

# SA 315 - Identifying & Assessing ROMM through understanding entity & its environment

Objective of auditor	Internal Control
Identify & assess ROMM, whether due to fraud or error, at FS & assertion levels, through understanding entity & its environment, including entity's IC (RAP), thereby providing basis for implementing responses to assessed ROMM Identifying & Assessing ROMM at –	<ul> <li>Process designed &amp; implemented by TCWG &amp; Mgt to provide reasonable assurance about achievement of entity's objectives with regard to –</li> <li>Effectiveness &amp; Efficiency of operations</li> <li>Compliance with applicable L&amp;R</li> <li>Safeguarding of assets</li> <li>Reliability of FR</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>(a) FS level</li> <li>(b) Assertion level for transactions, A/c balances, &amp; disclosures</li> <li>For this purpose, auditor shall –</li> <li>Identify risks throughout process of obtaining understanding of entity &amp; its environment</li> <li>Assess identified risks, &amp; evaluate whether they relate more pervasively to FS as whole</li> <li>Relate identified risks to what can go wrong at assertion level</li> <li>Consider likelihood of misstatement, including possibility of multiple misstatements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Limitations of IC</li> <li>IC can provide entity with only reasonable assurance about achieving entity's FR objectives</li> <li>Manual elements in IC may be more suitable where judgment is required such as</li> <li>Large, unusual or non-recurring transactions</li> <li>In changing circumstances that require control response outside scope of existing automated control</li> <li>In monitoring effectiveness of automated controls</li> <li>Circumstances where errors are difficult to predict</li> </ul>
Assertions	Risks that Require Special Audit Consideration
<ul> <li>Representations by Mgt, explicit or otherwise, that are embodied in FS, as used by auditor to consider diff types of potential misstatements that may occur</li> <li>Assertions are of 3 categories</li> <li>Assertions about classes of transactions &amp; events for audit period - <ul> <li>Occurrence, Completeness, Accuracy, Cut-off &amp; Classification</li> <li>Assertions about A/c balances at period end - <ul> <li>Existence, Rights &amp; obligations, Completeness &amp; Valuation &amp; allocation</li> <li>Assertions about presentation &amp; disclosure - <ul> <li>Occurrence &amp; rights &amp; obligations, Completeness, Classification</li> <li>Assertions about presentation &amp; disclosure - <ul> <li>Occurrence &amp; rights &amp; obligations, Completeness, valuation</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>In exercising judgment as to which risks are significant risks, auditor shall consider following -</li> <li>Whether risk is risk of fraud</li> <li>Complexity of transactions</li> <li>Degree of subjectivity in measurement of financial Info related to risk</li> <li>Whether risk involves significant transactions with RP</li> <li>Whether risk involves significant transactions that are outside normal course of business or unusual</li> <li>Whether risk is related to recent significant economic, A/cing, or other developments</li> </ul>

**Misstatements** are considered to be **material if** they, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to **influence economic decisions of users** taken on the basis of FS

**Performance Materiality** means **amount** set by auditor **at less than materiality** for FS as a whole to **reduce** to an appropriately low level, **probability** that aggregate of uncorrected and undetected **misstatements exceeds materiality** for FS as a whole. It also refers to amount set by auditor at less than materiality level for particular classes of transactions, A/c balances or disclosures

# Use of Benchmarks in Determining Materiality for FS as a Whole

Determining materiality involves exercise of **professional judgment**. A **percentage** is often **applied** to a **chosen benchmark** as starting point in determining materiality. **Factors** that may **affect identification** of appropriate **benchmark** include

- Nature of entity, where entity is at in its life cycle, and industry & economic environment in which entity operates;
- Entity's ownership structure & way it is financed
- Elements of FS
- Whether there are items on which attention of users of particular entity's FS tends to be focused
- Relative volatility of benchmark

# Eg of Benchmarks

- **PBT** from continuing operations for **profit-oriented** entities
- If **PBT is volatile** then gross **profit** or total **revenues**
- For Public Utility Programs/Projects Total Cost or Net Cost (Expenses less revenues)
- If Entity has custody of assets Assets

# **Revision as Audit Progresses**

Materiality may need to be revised as a result of -

- New info
- Change in circumstances that occurred during audit
- Change in auditor's understanding of entity as a result of FAP

If auditor concludes that a **lower materiality** than that initially determined is **appropriate**, auditor shall determine whether it is necessary to **revise performance materiality**, and whether **NTE of FAP** remain appropriate

## SA 330 - Auditor's Responses to Assessed Risk

# In designing FAP to be performed, auditor shall

- Consider reasons for assessment given to ROMM at assertion level for each class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure, including Inherent Risk and Control Risk
- Obtain more persuasive audit evidence, the higher the auditor's assessment of risk

# FAP comprises of TOC & Substantive Procedures

## Tests of Controls

Audit Procedure designed to evaluate **operating effectiveness** of controls in **preventing, detecting & correcting MM** at assertion level

## Auditor shall design and perform TOC to obtain SAAE as to operating effectiveness of relevant controls when

- Auditor's assessment of ROMM at assertion level includes an **expectation** that **controls are operating effectively** (i.e., auditor **intends to rely on operating effectiveness** of controls in determining NTE of substantive procedures)
- Substantive procedures alone cannot provide SAAE at assertion level

In designing and performing TOC, auditor shall **obtain more persuasive** audit **evidence**, the **greater** the **reliance** auditor places **on effectiveness of a control** 

## Substantive Procedures

Audit Procedures designed to **detect MM** at assertion level

**Irrespective** of assessed **ROMM**, auditor shall design and **perform substantive procedures** for each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure