



Pages (W.C.)
200
MRP ₹ (Inc. of all taxes)
140.00

वर्षा™

SPIRAL A4
NoteBook

Subject _____

Preference cases

* - The Indian Contract Act 1872

Unit - I Nature of contract.

⇒ A husband agreed to pay to his wife certain amount as maintenance every month while he was abroad. Husband failed to pay the promised amount. Wife sued him for the recovery of the amount. Here, in the case, wife could not recover as it was a social agreement and the parties did not intend to create any legal relations.

"Balfour vs Balfour"

⇒ When on dissolution of a partnership, the assets of the firm were divided among the partners the sales tax officer wanted to tax this transaction. It was held that it was not a sale. The partners being joint owner of those assets cannot be both buyer and seller.

"State of Gujarat vs. Ramnath S & Co."

(2)

Date: ___/___/___

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | SUN |
| <input type="checkbox"/> |

Subject _____

(General offer)

⇒ In the famous case, Carbolic smoke Ball Co. advertised in several newspapers that a reward of \$ 100 would be given to any person who contracted influenza after using the smoke balls produced by the carbolic smoke Ball Co. according to printed directions. One lady, Mrs. Carlill used the smoke Balls as per the directions of company and even then, suffered from influenza. Held, she could recover the amount as by using the smoke balls she had accepted the offer.

Carlill vs. Carbolic smoke Ball Co. (1893)

⇒ Gauridutt sent his servant Lahan to trace his missing nephew. He then announced that anybody who traced his nephew would be entitled to a certain reward. L traced the boy in ignorance of this announcement. Subsequently when he came to know of the reward, he claimed it. Held, he was not entitled to the reward, as he did not know the offer.

Lahan shukla v. Gauridutt

(It must be communicated to the offeror.)

⇒ Boulton bought a business from Brockhurst. Jones who was Brockhurst's creditor, placed an order with Brockhurst for the supply of certain goods. Boulton supplied the goods even though the order was not in his name. Jones refused to pay Boulton for the goods because by entering into the contract with Brockhurst, he intended to set off his debt against Brockhurst. Held, as the offer was not made to Boulton, therefore there was no contract between Boulton and Jones.

Boulton vs. Jones

⇒ P delivered some clothes to drycleaner for which she received a laundry receipt containing a condition that in case of loss, customer would be entitled to claim 15% of the market price of value of the article, P lost her new saree. Held, the terms were unreasonable and P was entitled to recover full value of the saree from the drycleaner.

In the cases referred above, the respective documents have been accepted without a protest and hence drycleaner amounted to tacit acceptance.

Dilly White vs. Manuswamy (1970)

Chapter → 1.

The Indian Contract Act 1872

Avg. marks 3.

Unit → 1. Nature of contract.

Contract :- An agreement enforceable by law. (Sec 2(h))

Agreement + Enforceability by law => Contract

Agreement :-

When the person to whom the proposal is made and signifies his assent on that proposal which is made to him the proposal becomes accepted, accepted proposal becomes promise.

sec 2(a) sec

sec 2(d) sec 2(e)

Offer + Acceptance => Promise + consideration = Agreement

Offer :- When one person signifies his willingness to do something or to abstain from doing something so as to obtain the assent of another person. To such an act or abstinance such person as making an offer.

Or.

Offer :- When one person signifies his willingness to do something or abstain from doing something so that gives assent.

Difference between Agreement and Contract.

| S.No. | Agreement | Contract |
|------------------|--|--|
| Meaning | Every promise and every set of promises, forming the consideration for each other. (Offer + Acceptance). | Agreement enforceable by law. (Agreement + Legal enforceability). |
| Scope | It's a wider term including both legal and social agreement. | It is used in a narrow sense with the specification that contract is only legally enforceable agreement. |
| Legal obligation | It may not create legal obligation. An agreement does not always grant rights to the parties. | Necessarily creates a legal obligation. A contract always grants certain rights to every party. |
| Nature | All agreements are not contracts. | All contracts are agreements. |

Essentials of a valid contract.

| | |
|--|---|
| As given by section 10 of Indian Contract Act, 1872 | Not given by section 10 but are also considered essential |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agreement 2. Free consent 3. Competency of the parties 4. Lawful consideration 5. Legal object. 6. Not expressly declared to be void (as per section 24 to 30 and 56). | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Two parties. 2. Intention to create legal relations. 3. Fulfilment of legal formalities. 4. Certainty of meaning. 5. Possibility of performance. |

→ All agreements are contracts if they are made by the free consent of the parties competent to contract, for a lawful consideration and with a lawful object and are not expressly declared to be void.

i) Two Parties.

ii) Parties must intend to create legal obligations.

iii) Other formalities to be complied with in certain cases.

iv) Certainty of meaning.

v) Possibility of performance of an agreement.

4 Types of contract

Validity or enforceability

Formation

Performance

Valid contracts

Express contract

Executed contract

Void contracts

Implied contract

Executory contract

Voidable contracts

Quasi-contracts

Unilateral contract

Bilateral contract

Illegal agreements

Econ. contracts

Unenforceable contracts.

Differences and Definitions
Page No. 1.9

Offer

Express offer

Implied offer

→ Classification of offer

Definition and Reference cases

- Carlill vs. Carbolic smoke Ball Co.
- Boulton vs. Jones.

- General offer → To public at large
- Special offer = To specified person
- Counter offer
- Cross offer
- Standing offer

* Essentials of a proposal / offer are -

1. The person making the proposal or offer is called the promisor or offeror.
2. For a valid offer, the party making it must express his willingness 'to do' or 'not to do' something.
3. The willingness must be expressed with a view to obtain the assent of the other party to whom the offer is made.
4. An offer can be positive as well as negative;

* Essential of a valid offer

1. It must be capable of creating legal relations.
 2. It must be certain, definite and not vague.
 3. It must be communicated to the offeree.
 4. It must be made with a view to obtaining the assent of the other party.
 5. It may be conditional.
 6. Offer should not contain a term the non-compliance of which would amount to acceptance.
 7. The offer may be either specific or general.
 8. The offer may be express or implied.
 9. Offer is different from mere statement of intention, an invitation to offer, a mere communication of information, a prospectus and Advertisement.
- (a) A statement of intention and announcement.
- (b) Offer must be distinguished from an answer to a question.
- (c) A statement of price is not an offer.
- (d) An invitation to make an offer or do business.
10. A statement of price is not an offer.

Reference cases :-

- Dalmia Shukla vs. Gauri Dutt
- Harris vs. Nickerson (1873).
- Mac Pherson vs. Appamalai (1917)
- Hanley vs. Facie.

Acceptance

Acceptance :- When the person to whom the proposal is made signifies his assent thereto, proposal is said to be accepted. The proposal, when accepted, becomes a promise.

* Legal Rules regarding a valid acceptance :-

1) Acceptance can be given only by the person to whom offer is made.

2) Acceptance must be absolute and unqualified.

3) The acceptance must be communicated.

4) Acceptance must be in the prescribed

5) Time

6) Mere silence is not acceptance

* Acceptance by conduct / Implied Acceptance.

Reference cases (1893) • Union of India vs. Bahulal AIR 1968
 • Carlill vs. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co. Bombay 294.
 • Neale vs. Merret [1930] W.N.18 • Brogden vs. Metropolitan Railway Co. (1877)
 • Boulton vs. Jones (1857) • Heywoorth vs. Knight (1864) 144 ER 120

• Felt House vs. Bindley (1862)
 Page No. 1-19, 1-20, 1-21

* Communication of offer and acceptance.

Communication of offer :-

the communication of offer is complete when it comes to the knowledge of the person to whom it is made.

Subject: _____

Communication of acceptance \Rightarrow

- two issues for discussion
and understanding.

- i) Communication by act.
- ii) Communication of acceptance by 'omission' to do something.

* Communication of Performance

- i) from the viewpoint of proposer and
- iii) the other from the viewpoint of acceptor himself!

* Revocation of offer and acceptance.

- i) as against the person who makes it.
- ii) as against the person to whom it is made; when it comes to his knowledge.

\Rightarrow Modes of revocation of offer.

- i) By notice of revocation.
- ii) By lapse of time.
- iii) By non-fulfilment of condition precedent.
- iv) By death or insanity (प्रताप).
- v) By counter offer.
- vi) By the non-acceptance of the offer according to the prescribed usual mode.
- vii) By subsequent illegality.

Agreement :- Every promise and every set of promises, forming consideration for each other, is an agreement.

Consideration :- When, at the desire of the promisor, the promisee

- has done or abstained from doing something;
- does or abstains from doing something; or any other person;
- Promises to do or abstain from doing something. Such act, abstinence or promise is called a consideration for the promise.

Void agreement :- An agreement not enforceable by law is said to be void. A void agreement is not enforceable from the very beginning. i.e. it is void-ab-initio.

Voidable contract :- An agreement is a voidable contract if

- it is enforceable by law at the option of one or more of the parties thereto,
- it is not enforceable by law at the option of the other or others.

Void contract :-

- A contract
- which ceases to be enforceable by law
- becomes void when it ceases to be enforceable.

Unit - 2. Consideration (मेरा सभी फ्रेंडों)

(सोच - विचार करना)

Consideration is when at the desire of the promisor, the promisee or any other person has done or abstained from doing, or does or abstains from doing or promises to do or abstain from doing something, such an act or abstinence or promise is called consideration for the promise.

Analysis of Definition of consideration

- i) Consideration is an act - doing something.
- ii) Consideration is abstinence - abstain from doing something.
- iii) Consideration must be at the desire of the promisee.
- iv) Consideration may move from promisee or any other person.
- v) Consideration may be past, present or future.

* Legal Rules Regarding consideration.

- i) Consideration must move at the desire of the promisor.
- ii) Consideration may move from promisee or any other person.
- iii) Executed and executory consideration.
- iv) Consideration may be past, present or future.
- v) Consideration need not be adequate.
- vi) Performance of what one is legally bound to perform.
- vii) Consideration must be real and not illusory.
- viii) Consideration must not be unlawful, immoral or opposed to public policy.

* Suit By A Third Party to a contract.

- i) In the case of trust.
- ii) In the case of a family settlement.
- iii) In the case of certain marriage contracts/arrangements.
- iv) In the case of assignment of a contract.
- v) Acknowledgement or estoppel.
- vi) In the case of covenant running with the land.
- vii) Contracts entered into through an agent.

* Validity of an agreement without consideration.

- i) Natural love and affection. (करोन)
- ii) Compensation for past voluntary services. (पूर्ण सेवा)
- iii) Because to pay time barred debt. (दालान देने के लिए)
- iv) Agency.
- v) Completed gift. (उपलब्ध दी गई).
- vi) Bailment.
- vii) Charity. Kadar Nath vs. Ganie Mohammad.
 Page No.: 139.

Unit-3. other essential elements of a contract.

(अन्य कानूनी उपलब्धियाँ)

Essential Elements of a valid contract.

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

Capacity to Contract Free consent Lawful Consideration & object Not Expressly declared void

→ Major Not caused by.

→ Coercion (कोरेश)

Sec. 15.

→ Undue Influence (अवैध प्रभाव)

Sec. 16.

→ Fraud (गुप्ति)

Sec. 17.

→ Misrepresentation (गलत प्रतिक्रिया)

Sec. 18.

→ Mistake (गलती)

Sec. 20, 21, 22

→ Not Disqualified

⇒ A contract must satisfy the following conditions

- 1) the parties must be competent to contract;
- 2) it must be made by the free consent of the parties
- 3) it must be made for a lawful consideration and with a lawful object;
- 4) it should not have been expressly declared as void by law.

* Capacity to contract:

to contract who-

Every person is competent

- (A) has attained the age of majority.
- (B) is of sound mind and
- (C) is not disqualified by law

Q1) Age of majority:-

According to Indian Majority Act 1875 is above 18th years of age

⇒ Law relating to minor's agreement / Position of Minor

1. A contract made with or by a minor is void ab initio.
2. No ratification after attaining majority
3. Minor can be a beneficiary or can take benefit out of a contract.
4. A minor can always plead minority.
5. Liability for necessaries.
6. Contract by guardian - how far enforceable.
7. No specific performance.
8. No insolvency.
9. Partnership.
10. Minor can be an agent.
11. Minor cannot bind parent or guardian.
12. Joint contract by minor and adult.
13. Solvency for a minor.
14. Minor as shareholder.
15. Liability for torts.

Bind ⇒ (अवृत्त)

Mohawali Bibi vs. Dhamo Das Bhose

(1903)

Saini Das vs. Ram Chand.

Page No. _____

Subject _____

* Free consent

Consent is not free when it is caused by:

Coercion Undue influence Fraud Misrepresentation Mistake

(Effect)

Contract is voidable

Contract is void

Bilateral Mistake

Unilateral Mistake

As to subject
matter

Possibility of
Performance

Nature of
Contract

As to identity
of person

Consent :> When two or more persons are said to consent when they agree upon the same thing in the same sense.
(consensus ad - idem)

Free consent :> section 14

Consent is said to be free when it is not caused by: Coercion, undue influence, Fraud, Misrepresentation and Mistake.

1. Coercion, section 15
2. Undue influence, section 16
3. Fraud, Section 17

4. Misrepresentation, section 18
5. Mistake, Section 20, 21,
- 22.

① Coercion (Sec 15) :-

Coercion is the committing or threatening to commit, any act forbidden by Indian Penal code or the unlawful detaining or threatening to detain any property, to the prejudice of any person whatever with the intention of causing any person to enter into an agreement.

Detaining \Rightarrow निपटना
Forbidden \Rightarrow मत्ता भर्ता

Intention \Rightarrow इच्छा
Prejudice \Rightarrow पश्चात

Effects of coercion (Sec 19) :-

- Contract induced by coercion is voidable at the option of the party whose consent was so obtained.
- The party receiving a void contract should if he has received any benefit, restore such benefit to the person from whom it was received.
- The person whom money has been paid or anything delivered under coercion must repay if.

② Undue Influence (Sec 18) :-

to be induced by "undue influence" where the relations subsisting between the parties are

Subject _____

such that one of the parties is in a position to dominate the will of the other and uses that position to obtain an unfair advantage over the other."

A person is deemed to be in position to dominate the will of another →

- (a) Where he holds a real or apparent authority over the other or.
- (b) Where he stands in a fiduciary relationship to the other or.
- (c) Where he makes a contract with a person whose mental capacity is temporary or permanently affected by reason of age, illness or mental or bodily distress.

for. e.g. old illiterate person.

Essential ingredients under this provision

i). Relation between the parties :-

One person can be influenced by the other when a real relationship between the two exists.

ii) Position to dominate the will:- e.g.

- (a) Real and apparent authority → e.g. i) Master and servant ii) Doctor and Patient

Subject _____

(iv) Fiduciary relationship:-

When relation of trust faith and confidence exists between the parties of a contract for e.g. (i) Father and son
 (ii) Husband and wife.
 (iii) Debtor and creditor etc.

(v) Mental distress:-

Ex. e.g. A doctor is deemed to be in a position to dominate the will of his patient.

(vi) Unfair Unconscionable bargains:-

e.g. Honey - lending transactions

(vii) The object must be false, undue advantage.

II Burden of Proof:-

The Burden of proving the absence of the use of dominant position to obtain unfair advantage will lie on the party who is in position to dominate the will of the other.

3. Fraud (Section 17) effect :-

Fraud means and includes any of the following acts committed by a party to a contract or by his agent, with an intent to deceive another party there to or his agent or to induce him to enter into the contract. [SAD - PF]

- i) The suggestion as a fact, of that which is not true, by one who does not believe it to be true.
- ii) The active concealment of a fact by one having knowledge or belief of the fact.
- iii) A promise made without any intention of performing it.
- iv) Any other act fitted to deceive.
- v) Any such act as law specially declares to be fraudulent. → (Section 17)

* Explanation to Sec 17:-

• Mere silence as to facts likely to affect the willingness of a person to enter into a contract is not fraud, unless the circumstances of the case are such that regard being had to them, it is the duty of the person keeping silence to speak or unless his silence is, in itself, equivalent to speech.

* Effect of fraud on validity of a contract:-

Where the agreement is caused by the fraud, the contract is voidable at the option of the party defrauded and he has the following remedies →

Subject _____

- ① He can rescind the contract within a reasonable time.
- ② He can sue for damages.
3. He can insist on the performance of the contract on the condition that he shall be put in the position in which he would have been if the representation made were true.

* Mere silence is not fraud:-

A party to the contract is under no obligation to disclose the whole truth to the other party. There is no duty to speak in such cases and silence does not amount to fraud e.g. "Caveat Emptor". i.e. Let the purchaser beware is the rule applicable to contracts.

i.e. Let the purchaser

⇒ Similarly, there is no duty to disclose facts which are within the knowledge of both the parties.

* Silence is fraud when:-

J. Duty of person to speak:-

Where the circumstances of the cases are such that it is the duty of the person observing silence to speak. for e.g. In contracts of "uberrimae fiduci" (contracts of utmost good faith) पुराने से विद्यार्थी

Subject _____

 Date: ___ / ___ / ___
 MON TUE WED THU FRI SAT SUN

⇒ following contracts come within this category: (FIMFA)

- (a) fiduciary Relationship.
- (b) Contracts of Insurance
- (c) Contracts of Marriage
- (d) Contracts of family settlement
- (e) Share allotment contracts.

II Where the silence itself is equivalent to speech:-

⇒ for e.g. A says to B "if you do not deny it, I shall assume that clause is sound." A says nothing his silence amounts to speech.

4. Misrepresentation (Sec 18) :- [ABC]

Misrepresentation means and includes:-

- ① The positive assertion in a manner not warranted by the info of the person making it, of that which is not true, though he believes it to be true.
- ② Any breach of duty which, without any intent to deceive, which brings an advantage to him.
- ③ Caused, innocently, the other party to the agreement to make a mistake as to the subject matter.