

# **SUGGESTED SOLUTION**

### **CA INTERMEDIATE**

**SUBJECT-** CORPORATE AND OTHER LAWS

## Test Code – ISP 2408

BRANCH - () (Date :)

Head Office : Shraddha, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Near Chinai College, Andheri (E), Mumbai – 69. Tel : (022) 26836666

#### MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS :

NO.		ANSWER	MARKS
1.	(I) C	30.05.2021	2
	(II) D	No, the signing is not in order, since in case the Chairman is unable to sign, the report shall be signed by any two directors of the company, one of whom shall be the Managing director, if there is one and company secretary of the company	2
	(III) C	24.06.2021	2
2.	(I) B	Rs. 5,00,00,000	2
	(II) D	All the shares or other specified securities for buy back must be fully paid up.	2
	(III) B	It was necessary to pass special resolution as the amount of buy back exceeds ten percent of the total paid up equity share capital and free reserves	2
3.	(I) D	In order to raise deposits from public besides members, the company should have net worth of minimum Rs. 100 crores or a turnover of minimum Rs. 500 crores.	2
	(II) C	The company can offer equity shares maximum up to the 80 prospective shareholders in the remaining part of the current financial year.	2
4.	(I) A	By 30 <sup>th</sup> August 2021	2
	(II) C	The auditor shall report the matter to the audit committee constituted under section 177 or to the Board.	2
5.	(I) B	Mr. Rajat Kapoor to be treated as non - resident in India for Financial Year (FY) 2022 – 2023 since he left India for the purpose of carrying business of Omx Software Inc and resident for FY 2023 – 2024	2
	(II) (A)	Permissible amount remitted to US company for obtaining consultancy without obtaining prior approval of RBI is USD 1,000,000 per project.	2
	(III) C	Purchase of residential property by Mr. Rajat is neither capital account transaction nor current account transaction	2
6.	(I) (A)	By 5 <sup>th</sup> August, 2023 i.e. within a period of 3 months from the date of issue of such direction by registrar	2
	(II)(B)	24 <sup>th</sup> August, 2023 i.e. within 30 days of appointment	2

#### ANSWER : 1(A)

(i) Under provisions of section 5 of the Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 certain Rules have been made for drawal of Foreign Exchange for Current Account transactions. As per these Rules, Foreign Exchange for some of the Current Account transactions is prohibited. As regards some other Current Account transactions, Foreign Exchange can be drawn with prior permission of the Central Government while in case of some Current Account transactions, prior permission of Reserve Bank of India is required. (i) Remittance out of lottery winnings is prohibited as the same is included in First Schedule to the Foreign Exchange Management (Current Account Transactions) Rules, 2000. Hence, Mr. Shivesh cannot withdraw Foreign Exchange for this purpose.

(ii) Foreign Exchange for meeting expenses of cultural tour can be withdrawn by any person after obtaining permission from Government of India, Ministry of Human Resources Development, (Department of Education and Culture) as prescribed in Second Schedule to the Foreign Exchange Management (Current Account Transactions) Rules, 2000. Hence, Mr. Shivesh can withdraw the Foreign Exchange after obtaining such permission.

In all the cases, where remittance of Foreign Exchange is allowed, either by general or specific permission, the remitter has to obtain the Foreign Exchange from an Authorized Person as defined in Section 2(c).

#### ANSWER : 1(B)

Yes, the Director shall be held liable for the false statements made in the prospectus under sections 34 and 35 of the Companies Act, 2013. Whereas section 34 imposes a criminal punishment on every person who authorises the issue of such prospectus, section 35 more particularly includes a director of the company in the imposition of liability for such mis-statements.

The only situations when a director will not incur any liability for mis-statements in a prospectus are as under:

- (1) No criminal liability under section 34 shall apply to a person if he proves that such statement or omission was immaterial or that he had reasonable grounds to believe, and did up to the time of issue of the prospectus believe, that the statement was true or the inclusion or omission was necessary.
- (2) No civil liability for any mis-statement under section 35 shall apply to a person if he proves that:
  - (i) having consented to become a director of the company, he withdrew his consent before the issue of the prospectus, and that it was issued without his authority or consent; or
  - (ii) the prospectus was issued without his knowledge or consent, and that on becoming aware of its issue, he forthwith gave a reasonable public notice that it was issued without his knowledge or consent.
  - (iii) that, as regards every misleading statement purported to be made by an expert or contained in what purports to be a copy of or an extract from a report or valuation of an expert, it was a correct and fair representation of the statement, or a correct copy of, or a correct and fair extract from, the report or valuation; and he had reasonable ground to believe and did up to the time of the issue of the prospectus believe, that the person making the statement was competent to make it and that the said person had given the consent required by sub-section (5) of section 26 to the issue of the prospectus and had not withdrawn that consent before filing of a copy of the prospectus with the Registrar or, to the defendant's knowledge, before allotment thereunder.

Therefore, in the present case the director cannot escape the liability by stating that he had relied on the promoters for making correct statements in the prospectus. He will be liable for mis-statements in the prospectus.

#### (5 MARKS)

(4 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 1(C)

According to section 8(1) of the Companies Act, 2013, where it is proved to the satisfaction of the Central Government that a person or an association of persons proposed to be registered under this Act as a limited company :

- (a) has in its object the promotion of commerce, art, science, sports, education, research, social welfare religion, charity, protection of environment of any such other object ;
- (b) intends to apply its profits, if any, or other income in promoting its objects ; and
- (c) intents to prohibit the payment of any dividend to its members ;

The central Government may, by issue of licence, allow that person or association of persons to be registered as a limited liability company.

According section 8(9), if on the winding up or dissolution of a company registered under this section, there remains, after the satisfaction of its debts and liabilities, any asset, they may be transferred to another company registered under this section and having similar objects, subject to such conditions as the Tribunal may impose, or may be sold and proceeds thereof credited to Insolvency and Bankruptcy Fund formed under section 224 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016.

In the instant case, the decision of the group of women to form a limited liability company for charitable purpose under section 8 for a period of ten years and thereafter to dissolve the association and to distribute the surplus of assets over the liabilities, if any, amongst the members will not hold goods, since there is a restriction as pointed out in point (b) above regarding application of its profits or other income only in promoting its objects. Further, there is a restriction in the application of the surplus assets of such a company in the event of winding up or dissolution of the company as provided in sub – section (9) of section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013. Therefore, the proposal in its entirety is not feasible. The promoters will be accordingly advised that the proposal should be in conformity with the provisions of the Act.

#### (6 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 2(A)

(i) Rule 17 of the Companies (Management and Administration) Rules, 2014 provides that no explanatory statement as required under section 102 of the Companies Act, 2013, need be annexed to the notice of an extraordinary general meeting convened by the requisitionists and the requisitionists may disclose the reasons for the resolution(s) which they propose to move at the meeting.

Hence, the Board of Directors cannot refuse to convene the extraordinary general meeting of the members on the ground that the requisitionists have not given the explanatory statement for the resolution proposed to be passed at the meeting.

(ii) The notice shall be signed by all the requisitionists or by a requisitionists duly authorised in writing by all other requisitionists on their behalf or by sending an electronic request attaching therewith a scanned copy of such duly signed requisition.

Hence, it is imperative for joint holders (or by requisitonist duly authorised in writing by joint holder) also to sign the notice to call the meeting. Thus, Board of directors are correct in refusing to convene the extra ordinary general meeting on the ground that the requisitions have not been signed by the joint holder of shares.

(iii) According to section 103(2)(b) of the Companies Act, 2013, if the quorum is not present within half-anhour from the time appointed for holding a meeting of the company the meeting, if called by requisitionists under section 100, shall stand cancelled.

Thus, if quorum is not present for the meeting called by requisitionists, it shall stand cancelled and cannot be adjourned.

#### (4 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 2(A)

Funds utilized for purchase of its own securities: Section 68 of the Companies Act, 2013 states that a company may purchase its own securities out of:

- (i) its free reserves; or
- (ii) the securities premium account; or
- (iii) the proceeds of the issue of any shares or other specified securities.

However, buy-back of any kind of shares or other specified securities cannot be made out of the proceeds of an earlier issue of the same kind of shares or same kind of other specified securities.

#### Prohibition for buy-back in certain circumstances [Section 70]

- (1) The provision says that no company shall directly or indirectly purchase its own shares or other specified securities-
  - (a) through any subsidiary company including its own subsidiary companies; or
  - (b) through any investment company or group of investment companies; or
  - (c) if a default is made by the company in repayment of deposits or interest payment thereon, redemption of debentures or preference shares or payment of dividend to any shareholder or repayment of any term loan or interest payable thereon, to any financial institutions or banking company;

But where the default is remedied and a period of three years has lapsed after such default ceased to subsist, then such buy-back is not prohibited.

(2) No company shall directly or indirectly purchase its own shares or other specified securities in case such company has not complied with provisions of Sections 92 (Annual Report), 123 (Declaration of dividend), 127 (Punishment for failure to distribute dividends), and section 129 (Financial Statements)

#### ANSWER : 2(B)

Section 142 of the Companies Act, 2013, provides for remuneration of auditors. According to this section the remuneration of the auditors of a company shall be fixed by the company in general meeting or in such manner as the company in general meeting may determine.

The remuneration shall, in addition to the fee payable to an auditor, include the expenses, if any, incurred by the auditor in connection with the audit of the company and any facility extended to him but does not include any remuneration paid to him for any other service rendered by him at the request of the company.

As per the facts of the Question and stated provision, remuneration of the appointed statutory auditors of a company shall be fixed by the HD Software Private Limited in general meeting or in such manner as the company in general meeting may determine

#### ANSWER : 2(C)

Section 15 of LLP Act, 2008 provides no LLP shall be registered by a name which, in the opinion of the Central Government is –

- (a) undesirable; or
- (b) identical or too nearly resembles to that of any other 'LLP or a company or a registered trade mark of any other person under the Trade Marks Act, 1999'.

Further, section 17 provides, if the name of LLP is identical with or too nearly resembles to –

(a) that of any other LLP or a company; or

### (4 MARKS)

## (4 MARKS)

(b) a registered trade mark of a proprietor under the Trade Marks Act, 1999 then on an application of such LLP to change its name within a period of 3 months from the date of issue of such direction.

Following the above provisions, LLP need not change its name if its name resembles with the name of a partnership firm. These provisions are applicable only in case where name is resemble with LLP company or a registered trade mark of a proprietor.

Hence, M/s Vardhman Steels LLP need not change its name even it resembles with the name of partnership firm.

#### (4 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 2(D)

(i) According to section 123(3) of the Companies Act, 2013, the Board of Directors of a company may declare interim dividend during any financial year or at any time during the period from closure of financial year till holding of the annual general meeting out of the surplus in the profit and loss account or out of profits of the financial year for which such interim dividend is sought to be declared or out of profits generated in the financial year till the quarter preceding the date of declaration of the interim dividend.

In the instant case, Sun Light Limited has complied due diligence in declaring interim dividend as the Interim Dividend was declared by Board of Directors at their meeting held on 7th July, 2020 before holding its first Annual General Meeting. Also, the financial statement revealed net profit so the interim dividend can be paid out of profits of the financial year ending 31st March, 2020.

- (ii) According to section 8 (1) of the Companies Act, 2013, a company having licence under Section 8 (*Formation of companies with charitable objects, etc.*) is prohibited from paying any dividend to its members. Its profits are intended to be applied only in promoting the objects for which it is formed.
- (iii) Penal consequences: According to section 127 of the Companies Act, 2013, where a dividend has been declared by a company but has not been paid or the warrant in respect thereof has not been posted within thirty days from the date of declaration to any shareholder entitled to the payment of the dividend, every director of the company shall, if he is knowingly a party to the default, be punishable with imprisonment which may extend to two years and with fine which shall not be less than one thousand rupees for every day during which such default continues and the company shall be liable to pay simple interest at the rate of eighteen per cent per annum during the period for which such default continues.

#### (5 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 3(A)

Section 83 of the Companies Act, 2013 empowers the Registrar to make entries with respect to the satisfaction and release of charges even if no intimation has been received by him from the company. Accordingly, with respect to any registered charge if an evidence is shown to the satisfaction of Registrar that the debt secured by charge has been paid or satisfied in whole or in part or that the part of the property or undertaking charged has been released from the charge or has ceased to form part of the company's property or undertaking, then he may enter in the register of charges a memorandum of satisfaction that:

the debt has been satisfied in whole or in part; or

• the part of the property or undertaking has been released from the charge or has ceased to form part of the company's property or undertaking.

This power can be exercised by the Registrar despite the fact that no intimation has been received by him from the company.

**Information to affected parties**: The Registrar shall inform the affected parties within 30 days of making the entry in the register of charges.

**Issue of Certificate:** As per Rule 8 (2), in case the Registrar enters a memorandum of satisfaction of charge in full, he shall issue a certificate of registration of satisfaction of charge in Form No. CHG-5. Therefore, Ranjit can approach the Registrar and show evidence to his satisfaction that the charge has been duly settled and satisfied and request the Registrar to enter a memorandum of satisfaction noting the release of charge.

#### (4 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 3(B)

According to section 2(76)(viii) of the Companies Act, 2013, Related party, with reference to a company, means any body corporate which is -

- A. a holding, subsidiary or an associate company of such company;
- B. a subsidiary of a holding company to which it is also a subsidiary; or
- C. an investing company or the venturer of the company;

In the given Question, D Private Limited and E Private Limited are wholly owned subsidiary companies of ABC Private Ltd. According to stated clause (B), above, D Private Limited and E Private Limited are related parties.

However, as per the Notification No. G.S.R. 464(E) dated 5th June, 2015, clause (viii) shall not apply with respect to section 188 to a private company, though being a related parties.

#### **Alternate Answer**

According to section 2(76)(viii)(B) of the Companies Act, 2013, Related party, with reference to a company, means any body corporate which is a subsidiary of a holding company to which it is also a subsidiary.

However, Clause (viii) shall not apply with respect to section 188 (Related Party transactions) to a private company vide Notification No. G.S.R. 464(E) dated 5th June, 2015.

In the given Question, D Private Limited and E Private Limited are wholly owned subsidiary companies of ABC Private Ltd. According to stated clause (B), above, D Private Limited and E Private Limited are related parties.

However, as per the mentioned Notification, clause (viii) shall not apply with respect to section 188 to a private company. Therefore, D Private Limited and E Private Limited are not related parties for the purpose of section 188.

#### (5 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 3(C)

Yes, as per 2(42) of the Companies Act, 2013, any company or body corporate incorporated outside India which-

- (a) has a place of business in India whether by itself or through an agent, physically or through electronic mode; and
- (b) conducts any business activity in India in any other manner shall be considered as a foreign company.

Accordingly, as Search & Find Pte. Ltd., is conducting its business through electronic mode, it is considered a foreign company as per Companies Act, 2013 and is required to submit the documents mentioned under Section 380 of the Companies Act, 2013.

#### (3 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 3(D)

As per the provisions of Section 27 of the General Clauses Act, 1897, where any legislation or regulation requires any document to be served by post, then unless a different intention appears, the service shall be deemed to be effected by:

- (i) properly addressing,
- (ii) pre-paying, and
- (iii) posting by registered post.

A letter containing the document to have been effected at the time at which the letter would be delivered in the ordinary course of post.

Therefore, in view of the above provision, since, the statutory rules itself provides about the service of notice that a notice when required under said statutory rules to be sent by 'registered post acknowledgement due', then, if notice was sent by 'registered post' only it will not be the compliance of said rules. However, if such provision was not provided by such statutory rules, then service of notice if by registered post only shall be deemed to be effected.

Further more, in similar case of In United Commercial Bank v. Bhim Sain Makhija, AIR 1994 Del 181: A notice when required under the statutory rules to be sent by 'registered post acknowledgement due' is instead sent by 'registered post' only, the protection of presumption regarding serving of notice under 'registered post' under this section of the Act neither tenable not based upon sound exposition of law.

#### (5 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 4(A)

#### Heading and Title of a Chapter

If we glance through any Act, we would generally find that a number of its sections referring to a particular subject are grouped together, sometimes in the form of chapter, prefixed by headings and / or Titles. These Heading and Titles prefixed to sections or groups of sections can legitimately be referred to for the purpose of construing the enactment or its parts.

The headings of different portions of a statute can be referred to determine the sense of any doubtful expression in a section ranged under any particular heading.

They cannot control the plain meaning of the words of the enactment though, they may, in some cases be looked at in the light of preamble if there is any ambiguity in the meaning of the sections on which they can throw light.

It may be noted that headings may sometimes be referred to know the scope of a section in the same way as the preamble. But a heading cannot control or override a section.

#### (6 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 4(B)

In this respect following provisions are required to be observed as mentioned in Rule 7 of the Companies (Acceptance of Deposits) Rules, 2014:

No person including a company that is in the business of providing trusteeship services shall be appointed as a trustee for the depositors, if the proposed trustee:

- (a) is a director, key managerial personnel or any other officer or an employee of the company or of its holding, subsidiary or associate company or a depositor in the company;
- (b) is indebted to the company, or its subsidiary or its holding or associate company or a subsidiary of such holding company;
- (c) has any material pecuniary relationship with the company;
- (d) has entered into any guarantee arrangement in respect of principal debts secured by the deposits or interest thereon;
- (e) is related to any person specified in clause (a) above.

#### ANSWER : 4(C)

According to fourth proviso to section 137(1) of the Companies Act, 2013, a company shall, along with its financial statements to be filed with the Registrar, attach the accounts of its subsidiary or subsidiaries which have been incorporated outside India and which have not established their place of business in India.

Provided also that in the case of a subsidiary which has been incorporated outside India (herein referred to as "foreign subsidiary"), which is not required to get its financial statement audited under any law of the country of its incorporation and which does not get such financial statement audited, the requirements of the fourth proviso shall be met if the holding Indian company files such unaudited financial statement along with a declaration to this effect and where such financial statement is in a language other than English, along with a translated copy of the financial statement in English.

It has also been clarified vide General Circular no. 11/2015 dated 21 July 2015 that in case of foreign company which is not required to get its accounts audited as per the legal requirements prevalent in the country of its incorporation and which does not get such accounts audited, the holding or parent Indian company may place or file such unaudited accounts to comply with requirements of section 136(1) and 137(1) as applicable. These, however, would need to be translated in English, if the original accounts are not in English. Further, the format of accounts of foreign subsidiaries should be, as far as possible, in accordance with requirements under the Companies Act, 2013. In case this is not possible, a statement indicating the reasons for deviation may be placed/ filed along with such accounts.

Hence, Dhiman Limited. would have to get the standalone financial statements of Best Shoes Limited translated in English language and also get those aligned as per the its accounting policies for the purpose of consolidation.

Further Dhiman Limited would need to file such unaudited financial statement of Best Shoes Limited along with a declaration to this effect along with a translated copy of the financial statement in English.

Further the format of accounts of Moroccan subsidiary company should be, as far as possible, in accordance with requirements under the Companies Act, 2013. In case this is not possible, a statement indicating the reasons for deviation may be placed/ filed along with such accounts.

(6 MARKS)

(5 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 5(A)

The problem given in the question is governed by Section 58 of the Companies Act, 2013 dealing with the refusal to register transfer and appeal against refusal.

In the present case the company has committed the wrongful act of not sending the notice of refusal of registering the transfer of shares.

Under section 58 (1), if a private company limited by shares refuses to register the transfer of or the transmission by operation of law of the right of any securities or interest of a member in the company, then the company shall send notice of refusal to the transferor and the transferee or to the person giving intimation of such transmission, within a period of thirty days from the date on which the instrument of transfer, or the intimation of such transmission, was delivered to the company.

Section 58 (3), the transferee may appeal to the Tribunal against the refusal within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the notice or in case no notice has been sent by the company, within a period of sixty days from the date on which the instrument of transfer or the intimation of transmission, was delivered to the company.

In this case, as the company has not sent even a notice of refusal, Ms. Mukta being transferee can file an appeal before the Tribunal within a period of sixty days from the date on which the instrument of transfer was delivered to the company.

#### (5 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 5(B)

(A) Remittance of Foreign Exchange for studies abroad: Foreign exchange may be released for studies abroad up to a limit of US\$ 2,50,000 for the studies abroad without any permission from the RBI.

Above this limit, RBI's prior approval is required. Further proviso to Para I of Schedule III states that individual may be allowed remittances (without seeking prior approval of the RBI) exceeding USD 2,50,000 based on the estimate received from the institution abroad. In this case since US \$ 1,20,000 is the drawal of foreign exchange, so permission of the RBI is not required.

(B) Gift remittance exceeding US \$ 10,000: Under the provisions of Section 5 of FEMA 1999, certain Rules have been made for drawal of foreign exchange for current account transactions. Gift remittance is a current account transaction. Gift remittance exceeding US\$ 2,50,000 can be made after obtaining prior approval of the RBI. In the present case, since the amount to be gifted by an individual, Mr. Rohan is USD 10,000, so there is no need for any permission from the RBI.

#### (6 MARKS)

#### ANSWER : 6(C)

A Proxy is an instrument in writing executed by a shareholder authorizing another person to attend a meeting and to vote thereat on his behalf and in his absence. As per the provisions of Section 105 of the Companies Act, 2013, every shareholder who is entitled to attend and vote has a statutory right to appoint another person as his proxy. It is not necessary that the proxy be a member of the company.

Further, any provision in the articles of association of the company requiring instrument of proxy to be lodged with the company more than 48 hours before a meeting shall have effect as if 48 hours had been specified therein. The members have a right to revoke the proxy's authority by voting himself before the proxy has voted but once the proxy has voted the member cannot retract his authority Where two proxy instruments by the same shareholder are lodged of in such a manner that one is lodged before and the other after the expiry of the date fixed for lodging proxies, the former will be counted.

Thus, in case of member X, the proxy Y will be permitted to vote on his behalf as form for appointing proxy was submitted within the permitted time.

However, in the case of Member W, the proxy M (and not Proxy N) will be permitted to vote as the proxy authorizing N to vote was deposited in less than 48 hours before the meeting.

(6 MARKS)