Lecture

3

Nature, Objective and Scope of Audit

(3)	3) Meaning, Nature and Types of Engagements		
	Engagement		
	• An arrangement to do something.		
	• In context of auditing, it means a formal agreement between auditor and client under		
	which auditor agrees to provide auditing services.		
	• It takes the shape of engagement letter.		
	External audit engagements		
	Purpose of external audit engagements is to enhance the degree of confidence of intended		
	users of F.S. Such engagements are also reasonable assurance engagements.		
	Assurance Engagement		
	Engagement in which a practitioner expresses a conclusion designed to enhance degree of		
	confidence of intended users other than responsible party about outcome of evaluation or		
	measurement of a subject matter against criteria.		
Elements of an Assurance Engagement			
	(a) Three Party relationship: Assurance engagement involves 3 parties:		
	• Practitioner: Person who provides assurance. Practitioner is broader than auditor.		
	Audit is related to historical information whereas practitioner may provide		
	assurance not necessarily related to historical financial information.		
	• Responsible party: Party responsible for preparation of subject matter.		
	• Intended users: Persons for whom an assurance report is prepared. These persons		
	may use report in making decisions.		
	(b) Subject matter: Information to be examined by practitioner. For example: Financial		
	information contained in F.S. while conducting audit of F.S.		
	(c) Suitable Criteria: Benchmarks used to evaluate subject matter like standards,		
	guidance, laws, rules and regulations.		
	(d) Sufficient appropriate evidence: Practitioner performs assurance engagement to obtain		
	sufficient appropriate evidence. Conclusions are arrived & opinion is formed on basis		
	of evidences.		

"Sufficiency" relates to quant	ity of evidence; whereas "+	Appropriateness" relates t		
quality of evidence.				
(e) Written assurance report: Outo	come of assurance engagen	nent & contains conclusio		
that conveys the assurance al	bout the subject matter.			
v	Ŭ			
Types of Assurance Engagements				
Reasonable Assurance Limited Assuran				
	Engagement	Engagement		
Level of Assurance	High, but not absolute	Lower than reasonable		
Nature of Procedures to obtain	Elaborate & extensive	limited procedures		
evidences	procedures	(Inquiry & APs)		
Conclusion Obtained	Reasonable conclusions.	Limited conclusions.		
Example	Audit engagement. 🔥	• Review engagement;		
		• Examination of PFI.		
Examples of Assurance Engagement	ts all all all all all all all all all al			
• Audit of F.S Reasonable assu	Audit of F.S. – Reasonable assurance engagement			
Review of F.S Limited assurance engagement				
• Examination of PFI - Provides	assurance regarding reasond	ability of assumptions		
forming basis of projections and	d related matters.			
 Report on controls operating at 	an organization - Provides	assurance regarding des		
and operation of controls.				
Audit Vs. Review				
 Both "audit" & "review" are re 	lated to F.S. prepared on b	asis of historical financia		
information.				
• Audit is a reasonable assurance	engagement; review is lim	ited assurance engageme		
• Review involves fewer procedure		• •		
of which limited conclusions ca	n be drawn up.			
	· · · · · ·			
Prospective Financial Information (1	PFI)			
• Financial information based on	assumptions about events	that may occur in future		
possible actions by an entity.				

	• It can be in form of a forecast or projection or combination of both.			
	• In assurance reports involving PFI, practitioner obtains sufficient appropriate evidence			
	to effect that:			
	(a) Management's assumptions on which PFI is based are not unreasonable,			
	(b) PFI is properly prepared on basis of assumptions, and			
	(c) PFI is properly presented & all material assumptions are adequately disclosed.			
	• As PFI is related to future events; evidences are available to support assumptions on			
	which PFI is based, such evidences are also future- oriented. Practitioner is, therefore,			
	not in a position to express an opinion as to whether results shown in PFI will be			
	achieved.			
	• Therefore, practitioner provides report assuring that nothing has come to his attention			
	to suggest that these assumptions do not provide a reasonable basis for the projection			
	• Such type of assurance engagement provides only a "moderate" level of assurance.			
	"Historical Financial Information" & "Prospective Financial Information."			
	Historical Financial Information (HFI): Information expressed in financial terms of an			
	entity about economic events, conditions or circumstances occurring in past periods. Prospective Financial Information (PFI): Financial information based on assumptions abou			
				occurrence of future events and possible actions by an entity.
		HFI is rooted in past events which have already occurred whereas PFI is related to future		
	events.			
(4)	Qualities of Auditor			
	✤ Auditor is concerned with reporting on financial matters of business & other			
	institutions. Financial matters inherently are to be set with problems of human			
	fallibility; errors and frauds are frequent.			
	 Qualities required are tact, caution, firmness, good temper, integrity, discretion, industry 			
	 Qualities required are tact, caution, firmness, good temper, integrity, discretion, industry knowledge, judgement, patience, clear headedness and reliability. 			
	 Qualities required are tact, caution, firmness, good temper, integrity, discretion, industry knowledge, judgement, patience, clear headedness and reliability. In addition, auditor must have highest degree of integrity backed by independence. 			

(5)	Quality Control and Engagement Standards		
	Need for Standards		
	Standards ensure carrying out of audit against established benchmarks at par with		
	global practices.		
	\clubsuit Standards improve quality of financial reporting thereby helping users to make diligen		
	decisions.		
	\clubsuit Standards promote uniformity as audit of F.S. is carried out following these Standards		
	Standards equip professional accountants with professional knowledge and skill.		
	Standards ensure audit quality.		
	Standards on Quality Control (SQCs)		
	 Establish standards & provide guidance regarding firm's responsibilities for its system 		
	of QC for conduct of audit & review of historical financial information and for othe		
	assurance and related service engagements.		
	✤ SQC I has been issued and it requires auditors/practitioners to establish system of Q		
	so that:		
	(a) firm and its personnel comply with professional standards and regulatory & leg		
	Requirements; and		
	(b) reports issued are appropriate.		
	SQC I applied for all services covered by Engagement Standards.		
	Engagement Standards		
	(a) Standards on Auditing (SAs): to be applied in audit of historical financial information		
	(b) Standards on Review Engagements (SREs): to be applied in review of historical		
	financial information.		
	(c) Standards on Assurance Engagements (SAEs): to be applied in assurance		
	engagements dealing with subject matter other than historical financial information		
	(d) Standards on Related Services (SRRs): to be applied to engagements involving		
	application of agreed upon procedures to information and other related services suc		
	as compilation engagements.		

Lecture 3

 		· · · ·		
 Numbering of Stan	dards			
 Standards on Quali	ty Control (SQC)	01-99		
 Standards on Audit	ing (SA)	100-899		
 Standards on Revie	w Engagements (SRE)	2000-2699		
 Standards on Assur	rance Engagements (SAE)	3000-3699		
 Standards on Relat	ed Services (SRS)	4000-4699		
Classification of St	łs			
Introductory matte	rs	100-199		
General Principles d	and Responsibilities	200-299		
 Risk Assessments a	& Response to Assessed Risk	300-499		
 Audit Evidence		500-599		
Using work of Othe	ers	600-699		
 Audit conclusions a	nd Reporting	700-799		
Specialised Areas	, P.	800-899		
	CY senter			
 Standards on Audit	Standards on Auditing (SAs)			
 SAs apply in the context of an audit of F.S. by an independent auditor.				
 ✤ These Standard	ds establish high quality benchm	parks and are followed by auditors in		
conducting aud	conducting audit of Historical Financial Information contained in F.S.			
 ✤ SAs have been	issued on various matters in fie	ld of auditing like overall objectives of		
 independent au	iditor, audit documentation, plani	ning an audit, identifying & assessing		
 risk of materia	risk of material misstatement (RoMM), audit sampling, audit evidence & forming an			
 opinion and rep	porting on F.S.			
 Examples of St	As are:			
(a) SA 200 :	Overall Objectives of the Indep	pendent Auditor and the Conduct of an		
	Audit in accordance with Stan	ndards on Auditing.		
(b) SA 230:	Audit Documentation.			
	Identifying & Assessing Risks	of Material Misstatement through		
 (c) SA 315;	v v			
 (c) SA 315;	Understanding the Entity and	its Environment.		
 (c) SA 315; (d) SA 500;		its Environment.		

Standar	ds on Review Enga	gements (SRE)	
• SRE	apply in the conte	ext of review of F.S.	
• Rev	iew is limited assure	ance engagement & provides <mark>assurance lower</mark> than the assurar	
prov	vided by audit as it	involves fewer procedures as compared to audit.	
• Sin	ce review also provi	des assurance to users, it also involves obtaining sufficient	
app	ropriate evidence.		
• Exa	mples of SREs are:		
(a)	SRE 2400:	Engagements to Review Historical Financial Statements.	
(b)	SRE 2410:	Review of Interim Financial Information Performed by	
		Independent Auditor of the Entity	
Note: B	oth SAs and SREs	apply to engagements involving historical financial information	
		6	
Standar	ds on Assurance Ei	ngagements (SAE)	
• SAL	s deal with subject	t matters other than historical financial information. For	
exa	mple, an assurance	engagement relating to examination of PFI.	
		nce engagements, examination is not of historical financial	
info	rmation or engager	ment may relate to providing assurance regarding non-finance	
mai	ters like design an	d operation of internal control in an entity.	
• Exa	mples of SAEs are:		
(a)	SAE 3400:	The Examination of Prospective Financial Information.	
(b)	SAE 3402:	Assurance Reports on Controls at a Service Organisation.	
(c)	SAE 3420:	Assurance Engagements to Report on the Compilation of P	
		Forma Financial Information Included in a Prospectus.	
Standar	ds on Related Servi	ices (SRS)	
• SRSs apply in case of Non-Assurance Engagements. Examples of such relate		Non-Assurance Engagements. Examples of such related service	
incl	ude engagements t	o perform agreed-upon procedures & Compilation Engagemen	
• Eng	agement to perform	m agreed-upon procedures require auditor to perform certain	
pro	cedures concerning	individual items of financial data, say, accounts payable,	
acc	accounts receivable, purchases from related parties etc. or a financial statement, so		
ah	alance cheet or eve	en a complete set of F.S.	

Lecture 3

•	In Compilation engagement, practitioner is required to assist mngt. with preparation
	& presentation of historical financial information without obtaining assurance on that
	information. In such engagements, practitioner issues a report clearly stating that it
	is not an assurance engagement and no opinion is being expressed.
•	Examples of Standards on related services are:
	(a) SRS 4400: Engagements to perform agreed-upon procedures regarding
	financial information.
	(b) SRS 4410: Compilation engagements.
D	Puties in relation to Engagement and Quality Control Standards
•	It is duty of professional accountants to see that Standards are followed in
	engagements undertaken by them.
•	However, a situation may arise when a specific procedure as required in Standards
	would be ineffective in a particular engagement. In such case, professional accountant
	is required to ensure the following:
	(a) Document how alternative procedures performed to achieve purpose of required
	procedure;
	(b) Reason for departure has also to be documented;
	(c) Report should draw attention to such departures.
^	lote: A mere disclosure in report does not absolve a professional accountant from.
	complying with applicable Standards
	compiging with applicable Standards
	DO PRACTICE – Questions from Cracker (Question Bank)
	27
Compile	d by: CA. Pankaj Garg 3.7