are:

Basic Salary : ₹ 5,000 p.m. (₹ 1,000 was increased w.e.f. 1.4.2024)
Dearness Allowance : ₹ 3,000 p.m. (60% of which is for retirement benefits)
Commission : ₹ 500 p.m.
Bonus : ₹ 1,000 p.m.

Leave availed during service : 480 days

He was entitled to 30 days leave every year.

You are required to compute his taxable leave salary assuming:

- He is a government employee.
- He is a non-government employee.

Answer

- Nil
- ₹ 4,73,600

Question 6

Mr. A retires from service on December 31, 2024, after 25 years of service. Following are the particulars of his income/investments for the previous year 2024-25:

Particulars	₹
Basic pay @ ₹ 16,000 per month for 9 months	1,44,000
Dearness pay (50% forms part of the retirement benefits) ₹ 8,000 per month for 9 months	72,000
Lumpsum payment received from the Unrecognized Provident Fund	6,00,000
Deposits in the PPF account	40,000

Out of the amount received from the unrecognised provident fund, the employer's contribution was ₹ 2,20,000 and the interest thereon ₹ 50,000. The employee's contribution was ₹ 2,70,000 and the interest thereon ₹ 60,000.

- What is the taxable portion of the amount received from the unrecognized provident fund in the hands of Mr. A for the assessment year 2025-26?
- Will your answer be any different if the fund mentioned above was a recognised provident fund?

Answer

- Taxable portion of the amount received from the URPF in the hands of Mr. A for the A.Y. 2025-26 is computed hereunder:

Particulars	₹
Amount taxable under the head "Salaries":	
Employer's share in the payment received from the URPF	2,20,000
Interest on the employer's share	50,000
Total	2,70,000
Amount taxable under the head "Income from Other Sources":	
Interest on the employee's share	60,000
Total amount taxable from the amount received from the fund	3,30,000

Note: Since the employee is not eligible for deduction under section 80C for contribution to URPF at the time of such contribution, the employee's share received from the URPF is not taxable at the time of withdrawal as this amount has already been taxed as his salary income.

- Since the fund is a recognised one, and the maturity is taking place after a service of 25 years, the entire amount received on the maturity of the RPF will be fully exempt from tax.

Question 7

Mr. B is working in XYZ Ltd. and has given the details of his income for the P.Y. 2024-25. You are required to compute his gross salary from the details given below:

Basic Salary	₹ 10,000 p.m.
D.A. (50% is for retirement benefits)	₹ 8,000 p.m.
Commission as a percentage of turnover	0.1%
Turnover during the year	₹ 50,00,000
Bonus	₹ 40,000
Gratuity	₹ 25,000
His own contribution in the RPF	₹ 20,000
Employer's contribution to RPF	20% of his basic salary
Interest accrued in the RPF @ 13% p.a.	₹ 13,000

Answer

Particulars	₹	₹
Basic Salary [₹ 10,000 × 12]		1,20,000
Dearness Allowance [₹ 8,000 × 12]		96,000
Commission on turnover [0.1% × ₹ 50,00,000]		5,000
Bonus		40,000
Gratuity [Note 1]		25,000
Employer's contribution to RPF [20% of ₹ 1,20,000]	24,000	
Less: Exempt [Note 2]	20,760	3,240
Interest accrued in the RPF@13% p.a.	13,000	
Less: Exempt@9.5% p.a.	9,500	3,500
Gross Salary		2,92,740

Notes:

1. Gratuity received during service is fully taxable.
2. Employers' contribution in the RPF is exempt up to 12% of the salary i.e., 12% of [Basic Salary + Dearness Allowance forming part of retirement benefits + Commission based on turnover] = 12% of [₹ 1,20,000 + (50% × ₹ 96,000) + ₹ 5,000] = 12% of ₹ 1,73,000 = ₹ 20,760
3. Employee's contribution to RPF is **not** taxable. It is eligible for deduction under section 80C, if he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Question 8

Mr. Dutta received voluntary retirement compensation of ₹ 7,00,000 after 30 years 4 months of service. He still has 6 years of service left. At the time of voluntary retirement, he was drawing basic salary ₹ 20,000 p.m.; Dearness allowance (which forms part of pay) ₹ 5,000 p.m. Compute his taxable voluntary retirement compensation, assuming that he does not claim any relief under section 89.

Answer → ₹ 2,00,000

Question 9

Mr. X is appointed as a CFO of ABC Ltd. in Mumbai from 1.9.2022. His basic salary is ₹ 6,00,000 p.m. He is paid 8% as D.A. He contributes 10% of his pay and D.A. towards his recognized provident fund and the company contributes the same amount. The accumulated balance in recognized provident fund as on 1.4.2023, 31.3.2024 and 31.3.2025 is ₹ 9,81,137, ₹ 27,43,048 and ₹ 46,48,555, respectively. Compute the perquisite value chargeable to tax in the hands of Mr. X u/s 17(2)(vii) and 17(2)(viia) for the A.Y. 2024-25 and A.Y. 2025-26. Prior to 1.9.2022, he was a consultant, whose professional fees was taxable under the head “Profits and gains of business or profession”.

Answer

AY	17(2)(vii)	17(2)(viia)
2024-25	₹ 27,600	₹ 1,532
2025-26	₹ 27,600	₹ 4,069

Question 10

Mr. D went on a holiday on 25.12.2024 to Delhi with his wife and three children (one son – age 5 years; twin daughters – age 3 years). They went by flight (economy class) and the total cost of tickets reimbursed by his employer was ₹ 60,000 (₹ 45,000 for adults and ₹ 15,000 for the three minor children).

- Compute the amount of LTC exempt if Mr. D exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).
- Will there be any difference if among his three children the twins were 5 years old and the son 3 years old? Discuss.

Answer

- Since the son's age is more than the twin daughters, Mr. D can avail exemption for all his three children. The restriction of two children is not applicable to multiple births after one child. The holiday being in India and the journey being performed by air (economy class), the entire reimbursement met by the employer is fully exempt in the hands of Mr. D, since he is exercising the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).
- Since the twins' age is more than the son, Mr. D cannot avail for exemption for all his three children. LTC exemption can be availed in respect of only two children. Taxable

$$\text{LTC} = 15,000 \times \frac{1}{3} = ₹5,000$$

LTC exempt would be only ₹ 55,000 (i.e. ₹ 60,000 – ₹ 5,000)

Question 11

Compute the taxable value of the perquisite in respect of medical facilities received by Mr. G from his employer during the P.Y. 2024-25:

Medical premium paid for insuring health of Mr. G	₹ 7,000
Treatment of Mr. G by his family doctor	₹ 5,000
Treatment of Mrs. G in a Government hospital	₹ 25,000
Treatment of Mr. G's grandfather in a private clinic	₹ 12,000
Treatment of Mr. G's mother (68 years and dependant) by family doctor	₹ 8,000
Treatment of Mr. G's sister (dependant) in a nursing home	₹ 3,000
Treatment of Mr. G's brother (independent)	₹ 6,000
Treatment of Mr. G's father (75 years and dependent) abroad	₹ 50,000
Expenses of staying abroad of the patient	₹ 30,000
Limit specified by RBI	₹ 75,000

Answer

Computation of taxable value of perquisite in the hands of Mr. G		
Particulars	₹	₹
Treatment of Mrs. G in a Government hospital		-
Treatment of Mr. G's father (75 years and dependent) abroad	50,000	
Expenses of staying abroad of the patient and attendant	30,000	
	80,000	
Less: Exempt up to limit specified by RBI	75,000	5,000
Medical premium paid for insuring health of Mr. G		-
Treatment of Mr. G by his family doctor		5,000
Treatment of Mr. G's mother (dependent) by family doctor		8,000
Treatment of Mr. G's sister (dependent) in a nursing home		3,000
Treatment of Mr. G's grandfather in a private clinic		12,000
Treatment of Mr. G's brother (independent)		6,000
Taxable value of perquisite		39,000

Question 12

Mr. C is a Finance Manager in ABC Ltd. The company has provided him with rent- free unfurnished accommodation in Mumbai. He gives you the following particulars:

Basic salary	₹ 8,500 p.m.
Dearness Allowance	₹ 2,000 p.m. (30% is for retirement benefits)
Bonus	₹ 1,500 p.m.

Even though the company allotted the house to him on 1.4.2024, he occupied the same only from 1.11.2024.

- Calculate the taxable value of the perquisite for A.Y.2025-26.
- Compute the value of the perquisite if Mr. C is required to pay a rent of ₹ 1,000 p.m. to the company, for the use of this accommodation.
- Compute the value of the perquisite if ABC Ltd. has taken this accommodation on a lease rent of ₹ 1,025 p.m. and Mr. C is required to pay a rent of ₹ 1,000 p.m. to the company, for the use of this accommodation.
- Compute the value of the perquisite if ABC Ltd. has provided a television (WDV ₹ 10,000; Cost ₹ 25,000) and two air conditioners. The rent paid by the company for the air conditioners is ₹ 400 p.m. each. The television was provided on 1.1.2025. However, Mr. C is required to pay a rent of ₹ 1,000 p.m. to the company, for the use of this furnished accommodation.
- Compute the value of the perquisite if Mr. C is a government employee. The licence fees determined by the Government for this accommodation was ₹ 700 p.m.

Answer

- ₹ 5,300
- ₹ 300
- ₹ 125
- ₹ 4,925
- ₹ 3,125

Question 13

Mr. X and Mr. Y are working for M/s. Gama Ltd. As per salary fixation norms, the following perquisites were offered:

- For Mr. X, who engaged a domestic servant for ₹ 500 per month, his employer reimbursed the entire salary paid to the domestic servant i.e. ₹ 500 per month.
- For Mr. Y, he was provided with a domestic servant @ ₹ 500 per month as part of remuneration package.

You are required to comment on the taxability of the above in the hands of Mr. X and Mr. Y, who are not specified employees.

Answer

In the case of Mr. X, it becomes an obligation which the employee would have discharged even if the

employer did not reimburse the same. Hence, the perquisite will be covered under section 17(2)(iv) and will be taxable in the hands of Mr. X. This is taxable in the case of all employees.

In the case of Mr. Y, it cannot be considered as an obligation which the employee would meet. The employee might choose not to have a domestic servant. This is taxable only in the case of specified employees covered by section 17(2)(iii). Hence, there is no perquisite element in the hands of Mr. Y.

Question 14

Mr. X retired from the services of M/s Y Ltd. on 31.01.2025, after completing service of 30 years and one month. He had joined the company on 1.1.1995 at the age of 30 years and received the following on his retirement:

- (i) Gratuity ₹ 6,00,000. He was covered under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972.
- (ii) Leave encashment of ₹ 3,30,000 for 330 days leave balance in his account. He was credited 30 days leave for each completed year of service.
- (iii) As per the scheme of the company, he was offered a car which was purchased on 30.01.2022 by the company for ₹ 5,00,000. Company has recovered ₹ 2,00,000 from him for the car. Company depreciates the vehicles at the rate of 15% on Straight Line Method.
- (iv) An amount of ₹ 3,00,000 as commutation of pension for 2/3 of his pension commutation.
- (v) Company presented him a gift voucher worth ₹ 6,000 on his retirement.
- (vi) His colleagues also gifted him a Television (LCD) worth ₹ 50,000 from their own contribution.

Following are the other particulars:

- (i) He has drawn a basic salary of ₹ 20,000 and 50% dearness allowance per month for the period from 01.04.2024 to 31.01.2025.
- (ii) Received pension of ₹ 5,000 per month for the period 01.02.2025 to 31.03.2025 after commutation of pension.

Compute his gross total income from the above for Assessment Year 2025-26 assuming he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer → GTI = ₹ 6,82,769

Question 15

Shri Bala employed in ABC Co. Ltd. as Finance Manager gives you the list of perquisites provided by the company to him for the entire financial year 2024-25:

- (i) Domestic servant was provided at the residence of Bala. Salary of domestic servant is ₹ 1,500 per month. The servant was engaged by him and the salary is reimbursed by the company (employer). In case the company has employed the domestic servant, what is the value of perquisite?
- (ii) Free education was provided to his two children Arthy and Ashok in a school maintained and owned by the company. The cost of such education for Arthy is computed at ₹ 900 per month and for Ashok at ₹ 1,200 per month. No amount was recovered by the company for such education facility from Bala.
- (iii) The employer has provided movable assets such as television, refrigerator and air-conditioner at the residence of Bala. The actual cost of such assets provided to the employee is ₹ 1,10,000.
- (iv) A gift voucher worth ₹ 10,000 was given on the occasion of his marriage anniversary. It is given by

the company to all employees above certain grade.

- (v) Telephone provided at the residence of Shri Bala and the bill aggregating to ₹ 25,000 paid by the employer.
- (vi) Housing loan @ 6% per annum. Amount outstanding on 1.4.2024 is ₹ 6,00,000. Shri Bala pays ₹ 12,000 per month towards principal, on 5th of each month.

Compute the chargeable perquisite in the hands of Mr. Bala for the A.Y. 2025-26. The lending rate of State Bank of India as on 1.4.2024 for housing loan may be taken as 10%.

Answer

Taxability of perquisites provided by ABC Co. Ltd. to Shri Bala

- (i) Domestic servant was employed by the employee and the salary of such domestic servant was paid/ reimbursed by the employer. It is taxable as perquisite for all categories of employees.

Taxable perquisite value = ₹ 1,500 × 12 = ₹ 18,000.

If the company had employed the domestic servant and the facility of such servant is given to the employee, then the perquisite is taxable only in the case of specified employees. The value of the taxable perquisite in such a case also would be ₹ 18,000.

- (ii) Where the educational institution is owned by the employer, the value of perquisite in respect of free education facility shall be determined with reference to the reasonable cost of such education in a similar institution in or near the locality. However, there would be no perquisite if the cost of such education per child does not exceed ₹ 1,000 per month.

Therefore, there would be no perquisite in respect of cost of free education provided to his child Arthy, since the cost does not exceed ₹ 1,000 per month.

However, the cost of free education provided to his child Ashok would be taxable, since the cost exceeds ₹ 1,000 per month. The taxable perquisite value would be ₹ 14,400 (₹ 1,200 × 12).

Note – An alternate view possible is that only the sum in excess of ₹ 1,000 per month is taxable. In such a case, the value of perquisite would be ₹ 2,400.

- (iii) Where the employer has provided movable assets to the employee or any member of his household, 10% per annum of the actual cost of such asset owned or the amount of hire charges incurred by the employer shall be the value of perquisite. However, this will not apply to laptops and computers. In this case, the movable assets are television, refrigerator and air conditioner and actual cost of such assets is ₹ 1,10,000.

The perquisite value would be 10% of the actual cost i.e., ₹ 11,000, being 10% of ₹ 1,10,000.

- (iv) The value of any gift or voucher or token in lieu of gift received by the employee or by member of his household not exceeding ₹ 5,000 in aggregate during the previous year is exempt. In this case, the amount was received on the occasion of marriage anniversary and the sum exceeds the limit of ₹ 5,000.

Therefore, the entire amount of ₹ 10,000 is liable to tax as perquisite.

Note - An alternate view possible is that only the sum in excess of ₹ 5,000 is taxable. In such a case, the value of perquisite would be ₹ 5,000

(v) Telephone provided at the residence of the employee and payment of bill by the employer is a tax free perquisite.

(vi) The value of the benefit to the assessee resulting from the provision of interest-free or concessional loan made available to the employee or any member of his household during the relevant previous year by the employer or any person on his behalf shall be determined as the sum equal to the interest computed at the rate charged per annum by the State Bank of India (SBI) as on the 1st day of the relevant previous year in respect of loans for the same purpose advanced by it. This rate should be applied on the maximum outstanding monthly balance and the resulting amount should be reduced by the interest, if any, actually paid by him.

“Maximum outstanding monthly balance” means the aggregate outstanding balance for loan as on the last day of each month.

The perquisite value for computation is $10\% - 6\% = 4\%$

Month	Maximum outstanding balance as on last date of month (₹)	Perquisite value at 4% for the month (₹)
April, 2024	5,88,000	1,960
May, 2024	5,76,000	1,920
June, 2024	5,64,000	1,880
July, 2024	5,52,000	1,840
August, 2024	5,40,000	1,800
September, 2024	5,28,000	1,760
October, 2024	5,16,000	1,720
November, 2024	5,04,000	1,680
December, 2024	4,92,000	1,640
January, 2025	4,80,000	1,600
February, 2025	4,68,000	1,560
March, 2025	4,56,000	1,520
Total value of this perquisite		20,880

Total value of taxable perquisite

= ₹ 74,280 [i.e. ₹ 18,000 + ₹ 14,400 + ₹ 11,000 + ₹ 10,000 + ₹ 20,880].

Note - In case the alternate views are taken for items (ii) & (iv), the total value of taxable perquisite would be ₹ 57,280 [i.e., ₹ 18,000 + ₹ 2,400 + ₹ 11,000 + ₹ 5,000 + ₹ 20,880].

Question 16

AB Co. Ltd. allotted 1000 sweat equity shares to Sri Chand in June 2024. The shares were allotted at ₹ 200 per share as against the fair market value of ₹ 300 per share on the date of exercise of option by the allottee viz. Sri Chand. The fair market value was computed in accordance with the method prescribed under the Act.

- (i) What is the perquisite value of sweat equity shares allotted to Sri Chand?
- (ii) In the case of subsequent sale of those shares by Sri Chand, what would be the cost of acquisition of those sweat equity shares?

Answer

- (i) As per section 17(2)(vi), the value of sweat equity shares chargeable to tax as perquisite shall be the fair market value of such shares on the date on which the option is exercised by the assessee as reduced by the amount actually paid by, or recovered from, the assessee in respect of such shares.

Particulars	₹
Fair market value of 1000 sweat equity shares @ ₹ 300 each	3,00,000
Less: Amount recovered from Sri Chand 1000 shares @ ₹ 200 each	(2,00,000)
Value of perquisite of sweat equity shares allotted to Sri Chand	1,00,000

- (ii) As per section 49(2AA), where capital gain arises from transfer of sweat equity shares, the cost of acquisition of such shares shall be the fair market value which has been taken into account for perquisite valuation under section 17(2)(vi).
Therefore, in case of subsequent sale of sweat equity shares by Sri Chand, the cost of acquisition would be ₹ 3,00,000.

Question 17

X Ltd. provided the following perquisites to its employee Mr. Y for the P.Y. 2024-25 –

- (1) Accommodation taken on lease by X Ltd. for ₹ 15,000 p.m. ₹ 5,000 p.m. is recovered from the salary of Mr. Y.
- (2) Furniture, for which the hire charges paid by X Ltd. is ₹ 3,000 p.m. No amount is recovered from the employee in respect of the same.
- (3) A car of 1,200 cc which is owned by X Ltd. and given to Mr. Y to be used both for official and personal purposes. All running and maintenance expenses are fully met by the employer. He is also provided with a chauffeur.
- (4) A gift voucher of ₹ 10,000 on his birthday.

Compute the value of perquisites chargeable to tax for the A.Y.2025-26, assuming his salary for perquisite valuation to be ₹ 10 lakh.

Answer

Computation of the value of perquisites chargeable to tax in the hands of Mr. Y for the A.Y.2025-26					
	Particulars			Amount in ₹	
(1)	Value	of	accommodation	at	
	concessional	rate			

	Actual amount of lease rental paid by X Ltd.	1,80,000		
	10% of salary i.e., 10% of ₹ 10,00,000	1,00,000		
	Lower of the above		1,00,000	
	Less: Rent paid by Mr. Y (₹ 5,000 × 12)		60,000	
			40,000	
	Add: Hire charges paid by X Ltd. for furniture provided for the use of Mr. Y (₹ 3,000 × 12)		36,000	76,000
(2)	Perquisite value of Santro car owned by X Ltd. and provided to Mr. Y for his personal and official use [(₹ 1,800 + ₹ 900) × 12]			32,400
(3)	Value of gift voucher*			10,000
	Value of perquisites chargeable to tax			1,18,400

* An alternate view possible is that only the sum in excess of ₹ 5,000 is taxable. In such a case, the value of perquisite would be ₹ 5,000.

Question 18

Mr. Goyal receives the following emoluments during the previous year ending 31.03.2025.

Basic pay	₹ 4,00,000
Dearness Allowance	₹ 1,50,000
Commission	₹ 1,00,000
Entertainment allowance	₹ 40,000
Medical expenses reimbursed	₹ 25,000
Professional tax paid	₹ 2,000 (₹ 1,000 was paid by his employer)

Mr. Goyal contributes ₹ 5,000 towards recognized provident fund. He has no other income. Determine the income from salary for A.Y. 2025-26, if Mr. Goyal is a State Government employee.

Answer

Computation of salary of Mr. Goyal for the A.Y.2025-26 under default tax regime under Sec 115BAC	
Particulars	₹
Basic Salary	4,00,000
Dearness Allowance	1,50,000
Commission	1,00,000
Entertainment Allowance received	40,000



Income from Salary

Employee's contribution to RPF [Note]	-
Medical expenses reimbursed	25,000
Professional tax paid by the employer	1,000
Gross Salary	7,16,000
<i>Less:</i> Deductions under section 16(ia) - Standard deduction of upto ₹ 75,000	75,000
Income from Salary	6,41,000

Note: Employee's contribution to RPF is not taxable. It is eligible for deduction u/s 80C. However, such deduction shall not be available under the default tax regime under section 115BAC.

Computation of salary of Mr. Goyal for the A.Y.2025-26 under the optional tax regime		
Particulars	₹	₹
Basic Salary		4,00,000
Dearness Allowance		1,50,000
Commission		1,00,000
Entertainment Allowance received		40,000
Employee's contribution to RPF [Note]		-
Medical expenses reimbursed		25,000
Professional tax paid by the employer		1,000
Gross Salary		7,16,000
<i>Less:</i> Deductions under section 16		
u/s 16(ia) - Standard deduction of upto ₹ 50,000		50,000
u/s 16(ii) - Entertainment allowance being lowest of :		
(a) Allowance received	40,000	
(b) One fifth of basic salary [$1/5 \times ₹ 4,00,000$]	80,000	
(c) Statutory amount	5,000	5,000
under section 16(iii) - Professional tax paid		2,000
Income from Salary		6,59,000

Note: Employee's contribution to RPF is not taxable. It is eligible for deduction u/s 80C.

Question 19

In the case of Mr. Hari, who turned 72 years on 28.3.2025, you are informed that the salary (computed) for the previous year 2024-25 is ₹ 10,20,000 and arrears of salary received is ₹ 3,45,000. Further, you are given the following details relating to the earlier years to which the arrears of salary received is

attributable to:

Previous year	Taxable Salary (₹)	Arrears now received (₹)
2010 – 2011	7,10,000	1,03,000
2011 – 2012	8,25,000	1,17,000
2012 – 2013	9,50,000	1,25,000

Compute the relief available under section 89 and the tax payable for the A.Y. 2025-26. Assume that Mr. Hari exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Note: Rates of Taxes:

Assessment Year	Slab rates of income-tax			
	For resident individuals of the age of 60 years or more at any time during the previous year		For other resident individuals	
	Slabs	Rate	Slabs	Rate
2011-12	Upto ₹ 2,40,000	Nil	Upto ₹ 1,60,000	Nil
	₹ 2,40,001 - ₹ 5,00,000	10%	₹ 1,60,001 - ₹ 5,00,000	10%
	₹ 5,00,001 - ₹ 8,00,000	20%	₹ 5,00,001 - ₹ 8,00,000	20%
	Above ₹ 8,00,000	30%	Above ₹ 8,00,000	30%
2012-13	Upto ₹ 2,50,000	Nil	Upto ₹ 1,80,000	Nil
	₹ 2,50,001 - ₹ 5,00,000	10%	₹ 1,80,001 - ₹ 5,00,000	10%
	₹ 5,00,001 - ₹ 8,00,000	20%	₹ 5,00,001 - ₹ 8,00,000	20%
	Above ₹ 8,00,000	30%	Above ₹ 8,00,000	30%
2013-14	Upto ₹ 2,50,000	Nil	Upto ₹ 2,00,000	Nil
	₹ 2,50,001 - ₹ 5,00,000	10%	₹ 2,00,001 - ₹ 5,00,000	10%
	₹ 5,00,001 - ₹ 10,00,000	20%	₹ 5,00,001 - ₹ 10,00,000	20%
	Above ₹ 10,00,000	30%	Above ₹ 10,00,000	30%

Note – Education cess@2% and secondary and higher education cess@1% was attracted on the income-tax for all above preceding years.

Answer:

Computation of tax payable by Mr. Hari for the A.Y.2025-26		
Particulars	Incl. arrears of salary ₹	Excl. arrears of salary ₹
Current year salary (computed)	10,20,000	10,20,000
Add: Arrears of salary	3,45,000	-

Income from Salary

Taxable Salary	13,65,000	10,20,000
Income-tax thereon	2,19,500	1,16,000
Add: Health and education cess @4%	8,780	4,640
Total payable	2,28,280	1,20,640

Computation of tax payable on arrears of salary if charged to tax in the respective AYs						
Particulars	A.Y. 2011-12		A.Y. 2012-13		A.Y. 2013-14	
	Incl. arrears (₹)	Excl. arrears (₹)	Incl. arrears (₹)	Excl. arrears (₹)	Incl. arrears (₹)	Excl. arrears (₹)
Taxable salary	7,10,000	7,10,000	8,25,000	8,25,000	9,50,000	9,50,000
Add: Arrears of salary	1,03,000	-	1,17,000	-	1,25,000	-
Taxable salary	8,13,000	7,10,000	9,42,000	8,25,000	10,75,000	9,50,000
Tax on the above	97,900	76,000	1,34,600	99,500	1,47,500	1,15,000
Add: Cess@3%	2,937	2,280	4,038	2,985	4,425	3,450
Tax payable	1,00,837	78,280	1,38,638	1,02,485	1,51,925	1,18,450

Computation of relief under section 89			
	Particulars	₹	₹
i	Tax payable in A.Y.2025-26 on arrears:		
	Tax on income including arrears	2,28,280	
	Less : Tax on income excluding arrears	1,20,640	1,07,640
ii	Tax payable in respective years on arrears :		
	Tax on income including arrears (₹ 1,00,837 + ₹ 1,38,638 + ₹ 1,51,925)	3,91,400	
	Less: Tax on income excluding arrears (₹ 78,280 + ₹ 1,02,485 + ₹ 1,18,450)	2,99,215	92,185
	Relief under section 89 - difference between tax on arrears in A.Y. 2025-26 and tax on arrears in the respective years		15,455

Tax payable for A.Y.2025-26 after relief under section 89	
Particulars	₹
Income-tax payable on total income including arrears of salary	2,28,280
Less : Relief under section 89 as computed above	15,455

Tax payable after claiming relief

2,12,825

Question 20

Mr. Mohit is employed with XY Ltd. on a basic salary of ₹ 10,000 p.m. He is also entitled to dearness allowance @100% of basic salary, 50% of which is included in salary as per terms of employment. The company gives him house rent allowance of ₹ 6,000 p.m. which was increased to ₹ 7,000 p.m. with effect from 01.01.2025. He also got an increment of ₹ 1,000 p.m. in his basic salary with effect from 01.02.2025. Rent paid by him during the P.Y.2024-25 is as under:

April and May, 2024- Nil, as he stayed with his parents

June to October, 2024 - ₹ 6,000 p.m. for an accommodation in Ghaziabad November, 2024 to March, 2025 - ₹ 8,000 p.m. for an accommodation in Delhi

Compute his gross salary for A.Y.2025-26, assuming he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer:

Computation of gross salary of Mr. Mohit for A.Y. 2025-26	
Particulars	₹
Basic salary [(₹ 10,000 × 10) + (₹ 11,000 × 2)]	1,22,000
Dearness Allowance (100% of basic salary)	1,22,000
House Rent Allowance (See Note below)	21,300
Gross Salary	2,65,300

Computation of Taxable House Rent Allowance (HRA)					
Particulars	April-May (₹)	June-Oct (₹)	Nov-Dec (₹)	Jan (₹)	Feb-March (₹)
Basic salary per month	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	11,000
Dearness allowance (included in salary as per terms of employment) (50% of basic salary)	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,500
Salary per month for the purpose of computation of house rent allowance	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	16,500
Relevant period (in months)	2	5	2	1	2
Salary for the relevant period (Salary per month × relevant period)	30,000	75,000	30,000	15,000	33,000



Income from Salary

Rent paid for the relevant period	Nil	30,000 (₹ 6,000×5)	16,000 (₹ 8,000×2)	8,000 (₹8,000×1)	16,000 (₹ 8,000×2)
House rent allowance (HRA) received during the relevant period (A)	12,000 (₹6,000×2)	30,000 (₹ 6,000×5)	12,000 (₹ 6,000×2)	7,000 (₹ 7,000×1)	14,000 (₹ 7,000×2)
Least of the following is exempt [u/s 10(13A)]	N.A.				
1. Actual HRA received	-	30,000	12,000	7,000	14,000
2. Rent paid (-) 10% of salary	-	22,500	13,000	6,500	12,700
3. 40% of salary (Ghaziabad – Jun to Oct, 2024) 50% of salary (Delhi– Nov, 24 - March, 25)	-	30,000 (40% × ₹ 75,000)	15,000 (50% × ₹ 30,000)	7,500 (50% × ₹ 15,000)	16,500 (50% × ₹ 33,000)
Exempt HRA (B)	Nil	22,500	12,000	6,500	12,700
Taxable HRA [Actual HRA (-) Exempt HRA] (A-B)	12,000	7,500	Nil	500	1,300

Taxable HRA (total) = ₹ 12,000 + ₹ 7,500 + ₹ 500 + ₹ 1,300 = ₹ 21,300

Question 21

Ms. Rakhi is an employee in a private company. She receives the following medical benefits from the company during the previous year 2024-25:

	Particulars	₹
1	Reimbursement of following medical expenses incurred by Ms. Rakhi	
	(A) On treatment of her self-employed daughter in a private clinic	4,000
	(B) On treatment of herself by family doctor	8,000
	(C) On treatment of her mother-in-law dependent on her, in a nursing home	5,000
2	Payment of premium on Mediclaim Policy taken on her health	7,500
3	Medical Allowance	2,000 p.m.
4	Medical expenses reimbursed on her son's treatment in a government hospital	5,000
5	Expenses incurred by company on the treatment of her minor son abroad including stay expenses	1,05,000
6	Expenses in relation to foreign travel of Rakhi and her son for medical treatment	1,20,000
	Note - Limit prescribed by RBI for expenditure on medical treatment and stay abroad is USD 2,50,000 per	

financial year under liberalized remittance scheme.

Examine the taxability of the above benefits and allowances in the hands of Rakhi.

Answer:

Tax treatment of medical benefits, allowances and mediclaim premium in the hands of Ms. Rakhi for A.Y. 2025-26	
	Particulars
1.	Reimbursement of medical expenses incurred by Ms. Rakhi
(A)	The amount of ₹ 4,000 reimbursed by her employer for treatment of her self-employed daughter in a private clinic is taxable perquisite.
(B)	The amount of ₹ 8,000 reimbursed by the employer for treatment of Ms. Rakhi by family doctor is taxable perquisite.
(C)	The amount of ₹ 5,000 reimbursed by her employer for treatment of her dependant mother-in-law in a nursing home is taxable perquisite.
	The aggregate sum of ₹ 17,000, specified in (A), (B) and (C) above, reimbursed by the employer is taxable perquisite
2.	Medical insurance premium of ₹ 7,500 paid by the employer for insuring health of Ms. Rakhi is a tax free perquisite as per clause (iii) of the first proviso to section 17(2).
3.	Medical allowance of ₹ 2,000 per month i.e., ₹ 24,000 p.a. is a fully taxable allowance.
4.	As per clause (ii)(a) of the first proviso to section 17(2), reimbursement of medical expenses of ₹ 5,000 on her son's treatment in a hospital maintained by the Government is a tax-free perquisite.

5. & 6. As per clause (vi) of the first proviso to section 17(2), the following expenditure incurred by the employer would be excluded from perquisite subject to certain conditions –
- Expenditure on medical treatment of the employee, or any member of the family of such employee, outside India including stay expenses [**₹ 1,05,000, in this case**];
 - Expenditure on travel of the employee or any member of the family of such employee for medical treatment and one attendant who accompanies the patient in connection with such treatment [**₹ 1,20,000, in this case**].
- The conditions subject to which the above expenditure would be exempt are as follows:
- The expenditure on medical treatment and stay abroad would be excluded from perquisite to the extent permitted by Reserve Bank of India;
 - The expenditure on travel would be excluded from perquisite only in the case of an employee whose gross total income, as computed before including the said expenditure, does not exceed ₹ 2 lakh.
- Since the expenditure on medical treatment and stay abroad does not exceed the limit permitted by RBI, they would be fully exempt. However, the foreign travel expenditure of Ms. Rakhi and her minor son borne by the employer would be excluded from perquisite only if the gross total income of Ms. Rakhi, as computed before including the said expenditure, does not exceed ₹ 2 lakh.

Question 22

Mr. X is employed with AB Ltd. on a monthly salary of ₹ 25,000 per month and an entertainment allowance and commission of ₹ 1,000 p.m. each. The company provides him with the following benefits:

- A company owned accommodation is provided to him in Delhi. Furniture costing ₹ 2,40,000 was provided on 1.8.2024.
- A personal loan of ₹ 5,00,000 on 1.7.2024 on which it charges interest @ 6.75% p.a. The entire loan is still outstanding (Assume SBI rate of interest on 1.4.2024 was 12.75% p.a.)
- His son is allowed to use a motor cycle belonging to the company. The company had purchased this motor cycle for ₹ 60,000 on 1.5.2021. The motor cycle was finally sold to him on 1.8.2024 for ₹ 30,000.
- Professional tax paid by Mr. X is ₹ 2,000.

Compute the income from salary of Mr. X for the A.Y. 2025-26 assuming Mr. X exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

Computation of Income from Salary of Mr. X for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
Basic salary [$₹ 25,000 \times 12$]		3,00,000
Commission [$₹ 1,000 \times 12$]		12,000
Entertainment allowance [$₹ 1,000 \times 12$]		12,000
Rent free accommodation [Note 1]	32,400	

Add : Value of furniture [₹ 2,40,000 × 10% p.a. for 8 months]	16,000	48,400
Interest on personal loan [Note 2]		22,500
Use of motor cycle [₹ 60,000 × 10% p.a. for 4 months]		2,000
Transfer of motor cycle [Note 3]		12,000
Gross Salary		4,08,900
Less : Deduction under section 16		
Under section 16(ia) – Standard deduction	50,000	
Under section 16(iii) - Professional tax paid	2,000	52,000
Income from Salary		3,56,900

Notes:

1. Value of rent-free unfurnished accommodation

= 10% of salary for the relevant period

= 10% of (₹ 3,00,000 + ₹ 12,000 + ₹ 12,000) = ₹ 32,400

2. Value of perquisite for interest on personal loan

= [₹ 5,00,000 × (12.75% - 6.75%) for 9 months] = ₹ 22,500

3. Depreciated value of the motor cycle

= Original cost – Depreciation @ 10% p.a. for 3 completed years.

= ₹ 60,000 – (₹ 60,000 × 10% p.a. × 3 years) = ₹ 42,000.

Perquisite = ₹ 42,000 – ₹ 30,000 = ₹ 12,000.

Question 23

Mr. Balaji, employed as Production Manager in Beta Ltd., furnishes you the following information for the year ended 31.03.2025:

(i) Basic salary upto 31.10.2024 ₹ 50,000 p.m.

Basic salary from 01.11.2024 ₹ 60,000 p.m.

Note - Salary is due and paid on the last day of every month.

(ii) Dearness allowance @ 40% of basic salary.

(iii) Bonus equal to one month salary. Paid in October 2024 on basic salary plus dearness allowance applicable for that month.

(iv) Contribution of employer to recognized provident fund account of the employee @ 16% of basic salary.

(v) Professional tax paid ₹ 2,500 of which ₹ 2,000 was paid by the employer.

- (vi) Facility of laptop and computer was provided to Balaji for both official and personal use. Cost of laptop ₹ 45,000 and computer ₹ 35,000 were acquired by the company on 01.12.2024.
- (vii) Motor car owned by the employer (cubic capacity of engine exceeds 1.60 litres) provided to the employee from 01.11.2024 meant for both official and personal use. Repair and running expenses of ₹ 45,000 from 01.11.2024 to 31.03.2025, were fully met by the employer. The motor car was self-driven by the employee.
- (viii) Leave travel concession given to employee, his wife and three children (one daughter aged 7 and twin sons aged 3). Cost of air tickets (economy class) reimbursed by the employer ₹ 30,000 for adults and ₹ 45,000 for three children. Balaji is eligible for availing exemption this year to the extent it is permissible in law.

Compute the salary income chargeable to tax in the hands of Mr. Balaji for the A.Y. 2025-26 assuming he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

Computation of Taxable Salary of Mr. Balaji for A.Y. 2025-26			
Particulars			₹
Basic salary [(₹ 50,000 × 7) + (₹ 60,000 × 5)]			6,50,000
Dearness Allowance (40% of basic salary)			2,60,000
Bonus (₹ 50,000 + 40% of ₹ 50,000) (See Note 1)			70,000
Employers contribution to recognised provident fund in excess of 12% of salary = 4% of ₹ 6,50,000 (See Note 2)			26,000
Professional tax paid by employer			2,000
Perquisite of Motor Car (₹ 2,400 for 5 months) (See Note 4)			12,000
Gross Salary			10,20,000
<i>Less:</i> Deduction under section 16			
Standard deduction u/s 16(ia)	₹ 50,000		
Professional tax u/s 16(iii) (See Note 6)	₹ 2,500		52,500
Taxable Salary			9,67,500

Notes:

- Since bonus was paid in the month of October, the basic salary of ₹ 50,000 for the month of October is considered for its calculation.
- It is assumed that dearness allowance does not form part of salary for computing retirement benefits.
- As per Rule 3(7)(vii), facility of use of laptop and computer is a tax free perquisite, whether used for official or personal purpose or both.
- As per the provisions of Rule 3(2), in case a motor car (engine cubic capacity exceeding 1.60 liters)

owned by the employer is provided to the employee without chauffeur for personal as well as office use, the value of perquisite shall be ₹ 2,400 per month. The car was provided to the employee from 01.11.2024, therefore the perquisite value has been calculated for 5 months.

5. Mr. Balaji can avail exemption under section 10(5) on the entire amount of ₹ 75,000 reimbursed by the employer towards Leave Travel Concession since the same was availed for himself, his wife and three children and the journey was undertaken by economy class airfare. The restriction imposed for two children is not applicable in case of multiple births which take place after the first child.

It is assumed that the Leave Travel Concession was availed for journey within India.

He is eligible to claim benefit of exemption u/s 10(5) since he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

6. As per section 17(2)(iv), a “perquisite” includes any sum paid by the employer in respect of any obligation which, but for such payment, would have been payable by the assessee. Therefore, professional tax of ₹ 2,000 paid by the employer is taxable as a perquisite in the hands of Mr. Balaji. As per section 16(iii), a deduction from the salary is provided on account of tax on employment i.e. professional tax paid during the year.

Therefore, in the present case, the professional tax paid by the employer on behalf of the employee ₹ 2,000 is first included in the salary and deduction of the entire professional tax of ₹ 2,500 is provided from salary.

Question 24

From the following details, find out the salary chargeable to tax for the A.Y.2025-26 assuming he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A) -

Mr. X is a regular employee of Rama & Co., in Gurgaon. He was appointed on 1.1.2024 in the scale of ₹ 20,000 - ₹ 1,000 - ₹ 30,000. He is paid 10% D.A. & Bonus equivalent to one month pay based on salary of March every year. He contributes 15% of his pay and D.A. towards his recognized provident fund and the company contributes the same amount. DA forms part of pay for retirement benefits.

He is provided free housing facility which has been taken on rent by the company at ₹ 10,000 per month. He is also provided with following facilities:

- (i) Facility of laptop costing ₹ 50,000.
- (ii) Company reimbursed the medical treatment bill of his brother of ₹ 25,000, who is dependent on him.
- (iii) The monthly salary of ₹ 1,000 of a house keeper is reimbursed by the company.
- (iv) A gift voucher of ₹ 10,000 on the occasion of his marriage anniversary.
- (v) Conveyance allowance of ₹ 1,000 per month is given by the company towards actual reimbursement of conveyance spent on official duty.
- (vi) He is provided personal accident policy for which premium of ₹ 5,000 is paid by the company.
- (vii) He is getting telephone allowance @ ₹ 500 per month

Answer → ₹ 3,28,749

Question 25

You are required to compute the income from salary of Mr. Raja under default tax regime from the following particulars for the year ended 31-03-2025:

- (i) He retired on 31-12-2024 at the age of 60, after putting in 25 years and 9 months of service, from a private company at Delhi.
- (ii) He was paid a salary of ₹ 25,000 p.m. and house rent allowance of ₹ 6,000 p.m. He paid rent of ₹ 6,500 p.m., during his tenure of service.
- (iii) On retirement, he was paid a gratuity of ₹ 3,50,000. He was covered by the payment of Gratuity Act, 1972. He had not received any other gratuity at any point of time earlier, other than this gratuity.
- (iv) He had accumulated leave of 15 days per annum during the period of his service; this was encashed by him at the time of his retirement. A sum of ₹ 3,15,000 was received by him in this regard. Employer allowed 30 days leave per annum.
- (v) He is receiving ₹ 5,000 as pension. On 1.2.2025, he commuted 60% of his pension and received ₹ 3,00,000 as commuted pension.
- (vi) The company presented him with a gift voucher of ₹ 5,000 on his retirement. His colleagues also gifted him a mobile phone worth ₹ 50,000 from their own contribution.

Answer:

Computation of income under the head "Salaries" of Mr. Raja for the A.Y.2025-26 under DTR		
Particulars	₹	₹
Basic Salary = ₹ 25,000 × 9 months		2,25,000
House Rent Allowance = ₹ 6,000 × 9 months [Fully taxable under DTR]		54,000
Gratuity	3,50,000	
Less: Least of the following exempt under section 10(10)(ii)	3,50,000	Nil
(i) Actual Gratuity received ₹ 3,50,000		
(iii) 15 days salary for every year of completed service [15/26 × ₹ 25,000 × 26] = ₹ 3,75,000		
(iii) Notified limit = ₹ 20,00,000		
Leave encashment	3,15,000	
Less: Least of the following exempt under section 10(10AA)	2,50,000	65,000
(i) ₹ 25,00,000		
(ii) Leave salary actually received ₹ 3,15,000		

(iii) ₹ 2,50,000, being 10 months' salary × ₹ 25,000

(iv) Cash equivalent of leave standing at the credit of the employee based on the average salary of last 10 months' (max. 30 days per year of service) for every year of actual service rendered for the employer from whose service he has retired

$$375/30 \times ₹ 25,000 = ₹ 3,12,500$$

[Leave Due = Leave allowed – Leave taken]

$$= 750 (30 \text{ days per year} \times 25 \text{ years}) - 375 \text{ days } (15 \text{ days} \times 25)$$

$$= 375 \text{ days}$$

Uncommuted Pension received [₹ 5,000 × 1) + (₹ 5,000 × 2 × 40%)

9,000

Commuted Pension received

3,00,000

Less: Exempt under section 10(10A)

$$1/3 \times ₹ 3,00,000/60\% \times 100\%$$

1,66,667

1,33,333

Gift Voucher [As per Rule 3(7)(iv), the value of any gift or voucher or token in lieu of gift received by the employee or by member of his household not exceeding ₹ 5,000 in aggregate during the previous year is exempt]

Nil

Mobile Phone received as gift from colleagues (Neither taxable under the head "Salaries" nor "Income from other sources", since taxability provisions under section 56(2)(x) are not attracted in respect of mobile phone received from colleagues, as mobile phone is not included in the definition of "property" thereunder)

Nil

Gross Salary

4,86,333

Less: Standard deduction u/s 16 [Actual salary or ₹ 75,000, whichever is less]

75,000

Net Salary

4,11,333

Question 26

Ms. Akansha, a salaried employee, furnishes the following details for the financial year 2024-25:

Particulars	₹
Basic salary	6,20,000
Dearness allowance	4,20,000
Commission	75,000
Entertainment allowance	9,000
Medical expenses reimbursed by the employer	18,000

Income from Salary

Profession tax (of this, 50% paid by employer)	4,000
Health insurance premium paid by employer	8,000
Gift voucher given by employer on her birthday	10,000
Life insurance premium of Akansha paid by employer	26,000
Laptop provided for use at home. Actual cost of Laptop to employer Children of the assessee are also using the Laptop at home]	45,000
Employer company owns a Maruti Suzuki Swift car, which was provided to the assessee, both for official and personal use. Driver was also provided. (Engine cubic capacity more than 1.6 litres). All expenses are met by the employer	
Annual credit card fees paid by employer [Credit card is not exclusively used for official purposes; details of usage are not available]	7,000

You are required to compute the income chargeable under the head Salaries for the assessment year 2025-26 if she pays tax under default tax regime.

Answer:

Computation of income chargeable under the head “Salaries” of Ms. Akansha for A.Y.2025-26 under DTR	
Particulars	₹
Basic Salary	6,20,000
Dearness allowance	4,20,000
Commission	75,000
Entertainment allowance	9,000
Medical expenses reimbursed by the employer is fully taxable	18,000
Professional tax paid by the employer is a taxable perquisite as per section 17(2)(iv), since it is an obligation of the employee which is paid by the employer	2,000
Health insurance premium of ₹ 8,000 paid by the employer is an exempt perquisite [Clause (iii) of proviso to section 17(2)]	Nil
Gift voucher given by employer on Ms. Akansha birthday (entire amount is taxable since the perquisite value exceeds ₹ 5,000) as per Rule 3(7)(iv)	10,000
Life insurance premium of Ms. Akansha paid by employer is a taxable perquisite as per section 17(2)(v)	26,000
Laptop provided for use at home is an exempt perquisite as per Rule 3(7)(vii)	Nil
Provision of motor car with driver (engine cubic capacity more than 1.6 litres) owned by employer to employee, the perquisite value would be ₹ 39,600 [₹ (2,400+ 900) ×12] as per Rule 3(2)	39,600
Annual credit card fees paid by employer is a taxable perquisite as per Rule 3(7)(v) since the credit card is not exclusively used for official purposes and details of usage are not available	7,000
Gross Salary	12,26,600

<i>Less: Deductions under section 16</i>	(75,000)	
- Standard Deduction as per section 16(ia)		
Income chargeable under the head "Salaries"	11,51,600	

Note:

As per Rule 3(7)(iv), the value of any gift or voucher received by the employee or by member of his household on ceremonial occasions or otherwise from the employer shall be determined as the sum equal to the amount of such gift. However, the value of any gift or voucher received by the employee or by member of his household below ₹ 5,000 in aggregate during the previous year would be exempt as per the proviso to Rule 3(7)(iv). In this case, the gift voucher of ₹ 10,000 was received by Ms. Akansha from her employer on the occasion of her birthday.

Since the value of the gift voucher exceeds the limit of ₹ 5,000, the entire amount of ₹ 10,000 is liable to tax as perquisite. The above solution has been worked out accordingly.

An alternate view possible is that only the sum in excess of ₹ 5,000 is taxable in view of the language of Circular No.15/2001 dated 12.12.2001, which states that such gifts upto ₹ 5,000 in the aggregate per annum would be exempt, beyond which it would be taxed as a perquisite. As per this view, the value of perquisite would be ₹ 5,000. Accordingly, the gross salary and net salary would be ₹ 12,21,600 and ₹ 11,46,600, respectively.

Question 1

Jayashree owns five houses in India, all of which are let-out. Compute the GAV of each house from the information given below –

Particulars	House I (₹)	House II (₹)	House III (₹)	House IV (₹)	House V (₹)
Municipal Value	80,000	55,000	65,000	24,000	80,000
Fair Rent	90,000	60,000	65,000	25,000	75,000
Standard Rent	N.A.	75,000	58,000	N.A.	78,000
Actual rent received/ receivable	72,000	72,000	60,000	30,000	72,000

Answer

Particulars	House I (₹)	House II (₹)	House III (₹)	House IV (₹)	House V (₹)
Gross Annual Value	90,000	72,000	60,000	30,000	78,000

Question 2

Rajesh, a British national, is a resident and ordinarily resident in India during the P.Y.2024-25. He owns a house in London, which he has let out at £ 10,000 p.m. The municipal taxes paid to the Municipal Corporation of London is £ 8,000 during the P.Y.2024-25. The value of one £ in Indian rupee to be taken at ₹ 95. Compute Rajesh's Net Annual Value of the property for the A.Y. 2025-26

Answer

For the P.Y.2024-25, Mr. Rajesh, a British national, is resident and ordinarily resident in India. Therefore, income received by him by way of rent of the house property located in London is to be included in the total income in India. Municipal taxes paid in London is to be allowed as deduction from the gross annual value.

Computation of Net Annual Value of the property of Mr. Rajesh for A.Y.2025-26	
Particulars	₹
Gross Annual Value (₹ 10,000 × 12 × 95)	1,14,00,000
Less: Municipal taxes paid (₹ 8,000 × 95)	7,60,000
Net Annual Value (NAV)	1,06,40,000

Question 3

Mr. Manas owns two house properties one at Bombay, wherein his family resides and the other at Delhi, which is unoccupied. He lives in Chandigarh for his employment purposes in a rented house. For acquisition of house property at Bombay, he has taken a loan of ₹ 30 lakh@10% p.a. on 1.4.2023. He has not repaid any amount so far. In respect of house property at Delhi, he has taken a loan of ₹ 5 lakh@11% p.a. on 1.10.2023 towards repairs. Compute the deduction which would be available to him under section 24(b) for A.Y.2025-26 in respect of interest payable on such loan if he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

Mr. Manas can claim benefit of Nil Annual Value in respect of his house property at Bombay and Delhi, since no benefit is derived by him from such properties, and he cannot occupy such properties due to reason of his employment at Chandigarh, where he lives in a rented house.

He is eligible for deduction under section 24(b) since he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Computation of deduction u/s 24(b) for A.Y.2025-26	
Particulars	₹
I Interest on loan taken for acquisition of residential house property at Bombay 30,00,000 × 10% = ₹ 3,00,000 Restricted to ₹ 2,00,000	2,00,000
II Interest on loan taken for repair of residential house property at Delhi ₹ 5,00,000 × 11% = ₹ 55,000 Restricted to ₹ 30,000	30,000
Total interest	2,30,000
Deduction under section 24(b) in respect of (I) and (II) above to be restricted to	2,00,000

Question 4

Anirudh has a property whose municipal valuation is ₹ 1,30,000 p.a. The fair rent is ₹ 1,10,000 p.a. and the standard rent fixed by the Rent Control Act is ₹ 1,20,000 p.a. The property was let out for a rent of ₹ 11,000 p.m. throughout the previous year. Unrealised rent was ₹ 11,000 and all conditions prescribed by Rule 4 are satisfied. He paid municipal taxes @10% of municipal valuation. Interest on borrowed capital was ₹ 40,000 for the year. Compute his income from house property for A.Y.2025-26.

Answer

IFHP → ₹ 35,600

INCOME FROM HOUSE PROPERTY

Question 5

Ganesh has a property whose municipal valuation is ₹ 2,50,000 p.a. The fair rent is ₹ 2,00,000 p.a. and the standard rent fixed by the Rent Control Act is ₹ 2,10,000 p.a. The property was let out for a rent of ₹ 20,000 p.m. However, the tenant vacated the property on 31.1.2025. Unrealised rent was ₹ 20,000 and all conditions prescribed by Rule 4 are satisfied. He paid municipal taxes @8% of municipal valuation. Interest on borrowed capital was ₹ 65,000 for the year. Compute the income from house property of Ganesh for A.Y.2025-26.

Answer

IFHP → ₹ 47,000

Question 6

Poorna has one house property at Indira Nagar in Bangalore. She stays with her family in the house. The rent of similar property in the neighbourhood is ₹ 25,000 p.m. The municipal valuation is ₹ 2,80,000 p.a. Municipal taxes paid is ₹ 8,000. The house construction began in April 2018 with a loan of ₹ 20,00,000 taken from SBI Housing Finance Ltd. @9% p.a. on 1.4.2018. The construction was completed on 30.11.2020. The accumulated interest up to 31.3.2020 is ₹ 3,60,000. On 31.3.2025, Poorna paid ₹ 2,40,000 which included ₹ 1,80,000 as interest. There was no principal repayment prior to this date. Compute Poorna's income from house property for A.Y. 2025-26 assuming that she has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

IFHP → ₹ (2,00,000)

Question 7

Smt. Rajalakshmi owns a house property at Adyar in Chennai. The municipal value of the property is ₹ 5,00,000, fair rent is ₹ 4,20,000 and standard rent is ₹ 4,80,000. The property was let-out for ₹ 50,000 p.m. up to December 2024. Thereafter, the tenant vacated the property and Smt. Rajalakshmi used the house for self-occupation. Rent for the months of November and December 2024 could not be realized in spite of the owner's efforts. All the conditions prescribed under Rule 4 are satisfied. She paid municipal taxes @12% during the year. She had paid interest of ₹ 25,000 during the year for amount borrowed for repairs for the house property. Compute her income from house property for the A.Y. 2025-26.

Answer

IFHP → ₹ 2,69,000

Question 8

Ganesh has three houses, all of which are self-occupied. The particulars of the houses for the P.Y.2024-25 are as under:

Particulars	House I	House II	House III
Municipal valuation p.a.	₹ 3,00,000	₹ 3,60,000	₹ 3,30,000
Fair rent p.a.	₹ 3,75,000	₹ 2,75,000	₹ 3,80,000
Standard rent p.a.	₹ 3,50,000	₹ 3,70,000	₹ 3,75,000
Date of completion/purchase	31.3.2000	31.3.2002	01.4.2016
Municipal taxes paid during the year	12%	8%	6%
Interest on money borrowed for repair of property during the current year	-	₹ 55,000	
Interest for current year on money borrowed in April, 2017 for purchase of property			₹ 1,75,000

Compute Ganesh's income from house property for A.Y.2025-26 and suggest which houses should be opted by Ganesh to be assessed as self-occupied so that his tax liability is minimum.

Answer → Refer Study Mat.

Question 9

Prem owns a house in Madras. During the previous year 2024-25, 2/3rd portion of the house was self-occupied and 1/3rd portion was let out for residential purposes at a rent of ₹ 8,000 p.m. Municipal value of the property is ₹ 3,00,000 p.a., fair rent is ₹ 2,70,000 p.a. and standard rent is ₹ 3,30,000 p.a. He paid municipal taxes @10% of municipal value during the year. A loan of ₹ 25,00,000 was taken by him during the year 2020 for acquiring the property. Interest on loan paid during the previous year 2024-25 was ₹ 1,20,000. Compute Prem's income from house property for the A.Y.2025-26 assuming that he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

What would be Prem's income from house property under the default tax regime?

Answer

IFHP (OTR) → ₹ (57,000)

IFHP (DTR) → ₹ (23,000)

Question 10

Mr. Anand sold his residential house property in March, 2024.

In June, 2024, he recovered rent of ₹ 10,000 from Mr. Gaurav, to whom he had let out his house for two years from April 2018 to March 2020. He could not realise two months rent of ₹ 20,000 from him and to that extent his actual rent was reduced while computing income from house property for A.Y.2020-21.

Further, he had let out his property from April, 2020 to February, 2024 to Mr. Satish. In April, 2022, he had increased the rent from ₹ 12,000 to ₹ 15,000 per month and the same was a subject matter of dispute. In September, 2024, the matter was finally settled and Mr. Anand received ₹ 69,000 as arrears of rent for the period April 2022 to February, 2024.

Would the recovery of unrealised rent and arrears of rent be taxable in the hands of Mr. Anand, and if so in which year?

Answer

Since the unrealised rent was recovered in the P.Y.2024-25, the same would be taxable in the A.Y.2025-26 under section 25A, irrespective of the fact that Mr. Anand was not the owner of the house in that year. Further, the arrears of rent was also received in the P.Y.2024-25, and hence the same would be taxable in the A.Y.2025-26 under section 25A, even though Mr. Anand was not the owner of the house in that year. A deduction of 30% of unrealised rent recovered and arrears of rent would be allowed while computing income from house property of Mr. Anand for A.Y.2025-26.

Computation of income from house property of Mr. Anand for A.Y.2025-26	
Particulars	₹
(i) Unrealised rent recovered	10,000
(ii) Arrears of rent received	69,000
	79,000
Less: Deduction@30%	23,700
Income from house property	55,300

Question 11

Ms. Aparna co-owns a residential house property in Calcutta along with her sister Ms. Dimple, where her sister's family resides. Both of them have equal share in the property and the same is used by them for self-occupation. Interest is payable in respect of loan of ₹ 50,00,000@10% taken on 1.4.2023 for acquisition of such property. In addition, Ms. Aparna owns a flat in Pune in which she and her parents reside. She has taken a loan of ₹ 3,00,000@12% on 1.10.2023 for repairs of this flat. Compute the deduction which would be available to Ms. Aparna and Ms. Dimple under section 24(b) for A.Y.2025-26, if both exercise the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

Computation of deduction u/s 24(b) available to Ms. Aparna for A.Y.2025-26	
Particulars	₹
I Interest on loan taken for acquisition of residential house property at Calcutta	

₹ 50,00,000 × 10% = ₹ 5,00,000	
Ms. Aparna's share = 50% of ₹ 5,00,000 = ₹ 2,50,000	
Restricted to ₹ 2,00,000	2,00,000
II Interest on loan taken for repair of flat at Pune	
₹ 3,00,000 × 12% = ₹ 36,000	
Restricted to ₹ 30,000	30,000
Total interest	2,30,000
Deduction under section 24(b) in respect of (I) and (II) above to be restricted to	2,00,000

Computation of deduction u/s 24(b) available to Ms. Dimple for A.Y.2025-26	
Particulars	₹
Interest on loan taken for acquisition of residential house property at Calcutta	
₹ 50,00,000 × 10% = ₹ 5,00,000	
Ms. Dimple's share = 50% of ₹ 5,00,000 = ₹ 2,50,000	
Restricted to ₹ 2,00,000	2,00,000
Deduction under section 24(b)	2,00,000

Question 12

Mr. Raman is a co-owner of a house property along with his brother holding equal share in the property.

Particulars	₹
Municipal value of the property	1,60,000
Fair rent	1,50,000
Standard rent under the Rent Control Act	1,70,000
Rent received	15,000 p.m.

The loan for the construction of this property is jointly taken and the interest charged by the bank is ₹ 25,000, out of which ₹ 21,000 has been paid. Interest on the unpaid interest is ₹ 450. To repay this loan, Raman and his brother have taken a fresh loan and interest charged on this loan is ₹ 5,000.

The municipal taxes of ₹ 5,100 have been paid by the tenant.

Compute the income from this property chargeable in the hands of Mr. Raman for the A.Y. 2025-26.

Answer → ₹ 48,000



INCOME FROM HOUSE PROPERTY

Question 13

Mr. X owns one residential house in Mumbai. The house is having two identical units. First unit of the house is self-occupied by Mr. X and another unit is rented for ₹ 8,000 p.m. The rented unit was vacant for 2 months during the year. The particulars of the house for the previous year 2024-25 are as under:

Standard rent	₹ 1,62,000 p.a.
Municipal valuation	₹ 1,90,000 p.a.
Fair rent	₹ 1,85,000 p. a
Municipal tax (Paid by Mr. X)	5% of municipal valuation
Light and water charges	₹ 500 p.m.
Interest on borrowed capital	₹ 1,500 p.m.
Lease money	₹ 1,200 p.a.
Insurance charges	₹ 3,000 p.a.
Repairs	₹ 12,000 p.a.

Compute income from house property of Mr. X for the A.Y. 2025-26 if he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

LOP → ₹ 43,675

SOP → ₹ (9,000)

Question 14

Mr. Vikas owns a house property whose Municipal Value, Fair Rent and Standard Rent are ₹ 96,000, ₹ 1,26,000 and ₹ 1,08,000 (per annum), respectively. During the F.Y. 2024-25, one-third of the portion of the house was let out for residential purpose at a monthly rent of ₹ 5,000. The remaining two-third portion was self-occupied by him. Municipal tax @11% of municipal value was paid during the year.

The construction of the house began in June, 2017 and was completed on 31-5-2020. Vikas took a loan of ₹ 1,00,000 on 1-7-2017 for the construction of building. He paid interest on loan @ 12% per annum and every month such interest was paid.

Compute income from house property of Mr. Vikas for the A.Y. 2025-26 if he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

LOP → ₹ 33,336

SOP → ₹ (12,400)

Question 15

Mrs. Rohini Ravi, a citizen of the U.S.A., is a resident and ordinarily resident in India during the financial year 2024-25. She owns a house property at Los Angeles, U.S.A., which is used as her residence. The annual value of the house is \$20,000. The value of one USD (\$) may be taken as ₹ 75.

She took ownership and possession of a flat in Chennai on 1.7.2024, which is used for self-occupation, while she is in India. The flat was used by her for 7 months only during the year ended 31.3.2025. The municipal valuation is

₹ 3,84,000 p.a. and the fair rent is ₹ 4,20,000 p.a. She paid the following to Corporation of Chennai:
Property Tax ₹ 16,200
Sewerage Tax ₹ 1,800

She had taken a loan from Standard Chartered Bank in June, 2022 for purchasing this flat. Interest on loan was as under:

Particulars	₹
Period prior to 1.4.2024	49,200
1.4.2024 to 30.6.2024	50,800
1.7.2024 to 31.3.2025	1,31,300

She had a house property in Bangalore, which was sold in March, 2021. In respect of this house, she received arrears of rent of ₹ 60,000 in March, 2025. This amount has not been charged to tax earlier.

- Compute the income chargeable from house property of Mrs. Rohini Ravi for the A.Y. 2025-26 if she has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).
- Would your answer change if she pays tax under the default tax regime under section 115BAC?

Answer

- Since the assessee is a resident and ordinarily resident in India, her global income would form part of her total income i.e., income earned in India as well as outside India will form part of her total income.

She possesses a self-occupied house at Los Angeles as well as at Chennai. She can take the benefit of “Nil” Annual Value in respect of both the house properties.

As regards the Bangalore house, arrears of rent will be chargeable to tax as income from house property in the year of receipt under section 25A. It is not essential that the assessee should continue to be the owner. 30% of the arrears of rent shall be allowed as deduction.

Accordingly, the income from house property of Mrs. Rohini Ravi for A.Y.2025-26 will be calculated as under:

Particulars	₹	₹
1. Self-occupied house at Los Angeles		
Annual value		Nil

INCOME FROM HOUSE PROPERTY

<i>Less:</i> Deduction under section 24		Nil
Chargeable income from this house property		Nil
2. Self-occupied house property at Chennai		
Annual value		Nil
<i>Less:</i> Deduction under section 24		
Interest on borrowed capital		1,91,940
(See Note below)		
		(1,91,940)
3. Arrears in respect of Bangalore property (Section 25A)		
Arrears of rent received	60,000	
<i>Less:</i> Deduction @ 30% u/s 25A(2)	18,000	42,000
Loss under the head "Income from house property"		(1,49,940)

Note: Interest on borrowed capital

Particulars	₹
Interest for the current year (₹ 50,800 + ₹ 1,31,300)	1,82,100
<i>Add:</i> 1/5th of pre-construction interest (₹ 49,200 × 1/5)	9,840
Interest deduction allowable under section 24	1,91,940

Interest deduction under section 24(b) is allowable since she has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

- (ii) Yes, the answer would change if she pays tax under the default tax regime under section 115BAC. Under the default tax regime, deduction under section 24(b) for interest is not available. Hence, she cannot claim deduction of ₹ 1,91,940 in respect of the Chennai house. Accordingly, income from house property would be ₹ 42,000.

Question 16

Two brothers Arun and Bimal are co-owners of a house property with equal share. The property was constructed during the financial year 2016-2017. The property consists of eight identical units and is situated at Cochin.

During the financial year 2024-25, each co-owner occupied one unit for residence and the balance of six units were let out at a rent of ₹ 12,000 per month per unit. The municipal value of the house property is ₹ 9,00,000 and the municipal taxes are 20% of municipal value, which were paid during the year. The other expenses were as follows:

(i) Repairs	40,000
(ii) Insurance premium (paid)	15,000
(iii) Interest payable on loan taken for construction of house	3,00,000

One of the let out units remained vacant for four months during the year.

Arun could not occupy his unit for six months as he was transferred to Chennai. He does not own any other house.

The other income of Mr. Arun and Mr. Bimal are ₹ 2,90,000 and ₹ 1,80,000, respectively, for the financial year 2024-25.

Compute the income under the head 'Income from House Property' and the total income of two brothers for the A.Y. 2025-26 if they pay tax under the default tax regime under section 115BAC.

Also, show the computation of income under this head, if they both exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

(i) If Arun and Bimal pay tax under the default tax regime under section 115BAC

Computation of total income for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	Arun (₹)	Bimal(₹)
Income from house property		
I. Self-occupied portion (25%)		
Annual value	Nil	Nil
Less: Deduction under section 24(b)	Nil	Nil
Loss from self occupied property	Nil	Nil
II. Let-out portion (75%) – See Working Note below	1,25,850	1,25,850
Income from house property	1,25,850	1,25,850
Other Income	2,90,000	1,80,000
Total Income	4,15,850	3,05,850

Working Note – Computation of Income from Let-Out Portion of House Property		
Particulars	₹	₹
Let-out portion (75%)		
Gross Annual Value		
(a) Municipal value (75% of ₹ 9 lakh)	6,75,000	



INCOME FROM HOUSE PROPERTY

(b) Actual rent [(₹ 12000 × 6 × 12) – (₹ 12,000 × 1 × 4)] = ₹ 8,64,000 - ₹ 48,000 - whichever is higher	8,16,000	
Less: Municipal taxes 75% of ₹ 1,80,000 (20% of ₹ 9 lakh)		8,16,000 1,35,000
Net Annual Value (NAV)		6,81,000
Less: Deduction under section 24		
(a) 30% of NAV	2,04,300	
(b) Interest on loan taken for the house [75% of ₹ 3 lakh]	2,25,000	4,29,300
Income from let-out portion of house property		2,51,700
Share of each co-owner (50%)		1,25,850

- (ii) If Arun and Bimal have exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A)

Computation of total income for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	Arun (₹)	Bimal(₹)
Income from house property		
I. Self-occupied portion (25%)		
Annual value	Nil	Nil
Less: Deduction under section 24(b)		
Interest on loan taken for construction ₹ 37,500 (being 25% of ₹ 1.5 lakh) [Allowable since they have exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A)]	37,500	37,500
Loss from self occupied property	(37,500)	(37,500)
II. Let-out portion (75%) – See Working Note above	1,25,850	1,25,850
Income from house property	88,350	88,350
Other Income	2,90,000	1,80,000
Total Income	3,78,350	2,68,350



INCOME FROM HOUSE PROPERTY

Question 1

Mr. X, a proprietor engaged in manufacturing business, furnishes the following particulars:

	Particulars	₹
(1)	Opening balance of plant and machinery as on 1.4.2024 (i.e., WDV as on 31.3.2024 after reducing depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24)	30,00,000
(2)	New plant and machinery purchased and put to use on 8.06.2024	20,00,000
(3)	New plant and machinery acquired and put to use on 15.12.2024	8,00,000
(4)	Computer acquired and installed in the office premises on 2.1.2025	3,00,000

Compute the amount of depreciation and additional depreciation for the A.Y. 2025-26, if Mr. X has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). Assume that all the assets were purchased by way of account payee cheque.

Answer

Total Depreciation on P&M → 12,90,000

Total Depreciation on Computer → 60,000

Question 2

A car purchased by Dr. Soman on 10.08.2021 for ₹ 5,25,000 for personal use is brought into professional use on 1.07.2024 by him, when its market value was ₹ 2,50,000. Compute the actual cost of the car and the amount of depreciation for the A.Y. 2025-26 assuming the rate of depreciation to be 15%.

Answer

As per section 43(1), the expression “actual cost” would mean the actual cost of asset to the assessee.

The purchase price of ₹ 5,25,000 is, therefore, the actual cost of the car to Dr. Soman. Market value (i.e. ₹ 2,50,000) on the date when the asset is brought into professional use is not relevant.

Therefore, amount of depreciation on car as per section 32 for the A.Y.2025-26 would be ₹ 78,750, being ₹ 5,25,000 × 15%.

Note: Explanation 5 to section 43(1) providing for reduction of notional depreciation from the date of acquisition of asset for personal use to determine actual cost of the asset is applicable only in case of building which is initially acquired for personal use and later brought into professional use. It is not applicable in respect of other assets.

Question 3

A newly qualified Chartered Accountant Mr. Dhaval, commenced practice and has acquired the following assets in his office during F.Y. 2024-25 at the cost shown against each item. Calculate the amount of depreciation that can be claimed from his professional income for A.Y.2025-26. Assume that all the assets

were purchased by way of account payee cheque

Sl. No.	Description	Date of acquisition	Date when put to use	Amount ₹
1.	Computer including computer software	27 Sept., 24	1 Oct., 24	35,000
2.	Computer UPS	2 Oct., 24	8 Oct., 24	8,500
3.	Computer printer	1 Oct., 24	1 Oct., 24	12,500
4.	Books (other than annual publications are of ₹ 12,000)	1 Apr., 24	1 Apr., 24	13,000
5.	Office furniture (Acquired from a practicing C.A.)	1 Apr., 24	1 Apr., 24	3,00,000
6.	Laptop	26 Sep., 24	8 Oct., 24	43,000

Answer

Total Depreciation allowable → ₹ 64,500

Question 4

Mr. Gamma, a proprietor started a business of manufacture of tyres and tubes for motor vehicles on 1.1.2024. The manufacturing unit was set up on 1.5.2024. He commenced his manufacturing operations on 1.6.2024. The total cost of the plant and machinery installed in the unit is ₹ 120 crore. The said plant and machinery included second hand plant and machinery bought for ₹ 20 crore and new plant and machinery for scientific research relating to the business of the assessee acquired at a cost of ₹ 15 crore.

Compute the amount of depreciation allowable under section 32 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 in respect of the assessment year 2025-26. Assume that all the assets were purchased by way of account payee cheque and Mr. Gamma has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

Total Depreciation allowable → ₹ 32.75 crores

Question 5

Mr. A, furnishes the following particulars for the P.Y.2024-25. Compute the deduction allowable under section 35 for A.Y.2025-26, while computing his income under the head “Profits and gains of business or profession”, if.

- (i) he is paying tax under default tax regime under section 115BAC

(ii) he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A)

	Particulars	₹
1.	Amount paid to notified approved Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, for scientific research	1,00,000
2.	Amount paid to IIT, Delhi for an approved scientific research programme	2,50,000
3.	Amount paid to X Ltd., a company registered in India which has as its main object scientific research and development, as is approved by the prescribed authority	4,00,000
4.	Expenditure incurred on in-house scientific research and development facility as approved by the prescribed authority related to his business	
	(a) Revenue expenditure on scientific research	3,00,000
	(b) Capital expenditure (including cost of acquisition of land ₹ 5,00,000) on scientific research	7,50,000

Answer

(i) If Mr. A is paying tax under default tax regime under section 115BAC

Computation of deduction under section 35 for the A.Y.2025-26				
Particulars	₹	Section	Allowability	Amount of deduction (₹)
Payment for scientific research				
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore	1,00,000	35(1)(ii)	Not allowable under default tax regime	Nil
IIT, Delhi	2,50,000	35(2AA)		Nil
X Ltd.	4,00,000	35(1)(iia)		Nil
Expenditure incurred on in-house research and development facility				
Revenue expenditure	3,00,000	35(1)(i)	Allowable under default tax regime	3,00,000
Capital expenditure (excluding cost of acquisition of land ₹ 5,00,000)	2,50,000	35(1)(iv) read with 35(2)(ia)		2,50,000
Deduction allowable under section 35				5,50,000

- (ii) If Mr. A has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A)

Computation of deduction under section 35 for the A.Y.2025-26				
Particulars	₹	Section	% of deduction	Amount of Deduction (₹)
Payment for scientific research				
Indian Institute of Science	1,00,000	35(1)(ii)	100%	1,00,000
IIT, Delhi	2,50,000	35(2AA)	100%	2,50,000
X Ltd.	4,00,000	35(1)(iia)	100%	4,00,000
Expenditure incurred on in-house research and development facility				
Revenue expenditure	3,00,000	35(1)(i)	100%	3,00,000
Capital expenditure (excluding cost of acquisition of land ₹ 5,00,000)	2,50,000	35(1)(iv) read with 35(2)(ia)	100%	2,50,000
Deduction allowable under section 35				13,00,000

Question 6

Mr. A commenced operations of the businesses of setting up a warehousing facility for storage of food grains, sugar and edible oil on 1.4.2024. He incurred capital expenditure of ₹ 80 lakh, ₹ 60 lakh and ₹ 50 lakh, respectively, on purchase of land and building during the period January, 2024 to March, 2024 exclusively for the above businesses, and capitalized the same in its books of account as on 1st April, 2023. The cost of land included in the above figures is ₹ 50 lakh, ₹ 40 lakh and ₹ 30 lakh, respectively. During the P.Y. 2024-25, he incurred capital expenditure of ₹ 20 lakh, ₹ 15 lakh & ₹ 10 lakh, respectively, for extension/reconstruction of the building purchased and used exclusively for the above businesses.

Compute the income under the head “Profits and gains of business or profession” for the A.Y.2025-26 and the loss to be carried forward, assuming that Mr. A is exercising the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A) and has fulfilled all the conditions specified under section 35AD and wants to claim deduction under section 35AD and has not claimed any deduction under Chapter VI-A under the heading “C – Deductions in respect of certain incomes”.

The profits from the business of setting up a warehousing facility for storage of food grains, sugar and edible oil (before claiming deduction under section 35AD and section 32) for the A.Y. 2025-26 is ₹ 16

lakhs, ₹ 14 lakhs and ₹ 31 lakhs, respectively. Also, assume in respect of expenditure incurred, the payments are made by account payee cheque or use of ECS through bank account.

Answer

Computation of profits and gains of business or profession for A.Y.2025-26	
Particulars	₹ (in lakhs)
Profit from business of setting up of warehouse for storage of edible oil (before providing for depreciation under section 32)	31
<i>Less:</i> Depreciation under section 32	
10% of ₹ 30 lakh, being (₹ 50 lakh – ₹ 30 lakh + ₹ 10 lakh)	3
Income chargeable under “Profits and gains from business or profession”	28

Computation of income/loss from specified business under section 35AD				
Particulars		Food Grains	Sugar	Total
		₹ (in lakhs)		
(A)	Profits from the specified business of setting up a warehousing facility (before providing deduction u/s 35AD)	16	14	30
	<i>Less:</i> Deduction under section 35AD			
(B)	Capital expenditure incurred prior to 1.4.2024 (i.e., prior to commencement of business) and capitalized in the books of account as on 1.4.2024 (excluding the expenditure incurred on acquisition of land) = ₹ 30 lakh (₹ 80 lakh – ₹ 50 lakh) and ₹ 20 lakh (₹ 60 lakh – ₹ 40 lakh)	30	20	50
(C)	Capital expenditure incurred during the P.Y. 2024-25	20	15	35
(D)	Total capital expenditure (B + C)	50	35	85
(E)	Deduction under section 35AD			
	100% of capital expenditure (food grains/ sugar)	50	35	85
	Total deduction u/s 35AD for A.Y.2025-26	50	35	85
(F)	Loss from the specified business of setting up and operating a warehousing facility (after providing for deduction under section 35AD) to be carried forward as per section 73A (A-E)	(34)	(21)	(55)

Notes:

- (i) Deduction of 100% of the capital expenditure is available under section 35AD for A.Y.2025-26 in respect of specified business of setting up and operating a warehousing facility for storage of sugar and setting up and operating a warehousing facility for storage of agricultural produce where operations are commenced on or after 1.4.2012 or on or after 1.4.2009, respectively.
- (ii) However, since setting up and operating a warehousing facility for storage of edible oils is not a specified business, Mr. A is not eligible for deduction under section 35AD in respect of capital expenditure incurred in respect of such business.
- (iii) Mr. A can, however, claim depreciation@10% under section 32 in respect of the capital expenditure incurred on buildings. It is presumed that the buildings were put to use for more than 180 days during the P.Y.2024-25.
- (iv) Loss from a specified business can be set-off only against profits from another specified business. Therefore, the loss of ₹ 55 lakh from the specified businesses of setting up and operating a warehousing facility for storage of food grains and sugar cannot be set-off against the profits of ₹ 28 lakh from the business of setting and operating a warehousing facility for storage of edible oils, since the same is not a specified business. Such loss can, however, be carried forward indefinitely for set-off against profits of the same or any other specified business.

Question 7

Mr. Suraj, a proprietor, commenced operations of the business of a new three-star hotel in Madurai, Tamil Nadu on 1.4.2024. He incurred capital expenditure of ₹ 50 lakh during the period January, 2024 to March, 2024 exclusively for the above business, and capitalized the same in his books of account as on 1st April, 2024. Further, during the P.Y. 2024-25, he incurred capital expenditure of ₹ 2 crore (out of which ₹ 1.50 crore was for acquisition of land) exclusively for the above business.

Compute the income under the head “Profits and gains of business or profession” for the A.Y.2025-26, assuming that he has fulfilled all the conditions specified under section 35AD and opted for claiming deduction under section 35AD; and he has not claimed any deduction under Chapter VI-A under the heading “C – Deductions in respect of certain incomes”. He has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

The profits from the business of running this hotel (before claiming deduction under section 35AD) for the A.Y.2025-26 is ₹ 25 lakhs. Assume that he also has another existing business of running a four-star hotel in Coimbatore, which commenced operations fifteen years back, the profits from which are ₹ 120 lakhs for the A.Y. 2025-26. Also, assume that payments for capital expenditure were made by net banking.

Answer

Computation of profits and gains of business or profession for A.Y. 2025-26

Particulars	₹
-------------	---

Profits from the specified business of new hotel in Madurai (before providing deduction under section 35AD)	25 lakh
Less: Deduction under section 35AD	
Capital expenditure incurred during the P.Y.2024-25 (excluding the expenditure incurred on acquisition of land) = ₹ 200 lakh – ₹ 150 lakh	50 lakh
Capital expenditure incurred prior to 1.4.2024 (i.e., prior to commencement of business) and capitalized in the books of account as on 1.4.2024	50 lakh
Total deduction under section 35AD for A.Y.2025-26	100 lakh
Loss from the specified business of new hotel in Madurai	(75 lakh)
Profit from the existing business of running a hotel in Coimbatore	120 lakh
Net profit from business after set-off of loss of specified business against profits of another specified business under section 73A	45 lakh

Question 8

Mr. Arnav is a proprietor having two units – Unit A carries on specified business of setting up and operating a warehousing facility for storage of sugar; Unit B carries on non-specified business of operating a warehousing facility for storage of edible oil.

Unit A commenced operations on 1.4.2023 and it claimed deduction of ₹ 100 lakhs incurred on purchase of two buildings for ₹ 50 lakhs each (for operating a warehousing facility for storage of sugar) under section 35AD for A.Y.2024-25. However, in February, 2025, Unit A transferred one of its buildings to Unit B.

Examine the tax implications of such transfer in the hands of Mr. Arnav.

Answer

Since the capital asset, in respect of which deduction of ₹ 50 lakhs was claimed u/s 35AD, has been transferred by Unit A carrying on specified business to Unit B carrying on non-specified business in the P.Y.2024-25, the deeming provision u/s 35AD(7B) is attracted during the A.Y.2025-26.

Particulars	₹
Deduction allowed u/s 35AD for A.Y.2024-25	50,00,000
Less: Depreciation allowable u/s 32 for A.Y.2024-25 [10% of ₹ 50 lakhs]	5,00,000
Deemed income under section 35AD(7B)	45,00,000

Mr. Arnav, however, by virtue of proviso to Explanation 13 to section 43(1), can claim depreciation u/s 32 on the building in Unit B for A.Y.2025-26. For the purpose of claiming depreciation on building in Unit B, the actual cost of the building would be:

Particulars	₹
-------------	---

Actual cost to the assessee	50,00,000
Less: Depreciation allowable u/s 32 for A.Y.2024-25 [10% of ₹ 50 lakhs]	5,00,000
Actual cost in the hands of Mr. Arnav in respect of building in its Unit B	45,00,000

Question 9

Delta Ltd. credited the following amounts to the account of resident payees in the month of March, 2025 without deduction of tax at source. What would be the consequence of non-deduction of tax at source by Delta Ltd. on these amounts during the financial year 2024-25, assuming that the resident payees in all the cases mentioned below, have not paid the tax, if any, which was required to be deducted by Delta Ltd.?

	Particulars	Amount in ₹
(1)	Salary to its employee, Mr. X (credited and paid in March, 2025)	12,00,000
(2)	Directors' remuneration (credited in March, 2025 and paid in April, 2025)	28,000

Would your answer change if Delta Ltd. has deducted tax on directors' remuneration in April, 2025 at the time of payment and remitted the same in July, 2025?

Answer

Non-deduction of tax at source on any sum payable to a resident on which tax is deductible at source as per the provisions of Chapter XVII-B would attract disallowance u/s 40(a)(ia).

Therefore, non-deduction of tax at source on any sum paid by way of salary on which tax is deductible u/s 192 or any sum credited or paid by way of directors' remuneration on which tax is deductible u/s 194J, would attract disallowance@30% u/s 40(a)(ia). Whereas in case of salary, tax has to be deducted u/s 192 at the time of payment, in case of directors' remuneration, tax has to be deducted at the time of credit of such sum to the account of the payee or at the time of payment, whichever is earlier. Therefore, in both the cases i.e., salary and directors' remuneration, tax is deductible in the P.Y.2024-25, since salary was paid in that year and directors' remuneration was credited in that year. Therefore, the amount to be disallowed u/s 40(a)(ia) while computing business income for A.Y.2025-26 is as follows –

	Particulars	Amount paid in ₹	Disallowance u/s 40(a)(ia) @30%
(1)	Salary [tax is deductible under section 192]	12,00,000	3,60,000
(2)	Directors' remuneration [tax is deductible under section 194J without any threshold limit]	28,000	8,400
Disallowance under section 40(a)(ia)			3,68,400

If the tax is deducted on directors' remuneration in the next year i.e., P.Y.2025-26 at the time of payment and remitted to the Government, the amount of ₹ 8,400 would be allowed as deduction while computing the business income of A.Y. 2026-27.

Question 10

During the financial year 2024-25, the following payments/expenditure were made/ incurred by Mr. Raja, a resident individual (whose turnover during the year ended 31.3.2024 was ₹ 99 lakhs):

- (i) Interest of ₹ 45,000 was paid to Rehman & Co., a resident partnership firm, without deduction of tax at source;
- (ii) ₹ 10,00,000 was paid as salary to a resident individual without deduction of tax at source;
- (iii) Commission of ₹ 16,000 was paid to Mr. Vidyasagar, a resident, on 2.7.2024 without deduction of tax at source.

Briefly discuss whether any disallowance arises under the provisions of section 40(a)(ia) assuming that the payees in all the cases mentioned above, have not paid the tax, if any, which was required to be deducted by Mr. Raja?

Answer

Disallowance under section 40(a)(ia) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 is attracted where the assessee fails to deduct tax at source as is required under the Act, or having deducted tax at source, fails to remit the same to the credit of the Central Government within the stipulated time limit.

- (i) The obligation to deduct tax at source from interest paid to a resident arises u/s 194A in the case of an individual, whose total turnover in the immediately preceding P.Y., i.e., P.Y.2023-24 exceeds ₹ 1 crore. Thus, in present case, since the turnover of the assessee is less than ₹ 1 crore, he is not liable to deduct tax at source. Hence, disallowance u/s 40(a)(ia) is not attracted in this case.
- (ii) The disallowance of 30% of the sums payable under section 40(a)(ia) would be attracted in respect of all sums on which tax is deductible under Chapter XVII-B. Section 192, which requires deduction of tax at source from salary paid, is covered under Chapter XVII-B. The obligation to deduct tax at source under section 192 arises, in the hands all assessee-employer even if the turnover amount does not exceed ₹ 1 crore in the immediately preceding previous year. Therefore, in the present case, the disallowance under section 40(a)(ia) is attracted for failure to deduct tax at source under section 192 from salary payment. However, only 30% of the amount of salary paid without deduction of tax at source would be disallowed.
- (iii) The obligation to deduct tax at source under section 194H from commission paid in excess of ₹ 15,000 to a resident arises in the case of an individual, whose total turnover in the immediately preceding previous year, i.e., P.Y.2023-24 exceeds ₹ 1 crore. Thus, in present case, since the turnover of the assessee is less than ₹ 1 crore, he is not liable to deduct tax at source u/s 194H. Mr. Raja is not required to deduct tax at source u/s 194M also since the aggregate of such commission to Mr. Vidyasagar does not exceed ₹ 50 lakh during the P.Y. 2024-25. Therefore, disallowance under section 40(a)(ia) is not attracted in this case.

Question 11

A firm has paid ₹ 8,50,000 as remuneration to its partners for the P.Y.2024-25, in accordance with its partnership deed, and it has a book profit of ₹ 10 lakhs. What is the remuneration allowable as deduction?

Answer

The allowable remuneration calculated as per the limits specified in section 40(b)(v) would be –

Particulars	₹
On first ₹ 6 lakh of book profit [₹ 6,00,000 × 90%]	5,40,000
On balance ₹ 4 lakh of book profit [₹ 4,00,000 × 60%]	2,40,000
	7,80,000

The excess amount of ₹ 70,000 (i.e., ₹ 8,50,000 – ₹ 7,80,000) would be disallowed as per section 40(b)(v).

Question 12

Rao & Jain, a partnership firm consisting of two partners, reports a net profit of ₹ 17,00,000 before deduction of the following items:

- (1) Salary of ₹ 40,000 each per month payable to two working partners of the firm (as authorized by the deed of partnership).
- (2) Depreciation on plant and machinery under section 32 (computed) ₹ 1,50,000.
- (3) Interest on capital at 15% per annum (as per the deed of partnership). The amount of capital eligible for interest is ₹ 5,00,000.

Compute:

- (i) Book-profit of the firm under section 40(b) of the Income-tax Act, 1961.
- (ii) Allowable working partner salary for the A.Y. 2025-26 as per section 40(b).

Answer

- (i) Book Profit → 14,90,000
- (ii) Allowable partners salary → 9,60,000

Question 13

X Ltd. contributes 20% of basic salary to the account of each employee under a pension scheme referred to in section 80CCD. Dearness Allowance is 40% of basic salary and it forms part of pay of the employees.

Compute the amount of deduction allowable under section 36(1)(iva), if the basic salary of the employees aggregate to ₹ 10 lakh. Would disallowance under section 40A(9) be attracted, and if so, to what extent?

Answer

Computation of deduction u/s 36(1)(iva) and disallowance u/s 40A(9)	
Particulars	₹
Basic Salary	10,00,000
Dearness Allowance@40% of basic salary [DA forms part of pay]	4,00,000
Salary for the purpose of section 36(1)(iva) (Basic Salary + DA)	14,00,000
Actual contribution (20% of basic salary i.e., 20% of ₹ 10 lakh)	2,00,000

Less: Permissible deduction under section 36(1)(iva) (14% of basic salary plus dearness pay = 14% of ₹14,00,000 = ₹ 1,96,000)

1,96,000

Excess contribution disallowed under section 40A(9)

4,000

Question 14

Hari, an individual, carried on the business of purchase and sale of agricultural commodities like paddy, wheat, etc. He borrowed loans from Andhra Pradesh State Financial Corporation (APSFC) and Indian Bank and has not paid interest as detailed hereunder:

	₹
(i) Andhra Pradesh State Financial Corporation (P.Y. 2023-24 & 2024-25)	15,00,000
(ii) Indian Bank (P.Y. 2024-25)	30,00,000
	45,00,000

Both APSFC and Indian Bank, while restructuring the loan facilities of Hari during the year 2024-25, converted the above interest payable by Hari to them as a loan repayable in 60 equal installments. During the year ended 31.3.2025, Hari paid 5 installments to APSFC and 3 installments to Indian Bank.

Hari claimed the entire interest of ₹ 45,00,000 as an expenditure while computing the income from business of purchase and sale of agricultural commodities. Examine whether his claim is valid and if not what is the amount of interest, if any, allowable.

Answer

According to section 43B, any interest payable on the term loans to specified financial institutions and any interest payable on any loans and advances to, *inter alia*, scheduled banks shall be allowed only in the year of payment of such interest irrespective of the method of accounting followed by the assessee. Where there is default in the payment of interest by the assessee, such unpaid interest may be converted into loan. Such conversion of unpaid interest into loan shall not be construed as payment of interest for the purpose of section 43B. The amount of unpaid interest so converted as loan shall be allowed as deduction only in the year in which the converted loan is actually paid.

In the given case of Hari, the unpaid interest of ₹ 15,00,000 due to APSFC and of ₹ 30,00,000 due to Indian Bank was converted into loan. Such conversion would not amount to payment of interest and would not, therefore, be eligible for deduction in the year of such conversion. Hence, claim of Hari that the entire interest of ₹ 45,00,000 is to be allowed as deduction in the year of conversion is not tenable. The deduction shall be allowed only to the extent of repayment made during the financial year. Accordingly, the amount of interest eligible for deduction for the A.Y.2025-26 shall be calculated as follows:

	Interest outstanding	Number of Instalments	Amount per instalment	Instalments paid	Interest allowable (₹)
APSFC	15 lakh	60	25,000	5	1,25,000
Indian Bank	30 lakh	60	50,000	3	1,50,000

Total amount eligible for deduction

2,75,000

Question 15

Vinod is a person carrying on profession as film artist. His gross receipts from profession are as under:

Particulars	₹
Financial year 2021-22	1,15,000
Financial year 2022-23	1,80,000
Financial year 2023-24	2,10,000

What is his obligation regarding maintenance of books of accounts for Assessment Year 2025-26 under section 44AA of Income-tax Act, 1961?

Answer

Section 44AA(1) requires every person carrying on any profession, notified by the Board in the Official Gazette (in addition to the professions already specified therein), to maintain such books of account and other documents as may enable the Assessing Officer to compute his total income in accordance with the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

As per Rule 6F, a person carrying on a notified profession shall be required to maintain specified books of accounts:

- if his gross receipts in all the three years immediately preceding the relevant previous year has exceeded ₹ 1,50,000; or
- if it is a new profession which is setup in the relevant previous year, it is likely to exceed ₹ 1,50,000 in that previous year.

In the present case, Vinod is a person carrying on profession as film artist, which is a notified profession. Since his gross receipts have not exceeded ₹ 1,50,000 in financial year 2021-22, the requirement under section 44AA to compulsorily maintain the prescribed books of account is not applicable to him.

Mr. Vinod, however, required to maintain such books of accounts as would enable the Assessing Officer to compute his total income.

Question 16

Mr. Praveen engaged in retail trade, reports a turnover of ₹ 2,98,50,000 for the financial year 2024-25. Amount received in cash during the P.Y. 2024-25 is ₹ 14,00,000 and balance through prescribed electronic modes on or before 31st July 2025. His income from the said business as per books of account is ₹ 15,00,000 computed as per the provisions of Chapter IV-D "Profits and gains from business or Profession" of the Income-tax Act, 1961. Retail trade is the only source of income for Mr. Praveen. A.Y. 2024-25 was the first year for which he declared his business income in accordance with the provisions of presumptive taxation u/s 44AD.

- Is Mr. Praveen also eligible for presumptive determination of his income chargeable to tax for the assessment year 2025-26?

- (ii) If so, determine his income from retail trade as per the applicable presumptive provision.
- (iii) In case Mr. Praveen wants to declare profits as per books of account from retail trade, what are his obligations under the Income-tax Act, 1961?
- (iv) What is the due date for filing his return of income under both the options?

Answer

- (i) Yes. Since his cash receipts during the P.Y. does not 5% of the total turnover ($14,00,000/2,98,50,000 \times 100$) and his total turnover for the F.Y.2024-25 is below ₹ 300 lakhs, he is eligible for presumptive taxation scheme under section 44AD in respect of his retail trade business.
- (ii) His income from retail trade, applying the presumptive tax provisions under section 44AD, would be ₹ 18,19,000 (₹ 1,12,000, being 8% of ₹ 14,00,000 + ₹ 17,07,000, being 6% of ₹ 2,84,50,000).
- (iii) Mr. Praveen had declared profit for the previous year 2023-24 in accordance with the presumptive provisions and if he wants to declare profits as per books of account which is lower than the presumptive income for any of the five consecutive assessment years i.e., A.Y. 2025-26 to A.Y. 2029-30, he would not be eligible to claim the benefit of presumptive taxation for five assessment years subsequent to the assessment year relevant to the previous year in which the profit has not been declared in accordance the presumptive provisions i.e. if he declares profits lower than the presumptive income in say P.Y. 2024-25 relevant to A.Y.2025-26, then he would not be eligible to claim the benefit of presumptive taxation for A.Y. 2026-27 to A.Y. 2030-31. Consequently, Mr. Praveen is required to maintain the books of accounts and get them audited under section 44AB, since his income exceeds the basic exemption limit.
- (iv) In case he declares presumptive income under section 44AD, the due date would be 31st July, 2025. In case he declares profits as per books of account which is lower than the presumptive income, he is required to get his books of account audited, in which case the due date for filing of return of income would be 31st October, 2025.

Question 17

Mr. X commenced the business of operating goods vehicles on 1.4.2024. He purchased the following vehicles during the P.Y.2024-25. Compute his income under section 44AE for A.Y.2025-26.

	Gross Vehicle Weight (in kilograms)	Number	Date of purchase
(1)	7,000	2	10.04.2024
(2)	6,500	1	15.03.2025
(3)	10,000	3	16.07.2024
(4)	11,000	1	02.01.2025

(5)	15,000	2	29.08.2024
(6)	15,000	1	23.02.2025

Would your answer change if the goods vehicles purchased in April, 2024 were put to use only in July, 2024?

Answer

Since Mr. X does not own more than 10 vehicles at any time during the previous year 2024-25, he is eligible to opt for presumptive taxation scheme under section 44AE. ₹ 1,000 per ton of gross vehicle weight or unladen weight per month or part of the month for each heavy goods vehicle and ₹ 7,500 per month or part of month for each goods carriage other than heavy goods vehicle, owned by him would be deemed as his profits and gains from such goods carriage.

Heavy goods vehicle means any goods carriage, the gross vehicle weight of which exceeds 12,000 kg.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Number of Vehicles	Date of purchase	No. of months for which vehicle is owned	No. of months × No. of vehicles [(1) × (3)]
For Heavy goods vehicle			
2	29.08.2024	8	16
1	23.02.2025	2	2
			18
For goods vehicle other than heavy goods vehicle			
2	10.4.2024	12	24
1	15.3.2025	1	1
3	16.7.2024	9	27
1	02.1.2025	3	3
			55

The presumptive income of Mr. X under section 44AE for A.Y.2025-26 would be -

₹ 6,82,500, i.e., $55 \times ₹ 7,500$, being for other than heavy goods vehicle + $18 \times ₹ 1,000 \times 15$ ton being for heavy goods vehicle.

The answer would remain the same even if the two vehicles purchased in April, 2024 were put to us only in July, 2024, since the presumptive income has to be calculated per month or part of the month for which the vehicle is owned by Mr. X.

Question 18

Miss Vivitha, a resident and ordinarily resident in India, has derived the following income from various operations (relating to plantations and estates owned by her) during the year ended 31-3-2025:

S. No.	Particulars	₹
(i)	Income from sale of centrifuged latex processed from rubber plants grown in Darjeeling.	3,00,000
(ii)	Income from sale of coffee grown and cured in Yercaud, Tamil Nadu.	1,00,000
(iii)	Income from sale of coffee grown, cured, roasted and grounded, in Colombo. Sale consideration was received at Chennai.	2,50,000
(iv)	Income from sale of tea grown and manufactured in Shimla.	4,00,000
(v)	Income from sapling and seedling grown in a nursery at Cochin. Basic operations were not carried out by her on land.	80,000

You are required to compute the business income and agricultural income of Miss Vivitha for the A.Y. 2025-26.

Answer

Computation of business income and agricultural income of Ms. Vivitha for the A.Y.2025-26					
Sr. No.	Source of income	Gross (₹)	Business income		Agricultural income
			%	₹	₹
(i)	Sale of centrifuged latex from rubber plants grown in India.	3,00,000	35%	1,05,000	1,95,000
(ii)	Sale of coffee grown and cured in India.	1,00,000	25%	25,000	75,000
(iii)	Sale of coffee grown, cured, roasted and grounded outside India. (See Note 1 below)	2,50,000	100%	2,50,000	-
(iv)	Sale of tea grown and manufactured in India	4,00,000	40%	1,60,000	2,40,000
(v)	Saplings and seedlings grown in nursery in India (See Note 2 below)	80,000		Nil	80,000
	Total			5,40,000	5,90,000

Notes:

- Where income is derived from sale of coffee grown, cured, roasted and grounded by the seller in India, 40% of such income is taken as business income and the balance as agricultural income. However, in this question, these operations are done in Colombo, Sri Lanka. Hence, there is no question of such apportionment and the whole income is taxable as business income. Receipt of sale proceeds in India does not make this agricultural income. In the case of an assessee, being a resident and ordinarily resident, the income arising outside India is also chargeable to tax.
- Explanation 3* to section 2(1A) provides that the income derived from saplings or seedlings grown in a nursery would be deemed to be agricultural income whether or not the basic operations were carried

out on land. Therefore, such income would be exempt u/s 10(1).

Question 19

Mr. Venus., engaged in manufacture of pesticides, furnishes the following particulars relating to its manufacturing unit at Chennai, for the year ending 31-3-2025:

	(₹ in lakhs)
WDV of Plant and Machinery on 31.3.2024	30.00
Depreciation including additional depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24	4.75
New machinery purchased on 1-9-2024	10.00
New machinery purchased on 1-12-2024	8.00
Computer purchased on 3-1-2025	4.00

Additional information:

- All assets were purchased by A/c payee cheque.
- All assets were put to use immediately.
- New machinery purchased on 1-12-2024 and computer have been installed in the office.
- During the year ended 31-3-2024, a new machinery had been purchased on 31-10-2023, for ₹ 10 lakhs. Additional depreciation, besides normal depreciation, had been claimed thereon.
- Depreciation rate for machinery may be taken as 15%.
- The assessee has no brought forward business loss or unabsorbed depreciation as on 1.4.2024.

Compute the depreciation available to the assessee as per the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961 and the WDV of different blocks of assets as on 31- 3-2025 if -

- he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A)
- he pays tax under the default tax regime under section 115BAC.

Answer

Computation of written down value of block of assets of Venus Ltd. as on 31.3.2025		
Particulars	Plant & Machinery (₹ in lakhs)	Computer (₹ in lakhs)
Written down value (as on 31.3.2024)	30.00	Nil
Less: Depreciation including additional depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24	4.75	-
Opening balance as on 1.4.2024	25.25	
Add: Actual cost of new assets acquired during the year		
New machinery purchased on 1.9.2024	10.00	-
New machinery purchased on 1.12.2024	8.00	-
Computer purchased on 3.1.2025	-	4.00

		43.25	4.00
Less:	Assets	Nil	Nil
Written Down Value (as on 31.03.2025)		43.25	4.00

(i) If Mr. Venus exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A)

In this case, since his income would be computed under the optional tax regime as per the normal provisions of the Act, he would be entitled for normal depreciation and additional depreciation, subject to fulfilment of conditions

Computation of depreciation for A.Y. 2025-26			
	Particulars	Plant & Machinery (₹ in lakhs)	Computer (₹ in lakhs)
I.	Assets put to use for more than 180 days, eligible for 100% depreciation calculated applying the eligible rate of normal depreciation and additional depreciation		
	<u>Normal Depreciation</u>		
	- WDV of plant and machinery (₹ 25.25 lakhs x 15%)	3.79	-
	- New Machinery purchased on 1.9.2024 (₹ 10 lakhs x 15%)	1.50	-
	(A)	5.29	-
	<u>Additional Depreciation</u>		
	New Machinery purchased on 1.9.2024 (₹ 10 lakhs x 20%)	2.00	-
	Balance additional depreciation in respect of new machinery purchased on 31.10.2023 and put to use for less than 180 days in the P.Y. 2023-24 (₹ 10 lakhs x 20% x 50%)	1.00	-
	(B)	3.00	-
II.	Assets put to use for less than 180 days, eligible for 50% depreciation calculated applying the eligible rate of normal depreciation and additional depreciation, if any		
	<u>Normal Depreciation</u>		
	New machinery purchased on 1.12.2024 [₹ 8 lakhs x 7.5% (i.e., 50% of 15%)]	0.60	-

	Computer purchased on 3.1.2024 [₹ 4 lakhs x 20% (50% of 40%)]	-	0.80
	(C)	0.60	0.80
	Total Depreciation (A+B+C)	8.89	0.80

Notes:

- (1) As per section 32(1)(ia), additional depreciation is allowable in the case of any new machinery or plant acquired and installed after 31.3.2005, by an assessee engaged, *inter alia*, in the business of manufacture or production of any article or thing, at the rate of 20% of the actual cost of such machinery or plant.

However, additional depreciation shall not be allowed in respect of, *inter alia*,—

- (i) any office appliances or road transport vehicles;
- (ii) any machinery or plant installed in, *inter alia*, office premises.

In view of the above provisions, additional depreciation cannot be claimed in respect of -

- (i) Machinery purchased on 1.12.2024, installed in office and
- (ii) Computer purchased on 3.1.2025, installed in office.

Balance additional depreciation@10% on new plant or machinery acquired and put to use for less than 180 days in the year of acquisition which has not been allowed in that year, shall be allowed in the immediately succeeding previous year.

Hence, in this case, the balance additional depreciation@10% (i.e., ₹ 1 lakhs, being 10% of ₹ 10 lakhs) in respect of new machinery which had been purchased during the previous year 2023-24 and put to use for less than 180 days in that year can be claimed in P.Y. 2024-25 being immediately succeeding previous year.

(ii) If Mr. Venus pays tax under default tax regime under section 115BAC

In this case, under the default tax regime as per section 115BAC, he would be entitled only for normal depreciation but not additional depreciation.

Computation of depreciation for A.Y. 2025-26			
	Particulars	Plant & Machinery (₹ in lakhs)	Computer (₹ in lakhs)
I.	Assets put to use for more than 180 days, eligible for 100% depreciation calculated applying the eligible rate of normal depreciation		
	<u>Normal Depreciation</u>		

	- WDV of plant and machinery (₹ 25.25 lakhs x 15%)	3.79	-
	- New Machinery purchased on 1.9.2024 (₹ 10 lakhs x 15%)	1.50	-
	(A)	5.29	-
II.	Assets put to use for less than 180 days, eligible for 50% depreciation calculated applying the eligible rate of normal depreciation		
	<u>Normal Depreciation</u>		
	New machinery purchased on 1.12.2024 [₹ 8 lakhs x 7.5% (i.e., 50% of 15%)]	0.60	-
	Computer purchased on 3.1.2023 [₹ 4 lakhs x 20% (50% of 40%)]	-	0.80
	(C)	0.60	0.80
	Total Depreciation (A+B+C)	5.89	0.80

Question 20

Mr. Abhimanyu is engaged in the business of generation and distribution of electric power. He opts to claim depreciation on written down value for income-tax purposes. From the following details, compute the depreciation allowable as per the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961 for the A.Y. 2025-26, assuming he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A):

	Particulars	(₹ in lakhs)
(i)	WDV of block as on 31.3.2024 (15% rate)	50.00
(ii)	Depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24	7.50
(iii)	New machinery purchased on 12-10-2024	10.00
(iv)	Machinery imported from Colombo on 12-4-2024. This machine had been used only in Colombo earlier and the assessee is the first user in India.	9.00
(v)	New computer installed in generation wing unit on 15-7-2024	2.00

All assets were purchased by A/c payee cheque.

Answer

Computation of depreciation under section 32 for A.Y.2025-26

Particulars	₹	₹
Normal Depreciation		
Depreciation@15% on ₹ 51,50,000, being machinery put to use for more than 180 days [WDV as on 31.3.2024 of ₹ 50,00,000 – Depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24 of ₹ 7,50,000+ Purchase cost of imported machinery of ₹ 9,00,000]	7,72,500	
Depreciation@7.5% on ₹ 10,00,000, being new machinery put to use for less than 180 days	75,000	
	8,47,500	
Depreciation@40% on computers purchased ₹ 2,00,000	80,000	9,27,500
Additional Depreciation (Refer Note below)		
Additional Depreciation@10% of ₹ 10,00,000 [being actual cost of new machinery purchased on 12-10-2024]	1,00,000	
Additional Depreciation@20% on new computer installed in generation wing of the unit [20% of ₹ 2,00,000]	40,000	1,40,000
Depreciation on Plant and Machinery		10,67,500

Note:-

Mr. Abhimanyu is eligible for additional depreciation since he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). The benefit of additional depreciation is available to new plant and machinery acquired and installed in power sector undertakings. Accordingly, additional depreciation is allowable in the case of any new machinery or plant acquired and installed by an assessee engaged, *inter alia*, in the business of generation, transmission or distribution of power, at the rate of 20% of the actual cost of such machinery or plant.

Therefore, new computer installed in generation wing units eligible for additional depreciation@20%.

Since the new machinery was purchased only on 12.10.2024, it was put to use for less than 180 days during the previous year, and hence, only 10%(i.e., 50% of 20%) is allowable as additional depreciation in the A.Y.2025-26. The balance additional depreciation would be allowed in the next year.

However, additional depreciation shall not be allowed in respect of, *inter alia*, any machinery or plant which, before its installation by the assessee, was used either within or outside India by any other person. Therefore, additional depreciation is not allowable in respect of imported machinery, since it was used in Colombo, before its installation by the assessee.

Examine with reasons, the allowability of the following expenses incurred by Mr. Manav, a wholesale dealer of commodities, under the Income-tax Act, 1961 while computing profit and gains from business or profession for the

A.Y. 2025-26 if he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A) -

- (i) Construction of school building in compliance with CSR activities amounting to ₹ 5,60,000.
- (ii) Purchase of building for the purpose of specified business of setting up and operating a warehousing facility for storage of food grains amounting to ₹ 4,50,000.
- (iii) Interest on loan paid to Mr. X (a resident) ₹ 50,000 on which tax has not been deducted. The sales for the P.Y. 2023-24 was ₹ 202 lakhs. Mr. X has not paid the tax, if any, on such interest.
- (iv) Commodities transaction tax paid ₹ 20,000 on sale of bullion.

Answer

Allowability of the expenses incurred by Mr. Manav, a wholesale dealer in commodities, while computing profits and gains from business or profession

(i) Construction of school building in compliance with CSR activities

Under section 37(1), only expenditure not being in the nature of capital expenditure or personal expense and not covered under sections 30 to 36, and incurred wholly and exclusively for the purposes of the business is allowed as a deduction while computing business income.

However, any expenditure incurred by an assessee on the activities relating to corporate social responsibility referred to in section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013 shall not be deemed to have been incurred for the purpose of business and hence, shall **not** be allowed as deduction under section 37. Accordingly, the amount of ₹ 5,60,000 incurred by Mr. Manav, towards construction of school building in compliance with CSR activities shall **not** be allowed as deduction under section 37.

(ii) Purchase of building for setting up and operating a warehousing facility for storage of food grains

Mr. Manav, would be eligible for investment-linked tax deduction under section 35AD, since he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). The deduction u/s 35AD would be 100% of ₹ 4,50,000, being the amount invested in purchase of building for setting up and operating a warehousing facility for storage of food grains which commences operation on or after 1st April, 2009 (P.Y.2024-25, in this case).

Therefore, the deduction under section 35AD while computing business income of such specified business would be ₹ 4,50,000, if Mr. Manav opts for section 35AD.

(iii) Interest on loan paid to Mr. X (a resident) ₹ 50,000 on which tax has not been deducted

As per section 194A, Mr. Manav, being an individual is required to deduct tax at source on the amount of interest on loan paid to Mr. X, since his turnover during the previous year 2023-24 exceeds ₹ 100 lakhs.

Therefore, ₹ 15,000, being 30% of ₹ 50,000, would be disallowed under section 40(a)(ia) while computing the business income of Mr. Manav for non-deduction of tax at source under section 194A on interest of ₹ 50,000 paid by it to Mr. X.

The balance ₹ 35,000 would be allowed as deduction under section 36(1)(iii), assuming that the amount

was borrowed for the purposes of business.

(iv) Commodities transaction tax of ₹ 20,000 paid on sale of bullion

Commodities transaction tax paid in respect of taxable commodities transactions entered into in the course of business during the previous year is allowable as deduction, provided the income arising from such taxable commodities transactions is included in the income computed under the head “Profits and gains of business or profession”.

Taking that income from this commodities transaction is included while computing the business income of Mr. Manav, the commodity transaction tax of ₹ 20,000 paid is allowable as deduction under section 36(1)(xvi).

Question 22

Examine with reasons, for the following sub-divisions, whether the following statements are true or false having regard to the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961:

- (i) For a dealer in shares and securities, securities transaction tax paid in a recognized stock exchange is permissible business expenditure.
- (ii) Where a person follows mercantile system of accounting, an expenditure of ₹ 25,000 has been allowed on accrual basis and in a later year, in respect of the said expenditure, assessee makes the payment of ₹ 25,000 through a crossed cheque, ₹ 25,000 can be the profits and gains of business under section 40A(3A) in the year of payment.
- (iii) It is mandatory to provide for depreciation under section 32 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, while computing income under the head “Profits and Gains from Business and Profession”.
- (iv) The mediclaim premium paid to GIC by Mr. Lomesh for his employees, by an account payee cheque on 27.12.2024 is a deductible expenditure under section 36.
- (v) Under section 35DDA, amortization of expenditure incurred under eligible Voluntary Retirement Scheme at the time of retirement alone, can be done.
- (vi) An individual engaged in trading activities and exercising the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A) can claim additional depreciation under section 32(1)(iia) in respect of new plant acquired and installed in the trading concern, where the increase in value of such plant as compared to the approved base year is more than 10%.

Answer

- (i) **True:** Section 36(1)(xv) allows a deduction of the amount of securities transaction tax paid by the assessee in respect of taxable securities transactions entered into in the course of business during the previous year as deduction from the business income of a dealer in shares and securities.
- (ii) **True:** As per section 40A(3A), in the case of an assessee following mercantile system of accounting, if an expenditure has been allowed as deduction in any previous year on due basis, and payment exceeding ₹ 10,000 has been made in the subsequent year otherwise than by an account payee cheque or an account payee bank draft or use of ECS through a bank account or through such other prescribed electronic modes such as credit card, debit card, net banking, IMPS, UPI, RTGS, NEFT, and BHIM Aadhar Pay, then, the payment so made shall be deemed to

be the income of the subsequent year in which such payment has been made.

- (iii) **True:** According to the *Explanation 5* to section 32(1), allowance of depreciation is mandatory. Therefore, depreciation has to be provided mandatorily while calculating income from business/ profession whether or not the assessee has claimed the same while computing his total income.
- (iv) **True:** Section 36(1)(ib) provides deduction in respect of premium paid by an employer to keep in force an insurance on the health of his employees under a scheme framed in this behalf by GIC or any other insurer. The medical insurance premium can be paid by any mode other than cash, to be eligible for deduction under section 36(1)(ib).
- (v) **False:** Expenditure incurred in making payment to the employee in connection with his voluntary retirement either in the year of retirement or in any subsequent year, will be entitled to deduction in 5 equal annual installments beginning from the year in which each payment is made to the employee.
- (vi) **False:** Additional depreciation can be claimed only in respect of eligible plant and machinery acquired and installed by an assessee engaged in the business of manufacture or production of any article or thing or in the business of generation or transmission or distribution of power.
- In this case, the individual is engaged in trading activities and the new plant has been acquired and installed in a trading concern. Hence, he will not be entitled to claim additional depreciation under section 32(1)(iia), even though he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Question 23

Examine, with reasons, the allowability of the following expenses under the Income-tax Act, 1961 while computing income from business or profession for the A.Y. 2025-26:

- (i) Provision made on the basis of actuarial valuation for payment of gratuity ₹ 5,00,000. However, no payment on account of gratuity was made before due date of filing return.
- (ii) Purchase of oil seeds of ₹ 50,000 in cash from a farmer on a banking day.
- (iii) Tax on non-monetary perquisite provided to an employee ₹ 20,000.
- (iv) Payment of ₹ 50,000 by using credit card for fire insurance.
- (v) Salary payment of ₹ 10,00,000 to Mr. X outside India by a company without deduction of tax assuming Mr. X has not paid tax on such salary income.
- (vi) Payment made in cash ₹ 30,000 to a transporter in a day for carriage of goods.

Answer

- (i) **Not allowable as deduction:** As per section 40A(7), no deduction is allowed in computing business income in respect of any provision made by the assessee in his books of account for the payment of gratuity to his employees except in the following two cases:

- (1) where any provision is made for the purpose of payment of sum by way of contribution towards an approved gratuity fund; or
- (2) where any provision is made for the purpose of making any payment on account of gratuity that has become payable during the previous year.

Therefore, in the present case, the provision made on the basis of actuarial valuation for payment of gratuity has to be disallowed under section 40A(7), since, no payment has been actually made on account of gratuity.

Note: It is assumed that such provision is not for the purpose of contribution towards an approved gratuity fund.

- (ii) **Allowable as deduction:** As per Rule 6DD, in case the payment is made for purchase of agricultural produce directly to the cultivator, grower or producer of such agricultural produce, no disallowance under section 40A(3) is attracted even though the cash payment for the expense exceeds ₹ 10,000. Therefore, in the given case, disallowance under section 40A(3) is not attracted since, cash payment for purchase of oil seeds is made directly to the farmer.
- (iii) **Not allowable as deduction:** Income-tax of ₹ 20,000 paid by the employer in respect of non-monetary perquisites provided to its employees is exempt in the hands of the employee under section 10(10CC).
As per section 40(a)(v), such income-tax paid by the employer is not deductible while computing business income.
- (iv) **Allowable as deduction:** Payment for fire insurance is allowable as deduction under section 36(1). Since payment is made by credit card, which is a prescribed electronic mode, disallowance under section 40A(3) is not attracted in this case.
- (v) **Not allowable as deduction:** Disallowance under section 40(a)(iii) is attracted in respect of salary payment of ₹ 10,00,000 outside India by a company without deduction of tax at source.
- (vi) **Allowable as deduction:** The limit for attracting disallowance under section 40A(3) for payment otherwise than by way of account payee cheque or account payee bank draft or use of ECS through a bank account or through such other prescribed electronic mode is ₹ 35,000 in case of payment made for plying, hiring or leasing goods carriage. Therefore, in the present case, disallowance under section 40A(3) is not attracted for payment of ₹ 30,000 made in cash to a transporter for carriage of goods.

Question 24

Examine with reasons, whether the following statements are true or false, with regard to the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961:

- (a) Payment made in respect of a business expenditure incurred on 16th February, 2025 for ₹ 25,000 through a crossed cheque is hit by the provisions of section 40A(3).
- (b) (i) It is a condition precedent to write off in the books of account, the amount due from debtor to claim deduction for bad debt.
(ii) Failure to deduct tax at source in accordance with the provisions of Chapter XVII-B, inter alia, from the amounts payable to a non-resident as rent or royalty, will result in disallowance while

computing the business income where the non-resident payee has not paid the tax due on such income.

Answer

- a) **True:** In order to escape the disallowance specified in section 40A(3), payment in respect of the business expenditure ought to have been made through an account payee cheque. Payment through a crossed cheque will attract disallowance under section 40A(3).
- b) (i) **True:** It is mandatory to write off the amount due from a debtor as not receivable in the books of account, in order to claim the same as bad debt under section 36(1)(vii). However, where the debt has been taken into account in computing the income of the assessee on the basis of ICDs notified under section 145(2), without recording the same in the accounts, then, such debt shall be allowed in the previous year in which such debt becomes irrecoverable and it shall be deemed that such debt or part thereof has been written off as irrecoverable in the accounts for the said purpose.
- (ii) **True:** Section 40(a)(i) provides that failure to deduct tax at source from, *inter alia*, rent or royalty payable to a non-resident, in accordance with the provisions of Chapter XVII-B, will result in disallowance of such expenditure, where the non-resident payee has not paid the tax due on such income.

Question 25

Mr. Sivam, a retail trader of Cochin gives the following Trading and Profit and Loss Account for the year ended 31st March, 2025:

Trading and Profit and Loss Account for the year ended 31.03.2025			
Particulars	₹	Particulars	₹
To Opening stock	90,000	By Sales	1,12,11,500
To Purchases	1,10,04,000	By Closing stock	1,86,100
To Gross Profit	3,03,600		-
	1,13,97,600		1,13,97,600
To Salary	60,000	By Gross profit b/d	3,03,600
To Rent and rates	36,000	By Income from UTI	2,400
To Interest on loan	15,000		
To Depreciation	1,05,000		
To Printing & stationery	23,200		
To Postage & telegram	1,640		
To Loss on sale of shares (Short-term)	8,100		
To Other general expenses	7,060		
To Net Profit	50,000		

3,06,000

3,06,000

Additional Information:

- (i) It was found that some stocks were omitted to be included in both the Opening and Closing Stock, the values of which were:

Opening stock	₹ 9,000
Closing stock	₹ 18,000

- (ii) Salary includes ₹ 10,000 paid to his brother, which is unreasonable to the extent of ₹ 2,000.

- (iii) The whole amount of printing and stationery was paid in cash by way of one-time payment to Mr. Ramesh.

- (iv) The depreciation provided in the Profit and Loss Account ₹ 1,05,000 was based on the following information:

The opening balance of plant and machinery (i.e., the written down value as on 31.3.2024 minus depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24) is ₹ 4,20,000. A new plant falling under the same block of depreciation was bought on 01.7.2024 for ₹ 70,000. Two old plants were sold on 1.10.2024 for ₹ 50,000.

- (v) Rent and rates includes GST liability of ₹ 3,400 paid on 7.4.2025.

- (vi) Other general expenses include ₹ 2,000 paid as donation to a Public Charitable Trust.

You are required to compute the profits and gains of Mr. Sivam under presumptive taxation u/s 44AD and profits and gains as per the regular provisions of the Act assuming he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). Assume that the whole of the amount of turnover received by account payee cheque or use of electronic clearing system through bank account during the previous year.

Answer:

Computation of business income of Mr. Sivam for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
Net Profit as per profit and loss account		50,000
<i>Add:</i> Inadmissible expenses/ losses		
Under valuation of closing stock	18,000	
Salary paid to brother – unreasonable [Section 40A(2)]	2,000	
Printing and stationery - whole amount of printing & stationery paid in cash would be disallowed, since such amount exceeds ₹ 10,000 [Section 40A(3)]	23,200	
Depreciation (considered separately)	1,05,000	
Short term capital loss on shares	8,100	

Donation to public charitable trust	2,000	1,58,300
		2,08,300
<i>Less:</i> Items to be deducted:		
Under valuation of opening stock	9,000	
Income from UTI [Chargeable under the head "Income from Other Sources"]	2,400	11,400
Business income before depreciation		1,96,900
<i>Less:</i> Depreciation (See Note 1)		66,000
		1,30,900

Computation of business income as per section 44AD:

As per section 44AD, where the amount of turnover is received, *inter alia*, by way of account payee cheque or use of electronic clearing system through bank account or through such other prescribed electronic modes, the presumptive business income would be 6% of turnover, i.e., ₹ 1,12,11,500 × 6 /100 = ₹ 6,72,690

Notes:

1. Calculation of depreciation

Particulars	₹
Opening balance of plant & machinery as on 1.4.2024 (i.e. WDV as on 31.3.2024 (-) depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24)	4,20,000
<i>Add:</i> Cost of new plant & machinery	70,000
	4,90,000
<i>Less:</i> Sale proceeds of assets sold	50,000
WDV of the block of plant & machinery as on 31.3.2025	4,40,000
Depreciation@15%	66,000
No additional depreciation is allowable as the assessee is not engaged in manufacture or production of any article.	

2. Since GST liability has been paid before the due date of filing return of income under section 139(1), the same is deductible.

Question 26

Mr. Sukhvinder is engaged in the business of plying goods carriages. On 1st April, 2024, he owns 10 trucks (out of which 6 are heavy goods vehicles, the gross vehicle weight of such goods vehicle is 15,000 kg each). On 2nd May, 2024, he sold one of the heavy goods vehicles and purchased a light goods vehicle on 6th May, 2024. This new vehicle could, however, be put to use only on 15th June, 2024.

Compute the total income of Mr. Sukhvinder for the A.Y. 2025-26, taking note of the following data:

Particulars	₹	₹
Freight charges collected		12,70,000
Less: Operational expenses	6,25,000	
Depreciation as per section 32	1,85,000	
Other office expenses	15,000	8,25,000
Net Profit		4,45,000
Other business and non-business income		70,000

Answer

Section 44AE would apply in the case of Mr. Sukhvinder since he is engaged in the business of plying goods carriages and owns not more than ten goods carriages at any time during the previous year.

Section 44AE provides for computation of business income of such assessee on a presumptive basis. The income shall be deemed to be ₹ 1,000 per ton of gross vehicle weight or unladen weight, as the case may be, per month or part of the month for each heavy goods vehicle and ₹ 7,500 per month or part of month for each goods carriage other than heavy goods vehicle, owned by the assessee in the previous year or such higher sum as declared by the assessee in his return of income.

Mr. Sukhvinder's business income calculated applying the provisions of section 44AE is ₹ 13,72,500 (See Notes 1 & 2 below) and his total income would be ₹ 14,42,500.

However, as per section 44AE(7), Mr. Sukhvinder may claim lower profits and gains if he keeps and maintains proper books of account as per section 44AA and gets the same audited and furnishes a report of such audit as required under section 44AB. If he does so, then his income for tax purposes from goods carriages would be ₹ 4,45,000 instead of ₹ 13,72,500 and his total income would be ₹ 5,15,000.

Notes:

Computation of total income of Mr. Sukhvinder for A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	Presumptive income ₹	Where books are maintained ₹
Income from business of plying goods carriages [See Note 2 Below]	13,72,500	4,45,000
Other business and non-business income	70,000	70,000
Total Income	14,42,500	5,15,000

Type of carriage	No. of months	Rate per ton per month/ per month	Ton	Amount ₹
(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)
<u>Heavy goods vehicle</u>				
1 goods carriage upto 1 st May	2	1,000	15 (15,000/1,000)	30,000

5 goods carriage held throughout the year	12	1,000	15 (15,000/1,000)	9,00,000
<u>Goods vehicle other than heavy goods vehicle</u>				
1 goods carriage from 6 th May	11	7,500	-	82,500
4 goods carriage held throughout the year	12	7,500	-	3,60,000
Total				13,72,500

Question 27

Mr. Raju, a manufacturer at Chennai, gives the following Manufacturing, Trading and Profit & Loss Account for the year ended 31.03.2025:

Manufacturing, Trading and Profit & Loss Account for the year ended 31.03.2025			
Particulars	₹	Particulars	₹
To Opening Stock	71,000	By Sales	2,32,00,000
To Purchase of Raw Materials	2,16,99,000	By Closing stock	2,00,000
To Manufacturing Wages & Expenses	5,70,000		
To Gross Profit	10,60,000		
	2,34,00,000		2,34,00,000
To Administrative charges	3,26,000	By Gross Profit	10,60,000
To SGST penalty	5,000	By Dividend from domestic companies	15,000
To GST paid	1,10,000	By Income from agriculture (net)	1,80,000
To General Expenses	54,000		
To Interest to Bank (On machinery term loan)	60,000		
To Depreciation	2,00,000		
To Net Profit	5,00,000		
	12,55,000		12,55,000

Following are the further information relating to the financial year 2024-25:

- Administrative charges include ₹ 46,000 paid as commission to brother of the assessee. The commission amount at the market rate is ₹ 36,000.
- The assessee paid ₹ 33,000 in cash to a transport carrier on 29.12.2024. This amount is included in manufacturing expenses. (Assume that the provisions relating to TDS are not applicable to this payment)
- A sum of ₹ 4,000 per month was paid as salary to a staff throughout the year and this has not been recorded in the books of account.

- (iv) Bank term loan interest actually paid upto 31.03.2025 was ₹ 20,000 and the balance was paid in November 2025.
- (v) Housing loan principal repaid during the year was ₹ 50,000 and it relates to residential property acquired by him in P.Y. 2023-24 for self-occupation. Interest on housing loan was ₹ 23,000. Housing loan was taken from Canara Bank. These amounts were not dealt with in the profit and loss account given above.
- (vi) Depreciation allowable under the Act is to be computed on the basis of following information:

Plant & Machinery (Depreciation rate@15%)	₹
WDV as on 31.03.2024 minus Depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24	11,90,000
Additions during the year (used for more than 180 days)	2,00,000
Total additions during the year	4,00,000

Compute the total income of Mr. Raju for the A.Y. 2025-26 assuming he pays tax under default tax regime. Ignore section 14A for disallowance of expenditures in respect of any exempt income.

Answer → Total Income = ₹ 3,03,500

Question 28

Mr. Tenzingh is engaged in composite business of growing and curing (further processing) coffee in Coorg, Karnataka. The whole of coffee grown in his plantation is cured. Relevant information pertaining to the year ended 31.3.2025 are given below:

Particulars	₹
Opening balance of car (only asset in the block) as on 1.4.2024 (i.e. WDV as on 31.3.2024 (-) depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24)	3,00,000
Opening balance of machinery as on 1.4.2024 (i.e., WDV as on 31.3.2024 (-) depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24)	15,00,000
Expenses incurred for growing coffee	3,10,000
Expenditure for curing coffee	3,00,000
Sale value of cured coffee	22,00,000

Besides being used for agricultural operations, the car is also used for personal use; disallowance for personal use may be taken at 20%. The expenses incurred for car running and maintenance are ₹ 50,000. The machines were used in coffee curing business operations.

Compute the income arising from the above activities for the A.Y. 2025-26.

Answer

Where an assessee is engaged in the composite business of growing and curing of coffee, the income will be segregated between agricultural income and business income, as per Rule 7B of the Income-tax Rules, 1962.

As per the above Rule, income derived from sale of coffee grown and cured by the seller in India shall be computed as if it were income derived from business, and 25% of such income shall be deemed to be

income liable to tax. The balance 75% will be treated as agricultural income.

Particulars	₹	₹
Sale value of cured coffee		22,00,000
<i>Less:</i> Expenses for growing coffee	3,10,000	
Car expenses (80% of ₹ 50,000)	40,000	
Depreciation on car (80% of 15% of ₹ 3,00,000)		
[See Computation below]	36,000	
Total cost of agricultural operations	3,86,000	
Expenditure on coffee curing operations	3,00,000	
<i>Add:</i> Depreciation on machinery (15% of ₹ 15,00,000)	2,25,000	
[See Computation below]		
Total cost of the curing operations	5,25,000	
Total cost of composite operations		9,11,000
Total profits from composite activities		12,89,000
Business income (25% of above)		3,22,250
Agricultural income (75% of above)		9,66,750

Computation of depreciation for P.Y. 2024-25		
Particulars	₹	₹
Car		
Opening balance as on 1.4.2024 (i.e., WDV as on 31.3.2024 (-) depreciation for P.Y.2023-24)		3,00,000
Depreciation thereon at 15%	45,000	
<i>Less:</i> Disallowance @20% for personal use	9,000	
Depreciation actually allowed		36,000
Machinery		
Opening balance as on 1.4.2024 (i.e., WDV as on 31.3.2024 (-) depreciation for P.Y.2023-24)		15,00,000
Depreciation @ 15% for P.Y. 2024-25		2,25,000

Explanation 7 to section 43(6) provides that in cases of 'composite income', for the purpose of computing written down value of assets acquired before the previous year, the total amount of depreciation shall be computed as if the entire composite income of the assessee (and not just 25%) is chargeable under the head "Profits and gains of business or profession". The depreciation so computed shall be deemed to have been "actually allowed" to the assessee.



PCBP

Question 1

A is the owner of a car. On 1-4-2024, he starts a business of purchase and sale of motor cars. He treats the above car as part of the stock-in-trade of his new business. He sells the same on 31-3-2025 and gets a profit of ₹ 1 lakh. Discuss the tax implication in his hands under the head “Capital gains”.

Answer

Since car is a personal asset, conversion or treatment of the same as the stock-in-trade of his business will not be trapped by the provisions of section 45(2). Hence, A is not liable to capital gains tax.

Question 2

X converts his capital asset (acquired on June 10, 2006 for ₹ 60,000) into stock-in-trade on March 10, 2024. The fair market value on the date of the above conversion was ₹ 5,50,000. He subsequently sells the stock-in-trade so converted for ₹ 6,00,000 on June 10, 2024. Discuss the year of chargeability of capital gain and business income.

Answer

Since the capital asset is converted into stock-in-trade during the previous year 2023-24 relevant to the A.Y. 2024-25, it will be a transfer u/s 2(47) during the P.Y. 2023-24. However, the profits or gains arising from the above conversion will be chargeable to tax during the A.Y. 2025-26, since the stock-in-trade has been sold only on June 10, 2024. For this purpose, the fair market value on the date of such conversion (i.e. 10th March, 2024) will be the full value of consideration for computation of capital gains. The capital gains would be computed by reducing the indexed cost of acquisition therefrom, since the transfer (i.e., conversion of capital asset into stock in trade) took place during the P.Y. 2023-24. The business income of ₹ 50,000 (i.e., ₹ 6,00,000 (-) ₹ 5,50,000, being the fair market value on the date of conversion) would also be taxable in the A.Y.2025-26. Thus, both capital gains and business income would be chargeable to tax in the A.Y.2025-26.

Question 3

In which of the following situations capital gains tax liability does not arise?

- (i) Mr. A purchased gold in 1970 for ₹ 25,000. In the P.Y. 2024-25, he gifted it to his son at the time of marriage. Fair market value (FMV) of the gold on the day the gift was made was ₹ 1,00,000.
- (ii) A house property is purchased by a Hindu undivided family in 1945 for ₹ 20,000. It is given to one of the family members in the P.Y. 2024-25 at the time of partition of the family. FMV on the date of partition was ₹ 12,00,000.
- (iii) Mr. B purchased 50 convertible debentures for ₹ 40,000 in 1995 which are converted into 500 shares worth ₹ 85,000 in November 2024 by the company.

Answer

We know that capital gains arises only when we transfer a capital asset. The liability of capital gains tax in the situations given above is discussed as follows:

- (i) As per the provisions of section 47(iii), gift of a capital asset by an individual is **not** regarded as transfer for the purpose of capital gains. Therefore, capital gains tax liability does not arise in the given situation.
- (ii) As per the provisions of section 47(i), distribution of a capital asset (being in kind) on the total or partial partition of Hindu undivided family is **not** regarded as transfer for the purpose of capital gains. Therefore, capital gains tax liability does not arise in the given situation.
- (iii) As per the provisions of section 47(x), conversion of bonds or debentures, debenture stock or deposit certificates in any form of a company into shares or debentures of that company is **not** regarded as transfer for the purpose of capital gains. Therefore, capital gains tax liability does not arise in the given situation.

Question 4

Mr. Abhishek a senior citizen, mortgaged his residential house with a bank, under a notified reverse mortgage scheme. He was getting loan from bank in monthly installments. Mr. Abhishek did not repay the loan on maturity and hence gave possession of the house to the bank, to discharge his loan. How will the treatment of long-term capital gain be on such reverse mortgage transaction?

Answer

Section 47(xvi) provides that any transfer of a capital asset in a transaction of reverse mortgage under a scheme made and notified by the Central Government shall not be considered as a transfer for the purpose of capital gain.

Accordingly, the mortgaging of residential house with bank by Mr. Abhishek will not be regarded as a transfer. Therefore, no capital gain will be charged on such transaction.

Further, section 10(43) provides that the amount received by the senior citizen as a loan, either in lump sum or in installment, in a transaction of reverse mortgage would be exempt from income-tax. Therefore, the monthly installment amounts received by Mr. Abhishek would not be taxable.

Question 5

Examine, with reasons, whether the following statements are True or False.

- (i) Alienation of a residential house in a transaction of reverse mortgage under a scheme made and notified by the Central Government is treated as "transfer" for the purpose of capital gains.
- (ii) Zero coupon bonds of eligible corporation, held for 14 months, will be long-term capital assets.
- (iii) Zero Coupon Bond means a bond on which no payment and benefits are received or receivable before maturity or redemption.

Answer

- (i) **False:** As per section 47(xvi), such alienation in a transaction of reverse mortgage under a scheme made and notified by the Central Government is not regarded as "transfer" for the purpose of capital

gains.

- (ii) **True:** Section 2(42A) defines the term 'short-term capital asset'. Under the proviso to section 2(42A), zero coupon bond held for not more than 12 months will be treated as a short-term capital asset. Consequently, such bonds held for more than 12 months will be a long-term capital asset.
- (iii) **True:** As per section 2(48), 'Zero Coupon Bond' means a bond issued by any infrastructure capital company or infrastructure capital fund or infrastructure debt fund or a public sector company, or Scheduled Bank on or after 1st June 2005, in respect of which no payment and benefit is received or receivable before maturity or redemption from such issuing entity and which the Central Government may notify in this behalf.

Question 6

Singhanian & Co., a sole proprietorship owns six machines, put in use for business in March, 2023. The depreciation on these machines is charged @15%. The opening balance of these machines after providing depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24 was ₹ 8,50,000. Three of the old machines were sold on 10th June, 2024 for ₹ 11,00,000. A second-hand plant was bought for ₹ 8,50,000 on 30th November, 2024.

You are required to:

- determine the claim of depreciation for Assessment Year 2025-26.
- compute the capital gains liable to tax for Assessment Year 2025-26.
- If Singhanian & Co. had sold the three machines in June, 2024 for ₹ 21,00,000, will there be any difference in your above workings? Explain.

Answer

(i) Computation of Depreciation

Particulars	₹
Opening balance of the block as on 1.4.2024 [i.e., W.D.V. as on 31.3.2024 after providing depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24]	8,50,000
Add: Purchase of second-hand plant during the year	8,50,000
	17,00,000
Less: Sale consideration of old machinery during the year	11,00,000
W.D.V of the block as on 31.03.2025	6,00,000

Since the value of the block as on 31.3.2025 comprises of a new asset which has been put to use for less than 180 days, depreciation is restricted to 50% of the prescribed percentage of 15% i.e. depreciation is restricted to 7½%. Therefore, the depreciation allowable for the year is ₹ 45,000, being 7½% of ₹ 6,00,000.

- (ii) The provisions under section 50 for computation of capital gains in the case of depreciable assets can be invoked only under the following circumstances:
- When one or some of the assets in the block are sold for consideration more than the value of the block.

- b. When all the assets are transferred for a consideration more than the value of the block.
- c. When all the assets are transferred for a consideration less than the value of the block.

Since in the first two cases, the sale consideration is more than the written down value of the block, the computation would result in short term capital gains. In the third case, since the written down value of the block exceeds the sale consideration, the resultant figure would be a short-term capital loss of the block. In the given case, capital gains will not arise as the block of asset continues to exist, and some of the assets are sold for a price which is lesser than the written down value of the block.

- (iii) If the three machines are sold in June, 2024 for ₹ 21,00,000, then short term capital gains would arise, since the sale consideration is more than the aggregate of the written down value of the block at the beginning of the year and the additions made during the year.

Particulars	₹	₹
Sale consideration		21,00,000
Less: Opening balance of the block as on 1.4.2024 [i.e., W.D.V. as on 31.3.2024 after providing depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24]	8,50,000	
Purchase of second plant during the year	8,50,000	17,00,000
Short term capital gains		4,00,000

Question 7

Mr. A is a proprietor of Akash Enterprises, having 2 units. He transferred on 1.4.2024 his Unit 1 by way of slump sale for a total consideration of ₹ 25 lakhs. The fair market value of capital assets of unit 1 on 1.4.2024 is ₹ 30 lakhs. Unit 1 was started in the year 2005-06. The expenses incurred for this transfer were ₹ 28,000. His Balance Sheet as on 31.3.2024 is as under:

Liabilities	Total (₹)	Assets	Unit 1(₹)	Unit 2 (₹)	Total (₹)
Own Capital	15,00,000	Land	12,00,000	2,00,000	14,00,000
Revaluation Reserve (for land of unit 1)	3,00,000	Machinery	3,00,000	1,00,000	4,00,000
Bank loan (70% for unit 1)	2,00,000	Debtors	1,00,000	40,000	1,40,000
Trade creditors (25% for unit 1)	1,50,000	Other assets	1,50,000	60,000	2,10,000
Total	21,50,000	Total	17,50,000	4,00,000	21,50,000

Other information:

- (i) Revaluation reserve is created by revising upward the value of the land of Unit 1.
- (ii) No individual value of any asset is considered in the transfer deed.
- (iii) Other assets of Unit 1 include patents acquired on 1.7.2022 for ₹ 50,000 on which no depreciation has been charged.
- (iv) The value of machinery represents the written down value as per the Income- tax Act, 1961.

Compute the capital gain for the assessment year 2025-26.

Answer

LTCG → 17,21,375

Question 8

Mr. Cee purchased a residential house on July 20, 2022 for ₹ 10,00,000 and made some additions to the house incurring ₹ 2,00,000 in August 2022. He sold the house property in April 2024 for ₹ 20,00,000. Out of the sale proceeds, he spent ₹ 5,00,000 to purchase another house property in September 2024.

What is the amount of capital gains taxable in the hands of Mr. Cee for the A.Y.2025-26?

Answer

The house is sold before 24 months from the date of purchase. Hence, the house is a short-term capital asset and no benefit of indexation would be available.

Particulars	₹
Sale consideration	20,00,000
Less: Cost of acquisition	10,00,000
Cost of improvement	2,00,000
Short-term capital gains	8,00,000

Note - The exemption of capital gains under section 54 is available only in case of long-term capital asset. As the house is short-term capital asset, Mr. Cee cannot claim exemption under section 54. Thus, the amount of taxable short-term capital gains is ₹ 8,00,000.

Question 9

Calculate the income-tax liability for the assessment year 2025-26 in the following cases:

	Mr. A (age 45)	Mrs. B (age 62)	Mr. C (age 81)	Mr. D (age 82)
Status	Non-resident	Non-resident	Resident	Non-resident
Total income other than long-term capital gain	2,40,000	3,10,000	5,90,000	4,80,000

Long-term capital gain [Assume transfer took place before 23.7.2024]	85,000 from sale of vacant site	10,000 from sale of listed equity shares (STT paid on sale and purchase of shares)	60,000 from sale of agricultural land in rural area	Nil
--	------------------------------------	---	--	-----

- (i) If Mr. A, Mrs. B, Mr. C and Mr. D pay tax under default tax regime u/s 115BAC.
(ii) If Mr. A, Mrs. B, Mr. C and Mr. D exercise the option to shift out of the default tax regime and pay tax under the optional tax regime as per the normal provisions of the Act.

Answer

Tax under Default Tax Regime				
Particulars	Mr. A (age 45)	Mrs. B (age 62)	Mr. C (age 81)	Mr. D (age 82)
Total tax liability	₹ 17,680	₹ 520	Nil	₹ 9,360
Tax under Optional Tax Regime				
Particulars	Mr. A (age 45)	Mrs. B (age 62)	Mr. C (age 81)	Mr. D (age 82)
Total tax liability	₹ 17,680	₹ 3,120	₹ 18,720	₹ 11,960

Question 10

Mr. Mithun purchased 100 equity shares of M/s Goodmoney Co. Ltd. on 01-04-2007 at rate of ₹ 1,000 per share in public issue of the company by paying securities transaction tax.

Company allotted bonus shares in the ratio of 1:1 on 01.12.2023. He has also received dividend of ₹ 10 per share on 01.05.2024.

He has sold all the shares on 01.10.2024 at the rate of ₹ 4,000 per share through a recognized stock exchange and paid brokerage of 1% and securities transaction tax of 0.02%.

Compute his total income and tax liability for A.Y. 2025-26 if Mr. Mithun pays tax under default tax regime, assuming that he is having other income of ₹ 8,00,000. Fair market value of shares of M/s Goodmoney Co. Ltd. on 31.1.2018 is ₹ 2,000.

Answer

Total Income → ₹ 13,94,000

Tax Liability → 1,23,010

Question 11

Mrs. Harshita purchased a land at a cost of ₹ 35 lakhs in the F.Y. 2004-05 and held the same as her capital asset till 20th March, 2024.

She started her real estate business on 21st March, 2024 and converted the said land into stock-in-trade of

her business on the said date, when the fair market value of the land was ₹ 210 lakhs.

She constructed 15 flats of equal size, quality and dimension. Cost of construction of each flat is ₹ 10 lakhs. Construction was completed in February, 2025. She sold 10 flats at ₹ 30 lakhs per flat in March, 2025. The remaining 5 flats were held in stock as on 31st March, 2025.

She invested ₹ 50 lakhs in bonds issued by National Highways Authority of India on 31st March, 2025 and another ₹ 50 lakhs in bonds of Rural Electrification Corporation Ltd. in April, 2025.

Compute the amount of chargeable capital gain and business income in the hands of Mrs. Harshita arising from the above transactions for A.Y. 2025-26 indicating clearly the reasons for treatment for each item. [Cost Inflation Index: F.Y. 2004-05: 113; F.Y. 2023-24: 348; F.Y. 2024-25: 363].

Answer

Particulars	₹
Business Income	
Sale price of flats [10 × ₹ 30 lakhs]	3,00,00,000
<i>Less:</i> Cost of flats	
Fair market value of land on the date of conversion [₹ 210 lacs × 2/3]	1,40,00,000
Cost of construction of flats [10 × ₹ 10 lakhs]	1,00,00,000
Business income chargeable to tax for A.Y.2025-26	60,00,000
Capital Gains	
Fair market value of land on the date of conversion deemed as the full value of consideration for the purposes of section 45(2)	2,10,00,000
<i>Less:</i> Indexed cost of acquisition [₹ 35,00,000 × 348/113]	1,07,78,761
	1,02,21,239
Proportionate capital gains arising during A.Y. 2025-26 [₹ 1,02,21,239 × 2/3]	68,14,159
<i>Less:</i> Exemption under section 54EC	50,00,000
Capital gains chargeable to tax for A.Y.2025-26	18,14,159

Notes:

- (1) The conversion of a capital asset into stock-in-trade is treated as a transfer under section 2(47). It would be treated as a transfer in the year in which the capital asset is converted into stock-in-trade (i.e., P.Y.2023-24, in this case).
- (2) As per section 45(2), the capital gains arising from the transfer by way of conversion of capital assets into stock-in-trade will be chargeable to tax only in the year in which the stock-in-trade is sold.

- (3) The indexation benefit for computing indexed cost of acquisition would, however, be available only up to the year of conversion of capital asset into stock-in-trade (i.e., P.Y.2023-24) and not up to the year of sale of stock-in-trade (i.e., P.Y.2024-25).
- (4) For the purpose of computing capital gains in such cases, the fair market value of the capital asset on the date on which it was converted into stock-in-trade shall be deemed to be the full value of consideration received or accruing as a result of the transfer of the capital asset.

In this case, since only 2/3rd of the stock-in-trade (10 flats out of 15 flats) is sold in the P.Y.2024-25, only proportionate capital gains (i.e., 2/3rd) would be chargeable to tax in the A.Y.2025-26.

- (5) On sale of such stock-in-trade, business income would arise. The business income chargeable to tax would be the difference between the price at which the stock-in-trade is sold and the fair market value on the date of conversion of the capital asset into stock-in-trade.
- (6) In case of conversion of capital asset into stock-in-trade and subsequent sale of stock-in-trade, the period of 6 months is to be reckoned from the date of sale of stock-in-trade for the purpose of exemption under section 54EC [*CBDT Circular No.791 dated 2.6.2000*]. In this case, since the investment in bonds of NHAI has been made within 6 months of sale of flats, the same qualifies for exemption under section 54EC. With respect to long-term capital gains arising on land or building or both in any financial year, the maximum deduction under section 54EC would be ₹ 50 lakhs, whether the investment in bonds of NHAI or RECL are made in the same financial year or next financial year or partly in the same financial year and partly in the next financial year.

Therefore, even though investment of ₹ 50 lakhs has been made in bonds of NHAI during the P.Y. 2024-25 and investment of ₹ 50 lakhs has been made in bonds of RECL during the P.Y. 2025-26, both within the stipulated six-month period, the maximum deduction allowable for A.Y. 2025-26, in respect of long-term capital gain arising on sale of long-term capital asset(s) during the P.Y. 2024-25, is only ₹ 50 lakhs.

Question 12

Mr. A is an individual carrying on business. His stock and machinery were damaged and destroyed in a fire accident which occurred in December 2024.

The value of stock lost (total damaged) was ₹ 6,50,000. Certain portion of the machinery could be salvaged. The opening balance of the block as on 1.4.2024 (i.e., WDV as on 31.3.2024 after providing depreciation for P.Y. 2023-24) was ₹ 10,80,000.

During the process of safeguarding machinery and in the fire-fighting operations, Mr. A lost his gold chain and a diamond ring, which he had purchased in April, 2005 for ₹ 1,20,000. The market value of these two items as on the date of fire accident was ₹ 1,80,000.

Mr. A received the following amounts from the insurance company:

- (i) Towards loss of stock ₹ 4,80,000
- (ii) Towards damage of machinery ₹ 6,00,000
- (iii) Towards gold chain and diamond ring ₹ 1,80,000

You are requested to briefly comment on the tax treatment of the above three items under the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

Answer

- (i) **Compensation towards loss of stock:** Any compensation received from the insurance company towards loss/damage to stock in trade is to be construed as a trading receipt. Hence, ₹ 4,80,000 received as insurance claim for loss of stock has to be assessed under the head “Profit and gains of business or profession”.

Note - The assessee can claim the value of stock destroyed by fire as revenue loss, eligible for deduction while computing income under the head “Profits and gains of business or profession”.

- (ii) **Compensation towards damage to machinery:** The question does not mention whether the salvaged machinery is taken over by the Insurance company or whether there was any replacement of machinery during the year. Assuming that the salvaged machinery is taken over by the Insurance company, and there was no fresh addition of machinery during the year, the block of machinery will cease to exist. Therefore, ₹ 4,80,000 being the excess of written down value (i.e. ₹ 10,80,000) over the insurance compensation (i.e. ₹ 6,00,000) will be assessable as a short-term capital loss.

Note – If new machinery is purchased in the next year, it will constitute the new block of machinery, on which depreciation can be claimed for that year.

- (iii) **Compensation towards loss of gold chain and diamond ring:** Gold chain and diamond ring are capital assets as envisaged by section 2(14). They are not “personal effects”, which alone are to be excluded. If any profit or gain arises in a previous year owing to receipt of insurance claim, the same shall be chargeable to tax as capital gains. The capital gains has to be computed by reducing the cost of acquisition of jewellery from the insurance compensation of ₹ 1,80,000.

Question 13

Mr. Sarthak entered into an agreement with Mr. Jaikumar to sell his residential house located at Kanpur on 16.08.2024 for ₹ 1,50,00,000. The sale proceeds were to be paid in the following manner:

- (i) 20% through account payee bank draft on the date of agreement.
- (ii) 60% on the date of the possession of the property.
- (iii) Balance after the completion of the registration of the title to the property.

Mr. Jaikumar was handed over the possession of the property on 15.12.2024 and the registration process was completed on 14.01.2025. He paid the sale proceeds as per the sale agreement.

The value determined by the Stamp Duty Authority-

- (a) on 16.08.2024 was ₹ 1,70,00,000;
- (b) on 15.12.2024 was ₹ 1,71,00,000; and

(c) on 14.01.2025 was ₹ 1,71,50,000.

Mr. Sarthak had acquired the residential house at Kanpur on 01.04.2001 for ₹ 30,00,000. After recovering the sale proceeds from Jaikumar, he purchased two residential house properties, one in Kanpur for ₹ 20,00,000 on 24.3.2025 and another in Delhi for ₹ 35,00,000 on 28.5.2025.

Compute the income chargeable under the head "Capital Gains" of Mr. Sarthak for the Assessment Year 2025-26.

Cost Inflation Index for Financial Year(s): 2001-02 - 100; 2024-25 - 363

Answer

Computation of "Capital Gains" of Mr. Sarthak for A.Y. 2025-26	
Particulars	₹
Capital Gains on sale of residential house	
Actual sale consideration	₹ 1,50,00,000
Value adopted by Stamp Valuation Authority on the date of agreement	₹ 1,70,00,000
[As per section 50C, where the actual sale consideration is less than the value adopted by the Stamp Valuation Authority for the purpose of charging stamp duty, and such stamp duty value exceeds 110% of the actual sale consideration, then, the value adopted by the Stamp Valuation Authority shall be taken to be the full value of consideration. In a case where the date of agreement is different from the date of registration, stamp duty value on the date of agreement can be considered provided the whole or part of the consideration is paid by way of account payee cheque/bank draft or by way of ECS through bank account or through such other electronic mode as may be prescribed, on or before the date of agreement. In this case, since 20% of ₹ 150 lakhs is paid through account payee bank draft on the date of agreement, stamp duty value on the date of agreement would be considered for determining the full value of consideration]	
Full value of sale consideration [Stamp duty value on the date of agreement, since it exceeds 110% of the actual sale consideration]	1,70,00,000
Less: Cost of acquisition of residential house	30,00,000
Long-term capital gains [Since the residential house property was held by Mr. Sarthak for more than 24 months immediately preceding the date of its transfer]	1,40,00,000
Less: Exemption u/s 54	55,00,000

Since, long-term capital gains does not exceed ₹ 2 crore, he would be eligible for exemption in respect of both the residential house properties purchased in India. The capital gain arising on transfer of a long-term residential property shall not be chargeable to tax to the extent such capital gain is invested in the purchase of these residential house properties in India within one year before or two years after the date of transfer of original asset. Thus, he would be eligible for exemption of ₹ 55,00,000 being ₹ 20,00,000 and

₹ 35,00,000 invested on acquisition of residential house property in Kanpur and Delhi, respectively.

Long term capital gains chargeable to tax

85,00,000

Note: It may be noted that since Sarthak has transferred residential house property on or after 23.7.2024 which was acquired before the said date, he can opt to pay tax @20% on LTCC (computed with indexation) or 12.5% on LTCC (computed without indexation) whichever is beneficial to him.

Question 14

Mrs. Yuvika bought a vacant land for ₹ 80 lakhs in May 2005. Registration and other expenses were 10% of the cost of land. She constructed a residential building on the said land for ₹ 100 lakhs during the financial year 2007-08.

She entered into an agreement for sale of the above said residential house with Mr. Johar (not a relative) in April 2015. The sale consideration was fixed at ₹ 700 lakhs and on 23-4-2015, Mrs. Yuvika received ₹ 20 lakhs as advance in cash by executing an agreement. However, due to failure on part of Mr. Johar, the said negotiation could not materialise and hence, the said amount of advance was forfeited by Mrs. Yuvika.

Mrs. Yuvika, again entered into an agreement on 01.05.2024 for sale of this house at ₹ 810 lakhs. She received ₹ 80 lakhs as advance by RTGS. The stamp duty value on the date of agreement was ₹ 890 lakhs. The sale deed was executed and registered on 14-07-2024 for the agreed consideration. However, the State stamp valuation authority had revised the values, hence, the value of property for stamp duty purposes was ₹ 900 lakhs. Mrs. Yuvika paid 1% as brokerage on sale consideration received.

Subsequent to sale, Mrs. Yuvika made following acquisition/investments:

- (i) Acquired two residential houses at Delhi and Chandigarh for ₹ 130 lakhs and ₹ 50 lakhs, respectively, on 31.1.2025 and 15.5.2025
- (ii) Acquired a residential house at UK for ₹ 180 lakhs on 23.3.2025.
- (iii) Subscribed to NHAI capital gains bond (approved under section 54EC) for ₹ 50 lakhs on 30-11-2024 and for ₹ 40 lakhs on 9-1-2025.

Compute the income chargeable under the head 'Capital Gains' of Mrs. Yuvika for A.Y.2025-26. The choice of exemption must be in the manner most beneficial to the assessee.

Cost Inflation Index: F.Y. 2005-06 – 117; F.Y. 2007-08 – 129; F.Y. 2024-25 - 363.

Answer

Computation of "Capital Gains" of Mrs. Yuvika for A.Y.2025-26		
Particulars	₹ (in lakhs)	₹ (in lakhs)
Capital Gains on sale of residential building		
Actual sale consideration ₹ 810 lakhs		
Value adopted by Stamp Valuation Authority ₹ 890 lakhs		
[Where the actual sale consideration is less than the value adopted by the Stamp Valuation Authority for the purpose of charging stamp duty, and such stamp duty value exceeds 110% of the actual sale consideration, then, the value adopted by the Stamp Valuation Authority shall be taken to be the full value of consideration as per section 50C. However, where the date of agreement is different from the date of registration, stamp duty value on the date of agreement can be considered provided the whole or part of the consideration is received by way of account payee cheque/bank draft or by way of ECS through bank account or through prescribed electronic modes on or before the date of agreement. In this case, since advance of ₹ 80 lakh is received by RTGS, i.e., one of the prescribed modes, stamp duty value on the date of agreement can be adopted as the full value of consideration. However, in the present case since stamp duty value on the date of agreement does not exceed 110% of the actual consideration, actual sale consideration would be taken as the full value of consideration] Gross Sale consideration (Actual consideration, since stamp duty value on the date of agreement does not exceed 110% of the actual consideration) Less: Brokerage @1% of sale consideration (1% of ₹ 810 lakhs) Net Sale consideration Less: Indexed cost of acquisition - Cost of vacant land, ₹ 80 lakhs, plus registration and other expenses i.e., ₹ 8 lakhs, being 10% of cost of land [₹ 88 lakhs × 363/117] - Construction cost of residential building (₹ 100 lakhs × 363/129) Long-term capital gains		810.00 8.10 801.90 273.03 281.40 554.43 247.47

Since the residential house property was held by Mrs. Yuvika for more than 24 months immediately preceding the date of its transfer, the resultant gain is a long-term capital gain]		
Less: Exemption under section 54 Where long-term capital gains exceed ₹ 2 crore, the capital gain arising on transfer of a long-term residential property shall not be chargeable to tax to the extent such capital gain is invested in the purchase of one residential house property in India, one year before or two years after the date of transfer of original asset. Therefore, in the present case, the exemption would be available only in respect of the one residential house acquired in India and not in respect of the residential house in UK. It would be more beneficial for her to claim the cost of acquisition of residential house at Delhi, i.e., ₹ 130 lakhs as exemption.		130.00
Less: Exemption under section 54EC Amount invested in capital gains bonds of NHAI within six months after the date of transfer (i.e., on or before 13.1.2025), of long-term capital asset, being land or building or both, would qualify for exemption, to the maximum extent of ₹ 50 lakhs, whether such investment is made in the current financial year or subsequent financial year. Therefore, in the present case, exemption can be availed only to the extent of ₹ 50 lakh out of ₹ 90 lakhs, even if the both the investments are made on or before 13.1.2025 (i.e., within six months after the date of transfer).		50.00
Long term capital gains chargeable to tax		67.47

Note: Advance of ₹ 20 lakhs received from Mr. Johar, would have been chargeable to tax under the head “Income from other sources”, in the A.Y. 2016-17, as per section 56(2)(ix), since the same was forfeited on or after 01.4.2014 as a result of failure of negotiation. Hence, the same should not be deducted while computing indexed cost of acquisition.

Question 15

Mr. Shiva purchased a house property on February 15, 1979 for ₹ 3,24,000. In addition, he has also paid stamp duty @10% on the stamp duty value of ₹ 3,50,000.

In April, 2008, Mr. Shiva entered into an agreement with Mr. Mohan for sale of such property for ₹ 14,35,000 and received an amount of ₹ 1,11,000 as advance. However, the sale consideration did not materialize and Mr. Shiva forfeited the advance. In May 2015, he again entered into an agreement for sale of said house for ₹ 20,25,000 to Ms. Deepshikha and received ₹ 1,51,000 as advance. However, as Ms. Deepshikha did not pay the balance amount, Mr. Shiva forfeited the advance. In August, 2015, Mr. Shiva constructed the first floor by incurring a cost of ₹ 3,90,000.

On November 15, 2024, Mr. Shiva entered into an agreement with Mr. Manish for sale of such house for ₹ 30,50,000 and received an amount of ₹ 1,50,000 as advance through an account payee cheque. Mr. Manish paid the balance entire sum and Mr. Shiva transferred the house to Mr. Manish on February 20, 2025. Mr. Shiva has paid the brokerage @1% of sale consideration to the broker.

On April 1, 2001, fair market value of the house property was ₹ 11,85,000 and Stamp duty value was ₹ 10,70,000. Further, the Valuation as per Stamp duty Authority of such house on 15th November, 2024 was ₹ 39,00,000 and on 20th February, 2025 was ₹ 41,00,000.

Compute the capital gains in the hands of Mr. Shiva for A.Y.2025-26. Also, compute the tax liability under section 112, assuming that the basic exemption limit has been fully exhausted against other income.

CII for F.Y. 2001-02: 100; F.Y. 2008-09: 137; F.Y. 2015-16: 254; F.Y. 2024-25: 363

Answer:

Computation of Capital gains in the hands of Mr. Shiva for A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	Amount (₹)	Amount (₹)
Actual sale consideration	30,50,000	
Valuation as per Stamp duty Authority on the date of agreement	39,00,000	
(Where the actual sale consideration is less than the value adopted by the Stamp Valuation Authority for the purpose of charging stamp duty, and such stamp duty value exceeds 110% of the actual sale consideration then, the value adopted by the Stamp Valuation Authority shall be taken to be the full value of consideration as per section 50C.		
However, where the date of agreement is different from the date of registration, stamp duty value on the date of agreement can be considered, provided the whole or part of the consideration is received by way of account payee cheque/bank draft or by way of ECS through bank account or such other electronic mode as may be prescribed on or before the date of agreement.		
In the present case, since part of the payment is made by account payee cheque on the date of agreement, the stamp duty value on the date of agreement would be considered as full value of consideration)		
Deemed Full value of consideration [Since stamp duty value on the date of agreement exceeds 110% of the actual consideration, stamp duty value would be deemed as Full Value of Consideration]		39,00,000



CAPITAL GAINS

Less: Expenses on transfer (Brokerage @1% of ₹ 30,50,000)		30,500
Net sale consideration		38,69,500
Less: Cost of acquisition (Note 1)	9,59,000	
Less: Cost of improvement	3,90,000	13,49,000
Long term capital gain		25,20,500

Computation of tax liability u/s 112	
Particulars	Amount (₹)
On LTCG of ₹ 25,20,500 × 12.5%	3,15,063
Add: Health and Education cess @4%	<u>12,603</u>
	<u>3,27,666</u>
On LTCG with indexation benefit	
Net Sale consideration	38,69,500
Less: Indexed cost of acquisition (₹ 9,59,000 × 363/100)	34,81,170
Less: Indexed cost of Improvement [₹ 3,90,000 × 363/254]	<u>5,57,362</u>
Long-term capital loss	<u>(1,69,032)</u>
Since the computation results in a long term capital loss, if indexation benefit is given, the tax u/s 112 would be Nil. However, this computation is only for determining tax liability, the said loss can neither be set-off nor carried forward.	

Notes:

1. Computation of cost of acquisition

Particulars	Amount (₹)	Amount (₹)
Cost of acquisition, Being the higher of		10,70,000
(i) lower of Fair market value i.e., ₹ 11,85,000 and Stamp duty value i.e., ₹ 10,70,000, on April 1, 2001	10,70,000	
(ii) Actual cost of acquisition (₹ 3,24,000 + ₹ 35,000, being stamp duty @10% of ₹ 3,50,000)	3,59,000	

Less: Advance money taken from Mr. Mohan and forfeited		1,11,000
Cost of acquisition		9,59,000

2. Where advance money has been received by the assessee, and retained by him, as a result of failure of the negotiations, section 51 will apply. The advance retained by the assessee will go to reduce the cost of acquisition. Accordingly, cost of acquisition after reducing the advance money forfeited would be ₹ 9,59,000 [i.e. ₹ 10,70,000 – ₹ 1,11,000 (being the advance money forfeited during the P.Y. 2008-09)]. However, where the advance money is forfeited during the previous year 2014-15 or thereafter, the amount forfeited would be taxable under the head “Income from Other Sources” and such amount will not be deducted from the cost of acquisition of such asset while calculating capital gains. Hence, ₹ 1,51,000, being the advance received from Ms. Deepshikha and retained by him, would have been taxable under the head “Income from other sources” in the hands of Mr. Shiva in A.Y.2016-17.

Question 1

Rahul, a resident Indian, holding 28% of equity shares in a company, took a loan of ₹ 5,00,000 from the same company. On the date of granting the loan, the company had accumulated profit of ₹ 4,00,000. The company is engaged in some manufacturing activity.

- (i) Is the amount of loan taxable as deemed dividend, if the company is a company in which the public are substantially interested?
- (ii) What would be your answer, if the lending company is a private limited company (i.e. which is not a company in which the public are substantially interested)?

Answer

Any payment by a company, other than a company in which the public are substantially interested, of any sum by way of advance or loan to an equity shareholder, being a person who is the beneficial owner of shares holding not less than 10% of the voting power, is deemed as dividend under section 2(22)(e), to the extent the company possesses accumulated profits.

- (i) The provisions of section 2(22)(e), however, will not apply where the loan is given by a company in which public are substantially interested. In such a case, the loan would not be taxable as deemed dividend.
- (ii) However, if the loan is taken from a private company (i.e., a company in which the public are not substantially interested), which is a not a company where lending of money is a substantial part of the business of the company, the provisions of section 2(22)(e) would be attracted. In this case, since the company is a manufacturing company and not a lending company and Rahul holds more than 10% of the equity shares in the company, the provisions of section 2(22)(e) would be attracted.

The amount chargeable as deemed dividend cannot, however, exceed the accumulated profits held by the company on the date of giving the loan. Therefore, the amount taxable as deemed dividend would be limited to the accumulated profit i.e., ₹ 4,00,000 and not the amount of loan which is ₹ 5,00,000.

Question 2

Mr. A, a dealer in shares, received the following without consideration during the P.Y. 2024-25 from his friend Mr. B, -

- (1) Cash gift of ₹ 75,000 on his anniversary, 15th April, 2024.
- (2) Bullion, the fair market value of which was ₹ 60,000, on his birthday, 19th June, 2024.
- (3) A plot of land at Faridabad on 1st July, 2024, the stamp value of which is ₹ 5 lakh on that date. Mr. B had purchased the land in April, 2009.

Mr. A purchased from his friend Mr. C, who is also a dealer in shares, 1000 shares of X Ltd. @ ₹ 400 each on 19th June, 2023, the fair market value of which was ₹ 600 each on that date. Mr. A sold these shares in the course of his business on 23rd June, 2024.

Further, on 1st November, 2024, Mr. A took possession of property (office building) booked by him two

years back at ₹ 20 lakh. The stamp duty value of the property as on 1st November, 2024 was ₹ 32 lakh and on the date of booking was ₹ 23 lakh. He had paid ₹ 1 lakh by account payee cheque as down payment on the date of booking.

On 1st March, 2025, he sold the plot of land at Faridabad for ₹ 7 lakh.

Compute the income of Mr. A chargeable under the head “Income from other sources” and “Capital Gains” for A.Y. 2025-26.

Answer

IFOS → ₹ 9,35,000

STCG → ₹ 2,00,000

Question 3

Discuss the taxability or otherwise of the following in the hands of the recipient under section 56(2)(x) the Income-tax Act, 1961 -

- (i) Akhil HUF received ₹ 75,000 in cash from niece of Akhil (i.e., daughter of Akhil’s sister). Akhil is the Karta of the HUF.
- (ii) Nitisha, a member of her father’s HUF, transferred a house property to the HUF without consideration. The stamp duty value of the house property is ₹ 9,00,000.
- (iii) Mr. Akshat received 100 shares of A Ltd. from his friend as a gift on occasion of his 25th marriage anniversary. The fair market value on that date was ₹ 100 per share. He also received jewellery worth ₹ 45,000 (FMV) from his nephew on the same day.
- (iv) Kishan HUF gifted a car to son of Karta for achieving good marks in XII board examination. The fair market value of the car is ₹ 5,25,000

Answer

	Taxable/ Non- taxable	Amount liable to tax (₹)	Reason
(i)	Taxable	75,000	Sum of money exceeding ₹ 50,000 received without consideration from a non-relative is taxable under section 56(2)(x). Daughter of Mr. Akhil’s sister is not a relative of Akhil HUF, since she is not a member of Akhil HUF.
(ii)	Non- taxable	Nil	Immovable property received without consideration by a HUF from its relative is not taxable under section 56(2)(x). Since Nitisha is a member of the HUF, she is a relative of the HUF. However, income from such asset would be included in the hands of Nitisha under 64(2).
(iii)	Taxable	55,000	As per provisions of section 56(2)(x), in case the aggregate fair market value of property, other than immovable property, received without consideration exceeds ₹ 50,000, the whole of the aggregate value shall be taxable. In this case, the aggregate fair market value of shares (₹ 10,000) and jewellery (₹ 45,000)



INCOME FROM OTHER SOURCES

			exceeds ₹ 50,000. Hence, the entire amount of ₹ 55,000 shall be taxable.
(iv)	Non-taxable	Nil	Car is not included in the definition of property for the purpose of section 56(2)(x), therefore, the same shall not be taxable.

Question 4

Mr. Hari, a property dealer, sold a building in the course of his business to his friend Mr. Rajesh, who is a dealer in automobile spare parts, for ₹ 90 lakh on 1.1.2025 when the stamp duty value was ₹ 150 lakh. The agreement was, however, entered into on 1.9.2024 when the stamp duty value was ₹ 140 lakh. Mr. Hari had received a down payment of ₹ 15 lakh by a crossed cheque from Rajesh on the date of agreement. Discuss the tax implications in the hands of Hari and Rajesh, assuming that Mr. Hari has purchased the building for ₹ 75 lakh on 12th July, 2023.

Would your answer be different if Hari was a share broker instead of a property dealer?

Answer

Case 1: Tax implications if Mr. Hari is a property dealer

In the hands of the seller, Mr. Hari	In the hands of the buyer, Mr. Rajesh
In the hands of Hari, the provisions of section 43CA would be attracted, since the building represents his stock-in-trade and he has transferred the same for a consideration less than the stamp duty value; and the stamp duty value exceeds 110% of consideration. Under section 43CA, the option to adopt the stamp duty value on the date of agreement can be exercised only if whole or part of the consideration has been received on or before the date of agreement by way of account payee cheque or draft or by use of ECS through a bank account or through credit card, debit card, net banking, IMPS (Immediate payment Service), UPI (Unified Payment Interface), RTGS (Real Time Gross Settlement), NEFT (National Electronic Funds Transfer), and BHIM (Bharat Interface for Money) Aadhar Pay on or before the date of agreement. In this case, since the down payment of ₹ 15 lakh is received on the date	Since Mr. Rajesh is a dealer in automobile spare parts, the building purchased would be a capital asset in his hands. The provisions of section 56(2)(x) would be attracted in the hands of Mr. Rajesh who has received immovable property, being a capital asset, for inadequate consideration and the difference between the consideration and stamp duty value exceeds ₹ 9,00,000, being the higher of ₹ 50,000 and 10% of consideration. Therefore, ₹ 60 lakh, being the difference between the stamp duty value of the property on the date of registration (i.e., ₹ 150 lakh) and the actual consideration (i.e., ₹ 90 lakh) would be taxable under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of Mr. Rajesh, since the payment on the date of agreement is made by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque/draft or ECS or through credit card, debit card, net banking, IMPS (Immediate payment Service), UPI (Unified Payment Interface), RTGS (Real Time Gross Settlement), NEFT (National Electronic Funds Transfer), and BHIM (Bharat Interface for

of agreement by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque, the option cannot be exercised.

Therefore, ₹ 75 lakh, being the difference between the stamp duty value on the date of transfer i.e., ₹ 150 lakh, and the purchase price i.e., ₹ 75 lakh, would be chargeable as **business income** in the hands of Mr. Hari, since stamp duty value exceeds **110% of the consideration**

Money) Aadhar Pay.

Case 2: Tax implications if Mr. Hari is a share broker

In the hands of the seller, Mr. Hari

In case Mr. Hari is a share broker and not a property dealer, the building would represent his capital asset and not stock-in-trade. In such a case, the provisions of section 50C would be attracted in the hands of Mr. Hari, since building is transferred for a consideration less than the stamp duty value; and the stamp duty value exceeds 110% of consideration.

Thus, ₹ 75 lakh, being the difference between the stamp duty value on the date of registration (i.e., ₹ 150 lakh) and the purchase price (i.e., ₹ 75 lakh) would be chargeable as short-term capital gains.

It may be noted that under section 50C, the option to adopt the stamp duty value on the date of agreement can be exercised only if whole or part of the consideration has been received on or before the date of agreement by way of account payee cheque or draft or by use of ECS through a bank account or through credit card, debit card, net banking, IMPS (Immediate payment Service), UPI (Unified Payment Interface), RTGS (Real Time Gross Settlement), NEFT (National Electronic Funds Transfer), and BHIM (Bharat Interface for Money) Aadhar Pay on or before the date of agreement. In this case, since the down payment of ₹ 15 lakhs has been received on the date of agreement by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque, the option cannot be exercised.

In the hands of the buyer, Mr. Rajesh

There would be no difference in the taxability in the hands of Mr. Rajesh, whether Mr. Hari is a property dealer or a stock broker. Therefore, the provisions of section 56(2)(x) would be attracted in the hands of Mr. Rajesh who has received immovable property, being a capital asset, for inadequate consideration and the difference between the consideration and stamp duty value exceeds ₹ 9,00,000, being the higher of ₹ 50,000 and 10% of consideration.

Therefore, ₹ 60 lakh, being the difference between the stamp duty value of the property on the date of registration (i.e., ₹ 150 lakh) and the actual consideration (i.e., ₹ 90 lakh) would be taxable under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of Mr. Rajesh, since the payment on the date of agreement is made by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque/draft or ECS or through credit card, debit card, net banking, IMPS (Immediate payment Service), UPI (Unified Payment Interface), RTGS (Real Time Gross Settlement), NEFT (National Electronic Funds Transfer), and BHIM (Bharat Interface for Money) Aadhar Pay.

Question 5

Compensation on account of disaster received from a local authority by an individual or his/her legal heir is taxable. Examine the correctness of the statement with reference to the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

Answer

The statement is **not** correct. As per section 10(10BC), any amount received or receivable as compensation by an individual or his/her legal heir on account of any disaster from the Central Government, State Government or a local authority is exempt from tax. However, the exemption is not available to the extent such individual or legal heir has already been allowed a deduction under this Act on account of such loss or damage caused by such disaster.

Question 6

Examine under which heads the following incomes are taxable:

- (i) Rental income in case property held as stock-in-trade for 3 years
- (ii) Salary received by a partner from his partnership firm
- (iii) Rental income of machinery
- (iv) Winnings from lotteries by a person having the same as business activity
- (v) Salaries received by a Member of Parliament
- (vi) Receipts without consideration
- (vii) In case of retirement, interest on employee's contribution if provident fund is unrecognized.
- (viii) Rental income in case of a person engaged in the business of letting out of commercial properties.

Answer

Head under which following incomes are taxable:		
	Particulars	Head of Income
(i)	Rental income in case property held as stock-in trade for 3 years	Income from house property
(ii)	Salary by partner from his partnership firm	Profits and gains of business or profession
(iii)	Rental income of machinery (See Note below)	Profits and gains of business or profession/Income from other sources
(iv)	Winnings from lotteries by a person having the same as business activity	Income from other sources
(v)	Salaries payable to a Member of Parliament	Income from other sources
(vi)	Receipts without consideration	Income from other sources
(vii)	In case of retirement, interest on employee's contribution if provident fund is unrecognized	Income from other sources
(viii)	Rental income in case of a person engaged	Profits and gains from business or

in the business of letting out of commercial properties	profession
---	------------

Note - As per section 56(2)(ii), rental income of machinery would be chargeable to tax under the head “Income from Other Sources”, if the same is not chargeable to income-tax under the head “Profits and gains of business or profession”.

Question 7

Examine whether the following are chargeable to tax and the amount liable to tax:

- (i) A sum of ₹ 1,20,000 was received as gift from non-relatives by Raj on the occasion of the marriage of his son Pravin.
- (ii) Interest on enhanced compensation of ₹ 96,000 received on 12-3-2025 for acquisition of urban land, of which 40% relates to P.Y.2023-24.

Answer

S. No.	Taxable/ Not Taxable	Answer Amount liable to tax (₹)	Reason
(i)	Taxable	1,20,000	The exemption from applicability of section 56(2)(x) would be available if, <i>inter alia</i> , gift is received from a relative or gift is received on the occasion of marriage of the individual himself. In this case, since gift is received by Mr. Raj from a non-relative on the occasion of marriage of his son, it would be taxable in his hands under section 56(2)(x).
(ii)	Taxable	48,000	As per section 145B(1), interest received by the assessee on enhanced compensation shall be deemed to be the income of the year in which it is received, irrespective of the method of accounting followed by the assessee. Interest of ₹ 96,000 on enhanced compensation is chargeable to tax in the year of receipt i.e. P.Y. 2024-25 under section 56(2)(viii) after providing deduction of 50% under section 57(iv). Therefore, ₹ 48,000 is chargeable to tax under the head “Income from other sources”.

Question 8

On 10.10.2024, Mr. Govind (a bank employee) received ₹ 5,00,000 towards interest on enhanced compensation from State Government in respect of compulsory acquisition of his land effected during the financial year 2016-17.

Out of this interest, ₹ 1,50,000 relates to the financial year 2017-18; ₹ 1,65,000 to the financial year 2018-19; and ₹ 1,85,000 to the financial year 2019-20. He incurred ₹ 50,000 by way of legal expenses to receive the interest on such enhanced compensation.

How much of interest on enhanced compensation would be chargeable to tax in the A.Y.2025-26?

Answer

Section 145B provides that interest received by the assessee on enhanced compensation shall be deemed to be the income of the assessee of the year in which it is received, irrespective of the method of accounting followed by the assessee and irrespective of the financial year to which it relates.

Section 56(2)(viii) states that such income shall be taxable as 'Income from other sources'.

50% of such income shall be allowed as deduction by virtue of section 57(iv) and no other deduction shall be permissible from such Income.

Therefore, legal expenses incurred to receive the interest on enhanced compensation would not be allowed as deduction from such income.

Particulars	₹
Interest on enhanced compensation taxable u/s 56(2)(viii)	5,00,000
Less: Deduction under section 57(iv) (50% x ₹ 5,00,000)	2,50,000
Taxable interest on enhanced compensation	2,50,000

Question 9

Examine the following transactions in the context of Income-tax Act, 1961:

- (i) Mr. B transferred 500 shares of R (P) Ltd. to M/s. B Co. (P) Ltd. on 10.10.2024 for ₹ 3,00,000 when the fair market value was ₹ 5,00,000. The indexed cost of acquisition of shares for Mr. B was computed at ₹ 4,45,000. The transfer was not subjected to securities transaction tax.

Determine the income chargeable to tax in the hands of Mr. B and M/s. B Co. (P) Ltd. because of the above said transaction.

- (ii) Mr. Chezian is employed in a company with taxable salary income of ₹ 5,00,000. He received a sum of ₹ 1,00,000 from Atma Charitable Trust (registered under section 12AB) by account payee cheque in December 2024 for meeting his medical expenses.

Is the sum of money so received from the trust chargeable to tax in the hands of Mr. Chezian?

Answer

1. Any movable property received for inadequate consideration by any person is chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x), if the difference between aggregate Fair Market Value of the property and consideration exceeds ₹ 50,000.

Thus, share received by M/s B. Co. (P) Ltd. from Mr. B for inadequate consideration is chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x) to the extent of ₹ 2,00,000.

As per section 50CA, since, the consideration is less than the fair market value of unquoted shares of R (P) Ltd., fair market value of shares of the company would be deemed to be the full value of consideration. It is presumed that the shares of R (P) Ltd are unquoted shares.

The full value of consideration (₹ 5,00,000) less the indexed cost of acquisition (₹ 4,45,000) would result in a long term capital gains of ₹ 55,000 in the hands of Mr. B.

2. The provisions of section 56(2)(x) would not apply to any sum of money or any property received from any trust or institution registered under section 12AB. Therefore, the sum of ₹ 1 lakh received from Atma Charitable Trust, being a trust registered under section 12AB, for meeting medical expenses would not be chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of Mr. Chezian.

Question 10

The following details have been furnished by Mrs. Hemali pertaining to the year ended 31.3.2025:

- (i) Cash gift of ₹ 51,000 received from her friend on the occasion of her “Shastiapha Poorthi”, a wedding function celebrated on her husband completing 60 years of age. This was also her 25th wedding anniversary.
- (ii) On the above occasion, a diamond necklace worth ₹ 2 lacs was presented by her sister living in Dubai.
- (iii) When she celebrated her daughter's wedding on 21.2.2025, her friend assigned in Mrs. Hemali's favour, a fixed deposit held by the said friend in a scheduled bank; the value of the fixed deposit and the accrued interest on the said date was ₹ 52,000.

Compute the income, if any, assessable as “Income from other sources” for A.Y.2025-26.

Answer

- (i) Any sum of money received by an individual on the occasion of the marriage of the individual is exempt. This provision is, however, not applicable to a cash gift received during a wedding function celebrated on completion of 60 years of age. The gift of ₹ 51,000 received from a non-relative is, therefore, chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of Mrs. Hemali, since the same exceeds ₹ 50,000.
- (ii) The provisions of section 56(2)(x) are not attracted in respect of any sum of money or property received from a relative. Thus, the gift of diamond necklace received from her sister, being a relative, is not taxable under section 56(2)(x), even though jewellery falls within the definition of “property”.
- (iii) To be exempt from applicability of section 56(2)(x), the property should be received on the occasion of the marriage of the individual, not that of the individual's son or daughter. Therefore, this exemption provision is not attracted in this case.

Question 1

Mr. A holds shares carrying 25% voting power in X (P) Ltd. Mrs. A is working as a computer software programmer in X (P) Ltd. at a salary of ₹ 30,000 p.m. She is, however, not qualified for the job. The other income of Mr. A & Mrs. A are ₹ 7,00,000 & ₹ 4,00,000, respectively. Compute the gross total income of Mr. A and Mrs. A for the A.Y.2025-26 if they are paying tax under default tax regime.

Will your answer be different if Mrs. A was qualified for the job?

Answer

Mr. A holds shares carrying 25% voting power in X (P) Ltd i.e., a substantial interest in the company. His wife is working in the same company without any professional qualifications for the same. Thus, by virtue of the clubbing provisions of the Act, the salary received by Mrs. A from X (P) Ltd. will be clubbed in the hands of Mr. A.

Computation of Gross total income of Mr. A		
Particulars	₹	₹
Salary received by Mrs. A (₹ 30,000 × 12)	3,60,000	
Less: Standard deduction under section 16(ia)	75,000	2,85,000
Other Income		7,00,000
Gross total income		9,85,000

The gross total income of Mrs. A is ₹ 4,00,000.

If Mrs. A possesses professional qualifications for the job, then the clubbing provisions shall not be applicable.

Gross total income of Mr. A = ₹ 7,00,000 [Other income].

Gross total income of Mrs. A = Salary received by Mrs. A [₹ 30,000×12] Less ₹ 75,000, being the standard deduction under section 16(ia) *plus* other income [₹ 4,00,000] = ₹ 6,85,000

Question 2

Mr. B holds shares carrying 30% voting power in Y (P) Ltd. Mrs. B is working as accountant in Y (P) Ltd. getting income under the head salary (computed) of ₹ 3,44,000 without any qualification in accountancy. Mr. B also receives ₹ 30,000 as interest on securities. Mrs. B owns a house property which she has let out. Rent received from tenants is ₹ 6,000 p.m. Compute the gross total income of Mr. B and Mrs. B for the A.Y.2025-26.

Answer

Since Mrs. B is not professionally qualified for the job, the clubbing provisions shall be applicable.

Computation of Gross total income of Mr. B	
Particulars	₹
Income under the head "Salary" of Mrs. B (Computed)	3,44,000
Income from other sources	
- Interest on securities	30,000
Gross total income	3,74,000

Computation of Gross total income of Mr. B		
Particulars	₹	₹
Income from Salary [Clubbed in the hands of Mr. B]		Nil
Income from house property		
Gross Annual Value [₹ 6,000 × 12]	72,000	
Less: Municipal taxes paid	-	
Net Annual Value (NAV)	72,000	
Less: Deductions under section 24		
- 30% of NAV i.e., 30% of ₹ 72,000	21,600	
- Interest on loan	-	50,400
Gross total income		50,400

Question 3

Mr. Vaibhav started a proprietary business on 01.04.2023 with a capital of ₹ 5,00,000. He incurred a loss of ₹ 2,00,000 during the year 2023-24. To overcome the financial position, his wife Mrs. Vaishaly, a software Engineer, gave a gift of ₹ 5,00,000 on 01.04.2024, which was immediately invested in the business by Mr. Vaibhav. He earned a profit of ₹ 4,00,000 during the year 2024-25. Compute the amount to be clubbed in the hands of Mrs. Vaishaly for the A.Y. 2025-26. If Mrs. Vaishaly gave the said amount as loan, what would be the amount to be clubbed?

Answer → Income to be clubbed = ₹ 2,50,000

Question 4

Mrs. Kasturi transferred her immovable property to ABC Co. Ltd. subject to a condition that out of the rental income, a sum of ₹ 36,000 per annum shall be utilized for the benefit of her son's wife. Mrs. Kasturi claims that the amount of ₹ 36,000 (utilized by her son's wife) should not be included in her total income as she no longer owned the property. Examine with reasons whether the contention of Mrs. Kasturi is valid in law.

Answer

The clubbing provisions under section 64(1)(viii) are attracted in case of transfer of any asset, directly or indirectly, otherwise than for adequate consideration, to any person to the extent to which the income from such asset is for the immediate or deferred benefit of son's wife. Such income shall be included in computing the total income of the transferor-individual.

Therefore, income of ₹ 36,000 meant for the benefit of daughter-in-law is chargeable to tax in the hands of transferor i.e., Mrs. Kasturi in this case.

The contention of Mrs. Kasturi is, hence, not valid in law.

In order to attract the clubbing provisions under section 64(1)(viii), the transfer should be otherwise than for adequate consideration. In this case, it is presumed that the transfer is otherwise than for adequate consideration and therefore, the clubbing provisions are attracted. Moreover, the provisions of section 56(2)(x) would also get attracted in the hands of ABC Co Ltd., if the conditions specified thereunder are satisfied.

Note – If the transfer was for adequate consideration, the provisions of section 64(1)(viii) would not be attracted.

Question 5

Mr. A has three minor children – two twin daughters, aged 12 years, and one son, aged 16 years. Income of the twin daughters is ₹ 2,000 p.a. each and that of the son is ₹ 1,200 p.a. Mrs. A has transferred her flat to her minor son on 1.4.2024 out of natural love and affection. The flat was let out on the same date and the rental income from the flat is ₹ 10,000 p.m. Compute the income, in respect of minor children, to be included in the hands of Mr. A and Mrs. A u/s 64(1A) (assuming that Mr. A's total income is higher than Mrs. A's total income, before including the income of minor children and both Mr. A and Mrs. A exercise the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A)).

Answer

Taxable income, in respect of minor children, in the hands of Mr. A is:

Particulars	₹	₹
Twin minor daughters [₹ 2,000 × 2]	4,000	
Less: Exempt under section 10(32) [₹ 1,500 × 2]	3,000	1,000
Minor son	1,200	
Less: Exempt under section 10(32)	1,200	Nil
Income to be clubbed in the hands of Mr. A		1,000

Note – As per section 27(i), Mrs. A is the deemed owner of house property transferred to her minor son. Natural love and affection do not constitute adequate consideration for this purpose. Accordingly, the income from house property of ₹ 84,000 [i.e., ₹ 1,20,000 (-) ₹ 36,000, being 30% of ₹ 1,20,000] would be taxable directly in her hands as the deemed owner of the said property. Consequently, clubbing provisions under section 64(1A) would not be attracted in respect of income from house property, owing to which exemption u/s 10(32) cannot be availed by her.

Question 6

Compute the gross total income of Mr. A & Mrs. A from the following information assuming both exercise the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A):

	Particulars	₹
(a)	Salary income (computed) of Mrs. A	2,30,000
(b)	Income from profession of Mr. A	3,90,000
(c)	Income of minor son B from company deposit	15,000
(d)	Income of minor daughter C from special talent	32,000
(e)	Interest from bank received by C on deposit made out of her special talent	3,000
(f)	Gift received by C on 30.09.2024 from friend of Mrs. A	2,500

Brief working is sufficient. Detailed computation under various heads of income is not required.

Answer

As per the provisions of section 64(1A) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, all the income of a minor child has to be clubbed in the hands of that parent whose total income (excluding the income of the minor) is greater. The income of Mr. A is ₹ 3,90,000 and income of Mrs. A is ₹ 2,30,000. Since the income of Mr. A is greater than that of Mrs. A, the income of the minor children have to be clubbed in the hands of Mr. A. It is assumed that this is the first year when clubbing provisions are attracted.

Income derived by a minor child from any activity involving application of his/her skill, talent, specialised knowledge and experience is not to be clubbed. Hence, the income of minor child C from exercise of special talent will not be clubbed.

However, interest from bank deposit has to be clubbed even when deposit is made out of income arising from application of special talent.

The Gross Total Income of Mrs. A is ₹ 2,30,000. The total income of Mr. A giving effect to the provisions of section 64(1A) is as follows:

Computation of gross total income of Mr. A for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
Income from profession		3,90,000
Income of minor son B from company deposit	15,000	
Less: Exemption under section 10(32)	1,500	13,500
Income of minor daughter C		
From special talent – not to be clubbed	-	
Interest from bank	3,000	
Gift of ₹ 2,500 received from a non-relative is not taxable under section 56(2)(x) being less than the aggregate limit of ₹ 50,000	Nil	

CLUBBING OF INCOME

	3,000	
Less : Exemption under section 10(32)	1,500	1,500
Gross Total Income		4,05,000

Question 7

Mr. Vasudevan gifted a sum of ₹ 6 lakhs to his brother's wife on 14-6-2024. On 12-7-2024, his brother gifted a sum of ₹ 5 lakhs to Mr. Vasudevan's wife. The gifted amounts were invested as fixed deposits in banks by Mrs. Vasudevan and wife of Mr. Vasudevan's brother on 01-8-2024 at 9% interest. Examine the consequences of the above under the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961 in the hands of Mr. Vasudevan and his brother.

Answer

In the given case, Mr. Vasudevan gifted a sum of ₹ 6 lakhs to his brother's wife on 14.06.2024 and simultaneously, his brother gifted a sum of ₹ 5 lakhs to Mr. Vasudevan's wife on 12.07.2024. The gifted amounts were invested as fixed deposits in banks by Mrs. Vasudevan and his brother's wife. These transfers are in the nature of cross transfers. Accordingly, the income from the assets transferred would be assessed in the hands of the deemed transferor because the transfers are so intimately connected to form part of a single transaction and each transfer constitutes consideration for the other by being mutual or otherwise.

If two transactions are inter-connected and are part of the same transaction in such a way that it can be said that the circuitous method was adopted as a device to evade tax, the implication of clubbing provisions would be attracted. It was so held by the Apex Court in *CIT vs. Keshavji Morarji (1967) 66 ITR 142*.

Accordingly, the interest income arising to Mrs. Vasudevan in the form of interest on fixed deposits would be included in the total income of Mr. Vasudevan and interest income arising in the hands of his brother's wife would be taxable in the hands of Mr. Vasudevan's brother as per section 64(1), to the extent of amount of cross transfers i.e., ₹ 5 lakhs.

This is because both Mr. Vasudevan and his brother are the indirect transferors of the income to their respective spouses with an intention to reduce their burden of taxation.

However, the interest income earned by his spouse on fixed deposit of ₹ 5 lakhs alone would be included in the hands of Mr. Vasudevan's brother and not the interest income on the entire fixed deposit of ₹ 6 lakhs, since the cross transfer is only to the extent of ₹ 5 lakhs.

Question 8

Mr. Sharma has four minor children - 2 daughters and 2 sons. The annual income of 2 daughters were ₹ 9,000 and ₹ 4,500 and of sons were ₹ 6,200 and ₹ 4,300, respectively. The daughter who has income of ₹ 4,500 was suffering from a disability specified under section 80U.

Compute the amount of income earned by minor children to be clubbed in hands of Mr. Sharma assuming he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

As per section 64(1A), in computing the total income of an individual, all such income accruing or arising to a minor child shall be included. However, income of a minor child suffering from disability specified under section 80U would not be included in the income of the parent but would be taxable in the hands of the minor child. Therefore, in this case, the income of daughter suffering from disability specified under section 80U should not be clubbed with the income of Mr. Sharma.

Under section 10(32), income of each minor child includible in the hands of the parent under section 64(1A) would be exempt to the extent of the actual income or ₹ 1,500, whichever is lower. Mr. Sharma would be eligible for exemption u/s 10(32) since he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). The remaining income would be included in the hands of the parent.

Computation of income of minor children to be clubbed with the income of Mr. Sharma		
	Particulars	₹
(i)	Income of one daughter	9,000
	Less: Income exempt under section 10(32)	1,500
	Total (A)	7,500
(ii)	Income of two sons (₹ 6,200 + ₹ 4,300)	10,500
	Less: Income exempt under section 10(32)	
	(₹ 1,500 + ₹ 1,500)	3,000
	Total (B)	7,500
	Total Income to be clubbed as per section 64(1A) (A+B)	15,000

Note: It has been assumed that:

- (1) The income does not accrue or arise to the minor children on account of any manual work done by them or activity involving application of their skill, talent or specialized knowledge and experience;
- (2) The income of Mr. Sharma, before including the minor children's income, is greater than the income of Mrs. Sharma, due to which the income of the minor children would be included in his hands; and
- (3) This is the first year in which clubbing provisions are attracted.

Question 9

During the previous year 2024-25, the following transactions occurred in respect of Mr. A.

- (a) Mr. A had a fixed deposit of ₹ 5,00,000 in Bank of India. He instructed the bank to credit the interest on the deposit @ 9% p.a. from 1-4-2024 to 31-3-2025 to the savings bank account of Mr. B, son of his brother, to help him in his education.
- (b) Mr. A holds 75% profit share in a partnership firm. Mrs. A received a commission of ₹ 25,000 from the firm for promoting the sales of the firm. Mrs. A possesses no technical or professional qualification.
- (c) Mr. A gifted a flat to Mrs. A on April 1, 2024. During the previous year 2024-25, Mrs. A's "Income from house property" (computed) was ₹ 52,000 from such flat.
- (d) Mr. A gifted ₹ 2,00,000 to his minor son who invested the same in a business and he derived income

CLUBBING OF INCOME

of ₹ 20,000 from the investment.

- (e) Mr. A's minor son derived an income of ₹ 20,000 through a business activity involving application of his skill and talent.

During the year, Mr. A got a monthly pension of ₹ 10,000. He had no other income. Mrs. A received salary of ₹ 20,000 per month from a part time job.

Examine the tax implications of each transaction and compute the total income of Mr. A, Mrs. A and their minor child assuming that they exercise the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer:

Particulars	₹
Income from retail trade – as per books (See Note 1 below)	7,50,000
Income from plying of vehicles – as per books (See Note 2 below)	3,20,000
	10,70,000
Less: Set off of b/f depreciation relating to A.Y. 2023-24	1,00,000
Total income	9,70,000
Tax liability	1,06,500
Add: Health and Education cess@4%	4,260
Total tax liability	1,10,760

Note:

- Income from retail trade:** Presumptive business income under section 44AD is ₹ 8,41,340 i.e., 8% of ₹ 13,57,000, being 10% of the turnover received in cash and 6% of ₹ 1,22,13,000, being the amount of sales turnover received through A/c payee cheque. However, the income computed as per books is ₹ 7,50,000 which is to be further reduced by the amount of unabsorbed depreciation of ₹ 1,00,000. Since the income computed as per books is lower than the income deemed under section 44AD, the assessee can adopt the income as per books.

However, if he does not declare profits as per presumptive taxation under section 44AD, he has to get his books of accounts audited under section 44AB, since his turnover exceeds ₹ 1 crore (the enhanced limit of ₹ 10 crore would not be available, since more than 5% of the turnover is received in cash). Also, his case would be falling under section 44AD(4) and hence, tax audit is mandatory. It may further be noted that he cannot declare income under presumptive provisions under section 44AD for next five assessment years, if he does not declared profits as per presumptive provisions under section 44AD this year.

- Income from plying of light goods vehicles: Income calculated under section 44AE(1) would be ₹ 7,500 × 12 × 5 which is equal to ₹ 4,50,000. However, the income from plying of vehicles as per books is ₹ 3,20,000, which is lower than the presumptive income of ₹ 4,50,000 calculated as per section 44AE(1). Hence, the assessee can adopt the income as per books i.e. ₹ 3,20,000, provided he maintains books of account as per section 44AA and gets his accounts audited and furnishes an

audit report as required under section 44AB.

It is to be further noted that in both the above cases, if income is declared under presumptive provisions, all deductions under sections 30 to 38, including depreciation would have been deemed to have been given full effect to and no further deduction under those sections would be allowable.

If income is declared as per presumptive provisions, his total income would be as under:

Particulars	₹
Income from retail trade under section 44AD [₹ 13,57,000@ 8% plus ₹ 1,22,13,000 @6%]	8,41,340
Income from plying of light goods vehicles under section 44AE [₹ 7,500 × 12 × 5]	4,50,000
	12,91,340
<i>Less:</i> Set off of brought forward depreciation – not possible as it is deemed that it has been allowed and set off	Nil
Total income	12,91,340
Tax thereon	1,99,902
<i>Add :</i> Health and Education cess @4%	7,996
Total tax liability	2,07,898
Total tax liability (rounded off)	2,07,900

Question 10

Mr. A has gifted a house property valued at ₹ 50 lakhs to his wife, Mrs. B, who in turn has gifted the same to Mrs. C, their daughter-in-law. The house was let out at ₹ 25,000 per month throughout the year. Compute the total income of Mr. A and Mrs. C.

Will your answer be different if the said property was gifted to his son, husband of Mrs. C?

Answer

As per section 27(i), an individual who transfers otherwise than for adequate consideration any house property to his spouse, not being a transfer in connection with an agreement to live apart, shall be deemed to be the owner of the house property so transferred.

Therefore, in this case, Mr. A would be the deemed owner of the house property transferred to his wife Mrs. B without consideration.

As per section 64(1)(vi), income arising to the son's wife from assets transferred, directly or indirectly, to her by an individual otherwise than for adequate consideration would be included in the total income of such individual.

Income from let-out property is ₹ 2,10,000 [i.e., ₹ 3,00,000, being the actual rent calculated at ₹ 25,000 per month *less* ₹ 90,000, being deduction under section 24@30% of ₹ 3,00,000]

In this case, income of ₹ 2,10,000 from let-out property arising to Mrs. C, being Mr. A's son's wife, would be included in the income of Mr. A, applying the provisions of section 27(i) and section 64(1)(vi). Such

income would, therefore, not be taxable in the hands of Mrs. C.

In case the property was gifted to Mr. A's son, the clubbing provisions under section 64 would not apply, since the son is not a minor child. Therefore, the income of ₹ 2,10,000 from letting out of property gifted to the son would be taxable in the hands of the son.

It may be noted that the provisions of section 56(2)(x) would not be attracted in the hands of the recipient of house property, since the receipt of property in each case was from a "relative" of such individual. Therefore, the stamp duty value of house property would not be chargeable to tax in the hands of the recipient of immovable property, even though the house property was received by her or him without consideration.

Question 11

A proprietary business was started by Smt. Rani in the year 2022. As on 1.4.2023 her capital in business was ₹ 3,00,000.

Her husband gifted ₹ 2,00,000 on 10.4.2023 to her and such sum is invested by Smt. Rani in her business on the same date. Smt. Rani earned profits from her proprietary business for the Financial Year 2023-24, ₹ 1,50,000 and Financial Year 2024-25 ₹ 3,90,000. Compute the income, to be clubbed in the hands of Rani's husband for the Assessment year 2025-26 with reasons.

Answer → 1,20,000

Question 12

Mr. B is the Karta of a HUF, whose members derive income as given below:

	Particulars	₹
(i)	Income from B's profession	45,000
(ii)	Mrs. B's salary as fashion designer	76,000
(iii)	Minor son D (interest on fixed deposits with a bank which were gifted to him by his uncle)	10,000
(iv)	Minor daughter P's earnings from sports	95,000
(v)	D's winnings from lottery (gross)	1,95,000

Examine the tax implications in the hands of Mr. and Mrs. B.

Answer

Clubbing of income and other tax implications

As per the provisions of section 64(1A), in case the marriage of the parents subsist, the income of a minor child shall be clubbed in the hands of the parent whose total income, excluding the income of the minor child to be clubbed, is greater. In this problem, it has been assumed that the marriage of Mr. B and Mrs. B subsists.

Further, in case the income arises to the minor child on account of any manual work done by the child or as a result of any activity involving application of skill, talent, specialized knowledge or experience of the child, then, the same shall not be clubbed in the hands of the parent.

Tax implications

- (i) Income of ₹ 45,000 from Mr. B's profession shall be taxable in the hands of Mr. B under the head "Profits and gains of business or profession".
- (ii) Salary of ₹ 1,000 (₹ 76,000 /less standard deduction under section 16(ia) of ₹ 75,000) shall be taxable as "Salaries" in the hands of Mrs. B.

However, if Mrs. B exercises the option of shifting out of default tax regime, salary of ₹ 26,000 (₹ 76,000 /less standard deduction under section 16(ia) of ₹ 50,000) shall be taxable as "Salaries".

- (iii) Income from fixed deposit of ₹ 10,000 arising to the minor son D, shall be clubbed in the hands of the father, Mr. B as "Income from other sources", since Mr. B's income is greater than income of Mrs. B before including the income of the minor child.

As per section 10(32), income of a minor child which is includible in the income of the parent shall be exempt to the extent of ₹ 1,500 per child if such parent exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). The balance income would be clubbed in the hands of the parent as "Income from other sources".

- (iv) Income of ₹ 95,000 arising to the minor daughter P from sports shall not be included in the hands of the parent, since such income has arisen to the minor daughter on account of an activity involving application of her skill.
- (v) Income of ₹ 1,95,000 arising to minor son D from lottery shall be included in the hands of Mr. B as "Income from other sources", since Mr. B's income is greater than the income of Mrs. B before including the income of minor child.

Note – Mr. B can reduce the tax deducted at source from such lottery income while computing his net tax liability.

Question 1

Mr. A, aged 35 years, submits the following particulars pertaining to the A.Y.2025-26:

Particulars	₹
Income from salary (computed)	4,00,000
Loss from let-out property	(-) 2,20,000
Business loss	(-)1,00,000
Bank interest (FD) received	80,000

Compute the total income of Mr. A for the A.Y.2025-26, assuming that

- He has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).
- He pays tax under the default tax regime.

Answer

Computation of total income of Mr. A for the A.Y.2025-26 under OTR		
Particulars	Amount (₹)	Amount (₹)
Income from salary	4,00,000	
<i>Less:</i> Loss from house property of ₹ 2,20,000 to be restricted to ₹ 2 lakhs by virtue of section 71(3A)	(-) 2,00,000	2,00,000
Balance loss of ₹ 20,000 from house property to be carried forward to next assessment year		
Income from other sources (interest on fixed deposit with bank)	80,000	
<i>Less:</i> Business loss of ₹ 1,00,000 set-off to the extent of ₹ 80,000	(-) 80,000	-
Business loss of ₹ 20,000 to be carried forward for set-off against business income of the next assessment year		
Gross total income [See Note below]		2,00,000
<i>Less:</i> Deduction under Chapter VI-A		Nil
Total income		2,00,000

Notes:

- Gross Total Income includes salary income of ₹ 2,00,000 after adjusting loss of ₹ 2,00,000 from house property. The balance loss of ₹ 20,000 from house property to be carried forward to next assessment year for set-off against income from house property of that year.
- Business loss of ₹ 1,00,000 is set off against bank interest of ₹ 80,000 and remaining business loss of ₹ 20,000 will be carried forward as it cannot be set off against salary income.

Computation of total income of Mr. A for the A.Y.2025-26 under OTR		
Particulars	Amount (₹)	Amount (₹)
Income from salary		4,00,000
Income from other sources (interest on fixed deposit with bank)	80,000	
Less: Business loss of ₹ 1,00,000 set-off to the extent of ₹ 80,000	(-) 80,000	-
Business loss of ₹ 20,000 to be carried forward for set-off against business income of the next assessment year		
Gross total income/ Total Income		4,00,000

Notes:

- (iii) Under the default tax regime, loss from house property of ₹ 2,20,000 cannot be set off against income under any other head and cannot be carried forward to next assessment year.
- (iv) Business loss of ₹ 1,00,000 is set off against bank interest of ₹ 80,000 and remaining business loss of ₹ 20,000 will be carried forward as it cannot be set off against salary income.

Question 2

Mr. B, a resident individual, furnishes the following particulars for the P.Y.2024-25:

Particulars	₹
Income from salary (computed)	45,000
Income from house property	(24,000)
Income from non-speculative business	(22,000)
Income from speculative business	(4,000)
Short-term capital losses	(25,000)
Long-term capital gains taxable u/s 112	19,000

What is the total income chargeable to tax for the A.Y.2025-26, assuming that he pays tax under section 115BAC?

Answer

Total income of Mr. B for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	Amount (₹)	Amount (₹)
Income from salaries		45,000
Income from house property		



SET OFF & C/F OF LOSSES

Loss from house property can neither be set-off nor can be carried forward, since Mr. B is paying tax under the default tax regime u/s 115BAC	Nil	
Profits and gains of business and profession		
Business loss to be carried forward [Note (i)]	(22,000)	
Speculative loss to be carried forward [Note (ii)]	(4,000)	
Capital Gains		
Long term capital gain taxable u/s 112	19,000	
Short term capital loss ₹ 25,000 set off against long-term capital gains to the extent of ₹ 19,000 [Note (iii)]	(19,000)	
	Nil	
Balance short term capital loss of ₹ 6,000 to be carried forward [Note (iii)]		
Taxable income		45,000

Notes:

- Business loss cannot be set-off against salary income. Therefore, loss of ₹ 22,000 from the non-speculative business cannot be set off against the income from salaries. Hence, such loss has to be carried forward to the next year for set-off against business profits, if any.
- Loss of ₹ 4,000 from the speculative business can be set off only against the income from the speculative business. Hence, such loss has to be carried forward.
- Short term capital loss can be set off against both short term capital gain and long-term capital gain. Therefore, short-term capital loss of ₹ 25,000 can be set-off against long-term capital gains to the extent of ₹ 19,000. The balance short term capital loss of ₹ 6,000 cannot be set-off against any other income and has to be carried forward to the next year for set-off against capital gains, if any.

Question 3

During the P.Y. 2024-25, Mr. C has the following income and the brought forward losses:

Particulars	₹
Short term capital gains on sale of shares	1,50,000
Long term capital loss of A.Y.2023-24	(96,000)
Short term capital loss of A.Y.2024-25	(37,000)
Long term capital gain u/s 112	75,000

What is the capital gain taxable in the hands of Mr. C for the A.Y.2025-26?

Answer

Taxable capital gains of Mr. C for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
Short term capital gains on sale of shares	1,50,000	
Less: Brought forward short-term capital loss of the A.Y.2024-25	(37,000)	1,13,000
Long term capital gain	75,000	
Less: Brought forward long-term capital loss of A.Y.2023-24 ₹ 96,000 set off to the extent of ₹ 75,000 [See Note below]	(75,000)	Nil
Taxable short-term capital gains		1,13,000

Note: Long-term capital loss cannot be set off against short-term capital gain. Hence, the unadjusted long-term capital loss of A.Y.2023-24 of ₹ 21,000 (i.e. ₹ 96,000 – ₹ 75,000) can be carried forward to the next year to be set-off against long-term capital gains of that year.

Question 4

Mr. D has the following income for the P.Y.2024-25:

Particulars	₹
Income from the activity of owning and maintaining the race horses	75,000
Income from textile business	85,000
Brought forward textile business loss (relating to A.Y. 2024-25)	50,000
Brought forward loss from the activity of owning and maintaining the race horses (relating to A.Y.2022-23)	96,000

What is the total income in the hands of Mr. D for the A.Y. 2025-26?

Answer

Total income of Mr. D for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
Income from the activity of owning and maintaining race horses	75,000	
Less: Brought forward loss of ₹ 96,000 from the activity of owning and maintaining race horses set-off to the extent of ₹ 75,000	75,000	
	Nil	
Balance loss of ₹ 21,000 (₹ 96,000 – ₹ 75,000) from the activity of owning and maintaining race horses to be carried forward to A.Y.2026-27		

SET OFF & C/F OF LOSSES

Income from textile business	85,000	35,000
<i>Less:</i> Brought forward business loss from textile business	50,000	
Total income		35,000

Note: Loss from the activity of owning and maintaining race horses cannot be set-off against any other source/head of income.

Question 5

Mr. E has furnished his details for the A.Y.2025-26 as under:

Particulars	₹
Income from salaries (computed)	1,50,000
Income from speculation business	60,000
Loss from non-speculation business	(40,000)
Short term capital gain	80,000
Long term capital loss of A.Y.2023-24	(30,000)
Winning from lotteries (Gross)	20,000

Compute the total income of Mr. E for the A.Y.2025-26.

Answer

Computation of total income of Mr. E for the A.Y.2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
Income from salaries		1,50,000
Income from speculation business	60,000	
<i>Less :</i> Loss from non-speculation business	(40,000)	20,000
Short-term capital gain		80,000
Winnings from lotteries		20,000
Taxable income		2,70,000

Note: Long term capital loss can be set off only against long term capital gain. Therefore, long term capital loss of ₹ 30,000 has to be carried forward to the next assessment year.

Question 6

Compute the gross total income of Mr. F for the A.Y. 2025-26 from the information given below –

Particulars	₹
Income from house property (computed)	1,25,000
Income from business (before providing for depreciation)	1,35,000
Short term capital gains on sale of unlisted shares	56,000

Long term capital loss from sale of property (brought forward from A.Y. 2024-25)	(90,000)
Income from tea business	1,20,000
Dividends from Indian companies carrying on agricultural operations (Gross)	80,000
Current year depreciation	26,000
Brought forward business loss (loss incurred six years ago)	(45,000)

Answer

Gross Total Income of Mr. F for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
Income from house property (Computed)		1,25,000
Income from business		
Profits before depreciation	1,35,000	
Less: Current year depreciation	26,000	
Less: Brought forward business loss	45,000	
	64,000	
Income from tea business (40% is business income)	48,000	1,12,000
Capital gains		
Short-term capital gains		56,000
Income from Other Sources		
Dividend income (taxable in the hands of shareholders)		80,000
Gross Total Income		3,73,000

Notes:

- (1) Dividend from Indian companies is taxable at normal rates of tax in the hands of resident shareholders.
- (2) 60% of the income from tea business is treated as agricultural income and therefore, exempt from tax;
- (3) Long-term capital loss can be set-off only against long-term capital gains. Therefore, long-term capital loss of ₹ 90,000 brought forward from A.Y.2024-25 cannot be set-off in the A.Y.2025-26, since there is no long-term capital gains in that year. It has to be carried forward for set-off against long-term capital gains, if any, during A.Y.2026-27.

Question 7

Mr. Soohan submits the following details of his income for the A.Y.2025-26:

Particulars	₹
Income from salary (computed)	3,00,000
Loss from let out house property	(-) 40,000
Income from sugar business	50,000
Loss from iron ore business for P.Y. 2019-20 (discontinued in P.Y. 2020-21)	(-) 1,20,000
Short term capital loss	(-) 60,000
Long term capital gain	40,000
Dividend	5,000
Income received from lottery winning (Gross)	50,000
Winnings from card games (Gross)	6,000
Agricultural income	20,000
Short-term capital loss under section 111A	(-) 10,000
Bank interest on Fixed deposit	5,000

Calculate gross total income and losses to be carried forward, assuming that he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

Computation of Gross Total Income of Mr. Soohan for the A.Y.2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
Salaries		
Income from salary	3,00,000	
<i>Less:</i> Loss from house property set-off against salary income as per section 71	(40,000)	2,60,000
Profits and gains of business or profession		
Income from sugar business	50,000	
<i>Less:</i> Brought forward loss of ₹ 1,20,000 from iron-ore business set-off as per section 72(1) to the extent of ₹ 50,000	(50,000)	Nil
Balance business loss of ₹ 70,000 of P.Y.2019-20 to be carried forward to A.Y.2026-27		
Capital gains		
Long term capital gain	40,000	

Less: Short term capital loss of ₹ 60,000 set-off to the extent of ₹ 40,000	(40,000)	Nil
Balance short-term capital loss of ₹ 20,000 to be carried forward		
Short-term capital loss of ₹ 10,000 u/s 111A also to be carried forward		
Income from other sources		
Dividend (fully taxable in the hands of shareholders)	5,000	
Winnings from lottery	50,000	
Winnings from card games	6,000	
Bank FD interest	5,000	66,000
Gross Total Income		3,26,000
Losses to be carried forward to A.Y.2026-27		
Loss of iron-ore business (₹ 1,20,000 – ₹ 50,000)	70,000	
Short term capital loss (₹ 20,000 + ₹ 10,000)	30,000	

Question 8

Mr. Batra furnishes the following details for year ended 31.03.2025:

Particulars	₹
Short term capital gain	1,40,000
Loss from speculative business	60,000
Long term capital gain on sale of land	30,000
Long term capital loss on sale of unlisted shares	1,00,000
Income from business of textile (after allowing current year depreciation)	50,000
Income from activity of owning and maintaining race horses	15,000
Income from salary (computed)	1,00,000
Loss from house property	40,000

Following are the brought forward losses:

- Losses from activity of owning and maintaining race horses-pertaining to A.Y.2022-23 - ₹ 25,000.
- Brought forward loss from business of textile ₹ 60,000 - Loss pertains to A.Y. 2017-18.

Compute gross total income of Mr. Batra for the Assessment Year 2025-26, assuming that he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). Also determine the losses eligible for carry forward to the A.Y. 2026-27.

Answer

(i) GTI → ₹2,00,000

(ii)

Losses to be carried forward to A.Y. 2026-27	
Particulars	₹
Current year loss from speculative business [See Note-4]	60,000
Current year long term capital loss on sale of unlisted shares	70,000
Loss from activity of owning and maintaining of race horse pertaining to A.Y.2022-23	10,000

Question 9

Mr. A furnishes you the following information for the year ended 31.03.2025:

		(₹)
(i)	Income from plying of vehicles (computed as per books) (He owned 5 light goods vehicle throughout the year)	3,20,000
(ii)	Income from retail trade of garments (Computed as per books) (Sales turnover ₹ 1,35,70,000) Mr. A had declared income on presumptive basis under section 44AD for the first time in A.Y.2025-26. Assume 10% of the turnover during the P.Y.2024-25 was received in cash and balance through A/c payee cheque and all the payments in respect of expenditure were also made through A/c payee cheque or debit card.	7,50,000
(iii)	He has brought forward depreciation relating to A.Y. 2023-24	1,00,000

Compute taxable income of Mr. A and his tax liability for the A.Y. 2025-26 with reasons for your computation, assuming that he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

Computation of total income and tax liability of Mr. A for the A.Y. 2025-26	
Particulars	₹
Income from retail trade – as per books (See Note 1 below)	7,50,000
Income from plying of vehicles – as per books (See Note 2 below)	3,20,000
	10,70,000
Less : Set off of b/f depreciation relating to A.Y. 2023-24	1,00,000
Total income	9,70,000

Tax liability	1,06,500
Add: Health and Education cess@4%	4,260
Total tax liability	1,10,760

Note:

- Income from retail trade:** Presumptive business income under section 44AD is ₹ 8,41,340 i.e., 8% of ₹ 13,57,000, being 10% of the turnover received in cash and 6% of ₹ 1,22,13,000, being the amount of sales turnover received through A/c payee cheque. However, the income computed as per books is ₹ 7,50,000 which is to be further reduced by the amount of unabsorbed depreciation of ₹ 1,00,000. Since the income computed as per books is lower than the income deemed under section 44AD, the assessee can adopt the income as per books.

However, if he does not declare profits as per presumptive taxation under section 44AD, he has to get his books of accounts audited under section 44AB, since his turnover exceeds ₹ 1 crore (the enhanced limit of ₹ 10 crore would not be available, since more than 5% of the turnover is received in cash). Also, his case would be falling under section 44AD(4) and hence, tax audit is mandatory. It may further be noted that he cannot declare income under presumptive provisions under section 44AD for next five assessment years, if he does not declared profits as per presumptive provisions under section 44AD this year.

- Income from plying of light goods vehicles:** Income calculated under section 44AE(1) would be ₹ 7,500 × 12 × 5 which is equal to ₹ 4,50,000. However, the income from plying of vehicles as per books is ₹ 3,20,000, which is lower than the presumptive income of ₹ 4,50,000 calculated as per section 44AE(1). Hence, the assessee can adopt the income as per books i.e. ₹ 3,20,000, provided he maintains books of account as per section 44AA and gets his accounts audited and furnishes an audit report as required under section 44AB.

It is to be further noted that in both the above cases, if income is declared under presumptive provisions, all deductions under sections 30 to 38, including depreciation would have been deemed to have been given full effect to and no further deduction under those sections would be allowable.

If income is declared as per presumptive provisions, his total income would be as under:

Particulars	₹
Income from retail trade under section 44AD [₹ 13,57,000@ 8% plus ₹ 1,22,13,000 @6%]	8,41,340
Income from plying of light goods vehicles under section 44AE [₹ 7,500 × 12 × 5]	4,50,000
	12,91,340
Less: Set off of brought forward depreciation – not possible as it is deemed that it has been allowed and set off	Nil
Total income	12,91,340
Tax thereon	1,99,902
Add : Health and Education cess @4%	7,996
Total tax liability	2,07,898
Total tax liability (rounded off)	2,07,900

Question 10

Mr. Aditya furnishes the following details for the year ended 31-03-2025:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Loss from speculative business A	25,000
Income from speculative business B	5,000
Loss from specified business covered under section 35AD	20,000
Income from salary (computed)	3,00,000
Loss from let out house property	2,50,000
Income from trading business	45,000
Long-term capital gain from sale of urban land	2,00,000
Long-term capital loss on sale of shares (STT not paid)	75,000
Long-term capital loss on sale of listed shares in recognized stock exchange (STT paid at the time of acquisition and sale of shares)	1,02,000

Following are the brought forward losses:

- (1) Losses from owning and maintaining of race horses pertaining to A.Y. 2023-24 ₹ 2,000.
- (2) Brought forward loss from trading business ₹ 5,000 relating to A.Y.2020-21.

Compute the total income of Mr. Aditya and show the items eligible for carry forward, assuming that he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Question 11

Mr. Garg, a resident individual, furnishes the following particulars of his income and other details for the P.Y. 2024-25.

	Particulars	₹
(1)	Income from Salary (computed)	15,000
(2)	Income from business	66,000
(3)	Long term capital gain on sale of land	10,800
(4)	Loss on maintenance of race horses	15,000
(5)	Loss from gambling	9,100

The other details of unabsorbed depreciation and brought forward losses pertaining to A.Y. 2024-25 are as follows:

	Particulars	₹
(1)	Unabsorbed depreciation	11,000
(2)	Loss from Speculative business	22,000
(3)	Short term capital loss	9,800

Compute the Gross total income of Mr. Garg for the A.Y. 2025-26 and the amount of loss, if any that can be carried forward or not.

Answer

Computation of Gross Total Income of Mr. Garg for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
(i) Income from salary		15,000
(ii) Profits and gains of business or profession	66,000	
Less: Unabsorbed depreciation brought forward from A.Y.2024-25 (Unabsorbed depreciation can be set-off against any head of income other than "salary")	11,000	55,000
(iii) Capital gains		
Long-term capital gain on sale of land	10,800	
Less: Brought forward short-term capital loss [Short-term capital loss can be set-off against both short-term capital gains and long-term capital gains as per section 74(1)]	9,800	1,000
Gross Total Income		71,000

Amount of loss to be carried forward to A.Y.2026-27		
	Particulars	₹
(1)	Loss from speculative business [to be carried forward as per section 73] [Loss from a speculative business can be set off only against income from another speculative business. Since there is no income from speculative business in the current year, the entire loss of ₹ 22,000 brought forward from A.Y.2024-25 has to be carried forward to A.Y. 2026-27 for set-off against speculative business income of that year. It may be noted that speculative business loss can be carried forward for a maximum of four years as per section 73(4), i.e., upto A.Y.2028-29]	22,000
(2)	Loss on maintenance of race horses [to be carried forward as per section 74A] [As per section 74A(3), the loss incurred in the activity of owning and maintaining race horses in any assessment year cannot be set-off against income from any other source other than the activity of owning and maintaining race horses. Such loss can be carried forward for a maximum of four assessment years i.e., upto A.Y.2029-30]	15,000
(3)	Loss from gambling can neither be set-off nor be carried forward.	

Question 12

The following are the details relating to Mr. Srivatsan, a resident Indian, aged 57, relating to the year ended 31.3.2025:

Particulars	₹
Income from salaries (computed)	2,20,000
Loss from house property	1,90,000
Loss from cloth business	2,40,000
Income from speculation business	30,000
Loss from specified business covered by section 35AD	20,000
Long-term capital gains from sale of urban land	2,50,000
Loss from card games	32,000
Income from betting (Gross)	45,000
Life Insurance Premium paid (10% of the capital sum assured)	45,000

Compute the total income and show the items eligible for carry forward, assuming that he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Question 13

Mr. Rajat submits the following information for the financial year ending 31st March, 2025. He decides to pay tax under the default tax regime u/s 115BAC. He desires that you should:

- Compute the total income; and
- Ascertain the amount of losses that can be carried forward.

Particulars	₹
(i) He has two let out house property:	
(a) House No. I – Income after all statutory deductions	72,000
(b) House No. II – Current year loss	(30,000)
(ii) He has three proprietary businesses:	
(a) Textile Business:	
(i) Discontinued from 31 st October, 2024 – Current year loss	40,000
(ii) Brought forward business loss of A.Y.2020-21	95,000
(b) Chemical Business:	
(i) Discontinued from 1 st March, 2022 – hence no profit/loss	Nil
(ii) Bad debts allowed in earlier years recovered during this year	35,000
(iii) Brought forward business loss of A.Y. 2021-22	50,000
(c) Leather Business: Profit for the current year	1,00,000
(d) Share of profit in a firm in which he is partner since 2009	16,550
(iii) (a) Short-term capital gain	60,000
(b) Long-term capital loss	35,000
(iv) Contribution to LIC towards premium	10,000

Answer

Computation of total income of Mr. Rajat for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
1. Income from house property House No.1	72,000	42,000
House No.2	(-) 30,000	
2. Profits and gains of business or profession		
Profit from leather business	1,00,000	Nil
Bad debts recovered taxable under section 41(4)	35,000	
	1,35,000	
Less: Current year loss of textile business	(-) 40,000	
	95,000	Nil
Less: Brought forward business loss of textile business for A.Y.2020-21 set off against the business income of current year	95,000	
3. Capital Gains		
Short-term capital gain		60,000
Gross Total Income		1,02,000
Less: Deduction under Chapter VI-A		
Under section 80C – LIC premium paid (not available since he is paying tax under the default tax regime)		-
Total Income		1,02,000

Statement of losses to be carried forward to A.Y. 2026-27	
Particulars	₹
Brought forward chemical business loss of A.Y. 2021-22 to be carried forward u/s 72	50,000
Long term capital loss of A.Y. 2025-26 to be carried forward u/s 74	35,000

Notes:

- (1) Share of profit from firm of ₹ 16,550 is exempt under section 10(2A).
- (2) Long-term capital loss cannot be set-off against short-term capital gains. Therefore, it has to be carried forward to the next year to be set-off against long-term capital gains of that year.

Question 14

Ms. Geeta, a resident individual, provides the following details of her income/ losses for the year ended 31.3.2025:

- (i) Salary received as a partner from a partnership firm ₹ 7,50,000. The same was allowed to the firm.
- (ii) Loss on sale of shares listed in BSE ₹ 3,00,000. Shares were held for 15 months and STT paid on

sale and acquisition.

- (iii) Long-term capital gain on sale of land ₹ 5,00,000.
- (iv) ₹ 51,000 received in cash from friends in party.
- (v) ₹ 55,000, being dividend income on listed equity shares of domestic companies.
- (vi) Brought forward business loss of A.Y. 2023-24 ₹ 12,50,000.

Compute gross total income of Ms. Geeta for the A.Y. 2025-26 and ascertain the amount of loss that can be carried forward.

Answer

Computation of Gross Total Income of Ms. Geeta for the A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars		₹
Profits and gains of business and profession		
Salary received as a partner from a partnership firm is taxable under the head "Profits and gains of business and profession"		7,50,000
Less: B/f business loss of A.Y. 2023-24 ₹ 12,50,000 to be set-off to the extent of ₹ 7,50,000		7,50,000
		Nil
(Balance b/f business loss of ₹ 5,00,000 can be carried forward to the next year)		
Capital Gains		
Long term capital gain on sale of land	5,00,000	
Less: Long-term capital loss on shares on STT paid (See Note 2 below)	3,00,000	2,00,000
Income from other sources		
Cash gift received from friends - since the value of cash gift exceeds ₹ 50,000, the entire sum is taxable	51,000	
Dividend income from a domestic company is fully taxable in the hands of shareholders	55,000	1,06,000
Gross Total Income		3,06,000

Notes:

- Balance brought forward business loss of assessment year 2023-24 of ₹ 5,00,000 has to be carried forward to the next year.
- Long-term capital loss on sale of shares on which STT is paid at the time of acquisition and sale can be set-off against long-term capital gain on sale of land since long-term capital gain on sale of shares (STT paid) is taxable under section 112A. Therefore, it can be set-off against long-term capital gain on sale of land as per section 70(3).

Question 15

Mr. P, a resident individual, furnishes the following particulars of his income and other details for the previous year 2024-25:

Sl. No.	Particulars	₹
(i)	Income from salary (computed)	18,000
(ii)	Net annual value of house property	70,000
(iii)	Income from business	80,000
(iv)	Income from speculative business	12,000
(v)	Long term capital gain on sale of land	15,800
(vi)	Loss on maintenance of race horse	9,000
(vii)	Loss on gambling	8,000

Depreciation allowable under the Income-tax Act, 1961, comes to ₹ 8,000, for which no treatment is given above.

The other details of unabsorbed depreciation and brought forward losses (pertaining to A.Y. 2023-24) are:

Sl. No.	Particulars	₹
(i)	Unabsorbed depreciation	9,000
(ii)	Loss from speculative business	16,000
(iii)	Short term capital loss	7,800

Compute the gross total income of Mr. P for the A.Y. 2025-26, and the amount of loss that can or cannot be carried forward.

DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

Question 1

Examine the following statements with regard to the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961:

- (a) For grant of deduction under section 80JAA, filing of audit report in prescribed form is must for a corporate assessee; filing of return within the due date laid down in section 139(1) is not required.
- (b) Filing of belated return under section 139(4) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 will debar an assessee from claiming deduction under section 80QQB if the assessee exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A) (i.e., he pays tax under the optional tax regime).

Answer

- (a) The statement is **not** correct. Section 80AC stipulates compulsory filing of return of income on or before the due date specified under section 139(1), as a pre-condition for availing the benefit of deduction, *inter alia*, under section 80JAA.
- (b) The statement is correct. As per section 80AC, the assessee has to furnish his return of income on or before the due date specified under section 139(1), to be eligible to claim deduction under, *inter alia*, section 80QQB.

Question 2

Compute the eligible deduction under section 80C for A.Y.2025-26 in respect of life insurance premium paid by Mr. Ganesh during the P.Y.2024-25, the details of which are given hereunder, if Mr. Ganesh has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A) –

	Date of issue of policy	Person insured	Actual capital sum assured (₹)	Insurance premium paid during 2024-25 (₹)
(i)	30/3/2012	Self	9,00,000	48,000
(ii)	1/5/2018	Spouse	1,50,000	20,000
(iii)	1/6/2021	Handicapped son (section 80U disability)	4,00,000	80,000

Answer

	Date of issue of policy	Person insured	Actual capital sum assured (₹)	Insurance premium paid during 2024-25 (₹)	Deduct-ion u/s 80C for A.Y.2025-26 (₹)	Remark (restricted to % of sum assured) (₹)
(i)	30/3/2012	Self	9,00,000	48,000	48,000	20%
(ii)	1/5/2018	Spouse	1,50,000	20,000	15,000	10%
(iii)	1/6/2021	Handicapped son (section 80U disability)	4,00,000	80,000	60,000	15%
	Total				1,23,000	

Question 3

The basic salary of Mr. A is ₹ 1,00,000 p.m. He is entitled to dearness allowance, which is 40% of basic salary. 50% of dearness allowance forms part of pay for retirement benefits. Both Mr. A and his employer, ABC Ltd., contribute 15% of basic salary to the pension scheme referred to in section 80CCD. Explain the tax treatment in respect of such contribution in the hands of Mr. A if he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

What would be your answer if Mr. A pays tax under the default tax regime under section 115BAC?

Answer

(i) Tax treatment in the hands of Mr. A in respect of employer's and own contribution to pension scheme referred to in section 80CCD, where Mr. A has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A) [i.e., where Mr. A pays tax under the normal provisions of the Act]

- Employer's contribution to such pension scheme would be treated as salary since it is specifically included in the definition of "salary" under section 17(1)(viii). Therefore, ₹ 1,80,000, being 15% of basic salary of ₹ 12,00,000, will be included in Mr. A's salary.
- Mr. A's contribution to pension scheme is allowable as deduction under section 80CCD(1). However, the deduction is restricted to 10% of salary. Salary, for this purpose, means basic pay plus dearness allowance, if it forms part of pay.

Therefore, "salary" for the purpose of deduction under section 80CCD for Mr. A would be –

Particulars	₹
Basic salary = ₹ 1,00,000 × 12 =	12,00,000
Dearness allowance = 40% of ₹ 12,00,000 = ₹ 4,80,000	
50% of Dearness Allowance forms part of pay = 50% of ₹ 4,80,000	2,40,000
Salary for the purpose of deduction under section 80CCD	14,40,000
Deduction under section 80CCD(1) is restricted to 10% of ₹ 14,40,000 (as against actual contribution of ₹ 1,80,000, being 15% of basic salary of ₹ 12,00,000)	1,44,000
As per section 80CCD(1B), a further deduction of upto ₹ 50,000 is allowable. Therefore, deduction under section 80CCD(1B) is ₹ 36,000 (₹ 1,80,000 - ₹ 1,44,000).	36,000

₹ 1,44,000 is allowable as deduction under section 80CCD(1). This would be taken into consideration and be subject to the overall limit of

₹ 1,50,000 under section 80CCE. ₹ 36,000 allowable as deduction under section 80CCD(1B) is outside the overall limit of ₹ 1,50,000 under section 80CCE.



DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

In the alternative, ₹ 50,000 can be claimed as deduction under section 80CCD(1B). The balance ₹ 1,30,000 (₹ 1,80,000- ₹ 50,000) can be claimed as deduction under section 80CCD(1).

- (c) Employer's contribution to pension scheme would be allowable as deduction under section 80CCD(2), subject to a maximum of 10% of salary. Therefore, deduction under section 80CCD(2), would also be restricted to ₹ 1,44,000, even though the entire employer's contribution of ₹ 1,80,000 is included in salary under section 17(1)(viii). However, this deduction of employer's contribution of ₹ 1,44,000 to pension scheme would be outside the overall limit of ₹ 1,50,000 under section 80CCE i.e., this deduction would be over and above the other deductions which are subject to the limit of ₹ 1,50,000.

(ii) Where Mr. A pays tax under the default tax regime under section 115BAC

Mr. A would not be eligible for deduction under section 80CCD(1)/(1B) in respect of his contribution to pension scheme under the default tax regime under section 115BAC. However, he would be allowed deduction of upto ₹ 2,01,600, being 14% of salary [₹ 14,40,000, computed in (i) above] under section 80CCD(2) in respect of employer's contribution to pension scheme. Accordingly, entire employer's contribution of ₹ 1,80,000 would be allowed as deduction under section 80CCD(2).

Question 4

The gross total income of Mr. X for the A.Y.2025-26 is ₹ 8,00,000. He has made the following investments/payments during the F.Y.2024-25 –

	Particulars	₹
(1)	Contribution to PPF	1,10,000
(2)	Payment of tuition fees to Apeejay School, New Delhi, for education of his son studying in Class XI	45,000
(3)	Repayment of housing loan taken from Standard Chartered Bank	25,000
(4)	Contribution to approved pension fund of LIC	1,05,000

Compute the eligible deduction under Chapter VI-A for the A.Y.2025-26 if Mr. X exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer:

Computation of deduction under Chapter VI-A for the A.Y.2025-26	
Particulars	₹
Deduction under section 80C	
- Contribution to PPF	1,10,000
- Payment of tuition fees to Apeejay School, New Delhi, for education of his son studying in Class XI	45,000
- Repayment of housing loan	25,000

DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

	1,80,000
Restricted to ₹ 1,50,000, being the maximum permissible deduction u/s 80C	1,50,000
Deduction under section 80CCC	
- Contribution to approved pension fund of LIC	1,05,000
	2,55,000
As per section 80CCE, the aggregate deduction under section 80C, 80CCC and 80CCD(1) has to be restricted to ₹ 1,50,000	
Deduction allowable under Chapter VIA for the A.Y. 2025-26	1,50,000

Question 5

Mr. A, aged 40 years, paid medical insurance premium of ₹ 20,000 during the P.Y. 2024-25 to insure his health as well as the health of his spouse. He also paid medical insurance premium of ₹ 47,000 during the year to insure the health of his father, aged 63 years, who is not dependent on him. He contributed ₹ 3,600 to Central Government Health Scheme during the year. He has incurred ₹ 3,000 in cash on preventive health check-up of himself and his spouse and ₹ 4,000 by cheque on preventive health check-up of his father. Compute the deduction allowable under section 80D for the A.Y. 2025-26 if Mr. A has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer:

Deduction allowable under section 80D for the A.Y.2025-26			
	Particulars	Actual Payment ₹	Maximum deduction allowable ₹
A.	Premium paid and medical expenditure incurred for self and spouse		
(i)	Medical insurance premium paid for self and spouse	20,000	20,000
(ii)	Contribution to CGHS	3,600	3,600
(iii)	Exp. on preventive health check-up of self & spouse	3,000	1,400
		26,600	25,000
B.	Premium paid or medical expenditure incurred for father, who is a senior citizen		
(i)	Mediclaime premium paid for father, who is over 60 years of age	47,000	47,000
(ii)	Expenditure on preventive health check-up of father	4,000	3,000
		51,000	50,000



DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

Total deduction under section 80D (₹ 25,000 + ₹ 50,000)		75,000
---	--	--------

Notes:

- (1) The total deduction under A. (i), (ii) and (iii) above should not exceed ₹ 25,000. Therefore, the expenditure on preventive health check-up for self and spouse would be restricted to ₹ 1,400, being (₹ 25,000 – ₹ 20,000 – ₹ 3,600).
- (2) The total deduction under B. (i) and (ii) above should not exceed ₹ 50,000. Therefore, the expenditure on preventive health check-up for father would be restricted to ₹ 3,000, being (₹ 50,000 – ₹ 47,000).
- (3) In this case, the total deduction allowed on account of expenditure on preventive health check-up of self, spouse and father is ₹ 4,400 (i.e., ₹ 1,400 + ₹ 3,000), which is within the maximum permissible limit of ₹ 5,000.

Question 6

Mr. Y, aged 40 years, paid medical insurance premium of ₹ 22,000 during the P.Y. 2024-25 to insure his health as well as the health of his spouse and dependent children. He also paid medical insurance premium of ₹ 33,000 during the year to insure the health of his mother, aged 67 years, who is not dependent on him. He incurred medical expenditure of ₹ 20,000 on his father, aged 71 years, who is not covered under mediclaim policy. His father is also not dependent upon him. He contributed ₹ 6,000 to Central Government Health Scheme during the year. Compute the deduction allowable under section 80D for the A.Y. 2025-26 if Mr. Y has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer:

Marginal Relief = ₹69,000

Total Tax Liability = ₹31,81,360

Question 7

Mr. B has taken three education loans on April 1, 2024, the details of which are given below:

	Loan 1	Loan 2	Loan 3
For whose education loan was taken	B	Son of B	Daughter of B
Purpose of loan	MBA	B. Sc.	B.A.
Amount of loan (₹)	5,00,000	2,00,000	4,00,000
Annual repayment of loan (₹)	1,00,000	40,000	80,000
Annual repayment of interest (₹)	20,000	10,000	18,000

Compute the amount deductible under section 80E for the A.Y.2025-26 if Mr. B has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer:

Deduction under section 80E is available to an individual assessee exercising the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A), in respect of any interest paid by him in the previous year in respect of loan taken for pursuing his higher education or higher education of his spouse or children. Higher education means any course of study pursued after senior secondary examination.

Therefore, interest repayment in respect of all the above loans would be eligible for deduction.

Deduction under section 80E = ₹ 20,000 + ₹ 10,000 + ₹ 18,000 = ₹ 48,000.

Question 8

Mr. A purchased a residential house property for self-occupation at a cost of ₹ 45 lakh on 1.4.2017, in respect of which he took a housing loan of ₹ 35 lakh from Bank of India@11% p.a. on the same date. The loan was sanctioned on 28th March, 2017. Compute the eligible deduction in respect of interest on housing loan for A.Y.2025-26 if Mr. A has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A), assuming that the entire loan was outstanding as on 31.3.2025 and he does not own any other house property.

Answer:

Particulars	₹
Interest deduction for A.Y.2025-26	
(i) Deduction allowable while computing income under the head "Income from house property"	
Deduction under section 24(b) ₹3,85,000 [₹ 35,00,000 × 11%]	
Restricted to	2,00,000
(ii) Deduction under Chapter VI-A from Gross Total Income	
Deduction under section 80EE ₹ 1,85,000 (₹ 3,85,000 – ₹ 2,00,000)	
Restricted to	50,000

Question 9

The following are the particulars relating to Mr. A, Mr. B, Mr. C and Mr. D, salaried individuals, for A.Y. 2025-26 –

Particulars	Mr. A	Mr. B	Mr. C	Mr. D
Amount of loan taken	₹ 43 lakhs	₹ 45 lakhs	₹ 20 lakhs	₹ 15 lakhs
Loan taken from	HFC	Deposit taking NBFC	Deposit taking NBFC	Public sector bank
Date of sanction of loan	1.4.2021	1.4.2020	1.4.2020	30.3.2019
Date of disbursement of loan	1.5.2021	1.5.2020	1.5.2020	1.5.2019
Purpose of loan	Acquisition of residential house property for self-	Acquisition of residential house property for self-	Purchase of electric vehicle for personal use	Purchase of electric vehicle for personal use



DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

	occupation	occupation		
Stamp duty value of house property	₹ 45 lakhs	₹ 48 lakhs	-	-
Cost of electric vehicle	-	-	₹ 22 lakhs	₹ 18 lakhs
Rate of interest	9% p.a.	9% p.a.	10% p.a.	10% p.a.

Compute the amount of deduction, if any, allowable under the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961 for A.Y.2025-26 in the hands of Mr. A, Mr. B, Mr. C and Mr. D if they have exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). Assume that there has been no principal repayment in respect of any of the above loans upto 31.3.2025.

Answer:

Particulars	₹
Mr. A	
Interest deduction for A.Y.2025-26	
(i) Deduction allowable while computing income under the head "Income from house property"	
Deduction u/s 24(b) ₹ 3,87,000 [₹ 43,00,000 × 9%]	
Restricted to	2,00,000
(ii) Deduction under Chapter VI-A from Gross Total Income	
Deduction u/s 80EEA ₹ 1,87,000 (₹ 3,87,000 – ₹ 2,00,000)	
Restricted to	1,50,000
Mr. B	
Interest deduction for A.Y.2025-26	
(i) Deduction allowable while computing income under the head "Income from house property"	
Deduction u/s 24(b) ₹ 4,05,000 [₹ 45,00,000 × 9%]	
Restricted to	2,00,000
(ii) Deduction under Chapter VI-A from Gross Total Income	
Deduction u/s 80EEA is not permissible since:	Nil
(i) loan is taken from NBFC	
(ii) stamp duty value exceeds ₹ 45 lakh.	
Deduction under section 80EEA would not be permissible due to either violation listed above.	
Mr. C	
Deduction under Chapter VI-A from Gross Total Income	

DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

Deduction u/s 80EEB for interest payable on loan taken for purchase of electric vehicle [$\text{₹ } 20 \text{ lakhs} \times 10\% = \text{₹ } 2,00,000$, restricted to ₹ 1,50,000, being the maximum permissible deduction]	1,50,000
--	----------

Mr. D

Deduction under Chapter VI-A from Gross Total Income

Deduction u/s 80EEB is not permissible since loan was sanctioned before 1.4.2019.

Nil

Question 10

Mr. Shiva aged 58 years, has gross total income of ₹ 7,75,000 comprising of income from salary and house property. He has made the following payments and investments:

- (i) Premium paid to insure the life of her major daughter (policy taken on 1.4.2018) (Assured value ₹ 1,80,000) – ₹ 20,000.
- (ii) Medical Insurance premium for self – ₹ 12,000; Spouse – ₹ 14,000.
- (iii) Donation to a public charitable institution ₹ 50,000 by way of cheque.
- (iv) LIC Pension Fund – ₹ 60,000.
- (v) Donation to National Children's Fund - ₹ 25,000 by way of cheque
- (vi) Donation to Prime Minister's Drought Relief Fund - ₹ 25,000 by way of cheque
- (vii) Donation to approved institution for promotion of family planning - ₹ 40,000 by way of cheque
- (viii) Deposit in PPF – ₹ 1,00,000

Compute the total income of Mr. Shiva for A.Y. 2025-26 if he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer → ₹5,12,500

Question 11

Mr. Ganesh, a businessman, whose total income (before allowing deduction under section 80GG) for A.Y.2025-26 is ₹ 4,60,000, paid house rent at ₹ 12,000 p.m. in respect of residential accommodation occupied by him at Mumbai. Compute the deduction allowable to him under section 80GG for A.Y.2025-26 if he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

The deduction under section 80GG will be computed as follows:

- (i) Actual rent paid less 10% of total income

$$\text{₹ } 1,44,000 (-) \frac{(10 \times 4,60,000)}{100} = \text{₹ } 98,000$$

- (ii) 25% of total income = $\frac{(25 \times 4,60,000)}{100} = \text{₹ } 1,15,000$

- (iii) Amount calculated at ₹ 5,000 p.m. = ₹ 60,000

Deduction allowable u/s 80GG [least of (i), (ii) and (iii)] = ₹ 60,000



DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

Question 12

During the P.Y. 2024-25, ABC Ltd., an Indian company,

- (1) contributed a sum of ₹ 2 lakh to an electoral trust; and
- (2) incurred expenditure of ₹ 25,000 on advertisement in a brochure of a political party.

Is the company eligible for deduction in respect of such contribution/expenditure, assuming that the contribution was made by cheque? If so, what is the quantum of deduction? ABC Ltd. does not opt for section 115BAA/115BAB.

Answer

An Indian company is eligible for deduction under section 80GGB in respect of any sum contributed by it in the previous year to any political party or an electoral trust. Further, the word “contribute” in section 80GGB has the meaning assigned to it in section 293A of the Companies Act, 1956, and accordingly, it includes the amount of expenditure incurred on advertisement in a brochure of a political party.

Therefore, ABC Ltd. is eligible for a deduction of ₹ 2,25,000 under section 80GGB in respect of sum of ₹ 2 lakh contributed to an electoral trust and ₹ 25,000 incurred by it on advertisement in a brochure of a political party.

It may be noted that there is a specific disallowance under section 37(2B) in respect of expenditure incurred on advertisement in a brochure of a political party. Therefore, the expenditure of ₹ 25,000 would be disallowed while computing business income/gross total income. However, the said expenditure incurred by an Indian company is allowable as a deduction from gross total income under section 80GGB.

Question 13

Mr. A has commenced the business of manufacture of computers on 1.4.2024. He employed 350 new employees during the P.Y. 2024-25, the details of whom are as follows –

	No. of employees	Date of employment	Regular/ Casual	Total monthly emoluments per employee (₹)
(i)	75	1.4.2024	Regular	24,000
(ii)	125	1.5.2024	Regular	26,000
(iii)	50	1.8.2024	Casual	24,500
(iv)	100	1.9.2024	Regular	24,000

The regular employees participate in recognized provident fund while the casual employees do not. Compute the deduction, if any, available to Mr. A for A.Y. 2025-26, if the profits and gains derived from manufacture of computers that year is ₹ 75 lakhs and his total turnover is ₹ 10.16 crores.

What would be your answer if Mr. A has commenced the business of manufacture of footwear on 1.4.2024?

Answer

Mr. A is eligible for deduction under section 80JJAA since he is subject to tax audit under section 44AB for

A.Y. 2025-26 and he has employed “additional employees” during the P.Y. 2024-25.

I If Mr. A is engaged in the business of manufacture of computers

Additional employee cost = ₹ 24,000 × 12 × 75 [See Working Note below] = ₹ 2,16,00,000

Deduction under section 80JJAA = 30% of ₹ 2,16,00,000 = ₹ 64,80,000.

Working Note:

Number of additional employees		
Particulars	No. of workmen	
Total number of employees employed during the year		350
Less: Casual employees employed on 1.8.2024 who do not participate in recognized provident fund	50	
Regular employees employed on 1.5.2024, since their total monthly emoluments exceed ₹ 25,000	125	
Regular employees employed on 1.9.2024 since they have been employed for less than 240 days in the P.Y.2024-25.	100	275
Number of “additional employees”		75

Notes –

- (i) Since casual employees do not participate in recognized provident fund, they do not qualify as additional employees. Further, 125 regular employees employed on 1.5.2024 also do not qualify as additional employees since their monthly emoluments exceed ₹ 25,000. Also, 100 regular employees employed on 1.9.2024 do not qualify as additional employees for the P.Y.2024-25, since they are employed for less than 240 days in that year.

Therefore, only 75 employees employed on 1.4.2024 qualify as additional employees, and the total emoluments paid or payable to them during the P.Y.2024-25 is deemed to be the additional employee cost.

- (ii) As regards 100 regular employees employed on 1.9.2024, they would be treated as additional employees for previous year 2025-26, if they continue to be employees in that year for a minimum period of 240 days. Accordingly, 30% of additional employee cost in respect of such employees would be allowable as deduction under section 80JJAA in the hands of Mr. A for the A.Y. 2026-27.

II If Mr. A is engaged in the business of manufacture of footwear

If Mr. A is engaged in the business of manufacture of footwear, then, he would be entitled to deduction under section 80JJAA in respect of employee cost of regular employees employed on 1.9.2024, since they have been employed for more than 150 days in the previous year 2024-25.

Additional employee cost = ₹ 2,16,00,000 + ₹ 24,000 × 7 × 100 = ₹ 3,84,00,000 Deduction under section 80JJAA = 30% of ₹ 3,84,00,000 = ₹ 1,15,20,000.

Question 14

Mr. Aakash earned royalty of ₹ 2,88,000 from a foreign country for a book authored by him, being a work of literary nature. The rate of royalty is 18% of value of books. The expenditure incurred by him for

DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

earning this royalty was ₹ 40,000. The amount remitted to India till 30th September, 2025 is ₹ 2,30,000. The remaining amount was not remitted till 31st March, 2026. Compute the amount includible in the gross total income of Mr. Aakash and the amount of deduction which he will be eligible for under section 80QQB if he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

The net royalty of ₹ 2,48,000 (i.e., royalty of ₹ 2,88,000 /less ₹ 40,000, being expenditure to earn such income) is includible in gross total income. Deduction u/s 80QQB would be ₹ 1,90,000 as calculated hereunder –

Particulars	₹
Royalty ₹ 2,88,000 × 15/18 = ₹ 2,40,000	
Restricted to	
Amount brought into India in convertible foreign exchange within the prescribed time	2,30,000
<i>Less:</i> Expenses already allowed as deduction while computing royalty income	40,000
Deduction u/s 80QQB	1,90,000

Question 15

Mr. A, a resident individual aged 61 years, has earned business income (computed) of ₹ 1,35,000, lottery income of ₹ 1,20,000 (gross) during the P.Y. 2024-25. He also has interest on Fixed Deposit of ₹ 30,000 with banks. He invested an amount of ₹ 1,50,000 in Public Provident Fund account. What is the total income of Mr. A for the A.Y. 2025-26 if he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A)?

Answer

Particulars	₹	₹
Profits and gains of business or profession		1,35,000
Income from other sources		
- Interest on Fixed Deposit with banks		30,000
- lottery income		1,20,000
Gross Total Income		2,85,000
<i>Less:</i> Deductions under Chapter VIA [See Note below]		
Under section 80C		
- Deposit in Public Provident Fund	1,50,000	
Under section 80TTB		
- Interest on fixed deposits with banks	30,000	
	1,80,000	
Restricted to		1,65,000
Total Income		1,20,000

Note: In case of resident individuals of the age of 60 years or more, interest on bank fixed deposits qualifies for deduction upto ₹ 50,000 under section 80TTB.

Though the aggregate of deductions under Chapter VI-A is ₹ 1,80,000, however, the maximum permissible deduction cannot exceed the gross total income exclusive of long term capital gains taxable under section 112 and section 112A, short-term capital gains covered under section 111A and winnings from lotteries of the assessee. Therefore, the maximum permissible deduction under Chapter VI-A = ₹ 2,85,000 – ₹ 1,20,000 = ₹ 1,65,000.

Question 16

Mr. Gurnam, aged 42 years, has salary income (computed) of ₹ 5,50,000 for the previous year ended 31.03.2025. He has earned interest of ₹ 14,500 on the saving bank account with State Bank of India during the year. Compute the total income of Mr. Gurnam for the assessment year 2025-26 from the following particulars, assuming he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A):

- (i) Life insurance premium paid to Birla Sunlife Insurance in cash amounting to ₹ 25,000 for insurance of life of his dependent parents. The insurance policy was taken on 15.07.2021 and the sum assured on life of his dependent parents is ₹ 2,00,000.
- (ii) Life insurance premium of ₹ 19,500 paid for the insurance of life of his major son who is not dependent on him. The sum assured on life of his son is ₹ 3,50,000 and the life insurance policy was taken on 30.3.2012.
- (iii) Life insurance premium paid by cheque of ₹ 22,500 for insurance of his life. The insurance policy was taken on 08.09.2020 and the sum assured is ₹ 2,00,000.
- (iv) Premium of ₹ 26,000 paid by cheque for health insurance of self and his wife.
- (v) ₹ 1,500 paid in cash for his health check-up and ₹ 4,500 paid in cheque for preventive health check-up for his parents, who are senior citizens.
- (vi) Paid interest of ₹ 6,500 on loan taken from bank for MBA course pursued by his daughter.
- (vii) A sum of ₹ 5,000 donated in cash to an institution approved for purpose of section 80G for promoting family planning.

Question 17

Mr. Y furnishes you the following information for the year ended 31.3.2025:

Particulars	₹ (in lacs)
Total turnover of Unit A located in Special Economic Zone	100
Profit of the business of Unit A	30
Export turnover of Unit A received in India in convertible foreign exchange on or before 30.9.2025	50
Total turnover of Unit B located in Domestic Tariff Area (DTA)	200
Profit of the business of Unit B	20

DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

Compute deduction under section 10AA for the A.Y. 2025-26, assuming that Mr. Y commenced operations in SEZ and DTA in the year 2019-20 and Mr. Y has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

50% of the profit derived from export of articles or things or services is eligible for deduction under section 10AA, since F.Y. 2024-25 is the sixth year commencing from the year of manufacture or production of articles or things or provision of services by the Unit in SEZ. As per section 10AA(7), the profit derived from export of articles or things or services shall be the amount which bears to the profits of the business of the undertaking, being the Unit, the same proportion as the export turnover in respect of articles or things or services bears to the total turnover of the business carried on by the undertaking.

Deduction under section 10AA

$$= \text{Profit of the business of Unit A} \times \frac{\text{Export Turnover of Unit A}}{\text{Total Turnover of Unit A}} \times 50\%$$

$$= ₹ 30 \text{ Lakhs} \times \frac{50}{100} \times 50\% = ₹ 7.5 \text{ Lakhs}$$

Note – No deduction under section 10AA is allowable in respect of profits of business of Unit B located in DTA.

Question 18

Examine the following statements with regard to the provisions of the Income- tax Act, 1961:

- (i) During the financial year 2024-25, Mr. Amit paid interest on loan availed by him for his son's higher education. His son is already employed in a firm. Mr. Amit will get the deduction under section 80E.
- (ii) Subscription to notified bonds of NABARD would qualify for deduction under section 80C.
- (iii) In order to be eligible to claim deduction under section 80C, investment/ contribution/ subscription etc. in eligible or approved modes, should be made from out of income chargeable to tax.
- (iv) Where an individual repays a sum of ₹ 30,000 towards principal and ₹ 14,000 as interest in respect of loan taken from a bank for pursuing eligible higher studies, the deduction allowable under section 80E is ₹ 44,000 irrespective of the tax regime.
- (v) Mrs. Sheela, widow of Mr. Satish (who was an employee of M/s. XYZ Ltd.), received ₹ 7 lakhs on 1.5.2024, being amount standing to the credit of Mr. Satish in his NPS Account, in respect of which deduction has been allowed under section 80CCD to Mr. Satish in the earlier previous years. Such amount received by her as a nominee on closure of the account is deemed to be her income for A.Y.2025-26.
- (vi) Mr. Vishal, a Central Government employee, contributed ₹ 50,000 towards Tier II account of NPS. The same would be eligible for deduction under section 80CCD. He has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

- (i) **The statement is correct.** The deduction under section 80E is available to an individual in respect of interest on loan taken for his higher education or for the higher education of his relative only if he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). For

for this purpose, relative means, *inter alia*, spouse and children of the individual. Therefore, Mr. Amit will get the deduction under section 80E in respect of interest on loan availed by him for his son's higher education, if he exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). It is immaterial that his son is already employed in a firm. This would not affect Mr. Amit's eligibility for deduction under section 80E.

- (ii) **The statement is correct.** Under section 80C(2) subscription to such bonds issued by NABARD (as the Central Government may notify in the Official Gazette) would qualify for deduction under section 80C, if the assessee has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).
- (iii) **The statement is not correct.** There is no stipulation under section 80C that the investment, subscription, etc. should be made from out of income chargeable to tax.
- (iv) **The statement is not correct.** An individual would not be eligible for deduction u/s 80E if he pays tax under default tax regime under section 115BAC. If he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A), deduction under section 80E would be available in respect of interest paid on education loan. Hence, the deduction will be limited to interest of ₹ 14,000, if he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).
- (v) **The statement is not correct.** The proviso to section 80CCD(3) provides that the amount received by the nominee, on closure of NPS account on the death of the assessee, shall not be deemed to be the income of the nominee. Hence, amount received by Mrs. Sheela would not be deemed to be her income for A.Y. 2025-26.
- (vi) **The statement is not correct.** Contribution to Tier II account of NPS would qualify for deduction under section 80C and not section 80CCD.

Question 19

Examine the allowability of the following if the assessee has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A):

- (i) Rajan, a resident individual, has to pay to a hospital for treatment ₹ 62,000 and spent nothing for life insurance or for maintenance of dependent disabled.
- (ii) Varun, a resident Indian, has spent nothing for treatment in the previous year and deposited ₹ 25,000 with LIC for maintenance of dependant disabled.
- (iii) Hari, a resident individual, has incurred ₹ 20,000 for treatment and ₹ 25,000 was deposited with LIC for maintenance of dependant disabled.

Answer

- (i) The deduction of ₹ 75,000 under section 80DD is allowable to Rajan, irrespective of the amount of expenditure incurred or paid by him. If the expenditure is incurred in respect of a dependant with severe disability, the deduction allowable is ₹ 1,25,000.
- (ii) The assessee Varun has deposited ₹ 25,000 for maintenance of dependent disabled. He is, however, eligible to claim ₹ 75,000 since the deduction of ₹ 75,000 is allowed, irrespective of the amount deposited with LIC. In the case of dependant with severe disability, the deduction allowable is ₹ 1,25,000.
- (iii) Section 80DD allows a deduction of ₹ 75,000 irrespective of the actual amount spent on

DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

maintenance of a dependent disabled and/or actual amount deposited with LIC. Therefore, the deduction will be ₹ 75,000 even though the total amount incurred/deposited is only ₹ 45,000. If the dependant is a person with severe disability the quantum of deduction is ₹ 1,25,000.

Question 20

For the A.Y. 2025-26, the Gross total income of Mr. Chaturvedi, a resident in India, was ₹ 8,18,240 which includes long-term capital gain of ₹ 2,45,000 taxable under section 112 and Short-term capital gain of ₹ 58,000. The Gross total income also includes interest income of ₹ 12,000 from savings bank deposits with banks and ₹ 40,000 interest on fixed deposits with banks. Mr. Chaturvedi has invested in PPF ₹ 1,20,000 and also paid a medical insurance premium ₹ 51,000. Mr. Chaturvedi also contributed ₹ 50,000 to Public Charitable Trust eligible for deduction under section 80G by way of an account payee cheque. Compute the total income and tax thereon of Mr. Chaturvedi, who is 70 years old as on 31.3.2025, in a tax efficient manner.

Answer

Total income & tax liability of Mr. Chaturvedi for A.Y. 2025-26 under DTR	
Particulars	₹
Gross total income incl. long term capital gain	8,18,240
Less: Deductions under Chapter VI-A	-
No deduction would be available under default tax regime u/s 115BAC	
Total income	8,18,240
Tax on total income	
LTCC ₹ 2,45,000 × 20%	49,000
Balance total income ₹ 5,73,240	13,662
	62,662
Add: Health and Education cess @4%	2,506
Total tax liability	65,168
Total tax liability (Rounded off)	65,170

Total income & tax liability of Mr. Chaturvedi for A.Y. 2025-26 under OTR		
Particulars	₹	₹
Gross total income incl. long term capital gain		8,18,240
Less: Long term capital gain		2,45,000
		5,73,240
Less: Deductions under Chapter VI-A		
Under section 80C in respect of PPF deposit	1,20,000	

DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

Under section 80D (it is assumed that premium of ₹ 51,000 is paid by otherwise than by cash. The deduction would be restricted to ₹ 50,000, since Mr. Chaturvedi is a senior citizen)	50,000	
Under section 80G (See Notes 1 & 2 below)	17,662	
Under section 80TTB (See Note 3 below)	50,000	2,37,662
Total income (excluding long term capital gains)		3,35,578
Total income (including long term capital gains)		5,80,578
Total income (rounded off)		5,80,580
Tax on total income (including long-term capital gains of ₹ 2,45,000)		
LTCC ₹ 2,45,000 × 20%		49,000
Balance total income ₹ 3,35,580 (See Note 4 below)		1,779
		50,779
<i>Add: Health and Education cess @4%</i>		2,031
Total tax liability		52,810

Since the tax liability is lower under the optional tax regime (i.e., normal provisions of the Act) as compared to the default tax regime, Mr. Chaturvedi should exercise the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Notes:

1. Computation of deduction under section 80G:

Particulars	₹
Gross total income (excluding long term capital gains)	5,73,240
<i>Less:</i> Deduction under section 80C, 80D & 80TTB	2,20,000
	3,53,240
10% of the above	35,324
Contribution made	50,000
Lower of the two eligible for deduction under section	35,324
Deduction under section 80G – 50% of ₹ 35,324	17,662

- Deduction under section 80G is allowed only if amount is paid by any mode other than cash, in case of amount exceeding ₹ 2,000. Therefore, the contribution made to public charitable trust is eligible for deduction since it is made by way of an account payee cheque.
- Deduction of upto ₹ 50,000 under section 80TTB is allowed to a senior citizen if gross total income includes interest income on bank deposits, both fixed deposits and savings account.
- Mr. Chaturvedi, being a senior citizen is eligible for a higher basic exemption of ₹ 3,00,000.

DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

Question 21

Mr. Rajmohan whose gross total income was ₹ 6,40,000 for the financial year 2024-25, furnishes you the following information:

- (i) Repayment of loan taken from SBI for acquisition of residential house (self-occupied) - ₹ 50,000.
- (ii) Five year post office time deposit - ₹ 20,000.
- (iii) Donation to a recognized charitable trust ₹ 25,000 which is eligible for deduction under section 80G at the applicable rate.
- (iv) Interest on loan taken for higher education of spouse paid during the year - ₹ 10,000.
- (v) Compute the total income of Mr. Rajmohan for the A.Y. 2025-26 if he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

Answer

Computation of total income of Mr. Rajmohan for the A.Y.2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
Gross Total Income		6,40,000
Less: Deduction under Chapter VI-A		
<u>Under section 80C</u>		
Repayment of loan taken for acquisition of residential house	50,000	
Five year time deposit with Post Office	20,000	
	70,000	
<u>Under section 80E</u>		
Interest on loan taken for higher education of spouse, being a relative.	10,000	
<u>Under section 80G (See Note below)</u>		
Donation to recognized charitable trust (50% of ₹ 25,000)	12,500	92,500
Total Income		5,47,500

Note: In case of deduction under section 80G in respect of donation to a charitable trust, the net qualifying amount has to be restricted to 10% of adjusted total income, i.e., gross total income less deductions under Chapter VI-A except 80G. The adjusted total income is, therefore, ₹ 5,60,000 (i.e. 6,40,000 – ₹ 80,000), 10% of which is ₹ 56,000, which is higher than the actual donation of ₹ 25,000. Therefore, the deduction under section 80G would be ₹ 12,500, being 50% of the actual donation of ₹ 25,000

Question 22

Compute the eligible deduction under Chapter VI-A for the A.Y. 2025-26 of Ms. Roma, aged 40 years, who has a gross total income of ₹ 15,00,000 for the A.Y. 2025-26 and has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). She provides the following

information about her investments/payments during the P.Y. 2024-25:

Sl. No.	Particulars	Amount (₹)
1.	Life Insurance premium paid (Policy taken on 31-03-2012 and sum assured is ₹ 4,70,000)	35,000
2.	Public Provident Fund contribution	1,50,000
3.	Repayment of housing loan to Bhartiya Mahila Bank, Bangalore	20,000
4.	Payment to L.I.C. Pension Fund	1,40,000
5.	Mediclaime Policy taken for self, wife and dependent children, premium paid by cheque	30,000
6.	Medical Insurance premium paid by cheque for parents (Senior Citizens)	52,000

Answer

Eligible deduction of Ms. Roma for A.Y. 2025-26		
Particulars	₹	₹
Deduction under section 80C		
Life insurance premium paid ₹ 35,000 (allowed in full since the same is within the limit of 20% of the sum assured, the policy being taken before 1.4.2012)	35,000	
Public Provident Fund	1,50,000	
Repayment of housing loan to Bhartiya Mahila Bank, Bangalore	20,000	
	2,05,000	
Restricted to a maximum of ₹ 1,50,000	1,50,000	
Deduction under section 80CCC for payment towards LIC pension fund	1,40,000	
	2,90,000	1,50,000
As per section 80CCE, aggregate deduction under, <i>inter alia</i> , section 80C and 80CCC, is restricted to		
Deduction under section 80D		
Payment of medical insurance premium of ₹ 30,000 towards medical policy taken for self, wife and dependent children restricted to	25,000	
Medical insurance premium paid ₹ 52,000 for parents, being senior citizens, restricted to	50,000	75,000



DEDUCTIONS FROM GROSS TOTAL INCOME

Eligible deduction under Chapter VI-A

2,25,000

Question 23

Mr. X is a resident individual. He deposits a sum of ₹ 50,000 with Life Insurance Corporation every year for the maintenance of his disabled grandfather who is wholly dependent upon him. The disability is one which comes under the Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995. A copy of the certificate from the medical authority is submitted. Compute the amount of deduction available under section 80DD for the A.Y. 2025-26, if Mr. X has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).

What will be the deduction if Mr. X had made this deposit for his dependant father?

Answer

Since the amount deposited by Mr. X was for his grandfather, he will not be allowed any deduction under section 80DD. The deduction is available if the individual assessee incurs any expense for a “dependant” disabled person. Grandfather does not come within the meaning of “dependant” as defined under section 80DD.

Since the expense was incurred for a dependant disabled person, Mr. X will be entitled to claim a deduction of ₹ 75,000 under section 80DD, irrespective of the amount deposited. In case his father has severe disability, the deduction would be ₹ 1,25,000.

Question 24

Mr. Rudra has one unit at Special Economic Zone (SEZ) and other unit at Domestic Tariff Area (DTA). He provides the following details for the previous year 2024-25.

Particulars	Mr. Rudra (₹)	Unit in DTA (₹)
Total Sales	6,00,00,000	2,00,00,000
Export Sales	5,60,00,000	1,60,00,000
Net Profit	80,00,000	20,00,000

Proceeds from export sales in SEZ received in convertible foreign exchange by 30.9.2025 is ₹ 3,00,00,000. He has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A). Calculate the eligible deduction under section 10AA of the Income-tax Act, 1961, for the Assessment Year 2025-26 if both the units were set up and start manufacturing from 22-05-2016.

Answer:

(i) Computation of deduction u/s 10AA of the Income-tax Act, 1961

As per section 10AA, in computing the total income of Mr. Rudra from his unit located in a Special Economic Zone (SEZ), which begins to manufacture or produce articles or things or provide any services during the previous year relevant to the assessment year commencing on or after 01.04.2006 but before 01.04.2021, there shall be allowed a deduction of 100% of the profit and gains derived from export of such articles or things or from services for a period of five

consecutive assessment years beginning with the assessment year relevant to the previous year in which the Unit begins to manufacture or produce such articles or things or provide services, as the case may be, and 50% of such profits for further five assessment years.

Since Mr. Rudra has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A), he would be eligible for deduction u/s 10AA.

The deduction u/s 10AA would be available only if Mr. Rudra furnishes report of chartered accountant before the date specified in section 44AB and files return of income on or before due date u/s 139(1).

Since A.Y. 2025-26 is the 9th assessment year from A.Y. 2017-18, relevant to the previous year 2016-17, in which the SEZ unit began manufacturing of articles or things, it shall be eligible for deduction of 50% of the profits derived from export of such articles or things, assuming all the other conditions specified in section 10AA are fulfilled.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Profits of Unit in SEZ} \times \frac{\text{Export turnover of Unit in SEZ} \times 50\%}{\text{Total turnover of Unit in SEZ}} \\
 &= 60 \text{ lakhs} \times \frac{300 \text{ lakhs} \times 50\%}{400 \text{ lakhs}} = ₹ 22.50 \text{ lakhs}
 \end{aligned}$$

Export turnover of Unit in SEZ is the export sales in SEZ received in convertible foreign exchange by 30.9.2025 which is ₹ 3,00,00,000.

The unit set up in Domestic Tariff Area is not eligible for the benefit of deduction u/s 10AA in respect of its export profits, in both the situations.

Working Note:

Computation of total sales, export sales and net profit of unit in SEZ			
Particulars	Rudra Ltd. (₹)	Unit in DTA (₹)	Unit in SEZ (₹)
Total Sales	6,00,00,000	2,00,00,000	4,00,00,000
Export Sales	4,60,00,000	1,60,00,000	3,00,00,000
Net Profit	80,00,000	20,00,000	60,00,000

Question 1

Mr. A, the employer, pays gross salary including allowances and monetary perquisites amounting to ₹ 7,30,000 to his General Manager. Besides, the employer provides non-monetary perquisites to him whose value is estimated at ₹ 1,20,000. The General Manager is exercising the option to shift out of the default tax regime and pay tax under the optional tax regime as per the normal provisions of the Act. What is the tax implication in the hands of Mr. A, the employer and General Manager, the employee?

Answer

Gross salary, allowances and monetary perquisites	7,30,000
Non-Monetary perquisites	<u>1,20,000</u>
	8,50,000
Less: Standard deduction under section 16(ia)	<u>50,000</u>
	<u>8,00,000</u>
Tax Liability	75,400
Average rate of tax ($\text{₹ } 75,400 / \text{₹ } 8,00,000 \times 100$)	9.425%

Mr. A can deduct ₹ 75,400 at source from the salary of the General Manager at the time of payment. Alternatively, Mr. A can pay tax on non-monetary perquisites as under – Tax on non-monetary perquisites = 9.425% of ₹ 1,20,000 = ₹ 11,310 Balance to be deducted from salary = ₹ 64,090
If Mr. A pays tax of ₹ 11,310 on non-monetary perquisites, the same is not a deductible expenditure as per section 40(a). The amount of tax paid towards non-monetary perquisite by the employer, however, is not chargeable to tax in the hands of the employee as per section 10(10CC).

Question 2

Examine the TDS implications under section 194A in the cases mentioned hereunder–

- On 1.10.2024, Mr. Harish made a six-month fixed deposit of ₹ 10 lakh@9% p.a. with ABC Co-operative Bank. The fixed deposit matures on 31.3.2025.
- On 1.6.2024, Mr. Ganesh made three nine months fixed deposits of ₹ 3 lakh each, carrying interest@9% p.a. with Dwarka Branch, Janakpuri Branch and Rohini Branch of XYZ Bank, a bank which has adopted CBS. The fixed deposits mature on 28.2.2025.
- On 1.10.2024, Mr. Rajesh started a six months recurring deposit of ₹ 2,00,000 per month@8% p.a. with PQR Bank. The recurring deposit matures on 31.3.2025.

Answer

- ABC Co-operative Bank has to deduct tax at source@10% on the interest of ₹ 45,000 ($9\% \times \text{₹ } 10 \text{ lakh} \times \frac{1}{2}$) under section 194A. The tax deductible at source under section 194A from such interest is, therefore, ₹ 4,500.
- XYZ Bank has to deduct tax at source@10% u/s 194A, since the aggregate interest on fixed deposit with the three branches of the bank is ₹ 60,750 [$3,00,000 \times 3 \times 9\% \times \frac{9}{12}$], which exceeds the threshold limit of ₹ 40,000. Since XYZ Bank has adopted CBS, the aggregate interest credited/paid by

all branches has to be considered. Since the aggregate interest of ₹ 60,750 exceeds the threshold limit of ₹ 40,000, tax has to be deducted @10% u/s 194A.

- (iii) No tax has to be deducted under section 194A by PQR Bank on the interest of ₹ 28,000 falling due on recurring deposit on 31.3.2025 to Mr. Rajesh, since such interest does not exceed the threshold limit of ₹ 40,000.

Question 3

ABC Ltd. makes the following payments to Mr. X, a contractor, for contract work during the P.Y. 2024-25—
₹ 20,000 on 1.5.2024

₹ 25,000 on 1.8.2024

₹ 28,000 on 1.12.2024

On 1.3.2025, a payment of ₹ 30,000 is due to Mr. X on account of a contract work.

Discuss whether ABC Ltd. is liable to deduct tax at source under section 194C from payments made to Mr. X.

Answer

In this case, the individual contract payments made to Mr. X does not exceed ₹ 30,000. However, since the aggregate amount paid to Mr. X during the P.Y. 2024-25 exceeds ₹ 1,00,000 (on account of the last payment of ₹ 30,000, due on 1.3.2025, taking the total from ₹ 73,000 to ₹ 1,03,000), the TDS provisions under section 194C would get attracted. Tax has to be deducted @1% on the entire amount of ₹ 1,03,000 from the last payment of ₹ 30,000 and the balance of ₹ 28,970 (i.e., ₹ 30,000 – ₹ 1,030) has to be paid to Mr. X.

Question 4

Certain concessions are granted to transport operators in the context of cash payments u/s 40A(3) and deduction of tax at source u/s 194-C. Elucidate.

Answer

Section 40A(3) provides for disallowance of expenditure incurred in respect of which payment or aggregate of payments made to a person in a day exceeds ₹ 10,000, and such payment or payments are made otherwise than by account payee cheque or account payee bank draft or use of electronic clearing system through bank account or through other prescribed electronic modes.

However, in case of payment made to transport operators for plying, hiring or leasing goods carriages, the disallowance will be attracted only if the payment made to a person in a day exceeds ₹ 35,000. Therefore, payment or aggregate of payments up to ₹ 35,000 in a day can be made to a transport operator otherwise than by way of account payee cheque or account payee bank draft or use of electronic system through bank account or through other prescribed electronic modes, without attracting disallowance u/s 40A(3). Under section 194C, tax had to be deducted in respect of payments made to contractors at the rate of 1%, in case the payment is made to individual or Hindu Undivided Family or at the rate of 2%, in any other case.

However, no deduction is required to be made from any sum credited or paid or likely to be credited or paid during the previous year to the account of a contractor, during the course of the business of plying, hiring or leasing goods carriages, if the following conditions are fulfilled:-

- (1) He owns ten or less goods carriages at any time during the previous year.

- (2) He is engaged in the business of plying, hiring or leasing goods carriages;
- (3) He has furnished a declaration to this effect along with his PAN.

Question 5

Examine the applicability of the provisions for tax deduction at source under section 194DA in the following cases -

- (i) Mr. X, a resident, is due to receive ₹ 4.50 lakhs on 30.6.2024, towards maturity proceeds of LIC policy taken on 1.7.2021, for which the sum assured is ₹ 4 lakhs and the annual premium is ₹ 1,25,000.
- (ii) Mr. Y, a resident, is due to receive ₹ 3.95 lakhs on 31.12.2024 on LIC policy taken on 31.12.2011, for which the sum assured is ₹ 3.50 lakhs and the annual premium is ₹ 26,100.
- (iii) Mr. Z, a resident, is due to receive ₹ 95,000 on 1.8.2024 towards maturity proceeds of LIC policy taken on 1.8.2017 for which the sum assured is ₹ 90,000 and the annual premium was ₹ 10,000.

Answer:

- (i) Since the annual premium exceeds 10% of sum assured in respect of a policy taken after 31.3.2012, the maturity proceeds of ₹ 4.50 lakhs due on 31.3.2024 are not exempt under section 10(10D) in the hands of Mr. X. Therefore, tax is required to be deducted @5% under section 194DA on the amount of income comprised therein i.e., on ₹ 75,000 (₹ 4,50,000, being maturity proceeds - ₹ 3,75,000, being the aggregate amount of insurance premium paid).
- (ii) Since the annual premium is less than 20% of sum assured in respect of a policy taken before 1.4.2012, the sum of ₹ 3.95 lakhs due to Mr. Y would be exempt under section 10(10D) in his hands. Hence, no tax is required to be deducted at source under section 194DA on such sum payable to Mr. Y.
- (iii) Even though the annual premium exceeds 10% of sum assured in respect of a policy taken after 31.3.2012, and consequently, the maturity proceeds of ₹ 95,000 due on 1.8.2024 would not be exempt under section 10(10D) in the hands of Mr. Z, the tax deduction provisions under section 194DA are not attracted since the maturity proceeds are less than ₹ 1 lakh.

Question 6

Moon TV, a television channel, made payment of ₹ 50 lakhs to a production house for production of programme for telecasting as per the specifications given by the channel. The copyright of the programme is also transferred to Moon TV. Would such payment be liable for tax deduction at source under section 194C? Discuss.

Also, examine whether the provisions of tax deduction at source under section 194C would be attracted if the payment was made by Moon TV for acquisition of telecasting rights of the content already produced by the production house.

Answer

In this case, since the programme is produced by the production house as per the specifications given by Moon TV, a television channel, and the copyright is also transferred to the television channel, the same falls within the scope of definition of the term 'work' under section 194C. Therefore, the payment of ₹ 50 lakhs made by Moon TV to the production house would be subject to tax deduction at source under section 194C.

If, however, the payment was made by Moon TV for acquisition of telecasting rights of the content already produced by the production house, there is no contract for "carrying out any work", as required

in section 194C(1). Therefore, such payment would not be liable for tax deduction at source under section 194C.

Question 7

XYZ Ltd. pays ₹ 50,000 per month as rent to the Mr. Kishore for a building in which one of its branches is situated. Discuss whether TDS provisions under section 194-I are attracted.

Answer

Section 194-I, which governs the deduction of tax at source on payment of rent, exceeding ₹ 2,40,000 p.a., is applicable to all taxable entities except individuals and HUFs, whose total sales, gross receipts or turnover from the business or profession carried on by him does not exceed ₹ 1 crore in case of business and ₹ 50 lakhs in case of profession during the financial year immediately preceding financial year in which such rent was credited or paid, is liable to deduct tax at source.

Since the rent paid by XYZ Ltd. to Mr. Kishore exceeds ₹ 2,40,000, the provisions of section 194-I for deduction of tax at source attracted.

The rate applicable for deduction at source under section 194-I on rent paid is 10%, assuming that Mr. Kishore had furnished his PAN to XYZ Ltd.

Therefore, the amount of tax to be deducted at source = ₹ 6,00,000 × 10% = ₹ 60,000

Question 8

Mr. X, a salaried individual, pays rent of ₹ 55,000 per month to Mr. Y from June, 2024. Is he required to deduct tax at source? If so, when is he required to deduct tax? Also, compute the amount of tax to be deducted at source.

Would your answer change if Mr. X vacated the premises on 31st December, 2024? Also, what would be your answer if Mr. Y does not provide his PAN to Mr. X?

Answer

Since Mr. X pays rent exceeding ₹ 50,000 per month in the F.Y. 2024-25, he is liable to deduct tax at source @5% till 30.09.2024 and thereafter @2%. The tax is to be deducted in the last month of the P.Y. 2024-25 i.e., March 2025 or in the last month of tenancy, if the property is vacated during the year,. Since property is not vacated during the year, ₹ 11,000 [(₹ 55,000 × 2% × 10)] has to be deducted from rent payable for March, 2025.

If Mr. X vacated the premises in December, 2024, then tax of ₹ 7,700 [(₹ 55,000 × 2% × 7)] has to be deducted from rent payable for December, 2024.

In case Mr. Y does not provide his PAN to Mr. X, tax would be deductible@20%, instead of 2%.

In case 1 above, this would amount to ₹ 1,10,000 [₹ 55,000 × 20% × 10], but the same has to be restricted to ₹ 55,000, being rent for March, 2025.

In case 2 above, this would amount to ₹ 77,000 [₹ 55,000 × 20% × 7], but the same has to be restricted to ₹ 55,000, being rent for December, 2024.

Question 9

XYZ Ltd. makes a payment of ₹ 28,000 to Mr. Ganesh on 2.8.2024 towards fees for professional services and another payment of ₹ 25,000 to him on the same date towards fees for technical services. Discuss whether TDS provisions under section 194J are attracted.

Answer

TDS provisions under section 194J would not get attracted, since the limit of ₹ 30,000 is applicable for fees for professional services and fees for technical services, separately. It is assumed that there is no other payment to Mr. Ganesh towards fees for professional services and fees for technical services during the P.Y.2024-25.

Question 10

Examine whether TDS provisions would be attracted in the following cases, and if so, under which section. Also specify the rate of TDS applicable in each case. Assume that all payments are made to residents.

	Particulars of the payer	Nature of payment	Aggregate of payments made in the F.Y.2024-25
1.	Mr. Ganesh, an individual carrying on retail business with turnover of ₹ 2.5 crores in the P.Y.2023-24	Contract Payment for repair of residential house	₹ 5 lakhs
2.	Mr. Rajesh, a wholesale trader whose turnover was ₹ 95 lakhs in P.Y. 2023-24.	Payment of commission to Mr. Vallish for business purposes	₹ 80,000 in November 2024
3.	Mr. Satish, a salaried individual	Contract Payment for reconstruction of residential house (made during the period January-March, 2025)	₹ 20 lakhs in January, 2025, ₹ 15 lakhs in Feb 2025 and ₹ 20 lakhs in March 2025.
4.	Mr. Dheeraj, a pensioner	Payment of brokerage for buying a residential house in March, 2025	₹ 51 lakhs
		Contract payment made during October-November 2024 for reconstruction of residential house	₹ 48 lakhs

Answer:

	Particulars of the payer	Nature of payment	Aggregate of payments in the F.Y.2024-25	Whether TDS provisions are attracted?
1.	Mr. Ganesh, an individual carrying on retail	Contract Payment for repair of residential	₹ 5 lakhs	No; TDS under section 194C is not attracted since the payment is for personal purpose. TDS

	business with turnover of ₹ 2.5 crores in the P.Y.2023-24	house		under section 194M is not attracted as aggregate of contract payment to the payee in the P.Y.2024-25 does not exceed ₹ 50 lakh.
		Payment of commission to Mr. Vallish for business purposes	₹ 80,000	Yes, u/s 194H @2%, since the payment exceeds ₹ 15,000, and Mr. Ganesh's turnover exceeds ₹ 1 crore in the P.Y.2023-24.
2.	Mr. Rajesh, a wholesale trader whose turnover was ₹ 95 lakhs in P.Y. 2023-24	Contract Payment for reconstruction of residential house	₹ 55 lakhs	Yes, u/s 194M @2%, since the aggregate of payments (i.e., ₹ 55 lakhs) exceed ₹ 50 lakhs. Since, his turnover does not exceed 1 crore in the P.Y.2023-24, TDS provisions under section 194C are not attracted in respect of payments made in the P.Y. 2024-25.
3.	Mr. Satish, a salaried individual	Payment of brokerage for buying a residential house	₹ 51 lakhs	Yes, u/s 194M @2%, since the payment of ₹ 51 lakhs made in March 2025 exceeds the threshold of ₹ 50 lakhs. Since Mr. Satish is a salaried individual, the provisions of section 194H are not applicable in this case.
4.	Mr. Dheeraj, a pensioner	Contract payment for reconstruction of residential house	₹ 48 lakhs	TDS provisions under section 194C are not attracted since Mr. Dheeraj is a pensioner. TDS provisions under section 194M are also not applicable in this case, since the payment of ₹ 48 lakhs does not exceed the threshold of

₹ 50 lakhs.

Question 11

Mr. X sold his house property in Bangalore as well as his rural agricultural land for a consideration of ₹ 60 lakh and ₹ 15 lakh, respectively, to Mr. Y on 1.8.2024. He has purchased the house property and the land in the year 2023 for ₹ 40 lakh and ₹ 10 lakh, respectively. The stamp duty value on the date of transfer, i.e., 1.8.2024, is ₹ 85 lakh and ₹ 20 lakh for the house property and rural agricultural land, respectively. Examine the tax implications in the hands of Mr. X and Mr. Y and the TDS implications, if any, in the hands of Mr. Y, assuming that both Mr. X and Mr. Y are resident Indians.

Answer:

(i)	Tax implications in the hands of Mr. X
	As per section 50C, the stamp duty value of house property (i.e. ₹ 85 lakh) would be deemed to be the full value of consideration arising on transfer of property, since the stamp duty value exceeds 110% of the consideration received. Therefore, ₹ 45 lakh (i.e., ₹ 85 lakh – ₹ 40 lakh, being the purchase price) would be taxable as short-term capital gains in the A.Y.2025-26. Since rural agricultural land is not a capital asset, the gains arising on sale of such land is not taxable in the hands of Mr. X.
(ii)	Tax implications in the hands of Mr. Y
	In case immovable property is received for inadequate consideration, the difference between the stamp value and actual consideration would be taxable under section 56(2)(x), if such difference exceeds the higher of ₹ 50,000 and 10% of the consideration. Therefore, in this case ₹ 25 lakh (₹ 85 lakh – ₹ 60 lakh) would be taxable in the hands of Mr. Y under section 56(2)(x). Since agricultural land is not a capital asset, the provisions of section 56(2)(x) are not attracted in respect of receipt of agricultural land for inadequate consideration, since the definition of “property” under section 56(2)(x) includes only capital assets specified thereunder.
(iii)	TDS implications in the hands of Mr. Y
	Since the sale consideration of house property or the stamp duty value of house property exceeds ₹ 50 lakh, Mr. Y is required to deduct tax at source under section 194-IA. The tax to be deducted under section 194-IA would be ₹ 85,000, being 1% of ₹ 85 lakhs (higher of ₹ 60 lakhs or ₹ 85 lakhs). TDS provisions under section 194-IA are not attracted in respect of transfer of rural agricultural land.

Question 12

Mr. Sharma, a resident Indian aged 77 years, gets pension of ₹ 52,000 per month from the UP State Government. The same is credited to his savings account in SBI, Lucknow Branch. In addition, he gets

interest@8% p.a. on fixed deposit of ₹ 20 lakh with the said bank. Out of the deposit of ₹ 20 lakh, ₹ 2 lakh represents five year term deposit made by him on 1.4.2024. Interest on savings bank credited to his SBI savings account for the P.Y.2024-25 is ₹ 9,500.

- (1) From the above facts, compute the total income and tax liability of Mr. Sharma for the A.Y. 2025-26, assuming that he has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).
- (2) What would be the amount of tax deductible at source by SBI, assuming that the same is a specified bank? Is Mr. Sharma required to file his return of income for A.Y.2025-26, if tax deductible at source has been fully deducted? Examine.
- (3) Is Mr. Sharma required to file his return of income for A.Y. 2025-26, if the fixed deposit of ₹ 20 lakh was with Canara Bank instead of SBI, other facts remaining the same?

Answer

(1)

Computation of total income of Mr. Sharma for A.Y.2025-26			
Particulars		₹	₹
I Salaries	Pension (₹ 52,000 × 12)	6,24,000	
	Less: Standard deduction u/s 16(ia)	50,000	
			5,74,000
II Income from Other Sources	Interest on fixed deposit (₹ 20 lakh × 8%)	1,60,000	
	Interest on savings account	9,500	1,69,500
	Gross total income		7,43,500
Less: Deductions under Chapter VI-A			
Under Section 80C			
Five year term deposit (₹ 2 lakh, restricted to ₹ 1.5 lakh)		1,50,000	
Under section 80TTB			
Interest on fixed deposit and savings account, restricted to 50,000, since Mr. Sharma is a resident Indian of the age of 77 years.		50,000	2,00,000
Total Income			5,43,500

Computation of tax liability for A.Y.2025-26	
Particulars	₹

Tax payable [$\text{₹ } 43,500 \times 20\% + \text{₹ } 10,000$] Add: Health and Education Cess@4% Tax liability	18,700 748
Tax liability (rounded off)	19,448 19,450

- (2) SBI, being a specified bank, is required to deduct tax at source u/s 194P and remit the same to the Central Government. In such a case, Mr. Sharma would not be required to file his return of income u/s 139.
- (3) If the fixed deposit of ₹ 20 lakh is with a bank other than SBI, which is the bank where his pension is credited, then, Mr. Sharma would not qualify as a “specified senior citizen”. In this case, Mr. Sharma would have to file his return of income u/s 139, since his total income (without giving effect to deduction under Chapter VI-A) exceeds the basic exemption limit.

Question 13

Mr. Gupta, a resident Indian, is in retail business and his turnover for F.Y.2023-24 was ₹ 12 crores. He regularly purchases goods from another resident, Mr. Agarwal, a wholesaler, and the aggregate payments during the F.Y.2024-25 was ₹ 95 lakh (₹ 20 lakh on 1.6.2024, ₹ 25 lakh on 12.8.2024, ₹ 22 lakh on 23.11.2024 and ₹ 28 lakh on 25.3.2025). Assume that the said amounts were credited to Mr. Agarwal's account in the books of Mr. Gupta on the same date. Mr. Agarwal's turnover for F.Y.2023-24 was ₹ 15 crores.

- (1) Based on the above facts, examine the TDS/TCS implications, if any, under the Income-tax Act, 1961.
- (2) Would your answer be different if Mr. Gupta's turnover for F.Y.2023-24 was ₹ 8 crores, all other facts remaining the same?
- (3) Would your answer to (1) and (2) change, if PAN has not been furnished by the buyer or seller, as required?

Answer

- (1) Since Mr. Gupta's turnover for F.Y.2023-24 exceeds 10 crores, and payments made by him to Mr. Agarwal, a resident seller exceed ₹ 50 lakhs in the P.Y.2024-25, he is liable to deduct tax@0.1% of ₹ 45 lakhs (being the sum exceeding ₹ 50 lakhs) in the following manner –

No tax is to be deducted u/s 194Q on the payments made on 1.6.2024 and 12.8.2024, since the aggregate payments till that date i.e. 45 lakhs, has not exceeded the threshold of ₹ 50 lakhs.

Tax of ₹ 1,700 (i.e., 0.1% of ₹ 17 lakhs) has to be deducted u/s 194Q from the payment/ credit of ₹ 22 lakh on 23.11.2024 [₹ 22 lakh – ₹ 5 lakhs, being the balance unexhausted threshold limit].

Tax of ₹ 2,800 (i.e., 0.1% of ₹ 28 lakhs) has to be deducted u/s 194Q from the payment/ credit of ₹ 28 lakhs on 25.3.2025.

Note – In this case, since both section 194Q and 206C(1H) applies, tax has to be deducted u/s 194Q.

- (2) If Mr. Gupta's turnover for the F.Y.2023-24 was only ₹ 8 crores, TDS provisions under section 194Q would not be attracted. However, TCS provisions under section 206C(1H) would be attracted in the hands of Mr. Agarwal, since his turnover exceeds ₹ 10 crores in the F.Y.2023-24 and his receipts from Mr. Gupta exceed ₹ 50 lakhs.

No tax is to be collected u/s 206C(1H) on 1.6.2024 and 12.8.2024, since the aggregate receipts till that date i.e. 45 lakhs, has not exceeded the threshold of ₹ 50 lakhs.

Tax of ₹ 1,700 (i.e., 0.1% of ₹ 17 lakhs) has to be collected u/s 206C(1H) on 23.11.2024 (₹ 22 lakh – ₹ 5 lakhs, being the balance unexhausted threshold limit).

Tax of ₹ 2,800 (i.e., 0.1% of ₹ 28 lakhs) has to be collected u/s 206C(1H) on 25.3.2025.

- (3) In case (1), if PAN is not furnished by Mr. Agarwal to Mr. Gupta, then, Mr. Gupta has to deduct tax@5%, instead of 0.1%. Accordingly, tax of ₹ 85,000 (i.e., 5% of ₹ 17 lakhs) and ₹ 1,40,000 (5% of ₹ 28 lakhs) has to be deducted by Mr. Gupta u/s 194Q on 23.11.2024 and 25.3.2025, respectively.

In case (2), if PAN is not furnished by Mr. Gupta to Mr. Agarwal, then, Mr. Agarwal has to collect tax@1% instead of 0.1%. Accordingly, tax of ₹ 17,000 (i.e., 1% of ₹ 17 lakhs) and ₹ 28,000 (1% of ₹ 28 lakhs) has to be collected by Mr. Agarwal u/s 206C(1H) on 23.11.2024 and 25.3.2025, respectively.

Question 14

Ashwin doing manufacture and wholesale trade furnishes you the following information:

Total turnover for the financial year -	
Particulars	₹
2023-24	1,05,00,000
2024-25	95,00,000

Examine whether tax deduction at source provisions are attracted for the below said expenses incurred during the financial year 2024-25:

Particulars	₹
Interest paid to UCO Bank on 15.8.2024	41,000
Contract payment to Raj (2 contracts of ₹ 12,000 each) on 12.12.2024	24,000
Shop rent paid (one payee) on 21.1.2025	2,50,000
Commission paid to Balu on 15.3.2025	7,000

Answer

As the turnover of business carried on by Ashwin for F.Y. 2023-24, has exceeded ₹ 1 crore, he has to comply with the tax deduction provisions during the financial year 2024-25, subject to the exemptions provided for under the relevant sections for applicability of TDS provisions.

Interest paid to UCO Bank

TDS under section 194A is not attracted in respect of interest paid to a banking company.

Contract payment of ₹ 24,000 to Raj for 2 contracts of ₹ 12,000 each

TDS provisions under section 194C would not be attracted if the amount paid to a contractor does not exceed ₹ 30,000 in a single payment or

₹ 1,00,000 in the aggregate during the financial year. Therefore, TDS provisions under section 194C are not attracted in this case.

Shop Rent paid to one payee – Tax has to be deducted @10% under section 194-I as the annual rental payment exceeds ₹ 2,40,000.

Commission paid to Balu – No, tax has to be deducted under section 194H in this case as the commission does not exceed ₹ 15,000.

Question 15

Compute the amount of tax deduction at source on the following payments made by M/s S Ltd. during the financial year 2024-25 as per the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

S. No.	Date	Nature of Payment
(i)	1-10-2024	Payment of ₹ 2,00,000 to Mr. R, a transporter who owns 8 goods carriages throughout the previous year and furnishes a declaration to this effect along with his PAN.
(ii)	1-11-2024	Payment of fee for technical services of ₹ 25,000 and Royalty of ₹ 20,000 to Mr. Shyam who is having PAN.
(iii)	30-06-2024	Payment of ₹ 25,000 to M/s X Ltd. for repair of building.
(iv)	01-01-2025	Payment of ₹ 2,00,000 made to Mr. A for purchase of diaries made according to specifications of M/s S Ltd. However, no material was supplied for such diaries to Mr. A by M/s S Ltd or its associates.
(v)	01-01-2025	Payment of ₹ 2,30,000 made to Mr. Bharat for compulsory acquisition of his house as per law of the State Government.
(vi)	01-02-2025	Payment of commission of ₹ 14,000 to Mr. Y.

Answer

- (i) No tax is required to be deducted at source under section 194C by M/s S Ltd. on payment to transporter Mr. R, since he satisfies the following conditions:
- (1) He owns ten or less goods carriages at any time during the previous year.
 - (2) He is engaged in the business of plying, hiring or leasing goods carriages;
 - (3) He has furnished a declaration to this effect along with his PAN.
- (ii) As per section 194J, liability to deduct tax is attracted only in case the payment made as fees for technical services and royalty, individually, exceeds ₹ 30,000 during the financial year. In the given case, since, the individual payments for fee of technical services i.e., ₹ 25,000 and royalty ₹ 20,000 is less than ₹ 30,000 each, there is no liability to deduct tax at source. It is assumed that no other

payment towards fees for technical services and royalty were made during the year to Mr. Shyam.

- (iii) Provisions of section 194C are not attracted in this case, since the payment for repair of building on 30.06.2024 to M/s X Ltd. is less than the threshold limit of ₹ 30,000.
- (iv) According to section 194C, the definition of “work” does not include the manufacturing or supply of product according to the specification by customer in case the material is purchased from a person other than the customer or associate of such customer. Therefore, there is no liability to deduct tax at source in respect of payment of ₹ 2,00,000 to Mr. A, since the contract is a contract for ‘sale’.
- (v) As per section 194LA, any person responsible for payment to a resident, any sum in the nature of compensation or consideration on account of compulsory acquisition under any law, of any immovable property, is responsible for deduction of tax at source if such payment or the aggregate amount of such payments to the resident during the financial year exceeds ₹ 2,50,000. In the given case, no liability to deduct tax at source is attracted as the payment made does not exceed ₹ 2,50,000.
- (vi) As per section 194H, tax is deductible at source if the amount of commission or brokerage or the aggregate of the amounts of commission or brokerage credited or paid during the financial year exceeds ₹ 15,000.
Since the commission payment made to Mr. Y does not exceed ₹ 15,000, the provisions of section 194H are not attracted.

Question 16

Examine the applicability of TDS provisions and TDS amount in the following cases:

- (a) Rent paid for hire of machinery by B Ltd. to Mr. Raman ₹ 2,60,000 on 27.9.2024.
- (b) Fee paid on 1.12.2024 to Dr. Srivatsan by Sundar (HUF) ₹ 35,000 for surgery performed on a member of the family.
- (c) ABC and Co. Ltd. paid ₹ 19,000 to one of its Directors as sitting fees on 01-01-2025.

Answer

- (a) Since the rent paid for hire of machinery by B. Ltd. to Mr. Raman exceeds ₹ 2,40,000, the provisions of section 194-I for deduction of tax at source are attracted.
The rate applicable for deduction of tax at source under section 194-I on rent paid for hire of plant and machinery is 2%, assuming that Mr. Raman had furnished his permanent account number to B Ltd.
Therefore, the amount of tax to be deducted at source = ₹ 2,60,000 × 2% = ₹ 5,200.
Note: In case Mr. Raman does not furnish his permanent account number to B Ltd., tax shall be deducted @ 20% on ₹ 2,60,000, by virtue of provisions of section 206AA.
- (b) As per the provisions of section 194J, a Hindu Undivided Family is required to deduct tax at source on fees paid for professional services only if the total sales, gross receipts or turnover from the business or profession exceed ₹ 1 crore in case of business or ₹ 50 lakhs in case of profession, as the case may be, in the financial year preceding the current financial year and such payment made for professional services is not exclusively for the personal purpose of any member of Hindu

Advance Tax, TDS and TCS

Undivided Family.

Section 194M, provides for deduction of tax at source by a HUF (which is not required to deduct tax at source under section 194J) in respect of fees for professional service if such sum or aggregate of such sum exceeds ₹ 50 lakhs during the financial year.

In the given case, the fees for professional service to Dr. Srivatsan is paid on 1.12.2024 for a personal purpose, therefore, section 194J is not attracted. Section 194M would have been attracted if the payment or aggregate of payments exceeded ₹ 50 lakhs in the P.Y.2024-25. However, since the payment does not exceed ₹ 50 lakh in this case, there is no liability to deduct tax at source under section 194M also.

- (c) Section 194J provides for deduction of tax at source @10% from any sum paid by way of any remuneration or fees or commission, by whatever name called, to a resident director, which is not in the nature of salary on which tax is deductible under section 192. The threshold limit of ₹ 30,000 upto which the provisions of tax deduction at source are not attracted in respect of every other payment covered under section 194J is, however, not applicable in respect of sum paid to a director.

Therefore, tax@10% has to be deducted at source under section 194J in respect of the sum of ₹ 19,000 paid by ABC Ltd. to its director.

Therefore, the amount of tax to be deducted at source = ₹ 19,000 × 10% = ₹ 1,900

Question 17

Examine the applicability of tax deduction at source provisions, the rate and amount of tax deduction in the following cases for the F.Y. 2024-25:

- (1) Payment made by a company to Mr. Ram, sub-contractor, ₹ 3,00,000 with outstanding balance of ₹ 1,20,000 shown in the books as on 31.3.2025.
- (2) Winning from horse race ₹ 1,50,000 paid to Mr. Shyam, an Indian resident.
- (3) ₹ 2,00,000 paid to Mr. A, a resident individual, on 22-02-2025 by the State of Uttar Pradesh on compulsory acquisition of his urban land.

Answer

- (1) Provisions of tax deduction at source under section 194C are attracted in respect of payment by a company to a sub-contractor. Under section 194C, tax is deductible at the time of credit or payment, whichever is earlier @1% in case the payment is made to an individual.

Since the aggregate amount credited or paid during the year is ₹ 4,20,000, tax is deductible @1% on ₹ 4,20,000.

Tax to be deducted = ₹ 4,20,000 × 1% = ₹ 4,200

- (2) Under section 194BB, tax is to be deducted at source, if the winnings from horse races exceed ₹ 10,000. The rate of deduction of tax at source is 30%.

Hence, tax to be deducted = ₹ 1,50,000 × 30% = ₹ 45,000.

- (3) As per section 194LA, any person responsible for payment to a resident, any sum in the nature of compensation or consideration on account of compulsory acquisition under any law, of any immovable property, is required to deduct tax at source, if such payment or the aggregate amount of such payments to the resident during the financial year exceeds ₹ 2,50,000.

In the given case, there is no liability to deduct tax at source as the payment made to Mr. A does not exceed ₹ 2,50,000.

Question 18

Briefly discuss the provisions relating to payment of advance tax on income arising from capital gains and casual income.

Answer

The proviso to section 234C contains the provisions for payment of advance tax in case of capital gains and casual income.

Advance tax is payable by an assessee on his/its total income, which includes capital gains and casual income like income from lotteries, crossword puzzles, etc.

Since it is not possible for the assessee to estimate his capital gains, or income from lotteries etc., it has been provided that if any such income arises after the due date for any instalment, then, the entire amount of the tax payable (after considering tax deducted at source) on such capital gains or casual income should be paid in the remaining instalments of advance tax, which are due.

Where no such instalment is due, the entire tax should be paid by 31st March of the relevant financial year. No interest liability on late payment would arise if the entire tax liability is so paid.

Note: In case of casual income the entire tax liability is fully deductible at source @30% under section 194B, 194BA and 194BB. Therefore, advance tax liability would arise only if the surcharge, if any, and health and education cess@4% in respect thereof, along with tax liability in respect of other income, if any, is 10,000 or more.

Question 1

Paras aged 55 years is a resident of India. During the F.Y. 2024-25, interest of ₹ 2,88,000 was credited to his Non-resident (External) Account with SBI. ₹ 30,000, being interest on fixed deposit with SBI, was credited to his saving bank account during this period. He also earned ₹ 3,000 as interest on this saving account. Is Paras required to file return of income?

What will be your answer, if he has incurred ₹ 3 lakhs as travel expenditure of self and spouse to US to stay with his married daughter for some time?

Answer

An individual is required to furnish a return of income under section 139(1) if his total income, before giving effect to the deductions under Chapter VI-A or exemption under section or section 54/54B/54D/54EC or 54F, exceeds the maximum amount not chargeable to tax i.e. ₹ 3,00,000 under default tax regime u/s 115BAC(1A) and

₹ 2,50,000 if exercises the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A) (for A.Y. 2025-26).

Computation of total income of Mr. Paras for A.Y. 2025-26	
Particulars	₹
Income from other sources	
Interest earned from Non-resident (External) Account ₹ 2,88,000 [Exempt under section 10(4)(ii), assuming that Mr. Paras has been permitted by RBI to maintain the aforesaid account]	NIL
Interest on fixed deposit with SBI	30,000
Interest on savings bank account	3,000
Gross Total Income	33,000
<i>Less:</i> Deduction under Chapter VI-A (not available under the default tax regime under section 115BAC)	-
Total Income	33,000

Since the total income of Mr. Paras for A.Y.2025-26, before giving effect to the deductions under Chapter VI-A, is less than the basic exemption limit in both regimes, he is not required to file return of income for A.Y.2025-26.

If he has incurred expenditure of ₹ 3 lakhs on foreign travel of self and spouse, he has to mandatorily file his return of income on or before the due date under section 139(1), even if his income is less than the basic exemption limit.

Question 2

Explain with brief reasons whether the return of income can be revised under section 139(5) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 in the following cases:

- Belated return filed under section 139(4).
- Return already revised once under section 139(5).

(iii) Return of loss filed under section 139(3).

Answer

Any person who has furnished a return under section 139(1) or 139(4) can file a revised return at any time before three months prior to the end of the relevant assessment year or before the completion of assessment, whichever is earlier, if he discovers any omission or any wrong statement in the return filed earlier. Accordingly,

- (i) A belated return filed under section 139(4) can be revised.
- (ii) A return revised earlier can be revised again as the first revised return replaces the original return. Therefore, if the assessee discovers any omission or wrong statement in such a revised return, he can furnish a second revised return within the prescribed time i.e. at any time before three months prior to the end of the relevant assessment year or before the completion of assessment, whichever is earlier. It implies that a return of income can be revised more than once within the prescribed time.
- (iii) A return of loss filed under section 139(3) is deemed to be return filed under section 139(1), and therefore, can be revised under section 139(5).

Question 3

Mrs. Hetal, an individual engaged in the business of Beauty Parlour, has got her books of account for the financial year ended on 31st March, 2025 audited under section 44AB. Her total income for the A.Y. 2025-26 is ₹ 6,35,000. She wants to furnish her return of income for A.Y. 2025-26 through a tax return preparer. Can she do so?

Answer

Section 139B provides a scheme for submission of return of income for any assessment year through a Tax Return Preparer. However, it is not applicable to persons whose books of account are required to be audited under section 44AB. Therefore, Mrs. Hetal cannot furnish her return of income for A.Y.2025-26 through a Tax Return Preparer.

Question 4

State with reasons whether you agree or disagree with the following statements:

- (a) Return of income of Limited Liability Partnership (LLP) could be verified by any partner.
- (b) Time limit for filing return under section 139(1) in the case of Mr. A having total turnover of ₹ 160 lakhs (₹ 100 lakhs received in cash) for the year ended 31.03.2025 whether or not declaring presumptive income under section 44AD, is 31st October, 2025.

Answer

1. Disagree

The return of income of LLP should be verified by a designated partner.

Any other partner can verify the Return of Income of LLP only in the following cases:-

- (i) where for any unavoidable reason such designated partner is not able to verify the return, or,
- (ii) where there is no designated partner.

2. Disagree

In case Mr. A offers his business income as per the presumptive taxation provisions of section 44AD (₹ 11.60 lakhs or more), then, the due date under section 139(1) for filing of return of income for the year ended 31.03.2025, shall be 31st July, 2025.

In case, Mr. A wants to declare business income lower than ₹ 11.60 lakhs, he has to get his accounts audited under section 44AB, since his turnover exceeds ₹ 1 crore, in which case, the due date for filing return would be 31st October, 2025.

Question 5

Mr. Vineet exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A) and submits his return of income under the optional tax regime (i.e., the normal provisions of the Act) on 12-09-2025 for A.Y. 2025-26 consisting of income under the head “Salaries”, “Income from house property” and bank interest. On 21-12-2025, he realized that he had not claimed deduction under section 80TTA in respect of his interest income on the Savings Bank Account. He wants to revise his return of income. Can he do so? Examine. Would your answer be different if he discovered this omission on 21- 03-2026?

Answer

Since Mr. Vineet has income only under the heads “Salaries”, “Income from house property” and “Income from other sources”, he does not fall under the category of a person whose accounts are required to be audited under the Income-tax Act, 1961 or any other law in force. Therefore, the due date of filing return for A.Y.2025-26 under section 139(1), in his case, is 31st July, 2025. Since Mr. Vineet had submitted his return only on 12.9.2025, the said return is a belated return under section 139(4).

As per section 139(5), a return furnished under section 139(1) or a belated return u/s 139(4) can be revised. Thus, a belated return under section 139(4) can also be revised. Therefore, Mr. Vineet can revise the return of income filed by him under section 139(4) in December 2025, to claim deduction under section 80TTA, since the time limit for filing a revised return is three months prior to the end of the relevant assessment year, which is 31.12.2025.

However, he cannot revise return had he discovered this omission only on 21- 03-2026, since it is beyond 31.12.2025.

Question 6

Examine with reasons, whether the following statements are true or false, with regard to the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961:

- (i) The Assessing Officer has the power, inter alia, to allot PAN to any person by whom no tax is payable.
- (ii) Where the Karta of a HUF is absent from India, the return of income can be verified by any male member of the family.

Answer

- (i) **True:** Section 139A(2) provides that the Assessing Officer may, having regard to the nature of transactions as may be prescribed, also allot a PAN to any other person, whether any tax is payable by him or not, in the manner and in accordance with the procedure as may be prescribed.
- (ii) **False:** Section 140(b) provides that where the Karta of a HUF is absent from India, the return of income can be verified by any other adult member of the family; such member can be a male or female member.

Question 7

Explain the term “return of loss” under the Income-tax Act, 1961. Can any loss be carried forward even if return of loss has not been filed as required?

Answer

A return of loss is a return which shows certain losses. Section 80 provides that the losses specified therein cannot be carried forward, unless such losses are determined in pursuance of return filed under the provisions of section 139(3).

Section 139(3) states that to carry forward the losses specified therein, the return should be filed within the time specified in section 139(1).

Following losses are covered by section 139(3):

- business loss to be carried forward under section 72(1),
- speculation business loss to be carried forward under section 73(2),
- loss from specified business to be carried forward under section 73A(2), in case the assessee has exercised the option of shifting out of the default tax regime provided under section 115BAC(1A).
- loss under the head “Capital Gains” to be carried forward under section 74(1); and
- loss incurred in the activity of owning and maintaining race horses to be carried forward under section 74A(3)

However, loss from house property to be carried forward under section 71B and unabsorbed depreciation under section 32 can be carried forward even if return of loss has not been filed as required under section 139(3).

Question 8

Mr. Aakash has undertaken certain transactions during the F.Y.2024-25, which are listed below. You are required to identify the transactions in respect of which quoting of PAN is mandatory in the related documents –

S. No.	Transaction
1.	Payment of life insurance premium of ₹ 45,000 in the F.Y.2024-25 by account payee cheque to LIC for insuring life of self and spouse
2.	Payment of ₹ 1,00,000 to a five-star hotel for stay for 5 days with family, out of which ₹ 60,000 was paid in cash
3.	Payment of ₹ 80,000 by ECS through bank account for acquiring the debentures of A Ltd., an Indian company

4.	Payment of ₹ 95,000 by account payee cheque to Thomas Cook for travel to Dubai for 3 days to visit relatives
5.	Applied to SBI for issue of credit card.

Answer

	Transaction	Is quoting of PAN mandatory in related documents?
1.	Payment of life insurance premium of ₹ 45,000 in the F.Y.2024-25 by account payee cheque to LIC for insuring life of self and spouse	No, since the amount paid does not exceed ₹ 50,000 in the F.Y.2024-25.
2.	Payment of ₹ 1,00,000 to a five-star hotel for stay for 5 days with family, out of which ₹ 60,000 was paid in cash	Yes, since the amount paid in cash exceeds ₹ 50,000
3.	Payment of ₹ 80,000, by ECS through bank account, for acquiring the debentures of A Ltd., an Indian company	Yes, since the amount paid for acquiring debentures exceeds ₹ 50,000. Mode of payment is not relevant in this case.
4.	Payment of ₹ 95,000 by account payee cheque to Thomas Cook for travel to Dubai for 3 days to visit relatives	No, since the amount was paid by account payee cheque, quoting of PAN is not mandatory even though the payment exceeds ₹ 50,000
5.	Applied to SBI for issue of credit card.	Yes, quoting of PAN is mandatory on making an application to a banking company for issue of credit card.



ROI & Self-Assessment



TAX THALAIVA

CA DEEPAK PATEL

YouTube - "Tax Thalaiva – CA Deepak Patel"

Instagram - tax_thalaiva

App - Tax Thalaiva (Android and ios support)

Telegram - @taxthalaiva

(CA Final - Direct Tax - 78 Marks)

About CA DEEPAK PATEL

- Qualified 'CA' in 2019.
- 5 years experience with "Big 4" in "Corporate Tax Domain".
- Teaching experience of 5+ years
- Taught more than 2000 students through offline classes at reputed coaching Institutes of South India.
- As a New Year resolution for 2025, Tax Thalaiva decided to create more number of "Tax Thalaiva's" by sharing his knowledge and experience through online teaching as well.