Sale of Goods Act 1930 Assignment

Q. No.		Assignine		Marks
Q. No.	What are the d	ifferences between a 'Condition' an	d 'Warranty' in a contract of sale?	5 5
1	Also, explain, v	vhen shall a 'breach of condition' b ision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930'	be treated as 'breach of warranty'	3
			Nov. 2020, Jan. 2021, Dec 2021)	
Ans.		ween Condition and Warranty		5
	Basis	Condition	Warranty	
	Main	A condition is a stipulation	A warranty is stipulation	
	purpose	essential to the main purpose of the contract.	collateral to the main purpose of the contract.	
	Breach	In the breach of the condition, the contract can be repudiate or the damages can be claimed or both.	Breach of warranty, the aggrieved party can claim damages only.	
	Treat	Breach of condition may be treated as a <u>breach of warranty</u> .	A breach of warranty cannot be treated as a <u>breach of condition</u> .	
	be treated as a i) Where a conthe buyer n ii) Where the iii) Where the whole good iv) Where the	the provision of the Sale of Goods Act breach of the warranty in the follow ontract of sale is subject to any contract of sale is subject to any contract of the breach of contract of sale is non-severable, ds or any part thereof. fulfilment of any condition or warratty or otherwise.	ving circumstances: dition to be fulfilled by the seller, ondition as a breach of warranty. and the buyer has accepted the inty is excused by law by reason of	
2	Discuss the cas	can convey better title to the bonaftes when a person other than the owns of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? (Nov. 2020, May 2019, RTP Ma	•	5
Ans.	title to the bona 1) Sale by a methe docume i) He was owner. ii) If the seas a methin iii) The buthin no noti 2) Sale by one sole posses goods is tra	rision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 affide purchaser of goods for value" anercantile agent: A sale made by a sent of title to goods would pass a go in possession of the goods or do ale was made by him when acting it ercantile agent. If any and has ace of the fact that the seller had no act of the fact that the seller had no act of the joint owners: If one of sevession of them by permission of the ansferred to any person who buys that as not at the time of the contract of	"A non-owner can convey better in the following case: mercantile agent of the goods for od title to the buyer if: cuments with the consent of the n the ordinary course of business at the time of the contract of sale, authority to sell. eral joint owners of goods has the e co-owners, the property in the tem from such joint owner in good	5

- 3) **Sale by a person in possession under voidable contract:** A buyer would acquire a good title to the goods sold to him by a seller who had obtained possession of the goods under a contract voidable on the ground of <u>coercion</u>, <u>fraud</u>, <u>misrepresentation</u> or <u>undue influence</u> provided that the contract had not been rescinded until the time of the sale.
- 4) Sale by one who has actually sold the goods but continues in possession thereof: If a person has sold the goods but continues to be in possession of them or of the documents of title to them, he may sell them to a third person, and if such person obtains delivery thereof in good faith and without the notice of the previous sale, he would have good title to them.
- 5) Sale by buyer obtaining possession before the property in the goods has vested in him: Where a buyer, with the consent of the seller, obtains possession of the goods, he may sell or pledge or dispose of the goods to the third person.
- 6) **Effect of estoppel:** When the owner let the other person sell his goods, and the owner does not deny his authority to sell those goods.
- 7) **Sale by an unpaid seller**: When an unpaid seller has exercised his right of <u>lien</u> or <u>stoppage in transit</u> resells the goods, the buyer acquires a good title to the goods as against the original buyer.
- 8) Sale under the provisions of the other Acts:
 - i) Sale by an Official Receiver or Liquidator of the company.
 - ii) Purchase of goods from a finder of goods.
 - iii) A sale by <u>Pawnee</u> can convey a good title to the buyer.
- What is the doctrine of "Caveat Emptor"? What are the exceptions to the doctrine of "Caveat Emptor"? (Nov. 2020, Nov. 2018)

As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the doctrine of 'Caveat Emptor' means 'Let the buyer beware'. When the seller displays their goods in the open market, it is for the buyers to make proper selection or choice of the goods. If the goods turn out to be defective, the buyer cannot hold the seller liable. The seller is in no way responsible for the bad selection of the buyer. The seller is not bound to disclose the defects in the goods which he is selling. Exceptions to the rule of 'Caveat Emptor':

- 1) Fitness as to quality or use: Where the buyer makes known to the seller the particular purpose for which the goods are required, so as to show that he relies on the seller's skill or judgment and the goods are of a description which is in the course of seller's business to supply, it is the duty of the seller to supply such goods as are reasonably fit for that purpose.
- 2) <u>Goods purchased under patent or brand name</u>: In a case where the goods are purchased under its patent name or brand name, there is no implied condition that the goods shall be fit for any particular purpose.
- 3) Goods sold by description: Where the goods are sold by description, there is an implied condition that the goods shall correspond with the description. If it is not so, then the seller is responsible.
- 4) Goods of Merchantable Quality: Where the goods are bought by description from a seller who deals in goods of that description, there is an implied condition that the goods shall be of merchantable quality. The rule of Caveat Emptor is not applicable. But where the buyer has examined the goods, this rule shall apply if the defects were such which ought to have not been revealed by ordinary examination.
- 5) <u>Sale by sample</u>: Where the goods are bought by sample, this rule of Caveat Emptor does not apply if the bulk does not correspond with the sample.

6

6

Ans.

	6) Goods by sample as well as description: Where the goods are bought by sample	
	as well as description, the rule of Caveat Emptor is not applicable in case the	
	goods do not correspond with both the sample and description or either of the	
	condition.	
	7) <u>Trade Usage</u> : An implied warranty or condition as to quality or fitness for a	
	particular purpose may be annexed by the usage of trade, and if the seller	
	deviates from that, this rule of Caveat Emptor is not applicable.	
	8) Seller actively conceals a defect or is guilty of fraud: Where the seller sells the	
	goods by making some misrepresentation or fraud, and the buyer relies on it or	
	when the seller actively conceals some defect in the goods so that the same could	
	not be discovered by the buyer on a reasonable examination, then the rule of	
	Caveat Emptor will not apply. In such a case, the buyer has a right to avoid the	
_	contract and claim damages.	
4	Mr Amit was shopping in a self-service Supermarket. He picked up a bottle of cold	3
	drink from a shelf. While he was examining the bottle, it exploded in his hand and	
	injured him. He files a suit for damages against the owner of the market on the ground	
	of breach of condition. Decide under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, whether Mr Amit	
	would succeed in his claim? (RTP May 2020)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, which states that where goods are	3
	bought by description from a seller who <u>deals in goods of that description, there is an</u>	
	<u>implied condition that the goods shall be of merchantable quality</u> . Though the term	
	'merchantable quality' is not defined in the Act, it means that in the present case, the	
	bottle must be properly sealed. In other words, if the goods are purchased for self-	
	use, they should be reasonably fit for the purpose for which it is being used.	
	Facts of the case:	
	Mr Amit was shopping in a self-service Supermarket. He picked up a bottle of cold	
	drink from a shelf. While he was examining the bottle, it exploded in his hand and	
	injured him. He files a suit for damages against the owner of the market on the ground	
	of breach of condition. Pioneer in Developing Concepts	
	<u>Conclusion</u> :	
	On an examination of the bottle of cold drink, it exploded and injured the buyer. Mr	
	Amit would succeed in a claim for damages from the owner of the shop.	
5	What are the rights of the buyer against the seller if the seller commits a breach of	5
	contract under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? (RTP May 2020)	
Ans.	If the seller commits a breach of contract, the buyer gets the following rights against	5
	the seller:	
	1) Damages for non-delivery : Where the seller <u>wrongfully neglects or refuses to</u>	
	<u>deliver</u> the goods to the buyer, the buyer may sue the seller for damages for non-	
	delivery.	
	2) Suit for specific performance : Where the seller commits of breach of the	
	contract of sale, the buyer can appeal to the court for a specific performance. The	
	court can order for specific performance only when the goods are <u>ascertained or</u>	
	specific.	
	3) Suit for breach of warranty: Where there is a breach of warranty on the part of	
	the seller, or where the buyer elects to treat a breach of condition as a breach of	
	warranty, the buyer is <u>not entitled to reject</u> the goods only on the basis of such	
	breach of warranty. But he may:	
	✓ Set up against the seller the breach of the warranty in <u>diminution or</u>	
	extinction of the price: or	

	✓ Sue the seller for <u>damages</u> for breach of warranty.	
	4) Repudiation of the contract before due date: where either party to a contract	
	of sale repudiates the contract before the date of delivery, the other may either	
	treat the contract as <u>subsisting</u> and <u>wait</u> till the date of delivery, or he may treat	
	the contract as <u>rescinded and sue for damages</u> for the breach.	
	5) Suit for interest: nothing in this Act shall affect the right of the seller or the buyer	
	to recover interest or special damages, in any case whereby law interest or	
	special damages may be recoverable, or to recover the money paid where the	
	consideration for the <u>payment of it has failed</u> .	
	In the absence of a contract to the contrary, the court may award interest at such	
	rate as it thinks fit on the amount of the price to the buyer in a suit by him for the	
	refund of the price in a case of a breach of the contract on the part of the seller	
	from the date on which the payment was made.	
6	Explain the term "Delivery, and it forms" under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.	4
	(May 2018)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, delivery means voluntary <u>transfer</u>	4
	of possession from one person to another. Delivery of goods may be by doing	
	anything which has the effect of putting the goods into the possession of the buyer or	
	any person authorized to hold them on his behalf.	
	Forms of delivery:	
	1) Actual Delivery: When the goods are <u>physically delivered</u> to the buyer.	
	2) Constructive Delivery: When it is affected <u>without any change</u> in the custody or	
	actual possession of the goods.	
	3) Symbolic Delivery: When there is a delivery of a thing in token of a transfer of	
	something else, i.e., delivery of goods in the course of transit may be made by	
	handing over the documents of title to goods like a bill of lading, railway receipt	
	or delivery orders or the key of a warehouse containing the goods is handed over	
	to the buyer. Grooming Education Academy	
7	What is an implied warranty, and state the various types of Implied Warranties?	6
	(RTP May 2020, May 2019)	
Ans.	Implied warranties: It is a warranty which the <u>law implies into the contract of sale</u> .	6
	In other word, it is the stipulation which has not been included in the contract of sale	
	in express words. But the law presumes that the parties have incorporated it into	
	their contract. It will be interesting to know that implied warranties are read into	
	every contract of sale unless they are expressly excluded by the express agreement	
	of the parties.	
	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the following are the different	
	types of implied warranties:	
	1) Warranty as to undisturbed possession: There is an implied warranty that the	
	buyer shall have and enjoy quiet possession of the goods. If the buyer's	
	possession of the goods is later on disturbed, he is entitled to sue the seller for	
	the breach of the warranty.	
	2) <u>Warranty as to the non-existence of encumbrances</u> : There is an implied warranty	
	that the goods shall be free from any charge or encumbrances in favour of any	
	third party, which have not been declared or known to the buyer before or at the	
	time the contract is entered into.	
	3) Warranty as to quality or fitness by the usage of trade: An implied warranty as to	
	quality or fitness for a particular purpose may be attached by the usage of trade.	
L	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

	4) <u>Disclosure of dangerous nature of goods</u> : Where the goods are dangerous in	
	nature and the buyer is ignorant of the danger, the seller must warn the buyer of	
	the probable danger. If there is a breach of warranty, the seller may be liable for	
	the damages.	
8	What are the consequences of "destruction of goods" under the Sale of Goods Act,	3
	1930, where the goods have been destroyed after the agreement to sell but before the	
	sale is affected? (RTP Nov. 2020, RTP May 2021)	
Ans.	Destruction of Goods-Consequences:	3
	1) As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, a contract for the sale of	
	specific goods is void if at the time when the contract was made, goods without	
	the knowledge of the seller, perished or become so damaged as no longer to	
	answer to their description in the contract. The <u>contract becomes void ab intio</u> .	
	2) As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, an agreement to sell specific goods	
	becomes void if subsequently, without any <u>fault of the seller or buyer</u> , goods are	
	perished or become so damaged as no longer to their description before the risk	
	passes to the buyer.	
	3) The above two provisions apply only to specific goods and not to unascertained	
	goods. If there is an agreement to sell a certain quantity of unascertained goods,	
	the perishing of even the whole quantity of such goods, which are in possession	
	of the seller, will not relieve him of his <u>obligation to deliver the goods</u> .	
9	A agrees to buy a new TV from a shop keeper for ₹30,000 payable partly in cash of	4
9	₹20,000 and partly in exchange for an old TV set. Is it a valid contract of sale of goods?	4
	Give reasons for your answer. (ICAI SM)	
A 10 G		
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, goods should be exchanged for	4
	money. If the goods are exchanged for goods, it will not be called a sale. It will be	
	considered as a barter. However, a contract of sale of goods for a fixed price payable	
	partly in goods and partly in cash is held to be a valid contract of sale.	
	Facts of the case: Grooming Education Academy	
	The new TV set is agreed to be sold for ₹30,000 and the price is payable partly in	
	exchange for the old TV set and partly in cash of ₹20,000.	
	Conclusion:	
	The new TV set is agreed to be sold for ₹30,000 and the price is payable partly in	
	exchange for the old TV set and partly in cash of ₹20,000. So, in this case, it is a valid	
	contract of sale.	
10	Mr T was a retailer trader of fans of various kinds. Mr M came to his shop and asked	3
	for an exhaust fan for the kitchen. Mr T showed him different brands, and Mr M	
	approved of a particular brand and paid for it. A fan was delivered to Mr M's house;	
	at the time of opening the packet, he found that it was a table fan. He informed Mr T	
	about the delivery of the wrong fan. Mr T refused to exchange the same, saying that	
	the contract was complete after the delivery of the fan and payment of the price.	
	i) Discuss whether Mr T is right in refusing to exchange as per provisions of the Sale	
	of Goods Act, 1930?	
	ii) What is the remedy available to Mr M? (RTP May 2021, Jan. 2021)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, where the goods are sold by	3
	sample as well as by description, the implied condition is that the goods supplied shall	
	correspond to both the <u>sample and the description</u> . In case the goods do not	
	correspond to both with the sample or with the description or vice versa or both, the	
	buyer can repudiate the contract.	

	Also, when the buyer makes known to the seller the particular purpose for which the goods are required, and he relies on the judgement or skill of the seller, it is the duty of the seller to supply such goods as are reasonably fit for that purpose. Facts in the case: Mr T was a retailer trader of fans of various kinds. Mr M came to his shop and asked for an exhaust fan for the kitchen. Mr T showed him different brands, and Mr M approved of a particular brand and paid for it. A fan was delivered to Mr M's house; at the time of opening the packet, he found that it was a table fan. He informed Mr T about the delivery of the wrong fan. Mr T refused to exchange the same. Conclusion: i) In the given case, Mr M had revealed to Mr T that he wanted the exhaust fan for the kitchen. Since the table fan delivered by Mr T was unfit for the purpose for which Mr M wanted the fan, therefore, T cannot refuse to exchange the fan. ii) In the present case, the remedy available to Mr M is that he can either rescind the contract or claim a refund of the price paid by him, or he may require Mr T to replace it with the fan he wanted.	
11	J sold a machine to K. K gave a cheque for the payment. The cheque was dishonoured. But J handed over a delivery order to K. K sold the goods to R based on the delivery order. J wanted to exercise his right of lien on the goods. Can he do so under the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? (ICAI SM)	4
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the right of lien and stoppage in transit is meant to protect the seller. But under two exceptional cases, these rights of the seller are affected: 1) When the buyer has made the transaction with the consent of the seller. 2) When the buyer has made the transaction based on documents of title such as bill of lading, railway receipt or a delivery order etc. Facts of the case: J sold a machine to K. K gave a cheque for the payment. The cheque was dishonoured. But J handed over a delivery order to K. K sold the goods to R based on the delivery order. J wanted to exercise his right of lien on the goods. Conclusion: In the present case, J is entitled to exercise the right of lien, but his right of lien is terminated because he has given the document of title to the buyer. K has made a transaction of sale based on this document of title to R. R can demand the delivery of the machine from K.	4
12	A, who is an agent of a buyer, had obtained the goods from the Railway Authorities and loaded the goods on his truck. In the meantime, the Railway Authorities received a notice from B, the seller, for stopping the goods in transit as the buyer has become insolvent. Referring to the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, decide whether the Railway Authorities can stop the goods in transit as instructed by the seller? (ICAI SM)	5
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the right of stoppage of goods in transit means the right of stopping the goods after the seller has parted with the goods. After that, the seller regains possession of the goods. This can be exercised by an unpaid seller when he has lost his right of lien over the goods because the goods are delivered to a carrier to take the goods to the buyer. This right is available to the unpaid seller only when the buyer has become insolvent. The conditions necessary for exercising this right are: 1) The buyer has not paid a total price to the seller.	5

- 2) The seller has delivered the goods to a carrier, thereby losing his right of lien.
- 3) The buyer has become insolvent.
- 4) The goods have not reached the buyer; they are in the course of transit.

Facts of the case:

A, who is an agent of a buyer, had obtained the goods from the Railway Authorities and loaded the goods on his truck. In the meantime, the Railway Authorities received a notice from B, the seller, for stopping the goods in transit as the buyer has become insolvent.

Conclusion:

13

In the present case, the railway authorities cannot stop goods because the goods are not in transit. A, who has loaded the goods on his truck, is the agent of the buyer. That means railway authorities have given possession of the goods to the buyer. The transit comes to an end when the buyer or his agent takes possession of the goods.

Mr G sold some goods to Mr H for a certain price by the issue of an invoice, but payment in respect of the same was not received on that day. The goods were packed and lying in the godown of Mr G. The goods were inspected by H's agent and were found to be in order. Later on, the dues of the goods were settled in cash. Just after receiving cash, Mr G asked Mr H that goods should be taken away from his godown to enable him to store other goods purchased by him. After one day, since Mr H did not take delivery of the goods, Mr G kept the goods out of the godown in an open space. Due to the rain, some goods were damaged.

Referring to the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, analyse the above situation and decide who will be held responsible for the above damage. Will your answer be different if the dues were not settled in cash and are still pending?

(Nov. 2018, Modified July 2021)

Ans. As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, when the <u>seller is ready</u> and <u>willing to deliver the goods</u> and requests the buyer to take delivery, and the buyer does not within a reasonable time after such request take delivery of the goods, he is liable to the seller for any <u>loss occurred by his neglect or refusal to take delivery</u> and also for a reasonable charge for the care and custody of the goods.

Also, goods remain at the seller's risk unless the property therein is transferred to the buyer, but after the transfer of property therein to the buyer, the goods are at the buyer's risk whether delivery has been made or not.

Facts of the case:

Mr G sold some goods to Mr H for a certain price by the issue of an invoice, but payment in respect of the same was not received on that day. The goods were packed and lying in the godown of Mr G. The goods were inspected by H's agent and were found to be in order. Later on, the dues of the goods were settled in cash. Just after receiving cash, Mr G asked Mr H that goods should be taken away from his godown to enable him to store other goods purchased by him. After one day, since Mr H did not take delivery of the goods, Mr G kept the goods out of the godown in an open space. Due to the rain, some goods were damaged.

Conclusion:

In the given case, since Mr G has already intimated Mr H that he wanted to store some other goods and thus, Mr H should take the delivery of goods kept in the godown of Mr G. The loss of goods damaged shall be borne by Mr H.

If the price of the goods would not have settled in cash and some amount would have been pending, then Mr G will be treated as an unpaid seller, and he can enforce the following rights against the goods as well as against the buyer personally:

6

6

	4) 16.1	
	1) If the property in the goods has passed to the buyer, and the buyer wrongfully	
	neglects or refuses to pay for the goods, the seller may sue him for the price of	
	the goods.	
	2) If the <u>price is payable on a certain day</u> , irrespective of delivery, and the <u>buyer</u>	
	wrongfully neglects or refuses to pay such price, the seller may sue him for the	
	price, even if the property in the goods has not passed and the goods have not	
	been appropriated to the contract.	
14	Explain the rules to Auction as per the Sale of Goods Act, 1930. (Jan. 2021)	6
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, rules to regulate the sale of the	6
	auction are: -	
	1) Goods are sold in lots: Where goods are put up for sale in lots, each lot is prima	
	facie deemed to be subject to a separate contract of sale.	
	2) Completion of the contract of sale: The sale is complete when the auctioneer	
	announces its completion by the fall of the hammer or in any other customary	
	manner, and until such announcement is made, any bidder may retract from his	
	bid.	
	3) Right to bid may be reserved: Right to bid may be reserved expressly by or on	
	behalf of the seller, and where such right is expressly reserved, but not otherwise,	
	the seller or anyone person on his behalf may bid at the auction.	
	4) When the sale is not notified by the seller: When the sale is notified to be	
	subject to a right to bid on behalf of the seller, it shall not be lawful for the seller	
	,	
	to bid himself or employ any person to bid at such sale, or for the auctioneer	
	knowingly to take any bid from the seller or any such person, and any sale	
	contravening this rule may be treated as fraudulent by the buyer.	
	5) Reserved price: The sale may be notified to be subject to a reserved or upset	
	price.	
	6) Pretended to bid: If the seller makes use of pretended <u>bidding to raise the price</u> ,	
	the sale is voidable at the option of the buyer. ion Academy	
15	A agrees to sell certain goods to B on a certain date on 10 days credit. The period of	5
	10 days expired, and goods were still in possession of A. B has also not paid the price	
	of the goods. B becomes insolvent. A refuse to deliver the goods to exercise his right	
	of lien on the goods. Can he do so under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? (ICAI SM)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act. 1930, provides that the unpaid seller	5
	who is <u>in possession of the goods is entitled to exercise the right of lien</u> in the	
	following cases:	
	1) Where the goods have been sold without any stipulation as to credit	
	2) Where the goods have been sold on <u>credit but the term of credit has expired</u>	
	3) Where the buyer has <u>become insolvent</u> even though the period of credit has not	
	yet expired.	
	Facts of the case:	
	A agrees to sell certain goods to B on a certain date on 10 days credit. The period of	
	10 days expired, and goods were still in possession of A. B has also not paid the price	
	of the goods. B becomes insolvent. A refuse to deliver the goods to exercise his right	
	of lien on the goods.	
	Conclusion:	
	In the present case, the goods are still physically in possession of A, the seller. In the	
	meantime, B, the buyer has <u>become insolvent</u> . In this case, A is entitled to exercise the	
	right of lien on the goods because the buyer has become insolvent and the term of	
	credit has expired without any payment of the price by the buyer.	
	createnas expired without any payment of the price by the buyer.	

	,	
16	Suraj sold his car to Sohan for ₹75,000. After inspection and satisfaction, Sohan paid	4
	₹25,000 and took possession of the car and promised to pay the remaining amount	
	within a month; later on, Sohan refuses to give the remaining amount on the ground	
	that the car was not in good condition. Advise Suraj as to what remedy is available to	
	him against Sohan. (ICAI SM, RTP Nov. 2020, RTP Nov. 2019, July 2021)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, an unpaid seller has a right to	4
	institute a suit for price against the buyer personally.	
	i) Where under a contract of sale, the property in the goods has passed to the buyer,	
	and the <u>buyer wrongfully neglects or refuses to pay for the goods</u> , the seller may	
	sue him for the price of the goods.	
	ii) Where under a contract of sale, the price is payable on a <u>certain day irrespective</u>	
	of delivery, and the buyer wrongfully neglects or refuses to pay such price, the	
	seller may sue him for the price. It makes no difference even if the property in the	
	goods has not passed and the goods have not been appropriated to the contract.	
	Facts of the case:	
	Suraj sold his car to Sohan for ₹75,000. After inspection and satisfaction, Sohan paid	
	₹25,000 and took possession of the car and promised to pay the remaining amount	
	within a month; later on, Sohan refuses to give the remaining amount on the ground	
	that the car was not in good condition.	
	Conclusion:	
	In the present case, Suraj will succeed against Sohan for recovery of the remaining	
	amount. Apart from this, Suraj is also entitled to:	
	1) Interest on the <u>remaining amount</u> .	
	2) Interest during the pending of the suit.	
	3) Costs of the proceedings.	
17	Ram sells 200 bales of cloth to Shyam and sends 100 bales by lorry and 100 bales by	6
17	Railway. Shyam receives delivery of 100 bales sent by lorry, but before he receives	U
	the delivery of the bales sent by the railway, he becomes bankrupt. Can Ram exercise	
	the right of stopping the goods in transit? (ICAI SM, Dec 2021)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, dealing with the right of stoppage	6
Alls.	of the goods in transit available to an unpaid seller, the right is exercisable by the	U
	seller only if the following conditions are fulfilled.	
	i) The seller must be <u>unpaid</u>	
	ii) He must have parted with the possession of goods	
	iii) The goods must be in <u>transit</u>	
	iv) The buyer must have become insolvent	
	v) The right is <u>subject to the provisions of the act</u> .	
	Facts of the case:	
	Ram sells 200 bales of cloth to Shyam and sends 100 bales by lorry and 100 bales by	
	Railway. Shyam receives delivery of 100 bales sent by lorry, but before he receives	
	the delivery of the bales sent by the railway, he becomes bankrupt.	
	Conclusion:	
	In the present case, Ram being still unpaid, can stop the 100 bales of cloth sent by	
	railway as these goods are still in transit.	
18	State the various essential elements involved in the sale of unascertained goods and	4
	their appropriation. (Nov. 2019, May 2018)	•
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, appropriation of goods involves	4
711131	the <u>selection of goods</u> with the intention of <u>using them in the performance of the</u>	7
	contract and with the mutual consent of the buyer and seller. The essentials are:	
1	contract and with the mutual consent of the buyer and sener.	

	142 77 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	1) There is a contract for the sale of <u>unascertained or future goods</u> .	
	2) The goods should conform to the <u>description and quality stated in the contract</u> .	
	3) The goods must be in a <u>deliverable state</u> .	
	4) The goods must be <u>unconditionally</u> (as distinguished from an intention to	
	appropriate) <u>appropriated</u> to the contract either by delivery to the buyer or his	
	agent or the carrier.	
	5) The appropriation must be made by:	
	i) the seller with the <u>assent of the buyer</u> , or	
	ii) the buyer with the <u>assent of the seller</u> .	
	6) The assent may be express or implied.	
	7) The assent may be given either <u>before or after appropriation</u> .	
19	State the essential elements of a contract of sale under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930	3
	briefly. (RTP May 2021, RTP May 2020)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the following elements must co-	3
	exist so as to constitute a contract of sale of goods: -	
	1) There must be <u>at least two parties</u> .	
	2) The subject matter of the contract must <u>necessarily be goods</u> .	
	3) A price in money (not in-kind) should be <u>paid or promised</u> .	
	4) A <u>transfer of property</u> in goods from the seller to the buyer must take place.	
	5) A contract of sale must be <u>absolute</u> or <u>conditional</u> .	
	6) All other <u>essential elements of a valid contract</u> must be present in the contract of	
	sale.	
20	What are the rights of an unpaid seller against goods under the Sale of Goods Act,	6
	1930? (Nov. 2019)	
Ans.	As nor the practicion of the Sale of Coads Act 1020 the appeal caller has the following	
	As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, the unpaid seller has the following [6
	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the unpaid seller has the following rights against the goods: -	6
	rights against the goods: -	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or</u>	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases-	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit;</u>	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit</u> ; ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u> , but the <u>term of credit has expired</u> ;	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit;</u> ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u> , but the <u>term of credit has expired;</u> iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent</u> .	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit</u> ; ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u> , but the <u>term of credit has expired</u> ; iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent</u> . The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of</u>	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit;</u> ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u> , but the <u>term of credit has expired;</u> iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent</u> .	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit</u> ; ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u> , but the <u>term of credit has expired</u> ; iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent</u> . The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of</u>	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit;</u> ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u> , but the <u>term of credit has expired;</u> iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent.</u> The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent</u> or <u>bailee</u> for the buyer.	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit;</u> ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u> , but the <u>term of credit has expired;</u> iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent</u> . The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent or bailee</u> for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the <u>buyer of goods become insolvent</u> , the unpaid seller who has <u>parted with the</u>	6
	 rights against the goods: - Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit</u>; ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u>, but the <u>term of credit has expired</u>; iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent</u>. The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent or bailee</u> for the buyer. Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the <u>buyer of goods become insolvent</u>, the unpaid seller who has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods has the <u>right of stopping</u> them <u>in transit</u>. He may <u>resume</u> 	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit</u> ; ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u> , but the <u>term of credit has expired</u> ; iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent</u> . The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent or bailee</u> for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the <u>buyer of goods become insolvent</u> , the unpaid seller who has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods has the <u>right of stopping</u> them <u>in transit</u> . He may <u>resume possession</u> of the goods as long as they are in the <u>course of transit</u> and may <u>retain</u>	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit</u> ; ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u> , but the <u>term of credit has expired</u> ; iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent</u> . The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent or bailee</u> for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the <u>buyer of goods become insolvent</u> , the unpaid seller who has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods has the <u>right of stopping</u> them in transit. He may <u>resume possession</u> of the goods as long as they are in the <u>course of transit</u> and may <u>retain</u> them until <u>paid or tendered</u> the price of the goods.	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit;</u> ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit,</u> but the <u>term of credit has expired;</u> iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent.</u> The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent or bailee</u> for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the <u>buyer of goods become insolvent</u> , the unpaid seller who has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods has the <u>right of stopping</u> them <u>in transit</u> . He may <u>resume possession</u> of the goods as long as they are in the <u>course of transit</u> and may <u>retain them until paid or tendered</u> the price of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is the <u>extension of the right of lien</u> because it	6
	 rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit;</u> ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit,</u> but the <u>term of credit has expired;</u> iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent.</u> The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent or bailee</u> for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the <u>buyer of goods become insolvent,</u> the unpaid seller who has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods has the <u>right of stopping them in transit.</u> He may <u>resume possession</u> of the goods as long as they are in the <u>course of transit</u> and may <u>retain them until paid or tendered</u> the price of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is the <u>extension of the right of lien</u> because it entitles the buyer to <u>regain possession</u> even when the seller has <u>parted with the</u> 	6
	 rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit</u>; ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u>, but the <u>term of credit has expired</u>; iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent</u>. The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent or bailee</u> for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the <u>buyer of goods become insolvent</u>, the unpaid seller who has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods has the <u>right of stopping them in transit</u>. He may <u>resume possession</u> of the goods as long as they are in the <u>course of transit</u> and may <u>retain them until paid or tendered</u> the price of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is the <u>extension of the right of lien</u> because it entitles the buyer to <u>regain possession</u> even when the seller has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods. 	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit</u> ; ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit</u> , but the <u>term of credit has expired</u> ; iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent</u> . The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent or bailee</u> for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the <u>buyer of goods become insolvent</u> , the unpaid seller who has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods has the <u>right of stopping</u> them in transit. He may <u>resume possession</u> of the goods as long as they are in the <u>course of transit</u> and may <u>retain them until paid or tendered</u> the price of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is the <u>extension of the right of lien</u> because it entitles the buyer to <u>regain possession</u> even when the seller has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is exercised in the following conditions only-	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the unpaid seller of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to retain possession of them until payment or tender of the price in the following cases- i) where the goods have been sold without any stipulation as to credit; ii) where the goods have been sold on credit, but the term of credit has expired; iii) where the buyer becomes insolvent. The seller may exercise his right of lien, notwithstanding that he in possession of the goods as an agent or bailee for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the buyer of goods become insolvent, the unpaid seller who has parted with the possession of the goods has the right of stopping them in transit. He may resume possession of the goods as long as they are in the course of transit and may retain them until paid or tendered the price of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is the extension of the right of lien because it entitles the buyer to regain possession even when the seller has parted with the possession of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is exercised in the following conditions only- i) The seller must be unpaid.	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit;</u> ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit,</u> but the <u>term of credit has expired;</u> iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent.</u> The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent or bailee</u> for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the <u>buyer of goods become insolvent,</u> the unpaid seller who has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods has the <u>right of stopping them in transit.</u> He may <u>resume possession</u> of the goods as long as they are in the <u>course of transit and may retain them until paid or tendered</u> the price of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is the <u>extension of the right of lien</u> because it entitles the buyer to <u>regain possession</u> even when the seller has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is exercised in the following conditions only- i) The seller must be <u>unpaid.</u> ii) He must have <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods.	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the unpaid seller of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to retain possession of them until payment or tender of the price in the following cases- i) where the goods have been sold without any stipulation as to credit; ii) where the goods have been sold on credit, but the term of credit has expired; iii) where the buyer becomes insolvent. The seller may exercise his right of lien, notwithstanding that he in possession of the goods as an agent or bailee for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the buyer of goods become insolvent, the unpaid seller who has parted with the possession of the goods has the right of stopping them in transit. He may resume possession of the goods as long as they are in the course of transit and may retain them until paid or tendered the price of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is the extension of the right of lien because it entitles the buyer to regain possession even when the seller has parted with the possession of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is exercised in the following conditions only- i) The seller must be unpaid.	6
	rights against the goods: - 1) Seller's Lien: Subject to the provisions of this act, the <u>unpaid seller</u> of goods who is in possession of them is entitled to <u>retain possession</u> of them <u>until payment or tender of the price</u> in the following cases- i) where the goods have been <u>sold without any stipulation as to credit;</u> ii) where the goods have been <u>sold on credit,</u> but the <u>term of credit has expired;</u> iii) where the <u>buyer becomes insolvent.</u> The seller may exercise his right of lien, <u>notwithstanding</u> that he in <u>possession of the goods</u> as an <u>agent or bailee</u> for the buyer. 2) Right of Stoppage in Transit: Subject to the provisions of this act, when the <u>buyer of goods become insolvent,</u> the unpaid seller who has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods has the <u>right of stopping them in transit.</u> He may <u>resume possession</u> of the goods as long as they are in the <u>course of transit and may retain them until paid or tendered</u> the price of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is the <u>extension of the right of lien</u> because it entitles the buyer to <u>regain possession</u> even when the seller has <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods. The right of stoppage in transit is exercised in the following conditions only- i) The seller must be <u>unpaid.</u> ii) He must have <u>parted with the possession</u> of the goods.	6

21	Ms Geeta went to local rice and wheat wholesale shop and asked for 100 Kgs of	6
	Basmati Rice. The shopkeeper quoted the price of the same as ₹125 per Kg, to which	
	she agreed. Ms Geeta insisted that she would like to see the sample of what will be	
	provided to her by the shopkeeper before she agreed upon the purchase. The	
	shopkeeper showed her a bowl of rice as a sample. The sample exactly corresponded	
	to the entire lot.	
	The buyer examined the sample casually without noticing the fact that even though	
	the sample was that of Basmati Rice, but it contained a mix of long and short grains.	
	The cook, on opening the bags, complained that if the dish is prepared with these rice	
	would not taste the same as the quality of rice was not as per the requirement of the	
	dish.	
	Now, Ms Geeta wants to file a suit of fraud against the seller, alleging he of selling a	
	mix of the good and cheap quality of rice. Will she be successful?	
	Decide the fate of the case and options open to the buyer for grievance redressal.	
	What would be your answer in case Ms Geeta specified her exact requirement as to	
_	the length of rice? (Nov. 2019, ICAI SM, Nov 2021 RTP, July 2021, Nov 2022 RTP)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, in a contract of sale by sample,	6
	there is an <u>implied condition</u> :	
	1) the bulk shall <u>correspond with the sample in the quality</u> ;	
	2) the buyer shall have a <u>reasonable opportunity</u> of <u>comparing</u> the bulk with the	
	sample.	
	Also, the goods shall be <u>free from any defect</u> rendering them un-merchantable, which	
	would not be apparent on a <u>reasonable examination</u> of the sample, and they can be	
	discovered only when the good is put to use. If the defect is easily discovered on	
	inspection and the buyer takes delivery after inspection, he has no remedy.	
	Facts of the case:	
	Ms Geeta went to local rice and wheat wholesale shop and asked for 100 Kgs of	
	Basmati Rice. The shopkeeper quoted the price of the same as ₹125 per Kg, to which	
	she agreed. Ms Geeta insisted that she would like to see the sample of what will be	
	provided to her by the shopkeeper before she agreed upon the purchase. The	
	shopkeeper showed her a bowl of rice as a sample. The sample exactly corresponded	
	to the entire lot. The buyer examined the sample casually without noticing the fact	
	that even though the sample was that of Basmati Rice, but it contained a mix of long	
	and short grains. The cook, on opening the bags, complained that if the dish is	
	prepared with these rice would not taste the same as the quality of rice was not as	
	per the requirement of the dish. Now, Ms Geeta wants to file a suit of fraud against	
	the seller, alleging he of selling a mix of the good and cheap quality of rice.	
	Conclusion:	
	Mrs Geeta cannot file a suit of fraud against the seller, alleging him of selling a mix of	
	good and cheap quality rice. Since the defect in the rice can be discovered through	
	ordinary examination. Hence, Mrs Geeta does not have any option available for	
	grievance and redressal.	
	If Mrs Geeta specified her exact requirement as to the length of rice, then the sample	
	she was shown must correspond to the bulk in terms of quality and length both. If	
	the quality or length had mismatched the sample, Mrs Geeta would sue the seller for	
	the damages.	
22	When can an unpaid seller of goods exercise his right of lien over the goods under the	4
	Sale of Goods Act? Can he exercise his right of lien even if the property in goods has	

	The second to the bound 2 M/b on its such a might to make 32 Combo according his wight such	
	passed to the buyer? When is such a right terminated? Can he exercise his right even	
	after he has obtained a decree for the price of goods from the court?	
	(ICAI SM, Nov 2022 RTP)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, A lien a right to <u>retain possession</u>	4
	of goods until the payment of the price, it is available to the unpaid seller of the goods	
	who is in possession of them where:	
	1) The goods have been sold without any stipulation as to credit.	
	2) The goods have been sold on credit, but the term of credit has expired.	
	3) The buyer becomes <u>insolvent</u> .	
	The unpaid seller can exercise his right to lien even if the property in goods as agent	
	of the buyer. He can exercise his right even if he is in possession of the goods as an	
	agent or bailee for the buyer.	
	Termination of lien: An unpaid seller loses his right of a lien thereon:	
	i) When he delivers the goods to a carrier or other bailee for the purpose of	
	transmission to the buyer without reserving the <u>right of disposal of the goods</u> .	
	ii) When the buyer or his agent <u>lawfully obtains possession of the goods</u> .	
	Yes, he can exercise his right of lien even after he has obtained a <u>decree for the price</u>	
00	of goods from the court.	
23	A delivered a horse to B on a sale and return basis. The agreement provided that B	3
	should try the horse for 8 days and return if he did not like the horse. On the third	
	day, the horse died without the fault of B. A files a suit against B for the recovery of	
	price. Can he recover the price? (ICAI SM, Nov 2022 RTP)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, when goods are delivered to the	3
	buyer on approval or "on sale or return" or other similar terms, the property therein	
	passes to the buyer:	
	i) When the buyer signifies his approval or acceptance to the seller or does <u>any</u>	
	other act adopting the transaction	
	ii) If he does not signify his approval or acceptance to the seller but retains the goods	
	without giving notice to rejection, then if a <u>time has been fixed for the return of</u>	
	the goods on the expiration of such time, and, if no time has been fixed, on the	
	expiration of a reasonable time or	
	iii) He does something for the good, which is equivalent to accepting the goods, e.g.,	
	he pledges or sells the goods.	
	Also, if there is an <u>agreement to sell specific goods</u> , and subsequently, the goods,	
	without any fault on the part of the seller or buyer, <u>perish</u> or become so damaged as	
	no longer to answer to their description in the agreement before the risk passes to	
	the buyer, the agreement becomes void.	
	Facts of the case:	
	A delivered the horse to B on a sale or return basis. It was decided between them that	
	B will try the horse for eight days, and in case he does not like it, he will return the	
	horse to owner A. But on the third day, the horse died without any fault of B. the time	
	given by seller A to buyer B has not expired yet.	
	Conclusion:	
	In the present case, the ownership of the horse still belongs to seller A. B will be	
	considered as the owner of the horse only when B does not return the horse to A	
	within the stipulated time of 8 days. The <u>suit filed by A for the recovery of price</u> from	
	B is invalid, and he cannot recover the price from B.	

24	The housen took delivery of 20 tables from the college on a cole on notion begin without	
24	The buyer took delivery of 20 tables from the seller on a sale or return basis without	4
	examining them. Subsequently, he sold 5 tables to his customers. The customer	
	lodged a complaint of some defect in the tables. The buyer sought to return tables to	
	the seller. Was the buyer entitled to return the tables to the seller under the	
	provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? (ICAI SM, Nov 2021 RTP)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, in case of goods on an approval	4
	<u>basis</u> , the property in goods passes from the <u>seller to the buyer</u>	
	i) When the person to whom the goods are given either accepts them or does an act	
	that <u>implies adopting the transaction</u> .	
	ii) When the person to whom the goods are given retains the goods without giving	
	his approval or giving notice of rejection beyond the time fixed for the return of	
	goods and in <u>case no time is fixed after the lapse of reasonable time</u> .	
	Facts of the case:	
	The buyer took delivery of 20 tables from the seller on a sale or return basis without	
	examining them. Subsequently, he sold 5 tables to his customers. The customer	
	lodged a complaint of some defect in the tables. The buyer <u>sought to return tables</u> to	
	the seller.	
	Conclusion:	
	In the present case, the buyer is entitled to return only 15 tables to the seller and <u>not</u>	
	those 5 tables which he has already sold to his customer. These tables are already	
	accepted by him, so the buyer becomes liable under the doctrine of Caveat Emptor.	
25	Mr S agreed to purchase 100 bales of cotton from V out of his large stock and send his	5
	men to take delivery of the goods. They could pack only 60 bales. Later on, there was	
	an accidental fire, and the entire stock was destroyed, including 60 bales that were	
	already packed. Referring to the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, explain as	
	to who will bear the loss and to what extent? (ICAI SM, RTP May 2020)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, unless otherwise agreed, the	5
	goods remain at the seller's risk until the <u>property therein is transferred to the buyer</u> ,	
	but when the property therein is transferred to the buyer, the goods are at buyer's	
	risk whether delivery has been made or not.	
	Also, where there is a contract for the sale of <u>unascertained or future goods by</u>	
	description and such goods, already in a deliverable state are unconditionally	
	<u>appropriated</u> to the contract, either by the seller or buyer, the property in the goods	
	passes to the buyer after appropriation.	
	Facts of the case:	
	Mr S agreed to purchase 100 bales of cotton from V out of his large stock and send his	
	men to take delivery of the goods. They could pack only 60 bales. Later on, there was	
	an accidental fire, and the entire stock was destroyed, including 60 bales that were	
	already packed.	
	Conclusion:	
	In the present case, following conclusions can be considered:	
	1) In this case, the property in the 60 bales has been transferred to the buyer, and	
	goods have been appropriated to the contract. Thus, loss arising due to fire in the	
	case of 60 bales would be borne by Mr S. as regards 40 bales; the loss would be	
	borne by Mr V since the goods have not been identified and appropriated.	
	2) If the bales were not selected with the consent of the buyer, then the property in	
	the goods has not been transferred at all, and hence the loss of 100 bales would	
	be borne by Mr V completely.	

26	Mr D sold some goods to Mr E for ₹5,00,000 on 15 days' credit. Mr D delivered the	6
20	goods. On the due date, Mr E refused to pay for it. State the position and rights of Mr	O
	D as per the Sale of Goods Act, 1930. (May 2018, ICAI SM)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the seller of goods is deemed to	6
Alls.	be an 'Unpaid Seller' when the whole of the price has not been paid or tendered, and	U
	the seller had an <u>immediate right of action for the price</u> .	
	·	
	Facts of the case: Mr D sold some goods to Mr E for ₹5,00,000 on 15 days' credit. Mr D delivered the	
	goods. On the due date, Mr E refused to pay for it.	
	Conclusion:	
	Position of Mr D: Mr D sold some goods to Mr E for ₹5,00,000 on 15 days' credit. Mr	
	D delivered the goods. On the due date, Mr E refused to pay for it. So, Mr D is an unpaid	
	seller.	
	Rights of Mr D: As the goods have parted away from Mr D, therefore, Mr D cannot	
	exercise the right against the goods; he can only exercise his rights against the buyer,	
	i.e., Mr E, which are as under:	
	1) Suit for a price: In the mentioned contract of sale, the price is payable after 15	
	days, and Mr E refuses to pay such price; Mr D may sue Mr E for the price.	
	2) Suit for damages for non-acceptance: Mr D may sue Mr E for damages for non-	
	acceptance if Mr E wrongfully neglects or refuses to accept and pay for the	
	goods.	
	3) <u>Suit for interest</u> : If there is no specific agreement between Mr D and Mr E as to interest on the price of the goods from the date on which payment becomes due,	
	Mr D may charge interest on the price when it becomes due from such day as he	
	may notify to Mr E.	
27	Define Ascertained and Unascertained Goods with an example each. (Nov. 2018)	4
Ans.	Ascertained Goods are those goods that are <u>identified</u> in accordance with the	4
	9	-
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of	7
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such	7
	<u>agreement</u> after the <u>contract of sale</u> is made. When from a large number of <u>unascertained goods</u> , the number or quantity contracted for is <u>identified</u> , such identified goods are called <u>ascertained goods</u> . Joping Concepts	7
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Toping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When	1
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Joping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods	*
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Toping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When	•
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number of quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. loping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained.	•
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Joping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or	•
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Toping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained'	•
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. loping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'.	•
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Joping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in	•
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Toping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known	•
20	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Toping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered.	
28	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Loping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered. A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B.	4
28	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Loping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered. A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B. X purchased the truck from B without knowing that A and C were also owners of the	
28	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Loping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered. A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B. X purchased the truck from B without knowing that A and C were also owners of the truck. Decide in the light of provisions of Sale of Goods Act,1930. Whether the sale	
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered. A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B. X purchased the truck from B without knowing that A and C were also owners of the truck. Decide in the light of provisions of Sale of Goods Act,1930. Whether the sale between B and X is valid or not? (ICAI SM)	4
28 Ans.	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Ploping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered. A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B. X purchased the truck from B without knowing that A and C were also owners of the truck. Decide in the light of provisions of Sale of Goods Act, 1930. Whether the sale between B and X is valid or not? (ICAI SM) As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, sale by one of the several joint	
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered. A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B. X purchased the truck from B without knowing that A and C were also owners of the truck. Decide in the light of provisions of Sale of Goods Act,1930. Whether the sale between B and X is valid or not? (ICAI SM) As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, sale by one of the several joint owners is valid if the following conditions are satisfied:	4
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered. A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B. X purchased the truck from B without knowing that A and C were also owners of the truck. Decide in the light of provisions of Sale of Goods Act,1930. Whether the sale between B and X is valid or not? (ICAI SM) As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, sale by one of the several joint owners is valid if the following conditions are satisfied: i) One of the several joint owners has sole possession of them.	4
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Jorg Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered. A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B. X purchased the truck from B without knowing that A and C were also owners of the truck. Decide in the light of provisions of Sale of Goods Act, 1930. Whether the sale between B and X is valid or not? (ICAI SM) As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, sale by one of the several joint owners is valid if the following conditions are satisfied: i) One of the several joint owners has sole possession of them. ii) Possession of the goods is by the permission of the co-owners.	4
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Joping Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered. A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B. X purchased the truck from B without knowing that A and C were also owners of the truck. Decide in the light of provisions of Sale of Goods Act,1930. Whether the sale between B and X is valid or not? (ICAI SM) As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, sale by one of the several joint owners is valid if the following conditions are satisfied: i) One of the several joint owners has sole possession of them. ii) Possession of the goods is by the permission of the co-owners. iii) The buyer buys them in good faith and has not at the time of contract of sale	4
	agreement after the contract of sale is made. When from a large number of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods. Jorg Concepts Example: A person goes to a vegetable market and demand 2kgs of tomatoes. When the seller appropriates 2kgs of tomatoes in accordance with the agreement, the goods become ascertained. Unascertained goods: The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of the making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. Example: X agrees to sell Y one bag of wheat out of hundreds of bags placed in his/her godown, which is the sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which bag is to be delivered. A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B. X purchased the truck from B without knowing that A and C were also owners of the truck. Decide in the light of provisions of Sale of Goods Act, 1930. Whether the sale between B and X is valid or not? (ICAI SM) As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, sale by one of the several joint owners is valid if the following conditions are satisfied: i) One of the several joint owners has sole possession of them. ii) Possession of the goods is by the permission of the co-owners.	4

	Facts of the case:		
	A B and C was joint owner of a truck, and the possession of the said truck was with B.		
	X purchased the truck from B without knowing that A and C were also owners of the		
	truck.		
	Conclusion:		
	In the present case, the sale between B and X is valid. In case one of the several joint		
	owners has the possession of the goods by the permission of the co-owner. If the		
	buyer buys them in good faith without the knowledge of the fact that the seller has		
	no authority to sell, it will give rise to a valid contract of sale.		
29	What are the implied conditions under a sale by sample? (RTP Nov. 2019)	3	
Ans.	As per the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, implied conditions under a sale	3	
	by the sample are:		
	i) there is an implied condition that the <u>bulk shall correspond with the sample in</u>		
	quality;		
	ii) there is another implied condition that the buyer shall have a <u>reasonable</u>		
	opportunity of comparing the bulk with the sample;		
	iii) there is further an implied condition of merchantability, as regards <u>latent or</u>		
	hidden defects in the goods which would not be apparent on a reasonable		
	examination of the sample.		
30	Ms Preeti owned a motor car which she handed over to Mr Joshi on a sale or return	5	
30	basis. After a week, Mr Joshi pledged the motor car to Mr Ganesh. Ms Preeti now	3	
	claims back the motor car from Mr Ganesh, will she succeed? Referring to the		
	provisions of the Sale of Goods Act,1930, decide and examine what recourse is		
	available to Ms Preeti. (ICAI SM, Nov. 2020, RTP May 2021)		
Ans.	As per the provision of the sale of Goods Act, 1930, when goods are delivered to the	5	
	buyer on approval or "on sale or return" or other similar terms, the property therein		
	passes to the buyer:		
	i) When the buyer signifies his approval or acceptance to the seller or does <u>any</u>		
	other act adopting the transaction		
	ii) If he does not signify his approval or acceptance to the seller but retains the goods		
	without giving notice to rejection, then if a <u>time has been fixed for the return of</u>		
	the goods on the expiration of such time, and, if no time has been fixed, on the		
	expiration of a reasonable time or		
	iii) He does something for the good, which is <u>equivalent to accepting the goods</u> , e.g.,		
	he pledges or sells the goods.		
	Facts of the case:		
	Ms Preeti owned a motor car which she handed over to Mr Joshi on a sale or return		
	basis. After a week, Mr Joshi pledged the motor car to Mr Ganesh. Ms Preeti now		
	claims back the motor car from Mr Ganesh.		
	Conclusion:		
	In the present case, Mr Joshi pledged the motor car to Mr Ganesh, which has attracted		
	the third condition that he has done something to the good, which is equivalent to		
	accepting the goods. Therefore, the property therein passes to Mr Joshi. Now in this		
	situation, Ms Preeti cannot claim back her Motor Car from Mr Ganesh, but she can		
	claim the price of the motor car from Mr Joshi only.		
31	M/s Woodworth & Associates, a firm is dealing with the wholesale and retail buying	6	
	and selling of various kinds of wooden logs, customized as per the requirement of the		
	customers. They dealt with Rosewood, Mango wood, Teakwood, Burma, wood etc.		

6

Mr Das, a customer, came to the shop and asked for wooden logs measuring 4 inches broad and 8 feet long as required by the carpenter. Mr Das specifically mentioned that he required the wood which would be best suited for the purpose of making wooden doors and window frames. The Shop owner agreed and arranged the wooden pieces cut into as per the buyer's requirements.

The carpenter visited Mr Das's house the next day, and he found that the seller has supplied Mango Tree wood which would be most unsuitable for the purpose. The carpenter asked Mr Das to return the wooden logs as they would not meet his requirements.

The Shop owner refused to return the wooden logs on the plea that logs were cut to specific requirements of Mr Das and hence could not be resold.

- i) Explain the duty of the buyer and seller according to the doctrine of "Caveat Emptor".
- ii) Whether Mr Das would be able to get the money back or the right kind of wood as required serving his purpose? (May 2019, ICAI SM, May 2022 RTP)

Ans.

i) As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the doctrine 'Caveat Emptor' means 'let the buyer beware'. When sellers display their goods in the open market, it is for the buyers to make a proper selection or choice of the goods. If the goods turn out to be defective, he cannot hold the seller liable. The seller is in no way responsible for the bad selection of the buyer. The seller is not bound to disclose the defects in the goods which he is selling.

Duty of the seller according to the doctrine of "Caveat Emptor": The following exceptions to the Caveat Emptor are the duties of the seller:

- 1) Fitness as to the quality or use.
- 2) Goods purchased under patent or brand name.
- 3) Goods sold by description.
- 4) Goods of Merchantable Quality.
- 5) Sale by sample.
- **Grooming Education Academy**
- 6) Goods by sample as well as a description.
- 7) Trade usage.
- 8) Seller actively conceals a defect or is guilty of fraud.

ii) Facts of the case:

M/s Woodworth & Associates, a firm is dealing with the wholesale and retail buying and selling of various kinds of wooden logs, customized as per the requirement of the customers. Mr Das, a customer, came to the shop and asked for wooden logs measuring 4 inches broad and 8 feet long as required by the carpenter. Mr Das specifically mentioned that he required the wood which would be best suited for the purpose of making wooden doors and window frames. The Shop owner agreed and arranged the wooden pieces cut into as per the buyer's requirements. The carpenter visited Mr Das's house the next day, and he found that the seller has supplied Mango Tree wood which would be most unsuitable for the purpose. The carpenter asked Mr Das to return the wooden logs as they would not meet his requirements. The Shop owner refused to return the wooden logs on the plea that logs were cut to specific requirements of Mr Das and hence could not be resold.

Conclusion:

As Mr Das has specifically mentioned that he required the wood which would be best suited for the purpose of making wooden doors and window frames, but the seller supplied Mango tree wood which is most unsuitable for the purpose. Mr

888 888 0402 support@escholars.in 16

	Das is entitled to get the money back or the right kind of wood as required		
	serving his purpose. It is the duty of the seller to supply such goods as are		
	reasonably <u>fit for the purpose</u> mentioned by the buyer.		
32	A agrees to sell to B 100 bags of sugar arriving on a ship from Australia to India within		
	the next two months. Unknown to the parties, the ship has already sunk. Does B have		
	any right against A under the Sales of Goods Act, 1930? (ICAI SM)		
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, where there is an agreement to		
	sell specific goods and the goods without any fault of either party perish, damaged or		
	lost, the agreement is thereby avoided. This provision is based on the ground of		
	supervening impossibility of performance which makes a contract void.		
	Facts of the case:		
	A agrees to sell to B 100 bags of sugar arriving on a ship from Australia to India within		
	the next two months. The parties are not known that the ship has sunk.		
	<u>Conclusion</u> :		
	In the present case, A and B has an agreement for specific goods. The goods are lost		
	because of the sinking of the ship before the property or risk passes to the buyer. The		
	loss of goods is not due to the fault of either party. So, all the conditions required to		
	treat it as a void contract are fulfilled in the above case.		
33	X contracted to sell his car to Y. they did not discuss the price of the car at all. X later	3	
	refused to sell his car to Y on the ground that the agreement was to avoid being		
	uncertain about price. Can Y demand the car under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930?		
	(ICAI SM, Nov 2021 RTP)		
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, Payment of the price by the buyer	3	
	is an important ingredient of a contract of sale. If the parties totally ignore the		
	question of price while making the contract, it would not become an uncertain and		
	invalid agreement. It will rather be a valid contract, and the buyer shall pay a		
	reasonable price.		
	Facts of the case: Grooming Education Academy		
	X and Y have entered into a contract for the sale of the car, but they did not fix the		
	price of the car. X refused to sell the car to Y on this ground.		
	Conclusion:		
	X and Y have entered into a contract for the sale of the car, but they did not fix the		
	price of the car. X refused to sell the car to Y on this ground. Y can legally demand the		
	car from X, and X can recover a reasonable price of the car from Y.		
34	Classify the following transaction according to the types of goods they are:	3	
	1) A wholesaler of cotton has 100 bales in the godown. He agrees to sell 50 bales,		
	and these bales were selected and set aside.		
	2) A agrees to sell to B one packet of sugar out of the one hundred packets lying in		
	his shop.		
	3) T agrees to sell to S all the apples which will be produced in his garden this year.		
	(ICAI SM, RTP Nov. 2019, May 2022 RTP)		
Ans.	1) A wholesaler of cotton has 100 bales in his godown. So, the goods are existing	3	
	goods. He agrees to sell 50 bales, and these bales were selected and set aside. On		
	selection, the goods become ascertained. In this case, <u>the contract is for the sale</u>		
	of ascertained goods, as the cotton bales to be sold are identified and agreed upon		
	after the formation of the contract.		
	2) If A agrees to sell to B one packet of sugar out of the lot of one hundred packets		
	lying in his shop, it is a sale of existing but unascertained goods because it is not		
	known which packet is to be delivered.		

	3) T agrees to sell S all the apples which will be produced in his garden this year. It		
	is a contract of sale of <u>future goods</u> , <u>amounting to 'an agreement to sell</u> .		
35	X consults Y, a motor-car dealer for a car suitable for touring purposes to promote		
	the sale of his product. Y suggests 'Santro', and X accordingly buys it from Y. the car		
	turns out to be unfit for touring purposes. What remedy X is having now under the		
	Sale of Goods Act. 1930? (ICAI SM)		
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, a stipulation in a contract of sale		
11101	can be of two types: Condition or Warranty. A condition is a stipulation essential to		
	the main purpose of the contract, the breach of which gives rise to a right to treat the		
	contract as repudiated.		
	Facts of the case:		
	X consults Y, a motor-car dealer for a car suitable for touring purposes to promote		
	the sale of his product. Y <u>suggests 'Santro'</u> , and X accordingly buys it from Y. the car		
	turns out to be unfit for touring purposes.		
	Conclusion:		
	In this case, the term that the car should be suitable for touring purposes is a		
	condition of the contract. It is so vital that its non-fulfilment defeats the very purpose		
	for which X purchases the car. X is therefore entitled to <u>reject the car and have a</u>		
	refund of the price.		
36	Mrs G bought a tweed coat from P. When she used the coat, she got rashes on her shin	3	
	as her skin was abnormally sensitive. But she did not make this fact known to the		
	seller, i.e., P. Mrs G filled a case against the seller to recover damages. Can she recover		
	damages under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? (ICAI SM, RTP May 2021, Dec 2021)		
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, in a contract of sale, there is no	3	
	implied condition or warranty as to quality or fitness for any particular purpose of		
	goods. But if the buyer:		
	1) expressly or impliedly makes known to the seller the particular purpose for		
	which the goods are required, oming Education Academy		
	2) <u>relies</u> on the seller's skill and judgement,		
	3) and the seller sell goods of that description which the buyer wants,		
	then the buyer can make the seller responsible.		
	Facts of the case:		
	Mrs G bought a tweed coat from P. When she used the coat, she got rashes on her shin		
	as her skin was abnormally sensitive. But she did not make this fact known to the		
	seller, i.e., P. Mrs G filled a case against the seller to recover damages.		
	<u>Conclusion</u> :		
	In the present case, Mrs G purchased the tweed coat without informing P about the		
	sensitive nature of her skin. Therefore, she cannot make the seller responsible on the		
	ground that the tweed coat was not suitable for her skin. Mrs G cannot treat it as a		
	breach of implied condition as to fitness and quality and has no right to recover		
	damages from the seller.		
37	Certain goods were sold by sample by A to B, who in turn sold the same goods by	4	
	sample to C and C by sample sold the goods to D. the goods were not according to the		
	sample. Therefore, D, who found the deviation of the goods from the sample, rejected		
	the goods and gave notice to C. C sued B and B sued A. Advice B and C as per the Sale		
A	of Goods Act, 1930? (ICAI SM, May 2022 RTP)		
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, where a contract of sale is not	4	
	severable, and the buyer has accepted the goods (wholly or partly), the <u>breach of any</u>		

	condition can only be treated as a breach of warranty and not as a ground for rejecting	
	the goods and treating the contract as repudiated.	
	Facts of the case:	
	Certain goods were sold by sample by A to B, who sold the same goods by sample to	
	C and C by sample sold the goods to D. the goods were not according to the sample.	
	Therefore, D, who found the deviation of the goods from the sample, rejected the	
	goods and gave notice to C. C sued B, and B sued A.	
	Conclusion:	
	In the present case, D could reject the goods and treat it as a breach of implied	
	condition as to sample. The buyer should be given a reasonable time and opportunity	
	of comparing the bulk with the sample. Whereas C can recover only damages from B	
	and B can recover damages from A. For C and B, it will not be treated as a breach of	
	implied condition as to sample as they have accepted and sold the goods accordingly.	
38	A person purchased bread from a baker's shop. The piece of bread contained a stone	3
	in it which broke the buyer's tooth while eating. What are the rights available to the	
	buyer against the seller under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? (ICAI SM, Nov 2022 RTP)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, there is an <u>implied condition as</u>	3
	to wholesomeness, which provides that the eatables and other food items must be	
	wholesome, i.e., it must be fit for human consumption.	
	Facts of the case:	
	A person purchased bread from a baker's shop. The piece of bread contained a stone	
	in it which broke the buyer's tooth while eating.	
	Conclusion:	
	In the present case, the piece of bread contained a stone that broke the buyer's	
	tooth while eating, thereby considered unfit for consumption. Hence, the buyer can	
	treat it as a breach of implied condition as to wholesomeness and can also claim	
	damages from the seller.	
39	J, the owner of a Fiat car, wants to sell his car. For this purpose, he hands over the car	5
	to P, a mercantile agent for sale at a price not less than ₹50,000. The agent sells the	
	car for ₹40,000 to A, who buys the car in good faith and without notice of any fraud.	
	P misappropriated the money also. J sues A to recover the car. Decide giving reasons	
	whether J would succeed. (ICAI SM, RTP Nov. 2020, RTP Nov. 2019)	
Ans.	As per the provision of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, a mercantile agent <u>has the</u>	5
	<u>authority</u> either to sell goods or to consign goods, for the purpose of sale, or to buy	
	goods, or to raise money on the security of goods in the ordinary course of business.	
	If the buyer buys goods from a mercantile agent, who has no authority from the	
	principal to sell, gets a good title of the goods if the following conditions are satisfied:	
	1) The agent should be in possession of the goods or documents of title to the goods	
	with the consent of the owner.	
	2) The agent should sell the goods while acting in the <u>ordinary course of business</u> of	
	a mercantile agent.	
	3) The buyer should <u>act in good faith</u> .	
	4) The buyer should not have, at the time of the contract of sale, notice that the <u>agent</u>	
	has no authority to sell.	
	Facts of the case:	
	J, the owner of a Fiat car, wants to sell his car. For this purpose, he hands over the car	
	to P, a mercantile agent for sale at a price not less than ₹50,000. The agent sells the	
	car for ₹40,000 to A, who buys the car in good faith and without notice of any fraud.	
	P misappropriated the money also. J sues A to recover the car.	

	Conclusion:			
	In the present case, P, the agent, was in possession of the car with J's consent for the			
	purpose of sale. The buyer, therefore, obtained a good title to the car. Hence, J, in this			
	case, cannot recover the car			
40	Distinguish between Sale' and 'Hire Purchase' under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.			6
A	(Dec 2021)			2
Ans.	Basis of Difference	Sale	Hire Purchase	2 marks
	Time of passing the	Property in the goods is	The property in goods	for
	property	transferred to the buyer immediately at the time	passes to the hirer upon	each
		of the contract.	payment of the last instalment.	point
	Position of the party	The position of the buyer	The position of the hirer	(write
	Fosition of the party	is that of the <u>owner of the</u>	is that of a <u>bailee</u> until he	any 6
		goods.	pays the last instalment.	points
	Termination of contract	The buyer cannot	The hirer may, if he so)
		terminate the contract	likes, terminate the	,
		and is bound to pay the	contract by <u>returning the</u>	
		price of the goods.	goods to their owner	
		price of the goods.	without any liability to	
			pay the <u>remaining</u>	
			instalments.	
	Burden of risk of	The seller takes the <u>risk</u>	The owner takes <u>no such</u>	
	insolvency of the buyer	of any loss resulting from	risk, for if the hirer fails to	
		the <u>insolvency</u> of the	pay an instalment, the	
		<u>buyer</u> .	owner has the <u>right to</u>	
			take back the goods.	
	Transfer of title	The buyer can pass a	The hirer cannot pass any	
		good title to a bona fide	title even to a bona fide	
		purchaser from him.	purchaser.	
	Resale	The buyer in the sale can	The hire purchaser	
		resell the goods.	<u>cannot resell</u> unless he	
			has paid all the	
			instalments.	
41	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	= -	per to show the gold bangles	4
		_	as gold bangles with lots of	
	_		gold bangles in his shop, he	
			any extra cost. Archika select	
			er requested Archika to come	
	=	=	e polish can be done on those	
	_		ivery of bangles, she noticed	
	= =		en disturbed. Now, she wants give her money back but	
	shopkeeper has denied for t	= = =	give her money back but	
	• •		ie amount under the Sale of	
	a) State with reasons whether Archika can recover the amount under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.			
		swer if shonkeener says that	the can repair those bangles	
	but he will charge extra		(Nov 2021 RTP, June 2022)	
Ans.	_		under a contract of sale, the	4
			e buyer, the contract is called	
L		the same and some to the	, contract to carred	L

Г		
	a <u>sale</u> , but where the transfer of the property in the goods is to take place at a <u>future</u>	
	time or subject to some condition thereafter to be fulfilled, the contract is called an	
	agreement to sell and as per Section 4(4), an agreement to sell becomes a sale when	
	the time elapses or the conditions are fulfilled subject to which the property in the goods is to be transferred.	
	Facts of the case:	
	Archika went to a jewellery shop and asked the shopkeeper to show the gold bangles	
	with white polish. The shopkeeper informed that he has gold bangles with lots of	
	designs but not in white polish rather if Archika select gold bangles in his shop, he	
	will arrange white polish on those gold bangles without any extra cost. Archika select	
	a set of designer bangles and pay for that. The shopkeeper requested Archika to come	
	after two days for delivery of those bangles so that white polish can be done on those	
	bangles. When Archika comes after two days to take delivery of bangles, she noticed	
	that due to white polishing, the design of bangles has been disturbed. Now, she wants	
	to avoid the contract and asked the shopkeeper to give her money back but	
	shopkeeper has denied for the same.	
	<u>Conclusion</u> :	
	a) On the basis of above provisions and facts given in the question, it can be said	
	that there is an agreement to sell between Archika and shopkeeper and not a	
	sale. Even the payment was made by Archika, the property in goods can be	
	transferred only after the fulfilment of conditions fixed between buyer and	
	seller. As the white polish was done but original design is disturbed due to	
	polishing, bangles are not in original position. Hence, Archika has right to avoid	
	the agreement to sell and can recover the price paid.	
	b) On the other hand, if shopkeeper offers to bring the bangles in original position	
	by repairing, he cannot charge extra cost from Archika. Even he has to bear some	
42	expenses for repair; he cannot charge it from Archika. Rachit arranges an auction to sale an antic wall clock. Megha, being one of the bidders,	3
42	gives highest bid. For announcing the completion of sale, the auctioneer falls the	3
	hammer on table but suddenly hammer brakes and damages the watch. Megha wants	
	to avoid the contract. Can she do so under the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act,	
	1930? (Nov 2021 RTP)	
Ans.	As per the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, in case of auction sale, the sale is	3
	complete when the auctioneer announces its completion by the fall of the hammer or	
	in some other customary manner.	
	Facts of the case:	
	In the instant case, Megha gives the highest bid in the auction for the sale of antic wall	
	clock arranged by Rachit. While announcing the completion of sale by fall of hammer	
	on the table, hammer brakes and damages the clock.	
	Conclusion: On the basis of above provisions, it can be concluded that the cale by suction cannot	
	On the basis of above provisions, it can be concluded that the sale by auction cannot be completed until hammer comes in its normal position after falling on table. Honce	
	be completed until hammer comes in its normal position after falling on table. Hence, in the given problem, sale is not completed. Megha will not be liable for loss and can	
	avoid the contract.	
43	A went to B's shop and selected some jewellery. He falsely represented himself to be	4
r.J	a man of credit and thereby persuaded B to take the payment by cheque. He further	r
	requested him to hand over the particular type of ring immediately. On the due date,	
	when the seller, B presented the cheque for payment, the cheque was found to be	
	dishonoured. Before B could avoid the contract on the ground of fraud by A, he had	

	sold the ring to C. C had taken the ring in good faith and without any notice of the fact			
	that the goods with A were under a voidable contract. Discuss if such a sale made by			
	non-owner is valid or not as per the provisions of Sale of Goods Act, 1930?			
	(May 2022 RTP)			
Ans.	Section 27 of Sale of Goods Act, 1930 states that no man can sell the goods and give a	4		
711131	good title unless he is the owner of the goods. However, there are certain exceptions	-		
	to this rule of transfer of title of goods. One of the exceptions is sale by person in			
	possession under a voidable contract:			
	1) If a person has possession of goods under a voidable contract.			
	2) The contract has not been rescinded or avoided so far			
	3) The person having possession sells it to a buyer			
	4) The buyer acts in good faith			
	5) The buyer has no knowledge that the seller has no right to sell.			
	Then, such a sale by a person who has possession of goods under a voidable contract			
	shall amount to a valid sale and the buyer gets the better title.			
	Facts of the case:			
	A went to B's shop and selected some jewellery. He falsely represented himself to be			
	a man of credit and thereby persuaded B to take the payment by cheque. He further			
	requested him to hand over the particular type of ring immediately. On the due date,			
	when the seller, B presented the cheque for payment, the cheque was found to be			
	dishonoured. Before B could avoid the contract on the ground of fraud by A, he had			
	sold the ring to C. C had taken the ring in good faith and without any notice of the fact			
	that the goods with A were under a voidable contract.			
	Conclusion:			
	Based on the provisions, Mr. A is in possession of the ring under a voidable contract			
	as per provisions of Indian Contract Act, 1872. Also, B has not rescinded or avoided			
	the contract, Mr. A is in possession of the ring and he sells it new buyer Mr. C who acts			
	in good faith and has no knowledge that A is not the real owner. Since all the			
	conditions of Section 29 of Sale of Goods Act, 1930 are fulfilled, therefore sale of ring			
	made by Mr. A to Mr. C is a valid sale.			
44	A contract with B to buy 50 chairs of a certain quality. B delivers 25 chairs of the type	3		
	agreed upon and 25 chairs of some other type. Under the circumstances, what are the			
	rights of A against B under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? (Nov 2022 RTP)			
Ans.	As per the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, if the seller delivers to the buyer	3		
	the goods <u>mixed with goods of a different description</u> (not included in the contract),			
	the buyer may:			
	1) accept the goods which are <u>as per the contract</u> & reject the goods not as per the			
	contract, or			
	2) may reject the whole goods.			
	Facts of the case:			
	A contract with B to buy 50 chairs of a certain quality. B delivers 25 chairs of the type			
	agreed upon and 25 chairs of some other type.			
	Conclusion:			
	In the present case, A has the right to accept only 25 chairs as per the contract and			
	reject the rest 25 chairs not as per the contract or A may reject the whole 50 chairs.			