1 CHAPTER — INTRODUCTION TO STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT

MEANING AND NATURE OF STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT

To understand the concept of strategic management, we need to have a basic understanding of the term management. The term 'management' is used in two senses, such as:

(a) It is used with reference to a ***key group in an organisation in-charge of its affairs***. In relation to an organisation, management is the chief organ entrusted with the task of making it a purposeful and productive entity, by undertaking the task of bringing together and integrating the disorganised resources of manpower, money, material, and technology, which are then combined into a functioning whole.

An organisation becomes a unified functioning system when managementsystematically mobilises and utilises the diverse resources efficiently and effectively. The survival and success of an organisation depends to a large extent on the competence and character of its management. Management has to also facilitate organisational change and adaptation for effective interaction with the environment.

(b) The term '**Management**' is also used with reference to a set of interrelated functions and processes carried out by the management of an organisation (the key group of individuals mentioned in point (a) to attain its objectives). These functions include Planning, Organising, Directing, Staffing and Control. The functions or sub-processes of management are wide-ranging but closely interrelated. They range all the way from determination of the goals, designof the organisation, mobilisation and acquisition of resources, allocation of tasks and resources among the personnel and activity units and installation of control system to ensure that what is planned is achieved.

Management is an influence process to make things happen, to gain command over phenomena, to induce and direct events and people in aparticular manner. Influence is backed by power, competence, knowledge and resources. Managers formulate organisational goals, values and strategies, to cope with, to adapt and to adjust themselves with the behaviour and changesin the environment.

The strategic management process is the set of activities that firm managers undertake to put their firms in the best possible position to compete successfullyin the marketplace. Strategic management is made up of several distinct activities: developing the firm's vision and mission; strategic analysis; developing objectives; creating, choosing, and implementing strategies; and measuring and evaluating performance.

CONCEPT OF STRATEGY

In the context of business, the application of the term 'Strategy' relates to the ways, the business decides to respond to dynamic and often hostile external forces while pursuing their vision, mission and ultimate objectives.

The very incorporation of the idea of strategy into business organizations is intended to unravel complexity and to reduce uncertainty caused by changes in the environment. Strategy seeks to relate the goals of the organization to the meansof achieving them. Strategy is the game plan that the management of a business uses to take market position, conduct its operations, attract and satisfy customers, compete successfully, and achieve organizational objectives.

To the extent, the term strategy is associated with unified design and action for achieving major goals, gaining command over the situation with a long-range perspective and securing a critically advantageous position, its implications for corporate functioning are obvious.

We may define the term 'strategy' as a long-range blueprint of an organization's desired image, direction and destination, i.e., what it wants to be, what it wants to do, how it wants to do things, and where it wants to go. Following are also important other definitions are to understand the term:

Igor H. Ansoff : The common thread among the organization's activities and product-markets that defines the essential nature of business that the organizationhas or planned to be in future.

William F. Glueck : A unified, comprehensive and integrated plan designed to assure that the basic objectives of the enterprise are achieved.

Strategy is consciously considered and flexibly designed scheme of corporate intent and action to mobilise resources, to direct human effort and behaviour, to handle events and problems, to perceive and utilise opportunities, and to meet challenges and threats for corporate survival and success.

Strategy is meant to fill in the need of organizations for a sense of dynamic direction, focus and cohesiveness. Objectives and goals are essential to give a direction to business, but they do not fill in the need alone. Strategy provides an integrated framework for the top management to search for, evaluate and exploit beneficial opportunities, to perceive and meet potential threats and crisis, to makefull use of resources and strengths, and to offset corporate weaknesses.

Important to note that strategy is no substitute for sound, alert and responsible management. It must be recognised that strategy can never be perfect, flawlessand optimal. It is in the very nature of strategy that it is flexible and pragmatic totake care of sudden emergencies, pressures, and avoid failures and frustrations. Ina sound strategy, allowances are made for possible miscalculations and unanticipated events.

In large organisations, strategies are formulated at:

- the corporate,
- divisional, and
- functional levels

Corporate strategies are formulated by the top managers. Such strategies include the determination of the plans for expansion and growth, vertical and horizontal integration, diversification, takeovers and mergers, new investment and divestment areas, R & D projects, and so on. These corporate wide strategies need to be

operationalized by divisional and functional strategies regarding product lines, production volumes, quality ranges, prices, product promotion, market penetration, purchasing sources, personnel development and like.

Strategy is partly proactive and partly reactive: A company's strategy is typically a blend of:

- ❖ Proactive actions on the part of managers to improve the company's market position and financial performance.
- ❖ Reactions to unanticipated developments and fresh market conditions in the dynamic business environment.

In other words, a company uses both proactive and reactive strategies to cope up the uncertain business environment. Proactive strategy is planned strategy whereas reactive strategy is adaptive reaction to changing circumstances.



Figure: A company's actual strategy is partly planned & partly reactive

As is evident from the figure, a company's current strategy flows from both previously initiated actions and business approaches that are working well enough to merit continuation, as well as newly initiated managerial decisions and actions that strengthen the company's overall position and performance. Thus, strategy partly is deliberate and proactive, standing as the product of management's analysis and strategic thinking about the company's situation and its conclusions about how to position the company in the marketplace and tackle the task ofcompeting for buyer's patronage.

However, not every strategic move is the result of proactive planning and deliberate management design. Things happen that cannot be fully anticipated or planned for. When market and competitive conditions

take an unexpected turn or some aspect of a company's strategy hits a stone wall, some kind of strategic

reaction or adjustment is required. Hence, partially, a company's strategy is always developed as a reasoned response to unforeseen developments in the business environmentas well as the situations within the firm.

Crafting a strategy thus involves stitching together a proactive/intended strategy based on prior successful experience and then adapting pieces of successful reactions as circumstances surrounding the company's situation change or better options emerge - a reactive/adaptive strategy.

Strategy helps unravel complexity and reduce uncertainty caused by changes in the environment. It also means to identify existing problems and solving them by executing revolutionary ideas. It would be pertinent to mention one such **example** in the recent times, that is UPI, Unified Payments Interface. UPI has changed the entire digital payments landscape in India and has now even gone global. A true example of Made in India for the world. It was all because of a well-planned identification of existing problem statement, formulating a strategy putting it to perfect execution.

Ques:- A ketchup brand making a healthier ketchup with less sugar and preservatives to attract more customers by letting parents feel safe about their kid's consuming ketchup. Can this be called a strategy?

Ans:- Yes, it is a business strategy to fight competition and to adapt with changing external environment (people becoming health conscious is external environment factor)

STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT – IMPORTANCE AND LIMITATIONS

The importance of Strategic Management essentially lies in enabling an organisation to perform better than its competitors and its own past and present performance. That is, delivering superior returns to the investors, superior value to the customers and superior performance *vis-à-vis* expectations of the employees, suppliers, government and society. The overall objectives of strategic management are two-fold:

- ❖ To create competitive advantage (something unique and valued by the customer), so that the company can outperform the competitors in all aspects of organisational performance.
- ❖ To guide the company successfully through all changes in the environment. That is to react in the right manner.

The organizational operations are highly influenced by the increasing rate of change in the environment and the ripple effect created on the organization. Changes can be external to the firm, or they may be introduced in the firm by the managers. It may manifest in the blurring of industry and firm boundaries, driven by technology, deregulation, or, through globalization. The tasks of crafting, implementing and executing company strategies are the heart and soul of managing a business enterprise.

To put the concept in a few words, the term 'strategic management' refers to the managerial process of developing a strategic vision, setting objectives, crafting a strategy, implementing and evaluating the strategy,

and finally initiating corrective adjustments were deemed appropriate. The process does not end, it keeps goingon in a cyclic manner.

Strategic management involves developing the company's vision, environmental scanning (both external and internal), strategy formulation, strategyimplementation and evaluation and control.
Originally called, business policy, strategic management emphasizes themonitoring and evaluation of external opportunities and threats in the light of a company's strengths and weaknesses and designing strategies for the survival and growth of the company.

Importance of Strategic Management

Formulation of strategies and their implementation have become essential for all organizations for their survival and growth in the present turbulent business environment. 'Survival of the fittest 'as propagated by Charles Darwin is the only principle of survival for all organizations, where 'fittest' are not the 'largest' or 'strongest' organizations but those who can change and adapt successfully to the changes in business environment.
Many business giants have followed the path of extinction failing to manage drastic changes in the business environment. **For example,** *Bajaj Scooters, LML Scooters, Murphy Radio, BPL Television, Videocon, Nokia, kodak and so on.* Thus, it becomes imperative to study Business Strategy.
Businesses follows the war principle of 'win or lose', and only in a small number of cases, win-win situation arises. Hence, each organization has to build its competitive advantage over the competitors in the business warfare in order towin. This can be done only by following the process of strategic management - strategic analysis, formulation and implementation, evaluation and control of strategies.

The major benefits of strategic management are:

- The strategic management gives a direction to the company to move ahead.It helps define the goals and mission. It helps management to define realistic objectives and goals which are in line with the vision of the company.
- Strategic management helps organisations to be proactive instead of reactive in shaping its future. Organisations are able to analyse and take actions instead of being mere spectators. Thereby they are able to control their own destiny in a better manner. It helps them in working within vagaries of environment and shaping it, instead of getting carried away by its turbulenceor uncertainties.
- Strategic management provides frameworks for all major decisions of an enterprise such as decisions on businesses, products, markets, manufacturing facilities, investments and organisational structure. It provides better guidance to entire organisation on the crucial point - what it is trying to achieve.
- Strategic management seeks to prepare the organisation to face the futureand act as pathfinder to various business opportunities. Organisations are able to identify the available opportunities and identify ways and means to reach them.

- Strategic management serves as a corporate defence mechanism against mistakes and pitfalls. It helps organisations to avoid costly mistakes in product market choices or investments.
- Strategic management helps to enhance the longevity of the business. Withthe state of competition and dynamic environment it may be challenging for organisations to survive in the long

  run. It helps the organization to take aclear stand in the related industry and makes sure that it is not just survivingon luck. Actions over expectations is what strategic management ensures.
- Strategic management helps the organisation to develop certain core competencies and competitive advantages that would facilitate assist in its fight for survival and growth.

Importance of Strategic Management

Defines the goals and mission

Helps the organisation to develop certain core competencies and competitive

Helps organisations to be proactive instead of reactive in shaping its future

Provides framework for all major decisions of an enterprise.

Prepares the organisation to face the future

Serves as a corporate defense mechanism against mistakes and pitfalls.

Enhance the longevity of the business.

The importance of strategic management lies in delivering superior organizational performance than that would otherwise obtain. In the competitive context it implies performance superior to that of the competitors or more generally, above average performance.

It must however be realized that in search of meaning and purpose organisations may undertake decisions and activities that may not measure up to being "strategic." Belief in diversity, inclusion & equity; improving availability, affordability and accessibility of products and services to the opportunity deprived sections etc., greater workplace democracy are some of the decisions and behaviours that are worthy on their own count. They may not serve a strategic purpose in the strict sense of the term.

Limitations of Strategic Management

The presence of strategic management cannot counter all hindrances and always achieve success. There are limitations too, attached to strategic management. Letus discuss them briefly:

❖ **Environment is highly complex and turbulent**. It is difficult to understand the complex environment and exactly pinpoint how it will shape-up in future. The organisational estimate about its future shape may awfully go wrong and jeopardise all strategic plans. The environment affects as the organisation hasto deal with suppliers, customers, governments and other external factors. Thus, relying on a business strategy blindly could go absolutely wrong if the environment is turbulent. **For example**, *Two-Wheeler Electric Vehicles brands counted on strategic benefits they would have because of the huge push fromthe government for electric mobility. However, customers are getting reluctant to purchase EVs due to the safety concerns amid the frequent incidents ofbattery's catching fire.* So, strategy cannot overcome a turbulent environment.

❖ **Strategic management is a time-consuming process.** Organisations spend alot of time in preparing, communicating the strategies that may impede daily operations and negatively impact the routine business. Planning and strategizing are important but putting them in action is where the actual success lies. Similar to us students, planning and strategizing what to study, from where and at what time of the day to study, consumes so much of our actual study time that by the time we have to study, we are almost exhausted. Similarly in business if way too much time is spent on planning and formulating, then it might not be as fruitful.

❖ **Strategic management is a costly process.** Strategic management adds a lotof expenses to an organization. Expert strategic planners need to be engaged, efforts are made for analysis of external and internal environments devise strategies and properly implement. These can be really costly for organisations with limited resources particularly when small and medium organisation create strategies to compete. Strategic Management requires experts, and these experts are costly resources. Thus, the process as a whole required good amount of funds to be spent.

❖ In a competitive scenario, where all organisations are trying to move strategically, **it is difficult to clearly estimate the competitive responses to a firm's strategies.** It is quite difficult to gauge

the strategic planning of competitors because most of these decisions are taken within closed doors by the top management. ***For example***, *Apple changed the market dynamicsof the speaker industry by choosing to remove 3.5mm audio jack from iPhones. Now, to be relevant in the market, all major speaker brands had to put concentrated efforts to develop their own true wireless speakers (TWS) and compete with new entrants.*

Complex and Turbulent Environment

Time-consuming Process

Costly Process

Difficult to estimate competitor's response

Ques:- **Why do businesses opt for strategic management even with its limitation? Let's say Strategic Management is a time consumingand costly process,yet all organization's want to do indulge into it? Why?**

Ans:- Because even though it has its limitations, its importance outweighs its shortcomings. A business cannot operate and succeed without proper strategic management.

STRATEGIC INTENT (VISION, MISSION, GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND VALUES)

Strategic Management is defined as a dynamic process of formulation, implementation, evaluation, and control of strategies to realise the organisation's strategic intent. Strategic intent refers to purposes of what the organisation strivesfor senior managers must define "what they want to do" and "why they want to do".

"Why they want to do" represents strategic intent of the firm. Clarity in strategic intent is extremely important for the future success and growth of the enterprise, irrespective of its nature and size.

Strategic intent can be understood as the philosophical base of strategic management. It implies the

purposes, which an organisation endeavours to achieve. It is a statement that provides a perspective of the means, which will leadthe organisation, reach its vision in the long run. Strategic intent gives an idea of what the organisation desires to attain in future. It answers the question what the organisation strives or stands for? It indicates the long-term market position, which the organisation desires to create or occupy and the opportunity for exploring new possibilities.

Strategic intent provides the framework within which the firm would adopt a predetermined direction and would operate to achieve strategic objectives.Strategic intent could be in the form of vision and mission statements for theorganisation at the corporate level. It could be expressed as the business definition and business model at the business level of the organisation.

Strategic intent is generally stated in broad terms but when stated in precise termsit is an expression of aims to be achieved operationally, i.e., goals and objectives.

1. **Vision**: Vision implies the blueprint of the company's future position. It describes where the organisation wants to land. It depicts the organisation's aspirations and provides a glimpse of what the organisation would like to become in future. Every sub system of the organisation is required to followits vision.

2. **Mission**: Mission delineates the firm's business, its goals and ways to reach the goals. It explains the reason for the existence of the firm in the society. Itis designed to help potential shareholders and investors understand the purpose of the firm. A mission statement helps to identify, 'what business the firm undertakes.' It defines the present capabilities, activities, customer focus and role in society.

3. **Goals and Objectives**: These are the base of measurement. Goals are the end results, that the organisation attempts to achieve. On the other hand, objectives are time-based measurable targets, which help in the accomplishment of goals. These are the end results which are to be attained with the help of an overall plan, over the particular period. However, in practice, no distinction is made between goals and objectives and both the terms are used interchangeably. The vision, mission, business definition, and business model explain the philosophy of the organisation but the goals and objectives represent the results to be achieved in multiple areas of business.
   While **Strategic Intent** is the purpose that an organisation aims to achieve, Values form the omnipresent foundation of each and every decision that the management takes. An organisation without values is like an organisation with no real intent. Let us understand a bit more about values from a business perspective.

4. **Values/ Value System**: Values are the deep-rooted principles which guide an organisation's decisions and actions. Collins and Porras succinctly define core values as being inherent and sacrosanct; they can never be compromised, either for convenience or short-term economic gain. Values often reflect the values of the company's founders—Hewlett-Packard's celebrated "HP Way" is an example. They are the source of a company's distinctiveness and must be maintained at all costs.

Component of Strategic Intent

VISION

Very early in the strategy making process, a company's senior managers must consider the issue of what directional path the company should take and what changes in the company's product-market-customer-technology focus wouldimprove its current market position and future prospects. Deciding to commit the company to one path versus other pushes managers to draw some carefully reasoned conclusions about how to try to modify the company's business makeup and the market position it should stake out.

Top management's views about the company's direction and the product-customer-market-technology focus constitute the strategic vision for the company. Strategic vision delineates management's aspirations for the business, providing a panoramic view of the "where we are to go" and a convincing rationale for why this makes good business sense for the company. Strategic vision thus points out a particular direction, charts a strategic path to be followed in future, and moulding organisational identity. A clearly articulated strategic vision communicates management's aspirations to stakeholders and helps steer the energies of company personnel in a common direction. For instance, Henry Ford's vision of a car in every garage had power because it captured the imagination of others, aided internal efforts to mobilize the Ford Motor Company's resources, and served as a reference point for gauging the merits of the company's strategic actions.

- ❖ **HDFC Bank Ltd.**, one of the largest banks in India has clearly defined its Vision of being a world class Indian bank. This vision helps them keep in mind, "where we want to go", as the central thought of their strategic decisionmaking.

- **LIC Ltd.**, the largest insurance company of India has defined its visions as - A trans-nationally competitive financial conglomerate of significance to societies and Pride of India.
- **Apple Inc.'s** CEO Tim Cook defined the vision of the company as - "We believe that we are on the face of the earth to make great products, and that's not changing."

Essentials of a Strategic Vision

- The entrepreneurial challenge in developing a strategic vision is to think creatively about how to prepare a company for the future.
- Forming a strategic vision is an exercise in intelligent entrepreneurship.
- A well-articulated strategic vision creates enthusiasm among the members of the organisation.
- The best-worded vision statement clearly illuminates the direction in which organisation is headed.

Mission

A mission is an answer to the basic question 'what business are we in and what we do'. It has been observed that many firms fail to conceptualise and articulate the mission and business definition with the required clarity. Such firms are seen to fumble in the identification of opportunities and fail in formulating strategies to make use of opportunities. Firms working to manage their organisation strategically cannot be lax in the matter of mission and business definition, as thetwo ideas are absolutely central to strategic planning.

Why should an organisation have a mission?

- To ensure unanimity of purpose within the organisation.
- To develop a basis, or standard, for allocating organisational resources.
- To provide a basis for motivating the use of the organisation's resources.
- To establish a general tone or organisational climate, to suggest a business-like operation.
- To serve as a focal point for those who can identify with the organisation's

  purpose and direction.
- To facilitate the translation of objective and goals into a work structure involving the assignment of tasks to responsible elements within the organisation.
- To specify organisational purposes and the translation of these purposes into goals in such a way that cost, time, and performance parameters can beassessed and controlled.

A company's mission statement is typically focused on its present business scope – "**who we are and what we do**". Mission statements broadly describe an organisations present capability, customer focus, activities, and business makeup.

- **HDCF Bank** has two-fold mission: first, to be the preferred provider of banking services for target retail and wholesale customer segments. The second is to achieve healthy growth in profitability,

consistent with the bank's risk appetite.

- **LIC Ltd.'s** Mission is - Ensure and enhance the quality of life of people through financial security by providing products and services of aspired attributes with competitive returns, and by rendering resources for economic development.
- **Apple's** mission has been defined as - "to bring the best user experience to its customers through innovative hardware, software, and services."

Mission statement should reflect the philosophy of the organisations that is perceived by the senior managers. A good mission statement should be precise, clear, feasible, distinctive and motivating. Following points are useful while writing a mission of a company:

- One of the roles of a mission statement is to give the organisation its own special identity, business emphasis and path for development – one that typically sets it apart from other similarly positioned companies.
- A company's business is defined by what needs it is trying to satisfy, which customer groups it is targeting and the technologies and competencies ituses and the activities it performs.
- Good mission statements are – unique to the organisation for which they are developed.

What is our mission? And what business are we in?

The well-known management experts, Peter Drucker and Theodore Levitt were among the first to agitate this issue through their writings. They emphasised thatas the first step in the business planning endeavour, every business firm must clarify the corporate mission and define accurately the business the firm is engaged in.They also explained that towards facilitating this task, the firm should raise and answer certain basic questions concerning its business, such as:

- What is our mission?
- What is our ultimate purpose?
- What do we want to become?
- What kind of growth do we seek?
- What business are we in?
- Do we understand our business correctly and define it accurately in itsbroadest connotation?
- Whom do we intend to serve?
- What human need do we intend to serve through our offer?
- What brings us to this particular business?
- What would be the nature of this business in the future?
- In what business would we like to be in, in the future?

At the time these two experts raised this issue, the business managers of the world did not fully appreciate the importance of these questions; those were the days when business management was still a relatively simple process even in industrially advanced countries like the US. It was only in subsequent years that captains of industry all over the world understood the significance of the seemingly simple questions raised by Drucker and Levitt.

The corporate mission is an expression of the growth ambition of the firm. It is, in fact, the firm's future visualised. It provides a dramatic picture of what the company wants to become. It is the corporation's dream crystallised. It is a colourful sketchof how the firm wants its future to look, irrespective of the current position. In other words, the mission is a grand design of the firm's future.

Mission amplifies what brings the firm to this business or why it is there, what existence it seeks and what purpose it seeks to achieve as a business firm. In other words, the mission serves as a justification for the firm's very presence and existence; it legitimises the firm's presence.

According to Peter Drucker, every organisation must ask an important question "What business are we in?" and get the correct and meaningful answer. The answer should have marketing or external perspective and should not be restated to the production or generic activities of business. The table given below will clarify and highlight the importance of external perspective.

What business are we in?

Company	Production-oriented answer	Marketing-oriented answer
Indian Oil	We produce oil and gasoline products.	We provide various types of safe and cost-effective energy.
Indian Railways	We run a railroad.	We offer a transportation and material-handling system.
Lakme	In the factory, we make cosmetics.	In the retail outlet, we sell hope.

Goals and Objectives

Business organisation translates their vision and mission into goals and objectives. As such the term objectives are synonymous with goals, however, some authors make an attempt to distinguish the two. Goals are open-ended attributes that denote the future states or outcomes. Objectives are close-ended attributes whichare precise and expressed in specific terms. Thus, the Objectives are more specific and translate the goals to both long term and short-term perspective. However,this distinction is not made by several theorists on the subject. Accordingly, we will also use the term interchangeably.

Ques:- **What are objectives**

Ans:- Objectives are organisation's performance targets – the results and outcomes it wants to achieve. They function as yardsticks for tracking an organisation's performance and progress.

All organisations have objectives. The pursuit of objectives is an unending process such that organisations sustain themselves. They provide meaning and sense of direction to organisational endeavour.
Organisational structure and activities are designed, and resources are allocated around the objectives to facilitate their achievement. They also act as benchmarks for guiding organisational activity andfor evaluating how the organisation is performing.

Objectives with strategic focus relate to outcomes that strengthen an organisation's overall business position and competitive vitality. Objectives, to be meaningful to serve the intended role, must possess the following characteristics:

- ❖ Objectives should define the organisation's relationship with its environment.
- ❖ They should be facilitative towards achievement of mission and purpose.
- ❖ They should provide the basis for strategic decision-making.
- ❖ They should provide standards for performance appraisal.
- ❖ They should be concrete and specific.
- ❖ They should be related to a time frame.
- ❖ They should be measurable and controllable.
- ❖ They should be challenging.
- ❖ Different objectives should correlate with each other.

Objectives should be set within the constraints of organisational resourcesand external environment.

A need for both short-term and long-term objectives: As a rule, a company's set of financial and strategic objectives ought to include both short-term and long-term performance targets. Having quarterly or annual objectives focuses attention on delivering immediate performance improvements. Targets to be achieved withinthree to five years' prompt considerations of what to do now to put the company in position to perform better down the road. A company that has an objective of doubling its sales within five years can't wait until the third or fourth year to begin growing its sales and customer base. By spelling out annual (or perhaps quarterly) performance targets, management indicates the speed at which longer-range targets are to be approached.

Long-term objectives: To achieve long-term prosperity, strategic planners commonly establish long-term objectives in seven areas.

(i) Profitability
(ii) Productivity
(iii) Competitive Position
(iv) Employee Development
(v) Employee Relation
(vi) Technological Leadership
(viii) Public Responsibility

Long-term objectives represent the results expected from pursuing certain strategies. Strategies represent the actions to be taken to accomplish long-term objectives. The time frame for objectives and strategies should be consistent, usually from two to five years.

Short-range objectives can be identical to long-range objectives if an organisationis already performing at the targeted long-term level. For instance, if a companyhas an ongoing objective of 15 percent profit growth every year and is currently achieving this objective, then the company's long-range and short-range objectives for increasing profits coincide. The most important situation in which short-range objectives differ from long-range objectives occurs when managers are trying to elevate organisational performance and cannot reach the long-range target in just one year. Short-range objectives then serve as steps toward achieving long term objective.

Clearly established objectives offer many benefits. They provide direction, allowsynergy, aid in evaluation, establish priorities, reduce uncertainty, minimize conflicts, stimulate exertion, and aid in both the allocation of resources and the design of jobs.

VALUES

"Business, as I have seen it, places one great demand on you: it needs you to self- impose a framework of ethics, values, fairness and objectivity on yourself at alltimes." - Ratan N Tata, 2006 (Source: TATA Group Website)

A few common examples of values are – Integrity, Trust, Accountability, Humility, Innovation, and Diversity. But why are values so important? A company's value sets the tone for how the people of think and behave, especiallyin situations of dilemma. It creates a sense of shared purpose to build a strong foundation and focus on longevity of the company's success. Employees prefer to work with employers whose values resonate with them - the ones they can relateto in their daily work and personal life. Interestingly, majority of consumers say that they would prefer to buy products and services from companies that have a purpose that reflects their own value and belief system. Hence, values have both internal as well as external implications.

For reference, a lot of values were put to actions during Covid 19 pandemic when leaders of the organisations put people before everything else. It projected how deep the foundation of the oragnisations' were and how important it was for them to uphold their core values.

The below graph represents the interconnection of Intent, Vision, Mission, Goals and Values; Values remain the center/core of Vision, Mission, Goals and putting all them to action. Vision is followed by Mission, followed by Goals and finally executing via real actions.

Ques:- Intent vs Values - Which is a broader concept? Let's say Sandeep, a human resource manager thinks that Intent is a bigger concept than Values. Is he right?

Ans:- Sandeep is not right, as Values and Intent are two different concepts. Intent isthe purpose ofdoing business while values are the principles that guide decision making of business. They both go

hand in hand, while the intent is sometimes driven by values. So values more or so is wider than Intent.



Values of HDFC Bank

HDFC Bank is committed to maintaining the highest level of ethical standards, professional integrity, corporate governance and regulatory compliance. HDFC Bank's business philosophy is based on five core values: Operational Excellence, Customer Focus, Product Leadership, People and Sustainability. (Source: HDFC website)

Excellence

Customer Focus

Product Leadership

People

Sustainability

Can you now go and read about LIC and Apple's values? Try on your own.

STRATEGIC LEVELS IN ORGANISATIONS

A typical large organization is a multi-divisional organisation that competes in several different businesses. It has separate self-contained divisions to manage each of these businesses. **For example**, Patanjali has healthcare, FMCG, Organic Foods, Medicinal Oils and Herbs, and various different businesses. It has

separate divisions which work within themselves to sustain each of these businesses. Generally, there are three main levels of management:

- Corporate level
- Business level
- Functional level

General managers are found at the first two of these levels, but their strategic roles differ depending on their sphere of responsibility.

An organization is divided into a number of segments that work together to bringa particular product or service to the market. If a company provides several and/or different kinds of products or services, it often duplicates these functions and creates a series of self-contained divisions (each of which contain its own set of functions) to manage each different product or service. The general managers of these divisions then become responsible for their particular product line. The overriding concern of the divisional managers is healthy growth of their divisions. They are responsible for deciding how to create a competitive advantage and achieve higher profitability with the resources and capital they have at their disposal. Such divisions are called **Strategic Business Units (SBUs)**.



Figure: Levels of strategic management

The **corporate level of management** consists of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), other senior executives, the board of directors, and corporate staff. These individuals participate in strategic decision making within the organization. The role of ***corporate-level managers*** is to oversee the development of strategies for the whole organization. This role includes defining the mission and goals of the organization, determining what businesses it should be in, allocating resources among the different businesses, formulating and implementing strategies that span individual businesses, and providing leadership for the organization as a whole.

For example, Ahmedabad headquartered Adani Group is an Indian multinational conglomerate active in a wide range of businesses, including mining, operating ports and airports, power generation and transmission and cement. The main strategic responsibilities of its Group Chairman, Mr. Gautam Adani, are setting overall strategic objectives, allocating resources among the different business areas, deciding whether the firm should divest itself of any of its businesses, and determining whether it should acquire any new ones. In other words, it is up to Mr. Adani and other senior executives to develop strategies that span individual businesses and building and managing the corporate portfolio of businesses to maximize corporate profitability. However, it is not their specific responsibility to develop strategies for competing in the individual business areas, such as financial services. The development of such strategies is the responsibility of those in chargeof different businesses called ***business level managers.***

In simple words, corporate level managers provide an organisation level view of strategy and what they want to achieve, but it is on the business level managers to ensure that or their particular business, the one they are responsible for.

Besides overseeing resource allocation and managing the divestment and acquisition processes, corporate-level managers provide a link between the people who oversee the strategic development of a firm and those who own it (the shareholders). Corporate-level managers, and particularly the CEO, can be viewedas the guardians of shareholders' welfare. It is their responsibility to ensure that the corporate and business strategies of the company are consistent with maximizing shareholders' wealth. If they are not, then ultimately the CEO is likely to be held accountable by the shareholders.

As we now know, a strategic business unit is a self-contained division (with its own functions - **For example**, finance, purchasing, production, and marketing departments) that provides a product or service for a particular market. The principal general manager at the business level, or the business-level manager, is the headof the division. The strategic role of these managers is to translate the general statements of direction and intent that come from the corporate level into concrete strategies for individual businesses. Thus, whereas corporate-level managers are concerned with strategies that span individual businesses, business-level managers are concerned with strategies that are specific to a particular business.

Functional-level managers are responsible for the specific business functions or operations (human resources, purchasing, product development, customer service, and so on) that constitute a company or one of its divisions. Thus, a functional manager's sphere of responsibility is generally confined to one organizational activity, whereas general managers oversee the operation of a whole company or division. Although they are not responsible for the overall performance of the organization, functional managers nevertheless have a major strategic role: to develop functional strategies in their area that help fulfill the strategic objectivesset by business- and corporate-level general managers.

Functional managers provide most of the information that makes it possible for business- and corporate-level general managers to formulate realistic and attainable strategies. Indeed, because they are closer to the customer than thetypical general manager is, functional managers themselves may generate important ideas that subsequently may become major strategies for the company. Thus, it is important for general

managers to listen closely to the ideas of their functional managers. An equally great responsibility for managers at the operational level is strategy implementation: the execution of corporate and business-level plans.



Network of relationship between the three levels

The corporate level decides what the business wants to achieve, while the business level draws ideas and plan to execute the same, which eventually flow down to functional level to execute and achieve results. But there are multiple ways in which all the 3 levels of management are interlinked, and interestingly it depends on the organisation as a whole to decide what kind of network of relationship suits their culture and aspirations.

There are 3 major types of networks of relationship between the levels and also amongst the same levels of a business;

- **Functional and Divisional Relationship**: It is an independent relationship, where each function or a division is run independently headed by the function/division head, who is a business level manager, reporting directly to thebusiness head, who is a corporate level manager. Functions maybe like Finance,Human Resources, Marketing, etc. while Divisions may depend on the productslike for a toys manufacturer - kids toys, teenager toys, etc. could be divisions.

- **Horizontal Relationship**: All positions, from top management to staff-level employees, are in the same hierarchical position. It is a flat structure where everyone is considered at same level. This leads to openness and transparency in work culture and focused more on idea sharing and innovation. This type of relationship between levels is more suitable for startups where the need to share ideas with speed is more desirable.

❖ **Matrix Relationship**: It features a grid-like structure of levels in anorganisation, with teams formed with people from various departments thatare built for temporary task-based projects. This relationship helps manage huge conglomerates with ease where it is nearly impossible to track and manage every single team independently. In Matrix relationship - there are more than one business level managers for each functional level teams. It is complex for smaller organisations, but extremely useful for largeorganisations.

NOTES

2 CHAPTER STRATEGIC ANALYSIS: EXTERNAL ENVIRONMENT

INTRODUCTION

There are different kinds of business activities that take place in an organisational setting, and a cursory look into their world reflects a wide variety of organisations ranging from small local businesses to international or multinational corporations' level. Generally, organisations are distinguished based on their size, type of products, markets, geographical coverage, legal status, and like because of vast organisational diversity.

Whatever their size or other distinguishing feature they do not operate in a vacuum. They continuously act and react to what happens outside their periphery. The factors that are outside the business operations are typically referred to as organisational / business environment. In other words, and in the specific context of business, environment may be defined as a set of all external factors that weigh in the minds of the managers. Drawing an analogy with the term 'atmosphere' one could envision layers of such influences.



The process of strategic formulation begins with a strategic analysis. Its objective is to compile information about internal and external environments in order to assess possibilities while formulating strategic objectives and contemplating strategic activities. In this chapter various aspects of external environment are covered with the perspective of strategic analysis. We will also attempt to understand how to identify, and tackle strategies to adapt within complex and turbulent external environment.

STRATEGIC ANALYSIS

Strategy formulation is not a task in which managers can get by with intuition, opinions, instincts, and creative thinking. Judgments about what strategies to pursue need to flow directly from analysis of a firm's external environment and its internal resources and capabilities. Environmental scanning is a natural and continuous activity for every business and some do it on an informal basis, while others have a formal structure to collect meaningful information. It is just as important to learn about changes in tax regulations through television news as it is through a well-established reading material from experts. The capacity to collect important information in informal settings usually separates great entrepreneurs and managers. Using just informal techniques, on the other hand, exposes the organisation to missed opportunities and unanticipated hazards. A systematic approach to environmental assessment is essential for managing risk and uncertainty.

The majority of the rapidly expanding organisations use strategic planning throughout various stages of their operations. The strategic analysis is a component of business planning that has a methodical approach, makes the right resource investments, and may assist business in achieving its objective. It forces to think about the rivals and aids in the evaluation of business plans to stay ahead of the competition. The two important situational considerations are:

(1) industry and competitive conditions, and

(2) an organisation's own capabilities, resources, internal strengths, weaknesses, and market position.



The analytical sequence is from strategic appraisal of the external and internal situation to evaluation of alternatives of strategies, to the final choice of strategy. Accurate diagnosis of the business situation is necessary for managerial preparation to decide on a sound long-term direction, setting appropriate objectives, and crafting a winning strategy. Without perceptive understanding of the strategic aspects of a company's external and internal environments, the chances are greatly increased that managers will finalize a strategic game plan that doesn't fit the situation well, that holds little prospect for building competitive advantage, and that is unlikely to boost company performance.

The strategic analysis is a continuous process which is not without limitations. There are two major limitations of strategic analysis that we need to be aware of. First, it gives a lot of innovative options but doesn't tell which one to pick. The options can be overlapping, confusing or difficult to implement. Second, it can be time-consuming at times, hurting overall organisational functioning and also strain other efficient innovations such as developing a new product or a service.

Issues to consider for Strategic Analysis

Strategy evolves over a period of time: Each strategic decision must balance the different factors that impact and constrain strategy. A key element of strategic analysis is the probable outcomes of everyday decisions. A current strategy is the result of several little choices taken over a protracted period of time. A management radically changes strategy when they try to speed up the organisational growth. Strategy is influenced by experience, but it has to be updated when the results become clear. It therefore evolves with time.

Balance of external and internal factors: In practise, strategic analysis necessitates creating a reasonable balance between many and conflicting challenges, because a perfect fit between them is unlikely. Management must consider opportunities, influences, and constraints while taking a strategic decision. There are factors driving a decision, such as entering a new market. Concurrently, there exist constraints that limit the option, such as the presence of a large opponent. These limiting constraints will have various implications on the kind, degree, volume, and significance of the impact. While some of these aspects are under your control, there will be others way beyond the existing capabilities.

Risk: In strategic analysis, the principle of maintaining balance is important. However, the complexity and intermingling of variables in the environment reduces the strategic balance in the organisation. Competitive markets, liberalization, globalization, booms, recessions, technological advancements, inter-country relationships all affect businesses and pose risk at varying degrees. An important aspect of strategic analysis is to identify potential imbalances or risks and assess their consequences.

External risk is on account of inconsistencies between strategies and the forces in the environment. Internal risk occurs on account of forces that are either within the organization or are directly interacting with the organization on a routine basis. A broad classification of the strategic risk that requires consideration in strategic analysis is given below:

Time

Strategic Risks		Short Time	Long Time
	External	Errors in interpreting the environment cause strategic failure	Changes in the environment lead to obsolescence of strategy.
	Internal	Organizational capacity is unable to cope up with strategic demands.	Inconsistencies with the strategy are developed on account of changes in internal capacities and preferences

The below given broad list of analysis that a business undertakes to plan a strategy covers both aspects of external analysis and internal analysis. An analysis helps identify opportunities, threats, strengths and weaknesses.

Strategic Analysis

External Analysis	Internal Analysis
Customer Analysis: Segments, motivations, unmet needs. ***Competitor Analysis:*** Strategic groups, performance, objectives, strategies, culture, cost structure. ***Market Analysis:*** Size, growth, profitability, entry barriers. ***Environmental Analysis:*** Technological, government, economic, cultural, demographic.	***Performance Analysis:*** Profitability, sales, customer satisfaction, product qualify, relative cost, new products, human resources. ***Determinants Analysis:*** Past and current strategies, strategic problems, organizational Capabilities and constraints, financial resources, strengths, and weaknesses.
↓	↓
Opportunities, threats, trends, and Strategic uncertainties	Strategic strengths, weaknesses, problems, constraints, and uncertainties



It is evident that industries differ widely in their economic characteristics, competitive situations, and future profit prospects. The economic character of industries varies according to such factors as overall size and market growth rate, the pace of technological change, the geographic boundaries of the market (which can extend from local to worldwide), the number and size of buyers and sellers, whether sellers' products are virtually identical or highly differentiated, the extent to which costs are affected by economies of scale, and the types of distribution channels used to access buyers, marketing opportunities, disposable income of prospective buyers, government support, etc. Competitive forces can be moderate in one industry and fierce, even cutthroat, in another. In some industries competition focuses on who has the best price, while in others competition is centered on quality and reliability (as in monitors for PCs and laptops) or product features and performance (as in mobile phones) or quick service and convenience. (as in online shopping and fast foods) or brand reputation (as in laundry detergents and soft drinks). In other industries, the challenge is for companies to work cooperatively with suppliers, customers, and maybe even select competitors to create the next round of product innovations and open up whole new vistas of market opportunities.

An industry's economic traits and competitive conditions, and how they are expected to change, determine whether its profit prospects are poor, average, or excellent. Industry and competitive conditions differ so much that leading companies in unattractive industries can find it hard to earn respectable profits, while even weak companies in attractive industries can achieve good performance.

STRATEGY AND BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT

To accomplish the goals and objectives of a business, business strategist creates strategies and formulate policies considering both internal and external factors. A framework for adjusting to the demands of an unpredictable environment and an uncertain future is provided by strategic management.

The business environment is highly dynamic and continuously evolving. Strategists provide an interface between the organizational abilities and the opportunities and challenges it must deal within the larger environment.



The term "business environment" refers to all external factors, influences, or situations that in some way affect business decisions, plans, and operations. Organisational success is determined by its business environment, and even more from its relationship with it.

Strategic management is involved with choosing a long-term direction in relation to these resources and opportunities. There is a close and continuous interaction between a business and its environment. This interaction helps in strengthening the business firm and using its resources more effectively. It helps the business in the following ways:

i. **Determine opportunities and threats**: The interaction between the business and its environment would explain opportunities and threats to the business. It helps to find new needs and wants of the consumers, changes in laws, changes in social behaviours, and tells what new products the competitors are bringing in the market to attract consumers.

ii. **Give direction for growth**: The interaction with the environment enables the business to identify the areas for growth and expansion of their activities. Once the business is aware and understands the changes happening around, it can plan and strategise to have successful business.

iii. **Continuous Learning**: The managers are motivated to continuously update their knowledge, understanding and skills to meet the predicted changes in the realm of business.

iv. **Image Building**: Environmental understanding helps the business organizations to improve their image by showing their sensitivity to the environment in which they operate. **For example**, in view of the shortage of power, many companies have set up captive power plants with their factories to meet their own requirement of power as well as extend surplus capacities in the vicinity. Understanding the needs of the environment help to showcase that the business is aware and responsive to the needs. It creates a positive image and helps it to prosper and win over the competitors.

v. **Meeting Competition**: It helps the businesses to analyse the competitors' strategies and formulate their own strategies accordingly. The idea is to flourish and beat competition for its products and services.

Business strategies relate organisational resources to challenges and opportunities in the larger environment. The changes happening in the external environment challenge organisations to find novel and unique strategies to remain in business and succeed. As the world is getting smaller and competition is increasing, organisations have an increasing pressure to develop their businesses and strengthen their competitiveness. Strategic analysis covering internal and external environment is highly relevant and important for the strategists in organisations in order to achieve competitive advantage, as well as ensure high performance for survival and growth.

To flourish, a business must be aware of, assess, and respond to the many opportunities and threats present in its environment. In order to succeed, the business must not only be aware of the numerous aspects of its surroundings but also be able to handle and adapt to them. The business must continuously evaluate its environment and modify its operations in order to thrive and expand.

Strategic decisions are significant aspects of business management and are essential for the success and continued existence. Two crucial aspects for the success include are the function of top management and the method of formulating strategic decisions. Improvement of strategic decisions is constant endeavour for strategist. Due to the contemporary environment's changes and the challenges that managers must overcome when making decisions, there is interest in enhancing strategic decision-making. The environment is far more dynamic and unpredictable than it used to be.

Micro and Macro Environment

The environment in which an organization exists can be described in terms of the opportunities and threats operating in the external environment apart from the strengths and weaknesses existing in the internal environment. Business strategists should always be adequately informed on developments occurring in their company, its industry, and within micro and macro environment of business. For making any strategic decision, they should be able to comprehend the facts available and challenge the underlying assumptions. The external environment can be categorised in two major types as follows:

(i) Micro environment (ii) Macro environment

Micro-environment is related to small area or immediate periphery of an organization. It influences an organization regularly and directly. Micro environment consists of suppliers, consumers, marketing intermediaries, competitors, etc. These are specific to the said business or firm and affect its working on a direct and regular basis. Within the micro or the immediate environment in which a firm operates we need to address the following issues:

- The employees of the firm, their characteristics and how they are organised.
- The existing customer base on which the firm relies for business.

- The ways in which the firm can raise its finance.
- Who are the firm suppliers and how are the links between the two being developed?
- The local community within which the firm operates.
- The direct competition and their comparative performance.

The factors in micro environment often relate an organization to the macro issues influencing the way a firm reacts in the market place. The macro environment is the portion of the outside world that significantly affects how an organisation operates but is typically much beyond its direct control and influence.

Elements of Macro Environment

Macro environment has broader dimensions as it consists of economic, socio- cultural, technological, political and legal factors. The classification of the relevant environment into components or sectors helps an organization to cope with its complexity, comprehend the different influences operating, and relating the environmental changes to its strategic management process.

> ***"The environment includes factors outside the firm which can lead to opportunities for, or threats to the firm. Although, there are many factors, the most important of the factors are socio-economic, technological, supplier, competitors, and government."*** ***Gluek and Jauch***

The external environment of an organisation is made up of all the individuals, teams, organisations, agencies, and factors that it routinely interacts with when conducting business. In addition to carrying out transactions, it develops and puts into action pertinent plans and policies to address environmental changes. It negotiates its way into the future as well.

Demographic Environment

Demographics are the characteristics of a population that have been classified and explained according to certain criteria, such age, gender, and income, in order to understand the features of a specific group. Demographical analysis considers factors such as race, age, income, education, possession of assets, house ownership, job position, region, and the degree of education. Data about these qualities across homes and within a demographic variable are of importance to both businesses and economists. Marketers and other social scientists regularly divide up populations based on their demographic makeup. India has relatively younger population as compared to many other countries. Many multinationals are interested in India considering its population size.

Considering demographics is of immense importance for any business. Business Organizations need to study different demographic factors. Particularly, they need to address following issues:

- What demographic trends will affect the market size of the industry?
- What demographic trends represent opportunities or threats?

The size, age distribution, geographic dispersion, ethnic mix, and income distribution of a population are all of great importance to the organisation. Identifying the implications of changing demographic characteristics or population components for a future strategic competitiveness is often a challenge for strategists.

Socio-Cultural Environment

A general factor that influences almost all enterprises in a similar manner. It represents a complex group of factors such as social traditions, values and beliefs, level and standards of literacy, the ethical standards and state of society, the extent of social stratification, conflict, cohesiveness and so forth. It differs from demographics in the sense that it is not the characteristics of the population, but it is the behaviour and the belief system of that population.

Socio-cultural environment consists of factors related to human relationships and the impact of social attitudes and cultural values which has bearing on the operations of the organization. The beliefs, values and norms of a society determine how individuals and organizations should be interrelated. The core beliefs of a particular society tend to be persistent. It is difficult for a business to change these core values, which becomes a determinant of its functioning. This means, that businesses have to adjust to social norms and beliefs to operate successfully. The social environment primarily affects the strategic management process within the organization in the areas of mission and objective setting, and decisions related to products and markets.

Economic Environment

Economic conditions have a direct bearing over the business strategies. The economic environment refers to the overall economic situation around the business and include conditions at the regional, national and global levels. It encompass es conditions in the markets for resources that have an effect on the supply of inputs and outputs of the business, their costs, and the dependability, quality, and availability.

Economic environment determines the strength and size of the market. The purchasing power in an economy depends on current income, prices, savings, circulation of money, debt and credit availability. Income distribution pattern determine the business possibilities. The important point to consider is to find out the effect of economic prospect, growth and inflation on the operations of the business.

Higher interest rates are detrimental for the businesses with high debt. In the real estate market, they reduce the capability of the prospective buyers to avail loan and pay instalments, thus lower the demand. The economic conditions of a nation refer to a set of economic factors that have great influence on business organizations and their operations. These include gross domestic product, per capita income, markets for goods and services, availability of capital, foreign exchange reserve, growth of foreign trade, strength of capital market, interest rates, disposable income, unemployment, inflation, etc. All these factors generally tell the state of the economy. Whether it is doing good or is it performing poorly.

Political-Legal Environment

Political-legal environment takes into account elements like the general level of political development, the degree to which business and economic issues have been politicised, the degree of political morality, the state of law and order, political stability, the political ideology and practises of the ruling party, the effectiveness and purposefulness of governmental agencies, and the scope and type of governmental intervention in the economy and industry. It is partly general to all similar enterprises and partly specific to an individual enterprise.

Business is highly guided and controlled by government policies. Hence the type of government running a country is a powerful influence on business. A business has to consider the changes in the regulatory framework and their impact on the business. Taxes and duties are other critical areas that may be levied and affect the business.

Businesses prefer to operate in a country where there is a sound legal system. However, in any country businesses must have a good working knowledge of the major laws protecting consumers, competitions and organizations. Businesses must understand the relevant laws relating to companies, competition, intellectual property, foreign exchange, labour and so on.

Example:- Nationalism supports measures aimed at enhancing the position of a country in International business. Presently, there is immense thrust on nationalism in Indian business through policies like Make in India and Aatmanirbhar Bharat. Production Linked Incentives scheme, another step in the direction, rewards businesses for increased sales of goods produced domestically. The scheme encourages foreign businesses to open businesses in India, and at the same time incentivises domestic businesses to open or expand their manufacturing facilities, create more jobs, and lessen India's reliance on imports.

Technological Environment

A highly important factor in the present times is technology. Technology has changed the way people communicate and do things. Technology has also changed the ways of how businesses operate now. Technology and business are linked and are interdependent on one another. Businesses help society access the outcomes of technological research and development, raising everyone's standard of living. As a result, business leverages technology. Businesses use new discoveries to adapt themselves for the advancement of society.

Technology has impacted on how businesses are conducted. With use of technology, many organisations are able to reduce paperwork, schedule payments more efficiently, are able to coordinate inventories efficiently and effectively. This helps to reduce costs of companies, and shrink time and distance, thus, capturing a competitive advantage for the company.

Example:- Changes in technology have an effect on how a business runs its operations. The technological advancements might require a business to drastically alter its operational, production and marketing strategies.

http://tiny.cc/FASTCostFMbyAB
http://tiny.cc/yoursamitbhai
http://tiny.cc/FastCostFMbyAB

Technology is leading to many new business opportunities as well as making obsolete most of the existing business products and services. Technology can act as opportunity, when a business effectively adopts technological innovations to their strategic advantage. However, at the same time technology can act as a threat too. Artificial intelligence, machine learning, robotic process automation is some of the new technological tools that businesses are adopting and can act as both opportunity and threat to a business.

PESTLE– A tool to Analyse Macro Environment

The term PESTLE is often used to describe a framework for analysis of macro environmental factors. PESTEL analysis is frequently used to assess the business environment in which a firm operates. Political, economic, social, and technological (PEST) analysis was the name given to the framework in the past; however, later, the framework has been expanded to include environmental and legal factors as well. PESTLE analysis involves identifying the political, economic, socio-cultural, technological, legal and environmental influences on an organization and providing a way of scanning the environmental influences that have affected or are likely to affect an organization or its policy.

'PESTLE analysis is an increasingly used and recognized analytical tool, and it is an acronym for:

P:- Political	E:- Economic	S:- Socio-Cultural
T:- Technological	L:-Legal	E:-Environmental

The PESTLE analysis is simple to understand and quick to implement. The advantage of this tool is that it encourages management into proactive and structured thinking in its decision making.

The Key Factors

- ***Political factors*** are how and to what extent the government intervenes in the economy and the activities of business firms. Political factors may also influence goods and services which the government wants to provide or be provided and those that the government does not want to be provided. Furthermore, governments have great influence on the health, education and infrastructure of a nation.
- ***Economic factors*** have major impacts on how businesses operate and take decisions. **For example**, interest rates affect a firm's cost of capital and therefore to what extent a business grows and expands. Exchange rates affect the costs of exporting goods and the supply and price of imported goods in an economy. The money supply, inflation, credit flow, per capita income, growth rates have a bearing on the business decisions.
- ***Social factors*** affect the demand for a company's products and how that company operates.
- ***Technological factors*** can determine barriers to entry, minimum efficient production level and influence outsourcing decisions. Furthermore, technological shifts can affect costs, quality, and lead to innovation.
- ***Legal factors*** affect how a company operates, its costs, and the demand for its products, ease of business.

- ***Environmental factors*** affect industries such as tourism, farming, and insurance. Growing awareness to climate change is affecting how companies operate and the products they offer--it is both creating new markets and diminishing or destroying existing ones.

On the basis of these, it should be possible to identify a number of key environmental influences, which are in effect, the drivers of change. These are the factors that require to be considered in making meaningful decisions. Take a look at the table given below:

Political	Economic
♦ Political stability ♦ Political principles and ideologies ♦ Current and future taxation policy ♦ Regulatory bodies and processes ♦ Government policies ♦ Government term and change ♦ Thrust areas of political leaders	♦ Economy situation and trends ♦ Market and trade cycles ♦ Specific industry factors ♦ Customer/end-user drivers ♦ Interest and exchange rates ♦ Inflation and unemployment ♦ Strength of consumer spending
Social	**Technological**
♦ Lifestyle trends ♦ Demographics ♦ Consumer attitudes and opinions ♦ Brand, company, technology image ♦ Consumer buying patterns ♦ Ethnic/religious factors ♦ Media views and perception	♦ Replacement technology/solutions ♦ Maturity of technology ♦ Manufacturing maturity and capacity ♦ Innovation potential ♦ Technology access, licensing, patents, property rights and copyrights
Legal	**Environmental**
♦ Business and Corporate Laws ♦ Employment Law ♦ Competition Law ♦ Health & Safety Law ♦ International Treaty and Law ♦ Regional Legislation	♦ Ecological/environmental issues ♦ Environmental hazards ♦ Environmental legislation ♦ Energy consumption ♦ Waste disposal

Internationalization of Business

Internationalization has emerged as the dominant commercial trend over the last couple of decades. It enables a business to enter new markets in search of greater earnings and less expensive resources. Additionally, expanding internationally enable a business to achieve greater economies of scale and extend the lifespan of its products.

The strategic-management process is essentially the same for global firms as it is for domestic firms; nevertheless, international processes are much more complicated due to additional variables and linkages. A business can approach internationalisation systemically with the aid of international strategy planning. One method for an organization to identify opportunities and threats in global markets is by scanning the external environment. The development of effective strategies and the formulation of global strategic objectives are made feasible by internationalisation.

Characteristics of a global business

To be specific, a global business has three characteristics:

- It is a conglomerate of multiple units (located in different parts of the globe) but all linked by common ownership.
- Multiple units draw on a common pool of resources, such as money, credit, information, patents, trade names and control systems.
- The units respond to some common strategy. Besides, its managers and shareholders are also based in different nations.

Developing internationally

International development is expensive and challenging. Moving on in a thorough and structured manner is thus the ideal approach to adopt. The steps in international strategic planning are as follows:

- Evaluate global opportunities and threats and rate them with the internal capabilities.
- Describe the scope of the firm's global commercial operations.
- Create the firm's global business objectives.
- Develop distinct corporate strategies for the global business and whole organisation.

Why do businesses go global?

Technological developments and evolving political views are two important factors in the rapid rise of multinational organisations. Because of technological advances, the process of internationalisation is now simpler than it was previously. Worldwide communication makes it easier to define and implement global strategy by linking corporate headquarters with their abroad operations. In addition, introduction of improved transportation has increased the mobility of money, people, raw materials, and finished items.

There are several reasons why companies go global. These are explained as follows:

- The first and foremost reason is the need to grow. It is basic need of every organisation. Often finding opportunities in the other parts of the globe, organisations extend their businesses and globalise their operations.
- There is rapid shrinking of time and distance across the globe, because of faster communication, speedier transportation, growing financial flow of funds and rapid technological changes.

- It is being realised that the domestic markets are no longer adequate. The competition present domestically may not exist in some of the international markets.
- There can be varied other reasons such as need for reliable or cheaper source of raw-materials, cheap labour, etc. Many foreign businesses shift and set up some of their operations to take advantage of availability of vast pool of talent.
- Companies often set up overseas plants to reduce high transportation costs. It may be cheaper to produce near the market to reduce the time and costs involved in transportation.
- When exporting organisations find foreign markets to open up or grow big, they may naturally look at overseas manufacturing plants and sales branches to generate higher sales and better cash flow.
- The rise of services to constitute the largest single sector in the world economy; and regional economic integration, which has involved both the world's largest economies as well as certain developing economies.
- The apparent and real collapse of international trade barriers redefines the roles of state and industry. The trend is towards increased privatization of manufacturing and services sectors, less government interference in business decisions and more dependence on the value-added sector to gain marketplace competitiveness. The trade tariffs and custom barriers are getting lowered, resulting in increased flow of business.
- Globalization has made companies in different countries to form strategic alliances to ward off economic and technological threats and leverage their respective comparative and competitive advantages.

International Environment

The social, cultural, demographic, environmental, political, governmental, legal, technological factors that an international organisation faces are nearly limitless, and the number and complexity of these factors increase manifold as the number of products produced and geographic areas served increase. An assessment of the external environment is the first step toward internationalisation. Analysing international environment is important since it allows organisation to discover opportunities in the global market and evaluate feasibilities of capitalising on these opportunities. Assessments of the international environment can be done at three levels: multinational, regional, and country.

Multinational environmental analysis involves identifying, anticipating, and monitoring significant components of the global environment on a large scale. Understanding global developments covering economic and other macro elements is important. Governments may have free or interventionist tendencies in economies that needs to be carefully considered. These characteristics are evaluated based on their present and expected future impact.

Regional environmental analysis is a more in-depth evaluation of the critical factors in a specific geographical area. The emphasis would be on discovering market opportunities for a goods, services, or innovations in the chosen location.

Country environmental analysis has to take a deeper look at the important environmental factors. Study of economic, legal, political, and cultural dimensions is required in order for planning to be successful. The analysis must be customised for each of the countries to develop effective market entrance strategies. International environment has become an inherent part of strategic management for businesses of all sizes with global interests. It essentially involves various global aspects like political risks, cultural differences, exchange rate fluctuations, legal compliances and taxation issues. Thus, it becomes more important for the people at the decision-making levels to focus on factors comprising the international environment.

UNDERSTANDING PRODUCT AND INDUSTRY

Businesses sell products. A product can be either a good or a service. It might be physical good or a service, an experience. Business products have certain characteristics as follows:

- ***Products are either tangible or intangible***. A tangible product can be handled, seen, and physically felt, such as a car, book, pen, table, mobile handset and so on. Alternatively, an intangible product is not a physical good, such as telecom services, banking, insurance, or repair services.

- ***Product has a price***. Businesses determine the cost of their products and charge a price for them. The dynamics of supply and demand influence the market price of an item or service. The market price is the price at which quantity provided equals quantity desired. The price that may be paid is determined by the market, the quality, the marketing, and the targeted group. In the present competitive world price is often given by the market and businesses have to work on costs to maintain profitability. On account of competition, businesses are not able to fix market price by adding profit margin on the costs. Rather, they work on reducing the costs given the prevailing market price.

- ***Products have certain features that deliver satisfaction***. A product feature is a component of a product that satisfies a consumer need. Features determine product pricing, and businesses alter features during the development process to optimise the user experience. Products should be able to provide value satisfaction to the customers for whom they are meant. Features of the product will distinguish it in terms of its function, design, quality and experience. A customer's cumulative experience with a product from its purchase to the end of its useful life is an important component of a product feature.

- ***Product is pivotal for business***. The product is at the centre of business around which all strategic activities revolve. The product enables production, quality, sales, marketing, logistics and other business processes. Product is the driving force behind business activities.

- ***A product has a useful life***. Every product has a usable life after which it must be replaced, as well as a life cycle after which it is to be reinvented or may cease to exist. We have observed that fixed line telephone instruments have largely been replaced by mobile phones.

Product Life Cycle

An important concept in strategic choice is that of product life cycle (PLC). It is a useful concept for guiding strategic choice. Essentially, PLC is an S-shaped curve which exhibits the relationship of sales with respect of time for a product that passes through the four successive stages of introduction, growth, maturity and decline. If businesses are substituted for product, the concept of PLC could work just as well.

(i) The first stage of PLC is the introduction stage with slow sales growth, in which competition is almost negligible, prices are relatively high, and markets are limited. The growth in sales is at a lower rate because of lack of awareness on the part of customers.

(ii) The second phase of PLC is growth stage with rapid market acceptance. In the growth stage, the demand expands rapidly, prices fall, competition increases, and market expands. The customer has knowledge about the product and shows interest in purchasing it.

(iii) The third phase of PLC is maturity stage where there is slowdown in growth rate. In this stage, the competition gets tough, and market gets stablised. Profit comes down because of stiff competition. At this stage, organisations have to work for maintaining stability.

(iv) In the fourth stage of PLC is declines with sharp downward drift in sales. The sales and profits fall down sharply due to some new product replaces the existing product. So, a combination of strategies can be implemented to stay in the market either by diversification or retrenchment.



The main advantage of PLC approach is that it can be used to diagnose a portfolio of products (or businesses) in order to establish the stage at which each of them exists. Particular attention is to be paid on the businesses that are in the declining stage. Depending on the diagnosis, appropriate strategic choice can be made.

For instance, expansion may be a feasible alternative for businesses in the introductory and growth stages. Mature businesses may be used as sources of cash for investment in other businesses which need resources. A combination of strategies like selective harvesting, retrenchment, etc. may be adopted for declining businesses. In this way, a balanced portfolio of businesses may be built up by exercising a strategic choice based on the PLC concept.

Value Chain Analysis

With each transaction, successful businesses produce value for their consumers in the form of satisfaction and profits for themselves and their shareholders. Companies that generate more value are more likely to profit than those that generate less value. Understanding value chain of an organisation is critical for evaluating how much value it generates. Value chain analysis is a method used by strategists to break down each process that their business employs. This analysis could be used to improve the sequence of operations, enhancing efficiency and creating a competitive advantage. Value chain analysis can be used by businesses of all sizes, from sole proprietorships to multinational organisations. Each organisation has a unique set of procedures to perform its duties, and they may all benefit from value chain analysis to evaluate and optimise their processes.

Value chain analysis is a method of examining each activity in value chain of a business in order to identify areas for improvements. When you do a value chain analysis, you must analyse how each stage in the process adds or subtracts value from the end product or service.

Value chain analysis has been widely used as a means of describing the activities within and around an organization and relating them to an assessment of the competitive strength of an organization (or its ability to provide value-for-money products or services). Value chain analysis was originally introduced as an accounting analysis to shed light on the 'value added' of separate steps in complex manufacturing processes, in order to determine where cost improvements could be made and/or value creation improved. The two basic steps of identifying separate activities and assessing the value added from each were linked to an analysis of an organization's competitive advantage by Michael Porter.

One of the key aspects of value chain analysis is the recognition that organizations are much more than a random collection of machines, material, money and people. These resources are of no value unless deployed into activities and organised into systems and routines which ensure that products or services are produced which are valued by the final consumer/user. In other words, it is these competences to perform particular activities and the ability to manage linkages between activities which are the source of competitive advantage for organizations. Porter argued that an understanding of strategic capability must start with an identification of these separate value activities.

The primary activities of the organization are grouped into five main areas: inbound logistics, operations, outbound logistics, marketing and sales, and service.

- **Inbound logistics** are the activities concerned with receiving, storing and distributing the inputs to the product/service. This includes materials handling, stock control, transport etc. Like, transportation and warehousing.
- **Operations transform these inputs into the final product or service:** machining, packaging, assembly, testing, etc. convert raw materials in finished goods.
- **Outbound logistics** collect, store and distribute the product to customers. For tangible products this would be warehousing, materials handling, transport, etc. In the case of services, it may be more concerned with arrangements for bringing customers to the service, if it is a fixed location (e.g. sports events).
- **Marketing and sales** provide the means whereby consumers/users are made aware of the product/service and are able to purchase it. This would include sales administration, advertising, selling and so on. In public services, communication networks which help users' access a particular service are often important.
- **Service** are all those activities, which enhance or maintain the value of a product/service, such as installation, repair, training and spares.

Each of these groups of primary activities are linked to support activities. These can be divided into four areas;

- ***Procurement:*** This refers to the processes for acquiring the various resource inputs to the primary activities (not to the resources themselves). As such, it occurs in many parts of the organization.
- ***Technology development:*** All value activities have a 'technology', even if it is simply know-how. The key technologies may be concerned directly with the product (e.g. R&D product design) or with processes (e.g. process development) or with a particular resource (e.g. raw materials improvements).
- ***Human resource management:*** This is a particularly important area which transcends all primary activities. It is concerned with those activities involved in recruiting, managing, training, developing and rewarding people within the organization.
- ***Infrastructure:*** The systems of planning, finance, quality control, information management, etc. are

crucially important to an organization's performance in its primary activities. Infrastructure also consists of the structures and routines of the organization which sustain its culture.

INDUSTRY ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS

A combination of ideas and methodologies may be utilised to create a clear picture of key industry traits, competition intensity, industry change drivers, rival firms' market positions and tactics, competitive success, and profit forecasts. Industry analysis enable strategic understanding about the entire state of any industry and make decisions about whether the industry is a lucrative or not.

Ques:- What is goal of Industry Environment Analysis

Ans:- The goal of the industry environment analysis, which is typically an important step of strategic analysis, is to estimate the amount of competitive pressures the business is presently facing and is expected to face in the near future.

The analysis entails seeing the firm in the context of a bigger framework. The purpose of industrial analysis is to get insight into a wide range of elements within and outside the business. Analysing these elements enhances knowledge of surrounding and serves as the foundation for aligning strategy with changing industry circumstances and realities.

Porter's Five Forces Model

Every business operates in the competitive environment. Competitive state of an industry applies a strong influence on how firms develop their strategies. Porter's Five Forces analysis is a simple but efficient way for determining the key sources of competition in business or industry. It is a powerful and widely used tool to systematically diagnose the significant competitive pressures in a market and assess the strength and importance of each. Understanding the variables that affect industry helps to adapt strategy, boost profitability, and stay ahead of the competition. Strategist may use a strong position to organizational advantage or reinforce a weak one to avoid making mistakes in the future.
Michael Porter believes that the basic unit of analysis for understanding is a group of competitors producing goods or services that compete directly with each other. It is the industry where competitive advantage is ultimately won or lost. It is through competitive strategy that the organisation attempts to adopt an approach to compete in the industry.

The character, mix, and intricacies of competitive forces are never the same from one industry to another. The model holds that the state of competition in an industry is a composite of competitive pressures operating in five areas of the overall market:

- Competitive pressures associated with the market manoeuvring and jockeying for buyer patronage that goes on among rival sellers in the industry.
- Competitive pressures associated with the threat of new entrants into the market.
- Competitive pressures coming from the attempts of companies in other industries to win

buyers over to their own substitute products.

- ❖ Competitive pressures stemming from supplier bargaining power and supplier-seller collaboration.
- ❖ Competitive pressures stemming from buyer bargaining power and seller- buyer Collaboration.

The strategists can use the five-forces model to determine what competition is like in a given industry by undertaking the following steps:

Step 1: Identify the specific competitive pressures associated with each of the five forces.

Step 2: Evaluate how strong the pressures comprising each of the five forces are (fierce, strong, moderate to normal, or weak).

Step 3: Determine whether the collective strength of the five competitive forces is conducive to earning attractive profits

Porter's five forces model is one of the most effective and enduring conceptual frameworks used to assess the nature of the competitive environment and to describe an industry's structure. The interrelationship among these five forces gives each industry its own particular competitive environment. By applying Porter's five forces model of industry attractiveness to their own industries, the manager can gauge their own firm's strengths, weaknesses, and future opportunities.



I. The Threat of New Entrants

New entrants can reduce industry profitability because they add new production capacity leading to an increase supply of the product even at a lower price and can substantially erode existing firm's market

share position. New entrants are always a powerful source of competition. The new capacity and product range they bring in throw up new competitive pressure. And the bigger the new entrant, the more severe the competitive effect. New entrants also place a limit on prices and affect the profitability of existing players. A firm's profitability tends to be higher when other firms are blocked from entering the industry.

To discourage new entrants, existing firms can try to raise barriers to entry. Barriers to entry represent economic forces (or 'hurdles') that slow down or impede entry by other firms. Common barriers to entry include, capital requirements, economies of scale, product differentiation, switching costs, brand identity, access to distribution channels and possibility of aggressive retaliation by existing players. These are explained as follows:

(i) **Capital Requirements:** When a large amount of capital is required to enter an industry, firms lacking funds are effectively barred from the industry, thus enhancing the profitability of existing firms in the industry.

(ii) **Economies of Scale:** Many industries are characterized by economic activities driven by economies of scale. Economies of scale refer to the decline in the per-unit cost of production (or other activity) as volume grows. A large firm that enjoys economies of scale can produce high volumes of goods at successively lower costs. This tends to discourage new entrants.

(iii) **Product Differentiation:** Product differentiation refers to the physical or perceptual differences, or enhancements, that make a product special or unique in the eyes of customers. Firms in the personal care products and cosmetics industries actively engage in product differentiation to enhance their products' features. Differentiation works to reinforce entry barriers because the cost of creating genuine product differences may be too high for the new entrants.

(iv) **Switching Costs:** To succeed in an industry, new entrant must be able to persuade existing customers of other companies to switch to its products. To make a switch, buyers may need to test a new firm's product, negotiate new purchase contracts, and train personnel to use the equipment, or modify facilities for product use. Buyers often incur substantial financial (and psychological) costs in switching between firms. When such switching costs are high, buyers are often reluctant to change.

(v) **Brand Identity:** The brand identity of products or services offered by existing firms can serve as another entry barrier. Brand identity is particularly important for infrequently purchased products that carry a high unit cost to the buyer. New entrants often encounter significant difficulties in building up the brand identity, because to do so they must commit substantial resources over a long period.

(vi) **Access to Distribution Channels:** The unavailability of distribution channels for new entrants poses another significant entry barrier. Despite the growing power of the internet, many firms may continue to rely on their control of physical distribution channels to sustain a barrier to entry to rivals. Often, existing firms have significant influence over the distribution channels and can retard or impede their use by new firms.

(vii) **Possibility of Aggressive Retaliation:** Sometimes the mere threat of aggressive retaliation

by incumbents can deter entry by other firms into an existing industry. **For example**, introduction of products by a new firm may lead incumbents firms to reduce their product prices and increase their advertising budgets.

II. Bargaining Power of Buyers

This is another force that influences the competitive condition of the industry. This force will become heavier depending on the possibilities of the buyers forming groups or cartels. Mostly, this is a phenomenon seen in industrial products. Quite often, users of industrial products come together formally or informally and exert pressure on the producer. The bargaining power of the buyers influences not only the prices that the producer can charge but also influences in many cases, costs and investments of the producer because powerful buyers usually bargain for better services which involve costs and investment on the part of the producer.

Buyers of an industry's products or services can sometimes exert considerable pressure on existing firms to secure lower prices or better services. This leverage is particularly evident when:

(i) Buyers have full knowledge of the sources of products and their substitutes.

(ii) They spend a lot of money on the industry's products i.e. they are big buyers.

(iii) The industry's product is not perceived as critical to the buyer's needs and buyers are more concentrated than firms supplying the product. They can easily switch to the substitutes available.

III. Bargaining Power of Suppliers

Quite often suppliers, too, exercise considerable bargaining power over companies. The more specialised the offering from the supplier, greater is his clout. And, if the suppliers are also limited in number, they stand a still better chance to exhibit their bargaining power. The bargaining power of suppliers determines the cost of raw materials and other inputs of the industry and, therefore, industry attractiveness and profitability.

Suppliers can influence the profitability of an industry in a number of ways. Suppliers can command bargaining power over a firm when:

(i) Their products are crucial to the buyer and substitutes are not available.

(ii) They can erect high switching costs.

(iii) They are more concentrated than their buyers.

IV. The Nature of Rivalry in the Industry

The rivalry among existing players is quite obvious. This is what is normally understood as competition. For any player, the competitors influence strategic decisions at different strategic levels. The impact is evident more at functional level in the prices being charged, advertising, and pressures on costs, product and so on.

http://tiny.cc/FASTCostFMbyAB

http://tiny.cc/yoursamitbhai

http://tiny.cc/FastCostFMbyAB

The intensity of rivalry in an industry is a significant determinant of industry attractiveness and profitability.

The intensity of rivalry can influence the costs of suppliers, distribution, and of attracting customers and thus directly affect the profitability. The more intensive the rivalry, the less attractive is the industry.

Rivalry among competitors tends to be cutthroat and industry profitability low under various conditions explained as follows:

(i) **Industry Leader:** A strong industry leader can discourage price wars by disciplining initiators of such activity. Because of its greater financial resources, a leader can generally outlast smaller rivals in a price war. Knowing this, smaller rivals often avoid initiating such a contest.

(ii) **Number of Competitors:** Even when an industry leader exists, the leader's ability to exert pricing discipline diminishes with the increased number of rivals in the industry as communicating expectations to players becomes more difficult.

(iii) **Fixed Costs:** When rivals operate with high fixed costs, they feel strong motivation to utilize their capacity and therefore are inclined to cut prices when they have excess capacity. Price cutting causes profitability to fall for all firms in the industry as firms seek to produce more to cover costs that must be paid regardless of industry demand. For this reason, profitability tends to be lower in industries characterized by high fixed costs.

(iv) **Exit Barriers:** Rivalry among competitors declines if some competitors leave an industry. Profitability therefore tends to be higher in industries with few exit barriers. Exit barriers come in many forms. Assets of a firm considering exit may be highly specialized and therefore of little value to any other firm. Such a firm can thus find no buyer for its assets. This discourages exit. When barriers to exit are powerful, competitors desiring exit may refrain from leaving. Their continued presence in an industry exerts downward pressure on the profitability of all competitors.

(v) **Product Differentiation:** Firms can sometimes insulate themselves from price wars by differentiating their products from those of rivals. As a consequence, profitability tends to be higher in industries that offer opportunity for differentiation. Profitability tends to be lower in industries involving undifferentiated commodities such as, memory chips, natural resources, processed metals and railroads.

(vi) **Slow Growth:** Industries whose growth is slowing down tend to face more intense rivalry. As industry growth slows, rivals must often fight harder to grow or even to keep their existing market share. The resulting intensive rivalry tends to reduce profitability for all.

V. Threat of Substitutes

Substitute products are a latent source of competition in an industry. In many cases they become a major constituent of competition. Substitute products offering a price advantage and/or performance improvement to the consumer can drastically alter the competitive character of an industry. And they

can bring it about all of a sudden.

For example, coir suffered at the hands of synthetic fibre. Wherever substantial investment in R&D is taking place, threats from substitute products can be expected. Substitutes, too, usually limit the prices and profits in an industry.

A final force that can influence industry profitability is the availability of substitutes for an industry's product. To predict profit pressure from this source, firms must search for products that perform the same, or nearly the same, function as their existing products. **For example**, Real estate, insurance, bonds and bank deposits for example are clear substitutes for common stocks, because they represent alternate ways to invest funds.

The five forces together determine industry attractiveness/ profitability. This is so because these forces influence the causes that underlie industry attractiveness/ profitability. **For example**, elements such as cost and investment needed for being a player in the industry decide industry profitability, and all such elements are governed by these forces. The collective strength of these five competitive forces determines the scope to earn attractive profits. The strength of the forces may vary from industry to industry.

Attractiveness of Industry

The industry analysis culminates into identification of various issues and draw conclusions about the relative attractiveness or unattractiveness of the industry, both near-term and long-term. Strategists assess the industry outlook carefully, deciding whether industry and competitive conditions present an attractive business opportunity for the organisation or whether its growth and profit prospects are gloomy. This is important because companies invest capital, either the promoters or from the public and should be inherent careful in choosing an industry. The important factors on which the management may base such conclusions include:

(i) The industry's growth potential, is it futuristically viable?

(ii) Whether competition currently permits adequate profitability and whether competitive forces will become stronger or weaker?

(iii) Whether industry profitability will be favourably or unfavourably affected by the prevailing driving forces?

(iv) The competitive position of an organisation in the industry and whether its position is likely to grow stronger or weaker. (Being a well-entrenched leader or strongly positioned contender in an otherwise lackluster industry can still produce good profitability; however, having to fight an uphill battle against much stronger rivals can make an otherwise attractive industry unattractive).

(v) The potential to capitalize on the vulnerabilities of weaker rivals (perhaps converting an unattractive industry situation into a potentially rewarding company opportunity).

(vi) Whether the company is able to defend against or counteract the factors that make the industry unattractive?

(vii) The degrees of risk and uncertainty in the industry's future.

(viii) The severity of problems confronting the industry as a whole.

(ix) Whether continued participation in this industry adds importantly to the firm's ability to be successful in other industries in which it may have business interests?

As a general proposition, if an industry's overall profit prospects are above average, the industry can be considered attractive; if its profit prospects are below average, it is unattractive. However, it is a mistake to think of industries as being attractive or unattractive to all firms in the industry and all potential entrants. Attractiveness is relative, not absolute. Industry environments unattractive to weak competitors may be attractive to strong competitors.

An assessment that the industry is fundamentally attractive typically suggests that current industry participants employ strategies calculated to strengthen their long- term competitive positions in the business, expanding sales efforts and investing in additional facilities and equipment as needed. If the industry and competitive situation is judged relatively unattractive, more successful industry participants may choose to invest cautiously, look for ways to protect their long-term competitiveness and profitability, and perhaps acquire smaller firms if the price is right; over the longer term, strong companies may consider diversification into more attractive businesses. Weak companies in unattractive industries may consider merging with a rival to bolster market share and profitability or, alternatively, begin looking outside the industry for attractive diversification opportunities.

Experience Curve

Experience curve akin to a learning curve which explains the efficiency increase gained by workers through repetitive productive work. Experience curve is based on the commonly observed phenomenon that unit costs decline as a firm accumulates experience in terms of a cumulative volume of production. It is based on the concept, "we learn as we grow".

The implication is that larger firms in an industry would tend to have lower unit costs as compared to those for smaller companies, thereby gaining a competitive cost advantage.
Experience curve results from a variety of factors such as learning effects, economies of scale, product redesign and technological improvements in production.

Experience curve has following features:

- As business organisation grow, they gain experience.
- Experience may provide an advantage over the competition. Experience is a key barrier to entry.

❖ Large and successful organisation possess stronger "experience effect".

A typical experience curve may be depicted as follows:



As a business grows, it understands the complexities and benefits from its experiences.
The concept of experience curve is relevant for a number of areas in strategic management. For instance, experience curve is considered a barrier for new firms contemplating entry in an industry. It is also used to build market share and discourage competition. In the contemporary Indian automobile industry, the experience curve phenomenon seems to be working in Maruti Suzuki. The likely strategic choice for competitors can be a market niche approach or segmentation based on demography or geography.

Value Creation

The concept of value creation was introduced primarily for providing products and services to the customers with more worth. Value is measured by a product's features, quality, availability, durability, performance and by its services for which customers are willing to pay. Further, the concept took more space in the business and organizations started discussing about the value creation for stakeholders.



Thus, we can say that the value creation is an activity or performance by the firm to create value that increases the worth of goods, services, business processes or even the whole business system. Many businesses now focus on value creation both in the context of creating better value for customers purchasing its products and services, as well as for stakeholders in the business who want to see their investment in business appreciate in value. Ultimately, this concept gives business a competitive advantage in the industry and helps them earn above average profits/returns.

Competitive advantage leads to superior profitability. At the most basic level, how profitable a company becomes depends on three factors:

(1) the value customers place on the company's products;

(2) the price that a company charges for its products; and

(3) the costs of creating those products.

The value customers place on a product reflects the utility they get from a product—the happiness or satisfaction gained from consuming or owning the product. Utility must be distinguished from price. Utility is something that customers get from a product. It is a function of the attributes of the product, such as its performance, design, quality, and point-of-sale and after-sale service.

Companies are ultimately aiming to achieve sustainable competitive advantage, which enables them to succeed in the long run. Michael Porter argues that a company can generate competitive advantage in two different ways, either through differentiation or cost advantage. According to Porter's, differentiation means the capability to provide customers superior and special value in the form of product's special features and quality or in the form of aftersales customer service. As a result of differentiation, a company can demand higher price for its products or services. A company will earn higher profits due to differentiation in case the expenses stay comparable to the costs of competitors.

The above-mentioned differentiation and cost advantage will affect a company's ability to achieve competitive advantage, but there are many different organizational functions that will influence whether a company can achieve cost advantage or differentiation advantage. Michael Porter used the concept of value chain to explore closer different functions of the organisations and mutual interactions among those functions. Value chain analysis provides an excellent tool to examine the origin of competitive advantage. It divides the organisations into two different strategically important group of activities, namely, primary activities and supporting activities, which can help to comprehend the potential sources for differentiation and to understand an organisation's costs behaviour.

It is basically the value consumer wants to pay, over and above the price that the business wants to charge from the consumer. This excess amount is called value creation, wherein the consumers value the product or service more than it actually costs them.

MARKET AND CUSTOMER

A market is a place for interested parties, buyers and sellers, where items and services can be exchanged for a price. The market might be physical, such as a departmental store where people engage in person. They may also be virtual, such as an online market where buyers and sellers do not meet in person but tools of technology to strike a deal. In addition to this broad definition, the term market can apply to a wide range of contexts. **For example**, it might be used to describe the stock exchange, where securities are traded. It may also refer to a group of individuals trying to buy a specific commodity or service in a specific place, such as grain or vegetable market where farmers come to sell their produce. It may also be used to define a business or industry, such as the global oil market.

While the market is a place, business strategist work on marketing to improve the chances of success. The term "marketing" encompasses a wide range of operations, including research, designing, pricing, promotion, transportation, and distribution. Often market activities are categorised and explained in terms of four Ps of marketing – product, place, pricing, and promotion. These four kinds of marketing activities help marketers identify customer needs so they may meet their demands and deliver satisfaction. Delivering the best customer experience and establishing, maintaining, and growing relationships with customers are the main goals of marketing.

The orientation of product marketing has evolved and acquired different dimensions centred around product, production, sales and customers. Businesses that have product orientation think that buyers will choose those products that have the best quality, performance, design, or features. Next, there are production- oriented businesses that believe that customers choose low price products. Sales- oriented businesses believe that if they spend enough money on advertisement, sales and promotion, customers can be persuaded to make a purchase.

In a customer or market-oriented approach strategists prioritise efforts on their customers. In order to create better value propositions for customers, businesses gather, disseminate, and use customer and competitive information. A customer- centric business is one that continuously learn from its customers' needs and market dynamics. In the present times success, many business lies in customer centric approaches.

Customer

A customer is a person or business that buys products or services from another organisation. Customers are important because they provide revenue and organisations cannot exist without them. All businesses vie for customers, either by aggressively marketing their products or by lowering their pricing to boost their customer bases. The terms customer and consumer are practically synonymous and are frequently used interchangeably. There is, however, a thin distinction. Individuals or businesses that consume or utilise products and services are referred to as consumers. Customers are the purchasers of products and services in the economy, and they might exist as consumers or only as customers. In homes groceries are often bought by a parent and consume by all the members of family.

Businesses routinely research the characteristics of their consumers in order to fine- tune their marketing strategies and adjust their inventory to attract the most customers. Customers are frequently categorised based on demographics like as age, race, gender, ethnicity, economic level, and geographic region, which may all assist businesses in developing a profile of a perfect customer.

Customer Analysis

Customer analysis is an essential marketing component of any strategic business plan. It identifies target clients, determines their wants, and then defines how the product meets those needs. Thus, it involves the examination and evaluation of consumer needs, desires, and wants.

Customer analysis includes the administration of customer surveys, the study of consumer data, the evaluation of market positioning strategies, development of customer profiles, and the selection of the best market segmentation techniques. Using the facts generated by customer analysis, an effective profiling of customers may be established. Customer profiles can reveal demographic information about customers. A number of parties, including buyers, sellers, distributors, salespeople, managers, wholesalers, retailers, suppliers, and creditors, can assist in gathering information to effectively assess the needs and desires of consumers. Successful businesses constantly monitor the behaviour of existing and prospective customers.

Customer Behaviour

Customer behaviour moves beyond the identification of customers to explain how they purchase products. It examines elements like shopping frequency, product preferences, and the perception of your marketing, sales, and service offerings. Understanding these details allows businesses to communicate with customers in an effective manner. Understanding the behaviours of customers enables businesses to establish effective marketing and advertising campaigns, provide products and services that meet their needs, and retain customers for repeat sales.

Consumer behaviour may be influenced by a number of things. These elements can be categorised into the following three conceptual domains:

- **External Influences:** External influences, like advertisement, peer recommendations or social norms, have a direct impact on the psychological and internal processes that influence various consumer decisions. The focus of external effects is on the numerous elements that have an impact on customers as they choose which needs to satisfy and which products to use to do so. These aspects are divided into two groups – the company's marketing efforts and the numerous environmental elements.

- **Internal Influences:** Internal processes are psychological factors internal to customer and affect consumer decision making. Consumer behaviour is influenced by a combination of internal and external influences, including motivation and attitudes.



- **Decision Making**: A rational consumer, as decision maker would seek information about potential decisions and carefully integrate this with the existing knowledge about the product. After weighing the advantages and disadvantages of each option, they would make a decision. The stages of decision making process can be described as:
  - Problem recognition, i.e., identify an existing need or desire that is unfulfilled
  - Search for desirable alternative and list them
  - Seeking information on available alternatives and weighing their pros and cons.
  - Make a final choice

  This behaviour of making decisions happens very frequently. However, it mostly applies when the purchase is one that is significant to the customer, such as when the product could have a significant influence on their health or self-image. The process is extremely valid when purchasing a car, television or a refrigerator in contrast to purchase of ice creams or soft drinks.

- **Post-decision Processes**: After making a decision and purchasing a product, the final phase in the decision-making process is evaluating the outcome. The consumer's reaction may vary depending upon the satisfaction. While a happy customer may make repeat purchase and recommend to others, customer with dissonance will neither purchase the product again nor recommend it to others.

COMPETITIVE STRATEGY

Competition is a fundamental attribute of economic systems and business, and it is frequently connected with small and large organisations. Businesses compete with each other for the same set of resources and customers. Within a industry, competition is frequently encouraged with the wider goal of attaining and achieving higher quality services or superior goods that the firm may manufacture or develop.

The competitive strategy of a business is concerned with how to compete in the business areas in which the organization operates. In other words, competitive strategy defines how a firm expects to create and sustain a competitive advantage over competitors. Having a competitive advantage over competitors means being more profitable in the long run. The competitive strategy of a firm within a certain business field is analysed using two criteria: **the creation of competitive advantage and the protection of competitive advantage.**

An important component of industry and competitive analysis involves delving into the industry's competitive process to discover what the main sources of competitive pressure are and how strong each competitive force is. This analytical step is essential because managers cannot devise a successful strategy without in-depth understanding of the industry's competitive character. Even though competitive pressures in various industries are never precisely the same, the competitive process works similarly enough to use a common analytical framework in gauging the nature and intensity of competitive forces.

Porter's five forces model is useful in understanding the competition. It is a powerful tool for systematically diagnosing the main competitive pressures in a market and assessing how strong and important each one is. Not only is it the widely used technique of competition analysis, but it is also relatively easy to understand and apply.

COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE

Competitive landscape is a business analysis which identifies competitors, either direct or indirect. Competitive landscape is about identifying and understanding the competitors and at the same time, it permits the comprehension of their vision, mission, core values, niche market, strengths and weaknesses. Understanding of competitive landscape requires an application of "competitive intelligence".

An in-depth investigation and analysis of a firm's competition allows it to assess the competitor's strengths and weaknesses in the marketplace and helps it to choose and implement effective strategies that will improve its competitive advantage. Thus, understanding the competitive landscape is important to build upon a competitive advantage.

Steps to understand the Competitive Landscape

i. **Identify the competitor:** The first step to understand the competitive landscape is to identify the competitors in the firm's industry and have actual data about their respective market share. This answers the question:
   - **Who are the competitors and how big are they?**

ii. **Understand the competitors:** Once the competitors have been identified, the strategist can use market research report, internet, newspapers, social media, industry reports, and various other sources to understand the products and services offered by them in different markets. This answers the question:
   - **What are their product and services?**

iii. **Determine the strengths of the competitors:** What are the strengths of the competitors? What do they do well? Do they offer great products? Why are consumers liking their product/service? Do they utilize marketing in a way that comparatively reaches out to more consumers? Why do customers give them their business? This answers the questions:
   - **What are their financial positions?**
   - **What gives them cost and price advantage?**
   - **What are they likely to do next?**

- **How strong is their distribution network?**
- **What are their human resource strengths?**

iv. **Determine the weaknesses of the competitors:** Identify the areas where the competitor is lacking or is weak. Weaknesses (and strengths) can be identified by going through consumer reports and reviews appearing in various media. Financial strength and weakness can always be learnt from annual reports. This answers the question.
   - **Where are they lacking?**

v. **Put all of the information together:** At this stage, the strategist should put together all information about competitors and draw inference about what they are not offering and what the firm can do to fill in the gaps. The strategist can also know the areas which need to be strengthen by the firm.
   This answers the questions:
   - **What will the business do with this information?**
   - **What improvements does the firm need to make?**
   - **How can the firm exploit the weaknesses of competitors?**

Key factors for competitive success

An industry's Key Success Factors (KSFs) are those things that most affect industry members' ability to prosper in the marketplace - the particular strategy elements, product attributes, resources, competencies, competitive capabilities, and business outcomes that spell the difference between profit and loss and, ultimately, between competitive success or failure. KSFs by their very nature are so important that all firms in the industry must pay close attention to them.

"Key success factors are the prerequisites for industry success or, to put it another way, KSFs are the factors that shape whether a company will be financially and competitively successful."

The answers to three questions help identify an industry's key success factors:

- On what basis do customers choose between the competing brands of sellers? What product attributes are crucial to sales?
- What resources and competitive capabilities does a seller need to have to be competitively successful, better human capital, quality of product or quantity of product, cost of service, etc.?
- What does it take for sellers to achieve a sustainable competitive advantage, something that can be sustained for long term?

For example, in apparel manufacturing, the KSFs are appealing designs and colour combinations (to create buyer interest) and low-cost manufacturing efficiency (to permit attractive retail pricing and ample profit margins).

Determining the industry's key success factors, given prevailing and anticipated industry and competitive conditions, is a top-priority analytical consideration. At the very least, managers need to understand the

industry situation well enough to know what is more important to competitive success and what is less important. They need to know what kind of resources are competitively valuable. Misdiagnosing the industry factors critical to long-term competitive success greatly raises the risk of a misdirected strategy.

In contrast, an organisation with perceptive understanding of industry KSFs can gain sustainable competitive advantage by training its strategy on industry KSFs and devoting its energies to being distinctively better than rivals on one or more of these factors. Indeed, business organisations that stand out on a particular KSF enjoy a stronger market position for their, efforts- being distinctively better than rivals on one or more key success factors presents a golden opportunity for gaining competitive advantage. Hence, using the industry's KSFs as cornerstones for the company's strategy and trying to gain sustainable competitive advantage by excelling at one particular KSF is a fruitful competitive strategy approach.

Key success factors vary from industry to industry and even from time to time within the same industry as driving forces and competitive conditions change. Only rarely does an industry have more than three or four key success factors at any one time. And even among these three or four, one or two usually outrank the others in importance. Managers, therefore, have to resist the temptation to include factors that have only minor importance on their list of key success factors. The purpose of identifying KSFs is to make judgments about what things are more important to competitive success and what things are less important. To compile a list of every factor that matters even a little bit defeats the purpose of concentrating management attention on the factors truly critical to long-term competitive success.

NOTES

3 CHAPTER — STRATEGIC ANALYSIS: INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT

INTRODUCTION

Internal environment refers to the sum total of people – individuals and groups, stakeholders, processes-input-throughput-output, physical infrastructure- space, equipment and physical conditions of work, administrative apparatus- lines of authority & power, responsibility, accountability and organizational culture- intangible aspects of working- relationships, philosophy, values, ethics- that shape an organization's identity.

In other words, the internal environment is **specific to each organisation**. It is based on its structure and business model and includes all stakeholders like top management, investors, employees, board of directors, investors, etc.

Internal environment also involves understanding of the ethics, principles, work environment, employee friendliness, confidence of investors and other philosophical and cultural aspects of business, which aim for the success of the organisation.

Thus, it is even more important to understand the internal environment from a strategic analysis perspective.

UNDERSTANDING KEY STAKEHOLDERS

Ques:- **Who are Stakeholders and how do we identify them?**

Ans:- A firm may be viewed as a coalition of stakeholders- all those individuals and entities that have a stake in its success and can impact it as well. They may be the employees, shareholders, investors, suppliers, customers, regulators and so on. This view of the firm is in contrast to the earlier view of the firm that was considered to be an extension of the owners and shareholders alone.

Thus, it may be reiterated that the stakeholders can be defined as any person/group of individuals, internal or external, that has an interest in, or impact on the business or corporate strategy of the organisation. They have the power to influence the strategy or performance of that organisation.
Generally, stakeholders include management, employees, shareholders, customers and vendors. Additionally, other individuals and groups, such as governments, labour unions and local groups, which are often considered as stakeholders depending on their impact on the particular organisation. Each stakeholder or stakeholder group will be affected by the business strategy that the organisation chooses and implements.

It is important to first identify the key stakeholders. Each stakeholder exerts a different level of influence and can have differing levels of interest in the organisation. **For example,** an organisation involved in healthcare innovation needs to have a long-term perspective about its return on investment (ROI) as there may be a long time between investment into research timelines and a commercial outcome. While, shareholders, whose main concern is quick profits, may be more hesitant to support the organisation spending funds on something that they may not see the return in the near future.

Since the expectations of key stakeholders can influence the organisation's strategy, a clash of objectives may have unfavourable consequences for the organisation.

For Example of Key Stakeholders and their requirements for an OTT Platform

Stakeholders	Requirements
Shareholders	♦ Innovation and continuous creative content ♦ Total shareholder return (RoI) ♦ Corporate social responsibility ♦ Top rankings of the organisation ♦ Highest market share
CEO and Board of Directors	♦ Prestige ♦ Market share ♦ Revenue and profit growth ♦ Market rankings
Major Vendors (Production Houses)	♦ Growth ♦ Stability of ordering ♦ Stable margins
Consumers (Viewers)	♦ New content - Innovation ♦ Better deals - Pricing Benefits ♦ Value for money ♦ Continuous supply
Employees	♦ Wages and benefits ♦ Stability of employment ♦ Pride of working for a reputed organisation

MENDELOW'S MATRIX

The Mendelow Stakeholder matrix (also known as the **Stakeholder Analysis matrix** and the **Power-Interest matrix**) is a simple framework to help manage key stakeholders.

Managing a project is extremely complicated as it involves managing the competing interests of various stakeholders. Who needs to know what and when, who needs to give their feedback and who has the final

approval can be confusing. However, managing stakeholders is critical to the success of a project. This is where a stakeholder analysis matrix i.e. Mendelow's Matrix can help.

Mendelow suggests that one should analyse stakeholder groups based on Power (the ability to influence organisation strategy or resources) and Interest (how interested they are in the organisation succeeding). A thing to remember is that all stakeholders may seem to have lots of power and organisation may hope they would have lots of interest too. But in reality, some stakeholders will hold more Power than others, and some stakeholders will have more Interest than others. **For example,** a big shareholder is likely to have high power and high interest in the organisation, whereas a big competitor would have high power to impact strategy, but potentially less Interest in success of rival organisation.

Developing a Grid of Stakeholders

Mendelow's Matrix is based on Power and Interest. It suggests identifying which stakeholders are incredibly important. Metrics to define the importance being High Power and High Interest which management would need to manage closely, while investing a lot of time and resources.

For example, the CEO is likely to have more Power to influence the work and also high interest in it being successful. Keeping them informed almost daily should be a priority.

However, those stakeholders with low power and low interest like research institutes seeking an organisation data should be monitored rarely and minimum effort expended on them in terms of time and money.



In

the above figure, we see categorisation of stakeholders into four groups by Mendelow's;

- **KEEP SATISFIED Stakeholders**: **High power, less interested people** - Organisation should put in enough work with these people to keep them satisfied with their intended information on a regular basis. **For example,** banks, government, customers, etc.
- **KEY PLAYERS Stakeholders**: **High power, highly interested people** - Organisation's aim should be to fully engage this group of stakeholders, making the greatest efforts to satisfy them, take their advice, build actions and keep them informed with all information on a regular basis. **For example**, Shareholders, CEO, Board of Directors, etc.
- **LOW PRIORITY Stakeholders**: **Low power, less interested people** - Organisation should only monitor them with no actions to satisfy their expectations. Strategically, minimal efforts should be spent on this group of stakeholders while keeping an eye to check if their levels of interest or power change. **For example**, business magazines, media houses, etc.
- **KEEP INFORMED Stakeholders**: **Low power, highly interested people -** Organisation should adequately inform this group of people and communicate with them to ensure that no major issues arise. This audiences can also help with real time feedback and areas of improvement for an organisation. **For example**, employees, vendors, suppliers, legal experts, etc.

An important thing that strategists should be aware of, is the importance to remember that environment is highly dynamic and certain things might happen that can cause stakeholders to suddenly move between quadrants.

For example, an organisation might inadvertently contravene a regulation, say GST compliance which would cause the regulatory body i.e. the Indirect Taxes Department to move from High Power, Low Interest to High Power, High Interest. This would then require a different way of managing and communicating with this stakeholder. Equally, the media houses would also move from Low Power, Low interest, to Low Power, High Interest. So, it's always worth re-analysing the Mendelow's grid for one's organisation in the event of a change in the environment.

STRATEGIC DRIVERS

An important aspect of internal analysis is assessing the current performance of the business. And in assessing current performance, the strategic drivers consider what differentiates an organisation from its competitors.

It involves analysis of the key markets in which the organisation operates, as well as its key customers, the products and services it provides, the channels in which the products or services are delivered, and the organisation's competitive advantage. Some of these components are interlinked, such as markets and products/services, and channels and key customers in each channel.

There can be varied ways to assess the current performance of a business and it is highly subjective based on the managements metrics and ways of doing business. It can either be profit driven, purpose driven or

any other metrics that the management seems to fit in. But in general, the key strategic drivers of an organisation include:

(i) industry and markets
(ii) customers
(iii) products/services
(iv) channels

INDUSTRY & MARKETS

In terms of the internal environment, it is very important for an organisation to understand it's relative position in the industry and in the market in which it operates. There are many ways to do this but require analysis and understanding of the environment.

Similar companies are grouped together into industries. Basically, industry grouping is based on the primary product that a company makes or sells.

For example, Maruti, Mahindra, Tata Motors, TVS, Bajaj Auto, are all selling automotives as their primary product and thus categorised into Automotive Industry. Similarly, Zara, H&M, Marks & Spencer, Pantaloons, Westside, Uniqlo, are all selling apparels and accessories for the youth, and thus categorised under apparels industry.

A market is defined as the sum total of all the buyers and sellers in the area or region under consideration. The value, cost and price of items traded are as per forces of supply and demand in a market. The market may be a physical entity or may be virtual like e-commerce websites and applications. It may further be local or global, depending on which all countries the business sells its products in.

Ques:- **Is market same for all Business ??**

Ans:- Market refers to all the buyers and sellers of a particular product/service and so it would be incorrect to say that market is the same for all businesses. Each business has its own set of customers i.e. market and more so, each product within a business has its own market. For example, for a FMCG brand selling Shampoos, Dairy Products, Flours, Washing Powder, etc. - each product line will have a separate market to cater to and therefore build strategies specific to the market of concern.

Analysing Industry and Markets

Industry and market analysis is extremely important to identify one's position as compared to the competitors, who can be of equal size and value, or bigger in size and value or even smaller and newer. A tool used for this is called - **Strategic Group Mapping.**

A strategic group consists of those rival firms which have similar competitive approaches and positions in the market. Companies in the same strategic group can resemble one another in any of the several ways: they may have comparable product-line breadth, sell in the same price/quality range, emphasize the same

distribution channels, use essentially the same product attributes to appeal to similar types of buyers, depend on identical technological approaches, or offer buyers similar services and technical assistance. An industry contains only one strategic group when all sellers pursue essentially identical strategies and have comparable market positions. At the other extreme, there are as many strategic groups as there are competitors when each rival pursues a distinctively different competitive approach and occupies a substantially different competitive position in the marketplace.

The procedure for constructing a strategic group map and deciding which firms belong in which strategic group is straightforward:

- ❖ Identify the competitive characteristics that differentiate firms in the industry typical variables are price/quality range (high, medium, low); geographic coverage (local, regional, national, global); degree of vertical integration (none, partial, full); product-line breadth (wide, narrow); use of distribution channels (one, some, all); and degree of service offered (no-frills, limited, full)
- ❖ Plot the firms on a two-variable map using pairs of these differentiating characteristics.
- ❖ Assign firms that fall in about the same strategy space to the same strategic group.
- ❖ Draw circles around each strategic group making the circles proportional to the size of the group's respective share of total industry sales revenues.

ABC, DEF, GHI, XYZ AND PQR are companies operating in the same industry. Let us assume these all are companies selling Laptops.

Now on the Y-Axis (vertical) is the reputation of the company and on the X-Axis (horizontal) is the range of their products.

The Reputation is depicted through the size of the bubble of the company along with how high it is on the Y-Axis. While on the X-Axis, we can see how huge their product range is, whether they have few models or they have many models on offer for the customers.

A simple glance of the mapping chart shows us that even though ABC has few models, but it has great reputation in the market. Similarly, GHI has a good range of products and is the most reputed company in laptops. Another view is that XYZ and GHI have the same number of models as both are on the same place on X-Axis, but GHI has much greater reputation than XYZ, as it has a bigger bubble and is higher on the Y-Axis.

Strategists can analyze the market by making any number of scenarios like above to understand the competition. Thus, this analysis helps a business understand its competition in terms of two or more factors (like reputation and range of products in this case) in a single graphical representation.

Strategic Group Mapping



CUSTOMERS

Understanding the different types of customers to whom the organisation's products/services are sold or provided, is not only important but also the first step in deciding the product/service. Different customers may have different needs and require different sales models or distribution channels.

As customers are often responsible for the generation of profits obtained by an organisation, it is important to be able to collect and display data in order to show customer trends and profitability. Issues with customers can be identified, and target areas for growth can be pursued based on the findings. Another interesting concept is the difference between Customer and Consumer - while a customer is the one buys a product/service, the consumer is the one who finally uses/consumes the bought product or service. **For example** - A parent buying stationery products for their kids might be the customers, but consumers of stationery are the kids who would actually use it. Thus, understanding both is important for the marketers. From a pricing perspective - the customer is of more importance and from value creation and design/usability, consumer needs to be the kept at the center of decision making.

Let's take the example of a headphones brand - the customers can be grouped under high value buyers, medium value buyers and low value buyers based on the amount they are willing to spend on a product, thus helping the business understand their key customers and focus areas of improvement.

Ques:- **What is the difference between "Customer" & "Consumer" ??**

Ans:- **Consumers** are the ones who finally use a product/service.

Customers are the buyers of that product. A customer can be a consumer and vice versa.

But for strategy teams especially marketing teams it is important to understand the customer and consumer separately. **For example**, baby diapers are bought by parents (customers) who are willing to pay higher price for higher quality, while the real consumers are the babies, who are more concerned about the comfort and easiness of the diaper. If babies do not accept the product i.e. if consumers aren't satisfied, it is difficult to retain the buyer i.e. customers as well.



PRODUCT / SERVICES

Products and services are closely linked and interrelated with the markets that the organisation wants to serve. In this component of the strategic drivers' analysis, business identifies the key products/ services that the organisation offers and how those products/services are performing. It attempts to answer the general question: What business are we in and what should be done to win over competition in each product/service we serve.

Product stands for the combination of "goods-and-services" that the company offers to the target market. Strategies are needed for managing existing product over time, adding new ones and dropping failed products. Strategic decisions must also be made regarding branding, packaging and other product features such as warranties. The products can also be classified on the basis of industrial or consumer products, essentials or luxury products, durables or perishables.

There are products that have wide range of quality and workmanship and these also change over time since products and markets are infinitely dynamic. An organization has to capture such dynamics through a set of policies and strategies. Some products have consistent customer demand over long period of time while others have short life spans.

Products can also be differentiated on the basis of size, shape, colour, packaging, brand names, after-sales service and so on. Organizations seek to hammer into customers' minds that their products are different from others. It does not matter whether the differentiation is real or imaginary. Quite often the differentiation is psychological rather than physical. It is enough if customers are persuaded to believe that the marketer's product is different from others. **For example**, Shampoos with different branding namely Head & Shoulders, Olay, Old Spice, Pantene are all produced by the same company P&G.

Organizations formalize product differentiation through designating 'brand names' to their respective products. These are generally reinforced with legal sanction and protection. Brands enable customers to identify the product and the organization behind it. The products and even firms' image is built around brands through advertising and other promotional strategies. Customers tend to develop strong brand loyalty for a particular product over a period of time. For a new product, pricing strategies for entering a market need to be designed and for that matter at least three objectives must be kept in mind:

- Have customer-centric approach while making a product.
- Produce sufficient returns through a reasonable margin over cost.
- Increasing market share.

Products and services need heavy investment in reaching out to customers. Over the years, a number of **marketing strategies have been evolved, which are given to handle marketing strategically and fight the competition in the market. Some of the important marketing strategies are:-**

- **Social Marketing:** It refers to the design, implementation, and control of programs seeking to increase the acceptability of a social ideas, cause, or practice among a target group to bring in a social change. For instance, the publicity campaign for prohibition of smoking in Delhi explained the place where one can and can't smoke and also indicates that smoking is injurious to health.
- **Augmented Marketing:** This type of marketing includes additional customer services and benefits that a product can offer besides the core and actual product that is being offered. It can be in the form of introduction of hi-tech services like movies on demand, online computer repair services, secretarial services, etc. Such innovative offerings provide a set of benefits that promise to elevate customer service to unprecedented levels.
- **Direct Marketing:** Marketing through various advertising media that interact directly with consumers, generally calling for the consumer to make a direct response. Direct marketing includes catalogue selling, e-mail, telecomputing, electronic marketing, shopping, and TV shopping.
- **Relationship Marketing:** The process of creating, maintaining, and enhancing strong, value-laden relationships with customers and other stakeholders. **For example**, *Airlines offer special lounges at major airports for frequent flyers. Thus, providing special benefits to select customers to strengthen bonds. It can go a long way in building relationships.*
- **Services Marketing:** It is applying the concepts, tools, and techniques, of marketing to services. Services is any activity or benefit that one party can offer to another that is essentially

intangible. This marketing requires different marketing strategies since it has peculiar characteristics of its own such as inseparability, variability etc.

- **Person Marketing**: People can also be marketed. Person marketing consists of activities undertaken to create, maintain or change attitudes and behaviour towards particular person. **For example**, *politicians, sports stars, film stars, etc. i.e., market themselves to get votes, or to promote their careers.*

- **Organization Marketing**: It consists of activities undertaken to create, maintain, or change attitudes and behaviour of target audiences towards an organization. Both profit and non-profit organizations practice organization marketing.

- **Place Marketing**: Place marketing involves activities undertaken to create, maintain, or change attitudes and behaviour towards particular places say, marketing of business sites, tourism marketing.

- **Enlightened Marketing**: It is a marketing philosophy holding that a company's marketing should support the best long-run performance of the marketing system that is beyond the prevailing mindset; its five principles include customer-oriented marketing, innovative marketing, value marketing, sense-of-mission marketing, and societal marketing.

- **Differential Marketing**: It is a market-coverage strategy in which a firm decides to target several market segments and designs separate offer for each. **For example**, *Hindustan Unilever Limited has Lifebuoy, Lux and Rexona in popular segment and Dove and Pears in premium segment.*

- **Synchro-marketing**: When the demand for a product is irregular due to season, some parts of the day, or on hour basis, causing idle capacity or overworked capacities, synchro-marketing can be used to find ways to alter the pattern of demand through flexible pricing, promotion, and other incentives. **For example**, *products such as movie tickets can be sold at lower price over weekdays to generate demand.*

- **Concentrated Marketing**: It is a market-coverage strategy in which a firm goes after a large share of one or few sub-markets. It can also take the form of Niche marketing.

- **Demarketing**: It includes marketing strategies to reduce demand temporarily or permanently. The aim is not to destroy demand, but only to reduce or shift it. This happens when there is overfull demand. **For example**, buses are overloaded in the morning and evening, roads are busy for most of times, zoological parks are over-crowded on Saturdays, Sundays and holidays. Here demarketing can be applied to regulate demand.

CHANNELS

Channels are the distribution system by which an organisation distributes its product or provides its service. To understand the concept of channels let us see some **examples** of how the following companies distribute their products and services;

- **Lakme** - sells its products via retail stores, intermediary stores (like Nykaa, Westside, Reliance Trends), as well as online mode like amazon, flipkart, nykaa online and its own website.
- **Boat Headphones** - only online via e-commerce platforms like flipkart and amazon
- **Coca Cola** - retail shops across the nation, in each district, each town as well as online mode via dunzo, blinkit, etc.

All the above are the channels via which companies sell their products and services to the customers. The wider and stronger the channel the better position a business has to fight and win over competition. Also, having robust channels of business distribution help keep new players away from entering the industry, thus acting as barriers to entry. **There are typically three channels that should be considered: sales channel, product channel and service channel.**

(i) **The sales channel** - These are the intermediaries involved in selling the product through each channel and ultimately to the end user. The key question is: Who needs to sell to whom for your product to be sold to your end user? **For example**, many fashion designers use agencies to sell their products to retail organisations, so that consumers can access them.

(ii) **The product channel** - The product channel focuses on the series of intermediaries who physically handle the product on its path from its producer to the end user. This is true of Australia Post, who delivers and distributes many online purchases between the seller and purchaser when using eBay and other online stores.

(iii) **The service channel** - The service channel refers to the entities that provide necessary services to support the product, as it moves through the sales channel and after purchase by the end user. The service channel is an important consideration for products that are complex in terms of installation or customer assistance. **For example**, a Bosch dishwasher may be sold in a Bosch showroom, and then once sold it is installed by a Bosch contracted plumber.

Channel analysis is important when the business strategy is to scale up and expand beyond the current geographies and markets. When a business plans to grow to newer markets, they need to develop or leverage existing channels to get to new customers. Thus, analysis of channels that suit one's products and customers is of utmost importance. Let's understand with the help of example.

For example - if a healthcare brand wants to reach out to elderly customers - they need to be more focused on offline mode of business where agents reach out physically to the elderly as most of their potential customers (i.e. the old aged) are not active on smartphones.

Another example being - if a new drink brand wants to acquire customers - they need to place their products via every channel possible to get more attraction from customers like placing their drinks in stores, and shops alike, offering competitive campaigns to create awareness via online modes (social media) and so and so forth.

Thus, channels, the partners in growth, play a crucial role in internal strategic alignment.

ROLE OF RESOURCES AND CAPABILITIES IN BUILDING CORE COMPETENCY

An organization may be viewed as an entity endowed with resources and capabilities. These resources and capabilities may be so synergized as to impart distinct competencies that the organization may leverage to its advantage. C.K. Prahalad and Gary Hamel have advocated a concept of core competency, which is a widely used concept in management theories. They defined core competency as the collective learning in the organization, especially coordinating diverse production skills and integrating multiple streams of technologies. An organization's combination of technological and managerial know-how, wisdom and experience are a complex set of capabilities and resources that can lead to a competitive advantage compared to a competitor.

Competency is defined as a combination of skills and techniques rather than individual skill or separate technique. For core competencies, it is characteristic to have a combination of skills and techniques, which makes the whole organization utilize these several separate individual capabilities. Therefore, core competencies cannot be built on one capability or single technological know-how, instead, it has to be the integration of many resources. The optimal way to define core competence is to consider it as sum of 5- 15 areas of developed expertise.

According to C.K. Prahalad and Gary Hamel, major core competencies are identified in three areas -

- competitor differentiation,
- customer value, and
- application to other markets

Competitor differentiation is one of the main three conditions. The company can consider having a core competence if the competence is unique and it is **difficult for competitors to imitate.** This can provide a company an edge compared to competitors. It allows the company to provide better products or services to market with no fear that competitors can copy it. The company has to keep on improving these skills in order to sustain its competitive position. Competence does not necessarily have to exist within one company in order to define as core competence. Although all companies operating in the same market would have the equal skills and resources, if one company can perform this significantly better; the company has obtained a core competence. **For example,** it is quite difficult to imitate patented innovation, like Tesla has been winning over competition in electric vehicles.

The second condition to be met is **customer value.** When purchasing a product or service it has to deliver a fundamental benefit for the end customer in order to be a core competence. It will include all the skills needed to provide fundamental benefits. The service or the product has to have real impact on the customer as the reason to choose to purchase them. If customer has chosen the company without this impact, then competence is not a core competence, and it will not affect the company's market position. The essence is that the consumer should value the differentiation offered. Without it, the core competency does not make sense.

The last condition refers to **application of competencies** to other markets. Core competence must be applicable to the whole organization; it cannot be only one particular skill or specified area of expertise. Therefore, although some special capability would be essential or crucial for the success of business activity, it will not be considered as core competence if it is not fundamental from the whole organization's point of view. Thus, a core competence is a unique set of skills and expertise, which will be used throughout the organisation to open up potential markets to be exploited.

If the three above-mentioned conditions are met, then the company can regard it competence as core competency.

Core competencies are often visible in the form of organizational functions. For example, Marketing and Sales is a core competence of Hindustan Unilever Limited (HUL) This means that HUL has used its resources to form marketing related capabilities that in turn allow it to market its products in ways that are superior those of competitors. Because of this core competence, HUL is capable of launching new brands in the market successfully.

A core competency for a firm is whatever it does best: **For example**: Wal-Mart focuses on lowering its operating costs. The cost advantage that Wal-Mart has created for itself has allowed the retailer to price goods lower than most competitors. The core competency in this case is derived from the company's ability to generate large sales volume, allowing the company to remain profitable with low profit margin.

Core competencies are the knowledge, skills, and facilities necessary to design and produce core products. Core competencies are created by superior integration of technological, physical and human resources.

They represent distinctive skills as well as intangible, invisible, intellectual assets and cultural capabilities. Cultural capabilities refer to the ability to manage change, the ability to learn and team working. Organizations should be viewed as a bundle of a few core competencies, each supported by several individual skills. Core Competence-based diversification reduces risk and investment and increases the opportunities for transferring learning and best practice across business units.

Core technological competencies are also corporate assets; and as assets, they facilitate corporate access to a variety of markets and businesses. For competitive advantage, a core technological competence should be difficult for the competitors to imitate.

CRITERIA FOR BUILDING CORE COMPETENCIES

Four specific criteria of sustainable competitive advantage that firms can use to determine those capabilities that are core competencies. Capabilities that are valuable, rare, costly to imitate, and non-substitutable are core competencies.

i. **Valuable:** Valuable capabilities are the ones that allow the firm to exploit opportunities or avert the threats in its external environment. A firm created value for customers by effectively using capabilities to exploit opportunities. Finance companies build a valuable competence in financial services. In addition, to make such competencies as financial services highly successful require placing the right people in

the right jobs. Human capital is important in creating value for customers.

ii. **Rare**: Core competencies are very rare capabilities and very few of the competitors possess this. Capabilities possessed by many rivals are unlikely to be sources of competitive advantage for any one of them. Competitive advantage results only when firms develop and exploit valuable capabilities that differ from those shared with competitors.

iii. **Costly to imitate**: Costly to imitate means such capabilities that competing firms are unable to develop easily. **For example**, *Intel has enjoyed a first-mover advantage more than once because of its rare fast R&D cycle time capability that brought SRAM and DRAM integrated circuit technology and brought microprocessors to market well ahead of the competitor.* The product could be imitated in due course of time, but it was much more difficult to imitate the R&D cycle time capability.

iv. **Non-substitutable**: Capabilities that do not have strategic equivalents are called non-substitutable capabilities. This final criterion for a capability to be a source of competitive advantage is that there must be no strategically equivalent valuable resources that are themselves either not rare or imitable. **For example,** For years, firms tried to imitate Tata's low-cost strategy, but most have been unable to duplicate Tata's success. They did not realize that Tata has a unique culture and attracts some of the top talent in the industry. The culture and excellent human capital worked together in implementing Tata's strategy and are the basis for its competitive advantage.

The strategic value of capabilities increases as they become more difficult to substitute **For example,** Competitors are deeply aware about Apple's operating system's (iOS) successful model. However, to date, no competitor has been able to imitate Apple's capabilities. These are also protected through copyrights.

To sum up, we can say that only when a capability is valuable, rare, costly to imitate, and non-substitutable, it is a core competence and a source of competitive advantage. Over a time, core competencies must be supported. Core competencies are a source of competitive advantage only when they allow the firm to create value by exploiting opportunities in its external environment.

Airtel has its marketing campaign that talks about - Zero Customer Complaints. This is about creating a core competency of great customer service.

COMBINING EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL ANALYSIS (SWOT ANALYSIS)

SWOT analysis is the analysis of a business's strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats. The primary objective of a SWOT analysis is to help organizations develop a full awareness of all the factors (external as well as internal), involved in making a business decision.

SWOT analysis shall be implemented before all company actions, whether it is exploring new initiatives, revamping internal policies, considering opportunities to grow or alter a plan midway. One shall also us SWOT analysis to discover recommendations and strategies, with a focus on leveraging strengths and opportunities to overcome weaknesses and threats.

Since its creation, SWOT has been the most widely used tools for business owners to grow their companies. Sometimes it's wise to perform SWOT analysis just to check on the current landscape of your business to improve business operations as needed. The analysis can show areas where an organization is performing well, as well as areas that need improvement.

Ques:- **Is SWOT ANALYSIS for Internal or External environment ??**

Ans:- SWOT stands for Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats. Internal analysis is more focused on understanding the existing structure and competencies of the business, thus highlighting the Strengths and Weaknesses, while External Analysis is about identifying and preparing for uncontrollable which can either be Opportunities or threats.

Therefore, SWOT Analysis is a tool which is used for both Internal and External Analysis.its business.

Let's perform SWOT Analysis of A LAW FIRM

STRENGTH	WEAKNESS
❖ Multiple Partners with varied expertise ❖ Long Term contractual service agreements ❖ 70 years of brand value ❖ Services spread across 20 states of India	❖ Run by old methods ❖ No automation of work and documentation ❖ Not very employee friendly culture

❖ 400+ employee strength to deliver work	
OPPORTUNITY	**THREAT**
❖ Automation driven advancement. ❖ Startups can be supported with experienced partners. ❖ Investment in technology can multiply returns.	❖ Online players entering market. ❖ AI based solutions and applications. Price point of online being very competitive ❖ Speed of work becoming faster by the day.

The benefit of this analysis is that it identifies the complex issues for an organisation and puts them into a simple framework. While on the other hand, one of the major criticisms of this tool is that it does not generally provide for evaluation of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats in the competitive context.

Therefore, an organsition while using this tool, SWOT analysis, should consider relative competitors, and external factors affecting the organisation. Although a simple tool, it is a useful starting point for analysis.

COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE: USING MICHAEL PORTER'S GENERIC STRATEGIES

Why do some companies succeed while others fail?
Why did Hindustan Motors do so well for several decades?
How did Apple return from near obsolescence in the late 1990s and become the world leader and a dominant technology company of today?
In the Indian airline industry, how has Indigo Airlines managed to keep increasing its revenues and profits through both good times and bad, while rivals struggled?

For most, if not all, companies, achieving superior performance relative to rivals is the ultimate challenge. If a company's strategies result in superior performance, it is said to have a competitive advantage.

Strategic management involves development of competencies that managers can use to achieve better performance and a competitive advantage for their organization. Competitive advantage allows a firm to gain an edge over rivals when competing. 'It is a set of unique features of a company and its products that are perceived by the target market as significant and superior to the competition.' In other words, an organization is said to have competitive advantage if its profitability is higher than the average profitability for all companies in its industry.

"If you don't have a competitive advantage, don't compete" ***- Jack Welch***

The competitive advantage is the achieved advantage over rivals when a company's profitability is greater than the average profitability of firms in its industry. It is achieved when the firm successfully formulates and implements the value creation strategy and other firms are unable to duplicate it or find it too costly to imitate. Further, it can be said that a firm is successful in achieving competitive advantage only after other firm's efforts to duplicate or imitate it fails.

SUSTAINABILITY OF COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE

The sustainability of competitive advantage and a firm's ability to earn profits from its competitive advantage depends upon four major characteristics of resources and capabilities:

(i) Durability: The period over which a competitive advantage is sustained depends in part on the rate at which a firm's resources and capabilities deteriorate. In industries where the rate of product innovation is fast, product patents are quite likely to become obsolete. Similarly, capabilities which are the result of the management expertise of the CEO are also vulnerable to his or her retirement or departure. On the other hand, many consumer brand names have a highly durable appeal.

(ii) Transferability: Even if the resources and capabilities on which a competitive advantage is based are durable, it is likely to be eroded by competition from rivals. The ability of rivals to attack position of competitive advantage relies on their gaining access to the necessary resources and capabilities. The easier it is to transfer resources and capabilities between companies, the less sustainable will be the competitive advantage which is based on them.

(iii) Imitability: If resources and capabilities cannot be purchased by a would-be imitator, then they must be built from scratch. How easily and quickly can the competitors build the resources and capabilities on which a firm's competitive advantage is based? This is the true test of imitability. **For example,** In financial services, innovations lack legal protection and are easily copied. Here again the complexity of many organizational capabilities can provide a degree of competitive defense. Where capabilities require networks of organizational routines, whose effectiveness depends on the corporate culture, imitation is difficult.

(iv) Appropriability: Appropriability refers to the ability of the firm's owners to appropriate the returns on its resource base. Even where resources and capabilities are capable of offering sustainable advantage, there is an issue as to who receives the returns on these resources. This means, that rewards are directed to from where the funds were invested, rather than creating an advantage with no actual reward to people to invested capital.

MICHAEL PORTER'S GENERIC STRATEGIES

According to Porter, strategies allow organizations to gain competitive advantage from three different bases: cost leadership, differentiation, and focus. Porter called these base generic strategies. These strategies have been termed generic, because they can be pursued by any type or size of business firm and even by not-for-profit organisations.

- ❖ Cost leadership emphasizes on producing standardized products at a very low per-unit cost for consumers who are price-sensitive.
- ❖ Differentiation is a strategy aimed at producing products and services considered unique industry-wide and directed at consumers who are relatively price-insensitive.

- Focus means producing products and services that fulfil the needs of small groups of consumers with very specific taste.

Porter's strategies imply different organizational arrangements, control procedures, and incentive systems. Larger firms with greater access to resources typically compete on a cost leadership and/or differentiation basis, whereas smaller firms often compete on a focus basis.

COMPETITIVE SCOPE			
	Broad Target	Cost Leadership	Differentiation
	Narrow Target	Focussed Cost Leadership	Focussed Differentiation
		Low-Cost products/services	Differentiated products/services
		COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE	

Porter stresses the need for strategists to perform cost-benefit analysis to evaluate "sharing opportunities" among the firm's existing and potential business units. Sharing activities and resources enhances competitive advantage by lowering costs or raising differentiation. In addition to prompting sharing, Porter stresses the need for firms to "transfer" skills and expertise among autonomous business units effectively in order to gain competitive advantage. Depending upon factors such as type of industry, size of firm and nature of competition, various strategies could yield advantages in cost leadership differentiation, and focus.

Cost Leadership Strategy

It is a low-cost competitive strategy that aims at broad mass market. It requires vigorous pursuit of cost reduction in the areas of procurement, production, storage and distribution of product or service and also economies in overhead costs. Because of its lower costs, the cost leader is able to charge a lower price for its products than most of its competitors and still earn satisfactory profits. **For example,** McDonald's fast-food restaurants have successfully followed low-cost leadership strategy. Decathlon Group's mega sports stores have been following low-cost leadership strategy to gain international recognition and also beat competition.

A primary reason for pursuing forward, backward, and horizontal integration strategies is to gain cost leadership benefits. Generally, cost leadership must be pursued in conjunction with differentiation. A number of cost elements affect the relative attractiveness of generic strategies, including economies or diseconomies of scale achieved, learning and experience curve effects, the percentage of capacity utilization achieved, and linkages with suppliers and distributors. Other cost elements to consider while choosing among alternative generic strategies include the potential for sharing costs and knowledge within the organization, R&D costs associated with new product development or modification of existing products, labour costs, tax

rates, energy costs, and shipping costs. This internal strategy of sharing resources to build a competitive advantage is called synergy benefit.

Striving to be a low-cost producer in an industry can especially be effective,

- when the market is composed of many price-sensitive buyers and
- when there are few ways to achieve product differentiation.

Further, when buyers do not care much about differences from brand to brand, or when there are a large number of buyers with significant bargaining power. The basic idea is to underprice competitors and thereby gain market share driving some of the competitors out of the market.

A successful cost leadership strategy usually permeates the entire firm, as evidenced by high efficiency, low overheads, limited perks, intolerance of waste, intensive screening of budget requests, wide span of controls, rewards linked to cost containment, and broad employee participation in cost control efforts.

Some risks of pursuing cost leadership are;

- that competitors may imitate the strategy, therefore driving overall industry profits down;
- that technological breakthroughs in the industry may make the strategy ineffective; or that buyer interests may swing to other differentiating features besides price.

Achieving Cost Leadership Strategy

To achieve cost leadership, following actions could be taken:

1. Prompt forecasting of demand of a product or service.
2. Optimum utilization of the resources to achieve cost advantages.
3. Achieving economies of scale; thus, lower per unit cost of product/service.
4. Standardisation of products for mass production to yield lower cost per unit.

   (Example of McDonald's)
5. Invest in cost saving technologies and using advance technology for smart efficient working.
6. Resistance to differentiation till it becomes essential.

Advantages of Cost Leadership Strategy

A cost leadership strategy may help to remain profitable even with rivalry, new entrants, suppliers' power, substitute products, and buyers' power.

1. **Rivalry** – Competitors are likely to avoid a price war, since the low-cost firm will continue to earn profits even after competitors compete away their profits.
2. **Buyers** – Powerful buyers/customers would not be able to exploit the cost leader firm and will continue to buy its product.

3. **Suppliers** – Cost leaders are able to absorb greater price increases from suppliers before they need to raise prices for customers.

4. **Entrants** – Low-cost leaders create barriers to market entry through their continuous focus on efficiency and cost reduction.

Substitutes – Low-cost leaders are more likely to lower the costs to induce existing customers to stay with their products, invest in developing substitutes, and even purchase patents.

Disadvantages of Cost Leadership Strategy

1. Cost advantage may not last long as competitors may imitate cost reduction techniques.
2. Cost leadership can succeed only if the firm can achieve higher sales volume.
3. Cost leaders tend to keep their costs low by minimizing cost of advertising, market research, and research and development, but this approach can prove to be expensive in the long run.
4. Technological advancement areas a great threat to cost leaders.

Differentiation Strategy

This strategy is aimed at broad mass market and involves the creation of a product or service that is perceived by the customers as unique. The uniqueness can be associated with product design, brand image, features, technology, dealer network or customer service. Because of differentiation, the business can charge a premium for its product. **For example**, Domino's Pizza has been offering home delivery within 30 minutes or the order is free, is a unique selling point that differentiates if from its rivals.

Differentiation does not guarantee competitive advantage, especially if standard products sufficiently meet customer needs or if rapid imitation by competitors is possible. Durable products protected by barriers to quick imitation by competitors' areas better. Successful differentiation can mean greater product flexibility, greater compatibility, lower costs, improved service, less maintenance, greater convenience, or more features. Product development is an example of a strategy that offers the advantages of differentiation.

Differentiation strategy should be pursued only after a careful study of buyers' needs and preferences to determine the feasibility of incorporating one or more differentiating features into a unique product that features the customers' desired attributes.
A successful differentiation strategy allows a firm to charge a higher price for its product and to gain customer loyalty, because consumers may become strongly attached to the differentiated features. Special features that differentiate one's product can include superior service, spare parts availability, engineering design, product performance, useful life, gas mileage, or ease of use.

A risk associated with pursuing a differentiation strategy is that the unique product may not be valued high enough by customers to justify the higher price. When this happens, a cost leadership strategy will easily

defeat a differentiation strategy. Another risk of pursuing a differentiation strategy is that competitors may develop ways to copy the differentiating features quickly. Firms must find durable sources of uniqueness that cannot be imitated quickly or cheaply by rival firms.

For example, Amazon Prime offers deliver within two hours. This is quite difficult to imitate by its rivals, and thus this differentiating factor helps it to lead the market.

Basis of Differentiation

There are several bases of differentiation, major being: Product, Pricing and Organization.

- **Product**: Innovative products that meet customer needs can be an area where a company has an advantage over competitors. However, the pursuit of a new product offering can be costly – research and development, as well as production and marketing costs can all add to the cost of production and distribution. The payoff, however, can be great as customer's flock to be among the first to have the new product. **For example,** Apple iPhone, has invested huge amounts of money in R&D, and the customers' value that. They want to be among the first ones to try the new offerings from the company.
- **Pricing**: It fluctuates based on its supply and demand and may also be influenced by the customer's ideal value for a product. Companies that differentiate based on product price can either determine to offer the lowest price or can attempt to establish superiority through higher prices. **For example,** Apple iPhone dominates the smart phone segment by charging higher prices for its products.
- **Organisation**: Organisational differentiation is yet another form of differentiation. Maximizing the power of a brand or using the specific advantages that an organization possesses can be instrumental to a company's success. Location advantage, name recognition and customer loyalty can all provide additional ways for a company differentiate itself from the competition. **For example,** Apple has been building customer loyalty since years and has a fanbase of consumers that are called "Apple Fanboys/Fangirls".

Achieving Differentiation Strategy

To achieve differentiation, following strategies could be adopted by an organisation:

1. Offer utility to the customers and match products with their tastes and preferences.
2. Elevate/Improve performance of the product.
3. Offer the high-quality product/service for buyer satisfaction.
4. Rapid product innovation to keep up with dynamic environment.
5. Taking steps for enhancing brand image and brand value.
6. Fixing product prices based on the unique features of product and buying capacity of the customer.

Advantages of Differentiation Strategy

A differentiation strategy may help an organisation to remain profitable even with rivalry, new entrants, suppliers' power, substitute products, and buyers' power.

1. **Rivalry** - Brand loyalty acts as a safeguard against competitors. It means that customers will be less sensitive to price increases, as long as the firm can satisfy the needs of its customers.
2. **Buyers** – They do not negotiate for price as they get special features and they have fewer options in the market.
3. **Suppliers** – Because differentiators charge a premium price, they can afford to absorb higher costs of supplies as the customers are willing to pay extra too.
4. **Entrants** – Innovative features are an expensive offer. So, new entrants generally avoid these features because it is tough for them to provide the same product with special features at a comparable price.
5. **Substitutes** – Substitute products can't replace differentiated products which have high brand value and enjoy customer loyalty.

Disadvantages of Differentiation Strategy

1. In the long term, uniqueness is difficult to sustain.
2. Charging too high a price for differentiated features may cause the customer to switch-off to another alternative. As we see a shift of iPhone users to other android flagship smart phones.
3. Differentiation fails to work if its basis is something that is not valued by the customers. Home delivery of packed snacks in 30 minutes would not even be a differentiator as the consumer wouldn't value such an offer.

Focus Strategies

A successful focus strategy depends on an industry segment that is of sufficient size, has good growth potential, and is not crucial to the success of other major competitors. Strategies such as market penetration (new product for existing customers) and market development (new product for new customers) offer substantial focusing advantages. Midsize and large firms can effectively pursue focus-based strategies only in conjunction with differentiation or cost leadership- based strategies. All firms in essence follow a differentiated strategy. Because only one firm can differentiate itself with the lowest cost, the remaining firms in the industry must find other ways to differentiate their products.

Focus strategies are most effective when consumers have distinctive preferences or requirements, and when the rival firms are not attempting to specialize in the same target segment. Risks of pursuing a focus strategy include the possibility of numerous competitors recognizing the successful focus strategy and imitating it, or that consumer preferences may drift towards the product attributes desired by the market as a whole. An organization using a focus strategy may concentrate on a particular group of customers, geographic markets, or on particular product-line segments in order to serve a well-defined but narrow market better than competitors who serve a broader market. **For example,** Ferrari sports cars.

Focused cost leadership: A focused cost leadership strategy requires competing based on price to target a narrow market. A firm that follows this strategy does not necessarily charge the lowest prices in the industry. Instead, it charges low prices relative to other firms that compete within the target market. Firms that compete based on price and target a narrow market follow a focused cost leadership strategy.

Focused differentiation: A focused differentiation strategy requires offering unique features that fulfil the demands of a narrow market. Similar to focused low- cost strategy, narrow markets are defined in different ways in different settings. Some firms using a focused differentiation strategy concentrate their efforts on a particular sales channel, such as selling over the internet only. Others target particular demographic groups. Firms that compete based on uniqueness and target a narrow market are following a focused differentiations strategy. **For example,** Rolls-Royce sells limited number of high-end, custom-built cars.

Achieving Focused Strategy

To achieve focused cost leadership/differentiation, following strategies could be adopted by an organization:

1. Selecting specific niches which are not covered by cost leaders and differentiators.
2. Creating superior skills for catering such niche markets.
3. Generating high efficiencies for serving such niche markets.
4. Developing innovative ways in managing the value chain.

Advantages of Focused Strategy

1. Premium prices can be charged by the organisations for their focused product/services.
2. Due to the tremendous expertise in the goods and services that the organisations following focus strategy offer, rivals and new entrants may find it difficult to compete.

Disadvantages of Focused Strategy

1. The firms lacking in distinctive competencies may not be able to pursue focus strategy.
2. Due to the limited demand of product/services, costs are high, which can cause problems.

In the long run, the niche could disappear or be taken over by larger competitors by acquiring the same distinctive competencies.

Best-Cost Provider Strategy

The new model of best cost provider strategy is a further development of above three generic strategies. It is directed towards giving customers more value for the money by emphasizing on both, low cost and upscale differences. The objective is to keep costs and prices lower than those of other sellers of "comparable products".



Best-cost provider strategy involves providing customers more value for the money by emphasizing on lower cost and better-quality differences. It can be done through:

(a) offering products at lower price than what is being offered by rivals for products with comparable quality and feature

Or

(b) charging similar price as by the rivals for products with much higher quality and better features.

For example, android flagship phones from OnePlus, Xiaomi, Oppo, Vivo, etc, are all rooting for giving better quality at lowest prices to the customers. They are following the best-cost provider strategy to penetrate market.

Identify the generic strategy

Business Idea	***Michael Porter's Generic Strategy***
Building the best in class headphones with noise cancellation and premium quality ear cushions	
Providing maximum value features in a phone which is within the spendable limits of the middle class of India	
Being in a position to dominate the glass manufacturing units across the country and thus using economies of scale to beat competition	
Targeting the below poverty line individuals and providing them nutritious meals	

4 CHAPTER STRATEGIC CHOICES

INTRODUCTION

Strategies are formulated at different levels of an organization. Strategy formulation involves well thought of decision making and cover actions dealing with the objective of the firm, shareholders and allocation of resources and coordination of strategies of various business units for optimal performance. Top management of the organization makes strategic decisions, which pan down for delegation at middle management level and finally the functional level managers execute the same with their terms.

STRATEGIC CHOICES

Businesses follow different types of strategies to enter the market, to stay relevant and grow in the market. A large number of strategies with different nomenclatures have been employed by different businesses and also suggested by different authors on strategy. For instance, William F Glueck and Lawrence R Jauch discussed four generic strategies including stability, growth, retrenchment and combination. These strategies have also been called Grand Strategies/Directional Strategies by many other authors. Michael E. Porter suggested competitive strategies including Cost Leadership, Differentiation, Focus Cost Leadership and Focus Differentiation which could be used by the corporates for their different business units. Besides these, we come across functional strategies in the literature on Strategic Management and Business Policy. Functional Strategies are meant for strategic management of distinct functions such as Marketing, Financial, Human Resource, Logistics, Production etc.

We can classify the different types of strategies on the basis of levels of the organisation, stages of business life cycle and competition as given in the **Table -1.**

Table: 1- Different types of strategies on the basis of their classification

Basis of Classification	Types
Level of the organisation	Corporate LevelBusiness Level Functional Level
Stages of Business Life Cycle	**Entry/Introduction Stage** - Market Penetration Strategy **Growth Stage** - Growth/Expansion Strategy **Maturity Stage** - Stability Strategy **Decline Stage** - Retrenchment/ Turnaround Strategy
Competition oriented	**Competitive Strategies** - Cost Leadership, Differentiation, Focus **Collaboration Strategies** - Joint Venture, Merger & Acquisition, Strategic Alliance

Above are the various types of strategies available for an organisation to adopt. The organisation adopts either of these depending upon their needs andrequirements. For instance, a start-up or a new enterprise might follow either a competitive strategy i.e., entering the market where a number of rivals are already operating, or a collaborative strategy, i.e., enter into a joint venture with an established company. However, majority of startups are launched on a small scale and their main strategy is to penetrate the market and to reach the breakeven stage at the earliest and later pursue growth strategy. While a going concern can continue with the competitive strategy or resort to collaborative strategy to ensure business growth.

Business conglomerates having multiple product folios formulate strategies at different levels, viz., corporate, business unit and functional. Corporate level strategies are meant to provide 'direction' to the company. Business level strategies are formulated for each product/process division known as strategic business unit. While for implementation of the corporate and business strategies, functional strategies are formulated in business areas like production/operations, marketing, finance, human resources etc. In fact, big corporates follow an elaborate system of strategy formulation, implementation and control at different levels in the company to survive and grow in the turbulent business environment. In this chapter, we shall discuss the corporate level strategies.

The corporate strategies a firm can adopt may be classified into four broad categories:

1. Stability strategy
2. Expansion strategy
3. Retrenchment strategy
4. Combination strategy



Let's have a look on the basic features of the corporate strategies are as follows:

Strategy	Basic Feature
Stability	The firm stays with its current businesses and product markets; maintains the existing level of effort; and is satisfied with incremental growth.
Expansion	Here, the firm seeks significant growth-maybe within the current businesses; maybe by entering new business that are related to existing businesses; or by entering new businesses that are unrelated to existing businesses.
Retrenchment	The firm retrenches some of the activities in some business (es), or) or drops the business as such through sell-out or liquidation.

Combination	The firm combines the above strategic alternatives in some permutation/combination so as to suit the specific requirements of the firm.

STABILITY STRATEGY

One of the important goals of a business enterprise is stability strategy is to stabilise- it may be opted to safeguard its existing interests and strengths, to pursue well established and tested objectives, to continue in the chosen business path, to maintain operational efficiency on a sustained basis, to consolidate the commanding position already reached, and to optimise returns on the resources committed in the business.

A stability strategy is pursued by a firm when:

❖ It continues to serve in the same or similar markets and deals in same or similar products and services.

❖ This strategy is typical for those firms whose product have reached the maturity stage of product life cycle or those who have a sufficient market share but need to retain that. They have to remain updated and have to pace with the dynamic and volatile business world to preserve their market share. Hence, stability strategy should not be confused with 'do nothing' strategy. Small organizations may also follow stability strategy to consolidate their market position and prepare for the launch of growth strategies. For deeper understanding of these strategies, let us delve into their characteristics:

Characteristics of Stability Strategy

(i) A firm opting for stability strategy stays with the same business, same product-market posture and functions, maintaining same level of effort as at present.

(ii) The endeavour is to enhance functional efficiencies in an incremental way, through better deployment and utilization of resources. The assessment of the firm is that the desired income and profits would be forthcoming through such incremental improvements in functional efficiencies.

(iii) Stability strategy does not involve a redefinition of the business of the corporation.

(iv) It is a safe strategy that maintains status quo.

(v) It does not warrant much of fresh investments.

(vi) The risk involved in this strategy is less.

(vii) While opting for this strategy, the organization can concentrate on its resources and existing businesses/products and markets, thus leading to building of core competencies.

(viii) The firms with modest growth objective choose this strategy

Major Reasons for Stability Strategy

(i) A product has reached the maturity stage of the product life cycle.

(ii) The staff feels comfortable with the status quo as it involves less changes andless risks.

(iii) It is opted when the environment in which an organisation is operating isrelatively stable.

(iv) Where it is not advisable to expand as it may be perceived as threatening.

(v) After rapid expansion, a firm might want to stabilize and consolidate itself.

Ques:- **Why doesn't STARTUPS aim for Stability Strategy.**

Ans:- A startup is an entrepreneurial venture in the early stages of ideation and development, generally created for solving real-life problems through technology. For it, the most important factors are speed and agility, because of it being in a nascent stage of operations. Stability on the other hand is more meaningful strategy when the size of operations is expanded to full capacity and business is at a mature stage. Thereby, we rarely see startups aiming for stability.

GROWTH / EXPANSION STRATEGY

Growth/Expansion strategy is implemented by redefining the business by enlarging the scope of business and substantially increasing investment in the business. It isa strategy that can be equated with dynamism, vigour, promise and success. It is often characterised by significant reformulation of goals and directions, major initiatives and moves involving investments, exploration and onslaught into new products, new technology and new markets, innovative decisions and action programmes and so on. This strategy may take the enterprise along relatively unknown and risky paths, full of promises and pitfalls.

Characteristics of Growth/Expansion Strategy

- ❖ Expansion strategy involves a redefinition of the business of the corporation.
- ❖ Expansion strategy is the opposite of stability strategy. While in stability strategy, rewards are limited, in expansion strategy they are very high. In the matter of risks, too, the two are the opposites of each other.
- ❖ Expansion strategy leads to business growth. A firm with a mammoth growth ambition can meet its objective only through the expansion strategy.
- ❖ The process of renewal of the firm through fresh investments and new businesses/products/markets is facilitated only by expansion strategy.
- ❖ Expansion strategy is a highly versatile strategy; it offers several permutations and combinations for growth. A firm opting for the expansion strategy can generate many alternatives within the strategy by altering its propositions regarding products, markets and functions and pick the one that suits it most.

- Expansion strategy holds within its fold two major strategy routes: Intensification Diversification. Both of them are growth strategies; thedifference lies in the way in which the firm actually pursues the growth.

Major Reasons for Growth/Expansion Strategy

- It may become imperative when environment demands increase in pace of activity.
- Strategists may feel more satisfied with the prospects of growth from expansion; chief executives may take pride in presiding over organizations perceived to be growth-oriented.
- Expansion may lead to greater control over the market vis-a-vis competitors.
- Advantages from the experience curve and scale of operations may accrue.
- Expansion also includes intensifying, diversifying, acquiring and mergingbusinesses. Therefore, growth strategies can take the following forms:

Types of Growth/ Expansion Strategy

The growth strategies can be classified into two main types:

A. Internal growth strategies **B.** External growth strategies

A. Internal growth strategies

Internal growth strategies can be further divided into:

I Expansion through Intensification

II Expansion through Diversification

I. EXPANSION OR GROWTH THROUGH INTENSIFICATION

Expansion or growth through intensification means that the organisation tries togrow internally by intensifying its operations either by market penetration or market development or by product development. It tries to cash on its internal capabilities and internal resources. The firm can adopt following strategies:

(i) **Market Penetration**: Highly common expansion strategy is market penetration/concentration on the current business. The firm directs its resources to the profitable growth of its existing product in the existing market.

(ii) **Market Development**: It consists of marketing present products, to customers in related market areas by adding different channels of distribution or by changing the content of advertising or the promotional media.

(iii) **Product Development**: Product development involves substantialmodification of existing products or creation of new but related items that can be marketed to current customers through establish channels.

Igor. H. Ansoff gave a framework as shown in figure below which describes the intensification options available to a firm.

Market Penetration	**Product Development**
♦ Increase market share. ♦ Increase product usage. ♦ Increase the frequency used. ♦ Increase the quantity used. ♦ Find new application for currentusers.	♦ Add product features, product refinement. ♦ Develop a new-generationproduct. ♦ Develop new product for the samemarket.
Market Development ♦ Expand geographically Target newsegments.	**Diversification involving new products and new markets** ♦ Related / Unrelated.

II. EXPANSION OR GROWTH THROUGH DIVERSIFICATION

When a firm tries to grow and expand by diversifying into various products or fields, it is called growth by diversification. This is also an internal growth strategy. Innovative and creative firms always look for opportunities and challenges to grow, to venture into new areas of activity and to break new frontiers with the zeal of entrepreneurship using their internal resources. They feel that diversification offers greater prospects of growth and profitability than intensification.

Diversification is defined as an entry into new products or product lines, new services or new markets, involving substantially different skills, technology and knowledge. When an established firm introduces a new product, which has little or no affinity with its present product line and which is meant for a new class of customers different from the firm's existing customer groups, the process is knownas conglomerate diversification. Both the technology of the product and the market are different from the firm's present experience.

For some firms, diversification is a means of utilising their existing facilities and capabilities in a more effective and efficient manner. They may have excess capacity or capability in manufacturing facilities, investible funds, marketing channels, competitive standing, market prestige, managerial and other manpower, research and development, raw material sources and so forth. Another reason for diversification lies in its synergistic advantage. It may be possible to improve thesales and profits of existing products by adding suitably related or new products, because of linkages in technology and/or in markets.

Based on the nature and extent of their relationship to existing businesses, diversification can be classified into two broad categories:

(i) Concentric diversification: diversification into related business to benefit fromsynergistic gains

(ii) Conglomerate diversification: diversification into unrelated business toexplore more opportunities beyond existing areas of expertise

(iii) Expansion through Innovation

Diversification endeavours can be related or unrelated to existing businesses of thefirm.

(i) Concentric Diversification: Concentric diversification takes place when the products are related. In this diversification, the new business that is it diversifies into is linked to the existing businesses through process, technology or marketing. The new product is a spin-off from the existing facilities and products /processes. This means that in concentric diversification too, there are benefits of synergy with the current operations. The new product is only connected in a loop-like manner at one or more points in the firm's existing process/technology/product chain. **For example,** a company producing clothes ventures into the manufacturing of shoes. Concentric diversification is generally understood in two directions, verticaland horizontal integration;

(a) Vertically Integrated Diversification: In vertically integrated diversification, firms opt to engage in businesses that are related to the existing business of the firm. The firm remains vertically within the same process sequence moves forward or backward in the chain and enters specific product/process steps with the intention of making them into new businesses for the firm. The characteristic feature of vertically integrated diversification is that the firm remains in the vertically linked product-process chain. A firm can either opt for forward or backward integration or horizontal integration.

Forward and Backward Integration: Forward and backward integration forms part of vertically integrated diversification. In vertically integrated diversification, firms opt to engage in businesses that are vertically related to the existing business of the firm. The firm remains vertically within the same process. While diversifying, firms opt to engage in businesses that are linked forward or backward in the chain.

Backward integration is concerned with creation of effective supply by entering business of input providers. Strategy employed to expand profits and gain greater control over production/supply of a product whereby a company will purchase or build a business that will increaseits own supply capability or lessen its cost of production. **For example,** A large supermarket chain considers to purchase a number of farms that would provide it a significant amount of fresh produce.

On the other hand, forward integration is moving forward in the value chain and entering business lines that use existing products. Forward integration will also take place where organizations enter into businesses of distribution channels. **For example,** A coffee bean manufacture may choose to merge with a coffee cafe.

(b) Horizontal Integrated Diversification: A firm gets horizontally diversified by integrating through acquisition of one or more similar businesses operating at the same stage of the production-marketing chain. They can also integrate with the firms producing complementary products or by-products or by taking over competitors' products. The following figure explains the horizontal diversification, wherein, textile mill 1 acquires textile mill 2 and 3 as well.



Figure: Diversification

(ii) **Conglomerate Diversification**: In conglomerate diversification, no linkages related to product, market or technology exist; the new businesses/productsare disjointed from the existing businesses/products in every way; it is a totally unrelated diversification. In process/technology/function, there is no connection between the new products and the existing ones. Conglomerate diversification has no common thread at all with the firm's present position. **For example**, *A cement manufacturer diversifies into the manufacture of steel and rubber products.*

Ques:- **Is it really worth expanding so much to diversify a business intounrelated products?**

Ans:- Despite of its complexity, conglomerate diversification (diversification into unrelated business) financially makes a lot of sense. It creates access a new pool of customers, thereby expanding its customer base.It allows access to markets and cross-selling new products, leading to increased revenues. Further, it eases the management of losses in a business; profits in one business can be used to keep the loss making business afloat within the same organisation.

RELATED DIVERSIFICATION	UNRELATED DIVERSIFICATION
♦ Exchange or share assets or competencies by exploiting. ♦ Brand name. ♦ Marketing skills. ♦ Sales and distribution capacity ♦ Manufacturing skills. ♦ R&D and new product capability. ♦ Economies of scale.	♦ Investment in new product portfolios. ♦ Employment of newtechnologies. ♦ Focus on multiple products. ♦ Reduce risk by operating inmultiple product markets. ♦ Defend against takeover bids. ♦ Provide executive interest.

(iii) Innovation: Innovation drives upgradation of existing product lines or processes, leading to increased market share, revenues, profitability and most important, customer satisfaction. Some may argue that innovation leads to unnecessary expenses that do not give as much returns, but on the contrary,for a business to grow long term, innovation offers the following;

❖ **Helps to solve complex problems**: A business strives to find opportunities in existing problems of the society, and it does so though planned innovation in areas of expertise. This guided innovation help solve complex problems by developing customer centric sustainable solutions. **For example,** the pressing problem of environmental damage is being tackled heads on by shifting to renewable sources of energy like solar, wind, sea waves, etc. It might be costly in introductory stages but in the long run it will only have economical and environmental sustainability.

❖ **Increases Productivity**: Innovation leads to simplification and in most cases automation of existing tasks. Productivity is defined as a measureof final output from a task or a process, and companies are willing to spend millions on increasing their productivity, Innovation, by automating repetitive tasks, and simplifying the long chain of processes, adds to productivity of teams and thereby the organisationas a whole. **For example,** MSExcel, every finance professional uses this software to simplify and automate their manual tasks. Such digital innovation which leads to improved productivity, creates opportunities to further develop processes and products within and outside the organisatoin. Thus, innovation creates a ripple effect that has a far and wide impact across industries.

❖ **Gives Competitive Advantage**: Being ahead of competition is a need, and businesses spend majority of their strategic time building solutionsto achieve this advantage. An interesting concept about innovation is - the faster a business innovates, the farther it goes from its competitor's reach. Innovative products need less marketing as they aim to provide added satisfaction to consumers, thus, creating a competitive advantage. Innovation not only helps retain the existing customers but helps acquire new ones with ease.

B. External Growth Strategies

When the organization instead of growing internally thinks of diversifying by making alliances with external organisations, it is called external growth diversification. It can be classified in two ways:

I. EXPANSION THROUGH MERGER & ACQUISITION

Acquisition or merger with an existing concern is an instant means of achieving the expansion. It is an attractive and tempting proposition in the sense that it circumvents the time, risks and skills involved in screening internal growth opportunities, seizing them and building up the necessary resource base required to materialise growth. Organizations consider merger and acquisition proposals in a systematic manner, so that the marriage will be mutually beneficial, a happy and lasting affair.

Apart from the urge to grow, acquisitions and mergers are resorted to for purposesof achieving a measure of synergy between the parent and the acquired enterprises. Synergy may result from such bases as physical facilities, technical and managerial skills, distribution channels, general administration, research and development andso on. Only positive synergistic effects are relevant in this connection which denotes that the positive effects of the merged resources are greater than the effects of the individual resources before merger or acquisition.

Merger and acquisition in simple words are defined as a process of combining two or more organizations together. There is a thin line of difference between the two terms but the impact of combination is completely different in both the cases. Some organizations prefer to grow through mergers. Merger is a process when two ormore companies come together to expand their business operations. In such a case the deal gets finalized on friendly terms and both the organizations share profitsin the newly created entity. In a merger two organizations combine to increase their strength and financial gains along with breaking of the trade barriers.

When one organization takes over the other organization and controls all its business operations, it is known as acquisition. In acquisition, one financially strong organization overpowers the weaker one. Acquisitions often happen during recession in economy or during declining profit margins. In this process, the stronger one overpowers the weaker one. The combined operations then run under the name of the powerful entity. A deal in case of an acquisition is often done inan unfriendly manner, it is more or less a forced association where the powerful organization acquires the operations of the company that is in a weaker positionand is forced to sell its entity.

Types of Mergers

The following are the types of mergers and are quite similar to the types of diversification.

(a) Horizontal Merger

Horizontal merger is a combination of firms engaged in the same industry. It is a merger with a direct competitor. The principal objective behind this type of merger is to achieve economies of scale in the

production process by shedding duplication of installations and functions, widening the line of products, decrease in working capital and fixed assets investment, getting rid of competition and so on. **For example**, *formation of Brook Bond Lipton India Ltd. through the merger of Lipton India and Brook Bond.*

(b) Vertical Merger

It is a merger of two organizations that are operating in the same industry but at different stages of production or distribution system. This often leads to increased synergies with the merging firms. If an organization takes over its supplier/producers of raw material, then it leads to backward integration. On the other hand, forward integration happens when an organization decides to take over its buyer organizations or distribution channels. Vertical merger results in many operating and financial economies. Vertical mergers help to create an advantageous position by restricting the supply of inputs to other players, or by providing the inputs at a higher cost. **For example**, *backward integration and forward integration.*

(c) Co-generic Merger

In Co-generic merger two or more merging organizations are associated in some way or the other related to the production processes, business markets, or basic required technologies. Such merger includes the extension of the product line or acquiring components that are required in the daily operations. It offers great opportunities to businesses to diversify around a common set of resources and strategic requirements. **For example**, an organization in the white goods category such as refrigerators can diversify by merging with another organization having business in kitchen appliances.

(d) Conglomerate Merger

Conglomerate mergers are the combination of organizations that are unrelated to each other. There are no linkages with respect to customer groups, customer functions and technologies being used. There are no important common factors between the organizations in production, marketing, research and development and technology. In practice, however, there is some degree of overlap in one or more of these factors.

II. Expansion through Strategic Alliance

A strategic alliance is a relationship between two or more businesses that enables each to achieve certain strategic objectives which neither would be able to achieve on its own. The strategic partners maintain their status as independent and separate entities, share the benefits and control over the partnership, and continueto make contributions to the alliance until it is terminated. Strategic alliances are often formed in the global marketplace between businesses that are based in different regions of the world.

Advantages of Strategic Alliance

Strategic alliance usually is only formed if they provide an advantage to all the parties in the alliance. These advantages can be broadly categorised as follows:

1. **Organizational**: Strategic alliance helps to learn necessary skills and obtain certain capabilities from strategic partners. Strategic partners may also help to enhance productive capacity, provide a distribution system, or extend supply chain. Strategic partners may provide a good or service that complements thereby creating a synergy. Having a strategic partner who is well-known and respected also helps add legitimacy and creditability to anew venture.
2. **Economic**: There can be reduction in costs and risks by distributing them across the members of the alliance. Greater economies of scale can be obtained in an alliance, as production volume can increase, causing the cost per unit to decline. Finally, partners can take advantage of co-specialization, creating additional value, such as when a leading computer manufacturerbundles its desktop with a leading monitor manufacturer's monitor.
3. **Strategic**: Rivals can join together to cooperate instead of competing with each other. Vertical integration can be created where partners are part of supply chain. Strategic alliances may also be useful to create a competitive advantage by the pooling of resources and skills. This may also help withfuture business opportunities and the development of new products and technologies. Strategic alliances may also be used to get access to new technologies or to pursue joint research and development.
4. **Political**: Sometimes strategic alliances are formed with a local foreign business to gain entry into a foreign market either because of local prejudices or legal barriers to entry. Forming strategic alliances with politically influential partners may also help improve your own influence and position.

Disadvantages of Strategic Alliance

Strategic alliances do come with some disadvantages and risks. The major disadvantage is **sharing.** Strategic alliances require sharing of resources and profits, and also sharing knowledge and skills that otherwise organisations may not like to share. Sharing knowledge and skills can be problematic if they involve trade secrets. Agreements can be executed to protect trade secrets, but they are only as good as the willingness of parties to abide by the agreements or the courts willingness to enforce them. Strategic alliances may also create potential competition when an ally becomes an opponent in future when it decides to separate out.

STRATEGIC EXITS

Strategic Exits are followed when an organization substantially reduces the scope of its activity. This is done through an attempt to find out the problem areas and diagnose the causes of the problems. Next, steps are taken to solve the problems. These steps result in different kinds of retrenchment strategies. If the organization chooses to focus on ways and means to reverse the process of decline, it adopts at turnaround strategy. If it cuts off the loss-making units, divisions, or SBUs, curtailsits product line, or reduces the functions performed, it adopts a divestment (or divestiture) strategy. If none of these actions work, then it may choose to abandon the activities totally, resulting in a liquidation strategy. We deal with each of these strategies below.

(A) TURNAROUND STRATEGY

Retrenchment may be done either internally or externally. For internal retrenchment to take place, emphasis is laid on improving internal efficiency, known as turnaround strategy.

There are certain conditions or indicators which point out that a turnaround is needed if the company has to survive. These danger signals are:

- Persistent negative cash flow from business(es)
- Uncompetitive products or services
- Declining market share
- Deterioration in physical facilities
- Over-staffing, high turnover of employees, and low morale
- Mismanagement

Action Plan for Turnaround

For turnaround strategies to be successful, it is imperative to focus on the short and long-term financing needs as well as on strategic issues. A workable action plan for turnaround would involve the following stages:

- **Stage One – Assessment of current problems**: The first step is to assess the current problems and get to the root causes and the extent of damage the problem has caused. Once the problems are identified, the resources shouldbe focused toward those areas essential to efficiently work on correcting and repairing any immediate issues.
- **Stage Two –Analyze the situation and develop a strategic plan**: Before you make any major changes; determine the chances of the business's survival. Identify appropriate strategies and develop a preliminary action plan. For this one should look for the viable core businesses, adequate bridge financing and available organizational resources. Analyze the strengths and weaknesses in the areas of competitive position. Once major problems and opportunities are identified, develop a strategic plan with specific goals and detailed functional actions.
- **Stage Three –Implementing an emergency action plan**: If the organizationis in a critical stage, an appropriate action plan must be developed to stopthe bleeding and enable the organization to survive. The plan typically includes human resource, financial, marketing and operations actions to restructure debts, improve working capital, reduce costs, improve budgeting practices, prune product lines and accelerate high potential products. A positive operating cash flow must be established as quickly as possible and enough funds to implement the turnaround strategies must be raised.
- **Stage Four –Restructuring the business**: The financial state of the organization's core business is particularly important. If the core business is irreparably damaged, then the outlook for the entire organization may be bleak. Prepare cash forecasts, analyze assets and debts, review profits and analyze other key financial functions to position the organization for rapid improvement.

  During the turnaround, the "product mix" may be changed, requiring theorganization to do some

repositioning. Core products neglected over time may require immediate attention to remain competitive. Some facilities might be closed; the organization may even withdraw from certain markets to make organization leaner or target its products toward a different niche.

Morale building is another important ingredient in the organization'scompetitive effectiveness. Reward and compensation systems that encourage dedication and creativity amongst employees to think about profits and return on investments.

- **Stage Five –Returning to normal**: In the final stage of turnaround strategy process, the organization should begin to show signs of profitability, returnon investments and enhancing economic value-added. Emphasis is placed ona number of strategic efforts such as carefully adding new products and improving customer service, creating alliances with other organizations, increasing the market share, etc.

So, The important elements of turnaround strategy are as follows:

- Changes in the top management
- Initial credibility-building actions
- Neutralising external pressures
- Identifying quick payoff activities
- Quick cost reductions
- Revenue generation
- Asset liquidation for generating cash
- Better internal coordination

(B) DIVESTMENT STRATEGY

Divestment strategy involves the sale or liquidation of a portion of business, or a major division, profit centre or SBU. Divestment is usually a part of rehabilitation or restructuring plan and is adopted when a turnaround has been attempted but has proved to be unsuccessful. The option of a turnaround may even be ignored if it is obvious that divestment is the only answer.

A divestment strategy may be adopted due to various reasons:

- A business that had been acquired proves to be a mismatch and cannot be integrated within the company.
- Persistent negative cash flows from a particular business create financial problems for the whole company, creating the need for divestment of that business.
- Severity of competition and the inability of a firm to cope with it may causeit to divest.
- It is not possible for the business to do Technological upgradation that is required for the business to survive, a preferable option would be to divest.

A better alternative may be available for investment, causing a firm to divesta part of its unprofitable business.

Characteristics of Divestment Strategy

- This strategy involves divestment of some of the activities in a given business of the firm or sell-out of some of the businesses as such.
- Divestment is to be viewed as an integral part of corporate strategy without any stigma attached.

Major Reasons for Retrenchment/Turnaround Strategy

- The management no longer wishes to remain in business either partly or wholly due to continuous losses and unviability.
- The management feels that business could be made viable by divesting some of the activities or liquidation of unprofitable activities.
- A business that had been acquired proves to be a mismatch and cannot be integrated within the company.
- Persistent negative cash flows from a particular business create financial problems for the whole company, creating the need for divestment of that business.
- Severity of competition and the inability of a firm to cope with it may causeit to divest.
- Technological upgradation is required if the business is to survive but whereit is not possible for the firm to invest in it, a preferable option would be to divest.

Thus, A better alternative may be available for investment, causing a firm to divesta part of its unprofitable businesses.

Ques:- **Is Turnaround applicable only to loss making entity ??**
Ans:- Interestingly, turnaround strategy is relevant when a company is experiencing a period of poor performance. Poor performance does not always mean losses, it may also mean lower than expected growth, no future clarity, or even lesser than target profits.

STRATEGIC OPTIONS

Strategic options need to be carved out from existing products and innovations that are happening in the industry. There are a set of models that help strategistsin taking strategic decisions with regard to individual products or businesses in a firm's portfolio. Primarily used for competitive analysis and corporate strategic planning in multi-product and multi business firms. They may also be used in less- diversified firms, if these consist of a main business and other minor complementary interests. The main advantage in adopting a portfolio approach ina multi-product, multi-business firm is that resources could be channelised at the corporate level to those businesses that possess the greatest potential.

Example:- A diversified company may decide to divert resources from its cash-rich businesses to more prospective ones that hold promise of a faster growth so that the company achieves its corporate level objectives efficiently. So, to design the business portfolio, the management must analyse its current business portfolio and decide which business should receive more, less, or no investment. Depending upon analyses management may develop growth strategies for adding new products or businesses to the firm's portfolio.

ANSOFF'S PRODUCT MARKET GROWTH MATRIX

The Ansoff's product market growth matrix (proposed by Igor Ansoff) is a usefultool that helps businesses decide their product and market growth strategy. With the use of this matrix a business can get a fair idea about how its growth depends upon it markets in new or existing products in both new and existing markets. Companies should always be looking to the future. One useful device for identifying growth opportunities for the future is the product/market expansion grid. The product/market growth matrix is a portfolio-planning tool for identifying growth opportunities for the company.

	Existing Products	New Products
Existing Markets	Market Penetration	Product Development
New Markets	Market Development	Diversification

(i) Market Penetration: Market penetration refers to a growth strategy where the business focuses on selling existing products into existing markets. It is achieved by making more sales to present customers without changing products in any major way. Penetration might require greater spending on advertising or personal selling. Overcoming competition in a mature market requires an aggressive promotional campaign, supported by a pricing strategy designed to make the market unattractivefor competitors. Penetration is also done by effort on increasing usage by existing customers. **For example,** *Gucci, a luxury clothing brand, selling its luxury clothing in European markets with new designs, is market penetration.*

(ii) Market Development: Market development refers to a growth strategy where the business seeks to sell its existing products into new markets. It is a strategy for company growth by identifying and developing new markets for current company products. This strategy may be achieved through new geographical markets, new product dimensions or packaging, new distribution channels or different pricing policies to attract different customers or create new market segments. **For example,** *Gucci, a luxury clothing brand, selling its luxury clothing in Chinese markets, is market development.*

(iii) Product Development: Product development refers to a growth strategy where business aims to introduce new products into existing markets. It is a strategy for company growth by offering modified or

new products to current markets. This strategy may require the development of new competencies and requires the business to develop modified products which can appeal to existing markets. **For example,** *Gucci, a luxury clothing brand, selling casual clothing in European markets, is product development.*

(iv) Diversification: Diversification refers to a growth strategy where a business markets new products in new markets. It is a strategy by starting up or acquiring businesses outside the company's current products and markets. This strategy isrisky because it does not rely on either the company's successful product or its position in established markets. Typically, the business is moving into markets in which it has little or no experience. **For example**, *Gucci, a luxury clothing brand, selling casual clothing in Chinese markets, is diversification.*

As market conditions change overtime, a company may shift product-market growth strategies. **For example,** *when its present market is fully saturated a company may have no choice other than to pursue new market.*

ADL MATRIX

The ADL matrix (derived its name from Arthur D. Little) is a portfolio analysis technique that is based on product life cycle. The approach forms a two- dimensional matrix based on stage of industry maturity and the firms competitive position, environmental assessment and business strength assessment. Stage of industry maturity is an environmental measure that represents a position in industry's life cycle. Competitive position is a measure of business strengths that helps in categorization of products or SBU's into one of five competitive positions:

(a) dominant **(b)** strong **(c)** favourable **(d)** tenable **(e)** weak

The competitive position of a firm is based on an assessment of the following criteria:

- **Dominant**: This is a comparatively rare position and in many cases is attributable either to a monopoly or a strong and protected technological leadership.
- **Strong**: By virtue of this position, the firm has a considerable degree of freedom over its choice of strategies and is often able to act without its market position being unduly threatened by its competitions.
- **Favourable**: This position, which generally comes about when the industry is fragmented and no one competitor stand out clearly, results in the market leadersa reasonable degree of freedom.
- **Tenable**: Although the firms within this category are able to perform satisfactorily and can justify staying in the industry, they are generally vulnerable in the face of increased competition from stronger and more proactive companies in the market.
- **Weak**: The performance of firms in this category is generally unsatisfactory although the opportunities for improvement do exist.

It is four by five matrix as shown on next page:-

Stage of industry maturity - Arthur D. Little (ADL) Matrix				
Competitive position	**Embryonic**	**Growth**	**Mature**	**Ageing**
Dominant	- Fast grow - Build barriers - Act offensively	- Fast grow - Attend cost leadership - Renew - Defend position - Act offensively	- Defend position - Attend cost leadership - Renew - Fast grow - Act offensively	- Defend position - Renew - Focus - Consider withdrawal
Strong	- Differentiate - Fast grow	- Differentiate - Lower cost - Attack small firms	- Lower cost - Focus - Differentiate - Grow with industry	- Find niche - Hold niche - Harvest
Favorable	- Differentiate - Focus - Fast grow	- Focus - Differentiate - Defend	- Focus - Differentiate - Harvest - Find niche - Hold niche - Turnaround - Grow with industry - Hit smaller firms	- Harvest - Turnaround
Tenable	- Grow with industry - Focus	- Hold niche - Turnaround - Focus - Grow with industry - Withdraw	- Turnaround - Hold niche - Retrench	- Divest - Retrench
Weak	- Find niche - Catch-up - Grow with industry	- Turnaround - Retrench - Niche or withdraw	- Withdraw - Divest	- Withdraw

BCG GROWTH SHARE MATRIX

The BCG growth-share matrix is the simplest way to portray a corporation's portfolio of investments. Growth share matrix also known for its cow and dog metaphors is popularly used for resource allocation in a diversified company. Using the BCG approach, a company classifies its different businesses on a two-dimensional growth-share matrix. In the matrix following matrix represents the following:-

❖ **The vertical axis:-** market growth rate and provides a measure of market attractiveness.

❖ **The horizontal axis: -** relative market share and serves as a measure of company strength in the market.

Using the matrix, organisations can identify four different types of products or SBU as follows:

❖ **Stars** are products or SBUs that are growing rapidly. They also need heavy investment to maintain their position and finance their rapid growthpotential. They represent best opportunities for expansion.

❖ **Cash Cows** are low-growth, high market share businesses or products. They generate cash and have low costs. They are established, successful, and need less investment to maintain their market share. In long run when the growth rate slows down, stars become cash cows.

❖ **Question Marks**, sometimes called problem children or wildcats, are low market share business in high-growth markets. They require a lot of cash to hold their share. They need heavy investments with low potential to generate cash. Question marks if left unattended are capable of becoming cash traps. Since growth rate is high, increasing it should be relatively easier. It is for business organisations to turn them stars and then to cash cows when the growth rate reduces.

❖ **Dogs** are low-growth, low-share businesses and products. They may generate enough cash to maintain themselves, but do not have much future. Sometimes they may need cash to survive. Dogs should be minimised by means of divestment or liquidation.

BCG Matrix: Post Identification Strategies

After a firm, has classified its products or SBUs, it must determine what role eachwill play in the future. The four strategies that can be pursued are:

1. **Build:** Here the objective is to increase market share, even by forgoing short- term earnings in favour of building a strong future with large market share.
2. **Hold:** Here the objective is to preserve market share.
3. **Harvest:** Here the objective is to increase short-term cash flow regardless of long-term effect.
4. **Divest:** Here the objective is to sell or liquidate the business because resources can be better used elsewhere.

Is BCG Matrix really helpful?

The growth-share matrix has done much to help strategic planning; however, there are some problems and limitations with the technique. BCG matrix can be difficult, time-consuming, and costly to implement. Management may find it difficult to define SBUs and measure market share and growth. It also focuses on classifying current businesses but provide little advice for future planning. They can lead the company to placing too much emphasis on market-share growth or growth through entry into attractive new markets. This can cause unwise expansion intohot, new, risky ventures or divesting established units too quickly.

Ques:- **SO Pharma Ltd. developed a new age medicine which cures cough in 3 hours with an investment of INR 80 crores in R&D. They named it "COUFIX". Coufix needs a lot of marketing spend to create awareness amongst the public and also needs funds to get licenses from the regulators. Interestingly, Coufix has gained 60% market share within 6 months of launch and been profitable since day 1. Is Coufix, a cash cow or a star for SO Pharma Ltd.? Identify if the following is a Star or a Cash Cow?**

Ans:- It is a **Star**. Stars are products or SBUs that are growing rapidly. They also need heavy investment to maintain their position and finance their rapid growth potential. They represent best opportunities for expansion.Just one parameter of market share cannot define the status of an SBU, it should be categorised basis the inherent characteristics, and hence Coufix has more representation as a Star.

GENERAL ELECTRIC MATRIX [" STOP-LIGHT" STRATEGY MODEL]

This model has been used by General Electric Company (developed by GE with the assistance of the consulting firm McKinsey and Company). This model is also known as Business Planning Matrix, GE Nine-Cell Matrix and GE Model. The strategic planning approach in this model has been inspired from traffic control lights. The lights that are used at crossings to manage traffic are: green for go, amber or yellow for caution, and red for stop. This model uses two factors while taking strategic decisions: Business Strength and Market Attractiveness.

Understanding the GE Matrix

The **vertical** axis indicates market ***attractiveness,*** and the **horizontal** axis shows the ***business strength in the industry***. The market attractiveness is measured by a number of factors like:

- Size of the market.
- Market growth rate.
- Industry profitability.
- Competitive intensity.
- Availability of Technology.
- Pricing trends.
- Overall risk of returns in the industry.
- Opportunity for differentiation of products and services.
- Demand variability.
- Segmentation.
- Distribution structure (e.g. direct marketing, retail, wholesale) etc.

Business strength is measured by considering the typical drivers like:

- Market share.
- Market share growth rate.
- Profit margin.
- Distribution efficiency.
- Brand image.
- Ability to compete on price and quality.
- Customer loyalty.
- Production capacity.
- Technological capability.
- Relative cost position.
- Management calibre, etc.

If a product falls in the green section, the business is at advantageous position. To reap the benefits, the strategic decision can be to expand, to invest and grow. If a product is in the amber or yellow zone, it needs caution and managerial discretionis called for making the strategic choices. If a product is in the red zone, it will eventually lead to losses that would make things difficult for organisations. In such cases, the appropriate strategy should be retrenchment, divestment or liquidation.

This model is similar to the BCG growth-share matrix. However, there are differences. Firstly, market attractiveness replaces market growth as the dimensionof industry attractiveness and includes a broader range of factors other than justthe market growth rate. Secondly, competitive strength replaces market share as the dimension by which the competitive position of each SBU is assessed.

Business strength

Market attractiveness	Strong	Average	Weak
High	Invest/Expand	Invest/Expand	Select/Earn
Medium	Invest/Expand	Select/Earn	Harvest/Divest
Low	Select/Earn	Harvest/Divest	Harvest/Divest

NOTES

5 CHAPTER STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION AND EVALUATION

INTRODUCTION

Strategy implementation and evaluation are critical phases of the process of strategic management in an organization. Implementation involves putting the plans and initiatives developed as part of the strategy into action, while evaluation refers to the process of measuring and assessing the effectiveness of these actions. In this chapter, we will explore various implementation and evaluation methods that organizations can use to assess the success of their strategy implementation and identify areas for improvement. This chapter will provide a comprehensive overviewof the implementation and evaluation process and equip readers with the knowledge and skills needed to effectively execute and assess their organization's strategies. To begin with an overview of the process of strategic management is provided in the next section.

STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT PROCESS

The process of developing an organisation's strategy is quite methodical. The organisation first develops a clear vision, mission, values and goals. They then must then discuss and analyse a number of themes to determine which options are most promising. All these aspects come together in a strategic plan that details the organisation's vision, mission, values, goals, strategic themes, a high-level implementation plan and key performance measures. The key performancemeasures are included in the strategic plan and are used to link the themes backto the organisation's goals and to measure the success of the strategy after it is implemented.

The strategic management process is dynamic and continuous. A change in any one of the major components in the model can necessitate a change in any or allof the other components. For instance, a shift in the economy could represent a major opportunity and require a change in long-term objectives and strategies; a failure to accomplish annual objectives could require a change in policy; or a major competitor's change in strategy could require a change in the firm's mission.

Therefore, strategy formulation, implementation, and evaluation activities should be performed on a continual basis, not just at the end of the year or semi-annually. The strategic management process never really ends.

The strategic management process can best be studied and applied using a model. Every model represents some kind of process. The model illustrated in the Figure: Strategic Management Model (Fred R David) is a widely accepted, comprehensive. This model like any other model of management does not guarantee sure-shot success, but it does represent a clear and practical approach for formulating, implementing, and evaluating strategies. Relationships among major components of the strategic management process are shown in the model.



In practice, strategists do not go through the process in lockstep fashion. Generally, there is give-and-take among hierarchical levels of an organisation. The process essentially is iterative and involves a lot of back-and-forth considerations across different stages in the strategic management process. Many organisations conduct formal meetings semi-annually to discuss and update the firm's vision/mission, opportunities/threats, strengths/weaknesses, strategies, objectives, policies, and performance. Creativity from participants is encouraged in meeting. Good communication and feedback are needed throughout the strategic management process.

STAGES IN STARTEGIC MANAGEMENT

Crafting and executing strategy are the heart and soul of managing a business enterprise. But exactly what is involved in developing a strategy and executing it proficiently? And who besides top management has strategy – formulation – executing responsibility?

Strategic management involves the following stages:

1. Developing a strategic vision and formulation of statement of mission, goals and objectives.
2. Environmental and organisational analysis.
3. Formulation of strategy.
4. Implementation of strategy.
5. Strategic evaluation and control

Stage 1: Strategic Vision, Mission and Objectives

First a company must determine what directional path the company should take and what changes in the company's product – market – customer – technology – focus would improve its current market position and its future prospect. Decidingto commit the company to one path versus other pushes managers to draw some carefully reasoned conclusions about how to try to modify the company's business makeup and the market

position it should carve out. Top management's views and conclusions about the company's direction and the product-customer-market- technology focus constitute a strategic vision for the company. A strategic vision delineates management's aspirations for the organisation and highlights a particular direction, or strategic path for it to follow in preparing for the future and molds its identity. A clearly articulated strategic vision communicates management's aspirations to stakeholders and helps steer the energies of company personnel in a common direction.

Mission and Strategic Intent: Managers need to be clear about what they see as the role of their organisation, and this is often expressed in terms of a statement of mission. This is important because both external stakeholders and other managers in the organisation need to be clear about what the organisation is seeking toachieve and, in broad terms, how it expects to do so. At this level, strategy is not concerned with the details of SBU competitive strategy or the directions and methods the businesses might take to achieve competitive advantage Rather, the concern here is overall strategic direction.

Corporate goals and objectives flow from the mission and growth ambition of the corporation. Basically, they represent the quantum of growth the firm seeks to achieve in the given time frame. They also endow the firm with characteristics that ensure the projected growth. Through the objective setting process, the firm is tackling the environment and deciding the focus it should have in the environment. The objective provides the basis for major decisions of the firm and also help the organisational performance to be realized at each level. The managerial purpose of setting objectives is to convert the strategic vision into specific performance targets – basically the results and outcomes the management wants to achieve - and then use these objectives as yardsticks for tracking the company's progress and performance.

Ideally, managers ought to use the objective-setting exercise as a tool for truly stretching an organisation to reach its full potential. Challenging company personnel to go all out and deliver big gains in performance pushes an enterprise to be more inventive, to exhibit some urgency in improving both its financial performance and its business position, and to be more intentional and focused inits actions.
Objectives are needed at all organisational levels. Objective setting should not stop with top management's establishing of companywide performance targets. Company objectives need to be broken down into performance targets for each separate business, product line, functional department, and individual work unit. Company performance can't reach full potential unless each area of the organisation does its part and contributes directly to the desired companywideoutcomes and results. This means setting performance targets for each organisation unit that support-rather than conflict with or negate-the achievementof companywide strategic and financial objectives.

Stage 2: Environmental and Organisational Analysis

This stage is the diagnostic phase of strategic analysis. It entails two types of analysis:

1. Environmental scanning
2. Organisational analysis

The external environment of a firm consists of economic, social, technological, market and other forces which affect its functioning. The firm's external environment is dynamic and uncertain. So, the management must systematically be analysed various elements of environment to determine opportunities and threats for the firm in future.

Organisational analysis involved a review of financial resources, technological resources, productive capacity, marketing and distribution effectiveness, research and development, human resource skills and so on. This would reveal organisational strengths and weaknesses which could be matched with the threats and opportunities in the external environment. This would provide us a frameworkfor SWOT analysis (Strength, Weakness, Opportunity and Threat) which could be in the form of a table highlighting various strengths and weaknesses of the firm and opportunities and threats which the environment we create for the firm.

Stage 3: Formulating Strategy

The first step in strategy formulation is developing strategic alternatives in the lightof organisation strengths and weaknesses, and opportunities and threats in theenvironment. The second step is the deep analysis of various strategic alternatives for the purpose of choosing the most appropriate alternative which will serve asthe strategy of the firm.

A company may be confronted with several alternatives such as:

i. Should the company continue in the same business carrying on the same volume of activities?

ii. If it should continue in the same business, should it grow by expanding the existing units or by establishing new units or by acquiring other units in the industry?

iii. If it should diversify, should it diversify into related areas or unrelated areas?

iv. Should it get out of an existing business fully or partially?

The above strategic alternatives may be designated as stability strategy, growth/expansion strategy and retrenchment strategy. A company may also followa combination of these alternatives called combination strategy.

Stage 4: Implementation of Strategy

Implementation and execution are an operations-oriented activity aimed atshaping the performance of core business activities in a strategy-supportive manner. It is the most demanding and time-consuming part of the strategy- management process. To convert strategic plans into actions and results, a manager must be able to direct organisational change, motivate people, build and strengthen company competencies and competitive capabilities, create a strategy- supportive work climate, and meet or beat performance targets.

In most situations, strategy-execution process includes the following principal aspects:

- Developing budgets that steer ample resources into those activities critical to strategic success.

- Staffing the organisation with the needed skills and expertise, consciouslybuilding and strengthening

strategy-supportive competencies and competitive capabilities and organising the work effort.

- Ensuring that policies and operating procedures facilitate rather than impede effective execution.
- Using the best-known practices to perform core business activities and pushing for continuous improvement.
- Installing information and operating systems that enable company personnelto better carry out their strategic roles day in and day out.
- Motivating people to pursue the target objectives energetically.
- Creating a company culture and work climate conducive to successful strategy implementation and execution.
- Exerting the internal leadership needed to drive implementation forward and keep improving strategy execution. When the organisation encountersstumbling blocks or weaknesses, management has to see that they are addressed and rectified quickly.

Good strategy execution involves creating strong "fits" between strategy and organisational capabilities, between strategy and the reward structure, between strategy and internal operating systems, and between strategy and the organisation's work climate and culture.

Stage 5: Strategic Evaluation and Control

The final stage of strategic management process – evaluating the company's progress, assessing the impact of new external developments, and making corrective adjustments – is the trigger point for deciding whether to continue or change the company's vision, objectives, strategy, and/or strategy-executionmethods. So long as the company's direction and strategy seem well matched to industry and competitive conditions and performance targets are being met, company executives may decide to stay the course. Simply fine-tuning the strategic plan and continuing with ongoing efforts to improve strategy execution are sufficient.
But whenever a company encounters disruptive changes in its external environment, questions need to be raised about the appropriateness of its direction and strategy. If a company experiences a downturn in its market position or shortfalls in performance, then company managers are obligated to ferret out whether the causes relate to poor strategy, poor execution, or both and then to take timely corrective action. A company's direction, objectives, and strategy have to be revisited anytime external or internal conditions warrant. It is to be expected that a company will modify its strategic vision, direction, objectives, and strategyover time.

Proficient strategy execution is always the product of much organisational learning. It is achieved unevenly – coming quickly in some areas and proving nettlesome and problematic in others. Periodically assessing what aspects of strategy execution are working well and what needs improving is normal and desirable. Successful strategy execution entails vigilantly searching for ways or continuously improve and then making corrective adjustments whenever and wherever it is useful to do so.

STRATEGY FORMULATION



Corporate Strategy

Planning entails choosing what has to be done in the future (today, next week, next month, next year, over the next couple of years, etc.) and creating action plans. An essential element of effective management is adequate planning. Choosing a pathof action to achieve defined goals is a part of planning.

The game plan that really directs the company towards success is called "corporate strategy". Planning may be operational or strategic. Senior management develops strategic plans for the entire organisation after evaluating the organization's strengths and weaknesses in light of potential possibilities and dangers in the outside world. They involve gathering and allocating resources in order to achieve organisational goals. But operational plans on the other hand are made at the middle and lower-level management. They provide specifics on how the resources are to be used effectively to achieve the goals.

Strategic Planning: The game plan that really directs the company towards success is called "corporate strategy". The success of the company depends on how wellthis game plan works. Because of this, the core of the process of strategic planning is the formation of corporate strategy. The formation of corporate strategy is the result of a process known as strategic planning.

- Strategic planning is the process of determining the objectives of the firm, resources required to attain these objectives and formulation of policies to govern the acquisition, use and disposition of resources.
- Strategic planning involves a fact of interactive and overlapping decisionsleading to the development of an effective strategy for the firm.
- Strategic planning determines where an organisation is going over the nextyear or more and the ways for going there.
- The process is organisation-wide or focused on a major function such as adivision or other major function.

Strategic uncertainty and how to deal with it?

Strategic uncertainty refers to the unpredictability and unpredictability of future events and circumstances that can impact an organization's strategy and goals. It can be driven by factors such as changes in the market, technology, competition, regulation, and other external factors. Dealing with strategic uncertainty can be challenging and organizations need to have the flexibility, resilience, and agility to quickly respond to changes in the environment and minimize its impact. To be manageable, they need to be grouped into logical clusters or themes. It is thenuseful to assess the importance of each cluster in order to set priorities with respect to Information gathering and analysis.

- **Flexibility**: Organizations can build flexibility into their strategies to quickly adapt to changes in the environment.
- **Diversification**: Diversifying the organization's product portfolio, markets, and customer base can reduce the impact of strategic uncertainty.
- **Monitoring and Scenario Planning**: Organizations can regularly monitor key indicators of change and conduct scenario planning to understand how different future scenarios might impact their strategies.
- **Building Resilience**: Organizations can invest in building internal resilience, such as strengthening their operational processes, increasing their financial flexibility, and improving their risk management capabilities.
- **Collaboration and Partnerships**: Collaborating with other organizations, suppliers, customers, and partners can help organizations pool resources, share risk, and gain access to new markets and technologies.

Impact of uncertainty: Each element of strategic uncertainty involves potentialtrends or events that could have an impact on present, proposed, and even potential businesses., a trend toward natural foods may present opportunities for juices for a firm producing aerated drinks on the basis of a strategic uncertainty.The impact of a strategic uncertainty will depend on the importance of the impacted SBU to a firm. Some SBUs are more important than others. The importance of established SBUs may be indicated by their associated sales, profits,or costs. However, such measures might need to be supplemented for potential growth as present sales, profits, or costs may not reflect the true value.

STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION

Strategy implementation concerns the managerial exercise of putting a freshly chosen strategy into action. It deals with the managerial exercise of supervising the ongoing pursuit of strategy, making it work, improving the competence with which it is executed and showing measurable progress in achieving the targeted results. Strategic implementation is concerned with translating a strategic decision into action, which presupposes that the decision itself (i.e., the strategic choice) wasmade with some thought being given to feasibility and acceptability. The allocationof resources to new courses of action will need to be undertaken, and there maybe a need for adapting the organization's structure to handle new activities as wellas training personnel and devising appropriate systems.

Relationship with strategy formulation

Many managers fail to distinguish between strategy formulation and strategy implementation. Yet, it is crucial to realize the difference between the two because they both require very different skills. Also, a company will be successful only when the strategy formulation is sound and implementation is excellent. There is no such thing as successful strategic design. This sounds obvious, but in practice the distinction is not always made. Often people, blame the strategy model for the failure of a company while the main flaw might lie in failed implementation. Thus, organizational success is a function of good strategy and proper implementation. The matrix in the figure below represents various combinations of strategy formulation and implementation:

Strategy formulation and implementation matrix

The above-mentioned figure depicts the distinction between sound/flawed strategy formulation and excellent/ weak strategy implementation.

- **Square A** is the situation where a company apparently has formulated a very competitive strategy but is showing difficulties in implementing it successfully. This can be due to various factors, such as the lack of experience (e.g. for startups), the lack of resources, missing leadership and so on. In such a

situation the company will aim at moving from square A to square B, given they realize their implementation difficulties.

- **Square B** is the ideal situation where a company has succeeded in designing a sound and competitive strategy and has been successfulin implementing it.

- **Square D** is the situation where the strategy formulation is flawed, but the companyis showing excellent implementation skills. When a company finds itself in squareD the first thing, they have to do is to redesign their strategy before readjustingtheir implementation/execution skills.

- **Square C** is denotes for companies that haven't succeeded in coming up with a sound strategy formulation and in addition are bad at implementing their flawed strategic model. Their path to success also goes through business model redesign and implementation/execution readjustment.

Taken together all the elements of business strategy, it is to be seen as a chosenset of actions by means of which a market position relative to the competingenterprises is sought and maintained. This gives us the notion of competitive position.

It needs to be emphasized that 'strategy' is not synonymous with 'long-term plan'but rather consists of an enterprise's attempts to reach some preferred future state by adapting its competitive position as circumstances change. While a series of strategic moves may be planned, competitors' actions will mean that the actual moves will have to be modified to take account of those actions.

In contrast to this view of strategy there is another approach to management practice, which has been followed in many organizations. In organizations that lack strategic direction there has been a tendency to look inwards in times of stress, and for management to devote their attention to cost cutting and to shedding unprofitable divisions. In other words, the focus has been on efficiency (i.e., the relationship between inputs and outputs, usually with a short time horizon) rather than on effectiveness (which is concerned with the attainment of organisationalgoals - including that of desired competitive position). While efficiency is essentially introspective, effectiveness highlights the links between the organization and its environment.

Principal combinations of efficiency and effectiveness

The responsibility for efficiency lies with operational managers, with top management having the primary responsibility for the strategic orientation ofthe organization.

An organization that finds itself in cell 1 is well placed and thrives, since it isachieving what it aspires to achieve with an efficient output/input ratio. In contrast, an organization in cell 2 or 4 is doomed, unless it can establish some strategic direction. The particular point to note is that cell 2 is a worse place to be than is cell 3 since, in the latter, the strategic direction is present to ensure effectiveness even if rather too much input is being used to generate outputs. To be effective isto survive whereas to be efficient is not in itself either necessary or sufficient for survival.

In crude terms, to be effective is to do the right thing, while to be efficient isto do the thing right. An emphasis on efficiency rather than on effectiveness is clearly wrong. But who determines effectiveness? Any organization can beportrayed as a coalition of diverse interest groups each of which participates in the coalition in order to secure some advantage. This advantage (or inducement) may be in the form of dividends to shareholders, wages to employees, continued business to suppliers of goods and services, satisfaction on the part of consumers, legal compliance from the viewpoint of government, responsible behaviourtowards society and the environment from the perspective of pressure groups, andso on.
Even the most technically perfect strategic plan will serve little purpose if it is not implemented effectively. Many organizations tend to spend an inordinate amountof time, money, and effort on developing the strategic plan, treating the means and circumstances under which it will be implemented as afterthoughts. Change comes through implementation and evaluation, not through the plan. A technically imperfect plan that is implemented well will achieve more than the perfect plan that never gets off the paper on which it is typed.

Successful strategy formulation does not guarantee successful strategy implementation. It is always more difficult to do something (strategyimplementation) than to say you are going to do it (strategy formulation).

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN STRATEGY FORMULATION AND IMPLEMENTATION

Strategy Formulation	Strategy Implementation
Strategy Formulation includes planning and decision-makinginvolved in developing organization's strategic goals and plans.	Strategy Implementation involves all those means related to executing the strategic plans.
In short,Strategy Formulation is **placing the Forces before the action**.	In short, Strategy Implementationis **managing forces during the action**.
An **Entrepreneurial Activity** based on strategic decision-making.	An **Administrative Task** based on strategic and operational decisions.

Emphasizes on **effectiveness**.	Emphasizes on **efficiency**.
Primarily an **intellectual and rational process**.	Primarily an **operational process**.
Requires co-ordination among fewindividuals at the top level.	Requires co-ordination among many individuals at the middle and lower levels.
Requires a great deal of **initiative, logical skills, conceptual intuitive and analytical skills.**	Requires specific **motivational and leadership traits**.
Strategic Formulation precedes Strategy Implementation.	Strategy Implementation follows Strategy Formulation.

Strategy formulation concepts and tools do not differ greatly for small, large, for- profit, or non-profit organizations. However, strategy implementation varies substantially among different types and sizes of organizations. Implementation of strategies requires such actions as altering sales territories, adding new departments, closing facilities, hiring new employees, changing an organization's pricing strategy, developing financial budgets, developing new employee benefits, establishing cost-control procedures, changing advertising strategies, building new facilities, training new employees, transferring managers among divisions, and building a better management information system. These types of activities obviously differ greatly among manufacturing, service, and governmental organizations.

It is to be noted that the division of strategic management into different phases is only for the purpose of orderly study. In real life, the formulation and implementation processes are intertwined. Two types of linkages exist between these two phases of strategic management. The forward linkages deal with the impact of strategy formulation on strategy implementation while the backward linkages are concerned with the impact in the opposite direction.

LINKAGES AND ISSUES IN STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION

LINKAGES

Noteworthy is the fact that while strategy formulation is primarily an entrepreneurial activity, based on strategic decision-making, the implementationof strategy is mainly an administrative task based on strategic as well as operational decision-making.

- **Forward Linkages**: The different elements in strategy formulation startingwith objective setting through environmental and organizational appraisal,strategic alternatives and choice to the strategic plan determine the coursethat an organization adopts for itself. With the formulation of new strategies, or reformulation of existing strategies, many changes have to be affected within the organization. For instance, the organizational structure has to undergo a change in the light of the requirements of the modified or new strategy. The style of leadership has to be adapted to the

needs of the modified or new strategies. In this way, the formulation of strategies hasforward linkages with their implementation.

- **Backward Linkages**: Just as implementation is determined by the formulation of strategies, the formulation process is also affected by factors related with implementation. While dealing with strategic choice, remember that past strategic actions also determine the choice of strategy. Organizations tend to adopt those strategies which can be implemented with the help of the present structure of resources combined with some additional efforts. Such incremental changes, over a period of time, take the organization from where it is to where it wishes to be.

ISSUES IN STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION

This section focuses on the various issues involved in the implementation of strategies. The different issues involved in strategy implementation cover practically everything that is included in the discipline of management studies. A strategist, therefore, has to bring a wide range of knowledge, skills, attitudes, and abilities. The implementation tasks put to test the strategists' abilities to allocate resources, design organisational structure, formulate functional policies, and to provide strategic leadership.

- The strategic plan devised by the organization proposes the manner in which the strategies could be put into action. Strategies, by themselves, do not leadto action. They are, in a sense, a statement of intent. Implementation tasksare meant to realise the intent. Strategies, therefore, have to be activated through implementation.

- Strategies should lead to formulation of different kinds of programmes. A programme is a broad term, which includes goals, policies, procedures, rules, and steps to be taken in putting a plan into action. Programmes are usually supported by funds allocated for plan implementation.

- Programmes lead to the formulation of projects. A project is a highly specific programme for which the time schedule and costs are predetermined. It requires allocation of funds based on capital budgeting by organizations. Thus, research and development programme may consist of several projects, each of which is intended to achieve a specific and limited objective, requires separate allocation of funds, and is to be completed within a set time schedule.

Implementation of strategies is not limited to formulation of plans, programmes,and projects. Projects would also require resources. After resources have been provided, it would be essential to see that a proper organizational structure isdesigned, systems are installed, functional policies are devised, and various behavioural inputs are provided so that plans may work.

Given below in sequential manner the issues in strategy implementation which areto be considered:

- Project implementation
- Procedural implementation
- Resource allocation

- Structural implementation
- Functional implementation
- Behavioural implementation

It should be noted that the sequence does not mean that each of the above activities are necessarily performed one after another. Many activities can be performed simultaneously, certain other activities may be repeated over time; and there are activities, which are performed only once. Thus, there can be overlapping and changes in the order in which these activities are performed.

In all but the smallest organizations, the transition from strategy formulation to strategy implementation requires a shift in responsibility from strategists to divisional and functional managers. Implementation problems can arise because of this shift in responsibility, especially if strategic decisions come as a surprise to middle and lower-level managers. Managers and employees are motivated moreby perceived self-interests than by organizational interests, unless the two coincide. Therefore, it is essential that divisional and functional managers be involved asmuch as possible in the strategy-formulation process. similarly, strategists should also be involved as much as possible in strategy-implementation activities.

Management issues central to strategy implementation include establishing annual objectives, devising policies, allocating resources, altering an existing organizational structure, restructuring and reengineering, revising reward and incentive plans, minimizing resistance to change, developing a strategy-supportive culture, adapting production/operations processes, developing an effective human resource system and, if necessary, downsizing. Management changes are necessarily more extensive when strategies to be implemented move a firm in a new direction.

Managers and employees throughout an organization should participate early and directly in strategy-implementation activities. Their role in strategy implementation should build upon prior involvement in strategy-formulation activities. Strategists' genuine personal commitment to implementation is a necessary and powerful motivational force for managers and employees. Too often, strategists are too busy to actively support strategy-implementation efforts, and their lack of interest canbe detrimental to organizational success. The rationale for objectives and strategies should be understood clearly throughout the organization. Major competitors' accomplishments, products, plans, actions, and performance should be apparent toall organizational members. Major external opportunities and threats should beclear, and managers and employees' questions should be answered satisfactorily. Top-down flow of communication is essential for developing bottom-up support.

Firms need to develop a competitor focus on all hierarchical levels by gathering and widely distributing competitive intelligence; every employee should be able to benchmark her or his efforts against best-in-class competitors so that the challenge becomes personal. This is a challenge for strategists of the firm. Firms should provide training for both managers and employees to ensure that they have and maintain the skills necessary to be world-class performers.

STRATEGIC CHANGE THROUGH DIGITALTRANSFORMATION

Organizations are being pushed harder than ever to shift digitally in order to stay competitive. Digital transformation, however, may be a difficult and complicated process. To guarantee that projects for digital transformation are effective, change management is crucial. We will now examine change management's function in the digital transformation.

STRATEGIC CHANGE

The changes in the environmental forces often require businesses to make modifications in their existing strategies and bring out new strategies. Strategic change is a complex process that involves a corporate strategy focused on new markets, products, services and new ways of doing business.

Steps to initiate strategic change: For initiating strategic change, three steps can be identified as under:

(i) **Recognize the need for change:** The first step is to diagnose which facets of the present corporate culture are strategy supportive and which are not. This basically means going for environmental scanning involving appraisal of both internal and external capabilities may be through SWOT analysis and then determining where the lacuna lies and scope for change exists.

(ii) **Create a shared vision to manage change:** Objectives of both individuals and organization should coincide. There should be no conflict between them. This is possible only if the management and the organization members followa shared vision. Senior managers need to constantly and consistently communicate the vision to all the organizational members. They have to convince all those concerned that the change in business culture is not superficial or cosmetic. The actions taken have to be credible, highly visible and unmistakably indicative of management's seriousness to new strategic initiatives and associated changes.

(iii) **Institutionalise the change:** This is basically an action stage which requires implementation of changed strategy. Creating and sustaining a different attitude towards change is essential to ensure that the firm does not slip back into old ways of thinking or doing things. Capacity for self-renewal should bea fundamental anchor of the new culture of the firm. Besides, change process must be regularly monitored and reviewed to analyse the after-effects of change. Any discrepancy or deviation should be brought to the notice of persons concerned so that the necessary corrective actions are taken. It takes time for the changed culture to prevail.

Kurt Lewin's Model of Change: To make the change lasting, Kurt Lewin proposed three phases of the change process for moving the organization from the presentto the future. These stages are unfreezing, changing and refreezing.

(a) **Unfreezing the situation:** The process of unfreezing simply makes the individuals aware of the necessity for change and prepares them for such a change. Lewin proposes that the changes should not come as a surprise to the members of the organization. Sudden and unannounced change would be socially

destructive and morale lowering. The management must pave the way for the change by first "unfreezing the situation", so that members would be willing and ready to accept the change.

Unfreezing is the process of breaking down the old attitudes and behaviours, customs and traditions so that they start with a clean slate. This can be achieved by making announcements, holding meetings and promoting the new ideas throughout the organization.

(b) **Changing to the new situation:** Once the unfreezing process has been completed and the members of the organization recognise the need for change and have been fully prepared to accept such change, their behaviour patterns need to be redefined. H.C. Kellman has proposed three methods for reassigning new patterns of behaviour. These are compliance, identificationand internalization.

- **Compliance:** It is achieved by strictly enforcing the reward and punishment strategy for good or bad behaviour. Fear of punishment, actual punishment or actual reward seems to change behaviour for the better.
- **Identification:** Identification occurs when members are psychologically impressed upon to identify themselves with some given role models whose behaviour they would like to adopt and try to become like them.
- **Internalization:** Internalization involves some internal changing of the individual's thought processes in order to adjust to the changes introduced. They have given freedom to learn and adopt new behaviour in order to succeed in the new set of circumstances.

(c) **Refreezing:** Refreezing occurs when the new behaviour becomes a normal way of life. The new behaviour must replace the former behaviour completely for successful and permanent change to take place. In order for the newbehaviour to become permanent, it must be continuously reinforced so that this new acquired behaviour does not diminish or extinguish.

Change process is not a one-time application but a continuous process dueto dynamism and ever changing environment. The process of unfreezing, changing and refreezing is a cyclical one and remains continuously in action.

HOW DOES DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION WORK

The use of digital technologies to develop fresh, improved, or entirely new company procedures, goods, or services is known as "digital transformation." It's a fundamental adjustment that can be challenging to identify and even more challenging to implement.

Change management enters into the picture here. Organizations can plan, prepare for, and carry out changes to their operations, including digital transformations, with the aid of the discipline of change management. When implemented correctly, change management may assist firms in overcoming the obstacles posed by the digital transition and reaping the full rewards of their investment.

But how does change management appear when applied to digital transformation? Change management in the digital transition consists of four essential elements:

1. Defining the goals and objectives of the transformation
2. Assessing the current state of the organization and identifying gaps
3. Creating a roadmap for change that outlines the steps needed to reach thedesired state
4. Implementing and managing the change at every level of the organization

To navigate a digital transformation successfully, each of these elements is necessary. But what matters most is how they collaborate to support organisations in achieving their goals.

How does change management work?

Change management is a process or set of tools and best practices used to manage changes in an organization. It assists in making changes in a safe and regulated manner, reducing the possibility of detrimental effects on the company. Any sort of organisation, including enterprises, organisations, governmental bodies, and even families, can utilise change management to manage changes.

Change management models and methods come in a wide variety, but they all have key things in common. These include creating a clear vision for the change, involving stakeholders in the process, coming up with a plan for putting the change into action, and keeping an eye on the results. Although change management is frequently viewed as a difficult and complicated process, it is vital for ensuring that digital transformation projects are successful.

The role of change management in digital transformation

Digital transformation is a process of organizational change that enables an organization to use technology to create new value for customers, employees, and other stakeholders. A good change management strategy is necessary for a successful digital transformation.

Change management is the process of planning, implementing, and monitoring changes in an organization. It provides organizations in achieving their objectives while reducing risks and disruptions. For any organisation undergoing a digital transition, change management is crucial.

A properly implemented change management strategy can help an organization to:

- Specify the parameters and goals of the digital transformation
- Determine which procedures and tools need to be modified.
- Make a plan for implementing the improvements.
- Involve staff members and parties involved in the transformation process.
- Track progress and make required course corrections

A crucial component of any digital transition is change management. Why it gains more importance in the current times is because organizations can improve their chances of success by approaching change in a proactive and organized manner.

CHANGE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION

One of the most important area of focus for guaranteeing a successful transformation is change management. Businesses nowadays increasingly find themselves responsible for managing more than simply their staff, clients, and products. Additionally, they are handling the introduction of new technology, the unexpected emergence of new market opportunities, and changes in customer preferences regarding the brands they choose, interact with, and hold to. In essence, modern firms must be able to manage change. They must modify their management techniques in order to achieve this. **The five best practices for managing change in small and medium-sized businesses are:**

1. **Begin at the top**: A focused, invested, united leadership that is on the same page about the company's future is reflected in change that begins at the top. The culture that will motivate the rest of the organisation to accept change can only be generated and promoted in this way.

2. **Ensure that the change is both necessary and desired**: The fact that decision-makers are unaware of how to properly handle a digital transformation and the effects it will have on their firm is one of the main causes of this. If a corporation doesn't have a sound strategy in place, introducing too much too fast can frequently become a major issue down the road.

3. **Reduce disruption**: Employee perceptions of what is required or desirable change can differ by department, rank, or performance history. It's crucial to lessen how changes affect staff. The introduction of new tactics or technologies intended to improve management and corporate operations causes employee concern about change. It is possible to reduce workplace disruption by:
   a. Getting the word out early and preparing for some interruption.
   b. Giving staff members the knowledge and tools, they need to adjust tochange.
   c. Creating an environment that encourages transformation or change.
   d. Empowering change agents to provide context and clarity for changes,such as project managers or team leaders.
   e. Ensuring that IT department is informed of changes in technology orinfrastructure and is prepared to support them.

4. **Encourage communication**: Create channels so that workers may contact you with queries or complaints. Encourage departmental collaboration to propagate ideas and innovations as new procedures take root. Communication promotes efficiency and has the power to influence culture, just like your vision. The people who will be affected the most by these changes are reassured that they are not in danger through effective communication, which keeps everyone on the same page.

5. **Recognize that change is the norm, not the exception**: Change readiness may be defined as "the ability to continuously initiate and respond to changein ways that create advantage, minimize risk, and sustain performance." In order to keep up with the customers, businesses must also adapt their

operations. They must prepare for change in advance and expect them. It may run into difficulties because change is not a project but rather an ongoing process.

HOW TO MANAGE CHANGE DURING DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION

Any organisation may find the work of digital transformation challenging and overwhelming. To ensure that a digital transition is effective, change managementis essential. Here are some pointers for navigating change during the digital transformation:

1. **Specify the digital transformation's aims and objectives**: What is the intended outcome? What are the precise objectives that must be accomplished? It will be easier to make sure that everyone is on the same pageand pursuing the same aims if everyone has a clear grasp of the goals.

2. **Always, always, always communicate**: It might be challenging for people to accept change and adjust to it. Ensure that you routinely and honestly discuss the objectives of the digital transformation and how they will affect stakeholders, including employees, clients, and other parties.

3. **Be ready for resistance**: Even when a change is for the better, it can be challenging for people to embrace it. Have a strategy in place for dealing with any resistance that may arise.

4. **Implement changes gradually**: Changes should ideally be implemented gradually rather than all at once. In order to avoid overwhelming individuals with too much change at once, this will give people time to become used to the new way of doing things.

5. **Offer assistance and training**: Workers will need guidance in the new procedures, software applications, etc.

In conclusion, effective completion of the massive project known as digital transformation depends on meticulous planning and change management. Digital transformation efforts are more likely to fail without change management. Organizations can successfully integrate a new digital system by planning for and managing the changes that must take place. Any project involving digital transformation must include it.

ORGRANISATIONAL FRAMEWORK

The McKinsey 7S Model refers to a tool that analyzes a company's "organizational design." The goal of the model is to depict how effectiveness can be achieved in an organization through the interactions of hard and soft elements. The McKinsey 7s Model focuses on how the "Soft Ss" and "Hard Ss" elements are interrelated, suggesting that modifying one aspect might have a ripple effect on the other elements in order to maintain an effective balance.



Hard elements are:

Strategy: What steps does the company intend to take to address current and futures challenges?

Structure: How is work divided, how do different departments work and collaborate?

Systems: Which formal and informal processes is the company's structure based on?

Soft elements are:

Shared Values: What is the idea the organization subscribes to? Is this idea communicated credibly to others?

Staff: This elements refers to employees development and relevant processes, performances and feedback programs etc.

Skill: What is the company's base of skills and competencies?

Style: This depicts the leadership style and how it influences the strategic decisions of the organization.

The Hard elements are directly controlled by the management. The following elements are the hard elements in an organization.

- **Strategy**: the direction of the organization, a blueprint to build on a core competency and achieve competitive advantage to drive margins and lead the industry
- **Structure**: depending on the availability of resources and the degree of centralisation or decentralization that the management desires, it choses from the available alternatives of organizational structures.
- **Systems**: the development of daily tasks, operations and teams to executethe goals and objectives in the most efficient and effective manner.

The Soft elements are difficult to define as they are more governed by the culture.But these soft elements are equally important in determining an organization'ssuccess as well as growth in the industry. The following are the soft elements in this model;

- **Shared Values**: The core values which get reflected within the organizational culture or influence the code of ethics of the management.
- **Style**: This depicts the leadership style and how it influences the strategic decisions of the organisation. It also revolves around people motivation and organizational delivery of goals.
- **Staff**: The talent pool of the organisation.
- **Skills**: The core competencies or the key skills of the employees play a vital role in defining the organizational success.

But like any other strategic model, this model has its limitations as well;

- It ignores the importance of the external environment and depicts only themost crucial elements within the organization.
- The model does not clearly explain the concept of organizational effectivnessor performance.
- The model is considered to be more static and less flexible for deicion making.

It is generally criticized for missing out the reals gaps in conceptualizationand execution of strategy.

ORGANISATION STRUCTURE

The ideal organizational structure is a place where ideas filter up as well as down, where the merit of ideas carries more weight than their source, and where participation and shared objectives are valued more than executive order. ***– Edson Spencer***

Changes in corporate strategy often require changes in the way an organization is structured for two major reasons. First, structure largely dictates how operational objectives and policies will be established to achieve the strategic objectives. **For example**, *objectives and policies established under a geographic organizational structure are couched in geographic terms.* Objectives and policies are stated largely in terms of products in an organization whose structure is based on product groups. The structural format for developing objectives and policies can significantly impact all other strategy-implementation activities.

The second major reason why changes in strategy often require changes in structure is that structure dictates how resources will be allocated to achieve strategic objectives. If an organization's structure is based on customer groups, then resources will be allocated in that manner. Similarly, if an organization's structure is set up along functional business lines, then resources are allocated by functional areas.

According to Chandler, changes in strategy lead to changes in organizational structure. Structure should be designed or redesigned to facilitate the strategicpursuit of a firm and, therefore, structure should follow strategy. Chandler found a particular structure sequence to be often repeated as organizations grow and change strategy over time. There is no one optimal organizational design or structure for a given strategy.

What is appropriate for one organization may not be appropriate for a similar firm, although successful firms in a given industry do tendto organize themselves in a similar way. **For example**, *consumer goods companies tend to emulate the divisional structure-by-product form of organization. Small firms tend to be functionally structured (centralized). Medium-size firms tend to be divisionally structured (decentralized). Large firms tend to use an SBU (strategic business unit) or matrix structure.* As organizations grow, their structures generally change from simple to complex because of linking together of several basic strategies.

Every firm is influenced by numerous external and internal forces. But no firm can change its structure in response to each of these forces, because to do so wouldlead to chaos. However, when a firm changes its strategy, the existing organizational structure may become ineffective. Symptoms of an ineffective organizational structure include too many levels of management, too many meetings attended by too many

people, too much attention being directed toward solving interdepartmental conflicts, too large a span of control, and too many unachieved objectives. Changes in organisational structure can facilitate strategy-implementation efforts, but changes in structure should not be expected to makea bad strategy good, to make bad managers good, or to make bad products sell.

Structure can also influence strategy. If a proposed strategy required massive structural changes, it would not be an attractive choice. In this way, structure can shape the choice of strategy. But a more important concern is determining what types of structural changes are needed to implement new strategies and how these changes can best be accomplished. We will examine this issue by focusing on the following basic types of organizational structure: functional, divisional by geographic area, divisional by product, divisional by customer, divisional process, strategic business unit (SBU), and matrix.

In order to implement and manage strategies that have been formulated, all companies need some form of organizational structure. And, as companies formulate new strategies, increase in size, or change their level of diversification, new organizational structures may be required.



Figure: Chandler's Strategy-Structure Relationship

Types of Organization Structure

Organizational structure is the company's formal configuration of its intended roles, procedures, governance mechanisms, authority, and decision-making processes. Organizational structure, influenced by factors such as an organization's age and size, acts as a framework which reflects managers' determination of whata company does and how tasks are completed, given the chosen strategy. The most important issue is that the company's structure must be congruent with or fit with the company's strategy.

A. Simple Structure

Simple organizational structure is most appropriate for companies that follow a single-business strategy and offer a line of products in a single geographic market. The simple structure also is appropriate for companies implementing focused cost leadership or focused differentiation strategies. A simple structure is an organizational form in which the owner-manager makes all major decisions directly and monitors all activities, while the company's staff merely serves as an executor.

Little specialization of tasks, few rules, little formalization, unsophisticated information systems and direct involvement of owner-manager in all phases of day- to-day operations characterise the simple structure. In the simple structure, communication is frequent and direct, and new products tend to be introduced to the market quickly, which can result in a competitive advantage. Because of these characteristics, few of the coordination problems that are common in larger organizations exist.

A simple organizational structure may result in competitive advantages for some small companies relative to their larger counterparts. These potential competitive advantages include a broad-based openness to innovation, greater structural flexibility, and an ability to respond more rapidly to environmental changes. However, if they are successful, small companies grow larger. As a result of this growth, the company outgrows the simple structure. Generally, there are significant increases in the amount of competitively relevant information that requires processing. More extensive and complicated information-processing requirements place significant pressures on owner-managers (often due to a lack of organizational skills or experience or simply due to lack of time).

Thus, it is incumbent on the company's managers to recognise the inadequacies or inefficiencies of the simple structure and change it to one that is more consistent with company's strategy.

To coordinate more complex organizational functions, companies should abandon the simple structure in favour of the functional structure. The functional structureis used by larger companies and by companies with low levels of diversification.

B. Functional Structure

A widely used structure in business organisations is functional type because of its simplicity and low cost. A functional structure groups tasks and activities by business function, such as production/operations, marketing, finance/accounting, research and development, and management information systems. Besides being simple and inexpensive, a functional structure also promotes specialization of labour, encourages efficiency, minimizes the need for an elaborate control system, and allows rapid decision making.

The functional structure consists of a chief executive officer or a managing director and supported by corporate staff with functional line managers in dominant functions such as production, financial accounting, marketing, R&D, engineering, and human resources. The functional structure enables the company to overcomethe growth-related constraints of the simple structure, enabling or facilitating communication and coordination.



Figure: Functional Structure

However, compared to the simple structure, there also are some potential problems. Differences in functional specialization and orientation may impede communications and coordination. Thus, the chief executive officer must integrate functional decision-making and coordinate actions of the overall business across functions. Functional specialists often may develop a myopic (or narrow) perspective, losing sight of the company's strategic vision and mission. When this happens, this problem can be overcome by implementing the multidivisional structure.

C. Divisional Structure

As a firm, grows year after year it faces difficulty in managing different productsand services in different markets. Some form of divisional structure generally becomes necessary to motivate employees, control operations, and compete successfully in diverse locations. The divisional structure can be organized in one of the four ways: ***by geographic area, by product or service, by customer, or by process.*** With a divisional structure, functional activities are performed both centrally and in each division separately.



Figure: Divisional Structure

A divisional structure has some clear advantages. First and the foremost, accountability is clear. That is, divisional managers can be held responsible for sales and profit levels. Because a divisional structure is based on extensive delegation of authority, managers and employees can easily see the results of their good or bad performances. As a result, employee morale is generally higher in a divisional structure than it is in centralized structure. Other advantages of the divisional design are that it creates career development opportunities for managers, allows local control of local situations, leads to a competitive climate within an organization, and allows new businesses and products in be added easily.

The divisional design is not without some limitations. Perhaps the most important limitation is that a divisional structure is costly, for a number of reasons. First, each division requires functional specialists who must be paid. Second, there exists some duplication of staff services, facilities, and personnel; for instance, functional specialists are also needed centrally (at headquarters) to coordinate divisional activities. Third, managers must be well qualified because the divisional design forces delegation of authority better-qualified individuals requires higher salaries.A divisional structure can also be costly because it requires an elaborate, headquarters-driven control system. Finally, certain regions, products, or customers may sometimes receive special treatment, and It may be difficult to maintain consistent, companywide practices. Nonetheless, for most large organizations and many small firms, the advantages of a divisional structure more than offset the potential limitations.

A divisional structure by geographic area is appropriate for organizations whose strategies are formulated to fit the particular needs and characteristics of customers in different geographic areas. This type of structure can be most appropriate for organizations that have similar branch facilities located in widely dispersed areas.A divisional structure by geographic area allows local participation in decision making and improved coordination within a region.

The divisional structure by product (or services) is most effective for implementing strategies when specific products or services need special emphasis. Also, this typeof structure is widely used when an organization offers only a few products or services, when an organization's products or services differ substantially. The divisional structure allows strict control over and attention to product lines, but it may also require a more skilled management force and reduced top management control. **For example,** *General Motors, DuPont, and Procter & Gamble use a divisional structure by product to implement strategies.*

When a few major customers are of paramount importance and many different services are provided to these customers, then a divisional structure by customercan be the most effective way to implement strategies. This structure allows an organization to cater effectively to the requirements of clearly defined customer groups. **For example**, *book-publishing companies often organize their activities around customer groups such as colleges, secondary schools, and private commercial schools. Some airline companies have two major customer divisions: passengers and freight or cargo services. Bulks are often organised in divisions such as personal banking corporate banking, etc.*

A divisional structure by process is similar to a functional structure, because activities are organized according to the way work is actually performed. However, a key difference between these two designs is that functional departments are not accountable for profits or revenues, whereas divisional process departments are evaluated on these criteria.

D. Multi Divisional Structure

Multidivisional (M-form) structure is composed of operating divisions where each division represents a separate business to which the top corporate officer delegates responsibility for day-to-day operations and business unit strategy to division managers. By such delegation, the corporate office is responsible for formulating and implementing overall corporate strategy and manages divisions through strategic and financial controls.

Multidivisional or M-form structure was developed in the 1920s, in response to coordination- and control-related problems in large firms. Functional departments often had difficulty dealing with distinct product lines and markets, especially in coordinating conflicting priorities among the products. Costs were not allocated to individual products, so it was not possible to assess an individual product's profit contribution. Loss of control meant that optimal allocation of firm resources between products was difficult (if not impossible). Top managers became over- involved in solving short-run problems (such as coordination, communications, conflict resolution) and neglected long-term strategic issues.

Multidivisional structure calls for:

- Creating separate divisions, each representing a distinct business
- Each division would house its functional hierarchy;
- Division managers would be given responsibility for managing day-to-dayoperations;
- A small corporate office that would determine the long-term strategic direction of the firm and exercise overall financial control over the semi- autonomous divisions.

This would enable the firm to more accurately monitor the performance of individual businesses, simplifying control problems, facilitate comparisons between divisions, improving the allocation of resources and stimulate managers of poorly performing divisions to seek ways to improve performance.

When the firm is less diversified, strategic controls are used to manage divisions. Strategic control refers to the operational understanding by corporate officers ofthe strategies being implemented within the firm's separate business units.

An increase in diversification strains corporate officers' abilities to understand the operations of all of its business units and divisions are then managed by financial controls, which enable corporate officers to manage the cash flow of the divisions through budgets and an emphasis on profits from distinct businesses.

However, because financial controls are focused on financial outcomes, they require that each division's performance be largely independent of the performance of other divisions. So, the Strategic Business Units come into picture.

E. Strategic Business Unit (SBU) Structure

This concept is relevant to multi-product, multi-business enterprises. It is impractical for an enterprise with a multitude of businesses to provide separate strategic planning treatment to each one of its products/businesses; it has to necessarily group the products/businesses into a manageable number of strategically related business units and then take them up for strategic planning.The question is: what is the best way of grouping the products/businesses of such large enterprises?
An SBU is a grouping of related businesses, which is amenable to composite planning treatment. As per this concept, a multi-business enterprise groups its multitude of businesses into a few distinct business units in a scientific way. The purpose is to provide effective strategic planning treatment to each one of its products/businesses.

The three most important characteristics of a SBU are:

- It is a single business or a collection of related businesses which offer scope for independent planning and which might feasibly standalone from the restof the organization.
- It has its own set of competitors.
- It has a manager who has responsibility for strategic planning and profitperformance, and who has control of profit-influencing factors.

Historically, large, multi-business firms were handling business planning on a territorial basis since their structure was territorial. And in many cases, such a structure was the outcome of a manufacturing or distribution logistics. Often, the territorial structure did not suit the purpose of strategic planning.
When strategic planning was carried out treating territories as the units for planning, it gave rise to two kinds of difficulties:

(i) since a number of territorial units handled the same product, the same product was getting varied strategic planning treatments; and

(ii) since a given territorial planning unit carried different and unrelated products, products with dissimilar characteristics were getting identical strategic planning treatment.

The concept of strategic business units (SBU) breaks away from this practice. It recognises that just because a firm is structured into a number of territorial units, say six units, it is not necessarily in six different businesses. It may be engaged in only three distinct businesses. It is also possible that it is engaged in more than six businesses. The endeavour should be to group the businesses into an appropriate number of strategic business units before the firm takes up the strategy formulation task.

The SBU structure is composed of operating units where each unit represents a separate business to which the top corporate officer delegates responsibility for day-to-day operations and business unit strategy to

its managers. By such delegation, the corporate office is responsible for formulating and implementing overall corporate strategy and manages SBUs through strategic and financial controls. Hence, the SBU structure groups similar products into strategic business units and delegates authority and responsibility for each unit to a senior executive who reports directly to the chief executive officer. This change in structure can facilitate strategy implementation by improving coordination between similar divisions and channelling accountability to distinct business units.



Figure: SBU Structure

A strategic business unit (SBU) structure consists of at least three levels, with a corporate headquarters at the top, SBU groups at the second level, and divisions grouped by relatedness within each SBU at the third level.

This enables the company to more accurately monitor the performance of individual businesses, simplifying control problems. It also facilitates comparisons between divisions, improving the allocation of resources and can be used to stimulate managers of poorly performing divisions to seek ways to improve performance.`

This means that, within each SBU, divisions are related to each other, as also thatSBU groups are unrelated to each other. Within each SBU, divisions producing similar products and/or using similar technologies can be organised to achievesynergy. Individual SBUs are treated as profit centres and controlled by corporate headquarters that can concentrate on strategic planning rather than operational control so that individual divisions can react more quickly to environmental changes.

For example, Sony has been restructuring to match the SBU structure with its ten internal companies as organised into four strategic business units. Because it has been pushing the company to make better use of software products and content (e.g., Sony's music, films and games) in its televisions and audio gear to increase Sony's profitability. By its strategy, Sony is one of the few companies that have the opportunity to integrate software and content across a broad range of consumer electronics products.

The principle underlying the grouping is that all related products-related from the standpoint of "function"- should fall under one SBU. In other words, the SBU concept helps a multi-business corporation in scientifically grouping its businesses into a few distinct business units. Such a grouping would in its turn, help the corporation carry out its strategic management endeavour better. The concept provides the right direction to strategic planning by removing the vagueness and confusion often experienced in such multi-business enterprises in the matter of grouping of the businesses.

The attributes of an SBU and the benefits a firm may derive by using the SBU Structure are as follows:

- A scientific method of grouping the businesses of a multi-business corporation which helps the firm in strategic planning.
- An improvement over the territorial grouping of businesses and strategic planning based on territorial units.
- An SBU is a grouping of related businesses that can be taken up for strategic planning distinct from the rest of the businesses. Products/businesses withinan SBU receive same strategic planning treatment and priorities.
- The task consists of analysing and segregating the assortment of businesses/portfolios and regrouping them into a few, well defined, distinct, scientifically demarcated business units. Products/businesses that are related from the standpoint of "function" are assembled together as a distinct SBU.
- Unrelated products/businesses in any group are separated. If they could be assigned to any other SBU applying the criterion of functional relation, theyare assigned; accordingly, otherwise they are made into separate SBUs.
- Grouping the businesses on SBU lines helps the firm in strategic planning by removing the vagueness and confusion generally seen in grouping businesses; it also facilitates the right setting for correct strategic planning and facilitates correct relative priorities and resources to the various businesses.
- Each SBU is a separate business from the strategic planning standpoint. In the basic factors, viz., mission, objectives, competition and strategy-one SBU will be distinct from another.
- Each SBU will have its own distinct set of competitors and its own distinct strategy.
- Each SBU will have a CEO. He will be responsible for strategic planning for the SBU and its profit performance; he will also have control over most of the factors affecting the profit of the SBU.

  The questions posed at the corporate level are, first, whether the corporate body wishes to have a related set of SBUs or not; and if so, on what basis. This issue of relatedness in turn has direct implications on decisions about diversification relatedness might exist in different ways:
- SBUs might build on similar technologies, or all provide similar sorts of products or services.

- SBUs might be serving similar or different markets. Even if technology or products differ, it may be that the customers are similar. **For example**, *the technologies underpinning frozen food, washing powders and margarine production may be very different; but all are sold through retail operations, and Unilever operates in all these product fields.*
- Or it may be that other competences on which the competitive advantage of different SBUs are built have similarities. Unilever would argue that the marketing skills associated with the three product markets are similar **example**.

The identification of SBUs is a convenient starting point for planning. Once the company's strategic business units have been identified, the responsibilities for strategic planning can be more clearly assigned.

F. Matrix Structure

Most organizations find that organising around either functions (in the functional structure) or around products and geography (in the divisional structure) providesan appropriate organizational structure. The matrix structure, in contrast, may be very appropriate when organizations conclude that neither functional nor divisional forms, even when combined with horizontal linking mechanisms like strategic business units, are right for the implementation of their strategies. In matrix structure, functional and product forms are combined simultaneously at the same level of the organization. Employees have two superiors, a product or project manager and a functional manager. The "home" department - that is, engineering, manufacturing, or marketing - is usually functional and is reasonably permanent. People from these functional units are often assigned temporarily to one or more product units or projects. The product units or projects are usually temporary andact like divisions in that they are differentiated on a product-market basis.

A matrix structure is the most complex of all designs because it depends upon both vertical and horizontal flows of authority and communication (hence the term matrix). In contrast, functional and divisional structures depend primarily on vertical flows of authority and communication. A matrix structure can result in higher overhead because it has more management positions. Other characteristics of a matrix structure that contribute to overall complexity include dual lines of budget authority (a violation of the unity command principle), dual sources of reward and punishment, shared authority, dual reporting channels, and a need for an extensive and effective communication system.

Despite its complexity, the matrix structure is widely used in many industries, including construction, healthcare, research and defence. Some advantages of a matrix structure are that project objectives are clear, there are many channels of communication workers can see the visible results of their work, and shutting downa project is accomplished relatively easily.

In order for a matrix structure to be effective, organizations need planning, training, clear mutual understanding of roles and responsibilities, excellent internal communication, and mutual trust and confidence. The matrix structure is used more frequently by businesses because they are pursuing strategies add new products, customer groups, and technology to their range of activities. Out of these changes are

coming product managers, functional managers, and geographic managers, all of whom have important strategic responsibilities. When several variables such as product, customer, technology, geography, functional area, have roughly equal strategic priorities, a matrix organization can be an effective structural form.

Matrix structure was developed to combine the stability of the functional structure with the flexibility of the product form. It is very useful when the external environment (especially its technological and market aspects) is very complex and changeable. It does, however, produce conflicts revolving around duties, authority, and resource allocation. To the extent that the goals to be achieved are vague and the technology used is poorly understood, a continuous battle for power between product and functional mangers is likely.
The matrix structure is often found in an organization or within an SBU when the following three conditions exists:

1) Ideas need to be cross-fertilised across projects or products,

2) Resources are scarce and

3) Abilities to process information and to make decisions need to be improved.



Figure: Matrix Structure

Changing organizational design

Old Organizational Design	New Organizational Design
♦ One large corporation	♦ Mini-business units and cooperative relationships
♦ Vertical communication	♦ Horizontal communication
♦ Centralised top-down decision making	♦ Decentralised participative decision making
♦ Vertical integration	♦ Outsourcing & virtual organizations
♦ Work/quality teams	♦ Autonomous work teams
♦ Functional work teams	♦ Cross-functional work teams
♦ Minimal training	♦ Extensive training
♦ Specialised job design focused on individual	♦ Value-chain team-focused job design

For development of matrix structure Davis and Lawrence, have proposed three distinct phases:

1. **Cross-functional task forces**: Temporary cross-functional task forces are initially used when a new product line is being introduced. A project manageris in charge as the key horizontal link.
2. **Product/brand management**: If the cross-functional task forces become more permanent, the project manager becomes a product or brand manager and a second phase begins. In this arrangement, function is still the primary organizational structure, but product or brand managers act as the integrators of semi permanent products or brands.
3. **Mature matrix**: The third and final phase of matrix development involves a true dual-authority structure. Both the functional and product structures are permanent. All employees are connected to both a vertical functional superior and a horizontal product manager. Functional and product managers have equal authority and must work well together to resolve disagreements over resources and priorities.

   However, the matrix structure is not very popular because of difficulties in implementation and trouble in managing.

G. Network Structure

A radical organizational design, the network structure is an example of what could be termed a "non-structure" by its virtual elimination of in-house business functions. Many activities are outsourced. A corporation organized in this manner is often called a virtual organization because it is composed of a series of project groups or collaborations linked by constantly changing non-hierarchical, cobweb- like networks. The network structure becomes most useful when the environment of a firm is unstable and is

expected to remain so. Under such conditions, there is usually a strong need for innovation and quick response. Instead of having salaried employees, it may contract with people for a specific project or length of time. Long-term contracts with suppliers and distributors replace services that the company could provide for itself through vertical integration. Electronic markets and sophisticated information systems reduce the transaction costs of themarketplace, thus justifying a "buy" over a "make" decision. Rather than being located in a single building or area, an organization's business functions are scattered at different geographical locations. The organization is, in effect, only a shell, with a small headquarters acting as a "broker", electronically connected to some completely owned divisions, partially owned subsidiaries, and other independent organisation. In its ultimate form, the network organization is a seriesof independent firms or business units linked together by a common system that designs, produces, and markets a product or service.



Figure: Network Structure

Companies like Airtel use the network structure in their operations function bysubcontracting manufacturing to other companies in low-cost.

The network organization structure provides an organization with increased flexibility and adaptability to cope with rapid technological change and shifting patterns of international trade and competition. It allows a company to concentrate on its distinctive competencies, while gathering efficiencies from other firms who are concentrating their efforts in their areas of expertise. The network does, however, have disadvantages. The availability of numerous potential partners can be a source of trouble. Contracting out functions to separate suppliers/distributors may keep the firm from discovering any synergies by combining activities. If aparticular firm over specialises on only a few functions, it runs the risk of choosingthe wrong functions and thus becoming non-competitive.

The new structural arrangements that are evolving typically are in response to social and technological advances. While they may enable the effective management of dispersed organizations, there are some serious implications, The learning organization that is a part of new organizational forms requires that each worker become a self-motivated, continuous learner. Employees may lack the level of confidence necessary to participate actively in organization-sponsored learning experiences. The flatter organizational structures that accompany contemporary structures can seem intrusive as a result of their demand for more intense and personal interactions with internal and external stakeholders. Combined, the conditions above may create stress for many employees.

H. Hourglass Structure

In the recent year's information technology and communications have significantly altered the functioning of organizations. The role played by middle management is diminishing as the tasks performed by them are increasingly being replaced by the technological tools. Hourglass organization structure consists of three layers with constricted middle layer. The structure has a short and narrow middle-management level. Information technology links the top and bottom levels in the organization taking away many tasks that are performed by the middle level managers. A shrunken middle layer coordinates diverse lower-level activities. Contrary to traditional middle level managers who are often specialist, the managers in the hourglass structure are generalists and perform wide variety of tasks. They would be handling cross-functional issues emanating such as those from marketing, finance or production.



Figure: Hourglass Organisation Structure

Hourglass structure has obvious benefit of reduced costs. It also helps in enhancing responsiveness by simplifying decision making. Decision making authority is shifted close to the source of information so that it is faster. However, with the reducedsize of middle management the promotion opportunities for the lower levels diminish significantly. Continuity at same level may bring monotony and lack of interest and it becomes difficult to keep the motivation levels high. Organisationstry to overcome these problems by assigning challenging tasks, transferring laterally and having a system of proper rewards for performance.

ORGANISATION CULTURE

Every organisation has a unique organizational culture. It has its own philosophyand principles, its own history, values, and rituals, its own ways of approaching problems and making decisions, its own work climate. It has its own embedded patterns of how to do things. Its own ingrained beliefs and thought patterns, and practices that define its corporate culture.

Corporate culture refers to *a company's values, beliefs, business principles, traditions, ways of operating, and internal work environment.*

Where Does Corporate Culture Come From?

A company's culture is manifested in the values and business principles that management preaches and practices, in its ethical standards and official policies, in its stakeholder relationships (especially its dealings with employees, unions, stockholders, vendors, and the communities in which it operates), in the traditions the organization maintains, in its supervisory practices, in employees' attitudes and behaviour, in the legends people repeat about happenings in the organization, in the peer pressures that exist, in the organization's politics that permeate the work environment. All these sociological forces, some of which operate quite subtly, combine to define an organization's culture, beliefs and practices that become embedded in a company's culture can originate anywhere: from one influentialindividual, work group, department, or division, from the bottom of the organizational hierarchy or the top

Frequently, a significant part of a company's culture emerges from the stories thatget told over and over again to illustrate to newcomers the importance of certain values and beliefs and ways of operating.

Culture: Ally or Obstacle to strategy execution?

An organization's culture is either an important contributor or an obstacle to successful strategy execution. The beliefs, vision, objectives, and business approaches and practices underpinning a company's strategy may or may not be compatible with its culture. When they are compatible, the culture becomes a valuable ally in strategy implementation and execution. When the culture is in conflict with some aspect of the company's direction, performance targets or strategy, the culture becomes a stumbling block that impedes successful strategy implementation and execution.

Role of culture in strategy execution

Strong culture promotes good strategy execution when there's fit and impedesexecution when there's negligible fit. A culture grounded in values, practices, and behavioural norms that match what is needed for good strategy execution helps energize people throughout the company to do their jobs in a strategy-supportive manner, adding significantly to the power and effectiveness of strategy execution. **For example**, *a culture where frugality and thrift are values strongly shared by organizational members is very conducive to successful execution of a low-cost leadership strategy. A culture where creativity, embracing change, and challenging the status quo are* pervasive themes is very conducive to successful execution of a product

innovation and technological leadership strategy. A culture built around such business principles as listening to customers, encouraging employees to take pride in their work, and giving employees a high degree of decision-making authority is very conducive to successful execution of a strategy of delivering superior customer value.

A work environment where the culture matches the conditions for good strategy execution provides a system of informal rules and peer pressure regarding how to conduct business internally and how to go about doing one's job. Strategy- supportive cultures shape the mood, temperament, and motivation the workforce, positively affecting organizational energy, work habits and operating practices, the degree to which organizational units cooperate, and how customers are treated.

A strong strategy-supportive culture nurtures and motivates people to do their jobs in ways conducive to effective strategy execution; it provides structure, standards, and a value system in which to operate; and it promotes strong employee identification with the company's vision, performance targets, and strategy. All this makes employees feel genuinely better about their jobs and work environment and the merits of what the company is trying to accomplish. Employees are stimulated to take on the challenge of realizing the company's vision, do their jobs competently and with enthusiasm, and collaborate with others as needed to bring the strategy to fruition.

Perils of Strategy-Culture Conflict: When a company's culture is out of sync with what is needed for strategic success, the culture has to be changed as rapidly ascan be managed – this, of course, presumes that it is one or more aspects of the culture that are out of whack rather than the strategy. While correcting a strategy- culture conflict can occasionally mean revamping strategy to produce cultural fit, more usually it means revamping the mismatched cultural features to produce strategy fit. The more entrenched the mismatched aspects of the culture, the greater the difficulty of implementing new or different strategies until better strategy-culture alignment emerges. A sizable and prolonged strategy-culture conflict weakens and may even defeat managerial efforts to make the strategywork.

Creating a strong fit between strategy and culture: It is the strategy maker's responsibility to select a strategy compatible with the "sacred" or unchangeable parts of prevailing corporate culture. It is the strategy implementer's task, once strategy is chosen, to change whatever facets of the corporate culture hinder effective execution.

Changing a problem culture: Changing a company's culture to align it with strategy is among the toughest management tasks--easier to talk about than do.

Changing a problem culture is very difficult because of the heavy anchor of deeply held values and habits- people cling emotionally to the old and familiar. It takes concerted management action over a period of time to replace an unhealthy culture with a healthy culture or to root out certain unwanted cultural obstacles and instil ones that are more strategy-supportive.

The first step is to diagnose which facets of the present culture are strategy supportive and which are not. Then, managers have to talk openly and forthrightly to all concerned about those aspects of the culture that have to be changed. The talk has to be followed swiftly by visible, aggressive actions to modify the culture-actions that everyone will understand are intended to establish a new culture more in tune with the strategy. The menu of culture-changing actions includes revising policies and procedures in ways that will help drive cultural change, altering incentive compensation (to reward the desired cultural behaviour), visibly praising and recognizing people who display the new cultural traits, recruiting and hiring new managers and employees who have the desired cultural values and can serve as role models for the desired cultural behaviour, replacing key executives who are strongly associated with the old culture, and taking every opportunity to communicate to employees the basis for cultural change and its benefits to all concerned.

Implanting the needed culture-building values and behaviour depends on a sincere, sustained commitment by the chief executive coupled with extraordinary persistence in reinforcing the culture at every opportunity through both words and deed. Neither charisma nor personal magnetism is essential. However, personally talking to many departmental groups about the reasons for change is essential; organizational changes are seldom accomplished successfully from an office. Moreover, creating and sustaining a strategy-supportive culture is a job for the whole management team. Major cultural change requires many initiatives from many people. Senior managers, department heads, and middle managers have to reiterate values and translate the organization's philosophy into everyday practice. In addition, for the culture-building effort to be successful, strategy implementers must enlist the support of first line supervisors and employee opinion leaders, convincing them of the merits of practicing and enforcing cultural norms at the lowest levels in the organization. Until a big majority of employees join the new culture and share an emotional commitment to its basic values and behavioural norms, there's considerably more work to be done in both instilling the culture and tightening the culture strategy fit.

The task of making culture supportive of strategy is not a short-term exercise. It takes time for a new culture to emerge and prevail; it's unrealistic to expect an overnight transformation. The bigger the organization and the greater the cultural shift needed to produce a culture-strategy fit, the longer it takes. In large companies, changing the corporate culture in significant ways can take two to five years. In fact, it is usually tougher to reshape a deeply ingrained culture that is not strategy-supportive than it is to instill a strategy-supportive culture from scratch ina brand-new organization.

In conclusion, an excessive focus on the hard management, at best will result in a linear improvement in performance. On the other hand, performance can be improved exponentially by concentrating on the soft side of the management. The optimal management approach probably would be somewhere between these extremes. Accordingly, every organisation has to maintain a fine balance betweena range of "**hard**" and "**soft**" management as even though a structure is appropriate for the time it is established, by the time it is implemented, reality has already changed, especially in today's world.

STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP

A leader is best when people barely know he exists, when his work is done, his aimfulfilled, they will say: we did it ourselves. **—Lao Tzu**

Strategic leadership sets the firms direction by developing and communicating vision of future, formulate strategies in the light of internal and external environment, brings about changes required to implement strategies and inspirethe staff to contribute to strategy execution. A manager as a strategic leader has to play many leadership roles to play: visionary, chief entrepreneur and strategist, chief administrator, culture builder, resource acquirer and allocator, capabilities builder, process integrator, crisis manager, spokesperson, negotiator, motivator, arbitrator, policy maker, policy enforcer, and head cheerleader. Sometimes it is useful to be authoritarian; sometimes it is better to be a perceptive listener and a compromising decision maker; sometimes a strongly participative, and sometimes being a coach and adviser is the proper role.

A strategic leader is a change agent to initiates strategic changes in the organisations and ensure that the changes successfully implemented. For the most part, major change efforts have to be top-down and vision-driven. Leading change has to start with diagnosing the situation and then deciding which of several ways to handle it. Managers have five leadership roles to play in pushing for good strategy execution:

1. Staying on top of what is happening, closely monitoring progress, solving out issues, and learning what obstacles lie in the path of good execution.
2. Promoting a culture of *esprit de corps* that mobilizes and energizesorganizational members to execute strategy in a competent fashion and perform at a high level.
3. Keeping the organization responsive to changing conditions, alert for new opportunities, bubbling with innovative ideas, and ahead of rivals indeveloping competitively valuable competencies and capabilities.
4. Exercising ethical leadership and insisting that the company conduct its affairs like a model corporate citizen.
5. Pushing corrective actions to improve strategy execution and overall strategic performance.

For example, N. R. Narayan Murthy, is known as a celebrated business leaderbecause of the values he had institutionalised over his tenure as CEO of Infosys. One of the great legacies he left with Infosys is a strong management development program that builds management talent and strategic leader with ethical values.
Dhirubhai Ambani, pioneer of Reliance Group, was an icon in himself because ofhis ability to conceptualise and create sweeping strategies, to reach corporate goals, and proficiency in implementing his strategic vision. Dhirubhai Ambani had the ability to provide clear direction for the company and had strong interpersonal skills that inspired the employees to contribute their best for the accomplishmentof strategic vision. These qualities made him an excellent strategic leader in the corporate world.

Leadership role in implementation: The strategic leaders must be able to use the strategic management process effectively by guiding the company in ways that result in the formation of strategic intent and strategic mission, facilitating the development and implementation of appropriate strategic plans and providing guidance to the employees for achieving strategic goals.

Figure: Strategy Design and Implementation: Interrelationship of Elements

Strategic leadership entails the ability to anticipate, envision, maintain flexibility,and empower others to create strategic change as necessitated by external environment. In other words, strategic leadership represents a complex form of leadership in companies. A manager with strategic leadership skills exhibits theability to guide the company through the new competitive landscape by influencing the behaviour, thoughts, and feelings of co-workers, managing through others and successfully processing or making sense of complex, ambiguous information bysuccessfully dealing with change and uncertainty.

In the today's competitive landscape, strategic leaders are challenged to adapt their frames of reference so that they can deal with rapid, complex changes. A managerial frame of reference is the set of assumptions, premises, and accepted wisdom that bounds a manager's understanding of the company, the industry in which it competes, and the core competencies that it exploits in the pursuit of strategic competitiveness (and above-average returns). In other words, a manager's frame of reference is the foundation on which a manager's mindset is built.

The importance of a manager's frame of reference can be seen if we perceive those competitive battles are not between companies or products but between mindsetsor managerial frames. This implies that effective strategic leaders must be able todeal with the diverse and cognitively complex competitive situations that are characteristic of today's competitive landscape.

Figure: Effective Strategic Leadership

A Strategic leader has several responsibilities, including the following:

- Making strategic decisions.
- Formulating policies and action plans to implement strategic decision.
- Ensuring effective communication in the organisation.
- Managing human capital (perhaps the most critical of the strategic leader's skills).
- Managing change in the organisation.
- Creating and sustaining strong corporate culture.
- Sustaining high performance over time.

Thus, the strategic leadership skills of a company's managers represent resourcesthat affect company performance. And these resources must be developed for the company's future benefit.

Strategic leadership sets the firm's direction by developing and communicating a vision of future and inspire organization members to move in that direction. Unlike strategic leadership, managerial leadership is generally concerned with the short- term, day-to-day activities.

Two basic approaches to leadership can be transformational leadership style and transactional leadership style.

- ***Transformational leadership style*** uses charisma and enthusiasm to inspire people to exert them for the good of the organization. Transformational leadership style may be appropriate in turbulent environments, in industries at the very start or end of their life-cycles, in poorly performing organizations when there is a need to inspire a company to embrace major changes. Transformational leaders offer excitement, vision, intellectual stimulation and personal satisfaction. They inspire involvement in a mission, giving followers a 'dream' or 'vision' of a higher calling so as to elicit more dramatic changesin organizational performance. Such a leadership motivates followers to do more than originally affected to do by stretching their abilities and increasing their self-confidence, and also promote innovation throughout the organization.

- ***Transactional leadership style*** focuses more on designing systems and controlling the organization's activities and are more likely to be associated with improving the current situation. Transactional leaders try to build on the existing culture and enhance current practices. Transactional leadership style uses the authority of its office to exchange rewards, such as pay and status.
  They prefer a more formalized approach to motivation, setting clear goals with explicit rewards or penalties for achievement or non-achievement.

Transactional leadership style may be appropriate in static environment, in mature industries, and in organizations that are performing well. The style is better suitedin persuading people to work efficiently and run operations smoothly.

STRATEGIC CONTROL

Controlling is one of the important functions of management and is often regarded as the core of the management process. It is a function intended to ensure andmake possible the performance of planned activities and to achieve the pre- determined goals and results. Control is intended to regulate and check, i.e., to structure and condition the behaviour of events and people, to place restraints and curbs on undesirable tendencies, to make people conform to certain norms and standards, to measure progress to keep the system on track. It is also to ensurethat what is planned is translated into results, to keep a watch on proper use of resources, on safeguarding of assets and so on.

The controlling function involves monitoring the activity and measuring results against pre-established standards, analysing and correcting deviations as necessary and maintaining/adapting the system. It is intended to enable the organisation to continuously learn from its experience and to improve its capability to cope withthe demands of organisational growth and development.

The process of control has the following elements:

(a) Objectives of the business system which could be operationalized into measurable and controllable standards.

(b) A mechanism for monitoring and measuring the performance of the system.

(c) A mechanism (i) for comparing the actual results with reference to the standards (ii) for detecting deviations from standards and (iii) for learning new insights on standards themselves.

(d) A mechanism for feeding back corrective and adaptive information and instructions to the system, for effecting the desired changes to set right the system to keep it on course.

Primarily there are three types of organizational control, viz., operational control, management control and strategic control.

Operational Control: The thrust of operational control is on individual tasks or transactions as against total or more aggregative management functions. **For example**, *procuring specific items for inventory is a matter of operational control, in contrast to inventory management as a whole.* One of the tests that can be appliedto identify operational control areas is that there should be a clear-cut and somewhat measurable relationship between inputs and outputs which could bepredetermined or estimated with least uncertainty. Many of the control systems in organisations are operational and mechanistic in nature. A set of standards, plans and instructions are formulated. The control activity consists of regulating the processes within certain 'tolerances', irrespectiveof the effects of external conditions on the formulated standards, plans and instructions. Some of the examples of operational controls can be stock control (maintaining stocks between set limits), production control (manufacturing to set programmes), quality control (keeping product quality between agreed limits), cost control (maintaining expenditure as per standards), budgetary control (keeping performance to budget).

Management Control: When compared with operational control, management control is more inclusive and more aggregative, in the sense of embracing the integrated activities of a complete department, division or even entire organisation, instead or mere narrowly circumscribed activities of sub-units.

The basic purpose of management control is the achievement of enterprise goals – short range and long range – in a most effective and efficient manner. The term management control is defined by Robert Anthony as 'the process by which managers assure the resources are obtained and used effectively and efficiently in the accomplishment of the organisation's objectives. Controls are necessary to influence the behaviour of events and ensure that they conform to plans.

Strategic Control: According to Schendel and Hofer "Strategic control focuses on the dual questions of whether: (1) the strategy is being implemented as planned; and (2) the results produced by the strategy are those intended."

There is often a time gap between the stages of strategy formulation and its implementation. A strategy might be affected on account of changes in internal and external environments of organisation. There is a need for warning systems to track a strategy as it is being implemented. Strategic control is the process ofevaluating strategy as it is formulated and implemented. It is directed towardsidentifying problems and changes in premises and making necessary adjustments.

Types of Strategic Control: There are four types of strategic controls, which areas follows:

- **Premise control**: A strategy is formed on the basis of certain assumptions or premises about the complex and turbulent organizational environment. Over a period of time these premises may not

remain valid. Premise control is atool for systematic and continuous monitoring of the environment to verifythe validity and accuracy of the premises on which the strategy has been built. It primarily involves monitoring two types of factors:

(i) Environmental factors such as economic (inflation, liquidity, interest rates), technology, social and legal-regulatory.

(ii) Industry factors such as competitors, suppliers, substitutes.

It is neither feasible nor desirable to control all types of premises in the same manner. Different premises may require different amount of control. Thus, managers are required to select those premises that are likely to change and would severely impact the functioning of the organization and its strategy.

- **Strategic surveillance**: Contrary to the premise control, the strategicsurveillance is unfocussed. It involves general monitoring of various sources of information to uncover unanticipated information having a bearing on the organizational strategy. It involves casual environmental browsing. Reading financial and other newspapers, business magazines, attending meetings, conferences, discussions and so on can help in strategic surveillance.

  Strategic surveillance may be loose form of strategic control but is capable of uncovering information relevant to the strategy.

- **Special alert control**: At times, unexpected events may force organizations to reconsider their strategy. Sudden changes in government, natural calamities, terrorist attacks, unexpected merger/acquisition by competitors, industrial disasters and other such events may trigger an immediate and intense review of strategy. To cope up with such eventualities, the organisations form crisis management teams to handle the situation.

- **Implementation control**: Managers implement strategy by converting major plans into concrete, sequential actions that form incremental steps. Implementation control is directed towards assessing the need for changesin the overall strategy in light of unfolding events and results associated with incremental steps and actions.

  Strategic implementation control is not a replacement to operational control. Unlike operational control, it continuously monitors the basic direction of the strategy. The two basic forms of implementation control are:

  (i) **Monitoring strategic thrusts**: Monitoring strategic thrusts helps managers to determine whether the overall strategy is progressing as desired or whether there is need for readjustments.

(ii) **Milestone Reviews**: All key activities necessary to implement strategy are segregated in terms of time, events or major resource allocation. It normally involves a complete reassessment of the strategy. It also assesses the need to continue or refocus the direction of an organization.

These four strategic controls steer the organisation and its different sub-systemsto the right track. They help the organisation to negotiate through the turbulent and complex environment.



STRATEGIC PERFORMANCE MEASURES

A company's performance depends heavily on execution of strategy. Companies that continuously outperform their competitors are those who execute well. Executives ina variety of businesses should explore about utilizing strategic performance measurement (SPM). SPM is a method that increases line executives' understanding of an organization's strategic goals and offers a continuous system for tracking progress towards these objectives using clear-cut performance measurements. SPM helps to eliminate silos by establishing a common language among all divisions ofthe organisation so they may communicate openly and productively.

Strategic performance measures are key indicators that organizations use to trackthe effectiveness of their strategies and make informed decisions about resource allocation. The measures provide a snapshot of the organization's performance, enabling leaders to assess whether their strategies are aligned with their goals and objectives and to make necessary adjustments to improve their performance.

Key performance measures and indicators must be created, selected, combined into reports and acted upon so that strategy implementation can have tangible outcomes. Firstly, there needs to be a clear cause and

effect relationship between the indicators and strategic outcomes. Secondly, KPIs need to be carefully chosen because they will influence the behaviour of people within the organisation. However, managers should be aware of paralysis by over analysis.

Managing the political aspects of implementing a strategy

People involved in the planning process for the implementation of a strategy may be affected by two sets of forces. The "rational" forces of openness, communication, and self-analysis can exist on the one hand. On the other hand, there could be political forces concerned with preserving empires and fostering internal rivalry that urge knowledge retention, selective communication, and caution. When these two techniques conflict, the politically acceptable aspects may end up in the explicit strategy while the sensitive elements may form an unspoken plan that contains the implicit strategy.

Types of Strategic Performance Measures

There are various types of strategic performance measures, including:

- **Financial Measures**: Financial measures, such as revenue growth, return on investment (ROI), and profit margins, provide an understanding of the organization's financial performance and its ability to generate profit.

- **Customer Satisfaction Measures**: Customer measures, such as customer satisfaction, customer retention, and customer loyalty, provide insight intothe organization's ability to meet customer needs and provide high-quality products and services.

- **Market Measures**: Market measures, such as market share, customeracquisition, and customer referrals, provide information about the organization's competitiveness in the marketplace and its ability to attract and retain customers.

- **Employee Measures**: Employee measures, such as employee satisfaction, turnover rate, and employee engagement, provide insight into the organization's ability to attract and retain talented employees and create a positive work environment.

- **Innovation Measures**: Innovation measures, such as research and development (R&D) spending, patent applications, and new product launches, provide insight into the organization's ability to innovate and create new products and services that meet customer needs.

- **Environmental Measures**: Environmental measures, such as energyconsumption, waste reduction, and carbon emissions, provide insight into the organization's impact on the environment and its efforts to operate in a sustainable manner.

Toward More Holistic Measures of Strategic Performance

Development of management thought and practice has persistently pushed the frontier of strategic performance beyond financial metrics. Thus, the Triple Bottom Line framework (TBL) emphasises People and Planetary Concerns besides profitability or Economic Prosperity alone. The Quadruple Bottomline adds the 4th P to add a spiritual dimension named 'Purpose.'



The Importance of Strategic Performance Measures

Strategic performance measures are essential for organizations for several reasons:

- **Goal Alignment**: Strategic performance measures help organizations align their strategies with their goals and objectives, ensuring that they are on track to achieve their desired outcomes.
- **Resource Allocation**: Strategic performance measures provide organizations with the information they need to make informed decisions about resource allocation, enabling them to prioritize their efforts and allocate resources to the areas that will have the greatest impact on their performance.
- **Continuous Improvement**: Strategic performance measures provide organizations with a framework for continuous improvement, enabling them to track their progress and make adjustments to improve their performance over time.
- **External Accountability**: Strategic performance measures helporganizations demonstrate accountability to stakeholders, including shareholders, customers, and regulatory bodies, by providing a clear and transparent picture of their performance.

Choosing the Right Strategic Performance Measures

Organizations should choose strategic performance measures that are aligned with their goals and objectives and that provide relevant and actionable information. In selecting the right measures, organizations should consider the following factors:

- **Relevance**: The measure should be relevant to the organization's goals and objectives and provide information that is actionable and meaningful.
- **Data Availability**: The measure should be based on data that is readily available and can be collected and analyzed in a timely manner.
- **Data Quality**: The measure should be based on high-quality data that isaccurate and reliable.
- **Data Timeliness**: The measure should be based on data that is current and up-to-date, enabling organizations to make informed decisions in a timely manner.

These measures provide a way for organizations to assess the success of theirstrategies, identify areas for improvement, and make informed decisions about how to allocate resources and adjust their strategies to achieve their desired outcomes. Effective strategic performance measures should be relevant, meaningful, and easyto understand and should be regularly reviewed and updated to ensure their continued alignment with the organization's goals and objectives.