

# FINANCIAL REPORTING

# COMPILER + QUESTION BANK

# **MAIN HIGHLIGHTS**

- Most Unique Feature: Super Master Index before all the Chapters. (Attemptwise & Chapterwise Q&A Bifurcation)
- Complete Coverage of ICAI Study Material
- Complete Coverage of ICAI MTPs (since May 2018)
- Complete Coverage of ICAI RTPSs (since May 2018)
- Complete Coverage of ICAI Past Papers (since May 2018)
- One Stop Solution for all ICAI Q&As.



Dr. CA Ravi Agarwal www.CAmentoringprogram.org +91-7890770777



# JOIN INDIA'S BEST **MENTORING PROGRAM**

**FOR CA STUDENTS** 

# **CLEAR CA EXAMS IN ONE ATTEMPT!**





# JOIN THE SUPER LEAGUE OF AIR'S















CA Monika Aggarwal (AIR 44)



WHAT ARE YOU WAITING FOR? MAKE THE UPCOMING ATTEMPT YOUR LAST ATTEMPT





**Dr. CA Ravi Agarwal** FOUNDER OF CA MENTORING PROGRAM IN INDIA

01 - STAY SUPER MOTIVATED

02 - DAILY ACCOUNTABILITY 03 - CUSTOMISED STUDY PLAN 04- STUDY ENVIRONMENT **05- DAILY ACTIVITY** 

06-24\*7 VIRTUAL STUDY LIBRARY. & MUCH MORE!

> +91 78907 70777 www.CAmentoringprogram.org



 $\Sigma_{\text{age}}$ 

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal


all subjects free Question Bank

# **Table of Contents**

Sr. No	Particulars	Page Number
1	Introduction to Indian Accounting Standards	7 to 12
2	Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting under Indian	14 to 24
	Accounting Standards (Ind AS)	
3	Ind AS on Presentation of Items in the Financial Statements	
3.1	Ind AS 1 "Presentation of Financial Statements"	26 to 55
3.2	Ind AS 34 "Interim Financial Reporting"	57 to 73
3.3	Ind AS 7 "Statement of Cash Flows"	75 to 114
4	Ind AS on Measurement based on Accounting Policies	
4.1	Ind AS 8 "Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates	116 to 141
	and Errors"	
4.2	Ind AS 10 "Events after the Reporting Period"	143 to 161
4.3	Ind AS 113 "Fair Value Measurement"	163 to 180
5	Ind AS 115 "Revenue from Contracts with Customers"	182 to 284
6	Ind AS on Assets of the Financial Statements	
6.1	Ind AS 2 "Inventories"	286 to 313
6.2	Ind AS 16 "Property, Plant and Equipment"	315 to 366
6.3	Ind AS 23 "Borrowing Costs"	368 to 392
6.4	Ind AS 36 "Impairment of Assets"	394 to 443
6.5	Ind AS 38 "Intangible Assets"	445 to 470
6.6	Ind AS 40 "Investment Property"	472 to 488
6.7	Ind AS 105 "Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued	490 to 516
	Operations"	
6.8	Ind AS 116 "Leases"	518 to 595
7	Other Indian Accounting Standards	507 : 647
7.1	Ind AS 41 "Agriculture"	597 to 617
7.2	Ind AS 20 "Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance"	619 to 645
7.3	Ind AS 102 "Share Based Payment"	647 to 691
8	Ind AS on Liabilities of the Financial Statements	
8.1	Ind AS 19 "Employee Benefits"	693 to 731
8.2	Ind AS 37 "Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent	733 to 753
	Assets"	
9	Ind AS on Items impacting the Financial Statements	
9.1	Ind AS 12 "Income Taxes"	755 to 792
9.2	Ind AS 21 "The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates"	794 to 825
10	Ind AS on Disclosures in the Financial Statements	

10.1	Ind AS 24 "Related Party Disclosures"	827 to 844
10.2	Ind AS 33 "Earnings per Share"	846 to 886
10.3	Ind AS 108 "Operating Segments"	888 to 911
11	Accounting and Reporting of Financial Instruments	913 to 1046
12	Ind AS 103 "Business Combinations"	1048 to 1178
13	Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements of Group	1180 to 1313
	Entities	
14	Ind AS 101 "First-time Adoption of Indian Accounting	1315 to 1359
	Standards"	
15	Analysis of Financial Statements	1361 to 1434
16	Professional and Ethical Duty of a Chartered Accountant	1436 to 1454
17	Accounting and Technology	1456 to 1467

 $^{\mathsf{age}}$ 



 $^{\mathsf{Page}}6$ 

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

# Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION TO INDIAN ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

# **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attempts	May'22
RTP	Q1

# Section - A

#### Question 1

Fresh Vegetables Limited (FVL) was incorporated on 2 <sup>nd</sup> April, 20X1 under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 to carry on the wholesale trading business in vegetables. As per the audited accounts of the financial year ended 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X7 approved in its annual general meeting held on 31 <sup>st</sup> August, 20X7 its net worth, for the first time since incorporation, exceeded ₹ 250 crore. The financial statements since inception till financial year ended 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X6 were prepared in accordance with the Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules 2006. It has been advised that henceforth it should prepare its financial statements in accordance with the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015.

The following additional information is provided by the Company:

- FVL has in the financial year 20X2-20X3 entered into a 60:40 partnership with Logistics Limited and incorporated a partnership firm 'Vegetable Logistics Associates' (VLA) to carry on the logistics business of vegetables from farm to market.
- FVL also has an associate company Social Welfare Limited (SWL) that was incorporated in July, 20X5 as a charitable organization and registered under section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013. Social Welfare Limited has been the associate company of FVL since its incorporation.

Examine the applicability of Ind AS on VLA & SWL. (RTP May '22)

#### Answer 1

# Applicability of Ind AS in general:

- Currently Ind AS is applicable to the following companies except for companies other than banks and Insurance Companies, on mandatory basis:
  - (a) All companies which are listed or in process of listing in or outside India on Stock Exchanges.
  - (b) Unlisted companies having net worth of ₹ 250 crore or more but less than ₹ 500 crore.
  - (c) Holding, Subsidiary, Associate and Joint venture of above.
- Companies listed on SME exchange are not required to apply Ind AS on mandatory basis.
- Once a company starts following Ind AS either voluntarily or mandatorily on the basis of criteria specified, it shall be required to follow Ind AS for all the subsequent financial statements even if any of the criteria specified does not subsequently apply to it.
- Application of Ind AS is for both standalone as well as consolidated financial statements if threshold criteria met or adopted voluntarily.

Page 7

- Companies meeting the thresholds for the first time at the end of an accounting year shall apply Ind AS from the immediate next accounting year with comparatives.
- Companies not covered by the above roadmap shall continue to apply existing Accounting Standards notified in the Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006.

Since the net worth of FVL in immediately preceding year exceeded ₹ 250 crore, Ind AS is applicable to it. The entity VLA and SWL have to be examined as they may fall in criteria (c) above.

# Applicability of Ind AS on VLA

Joint arrangement can be either joint operation or joint venture. However, for the purpose of identifying the applicability of Ind AS, the Act defines Joint venture (as an explanation to section 2(6) of the Companies Act, 2013), as follows:

"The expression "joint venture" means a joint arrangement whereby the parties that have joint control of the arrangement have rights to the net assets of the arrangement".

Accordingly, if an entity is classified as joint operation and not joint venture, then Ind AS would not be applicable to such entity.

In the case of VLA, if partners conclude that they have rights in the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to the partnership firm then this would be a joint operation. However, Ind AS would not be applicable on VLA in such a case since it is the case of joint operation (and not a joint venture).

Alternatively, if partners conclude that they have joint control of the arrangement and have rights to the net assets of the arrangement relating to the partnership firm, then this would be a joint venture. In such a case, Ind AS would be applicable to them.

#### Applicability of Ind AS on SWL

Social Welfare Limited (SWL) is the associate company of FVL. Accordingly, Ind AS would be applicable on SWL too irrespective of the fact that SWL has been incorporated as a charitable organization.

#### **Section - B**

#### Question Illustration 1

Following is a snapshot of audited balance sheet of company A as on 31st March 2014. Company A's equity shares are listed on Bombay Stock Exchange since 2010

Liabilities	₹ in crores	Assets	₹in
			crores
Equity Share Capital	160	Fixed Assets	455
Securities Premium	200	Investments	200
General Reserve	150	Current Assets	50
Revaluation Reserve	40	Miscellaneous Expenditure not written off	80
Profit and Loss A/c	75		
Liabilities	160		
Total	785	Total	785

- As per roadmap, which Phase company A fall into?
- Will your answer change if Company A is an unlisted company?

#### **Answer**

### Calculation of Net Worth:

Page

Particulars	₹ in crores
Equity Share Capital	160
Securities Premium	200
General Reserve	150
Profit and Loss A/c	75
Miscellaneous Expenditure not written off	(80)
Net Worth as per Section 2(57) of The Companies Act, 2013	505

Note – Revaluation Reserve would not be included in the calculation of net worth as per definition mentioned in section 2(57) of The Companies Act, 2013

The company is a listed company and it does meet the net worth threshold of ₹500 Crores. Hence it would be covered under phase I. Hence Ind AS would be applicable to the company for accounting periods beginning on or after 1st April 2016.

Even if Company A is an unlisted company as company A's net worth is more than 500 Crores, it would be covered under Phase I of the road map and hence Ind AS would be applicable for the accounting periods beginning on or after 1st April 2016.

#### Question Illustration 2

Let's say in Illustration 1, the balance of profit and loss account is negative ₹ 375 crores. When Ind AS should be applicable to Company A? Will you answer change if Company A is an unlisted company?

#### Answer

If the balance of Profit and Loss A/c is negative 375 Crores, the net worth as per section 2(57) of The Companies Act, 2013 would be ₹ 55 Crores (Equity share capital ₹ 160 Cr + Securities Premium ₹ 200 Cr + General Reserve ₹ 150 Cr – Debit balance of P&L ₹375 Cr – Miscellaneous expenditure not written off ₹ 80 Cr). Hence, it does not meet the criteria as mentioned in Phase I.

i.e. Listed company or Net worth of ₹ 500 Cr or more.

However, as Company A is a listed company, it will irrespective be covered under Phase II as the first criteria of phase II states "companies whose equity or debt securities are listed or are in the process of being listed on any stock exchange in India or outside India and having net worth of less than rupees five hundred crore". Hence, Ind AS would be applicable to Company A for the accounting periods beginning on or after 1st April 2017.

If Company A is an unlisted company, Ind AS would not be applicable until it breaches the net worth criteria mentioned in the roadmap.

#### **Question Illustration 3**

The net worth of Company B (an unlisted company) was ₹ 600 crores as on 31st March 2014. However due to losses incurred in FY 14-15, the net worth of the company was ₹ 400 Crores as on 31st March 2015. From when company B shall apply Ind AS?

#### Answer

Here the company's net worth as on cut-off date was greater than ₹ 500 crores, which suggests that it should be covered under phase I of the roadmap. A question may however arise in mind that since, the net worth as on immediately preceding year-end was ₹ 400 crores, would the company be covered under phase II of the roadmap?

"It may be noted that the net worth shall be calculated in accordance with the standalone financial statements of the company as on 31st March, 2014. Accordingly, if the

Page 9

net worth threshold criteria for a company are once met, then it shall be required to comply with Ind AS, irrespective of the fact that as on later date its net worth falls below the criteria specified."

In view of the above, the Company B will be required to follow Ind AS for accounting periods beginning on or after 1st April 2016

#### **Question Illustration 4**

The net worth of Company C (an unlisted company) was ₹ 400 crores as on 31st March 2014. However, the net worth of the company was ₹ 600 Crores as on 31st March 2015. From when company B shall apply Ind AS?

#### Answer

Similar issue has been encountered in ITFG Bulletin 1, Issue 1 which gives reference to clause 2b of the notification wherein it is stated that:

"For companies which are not in existence on 31st March, 2014 or an existing company falling under any of thresholds specified in sub-rule (1) for the first time after 31st March, 2014, the net worth shall be calculated on the basis of the first audited financial statements ending after that date in respect of which it meets the thresholds specified in sub-rule (1)"

Hence, any company that meets the thresholds as specified in the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015 in a particular financial year, Ind AS will become applicable to such company in immediately next financial year. Hence, in the present case, Company C is covered by Phase I of the roadmap and accordingly, Ind AS will be applicable to Company C for accounting periods beginning on or after 1st April 2016

#### Question Illustration 5

Company D is the parent company of group A. Company A is an unlisted company having net worth of 60 crores as on 31st March 2014. Following are the other companies of the group.

Name of the	Relationship	Net worth as on 31st March		
company		2014		
Company B	Subsidiary of Company	₹ 600 Crore		
(Unlisted)	A			
Company C	Subsidiary of Company	₹ 150 Crore		
(Unlisted)	В			

#### Whether Ind AS be applicable to companies A, B and C?


#### Answei

Company A and C are unlisted and do not exceed the net worth criteria. However, the net worth of Company B exceeds ₹ 500 Crore hence it would be covered under Phase I of the roadmap.

As Ind AS be applicable to Company B, the parent company of Company B i.e. Company A and subsidiary of Company B i.e. Company C would also get covered under Ind AS irrespective of net worth criteria. Hence Ind AS would be applicable to all three companies i.e. Company A, B and C.

### Question Illustration 6

# Following is the structure of Company D



All the companies in above structure are unlisted companies and the net worth of company E is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  300 Crores and net worth of all the other companies is below  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  250 crores. To which company would Ind AS be applicable?

#### Answer

As mentioned in the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015, if Ind AS is applicable to a company, it would also be applicable to its Holding Company, subsidiary company, associate company and Joint Venture.

As the turnover of company E is above ₹ 250 crores, it would be covered under Phase II of the roadmap. Hence, its subsidiary (Company F), associate (Company G) and Holding (Company D) would also be covered under Ind AS with effect from 1st April 2017.

With respect to other companies of the group, following guidance is given in ITFG clarification bulletin 15, Issue 10: "It may be noted that Ind AS applies to holding, subsidiary, joint venture and associate companies of the companies which meet the net worth/listing criteria. This requirement does not extend to another fellow subsidiary of a holding company which is required to adopt Ind AS because of its holding company relationship with a subsidiary meeting the net worth/listing criteria. Holding company will be required to prepare separate and consolidated financial statements mandatorily under Ind AS, if one of its subsidiaries meets the specified criteria and therefore, such subsidiaries may be required by the holding company to furnish financial statements as per Ind AS for the purpose of preparing Holding company's consolidated Ind AS financial statements. Such fellow subsidiaries may, however, voluntarily opt to prepare their financial statements as per Ind AS."

Hence the other companies of the group i.e. Company H and Company I would not be covered under Ind AS. However, as mentioned in ITFG, Company H and I would be required to prepare its financial statements under Ind AS so as to facilitate Company D for preparation of its consolidated financial statements. Hence, though statutorily Company H and I may continue to prepare its financial statements under AS, but it will also have to converge to Ind AS. Moreover, they may also opt to voluntarily adopt Ind AS and prepare its statutory accounts under Ind AS too.

#### Question Illustration 7

ABC Inc., incorporated in a foreign country has a net worth of ₹ 700 Crores. It has two subsidiaries Company X whose net worth as on 31st March 2014 is ₹ 600 Crores and Company Y whose net worth is ₹ 150 Crores. Whether Company X and Y would be required to follow Ind AS from accounting periods commencing on or after 1st April 2016 on the basis of their own net worth or on the basis of the net worth of ABC Inc.?

#### **Answer**

Similar issue has been dealt in ITFG Clarification Bulletin 2, Issue 2. ITFG noted that as per Rule 4(1)(ii)(a) of the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015, Company X having net worth of ₹ 600 crores at the end of the financial year 2015-16, would be required to prepare its financial statements for the accounting periods commencing from 1st April, 2016, as per the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015. While Company Y Ltd. having net worth of ₹ 150 crores in the year 2015-16, would be required to prepare its financial statements as per the Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006.

Since, the foreign company ABC Inc., is not a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 2013 or the earlier Companies Act, 1956, it is not required to prepare its financial statements as per the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015. As the foreign company is not required to prepare financial statements based on Ind AS, the net worth of foreign company ABC would not be the basis for deciding whether Indian Subsidiary Company X Ltd. and Company Y Ltd. are required to prepare financial statements based on Ind AS.


#### Question Illustration 8

As per the roadmap, Ind AS is applicable to Company X from the financial year 2017-18. Company X (non-finance company) is a subsidiary of Company Y (NBFC). Company Y is an unlisted NBFC company having net worth of  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  400 crores. What will be the date of applicability of Ind AS for company X and company Y? If Ind AS applicability date for parent NBFC is different from the applicability date of corporate subsidiary, then, how will the consolidated financial statements of parent NBFC be prepared?

#### Answer

In accordance with the roadmap, it may be noted that NBFCs having net worth of less than 500 crore shall apply Ind AS from 1 April, 2019 onwards. Further, the holding, subsidiary, joint venture or associate company of such an NBFC other than those covered by corporate roadmap shall also apply Ind AS from 1 April, 2019.

Accordingly, in the given case, Company Y (NBFC) shall apply Ind AS for the financial year beginning 1 April, 2019 with comparative for the period ended 31 March, 2019. Company X shall apply Ind AS in its statutory individual financial statements from financial year 2017-2018 (as per the corporate roadmap). However, for the purpose of Consolidation by Company Y for financial years 2017-2018 and 2018-2019, Company X shall also prepare its individual financial statements as per AS.



 $^{\text{age}}$ 

# Chapter 2 Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting under Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS)

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem pts	Dec' 21	Jan' 21	Jul'2 1	May' 18	Nov' 18	May' 19	May' 22	May' 23	Nov' 19	Nov' 20	Nov' 22	Nov' 23
								Q1,				
MTP				Q4				Q3			Q5	
PYP						Q6	Q2				Q7	

# Section - A

#### Question 1

Discuss with respect to 'Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting under Indian Accounting Standards', 'faithful representation', one of the qualitative characteristics of financial information. (6 Marks May '23)

# Answer 1

#### **EITHER**

# Faithful representation

To be useful, financial information must faithfully represent the substance of the phenomena that it purports to represent. In many circumstances, the substance of an economic phenomenon and its legal form are the same. If they are not the same, providing information only about the legal form would not faithfully represent the economic phenomenon.

To be a perfectly faithful representation, a depiction would have following three characteristics:

- **Complete:** A complete depiction includes all information necessary for a user to understand the phenomenon being depicted, including all necessary descriptions and explanations.
- **Neutral:** A neutral depiction is without bias in the selection or presentation of financial information. Neutrality is supported by the exercise of prudence. Prudence is the exercise of caution when making judgements under conditions of uncertainty. The exercise of prudence means that assets and income are not overstated, and liabilities and expenses are not understated. Equally, the exercise of prudence does not allow for the understatement of assets or income or the overstatement of liabilities or expenses.
- **Free from error:** Free from error means there are no errors or omissions in the description of the phenomenon, and the process used to produce the reported information has been selected and applied with no errors in the process. In this context, being free from error does not mean perfectly accurate in all respects. For example, an estimate of an unobservable price or value cannot be determined to be accurate or inaccurate. However, a representation of that estimate can be faithful if the amount is described clearly and accurately as being an estimate, the nature and limitations of the estimating process are explained, and no errors have been made in selecting and applying an appropriate process for developing the estimate.

# Question 2

Discuss the following in the context of 'Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting under Indian Accounting Standards':

- (i) The cost constraint on useful financial information
- (ii) Executory contracts. (PYP 5 Marks ,May '22)

#### Answer 2

#### (i) The cost constraint on useful financial information;

**Role of Cost:** Cost is a pervasive constraint on the information that can be provided by financial reporting. Reporting financial information imposes costs, and it is important that these costs are justified by the benefits of reporting that information. **Basis of Assessment of Cost:** Both the providers and users of financial information incur costs in reporting and analyzing financial information. In applying the cost constraint, ICAI assesses whether the benefits of reporting particular information are likely to justify the costs incurred to provide and use that information. When applying the cost constraint in formulating a proposed Ind AS, the ICAI seeks information from providers of financial information, users, auditors, academics and others about the expected nature and quantity of the benefits and costs of that Ind AS. In most situations, assessments are based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative information.

**Cost Perspective:** Due to the inherent subjectivity, assessments of different individuals about the costs and benefits of reporting particular items of financial information will vary. Therefore, ICAI seeks to consider costs and benefits in relation to financial reporting generally, and not just in relation to individual reporting entities.

# (ii) Executory Contracts:

**Definition:** An executory contract is a contract, or a portion of a contract, that is equally unperformed — neither party has fulfilled any of its obligations, or both parties have partially fulfilled their obligations to an equal extent.

**Outcome of Executory Contract:** An executory contract establishes a combined right and obligation to exchange economic resources. The rights and obligations are inter-dependent and cannot be separated. Hence, the combined rights and obligations constitute a single asset or liability.

The entity has an asset if the terms of the exchange are currently favourable; it has a liability if the terms of the exchange are currently unfavourable.

**Basis of Disclosure:** Whether such an asset or liability is included in the financial statements depends on both the recognition criteria and the measurement basis selected for the asset or liability, including, if applicable, any test for whether the contract is onerous.

#### Question 3

Defense Innovators Limited is a public sector undertaking and is engaged in the construction of warships and submarines. XYZ Private Limited approached Defense Innovators Limited for construction of "specially designed" ships for it, which will be used by XYZ Private Limited for transportation of specific goods. The offer was accepted by the Defense Innovators Limited and both the companies entered into an agreement for the construction and delivery of 3 specially designed ships on 'Fixed Price' basis with variable component in respect to certain items. Base and depot (B & D) spares for all three ships shall be procured by Defense Innovators Limited and will be paid on the cost of the item with certain percentage.

The contract states that "certain equipment" out of variable cost items, will be supplied by XYZ Private Limited at 'free of cost' for installation on board of ship.

 $_{\rm age}15$ 

It is, therefore, to be noted as under:

- (i) Some equipment is procured by Defense Innovators Limited in the presence of the XYZ Private Limited's representative for technical scrutiny as well as negotiating the prices. The vendors of these equipment are paid by Defense Innovators Limited. The cost of the equipment along with the cost of installation and profit thereon is claimed and reimbursed by XYZ Private Limited to Defense Innovators Limited.
- (ii) There is certain other equipment for which orders are directly placed and also paid by the XYZ Private Limited. This equipment is known as 'Buyer Furnished Equipment (BFE)' and are delivered to the company 'free of cost' for installing in the ship. The labour cost of Installation of these are already included in the price component of the contract. BFEs are returned to the buyer after completion of the ship. The period required for construction of one ship was approximately four years. Whether the cost of Buyer Furnished Equipment's (BFE's) supplied by XYZ Private Limited to Defense Innovators Limited forinstalling the same in the ships can be considered as 'inventory' by Defense Innovators Limited and then on delivery of ship will be recognized as revenue in its books of account? Elaborate. (MTP 6 Marks March '23 & RTP May '22)

#### Answer 3

Before any item can be recognized as an inventory, it should meet the definition of 'asset' as given in the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting under Ind AS, issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India as follows:

"An asset is a present economic resource controlled by the entity as a result of past events and economic resource is a right that has the potential to produce economic benefits".

The orders in respect of Buyer Furnished Equipment's (BFEs) are directly placed by the buyer and payment in respect of them is made by the buyer. These are then supplied to the company for installing in the ship and the buyer pays installation charges which are included in the contract price. Thus, the company has neither incurred any cost on BFEs nor any amount is recoverable on account of such equipment except installation charges. Accordingly, such equipment are not 'assets' that may be considered as a part of its contract work-in progress.

In fact, after installation in the ship, BFEs are returned to the buyer after completion of the ship. Thus, these are only held by the company in the capacity of a bailee. Since, it cannot be considered as an 'asset', therefore, it can neither be considered as 'inventory' nor as 'work -in- progress'.

Further, it can also not be considered as a part of sale value or revenue of the company as no consideration would be receivable with respect to the cost of such equipment. On the basis of the above, it can be concluded that:

- (i) The BFEs cannot be considered as inventories / Work- in- progress for Defense Innovators Limited.
- (ii) The BFE's cost cannot be considered as part of sales value / contract revenue to Defense Innovators Limited.

#### Question 4

Explain Financial capital maintenance and Physical capital maintenance as per the Framework and differentiate it. (MTP 4 Marks March '18)

### Answer 4

#### A. Financial Capital maintenance

Under this concept, a profit is earned only if the financial (or money) amount of the net

16

assets at the end of the period exceeds the financial *(or money)* amount of net assets at the beginning of the period, after excluding any distribution to, and contribution from, owners during the period. Financial Capital Maintenance can be measured in either nominal monetary units or units of constant purchasing power. (May 22)

# B. Physical Capital maintenance

Under this concept, a profit is earned only if the physical productive capacity or operating capability of the entity (or resources and funds needed to achieve that capacity) (May 22) at the end of the period exceeds the physical productive capacity at the beginning of the period, after excluding any distributions to, and contributions from, owners during the period.

# C. Major differences between Physical Capital & Financial Capital

The principle difference between the two concepts of capital maintenance is the treatment of the effect of changes in the prices of assets and liabilities of the entity. (May 22)

- The physical capital maintenance concept requires the adoption of the current cost basis as measurement whereas financial capital maintenance concept does not require the use of a particular basis of measurement.
- Financial capital maintenance where capital is defined in terms of nominal monetary units, profit represents the increase in nominal money capital over the period. Thus, increase in the prices of assets held over the period, conventionally referred to as holding gains are conceptually profits. They might not be recognized as such however, until the assets are disposed of in an exchange transaction. (May 22) When the concept of financial capital maintenance is defined in terms of constant purchasing power units, profit represents the increase in invested purchasing power over the period. Thus, only that part of the increase in the prices of assets that exceeds the increase in the general level of prices is regarded as profit. The rest of the increase is treated as a capital maintenance adjustment and hence as part of equity. (May 22)
- Under the concept of physical capital maintenance when capital is defined in terms of the physical productive capacity, profit represents the increase in that capital over the period. All price changes affecting the assets and liabilities of the entity are viewed as changes in the measurement of the physical productive capacity of the entity; hence, they are treated as capital maintenance adjustments that are part of equity and not as profit.

# Question 5

What is Equity, Income and Expenses as per 'Framework for Financial Reporting under Ind AS'? How the information with respect to income and expenses helps the users in understanding of the financial statements? (MTP 5 Marks, Oct'22)

#### Answer 5

**Equity**: Equity claims are claims on the residual interest in the assets of the entity after deducting all its liabilities. In other words, they are claims against the entity that do not meet the definition of liability.

**Income and Expenses**: Income is increases in assets, or decreases in liabilities, that result in increases in equity, other than those relating to contributions from holders of equity claims.

Expenses are decreases in assets, or increases in liabilities, that result in decreases in equity, other than those relating to distributions to holders of equity claims.

Income and expenses are the elements of financial statements that relate to an entity's financial performance. Users of financial statements need information about both an entity's financial position and its financial performance. Hence, although income and

expenses are defined in terms of changes in assets and liabilities, information about income and expenses is just as important as information about assets and liabilities. Different transactions and other events generate income and expenses with different characteristics. Providing information separately about income and expenses with different characteristics can help users of financial statements to understand the entity's financial performance.

#### **Ouestion 6**

Mr. Unique commenced business on 1/04/17 with Rs. 20,000 represented by 5,000 units of the product @ Rs. 4 per unit. During the year 2017-18, he sold 5,000 units @ Rs. 5 per unit. During 2017-18, he withdraw Rs. 4.000.

- 31/03/18: Price of the product @ Rs. 4.60 per unit
- Average price indices: 1/4/17: 100 & 31/3/18: 120

#### Find out:

- (i) Financial capital maintenance at Historical Cost
- (ii) Financial capital maintenance at Current Purchasing Power
- (iii) Physical Capital Maintenance (PYP 5 Marks May'19)

#### Answer 6

Financial Capital Maintenance at historical costs

	Rs.	Rs.
Closing capital (Rs. 25,000 – Rs. 4,000)		21,000
Less: Capital to be maintained		
Opening capital (At historical cost)	-	
Introduction (At historical cost)	20,000	(20,000)
Retained profit		1,000

Financial Capital Maintenance at current purchasing power

		P
	Rs.	Rs.
Closing capital (Rs. 25,000 – Rs. 4,000)		21,000
Less: Capital to be maintained		
Opening capital (At closing price) (5,000 x Rs.	24,000	
4.80)		
Introduction (At closing price)	Nil	(24,000)
Retained profit	1	(3,000)

Physical Capital Maintenance

i ily biodi oupitai ilidilitolidiloo		
	Rs.	Rs.
Closing capital (Rs. 25,000 – Rs. 4,000)		21,000
Less: Capital to be maintained		
Opening capital (At current cost) (5,000 x Rs. 4.60)	23,000	
Introduction (At current cost)	<u>Nil</u>	(23,000)
Retained profit		(2,000)

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Most of the examinees had not attempted this part of the question. Those who had attempted were also not able to either complete it or do it correctly.

#### Question 7

Explain the criteria in the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting for the recognition of an asset and discuss whether there are inconsistencies with the criteria in Ind AS 38. (PYP 6 Marks Nov 22)

# Answer 7

The Conceptual Framework defines an asset as a present economic resource controlled by the entity as a result of past events. An economic resource is a right that has the potential to produce economic benefits. Assets should be recognized if they meet the Conceptual Framework definition of an asset and such recognition provides users of financial statements with information that is useful i.e. it is relevant as well as results in faithful representation. However, the criteria of a cost-benefit analysis always exists i.e. the benefits of the information must be sufficient to justify the costs of providing such information. The recognition criteria outlined in the Conceptual Framework allows for flexibility in the application in amending or developing the standards.

Para 8 of Ind AS 38 'Intangible Assets', defines an intangible asset as an identifiable non-monetary asset without physical substance. Further, Ind AS 38 defines an asset as a resource:

- (a) controlled by an entity as a result of past events; and
- (b) from which future economic benefits are expected to flow to the entity.

Furthermore, Para 21 of Ind AS 38 states that an intangible asset shall be recognized if, and only if:

- (a) it is probable that the expected future economic benefits that are attributable to the asset will flow to the entity; and
- (b) the cost of the asset can be measured reliably.

This requirement is applicable both in case of an externally acquired intangible asset or an internally generated intangible asset. The probability of expected future economic benefits must be based on reasonable and supportable assumptions that represent management's best estimate of the set of economic conditions that will exist over the useful life of the asset. Further, as per Para 33 of Ind AS 38, the probability recognition criterion is always considered to be satisfied for intangible assets acquired in business combinations. If the recognition criteria are not satisfied, Ind AS 38 requires the expenditure to be expensed as and when it is incurred.

It is notable that the Conceptual Framework does not prescribe a 'probability criterion'. As long as there is a potential to produce economic benefits, even with a low probability, an item can be recognized as an asset according to the Conceptual Framework. However, in terms of intangible assets, it could be argued that recognizing an intangible asset having low probability of generating economic benefits would not be useful to the users of financial statements given that the asset has no physical substance.

The recognition criteria and definition of an asset under Ind AS 38 are different as compared to those outlined in the Conceptual Framework. To put in simple words, the criteria in Ind AS 38 are more specific, but definitely do provide information that is relevant and a faithful representation. When viewed from the prism of relevance and faithful representation, the requirements of Ind AS 38 in terms of recognition appear to be consistent with the Conceptual Framework.

# Section - B

# Question 1

The directors of Jayant Ltd. have received the following email from its majority shareholder: To: Directors of Jayant Ltd.

Re: Measurement

 $^{\rm age}19$ 

I recently read an article published in the financial press about the 'mixed measurement approach' that is used by lots of companies. I hope Jayant Ltd. does not follow such an approach because 'mixed' seems to imply 'inconsistent'. I believe that consistency is of paramount importance, and hence feel it would be better to measure everything in a uniform manner. It would be appreciated if you could provide further information at the next annual general meeting on measurement bases, covering what approach is taken by Jayant Ltd. and why, and the potential effect such an approach has on the investors trying to analyses the financial statements.

Prepare notes for the directors of Jayant Ltd. to discuss the issue raised in the shareholders' email with reference to the Conceptual Framework wherever appropriate.

#### Answer 1

'Mixed measurement' approach implies that a company selects different measurement bases (e.g. historical cost or fair value) for its various assets and liabilities, rather than using one single measurement basis for all items. The measurement basis so selected should reflect the type of entity and the sector in which it operates and the business model that the entity adopts.

There are criticisms of the mixed measurement approach, particularly under the IFRS regime, because investors think that if different measurement bases are used for assets and liabilities, the resulting figures could lack relevance or exhibit little meaning.

It is however important to note that figures of items in the financial statements cannot be derived by following a one-size-fits-all approach. Such an approach may not provide relevant information to users. A particular measurement basis may be easier to understand, more verifiable and less costly to implement. Therefore, to state that 'mixed measurement' approach is 'inconsistent' is a poor argument. In reality, a mixed approach may actually provide more relevant information to the stakeholders.

The Conceptual Framework confirms the allowance of the usage of a mixed measurement approach in developing standards. The measurement methods included in the standards are those which the standard-setters believe provide the most relevant information and which most faithfully represent the underlying transaction or event. Based on the reactions to the convergence to Ind AS, it feels that most investors feel this approach is consistent with their analysis of financial statements. Thus, the arguments against a mixed measurement are far outweighed by the greater relevance achieved by such measurement bases.

Jayant Ltd. prepares its financial statements under Ind AS, and therefore applies the measurement bases permitted in Ind AS. Ind AS adopt a mixed measurement basis, which includes current value (fair value, value in use, fulfilment value and current cost) and historical cost.

Where an Ind AS allows a choice of measurement basis, the directors of Jayant Ltd. must exercise judgment as to which basis will provide the most useful information for its primary users. Furthermore, when selecting a measurement basis, measurement uncertainty should also be considered. The Conceptual Framework states that for some estimates, a high level of measurement uncertainty may outweigh other factors to such an extent that the resulting information may be of little relevance.

# Question 2 (Illustration) Derecognition vs. Faithful Representation

,age 20

As at 31 March 20X2, Natasha Ltd. carried trade receivables of `280 crores in its balance sheet. At that date, Natasha Ltd. entered into a factoring agreement with Samantha Ltd., a financial institution, according to which it transferred the trade receivables in exchange for an immediate cash payment of `250 crores. As per the factoring agreement, any shortfall between the amount collected and `250 crores will be reimbursed by Natasha Ltd. to Samantha Ltd. Once the trade receivables have been collected, any amounts above `250 crores, less interest on this amount, will be repaid to Natasha Ltd. The directors of Natasha Ltd. are of the opinion that the trade receivables should be derecognized.

You are required to explain the appropriate accounting treatment of this transaction in the financial statements for the year ending 31 March 20X2, and also evaluate this transaction in the context of the Conceptual Framework. hence there is outcome uncertainty.

#### Answer 2

### **Accounting Treatment:**

Trade Receivables fall within the ambit of financial assets under Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments. Thus, the issue in question is whether the factoring arrangement entered into with Samantha Ltd. requires Natasha Ltd. to derecognize the trade receivables from its financial statements.

As per Para 3.2.3, 3.2.4, 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 of Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments, an entity shall derecognize a financial asset when, and only when:

- (a) the contractual rights to the cash flows from the financial asset expire, or
- (b) it transfers the financial asset or substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset to another party.
- (c) In the given case, since the trade receivables are appearing in the Balance Sheet of Natasha Ltd. as at 31 March 20X2 and are expected to be collected, the contractual rights to the cash flows have not expired.
- (d) As far as the transfer of the risks and rewards of ownership is concerned, the factoring arrangement needs to be viewed in its substance, rather than its legal form. Natasha Ltd. has transferred the receivables to Samantha Ltd. for cash of ` 250 crores, and yet, it remains liable for making good any shortfall between ` 250 crores and the amount collected by Samantha Ltd. Thus, in substance, Natasha Ltd. is effectively liable for the entire ` 250 crores, although the shortfall would not be such an amount. Accordingly, Natasha Ltd. retains the credit risk despite the factoring arrangement entered.
- (e) It is also explicitly stated in the agreement that Samantha Ltd. would be liable to pay to Natasha Ltd. any amount collected more than `250 crores, after retaining an amount towards interest. Thus, Natasha Ltd. retains the potential rewards of full settlement.
- (f) A perusal of the above clearly shows that substantially all the risks and rewards continue to remain with Natasha Ltd., and hence, the trade receivables should continue to appear in the Balance Sheet of Natasha Ltd. The immediate payment (i.e. consideration as per the factoring agreement) of `250 crores by Samantha Ltd. to Natasha Ltd. should be regarded as a financial liability, and be shown as such by Natasha Ltd. in its Balance Sheet.

#### Question 3 (Illustration)

Explain the criteria in the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting for the recognition of an asset and discuss whether there are inconsistencies with the criteria in Ind AS 38, Intangible Assets.

Answer 3

17

The Conceptual Framework defines an asset as a present economic resource controlled by the entity as a result of past events. An economic resource is a right that has the potential to produce economic benefits. Assets should be recognized if they meet the Conceptual Framework definition of an asset and such recognition provides users of financial statements with information that is useful (i.e. it is relevant as well as results in faithful representation). However, the criteria of a costbenefit analysis always exists i.e. the benefits of the information must be sufficient to justify the costs of providing such information. The recognition criteria outlined in the Conceptual Framework allows for flexibility in the application in amending or developing the standards.

Para 8 of Ind AS 38, Intangible Assets defines an intangible asset as an identifiable non-monetary asset without physical substance. Further, Ind AS 38 defines an asset as a resource:

- (a) controlled by an entity as a result of past events; and
- (b) from which future economic benefits are expected to flow to the entity. Furthermore, Para 21 of Ind AS 38 states that an intangible asset shall be recognised if, and only if:
  - (a) it is probable that the expected future economic benefits that are attributable to the asset will flow to the entity; and
  - (b) the cost of the asset can be measured reliably.

This requirement is applicable both in case of an externally acquired intangible asset or an internally generated intangible asset. The probability of expected future economic benefits must be based on reasonable and supportable assumptions that represent management's best estimate of the set of economic conditions that will exist over the useful life of the asset. Further, as per Para 33 of Ind AS 38, the probability recognition criterion is always considered to be satisfied for intangible assets acquired in business combinations. If the recognition criteria are not satisfied, Ind AS 38 requires the expenditure to be expensed as and when it is incurred.

It is notable that the Conceptual Framework does not prescribe a 'probability criterion'. As long as there is a potential to produce economic benefits, even with a low probability, an item can be recognized as an asset according to the Conceptual Framework. However, in terms of intangible assets, it could be argued that recognizing an intangible asset having low probability of generating economic benefits would not be useful to the users of financial statements given that the asset has no physical substance.

The recognition criteria and definition of an asset under Ind AS 38 are different as compared to those outlined in the Conceptual Framework. To put in simple words, the criteria in Ind AS 38 are more specific, but definitely do provide information that is relevant and a faithful representation. When viewed from the prism of relevance and faithful representation, the requirements of Ind AS 38 in terms of recognition appear to be consistent with the Conceptual Framework.

#### Question 4 (Illustration)

The directors of Hind Ltd. are particular about the usefulness of the financial statements. They have opined that although Ind AS implement a fair value model, Ind AS are failing in reflecting the usefulness of the financial statements as they do not reflect the financial value of the entity. Discuss the views of the directors as regards the use of fair value in Ind AS and the fact that the Ind AS do not reflect the financial value of an entity, making special reference to relevant Ind AS and the Conceptual Framework.

#### Answer 4

# Usage of Fair Value in Ind AS:

#### Treatment under Ind AS:

The statement of the directors regarding Ind AS implementing a fair value model is not entire accurate. Although Ind AS do use fair value (and present value), it is not a complete fair value system. Ind AS are often based on the business model of the entity and on the expectations of realizing the asset- and liability-related cash flows through operations and transfers.

It is notable that what is preferred is a mixed measurement system, with some items being measured at fair value while others measured at historical cost.

#### About Fair Value (Ind AS 113)

Ind AS 113 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This price is an exit price.

Ind AS 113 has given consistency to the definition and application of fair value, and this consistency is applied across other Ind AS, which are generally required to measure fair value in accordance with Ind AS 113. However, it cannot be implied that Ind AS requires all assets and liabilities to be measured at fair value. Rather, many entities measure most items at depreciated historical costs, although the exception being in the case of business combinations, where assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on the date of acquisition. In other cases, usage of fair value is restricted.

Examples of use of fair value in Ind AS:

- (a) Ind AS 16 Property, Plant and Equipment permits revaluation through other comprehensive income, provided it is carried out regularly.
- (b) Disclosure of fair value of Investment Property in Ind AS 40, while the companies account for the same under the cost model.
- (c) Ind AS 38 Intangible Assets allows measurement of intangible assets at fair value with corresponding changes in equity, but only if the assets can be measured reliably by way of existence of an active market for them.
- (d) Ind AS 109 Financial Instruments requires some financial assets and liabilities to be measured at amortized cost and others at fair value. The measurement basis is largely determined by the business model for that financial instrument. Where the financial instruments are carried at fair value, depending on the category and circumstances, the movement in the fair value (gain or loss) is either recognized in profit or loss or in other comprehensive income.

#### Question 5 (Illustration)

Everest Ltd. is a listed company having investments in various subsidiaries. In its annual financial statements for the year ending 31 March 20X2 as well as 31 March 20X3, Everest Ltd. classified Kanchenjunga Ltd. a subsidiary as 'held-forsale' and presented it as a discontinued operation. On 1 November 20X1, the shareholders had authorized the management to sell all of its holding in Kanchenjunga Ltd. within the year. In the year to 31 March 20X2, the management made a public announcement of its intention to sell the investment but did not actively try to sell the subsidiary as it was still operational within the Everest group.

Certain organizational changes were made by Everest Ltd. during the year to 31 March 20X3, thereby resulting in additional activities being transferred to Kanchenjunga Ltd. Additionally, during the year ending 31 March 20X3, there had been draft agreements and some correspondence with investment bankers, which showed in principle only that Kanchenjunga was still for sale.

Discuss whether the classification of Kanchenjunga Ltd. as held for sale and its presentation as a discontinued operation is appropriate, by referring to the principles of the relevant Ind AS and evaluating the treatment in the context of the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting. loss provide summarised information and more detailed information is provided in the notes.

#### Answer 5

Kanchenjunga Ltd. is a disposal group in accordance with Ind AS 105, Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations. Disposal group can be defined as a group of assets to be disposed of, by sale or otherwise, together as a group in a single transaction, and liabilities directly associated with those assets that will be transferred in the transaction. Para 6 of Ind AS 105 provides that a disposal group shall be classified as held for sale if its carrying amount will be recovered principally through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use. Ind AS 105 is particularly strict as far as the application of held for sale criteria is concerned, and often the decision to sell an asset or a disposal group is made well before the criteria are met.

Thus, as per Ind AS 105, for the asset (or disposal group) to be classified as held for sale, it must be available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets (or disposal groups) and its sale must be highly probable.

For the sale to be highly probable:


- The appropriate level of management must be committed to a plan to sell the asset (or disposal group).
- An active programme to locate a buyer and complete the plan must have been initiated.
- The asset (or disposal group) must be actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value.
- The sale should be expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year from the date of classification.
- It is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

In the given case, the draft agreements and correspondence with investment bankers are not specific enough to fit in the points above to prove that the criteria for held for sale was met at that date. Additional information would be needed to confirm that the subsidiary was available for immediate sale, and that it was being actively marketed at an appropriate price so as to satisfy the criteria in the year to 31 March 20X2.

Further, the organizational changes made by Everest Ltd. in the year 20X2-20X3 are a good indicator that Kanchenjunga Ltd. was not available for immediate sale in its present condition at the point of classification. The fact that additional activities have been given to Kanchenjunga Ltd. indicate that the change wasn't insignificant. The shareholders had authorized for a year from 1 November 20X1. There is no evidence that this authorization extended beyond 1 November 20X2.

#### Conclusion:

Based on the information provided in the given case, it appears that Kanchenjunga Ltd. should not be classified by Everest Ltd. as a subsidiary held for sale. Instead, the results of the subsidiary should be reported as a continuing operation in the financial statements for the year ending 31 March 20X2 and 31 March 20X3.



Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or (S) +917890770777

**© Q** 

Message

 $^{\rm age}2$ 

# Chapter 3 Unit-1 Ind AS 1 "Presentation of Financial Statements"

#### Attempts wise Distribution

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP	Q1		Q8	Q7			Q9	Q10			Q6	
PYP		Q13	Q2			Q3		Q14				
RTP	Q4			Q5					Q11		Q12	

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

A holding company [being the entity under consideration] gives a loan / intercorporate deposit to a subsidiary that is recoverable on demand, at a rate of interest at 10%.

- (a) Should such loan be disclosed as a current/non-current asset in the books of the holding company? How relevant would the commercial reality of the transaction be in comparison to the legal terms of the transaction?
- (b) How this loan / inter-corporate deposit that is repayable on demand would be classified in the books of the subsidiary? (MTP 4 Marks Oct 21)

#### Answer 1

- (a) Paragraph 66 (c) of Ind AS 1 provides that an asset shall be classified as current when an entity expects to realise the asset within a period of twelve months after the reporting period. To determine the expectation of the entity, the commercial reality of the transaction should also be considered. If the loans have been given with an understanding that these loans would not be called for repayment even though a clause may have been added that these are recoverable on demand, it should be classified as a non-current asset.
- (b) Paragraph 69(c) of Ind AS 1 provides that a liability should be classified as current if the liability is due to be settled within twelve months after the reporting period. Since the loan/inter- corporate deposit would become due immediately as and when demanded and presuming that the entity does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period, it should be classified as current liability.

#### Question 2

Charm Limited (the 'Company') is a manufacturing company, which is into manufacturing of wires and cables and has assessed its operating cycle to be 15 months. The Company has some trade receivables which are receivable within a period of 12 months from the reporting date i.e. 31st March 2021.

With respect to the following transactions, which took place during the financial year 2020-2021, give your opinion based on relevant Ind AS:

• The Company has received a contract of `10 crore on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2021. The terms of the contract require the Company to make a security deposit of 20% of the contract value with the customer. The Company made a security

- deposit of `2 crore on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2021. This contract will be completed in about 14 months. 70% of the deposit will be refunded immediately and the balance 30% of the deposit will be refunded after 3 months from the completion of the contract. The Company wants to present the security deposit of `2 crore as non-current. Is the management's decision correct?
- The Company has some trade receivables that are due after 14 months from the date of the balance sheet; the management of the Company expects to receive the amount within the period of the operating cycle. Despite the fact that these are receivables in 14 months, the management would like to present these as current. Is the management's decision correct?
- In the normal course of business, the Company has given 2 contracts and received a total security deposit of `4 crore. `3 crore is received from X Limited and `1 crore is received from Y Limited on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2021. These are repayable on completion of the contract. However, if the contract is cancelled within the contract term of 18 months, then the deposit becomes payable immediately. The Company is positive about the contract with X Limited but is in doubt about the contract received from Y Limited. The Company wants to present the amount of `3 crore as non-current and `1 crore as current in the balance sheet. Is the management's decision correct?
- The Company is planning to replace a machinery. It has given an advance of 1 crore for purchase of new machinery which will be delivered in 6 months from the date of the balance sheet. It has sold the old machinery for `0.5 crore, the payment of which is due in 10 months from the date of the balance sheet. The Company wants to present both these amounts as current since they will be settled within twelve months from the end of the reporting period. Is the management's decision correct? (PYP 4 Marks July 21)

#### Answer 2

# Operating cycle of Charm Limited = 15 months

- (i) The security deposit made by the Company with the customers be classified as current assets to the extent of 70% (` 2 crore x 70% = ` 1.40 crore) as it will be refunded immediately on completion of 14 months of contract i.e. within the operating cycle of 15 months.
  - However, 30% of the security deposit will be refunded after 3 months of completion of the contract (14+3 = 17 months) i.e. after 2 months of operating cycle (Operating cycle of the Company is 15 months). Hence, it will be classified as non-current. Therefore, management's decision is not correct. (Refer Para 66 of Ind AS 1)
- (ii) Yes, the Company's decision of presenting the trade receivables as Current Assets is correct despite the fact that these are receivables in 14 months' time since the operating cycle of the company is 15 months and any event arising due to trade will be considered as current if its settlement is within the tenure of operating cycle. Additionally, the Company also need to disclose amounts that are receivable within a period of 12 months and after 12 months from the reporting date. (Refer Para 60 and 61 of Ind AS 1)
- (iii) Paragraph 69(d) of Ind AS 1 states that an entity shall classify a liability as current when it does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period.
  - Although it is expected that X Limited will fulfil the contract and the deposit will not be refunded, but in case of cancellation within the contract term, refund of security deposit is a condition that is not within the control of the entity. Hence, Charm Limited does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the

liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period. Accordingly, the deposit will have to be classified as current liability in case of both X and Y Limited.

(iv) Yes, the management decision to classify the payment of `0.5 crore as a current asset is correct since the payment will be realized in less than twelve months from the end of the reporting period.

Capital advances are advances given for procurement of Property, Plant and Equipment etc. Typically, companies do not expect to realize them in cash. Rather, over the period, these get converted into non-current assets. Hence, capital advances should be treated as other non-current assets irrespective of when the Property, Plant and Equipment is expected to be received.

Under Ind AS Schedule III, Capital Advances are not to be classified under Capital Work in Progress since they are specifically to be disclosed under other non-current assets.

Accordingly, advance of `1 crore given for purchase of machinery is 'Capital advance' which will be classified as non-current as it relates to acquisition of non-current item i.e., machinery. Hence, management decision to classify it as current is incorrect.

# Question 3

Mike Ltd. has undertaken following various transactions in the financial year ended 31.03.2018: (Rs.)

	chaca 51	.00.2010. (1
(a)	Re-measurement of defined benefit plans	1,54,200
(b)	Current service cost	1,05,000
(c)	Changes in revaluation surplus	75,000
(d)	Gains and losses arising from translating the monetary assets in foreign currency	45,000
(e)	Gains and losses arising from translating the financial statements of a foreign operation	39,000
<b>(f)</b>	Gains and losses arising from investments in equity instruments designated at fair value through other comprehensive income	•
(g)	Income tax expenses	21,000
(h)	Share based payments cost	2,01,000

Identify and present the transactions in the financial statements as per Ind AS 1. (PYP 4 Marks, May'19)

#### Answer 3

Items impacting the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 (Rs.)

Current service cost	1,05,00
	O
Gains and losses arising from translating the monetary	45,000
assets in foreign currency	
Income tax expenses	21,000
Share based payments cost	2,01,00
	0

Items impacting the Other Comprehensive Income for the year ended 31st March, 2018

, age 28

# (Rs.)

Premeasurement of defined benefit plans		
Changes in revaluation surplus		
Gains and losses arising from translating the financial statements of a foreign operation		
Gains and losses from investments in equity instruments designated at fair value through other comprehensive income	60,000	

#### Question 4

Is offsetting permitted under the following circumstances?

- (a) Expenses incurred by a holding company on behalf of subsidiary, which is reimbursed by the subsidiary whether in the separate books of the holding company, the expenditure and related reimbursement of expenses can be offset?
- (b) Whether profit on sale of an asset against loss on sale of another asset can be offset?
- (c) When services are rendered in a transaction with an entity and services are received from the same entity in two different arrangements, can the receivable and payable be offset? (RTP Nov '21)

#### Answer 4

- (a) As per paragraph 33 of Ind AS 1, offsetting is permitted only when the offsetting reflects the substance of the transaction. In this case, the agreement/arrangement, if any, between the holding and subsidiary company needs to be considered. If the arrangement is to reimburse the cost incurred by the holding company on behalf of the subsidiary company, the same may be presented net. It should be ensured that the substance of the arrangement is that the payments are actually in the nature of reimbursement.
- (b) Paragraph 35 of Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present on a net basis gains and losses arising from a group of similar transactions. Accordingly, gains or losses arising on disposal of various items of property, plant and equipment shall be presented on net basis. However, gains or losses should be presented separately if they are material.
- (c) Ind AS 1 prescribes that assets and liabilities, and income and expenses should be reported separately, unless offsetting reflects the substance of the transaction. In addition to this, as per paragraph 42 of Ind AS 32, a financial asset and a financial liability should be offset if the entity has legally enforceable right to set off and the entity intends either to settle on net basis or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.
  - In accordance with the above, the receivable and payable should be offset against each other and net amount is presented in the balance sheet if the entity has a legal right to set off and the entity intends to do so. Otherwise, the receivable and payable should be reported separately.

# Question 5

Company A has taken a long term loan arrangement from Company B. In the month of December 20X1, there has been a breach of material provision of the arrangement. As a consequence of which the loan becomes payable on demand on March 31, 20X2. In the month of May 20X2, the Company started negotiation with

the Company B for not to demand payment as a consequence of the breach. The financial statements were approved for the issue in the month of June 20X2. In the month of July 20X2, both companies agreed that the payment will not be demanded immediately as a consequence of breach of material provision. Advise on the classification of the liability as current / non -current. (RTP May'18)

#### Answer 5

As per para 74 of Ind AS 1 "Presentation of Financial Statements" where there is a breach of a material provision of a long-term loan arrangement on or before the end of the reporting period with the effect that the liability becomes payable on demand on the reporting date, the entity does not classify the liability as current, if the lender agreed, after the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements for issue, not to demand payment as a consequence of the breach.

In the given case, Company B (the lender) agreed for not to demand payment but only after the financial statements were approved for issuance. The financial statements were approved for issuance in the month of June 20X2 and both companies agreed for not to demand payment in the month of July 20X2 although negotiation started in the month of May 20x2 but could not agree before June 20X2 when financial statements were approved for issuance. Hence, the liability should be classified as current in the financial statement for the year ended March 31, 20X2.

# Question 6

An entity has the following trial balance line items. How should these items be classified, i.e., current or non-current as per Ind AS 1?

- (a) Receivables (viz., receivable under a contract of sale of goods in which an entity deals)
- (b) Advance to suppliers
- (c) Income tax receivables [other than deferred tax]
- (d) Insurance spares (MTP 5 Marks Sep'22, RTP May'21)

#### Answer 6

- a) As per paragraph 66(a) of Ind AS 1, an entity shall classify an asset as current when it expects to realize the asset, or intends to sell or consume it, in its normal operating cycle.
  - Paragraph 68 provides the guidance that current assets include assets (such as inventories and trade receivables) that are sold, consumed or realised as part of the normal operating cycle even when they are not expected to be realised within twelve months after the reporting period.
  - In accordance with above, the receivables that are considered a part of the normal operating cycle will be classified as current asset.
  - If the operating cycle exceeds twelve months, then additional disclosure as required by paragraph 61 of Ind AS 1 is required to be given in the notes.
- b) As discussed in point (a) above, advances to suppliers for goods and services would be classified in accordance with normal operating cycle if it is given in relation to the goods or services in which the entity normally deals. If the advances are considered a part the normal operating cycle, it would be classified as a current asset. If the operating cycle exceeds twelve months, then additional disclosure as required by paragraph 61 of Ind AS 1 is required to be given in the notes
- c) Classification of income tax receivables [other than deferred tax] will be driven by paragraph 66(c) of Ind AS 1, i.e., based on the expectation of the entity to realise the asset. If the receivable is expected to be realised within twelve months after the reporting period, then it will be classified as current asset else non-current asset.
- d) Para 8 of Ind AS 16 states that items such as spare parts, stand-by equipment and

servicing equipment are recognised in accordance with this Ind AS when they meet the definition of property, plant and equipment. Otherwise, such items are classified as inventory.

Accordingly, the insurance spares that are treated as an item of property, plant and equipment would normally be classified as non-current asset whereas insurance spares that are treated as inventory will be classified as current asset if the entity expects to consume it in its normal operating cycle.

#### Question 7

In December 20X1 an entity entered into a loan agreement with a bank. The loan is repayable in three equal annual installments starting from December 20X5. One of the loan covenants is that an amount equivalent to the loan amount should be contributed by promoters by March 24 20X2, failing which the loan becomes payable on demand. As on March 24, 20X2, the entity has not been able to get the promoter's contribution. On March 25, 20X2, the entity approached the bank and obtained a grace period up to June 30, 20X2 to get the promoter's contribution. The bank cannot demand immediate repayment during the grace period. The annual reporting period of the entity ends on March 31, 20X2.

- (i) As on March 31, 20X2, examine the classification of the loan to be done by the entity as per Ind AS?
- (ii) Assume in anticipation that it may not be able to get the promoter's contribution by due date. In February 20X2, the entity approached the bank and got the compliance date extended up to June 30, 20X2 for getting promoter's contribution. In this case, examine whether the loan classification as on March 31, 20X2 be different from (a) above? (MTP 6 Marks March '18)

#### Answer 7

- (i) Paragraph 75 of Ind AS 1, inter alia, provides, "An entity classifies the liability as non current if the lender agreed by the end of the reporting period to provide a period of grace ending at least twelve months after the reporting period, within which the entity can rectify the breach and during which the lender cannot demand immediate repayment." In the present case, following the default, grace period within which an entity can rectify the breach is less than twelve months after the reporting period. Hence as on March 31, 20X2, the loan will be classified as current.
- (ii) Ind AS 1 deals with classification of liability as current or non-current in case of breach of a loan covenant and does not deal with the classification in case of expectation of breach. In this case, whether actual breach has taken place or not is to be assessed on June 30, 20X2, i.e., after the reporting date. Consequently, in the absence of actual breach of the loan covenant as on March 31, 20X2, the loan will retain its classification as non-current.

#### Question 8

Entity A has undertaken various transactions in the financial year ended 31st March, 20X1. Identify and present the transactions in the financial statements as per Ind AS 1. Rs.

Remeasurement of defined benefit plans	
<del>-</del>	2,57,00 0
Current service cost	1,75,00
	0
Changes in revaluation surplus	1,25,00
	0
Gains and losses arising from translating the monetary assets in	75,000

 $^{\rm age}31$ 

foreign currency	
Gains and losses arising from translating the financial	65,000
statements of a foreign operation	
Gains and losses from investments in equity instruments	1,00,00
designated at fair value through other comprehensive income	0
Income tax expense	35,000
Share based payments cost	3,35,00
	0

# (MTP 4 Marks April '21 & March '18)

#### Answer 8

Items impacting the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 (Rs.)

2011 (166.)			
Current service cost	1,75,000		
Gains and losses arising from translating the monetary assets in foreign currency	75,000		
Income tax expense	35,000		
Share based payments cost	3,35,000		

# Items impacting the other comprehensive income for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 (Rs.)

Remeasurement of defined benefit plans	2,57,000
Changes in revaluation surplus	1,25,000
Gains and losses arising from translating the financial statements	65,000
of a foreign operation	
Gains and losses from investments in equity instruments	1,00,000
designated at fair value through other comprehensive income	

# Question 9

# A Limited has prepared the following draft balance sheet as on 31st March 20X1:

	(₹ in crore)
31st	31st
March,	March,
20X1	20X0
250	170
70	30
160	150
90	70
2300	1800
1500	1650
180	130
3100	3100
5200	4700
12,850	11,800
3,300	3,885
260	290
	31st March, 20X1 250 70 160 90 2300 1500 180 3100 5200 12,850

12 months)		
Share Capital	1,130	1,050
Retained earnings at the beginning of the year	1,875	1,740
Profit for the year	1,200	830
Non-controlling interest	830	540
Accumulated depreciation on PPE	1,610	1,240
Provision for doubtful receivables	200	65
Trade payables	880	790
Accrued expenses	15	30
Warranty provision (for 12 months from the date of sale)	600	445
Environmental restoration provision (restoration expected in 20X6)	765	640
Provision for accrued leave (due within 12 months)	35	25
Dividend payable	150	230
Total	12,850	11,800

Prepare a consolidated balance sheet using current and non-current classification in accordance with Ind AS 1. Operating cycle of the entity is 12 months. (MTP 10 Marks, April 22)

#### Answer 9

A Limited Consolidated Balance Sheet as at 31st March 20X1 (₹ in crore)

crorej				
Particulars	Note	31st March, 20X1	31st March, 20X0	
ASSETS				
Non-current assets				
(a) Property, plant and equipment	1	3,590	3,460	
(b) Investment property		3,100	3,100	
Total non-current assets		6,690	6,560	
Current assets				
(a) Inventory (b) Financial assets	2	1,680	1,780	
(i) Trade and other receivables	3	2,100	1,735	
(ii) Cash and cash equivalents Total current assets	4	320 4,100	200 3,715	
Total assets		10,790	10,275	
EQUITY & LIABILITIES				
Equity attributable to owners of the parent				
Share capital		1,130	1,050	
Other Equity	5	2,825	2,350	
Non-controlling interests		830	540	
Total equity		4,785	3,940	
LIABILITIES				
Non-current liabilities				

(a) Financial Liabilities			
(i) Borrowings - Long-term debt	6	2,800	
(b) Provisions 3,385			
(i) Long-term provisions			
(environmental restoration)		765	
			640
			640
Total non-current liabilities		3,565	4,025
Current liabilities			
(a) Financial Liabilities	7		
(i) Trade and other payables	8	895	820
(Other than micro enterprises and			
small enterprises)			
(ii) Current portion of long-term		500	500
debt			
(iii) Interest accrued on long-term		260	290
debt		150	230
(iv) Dividend payable			
(b) Provisions		600	445
(i) Warranty provision		35	25
(ii) Provisions for accrued leave		2,440	2,310
Total current liabilities		6,005	6,335
Total liabilities			
Total equity and liabilities		10,790	10,275

Working Notes:

working				
Notes	Particulars	Basis	Calculation	Amount
			₹ in crore	₹ in
				crore
1	Property, plant	Property, plant and equipment	5,200 - 1,610	3,590
	and equipment	(PPE) at cost less Accumulated	(4,700 –	(3,460)
		(depreciation on PPE	1,240)	, ,
2	Inventory	Inventory at cost add Inventory	1,500 + 180	1,680
	-	at fair value less cost to	(1,650 + 130)	(1,780)
		complete and sell		, ,
3	Trade and	Accounts receivable less	2,300 - 200	2,100
	other	Provision for doubtful	(1,800 - 65)	(1,735)
	receivables	receivables	, ,	, ,
4	Cash and	Cash and Cash equivalents	250 + 70	320
	cash		(170 + 30)	(200)
	equivalents		·	
5	Other Equity	Retained earnings at the	1,875 +	
		beginning of the year add Profit	1,200-	2,825
		for the year less Non-controlling	160 – 90	
		interest's share of profit for the	(1,740 + 830 -	(2,350)
		year less Dividend declared by	150 – 70)	
		A Limited	·	
6	Long-term	Long-term debt less Due	3,300 – 500	2,800
	debt	on 1st January each	(3,885 - 500)	(3,385)
		year	, l	

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

7	Trade & other payables	Trade payables add Accrued expenses	880 + 15 (790 + 30)	895 (820)
8	Current portion of long- term debt	Due on 1st January each year	-	500 (500)

**Note:** Figures in brackets represent the figures for the comparative year.

#### Question 10

An entity manufactures passenger vehicles. The time between purchasing of underlying raw materials to manufacture the passenger vehicles and the date the entity completes the production and delivers to its customers is 11 months. Customers settle the dues after a period of 8 months from the date of sale.

- (a) Will the inventory and the trade receivables be current in nature?
- (b) Assuming that the production time was say 15 months and the time lag between the date of sale and collection from customers is 13 months, will the answer be different? (RTP May '22, MTP 4 Marks March '23)

#### Answer 10

Inventory and debtors need to be classified in accordance with the requirement of paragraph 66(a) of Ind AS 1, which provides that an asset shall be classified as current if an entity expects to realise the same or intends to sell or consume it in its normal operating cycle.

- (a) In this case, time lag between the purchase of inventory and its realisation into cash is 19 months [11 months + 8 months]. Both inventory and the debtors would be classified as current if the entity expects to realise these assets in its normal operating cycle.
- (b) No, the answer will be the same as the classification of debtors and inventory depends on the expectation of the entity to realise the same in the normal operating cycle. In this case, time lag between the purchase of inventory and its realisation into cash is 28 months [15 months + 13 months]. Both inventory and debtors would be classified as current if the entity expects to realise these assets in the normal operating cycle.

Additional information as required by paragraph 61 of Ind AS 1 will be required to be made by the entity, which provides "Whichever method of presentation is adopted, an entity shall disclose the amount expected to be recovered or settled after more than twelve months for each asset and liability line item that combines amounts expected to be recovered or settled:

- (a) No more than twelve months after the reporting period, and
- (b) More than twelve months after the reporting period."

#### Question 11

An entity has taken a loan facility from a bank that is to be repaid within a period of 9 months from the end of the reporting period. Prior to the end of the reporting period, the entity and the bank enter into an arrangement, whereby the existing outstanding loan will, unconditionally, roll into the new facility which expires after a period of 5 years.

- (a) Should the loan be classified as current or non-current in the balance sheet of the entity?
- (b) Will the answer be different if the new facility is agreed upon after the end of the reporting period?
- (c) Will the answer to (a) be different if the existing facility is from one bank and

35

the new facility is from another bank?

(d) Will the answer to (a) be different if the new facility is not yet tied up with the existing bank, but the entity has the potential to refinance the obligation? (RTP Nov '19)

# Answer 11

Para 69 of Ind AS 1 defines current liabilities as follows:

An entity shall classify a liability as current when:

- (i) it expects to settle the liability in its normal operating cycle;
- (ii) it holds the liability primarily for the purpose of trading;
- (iii) the liability is due to be settled within twelve months after the reporting period; or
- (iv) it does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period. Terms of a liability that could, at the option of the counterparty, result in its settlement by the issue of equity instruments do not affect its classification.

An entity shall classify all other liabilities as non-current.

Accordingly, following will be the classification of loan in the given scenarios:

- a) The loan is not due for payment at the end of the reporting period. The entity and the bank have agreed for the said roll over prior to the end of the reporting period for a period of 5 years. Since the entity has an unconditional right to defer the settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period, the loan should be classified as non-current.
- b) Yes, the answer will be different if the arrangement for roll over is agreed upon after the end of the reporting period because as per paragraph 72 of Ind AS 1, "an entity classifies its financial liabilities as current when they are due to be settled within twelve months after the reporting period, even if: (a) the original term was for a period longer than twelve months, and (b) an agreement to refinance, or to reschedule payments, on a long-term basis is completed after the reporting period and before the financial statements are approved for issue." As at the end of the reporting period, the entity does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period. Hence the loan is to be classified as current.
- c) Yes, loan facility arranged with new bank cannot be treated as refinancing, as the loan with the earlier bank would have to be settled which may coincide with loan facility arranged with a new bank. In this case, loan has to be repaid within a period of 9 months from the end of the reporting period, therefore, it will be classified as current liability.
- d) Yes, the answer will be different and the loan should be classified as current. This is because, as per paragraph 73 of Ind AS 1, when refinancing or rolling over the obligation is not at the discretion of the entity (for example, there is no arrangement for refinancing), the entity does not consider the potential to refinance the obligation and classifies the obligation as current.

# Question 12

As per the statutory requirements, exceptional items are required to be disclosed whereas Ind AS 1 requires separate disclosures of material items and how these are to be presented in the financial statements. Does that imply that 'exceptional' means 'material'? Give examples. How should these be presented in the financial statements? (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 12

Exceptional items have not been defined in Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS). However, paragraph 97 of Ind AS 1 requires that when items of income or expense are

9Eage 9

material, an entity shall disclose their nature and amount separately.

As per Ind AS 1, information is material if omitting, misstating or obscuring it could reasonably be expected to influence decisions that the primary users of general purpose financial statements make on the basis of those financial statements, which provide financial information about a specific reporting entity. Materiality depends on the nature or magnitude of information, or both and it could be the determining factor. When items of income and expense within profit or loss from ordinary activities are of such size, nature or incidence that their disclosure is relevant to explain the performance of the enterprise for the period, the nature and amount of such items should be disclosed separately. Generally, items of income or expense fulfilling the abovementioned criteria are classified as exceptional items and are disclosed separately.

From the above, it appears that all material items are not exceptional items. In other words, exceptional items are those items which meet the test of 'materiality' (size and nature) and the test of 'incidence'.

Following are some examples which may give rise to a separate disclosure of items as an 'exceptional item' in financial statements if they meet the test of 'materiality' and 'incidence':

- (a) write-downs of inventories to net realisable value or of property, plant and equipment to recoverable amount, as well as reversals of such write-downs;
- (b) restructurings of the activities of an entity and reversals of any provisions for the costs of restructuring;
- (c) disposals of items of property, plant and equipment;
- (d) disposals of investments;
- (e) discontinued operations;
- (f) litigation settlements; and
- (g) other reversals of provisions

#### Question 13

Entity A had obtained a long-term bank loan during January 2019, which is subject to certain financial covenants. One of such covenants states that during the tenure of the loan, debt equity ratio of 65:35 is to be maintained at all time. In case of breach of this covenant, the loan will be repayable immediately. The loan agreement also states that these covenants will be assessed at the end of each quarter and reported to the bank within a month from the end of each quarter. If the covenants are breached at this time, the loan will be repayable immediately. The entity closes its annual accounts as on 31st March every year.

You are required to show how the loan will be classified as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2020, if:

- (i) At the financial year end, Entity A determines that it is not in breach of any of the covenants;
- (ii) At the quarter ending 31<sup>st</sup> December 2019, Entity A's debt equity ratio became 75:25 and thus breaches the covenant, however it obtains a waiver from the bank. The terms of the waiver specify that if Entity A rectifies the breach within a period of 12 months from the reporting date then the bank cannot demand repayment immediately on account of the breach during this period. Entity A expects to rectify the breach by raising additional equity capital by means of a rights issue to the existing shareholders and expects that the issue will be fully subscribed;

(iii) Considering the same facts as in (ii) above, except obtaining the waiver clause, what would be your answer? (PYP 5 Marks, Jan '21)

#### Answer 13

Para 74 of Ind AS 1 'Presentation of Financial Statements', states that where there is abreach of a material provision of a long-term loan arrangement on or before the end of the reporting period with the effect that the liability becomes payable on demand on the reporting date, the entity does not classify the liability as current, if the lender agreed, after the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements for issue, not to demand payment as a consequence of the breach.

However, an entity classifies the liability as non-current, if the lender agreed by the end of the reporting period to provide a period of grace ending at least twelve months after the reporting period, within which the entity can rectify the breach and during which the lender cannot demand immediate repayment.

- (i) The entity has obtained a long-term loan during January, 2019. Since repayment period of the loan is not mentioned in the question, it is assumed that on 31st March 2020, the repayment period of the loan is more than 12 months. Further, the entity has not breached the covenants specified in the loan; therefore, as at 31st March, 2020, the loan will be classified as 'non-current liability.
- (ii) In the second case, though there is a breach of covenant on 31 st December, 2019 i.e. before reporting date of 31st March, 2020, yet the bank had agreed to provide a period of grace for twelve months from the reporting period, within which the entity A can rectify the breach and during this period bank cannot demand immediate repayment. Also, entity A has intention to rectify the breach. Thus, entity A will classify the liability of bank loan as non-current liability in its books as at 31st March, 2020.
- (iii) Since the covenant for the bank loan has been breached during the quarter ended 31st December, 2019 and reported to the bank within one month from the end of the quarter i.e. by 31st January, 2020, the bank loan becomes repayable immediately. Therefore, it will be presented as current liability in the books of entity A as on 31st March, 2020.

#### Question 14 (Illustration)

An entity has taken a loan facility from a bank that is to be repaid within a period of 9 months from the end of the reporting period. Prior to the end of the reporting period, the entity and the bank enter into an arrangement, whereby the existing outstanding loan will, unconditionally, roll into the new facility which expires after a period of 5 years.

- (a) How should such loan be classified in the balance sheet of the entity?
- (b) Will the answer be different if the new facility is agreed upon after the end of the reporting period?
- (c) Will the answer to (a) be different if the existing facility is from one bank and the new facility is from another bank?
- (d) Will the answer to (a) be different if the new facility is not yet tied up with the existing bank, but the entity has the potential to refinance the obligation? (PYP 5 Marks May '23)

## Answer 14

(a) The loan is not due for payment at the end of the reporting period. The entity and the bank have agreed for the said roll over prior to the end of the reporting period for a period of 5 years. Since the entity has an unconditional right to defer the settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period, the loan should be classified as non-current.

- (b) Yes, the answer will be different if the arrangement for roll over is agreed upon after the end of the reporting period, since assessment is required to be made based on terms of the existing loan facility. As at the end of the reporting period, the entity does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period. Hence the loan is to be classified as current.
- (c) Yes, loan facility arranged with new bank cannot be treated as refinancing, as the loan with the earlier bank would have to be settled which may coincide with loan facility arranged with a new bank. In this case, loan has to be repaid within a period of 9 months from the end of the reporting period, therefore, it will be classified as current liability.
- (d) Yes, the answer will be different and the loan should be classified as current. This is because, as per paragraph 73 of Ind AS 1, when refinancing or rolling over the obligation is not at the discretion of the entity (for example, there is no arrangement for refinancing), the entity does not consider the potential to refinance the obligation and classifies the obligation as current.

## Section - B

## Question 1

An entity manufactures passenger vehicles. The time between purchasing of underlying raw materials to manufacture the passenger vehicles and the date the entity completes the production and delivers to its customers is 11 months. Customers settle the dues after a period of 8 months from the date of sale.

- (a) Will the inventory and the trade receivables be current in nature?
- (b) Assuming that the production time was say 15 months and the time lag between the date of sale and collection from customers is 13 months, will the answer be different?

#### Answer 1

Inventory and debtors need to be classified in accordance with the requirement of Ind AS 1, which provides that an asset shall be classified as current if an entity expects to realise the same or intends to sell or consume it in its normal operating cycle.

- (a) In this case, time lag between the purchase of inventory and its realisation into cash is 19 months [11 months + 8 months]. Both inventory and the debtors would be classified as current if the entity expects to realise these assets in its normal operating cycle.
- (b) No, the answer will be the same as the classification of debtors and inventory depends on the expectation of the entity to realise the same in the normal operating cycle. In this case, time lag between the purchase of inventory and its realisation into cash is 28 months [15 months + 13 months]. Both inventory and debtors would be classified as current if the entity expects to realise these assets in the normal operating cycle.

## Question 2

In December 20X1 an entity entered into a loan agreement with a bank. The loan is repayable in three equal annual instalments starting from December 20X5. One of the loan covenants is that an amount equivalent to the loan amount should be contributed by promoters by 24th March, 20X2, failing which the loan becomes payable on demand. As on 24th March, 20X2, the entity has not been able to get the promoter's contribution. On 25th March, 20X2, the entity approached the bank and obtained a grace period upto 30th June, 20X2 to get the promoter's contribution.

The bank cannot demand immediate repayment during the grace period. The

age 39

annual reporting period of the entity ends on 31st March.

- (a) As on 31st March, 20X2, how should the entity classify the loan?
- (b) Assume that in anticipation that it may not be able to get the promoter's contribution by due date, in February 20X2, the entity approached the bank and got the compliance date extended upto 30th June, 20X2 for getting promoter's contribution. In this case will the loan classification as on 31st March, 20X2 be different from (a) above?

#### **Answers 2**

- (a) Ind AS 1, inter alia, provides, "An entity classifies the liability as non-current if the lender agreed by the end of the reporting period to provide a period of grace ending at least twelve months after the reporting period, within which the entity can rectify the breach and during which the lender cannot demand immediate repayment." In the present case, following the default, grace period within which an entity can rectify the breach is less than twelve months after the reporting period. Hence as on 31st March, 20X2, the loan will be classified as current.
- (b) Ind AS 1 deals with classification of liability as current or non-current in case of breach of a loan covenant and does not deal with the classification in case of expectation of breach. In this case, whether actual breach has taken place or not is to be assessed on 30th June, 20X2, i.e., after the reporting date. Consequently, in the absence of actual breach of the loan covenant as on 31st March, 20X2, the loan will retain its classification as non-current.

#### Question 3

Company A has taken a long-term loan from Company B. In the month of December 20X1, there was a breach of material provision of the arrangement. As a consequence of which the loan becomes payable on demand on 31st March, 20X2. In the month of May 20X2, the company started negotiation with company B for not to demand payment as a consequence of the breach. The financial statements were approved for the issue in the month of June 20X2. In the month of July 20X2, both the companies agreed that the payment will not be demanded immediately as a consequence of breach of material provision.

Advise on the classification of the liability as current / non-current.

#### **Answers 3**

As per para 74 of Ind AS 1 "Presentation of Financial Statements", where there is a breach of a material provision of a long-term loan arrangement on or before the end of the reporting period with the effect that the liability becomes payable on demand on the reporting date, the entity does not classify the liability as current, if the lender agreed, after the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements for issue, not to demand payment as a consequence of the breach.

An entity classifies the liability as non-current if the lender agreed by the end of the reporting period to provide a period of grace ending at least twelve months after the reporting period, within which the entity can rectify the breach and during which the lender cannot demand immediate repayment.

In the given case, Company B (the lender) agreed for not to demand payment but only after the reporting date and the financial statements were approved for issuance. The financial statements were approved for issuance in the month of June 20X2 and both companies agreed for not to demand payment in the month of July 20X2 although negotiation started in the month of May 20X2 but could not agree before June 20X2 when financial statements were approved for issuance.

Hence, the liability should be classified as current in the financial statement as at 31st March, 20X2.

Page 40

## Question 4

Entity A has undertaken various transactions in the financial year ended 31st March, 20X1. Identify and present the transactions in the financial statements as per Ind AS 1.

•	
Remeasurement of defined benefit plans	2,57,000
Current service cost	1,75,000
Changes in revaluation surplus	1,25,000
Gains and losses arising from translating the monetary assets in foreign	75,000
currency	
Gains and losses arising from translating the financial statements of a	65,000
foreign operation	
Gains and losses from investments in equity instruments designated at fair	1,00,000
value through other comprehensive income	
Income tax expense	35,000
Share based payments cost	3,35,000

#### **Answers 4**

Items impacting the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 (₹)

(1)	
Current service cost	1,75,000
Gains and losses arising from translating the monetary assets in foreign	75,000
currency	
Income tax expense	35,000
Share based payments cost	3,35,000

Items impacting the other comprehensive income for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 ( $\mathfrak{F}$ )

∆1 (₹)	
Remeasurement of defined benefit plans	2,57,000
Changes in revaluation surplus	1,25,000
Gains and losses arising from translating the financial statements of a	
foreign	
operation	65,000
Gains and losses from investments in equity instruments designated at	
fair	
value through other comprehensive income	1,00,000

#### Question 5

XYZ Limited (the 'Company') is into the manufacturing of tractor parts and mainly supplying components to the Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs). The Company does not have any subsidiary, joint venture or associate company. During the preparation of financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X1, the accounts department is not sure about the treatment / presentation of below mentioned matters. Accounts department approached you to advice on the following matters.

 $_{Page}41$ 

S. No.	Matters						
(i)	There are qualifications in the audit report of the Company with reference						
	to two Ind AS.						
(ii)	Is it mandatory to add the word "standalone" before each of the						
	components of financial statements?						
(iii)	The Company is Indian Company and preparing and presenting its financial						
	statements in. Is it necessary to write in the financial statements that the						
	financial statements have been presented in .						
(iv)	The Company had sales transactions with 10 related party parties during						
	previous year. However, during current year, there are no transactions with						
	4 related parties out of aforesaid 10 related parties. Hence, Company is of						
	the view that it need not disclose sales transactions with these 4 parties in						
	related party disclosures because with these parties there are no						
	transactions during current year.						

Evaluate the above matters with respect to preparation and presentation of a general-purpose financial statement.

#### **Answers 5**

- (i) Yes, an entity whose financial statements comply with Ind AS shall make an explicit and unreserved statement of such compliance in the notes. An entity shall not describe financial statements as complying with Ind AS unless they comply with all the requirements of Ind AS. (Refer Para 16 of Ind AS 1)
- (ii) No, but need to disclose in the financial statement that these are individual financial statements of the Company. (Refer Para 51(b) of Ind AS 1)
- (iii) Yes, Para 51(d) of Ind AS 1 inter alia states that an entity shall display the presentation currency, as defined in Ind AS 21 prominently, and repeat it when necessary for the information presented to be understandable.
- (iv) No, as per Para 38 of Ind AS 1, except when Ind AS permit or require otherwise, an entity shall present comparative information in respect of the preceding period for all amounts reported in the current period's financial statements. An entity shall include comparative information for narrative and descriptive information if it is relevant to understanding the current period's financial statements.

#### Question 6

A Company presents financial results for three years (i.e., one for current year and two comparative years) internally for the purpose of management information every year in addition to the general-purpose financial statements. The aforesaid financial results are presented without furnishing the related notes because these are not required by the management for internal purposes. During the current year, management thought why not they should present third year statement of profit and loss also in the general-purpose financial statements. It will save time and will be available easily whenever management needs this in future.

With reference to above background, answer the following:

- (i) Can management present the third statement of profit and loss as an additional comparative in the general-purpose financial statements?
- (ii) If management present third statement of profit and loss in the generalpurpose financial statement as comparative, is it necessary that this statement should-be compliant of Ind AS?
- (iii) Can management present third statement of profit and loss only as additional comparative in the general-purpose financial statements without furnishing other components (like balance sheet, statement of cash flows, statement of

## change in equity) of financial statements?

#### Answers 6

- (i) Yes, as per Para 38C of Ind AS 1, an entity may present comparative information in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements required by Ind AS, as long as that information is prepared in accordance with Ind AS. This comparative information may consist of one or more statements referred to in paragraph 10 but need not comprise a complete set of financial statements. When this is the case, the entity shall present related note information for those additional statements.
- (ii) Yes, as per Para 38C of Ind AS 1, an entity may present comparative information in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements required by Ind AS, as long as that information is prepared in accordance with Ind AS.
- (iii) Yes, as per Para 38C of Ind AS 1, an entity may present comparative information in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements required by Ind AS, as long as that information is prepared in accordance with Ind AS. This comparative information may consist of one or more statements referred to in paragraph 10 but need not comprise a complete set of financial statements. When this is the case, the entity shall present related note information for those additional statements.

#### Question 7

A company, while preparing the financial statements for financial year 20X1-20X2, erroneously booked excess revenue of 10 crore. The total revenue reported in financial year 20X1-20X2 was 80 crore. However, while preparing the financial statements for 20X2-20X3, it discovered that excess revenue was booked in financial year 20X1-20X2 which it now wants to correct in the financial statements. However, the management of the company is not sure whether it need to present the third balance sheet as additional comparative. With regard to the above background, answer the following:

- (i) Is it necessary to provide the third balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period in this case?
- (ii) The company wants to correct the errors during financial year 20X2-20X3 by giving impact in the figures of current year only. Is the contention of the management, correct?

## Answers 7

- (i) No, as per Para 40A of Ind AS 1, an entity shall present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements required in paragraph 38A if:
  - (a) it applies an accounting policy retrospectively, makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements or reclassifies items in its financial statements; and
  - (b) the retrospective application, retrospective restatement or the reclassification has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period.
- (ii) No, management need to correct the previous year figures to correct the error but need not to furnish third balance sheet at the beginning of preceding period. (Refer Para 40A of Ind AS 1)

#### **Question 8**

XYZ Limited (the 'Company') is into construction of turnkey projects and has assessed its operating cycle to be 18 months. The Company has certain trade receivables and payables which are receivable and payable within a period of twelve months from the reporting date, i.e., 31st March, 20X2.

In addition to above there are following items/transactions which took place during financial year 20X1-20X2:

S. No.	Items/transactions
(1)	The company has some trade receivables which are due after 15 months from the date of the balance sheet. So, the company expects that the payment will be received within the period of operating cycle.
(2)	The company has some trade payables which are due for payment after 14 months from the date of balance sheet. These payables fall due within the period of operating cycle. Though the company does not expect that it will be able to pay these payables within the operating cycle because the nature of business is such that generally projects get delayed and payments from customers also get delayed.
(3)	The company was awarded a contract of 100 crore on 31st March, 20X2. As per the terms of the contract, the company made a security deposit of 5% of the contract value with the customer, of 5 crore on 31st March, 20X2. The contract is expected to be completed in 18 months' time. The aforesaid deposit will be refunded back after 6 months from the date of the completion of the contract.
(4)	The company has also given certain contracts to third parties and have received security deposits from them of 2 crore on 31st March, 20X2 which are repayable on completion of the contract but if contract is cancelled before the contract term of 18 months, then it becomes payable immediately. However, the Company does not expect the cancellation of the contract.

## Considering the above items/transactions answer the following:

- (i) The company wants to present the trade receivable as current despite the fact that these are receivables in 15 months' time. Does the decision of presenting the same as current is correct?
- (ii) The company wants to present the trade payables as non-current despite the fact that these are due within the operating cycle of the company. Does the decision of presenting the same as non-current is correct?
- (iii) Can the security deposit of 5 crore made by the company with the customers be presented as current?
- (iv) Can the security deposit of 2 crore taken by the company from contractors be presented as non-current?

#### Answers 8

- (i) Yes, but additionally the Company also need to disclose amounts that are receivable within a period of 12 months and after 12 months from the reporting date. (Refer Para 60 and 61 of Ind AS 1)
- (ii) No, the Company cannot disclose these payables as non-current and the Company also need to disclose amounts that are payable within a period of 12 months and after 12 months from the reporting date. (Refer Para 60 and 61 of Ind AS 1)
- (iii) No, because the amount will be received after the operating cycle of the Company. (Refer Para 66 of Ind AS 1)
- (iv) No, because the amount may be required to be paid before completion of the contract in case the contract is cancelled. (Refer Para 69 of Ind AS 1).

#### Question 9

Is offsetting permitted under the following circumstances?

(a) Expenses incurred by a holding company on behalf of subsidiary, which is

Page 44

reimbursed by the subsidiary - whether in the separate books of the holding company, the expenditure and related reimbursement of expenses can be offset?

- (b) Whether profit on sale of an asset against loss on sale of another asset can be offset?
- (c) When services are rendered in a transaction with an entity and services are received from the same entity in two different arrangements, can the receivable and payable be offset?

#### **Answers 9**

- (a) As per paragraph 33 of Ind AS 1, offsetting is permitted only when the offsetting reflects the substance of the transaction.
  - In this case, the agreement/arrangement, if any, between the holding and subsidiary company needs to be considered. If the arrangement is to reimburse the cost incurred by the holding company on behalf of the subsidiary company, the same may be presented net. It should be ensured that the substance of the arrangement is that the payments are actually in the nature of reimbursement.
- (b) Paragraph 35 of Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present on a net basis gains and losses arising from a group of similar transactions. Accordingly, gains or losses arising on disposal of various items of property, plant and equipment shall be presented on net basis. However, gains or losses should be presented separately if they are material.
- (c) Ind AS 1 prescribes that assets and liabilities, and income and expenses should be reported separately, unless offsetting reflects the substance of the transaction. In addition to this, as per paragraph 42 of Ind AS 32, a financial asset and a financial liability should be offset if the entity has legally enforceable right to set off and the entity intends either to settle on net basis or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

In accordance with the above, the receivable and payable should be offset against each other and net amount is presented in the balance sheet if the entity has a legal right to set off and the entity intends to do so. Otherwise, the receivable and payable should be reported separately.

#### Question 10 (Illustration)

An entity prepares its financial statements that contain an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS. However, the auditor's report on those financial statements contains a qualification because of disagreement on application of one Accounting Standard. In such case, is it acceptable for the entity to make an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS?

#### Answer 10

Yes, it is possible for an entity to make an unreserved and explicit statement of compliance with Ind AS, even though the auditor's report contains a qualification because of disagreement on application of Accounting Standard(s), as the preparation of financial statements is the responsibility of the entity's management and not the auditors. In case the management has a bona fide reason to believe that it has complied with all Ind AS, it can make an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS.

## Question 11 (Illustration)

Entity XYZ is a large manufacturer of plastic products for the local market. On 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X6 the newly elected government unexpectedly abolished all import tariffs, including the 40 per cent tariff on all imported plastic products. Many

45

other economic reforms implemented by the new government contributed to the value of the country's currency ₹ appreciating significantly against most other currencies. The currency appreciation severely reduced the competitiveness of the entity's products.

Before 20X6 entity XYZ was profitable. However, because it was unable to compete with low priced imports, entity XYZ went into losses. As at  $31^{\rm st}$  March, 20X7, entity XYZ's equity was ₹ 1,000. During the second quarter of financial year ended 31 March 20X7, the management restructured entity's operations. That restructuring helped reduce losses for the third and fourth quarters to ₹ 400 and ₹ 380, respectively. During the year ended  $31^{\rm st}$  March, 20X7, entity XYZ reported a loss of ₹ 4,000. In January 20X7, the local plastic industry and labour union lobbied government to reinstate tariffs on plastic. On  $15^{\rm th}$  March, 20X7, the government announced that it would reintroduce limited plastic import tariffs at 10 percent in 20X8. However, it emphasised that those tariffs would not be as protective as the tariffs enacted by the previous government. In its latest economic forecast, the government predicts a stable currency exchange rate in the short term with a gradual weakening of the jurisdiction's currency in the longer term.

Management of the entity XYZ undertook a going concern assessment at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X7. Management projects / forecasts that imposition of a 10 per cent tariff on the import of plastic products would, at current exchange rates, result in entity XYZ returning to profitability. How should the management of entity XYZ disclose the information about the going concern assessment in entity XYZ's 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X7 annual financial statements?

#### Answer 11

Going concern is a general feature to be considered while preparing the financial statements. As per Ind AS 1, when preparing financial statements, management shall make an assessment of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern. An entity shall prepare financial statements on a going concern basis unless management either intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading or has no realistic alternative but to do so. When management is aware, in making its assessment, of material uncertainties related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt upon the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, the entity shall disclose those uncertainties. An entity is required to disclose the facts, if the financial statements are not prepared on a going concern basis. Along with the reason, as to why the financial statements are not prepared on a going concern basis.

While assessing the going concern assumption, an entity is required to take into consideration all factors covering atleast but not limited to 12 months from the end of reporting period.

On the basis of Ind AS 1 and the facts and circumstances of this case, the following disclosure is appropriate:

Extracts from the notes to entity XYZ's 31st March, 20X7 financial statements?

#### Note 1: Basis of preparation

On the basis of management's assessment at 31 March 20X7, the financial statements have been prepared on the going concern basis. However, management's assessment assumes that the government will reintroduce limited plastic import tariffs and that the currency exchange rate will remain constant. On 15 March 20X7, the government announced that limited import tariffs will be imposed in 20X8. However, the government emphasised that the tariff would not be as protective as the 40 percent tariff in effect before 20X7. Provided that ₹ does not strengthen, management projects /

 $^{4}$ 

forecasts that a 10 percent tariff on all plastic products would result in entity XYZ returning to profitability. As at  $31^{st}$  March, 20X7 entity XYZ had net assets of ₹1,000. If import tariffs are not imposed and currency exchange—rates remain unchanged, entity XYZ's liabilities could exceed its assets by the end of financial—year 20X7-20X8. On the basis of their assessment of these factors, management believes that entity XYZ is a going concern.

## Question 12 (Illustration)

Is offsetting of revenue against expenses, permissible in case of a company acting as an agent and having sub-agents, where commission is paid to sub-agents from the commission received as an agent?

#### Answer 12

On the basis of the guidance regarding offsetting, net presentation in the given case would not be appropriate, as it would not reflect substance of the transaction and would detract from the ability of users to understand the transaction.

Accordingly, the commission received by the company as an agent is the gross revenue of the company. The amount of commission paid by it to the sub-agent should be considered as an expense and should not be offset against commission earned by it.

## Question 13 (Illustration)

A retail chain acquired a competitor in March, 20X1 and accounted for the business combination under Ind AS 103 on a provisional basis in its 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1 annual financial statements. The business combination accounting was finalised in 20X1-20X2 and the provisional fair values were updated. As a result, the 20X0-20X1 comparatives were adjusted in the 20X1-20X2 annual financial statements. Does the restatement require an opening statement of financial position (that is, an additional statement of financial position) as of 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X0?

## Answer 13

An additional statement of financial position is not required, because the acquisition had no impact on the entity's financial position at 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X0.

#### **Question 14 (Illustration)**

X Ltd. provides you the following information:

Raw material stock holding period : 3 months

Work-in-progress holding period : 1 month

Finished goods holding period: 5 months Debtors collection period: 5 months

You are requested to compute the operating cycle of X Ltd.

#### Answer 14

The operating cycle of X Ltd. will be computed as under:

Raw material stock holding period + Work-in-progress holding period + Finished goods holding period + Debtors collection period = 3 + 1 + 5 + 5 = 14 months.

#### Question 15 (Illustration)

Inventory or trade receivables of X Ltd. are normally realised in 15 months. How should X Ltd. classify such inventory / trade receivables: current or non-current if these are expected to be realised within 15 months?

#### Answer 15

These should be classified as current.

## Question 16 (Illustration)

B Ltd. produces aircrafts. The length of time between first purchasing raw materials to make the aircrafts and the date the company completes the production and delivery is 9 months. The company receives payment for the aircrafts 7 months after the delivery.

- (a) What is the length of operating cycle?
- (b) How should it treat its inventory and debtors?

#### Answer 16

- (a) The length of the operating cycle will be 16 months.
- (b) Assuming the inventory and debtors will be realised within normal operating cycle, i.e., 16 months, both the inventory as well as debtors should be classified as current.

## Question 17 (Illustration)

On 1st April, 20X3, Charming Ltd issued  $100,000 \ge 10$  bonds for  $\ge 1,000,000$ . On 1st April, each year interest at the fixed rate of 8 percent per year is payable on outstanding capital amount of the bonds (ie the first payment will be made on 1st April, 20X4). On 1st April each year (i.e from 1st April, 20X4), Charming Ltd has a contractual obligation to redeem 10,000 of the bonds at  $\ge 10$  per bond. In its statement of financial position at 31st March, 20X4. How should this be presented in the financial statements?

#### Answer 17

Charming Ltd must present ₹ 80,000 accrued interest and ₹ 1,00,000 current portion of the non- current bond (i.e. the portion repayable on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X4) as current liabilities. The ₹ 9,00,000 due later than 12 months after the end of the reporting period is presented as a non-current liability.

#### Question 18 (Illustration)

X Ltd provides you the following information:

Raw material stock holding period : 3 months

Work-in-progress holding period : 1 month

Finished goods holding period: 5 months

Debtors collection period: 5 months

The trade payables of the Company are paid in 12.5 months. Should these be classified as current or non-current?

#### Answer 18

In this case, the operating cycle of X Ltd. is 14 months. Since the trade payables are expected to be settled within the operating cycle i.e. 12.5 months, they should be classified as a current.

## Question 19 (Illustration)

Entity A has two different businesses, real estate and manufacturing of passenger vehicles. With respect to the real estate business, the entity constructs residential

Page 48

apartments for customers and the normal operating cycle is three to four years. With respect to the business of manufacture of passenger vehicles, normal operating cycle is 15 months. Under such circumstance where an entity has different operating cycles for different types of businesses, how classification into current and non-current be made?

#### Answer 19

As per paragraph 66(a) of Ind AS 1, an asset should be classified as current if an entity expects to realise the same, or intends to sell or consume it in its normal operating cycle. Similarly, as per paragraph 69(a) of Ind AS 1, a liability should be classified as current if an entity expects to settle the liability in its normal operating cycle. In this situation, where businesses have different operating cycles, classification of asset/liability as current/non- current would be in relation to the normal operating cycle that is relevant to that particular asset / liability. It is advisable to disclose the normal operating cycles relevant to different types of businesses for better understanding.

## Question 20 (Illustration)

An entity has placed certain deposits with various parties. How the following deposits should be classified, i.e., current or non-current?

- (a) Electricity Deposit
- (b) Tender Deposit/Earnest Money Deposit [EMD]
- (c) GST Deposit paid under dispute or GST payment under dispute.

#### Answer 20

- (a) **Electricity Deposit** At all points of time, the deposit is recoverable on demand, when the connection is not required. However, practically, such electric connection is required as long as the entity exists. Hence, from a commercial reality perspective, an entity does not expect to realise the asset within twelve months from the end of the reporting period. Hence, electricity deposit should be classified as a non-current asset.
- (b) **Tender Deposit/Earnest Money Deposit [EMD]** -Generally, tender deposit / EMD are paid for participation in various bids. They normally become recoverable if the entity does not win the bid. Bid dates are known at the time of tendering the deposit. But until the date of the actual bid, one is not in a position to know if the entity is winning the bid or otherwise. Accordingly, depending on the terms of the deposit if entity expects to realise the deposit within a period of twelve months, it should be classified as current otherwise non-current.
- (c) **GST Deposit paid under dispute or GST payment under dispute** -Classification of GST deposits paid to the Government authorities in the event of any legal dispute, which is under protest would depend on the facts of the case and the expectation of the entity to realise the same within a period of twelve months. In the case the entity expects these to be realised within 12 months, it should classify such amounts paid as current else these should be classified as non-current.

## Question 21 (Illustration)

Paragraph 69(a) of Ind AS 1 states "An entity shall classify a liability as current when it expects to settle the liability in its normal operating cycle". An entity develops tools for customers and this normally takes a period of around 2 years for completion. The material is supplied by the customer and hence the entity only renders a service. For this, the entity receives payment upfront and credits

the amount so received to "Income Received in Advance". How should this "Income Received in Advance" be classified, i.e., current or non- current?

#### Answer 21

Ind AS 1 provides "Some current liabilities, such as trade payables and some accruals for employee and other operating costs, are part of the working capital used in the entity's normal operating cycle. An entity classifies such operating items as current liabilities even if they are due to be settled more than twelve months after the reporting period."

In accordance with the above, income received in advance would be classified as current liability since it is a part of the working capital, which the entity expects to earn within its normal operating cycle.

#### Question 22 (Illustration)

An entity has taken a loan facility from a bank that is to be repaid within a period of 9 months from the end of the reporting period. Prior to the end of the reporting period, the entity and the bank enter into an arrangement, whereby the existing outstanding loan will, unconditionally, roll into the new facility which expires after a period of 5 years.

- (e) How should such loan be classified in the balance sheet of the entity?
- (f) Will the answer be different if the new facility is agreed upon after the end of the reporting period?
- (g) Will the answer to (a) be different if the existing facility is from one bank and the new facility is from another bank?
- (h) Will the answer to (a) be different if the new facility is not yet tied up with the existing bank, but the entity has the potential to refinance the obligation?

#### **Answer 22**

- (e) The loan is not due for payment at the end of the reporting period. The entity and the bank have agreed for the said roll over prior to the end of the reporting period for a period of 5 years. Since the entity has an unconditional right to defer the settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period, the loan should be classified as non-current.
- (f) Yes, the answer will be different if the arrangement for roll over is agreed upon after the end of the reporting period, since assessment is required to be made based on terms of the existing loan facility. As at the end of the reporting period, the entity does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period. Hence the loan is to be classified as current.
- (g) Yes, loan facility arranged with new bank cannot be treated as refinancing, as the loan with the earlier bank would have to be settled which may coincide with loan facility arranged with a new bank. In this case, loan has to be repaid within a period of 9 months from the end of the reporting period, therefore, it will be classified as current liability.
- (h) Yes, the answer will be different and the loan should be classified as current. This is because, as per paragraph 73 of Ind AS 1, when refinancing or rolling over the obligation is not at the discretion of the entity (for example, there is no arrangement for refinancing), the entity does not consider the potential to refinance the obligation and classifies the obligation as current.

## Question 23 (Illustration)

In December 20X1 an entity entered into a loan agreement with a bank. The loan is repayable in three equal annual instalments starting from December 20X5. One

Page 50

of the loan covenants is that an amount equivalent to the loan amount should be contributed by promoters by March 24 20X2, failing which the loan becomes payable on demand. As on March 24, 20X2, the entity has not been able to get the promoter's contribution. On March 25, 20X2, the entity approached the bank and obtained a grace period up to June 30, 20X2 to get the promoter's contribution.

The bank cannot demand immediate repayment during the grace period. The annual reporting period of the entity ends on March 31, 20X2.

- (a) As on March 31, 20X2, how should the entity classify the loan?
- (b) Assume that in anticipation that it may not be able to get the promoter's contribution by due date, in February 20X2, the entity approached the bank and got the compliance date extended up to June 30, 20X2 for getting promoter's contribution. In this case will the loan classification as on March 31, 20X2 be different from (a) above?

#### Answer 23

- (a) Paragraph 75 of Ind AS 1, inter alia, provides, "An entity classifies the liability as non-current if the lender agreed by the end of the reporting period to provide a period of grace ending at least twelve months after the reporting period, within which the entity can rectify the breach and during which the lender cannot demand immediate repayment." In the present case, following the default, grace period within which an entity can rectify the breach is less than twelve months after the reporting period. Hence as on March 31, 20X2, the loan will be classified as current.
- (b) Ind AS 1 deals with classification of liability as current or non-current in case of breach of a loan covenant and does not deal with the classification in case of expectation of breach. In this case, whether actual breach has taken place or not is to be assessed on June 30, 20X2, i.e., after the reporting date. Consequently, in the absence of actual breach of the loan covenant as on March 31, 20X2, the loan will retain its classification as non-current.

#### Question 24 (Illustration)

OMN Ltd has a subsidiary MN Ltd. OMN Ltd provides a loan to MN Ltd at 8% interest to be paid annually. The loan is required to be paid whenever demanded back by OMN Ltd.

How should the loan be classified in the financial statements of OMN Ltd? Will it be any different for MN Ltd?

#### Answer 24

The demand feature might be primarily a form of protection or a tax-driven feature of the loan. Both parties might expect and intend that the loan will remain outstanding for the foreseeable future. If so, the instrument is, in substance, long-term in nature, and accordingly, OMN Ltd would classify the loan as a non-current asset.

However, OMN Ltd would classify the loan as a current asset if both the parties intend that it will be repaid within 12 months of the reporting period.

MN Ltd would classify the loan as current because it does not have the right to defer repayment for more than 12 months, regardless of the intentions of both the parties. The classification of the instrument could affect initial recognition and subsequent measurement. This might require the entity's management to exercise judgement, which could require disclosure under judgements and estimates.

## Question 25 (Illustration)

20X1: (₹ in crores)	-4	-4
Particulars	31 <sup>st</sup>	31 <sup>st</sup> March,
	March, 20X1	20X0
ASSETS		
Cash	250	170
Cash equivalents	70	30
Non-controlling interest's share of profit for the year	160	150
Dividend declared and paid by A Limited	90	70
Accounts receivable	2,300	1,800
Inventory at cost	1,500	1,650
Inventory at fair value less cost to complete and sell	180	130
Investment property	3,100	3,100
Property, plant and equipment (PPE) at cost	5,200	4,700
Total	12,850	11,800
	₹	₹
CLAIMS AGAINST ASSETS		
Long term debt (₹ 500 crores due on 1stJanuary each	3,300	3,885
year)		
Interest accrued on long term debt (due in less than 12	260	290
months)		
Share Capital	1,130	1,050
Retained earnings at the beginning of the year	1,875	1,740
Profit for the year	1,200	830
Non-controlling interest	830	540
Accumulated depreciation on PPE	1,610	1,240
Provision for doubtful receivables	200	65
Trade payables	880	790
Accrued expenses	15	30
Warranty provision (for 12 months from the date of	600	445
sale)		
Environmental restoration provision (restoration		
expected in		
20X6)	765	640
Provision for accrued leave (due within 12 months)	35	25
Dividend payable	150	230
Total	12,850	11,800

Prepare a consolidated balance sheet using current and non-current classification in accordance with Ind AS 1. Assume operating cycle is 12 months

#### Answer 25

## A Limited Consolidated Balance Sheet as at 31st March 20X1

Particulars		31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1	31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X0
ASSETS			
Non-current assets			
(a) Property, plant and equipment	1	3,590	3,460
(b) Investment property		3,100	3,100


Total non-current assets		6,690	<u>6,560</u>
Current assets			
(a) Inventory	2	1,680	1,780
(b) Financial assets			
(i) Trade and other receivables	3	2,100	1,735
(ii) Cash and cash equivalents	4	320	200
Total current assets		<u>4,100</u>	<u>3,715</u>
Total assets		10,790	10,275
EQUITY & LIABILITIES			
Equity attributable to owners of the			
parent			
Share capital		1,130	1,050
Other Equity	5	2,825	2,350
Non-controlling interests		<u>830</u>	<u>540</u>
Total equity		<u>4,785</u>	<u>3,940</u>
LIABILITIES			
Non-current liabilities			
(a) Financial Liabilities		0.000	
Borrowings - Long-term debt	6	2,800	3,385
(b) Provisions			
Long-term provisions (environmental restoration)			
,		<u>765</u>	640
Total non-current liabilities		<u>3,565</u>	4,025
Current liabilities			
(a) Financial Liabilities			
(i) Trade and other payables (Other	7	895	820
than micro enterprises and			
small	8	500	500
enterprises) (ii) Current portion of long-term	O	260	290
debt		150	230
(iii) Interest accrued on long-term			
debt		600	445
(iv) Dividend payable		<u>35</u>	<u>25</u>
(b) Provisions		<u>2,440</u>	<u>2,310</u>
(i) Warranty provision		6,005	6,335
(ii) Other short-term provisions			
Total current liabilities Total			
liabilities Total equity and liabilities		10,790	10,275
Total Equity and manifeles		10,790	10,215

**Working Notes:** 


Notes	<b>Particulars</b>	Basis	Calculation	Amount
			₹ crores	₹ crores

1	Property,	Property, plant and	5,200 -	3,590
_	plant and	equipment (PPE) at cost <i>less</i>	1,610	(3,460)
	equipment	Accumulated (depreciation on	(4,700 –	(0,100)
	equipment	PPE	1,240)	
2	Inventory	Inventory at cost add	1,500 + 180	1,680
		Inventory at fair value less	(1,650 + 130)	(1,780)
		cost to complete and sell	,	, , ,
3	Trade and	Accounts receivable <i>less</i>	2,300 -	2,100
	other	Provision for doubtful	200	(1,735)
	receivables	receivables	(1,800 –	, ,
			65)	
4	Cash and	Cash and Cash equivalents	250 + 70	320
	cash		(170 + 30)	(200)
	equivalents			
5	Other Equity	Retained earnings at the	1,875 +	2,825
		beginning of the year add	1,200-	
		Profit for the year <i>less</i> Non-	160 – 90	
		controlling interest's share of	(1,740 + 830)	(2,350)
		profit for the year less	<b>–</b> 150 <b>–</b> 70)	
		Dividend declared by A		
		Limited		
6	Long-term	Long-term debt <i>less</i> Due on	3,300 - 500	2,800
	debt	1stJanuary each year	(3,885 - 500)	(3,385)
7	Trade &	Trade payables <i>add</i> Accrued	880 + 15	895
	other	expenses	(790 + 30)	(820)
	payables			
8	Current	Due on 1stJanuary each year	-	500
	portion of		-	(500)
	long- term			
	debt			

Note: Figures in brackets represent the figures for comparative year.



 $^{\mathsf{age}}\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{G}}$ 



 $^{Page}56$ 

# Chapter 3 Unit-2 Ind AS 34 "Interim Financial Reporting"

## **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	July'	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	21	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP	Q6						Q5					Q7
PYP	Q1		Q10									
RTP	Q3							Q2	Q4	Q8	Q9	

## Section - A

#### Question 1

Pharma Ltd. manufactures surgical items. Pharma Ltd. has shown a net profit of ₹ 50,00,000 for the second quarter of 2020-2021.

Following adjustments are made while computing the net profit:

- (ii) Additional depreciation of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  5,20,000 resulting from the change in the method of depreciation.
- (iii) Exceptional loss of ₹ 8,16,000 incurred during the second quarter. 60% of exceptional loss has been deferred to next quarter.
- (iv) ₹ 4,70,000 expenditure on account of sales expenses pertaining to the second quarter is deferred on the argument that the third quarter will have more sales, therefore, third quarter should be debited by higher expenditure. The expenditures are uniform throughout all quarters.

Analyse and ascertain the correct net profit to be shown in the interim financial results of the second quarter to be presented to the Board of Directors as per Ind AS 34. (PYP 4 Marks Dec '21)

## Answer 1

The quarterly net profit has not been correctly stated. As per Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Reporting, the quarterly net profit should be adjusted and restated as follows:

- (i) The treatment of bad debts is not correct as the expenses incurred during an interim reporting period should be recognized in the same period. Accordingly, ₹ 1,04,000 (₹ 2,60,000 x 40%) should be deducted from ₹ 50,00,000 in the second quarter itself.
- (ii) Recognizing additional depreciation of ₹ 5,20,000 in the same quarter is correct and is in tune with Ind AS 34.
- (iii) Treatment of exceptional loss is not as per the principles of Ind AS 34, as the entire amount of ₹ 8,16,000 incurred during the second quarter should be recognized in the same quarter. Hence ₹ 4,89,600 (ie. ₹ 8,16,000 x 60%) which was deferred for next quarter should be deducted from the profits of second quarter only.
- (iv) (a) As per Ind AS 34 the income and expense should be recognised when they are earned and incurred respectively. As per para 39 of Ind AS 34, the costs should be anticipated or deferred only when it is appropriate to anticipate or defer that type of cost at the end of the financial year; and
  - (b) Costs are incurred unevenly during the financial year of an enterprise.

Therefore, the treatment done relating to deferment of ₹ 4,70,000 is not correct as expenditures are uniform throughout all quarters. Thus, considering the above, the correct net profits to be shown in Interim Financial Report of the second quarter shall be

		₹
Net Profit of second quarter		50,00,00
		0
Adjustments		
Less: Bad debts wrongly deferred to third	1,04,00	
quarter	0	
Exceptional loss wrongly deferred to third	4,89,60	
quarter	0	
Sales expenses wrongly deferred to third	4,70,00	(10,63,60
quarter	0	0)
Revised Profit		
		39,36,40
		0

#### Question 2

The entity's financial year ends on 31st March. What are the "reporting periods" for which financial statements (condensed or complete) in the interim financial report of the entity as on 30th September, 20X1 are required to be presented, if:

- (i) Entity publishes interim financial reports quarterly
- (ii) Entity publishes interim financial reports half-yearly. (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 2

Paragraph 20 of Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Reporting states as follows: "Interim reports shall include interim financial statements (condensed or complete) for periods as follows:

- balance sheet as of the end of the current interim period and a comparative balance sheet as of the end of the immediately preceding financial year.
- b) statements of profit and loss for the current interim period and cumulatively for the current financial year to date, with comparative statements of profit and loss for the comparable interim periods (current and year-to-date) of the immediately preceding financial year.
- statement of changes in equity cumulatively for the current financial year to date, with a comparative statement for the comparable year-to-date period of the immediately preceding financial year.
- d) statement of cash flows cumulatively for the current financial year to date, with a comparative statement for the comparable year-to-date period of the immediately preceding financial year.
  - Accordingly, periods for which interim financial statements are required to be presented are provided herein below:
- (i) Entity publishes interim financial reports quarterly

The entity will present the following financial statements (condensed or complete) in its interim financial report of 30th September, 20X1:

Balance sheet	30th	31st March	-	-
at	September	20X1		
	20X1			,

Dago

Statement of	3 months	3 months	6 months ended	6 months
profit and loss	ended 30th	ended	30th September	ended
for	September	30th	20X1	30th
	20X1	September		September
		20X0		20X0
Statement of	6 months	6 months		
changes in	ended 30th	ended 30th		
equity for	September	September		
	20X1	20X0		
Statement of	6 months	6 months	-	-
cash flows for	ended 30th	ended 30th		
	September	September		
	20X1	20X0		

## (ii) Entity publishes interim financial reports half-yearly

The entity's financial year ends 31st March. The entity will present the following financial statements (condensed or complete) in its half-yearly interim financial report of 30th September, 20X1:

Balance sheet at	30th September, 20X1	31st March, 20X1
Statement of profit and loss for	6 months ending 30th September, 20X1	6 months ending 30th September, 20X0
Statement of changes in equity for	6 months ending 30th September 20X1	6 months ending 30th September 20X0
Statement of cash flows for	6 months ending 30th September 20X1	6 months ending 30th September 20X0

#### **Ouestion 3**

While preparing interim financial statements for the half-year ended 30 September 20X2, an entity discovers a material error (an improper expense accrual) in the interim financial statements for the period ended 30 September 20X1 and the annual financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X2. The entity does not intend to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented in the interim financial statements as it believes it would be sufficient to correct the error by restating the comparatives in the annual financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X3. Is this acceptable? Discuss in accordance with relevant Ind AS. (RTP Nov '21)

#### **Answer 3**

Paragraph 42 of Ind AS 8, inter alia, states that an entity shall correct material prior period errors retrospectively in the first set of financial statements approved for issue after their discovery by restating the comparative amounts for the prior period(s) presented in which the error occurred.

Paragraph 28 of Ind AS 34 requires an entity to apply the same accounting policies in its interim financial statements as are applied in its annual financial statements (except for accounting policy changes made after the date of the most recent annual financial statements that are to be reflected in the next annual financial statements).

Paragraph 15B of Ind AS 34 cites 'corrections of prior period errors' as an example of events or transactions which need to be explained in an entity's interim financial

report if they are significant to an understanding of the changes in financial position and performance of the entity since the end of the last annual reporting period.

Paragraph 25 of Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Statements, states as follows:

"While judgement is always required in assessing materiality, this Standard bases the recognition and disclosure decision on data for the interim period by itself for reasons of understandability of the interim figures. Thus, for example, unusual items, changes in accounting policies or estimates, and errors are recognized and disclosed on the basis of materiality in relation to interim period data to avoid misleading inferences that might result from nondisclosure. The overriding goal is to ensure that an interim financial report includes all information that is relevant to understanding an entity's financial position and performance during the interim period."

In view of the above, the entity is required to correct the error and restate the comparative amounts in interim financial statements for the half-year ended 30 September 20X2.

#### **Ouestion 4**

An entity reports quarterly, earns Rs. 1,50,000 pre-tax profit in the first quarter but expects to incur losses of Rs. 50,000 in each of the three remaining quarters. The entity operates in a jurisdiction in which its estimated average annual income tax rate is 30%.

The management believes that since the entity has zero income for the year, its income-tax expense for the year will be zero. State whether the management's views are correct. If not, then calculate the tax expense for each quarter as well as for the year as per Ind AS 34. (RTP Nov'19)

#### Answer 4

As per para 30 (c) of Ind AS 34 'Interim Financial Reporting', income tax expense is **recognized in each interim period** based on the best estimate of the weighted average annual income tax rate expected for the full financial year. Accordingly, the management's contention that since the net income for the year will be zero no income tax expense shall be charged quarterly in the interim financial report, is not correct. The following table shows the correct income tax expense to be reported each quarter in accordance with Ind AS 34:

Period	Pre-tax earnings (in Rs.)	Effective tax rate	Tax expense (in Rs.)
First Quarter	1,50,000	30%	45,000
Second Quarter	(50,000)	30%	(15,000)
Third Quarter	(50,000)	30%	(15,000)
Fourth Quarter	(50,000)	30%	(15,000)
Annual	0		0

#### Question 5

Company A expects to earn ₹ 15,000 pre-tax profit each quarter and has a corporate tax slab of 20 percent on the first ₹ 20,000 of annual earnings and 40 per cent on all additional earnings. Actual earnings match expectations. Calculate the amount of income tax to be shown in each quarter. (MTP 4 Marks April 22)

#### Answer 5

The following table shows the amount of income tax expense that is reported in each quarter:

 $09^{\text{age}}$ 

Expected Total Income =  $15,000 \times 4 = ₹ 60,000$ 

Expected Tax as per slabs =  $20,000 \times 20\% + 40,000 \times 40\% =$  ₹ 20,000 Average Annual Income tax rate =  $20,000/60,000 \times 100 = 33.33\%$ 

Amount (₹)

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Profit before tax	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Tax expense	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000

## Question 6

Narayan Ltd. provides you the following information and asks you to calculate the tax expense for each quarter, assuming that there is no difference between the estimated taxable income and the estimated accounting income: Estimated Gross Annual Income- Rs.33,00,000 (inclusive of Estimated Capital Gains of Rs. 8,00,000) Estimated Income of Quarter I is Rs. 7,00,000, Quarter II is Rs. 8,00,000,

Quarter III (including Estimated Capital Gains of Rs. 8,00,000) is Rs. 12,00,000 and

Quarter IV is Rs. 6,00,000.

Tax On Capital Gains 12%

Rates:

On Other Income: First Rs. 30%

5,00,000

Balance Income 40%

#### (MTP 6 Marks Oct 21)

#### Answer 6

As per para 30(c) of Ind AS 34 'Interim Financial Reporting', income tax expense is recognized in each interim period based on the best estimate of the weighted average annual income tax rate expected for the full financial year.

If different income tax rates apply to different categories of income (such as capital gains or income earned in particular industries) to the extent practicable, a separate rate is applied to each individual category of interim period pre-tax income.

	Rs.
Estimated annual income exclusive of estimated capital gain (33,00,000 – 8,00,000) (A)	25,00,000
Tax expense on other income:	
30% on Rs. 5,00,000	1,50,000
40% on remaining Rs. 20,00,000	8,00,000
(B)	9,50,00
Weighted average annual income tax rate = B/A = 9,50,000/25,00,000 = 38%	<u>0</u>

Tax expense to be recognized in each of the quarterly reports

	Rs.
Quarter I - Rs. 7,00,000 x 38%	2,66,000

Quarter II - Rs. 8,00,000 x 38%		3,04,000
Quarter III - Rs. (12,00,000 - 8,00,000) x 38%	1,52,00 0	
Rs. 8,00,000 x 12%	0	2,48,000
Quarter IV - Rs. 6,00,000 x 38%	<u>96,000</u>	2,28,000
		10,46,000

#### Question 7

ABC Ltd. requires assistance on whether the following revenue can be anticipated or cost can be deferred as of 30th June, 20X1 while preparing the interim financial statements:

- (i) Dividend income from its investment which is declared in September of every year.
- (ii) 60% of the advertising cost for the whole year is incurred by ABC Ltd. in the first quarter and the remaining 40% in the second quarter. (MTP 5 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 7

Paragraphs 37 and 38 of Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Reporting state that revenues that are received seasonally, cyclically, or occasionally within a financial year shall not be anticipated or deferred as of an interim date if anticipation or deferral would not be appropriate at the end of the entity's financial year. Examples include dividend revenue, royalties, and government grants. Additionally, some entities consistently earn more revenues in certain interim periods of a financial year than in other interim periods, for example, seasonal revenues of retailers. Such revenues are recognised when they occur.

Further, for costs incurred unevenly during the financial year, para 39 of Ind AS 34 states that costs that are incurred unevenly during an entity's financial year shall be anticipated or deferred for interim reporting purposes if, and only if, it is also appropriate to anticipate or defer that type of cost at the end of the financial year. In view of the above guidance, in the given case, dividend income received by ABC Limited cannot be anticipated and recognised in financial statements as of 30th June,

Further, considering that 60% of advertising cost for the whole year has been incurred by ABC Ltd during the first quarter and 40% in the second quarter, it is a cost incurred unevenly. Applying principles of paragraph 39, it is not appropriate to defer the charge of an incurred advertising expense (60% of whole year cost) at the end of the quarter. Accordingly, all the advertising costs incurred till 30th June, 20X1 should be charged to P&L while preparing its financial statements as of 30th June, 20X1.

## Question 8

An entity's accounting year ends is 31<sup>st</sup> December, but its tax year end is 31<sup>st</sup> March. The entity publishes an interim financial report for each quarter of the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2019. The entity's profit before tax is steady at Rs.10,000 each quarter, and the estimated effective tax rate is 25% for the year ended 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2019 and 30% for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2020. How the related tax charge would be calculated for the year 2019 and its quarters? (RTP Nov'20)

#### Answer 8

Table showing computation of tax charge:

rabie birowing compatation of tail enaige.					
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter ending	Quarter	Year ending
	ending	ending	30th September,	ending 31st	31st
	31st	30th	2019	December,	December,
	March,	June, 2019		2019	2019
	2019				
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Profit before tax	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	40,000
Tax charge	(2,500)	(3,000)	(3,000)	(3,000)	(11,500)
	7,500	7,000	7,000	7,000	28,500

Since an entity's accounting year is not same as the tax year, more than one tax rate might apply during the accounting year. Accordingly, the entity should apply the effective tax rate for each interim period to the pre-tax result for that period.

#### Question 9

PQR Ltd. is preparing its interim financial statements for quarter 3 of the year. How the following transactions and events should be dealt with while preparing its interim financials:

- (i) It makes employer contributions to government-sponsored insurance funds that are assessed on an annual basis. During Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 larger amount of payments for this contribution were made, while during the Quarter 3 minor payments were made (since contribution is made upto a certain maximum level of earnings per employee and hence for higher income employees, the maximum income reaches before year end).
- (ii) The entity intends to incur major repair and renovation expense for the office building. For this purpose, it has started seeking quotations from vendors. It also has tentatively identified a vendor and expected costs that will be incurred for this work.
- (iii) The company has a practice of declaring bonus of 10% of its annual operating profits every year. It has a history of doing so. (RTP Nov'22)

## Answer 9

Paragraph 28 of Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Reporting states that an entity shall apply the same accounting recognition and measurement principles in its interim financial statements as are applied in its annual financial statements.

Further, paragraphs 32 and 33 of Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Reporting state that for assets, the same tests of future economic benefits apply at interim dates and at the end of an entity's financial year. Costs that, by their nature, would not qualify as assets at financial year-end would not qualify at interim dates either. Similarly, a liability at the end of an interim reporting period must represent an existing obligation at that date, just as it must at the end of an annual reporting period. An essential characteristic of income (revenue) and expenses is that the related inflows and outflows of assets and liabilities have already taken place. If those inflows or outflows have taken place, the related revenue and expense are recognised otherwise not. The Conceptual Framework does not allow the recognition of items in the balance sheet which do not meet the definition of assets or liabilities.

Considering the above guidance, while preparing its interim financials, the transactions and events of the given case should be dealt with as follows:

(i) If employer contributions to government-sponsored insurance funds are assessed on an annual basis, the employer's related expense is recognised using an estimated average annual effective contribution rate in its interim financial

- statements, even though a large portion of the payments have been made early in the financial year. Accordingly, it should work out an average effective contribution rate and account for the same accordingly, in its interim financials.
- (ii) The cost of a planned overhaul expenditure that is expected to occur in later part of the year is not anticipated for interim reporting purposes unless an event has caused the entity to have a legal or constructive obligation. The mere intention or necessity to incur expenditure related to the future is not sufficient to give rise to an obligation.
- (iii) A bonus is anticipated for interim reporting purposes, if and only if,
  - (a) the bonus is a legal obligation or past practice would make the bonus a constructive obligation for which the entity has no realistic alternative but to make the payments, and
  - (b) a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made. Ind AS 19, Employee Benefits provides guidance in this regard.

A liability for bonus may arise out of legal agreement or constructive obligation because of which it has no alternative but to pay the bonus and accordingly, needs to be accrued in the annual financial statements. Bonus liability is accrued in interim financial statements on the same basis as they are accrued for annual financial statements. In the instant case, bonus liability of 10% of operating profit for the year to date may be accrued. In the given case, since the company has past record of declaring annual bonus every year, the same may be accrued using a reasonable estimate (applying the principles of Ind AS 19, Employee Benefits) while preparing its interim results.

## Question 10

Heavy Limited has a plant with normal capacity to produce 90,000 units of a product per annum and expected fixed production overhead for the year is ₹ 18,00,000. There are no quarterly / seasonal variations. Hence, normal expected production of each quarter is uniform. The actual production of the year is 87,000 units. The production details of each quarter are as under:

Quarter I: 20,000 units
Quarter II: 24,000 units
Quarter III: 23,500 units
Quarter IV: 19,500 units

Calculate the allocation of fixed production overhead for all the four quarters. Will the quarterly results affect annual result? Give your answer as per Ind AS 34 read with Ind AS 2. (PYP 5 Marks July 21)

## Answer 10

Since it is considered that there is no quarterly / seasonal variation, then normal expected production for each quarter is 22,500 units (90,000 units / 4 quarters) and fixed production overheads for the quarter are ₹ 4,50,000 (₹ 18,00,000 / 4 quarters). Fixed production overhead to be allocated per unit of production in every quarter will be ₹ 20 per unit.

(Fixed overheads / Normal production i.e. ₹ 4,50,000 / 22,500 units

	Quarters			
Particulars	I	II	III	IV
Actual fixed production	4,50,00	9,00,00	13,50,00	18,00,00
overheads on year to date basis	0	0	0	0
(₹)				
Actual production (Units)	20,000	24,000	23,500	19,500

<sub>age</sub> 64

Actual production year to date	20,000	44,000	67,500	87,000
basis (Units)				
Fixed overheads to be absorbed	4,00,00	8,80,00	13,50,00	17,40,00
on year to date basis (₹)	0	0	0	0
<b>Under recovery</b> year to date (₹)	50,000	20,000	NIL	60,000

#### Quarter I:

Unallocated fixed production overheads ₹ 50,000 (i.e. ₹ 4,50,000 – ₹ 4,00,000) to be charged as expense as per Ind AS 2 and consequently as per Ind AS 34 .

#### Ouarter II:

Since production increased in second quarter by 1,500 units (24,000 – 22,500) i.e. more than the normal expected production, hence  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  30,000 (1,500 units x  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  20 per unit) will be reversed by way of a credit to the statement of profit and loss of the 2 nd quarter and debit to cost of production / inventory cost.

#### Quarter III:

Earlier, ₹ 50,000 was not allocated to production / inventory cost in the 1 st quarter. Out of it, ₹ 30,000 was reversed in the 2nd quarter. To allocate entire ₹ 13,50,000 till third quarter to the production, as per Ind AS 34, remaining ₹ 20,000 (₹ 50,000 – ₹ 30,000) will be reversed by way of a credit to the statement of profit and loss of the 3 rd quarter and debit to the cost of production / inventory cost.

#### Quarter IV:

Unallocated fixed production overheads ₹ 60,000 {i.e. ₹ 4,50,000 – (₹ 20 x 19,500)} in the 4th quarter will be expensed off as per the principles of Ind AS 2 and Ind AS 34 by way of a charge to the statement of profit and loss.

## For the year:

The cumulative result of all the quarters would also result in unallocated overheads of ₹ 60,000, thus, meeting the requirements of Ind AS 34 that the quarterly results should not affect the measurement of the annual result.

#### Section - B

#### Question 1

The entity's financial year ends on 31st March. What are the "reporting periods" for which financial statements (condensed or complete) in the interim financial report of the entity as on 30th September, 20X1 are required to be presented, if:

- (i) Entity publishes interim financial reports quarterly
- (ii) Entity publishes interim financial reports half-yearly.

#### Answer 1

Paragraph 20 of Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Reporting states as follows:

"Interim reports shall include interim financial statements (condensed or complete) for periods as follows:

- a) balance sheet as of the end of the current interim period and a comparative balance sheet as of the end of the immediately preceding financial year.
- b) statements of profit and loss for the current interim period and cumulatively for the current financial year to date, with comparative statements of profit and loss for the comparable interim periods (current and year-to-date) of the immediately preceding financial year.
- statement of changes in equity cumulatively for the current financial year to date, with a comparative statement for the comparable year-to-date period of the immediately preceding financial year.
- d) statement of cash flows cumulatively for the current financial year to date, with a comparative statement for the comparable year-to-date period of the immediately preceding financial year.

Page 65

Accordingly, periods for which interim financial statements are required to be presented are provided here in below:

## (i) Entity publishes interim financial reports quarterly

The entity will present the following financial statements (condensed or complete) in its interim financial report of 30<sup>th</sup> September, 20X1:

Balance	30th September	31st March	-	-
sheet at	20X1	20X1		
Statement of profit and	3 months ended 30th	3 months ended 30th September	6 months ended	6 months ended 30th September
loss for	September	20X0	30th	20X0
	20X1		September	
			20X1	
Statement of	6 months	6 months ended		
changes in	ended 30th	30th September		
equity for	September	20X0		
	20X1			
Statement of	6 months	6 months ended	-	-
cash flows for		30th September		
	September	20X0		
	20X1			

## (ii) Entity publishes interim financial reports half-yearly

The entity's financial year ends 31st March. The entity will present the following financial statements (condensed or complete) in its half-yearly interim financial report of 30th September, 20X1:

Balance sheet at	30th September, 20X1	31st March, 20X1
Statement of profit and	6 months ending 30th	6 months ending 30th
loss for	September, 20X1	September, 20X0
Statement of changes in	6 months ending 30th	6 months ending 30th
equity for	September 20X1	September 20X0
Statement of cash flows for	6 months ending 30th	6 months ending 30th
	September 20X1	September 20X0

#### Question 2

Narayan Ltd. provides you the following information and asks you to calculate the tax expense for each quarter, assuming that there is no difference between the estimated taxable income and the estimated accounting income:

Estimated Gross Annual Income 33,00,000 (inclusive of Estimated Capital Gains of 8,00,000)

Estimated Income of Quarter I is 7,00,000, Quarter II is 8,00,000, Quarter III (including Estimated Capital Gains of 8,00,000) is 12,00,000 and Quarter IV is 6,00,000.

Tax Rates: On Capital Gains 12%

On Other Income: First 5,00,000 30%

Balance Income

40%

Answer 2

99eec

As per para 30(c) of Ind AS 34 'Interim Financial Reporting', income tax expense is recognised in each interim period based on the best estimate of the weighted average annual income tax rate expected for the full financial year.

If different income tax rates apply to different categories of income (such as capital gains or income earned in particular industries) to the extent practicable, a separate rate is applied to each individual category of interim period pre-tax income.

applied to each marriadal eategory of interim period pre-	-	i iiicoiiic.
Estimated annual income exclusive of estimated capital		
gain		₹
(33,00,000 - 8,00,000)	(A	25,00,000
	)	
Tax expense on other income		
30% on 5,00,000		1,50,00
		0
40% on remaining 20,00,000		
		8,00,000
	(B	9,50,000
	)	
Weighted average annual income tax rate = $\frac{B}{A} = \frac{9,50,000}{25,00,000} =$		
38 %		
00 /0	1	

Tax expense to be recognized in each of the quarterly reports

	<u> </u>		
			₹
Quarter I -	7,00,000 x 38%		2,66,000
Quarter II	8,00,000 x 38%		3,04,000
-			
Quarter III	(12,00,000 - 8,00,000) x 38%	1,52,000	
-			
	8,00,000 x 12%	96,000	2,48,000
Quarter IV	6,00,000 x 38%		2,28,000
-			
			10,46,000

#### Question 3

An entity reports quarterly, earns 1,50,000 pre-tax profit in the first quarter but expects to incur losses of 50,000 in each of the three remaining quarters. The entity operates in a jurisdiction in which its estimated average annual income tax rate is 30%.

The management believes that since the entity has zero income for the year, its income-tax expense for the year will be zero. State whether the management's views are correct or not? If not, then calculate the tax expense for each quarter as well as for the year as per Ind AS 34.

#### Answer 3

As illustrated in para 30 (c) of Ind AS 34 'Interim financial reporting', income tax expense is **recognised in each interim period** based on the best estimate of the weighted average annual income tax rate expected for the full financial year.

Accordingly, the management's contention that since the net income for the year will be zero no income tax expense shall be charged quarterly in the interim financial report, is not correct. Since the effective tax rate or average annual income tax rate is

already given in the question as 30%, the income tax expense will be recognised in each interim quarter based on this rate only. The following table shows the correct income tax expense to be reported each quarter in accordance with Ind AS 34:

Period	Pre-tax	Effective tax	Tax
	earnings	rate	expense
	(in )		(in )
First Quarter	1,50,0	30%	45,000
	00		
Second Quarter	(50,00	30%	(15,00
	0)		0)
Third Quarter	(50,00	30%	(15,00
	0)		0)
Fourth Quarter	(50,00	30%	(15,00
	0)		0)
Annual	0		0

## Question 4

Due to decline in market price in second quarter, Happy India Ltd. incurred an inventory loss. The Market price is expected to return to previous levels by the end of the year. At the end of year, the decline had not reversed. When should the loss be reported in interim statement of profit and loss of Happy India Ltd.?

#### Answer 4

Loss should be recognised in the second quarter of the year.

#### **Ouestion 5**

An entity's accounting year ends is 31st December, but its tax year end is 31st March. The entity publishes an interim financial report for each quarter of the year ended 31st December, 2019. The entity's profit before tax is steady at 10,000 each quarter, and the estimated effective tax rate is 25% for the year ended 31st March, 2019 and 30% for the year ended 31st March, 2020.

How the related tax charge would be calculated for the year 2019 and its quarters. Answer 5

Table showing computation of tax charge:

	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Year ending
	ending	ending	ending 30th	ending 31st	31st
	31st	30th June,	September,	December,	December,
	March,	2019	2019	2019	2019
	2019				
	₹	₹	₹	₹	₹
Profit before tax	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	40,000
Tax charge	(2,500)	(3,000)	(3,000)	(3,000)	(11,500)
	7,500	7,000	7,000	7,000	28,500

Since an entity's accounting year is not same as the tax year, more than one tax rate might apply during the accounting year. Accordingly, the entity should apply the effective tax rate for each interim period to the pre-tax result for that period.

## Question 6

PQR Ltd. is preparing its interim financial statements for quarter 3 of the year. How the following transactions and events should be dealt with while preparing

its interim financials:

- (iii) It makes employer contributions to government-sponsored insurance funds that are assessed on an annual basis. During Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 larger amount of payments for this contribution were made, while during the Quarter 3 minor payments were made (since contribution is made upto a certain maximum level of earnings per employee and hence for higher income employees, the maximum income reaches before year end).
- (iv) The entity intends to incur major repair and renovation expense for the office building. For this purpose, it has started seeking quotations from vendors. It also has tentatively identified a vendor and expected costs that will be incurred for this work.
- (v) The company has a practice of declaring bonus of 10% of its annual operating profits every year. It has a history of doing so.

#### Answer 6

Paragraph 28 of Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Reporting states that an entity shall apply the same accounting recognition and measurement principles in its interim financial statements as are applied in its annual financial statements.

Further, paragraphs 32 and 33 of Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Reporting state that for assets, the same tests of future economic benefits apply at interim dates and at the end of an entity's financial year. Costs that, by their nature, would not qualify as assets at financial year-end would not qualify at interim dates either. Similarly, a liability at the end of an interim reporting period must represent an existing obligation at that date, just as it must at the end of an annual reporting period.

An essential characteristic of income (revenue) and expenses is that the related inflows and outflows of assets and liabilities have already taken place. If those inflows or outflows have taken place, the related revenue and expense are recognised otherwise not. The Conceptual Framework does not allow the recognition of items in the balance sheet which do not meet the definition of assets or liabilities.

Considering the above guidance, while preparing its interim financials, the transactions and events of the given case should be dealt with as follows:

- (i) If employer contributions to government-sponsored insurance funds are assessed on an annual basis, the employer's related expense is recognised using an estimated average annual effective contribution rate in its interim financial statements, even though a large portion of the payments have been made early in the financial year. Accordingly, it should work out an average effective contribution rate and account for the same accordingly, in its interim financials.
- (ii) The cost of a planned overhaul expenditure that is expected to occur in later part of the year is not anticipated for interim reporting purposes unless an event has caused the entity to have a legal or constructive obligation. The mere intention or necessity to incur expenditure related to the future is not sufficient to give rise to an obligation.
- (iii) A bonus is anticipated for interim reporting purposes, if and only if,
  - (a) the bonus is a legal obligation or past practice would make the bonus a constructive obligation for which the entity has no realistic alternative but to make the payments, and
  - (b) a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made. Ind AS 19, Employee Benefits provides guidance in this regard.

A liability for bonus may arise out of legal agreement or constructive obligation because of which it has no alternative but to pay the bonus and accordingly, needs to be accrued in the annual financial statements.

 $_{\mathsf{Page}}69$ 

Bonus liability is accrued in interim financial statements on the same basis as they are accrued for annual financial statements. In the instant case, bonus liability of 10% of operating profit for the year to date may be accrued.

In the given case, since the company has past record of declaring annual bonus every year, the same may be accrued using a reasonable estimate (applying the principles of Ind AS 19, Employee Benefits) while preparing its interim results.

#### Question 7 (Illustration)

#### Answer 7

## Amount of income tax expense reported in each quarter would be as below:

Expected total Inco 3)] Expected tax as per Average Annual Inc	r slabs = 15,	000 x 20% = ₹	3,000		
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Profit / (Loss)	60,00	(15,000)	(15,000	(15,000	7
before tax	0		)	)	
Tax charge /	12,00	(3,000)	(3,000)	(3,000)	

## Question 8 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd. presents interim financial report quarterly. On 1.4.20X1, ABC Ltd. has carried forward loss of ₹ 600 lakhs for income-tax purpose for which deferred tax asset has not been recognized. ABC Ltd. earns ₹ 900 lakhs in each quarter ending on 30.6.20X1, 30.9.20X1, 31.12.20X1 and 31.3.20X2 excluding the carried forward loss. Income-tax rate is expected to be 40%. Calculate the amount of tax expense to be reported in each quarter.

#### Answer 8

Amount of income tax expense reported in each quarter would be as below:

## The estimated payment of the annual tax on earnings for the current year:

₹ 3,000\* x 40 / 100 = ₹ 1,200 lakhs. \*(3,600 lakhs - ₹ 600 lakhs) = ₹ 3,000 lakhs Average annual effective tax rate = (1,200 / 3,600) × 100 = 33.33% Tax expense to be shown in each quarter = 900 x 33.33% = ₹ 300 lakhs

#### Question 9 (Illustration)

Innovative Corporation Private Limited (or "ICPL") is dealing in seasonal product and the sales pattern of the product, quarter wise is as under during the financial

## year 20X1-20X2:

Qtr. I	Qtr. II	Qtr. III	Qtr. IV
ending 30 June	ending 30 September	ending 31 December	ending 31 March
10%	10%	60%	20%

For the first quarter ending on 30 June, 20X1, ICPL has provided the following information:

Particulars	Amounts (in crore)
Sales	7
	0
Employees benefits expenses	2
	5
Administrative and other expenses	1
	2
Finance cost	4

ICPL while preparing interim financial report for first quarter wants to defer ₹ 16 crores expenditure to third quarter on the argument that third quarter is having more sales therefore third quarter should be debited by more expenditure. Considering the seasonal nature of business and that the expenditures are uniform throughout all quarte ₹ Calculate the result of first quarter as per Ind AS 34 and comment on the company's view.

#### Answer 9

## Result of the first quarter ending 30 June

Particulars	Amounts (in crore)
Sales	70
Total Revenue (A)	70
Less: Employees benefits expenses	(25)
Administrative and other expenses	(12)
Finance cost	(4)
Total Expense (B)	(41)
Profit (A-B)	29

**Note**- As per Ind AS 34, the income and expense should be recognized when they are earned and incurred respectively. Seasonal incomes will be recognized when they occur. Therefore, the argument of ICPL is not correct considering the priciples of Ind AS 34.

#### Question 10 (Illustration)

Fixed production overheads for the financial year is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  10,000. Normal expected production for the year, after considering planned maintenance and normal breakdown, also considering the future demand of the product is 2,000 MT. It is considered that there are no quarterly / seasonal variations. Therefore, the normal expected production for each quarter is 500 MT and the fixed production overheads for the quarter are  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  2,500.

Actual production achieved	Quantity (In MT)
First quarter	400
Second quarter	600

Third quarter	500
Fourth quarter	<u>400</u>
Total	<u>1,900</u>

Presuming that there are no quarterly / seasonal variation, calculate the allocation of fixed production overheads for all the four quarters as per Ind AS 34 read with Ind AS 2. Will the quarterly results affect the annual results?

#### Answer 10

If it is considered that there is no quarterly / seasonal variation, therefore normal expected production for each quarter is 500 MT and fixed production overheads for the quarter are  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 2,500$ .

Fixed production overhead to be allocated per unit of production in every quarter will be ₹ 5 per MT (Fixed overheads / Normal production).

	Fixed overheads / Normal production).
Quarters	Allocations
First Quarter	<ul> <li>Actual fixed production overheads = ₹ 2,500</li> <li>Fixed production overheads based on the allocation rate of ₹ 5 per unit allocated to actual production = ₹ 5 x 400 = ₹ 2,000</li> <li>Unallocated fixed production overheads to be charged as expense as per Ind AS 2 and consequently as per Ind AS 34 = ₹ 500</li> </ul>
Second Quarter	<ul> <li>Actual fixed production overheads on year-to-date basis = ₹ 5,000</li> <li>Fixed production overheads to be absorbed on year-to-date basis = 1,000 x ₹ 5 = ₹ 5,000</li> </ul>
	➤ Earlier, ₹ 500 was not allocated to production in the 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter. To give effect to the entire ₹ 5,000 to be allocated in the second quarter, as per Ind AS 34, ₹ 500 are reversed by way of a credit to the statement of profit and loss of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter.
Third Quarter	<ul> <li>Actual production overheads on year-to-date basis = ₹ 7,500</li> <li>Fixed production overheads to be allocated on year-to-date basis = 1,500 x 5 = ₹ 7,500</li> </ul>
	There is no under or over recovery of allocated overheads. Hence, no further action is reuired.
Fourth Quarter	➤ Actual fixed production overheads on year-to- date basis = ₹ 10,000
	Fixed production overheads to be allocated on year-to-date basis $1,900 \times 5 = ₹ 9,500$
	➤ ₹ 500, i.e., [₹ 2,500 – (₹ 5 x 400)] unallocated fixed production overheads in the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter, are to be expensed off as per the principles of Ind AS 2 and Ind AS 34 by way of a charge to the statement of profit and loss.
	<ul> <li>Unallocated productions overheads for the year ₹ 500 (i.e ₹ 10,000 - ₹ 9,500) are expensed in the Statement of profit and loss as per Ind AS 2.</li> </ul>

#### Question 11 (Illustration)

ABC Limited manufactures automobile parts. ABC Limited has shown a net profit of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  20,00,000 for the third quarter of 20X1. Following adjustments are made while computing the net profit:

(i) Bad debts of ₹ 1,00,000 incurred during the quarter. 50% of the bad debts

 $P_{age}72$ 

have been deferred to the next quarter.

- (ii) Additional depreciation of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  4,50,000 resulting from the change in the method of depreciation.
- (iii) Exceptional loss of ₹ 28,000 incurred during the third quarter. 50% of exceptional loss have been deferred to next quarter.
- (iv) ₹ 5,00,000 expenditure on account of administrative expenses pertaining to the third quarter is deferred on the argument that the fourth quarter will have more sales; therefore fourth quarter should be debited by higher expenditure. The expenditures are uniform throughout all quarters.


Ascertain the correct net profit to be shown in the Interim Financial Report of third quarter to be presented to the Board of Directors.

#### Answer 11

In the instant case, the quarterly net profit has not been correctly stated. As per Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Reporting, the quarterly net profit should be adjusted and restated as follows:

- (i) The treatment of bad debts is not correct as the expenses incurred during an inter imreporting period should be recognised in the same period. Accordingly, ₹ 50,000 should be deducted from ₹ 20,00,000.
- (ii) Recognising additional depreciation of ₹4,50,000 in the same quarter is correct and is in tune with Ind AS 34.
- (iii) Treatment of exceptional loss is not as per the principles of Ind AS 34, as the entire amount of ₹ 28,000 incurred during the third quarter should be recognized in the same quarter. Hence ₹ 14,000 which was deferred should be deducted from the profits of third quarter only.
- (iv) As per Ind AS 34 the income and expense should be recognised when they are earned and incurred respectively. As per para 39 of Ind AS 34, the costs should be anticipated or deferred only when:
  - (i) it is appropriate to anticipate or defer that type of cost at the end of the financial year, and
  - (ii) costs are incurred unevenly during the financial year of an enterprise.

Therefore, the treatment done relating to deferment of ₹ 5,00,000 is not correct as expenditures are uniform throughout all quarters. Thus considering the above, the correct net profits to be shown in Interim Financial Report of the third quarter shall be ₹ 14,36,000 (₹ 20,00,000 -₹ 50,000 - ₹ 14,000 - ₹ 5,00,000).



 $P_{age}$ 74

## Chapter 3 Unit-3 Ind AS 7 "Statement of cash Flows"

#### Attempts wise Distribution

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP			Q6						Q7	Q5	Q8	
PYP	Q2	Q11										
RTP			Q4	Q1		Q12			Q9		Q10	Q3

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

Company A acquires 70% of the equity stake in Company B on July 20, 20X1. The consideration paid for this transaction is as below:

- (a) Cash consideration of ₹ 15,00,000
- (b) 200,000 equity shares having face of ₹ 10 and fair value of ₹ 15 per share.

On the date of acquisition, Company B has cash and cash equivalent balance of 2,50,000 in its books of account.

On October 10, 20X2, Company A further acquires 10% stake in Company B for cash consideration of ₹ 8,00,000.

Advise how the above transactions will be disclosed/presented in the statement of cash flows as per Ind AS 7. (RTP May'18)

#### Answer 1

As per para 39 of Ind AS 7, the aggregate cash flows arising from obtaining control of subsidiary shall be presented separately and classified as investing activities.

As per para 42 of Ind AS 7, the aggregate amount of the cash paid or received as consideration for obtaining subsidiaries is reported in the statement of cash flows net of cash and cash equivalents acquired or disposed of as part of such transactions, events or changes in circumstances.

Further, investing and financing transactions that do not require the use of cash or cash equivalents shall be excluded from a statement of cash flows. Such transactions shall be disclosed elsewhere in the financial statements in a way that provides all the relevant information about these investing and financing activities.

As per para 42A of Ind AS 7, cash flows arising from changes in ownership interests in a subsidiary that do not result in a loss of control shall be classified as cash flows from financing activities, unless the subsidiary is held by an investment entity, as defined in Ind AS 110, and is required to be measured at fair value through profit or loss. Such transactions are accounted for as equity transactions and accordingly, the resulting cash flows are classified in the same way as other transactions with owners.

Considering the above, for the financial year ended March 31, 20X2 total consideration of

₹ 15,00,000 less ₹ 250,000 will be shown under investing activities as "Acquisition of the subsidiary (net of cash acquired)".

There will not be any impact of issuance of equity shares as consideration in the cash flow statement however a proper disclosure shall be given elsewhere in the financial statements in a way that provides all the relevant information about the issuance of equity shares for non - cash consideration.

Further, in the statement of cash flows for the year ended March 31, 20X3, cash consideration paid for the acquisition of additional 10% stake in Company B will be shown under financing activities.

#### Question 2

In the year 2020-2021, one land was sold for  $\overline{*}$  5 crore and another land purchased for  $\overline{*}$  3 crore by XYZ Limited. Company reported Cash Flow on a Net Basis in Cash Flow Statement i.e.  $\overline{*}$  2 crore in Investing Activity as Cash receipt from Sale of Land. Advise whether treatment given as above is correct or not as per the provisions of Ind AS 7. Also, calculate the cash from operations by indirect method from the following information: (PYP 6 Marks Dec '21)

Operating Statement of XYZ Limited for the year ended 31st March, 2021

Particulars	₹
Sales	20,00,000
Less: Cost of goods sold	(14,00,000)
Administration & selling overheads	(2,20,000)
Depreciation	(28,000)
Interest paid	(12,000)
Loss on sale of asset	(8,000)
Profit before tax	3,32,000
Less: Tax	(1,20,000)
Profit after tax	2,12,000

#### Balance Sheet as on 31st March

	2021 (₹)	2020 (₹)
Assets		
Non-current assets		
Property, plant and equipment	3,00,000	2,60,000
Investment	48,000	40,000
Current assets		
Inventories	48,000	52,000
Trade receivables	40,000	28,000
Cash and cash equivalents	24,000	20,000
Total	4,60,000	4,00,000
Equity and liabilities		
Shareholders' funds	2,40,000	2,00,000
Non-current Liabilities	1,32,000	1,40,000
Current liabilities		
Trade payables	48,000	32,000
Expenses payables	40,000	28,000
Total	4,60,000	4,00,000

#### Answer 2

#### (i) Correct treatment of cash flow:

If nothing is specifically mentioned, then as per Ind AS 7, the cash flows will be presented on gross basis. Gross basis means the receipts would be shown separately and the payments will be shown separately. Accordingly, in the year

2020-2021, while presenting the information, entity will show separately cash outflow from investing activity of ₹ 3 crore for purchase of land and cash inflow from investing activity of ₹ 5 crore from sale of land.

(ii) Cash flow from Operations by Indirect Method

	₹
Profit After Tax	2,12,000
Add back / (Less): Depreciation	28,000
Interest paid	12,000
Loss on sale of an asset	8,000
Adjustments for changes in inventory and operating receivables and payables	2,60,000
Decrease in inventory	4,000
Increase in trade receivables	(12,000)
Increase in trade payables	16,000
Increase in expenses payables	12,000
Net cash generated from operating activity	2,80,000

#### Question 3

One of the subsidiaries of Build well Ltd. submitted to Central Finance its Summarized Statement of Profit and Loss and Balance Sheet. Summarized Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X3

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Net sales	2,52,00,000
Less: Cash cost of sales	(1,92,00,000)
Depreciation	(6,00,000)
Salaries & wages	(24,00,000)
Operating expenses	(14,00,000)
Provision for taxation	(8,80,000)
Net Operating Profit	7,20,000
Non-recurring income – profit on sale of equipment	1,20,000
	8,40,000
Retained earnings and profit brought forward	15,18,000
	23,58,000
Dividends declared and paid during the year	(7,20,000)
Profit & loss balance as on 31st March, 20X3	16,38,000

**Summarized Balance Sheet** 

10 1		
Assets	31st March, 20X2	31st March, 20X3
Property, Plant and Equipment:		

Land	4,80,000	9,60,000
Buildings and Equipment	36,00,000	57,60,000
Current Assets		
Cash	6,00,000	7,20,000
Inventories	16,80,000	18,60,000
Trade Receivables	26,40,000	9,60,000
Advances	78,000	90,000
Total Assets	90,78,000	1,03,50,000

Liabilities & Equity		
Share capital	36,00,000	44,40,000
Surplus in profit & loss	15,18,000	16,38,000
Trade Payables	24,00,000	23,40,000
Outstanding expenses	2,40,000	4,80,000
Income tax payable	1,20,000	1,32,000
Accumulated depreciation on		
buildings and equipment	12,00,000	13,20,000
Total	90,78,000	1,03,50,000

The original cost of equipment sold during the year 20X2-20X3 was ₹ 7,20,000. Prepare a statement of cash flows the year ended 31st March 20X3. (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 3

Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended 31st March, 20X3 (Indirect method)

Particulars	₹	₹
Cash flow from operating activities: Net Profit before taxes and extraordinary items (7,20,000 + 8,80,000)	16,00,000	
Add: Depreciation	6,00,000	
Operating profit before working capital changes	22,00,000	
Increase in inventories	(1,80,000)	
Decrease in trade receivables	16,80,000	
Advances	(12,000)	
Decrease in trade payables	(60,000)	
Increase in outstanding expenses	2,40,000	
Cash generated from operations	38,68,000	
Less: Income tax paid (Refer W.N.4)	(8,68,000)	

Net cash from operations		30,00,000
Cash from investing activities: Purchase of land	(4,80,000)	
Purchase of building & equipment (Refer W.N.2)	(28,80,000)	
Sale of equipment (Refer W.N.3)	3,60,000	
Net cash used for investment activities		(30,00,000)
Cash flows from financing activities: Issue of share capital	8,40,000	
Dividends paid	(7,20,000)	
Net cash from financing activities:		1,20,000
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		1,20,000
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning		6,00,000
Cash and cash equivalents at the end		7,20,000

#### Working Notes:

1. Building & Equipment Account

1. Building & Equipment Account					
Particulars	₹	Particulars	₹		
To Balance b/d	36,00,000	By Sale of assets	7,20,000		
To Cash / bank (purchases)(bal. fig)	28,80,000	By Balance c/d	57,60,000		
	64,80,000		64,80,000		

2. Building & Equipment Accumulated Depreciation Account

	1P	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Particulars	₹	Particulars	₹
To Sale of asset (acc. depreciation)	4,80,000	By Balance b/d	12,00,000
To Balance c/d	13,20,000	By Profit & Loss A/c (provisional)	6,00,000
	18,00,000		18,00,000

3. Computation of sale price of Equipment

Particulars	₹
Original cost	7,20,000
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(4,80,000)
Net cost	2,40,000

Profit on sale of assets	1,20,000
Sale proceeds from sale of assets	3,60,000

#### 4. Provision for tax Account

Particulars	₹	Particulars	₹
To Bank A/c	8,68,000	By Balance b/d	1,20,000
To Balance c/d	1,32,000	By Profit & Loss A/c	
		(provisional)	8,80,000
	10,00,000		10,00,000

#### Question 4

From the following data, identify the nature of activities as per Ind AS 7. (RTP May'21)

S.no.	Nature of transaction
1	Cash paid to employees
2	Cash paid for development of property costs
3	Borrowings repaid
4	Cash paid to suppliers
5	Loan to Director
6	Bonus shares issued
7	Dividends paid
8	Cash received from trade receivables
9	Proceeds from sale of PPE
10	Depreciation of PPE
11	Advance received from customers
12	Purchased goodwill
13	Payment of promissory notes

S. No.	Nature of transaction	Activity as per Ind AS 7
1	Cash paid to employees	Operating activity
2	Cash paid for development costs	Investing activity
3	Borrowings repaid	Financing activity
4	Cash paid to suppliers	Operating activity
5	Loan to Director	Investing activity
6	Bonus shares issued	Non-cash item
7	Dividends paid	Financing activity
8	Cash received from trade receivables	Operating activity
9	Proceeds from sale of PPE	Investing activity
10	Depreciation of PPE	Non-cash item
11	Advance received from customers	Operating activity

12 Purchased goodwill		Investing activity	
13	Payment of promissory notes	Financing activity	

#### Question 5

Entity A acquired a subsidiary, entity B, during the year. Summarized information from the consolidated statement of profit and loss and balance sheet is provided, together with some supplementary information.

Consolidated statement of profit and loss	Amount(Rs.)
Revenue	3,80,000
Cost of sales	(2,20,000)
Gross profit	1,60,000
Depreciation	(30,000)
Other operating expenses	(56,000)
Interest cost	(4,000)
Profit before taxation	70,000
Taxation	(15,000)
Profit after taxation	55,000

Consolidated balance sheet	20X2	20X1
	Amount(Rs.	Amount(Rs.
	)	)
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	8,000	5,000
Trade receivables	54,000	50,000
Inventories	30,000	35,000
Property, plant and equipment	1,60,000	80,000
Goodwill	18,000	
Total assets	2,70,000	1,70,000
Liabilities		
Trade payables	68,000	60,000
Income tax payable	12,000	11,000
Long term debt	1,00,000	64,000
Total liabilities	1,80,000	1,35,000
Shareholders' equity	90,000	35,000
Total liabilities and shareholders'	2,70,000	1,70,000

#### Other information

All of the shares of entity B were acquired for Rs. 74,000 in cash. The fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed were:

Particulars	Amount (Rs.)
Inventories	4,000
Trade receivables	8,000

Cash	2,000
Property, plant and equipment	1,10,000
Trade payables	(32,000)
Long term debt	(36,000)
Goodwill	18,000
Cash consideration paid	74,000

Prepare statement of cash flows of Entity A.(MTP 10 Marks May '20 & RTP May '20)

#### Answer 5

This information will be incorporated into the consolidated statement of cash flows as follows:

Statement of cash flows for 20X2 (extract)	Amount	Amoun	
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)	
Cash flows from operating activities			
Profit before taxation	70,000		
Adjustments for non-cash items:			
Depreciation	30,000		
Decrease in inventories (Note 1)	9,000		
Decrease in trade receivables (Note 2)	4,000		
Decrease in trade payables (Note 3)	(24,000)		
Interest paid to be included in financing activities	4,000		
Taxation (11,000 + 15,000 – 12,000)	(14,000)		
Net cash inflow from operating activities		79,000	
Cash flows from investing activities			
Cash paid to acquire subsidiary (74,000 – 2,000)	(72,000)		
Net cash outflow from investing activities		(72,000	
Cash flows from financing activities		,	
Interest paid	(4,000)		
Net cash outflow from financing activities		(4,000)	
Increase in cash and cash equivalents		3,000	
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		5,000	
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year		8,000	

#### **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Inventories

Total inventories of the Group at the end of the year			Rs. 30,000			
Inventories subsidiary	acquired	during	the	year	from	(Rs. 4,000)
						Rs. 26,000
Opening inv	entory					(Rs. 35,000)

2.

Decrease in inventory	Rs. 9,000
Trade Receivables	
Total trade receivables of the Group at the end of the year	Rs.54,000
Trade receivables acquired during the year from subsidiary	(Rs.8,000)
	Rs.46,000
Opening trade receivables	(Rs.50,000)
Decrease in trade receivables	Rs. 4,000

3. Trade Payables

Rs.
68,000
(Rs.32,000)
Rs. 36,000
(Rs. 60,000)
Rs. 24,000

#### Question 6

During the financial year 20X1-20X2, Akola Limited have paid various taxes & reproduced the below mentioned records for your perusal:

- Capital gain tax of Rs. 20 crore on sale of office premises at a sale consideration of Rs. 100 crore.
- Income Tax of Rs. 3 crore on Business profits amounting Rs. 30 crore (assume entire business profit as cash profit).
- Dividend Distribution Tax of Rs. 2 crore on payment of dividend amounting Rs. 20 crore to its shareholders.
- Income tax Refund of Rs. 1.5 crore (Refund on taxes paid in earlier periods for business profits).

You need to determine the net cash flow from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities of Akola Limited as per relevant Ind AS. (MTP 4 Marks March '21, MTP 5 Marks April '23, RTP Nov'20)

#### Answer 6

Para 36 of Ind AS 7 inter alia states that when it is practicable to identify the tax cash flow with an individual transaction that gives rise to cash flows that are classified as investing or financing activities the tax cash flow is classified as an investing or financing activity as appropriate. When tax cash flows are allocated over more than one class of activity, the total amount of taxes paid is disclosed.

Accordingly, the transactions are analyzed as follows:

Particulars	Amount (in crore)	Activity
Sale Consideration	100	Investing
		Activity
Capital Gain Tax	(20)	Investing
		Activity
Business profits	30	Operating
		Activity
Tax on Business profits	(3)	Operating
		Activity
Dividend Payment	(20)	Financing
21.1della l'ayillelle	(==)	- maneing

 $P_{age}83$ 

Dividend Distribution Tax	(2)	Financing Activity
Income Tax Refund	<u>1.5</u>	Operating Activity
Total Cash flow	<u>86.5</u>	

Activity wise	Amount (in crore)
Operating Activity	28.5
Investing Activity	80
Financing Activity	(22)
Total	<u>86.5</u>

#### Question 7

A Ltd., whose functional currency is Indian Rupee, had a balance of cash and cash equivalents of Rs. 2,00,000, but there are no trade receivables or trade payables balances as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1. During the year 20X1-20X2, the entity entered into the following foreign currency transactions:

- A Ltd. purchased goods for resale from Europe for €2,00,000 when the exchange rate was €1 = Rs. 50. This balance is still unpaid at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 when the exchange rate is €1 = Rs. 45. An exchange gain on retranslation of the trade payable of Rs. 5,00,000 is recorded in profit or loss.
- A Ltd. sold the goods to an American client for \$ 1,50,000 when the exchange rate was \$1 = Rs. 40. This amount was settled when the exchange rate was \$1 = Rs. 42. A further exchange gain regarding the trade receivable is recorded in the statement of profit or loss.
- A Ltd. also borrowed €1,00,000 under a long-term loan agreement when the exchange rate was €1 = Rs. 50 and immediately converted it to Rs. 50,00,000. The loan was retranslated at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 @ Rs. 45, with a further exchange gain recorded in the statement of profit or loss.
- A Ltd. therefore records a cumulative exchange gain of Rs. 18,00,000 (10,00,000 + 3,00,000 + 5,00,000) in arriving at its profit for the year.
- In addition, A Ltd. records a gross profit of Rs. 10,00,000 (Rs. 60,00,000 Rs. 50,00,000) on the sale of the goods.
- Ignore taxation.

How cash flows arising from the above transactions would be reported in the statement of cash flows of A Ltd. under indirect method?(MTP 6 Marks Oct '19 & 8 Marks April '18)

#### Answer 7

#### Statement of cash flows

Particulars		Amount (Rs.)
Cash flows from operating activities		
Profit before taxation (10,00,000 + 18,00,000)	28,00,000	
Adjustment for unrealized exchange gains/losses:		

,age 84

Foreign exchange gain on long term loan [€ 2,00,000 x Rs. (50 – 45)]	(10,00,000	
Decrease in trade payables [1,00,000 x Rs. (50 – 45)]	(5,00,000)	
Operating Cash flow before working capital changes	13,00,000	
Changes in working capital (Due to increase in trade payables)	50,00,00	
Net cash inflow from operating activities	<u>0</u>	63,00,000
Cash inflow from financing activity		50,00,000
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		1,13,00,000
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period		2,00,000
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period		1,15,00,000

#### Question 8

From the following data of Galaxy Ltd., prepare statement of cash flows showing cash generated from Operating Activities using direct method as per Ind AS 7:

sing direct method	as per ind AS 7:
31.3.20X2	31.3.20X1
(₹)	(₹)
1,20,000	1,65,000
2,05,000	1,88,000
35,000	20,500
1,95,000	2,15,000
48,000	65,000
	₹
85,50,000	
(56,00,000)	29,50,000
20,000	
1,10,000	1,30,000
	30,80,000
(24,000)	
(15,40,000)	
(36,000)	
(18,000)	(16,18,000)
	14,62,000
	(95,000)
	13,67,000
	31.3.20X2 (₹)  1,20,000 2,05,000 35,000  1,95,000 48,000  85,50,000 (56,00,000)  20,000 1,10,000 (24,000) (15,40,000) (36,000)

#### Additional information:

(i) Trade receivables and Trade payables include amounts relating to credit sale and credit purchase only.

 $P_{age}85$ 

(ii) Foreign exchange loss represents increment in liability of a long-term borrowing due to exchange rate fluctuation between acquisition date and balance sheet date. (MTP 8 Marks, Sep'22, RTP Nov '21)

#### **Answer 8**

Statement of Cash Flows from Operating Activities (Direct Method) of Galaxy Ltd. for the year ended 31 March 20X2

Particulars	₹	₹
Operating Activities:		
Cash received from Trade receivables (W.N. 3)		85,33,000
Less: Cash paid to Suppliers (W.N.2)	55,75,000	
Payment for Administration and Selling expenses	15,40,000	
Payment for Income Tax (W.N.4)	1,12,000	(72,27,000)
		13,06,000
Adjustment for exceptional items (fire insurance claim)		1,10,000
Net cash generated from operating activities		14,16,000

#### **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Calculation of total purchases

Cost of Sales = Opening stock + Purchases - Closing Stock ₹ 56,00,000 = ₹ 1,65,000 + Purchases - ₹ 1,20,000 Purchases = ₹ 55,55,000

#### 2. Calculation of cash paid to Suppliers

**Trade Payables** 

	11440	1 uyubics	
	₹		₹
To Bank A/c (balancing figure)	55,75,0 00	By Balance b/d	2,15,000
To Balance c/d	1,95,00	By Purchases (W.N. 1)	55,55,00 0
	57,70,0 00		57,70,00

## 3. Calculation of cash received from Customers Trade Receivables

		₹			₹
To	Balance b/d	1,88,000	В	Bank A/c (balancing	85,33,00
			у	figure)	0
To	Sales	85,50,00	В	Balance c/d	
		0	У		2,05,000
		87,38,00			87,38,00
		0			0

## 4. Calculation of tax paid during the year in cash Provision for tax

		₹			₹
То	Bank A/c (balancing figure)	1,12,000	Ву	Balance b/d	65,000
То	Balance c/d	48,000	Ву	Profit and Loss A/c	95,000

 $^{\mathsf{Page}}86$ 

1,60,000

#### Question 9

Following is the balance sheet of Kuber Limited for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 (Rs. in lacs)

X2 (Rs. in lacs)	20X2	20X1
ASSETS		
Non-current Assets		
Property, plant and equipment	13,000	12,500
Intangible assets	50	30
Other financial assets	145	170
Deferred tax asset (net)	855	750
Other non-current assets	800	770
Total non-current assets	14,850	14,220
Current assets		<u> </u>
Financial assets		
Investments	2,300	2,500
Cash and cash equivalents	220	460
Other current assets	195	<u>85</u>
Total current assets	2,715	3,045
Total Assets	17,565	17,265
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		<u> </u>
Equity		
Equity share capital	300	300
Other equity	12,000	8,000
Total equity	12,300	8,300
Liabilities		
Non-current liabilities		
Long-term borrowings	2,000	5,000
Other non-current liabilities	2,740	3,615
Total non-current liabilities	4,740	8,615
Current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Trade payables	150	90
Bank Overdraft	75	60
Other current liabilities	300	200
Total current liabilities	525	350
Total liabilities	5,265	8,965
Total Equity and Liabilities	17,565	17,265

#### Additional Information:

- (1) Profit after tax for the year ended 31st March, 20X2- Rs. 4,450 lacs
- (2) Interim Dividend paid during the year Rs. 450 lacs
- (3) Depreciation and amortization charged in the statement of profit and

loss during the current year are as under

- (a) Property, Plant and Equipment Rs. 500 lacs
- (b) Intangible Assets Rs. 20 lacs
- (4) During the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 two machineries were sold for Rs. 70 lacs. The carrying amount of these machineries as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 is Rs. 60 lacs.
- (5) Income taxes paid during the year Rs. 105 lacs
  Using the above information of Kuber Limited, construct a statement of cash
  flows under indirect method. Other non-current / current assets and liabilities
  are related to operations of Kuber Ltd. and do not contain any element of
  financing and investing activities. (RTP Nov 19)

#### Answer 9

#### Statement of Cash Flows

		Rs. in
		lacs
Cash flows from Operating Activities		
Net Profit after Tax	4,450	
Add: Tax Paid	105	
	4,555	
Add: Depreciation & Amortisation (500 + 20)	520	
Less: Gain on Sale of Machine (70-60)	(10)	
Less: Increase in Deferred Tax Asset (855-750)	(105)	
	4,960	
Change in operating assets and liabilities		
Add: Decrease in financial asset (170 - 145)	25	
Less: Increase in other non-current asset (800 - 770)	(30)	
Less: Increase in other current asset (195 - 85)	(110)	
Less: Decrease in other non-current liabilities (3,615 – 2,740)	(875)	
Add: Increase in other current liabilities (300 - 200)	100	
Add: Increase in trade payables (150-90)	60	
	4,130	
Less: Income Tax	(105)	
Cash generated from Operating Activities		4,025
Cash flows from Investing Activities		
Sale of Machinery	70	
Purchase of Machinery [13,000-(12,500 – 500-60)]	(1,060)	
Purchase of Intangible Asset [50-(30-20)]	(40)	
Sale of Financial asset - Investment (2,500 – 2,300)	200	
Cash outflow from Investing Activities		(830)
Cash flows from Financing Activities		
Dividend Paid	(450)	
Long term borrowings paid (5,000 – 2,000)	(3,000)	

Cash outflow from Financing Activities	(3,450)
Net Cash outflow from all the activities	(255)
Opening cash and cash equivalents (460 – 60)	400
Closing cash and cash equivalents (220 - 75)	145

#### Question 10

What will be the classification for following items in the statement of cash flows of both

(i) Banks / Financial institutions and (ii) Other Entities?

(I) B	anks / Financial institutions and (ii) Other Entities?
S.No	Particulars
1.	Interest received on loans and advances given
2.	Interest paid on deposits and other borrowings
3.	Interest and dividend received on investments in subsidiaries, associates and in other entities
4.	Dividend paid on preference and equity shares, including tax on
	dividend paid on preference and equity shares by other entities
5.	Finance charges paid by lessee under finance lease
6.	Payment towards reduction of outstanding finance lease liability
7.	Interest paid to vendor for acquiring fixed asset under deferred payment basis
8.	Principal sum payment under deferred payment basis for acquisition of fixed assets
9	Penal interest received from customers for late payments
10.	Penal interest paid to suppliers for late payments
11.	Interest paid on delayed tax payments
12.	Interest received on tax refunds

(RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 10

The following are the classification of various activities in the Statement of Cash Flows:

lows:				
S.No.	Particulars	Classification for r	eporting cash flows	
		Banks / financial institutions	Other entities	
1.	Interest received on loans and advances given	Operating Activities	Investing activities	
2.	Interest paid on deposits and other borrowings	Operating Activities	Financing activities	
3.	Interest and dividend received on investments in subsidiaries, associates and in other entities	Investing activities	Investing activities	
4.	Dividend paid on preference and equity shares, including tax on dividend paid on preference and equity shares by other entities	Financing activities	Financing activities	
5.	Financecharges paid by lessee under finance lease	Financing activities	Financing activities	

, age 89

6.	Payment towards reduction of outstanding finance lease liability	Financing activities	Financing activities
7.	Interest paid to vendor for acquiring fixed asset under deferred payment basis	Financing activities	Financing activities
8.	Principal sum payment under deferred payment basis for acquisition of fixed assets	Investing activities	Investing activities
9.	Penal interest received from customers for late payments	Operating Activities	Operating Activities
10.	Penal interest paid to suppliers for late payments	Operating Activities	Operating Activities
11.	Interest paid on delayed tax payments	Operating Activities	Operating Activities
12.	Interest receivedon tax refunds	Operating Activities	Operating Activities

#### **Ouestion 11**

Z Ltd. (India) has an overseas branch in USA. It has a bank account having balance of USD 7,000 as on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2019. During the financial year 2019-2020, Z Ltd. acquired computers for its USA office for USD 280 which was paid on same date. There is no other transaction reported in USA or India.

Exchange rates between INR and USD during the financial year 2019-2020 were:

Date

USD 1 to INR

1<sup>st</sup> April 2019 70.00

30<sup>th</sup> November 2019 71.00 (Date of purchase of computer)

31<sup>st</sup> March 2020 71.50 Average for 2019-2020 70.50

Please prepare the extract of Cash Flow Statement for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup>March 2020 as per the relevant Ind AS and also show the foreign exchange profitability from these transactions for the financial year 2019-2020? (PYP 5 Marks, Jan'21) Answer 11

## In the books of Z Ltd. Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended 31 st March 2020.

Statement of easil flows for the year ended	0 1 1114	1CH 2020
	₹	₹
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net Profit (Refer Working Note)	10,360	
Adjustments for non-cash items:		
Foreign Exchange Gain	(10,360)	
Net cash outflow from operating activities		0
Cash flows from investing activities		
Acquisition of Property, Plant and Equipment	(19,880)	
Net cash outflow from Investing activities		(19,880)

Cash flows from financing activities	 0
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(19,880)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year i.e.	4,90,000
1 <sup>st</sup> April 2019	
Foreign Exchange difference	10,360
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year i.e.	
31st March 2020	4,80,480

#### Working Note:

Computation of Foreign Exchange Gain

Bank Account USD	Date	USD	Exchange	₹
			Rate	
Opening balance	1.4.2019	7,000	70.00	4,90,000
Less: Purchase of Computer	30.11.2019	280	71.00	19,880
Closing balance calculated		6,720		4,70,120
Closing balance (at year end spot	31.3.2020	6,720	71.50	4,80,480
rate)				
Foreign Exchange Gain credited				
to				
Profit and Loss account				10,360

#### Question 12

Z Ltd. has no foreign currency cash flow for the year 20X1. It holds some deposit in a bank in the USA. The balances as on 31.12.20X1 and 31.12.20X2 were US\$ 100,000 and US\$ 102,000 respectively. The exchange rate on December 31, 20X1 was US\$1 = ₹ 45. The same on 31.12.20X2 was US\$1 = ₹ 50. The increase in the balance was on account of interest credited on 31.12.20X2. Thus, the deposit was reported at ₹ 45,00,000 in the balance sheet as on December 31, 20X1. It was reported at ₹ 51,00,000 in the balance sheet as on 31.12.20X2. How these transactions should be presented in cash flow for the year ended 31.12.20X2 as per Ind AS 7? (RTP May'19)

#### Answer 12

The profit and loss account was credited by ₹ 1,00,000 (US \$ 2000 × ₹ 50) towards interest income. It was credited by the exchange difference of US\$ 100,000 × (₹ 50 - ₹ 45) that is, ₹ 500,000. In preparing the cash flow statement, ₹ 500,000, the exchange difference, should be deducted from the 'net profit before taxes'. However, in order to reconcile the opening balance of the cash and cash equivalents with its closing balance, the exchange difference ₹ 500,000, should be added to the opening balance in note to cash flow statement. Cash flows arising from transactions in a foreign currency shall be recorded in Z Ltd.'s functional currency by applying to the foreign currency amount the exchange rate between the functional currency and the foreign currency at the date of the cash flow.

#### Section - B

#### Questions 1

Use the following data of ABC Ltd. to construct a statement of cash flows using the direct and indirect methods:

 $_{Page}91$ 

	20X2	20X1
Cash	4,000	14,000
Accounts Receivable	25,000	32,500
Prepaid Insurance	5,000	7,000
Inventory	37,000	34,000
Fixed Assets	3,16,000	2,70,000
Accumulated Depreciation	(45,000)	(30,000)
Total Assets	3,42,000	3,27,500
Accounts Payable	18,000	16,000
Wages Payable	4,000	7,000
Debentures	1,73,000	1,60,000
Equity Shares	88,000	84,000
Retained Earnings	59,000	60,500
Total Liabilities & Equity	3,42,000	3,27,500
	20X2	
Sales	2,00,000	
Cost of Goods Sold	(1,23,000)	
Depreciation	(15,000)	
Insurance Expense	(11,000)	
Wages	(50,000)	
Net Profit	1,000	

During the financial year 20X2 company ABC Ltd. declared and paid dividend of 2,500.

During 20X2, ABC Ltd. Paid ₹ 46,000 in cash to acquire new fixed assets. The accounts payable was used only for inventory. No debt was retired during 20X2. Answers 1

#### A. DIRECT METHOD

Cash flows from operating activities		20X2
Cash received from customers	2,07,500	
Cash paid for inventory	(1,24,000)	
Cash paid for insurance	(9,000)	
Cash paid for wages	(53,000)	
Net cash flow from operating activities		21,500
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of fixed assets		(46,000)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Dividend paid	(2,500)	
Proceeds from issuance of debentures	13,000	
Proceeds from issue of equity	4,000	
Net cash flows from financing activities		14,500
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(10,000)
Opening Cash Balance		14,000
Closing Cash Balance		4,000

#### **B. INDIRECT METHOD**

Cash flows from operating activities		20X2
Net Profit	1,000	
Adjustments for Depreciation	15,000	
	16,000	
Decrease in accounts receivable	7,500	
Decrease in prepaid insurance	2,000	
Increase in inventory	(3,000)	
Increase in accounts payable	2,000	
Decrease in wages payable	(3,000)	
Net cash flow from operating activities		21,500
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of fixed assets		(46,000)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Dividend paid	(2,500)	
Proceeds from issue of debentures	13,000	
Proceeds from issue of equity	4,000	
Net cash flows from financing activities		14,500
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(10,000)
Opening Cash Balance		14,000
Closing Cash Balance		4,000

#### **Working Notes:**

#### **Fixed Assets Account**

1 1110 1100000 11000 1110			
Particulars	Amount	Particulars	Amount
To Balance b/d	2,70,000	By Balance c/d	3,16,000
To Cash (Purchase of	46,000		
Fixed Assets)			
	3,16,000		3,16,000

**Inventory Account** 

Particulars	Amount	Particulars	Amount
To Balance b/d	34,000	By Cost of goods sold	1,23,000
To Creditors account (credit purchase)	2,000	By Balance c/d	37,000
To Purchase (Bal. Figure)	1,24,000		
	1,60,000		1,60,000

#### **Accounts Payable Account**

Particulars	Amount	Particulars	Amount
To Balance c/d	18,000	By Balance b/d	16,000
		By Inventory Account (credit purchase) (Bal.Fig.)	2,000
	18,000		18,000

**Equity Share Capital Account** 

- 1 y			
Particulars	Amount	Particulars	Amount
To Balance c/d	88,000	By Balance b/d By	84,000
		Bank account	4,000
		(Proceeds from	
		equity share issued)	
	88,000		88,000

#### Questions 2

From the following summary cash account of XYZ Ltd, prepare cash flow statement for the year ended March 31, 20X1 in accordance with Ind AS 7 using direct method.

summary of Bank Account for the year ended March 31, 20X1

summary of Bank Account for the year ended march 31, 20x1			•
	₹'000		₹'000
Balance on 1.4.20X0	50	Payment to creditors	2,000
Issue of Equity Shares	300	Purchase of Fixed Assets	200
Receipts from customers	2,800	Overhead Expenses	200
Sale of Fixed Assets	100	Payroll	100
		Tax Payment	250
		Dividend	50
		Repayment of Bank loan	300
		Balance on 31.3.20X1	150
	3,250		3,250

#### Answers 2

XYZ Ltd.

Cash Flow Statement for the year ended March 31, 20X1 (Using the Direct Method)

Cash flows from operating activities	'000	<b>'000</b>
Cash receipts from customers	2,800	
Cash payments to suppliers	(2,000)	
Cash paid to employees	(100)	
Cash payments for overheads	(200)	
Cash generated from operations	500	
Income tax paid	(250)	

Net cash from operating activities		250
Cash flow from investing activities		
Payments for purchase of fixed assets	(200)	
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	100	
Net cash used in investing activities		(100)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from issuance of equity shares	300	
Bank loan repaid	(300)	
Dividend paid	(50)	
Net cash used in financing activities		(50)
Net increase in cash		100
Cash at the beginning of the period		50
Cash at end of the period		150

#### Question 3

Z Ltd. has no foreign currency cash flow for the year 20X1. It holds some deposit in a bank in the USA. The balances as on 31.12.20X1 and 31.12.20X2 were US\$ 100,000 and US\$ 102,000 respectively. The exchange rate on December 31,20X1 was US\$1 = ₹45. The same on 31.12.20X2 was US\$1 = ₹50. The increase in the balance was on account of interest credited on 31.12.20X2. Thus, the deposit was reported at ₹ 45,00,000 in the balance sheet as on December 31,20X1. It was reported at ₹ 51,00,000 in the balance sheet as on 31.12.20X2. How these transactions should be presented in cash flow for the year ended 31.12.20X2 as per Ind AS 7?

#### Answers 3

The profit and loss account was credited by ₹1,00,000 (US \$ 2,000 × ₹50) towards interest income. It was credited by the exchange difference of US\$ 1,00,000 × (₹ 50 - ₹ 45) that is, 500,000. In preparing the cash flow statement, ₹ 5,00,000, the exchange difference, should be deducted from the 'net profit before taxes'. However, in order to reconcile the opening balance of the cash and cash equivalents with its closing balance, the exchange difference ₹ 5,00,000, should be added to the opening balance in note to cash flow statement.

Cash flows arising from transactions in a foreign currency shall be recorded in Z Ltd.'s functional currency by applying to the foreign currency amount the exchange rate between the functional currency and the foreign currency at the date of the cash flow.

#### Questions 4

Company A acquires 70% of the equity stake in Company B on July 20, 20X1. The consideration paid for this transaction is as below:

- (a) Cash consideration of ₹15,00,000
- (b) 200,000 equity shares having face of ₹ 10 and fair value of ₹ 15 per share.
- On the date of acquisition, Company B has cash and cash equivalent balance of 2,50,000 in its books of account.

On October 10, 20X2, Company A further acquires 10% stake in Company B for cash consideration of 8,00,000.

Advise how the above transactions will be disclosed/presented in the statement of

 $^{\rm age}95$ 

cash flows as per Ind AS 7.

#### **Answers 4**

As per para 39 of Ind AS 7, the aggregate cash flows arising from obtaining control of subsidiary shall be presented separately and classified as investing activities.

As per para 42 of Ind AS 7, the aggregate amount of the cash paid or received as consideration for obtaining subsidiaries is reported in the statement of cash flows net of cash and cash equivalents acquired or disposed of as part of such transactions, events or changes in circumstances.

Further, investing and financing transactions that do not require the use of cash or cash equivalents shall be excluded from a statement of cash flows. Such transactions shall be disclosed elsewhere in the financial statements in a way that provides all the relevant information about these investing and financing activities.

As per para 42A of Ind AS 7, cash flows arising from changes in ownership interests in a subsidiary that do not result in a loss of control shall be classified as cash flows from financing activities, unless the subsidiary is held by an investment entity, as defined in Ind AS 110, and is required to be measured at fair value through profit or loss. Such transactions are accounted for as equity transactions and accordingly, the resulting cash flows are classified in the same way as other transactions with owners. Considering the above, for the financial year ended 31st March, 20X2 total consideration of ₹ 15,00,000 less ₹ 250,000 will be shown under investing activities as "Acquisition of the subsidiary (net of cash acquired)".

There will not be any impact of issuance of equity shares as consideration in the cash flow statement however a proper disclosure shall be given elsewhere in the financial statements in a way that provides all the relevant information about the issuance of equity shares for non- cash consideration.

Further, in the statement of cash flows for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, cash consideration paid for the acquisition of additional 10% stake in Company B will be shown under financing activities.

#### **Ouestions 5**

Entity A acquired a subsidiary, Entity B, during the year. Summarised information from the Consolidated Statement of Profit and Loss and Balance Sheet is provided, together with some supplementary information.

**Consolidated Statement of Profit and Loss** 

	Amount ()
Revenue	3,80,000
Cost of sales	(2,20,000)
Gross profit	1,60,000
Depreciation	(30,000)
Other operating expenses	(56,000)
Interest cost	(4,000)
Profit before taxation	70,000
Taxation	(15,000)
Profit after taxation	55,000

Consolidated balance sheet		
	20X2	20X1

96<sup>936</sup>

Assets	Amount	Amount
	(₹ )	(₹)
Cash and cash equivalents	8,000	5,000
Trade receivables	54,000	50,000
Inventories	30,000	35,000
Property, plant and equipment	1,60,000	80,000
Goodwill	18,000	_
Total assets	2,70,000	1,70,000
Liabilities		
Trade payables	68,000	60,000
Income tax payable	12,000	11,000
Long term debt	1,00,000	64,000
Total liabilities	1,80,000	1,35,000
Shareholders' equity	90,000	35,000
Total liabilities and shareholders'	2,70,000	1,70,000

#### Other information

All of the shares of entity B were acquired for ₹ 74,000 in cash. The fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed were:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Inventories	4,000
Trade receivables	8,000
Cash	2,000
Property, plant and equipment	1,10,000
Trade payables	(32,000)
Long term debt	(36,000)
Goodwill	18,000
Cash consideration paid	74,000

Prepare the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the year 20X2, as per Ind AS 7.

#### **Answers 5**

This information will be incorporated into the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows as follows:

Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended 20X2 (extract)

	Amount (₹)	Amount (₹)
Cash flows from operating activities		
Profit before taxation	70,000	
Adjustments for non-cash items:		
Depreciation	30,000	
Decrease in inventories (W.N. 1)	9,000	

 $^{\rm age}$ 

Decrease in trade receivables (W.N. 2)	4,000	
Decrease in trade payables (W.N. 3)	(24,000)	
Interest paid to be included in financing	4,000	
activities		
Taxation (11,000 + 15,000 – 12,000)	(14,000)	
Net cash generated from operating activities		79,000
Cash flows from investing activities		
Cash paid to acquire subsidiary (74,000 –	(72,000)	
2,000)		
Net cash outflow from investing activities		(72,000)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Interest paid	(4,000)	
Net cash outflow from financing activities		(4,000)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents during		3,000
the year		
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning		5,000
of the		
year		
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the		8,000
year		

#### Working Notes:

<b></b>		1.
Calculation of change in inventory during the		
year		
Total inventories of the Group at the end of the	30,000	
year	26,000	
Inventories acquired during the year from Opening inventories subsidiary	\$5,988	2.
Calculation of change in Trade Receivables during the year	9,000	4.
Total trade receivables of the Group at the end of	54,000	
the year		
Trade receivables acquired during the year from	(8,000)	
subsidiary		3.
Calculation of change in Trade Payables during	46,000	0.
the year trade receivables	50,000	
Trade payables at the end of the year  Decrease in trade receivables	68,000 4,000	
Trade payables of the subsidiary assumed during	(32,000)	
the year	(,,	
	36,000	
Opening trade payables	60,000	the
Decrease in trade payables	24,000	
	1	1

#### Questions 6 During

financial year 2019-2020, Akola Limited have paid various taxes & reproduced the below mentioned records for your perusal:

- Capital gain tax of ₹20 crores on sale of office premises at a sale consideration of 100 crore.
- Income Tax of ₹ 3 crore on Business profits amounting ₹ 30 crore (assume entire

 $^{Page}98$ 

business profit as cash profit).

- Dividend Distribution Tax of ₹ 2 crore on payment of dividend amounting ₹20 crore to its shareholders.
- Income tax Refund of ₹1.5 crore (Refund on taxes paid in earlier periods for business profits).

You need to determine the net cash flow from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities of Akola Limited as per relevant Ind AS.

#### Answers 6

Para 36 of Ind AS 7 inter alia states that when it is practicable to identify the tax cash flow with an individual transaction that gives rise to cash flows that are classified as investing or financing activities the tax cash flow is classified as an investing or financing activity as appropriate. When tax cash flows are allocated over more than one class of activity, the total amount of taxes paid is disclosed.

Accordingly, the transactions are analysed as follows:

Particulars	Amount (in crore)	Activity
Sale Consideration	100	Investing Activity
Capital Gain Tax	(20)	Investing Activity
Business profits	30	Operating Activity
Tax on Business profits	(3)	Operating Activity
Dividend Payment	(20)	Financing Activity
Dividend Distribution Tax	(2)	Financing Activity
Income Tax Refund	1.5	Operating Activity
Total Cash flow	86.5	

Activity wise	Amount (in
	crore)
Operating Activity	28.5
Investing Activity	80
Financing Activity	(22)
Total	86.5

#### Questions 7

From the following data of Galaxy Ltd., prepare statement of cash flows showing cash generated from Operating Activities using direct method as per Ind AS 7:

	31.3.20X2 (₹)	31.3.20X1 (₹)
Current Assets:		
Inventory	1,20,000	1,65,000
Trade receivables	2,05,000	1,88,000

 $_{\rm age}$ 

Cash & cash equivalents	35,000	20,500
Current Liabilities:		
Trade payable	1,95,000	2,15,000
Provision for tax	48,000	65,000

Summary of Statement of Profit and Loss				
Sales	85,50,000			
Less: Cost of sales	(56,00,000	29,50,000		
	)			
Other Income				
Interest income	20,000			
Fire insurance claim received	1,10,000	1,30,000		
		30,80,000		
Depreciation	(24,000)			
Administrative and selling expenses	(15,40,000			
	)			
Interest expenses	(36,000)			
Foreign exchange loss	(18,000)	(16,18,00		
		0)		
Net Profit before tax and extraordinary		14,62,000		
income				
Income Tax				
		(95,000		
		)		
Net Profit				
		13,67,000		

#### Additional information:

- (i) Trade receivables and Trade payables include amounts relating to credit sale and credit purchase only.
- (ii) Foreign exchange loss represents increment in liability of a long-term borrowing due to exchange rate fluctuation between acquisition date and balance sheet date.

#### **Answers 7**

Statement Cash Flows from operating activities of Galaxy Ltd. for the year ended 31st March 20X2 (Direct Method)

Particulars		·
Operating Activities:		
Cash received from Trade receivables (W.N. 3)		85,33,000
Less: Cash paid to Suppliers (W.N.2)	55,75,00	
	0	
Payment for Administration and Selling	15,40,00	
expenses	0	
Payment for Income Tax (W.N.4)	1,12,000	(72,27,000)
		13,06,000
Adjustment for exceptional items (fire		1,10,000

100

insurance claim)	
Net cash generated from operating activities	14,16,000

#### **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Calculation of total purchases

Cost of Sales = Opening stock + Purchases -

Closing Stock

₹ 56,00,000 = ₹1,65,000 + Purchases -

₹1,20,000

Purchases = ₹ 55,55,000

## 2. Calculation of cash paid to Suppliers Trade Pavables

		•	
To Bank A/c	55,75,000	By Balance b/d	2,15,000
(balancing		•	
figure)			
To Balance	1,95,000	By Purchases (W.N.	55,55,000
c/d		1)	
	57,70,000		57,70,000

## 3. Calculation of cash received from Customers Trade Receivables

To Balance b/d	1,88,000	By Bank A/c	85,33,000
		(balancing figure)	
To Sales	85,50,000	By Balance c/d	2,05,000
	87,38,000		87,38,000

### 4. Calculation of tax paid during the year in cash Provision for tax

11011011 101 tun			
To Bank A/c	1,12,0	By Balance b/d	65,000
(balancing figure)	00		
To Balance c/d		By Profit and Loss	95,000
	48,000	A/c	
	1,60,0		1,60,000
	00		

#### Questions 8

## 1. What will be the classification for following items in the statement of cash flows of both (i) Banks / Financial institutions and (ii) Other Entities?

S. No.	Particulas Particulas		
1	Interest received on loans and advances given		
2	Interest paid on deposits and other borrowings		
3	Interest and dividend received on investments in subsidiaries,		
	associates and in other entities		
4	preference and equity shares by other entities		
5	Finance charges paid by lessee under finance lease		

# ,age 102

#### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

6	Payment towards reduction of outstanding finance lease liability	
7	Interest paid to vendor for acquiring fixed asset under deferred	
	payment basis	
8	Principal sum payment under deferred payment basis for	
	acquisition of fixed assets	
9	Penal interest received from customers for late payments	
10	Penal interest paid to suppliers for late payments	
11	Interest paid on delayed tax payments	
12	Interest received on tax refunds	

#### **Answers 8**

The following are the classification of various activities in the Statement of Cash Flows:

S.	Particulars	Classification for	reporting cash
No.		flows	
		Banks / financial	Other entities
		institutions	
1	Interest received on loans and	Operating	Investing
	advances given	Activities	activities
2	Interest paid on deposit and other	Operating	Financing
	borrowings s	Activities	activities
3	Interest and dividend received on	Investing activities	Investing
	investments in subsidiaries,		activities
	associates and in other entities		
4	Dividend paid on preference and	Financing activities	
	equity shares, including tax on		activities
	dividend paid on preference and		
	equity shares by other		
	entities		
5	Finance charges paid by lessee under	Financing activities	
	finance lease		activities
6		Financing activities	_
	outstanding finance lease liability		activities
7	Interest paid to vendor for acquiring	Financing	Financing
	fixed asset under deferred payment	activities	activities
	basis		
8	Principal sum payment under	Investing activities	Investing
	deferred payment basis for		activities
	acquisition of fixed assets	0	0
9	Penal interest received from	Operating	Operating
1.0	customers for late payments	Activities	Activities
10	Penal interest paid to suppliers for	Operating Activities	Operating
11	late payments		Activities
11	Interest paid on delayed tax payments	Operating Activities	Operating Activities
12	Interest received on tax refunds		
14	interest received on tax relunds	Operating Activities	Operating Activities
		Activities	ACHVILLES

#### Question 9 (Illustration)

Company has provided the following information regarding the various assets held by company on 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X1. Find out, which of the following items

## will be part of cash and cash equivalents for the purpose of preparation of cash flow statement as per the guidance provided in Ind AS 7: Answer 9

Sr. No.	Name of the Security	Additional Information	
1.	Fixed deposit with SBI	12%, 3 years maturity on 1st January 20X4	
2.	Fixed deposit with HDFC	10%, original term was for 2 years, but due for maturity on 30 <sup>th</sup> June 20X1	
3.	Redeemable Preference shares in ABC ltd	Acquired on 31 <sup>st</sup> January 20X1 and the redemption is due on 30 <sup>th</sup> April 20X1	
4.	Cash balances at various banks	All branches of all banks in India	
5.	Cash balances at various banks	All international branches of Indian banks	
6.	Cash balances at various banks	Branches of foreign banks outside India	
7.	Bank overdraft of SBI Fort branch	Temporary overdraft, which is payable on demand	
8.	Treasury Bills	90 days maturity	

0. 1	. Treasury Bins 30 days maturity		
Sr. No.	Name of the Security	Decision	
1.	Fixed deposit with SBI	Not to be considered – long term	
2.	Fixed deposit with HDFC	Exclude as original maturity is not less	
		than 90 days from the date of	
		acquisition	
3.	Redeemable Preference shares	Include as due within 90 days from	
	in ABC Ltd.	the date of acquisition	
4.	Cash balances at various banks	Include	
5.	Cash balances at various banks	Include	
6.	Cash balances at various banks	Include	
7.	Bank overdraft of SBI Fort	Include (Assumed as integral part of an	
	branch	entity's cash management)	
8.	Treasury Bills	Include	

#### Question 10 (Illustration)

From the following transactions, identify which transactions will be qualified for the calculation of operating cash flows, if company is into the business of trading of mobile phones.

# 104 ge

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Sr. No.	Nature of Transaction		
1	Receipt from sale of mobile phones		
2	Purchases of mobile phones from various companies		
3	Employees expenses paid		
4	Advertisement expenses paid		
5	Credit sales of mobile		
6	Miscellaneous charges received from customers for repairs of mobiles		
7	Loss due to decrease in market value of the closing stock of old mobile phones		
8	Payment to suppliers of mobile phones		
9	Depreciation on furniture of sales showrooms		
10	Interest paid on cash credit facility of the bank		
11	Profit on sale of old computers and printers, in exchange of new laptop and printer		
12	Advance received from customers		
13	Sales Tax and excise duty paid		

Sr. No.	Nature of Transaction	Included / Excluded with reason	
1	Receipt from sale of mobile	Include – main revenue generating	
	phones	activity	
2	Purchases of mobile phones	Include – expenses related to main	
	from various companies	operations of business	
3	Employees expenses paid	Include – expenses related to main	
		operations of business	
4	Advertisement expenses paid	Include – expenses related to main	
		operations of business	
5	Credit sales of mobile	Do not include – Credit transaction will	
		not be included in cash flow (receipts	
		from customers will be included)	
6	Misc. charges received from	Include – supplementary revenue	
	customers for repairs of	generating activity	
	mobiles		
7	Loss due to decrease in	Do not include - Non cash transaction	
	market value of the closing		
	stock of old mobile phones		
8	Payment to suppliers of	Include – cash outflow related to main	
	mobile phones	operations of business	
9	Depreciation on furniture of	Do not include – non cash item	
	sales showrooms		
10	Interest paid on cash credit	Do not include – cost of finance	
	facility of the bank		
11	Profit on sale of old computers	Do not include – non cash item	
	and printers, in exchange of		
	new laptop and printer		
12	Advance received from	Include – Related to operations of	
	customers	business	
13	Sales tax and excise duty paid	Include – related to operations of	
		business	

#### Question 11 (Illustration)

From the following transactions taken from a private sector bank operating in India, identify which transactions will be classified as operating and which would be classified as Investing activity.

ould b	e classified as investing activity.
S.	Nature of transaction paid
No.	
1	Interest received on loans
2	Interest paid on Deposits
3	Deposits accepted
4	Loans given to customers
5	Loans repaid by the customers
6	Deposits repaid
7	Commission received
8	Lease rentals paid for various branches
9	Service tax paid
10	Furniture purchased for new branches
11	Implementation of upgraded banking software
12	Purchase of shares in 100% subsidiary for opening a
	branch in Abu Dhabi
13	New cars purchased from Honda dealer, in exchange of
	old cars and remaining amount paid in cash
14	Provident fund paid for the employees
15	Issued employee stock options

Sr. No.	Nature of transaction paid	Operating / Investing / Not to be considered	
1	Interest received on loans	Operating – Main revenue generating activity	
2	Interest paid on Deposits	Operating – Main expenses of operations	
3	Deposits accepted	Operating – in case of financial institutes	
4	Loans given to customers	Operating – in case of financial institutes	
5	Loans repaid by the customers	Operating – in case of financial institutes	
6	Deposits repaid	Operating – in case of financial institutes	
7	Commission received	Operating – Main revenue generating activity	
8	Lease rentals paid for various branches	Operating – Main expenses of operations	
9	Service tax paid	Operating – Main expenses of operations	
10	Furniture for new branches	Investing – Assets purchased	
11	Implementation of upgraded banking software	Investing – Purchased for long term purpose	

12	Purchase of shares in 100% subsidiary for opening a branch in Abu Dhabi	Investing – strategic investment
13	New cars purchased from Honda dealer, in exchange of old cars and cash payment	Investing-for cash payment
14	Provident fund paid for the employees	Operating
15	Issued employee stock options	Not to be considered. No cash flow

#### Question 12 (Illustration)

From the following transactions taken from a parent company having multiple businesses and multiple segments, identify which transactions will be classified as Operating, Investing and Financing:

•	Nature of transaction		
1	Issued preference shares		
2	Purchased the shares of 100% subsidiary company		
3	Dividend received from shares of subsidiaries		
4	Dividend received from other companies		
5	Bonus shares issued		
6	Purchased license for manufacturing of special drugs		
7	Royalty received from the goods patented by the company		
8	Rent received from the let out building (letting out is not main		
	business)		
9	Interest received from loans and advances given		
10	Dividend paid		
11	Interest paid on security deposits		
12	Purchased goodwill		
13	Acquired the assets of a company by issue of equity shares		
	(not parting any cash)		
14	Interim dividends paid		
15	Dissolved the 100% subsidiary and received the amount in		
	final settlement		

1 14		
Sr. No.	Nature of transaction	Operating / Investing / Financing / Not to be considered
1	Issued preference shares	Financing
2	Purchased the shares of 100% subsidiary company	Investing
3	Dividend received from shares of subsidiaries	Investing
4	Dividend received from other companies	Investing
5	Bonus shares issued	No cash flow
6	Purchased license for manufacturing of special	Investing

	drugs	
7	Royalty received from the goods patented by the	Operating
	company	
8	Rent received from the let out building (letting out	Investing
	is not main business)	
9	Interest received from loans and advances given	Investing
10	Dividend paid	Financing
11	Interest paid on security deposits	Financing
12	Purchased goodwill	Investing
13	Acquired the assets of a company by issue of	Not to be
	equity shares (not parting any cash)	considered
14	Interim dividends paid	Financing
15	Dissolved the 100% subsidiary and received the	Investing
	amount in final settlement	

#### Question 13 (Illustration)

An entity has entered into a factoring arrangement and received money from the factor. Examine the said transaction and state how should it be presented in the statement of cash flows?

#### Answer 13

Under factoring arrangement, it needs to be assessed whether the arrangement is recourse or non-recourse.

#### Question 14 (Illustration)

Find out the cash from operations by direct method and indirect method from the following information:

Operating statement of ABC Ltd. for the year ended 31.3.20X2

Operating statement of ABC Ltd. for the year ended 31.3.20A2		
Particulars	₹	
Sales	5,00,000.00	
Less: Cost of goods sold	3,50,000.00	
Administration & Selling Overheads	55,000.00	
Depreciation	7,000.00	
Interest Paid	3,000.00	
Loss on sale of asset	2,000.00	
Profit before tax	83,000.00	
Tax	(30,000.00)	
Profit After tax	53,000.00	

#### Balance Sheet as at 31st March

	20X2	20X1
Assets		
Non-current Assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment	75,000.00	65,000.00
Investment	12,000.00	10,000.00
<b>Current Assets</b>		
Inventories	12,000.00	13,000.00
Trade receivables	10,000.00	7,000.00
Cash and cash equivalents	6,000.00	5,000.00
Total	1,15,000.00	

107

		1,00,000.
Equity and Liabilities		00
Shareholders' Funds	60,000.00	50,000.00
Non-current Liabilities	33,000.00	35,000.00
Current Liabilities		
Trade Payables	12,000.00	8,000.00
Payables for Expenses	10,000.00	7,000.00
Total	1,15,000.00	
		1,00,000. 00

#### Answer 14

1. Cash flow from Operations by Direct Method

Particulars	₹	See Note		
Cash Sales	4,97,000.00	1		
Less: Cash Purchases	3,45,000.00	2		
Overheads	52,000.00	3		
Interest	_	Financing		
Depreciation	_	Non cash		
		item		
Loss on sale of asset	_	Investing		
		item		
Cash profit	100,000.00			
Less: Tax	(30,000.00)			
Cash profit after tax	70,000.00			
_	•			

Note No 1 - Cash Receipts from Sales and Trade receivables		
Particulars	₹	
Sales	5,00,000.00	
Add: Opening Trade receivables	7,000.00	
Less: Closing Trade receivables	(10,000.00)	
Cash Receipts	4,97,000.00	

Cash Receipts	4,97,000.00		
Note No 2 :- Payment to Trade Payables for Purchases			
Particulars	₹		
Cost of goods sold	3,50,000.00		
Closing inventories	12,000.00		
Less: Opening inventories	(13,000.00)		
Purchases	3,49,000.00		
Add: Opening Trade Payables	8,000.00		
Less: Closing Trade Payables	(12,000.00)		
Payment to creditors	3,45,000.00		
Particulars	₹		
Overheads	55,000.00		
Add: Opening payables	7,000.00		
Less: Closing payables	(10,000.00)		
Payment for Overheads	52,000.00		

#### Question 15 (Illustration)

A firm invests in a five-year bond of another company with a face value of  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  10,00,000 by paying  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  5,00,000. The effective rate is 15%. The firm recognises

Page 108

proportionate interest income in its income statement throughout the period of bond.

Based on the above information answer the following question:

- a) How the interest income will be treated in cash flow statement during the period of bond?
  - On maturity, whether the
- b) On maturity, whether the receipt of ₹ 10,00,000 should be split between interest income and receipts from investment activity.

#### Answer 15

Interest income will be treated as income over the period of bond in the income statement. However, there will be no cash flow in these years because no cash has been received. On maturity, receipt of ₹ 10,00,000 will be classified as investment activity with a bi furcation of interest income & money received on redemption of bond.

#### Question 16 (Illustration)

X Limited has paid an advance tax amounting to  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  5,30,000 during the current year. Out of the above paid tax,  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  30,000 is paid for tax on long term capital gains.

#### Answer 16

Cash flows arising from taxes on income should be classified as cash flows from operating activities unless they can be specifically identified with financing and investing activities. In the case of X Limited, the tax amount of ₹30,000 is specifically related with investing activities. ₹5,00,000 to be shown under operating activities. ₹30,000 to be shown under investing activities.

#### Question 17 (Illustration)

X Limited acquires fixed asset of  $\overline{t}$  10,00,000 from Y Limited by accepting the liabilities of  $\overline{t}$  8,00,000 of Y Limited and balance amount it paid in cash. How X Limited will treat all those items in its cash flow statements?

#### Answer 17

Investing and financing transactions that do not require the use of cash and cash equivalents shall be excluded from a statement of cash flows. X Limited should classify cash payment of ₹ 2,00,000 under investing activities. The non-cash transactions – liabilities and asset should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

#### Question 18 (Illustration)

An entity has bank balance in foreign currency aggregating to USD 100 (equivalent to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  4,500) at the beginning of the year. Presuming no other transaction taking place, the entity reported a profit before tax of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  100 on account of exchange gain on the bank balance in foreign currency at the end of the year. What would be the closing cash and cash equivalents as per the balance sheet?

#### Answer 18

For the purpose of statement of cash flows, the entity shall present the following:

	Amount (₹)
Profit before tax	100
Less: Unrealized exchange gain	(100)
Cash flow from operating activities	Nil
Cash flow from investing activities	Nil

109

Cash flow from financing activities	Nil
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents during the year	Nil
Add: Opening balance of cash and cash equivalents	4,500
Cash and cash equivalents as at the year-end	4,500

#### Question 19 (Illustration)

Following is the balance sheet of Kuber Limited for the year ended 31 March, 20X2(₹ in lacs)

OAZ(t in facs)	20X2	20X1
ASSETS		
Non-current assets		
Property, plant and equipment	13,000	12,500
Intangible assets	50	30
Other financial assets	145	170
Deferred Tax Asset (net)	855	750
Other non-current assets	800	770
Total non-current assets	14,850	14,220
Current assets		
Financial assets		
Investments	2,300	2,500
Cash and cash equivalents	220	460
Other current assets	195	85
Total current assets	2,715	3,045
Total assets	17,565	17,265
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity share capital	300	300
Other equity	12,000	8,000
Total equity	12,300	8,300
Liabilities		
Non-current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Long-term borrowings	2,000	5,000
Other non-current liabilities	2,740	3,615
Total non-current liabilities	4,740	8,615
Current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Trade payables	150	90
Bank overdraft	75	60
Other current liabilities	300	200
Total current liabilities	525	350
Total liabilities	5,265	8,965
Total equity and liabilities	17,565	17,265

#### **Additional Information:**

- (1) Profit after tax for the year ended March 31, 20X2 ₹ 4,450 lacs
- (2) Interim dividend paid during the year ₹ 450 lacs
- (3) Depreciation and amortisation charged in the statement of profit and loss during the current year are as under

- (a) Property, Plant and Equipment ₹ 500 lacs
- (b) Intangible Assets ₹ 20 lacs
- (4) During the year ended March 31, 20X2 two machineries were sold for ₹ 70 lacs. The carrying amount of these machineries as on March 31, 20X2 is ₹ 60 lacs.
- (5) Income taxes paid during the year ₹ 105 lacs
- (6) Other non-current / current assets and liabilities are related to operations of Kuber Ltd. and do not contain any element of financing and investing activities.

Using the above information of Kuber Limited, construct a statement of cash flows under indirect method.

#### Answer 19

#### Statement of Cash Flows

Statement of Cash Flows		
		₹ in lacs
Cash flows from Operating Activities		
Net Profit after Tax	4,450	
Add: Tax Paid	105	
	4,555	
Add: Depreciation & Amortisation (500 + 20)	520	
Less: Gain on Sale of Machine (70-60)	(10)	
Less: Increase in Deferred Tax Asset (855-750)	(105)	
	4,960	
Change in operating assets and liabilities		
Add: Decrease in financial asset (170 - 145)	25	
Less: Increase in other non-current asset (800 - 770)	(30)	
Less: Increase in other current asset (195 - 85)	(110)	
Less: Decrease in other non-current liabilities (3,615 –	(875)	
2,740)		
Add: Increase in other current liabilities (300 - 200)	100	
Add: Increase in trade payables (150-90)	60	
	4,130	
Less: Income Tax	(105)	
Cash generated from Operating Activities		4,025
Cash flows from Investing Activities		
Sale of Machinery	70	
Purchase of Machinery [13,000-(12,500 – 500-60)]	(1,060)	
Purchase of Intangible Asset [50-(30-20)]	(40)	
Sale of Financial asset - Investment (2,500 – 2,300)		
Cash outflow from Investing Activities	20	(830)
Cash flows from Financing Activities	0	
Dividend Paid	(450)	
Long term borrowings paid (5,000 – 2,000)	(3,000)	
Cash outflow from Financing Activities	(3,000)	(3,450)
Net Cash outflow from all the activities	-	(255)
Opening cash and cash equivalents (460 – 60)		(233) 400
Closing cash and cash equivalents (220 – 75)	-	145
otosing cash and cash equivalents (220 - 10)		170

#### Question 20 (Illustration)

The relevant extracts of consolidated financial statements of A Ltd. are provided below:

	For the year ended (₹ in Lac)				
	31st March	31st March			
	20X2	20X1			
Assets					
Non-Current Assets					
Property, Plant and Equipment	4,750	4,650			
Investment in Associate	800	-			
Financial Assets	2,150	1,800			
Current Assets					
Inventories	1,550	1,900			
Trade Receivables	1,250	1,800			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	4,650	3,550			
Liabilities					
Current Liabilities					
Trade Payables	1,550	3,610			

Extracts from Consolidated Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31st March 20X2

for the year ended 31st march 20x2					
Particulars	Amount (₹ in Lac)				
Revenue	12,380				
Cost of Goods Sold	(9,860)				
Gross Profit	2,520				
Other Income	300				
Operating Expenses	(450)				
Other expenses	(540)				
Interest expenses	(110)				
Share of Profit of Associate	120				
Profit before Tax	1,840				

#### The below information is relevant for A Ltd Group.

- 1. A Ltd had spent ₹ 30 Lac on renovation of a building. A Ltd charged the entire renovation cost to profit and loss account.
- 2. On 1<sup>st</sup> April 20X1, A Ltd acquired 100% shares in S Ltd, for cash of ₹ 300 Lac. Fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed under the acquisition are as under:

Property, Plant and Equipment	140 Lac
Inventories	60 Lac
Trade Receivables	30 Lac
Cash and Cash Equivalents	<u>20 Lac</u>
Total Assets	250 Lac
Less: Trade Payables	<u>(50 Lac)</u>
Net Assets on acquisition	<u>200 Lac</u>

A Ltd.'s property, plant and equipment comprise the following: Carrying amount on 1<sup>st</sup> April 20X1 4,650 Lac

Addition (at cost) including assets in S Ltd. 800 Lac Revaluation Surplus 80 Lac Disposal (Sale) of Assets (490 Lac) Depreciation for the year (290 Lac) Carrying Amount on 31st March 20X2 4,750 Lac

A Ltd constructed a machine that is a qualifying asset and incurred construction costs of ₹ 40 Lac that has been charged to other expenses. Of the interest cost of ₹ 110 Lac charged to profit or loss statement, ₹ 10 Lac includes interest cost on specific borrowings that need to be capitalized.

Property, plant and equipment was sold at 630 Lac. Gain on disposal is adjusted against operating expenses.

- 4. A Ltd. purchased 30% interest in an Associate (G Ltd) for cash on 1 <sup>st</sup> April 20X1. The associate reported profit after tax of ₹ 400 Lac and paid a dividend of ₹ 100 Lac for the year.
- 5. Impairment test was conducted on 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X2. The following were impaired as under: Goodwill impairment loss: ₹ 265 Lac Intangible Assets impairment loss ₹ 900 Lac The goodwill impairment relates to 100% subsidiaries.

Assume that interest cost is all paid in cash.

You are required to determine cash generated from operations for group reporting purposes for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X2.

#### Answer 20

## Extracts of Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended 31 st March 20X2

<b>40</b> A	4	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		Amount in ₹ Lacs
Profit before tax (W.N.1)		1,920
Less: Profit on Sale of PPE (630 - 490)		(140)
Add back: Depreciation		290
Impairment of Goodwill		265
Impairment of Intangible Assets		900
Less: Share of Profits of Associate (400 x 30%)		(120)
Add: Interest expense	[110 - 10]	100
Working Capital Changes (W.N.2):		
Add: Decrease in Trade Receivables		580
Add: Decrease in Inventories		410
Less: Decrease in Trade Payables		(2,110)
Cash generated from operations		2,095

#### **Working Notes:**


#### 1. Profit before tax Amount in ₹ Lacs

Reported profit as per Profit or Loss Statement	1,840
Add back: Renovation costs charged as	30
expense	
Construction costs charged as expense	40

2,110

### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Borrowing costs to be capitalized	10
	10
Revised Profit before tax	1,920
Changes in Trade Receivables	Amount in ₹ Lac
Opening Balance	1,800
Add: Receivables of S Ltd.	30
	1,830
Less: Closing Balance	(1,250)
	580
Changes in Inventories	Amount in ₹ Lac
Opening Balance	1,900
Add: Inventories of S Ltd.	60
	1,960
Less: Closing Balance	(1,550)
	410
Changes in Trade Payables	Amount in ₹ Lac
Opening Balance	3,610
Add: Trade Payables of S Ltd.	50
	3,660
Less: Closing Balance	(1,550)



age 115

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt -Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

# Chapter 4 Unit 1 Ind AS 8 "ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND ERRORS

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
	Q5,											
MTP	Q8		Q6		Q4					Q7	Q9	
PYP	Q1										Q2	
RTP								Q3		Q10	Q12	Q11

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

Entity ABC acquired a building for its administrative purposes and presented the same as property, plant and equipment (PPE) in the financial year 20X1-20X2. During the financial year 20X2-20X3, it relocated the office to a new building and leased the said building to a third party. Following the change in the usage of the building, Entity ABC reclassified it from PPE to investment property in the financial year 20X2-20X3. Should Entity ABC account for the changeas a change in accounting policy? (PYP 5 Marks Dec'21)

#### Answer 1

Paragraph 16(a) of Ind AS 8 provides that the application of an accounting policy for transactions, other events or conditions that differ in substance from those previously occurring are not changes in accounting policies.

As per Ind AS 16, 'property, plant and equipment' are tangible items that:

- (a) are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes; and
- (b) are expected to be used during more than one period."
- As per Ind AS 40, 'investment property' is property (land or a building—or part of a building—or both) held (by the owner or by the lessee as a right-of-use asset) to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:
- (a) use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes; or
- (b) sale in the ordinary course of business."

As per the above definitions, whether a building is an item of property, plant and equipment (PPE) or an investment property for an entity depends on the purpose for which it is held by the entity. It is thus possible that due to a change in the purpose for which it is held, a building that was previously classified as an item of property, plant and equipment may warrant reclassification as an investment property, or vice versa. Whether a building is in the nature of PPE or investment property is determined by applying the definitions of these terms from the perspective of that entity. Thus, the classification of a building as an item of property, plant and equipment or as an investment property is not a matter of an accounting policy choice.

Accordingly, a change in classification of a building from property, plant and equipment to investment property due to change in the purpose for which it is held by the entity is not a change in an accounting policy.

#### Question 2

Orange Ltd. is going to prepare its annual financial statements for the year ending 31st March, 2022, in the process it discovered that a provision for constructive obligation for payment of bonus to selected employees in the corporate office (material in amount) which was required to be recognized in the annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 2020 was not recognized due to oversight of facts. The bonus was paid during the financial year ended 31st March, 2021 and was recognized as an expense in the annual financial statements for the said year.

As a finance manager of the company, you are required to analyse whether the situation relating to constructive obligation for payment of bonus is an error requiring retrospective restatement of comparatives considering that the amount is material. (PYP 5 Marks Nov 22)

#### Answer 2

As per paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8, errors can arise in respect of the recognition, measurement, presentation or disclosure of elements of financial statements. Financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows. Potential current period errors discovered in that period are corrected before the financial statements are approved for issue. However, material errors are sometimes not discovered until a subsequent period, and these prior period errors are corrected in the comparative information presented in the financial statements for that subsequent period.

As per paragraph 40A of Ind AS 1, an entity shall present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the retrospective restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period.

In the given case, expenses for the year ended 31st March, 2020 and liabilities as at 31st March, 2020 were understated because of non-recognition of bonus expense and related provision. Expenses for the year ended 31 st March, 2021, on the other hand, were overstated to the same extent because of recognition of the aforesaid bonus as expense for the year. To correct the above errors in the annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 2022, the entity should:

- (a) restate the comparative amounts (i.e., those for the year ended 31 st March, 2021) in the statement of profit and loss; and
- (b) present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period (i.e., as at 1st April, 2020) wherein it should recognise the provision for bonus and restate the retained earnings.

#### Question 3

In 20X3-20X4, after the entity's 31 March 20X3 annual financial statements were approved for issue, a latent defect in the composition of a new product manufactured by the entity was discovered (that is, a defect that could not be discovered by reasonable or customary inspection). As a result of the latent defect the entity incurred Rs.100,000 in unanticipated costs for fulfilling its warranty obligation in respect of sales made before 31 March 20X3. An additional Rs.20,000 was incurred to rectify the latent defect in products sold during 20X3-20X4 before the defect was detected and the production process rectified, Rs.5,000 of which

Page 117

relates to items of inventory at 31 March 20X3. The defective inventory was reported at cost Rs. 15,000 in the 20X2-20X3 financial statements when its selling price less costs to complete and sell was estimated at Rs.18,000. The accounting estimates made in preparing the 31 March 20X3 financial statements were appropriately made using all reliable information that the entity could reasonably be expected to have been obtained and taken into account in the preparation and presentation of those financial statements. Analyse the above situation in accordance with relevant Ind AS. (RTP May '21, May'23)

Ind AS 8 is applied in selecting and applying accounting policies, and accounting for changes in accounting policies, changes in accounting estimates and corrections of prior period errors.

A change in accounting estimate is an adjustment of the carrying amount of an asset or a liability, or the amount of the periodic consumption of an asset. This change in accounting estimate is an outcome of the assessment of the present status of, and expected future benefits and obligations associated with, assets Changes in accounting estimates result from new information or new developments and, accordingly, are not corrections of errors.

Further, the effect of change in an accounting estimate, shall be recognized prospectively by including it in profit or loss in: (a) the period of the change, if the change affects that period only; or (b) the period of the change and future periods, if the change affects both. Prior period errors are omissions from, and misstatements in, the entity's financial statements for one or more prior periods arising from a failure to use, or misuse of, reliable information that:

- (a) was available when financial statements for those periods were approved for issue; and
- (b) could reasonably be expected to have been obtained and taken into account in the preparation and presentation of those financial statements.

Such errors include the effects of mathematical mistakes, mistakes in accounting policies, oversights or misinterpretations of facts, and fraud. On the basis of above provisions, the given situation would be dealt as follows:

The defect was neither known nor reasonably possible to detect at 31 March 20X3 or before the financial statements were approved for issue, so understatement of the warranty provision Rs. 1,00,000 and overstatement of inventory Rs. 2,000 (Note 1) in the 31 March 20X3 financial statements are not a prior period errors.

The effects of the latent defect that relate to the entity's financial position at 31 March 20X3 are changes in accounting estimates.

In preparing its financial statements for 31 March 20X3, the entity made the warranty provision and inventory valuation appropriately using all reliable information that the entity could reasonably be expected to have obtained and had taken into account the same in the preparation and presentation of those financial statements.

Consequently, the additional costs are expensed in calculating profit or loss for 20X3-20X4.

#### Working Note:

Inventory is measured at the lower of cost (ie Rs. 15,000) and fair value less costs to complete and sell (i.e. Rs. 18,000 originally estimated minus Rs. 5,000 costs to rectify latent defect) = Rs. 13,000.

#### Question 4

ABC Ltd. changed its method adopted for inventory valuation in the year 2018-2019. Prior to the change, inventory was valued using the first in first out method (FIFO). However, it was felt that in order to match current practice and to make

the financial statements more relevant and reliable, a weighted average valuation model would be more appropriate.

The effect of the change in the method of valuation of inventory was as follows:

- 31st March, 2017 Increase of Rs. 10 million
- 31st March, 2018 Increase of Rs. 15 million
- 31st March, 2019 Increase of Rs. 20 million

Profit or loss under the FIFO valuation model are as follows:

	2018-2019	2017-2018
Revenue	324	296
Cost of goods sold	(173)	(164)
Gross profit	151	132
Expenses	<u>(83)</u>	<u>(74)</u>
Profit	<u>68</u>	<u>58</u>

Retained earnings at 31st March, 2017 were Rs. 423 million Present the change in accounting policy in the profit or loss and produce an extract of the statement of changes in equity in accordance with Ind AS 8. (RTP May'19, MTP 4 Marks Oct'18)

#### Answer 4

Profit or loss under weighted average valuation method is as follows:

	2018-2019	2017-2018
		(Restated)
Revenue	324	296
Cost of goods sold	(168)	<u>(159)</u>
Gross profit	156	137
Expenses	<u>(83)</u>	<u>(74)</u>
Profit	73	63

Statement of changes in Equity (extract)

Retained Retained earnings earning (Origina				
At 1st April, 2017	423	423		
Change in inventory valuation policy	<u>10</u>	=		
At 1 <sup>st</sup> April, 2017 (Restated)	433	-		
Profit for the year 2017-2018	<u>63</u>	<u>58</u>		
At 31st March, 2018	496	481		
Profit for the 2018-2019	<u>73</u>	<u>68</u>		
At 31st March, 2019	<u>569</u>	<u>549</u>		

#### Question 5

Given the decreased revenue in financial year 20X1-20X2, management of PQR Ltd is keen to identify ways to reduce the overall impact on profit and loss. A consultant has suggested that they could explore changing the basis of depreciation from SLM to hours-in-use but not entirely sure if this is permitted. Annual depreciation charge for financial year 20X1-20X2 would be  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  25 lacs using SLM and  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  7 lacs using new method. This difference is significant for PQR Ltd.'s

financial statements. What are the considerations in determining whether a change in depreciation methodology is appropriate, and how should this change be accounted for? Given the risk of charging lower depreciation per annum and the possibility that the asset will be depreciated over a period longer than it would otherwise be (under SLM basis), what other safeguards do you suggest, in order to ensure compliance with relevant standards in Ind AS and its framework? (MTP 6 Marks Nov 21)

#### Answer 5

As illustrated in per para 32 of Ind AS 8, Change in method of depreciation is a change in accounting estimates. Considerations in determining whether the change in depreciation methodology is appropriate:

Paragraphs 60 and 61 of Ind AS 16, Property, Plant and Equipment, state that the depreciation method used shall reflect the pattern in which the asset's future economic benefits are expected to be consumed by the entity. The depreciation method applied to an asset shall be reviewed at least at each financial year-end and, if there has been a significant change in the expected pattern of consumption of the future economic benefits embodied in the asset, the method shall be changed to reflect the changed pattern.

#### Accounting procedure:

Such a change is accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate in accordance with Ind AS 8. Depreciation is a function of several factors, with extent of usage and efflux of time being its primary determinants. The hours-in-use method relates the amount of periodic depreciation charge only to one of the above two factors, namely, the extent of usage as reflected by the number of hours. This method may therefore be said to be appropriate as per para 62 of Ind AS 16. Determination of depreciation method involves an accounting estimate; depreciation method is not a matter of an accounting policy. Accordingly, as per Ind AS 8 and Ind AS 16, a change in depreciation method shall be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate, i.e; prospectively. However, given the possibility that the asset will be depreciated over a period longer than it would be under SLM basis, the company will need to assess if there are any impairment triggers and carry out impairment testing as required under Ind AS 36.

#### Question 6

An entity charged off certain expenses as finance costs in its financial statements for the year ended 31st March 20X1. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March 20X2, management discovered that these expenses should have been classified as other expenses instead of finance costs. The error occurred because the management inadvertently misinterpreted certain facts. The entity intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented in which the error occurred (i.e., year ended 31st March 20X1). Would this reclassification of expenses from finance costs to other expenses in the comparative amounts be considered to be correction of an error under Ind AS 8? Would the entity need to present a third balance sheet? (MTP 5 Marks April '21)

#### Answer 6

Paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8 states as follows: "Errors can arise in respect of the recognition, measurement, presentation or disclosure of elements of financial statements. Financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows. Potential current period errors discovered in that period are corrected before the financial statements are approved for issue. However, material errors are sometimes not discovered until a subsequent period, and

these prior period errors are corrected in the comparative information presented in the financial statements for that subsequent period."

In accordance with the above, the reclassification of expenses from finance costs to other expenses would be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8. Accordingly, in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2, the comparative amounts for the year ended 31st March 20X1 would be restated to reflect the correct classification.

Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period.

In the given case, the retrospective restatement of relevant items in statement of profit and loss has no effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period (1st April 20X0). Therefore, the entity is not required to present a third balance sheet.

#### Question 7

In years During the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March,20X2, Blue Ocean group changed its accounting policy for depreciating property, plant and equipment, so as to apply components approach fully, whilst at the same time adopting the revaluation model.

before 20X1-20X2, Blue Ocean group's asset records were not sufficiently detailed to apply a components approach fully. At the end of 31st March, 20X1, management commissioned an engineering survey, which provided information on the components held and their fair values, useful lives, estimated residual values and depreciable amounts at the beginning of 20X1-20X2.

The results are shown as under:

Property, plant and equipment at the end of 31st March,20X1

	Rs.
Cost	25,000
Depreciation	(14,000)
Net book value	11,000
Depreciation expense for 20X1-20X2 (on old basis)	1,500
Some results of the engineering survey:	
Valuation	17,000
Estimated residual value	3,000
Average remaining asset life (years)	7

However, the survey did not provide a sufficient basis for reliably estimating the cost of those components that had not previously been accounted for separately, and the existing records before the survey did not permit this information to be reconstructed.

The board of directors considered how to account for each of the two aspects of the accounting change. They determined that it was not practicable to account for the change to a fuller components approach retrospectively, or to account for that change prospectively from any earlier date than the start of 20X1-20X2.

Also, the change from a cost model to a revaluation model is required to be

accounted for prospectively. Therefore, management concluded that it should apply Blue Ocean group's new policy prospectively from the start of 20X1-20X2. Blue Ocean group's tax rate is 30 per cent. Compute the impact of change in accounting policy related to change in carrying amount of Property, Plant & Equipment under revaluation method and impact on taxes based on the basis of information provided. Show the impact of each item affected on financial statements by the analysis of stated issue. (MTP 6 Marks May '20)

#### Answer 7

As per Ind AS 8 'Accounting Policies, Accounting Estimates and Errors, prospective application of a change in accounting policy has to be done since retrospective application is not practicable.

#### Property, plant and equipment at the end of 31st March,20X2:

As per the engineering survey:

Valuation of PPE 17,000
Estimated residual value 3,000
Average remaining asset life (years) 7
Depreciation expense on existing property, plant and equipment for 20X1-20X2 (new basis)(17,000 - 3,000)/7 2,000

From the start of 20X1-20X2, Blue Ocean group changed its accounting policy for depreciating property, plant and equipment, so as to apply components approach, whilst at the same time adopting the revaluation model. Management takes the view that this policy provides reliable and more relevant information because it deals more accurately with the components of property, plant and equipment and is based on up-to-date values. The policy has been applied prospectively from the start of the year 20X1-20X2 because it was not practicable to estimate the effects of applying the policy either retrospectively or prospectively from any earlier date. Accordingly, the adoption of the new policy has no effect on prior years.

#### The impact on the financial statements for 20X1-20X2 would be as under:

Particulars	Rs.
Increase the carrying amount of property, plant and equipment at the start of the year (17,000-11,000)	6,000
Increase the opening deferred tax provision (6,000 x 30%)	1,800
Create a revaluation surplus at the start of the year (6,000 – 1,800)	
Increase depreciation expense by (Rs.2,000 – Rs.1,500)	500
Reduce tax expense on depreciation (30%)	150

#### **Question 8**

WLL Ltd. was incorporated on 1st April, 20X1 and follows Ind AS in preparing its financial statements. In preparing its financial statements for financial year ending 31st March, 20X4, WLL Ltd. used these useful lives for its property, plant, and equipment:

Buildings: 15 years
Plant and machinery: 10 years
Furniture and fixtures: 7 years

On 1st April, 20X4, the entity decides to review the useful lives of the property, plant, and equipment. For this purpose, it hired external valuation experts. These independent experts certified the remaining useful lives of the property,

plant, and equipment of WLL Ltd. on 1st April, 20X4 as

Buildings: 10 years
Plant and machinery: 7 years
Furniture and fixtures: 5 years

WLL Ltd. uses the straight-line method of depreciation. The original cost of the

various components of property, plant, and equipment were

Buildings: ₹1,50,00,000Plant and machinery: ₹1,00,00,000Furniture and fixtures: ₹35,00,000

Compute the impact on the statement of profit and loss for the year ending 31st March, 20X5, if WLL Ltd. decides to change the useful lives of the property, plant, and equipment in compliance with the recommendations of external valuation experts. Assume that there were no salvage values for the three components of the property, plant, and equipment either initially or at the time the useful lives were revised. (MTP 7 Marks Oct 21)

#### **Answer 8**

1. The annual depreciation charges prior to the change in estimate were:

Buildings: ₹ 1,50,00,000 / 15 = ₹ 10,00,000 Plant and machinery: ₹ 1,00,00,000 / 10 = ₹ 10,00,000 Furniture and fixtures: ₹ 35,00,000 / 7 = ₹ 5,00,000 Total = ₹ 25,00,000 (A)

**2.** The revised annual depreciation for the year ending 31<sup>st</sup> December, 20X4, would be

Buildings : [₹ 1,50,00,000 - (₹ 10,00,000 × 3)]/10 = ₹

12,00,000

Plant and machinery: [₹ 1,00,00,000 - (₹ 10,00,000 × 3)]/7 = ₹

10,00,000

Furniture and fixtures : [₹ 35,00,000 - (₹ 5,00,000 × 3)]/5 = ₹

4,00,000

Total = ₹26,00,000 (B)

- **3.** The impact on Statement of profit and loss for the year ending  $31^{\rm St}$  March, 20X5
  - = (B) (A)
  - = ₹ 26,00,000 *-* ₹ 25,00,000
  - = ₹ 1,00,000

Change in the useful lives of the various items of property, plant and equipment is a change in accounting estimate. Change in accounting estimate is to be adjusted prospectively in the period in which the estimate is amended and, if relevant, to future periods if they are also affected.

#### Question 9

During 20X4-20X5, Cheery Limited discovered that some products that had been sold during 20X3-20X4 were incorrectly included in inventory at 31st March, 20X4 at ₹ 6,500.

Cheery Limited's accounting records for 20X4-20X5 show sales of ₹ 1,04,000, cost of goods sold of ₹ 86,500 (including ₹ 6,500 for the error in opening inventory), and income taxes of ₹ 5,250.

In 20X3-20X4, Cheery Limited reported:

₹

 $^{Page}12\bar{3}$ 

Sales	73,500
Cost of goods sold	(53,500)
Profit before income taxes	20,000
Income taxes	(6,000)
Profit	14,000
Basic and diluted EPS	2.8

The 20X3-20X4 opening retained earnings was  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  20,000 and closing retained earnings was  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  34,000. Cheery Limited's income tax rate was 30% for 20X4-20X5 and 20X3-20X4. It had no other income or expenses.

Cheery Limited had  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 50,000$  (5,000 shares of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 10$  each) share capital throughout, and no other components of equity except for retained earnings.

State how the above will be treated /accounted in Cheery Limited's Statement of Profit and Loss, Statement of Changes in Equity and in Notes wherever required for current period and earlier period(s) as per relevant Ind AS. (MTP 12 Marks Oct'22, RTP Nov'19)

#### Answer 9

## Cheery Limited Extract from the Statement of Profit and Loss

		(Restated)
	20X4-20X5	20X3-20X4
	₹	₹
Sales	1,04,000	73,500
Cost of goods sold	(80,000)	(60,000)
Profit before income taxes	24,000	13,500
Income tax @ 30%	(7,200)	(4,050)
Profit	16,800	9,450
Basic and diluted EPS	3.36	1.89

**Cheery Limited Statement of Changes in Equity** 

onecry bimited Statement of Changes in Equity			
	Share capital	Retained earnings	Total
Balance at 31st March, 20X3	50,000	20,000	70,000
Profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X4 as restated		9,450	9,450
Balance at 31st March, 20X4	50,000	29,450	79,450
Profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X5		16,800	16,800
Balance at 31st March, 20X5	50,000	46,250	96,250

#### **Extract from the Notes**

Some products that had been sold in 20X3-20X4 were incorrectly included in inventory at 31st March, 20X4 at ₹ 6,500. The financial statements of 20X3-20X4 have been restated to correct this error. The effect of the restatement on those financial statements is summarized below:

	Effect on 20X3- 20X4
(Increase) in cost of goods sold	(6,500)
Decrease in income tax expenses (6,000 – 4,050)	1,950
(Decrease) in profit (14,000 – 9,450)	(4,550)

(Decrease) in basic and diluted EPS (2.8 – 1.89)	(0.91)
(Decrease) in inventory	(6,500)
Decrease in income tax payable	1,950
(Decrease) in equity	(4,550)

There is no effect on the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period i.e. 1st April, 20X3.

#### Question 10

While preparing the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, Alpha Limited has observed two issues in the previous year Ind AS financial statements (i.e. 31st March, 20X2) which are as follows:

#### Issue 1:

The company had presented certain material liabilities as non-current in its financial statements for periods as on 31st March, 20X2. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, management discovers that these liabilities should have been classified as current. The management intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented (i.e., as at 31 st March, 20X2).

#### Issue 2:

The company had charged off certain expenses as finance costs in the year ended 31st March, 20X2. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, it was discovered that these expenses should have been classified as other expenses instead of finance costs. The error occurred because the management inadvertently misinterpreted certain facts. The entity intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented in which the error occurred (i.e., year ended 31st March, 20X2).

What is your analysis and recommendation in respect of the issues noted with the previously presented set of financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2? (RTP May '20)

#### Answer 10

As per paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8 'Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors', errors can arise in respect of the recognition, measurement, presentation or disclosure of elements of financial statements. Financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows. Potential current period errors discovered in that period are corrected before the financial statements are approved for issue. However, material errors are sometimes not discovered until a subsequent period, and these prior period errors are corrected in the comparative information presented in the financial statements for that subsequent period.

Accordingly, the stated issues in question are to dealt as under:

In accordance with para 41, the reclassification of liabilities from non-current to current would be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8. Accordingly, in the financial statements for the year ended March 31, 20X3, the comparative amounts as at 31 March 20X2 would be restated to reflect the correct classification.

Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements, if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the

beginning of the preceding period. Accordingly, the entity should present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period, i.e., as at 1 April 20X1 in addition to the comparatives for the financial year 20X1-20X2.

#### Issue 2

In accordance with para 41, the reclassification of expenses from finance costs to other expenses would be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8. Accordingly, in the financial statements for the year ended 31 March, 20X3, the comparative amounts for the year ended 31 March 20X2 would be restated to reflect the correct classification. Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period.

In the given case, the retrospective restatement of relevant items in statement of profit and loss has no effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period (1 April 20X1). Therefore, the entity is not required to present a third balance sheet.

#### Question 11

In its financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2, Y Ltd. reported ₹ 73,500 revenue (sales), ₹ 53,500 cost of sales, ₹ 6,000 income tax expense, ₹ 20,000 retained earnings at 1st April, 20X1 and ₹ 34,000 retained earnings at 31st March, 20X2.

In 20X2-20X3, after the 20X1-20X2 financial statements were approved for issue, Y Ltd. discovered that some products sold in 20X1-20X2 were incorrectly included in inventories at 31st March, 20X2 at their cost of ₹ 6,500.

In 20X2-20X3, Y Ltd. changed its accounting policy for the measurement of investments in associates after initial recognition from cost model to the fair value model as per Ind AS 109. It acquired its only investment in an associate for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  3,000 many years ago. The associate's equity is not traded on a securities exchange (that is, a published price quotation is not available). The fair value of the investment was determined reliably using an appropriate equity valuation model on 31 st March, 20X3 at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  25,000 (20X1-20X2:  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  20,000 and 20X0-20X1:  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  18,000).

At 31st March, 20X3, as a result of usage of improved lubricants, Y Ltd. reassessed the useful life of Machine A from four years to seven years. Machine A is depreciated on the straight-line method to a Nil residual value. It was acquired for  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  6,000 on 1st April, 20X0.

Inventories of the type manufactured by Machine A were immaterial at the end of each reporting period.

Y Ltd.'s accounting records for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, before accounting for change in accounting policy and change in accounting estimate, record  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  1,04,000 revenue (sales),  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  86,500 cost of sales (including  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  6,500 for the error in opening inventory and  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  1,500 depreciation for Machine A) and  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  5,250 income tax expense.

Y Ltd. presents financial statements with one year of comparative information. For simplicity, the tax effect of all items of income and expenses should be assumed to be 30% of the gross amount.

Draft an extract showing how the correction of the prior period error, change in accounting policy and change in accounting estimate could be presented in the Statement of Profit and Loss and Statement of Changes in Equity (Retained

Earnings) and disclosed in the Notes of Y Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 20X3. (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 11

Extract of Y Ltd.'s Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X3

	20X2- 20X3	Reference to W.N.	20X1- 20X2 Restated	Reference to W.N.
	₹		₹	
Revenue	1,04,000		73,500	
Cost of sales (20X1- 20X2 previously ₹ 53,500)	(79,100)	1	(60,000)	4
Gross profit	24,900		13,500	
Other income — change in the measurement policy i.e. the value of investment in associate				
at FVTPL	5,000	2	2,000	5
Profit before tax	29,900		15,500	
Income tax expense	(8,970)	3	(4,650)	6
Profit for the year	20,930		10,850	

# Extract of Y Ltd.'s Statement of Changes in Equity (Retained Earnings)

for the year ended 31st March, 20X3

	20X2- 20X3	Reference to W.N.	20X1- 20X2 Restated	Reference to W.N.
	₹		₹	
Retained earnings, as restated, at the beginning of the year - as previously stated, - effect of the correction of a prior period error	34,000 (4,550)	7	20,000	
- effect of a change in accounting policy	11,900	13	10,500	12
	41,350		30,500	
Profit for the year	20,930		10,850	

# age 128

#### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

Retained earnings at the			
end of the year	62,280	41,350	

#### Y Ltd.

## Extract of Notes to the Financial Statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3 Note X: Change in Accounting Estimates

Due to usage of improved lubricants the estimated useful life of the machine used for production was increased from four years to seven years. The effect of the change in the useful life of the machine is to reduce the depreciation allocation by ₹ 900 in 20X2-20X3 and 20X3-20X4. The after-tax effect is an increase in profit for the year of ₹ 630 for each of the two years.

Depreciation expense in 20X4-20X5 to 20X6-20X7 is increased by ₹ 600 because of revision in the useful life of machinery, as under the initial estimate, the asset would have been fully depreciated at the end of 20X3-20X4. The after-tax effect for these three years is a decrease in profit for the year by ₹ 420 per year.

#### **Note Y: Correction of Prior Period Error**

In 20X2-20X3 the entity identified that ₹ 6,500 products that had been sold in 20X1-20X2 were included erroneously in inventory at 31st March, 20X2. The financial statements of 20X1-20X2 have been restated to correct this error. The effect of the restatement is ₹ 6,500 increase in the cost of sales and ₹ 4,550 decrease in profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 after decreasing income tax expense by ₹ 1,950. This resulted in ₹ 4,550 (decrease) restatement of retained earnings at 31st March, 20X2.

#### Note Z: Change in Accounting Policy

In 20X2-20X3 the entity changed its accounting policy for the measurement of investments in associates from cost model to fair value model as per Ind AS 109. Management judged that this policy provides reliable and more relevant information because dividend income and changes in fair value are inextricably linked as integral components of the financial performance of an investment in an associate and measurement at fair value is necessary if that financial performance is to be reported in a more meaningful way. This change in accounting policy has been accounted for retrospectively. The comparative information has been restated. A new line item, 'Other income — change in the fair value of investment in associate', has been added in the Statement of Profit and Loss and Retained Earnings. The effect of the restatement has been to add income of ₹ 2,000 as a result of the increase in value of the associate during the year ended 31st March, 20X2 which resulted in ₹ 1,400 increase in profit for the year (after including a resulting increase in income tax expense of ₹ 600). This, together with ₹ 10,500 (increase) restatement of retained earnings at 31st March, 20X1, resulted in a ₹ 11,900 increase in retained earnings at 31st March, 20X2. Furthermore, profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X3 was ₹ 3,500 higher (after deducting ₹ 1,500 tax effect) as a result of recording a further ₹ 5,000 (W.N.2) increase in the fair value of the investment in an associate.

#### **Working Notes:**

- 1. ₹86,500 (given) minus ₹6,500 correction of error (now recognised as an expense in 20X1-20X2) minus ₹900 (W.N.9) effect of the change in accounting estimate.
- 2. ₹ 25,000 fair value (20X2-20X3) minus ₹ 20,000 fair value (20X1-20X2) = ₹ 5,000 (the effect of applying the new accounting policy (fair value model) in 20X2-20X3).
- 3. ₹ 5,250 + ₹ 1,950 (W.N.8) + 30% (₹ 900 (W.N.9) reduction in depreciation resulting from the change in accounting estimate) + 30% (₹ 5,000 increase in the fair value of investment property change in accounting policy) = ₹ 8,970.
- 4. ₹ 53,500 as previously stated + ₹ 6,500 (products sold and incorrectly included in

- closing inventory in 20X1-20X2) = ₹ 60,000 (that is, the prior period error is corrected retrospectively by restating the comparative amounts).
- 5. ₹ 20,000 fair value (20X1-20X2) minus ₹ 18,000 fair value (20X0-20X1) = ₹ 2,000 (the effect in 20X1-20X2 of the change in accounting policy for investments in associates from the cost model to the fair value model).
- 6. ₹ 6,000 as previously stated minus ₹ 1,950 (W.N.8) correction of prior period error + 30% (₹ 2,000 change in accounting policy) = ₹ 4,650.
- 7. ₹ 6,500 (products sold and incorrectly included in inventory in 20X1 -20X2) ₹ 1,950 (W.N.8) (tax overstated in 20X1-20X2) = ₹ 4,550.
- 8. ₹ 6,500 (products sold and incorrectly included in inventory in 20X1 -20X2) x 30% (income tax rate) = ₹1,950.
- 9. ₹ 1,500 depreciation (using old estimate, that is, ₹ 6,000 cost ÷ 4 years) minus ₹ 600 (W.N.10) (using new estimate of useful life) = ₹ 900.
- 10. ₹ 3,000 (W.N.11) carrying amount ÷ 5 years remaining useful life = ₹ 600 depreciation per year.
- 11. [₹ 6,000 cost minus (₹ 1,500 depreciation x 2 years)] = ₹ 3,000 carrying amount at 31st March, 20X2.
- 12. (₹ 18,000 fair value of investment in associates at 31 st March, 20X1 minus ₹ 3,000 carrying amount based on the cost model at the same date) x 0.7 (to reflect 30% income tax rate) = ₹ 10,500 (effect of a change in accounting policy (from cost model to fair value model)).
- 13. ₹ 10,500 (W.N.12) + [₹ 2,000 (W.N.5) x 0.7 (to reflect 30% income tax rate)] = ₹ 11,900.

#### Question 12

While preparing interim financial statements for the half-year ended 30th September, 20X1, an entity notes that there has been an under-accrual of certain expenses in the interim financial statements for the first quarter ended 30th June, 20X1. The amount of under accrual is assessed to be material in the context of interim financial statements. However, it is expected that the amount would be immaterial in the context of the annual financial statements. The management is of the view that there is no need to correct the error in the interim financial statements considering that the amount is expected to be immaterial from the point of view of the annual financial statements. Whether the management's view is acceptable? (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 12

Paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8, inter alia, states that financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows.

As regards the assessment of materiality of an item in preparing interim financial statements, paragraph 25 of Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Statements, states as follows: "While judgement is always required in assessing materiality, this Standard bases the recognition and disclosure decision on data for the interim period by itself for reasons of understandability of the interim figures. Thus, for example, unusual items, changes in accounting policies or estimates, and errors are recognised and disclosed on the basis of materiality in relation to interim period data to avoid misleading inferences that might result from non-disclosure. The overriding goal is to ensure that an interim financial report includes all information that is relevant to understanding an entity's financial position and performance during the interim period."

As per the above, while materiality judgements always involve a degree of subjectivity, the overriding goal is to ensure that an interim financial report includes all the information that is relevant to an understanding of the financial position and performance of the entity during the interim period. It is therefore not appropriate to base quantitative assessments of materiality on projected annual figures when evaluating errors in interim financial statements.

Accordingly, the management is required to correct the error in the interim financial statements since it is assessed to be material in relation to interim period data.

#### Section - B

#### Question 1

A carpet retail outlet sells and fits carpets to the general public. It recognizes revenue when the carpet is fitted, which on an average is six weeks after the purchase of the carpet.

It then decides to sub-contract the fitting of carpets to self-employed fitters. It now recognizes revenue at the point-of-sale of the carpet.

Whether this change in recognising the revenue is a change in accounting policy as per the provision of Ind AS 8?

#### Answer 1

Therefore, there would not be any need to retrospectively change the prior period figures for revenue already recognized.

#### **Question 2**

Under what circumstances an entity is required to present a third balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period?

#### Answer 2

As per paragraph 40A of Ind AS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements, an entity shall present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements required by paragraph 38A of the standard if:

- it applies an accounting policy retrospectively, makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements or reclassifies items in its financial statements; and
- the retrospective application, retrospective restatement or the reclassification has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period.

#### Question 3

During 20X2, Delta Ltd., changed its accounting policy for depreciating property, plant and equipment, so as to apply a component approach completely, whilst at the same time adopting the revaluation model.

In years before 20X2, Delta Ltd.'s asset records were not sufficiently detailed to apply a component approach fully. At the end of 20X1, management commissioned an engineering survey, which provided information on the components held and their fair values, useful lives, estimated residual values and depreciable amounts at the beginning of 20X2. However, the survey did not provide a sufficient basis for reliably estimating the cost of those components that had not previously been accounted for separately, and the existing records before the survey did not permit this information to be reconstructed.

Delta Ltd.'s management considered how to account for each of the two aspects of the accounting change. They determined that it was not practicable to account for the change to a fuller component approach retrospectively, or to account for

 $_{
m age}130$ 

that change prospectively from any earlier date than the start of 20X2. Also, the change from a cost model to a revaluation model is required to be accounted for prospectively. Therefore, management concluded that it should apply Delta Ltd.'s new policy prospectively from the start of 20X2.

#### Additional information

#### You are required to prepare the relevant note for disclosure in accordance with

(i)	Delta Ltd.'s tax rate is 30%	1
(i) (ii)	Property, plant and equipment at the end of 20X1:	
(")	Troperty, plant and equipment at the end of 20X1.	
	Cost	₹25,000
	Depreciation	₹14,000
	Net book value	₹11,000
(iii)	Prospective depreciation expense for 20X2 (old basis)	₹1,500
(iv)	Some results of the engineering survey:	
	Valuation	₹17,000
	Estimated residual value	₹3,000
	Average remaining asset life	₹7 years
	Depreciation expense on existing property, plant and equipment	
	for 20X2 (new basis)	₹2,000

#### Ind AS 8.

#### Answer 3

#### Extract from the notes

From the start of 20X2, Delta Ltd., changed its accounting policy for depreciating property, plant and equipment, so as to apply much more fully a components approach, whilst at the same time adopting the revaluation model. Management takes the view that this policy provides reliable and more relevant information because it deals more accurately with the components of property, plant and equipment and is based on upto-date values. The policy has been applied prospectively from the start of 20X2 because it was not practicable to estimate the effects of applying the policy either retrospectively, or prospectively from any earlier date. Accordingly, the adoption of the new policy has no effect on prior years. The effect on the current year is to increase the carrying amount of property, plant and equipment at the start of the year by ₹6,000; increase the opening deferred tax provision by ₹1,800; create a revaluation surplus at the start of the year of ₹4,200; increase depreciation expense by ₹500; and reduce tax expense by ₹150.

#### Question 4

#### Is change in the depreciation method for an item of property, plant and equipment a change in accounting policy or a change in accounting estimate?

#### Answer 4

As per paragraphs 60 and 61 of Ind AS 16, Property, Plant and Equipment, the M depreciation method used shall reflect the pattern in which the asset's future economic benefits are expected to be consumed by the entity. The depreciation method applied to

an asset shall be reviewed at least at each financial year-end and, if there has been a significant change in the expected pattern of consumption of the future economic benefits embodied in the asset, the method shall be changed to reflect the changed pattern. Such a change is accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate in accordance with Ind AS 8.

As per the above, depreciation method for a depreciable asset has to reflect the expected pattern of consumption of future economic benefits embodied in the asset. Determination of depreciation method involves an accounting estimate and thus depreciation method is not a matter of an accounting policy.

Accordingly, Ind AS 16 requires a change in depreciation method to be accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate, i.e., prospectively.

#### Question 5

An entity charged off certain expenses as finance costs in its financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X1. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2, management discovered that these expenses should have been classified as other expenses instead of finance costs. The error occurred because the management inadvertently misinterpreted certain facts. The entity intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented in which the error occurred (i.e., year ended 31st March, 20X1). Would this reclassification of expenses from finance costs to other expenses in the comparative amounts will be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8? Would the entity need to present a third balance sheet?

#### Answer 5

As per paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8, errors can arise in respect of the recognition, measurement, presentation or disclosure of elements of financial statements. Financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows. Potential current period errors discovered in that period are corrected before the financial statements are approved for issue. However, material errors are sometimes not discovered until a subsequent period, and these prior period errors are corrected in the comparative information presented in the financial statements for that subsequent period.

In accordance with the above, the reclassification of expenses from finance costs to other expenses would be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8. Accordingly, in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2, the comparative amounts for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 would be restated to reflect the correct classification.

Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period.

In the given case, the retrospective restatement of relevant items in statement of profit and loss has no effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period (1st April, 20X0). Therefore, the entity is not required to present a third balance sheet.

#### Question 6

While preparing the annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March,

 $^{\rm age}132$ 

20X3, an entity discovers that a provision for constructive obligation for payment of bonus to selected employees in corporate office (material in amount) which was required to be recognised in the annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 was not recognised due to oversight of facts. The bonus was paid during the financial year ended 31st March, 20X2 and was recognised as an expense in the annual financial statements for the said year. Would this situation require retrospective restatement of comparatives considering that the amount was material?

#### Answer 6

As per paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8, errors can arise in respect of the recognition, measurement, presentation or disclosure of elements of financial statements. Financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows. Potential current period errors discovered in that period are corrected before the financial statements are approved for issue. However, material errors are sometimes not discovered until a subsequent period, and these prior period errors are corrected in the comparative information presented in the financial statements for that subsequent period.

As per paragraph 40A of Ind AS 1, an entity shall present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the retrospective restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period.

In the given case, expenses for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 and liabilities as at 31st March, 20X1 were understated because of non-recognition of bonus expense and related provision. Expenses for the year ended 31st March, 20X2, on the other hand, were overstated to the same extent because of recognition of the aforesaid bonus as expense for the year. To correct the above errors in the annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, the entity should:

- (a) restate the comparative amounts (i.e., those for the year ended 31st March, 20X2) in the statement of profit and loss; and
- present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period (i.e., as at 1st April, 20X1) wherein it should recognise the provision for bonus and restate the retained earnings.

#### Question 7

While preparing interim financial statements for the half-year ended 30th September, 20X1, an entity notes that there has been an under-accrual of certain expenses in the interim financial statements for the first quarter ended 30th June, 20X1. The amount of under accrual is assessed to be material in the context of interim financial statements. However, it is expected that the amount would be immaterial in the context of the annual financial statements. The management is of the view that there is no need to correct the error in the interim financial statements considering that the amount is expected to be immaterial from the point of view of the annual financial statements. Whether the management's view is acceptable?

#### Answer 7

Paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8, inter alia, states that financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows.

As regards the assessment of materiality of an item in preparing interim financial statements, paragraph 25 of Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Statements, states as follows: "While judgement is always required in assessing materiality, this Standard bases the recognition and disclosure decision on data for the interim period by itself for reasons of understandability of the interim figures. Thus, for example, unusual items, changes in accounting policies or estimates, and errors are recognised and disclosed on the basis of materiality in relation to interim period data to avoid misleading inferences that might result from non-disclosure. The overriding goal is to ensure that an interim financial report includes all information that is relevant to understanding of an entity's financial position and performance during the interim period."

As per the above, while materiality judgements always involve a degree of subjectivity, the overriding goal is to ensure that an interim financial report includes all the information that is relevant to an understanding of the financial position and performance of the entity during the interim period. It is therefore not appropriate to base quantitative assessments of materiality on projected annual figures when evaluating errors in interim financial statements.

Accordingly, the management is required to correct the error in the interim financial statements since it is assessed to be material in relation to interim period data.

#### Question 8

ABC Ltd has an investment property with an original cost of 1,00,000 which it inadvertently omitted to depreciate in previous financial statements. The property was acquired on 1st April, 20X1. The property has a useful life of 10 years and is depreciated using straight line method. Estimated residual value at the end of 10 years is Nil.

How should the error be corrected in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X4, assuming the impact of the same is considered material? For simplicity, ignore tax effects.

#### Answer 8

The error shall be corrected by retrospectively restating the figures for financial year 20X2-20X3 and also by presenting a third balance sheet as at 1st April, 20X2 which is the beginning of the earliest period presented in the financial statements.

#### Question 9

ABC Ltd. changed its method adopted for inventory valuation in the year 20X2-20X3. Prior to the change, inventory was valued using the first in first out method (FIFO). However, it was felt that in order to match current practice and to make the financial statements more relevant and reliable, a weighted average valuation model would be more appropriate.

The effect of the change in the method of valuation of inventory was as follows:

- 31st March, 20X1 Increase of ₹10 million
- 31st March, 20X2 Increase of ₹15 million
- 31st March, 20X3 Increase of ₹20 million

Profit or loss under the FIFO valuation model are as follows:

	20X2-20X3	20X1-20X2
Revenue	324	296
Cost of goods sold	(173)	(164)
Gross profit	151	132
Expenses	(83)	(74)
Profit	68	58

Retained earnings at 31st

134

March, 20X1 were ₹ 423 million

You are required to present the impact of change in accounting policy in the profit or loss and produce an extract of the statement of changes in equity in accordance with Ind AS 8.

#### Answer 9

Profit or loss under weighted average valuation method is as follows:

	20X2-20X3	20X1-20X2 (Restated)
Revenue	324	296
Cost of goods sold	(168)	(159)
Gross profit	156	137
Expenses	(83)	(74)
Profit	73	63

Statement of changes in Equity (extract)

110110	10	00
	Retained earnings	
At 1st April, 20X1	423	423
Change in inventory valuation policy	10	ı
At 1st April, 20X1 (Restated)	433	-
Profit for the year 20X1-20X2	63	58
At 31st March, 20X2	496	481
Profit for the 20X2-20X3	73	68
At 31st March, 20X3	569	549

#### Question 10

During 20X4-20X5, Cheery Limited discovered that some products that had been sold during 20X3-20X4 were incorrectly included in inventory at 31st March, 20X4 at ₹6,500.

Cheery Limited's accounting records for 20X4-20X5 show sales of ₹104,000, cost of goods sold of ₹86,500 (including ₹6,500 for the error in opening inventory), and income taxes of ₹5,250.

In 20X3-20X4, Cheery Limited reported:

	₹
Sales	73,500
Cost of goods sold	(53,500)
Profit before income taxes	20,000
Income taxes	(6,000)
Profit	14,000
Basic and diluted EPS	2.8

The 20X3-20X4 opening retained earnings was ₹20,000 and closing retained earnings was ₹34,000. Cheery Limited's income tax rate was 30% for 20X4-20X5 in and 20X3-20X4. It had no other income or expenses.

Cheery Limited had ₹50,000 (5,000 shares of 10 each) of share capital throughout, and no other components of equity except for retained earnings.

 $^{\rm age}135$ 

State how the above will be treated /accounted in Cheery Limited's Statement of profit and loss, statement of changes in equity and in notes wherever required for current period and earlier period(s) as per relevant Ind AS.

#### Answer 10

Cheery Limited
Extract from the Statement of profit and loss

	20X4-20X5	(Restated) 20X3- 20X4
Sales	1,04,000	73,500
Cost of goods sold	(80,000)	(60,000)
Profit before income taxes	24,000	13,500
Income taxes	(7,200)	(4,050)
Profit	16,800	9,450
Basic and diluted EPS	3.36	1.89

## Cheery Limited Statement of Changes in Equity

Statement of Changes in Equity								
		letained earnings	Total					
Balance at 31st March, 20X3	50,000	20,000	70,000					
Profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X4								
as restated		9,450	9,450					
Balance at 31st March, 20X4	50,000	29,450	79,450					
Profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X5		16,800	16,800					
Balance at 31st March, 20X5	50,000	46,250	96,250					

#### **Extract from the Notes**

Some products that had been sold in 20X3-20X4 were incorrectly included in inventory at 31st March, 20X4 at 6,500. The financial statements of 20X3-20X4 have been restated to correct this error. The effect of the restatement on those financial statements is summarized below:

	Effect on 20X3- 20X4
(Increase) in cost of goods sold	(6,500)
Decrease in income tax expenses	1,950
(Decrease) in profit	(4,550)
(Decrease) in basic and diluted EPS	(0.91)
(Decrease) in inventory	(6,500)
Decrease in income tax payable	1,950
(Decrease) in equity	(4,550)

There is no effect on the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period i.e. 1st April, 20X3.

136

#### Question 11

In 20X3-20X4, after the entity's 31st March 20X3 annual financial statements were approved for issue, a latent defect in the composition of a new product manufactured by the entity was discovered (that is, a defect that could not be discovered by reasonable or customary inspection). As a result of the latent defect the entity incurred 1,00,000 of unanticipated costs for fulfilling its warranty obligation in respect of sales made before 31st March 20X3. An additional 20,000 was incurred to rectify the latent defect in products sold during 20X3-20X4 before the defect was detected and the production process rectified, 5,000 of which relates to items of inventory at 31st March 20X3. The defective inventory was reported at cost 15,000 in the 20X2-20X3 financial statements when its selling price less costs to complete and sell was estimated at 18,000. The accounting estimates made in preparing the 31st March 20X3 financial statements were appropriately made using all reliable information that the entity could reasonably be expected to have been obtained and taken into account in the preparation and presentation of those financial statements.

Analyse the above situation in accordance with relevant Ind AS.

#### Answer 11

Ind AS 8 is applied in selecting and applying accounting policies, and accounting for changes in accounting policies, changes in accounting estimates and corrections of prior period errors.

A change in accounting estimate is an adjustment of the carrying amount of an asset or a liability, or the amount of the periodic consumption of an asset. This change in accounting estimate is an outcome of the assessment of the present status of, and expected future benefits and obligations associated with, assets and liabilities. Changes in accounting estimates result from new information or new developments and, accordingly, are not corrections of errors.

Further, the effect of change in an accounting estimate, shall be recognised prospectively by including it in profit or loss in: (a) the period of the change, if the change affects that period only; or (b) the period of the change and future periods, if the change affects both.

Prior period errors are omissions from, and misstatements in, the entity's financial statements for one or more prior periods arising from a failure to use, or misuse of, reliable information that:

- (a) was available when financial statements for those periods were approved for issue; and
- (b) could reasonably be expected to have been obtained and taken into account in the preparation and presentation of those financial statements.

Such errors include the effects of mathematical mistakes, mistakes in applying accounting policies, oversights or misinterpretations of facts, and fraud.

#### On the basis of above provisions, the given situation would be dealt as follows:

The defect was neither known nor reasonably possible to detect at 31st March 20X3 or before the financial statements were approved for issue, so understatement of the warranty provision ₹1,00,000 and overstatement of inventory ₹2,000 (Note 1) in the 31st March 20X3 financial statements are not prior period errors.

The effects of the latent defect that relate to the entity's financial position at 31st March 20X3 are changes in accounting estimates.

In preparing its financial statements for 31st March 20X3, the entity made the warranty provision and inventory valuation appropriately using all reliable information that the entity could reasonably be expected to have obtained and had taken into account the

same in the preparation and presentation of those financial statements.

Consequently, the additional costs are expensed in calculating profit or loss for 20X3-20X4.

#### **Working Note:**

Inventory is measured at the lower of cost (i.e. ₹15,000) and fair value less costs to complete and sell (i.e. ₹18,000 originally estimated minus ₹5,000 costs to rectify latent defect) = ₹13,000.

#### Question 12 (Illustration)

## Can an entity voluntarily change one or more of its accounting policies? Answer 12

A change in an accounting policy can be made only if the change is required or permitted by Ind AS 8.

As per para 14 of Ind AS 8, an entity shall change an accounting policy only if the change:

- (a) is required by an Ind AS; or
- (b) results in the financial statements providing reliable and more relevant information about the effects of transactions, other events or conditions on the entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows.

Para 15 of the standard states that the users of financial statements need to be able to compare the financial statements of an entity over time to identify trends in its financial position, financial performance and cash flows. Therefore, the same accounting policies are applied within each period and from one period to the next unless a change in accounting policy meets one of the above criteria.

Paragraph 14(b) lays down two requirements that must be complied with in order to make a voluntary change in an accounting policy. First, the information resulting from application of the changed (i.e., the new) accounting policy must be reliable. Second, the changed accounting policy must result in "more relevant" information being presented in the financial statements.

Whether a changed accounting policy results in reliable and more relevant financial information is a matter of assessment in the particular facts and circumstances of each case. In order to ensure that such an assessment is made judiciously (such that a voluntary change in an accounting policy does not effectively become a matter of free choice), paragraph 29 of Ind AS 8 requires an entity making a voluntary change in an accounting policy to disclose, inter alia, "the reasons why applying the new accounting policy provides reliable and more relevant information."

#### Question 13 (Illustration)

Entity ABC acquired a building for its administrative purposes and presented the same as property, plant and equipment (PPE) in the financial year 20X1-20X2. During the financial year 20X2-20X3, it relocated the office to a new building and leased the said building to a third party. Following the change in the usage of the building, Entity ABC reclassified it from PPE to investment property in the financial year 20X2-20X3. Should Entity ABC account for the changes a change in accounting policy?

#### Answer 13

Paragraph 16(a) of Ind AS 8 provides that the application of an accounting policy for transactions, other events or conditions that differ in substance from those previously occurring are not changes in accounting policies.

As per Ind AS 16, 'property, plant and equipment' are tangible items that:

(c) are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes; and

28 Lage

- (d) are expected to be used during more than one period."
- As per Ind AS 40, 'investment property' is property (land or a building-or part of a building—or both) held (by the owner or by the lessee as a right-of-use asset) to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:
  - (c) use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes; or
  - (d) sale in the ordinary course of business."

As per the above definitions, whether a building is an item of property, plant and equipment (PPE) or an investment property for an entity depends on the purpose for which it is held by the entity. It is thus possible that due to a change in the purpose for which it is held, a building that was previously classified as an item of property, plant and equipment may warrant reclassification as an investment property, or vice versa. Whether a building is in the nature of PPE or investment property is determined by applying the definitions of these terms from the perspective of that entity. Thus, the classification of a building as an item of property, plant and equipment or as an investment property is not a matter of an accounting policy choice.

Accordingly, a change in classification of a building from property, plant and equipment to investment property due to change in the purpose for which it is held by the entity is not a change in an accounting policy.

#### Question 14 (Illustration)

### Whether change in functional currency of an entity represents a change in accounting policy?

#### Answer 14

Paragraph 16(a) of Ind AS 8 provides that the application of an accounting policy for transactions, other events or conditions that differ in substance from those previously occurring are not changes in accounting policies.

As per Ind AS 21, 'functional currency' is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates.

Paragraphs 9-12 of Ind AS 21 list factors to be considered by an entity in determining its functional currency. It is recognized that there may be cases where the functional currency is not obvious. In such cases, Ind AS 21 requires the management to use its judgement to determine the functional currency that most faithfully represents the economic effects of the underlying transactions, events and conditions.

Paragraph 13 of Ind AS 21 specifically notes that an entity's functional currency reflects the underlying transactions, events and conditions that are relevant to it. Accordingly, once determined, the functional currency is not changed unless there is a change in those underlying transactions, events and conditions. Thus, functional currency of an entity is not a matter of an accounting policy choice.

In view of the above, a change in functional currency of an entity does not represent a change in accounting policy and Ind AS 8, therefore, does not apply to such a change. Ind AS 21 requires that when there is a change in an entity's functional currency, the entity shall apply the translation procedures applicable to the new functional currency prospectively from the date of the change.

#### Question 15 (Illustration)

An entity developed one of its accounting policies by considering a pronouncement of an overseas national standard-setting body in accordance with Ind AS 8. Would it be permissible for the entity to change the said policy to reflect a subsequent amendment in that pronouncement?

Answer 15

# $_{ m age}140$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

In the absence of an Ind AS that specifically applies to a transaction, other event or condition, management may apply an accounting policy from the most recent pronouncements of International Accounting Standards Board and in absence thereof those of the other standard- setting bodies that use a similar conceptual framework to develop accounting standards. If, following an amendment of such a pronouncement, the entity chooses to change an accounting policy, that change is accounted for and disclosed as a voluntary change in accounting policy. As such a change is a voluntary change in accounting policy, it can be made only if it results in information that is reliable and more relevant (and does not conflict with the sources in Ind AS 8).

#### Question 16 (Illustration)

Whether an entity can change its accounting policy of subsequent measurement of property, plant and equipment (PPE) from revaluation model to cost model?

Paragraph 29 of Ind AS 16 provides that an entity shall choose either the cost model or the revaluation model as its accounting policy for subsequent measurement of an entire class of PPE.

A change from revaluation model to cost model for a class of PPE can be made only if it meets the condition specified in Ind AS 8 paragraph 14(b) i.e. the change results in the financial statements providing reliable and more relevant information to the users of financial statements. For example, an unlisted entity planning IPO may change its accounting policy from revaluation model to cost model for some or all classes of PPE to align the entity's accounting policy with that of listed markets participants within that industry so as to enhance the comparability of its financial statements with those of other listed market participants within the industry. Such a change – from revaluation model to cost model is not expected to be frequent.

Where the change in accounting policy from revaluation model to cost model is considered permissible in accordance with Ind AS 8 paragraph 14(b), it shall be accounted for retrospectively, in accordance with Ind AS 8.

#### Question 17 (Illustration)

Whether an entity is required to disclose the impact of any new Ind AS which is issued but not yet effective in its financial statements as per Ind AS 8?

#### Answer 17

Paragraph 30 of Ind AS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors, states as follows:

"When an entity has not applied a new Ind AS that has been issued but is not yet effective, the entity shall disclose:

- (a) this fact; and
- (b) known or reasonably estimable information relevant to assessing the possible impact that application of the new Ind AS will have on the entity's financial statements in the period of initial application."

Accordingly, it may be noted that an entity is required to disclose the impact of Ind AS which has been issued but is not yet effective.

#### Question 18 (Illustration)

Whether a change in inventory cost formula is a change in accounting policy or a change in accounting estimate?

#### Answer 18

As per Ind AS 8, accounting policies are the specific principles, bases, conventions, rules and practices applied by an entity in preparing and presenting financial

statements. Further, paragraph 36(a) of Ind AS 2, 'Inventories', specifically requires disclosure of 'cost formula used' as a part of disclosure of accounting policies adopted in measurement of inventories.

Accordingly, a change in cost formula is a change in accounting policy.

#### Question 19 (Illustration)

An entity has presented certain material liabilities as non-current in its financial statements for periods up to 31st March, 20X1. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2, management discovers that these liabilities should have been classified as current. The management intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented (i.e., as at 31st March, 20X1). Would this reclassification of liabilities from non-current to current in the comparative amounts be considered to be correction of an error under Ind AS 8? Would the entity need to present a third balance sheet?


#### Answer 19

As per paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8, errors can arise in respect of the recognition, measurement, presentation, or disclosure of elements of financial statements. Financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows. Potential current period errors discovered in that period are corrected before the financial statements are approved for issue. However, material errors are sometimes not discovered until a subsequent period, and these prior period errors are corrected in the comparative information presented in the financial statements for that subsequent period.

In accordance with the above, the reclassification of liabilities from non-current to current would be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8. Accordingly, in the financial statements for the year ended 31<sup>set</sup> March, 20X2, the comparative amounts as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1 would be restated to reflect the correct classification. Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements, if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period.

Accordingly, the entity should present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period, i.e., as at 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X0 in addition to the comparatives for the financial year 20X0-20X1.















Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or (S) +917890770777

<sup>2</sup>age 142

### -----B

# Chapter 4 Unit 2 Ind AS 10 "Events after the Reporting Period"

#### Attempts wise Distribution

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP			Q5				Q4					
PYP		Q7						Q2				
RTP			Q1	Q6		Q8			Q3			

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

A manufacturer gives warranties to the purchasers of its goods. Under the terms of the warranty, the manufacturer undertakes to make good, by repair or replacement, manufacturing defects that become apparent within three years from the date of sale to the purchasers.

On 30 April 20X1, a manufacturing defect was detected in the goods manufactured by the entity between 1 March 20X1 and 30 April 20X1. At 31 March 20X1 (the entity's reporting date), the entity held approximately one week's sales in inventories.

The entity's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X1 have not yet been finalised.

Three separate categories of goods require separate consideration: Category 1—defective goods sold on or before 31 March 20X1 Category 2—defective goods held on 31 March 20X1 Category 3—defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2 State the accounting treatment of the above categories in accordance with relevant Ind AS. (RTP May '21)

#### Answer 1

#### Category 1—defective goods sold on or before 31 March 20X1

If customer has the option to purchase warranty separately, the warranty is a distinct service because the entity promises to provide the service to the customer in addition to the product that has the functionality described in the contract. In that case, entity shall account for the promised warranty as a performance obligation and allocate a portion of the transaction price to that performance obligation.

If a customer does not have the option to purchase a warranty separately, an entity shall account for the warranty in accordance with Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets, unless it provides the customer with a service in addition to the assurance that the product complies with agreed-upon specifications. If that is the case, then, the promised service is a performance obligation. Entity shall allocate the transaction price to the product and the service.

If an entity promises both an assurance-type warranty and a service-type warranty but cannot reasonably account for them separately, the entity shall account for both of the warranties together as a single performance obligation.

A law that requires an entity to pay compensation if its products cause harm or damage does not give rise to a performance obligation. The entity shall account for such obligations in accordance with Ind AS 37.

Category 2—defective goods held on 31 March 20X1

At 31 March 20X1 the entity did not have a present obligation to make good the unsold defective goods that it held in inventories. Accordingly, at 31 March 20X1 the entity should not recognize a provision in respect of the defective inventories. However, the entity should test the inventories for impairment in accordance with Ind AS 36, Impairment of Assets.

For this category, the detection of the manufacturing defect in April 20X1 is an adjusting event after the end of the reporting period as per Ind AS 10, Events after the End of the Reporting Period. It provides evidence of a manufacturing defect in inventories held at 31 March 20X1.

Category 3—defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2

At 31 March 20X1 the entity did not have a present obligation to make good any defective goods that it might manufacture in the future. Accordingly, at 31 March 20X1 the entity should not recognise a provision in respect of the defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2.

For this category, the detection of the manufacturing defect in April 20X1 is a non-adjusting event after the end of the reporting period as per Ind AS 10, Events After the End of the Reporting Period.

#### Question 2

Discuss the following situations as per Ind AS 10:

- i) The financial statements of a Company for the year 2021-2022 are approved by the management and were sent on 5th June, 2022 for review and approval to its supervisory board i.e., Board of Directors. The supervisory board approves the financial statements on 26th June, 2022. The financial statements are then made available to shareholders on 4th July, 2022. The financial statements are approved by shareholders in their annual general meeting on 18th August, 2022 and then filed with Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) on 19th August, 2022. Determine & discuss the date on which financial statements were approved.
  - A Company is in litigation with Income Tax Department with respect to allowability of certain exemptions for financial year 2018-2019. No provision for tax has been made for disallowances of exemptions as the
- ii) Company was under bonafide belief based on a legal opinion that it will succeed in litigation. On 21st April, 2023, the Hon'ble Supreme Court rejected the Company's claim. The Order is received on 30th April, 2023. The financial statements for the financial year 2022-2023 of the Company are yet to be approved. The earlier year's financial statements stands approved. Advise in financial statements of which financial year the impact of the Order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court should be recognized.
- iii) Z Limited while preparing its financial statements on 31st March, 2023 made a provision for doubtful debts @ 6% on accounts receivables. In the last week of January, 2023, a debtor for ₹ 3 lakhs had suffered heavy loss due to fire; the loss was not covered by any insurance policy. Z Limited, considering the event of fire made a provision @ 60% of the amount receivables from that debtor apart from the general provision @ 6% on remaining debtors. The same debtor was declared insolvent on 10th April, 2023. The financial statements have not yet been approved. You are required to suggest whether the company should provide for the full loss arising out of insolvency of the debtor in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 2023.
- iv) D Limited acquired equity shares of another company on 1st March, 2023 at a cost of ₹ 28 lakhs. The fair market value of these shares on 31st March,

# $_{\rm age}145$

### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

2023 was ₹ 35 lakhs and the company measured it at ₹ 35 lakhs (assume that it is classified as FVTOCI as per Ind AS 109 and change in fair value is transferred to 'fair value fluctuation reserve'). Due to market conditions subsequent to the reporting date, the value of investments drastically came down to ₹ 20 lakhs. The financial statements have not yet been approved. You are required to suggest whether D Limited should value the investments at ₹ 35 lakhs or ₹ 20 lakhs as on 31st March, 2023.

v) Tanmay Limited was in negotiation with Varun Limited from 1st December, 2022 to acquire land for ₹ 5.00 crores. The negotiations were concluded in the first week of April 2023. The transaction was completed by last week of April, 2023. In which financial year, the purchase of land should be recognized? (PYP 5 Marks May '23)

### **Answer 2**

- i) As per Ind AS 10, in the case of a company, the financial statements will be treated as approved when board of directors approves the same. Hence in the given case, the financial statements are approved for issue on 26th June, 2022 (date of approval by the Board of Directors for issue of financial statements to the shareholders).
- ii) In the instant case, the fire took place in January, 2023 (i.e. before the end of the reporting period). Therefore, the condition existed at the end of the reporting date though the debtor is declared insolvent after the reporting period. Accordingly, full provision for bad debt amounting to ₹ 3 lakhs should be made to cover the loss arising due to the bankruptcy of the debtor in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 2023.
- iii) A decline in fair value of investments between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved for issue is a non-adjusting event. The decline in fair value does not normally relate to the condition of the investments at the end of the reporting period but reflects circumstances that have arisen subsequently. Therefore, D Limited should value the investments at ₹ 35 lakhs as on 31st March, 2023.
- iv) As per Ind AS 10, an entity should adjust the financial statements for the events that occurred after the reporting period, but before the financial statements are approved for issue, if those events provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period.

  In this case, negotiations continued with Varun Limited to acquire land from 1st

December, 2022 till first week of April, 2023. Since on the reporting date, the condition was only on proposal state and transaction was completed on 1 st week of April 2023, the event will be considered as a non-adjusting event as per Ind AS 10. Purchase of land should be recognized in the financial year 2023-2024.

However, the same may be disclosed in the Notes to Accounts for due information to the users of the financial statements.

### Question 3

ABC Ltd. received a demand notice on 15th June, 2017 for an additional amount of Rs. 28,00,000 from the Excise Department on account of higher excise duty levied by the Excise Department compared to the rate at which the company was creating provision and depositing the same. The financial statements for the year 2016 -17 are approved on 10th August, 2017. In July, 2017, the company has appealed against the demand of Rs. 28,00,000 and the company has expected that the demand would be settled at Rs. 15,00,000

only. Show how the above event will have a bearing on the financial statements for the year 2016-17. Whether these events are adjusting or non-adjusting events and explain the treatment accordingly. (RTP Nov'19)

### Answer 3

### Ind AS 10 defines 'Events after the Reporting Period' as follows:

Events after the reporting period are those events, favorable and unfavorable, that occur between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue. Two types of events can be identified:

- (a) those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period (adjusting events after the reporting period); and
- (b) those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting period (nonadjusting events after the reporting period)

In the instant case, the demand notice has been received on 15th June, 2017, which is between the end of the reporting period and the date of approval of financial statements. Therefore, it is an event after the reporting period. This demand for additional amount has been raised because of higher rate of excise duty levied by the Excise Department in respect of goods already manufactured during reporting period. Accordingly, condition exists on 31st March, 2017, as the goods have been manufactured during the reporting period on which additional excise duty has been levied and this event has been confirmed by the receipt of demand notice. Therefore, it is an adjusting event.

In accordance with the principles of Ind AS 37, the company should make a provision in the financial statements for the year 2016-17, at best estimate of the expenditure to be incurred, i.e., Rs. 15,00,000.

### Question 4

In the plant of PQR Ltd., there was a fire on 10th May, 20X1 in which the entire plant was damaged and the loss of ₹ 40,00,000 is estimated. The claim with the insurance company has been filed and a recovery of ₹ 27,00,000 is expected. The financial statements for the year ending 31st March, 20X1 were approved by the Board of Directors on 12th June, 20X1. Show how should it be disclosed? (MTP 4 Marks March '22) (RTP Nov'20)

Events after the reporting period are those events, favorable and unfavorable, that occur between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue.

### Two types of events can be identified:

- (a) those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period (adjusting events after the reporting period); and
- (b) those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting period (non adjusting events after the reporting period).

In the instant case, since fire took place after the end of the reporting period, it is a non -adjusting event. However, in accordance with paragraph 21 of Ind AS 10, disclosures regarding material non-adjusting event should be made in the financial statements, i.e., the nature of the event and the expected financial effect of the same. With regard to going concern basis followed for preparation of financial statements, the company needs to determine whether it is appropriate to prepare the financial statements on going concern basis, if there is only one plant which has been damaged

# <sup>2</sup> age 147

### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

due to fire. If the effect of deterioration in operating results and financial position is so pervasive that management determines after the reporting period either that it intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or that it has no realistic alternative but to do so, preparation of financial statements for the financial year 20X0-20X1 on going concern assumption may not be appropriate. In that case, the financial statements may have to be prepared on a basis other than going concern.

However, if the going concern assumption is considered to be appropriate even after the fire, no adjustment is required in the financial statements for the year ending 31 st March, 20X1.

### Question 5

Discuss with reasons whether these events are in nature of adjusting or non-adjusting and the treatment needed in light of accounting standard Ind AS 10.

- (i) Moon Ltd. won an arbitration award on 25<sup>th</sup> April, 20X1 for Rs. 1 crore. From the arbitration proceeding, it was evident that the Company is most likely to win the arbitration award. The directors approved the financial statements for the year ending 31.03.20X1 on 1<sup>st</sup> May, 20X1. The management did not consider the effect of the above transaction in Financial Year 20X0-20X1, as it was favourable to the Company and the award came after the end of the financial year.
- (ii) Zoom Ltd. has a trading business of Mobile telephones. The Company has purchased 1000 mobiles phones at Rs. 5,000 each on 15th March, 20X1. The manufacturers of phone had announced the release of the new version on 1st March, 20X1 but had not announced the price. Zoom Ltd. has valued inventory at cost of Rs. 5,000 each at the year ending 31st March, 20X1.

Due to arrival of new advance version of Mobile Phone on 8th April, 20X1, the selling prices of the mobile stocks remaining with Company was dropped at Rs. 4,000 each.

The financial statements of the company valued mobile phones @ Rs. 5,000 each and not at the value @ Rs. 4,000 less expenses on sales, as the price reduction in selling price was effected after 31.03.20X1.

- (iii) There as an old due from a debtor amounting to Rs. 15 lakh against whom insolvency proceedings was instituted prior to the financial year ending 31st March, 20X1. The debtor was declared insolvent on 15th April, 20X1.
- (iv) Assume that subsequent to the year end and before the financial statements are approved, Company's management announces that it will restructure the operation of the company. Management plans to make significant redundancies and to close a few divisions of company's business; however, there is no formal plan yet. Should management recognise a provision in the books, if the company decides subsequent to end of the accounting year to restructure its operations? (MTP 8 Marks March '21 & Nov '21 & PYP Nov '19)

### Answer 5

As per Ind AS 10, the treatment of stated issues would be as under:

(i) Adjusting event:

It is an adjusting event as it is the settlement after the reporting period of a court case that confirms that the entity had a present obligation at the end of the reporting period. Even though winning of award is favorable to the company, it should be accounted in its books as receivable since it is an adjusting event.

### (ii) Adjusting event:

The sale of inventories after the reporting period may give evidence about their net realizable value at the end of the reporting period, hence it is an adjusting event as per Ind AS 10. Zoom Limited should value its inventory at Rs. 40,00,000. Hence, appropriate provision must be made for Rs. 15 lakh.

### (iii) Adjusting event:

As per Ind AS 10, the receipt of information after the reporting period indicating that an asset was impaired at the end of the reporting period, or that the amount of a previously recognized impairment loss for that asset needs to be adjusted.

The bankruptcy of a customer that occurs after the reporting period usually confirms that the customer was credit-impaired at the end of the reporting period.

### (iv) Non - adjusting event:

Announcing or commencing the implementation of a major restructuring after reporting period is a non-adjusting event as per Ind AS 10. Though this is a nonadjusting event occurred after the reporting period, yet it would result in disclosure of the event in the financial statements, if restructuring is material. This would not require provision since as per Ind AS 37, decision to restructure was not taken before or on the reporting date. Hence, it does not give rise to a constructive obligation at the end of the reporting period to create a provision.

### Question 6

Mac Ltd. purchased goods on credit from Toy Ltd. for Rs. 580 lakhs for export. The export order was cancelled. Mac Ltd. decided to sell the same goods in the local market with a price discount. Toy Ltd. was requested to offer a price discount of Rs. 10%. Toy Ltd. Wants to adjust the sales figure to the extent of the discount requested by Mac Ltd. Discuss whether such a treatment in the books of Toy Ltd. is justified as per the provisions of the relevant Ind AS. Also, Toy Ltd. entered into a sale deed for its Land on 15 th March, 20X1. But registration was done with the registrar on 20th April, 20X1. But before registration, is it possible to recognize the sale and the gain at the balance sheet date? Give reasons in support of your answer. (RTP May'18)

### Answer 6

Toy Ltd. had sold goods to Mac Ltd on credit worth for Rs. 580 lakhs and the sale was completed in all respects. Mac Ltd.'s decision to sell the same in the domestic market a discount does not affect the amount recorded as sales by Toy Ltd.

The price discount of 10% offered by Toy Ltd. after request of Mac Ltd. was not in the nature of a discount given during the ordinary course of trade because otherwise the same would have been given at the time of sale itself. However, there appears to be an uncertainty relating to the collectability of the debt, which has arisen subsequent to sale. Therefore, it would be appropriate to make a separate provision to reflect the uncertainty relating to collectability rather than to adjust the amount of revenue originally recorded. Hence such discount should be charged to the Statement of Profit and Loss and not shown as deduction from the sales figure.

With respect to sale of land, both sale and gain on sale of land earned by Toy Ltd. shall be recognized in the books at the balance sheet date. In substance, the land was transferred with significant risk & rewards of ownership to the buyer before the balance sheet date and what was pending was merely a formality to register the deed. The registration post the balance sheet date only confirms the condition of sale at the balance sheet date as per Ind AS 10 "Events after the Reporting Period."

# Question 7

H Ltd. constructed a warehouse at a cost of ₹ 10 lakhs in 2015. It first became available for use by H Ltd. on 1st January 2016. On 29th January 2020, H Ltd. discovered that its warehouse was damaged. During early February 2020, an investigation revealed that the damage was due to a structural fault in the construction of the warehouse. The fault became apparent when the warehouse building leaked severely after heavy rainfall in the week ended 27th January 2020. The discovery of the fault is an indication of impairment. So, H Ltd. was required to estimate the recoverable amount of its warehouse at 31st December 2019. This estimate was ₹ 6,00,000. Furthermore, H Ltd. reassessed the useful life of its warehouse at 20 years from the date that it was ready for use. Before discovering the fault, H Ltd. had depreciated the warehouse on the straight-line method to a nil residual value over its estimated 30-year useful life.

Seepage of rain water through the crack in the warehouse caused damage to inventory worth about ₹1,00,000 (cost price) and became un-saleable. The entire damaged inventory was on hand as at 31st December, 2019. H Ltd. has not insured against any of the losses.

It accounts for all its property, plant and equipment under the cost model. H Ltd.'s annual financial statements for the year ended 31st December, 2019 were approved for issue by the Board of Directors on 28th February, 2020.

You are required to:

- (i) Prepare accounting entries to record the effects of the events after the end of the reporting period in the accounting records of H Ltd. for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2019. Kindly ignore tax impact;
- (ii) Discuss disclosure requirement in above case as per relevant Ind AS; and
- (iii) Will your answer be different if there was no structural fault and damage to the warehouse had been caused by an event that occurred after 31 st December, 2019? (PYP 8 Marks, Jan' 21)

### Answer 7

### (i) Journal Entries on 31st December 2019

	₹	₹
Depreciation expense A/c (W.N.1) Dr.	19,608	
To Warehouse or Accumulated depreciation		19,608
A/c		
(Being additional depreciation expense recognised		
for the year ended 31st December 2019 arising from		
the reassessment of the useful life of the		
warehouse)		
Impairment loss A/c (W.N.2) Dr.	2,47,059	
To Warehouse or Accumulated depreciation		2,47,059
A/c		
(Being impairment loss recognised due to discovery		
of structural fault in the construction of		
warehouse at 31 <sup>st</sup> December 2019)		

(ii)

(a) The damage to warehouse is an adjusting event (occurred after the end of the year 2019) for the reporting period 2019, since it provides evidence that the structural fault existed at the end of the reporting period. It is an

<sup>3</sup> 3 149

adjusting event, in spite of the fact that fault has been discovered after the reporting date.

The effects of the damage to the warehouse are recognised in the year 2019 reporting period. Prior periods will not be adjusted because those financial statements were prepared in good faith (eg regarding estimate of useful life, assessment of impairment indicators etc) and had not affected the financials of prior years.

- (b) Damage of inventory due to seepage of rainwater ₹ 1,00,000 occurred during the year 2020. It is a non-adjusting event after the end of the 2019 reporting period since the inventory was in good condition at 31 st December 2019. Hence, no accounting has been done for it in the year 2019. H Ltd. must disclose the nature of the event (i.e. rain-damage to inventories) and an estimate of the financial effect (i.e. ₹ 1,00,000 loss) in the notes to its 31st December 2019 annual financial statements.
- (iii) If the damage to the warehouse had been caused by an event that occurred after 31<sup>st</sup> December 2019 and was not due to structural fault, **then it would be considered as a non-adjusting event** after the end of the reporting period 2019 as the warehouse would have been in a good condition at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2019.

### Working Notes:

1. Calculation of additional depreciation to be charged in the year 2019
Original depreciation as per SLM already charged during the year 2019
= ₹10,00,000/ 30 years = ₹33,333.

Carrying value at the end of 2018 = 10,00,000 - (₹33,333 x 3 years) = ₹ 9,00,000 Revised depreciation= 9,00,000 / 17 years = ₹52,941

Additional depreciation to be recognized in the books in the year 2019 = ₹52,941 - ₹33,333 = ₹19,608

2. Calculation of impairment loss in the year 2019

Carrying value after charging depreciation for the year 2019 = ₹9,00,000 - ₹52,941 = ₹8,47,059 Recoverable value of the warehouse = ₹6,00,000 Impairment loss = Carrying value - Recoverable value

= ₹8,47,059 - ₹6,00,000 = ₹2,47,059

### Question 8

Company XYZ Ltd. was formed to secure the tenders floated by a telecom company for publication of telephone directories. It bagged the tender for publishing directories for Pune circle for 5 years. It has made a profit in 20X1-20X2, 20X2-20X3, 20X3-20X4 and 20X4-20X5. It bid in tenders for publication of directories for other circles – Nagpur, Nashik, Mumbai, Hyderabad but as per the results declared on 23rd April, 20X5, the company failed to bag any of these. Its only activity till date is publication of Pune directory. The contract for publication of directories for Pune will expire on 31st December 20X5. The financial statements for the

F.Y. 20X4-20X5 have been approved by the Board of Directors on 10th July, 20X5. Whether it is appropriate to prepare financial statements on going concern basis? (RTP May'19)

### Answer 8

With regard to going concern basis to be followed for preparation of financial statements, paras 14 & 15 of Ind AS 10 states that-

 $_{\rm age}150$ 

An entity shall not prepare its financial statements on a going concern basis if management determines after the reporting period either that it intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or that it has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Deterioration in operating results and financial position after the reporting period may indicate a need to consider whether the going concern assumption is still appropriate. If the going concern assumption is no longer appropriate, the effect is so pervasive that this Standard requires a fundamental change in the basis of accounting, rather than an adjustment to the amounts recognised within the original basis of accounting.

In accordance with the above, an entity needs to change the basis of accounting if the effect of deterioration in operating results and financial position is so pervasive that management determines after the reporting period either that it intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or that it has no realistic alternative but to do so.

In the instant case, since contract is expiring on 31st December 20X5 and it is confirmed on 23rd April, 20X5, (i.e., after the end of the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements), that no further contact is secured, it implies that the entity's operations are expected to come to an end by 31st December 20X5. Accordingly, if entity's operations are expected to come to an end, the entity needs to make a judgement as to whether it has any realistic possibility to continue or not. In case, the entity determines that it has no realistic alternative of continuing the business, preparation of financial statements for 20X4-20X5 and thereafter on going concern basis may not be appropriate.

### Section - B

### Question 1

The AGM of ABC Ltd for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 was held on 10th July, 20X2 and Board Meeting has been conducted on 15th May, 20X2. Meanwhile, the company had to disclose certain financial information pertaining to the year ended 31st March, 20X2 to SEBI as per SEBI regulations on 20th April, 20X2. Since, certain financial information pertaining to the year ended 31st March, 20X2 is submitted to SEBI before approval of financial statements by the Board, the management is suggesting that 20th April 20X2 shall be considered as 'after the reporting period'. Whether the management view is correct in accordance with the guidance given in Ind AS 10?

### Answer 1

As per Ind AS 10, even if partial information has already been published, the reporting period will be considered as the period between the end of the reporting period and the date of approval of financial statements. In the above case, the financial statements for the year 20X1-20X2 were approved on 15th May, 20X2. Therefore, for the purposes of Ind AS 10, 'after the reporting period' would be the period between 31st March, 20X2 and 15th May, 20X2.

### Question 2

ABC Ltd. is in a legal suit against the GST department. The company gets a court order in its favor on 15th April, 20X2, which resulted into reducing the tax liability as on 31st March, 20X2. The financial statements for 20X1-20X2 were approved by the board of directors on 15th May, 20X2. The management has not considered the effect of the transaction as the event is favourable to the company. The company's view is that favourable events after the reporting period should not be considered as it would hamper the realisation concept of accounting. Comment on the

3ge 151

### company's views in the light of Ind AS 10.

### Answer 2

As per Ind AS 10, even favourable events need to be considered. What is important is whether a condition exists as at the end of the reporting period and there is evidence for the same.

### Question 3

ABC Ltd. trades in laptops. On 31st March, 20X2, the company has 50 laptops which were purchased at ₹45,000 each. The company has considered the same price for calculation of closing inventory valuation. On 15th April, 20X2, advanced version of same series of laptops is introduced in the market. Therefore, the price of the current laptops goes down to ₹35,000 each. The financial statements for 20X1-20X2 were approved by the board of directors on 15th May, 20X2. The company does not want to value the stock at ₹35,000 less estimated costs necessary to make the sale as the event of reduction in selling price took place after 31st March, 20X2 and the reduced prices were not applicable as on 31st March, 20X2. Comment on the company's views.

### Answer 3

As per Ind AS 10, the decrease in the net realizable value of the stock after the reporting period should normally be considered as an adjusting event.

### Question 4

XY Ltd took a large-sized civil construction contract, for a public sector undertaking, valued at ₹200 crores. The execution of the project started during 20X1-20X2 and continued in the next financial year also. During execution of the work on 29th May, 20X2, the company found while raising the foundation work that it had met a rocky surface and cost of contract would go up by an extra ₹50 crores, which would not be recoverable from the contractee as per the terms of the contract. The Company's financial year ended on 31st March, 20X2, and the financial statements were considered and approved by the Board of Directors on 15th June, 20X2. How will you treat the above in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2?

### Answer 4

In the instant case, the execution of work started during the financial year 20X1-20X2 and the rocky surface was there at the end of the reporting period, though the existence of rocky surface is confirmed after the end of the reporting period as a result of which it became evident that the cost may escalate by ₹50 crores. In accordance with the definition of 'Events after the Reporting Period', since the rocky surface was there, the condition was existing at the end of the reporting period, therefore, it is an adjusting event. The cost of the project and profit should be accounted for accordingly.

### Question 5

A Ltd. was required to pay a penalty for a breach in the performance of a contract. A Ltd. believed that the penalty was payable at a lower amount than the amount demanded by the other party. A Ltd. created provision for the penalty but also approached the arbitrator with a submission that the case may be dismissed with costs. A Ltd. prepared the financial statements for the year 20X1-20X2, which were approved in May, 20X2. The arbitrator, in April, 20X2, awarded the case in favour of A Ltd. As a result of the award of the arbitrator, the provision earlier made by A Ltd. was required to be reduced. The arbitrator also decided that cost of the case should be borne by the other party. Now, whether A Ltd. is required to remeasure

 $_{\mathsf{Page}}15$ 

### its provision and what would be the accounting treatment of the cost that will be recovered by A Ltd., which has already been charged to the Statement of Profit and Loss as an expense for the year 20X1-20X2?

### Answer 5

In the instant case, A Ltd. approached the arbitrator before the end of the reporting period, who decided the award after the end of the reporting period but before approval of the financial statements for issue. Accordingly, the conditions were existing at the end of the reporting date because A Ltd. had approached the arbitrator before the end of the reporting period whose outcome has been confirmed by the award of the arbitrator. Therefore, it is an adjusting event.

Accordingly, the measurement of the provision is required to be adjusted for the event occurring after the reporting period. As far as the recovery of the cost by A Ltd. from the other party is concerned, this right to recover was a contingent asset as at the end of the reporting period.

As per para 35 of Ind AS 37, contingent assets are assessed continually to ensure that developments are appropriately reflected in the financial statements. If it has become virtually certain that an inflow of economic benefits will arise, the asset and the related income are recognised in the financial statements of the period in which the change occurs. If an inflow of economic benefits has become probable, an entity discloses the contingent asset.

On the basis of the above, a contingent asset should be recognised in the financial statements of the period in which the realisation of asset and the related income becomes virtually certain. In the instant case, the recovery of cost became certain when the arbitrator decided the award during financial year 20X2-20X3.

Accordingly, the recovery of cost should be recognised in the financial year 20X2-20X3.

### Question 6

A company manufacturing and supplying process control equipment is entitled to duty drawback if it exceeds its turnover above a specified limit. To claim duty drawback, the company needs to file an application within 15 days of meeting the specified turnover. If the application is not filed within stipulated time, the Department has discretionary power of giving duty draw back credit. For the year 20X1-20X2, the company has exceeded the specified limit of turnover by the end of the reporting period but the application for duty drawback is filed on 20th April, 20X2, which is after the stipulated time of 15 days of meeting the turnover condition.

Duty drawback has been credited by the Department on 28th June, 20X2 and financial statements have been approved by the Board of Directors of the company on 26th July, 20X2. Whether duty drawback credit should be treated as an adjusting event?

### Answer 6

In the instant case, the condition of exceeding the specified turnover was met at the end of the reporting period and the company was entitled to the duty draw back but the application for the same has been filed after the stipulated time. Therefore, credit of duty drawback is discretionary in the hands of the Department. Accordingly, the duty drawback credit is a contingent asset as at the end of the reporting period, which may be realized if the Department credits the same.

As per para 35 of Ind AS 37, contingent assets are assessed continually to ensure that \( \cdot \) developments are appropriately reflected in the financial statements. If it has become virtually certain that an inflow of economic benefits will arise, asset and the related income are recognized in the financial statements of the period in which the change

occurs. If an inflow of economic benefits has become probable, an entity discloses the contingent asset.

In accordance with the above, the duty draw-back credit which was contingent asset for the financial year 20X1-20X2 should be recognized as asset and related income should be recognized in the reporting period in which the change occurs. i.e., in the period in which realization becomes virtually certain, i.e., financial year 20X2-20X3.

### Question 7

XYZ Ltd. sells goods to its customer with a promise to give a discount of 5% on list price of the goods provided that the payments are received from customer within 15 days. XYZ Ltd.

sold goods for ₹5 lakhs to ABC Ltd. between 17th March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X2. ABC Ltd. paid the dues by 15th April, 20X2 with respect to sales made between 17th March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X2. Financial statements were approved for issue by Board of Directors on 31st May, 20X2.

### Answer 7

As per Ind AS 115, if the consideration promised in a contract includes a variable amount, an entity shall estimate the amount of consideration to which the entity will be entitled in exchange for transferring the promised goods or services to a customer. In the instant case, the condition that sales have been made exists at the end of the reporting period and the receipt of payment within 15 days' time after the end of the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements confirms that the discount is to be provided on those sales. Therefore, it is an adjusting event. Accordingly, XYZ Ltd. should adjust the sales made to ABC Ltd. with respect to discount of 5% on the list price of the goods.

### **Ouestion 8**

Whether the fraud related to 20X1-20X2 discovered after the end of the reporting period but before the date of approval of financial statements for 20X3-20X4 is an adjusting event?

### Answer 8

In the instant case, the fraud is discovered after the end of the reporting period of 20X3-20X4, which related to financial year 20X1-20X2. Since the fraud took place before the end of the reporting period, the condition was existing which has been confirmed by the detection of the same after the end of the reporting period but before the approval of financial statements. Therefore, it is an adjusting event.

Moreover, Ind AS 10 in paragraph 9, specifically provides that the discovery of fraud or error after the end of the reporting period, that shows that financial statements are incorrect, is an adjusting event. Such a discovery of fraud should be accounted for in accordance with Ind AS 8 if it meets the definition of prior period error.

### Question 9

X Ltd. was having investment in the form of equity shares in another company as at the end of the reporting period, i.e., 31st March, 20X2. After the end of the reporting period but before the approval of the financial statements it has been found that value of investment was fraudulently inflated by committing a computation error. Whether such event should be adjusted in the financial statements for the year 20X1-20X2?

### Answer 9

Since it has been detected that a fraud has been made by committing an intentional error and as a result of the same financial statements present an incorrect picture, which has been detected after the end of the reporting period but before the approval of

Page 154

the financial statements. The same is an adjusting event. Accordingly, the value of investments in the financial statements should be adjusted for the fraudulent error in computation of value of investments.

### Question 10

ABC Ltd. received a demand notice on 15th June, 20X2 for an additional amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}28,00,000$  from the Excise Department on account of higher excise duty levied by the Excise Department compared to the rate at which the company was creating provision and depositing the same in respect of transactions related to financial year 20X1-20X2. The financial statements for the year 20X1-20X2 are approved on 10th August, 20X2. In July, 20X2, the company has appealed against the demand of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}28,00,000$  and the company has expected that the demand would be settled at  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}15,00,000$  only. Show how the above event will have a bearing on the financial statements for the year 20X1-20X2.

Whether these events are adjusting or non-adjusting events and explain the treatment accordingly.

### Answer 10

### Ind AS 10 defines 'Events after the Reporting Period' as follows:

Events after the reporting period are those events, favourable and unfavourable, that occur between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue. Two types of events can be identified:

- (a) those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period (adjusting events after the reporting period); and
- (b) those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting period (non-adjusting events after the reporting period)

In the instant case, the demand notice has been received on 15th June, 20X2, which is between the end of the reporting period and the date of approval of financial statements. Therefore, it is an event after the reporting period. This demand for an additional amount has been raised because of higher rate of excise duty levied by the Excise Department in respect of goods already manufactured during the reporting period. Accordingly, the condition exists on 31st March, 20X2, as the goods have been manufactured during the reporting period on which additional excise duty has been levied and this event has been confirmed by the receipt of demand notice. Therefore, it is an adjusting event.

In accordance with the principles of Ind AS 37, the company should make a provision in the financial statements for the year 20X1-20X2, at best estimate of the expenditure to be incurred, i.e., ₹15,00,000.

### Question 11 (Illustration)

What is the date of approval for issue of the financial statements prepared for the reporting period from 1st April, 20X1 to 31st March, 20X2, in a situation where following dates are available? Completion of preparation of financial statements 28th May, 20X2 Board reviews and approves it for issue 19th June, 20X2

	<del>.</del>
Available to shareholders	1st July, 20X2
Annual General Meeting	15th September, 20X2
Filed with regulatory authority	16th October, 20X2

 $^{\mathrm{age}}155$ 

## Will your answer differ if the entity is a partnership firm?

### Answer 11

As per Ind AS 10 the date of approval for issue of financial statements is the date on which the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity. Accordingly, in the instant case, the date of approval is the date on which the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors of the company, i.e., 19th June, 20X2.

If the entity is a partnership firm, the date of approval will be the date when the relevant approving authority of such entity approves the financial statements for issue i.e. the date when the partner(s) of the firm approve(s) the financial statements.

### Question 12 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd. prepared interim financial report for the quarter ending 30th June, 20X1. The interim financial report was approved for issue by the Board of Directors on 15th July, 20X1. Whether events occurring between end of the interim financial report and date of approval by Board of Directors, i.e., events between 1st July, 20X1 and 15th July, 20X1 that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the interim reporting period shall be adjusted in the interim financial report ending 30th June, 20X1?

### Answer 12

Paragraph 3 of Ind AS 10, inter alia, defines 'Events after the reporting period' as those events, favourable and unfavorable, that occur between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue.

What is reporting period has not been dealt with in Ind AS 10. Absence of any specific guidance regarding reporting period implies that any term for which reporting is done by preparing financial statements is the reporting period for the purpose of Ind AS 10. Accordingly, financial reporting done for interim period by preparing either complete set of financial statements or by preparing condensed financial statements will be treated as reporting period for the purpose of Ind AS 10.

Paragraph 2 of Ind AS 34, inter alia, provides that each financial report, annual or interim, is evaluated on its own for conformity with Ind AS. Further, paragraph 19 of Ind AS 34, provides that an interim financial report shall not be described as complying with Ind AS unless it complies with all of the requirements of Ind AS.

In accordance with the above, an entity describing that its interim financial report is in compliance with Ind AS, has to comply with all the Ind AS including Ind AS 10.

In order to comply with the requirements of Ind AS 10, each interim financial report should be adjusted for the adjusting events occurring between end of the interim financial report and the date of approval by Board of Directors. Therefore, in the instant case, events occurring between 1st July, 20X1 and 15th July, 20X1 that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the interim reporting period should be adjusted in the interim financial report ending 30th June, 20X1.

### Question 13 (Illustration)

The Board of Directors of ABC Ltd. approved the financial statements for the reporting period 20X1-20X2 for issue on 15th June, 20X2. The management of ABC Ltd. discovered a major fraud and decided to reopen the books of account. The financial statements were subsequently approved by the Board of Directors on 30th

 $_{\text{Page}}156$ 

### June, 20X2. What is the date of approval for issue as per Ind AS 10 in the given case?

### Answer 13

As per paragraph 3 of Ind AS 10, the - date of approval is the date on which the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue. In the given case, there are two dates of approval by Board of Directors. The financial statements were reopened for further adjustments subsequent to initial approval. The date of approval should be taken as the date on which financial statements are finally approved by the Board of Directors. Therefore, in the given case, the date of approval for issue as per Ind AS 10 should be considered as 30th June, 20X2.

### Question 14 (Illustration)

A case is going on between ABC Ltd., and GST department on claiming some exemption for the year 20X1-20X2. The court has issued the order on 15th April, 20X2 and rejected the claim of the company. Accordingly, the company is liable to pay the additional tax. The financial statements of the company for the year 20X1-20X2 have been approved on 15th May, 20X2. Should the company account for such tax in the year 20X1-20X2 or should it accounts for the same in the year 20X2-20X3?

### Answer 14

An event after the reporting period is an adjusting event, if it provides evidence of a condition existing at the end of the reporting period. Here, this condition is satisfied. Court order received after the reporting period (but before the financial statements are approved) provides the evidence of the liability existing at the end of the reporting period. Therefore, the event will be considered as an adjusting event and, accordingly, the amounts will be adjusted in financial statements for 20X1-20X2.

### **Question 15 (Illustration)**

While preparing its financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X1, XYZ Ltd. made a general provision for bad debts @ 5% of its debtors. In the last week February, 20X1 a debtor for ₹ 2 lakhs had suffered heavy loss due to an earthquake; the loss was not covered by any insurance policy. Considering the event of earthquake, XYZ Ltd. made a provision @ 50% of the amount receivable from that debtor apart from the general provision of 5% on remaining debtors. In April, 20X1 the debtor became bankrupt. Can XYZ Ltd. provide for the full loss arising out of insolvency of the debtor in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X1?

Would the answer be different if earthquake had taken place after 31st March, 20X1, and therefore, XYZ Ltd. did not make any specific provision in context that debtor and made only general provision for bad debts @ 5% on total debtors?

### Answer 15

As per the definition of 'Events after the Reporting Period' and paragraph 8 of Ind AS 10, Events after the Reporting Period, financial statements should be adjusted for events occurring after the reporting period that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period. In the instant case, the earthquake took place in February 20X1 (i.e. before the end of the reporting period).

Therefore, the condition exists at the end of the reporting date though the debtor is declared insolvent after the reporting period. Accordingly, full provision for bad debt amounting to ₹2 lakhs should be made to cover the loss arising due to the bankruptcy of the debtor in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X1. In this

case, assuming that the financial statements are approved by the approving authority after April, 20X1, XYZ Ltd should provide for the remaining amount as a consequence of declaration of this debtor as bankrupt.

In case, the earthquake had taken place after the end of the reporting period, i.e., after 31st March, 20X1, and XYZ Ltd. had not made any specific provision for the debtor who was declared bankrupt later on, since the earthquake occurred after the end of the reporting period no condition existed at the end of the reporting period. The company had made only general provision for bad debts in the ordinary business course – without taking cognizance of the catastrophic situation of an earthquake. Accordingly, bankruptcy of the debtor in this case is a non-adjusting event.

As per para 21 of Ind AS 10, if non-adjusting events after the reporting period are material, their non-disclosure could influence the economic decisions that users make based on the financial statements. Accordingly, an entity shall disclose the following for each material category of non-adjusting event after the reporting period:

- (a) the nature of the event; and
- (b) an estimate of its financial effect, or a statement that such an estimate cannot be made."

If the amount of bad debt is considered to be material, the nature of this non-adjusting event, i.e., event of bankruptcy of the debtor should be disclosed along with the estimated financial effect of the same in the financial statements.

### Question 16 (Illustration)

A company has inventory of 100 finished cars on 31st March, 20X2, which are having a cost of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  4,00,000 each. On 30th April, 20X2, as per the new government rules, higher road tax and penalties are to be paid by the buyers for such cars (which were already expected to come) and hence the selling price of a car has come down and the demand for such cars has dropped drastically. The selling price has come down to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  3,00,000 each. The financial statements of the company for the year 20X1-20X2 are not yet approved. Should the company value its stock at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  4,00,000 each or should it value at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  3,00,000 each? Ignore estimated costs necessary to make the sale.

### Answer 16

Events after the reporting period provide the evidence about the net realisable value of the cars at the end of the reporting period and, therefore, the amount of 3,00,000 should be considered for the valuation of stock.

### Question 17 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd. has purchased a new machinery during the year 20X1-20X2. The asset was finally installed and made ready for use on 15th March, 20X2. However, the company involved in installation and training, which was also the supplier, has not yet submitted the final bills for the same.

The supplier company sent the bills on 10th April, 20X2, when the financial statements were not yet approved. Should the company adjust the amount of capitalisation in the year 20X1-20X2 or in the year 20X2-20X3?

### Answer 17

As per the provisions of the contract, the cost of installation and training of new machine is an integral part of the cost of asset purchased. Therefore, even if the details

158

are available after reporting period, they provide proof about the circumstances that existed at the end of reporting period. Therefore, the cost of installation and training will be considered for capitalisation in the year 20X1-20X2.

### Question 18 (Illustration)

Company XYZ Ltd. was formed to secure the tenders floated by a telecom company for publication of telephone directories. It bagged the tender for publishing directories for Pune circle for 5 years. It has made a profit in 20X1-20X2, 20X2-20X3, 20X3-20X4 and 20X4-20X5. It bid in tenders for publication of directories for other circles – Nagpur, Nashik, Mumbai, Hyderabad but as per the results declared on 23rd April, 20X5, the company failed to bag any of these. Its only activity till date is publication of Pune directory. The contract for publication of directories for Pune will expire on 31st December 20X5. The financial statements for the F.Y. 20X4-20X5 have been approved by the Board of Directors on 10th July, 20X5. Whether it is appropriate to prepare financial statements on going concern basis?

### Answer 18

With regard to going concern basis to be followed for preparation of financial statements, paras 14 & 15 of Ind AS 10 states that-

An entity shall not prepare its financial statements on a going concern basis if management determines after the reporting period either that it intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or that it has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Deterioration in operating results and financial position after the reporting period may indicate a need to consider whether the going concern assumption is still appropriate. If the going concern assumption is no longer appropriate, the effect is so pervasive that this Standard requires a fundamental change in the basis of accounting, rather than an adjustment to the amounts recognised within the original basis of accounting.

In accordance with the above, an entity needs to change the basis of accounting if the effect of deterioration in operating results and financial position is so pervasive that management determines after the reporting period either that it intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or that it has no realistic alternative but to do so.

In the instant case, since contract is expiring on 31st December 20X5 and it is confirmed on 23rd April, 20X5, (i.e., after the end of the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements), that no further contact is secured, it implies that the entity's operations are expected to come to an end by 31st December 20X5. Accordingly, if entity's operations are expected to come to an end, the entity needs to make a judgement as to whether it has any realistic possibility to continue or not. In case, the entity determines that it has no realistic alternative of continuing the business, preparation of financial statements for 20X4-20X5 and thereafter on going concern basis may not be appropriate.

### Question 19 (Illustration)

In the plant of PQR Ltd., there was a fire on 10th May, 20X1 in which the entire plant was damaged and the loss of ₹ 40,00,000 is estimated. The claim with the insurance company has been filed and a recovery of ₹ 27,00,000 is expected. The financial statements for the year ending 31st March, 20X1 were approved by the Board of Directors on 12th June, 20X1. Show how should it be disclosed?

### Answer 19

In the instant case, since fire took place after the end of the reporting period, it is a non-adjusting event. However, in accordance with paragraph 21 of Ind AS 10,

<sub>age</sub>159

disclosures regarding material non-adjusting event should be made in the financial statements, i.e., the nature of the event and the expected financial effect of the same. With regard to going concern basis followed for preparation of financial statements, the company needs to determine whether it is appropriate to prepare the financial statements on going concern basis, since there is only one plant which has been damaged due to fire. If the effect of deterioration in operating results and financial position is so pervasive that management determines after the reporting period either that it intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or that it has no realistic alternative but to do so, preparation of financial statements for the F.Y.20X0-20X1 on going concern assumption may not be appropriate. In that case, the financial statements may have to be prepared on a basis other than going concern.

However, if the going concern assumption is considered to be appropriate even after the fire, no adjustment is required in the financial statements for the year ending 31st March, 20X1.

### Question 20(Illustration)

ABC Ltd. declares the dividend on 15th July, 20X2 as the results of year 20X1-20X2 as well as Q1 ending 30th June, 20X2 are better than expected. The financial statements of the company are approved on 20th July, 20X2 for the financial year ending 31st March, 20X2. Will the dividend be accounted for in the financial year 20X2-20X3 or will it be accounted for in the year 20X1-20X2?

### Answer 20

The dividend is declared in the year 20X2-20X3. Therefore, the obligation towards dividend did not exist at the end date of reporting period i.e., on 31st March, 20X2. Therefore, it will be accounted for in the year 20X2-20X3 and not in 20X1-20X2, even if financial statements for 20X1-20X2 were approved after the declaration of dividend. It will, however, be disclosed in the notes in the financial statements for the year 20X1-20X2 in accordance with Ind AS 1.


### Question 21 (Illustration)

What would be the treatment for dividends declared to redeemable preference shareholders after the reporting period but before the financial statements are approved for issue for the year 20X1-20X2. Whether Ind AS 10 prescribes any accounting treatment for such dividends?

### Answer 21


Paragraph 12 of Ind AS 10 prescribes accounting treatment for dividends declared to holders of equity instruments. If an entity declares dividends to holders of equity instruments (as defined in Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentations) after the reporting period, the entity shall not recognize those dividends as a liability at the end of the reporting period.

However, Ind AS 10 does not prescribe accounting treatment for dividends declared to redeemable preference shareholders. As per the principles of Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation, a preference share that provides for mandatory redemption by the issuer for a fixed or determinable amount at a fixed or determinable future date, or gives the holder the right to require the issuer to redeem the instrument at or after a particular date for a fixed or determinable amount, is a financial liability. Thus, dividend payments to such preference shares are recognized as expense in the same way as interest on a bond. Since interest will be charged on time basis, the requirements of Ind AS 10 regarding date of declaration of dividend is not relevant for its recognition.



Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or 🕓 +917890770777

 $_{\text{Page}}161$ 



Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or 🕒 +917890770777

 $_{\text{Page}}162$ 

# Chapter 4 Unit-3 Indian Accounting Standard 113: Fair Value Measurement

### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP								Q6				Q5
PYP	Q2				Q3		Q9		Q8		Q1	
RTP	Q7								Q4			

### Section - A

### Question 1

Silver Ltd. is in the process of acquiring shares of Blue Ltd. as a part of business reorganization plan. The projected free cash flow of Blue Ltd. for the next 5 years is as follows:  $({\bf 7}$  in crores)

Particulars	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Cash flows	280.65	281.40	182.70	403.50	518.20
Terminal value					5,945

The weighted average cost of capital of Blue Ltd. is 10%.

The total debt as on measurement date is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,195 crore and the surplus cash and cash equivalent is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  159.21 crore.

The total number of shares of Blue Ltd. as on the measurement date is 12.80 crore. You are required to determine the value per share of Blue Ltd. as per Income Approach of Ind AS 113. (Present value factor of 1 should be taken up to 4 decimals for the purpose of calculation) (PYP 5 Marks Nov 22)

### Answer 1

Determination of Equity Value of Blue Ltd. (₹ in crore)

Determination of Equity value of Blue Etu.				1	CIUIC
Particulars	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Cash flows	280.65	281.40	182.70	403.50	518.20
Terminal value					5,945
	280.65	281.40	182.70	403.50	6,463.20
Discount rate @ 10%	0.9091	0.8264	0.7513	0.6830	0.6209
Free cashflow available to the firm	255.14	232.55	137.26	275.59	4,013.00
Total of all years					4,913.54
Less: Debt					(2,195.00)
Add: Cash & cash equivalent					159.21
Equity value of PT Ltd.					2,877.75
No. of shares (in crore)					12.80 Cr.
Per share value (₹ 2,877.75 Cr. / Cr)	12.80				₹ 224.82

### Question 2

Mr. Q has determined the valuation of Rhythm Ltd. by two approaches i.e., Market Approach and Income Approach and selected the highest as the final value but the management of Rhythm Ltd. is not satisfied and requests you to determine the fair value of shares of Rhythm Ltd. by assigning the weights to Market Approach and Income Approach in the ratio of 7:3.

Determine the Equity value on the basis of details given below:

Particulars	₹		
Valuation as per Market Approach	35,82,380		
Valuation as per Income Approach	21,99,930		
Debt obligation as on measurement date	9,96,812		
Surplus cash & cash equivalent	2,10,388		
Fair value of surplus assets and liabilities	3,12,449		
Number of shares of Rhythm Ltd.	1,06,680		
	shares		

### (PYP 4 Marks Dec '21)

### Answer 2

**Equity Valuation of Rhythm Ltd** 

Particulars	Weight s out of 10	(₹)
As per Market Approach	7	35,82,380
As per Income Approach	3	21,99,930
Enterprise Valuation based on weights (35,82,380 x 70%) + (21,99,930 x 30%)		31,67,645
Less: Debt obligation as on measurement date		(9,96,812)
Add: Surplus cash & cash equivalent		2,10,388
Add: Fair value of surplus assets and liabilities		3,12,449
Enterprise value of Rhythm Ltd.		26,93,670
No. of shares		1,06,680
Value per share		25.25

### Question 3

An asset is sold in 2 different active markets at different prices. An entity enters into transactions in both markets and can access the price in those markets for the asset at the measurement date.

### In Market A:

The price that would be received is Rs. 78, transaction costs in that market are Rs. 9 and the costs to transport the asset to that market are Rs. 6.

### In Market B:

The price that would be received is Rs. 75, transaction costs in that market are Rs. 3 and the costs to transport the asset to that market are Rs. 6.

You are required to calculate:

- (i) The fair value of the asset, if market A is the principal market, and
- (ii) The fair value of the asset, if none of the markets is principal market. (PYP 5 Marks, Nov'18)

### Answer 3

### (i) If Market A is the principal market

If Market A is the principal market for the asset (i.e., the market with the greatest volume and level of activity for the asset), the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price that would be received in that market, after taking into account transport costs.

Fair Value of the asset will be

	Rs.
Price receivable	78
Less: Transportation cost	<u>(6)</u>
Fair value of the asset	<u>72</u>

### (ii) If neither of the market is the principal market

If neither of the market is the principal market for the asset, the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price in the most advantageous market. The most advantageous market is the market that maximizes the amount that would be received to sell the asset, after taking into account transaction costs and transport costs (i.e., the net amount that would be received in the respective markets).

Determination of most advantageous market:

	Rs.	Rs.
	Market A	Market B
Price receivable	78	75
Less: Transaction cost	(9)	(3)
Less: Transportation cost	<u>(6)</u>	<u>(6)</u>
Fair value of the asset	<u>63</u>	<u>66</u>

Since the entity would maximize the net amount that would be received for the asset in Market B i.e. Rs. 66, the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price in Market B.

Fair value of the asset will be

	Rs.
Price receivable	75
Less: Transportation cost	<u>(6)</u>
Fair value of the asset	<u>69</u>

### **Question 4**

Comment on the following by quoting references from appropriate Ind AS.

- (i) DS Limited holds some vacant land for which the use is not yet determined. the land is situated in a prominent area of the city where lot of commercial complexes are coming up and there is no legal restriction to convert the land into a commercial land. The company is not interested in developing the land to a commercial complex as it is not its business objective. Currently the land has been let out as a parking lot for the commercial complexes around. The Company has classified the above property as investment property. It has approached you, an expert in valuation, to obtain fair value of the land for the purpose of disclosure under Ind AS. On what basis will the land be fair valued under Ind AS?
- (ii) DS Limited holds equity shares of a private company. In order to determine the fair value' of the shares, the company used discounted cash flow method as there were no similar shares available in the market. Under which level of

fair value hierarchy will the above inputs be classified? What will be your answer if the quoted price of similar companies were available and can be used for fair valuation of the shares? (RTP Nov '19)

### Answer 4

- (i) As per Ind AS 113, a fair value measurement of a non-financial asset takes into account a market participant's ability to generate economic benefits by using the asset in its highest and best use or by selling it to another market participant that would use the asset in its highest and best use.
  - The highest and best use of a non-financial asset takes into account the use of the asset that is physically possible, legally permissible and financially feasible, as follows:
  - (a) A use that is physically possible takes into account the physical characteristics of the asset that market participants would take into account when pricing the asset (e.g. the location or size of a property).
  - (b) A use that is legally permissible takes into account any legal restrictions on the use of the asset that market participants would take into account when pricing the asset (e.g. the zoning regulations applicable to a property).
  - (c) A use that is financially feasible takes into account whether a use of the asset that is physically possible and legally permissible generates adequate income or cash flows (taking into account the costs of converting the asset to that use) to produce an investment return that market participants would require from an investment in that asset put to that use.

Highest and best use is determined from the perspective of market participants, even if the entity intends a different use. However, an entity's current use of a non-financial asset is presumed to be its highest and best use unless market or other factors suggest that a different use by market participants would maximize the value of the asset.

To protect its competitive position, or for other reasons, an entity may intend not to use an acquired non-financial asset actively or it may intend not to use the asset according to its highest and best use. Nevertheless, the entity shall measure the fair value of a non-financial asset assuming its highest and best use by market participants.

In the given case, the highest best possible use of the land is to develop a commercial complex. Although developing a business complex is against the business objective of the entity, it does not affect the basis of fair valuation as Ind AS 113 does not consider an entity specific restriction for measuring the fair value.

Also, its current use as a parking lot is not the highest best use as the land has the potential of being used for building a commercial complex.

- Therefore, the fair value of the land is the price that would be received when sold to a market participant who is interested in developing a commercial complex.
- (ii) As per Ind AS 113, unobservable inputs shall be used to measure fair value to the extent that relevant observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date. The unobservable inputs shall reflect the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk.
  - In the given case, DS Limited adopted discounted cash flow method, commonly used technique to value shares, to fair value the shares of the private company as there were no similar shares traded in the market. Hence, it falls under Level 3 of fair value hierarchy.

Level 2 inputs include the following:

- (a) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets.
- (b) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.
- (c) inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability. If an entity can access quoted price in active markets for identical assets or liabilities of similar companies which can be used for fair valuation of the shares without any adjustment, at the measurement date, then it will be considered as observable input and would be considered as Level 2 inputs.

### Question 5

- (i) A Ltd. owns 250 ordinary shares in XYZ Ltd., an unquoted company. XYZ Ltd. has a total share capital of 5,000 shares with nominal value of ₹ 10. XYZ Ltd.'s after-tax maintainable profits are estimated at ₹ 70,000 per year. An appropriate price/earnings ratio determined from published industry data is 15 (before lack of marketability adjustment). A Ltd.'s management estimates that the discount for the lack of marketability of XYZ Ltd.'s shares and restrictions on their transfer is 20%. A Ltd. values its holding in XYZ Ltd.'s shares based on earnings. Determine the fair value of A Ltd.'s investment in XYZ Ltd.'s shares.
- (ii) Based on the facts given in the aforementioned part (i), assume that A Ltd. estimates the fair value of the shares it owns in XYZ Ltd. using a net asset valuation technique. The fair value of XYZ Ltd.'s net assets including those recognised in its balance sheet and those that are not recognised is ₹ 8,50,000. Determine the fair value of A Ltd.'s investment in XYZ Ltd.'s shares. (MTP 5 Marks Oct '23)

### Answer 5

(i) An earnings-based valuation of A Ltd.'s holding of shares in XYZ Ltd. Could be calculated as follows:

se carearatea as ronews.	
Particulars	
XYZ Ltd.'s after-tax maintainable profits (A)	₹ 70,000
Price/Earnings ratio (B)	15
Adjusted discount factor (C) (1- 0.20)	0.80
Value of XYZ Ltd. (A) x (B) x (C)	₹ 8,40,000

Value of a share of XYZ Ltd.= ₹ 8,40,000 ÷ 5,000 shares = ₹ 168 The fair value of A Ltd.'s investment in XYZ Ltd.'s shares is estimated at ₹ 42,000 (that is, 250 shares × ₹ 168 per share).

(ii) Share price = ₹ 8,50,000 ÷ 5,000 shares = ₹ 170 per share.

The fair value of A Ltd.'s investment in XYZ Ltd.'s shares is estimated to be ₹ 42,500 (250 shares × ₹ 170 per share).

### Question 6

(i) Entity A owns 250 ordinary shares in company XYZ, an unquoted company. Company XYZ has a total share capital of 5,000 shares with nominal value of ₹ 10. Entity XYZ's after-tax maintainable profits are estimated at ₹ 70,000 per year. An appropriate price/earnings ratio determined from published industry data is 15 (before lack of marketability adjustment). Entity A's management estimates that the discount for the lack of marketability of company XYZ's shares and restrictions on their transfer is 20%. Entity A values its holding in company XYZ's shares based on earnings. Determine the fair value of Entity

A's investment in XYZ's shares.

(ii) Based on the facts given in the aforementioned part (i), assume that, Entity A estimates the fair value of the shares it owns in company XYZ using a net asset valuation technique. The fair value of company XYZ's net assets including those recognized in its balance sheet and those that are not recognized is ₹ 8,50,000. Determine the fair value of Entity A's investment in XYZ's shares. (MTP 6 Marks March '23) (RTP Nov '21)

### Answer 6

(i) An earnings-based valuation of Entity A's holding of shares in company XYZ could be calculated as follows:

Particulars	Unit
Entity XYZ's after-tax maintainable profits (A)	₹ 70,000
Price/Earnings ratio (B)	15
Adjusted discount factor (C) (1- 0.20)	0.80
Value of Company XYZ (A) x (B) x (C)	₹ 8,40,000

Value of a share of XYZ = ₹ 8,40,000 ÷ 5,000 shares = ₹ 168

The fair value of Entity A's investment in XYZ's shares is estimated at ₹ 42,000 (that is, 250 shares × ₹ 168 per share).

(ii) Share price = ₹ 8,50,000 ÷ 5,000 shares = ₹ 170 per share. The fair value of Entity A's investment in XYZ shares is estimated to be ₹ 42,500 (250 shares × ₹ 170 per share).

### Question 7

On 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X1, A Ltd assumes a decommissioning liability in a business combination. The reporting entity is legally required to dismantle and remove an offshore oil platform at the end of its useful life, which is estimated to be 10 years. The following information is relevant:

If A Ltd was contractually allowed to transfer its decommissioning liability to a market participant, it concludes that a market participant would use all of the following inputs, probability weighted as appropriate, when estimating the price, it would expect to receive:

a. Labour costs

Labour costs are developed based on current marketplace wages, adjusted for expectations of future wage increases, required to hire contractors to dismantle and remove offshore oil platforms. A Ltd. assigns probability to a range of cash flow estimates as follows:

Cash Flow Estimates:	100 Cr	125 Cr	175 Cr
Probability:	25%	50%	25%

b. Allocation of overhead costs:

Assigned at 80% of labour cost

- c. The compensation that a market participant would require for undertaking the activity and for assuming the risk associated with the obligation to dismantle and remove the asset. Such compensation includes both of the following:
  - i. Profit on labour and overhead costs:

A profit mark-up of 20% is consistent with the rate that a market

 $_{\rm age}168$ 

- participant would require as compensation for undertaking the activity
- ii. The risk that the actual cash outflows might differ from those expected, excluding inflation:

  A Ltd. estimates the amount of that premium to be 5% of the expected.

A Ltd. estimates the amount of that premium to be 5% of the expected cash flows. The expected cash flows are 'real cash flows' / 'cash flows in terms of monetary value today'.

- d. Effect of inflation on estimated costs and profits
  A Ltd. assumes a rate of inflation of 4 percent over the 10 -year period based on available market data.
- e. Time value of money, represented by the risk-free rate: 5%
- f. Non-performance risk relating to the risk that Entity A will not fulfill the obligation, including A Ltd.'s own credit risk: 3.5%

A Ltd, concludes that its assumptions would be used by market participants. In addition, A Ltd. does not adjust its fair value measurement for the existence of a restriction preventing it from transferring the liability.

You are required to calculate the fair value of the asset retirement obligation. (RTP Nov '21)

### Answer 7

		1
Particulars	Workings	Amoun t (In Cr)
Expected Labour Cost (Refer W.N.)		131.25
Allocated Overheads	(80% x 131.25 Cr)	105.00
Profit markup on Cost	(131.25 + 105) x 20%	47.25
Total Expected Cash Flows before		283.50
inflation		
Inflation factor for next 10 years (4%)	$(1.04)^{10} = 1.4802$	
Expected cash flows adjusted for inflation	283.50 x 1.4802	419.65
Risk adjustment - uncertainty relating to cash flows	(5% x 419.65)	20.98
Total Expected Cash Flows	(419.65+20.98)	440.63
Discount rate to be considered = risk-free		
rate +	5% + 3.5%	8.5%
entity's non-performance risk		
Expected present value at 8.5% for 10	(440.63 /	194.88
years	(1.085 <sup>10</sup> ))	

Working Note: Expected labour

Cash Flows Estimates	Probabil ity	Expected Cash Flows
100 Cr	25%	25.00 Cr
125 Cr	50%	62.50 Cr
175 Cr	25%	<u>43.75 Cr</u>
Total		<u>131.25 Cr</u>

## Question 8

An asset is sold in two different active markets at different prices. Manor Ltd. enters into transactions in both markets and can access the price in those markets for the asset at the measurement date. In Mumbai market, the price that would be received is Rs. 290, transaction costs in that market are Rs. 40 and the costs to transport the asset to that market are Rs. 30. Thus, the net amount that would be received is Rs. 220. In Kolkata market the price that would be received is Rs. 280, transaction costs in that market are Rs. 20 and the costs to transport the asset to that market are Rs. 30. Thus, the net amount that would be received in Kolkata market is Rs. 230.

- (i) What should be the fair value of the asset if Mumbai Market is the principal market? What should be fair value if none of the markets is principle market?
- (ii) It the net realization after expenses is more in export market, say Rs. 280, but Government allows only 15% of the production to be exported out of India. Discuss what would be fair value in such case. (PYP 8 Marks, Nov'19)

### **Answer 8**

(i)

# (a) If Mumbai Market is the principal market

If Mumbai Market is the principal market for the asset (i.e., the market with the greatest volume and level of activity for the asset), the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price that would be received in that market, after taking into account transportation costs. Fair value will be

	Rs.
Price receivable	290
Less: Transportation cost	(30)
Fair value of the asset	<u>260</u>

## (b) If neither of the market is the principal market

If neither of the market is the principal market for the asset, the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price in the most advantageous market. The most advantageous market is the market that maximizes the amount that would be received to sell the asset, after taking into account transaction costs and transportation costs (i.e., the net amount that would be received in the respective markets).

	Rs.	Rs.
	Mumbai	Kolkata
	Market	Market
Fair value of the asset as per the question	<u>220</u>	<u>230</u>

Since the entity would maximise the net amount that would be received for the asset in Kolkata Market i.e.Rs. 230, the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price in Kolkata Market.

Fair value in such a case would be

	Rs.
Price receivable	280
Less: Transportation cost	(30)
Fair value of the asset	<u>250</u>

(ii) Export prices are more than the prices in the principal market and it would give highest return comparing to the domestic market. Therefore, the export market would be considered as most advantageous market. But since the Government has

capped the export, maximum upto 15% of total output, maximum sale activities are being done at domestic market only i.e. 85%. Since the highest level of activities with highest volume is being done at domestic market, principal market for asset would be domestic market. Therefore, the prices received in domestic market would be used for fair valuation of assets.

### Question 9

Shravan Ltd. owns 6,800 ordinary shares in PQR Ltd., an unquoted company. PQR Ltd. has a total share capital of 2,00,000 shares with nominal value of ₹ 10. PQR Ltd.'s after tax maintainable profits are estimated at ₹ 28,00,000 per year. An appropriate price/earnings ratio determined from published industry data is 12 (before lack of marketability adjustment). Shravan Ltd.'s management estimates that the discount for the lack of marketability of PQR Ltd.'s shares and restrictions on their transfer is 18%.

Shravan Ltd. values its holding in PQR Ltd.'s shares based on earnings. Determine the fair value of Shravan Ltd.'s investment in PQR Ltd.'s shares. (PYP 5 Marks May '22)

### Answer 9

Calculation of an earnings-based valuation of Shravan Ltd.'s holding of shares in POR Ltd.:

Particulars		Unit
PQR Ltd.'s after-tax maintainable prof	fits (A)	₹ 28,00,000
Price / Earnings ratio	(B)	12
Adjusted discount factor (1- 0.18)	(C)	0.82
Value of PQR Ltd.	$(A) \times (B) \times$	₹
(C)		2,75,52,000

Value of a share of PQR Ltd. = ₹ 2,75,52,000 / 2,00,000 shares = ₹ 137.76 The fair value of Shravan Ltd.'s investment in PQR Ltd.'s shares is estimated at ₹ 9,36,768 (that is, 6,800 shares × ₹ 137.76 per share).

2022 Alternative way of presentation:

Particulars		
PQR Ltd.'s after-tax maintainable profits in ₹	(A)	28,00,000
PQR Ltd.'s number of outstanding shares	(B)	2,00,000
PQR Ltd.'s EPS in ₹	(C = (A/B))	14.00
Industry PE ratio (given)	(D)	12
Market price of PQR Ltd. per share in ₹	$(E = (C \times D))$	168.00
Discount for lack of marketability @ 18% in ₹	$(F = E \times 18\%)$	30.24
Adjusted price per share of PQR Ltd. in ₹	(G = (E-F))	137.76
Shravan Ltd.'s holding	(H)	6,800 shares
Fair value of Shravan's investment in PQR Ltd.	(G x H)	9,36,768.00

### **Section - B**

### Question 1

An asset is sold in 2 different active markets at different prices. An entity enters into transactions in both markets and can access the price in those markets for the asset at the measurement date.

### In Market A:

The price that would be received is  $\ref{26}$ , transaction costs in that market are  $\ref{3}$  and the costs to transport the asset to that market are  $\ref{26}$ .

### In Market B:

The price that would be received is  $\ref{25}$ , transaction costs in that market are  $\ref{1}$  and the costs to transport the asset to that market are  $\ref{2}$ .

You are required to calculate:

- (i) The fair value of the asset, if market A is the principal market, and
- (ii) The fair value of the asset, if none of the markets is principal market.

### Answer 1

### (i) If Market A is the principal market

If Market A is the principal market for the asset (i.e., the market with the greatest volume and level of activity for the asset), the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price that would be received in that market, after taking into account transport costs.

### Fair Value will be

	₹
Price receivable	26
Less: Transportation cost	(2)
Fair value of the asset	24

# (ii) If neither of the market is the principle market

If neither of the market is the

principal market for the asset, the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price in the most advantageous market. The most advantageous market is the market that maximises the amount that would be received to sell the asset, after taking into account transaction costs and transport costs (i.e., the net amount that would be received in the respective markets)

		₹
	₹	
	Market	Market
	A	В
Price receivable	26	25
Less: Transaction cost	(3)	(1)
Less: Transportation cost	(2)	(2)
Fair value of the asset	21	22

Since the entity would maximise the net amount that would be received for the asset in Market B i.e. 22, the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price in Market B.

### Fair value

	₹
Price receivable	25
Less: Transportation cost	(2)
Fair value of the asset	23

77

### Question 2

Company J acquires land in a business combination. The land is currently developed for industrial use as a factory site. Although the land's current use is presumed to be its highest and best use unless market or other factors suggest a different use, Company J considers the fact that nearby sites have recently been developed for residential use as high-rise apartment buildings.

On the basis of that development and recent zoning and other changes to facilitate that development, Company J determines that the land currently used as a factory site could be developed as a residential site (e.g., for high-rise apartment buildings) and that market participants would take into account the potential to develop the site for residential use when pricing the land. Determine the highest and best use of the land.

### Answer 2

The highest and best use of the land is determined by comparing the following:

- The value of the land as currently developed for industrial use (i.e., an assumption that the land would be used in combination with other assets, such as the factory, or with other assets and liabilities); and
- The value of the land as a vacant site for residential use, taking into account the costs of demolishing the factory and other costs necessary to convert the land to a vacant site. The value under this use would take into account risks and uncertainties about whether the entity would be able to convert the asset to the alternative use (i.e., an assumption that the land would be used by market participants on a stand-alone basis).

The highest and best use of the land would be determined on the basis of the higher of these values. In situations involving real estate appraisal, the determination of highest and best use might take into account factors relating to the factory operations (e.g., the factory's operating cash flows) and its assets and liabilities (e.g., the factory's working capital).

### **Ouestion 3**

ABC Ltd. acquired 5% equity shares of XYZ Ltd. for ₹10 crores in the year 20X1-20X2. The company is in process of preparing the financial statements for the year 20X2-20X3 and is assessing the fair value at subsequent measurement of the investment made in XYZ Ltd. Based on the observable input, ABC Ltd. identified a similar nature of transaction in which PQR Ltd. acquired 20% equity shares in XYZ Ltd. for ₹60 crores. The price of such transaction was determined on the basis of Comparable Companies Method (CCM)- Enterprise Value (EV) / EBITDA which was 8. For the current year, the EBITDA of XYZ Ltd. is ₹40 crores. At the time of acquisition, the valuation was determined after considering 5% of liquidity discount and 5% of non-controlling stake discount. What will be the fair value of ABC Ltd.'s investment in XYZ Ltd. as on the balance sheet date?

### Answer 3

Determination of Enterprise Value of XYZ Ltd.

Particulars	₹ in crore
EBITDA as on the measurement date	40
EV/EBITDA multiple as on the date of valuation	8
Enterprise value of XYZ Ltd.	320

Determination of subsequent measurement of XYZ Ltd.

Particulars	₹ in crore
Enterprise Value of XYZ Ltd.	320
ABC Ltd.'s share based on percentage of holding (5% of 320)	16
Less: Liquidity discount & Non-controlling stake discount (5%+5%=10%)	(1.6)
Fair value of ABC Ltd.'s investment in XYZ Ltd.	14.4

### Question 4

UK Ltd. is in the process of acquisition of shares of PT Ltd. as part of business reorganization plan. The projected free cash flows of PT Ltd. for the next 5 years are as follows:

(₹ in crores)

Particulars	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Cash flows	187.1	187.6	121.8	269	278.8
Terminal					3,965
Value					

The weightage

average cost of capital of PT Ltd. is 11%. The total debt as on measurement date is 1,465 crores and the surplus cash & cash equivalent is 106.14 crores.

The total numbers of shares of PT Ltd. as on the measurement date is 8,52,84,223 shares. Determine value per share of PT Ltd. as per Income Approach.

### Answer 4

### Determination of equity value of PT Ltd

Determination of e			_	,	
Particulars	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Cash flows	187.1	187.6	121.8	269	278.8
Terminal Value					3,965
Discount rate factor	0.9009	0.8116	0.731	0.6587	0.5935
Free Cash Flow available to the firm	168.56	152.26	89.06	177.19	2,518.69
Total of all years					3,105.76
Less: Debt					(1,465)
Add: Cash & Cash equivalent				106.14	
Equity Value of PT Ltd.				1,746.90	

No. of Shares	85,284,22 3.0
Per Share Value	204.83

### Question 5

You are a senior consultant of your firm and are in process of determining the valuation of KK Ltd. You have determined the valuation of the company by two approaches i.e. Market Approach and Income approach and selected the highest as the final value. However, based upon the discussion with your partner you have been requested to assign equal weights to both the approaches and determine a fair value of shares of KK Ltd. The details of the KK Ltd. are as follows:

Particulars	in crore
Valuation as per Market Approach	5268.2
Valuation as per Income Approach	3235.2
Debt obligation as on Measurement date	1465.9
Surplus cash & cash equivalent	106.14
Fair value of surplus assets and Liabilities	312.4
Number of shares of KK Ltd.	8,52,84,223 shares

Determine the Equity value of KK Ltd. as on the measurement date on the basis of above details.

### Answer 5

Equity Valuation of KK Ltd.

Particulars	Weights(	in crore)
As per Market Approach	50	5268.2
As per Income Approach	50	3235.2
Enterprise Valuation based on weights (5268.2 $\pm$ 50%) + (3235.2 $\times$ 50%)		4,251.7
Less: Debt obligation as on measurement date		(1465.9)
Add: Surplus cash & cash equivalent		106.14
Add: Fair value of surplus assets and liabilities		312.40
Enterprise value of KK Ltd.		3204.33
No. of shares		85,284,22 3
Value per share		375.72

### Question 6

Comment on the following by quoting references from appropriate Ind AS.

DS Limited holds some vacant land for which the use is not yet determined. The land is situated in a prominent area of the city where lot of commercial  $\Box$ complexes are coming up and there is no legal restriction to convert the land into a commercial land.

The company is not interested in developing the land to a commercial

complex as it is not its business objective. Currently the land has been let out as a parking lot for the commercial complexes around.

The Company has classified the above property as investment property. It has approached you, an expert in valuation, to obtain fair value of the land for the purpose of disclosure under Ind AS.

On what basis will the land be fair valued under Ind AS?

(ii) DS Limited holds equity shares of a private company. In order to determine the fair value' of the shares, the company used discounted cash flow method as there were no similar shares available in the market.

Under which level of fair value hierarchy will the above inputs be classified? What will be your answer if the quoted price of similar companies were available and can be used for fair valuation of the shares?

### Answer 6

As per Ind AS 113, a fair value measurement of a non-financial asset takes into account a market participant's ability to generate economic benefits by using the asset in its highest and best use or by selling it to another market participant that would use the asset in its highest and best use.

The highest and best use of a non-financial asset takes into account the use of the asset that is physically possible, legally permissible and financially feasible, as follows:

- A use that is physically possible takes into account the physical characteristics of the asset that market participants would take into account when pricing the asset (eg the location or size of a property).
- (b) A use that is legally permissible takes into account any legal restrictions on the use of the asset that market participants would take into account when pricing the asset (eg the zoning regulations applicable to a property).
- A use that is financially feasible takes into account whether a use of the asset that is physically possible and legally permissible generates adequate income or cash flows (taking into account the costs of converting the asset to that use) to produce an investment return that market participants would require from an investment in that asset put to that use.

Highest and best use is determined from the perspective of market participants, even if the entity intends a different use. However, an entity's current use of a non-financial asset is presumed to be its highest and best use unless market or other factors suggest that a different use by market participants would maximise the value of the asset.

To protect its competitive position, or for other reasons, an entity may intend not to use an acquired non-financial asset actively or it may intend not to use the asset according to its highest and best use. Nevertheless, the entity shall measure the fair value of a non-financial asset assuming its highest and best use by market participants.

In the given case, the highest best possible use of the land is to develop a commercial complex. Although developing a business complex is against the business objective of the entity, it does not affect the basis of fair valuation as Ind AS 113 does not consider an entity specific restriction for measuring the fair value.

Also, its current use as a parking lot is not the highest best use as the land has the potential of being used for building a commercial complex.

Therefore, the fair value of the land is the price that would be received when sold to a market participant who is interested in developing a commercial complex.

As per Ind AS 113, unobservable inputs shall be used to measure fair value to the extent that relevant observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date. The unobservable inputs shall reflect the assumptions that market

participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk.

In the given case, DS Limited adopted discounted cash flow method, commonly used technique to value shares, to fair value the shares of the private company as there were no similar shares traded in the market. Hence, it falls under Level 3 of fair value hierarchy.

Level 2 inputs include the following:

- (a) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets.
- (b) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.
- (c) inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.

If an entity can access quoted price in active markets for identical assets or liabilities of similar companies which can be used for fair valuation of the shares without any adjustment, at the measurement date, then it will be considered as observable input and would be considered as Level 2 inputs.

### Question 7

On 1st January, 20X1, A Ltd assumes a decommissioning liability in a business combination. The reporting entity is legally required to dismantle and remove an offshore oil platform at the end of its useful life, which is estimated to be 10 years. The following information is relevant:

If A Ltd was contractually allowed to transfer its decommissioning liability to a market participant, it concludes that a market participant would use all of the following inputs, probability weighted as appropriate, when estimating the price it would expect to receive:

a. Labour costs

Labour costs are developed based on current marketplace wages, adjusted for expectations of future wage increases, required to hire contractors to dismantle and remove offshore oil platforms. A Ltd. assigns probability to a range of cash flow estimates as follows:

Cash	Flox	100 Cr	125 Cr	175 Cr
Estimates:				
Probability:		25%	50%	25%

b. Allocation of overhead costs:

Assigned at 80% of labour cost

- c. The compensation that a market participant would require for undertaking the activity and for assuming the risk associated with the obligation to dismantle and remove the asset. Such compensation includes both of the following:
  - i. Profit on labour and overhead costs:
    - A profit mark-up of 20% is consistent with the rate that a market participant would require as compensation for undertaking the activity
  - ii. The risk that the actual cash outflows might differ from those expected, excluding inflation:
    - A Ltd. estimates the amount of that premium to be 5% of the expected cash flows. The expected cash flows are 'real cash flows' / 'cash flows in terms of monetary value today'.
- d. Effect of inflation on estimated costs and profits
  - A Ltd. assumes a rate of inflation of 4 percent over the 10-year period based on available market data.

- e. Time value of money, represented by the risk-free rate: 5%
- f. Non-performance risk relating to the risk that Entity A will not fulfill the obligation, including A Ltd.'s own credit risk: 3.5%

A Ltd, concludes that its assumptions would be used by market participants. In addition, A Ltd. does not adjust its fair value measurement for the existence of a restriction preventing it from transferring the liability.

You are required to calculate the fair value of the asset retirement obligation.

### Answer 7

		Amount (In Crore
Expected Labour Cost (Refer W.N.)		131.25
Allocated Overheads	(80% x 131.25 Cr)	105.00
Profit markup on Cost	(131.25 + 105) x 20%	47.25
Total Expected Cash Flows before inflation		283.50
Inflation factor for next 10 years (4%)	(1.04)10 =1.4802	
Expected cash flows adjusted for inflation	283.50 x 1.4802	419.65
Risk adjustment - uncertainty relating to cash flows	(5% x 419.64)	20.98
Total Expected Cash Flows	(419.65+20.98)	440.63
Discount rate to be considered = risk-		
free rate + entity's non-performance risk	5% + 3.5%	8.5%
Expected present value at 8.5% for 10 years	(440.63 / (1.08510))	194.97

Working Note: Expected labour cost:

worming motor Empercia ia	bour cost.	
Cash Flows	Probability	Expected Cash
Estimates		Flows
100 Cr	25%	25 Cr
125 Cr	50%	62.50 Cr
175 Cr	25%	43.75 Cr
Total		131.25 Cr

### Question 8

Entity A owns 250 ordinary shares in company XYZ, an unquoted company. Company XYZ has a total share capital of 5,000 shares with nominal value of 10. Entity XYZ's after-tax maintainable profits are estimated at 70,000 per year. An appropriate price/earnings ratio determined from published industry data is 15 (before lack of marketability adjustment). Entity A's management estimates that the discount for the lack of marketability of company XYZ's shares and restrictions on their transfer is 20%. Entity A values its holding in company XYZ's shares based on earnings. Determine the fair value of Entity A's investment in XYZ's shares.

Based on the facts given in the aforementioned part (i), assume that, Entity A estimates the fair value of the shares it owns in company XYZ using a net asset valuation technique. The fair value of company XYZ's net assets including those

recognised in its balance sheet and those that are not recognised is 8,50,000. Determine the fair value of Entity A's investment in XYZ's shares.

### **Answer 8**

An earnings-based valuation of Entity A's holding of shares in company XYZ could be calculated as follows:

Particulars	Unit
Entity XYZ's after-tax maintainable profits (A)	70,000
Price/Earnings ratio (B)	15
Adjusted discount factor (C) (1- 0.20)	0.80
Value of Company XYZ (A) x (B) x (C)	8,40,000

Value of a share of XYZ = ₹ 8,40,000 ÷ 5,000 shares = ₹ 168

The fair value of Entity A's investment in XYZ's shares is estimated at ₹ 42,000 (that is, 250 shares x ₹ 168 per share).

Share price = ₹ 8,50,000 ÷ 5,000 shares = ₹ 170 per share.

The fair value of Entity A's investment in XYZ shares is estimated to be  $\stackrel{?}{<}$  42,500 (250 shares x  $\stackrel{?}{<}$  170 per share).

### Question 5 (Illustration)

A Ltd. has invested in certain bonds. The fair value of these bonds in different markets to which A Ltd. has an access is as follows:

- (i) Principal market 500
- (ii) Highest and best use 600
- (iii) Net present value of expected cash flows 550
- (iv) Asset based valuation approach 450

What will be the fair value of bond as per Ind AS 113?

### Answer 5

As per para 24 of Ind AS 113, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction in the principal (or most advantageous) market at the measurement date under current market conditions (i.e. an exit price) regardless of whether that price is directly observable or estimated using another valuation technique.

Further, para 72 of the standard inter alia states that the fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 inputs) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 inputs).

According to the above, the value of bond shall be ightharpoonup 
ightharpoonu

### Question 6 (Illustration)

Discount Rate assessment to measure present value:

Investment 1 is a contractual right to receive ₹ 800 in 1 year. There is an established market for comparable assets, and information about those assets, including price information, is available. Of those comparable assets:

- a. Investment 2 is a contractual right to receive ₹ 1,200 in 1 year and has a market price of ₹ 1,083.
- Investment 3 is a contractual right to receive ₹ 700 in 2 years and has a market price of
   ₹ 566.

Page 179

All three assets are comparable with respect to risk (that is, dispersion of possible payoffs and credit). You are required to measure the fair value of Asset 1 basis above information.

### Answer 6

On the basis of the timing of the contractual payments to be received for Investment 1 relative to the timing for Investment 2 and Investment 3 (that is, one year for Investment 2 versus two years for Investment 3), Investment 2 is deemed more comparable to Investment 1. Using the contractual payment to be received for Investment 1 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{1}{\times}}$  800) and the 1-year market rate derived from Investment 2, the fair value of Investment 1 is calculated as under:

```
Investment 2 Fair Value ₹ 1,083

Contractual Cash flows in ₹ 1,200

year

IRR = ₹ 1,083 \times (1 + r) = ₹ 1,200

= (1 + r) = (₹ 1,200 / ₹ 1,083) : 1.108


= 1.108 - 1 = 0.108 \text{ or } 10.8\%


Value of Investment 1 = ₹ 800 / 1.108 = ₹ 722
```

Alternatively, in the absence of available market information for Investment 2, the one-year market rate could be derived from Investment 3 using the build-up approach. In that case, the 2-year market rate indicated by Investment 3 would be adjusted to a 1-year market rate using the term structure of the risk-free yield curve. Additional information and analysis might be required to determine whether the risk premiums for one-year and two-year assets are the same. If it is determined that the risk premiums for one-year and two-year assets are not the same, the two-year market rate of return would be further adjusted for that effect.



















Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or (S) +917890770777

<sup>2</sup>age 181

## Chapter 5 Ind AS 115: REVENUE FROM CONTRACTS WITH CUSTOMERS

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Atte	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov	Nov'	Nov	Nov
mpts	21	21	21	18	18	19	22	23	'19	20	'22	'23
			Q19,									
	Q12,		Q20,				Q14,					
	Q16,		Q25,				Q15,			Q21,		
	Q17,		Q26,				Q30,			Q24,	Q13,	Q11,
MTP	Q29		Q27	Q18	Q28	Q22	Q31	Q34	Q23	Q33	Q32	Q35
							Q3,	Q2,				
PYP	Q42		Q4	Q6	Q40	Q5	Q43	Q44		Q41	Q1	
			Q9,									
RTP	Q37		Q36				Q8	Q7	Q10		Q38	Q39

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

A Ltd. is in the business of infrastructure and has two divisions. The brief details of its business and underlying project details are as follows:

Project 1: Ludhiana - Chandigarh Expressway Toll Project

The Company has commenced the construction of the project in the current year. The brief details of the Concession Agreement are given below:

- Total expenses incurred ₹ 100 crore as on 31st March, 2022.
- Under IGAAP, the company has recorded such expenses as intangible assets in the books of account. Total expenses estimated to be incurred on the project are ₹ 200 crore;
- Fair value of the construction service is ₹ 220 crore;
- Total cash flow guaranteed by the government under the concession agreement is ₹ 350 crore;
- Finance revenue over the period of operation phase is ₹ 30 crore;
- Other income relates to the services provided during the operation phase.

#### Project 2: Bengaluru - Chennai Expressway Toll Project

The Company has also entered into another Concession Agreement with Government of Karnataka in the current year. The said concession agreement is Toll Based Project and the Company needs to collect the toll from the users of the expressway. The construction cost for the said project will be ₹ 150 crore. The fair value of such construction cost is approximately ₹ 200 crore. Under IGAAP, the company has recorded the expenses incurred on the said project as an intangible asset.

You are required to answer the following:

- (i) What would be the classification of Ludhiana Chandigarh Expressway Toll Project as per applicable Ind AS? Give brief reasoning.
- (ii) What would be the classification of Bengaluru Chennai Expressway Toll Project as per applicable Ind AS? Give brief reasoning.
- (iii) What should be the accounting entries for the preparation of financial statements as per relevant Ind AS for the above 2 projects? (PYP 10 Marks

#### Nov 22)

#### Answer 1

### (i) Project 1: Ludhiana - Chandigarh Expressway Toll Project

Here the operator has a contractual right to receive cash from the grantor. The grantor has little, if any, discretion to avoid payment, usually because the agreement is enforceable by law. The operator has an unconditional right to receive cash if the grantor contractually guarantees to pay the operator. Hence, the operator recognizes a financial asset to the extent it has a contractual right to receive cash.

#### (ii) Project 2: Bengaluru - Chennai Expressway Toll Project

Here the operator has a contractual right to charge users of the public services. A right to charge users of the public service is not an unconditional right to receive cash because the amounts are contingent on the extent that the public uses the service. Therefore, the operator shall recognise an intangible asset to the extent it receives a right (a license) to charge users of the public service.

## (iii) Accounting Entries for preparation of financial statements Ludhiana-Chandigarh Expressway Toll Project Journal Entries

	Journal Entries		•
	Particulars	Dr.	Cr.
		(₹ in crore)	(₹ in crore)
	During construction:		
1	Financial asset A/c Dr.	220	
	To Construction revenue		220
	(To recognise revenue relating to construction services, to be settled in cash)		
2	Cost of construction (profit or loss) Dr.	200	
	To Bank A/c (As and when incurred)		200
	(To recognise costs relating to construction services)		
	During the operation phase:		
3	Financial asset Dr.	30	
	To Finance revenue (As and when received or due to receive)		30
	(To recognise interest income under the financial asset model)		
4	Financial asset Dr.	100	
	To Revenue [(350-220) – 30]		100
	(To recognise revenue relating to the operation phase)		
5	Bank A/c Dr.	350	
	To Financial asset		350
	(To recognise cash received from the grantor)		

## Bengaluru-Chennai Expressway Toll Project Journal Entries

	Particulars	Dr. (₹ in crore)	Cr. (₹ in crore)
	During construction:		
1	Cost of construction (profit or loss) Dr.	150	
	To Bank A/c (As and when incurred)		150
	(To recognise costs relating to construction services)		
2	Intangible asset Dr.	200	
	To Revenue		200
	(To recognise revenue relating to construction services provided for non-cash consideration)		
	During the operation phase:		
3	Amortisation expense Dr.	200	
	To Intangible asset (accumulated amortisation)		200
	(To recognise amortisation expense relating to the operation phase over the period of operation)		
4	Bank A/c Dr.	,	
	To Revenue		5
	(To recognise revenue relating to the operation phase)		

Note: Amount in entry 4 is kept blank as no information in this regard is given in the question.

#### Question 2

On 1st April, 2021, Z Limited enters into a contract to construct a manufacturing facility for Mint Limited at a fixed consideration of ₹ 30.00 lakhs. Z Limited can earn an incentive of ₹ 3.75 lakhs if the construction is completed within 24 months. Z Limited expects the costs to be ₹ 16.50 lakhs. At the inception of the contract, Z Limited determines that the contract contains single performance obligation satisfied over time. Z Limited also concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will occur as the completion of the manufacturing facility is highly susceptible to factors outside of the Company's influence, due to exceptionally high rainfall in the region.

At 31st March, 2022, Z Limited has satisfied 65% of its performance obligation on the basis of costs incurred to date and concludes that the variable consideration is still constrained due to uncertain weather conditions.

However, on 15th April, 2022, the contract is modified. The fixed consideration is enhanced by  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2.25 lakhs and the expected costs increases by  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1.20 lakhs. The contract period is also extended by 6 months. Z Limited now concludes that it is highly probable that the incentive award will be achieved. The contract remains a single performance obligation.

Compute, as per applicable Ind AS: (i) For financial year 2022-2023□, revenue from the contract, contract costs & resultant profit, (ii) Additional revenue (catch up adjustment) as on the date of modification of the contract i.e. 15th April, 2022. (PYP 6 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 2

#### Year 1 i.e. financial year 2021-2022

In the given case, at contract inception, Z Ltd. will exclude the performance bonus of ₹ 3,75,000 from the transaction price because it is concluded that there is high probability that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised will occur as the completion of the manufacturing facility is highly susceptible to factors outside the entity's influence i.e. exceptionally high rainfall in the region.

#### Therefore, at the inception of the contract, for Z Ltd:

	₹
Transaction Price	30,00,000
Expected costs	(16,50,000)
Expected profit (45%)	13,50,000

As at the year end, 31st March, 2022, Z Ltd. reassessed the variable consideration (i.e. performance bonus) and has concluded that the amount is still uncertain in accordance with paragraphs 56–58 of Ind AS 115. Therefore, the cumulative revenue and costs recognised for the year ended March, 2022 will be as follows:

	₹
Revenue from the contract (65%)	19,50,000
Contract costs	(10,72,500)
Resultant profit	8,77,500

#### Year 2 i.e. financial year 2022-2023

On 15th April, 2022, Z Ltd. and Mint Ltd. agreed to modified the contract. As a result, the fixed consideration and expected costs increased by ₹ 2,25,000 and ₹ 1,20,000 respectively.

At the date of the modification, since Z Ltd. concluded that it is highly probable that incentive amount will be achieved, it has to include incentive amount of ₹ 3,75,000 in

 $_{\text{Page}}185$ 

the transaction price. Therefore, the total potential consideration after the modification is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 36,00,000$  ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 32,25,000$  fixed consideration +  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 3,75,000$  performance bonus) and total estimated cost is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 17,70,000$  ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 16,50,000 + \stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 1,20,000$ ).

Further, since the modified contract remains a single performance obligation, Z Ltd. will account for the contract modification, as if it were part of the original contract in accordance with paragraph 21(b) of Ind AS 115.

Z Ltd. will update its measure of progress and estimates at the date of the modification as a cumulative catch-up adjustment as follows:

modification as a cumulative caten-up adjustment as lonows.				
Calculation of cumulative catch- up adjustment:		₹		
Total potential consideration after the modification	A	36,00,000		
Actual costs incurred	В	10,72,500		
Total expected costs to complete	C	17,70,000		
Percent completion of performance obligation (rounded off)	D = B/C	60.6%		
Revenue recognised till date	E	19,50,000		
Additional revenue to recognised	$F = (A \times D) - E$	2,31,600		

#### Question 3

ANANDAM Ltd. enters into a contract with a customer on 1st April, 2019 for sale of a machine and spare parts. The manufacturing lead time for the machine and spare parts is 3 years.

On completion of manufacturing, ANANDAM Ltd. demonstrates that the machine and spare parts meet the agreed-upon specifications in the contract. The promises to transfer the machine and spare parts are distinct and result in two performance obligations each of which will be satisfied at a point in time.

On 31st March, 2022, the customer pays for the machine and spare parts, but only takes the physical possession of the machine. Although the customer inspects and accepts the spare parts, the customer requests that the spare parts be stored at ANANDAM Ltd.'s warehouse because of its close proximity to the customer's factory.

The customer has legal title to the spare parts and the parts can be identified as belonging to the customer. ANANDAM Ltd. stores the spare parts in a separate section of its warehouse and the parts are ready for immediate shipment at the customer's request. ANANDAM Ltd. expects to hold the spare parts for one to three years and does not have the authority to use the spare parts or direct them to another customer.

Determine how the revenue will be recognized by ANANDAM Ltd. for the different performance obligations as per Ind AS 115? (PYP 6 Marks May '22)

#### **Answer 3**

#### INDENTIFIACTION OF OBLIGATIONS:

ANANDAM Ltd. has made sale of two goods – machine and space parts, whose control is transferred at a point in time. Additionally, company agrees to hold the spare parts for the customer for a period of 1-3 years, which is a separate performance obligation. Therefore, total transaction price shall be allocated amongst 3 performance obligations viz

- (i) Sale of machinery
- (ii) Sale of spare parts
- (iii) Custodial services for storing spare parts.

186

#### RECOGNITION OF REVENUE:

Recognition of revenue for each of the three performance obligations shall occur as follows:

- (i) **Sale of machinery:** Machine has been sold to the customer and physical possession as well as legal title passed to the customer on 31 st March, 2022. Accordingly, revenue for sale of machinery shall be recognised on 31 st March, 2022.
- (ii) **Sale of spare parts:** The customer has made payment for the spare parts and legal title has been passed to specifically identified goods, but such spares continue to be physically held by the entity. In this regard, the company shall evaluate if revenue can be recognized on bill-and-hold basis if **all** below criteria are met:

(a) The reason for the bill-and-hold	The customer has specifically
arrangement must be substantive	requested the entity to store goods in
(for example, the customer has	their warehouse, owing to proximity to
requested the arrangement);	customer's factory.
(b) The product must be identified	The spare parts have been
separately as belonging to the	specifically identified and inspected
customer;	by the customer.
(c) The product currently must be	The spares are identified and
ready for physical transfer to the	segregated, therefore, ready for
customer; and	delivery any time.
(d) The entity cannot have the ability	Spares have been segregated and
to use the product or to direct it to	cannot be redirected to any other
another customer	customer.

Therefore, <u>all</u> the above conditions of bill-and-hold are met and hence, company can recognize revenue for sale of spare parts on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2022.

(iii) **Custodial services:** Such services shall be given for a period of 1 to 3 years from 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2022. Where services are given uniformly and customer receives & consumes benefits simultaneously, revenue for such service shall be recognized on a straight-line basis over a period of time.

#### **Ouestion 4**

GTM Limited has provided the following 4 independent scenarios. You are advised to respond to the queries mentioned at the end of each scenario. Support your answer with the relevant extracts of the applicable Ind AS.

#### Scenario 1

GTM Limited enters into a contract with a customer to sell product G, T and M in exchange for ₹ 1,90,000. GTM Limited will satisfy the performance obligations for each of the product at different points in time. GTM Limited regularly sells product G separately and therefore the stand-alone selling price is directly observable. The stand- alone selling prices of product T and M are not directly observable. Because the stand-alone selling prices for Product T and M are not directly observable, the Company has to estimate them. To estimate the stand-alone selling prices, the Company uses the adjusted market assessment approach for product T and the expected cost plus a margin approach for product M. In making these estimates, the Company maximizes the use of observable inputs.

The entity estimated the stand -alone selling prices as follows:

Product	Stand-alone selling price (₹)
Product G	90,000
Product T	44,000
Product M	66,000
Total	2,00,000

Determine the transaction price allocated to each Product.

#### Scenario 2

GTM Limited regularly sells Products G, T and M individually. The standalone selling prices are as under:

Product	Stand-alone selling price
Product G	90,000
Product T	44,000
Product M	66,000
Total	2,00,000

In addition, the Company regularly sells Products T and M together for  $\raiset{1,00,000}$ . The Company enters into a contract with another customer to sell Products G, T and M in exchange for  $\raiset{1,90,000}$ . GTM Limited will satisfy the performance obligations for each of the products at different points in time; or Product T and M at same point in time.

Determine the allocation of transaction price to Product T and M.

#### Scenario 3

GTM Limited enters into a contract with a customer to sell products G, T and M as described in scenario 2. The contract also includes a promise to transfer product 'Hope'. Total consideration in the contract is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  2,40,000. The stand-alone selling price for product 'Hope' is highly variable because the company sells Product 'Hope' to different customers for a broad range of amounts ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  40,000 to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  65,000). Determine the selling price of Products G, T, M and Hope using the residual approach.

#### Scenario 4

The same facts as in scenario 3 applies to scenario 4 except that the transaction price is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2,25,000$  instead of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2,40,000$ . Discuss how the transaction price should be allocated.(PYP 12 Marks July 21)

#### Answer 4

#### Scenario 1

The customer receives a discount for purchasing the bundle of goods because the sum of the stand-alone selling prices (₹ 2,00,000) exceeds the promised consideration (₹ 1,90,000). The entity considers that there is no observable evidence about the performance obligation to which the entire discount belongs. The discount is allocated proportionately across Products G, T and M. The discount, and therefore the transaction price, is allocated as follows:

Product	Allocated transaction price		
	₹		
Product G	85,500	(₹ 90,000 ÷ ₹ 2,00,000 × ₹ 1,90,000)	
Product	41,800	(₹ 44,000 ÷ ₹ 2,00,000 × ₹ 1,90,000)	

188

T		
Product M	62,700	(₹ 66,000 ÷ ₹ 2,00,000 × ₹ 1,90,000)
Total	<u>1,90,000</u>	

#### Scenario 2

The contract includes a discount of ₹ 10,000 on the overall transaction, which would be allocated proportionately to all three performance obligations when allocating the transaction price using the relative stand-alone selling price method.

However, because the entity regularly sells Products T and M together for ₹ 1,00,000 and Product G for ₹ 90,000, it has evidence that the entire discount of ₹ 10,000 should be allocated to the promises to transfer Products T and M in accordance with paragraph 82 of Ind AS 115.

If the entity transfers control of Products T and M at the same point in time, then the entity could, as a practical matter, account for the transfer of those products as a single performance obligation. That is, the entity could allocate ₹ 90,000 of the transaction prices to the single performance obligation of G and recognise revenue of ₹ 1,00,000 when Products T and M simultaneously transfer to the customer.

If the contract requires the entity to transfer control of Products T and M at different points in time, then the allocated amount of  $\[Tilde{7}\]$  1,00,000 is individually allocated to the promises to transfer Product T (stand-alone selling price of  $\[Tilde{7}\]$  44,000) and Product M (stand-alone selling price of  $\[Tilde{7}\]$  66,000) as follows:

Product	Allocated transaction price		
	₹		
Product T	40,000	(₹ 44,000 ÷ ₹ 1,10,000 total stand-alone selling price × ₹ 1,00,000)	
Product M	60,000	(₹ 66,000 ÷ ₹ 1,10,000 total stand-alone selling price × ₹ 1,00,000)	
Total	1,00,000		

#### Scenario 3

Before estimating the stand-alone selling price of Product Hope using the residual approach, the entity determines whether any discount should be allocated to the other performance obligations in the contract. As in Scenario 2, because the entity regularly sells Products T and M together for ₹ 1,00,000 and Product G for ₹ 90,000, it has observable evidence that ₹ 1,90,000 should be allocated to those three products and ₹ 10,000 discount should be allocated to the promises to transfer Products T and M in accordance with paragraph 82 of Ind AS 115. Using the residual approach, the entity estimates the stand-alone selling price of Product Hope to be ₹ 50,000 as follows:

Product	Stand-alone selling	Method
	price	
	₹	
Product G	90,000	Directly observable
Products T and	1,00,000	Directly observable with
M		discount
Product Hope	_50,000	Residual approach
Total	<u>2,40,000</u>	

The entity observes that the resulting  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  50,000 allocated to Product Hope is within the range of its observable selling prices ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  40,000 to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  65,000).

#### Scenario 4

The same facts as in Scenario 3 apply to Scenario 4 except the transaction price is ₹ 2,25,000 instead of ₹ 2,40,000. Consequently, the application of the residual approach would result in a stand-alone selling price of ₹ 35,000 for Product Hope (₹ 2,25,000 transaction price less ₹ 1,90,000 allocated to Products G, T and M).

The entity concludes that  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  35,000 would not faithfully depict the amount of consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for satisfying its performance obligation to transfer Product Hope, because  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  35,000 does not approximate the stand- alone selling price of Product Hope, which ranges from  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  40,000 to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  65,000.

Consequently, the entity reviews its observable data, including sales and margin reports, to estimate the stand-alone selling price of Product Hope using another suitable method. The entity allocates the transaction price of ₹ 2,25,000 to Products G, T, M and Hope using the relative stand-alone selling prices of those products in accordance with paragraphs 73–80 of Ind AS 115.

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Most of the examinees were able to compute the value of each product in all the scenarios but lacked in explaining the reason for the same. Further, in scenario 4, a few of the examinees considered the minimum price of the product Hope as `40,000 (instead of `35,000) and done the allocation accordingly.

#### Question 5

Orange Ltd. contracts to renovate a five-star hotel including the installation of new elevators on 01.10.2017. Orange Ltd. estimates the transaction price of Rs. 480 lakhs. The expected cost of elevators is Rs. 144 lakhs and expected other costs is Rs. 240 lakhs. Orange Ltd. purchases elevators and they are delivered to the site six months before they will be installed. Orange Ltd. uses an input method based on cost to measure progress towards completion. The entity has incurred actual other costs of Rs. 48 lakhs by 31.03.2018. How much revenue will be recognised as per relevant Ind AS 115 for the year ended 31st March, 2018, if performance obligation is met over a period of time? (PYP 5 Marks, May 19)

#### Answer 5

Cost to be incurred comprises two major components – cost for elevators and cost of construction service.

- (a) The elevators are part of the overall construction project and are not a distinct performance obligation
- (b) The cost of elevators is substantial to the overall project and are incurred well in advance.
- (c) Upon delivery at site, customer acquires control of such elevators.
- (d) There is no modification done to the elevators, which the company only procures and delivers at site. Nevertheless, as part of materials used in overall construction project, the company is a principal in the transaction with the customer for such elevators also.

Therefore, applying the guidance on Input method –

- The measure of progress should be based on percentage of costs incurred relative to the total budgeted costs.

- The cost of elevators should be excluded when measuring such progress and revenue for such elevators should be recognized to the extent of costs incurred.

The revenue to be recognized is measured as follows:

Particulars	Amount (Rs. in lakh)	
Transaction price	480	
Costs incurred:		
(a) Cost of elevators	144	Revenue to be recognised:
(b) Other costs	48	(Rs. in lakh)
Measure of progress	48 / 240 = 20%	
(a) For costs incurred	Total attributable rev	enue = 480 -144
(other than elevators)	= 336	
	%	of work
	complet	ed = 20%
	Revenue	e to be
	recognis	sed = 67.20
(b) Revenue for elevators	(equal to costs incurr	ed) 144
	e 144 + 67.2 = 211.20	
recognized		

Therefore, for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018, the company shall recognize revenue of Rs. 211.20 lakhs on the project.

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Some of the examinees made mistake in calculating the amount of revenue to be recognised while some failed to properly explain the basis for the treatment done. A few examinees erroneously included the cost of elevator in the total cost and revenue.

#### Question 6

Royal Silks, a textile chain operates a customer loyalty programme. It grants programme members loyalty points when they purchase textiles for a specified amount. Programme members can redeem the points for further purchase of textiles. The points have no expiry date. In one period, the entity grants 10,000 points. Management estimates the fair value of textiles for which each loyalty point can be redeemed as Rs. 125. This amount takes into account an estimate of the discount that management expects would otherwise be offered to customers who have not earned award credits from an initial sale. In addition, management expects only 8,000 of these points to be redeemed. At the end of the first year, 4,000 of the points have been redeemed in exchange for textiles, i.e. half of those expected to be redeemed. In the second year, management revises its expectations. It now expects 9,000 points to be redeemed altogether. During the second year, 4,100 points are redeemed. In the third year, a further 900 points are redeemed, i.e. that no more points will be redeemed after the third year. How would the Royal Silks account for the customer loyalty programme? (PYP 4 Marks, May' 18)

#### Answer 6

The fair value of textiles for which each loyalty point can be redeemed as Rs. 125. Since management expects that only 8,000 points to be reimbursed, the revenue that

should be deferred is of Rs. 10,00,000 (8,000 x 125).

#### Year 1

At the end of the first year, 4,000 of the points have been redeemed in exchange for textiles, i.e., half of those expected to be redeemed. The entity recognises revenue of  $(4,000 \text{ points}) \times \text{Rs.} 10,00,000 = \text{Rs.} 5,00,000$ .

#### Year 2

During the second year, 4,100 points are redeemed, bringing the total number redeemed to 4,000 + 4,100 = 8,100 points. The cumulative revenue that the entity recognises is  $(8,100 \text{ points} / 9,000 \text{ points}) \times \text{Rs. } 10,00,000 = \text{Rs. } 9,00,000$ . The entity has recognised revenue of Rs. 5,00,000 in the first year, so it recognises Rs. 4,00,000 in the second year.

#### Year 3

In the third year, a further nine hundred points are redeemed, taking the total number of points redeemed to 8,100 + 900 = 9,000. Management continues to expect that only 9,000 points will ever be redeemed, i.e., that no more points will be redeemed after the third year. So the cumulative revenue to date is (9,000 points / 9,000 points) × Rs. 10,00,000 = Rs. 10,00,000. The entity has already recognised Rs. 9,00,000 of revenue (Rs. 5,00,000 in the first year and Rs. 4,00,000 in the second year). So it recognises the remaining Rs. 1,00,000 in the third year. All of the revenue initially deferred has now been recognized.

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

A few examinees calculated deferred income on the basis of 10,000 points instead of 8000 points.

#### Question 7

Company X enters into an agreement on 1st January, 20X1 with a customer for renovation of hospital and install new air-conditioners for total consideration of ₹ 50,00,000. The promised renovation service, including the installation of new air conditioners is a single performance obligation satisfied over time. Total expected costs are ₹ 40,00,000 including ₹ 10,00,000 for the air-conditioners. Company X determines that it acts as a principal in accordance with Ind AS 115 because it obtains control of the air conditioners before they are transferred to the customer. The customer obtains control of the air conditioners when they are delivered to the hospital premises.

Company X uses an input method based on costs incurred to measure its progress towards complete satisfaction of the performance obligation.

As at 31st March, 20X1, other costs incurred excluding the air conditioners are  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  6,00,000.

Whether Company X should include cost of the air conditioners in measure of its progress of performance obligation? How should revenue be recognized for the year ended 31st March, 20X1? (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 7

Paragraph B19 of Ind AS 115 inter alia, states that, "an entity shall exclude from an input method the effects of any inputs that, in accordance with the objective of measuring progress in paragraph 39, do not depict the entity's performance in transferring control of goods or services to the customer".

In accordance with the above, Company X assesses whether the costs incurred to procure the air conditioners are proportionate to the entity's progress in satisfying the performance obligation. The costs incurred to procure the air conditioners i.e  $\mathbf{\xi}$ 

10,00,000 are significantly relative to the total costs to completely satisfy the performance obligation i.e. ₹ 40,00,000. Also, Company X is not involved in manufacturing or designing of air conditioners.

Company X assesses that as at 31st March, 20X1, the performance is 20% complete (i.e.,  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  6,00,000  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  30,00,000).

Total revenue from renovation work would be = ₹ 50,00,000 - ₹ 10,00,000 = ₹ 40,00,000.

#### Consequently, as at 31st March, 20X1, Company X recognizes the following:

		₹
Revenue	[(₹ 40,00,000 x 20%) + ₹	18,00,00
	10,00,000]	0
Less: Cost of goods	(₹ 6,00,000 of costs incurred	
sold	+	(16,00,00
	₹ 10,00,000 costs of air	0)
	conditioners)	
Profit		
		2,00,000

#### Question 8

XYZ Ltd. sells goods to its customer with a promise to give discount of 5% on list price of the goods provided that the payments are received from customer within 15 days. XYZ Ltd. sold goods of ₹ 5 lakhs to ABC Ltd. between 17 th March, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X1. ABC Ltd. paid the dues by 15th April, 20X1 with respect to sales made between 17th March, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X1. Financial statements were approved for issue by Board of Directors on 31st May, 20X1. State whether discount will be adjusted from the sales at the end of the reporting period. (RTP May '22)

#### Answer 8

As per Ind AS 115, if the consideration promised in a contract includes a variable amount, an entity shall estimate the amount of consideration to which the entity will be entitled in exchange for transferring the promised goods or services to a customer. In the instant case, the condition that sales have been made exists at the end of the reporting period and the receipt of payment within 15 days' time after the end of the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements confirms that the discount is to be provided on those sales. Therefore, it is an adjusting event.

Accordingly, XYZ Ltd. should adjust the sales made to ABC Ltd. With respect to discount of 5% on the list price of the goods.

#### Question 9

A property sale contract includes the following:

(a) Common areas

193

# $_{\rm age}194$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

- (b) Construction services and building material
- (c) Property management services
- (d) Golf membership
- (e) Car park
- (f) Land entitlement

Analyze whether the above items can be considered as separate performance obligations as per the requirements of Ind AS 115? (RTP May 21)

#### Answer 9

Paragraph 22 of Ind AS 115 provides that at contract inception, an entity evaluates the promised goods or services to determine which goods or services (or bundle of goods or services) are distinct and therefore constitute a performance obligation.

A performance obligation is a promise in a contract to transfer to the customer either:

- a good or service (or a bundle of goods or services) that is distinct; and
- series of distinct goods or services that are substantially the same and that have the same pattern of transfer to the customer.

As per paragraph 27 of Ind AS 115, a good or service that is promised to a customer is distinct if both of the following criteria are met:

- (a) the customer can benefit from the good or service either on its own or together with other resources that are readily available to the customer (i.e. the good or service is capable of being distinct); and
- (b) the entity's promise to transfer the good or service to the customer is separately identifiable from other promises in the contract (i.e. the promise to transfer the good or service is distinct within the context of the contract).

Each performance obligation is required to be accounted for separately.

Based on the above guidance, the following table discusses whether the common goods and services in property sale contract should be considered as separate performance obligation or not:

	minance obligation of not.			
Hoods/Servic	Whether a	Reason		
е	separate			
	Performance			
	obligation (PO) or			
	not			
Common	Unlikely to be	Common arong are unlikely to be a congrete		
		Common areas are unlikely to be a separate		
areas	separate PO	performance obligation because the interests		
		received in common areas are typically		
		undivided interests that are not separable		
		from the property itself.		
		However, if the common areas were sold		
		separately by the developer, then they could		
		be considered as a separate performance		
		obligation provided that it is distinct in the		
		context of the contract.		
Construction	Unlikely to be	Construction services and building		
services and	separate PO	materials can meet the first criterion as		
building		they are items that can be used in		
material		conjunction with other readily available		
material		goods or services.		
		However, the developer would be considered		
		_		
		to be providing a significant integration		
		service as it is bringing together all the		
		separate elements to deliver a complete		

		building.
Property management services and Golf membership	Likely to be separate PO	Property management services and golf membership are likely to be separate performance obligations as they may be used in isolation or with the property already acquired, i.e., management services can be used with the property. These types of services are not significantly customised, integrated with, or dependent on the property. This is because there is no change in their function with or without the property. Also, a property management service could be undertaken by a third party.
Car park and Land entitlement	Analysis required	Items such as car parks and land entitlements generally meet the first criterion – i.e., capable of being distinct – as the buyer benefits from them on their own. Whether the second criterion is met depends on the facts and circumstances. For example, if the land entitlement can be sold separately or pledged as security as a separate item, it may indicate that it is not highly dependent on, or integrated with, other rights received in the contract. In an apartment scenario, the customer can receive an undivided interest in the land on which the apartment block sits. This type of right is generally considered as highly interrelated with the apartment itself.*

<sup>\*</sup> However, if title to the land is transferred to the buyer separately – for example in a single party development – then the separately identifiable criterion may be met. PS: Other facts and circumstances of each contract should also be carefully examined to determine performance obligations.

#### Question 10

An entity G Ltd. enters into a contract with a customer P Ltd. for the sale of a machinery for Rs.20,00,000. P Ltd. intends to use the said machinery to start a food processing unit. The food processing industry is highly competitive and P Ltd. has very little experience in the said industry. P Ltd. pays a non-refundable deposit of Rs.1,00,000 at inception of the contract and enters into a long-term financing agreement with G Ltd. for the remaining 95 per cent of the agreed consideration which it intends to pay primarily from income derived from its food

 $^{2}$ <sub>age</sub>195

processing unit as it lacks any other major source of income. The financing arrangement is provided on a non-recourse basis, which means that if P Ltd. defaults then G Ltd. can repossess the machinery but cannot seek further compensation from P Ltd., even if the full value of the amount owed is not recovered from the machinery. The cost of the machinery for G Ltd. is Rs. 12,00,000. P Ltd. obtains control of the machinery at contract inception.

When should G Ltd. recognize revenue from sale of machinery to P Ltd. in accordance with Ind AS 115? (RTP Nov'19)

#### Answer 10

As per paragraph 9 of Ind AS 115, "An entity shall account for a contract with a customer that is within the scope of this Standard only when all of the following criteria are met: the parties to the contract have approved the contract (in writing, orally or in accordance with other customary business practices) and are committed to perform their respective obligations;

- (a) the entity can identify each party's rights regarding the goods or services to be transferred;
- (b) the entity can identify the payment terms for the goods or services to be transferred;
- (c) the contract has commercial substance (I e the risk, timing or amount of the entity's
- (d) future cash flows is expected to change as a result of the contract); and it is probable that the entity will collect the consideration to which it will be entitled in exchange for the goods or services that will be transferred to the customer. In evaluating whether collectability of an amount of consideration is probable, an entity shall consider only the customer's ability and intention to pay that amount of consideration when it is due. The amount of consideration to which the entity will be entitled may be less than the price stated in the contract if the consideration is variable because the entity may offer the customer a price concession".

Paragraph 9(e) above, requires that for revenue to be recognized, it should be probable that the entity will collect the consideration to which it will be entitled in exchange for the goods or services that will be transferred to the customer. In the given case, it is not probable that G Ltd. will collect the consideration to which it is entitled in exchange for the transfer of the machinery. P Ltd.'s ability to pay may be uncertain due to the following reasons:

- (a) P Ltd. intends to pay the remaining consideration (which has a significant balance) primarily from income derived from its food processing unit (which is a business involving significant risk because of high competition in the said industry and P Ltd.'s little experience);
- (b) P Ltd. lacks sources of other income or assets that could be used to repay the balance consideration; and
- (c) P Ltd.'s liability is limited because the financing arrangement is provided on a non-recourse basis.

In accordance with the above, the criteria in paragraph 9 of Ind AS 115 are not met. Further, para 15 states that when a contract with a customer does not meet the criteria in paragraph 9 and an entity receives consideration from the customer, the entity shall recognize the consideration received as revenue only when either of the following events has occurred:

- (a) the entity has no remaining obligations to transfer goods or services to the customer and all, or substantially all, of the consideration promised by the customer has been received by the entity and is non-refundable; or
- (b) the contract has been terminated and the consideration received from the

customer is non-refundable.

Para 16 states that an entity shall recognize the consideration received from a customer as a liability until one of the events in paragraph 15 occurs or until the criteria in paragraph 9 are subsequently met. Depending on the facts and circumstances relating to the contract, the liability recognized represents the entity's obligation to either transfer goods or services in the future or refund the consideration received. In either case, the liability shall be measured at the amount of consideration received from the customer.

In accordance with the above, in the given case G Ltd. should account for the non-refundable deposit of Rs.1,00,000 payment as a deposit liability as none of the events described in paragraph 15 have occurred—that is, neither the entity has received substantially all of the consideration nor it has terminated the contract. Consequently, in accordance with paragraph 16, G Ltd. Will continue to account for the initial deposit as well as any future payments of principal and interest as a deposit liability until the criteria in paragraph 9 are met (i.e. the entity is able to conclude that it is probable that the entity will collect the consideration) or one of the events in paragraph 15 has occurred. Further, G Ltd. will continue to assess the contract in accordance with paragraph 14 to determine whether the criteria in paragraph 9 are subsequently met or whether the events in paragraph 15 of Ind AS 115 have occurred.

#### Question 11

A Ltd. a telecommunication company, entered into an agreement with B Ltd. which is engaged in generation and supply of power. The agreement provided that A Ltd. will provide 1,00,000 minutes of talk time to employees of B Ltd. in exchange for getting power equivalent to 20,000 units. A Ltd. normally charges ₹ 0.50 per minute and B Ltd. charges ₹ 2.5 per unit. How should revenue be measured in this case? (MTP 6 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 11

Paragraph 5(d) of Ind AS 115 excludes non-monetary exchanges between entities in the same line of business to facilitate sales to customers or potential customers. For example, this Standard would not apply to a contract between two oil companies that agree to an exchange of oil to fulfil demand from their customers in different specified locations on a timely basis.

However, the current scenario will be covered under Ind AS 115 since the same is exchange of dissimilar goods or services.

As per paragraph 47 of Ind AS 115, an entity shall consider the terms of the contract and its customary business practices to determine the transaction price. The transaction price is the amount of consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring promised goods or services to a customer, excluding amounts collected on behalf of third parties (for example, some sales taxes). The consideration promised in a contract with a customer may include fixed amounts, variable amounts, or both.

Paragraph 66 of Ind AS 115 provides that to determine the transaction price for contracts in which a customer promises consideration in a form other than cash, an entity shall measure the non-cash consideration (or promise of non-cash consideration) at fair value.

On the basis of the above, revenue recognised by A Ltd. will be the consideration in the form of power units that it expects to be entitled for talk time sold, i.e.  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 50,000$  (20,000 units x  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 2.5$ ). The revenue recognised by B Ltd. will be the consideration in the form of talk time that it expects to be entitled for the power units sold, i.e.,  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 50,000$  (1,00,000

minutes  $x \neq 0.50$ ).

#### Question 12

NKT Limited sells a product to a customer for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  1,21,000 that is payable 24 months after delivery. The customer obtains control of the product at contract inception. The contract permits the customer to return the product within 90 days. The product is new and the entity has no relevant historical evidence of product returns or other available market evidence. The cash selling price of the product is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  1,00,000 which represents the amount that the customer would pay upon delivery for the same product sold under otherwise identical terms and conditions as at contract inception. The entity's cost of the product is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  80,000. The contract includes an implicit interest rate of 10 per cent.

Analyse the above transaction with respect to its financing component and compute the amount to be charged in each year as financing component. (MTP 5 Marks Oct '21)

#### Answer 12

Until the entity receives the cash payment from the customer, interest revenue would be recognised in accordance with Ind AS 109. In determining the effective interest rate in accordance with Ind AS 109, the entity would consider the remaining contractual term.

#### Calculation of interest income:

Year	Opening balance (a)	Interest @ 10% (b) = (a) x 10%	Payment (c)	Closing balance (d) = (a) + (b) -(c)
1	1,00,000	10,000	-	1,10,000
2	1,10,000	11,000	1,21,000	-

Hence, the interest revenue with respect to financing component of the transaction to be recognized in the Year 1 and Year 2 is ₹ 10,000 and ₹ 11,000 respectively.

#### Question 13

On 1st April, 20X1, S Limited enters into a contract with Corp Limited to construct heavy-duty equipment for a promised consideration of ₹ 20,00,000 with a bonus of ₹ 2,50,000 if the equipment is completed within 24 months. At the inception of the contract, S Limited correctly accounts for the promised bundle of goods and services as a single performance obligation in accordance with Ind AS 115. At the inception of the contract, the Company expects the costs to be ₹ 11,00,000 and concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will occur. Completion of the heavy-duty equipment is highly susceptible to factors outside of the Company's influence, mainly due to difficulties with the supply of components.

At 31st March, 20X2, S Limited has satisfied 65% of its performance obligation

198

on the basis of costs incurred to date and concludes that the variable consideration is still constrained in accordance with Ind AS 115. However, on 4th June, 20X2, the contract is modified with the result that the fixed consideration and expected costs increase by ₹ 1,50,000 and ₹ 80,000 respectively. The time allowable for achieving the bonus is extended by six months with the result that S Limited concludes that it is highly probable that the bonus will be achieved and that the contract remains a single performance obligation.

S Limited wants your opinion on the accounting treatment of contract with Corp Limited in light of Ind AS 115, for the year 20X1-20X2 and 20X2-20X3. (12 Marks MTP Oct'22, 10 Marks MTP April '23, RTP May '22)

#### Answer 13

#### For the year 20X1-20X2

S Limited accounts for the promised bundle of goods and services as a single performance obligation satisfied over time in accordance with Ind AS 115. At the inception of the contract,

S Limited expects the following:

Transaction price – ₹ 20,00,000

Expected costs -₹ 11,00,000

Expected profit (45%) – ₹ 9,00,000

At contract inception, S Limited excludes the ₹ 2,50,000 bonus from the transaction price because it cannot conclude that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised will not occur. Completion of the heavy-duty equipment is highly susceptible to factors outside the entity's influence.

By the end of the first year, the entity has satisfied 65% of its performance obligation on the basis of costs incurred to date. Costs incurred to date are therefore ₹ 7,15,000 and S Limited reassesses the variable consideration and concludes that the amount is still constrained. Therefore at 31st March, 20X2, the following would be recognized:

Revenue (A) -₹ 13,00,000 (₹ 20,00,000 x 65%)

Costs (B) - ₹ 7,15,000 (₹ 11,00,000 x 65%)

Gross profit (C) i.e.(A-B) – ₹ 5,85,000

For the year 20X2-20X3

On 4th June, 20X2, the contract is modified. As a result, the fixed consideration and expected costs increase by ₹ 1,50,000 and ₹ 80,000, respectively.

The total potential consideration after the modification is  $\gtrless$  24,00,000 which is  $\gtrless$  21,50,000 fixed consideration +  $\gtrless$  2,50,000 completion bonus. In addition, the allowable time for achieving the bonus is extended by six months with the result that S Limited concludes that it is highly probable that including the bonus in the transaction price will not result i n a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized in accordance with Ind AS 115. Therefore, the bonus of  $\gtrless$  2,50,000 can be included in the transaction price.

S Limited also concludes that the contract remains a single performance obligation. Thus, S Limited accounts for the contract modification as if it were part of the original contract. Therefore, S Limited updates its estimates of costs and revenue as follows: S Limited has satisfied 60.60% of its performance obligation ( ₹ 7,15,000 actual costs incurred compared to ₹ 11,80,000 total expected costs). The entity recognizes additional revenue of ₹ 1,54,400 [(60.60% of ₹ 24,00,000) – ₹ 13,00,000 revenue recognized to date] at the date of modification i.e. on 4th June, 20X2 as a cumulative catch-up adjustment.

#### Question 14

Entity sells gym memberships for ₹ 7,500 per year to 100 customers, with an

190

option to renew at a discount in 2nd and 3rd years at ₹ 6,000 per year. Entity estimates an annual attrition rate of 50% each year. Determine the amount of revenue to be recognized in the first year and the amount of contract liability against the option given to the customer for renewing the membership at discount. (MTP 5 Marks April 22)

#### Answer 14

Allocated price per unit (year) is calculated as follows:

Total estimated memberships is 175 members (Year 1 = 100; Year 2 = 50; Year 3 = 25)

Total consideration is ₹ 12,00,000  $\{(100 \times 7,500) + (50 \times 6,000) + (25 \times 10^{-5})\}$ 6,000)} Allocated price per membership is ₹ 6,857 approx. (12,00,000 /

Basis on above, it is to be noted that although entity has collected ₹ 7,500 but revenue can be recognized at ₹ 6,857 approx. per membership and remaining ₹ 643 should be recorded as contract liability against option given to customer for renewing their membership at discount.

#### Question 15

During 20X1-20X2, XYZ Ltd. completed a large contract to supply a customized equipment for one customer for a total consideration of ₹ 5,00,000 received fully in cash. As a special arrangement and in order to procure the customer's order, XYZ Ltd agreed to maintain the equipment for three years from the date of installation. Had there been no maintenance requirement, the sale would have been for an amount of ₹ 4,85,500. If maintenance alone was required, it would have cost the customer ₹ 12,500 per annum.

Explain the requirements of Ind AS in relation to the XYZ Ltd.'s supply of customized contract and the maintenance that has been agreed to be provided to the customer. Ignore discounting and calculate the amounts to be recognized in the financial statements as at 31 st March, 20X2. (MTP 4 Marks March '22)

#### Answer 15

#### As per para 81 of Ind AS 115

- a customer receives a discount for purchasing a bundle of goods or services if the sum of the stand-alone selling prices of those promised goods or services in the contract exceeds the promised consideration in a contract.
- except when an entity has observable evidence in accordance with paragraph 82 that the entire discount relates to only one or more, but not all, performance obligations in a contract, the entity shall allocate a discount proportionately to all performance obligations in the contract.
- the proportionate allocation of the discount in those circumstances is a consequence of the entity allocating the transaction price to each performance obligation on the basis of the relative stand-alone selling prices of the underlying distinct goods or services.

#### Amount to be recognised:

In this case, there are two separately identifiable performance obligations one being sale of the equipment and second being maintenance contract for three years.

For recognition of revenue, relative stand-alone selling price of the individual components may be taken and the consideration allocated in proportion of relative fair values, i.e. 4,85,500: 37,500\* (i.e. 12,500 x 3). Hence, the sale of equipment should be recognised at  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  4,64,149 [ $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  5,00,000 x {4,85,500 / (4,85,500 + 37,500)}] when all other conditions for sale of the equipment are fulfilled and the revenue from

maintenance services of  $\raisebox{0.000} \raisebox{0.000} x {37,500 / (4,85,500 + 37,500)}] should be the service revenue recognised over a period of three years as per its stage of completion.$ 

#### Question 16

Telco T Ltd. enters into a two-year contract for internet services with Customer C. C also buys a modem and a router from T Ltd. and obtains title to the equipment. T Ltd. does not require customers to purchase its modems and routers and will provide internet services to customers using other equipment that is compatible with T Ltd.'s network. There is a secondary market in which modems and routers can be bought or sold for amounts greater than scrap value. Determine how many performance obligations does the entity T Ltd. have? (MTP 6 Marks Oct 21 & April 22)

#### Answer 16

T Ltd. concludes that the modem and router are each distinct and that the arrangement includes three performance obligations (the modem, the router and the internet services) based on the following evaluation:

**Criterion 1:** Capable of being distinct

- C can benefit from the modem and router on their own because they can be resold for more than scrap value.
- C can benefit from the internet services in conjunction with readily available resources
  - i.e. either the modem and router are already delivered at the time of contract setup, they could be bought from alternative retail vendors or the internet service could be used with different equipment.

#### Criterion 2: Distinct within the context of the contract

- T Ltd. does not provide a significant integration service.
- The modem, router and internet services do not modify or customize one another.
- C could benefit from the internet services using routers and modems that are not sold by T Ltd.

Therefore, the modem, router and internet services are not highly dependent on or highly inter-related with each other.

#### Question 17

Growth Ltd. enters into an arrangement with a customer for infrastructure outsourcing deal. Based on its experience, Growth Ltd. determines that customising the infrastructure will take approximately 200 hours in total to complete the project and charges ₹ 150 per hour. After incurring 100 hours of time, Growth Ltd. and the customer agree to change an aspect of the project and increases the estimate of labour hours by 50 hours at the rate of ₹ 100 per hour. Determine how contract modification will be accounted as per Ind AS 115? (MTP 5 Marks Oct 21)

#### Answer 17

Considering that the remaining goods or services are not distinct, the modification will be accounted for on a cumulative catch-up basis, as given below:

Particulars	Hours	Rate (₹)	Amount (₹)
Initial contract amount	200	150	30,000

,age 201

# Page 202

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Modification in contract	50	100	5,000
Contract amount after modification	250	140*	35,000
Revenue to be recognised	100	140	14,000
Revenue already booked	100	150	<u>15,000</u>
Adjustment in revenue			(1,000)

<sup>\*₹ 35,000 / 250</sup> hours = ₹ 140.

#### **Question 18**

X Ltd. is engaged in manufacturing and selling of designer furniture. It sells goods on extended credit. X Ltd. sold furniture for Rs. 40,00,000 to a customer, the payment against which was receivable after 12 months with interest at the rate of 3% per annum. The market interest rate on the date of transaction was 8% per annum. Calculate the revenue to be recognized by X Ltd. for the above transactions. (MTP 6 Marks March '18)

#### Answer 18

X Ltd. should determine the fair value of revenue by calculating the present value of the cash flows receivable.

Total amount receivable = Rs.  $40,00,000 \times 1.03 = Rs. 41,20,000$ . Present Value of receivable (Revenue) = Rs. 41,20,000/1.08 = Rs. 38,14,815. = Rs. 41,20,000 - Rs. 38,14,815 = Rs. 3,05,185.

Therefore, on transaction date, Rs. 38,14,815 will be recognized as revenue from sale of goods and Rs. 3,05,185 will be recognized as interest income receivable for the period in accordance with Ind AS 109.

#### Question 19

Entity AB Ltd. enters into a three-year service contract with a customer CD Ltd. for Rs. 4,50,000 (Rs.1,50,000 per year). The standalone selling price for one year of service at inception of the contract is Rs.1,50,000 per year. AB Ltd. accounts for the contract as a series of distinct services.

At the beginning of the third year, the parties agree to modify the contract as follows:

- (i) the fee for the third year is reduced to Rs.1,20,000; and
- (ii) CD Ltd. agrees to extend the contract for another three years for Rs.3,00,000 (Rs.1,00,000 per year).

The standalone selling price for one year of service at the time of modification is Rs. 1,20,000. How should AB Ltd. account for the modification? Analyze. (MTP 5 Marks April '21)

#### Answer 19

Paragraph 20 of Ind AS 115, inter alia, states that, "An entity shall account for a contract modification as a separate contract if both of the following conditions are present:

- (a) the scope of the contract increases because of the addition of promised goods or services that are distinct (in accordance with paragraphs 26–30); and
- (b) the price of the contract increases by an amount of consideration that reflects the entity's stand-alone selling prices of the additional promised goods or services and any appropriate adjustments to that price to reflect the circumstances of the particular contract.

In accordance with the above, it may be noted that a contract modification should be accounted for prospectively if the additional promised goods or services are distinct and the pricing for those goods or services reflects their stand-alone selling price.

In the given case, even though the remaining services to be provided are distinct, the modification should not be accounted for as a separate contract because the price of the contract did not increase by an amount of consideration that reflects the standalone selling price of the additional services. The modification would be accounted for, from the date of the modification, as if the existing arrangement was terminated and a new contract created (i.e. on a prospective basis) because the remaining services to be provided are distinct.

AB Ltd. should reallocate the remaining consideration to all of the remaining services to be provided (i.e. the obligations remaining from the original contract and the new obligations). AB Ltd. will recognize a total of Rs.4,20,000 (Rs.1,20,000 + Rs.3,00,000) over the remaining four-year service period (one year remaining under the original contract plus three additional years) or Rs.1,05,000 per year.

#### Question 20

Mercury Ltd. has sold goods to Mars Ltd. at a consideration of Rs. 10 lakhs, the receipt of which receivable in three equal installments of Rs. 3,33,333 over a two year period (receipts on 1st April, 20X1, 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3).

The company is offering a discount of 5% (i.e. Rs. 50,000) if payment is made in full at the time of sale. The sale agreement reflects an implicit interest rate of 5.36% p.a.

The total consideration to be received from such sale is at Rs. 10 Lakhs and hence, the management has recognised the revenue from sale of goods for Rs. 10 lakhs. Further, the management is of the view that there is no difference in this aspect between Indian GAAP and Ind AS.

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance of the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment along with working for the same. Also show its presentation in the company's profit & loss and balance sheet. (MTP 6 Marks March '21, MTP 8 Marks Oct'18)

#### Answer 20

The revenue from sale of goods shall be recognised at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable. The fair value of the consideration is determined by discounting all future receipts using an imputed rate of interest where the receipt is deferred beyond normal credit terms. The difference between the fair value and the nominal amount of the consideration is recognised as interest revenue.

The fair value of consideration (cash price equivalent) of the sale of goods is calculated as follows:

	_
- 1	っっ
	c.

Year	Considerati on (Installme nt)	Present value factor	Present value of considerati on
Time of sale	3,33,333	-	3,33,333
End of 1 <sup>st</sup> year	3,33,333	0.949	3,16,333
End of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year	<u>3,33,334</u>	0.901	3,00,334

,age 203

10,00,000	9,50,000

The Company that agrees for deferring the cash inflow from sale of goods will recognise the revenue from sale of goods and finance income as follows:

Initial recognition of sale of goods		Rs.	Rs.
Cash	Dr.	3,33,333	
Trade Receivable	Dr.	6,16,667	
To Sale			9,50,000
Recognition of interest expense and receipt of second installment			
Cash	Dr.	3,33,333	
To Interest Income			33,053
To Trade Receivable			3,00,280
Recognition of interest expense and payment of final installment			
Cash	Dr	-,,-	
		34	
To Interest Income (Balancing figure)			16,947
To Trade Receivable			3,16,387

Statement of Profit and Loss (extracts) for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3
Rs.

	As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X3
Income		
Sale of Goods	9,50,000	-
Other Income (Finance income)	33,053	16,947

Balance Sheet (extracts) as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 and 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X3 Rs.

	As at 20X2	31 <sup>st</sup>	March,	As at 20X3	31 <sup>st</sup>	March,
Assets						
<b>Current Assets</b>						
<u>Financial Assets</u>						
Trade Receivables	3,16,387	7		_		

#### Question 21

An entity enters into a contract for the sale of Product A for Rs. 1,000. As part of the contract, the entity gives the customer a 40% discount voucher for any future purchases up to Rs. 1,000 in the next 30 days. The entity intends to offer a 10% discount on all sales during the next 30 days as part of a seasonal promotion. The 10% discount cannot be used in addition to the 40% discount voucher. The entity believes there is 80% likelihood that a customer will redeem the

 $_{\text{Page}}204$ 

voucher and on an average, a customer will purchase Rs. 500 of additional

Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have and their stand-alone selling price and allocated transaction price? (MTP 5 Marks May '20, PYP 4 Marks Nov '22)

#### Answer 21

Since all customers will receive a 10% discount on purchases during the next 30 days, the only additional discount that provides the customer with a material right is the incremental discount of 30% on the products purchased. The entity accounts for the promise to provide the incremental discount as a separate performance obligation in the contract for the sale of Product A.

The entity believes there is 80% likelihood that a customer will redeem the voucher and on an average, a customer will purchase Rs. 500 of additional products. Consequently, the entity's estimated stand-alone selling price of the discount voucher is Rs. 120 (Rs. 500 average purchase price of additional products x 30% incremental discount x 80% like lihood of exercising the option). The stand-alone selling prices of Product A and the discount voucher and the resulting allocation of the Rs. 1,000 transaction price are as follows:

Perform	ance obligations	Stand-alone selling price
Product A		Rs. 1,000
Discount voucher		<u>Rs. 120</u>
Total		Rs. 1,120
Performance		Allocated transaction price
obligations		(to nearest Rs.10)
Product A	(Rs. 1000 ÷ Rs. 1120 × Rs. 1000)	Rs. 890
Discount voucher	(Rs. 120 ÷ Rs. 1120 × Rs. 1000)	Rs. 110
Total		Rs. 1000

The entity allocates Rs. 890 to Product A and recognises revenue for Product A when control transfers. The entity allocates Rs. 110 to the discount voucher and recognises revenue for the voucher when the customer redeems it for goods or services or when it expires.

#### **Question 22**

An entity enters into a contract with a customer for two intellectual property licences (Licences A and B), which the entity determines to represent two performance obligations each satisfied at a point in time. The stand-alone selling prices of Licences A and B are Rs. 1,600,000 and Rs. 2,000,000, respectively. The entity transfers Licence B at inception of the contract and transfers Licence A one month later.

Case A—Variable consideration allocated entirely to one performance obligation The price stated in the contract for Licence A is a fixed amount of Rs. 1,600,000 and for Licence B the consideration is three per cent of the customer's future sales of products that use Licence B. For purposes of allocation, the entity estimates its sales-based royalties (ie the variable consideration) to be Rs. 2,000,000. Allocate the transaction price.

Case B—Variable consideration allocated on the basis of stand-alone selling prices

The price stated in the contract for Licence A is a fixed amount of Rs. 600,000 and for Licence B the consideration is five per cent of the customer's future sales of products that use Licence B. The entity's estimate of the salesbased royalties (ie the variable consideration) is Rs. 3,000,000. Allocate the transaction price and determine the revenue to be recognized for each licence and the contract liability, if any. (MTP 8 Marks Mar '19)

#### **Answer 22**

## <u>Case A—Variable consideration allocated entirely to one performance obligation</u>

To allocate the transaction price, the entity considers the criteria in paragraph 85 and concludes that the variable consideration (i.e. the sales-based royalties) should be allocated entirely to Licence B. The entity concludes that the criteria are met for the following reasons:

- a. the variable payment relates specifically to an outcome from the performance obligation to transfer Licence B (i.e. the customer's subsequent sales of products that use Licence B).
- b. entity transfers Licence B at inception of the contract and transfers Licence A one month later. Upon the transfer of Licence B, the entity does not recognize revenue because the consideration allocated to Licence B is in the form allocating the expected royalty amounts of Rs. 2,000,000 entirely to Licence B is consistent with the allocation objective in paragraph 73 of Ind AS 115. This is because the entity's estimate of the amount of sales-based royalties (Rs. 2,000,000) approximates the stand- alone selling price of Licence B and the fixed amount of Rs. 1,600,000 approximates the stand-alone selling price of Licence A. The entity allocates Rs. 1,600,000 to Licence A. This is because, based on an assessment of the facts and circumstances relating to both licences, allocating to Licence B some of the fixed consideration in addition to all of the variable consideration would not meet the allocation objective in paragraph 73 of Ind AS 115.

The of a sales-based royalty. Therefore, the entity recognizes revenue for the sales-based royalty when those subsequent sales occur. When Licence A is transferred, the entity recognizes as revenue the Rs. 1,600,000 allocated to Licence A.

## Case B—Variable consideration allocated on the basis of stand-alone selling prices

To allocate the transaction price, the entity applies the criteria in paragraph 85 of Ind AS 115 to determine whether to allocate the variable consideration (ie the salesbased royalties) entirely to Licence B.

In applying the criteria, the entity concludes that even though the variable payments relate specifically to an outcome from the performance obligation to transfer Licence B (ie the customer's subsequent sales of products that use Licence B), allocating the variable consideration entirely to Licence B would be inconsistent with the principle for allocating the transaction price. Allocating Rs. 600,000 to Licence A and Rs. 3,000,000 to Licence B does not reflect a reasonable allocation of the transaction price on the basis of the stand-alone selling prices of Licences A and B of Rs. 1,600,000 and Rs. 2,000,000, respectively. Consequently, the entity applies the general allocation requirements of Ind AS 115.

The entity allocates the transaction price of Rs. 600,000 to Licences A and B on the

basis of relative stand-alone selling prices of Rs. 1,600,000 and Rs. 2,000,000, respectively. The entity also allocates the consideration related to the sales-based royalty on a relative stand-alone selling price basis. However, when an entity licenses intellectual property in which the consideration is in the form of a sales-based royalty, the entity cannot recognise revenue until the later of the following events: the subsequent sales occur or the performance obligation is satisfied (or partially satisfied).

Licence B is transferred to the customer at the inception of the contract and Licence A is transferred three months later. When Licence B is transferred, the entity recognises as revenue Rs. 333,333 [(Rs.  $2,000,000 \div Rs. 3,600,000$ ) × Rs. 600,000] allocated to Licence B. When Licence A is transferred, the entity recognises as revenue Rs. 266,667 [(Rs.  $1,600,000 \div Rs. 3,600,000$ ) × Rs. 600,000] allocated to Licence A.

In the first month, the royalty due from the customer's first month of sales is Rs. 400,000. Consequently, the entity recognises as revenue Rs. 222,222 (Rs.  $2,000,000 \div Rs. 3,600,000 \times Rs. 400,000$ ) allocated to Licence B (which has been transferred to the customer and is therefore a satisfied performance obligation). The entity recognises a contract liability for the Rs. 177,778 (Rs.  $1,600,000 \div Rs. 3,600,000 \times Rs. 400,000$ ) allocated to Licence A. This is because although the subsequent sale by the entity's customer has occurred, the performance obligation to which the royalty has been allocated has not been satisfied.

#### **Question 23**

KK Ltd. runs a departmental store which awards 10 points for every purchase of Rs. 500 which can be discounted by the customers for further shopping with the same merchant. Unutilized points will lapse on expiry of two years from the date of credit. Value of each point is Rs. 0.50. During the accounting period 20X1-20X2, the entity awarded 1,00,00,000 points to various customers of which 18,00,000 points remained undiscounted. The management expects only 80% will be discounted in future of which normally 60-70% are redeemed during the next year.

The Company has approached your firm with the following queries and has asked you to suggest the accounting treatment (Journal Entries) under the applicable Ind AS for these award points:

- (a) How should the recognition be done for the sale of goods worth Rs. 10,00,000 on a particular day?
- (b) How should the redemption transaction be recorded in the year 20X1-20X2? The Company has requested you to present the sale of goods and redemption as independent transaction. Total sales of the entity is Rs. 5,000 lakhs.
- (c) How much of the deferred revenue should be recognised at the yearend (20X1-20X2) because of the estimation that only 80% of the outstanding points will be redeemed?
- (d) In the next year 20X2-20X3, 60% of the outstanding points were discounted Balance 40% of the outstanding points of 20X1-20X2 still remained outstanding. How much of the deferred revenue should the merchant recognize in the year 20X2-20X3 and what will be the amount of balance deferred revenue?
- (e) How much revenue will the merchant recognized in the year 20X2-20X3, if 3,00,000 points are redeemed in the year 20X2-20X3?

#### (MTP 14 Marks Oct '19, 10 Marks Oct'20, RTP May '19) Answer 23

(a) Points earned on Rs. 10,00,000 @ 10 points on every Rs.  $500 = [(10,00,000/500) \times 10] = 20,000$  points.

Value of points = 20,000 points x Rs. 0.5 each point = Rs. 10,000

		<b>-</b> 0,000 r		caer perre	
Revenue recognized for		Rs.	[10,00,000	X	
sale of goo	ods		9,90,099	(10,00,000/10,10,000)]	
Revenue	for	points	Rs. 9,901	[10,00,000	X
deferred				(10,000/10,10,000)	

Journal Entry

		Rs.	Rs.
Bank A/c	Dr.	10,00,00	
To Sales A/c		0	9,90,09 9
To Liability under Customer Loyalty programme			9,901

- (b) Points earned on Rs. 50,00,00,000 @ 10 points on every Rs. $500 = [(50,00,00,000/500) \times 10] = 1,00,00,000$  points.
- (c) Value of points = 1,00,00,000 points x Rs. 0.5 each point = Rs. 50,00,000 Revenue recognized for sale of goods = Rs. 49,50,49,505 [50,00,00,000 x (50,00,00,000 / 50,50,00,000)]

  Revenue for points = Rs. 49,50,495 [50,00,00,000x (50,00,000 /

Revenue for points = Rs. 49,50,495 [50,00,00,000x (50,00,000 ) 50,50,00,000]

Journal Entry in the year 20X1

Cournar Energ in the			
		Rs.	Rs.
Bank A/c	Dr.	50,00,00,00	
		0	
To Sales A/c			49,50,49,50
·			5
To Liability under Customer Loyalty			49,50,495
programme			
(On sale of Goods)			
Lighility under Customer Levelty	D۳	40 11 000	
Liability under Customer Loyalty	DI.	42,11,002	
programme			
To Sales A/c			42,11,002
(On redemption of (100 lakhs -18 lakhs)			
points)			

#### Revenue for points to be recognized

Undiscounted points estimated to be recognized next year  $18,00,000 \times 80\% = 14,40,000$  points Total points to be redeemed within 2 years = [(1,00,00,000-18,00,000) + 14,40,000] = 96,40,000

Revenue to be recognized with respect to discounted point =  $49,50,495 \times (82,00,000/96,40,000) = 42,11,002$ 

Revenue to be deferred with respect to undiscounted point in 20X1-20X2 = 49,50,495 - 42,11,002 = 7,39,493

(d) In 20X2-20X3, KK Ltd. would recognize revenue for discounting of 60% of outstanding points as follows:

Outstanding points =  $18,00,000 \times 60\% = 10,80,000 \text{ points}$ Total points discounted till date = 82,00,000 + 10,80,000 = 92,80,000points Revenue to be recognized in the year 20X2-20X3=  $[\{49,50,495 \times (92,80,000 / 96,40,000)\} - 42,11,002] = \text{Rs. } 5,54,620.$ 

Liability	under	Customer	Loyalty	Dr.	5,54,62	
programn	ne				0	5,54,62
To Sa	ales A/c					0
(On rede:	mption o	of further 10	0,80,000			

The Liability under Customer Loyalty programme at the end of the year  $20 \times 2-20 \times 3$  will be Rs. 7,39,493-5,54,620 = 1,84,873.

(e) In the year 20X3-20X4, the merchant will recognized the balance revenue of Rs. 1,84,873 irrespective of the points redeemed as this is the last year for redeeming the points. Journal entry will be as follows:

Liability	under	Customer	Loyalty	Dr.	1,84,87	
programn	ne				3	1,84,87
To Sa	les A/c					3
(On reden	nption of	remaining p	oints)			

#### **Question 24**

An entity enters into 1,000 contracts with customers. Each contract includes the sale of one product for Rs. 50 (1,000 total products  $\times$  Rs. 50 = Rs. 50,000 total consideration). Cash is received when control of a product transfers. The entity's customary business practice is to allow a customer to return any unused product within 30 days and receive a full refund. The entity's cost of each product is Rs. 30.

Since the contract allows a customer to return the products, the consideration received from the customer is variable. To estimate the variable consideration to which the entity will be entitled, the entity decides to use the expected value. Using the expected value method, the entity estimates that 970 products will not be returned.

The entity estimates that the costs of recovering the products will be immaterial and expects that the returned products can be resold at a profit. Determine the amount of revenue, refund liability and the asset to be recognized by the entity for the said contracts. (MTP 4 Marks May '20, 6 Marks April '19)

#### Answer 24

The entity considers the requirements in paragraphs 56-58 of Ind AS 115 on constraining estimates of variable consideration to determine whether the estimated amount of variable consideration of Rs. 48,500 (Rs.  $50 \times 970$  products not expected to be returned) can be included in the transaction price.

The entity considers the factors in paragraph 57 of Ind AS 115 and determines that although the returns are outside the entity's influence, it has significant experience in estimating returns for this product and customer class. In addition, the uncertainty will be resolved within a short time frame (I.e the 30-day return period). Thus, the entity concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognized (i.e. Rs. 48,500) will not occur as the uncertainty is resolved (i.e. over the return period).

The entity estimates that the costs of recovering the products will be immaterial and expects that the returned products can be resold at a profit.

Upon transfer of control of the 1,000 products, the entity does not recognise revenue for the 30 products that it expects to be returned. Consequently, in accordance with paragraphs 55 and B21 of Ind AS 115, the entity recognises the following:

- (a) revenue of Rs. 48,500 (Rs.  $50 \times 970$  products not expected to be returned);
- (b) a refund liability of Rs. 1,500 (Rs. 50 refund × 30 products expected to be returned); and
- (c) an asset of Rs. 900 (Rs. 30 × 30 products for its right to recover products from customers on settling the refund liability).

#### **Question 25**

(a) Entity I sells a piece of machinery to the customer for Rs. 2 million, payable in 90 days. Entity I is aware at contract inception that the customer might not pay the full contract price. Entity I estimates that the customer will pay atleast Rs. 1.75 million, which is sufficient to cover entity I's cost of sales (Rs. 1.5 million) and which entity I is willing to accept because it wants to grow its presence in this market. Entity I has granted similar price concessions in comparable contracts.

Entity I concludes that it is highly probable that it will collect Rs. 1.75 million, and such amount is not constrained under the variable consideration guidance.

What is the transaction price in this arrangement?

(b) On 1 January 20X8, entity J enters into a one-year contract with a customer to deliver water treatment chemicals. The contract stipulates that the price per container will be adjusted retroactively once the customer reaches certain sales volume, defined, as follows:

Price per container	Cumulative sales volume
Rs. 100	1 - 1,000,000 containers
Rs. 90	1,000,001 - 3,000,000 containers
Rs. 85	3,000,001 containers and above

Volume is determined based on sales during the calendar year. There are no minimum purchase requirements. Entity J estimates that the total sales volume for the year will be 2.8 million containers, based on its experience with similar contracts and forecasted sales to the customer. Entity J sells 700,000 containers to the customer during the first quarter ended 31 March 20X8 for a contract price of Rs. 100 per container.

How should entity J determine the transaction price?

(c) Entity K sells electric razors to retailers for Rs. 50 per unit. A rebate coupon is included inside the electric razor package that can be redeemed by the end consumers for Rs. 10 per unit.

Entity K estimates that 20% to 25% of eligible rebates will be redeemed, based on its experience with similar programmes and rebate redemption rates available in the market for similar programmes. Entity K concludes that the transaction price should incorporate an assumption of 25% rebate

redemption, as this is the amount for which it is highly probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue will not occur if estimates of the rebates change.

How should entity K determine the transaction price?

(d) A manufacturer enters into a contract to sell goods to a retailer for Rs. 1,000. The manufacturer also offers price protection, whereby it will reimburse the retailer for any difference between the sale price and the lowest price offered to any customer during the following six months. This clause is consistent with other price protection clauses offered in the past, and the manufacturer believes that it has experience which is predictive for this contract. Management expects that it will offer a price decrease of 5% during the price protection period. Management concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue will not occur if estimates change.

How should the manufacturer determine the transaction price? (MTP 12 Marks March '21, MTP 5 Marks Apr'23 & RTP May '20)

#### **Answer 25**

- (a) Entity I is likely to provide a price concession and accept an amount less than Rs. 2 million in exchange for the machinery. The consideration is therefore variable. The transaction price in this arrangement is Rs. 1.75 million, as this is the amount which entity I expects to receive after providing the concession and it is not constrained under the variable consideration guidance. Entity I can also conclude that the collectability threshold is met for Rs. 1.75 million and therefore contract exists.
- **(b)** The transaction price is Rs. 90 per container based on entity J's estimate of total sales volume for the year, since the estimated cumulative sales volume of 2.8 million containers would result in a price per container of Rs. 90. Entity J concludes that based on a transaction price of Rs. 90 per container, it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised will not occur when the uncertainty is resolved Revenue is therefore recognised at a selling price of Rs. 90 per container as each container is sold. Entity J will recognise a liability for cash received in excess of the transaction price for the first 1 million containers sold at Rs. 100 per container (that is, Rs. 10 per container) until the cumulative sales volume is reached for the next pricing tier and the price is retroactively reduced.

For the quarter ended  $31^{st}$  March, 20X8, entity J recognizes revenue of Rs. 63 million (700,000 containers x Rs. 90) and a liability of Rs. 7 million [700,000 containers x (Rs. 100 - Rs. 90)].

- Entity J will update its estimate of the total sales volume at each reporting date until the uncertainty is resolved.
- (c) Entity K records sales to the retailer at a transaction price of Rs. 47.50 (Rs. 50 less 25% of Rs. 10). The difference between the per unit cash selling price to the retailers and the transaction price is recorded as a liability for cash consideration expected to be paid to the end customer. Entity K will update its estimate of the rebate and the transaction price at each reporting date if estimates of redemption rates change.
- (d) The transaction price is Rs. 950, because the expected reimbursement is Rs. 50. The expected payment to the retailer is reflected in the transaction price at contract inception, as that is the amount of consideration to which the manufacturer expects to be entitled after the price protection. The manufacturer will recognise a liability for the difference between the invoice price and the

transaction price, as this represents the cash that it expects to refund to the retailer. The manufacturer will update its estimate of expected reimbursement at each reporting date until the uncertainty is resolved.

#### Question 26

Buildings Limited with a financial year end of 31st March, entered into a contract with its customer, Radar Limited, to build a manufacturing facility. Buildings Limited determines that the contract contains one performance obligation satisfied over time. Construction is scheduled to be completed by the end of the 36th month for an agreed upon price of Rs. 25 crores. Buildings Limited has the opportunity to earn a performance bonus for early completion as follows:

- 15% bonus of the contract price if completed by the 30th months (25% likelihood).
- 10% bonus of the contract price if completed by the 32 nd months (40% likelihood).
- 5% bonus of the contract price if completed by the 34th months (15% likelihood).

In addition to the potential performance bonus for early completion, Buildings Limited is entitled to a quality bonus of Rs. 2 crores if a health and safety inspector assigns the facility a gold star rating as defined by Radar Limited in terms of the contract. Buildings Limited concludes that it is 60% likely that it will receive the quality bonus.

Analyze and determine the amount of variable consideration Building Limited should recognize in its contract with Radar Company Limited to build a manufacturing facility. (MTP 5 Marks April '21)

#### **Answer 26**

In determining the transaction price, Buildings Limited separately estimates variable consideration for each element of variability i.e. the early completion bonus and the quality bonus. Buildings Limited decides to use the expected value method to estimate the variable consideration associated with the early completion bonus because there is a range of possible outcomes and the entity has experience with a large number of similar contracts that provide a reasonable basis to predict future outcomes. Therefore, the entity expects this method to best predict the amount of variable consideration associated with the early completion bonus. Buildings Ltd.'s best estimate of the early completion bonus is Rs. 2.125 crore, calculated as shown in the following table:

Bonus %	Amount of bonus (Rs. in crore)	Probability	Probability- weighted amount (Rs. in crore)
15%	3.75	25%	0.9375
10%	2.50	40%	1.00
5%	1.25	15%	0.1875
0%	-	20%	-
		100%	2.125

Buildings Limited decides to use the most likely amount to estimate the variable consideration associated with the potential quality bonus because there are only

two possible outcomes (Rs. 2 crore or Rs. Nil) and this method would best predict the amount of consideration associated with the quality bonus. Buildings Limited believes the most likely amount of the quality bonus is Rs. 2 crore. Total variable consideration = 4.125 crore (2.125 crore + 2 crore).

#### Question 27

A construction services company enters into a contract with a customer to build a water purification plant. The company is responsible for all aspects of the plant including overall project management, engineering and design services, site preparation, physical construction of the plant, procurement of pumps and equipment for measuring and testing flow volumes and water quality, and the integration of all components.

Determine whether the company has a single or multiple performance obligations under the contract? (MTP 4 Marks April '21)

#### Answer 27

Determining whether a good or service represents a performance obligation on its own or is required to be aggregated with other goods or services can have a significant impact on the timing of revenue recognition. While the customer may be able to benefit from each promised good or service on its own (or together with other readily available resources), they do not appear to be separately identifiable within the context of the contract. That is, the promised goods and services are subject to significant integration, and as a result will be treated as a single performance obligation.

This is consistent with a view that the customer is primarily interested in acquiring a single asset (a water purification plant) rather than a collection of related components and services.

#### Question 28

The Company has sold certain items to a customer with after sale service for a period of two years from the date of such sale i.e. 1st October, 2017 without any additional charges. The total amount payable by the customer is agreed as follows:

- Rs. 8,00,000, if paid by 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2018;
- Rs. 8,10,000, if paid by 28th February, 2018;
- Rs. 8,20,000, if paid by 31st March, 2018.

Based on past experience it is highly probable that the customer makes the payment before 28th February, 2018. The standalone selling price of the product is Rs. 7,00,000 and two years' services are offered to the customer at Rs. 1,40,000.

Answer the following:

- (1) How many transactions are included in the above arrangement as per applicable Ind AS
- (2) What is the amount of revenue to be considered for revenue recognition as per the applicable Ind AS?
- (3) What is the amount of revenue to be recognized under Ind AS towards sale of product as per the terms of the contract with the customer?
- (4) What is the amount of revenue to be recognized under Ind AS towards sale of service as per the terms of the contract with the customer?
- (5) What is the portion of current and non-current liabilities to be presented in the financial statements as per Ind AS? (MTP 10 Marks Oct '18)

Answer 28

213

Two transactions are included in the above arrangement as per applicable Ind AS i.e. sale of item includes following transactions:

- (i) Selling price of item
- (ii) Two-years' after sale service

Revenue attributable to both the components is calculated as follows:

Total fair value of item and two years' service period

(7,00,000 + 1,40,000) 8,40,000 Less: Sale price of the item and two years' service period (8,10,000)

Discount

30,000

## Discount and revenue attributable to each component of the transaction:

Proportionate discount attributable to sale of item

25,000 (30,000 x 7,00,000 / 8,40,000)

Revenue from sale of item (7,00,000 - 25,000)

6,75,000 Proportionate discount attributable to two years' service period 5,000 ( $30,000 \times 1,40,000$ ) / 8,40,000)

Revenue from two years' service period (1,40,000 – 5,000)

1,35,000

Revenue in respect of sale of item should be recognized immediately and revenue from two years' service period should be recognized over the 2-year period on monthly basis i.e on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 revenue for two years' service period will be Rs. 5,625 (Rs. 1,35,000/24 months)

Amount of two years' service period due within 12 months from the reporting date =

 $(1,35,000 / 24 \text{ months}) \times 12 \text{ months} = \text{Rs. } 67,500 \text{ (Current)}.$ 

Amount of two years' service period due after 12 months from the reporting date =

 $(1,35,000 / 24 \text{ months}) \times 11 \text{ months} = \text{Rs. } 61,875 \text{ (Non-current)}.$ 

#### Question 29

Nivaan Limited commenced work on two long-term contracts during the financial year ended on 31st March, 20X2.

The first contract with A & Co. commences on 1st June, 20X1 and had a total sales value of ₹ 40 lakh. It was envisaged that the contract would run for two years and that the total expected costs would be ₹ 32 lakh. On 31st March, 20X2, Nivaan Limited revised its estimate of the total expected cost to ₹ 34 lakh on the basis of the additional rectification cost of ₹ 2 lakh incurred on the contract during the current financial year. An independent surveyor has estimated at 31st March, 20X2 that the contract is 30% complete. Nivaan Limited has incurred costs up to 31st March, 20X2 of ₹ 16 lakh and has received payments on account of ₹ 13 lakh.

The second contract with B & Co. commenced on 1st September, 20X1 and was for 18 months. The total sales value of contract was ₹ 30 lakh and the total expected cost is ₹ 24 lakh. Payments on account already received were ₹ 9.50 lakh and total costs incurred to date were ₹ 8 lakh. Nivaan Limited has insisted on a large deposit from B & Co. because the companies had not traded together prior to the contract. The independent surveyor estimated that on 31st March, 20X2 the contract was 20% complete.

The two contracts meet the requirement of Ind AS 115 'Revenue from Contracts

with Customers' to recognize revenue over time as the performance obligations are satisfied over time.

The company also has several other contracts of between twelve and eighteen months in duration. Some of these contracts fall into two accounting periods and were not completed as at 31st March, 20X2. In absence of any financial date relating to the other contracts, you are advised to ignore these other contracts while preparing the financial statements of the company for the year ended 31st March, 20X2.

Prepare financial statement extracts for Nivaan Limited in respect of the two construction contracts for the year ending 31st March, 20X2. (MTP 12 Marks Nov 21, PYP 12 Marks Nov'19)

#### Answer 29

#### Extracts of Balance Sheet of Nivaan Ltd. as on 31st March, 20X2

	₹ in lakh
Current Assets Contract Assets- Work-in-progress (Refer W.N. 3)	9.0
Current Liabilities	
Contract Liabilities (Advance from customers) (Refer W.N. 2)	4.5

## Extracts of Statement of Profit and Loss of Nivaan Ltd. as on 31st March, 20X2

/	
	₹ in lakh
Revenue from contracts (Refer W.N. 1)	18
Cost of Revenue (Refer W.N. 1)	(15)
Net Profit on Contracts (Refer W.N. 1)	3

#### **Working Notes:**

 Table showing calculation of total revenue, expenses and profit or loss on contract for the year ₹ in lakh

	A & Co.	В & Со.	Total
Revenue from	$(40 \times 30\%) = 12$	(30 x 20%) = 6	18
contracts			
Expenses due for	$(34* \times 30\%) =$	$(24 \times 20\%) =$	15
the year	10.2	4.8	
Profit or loss on	1.8	1.2	3
contract			

\*Note: Additional rectification cost of ₹ 2 lakh has been treated as normal cost. Hence total expected cost has been considered as ₹ 34 lakh. Alternatively, in case this ₹ 2 lakh is treated as abnormal cost then expense due for the year would be ₹ 11.6 lakh (i.e. 30% of ₹ 32 lakh plus ₹ 2 lakh). Accordingly, with respect to A & Co., the profit for the year would be ₹ 0.4 lakh and work-in-progress recognized at the end of the year would be ₹ 4.4 lakh.

**2.** Calculation of amount due from / (to) customers

₹ in lakh

# $P_{\text{age}}216$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

	A & Co.	В & Co.	Total
Billing based on revenue recognised in the books	12	6	18
Payments received from the customers	(13)	(9.5)	(22.5)
Advance received from the customers	1	3.5	4.5

**3.** Work in Progress recognized as part of contract asset at the end of the year

₹	in	lakt
`	111	iani

	A &	В & Co.	Total
	Co.		
Total actual cost incurred during the year	16	8	24
Less: Cost recognized in the books for the year 31.3.20X2	(10.2)	(4.8)	(15)
Work-in-progress recognized at the end of	5.8	3.2	9.0
the year			

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

This part of the question was on application of Ind AS 115 'Revenue from contracts with the Customers'. A very small number of examinees attempted this question, but they erred either in the calculation of expenditure to be charged during the year or in calculation of amount due from / (to) customers or in recognising the correct 'Work in Progress recognised as part of contract asset at the end of the year'.

#### Question 30

As a part of its sales promotion activities, MIL distributes office utility articles along with its product catalogues to medical practitioners to familiarize & encourage them to prescribe medicines manufactured by it. No conditions are attached with the items distributed. Whether the distribution of office utility articles to medical practitioners is covered by Ind AS 115 'Revenue from Contracts with Customers'? If not, how should the same be accounted by MIL? Give reasons. (MTP 4 Marks March '22)

#### Answer 30

The term 'contract' is defined in Ind AS 115 as an agreement between two or more parties that creates enforceable rights and obligations. In the given case:

- Gifts are distributed by MIL to doctors as a part of its sales promotion activities without there being an agreement between MIL and the doctors creating enforceable rights and obligations.
- The doctors to whom gifts are distributed are not 'customers' of MIL as they have not contracted with it to obtain goods or services in exchange for consideration.
- The items distributed as gifts are not an output of MIL ordinary activities. In view of the above, the distribution of gifts to doctors does not fall under the scope of Ind AS 115.

As per Ind AS 38, sometimes expenditure is incurred to provide future economic benefits to an entity, but no intangible asset or other asset is acquired or created that can be recognised. In the case of the supply of goods, the entity recognises such

expenditure as an expense when it has a right to access those goods.

Examples of expenditure that is recognised as an expense when it is incurred include expenditure on advertising and promotional activities (including mail order catalogues).

Items acquired by MIL to be distributed as gifts as a part of sales promotion activities have no other purpose than to undertake those activities. In other words, the only benefit of those items for MIL is to develop or create brands or customer relationships, which in turn generate revenue. Ind AS 38 requires an entity to recognise expenditure on such items as an expense when the entity has a right to access those goods. Ind AS 38 states that an entity has a right to access goods when it owns them, or otherwise has a right to access them regardless of when it distributes the goods.

In view of the above, MIL should recognise the cost of the items to be distributed as gifts as an expense when it owns those items, or otherwise has a right to access them, regardless of when it distributes the items to doctors.

#### Question 31

A contractor enters into a contract with a customer to build an asset for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  1,00,000, with a performance bonus of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  50,000 that will be paid based on the timing of completion. The amount of the performance bonus decreases by 10% per week for every week beyond the agreed-upon completion date. The contract requirements are similar to those of contracts that the contractor has performed previously, and management believes that such experience is predictive for this contract. The contractor concludes that the expected value method is most predictive in this case. The contractor estimates that there is a 60% probability that the contract will be completed by the agreed-upon completion date, a 30% probability that it will be completed one week late, and a 10% probability that it will be completed two weeks late. Determine the transaction price. (MTP 4 Marks April 22, RTP Nov '20)

#### Answer 31

The transaction price should include management's estimate of the amount of consideration to which the entity will be entitled for the work performed.

Probability-weighted	Consideration
₹ 1,50,000 (fixed fee plus full performance bonus) x 60%	₹ 90,000
₹ 1,45,000 (fixed fee plus 90% of performance bonus) x 30%	₹ 43,500
₹ 1,40,000 (fixed fee plus 80% of performance bonus) x 10%	₹ 14,000
Total probability-weighted consideration	₹ 1,47,500

Based on the probability-weighted estimate, the total transaction price is ₹ 1,47,500. The contractor have to update its estimate at each reporting date.

#### **Ouestion 32**

A Ltd. is a company which is in the business of manufacturing engineering machines and providing after sales services. The company entered into a contract with Mr. Anik to supply and install a machine, namely 'model pi' on 1st April 20X1 and to service this machine on 30th September 20X1 and 1st April 20X2. The cost of manufacturing the machine to A Ltd. was ₹ 1,60,000.

It is possible for a customer to purchase both the machine 'model pi' and the

maintenance services separately. Mr. Anik is contractually obliged to pay A Ltd  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  4,00,000 on 1st April, 20X2.

The prevailing rate for one-year credit granted to trade customers in the industry is 5 percent per six-month period.

The promised supply of machine 'model pi' and maintenance service obligations are satisfactorily carried out in time by the company.

You are required to:

- (i) Segregate the components of the transaction that A Ltd. shall apply to the revenue recognition criteria separately as per Ind AS 115;
- (ii) Calculate the amount of revenue which A Ltd. must allocate to each component of the transaction;
- (iii) Prepare journal entries to record the information set out above in the books of accounts of A Ltd. for the years ended 31st March 20X2 and 31st March 20X3; and

Draft an extract showing how revenue could be presented and disclosed in the financial statements of A Ltd. for the year ended 31st March 20X2 and 31st March 20X3. (MTP 14 Marks Sep'22, PYP 12 Marks, Jan'21)

#### Answer 32

- (i) As per para 27 of Ind AS 115, a good or service that is promised to a customer is distinct if both of the following criteria are met:
  - (a) the customer can benefit from the good or service either on its own or together with other resources that are readily available to them. A readily available resource is a good or service that is sold separately (by the entity or another entity) or that the customer has already obtained from the entity or from other transactions or events; and
  - (b) the entity's promise to transfer the good or service to the customer is separately identifiable from other promises in the contract. Factors that indicate that two or more promises to transfer goods or services to a customer are separately identifiable include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) significant integration services are not provided (i.e. the entity is not using the goods or services as inputs to produce or deliver the combined output called for in the contract)
  - (b) the goods or services does not significantly modify or customize other promised goods or services in the contract.
  - (c) the goods or services are not highly inter-dependent or highly interrelated with other promised goods or services in the contract Accordingly, on 1st April, 20X1, entity A entered into a single transaction with three identifiable separate components:
    - 1. Sale of a good (i.e. engineering machine);
    - 2. Rendering of services (i.e. engineering machine maintenance services on 30th September, 20X1 and 1st April, 20X2); and
    - 3. Providing finance (i.e. sale of engineering machine and rendering of services on extended period credit).
- (ii) Calculation and allocation of revenue to each component of the transaction

Date	Opening	Finance	Goods	Services	Payment	Closing
	balance	income			received	balance
1st April, 20X1	_	_	2,51,92	_	_	2,51,92
			7			7
30th	2,51,92	12,596 (Note	_	45,000	_	3,09,52
September,	7	1)				3
20X1		•				
31st March	3,09,52	15,477 (Note	_	_	_	3,25,00
20X2	3	2)				0
1st April, 20X2	3,25,00	_	_	75,000	(4,00,000	
_	0				)	

#### Notes:

- 1. Calculation of finance income as on 30th September,  $20X1 = 5\% \times 2,51,927 = ₹$  12,596
- 2. Calculation of finance income as on 31st March, 20X2 = 5% x 3,09,523 = ₹ 15,477

## (iii) Journal Entries

Date	Particulars	Dr. (₹)	Cr. (₹)
1st April, 20X1	Mr. Anik Dr.	2,51,927	
	To Revenue - sale of goods (Profit or loss A/c)		2,51,927
	(Being revenue recognised from the sale of the machine on credit)		
	Cost of goods sold (Profit or loss) Dr.	1,60,000	
	To Inventories		1,60,000
	(Being cost of goods sold recognised)		
30th September	Mr. Anik Dr.	12,596	
20X1			
	(Being finance income recognised)		
	Mr. Anik Dr.	45,000	
	To Revenue- rendering of services (Profit or loss)		45,000
	(Being revenue from the rendering of maintenance services recognised)		
	Cost of services (Profit or loss) Dr.	30,000	
	To Cash/Bank or payables		30,000
	(Being the cost of performing maintenance services recognised)		
31st March	Mr. Anik Dr.	15,477	

20X2	To Finance Income (Profit or lo	ss)		15,477
	(Being finance income recognis	sed)		
1st April, 20X2	Mr. Anik	Dr.	75,000	
	To Revenue - rendering of servi (Profit or loss)	ices		75,000
	(Being revenue from the render maintenance services recognise	•		
	Cost of services (Profit or loss)	Dr.	50,000	
	To Cash/Bank or payables			50,000
	(Being the cost of performing			
	maintenance services recognise	ed)		
	Cash/Bank	Dr.	4,00,000	
	To Mr. Anik			4,00,000
	(Being the receipt of cash from customer recognised)	the		

(iv) Extract of Notes to the financial statements for the year ended 31 st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3

#### Note on Revenue

	20X2-20X3	20X1-20X2
	₹	₹
Sale of goods	_	2,51,927
Rendering of machine - maintenance services	75,000	45,000
Finance income	_	28,073
	75,000	3,25,000

#### Question 33

ST Limited enters into a contract with a customer to sell an asset. Control of the asset will transfer to the customer in two years (i.e. the performance obligation will be satisfied at a point in time). The contract includes two alternative payment options:

- (1) Payment of Rs. 5,000 in two years when the customer obtains control of the asset or
- (2) Payment of Rs. 4,000 when the contract is signed. The customer elects to pay Rs. 4,000 when the contract is signed.

ST Limited concludes that the contract contains a significant financing component because of the length of time between when the customer pays for the asset and when the entity transfers the asset to the customer, as well as the prevailing interest rates in the market.

The interest rate implicit in the transaction is 11.8 per cent, which is the interest rate necessary to make the two alternative payment options economically equivalent. However, the entity determines that, the rate that should be used in adjusting the promised consideration is 6%, which is the entity's incremental borrowing rate.

Pass journal entries showing how the entity would account for the significant financing component. (MTP 5 Marks May '20)

#### **Answer 33**

#### Journal Entries showing accounting for the significant financing component:

(a) Recognise a contract liability for the Rs. 4,000 payment received at contract inception:

Cash Dr. Rs. 4,000 To Contract liability Rs. 4,000

(b) During the two years from contract inception until the transfer of the asset, the entity adjusts the promised amount of consideration and accretes the contract liability by recognizing interest on Rs. 4,000 at 6% for two years:

Interest expense Dr. Rs. 494\*

To Contract liability Rs. 494

(c) Recognize revenue for the transfer of the asset:

Contract liability Dr. Rs. 4,494

To Revenue Rs. 4,494

#### Question 34

ABC Limited supplies plastic buckets to wholesaler customers. As per the contract entered into between ABC Limited and a customer for the financial year 20X1-20X2, the price per plastic bucket will decrease retrospectively as sales volume increases within the stipulated time of one year.

The price applicable for the entire sale will be based, on sales volume bracket during the year.

Price per unit (INR)	Sales volume
90	0 - 10,000 units
80	10,001 - 35,000 units
70	35,001 units & above

#### All transactions are made in cash.

- (i) Suggest how revenue is to be recognized in the books of accounts of ABC Limited as per expected value method, considering a probability of 15%, 75% and 10% for sales volumes of 9,000 units, 28,000 units and 36,000 units respectively. For workings, assume that ABC Limited achieved the same number of units of sales to the customer during the year as initially estimated under expected value method for the financial year 20X1-20X2.
- (ii) In case ABC Limited decides to measure revenue, based on most likely method instead of expected value method, how will be the revenue recognised in the books of accounts of ABC Limited based on above available information? For workings, assume that ABC Limited achieved the same number of units of sales to the customer during the year as initially estimated under most likely value method for the financial year 20X1-20X2. Assume that the sales volume of 28,000 units given under the expected value method, with highest probability is the sales estimated under most likely method too.
- (iii) You are required to pass Journal entries in the books of ABC Limited if the revenue is accounted for as per expected value method for financial year 20X1-20X2. (MTP 14 Marks March '23, PYP 14 Marks, Nov 20) (MTP 14 Marks Oct '23)

<sup>\*</sup> Rs. 494 = Rs. 4,000 contract liability × (6% interest per year for two years).

#### Answer 34

(i) Determination of how revenue is to be recognized in the books of ABC Ltd. as per expected value method

Calculation of probability weighted sales volume

Sales volume (units)	Probability	Probability- weighted sales volume (units)
9,000	15%	1,350
28,000	75%	21,000
36,000	10%	3,600
		25,950

Calculation of probability weighted sales value

Sales volume (units)	Sales price per unit (₹)	Probabilit y	Probability- weighted sales value (₹)
9,000	90	15%	1,21,500
28,000	80	75%	16,80,000
36,000	70	10%	2,52,000
			20,53,500

Average unit price = Probability weighted sales value/ Probability weighted sales volume = 20,53,500 / 25,950 = ₹ 79.13 per unit

Revenue is recognized at ₹ 79.13 for each unit sold. First 10,000 units sold will be booked at ₹ 90 per unit and liability is accrued for the difference price of ₹ 10.87 per unit (₹ 90 – ₹ 79.13), which will be reversed upon subsequent sales of 15,950 units (as the question states that ABC Ltd. achieved the same number of units of sales to the customer during the year as initially estimated under the expected value method for the financial year 20X1- 20X2). For, subsequent sale of 15,950 units, contract liability is accrued at 0.87 (80 – 79.13) per unit and revenue will be deferred.

## (ii) Determination of how revenue is to be recognised in the books of ABC Ltd. as per most likely method

Transaction price will be:

28,000 units x ₹ 80 per unit = ₹ 22,40,000 Average unit price applicable = ₹ 80

First 10,000 units sold will be booked at ₹ 90 per unit and liability of ₹ 1,00,000 is accrued for the difference price of ₹ 10 per unit (₹ 90 – ₹ 80), which will be reversed upon subsequent sales of 18,000 units (as question states that ABC Ltd. achieved the same number of units of sales to the customer during the year as initially estimated under the most likely method for the financial year 20X1-20X2).

#### (iii) Journal Entries in the books of ABC Ltd.

(when revenue is accounted for as per expected value method for financial year 20X1-20X2)

ft			₹	₹
1.	Bank A/c (10,000 x ₹ 90)	Dr	9,00,000	
	To Revenue A/c (10,000 x ₹ 79.13)			7,91,300
	To Liability (10,000 x ₹ 10.87)			1,08,700

	(Revenue recognised on sale of first 10,000 units)			
2.	Bank A/c [(25,950 x ₹ 80)- 9,00,000]	Dr	11,76,00 0	
	Liability	Dr	86,124	
	To Revenue A/c (15,950 x ₹ 79.13)			12,62,124
	(Revenue recognised on sale of remaining 15,950 units (25,950 - 10,000). Amount paid by the customer will be the balance amount after adjusting the excess paid earlier since, the customer falls now in second slab)			
3.	Liability (1,08,700 – 86,124)	Dr	22,576	
	To Revenue A/c [25,950 x (80-79.13)]			22,576
	(On reversal of liability at the end of the financial year 20X1-20X2 i.e. after completion of stipulated time)			

Alternatively, in place of first two entries, one consolidated entry may be passed as follows:

Bank A/c (25,950 x ₹ 80)	Dr.	/ - / -	
		00	
To Revenue A/c (25,950 x ₹			20,53,424
79.13)			
To Liability (25,950 x ₹ 0.87)			22,576
(Revenue recognized on sale of 25,950			
units)			

**Note**: In 2nd journal entry, it is assumed that the customer had paid balance amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  11,76,000 after adjusting excess  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,00,000 paid with first lot of sale of 10,000 unit. However, one can pass journal entry with total sales value of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  12,76,000 (15,950 units x  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  80 per unit) and later on pass third entry for refund. In such a situation, alternatively, 2 nd and 3rd entries would be as follows:

Bank A/c (15,950 x ₹ 80)	Dr.	12,76,000	
To Revenue A/c (15,950 x ₹ 79.13)			12,62,124
To Liability			13,876
(Revenue recognised on sale of remaining 15,950 units (25,950 - 10,000))			
Liability (1,08,700 + 13,876)	Dr.	1,22,576	
To Revenue A/c [25,950 x (80-79.13)]			22,576
To Bank			1,00,000
(On reversal of liability at the end of the financial year 20X1-20X2 i.e. after completion of stipulated time and excess amount refunded)			

#### **Ouestion 35**

An entity enters into a contract with a customer on 1st April, 20X1 for the sale of a machine and spare parts. The manufacturing lead time for the machine and spare parts is two years. Upon completion of manufacturing, the entity demonstrates that the machine and spare parts meet the agreed-upon specifications in the contract. The promises to transfer the machine and spare parts are distinct and result in two performance obligations that each will be satisfied at a point in time. On 31st March, 20X3, the customer pays for the machine and spare parts, but only takes physical possession of the machine. Although the customer inspects and accepts the spare parts, the customer requests that the spare parts be stored at the entity's warehouse because of its close proximity to the customer's factory. The customer has legal title to the spare parts and the parts can be identified as belonging to the customer. Furthermore, the entity stores the spare parts in a separate section of its warehouse and the parts are ready for immediate shipment at the customer's request. The entity expects to hold the spare parts for two to four years and the entity does not have the ability to use the spare parts or direct them to another

How will the Company recognise revenue for sale of machine and spare parts? Is there any other performance obligation attached to this sale of goods? (MTP 8 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 35

The entity has made sale of two goods – machine and space parts, whose control is transferred at a point in time. Additionally, company agrees to hold the spare parts for the customer for a period of 2 - 4 years, which is a separate performance obligation. Therefore, total transaction price shall be divided amongst 3 performance obligations:

- (i) Sale of machinery
- (ii) Sale of spare parts
- (iii) Custodial services for storing spare parts.

Recognition of revenue for each of the three performance obligations shall occur as follows:

- Sale of machinery: Machine has been sold to the customer and physical possession as well as legal title passed to the customer on 31st March, 20X3. Accordingly, revenue for sale of machinery shall be recognised on 31st March, 20X3.
- Sale of spare parts: The customer has made payment for the spare parts and legal title has been passed to specifically identified goods, but such spares continue to be physically held by the entity. In this regard, the company shall evaluate if revenue can be recognized on bill-and-hold basis if all below criteria are met:

(a) the reason for the bill-and-hold	The customer has specifically
arrangement must be substantive	requested for entity to store goods in
(for example, the customer has	their warehouse, owing to close
requested the arrangement)	proximity to customer's factory
(b) the product must be identified	The spare parts have been
separately as belonging to the	specifically identified and inspected
customer	by the customer
(c) the product currently must be	The spares are identified and
ready for physical transfer to	segregated, therefore, ready for
the	delivery
(d) customer	

(d) the entity cannot have the ability to	Spares have been segregated and
use the product or to direct it to	cannot be redirected to any other
another customer	customer

Therefore, all conditions of bill-and-hold are met and hence, company can recognize revenue for sale of spare parts on 31st March, 20X3.

- Custodial services: Such services shall be given for a period of 2 to 4 years from 31st March, 20X3. Where services are given uniformly and customer receives and consumes benefits simultaneously, revenue for such service shall be recognized on a straight-line basis over a period of time.

#### Question 36

A manufacturer gives warranties to the purchasers of its goods. Under the terms of the warranty, the manufacturer undertakes to make good, by repair or replacement, manufacturing defects that become apparent within three years from the date of sale to the purchasers.

On 30 April 20X1, a manufacturing defect was detected in the goods manufactured by the entity between 1 March 20X1 and 30 April 20X1. At 31 March 20X1 (the entity's reporting date), the entity held approximately one week's sales in inventories.

The entity's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X1 have not yet been finalized.

Three separate categories of goods require separate consideration: Category 1—defective goods sold on or before 31 March 20X1 Category 2—defective goods held on 31 March 20X1 Category 3—defective goods manufactured in 20Xb1-20X2 State the accounting treatment of the above categories in accordance with relevant Ind AS. (RTP May '21)

#### Answer 36

#### Category 1—defective goods sold on or before 31 March 20X1

If customer has the option to purchase warranty separately, the warranty is a distinct service because the entity promises to provide the service to the customer in addition to the product that has the functionality described in the contract. In that case, entity shall account for the promised warranty as a performance obligation and allocate a portion of the transaction price to that performance obligation.

If a customer does not have the option to purchase a warranty separately, an entity shall account for the warranty in accordance with Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets, unless it provides the customer with a service in addition to the assurance that the product complies with agreed-upon specifications. If that is the case, then, the promised service is a performance obligation. Entity shall allocate the transaction price to the product and the service.

If an entity promises both an assurance-type warranty and a service-type warranty but cannot reasonably account for them separately, the entity shall account for both of the warranties together as a single performance obligation.

A law that requires an entity to pay compensation if its products cause harm or damage does not give rise to a performance obligation. The entity shall account for such obligations in accordance with Ind AS 37.

#### Category 2—defective goods held on 31 March 20X1

At 31 March 20X1 the entity did not have a present obligation to make good the unsold defective goods that it held in inventories. Accordingly, at 31 March 20X1 the entity should not recognise a provision in respect of the defective inventories. However, the entity should test the inventories for impairment in accordance with Ind AS 36, Impairment of Assets.

For this category, the detection of the manufacturing defect in April 20X1 is an adjusting event after the end of the reporting period as per Ind AS 10, Events after the End of the Reporting Period. It provides evidence of a manufacturing defect in inventories held at 31 March 20X1.

#### Category 3—defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2

At 31 March 20X1 the entity did not have a present obligation to make good any defective goods that it might manufacture in the future. Accordingly, at 31 March 20X1 the entity should not recognise a provision in respect of the defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2.

For this category, the detection of the manufacturing defect in April 20X1 is a non-adjusting event after the end of the reporting period as per Ind AS 10, Events After the End of the Reporting Period.

#### Question 37

Prime Ltd. is a technology company and regularly sells Software S, Hardware H and Accessory A. The stand-alone selling prices for these items are stated below: Software  $S - \overline{*} 50,000$  Hardware  $H - \overline{*} 1,00,000$  and Accessory  $A - \overline{*} 20,000$ .

Since the demand for Hardware H and Accessory A is low, Prime Ltd. sells H and A together at  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  100,000. Prime Ltd. enters into a contract with Zeta Ltd. to sell all the three items for a consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$ 1,50,000.

What will be the accounting treatment for the discount in the financial statements of Prime Ltd., considering that the three items are three different performance obligations which are satisfied at different points in time? Further, what will be the accounting treatment if Prime Ltd. would have transferred the control of Hardware H and Accessory A at the same point in time. (RTP Nov '21)

#### Answer 37

Paragraph 82 of Ind AS 115 states that, "An entity shall allocate a discount entirely to one or more, but not all, performance obligations in the contract if all of the following criteria are met:

- (a) the entity regularly sells each distinct good or service (or each bundle of distinct goods or services) in the contract on a stand-alone basis;
- (b) the entity also regularly sells on a stand-alone basis a bundle (or bundles) of some of those distinct goods or services at a discount to the stand-alone selling prices of the goods or services in each bundle; and
- (c) the discount attributable to each bundle of goods or services described in paragraph 82(b) is substantially the same as the discount in the contract and an analysis of the goods or services in each bundle provides observable evidence of the performance obligation (or performance obligations) to which the entire discount in the contract belongs".
- (d) In the given case, the contract includes a discount of ₹ 20,000 on the overall transaction, which should have been allocated proportionately to all three performance obligations when allocating the transaction price using the relative stand-alone selling price method (in accordance with paragraph 81 of Ind AS 115). However, as Prime Ltd. meets all the criteria specified in paragraph 82 above, i.e., it regularly sells Hardware H and Accessory A together for ₹ 1,00,000 and Software S for ₹ 50,000, accordingly, it is evident that the entire discount should be allocated to the promises to transfer Hardware H and Accessory A.
- (e) In the given case, since the contract requires the entity to transfer control of Hardware H and Accessory A at different points in time, then the allocated amount of ₹ 1,00,000 should be individually allocated to the promises to transfer Hardware H (stand-alone selling price of ₹ 1,00,000) and Accessory A (stand-

alone selling price of ₹20,000)

Product	Allocated transaction price (₹)
Hardware H	83,333 (1,00,000/ 120,000 x 100,000)
Accessory A	16,667 (20,000/120,000 x 100,000)
Total	1,00,000

(f) However, if Prime Ltd. would have transferred the control of Hardware H and Accessory A at the same point in time, then the Prime Ltd. could, as a practical matter, account for the transfer of those products as a single performance obligation. That is, Prime Ltd. could allocate ₹ 1,00,000 of the transaction price to the single performance obligation and recognise revenue of ₹ 1,00,000 when Hardware H and Accessory A simultaneously transfer to Zeta Ltd.

#### Question 38

A Ltd. owns 20 resorts across India. Every customer who stays in any of the resorts owned by A Ltd. is entitled to get points on the basis of total amount paid by him. Under this scheme, 1 point is granted for every  $\overline{100}$  spent for stay in the resort. As per the past experience of A Ltd., the likelihood of exercise of the points is 100% and the standalone price of each such point is  $\overline{5}$ . Customer X spends  $\overline{5}$  10,000 in one of the resorts of A Ltd. What is the accounting treatment for the points granted by A Ltd.? (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 38

Paragraph B40 of Ind AS 115, inter alia, states that, "if in a contract, an entity grants a customer the option to acquire additional goods or services, that option gives rise to a separate performance obligation only if the option provides a material right to the customer that it would not receive without entering into that contract".

Further, paragraph B41 states that if a customer has the option to acquire an additional good or service at a price that would reflect the stand-alone selling price for that good or service, that option does not provide the customer with a material right even if the option can be exercised only by entering into a previous contract. In those cases, the entity has made a marketing offer that it shall account for in accordance with this Standard only when the customer exercises the option to purchase the additional goods or services.

In the given case, the customer does get a material right by way of a discount of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  500 for every 100 points that he would not receive without the previous stay in that resort. Thus, the customer in effect pays the entity in advance for future goods and the entity recognises revenue when the goods are transferred.

According to paragraph B42, paragraph 74 requires an entity to allocate the transaction price to performance obligations on a relative stand-alone selling price basis. If the standalone selling price for a customer's option to acquire additional goods or services is not directly observable, an entity shall estimate it on the basis of percentage discount the customer may obtain upon exercising the option and the likelihood of the option getting exercised.

In accordance with above, an entity shall account for award credit as a separate performance obligation of the sales transactions in which they are initially granted. The value of the consideration the entity expects to be entitled in respect of the initial sale shall be allocated between the award credits and the other components of the sale. In the current case, the standalone selling price of the 100 points is ₹ 500. A Ltd. should allocate the fair value of the consideration (i.e. ₹ 10,000) between the points

and the other components of the sale as  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  476 (500/10,500 x 10,000) and  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  9,524 (10,000/10,500 x 10,000) respectively in proportion of their standalone selling price. Since A Ltd. supplies the awards itself (i.e. it acts as a principal), it should recognise  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  476 as revenue when points are redeemed.

#### Question 39

On 1st April, 20X1, Entity X enters into a contract with Entity Y to sell mobile chargers for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  100 per charger. As per the terms of the contract, if Entity Y purchases more than 1,000 chargers till March 20X2, the price per charger will be retrospectively reduced to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  90 per unit. Till September 20X1, Entity X sold 95 chargers to Entity Y. Entity X estimates that Entity Y's purchases by March 20X2 will not exceed the required threshold of 1,000 chargers.

In October 20X1, Entity Y acquires another Entity C and from October 20X1 to December 20X1, Entity X sells an additional 600 chargers to Entity Y. Due to these developments, Entity X estimates that purchases of Entity Y will exceed the 1,000 chargers threshold for the period and therefore, it will be required to retrospectively reduce the price per charger to  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  90. Analyse the above scenario in light of Ind AS 115 and state how the revenue should be recognised in such a situation. (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 39

Paragraph 56 of Ind AS 115 states that an entity shall include in the transaction price some or all of an amount of variable consideration estimated in accordance with paragraph 53 only to the extent that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised will not occur when the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is subsequently resolved.

Further, paragraph 57 of Ind AS 115 state that in assessing whether it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised will not occur once the uncertainty related to the variable consideration is subsequently resolved, an entity shall consider both the likelihood and the magnitude of the revenue reversal. Factors that could increase the likelihood or the magnitude of a revenue reversal include, but are not limited to, any of the following:

- (a) the amount of consideration is highly susceptible to factors outside the entity's influence. Those factors may include volatility in a market, the judgement or actions of third parties, weather conditions and a high risk of obsolescence of the promised good or service.
- (b) the uncertainty about the amount of consideration is not expected to be resolved for a long period of time.
- (c) the entity's experience (or other evidence) with similar types of contracts is limited, or that experience (or other evidence) has limited predictive value.
- (d) the entity has a practice of either offering a broad range of price concessions or changing the payment terms and conditions of similar contracts in similar circumstances.
- (e) the contract has a large number and broad range of possible consideration amounts. Entity X estimates that the consideration in the above contract is variable. Therefore, in accordance with paragraphs 56 and 57 of Ind AS 115, Entity X is required to consider the constraints in estimating variable consideration. Entity X determines that it has significant experience with this product and with the purchasing pattern of the Entity Y. Thus, if Entity X concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognised (i.e. ₹ 100 per unit) will not occur when the uncertainty is resolved (i.e. when the total amount of purchases is known), then the

Entity X will recognise revenue of ₹ 9,500 (95 chargers x ₹ 100 per charger) for the half year ended 30th September, 20X1.

Further, paragraphs 87 and 88 of Ind AS 115 that after contract inception, the transaction price can change for various reasons, including the resolution of uncertain events or other changes in circumstances that change the amount of consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled in exchange for the promised goods or services.

An entity shall allocate to the performance obligations in the contract any subsequent changes in the transaction price on the same basis as at contract inception. Consequently, an entity shall not reallocate the transaction price to reflect changes in stand-alone selling prices after contract inception. Amounts allocated to a satisfied performance obligation shall be recognised as revenue, or as a reduction of revenue, in the period in which the transaction price changes."

In accordance with the above, in the month of October 20X1, due to change in circumstances on account of Entity Y acquiring Entity C and consequential increase in sale of chargers to Entity Y, Entity X estimates that Entity Y's purchases will exceed the 1,000 chargers threshold till March 20X2 for the period and therefore, it will be required to retrospectively reduce the price per charger to ₹ 90.

Consequently, the Entity X will recognise revenue of ₹ 53,050 for the quarter ended December 20X1 which is calculated as follows:

Particulars	Amount in ₹
Sale of 600 chargers (600 chargers x ₹ 90 per charger)	54,000
Less: Change in transaction price (95 chargers x ₹ 10 price reduction) for the reduction of revenue relating to units sold till September 20X1.	<u>(950)</u>
Revenue recognised for the quarter ended December 20X1	53,050

#### Question 40

Deluxe bike manufactured by Zed Limited is sold with an extended warranty of 2 years for Rs. 87,300 while an identical Deluxe bike without the extended warranty is sold in the market for Rs. 80,000 and equivalent warranty is given in the market for Rs. 10,000. How should Zed Limited recognize and measure revenue in the books on the sale of the bikes and warranty? (PYP 5 Marks, Nov'18)

#### Answer 40

Zed Ltd. has sold two products viz Deluxe bike and the extended warranty. Revenue earned on sale of each product should be recognised separately.

#### Calculation of Revenue attributable to both the components:

Total fair value of Deluxe bike and extended warranty (80,000+10,000) Rs.

Less: Sale price of the Deluxe bike with extended warranty (Rs. 87,300) Discount

Rs. 2,700

#### Discount and revenue attributable to each component of the transaction:

Proportionate discount attributable to sale of Deluxe bike Rs. 2,400  $(2,700 \times 80,000 / 90,000)$ 

# ,age 230

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Revenue from sale of Deluxe bike (80,000-2,400) Rs. 77,600 Proportionate discount attributable to extended warranty Rs. 300 (2,700 x 10,000 / 90,000)Revenue from extended warranty (10,000-300) Rs. 9,700

Revenue in respect of sale of Deluxe bike of Rs. 77,600 should be recognised immediately and revenue from warranty of Rs. 9,700 should be recognised over the period of warranty i.e. 2 years.

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Majority of the examinees were not able to bifurcate the discount, revenue from sale of bike and revenue from warranty correctly.

#### Question 41

An entity negotiates with major airlines to purchase tickets at reduced rates compared with the price of tickets sold directly by the airlines to the public. The entity agrees to buy a specific number of tickets and will pay for those tickets even if it is not able to resell them. The reduced rate paid by the entity for each ticket purchased is negotiated and agreed in advance. The entity determines the prices at which the airline tickets will be sold to its customers. The entity sells the tickets and collects the consideration from customers when the tickets are sold; therefore, there is no credit risk to the entity.

The entity also assists the customers in resolving complaints with the service provided by airlines.

However, each airline is responsible for fulfilling obligations associated with the ticket, including remedies to a customer for dissatisfaction with the service.

Determine whether the entity is a principal or an agent with suitable explanation in light with the provisions given in the relevant standard. (PYP 4 Marks, Nov'20)

#### Answer 41

To determine whether the entity's performance obligation is to provide the specified goods or services itself (i.e. the entity is a principal) or to arrange for another party to provide those goods or services (i.e. the entity is an agent), the entity considers the nature of its promise as per Ind AS 115.

The entity determines that its promise is to provide the customer with a ticket, which provides the right to fly on the specified flight or another flight if the specified flight is changed or cancelled. The entity considers the following indicators for assessment as principal or agent under the contract with the customers:

- (a) the entity is primarily responsible for fulfilling the contract, which is providing the right to fly. However, the entity is not responsible for providing the flight itself, which will be provided by the airline.
- (b) the entity has inventory risk for the tickets because they are purchased before they are sold to the entity's customers and the entity is exposed to any loss as a result of not being able to sell the tickets for more than the entity's cost.
- (c) the entity has discretion in setting the sales prices for tickets to its customers. The entity concludes that its promise is to provide a ticket (i.e. a right to fly) to the customer. On the basis of the indicators, the entity concludes that it controls the ticket before it is transferred to the customer. Thus, the entity concludes that it is a principal in the transaction and recognizes revenue in the gross amount of consideration to which

it is entitled in exchange for the tickets transferred.

#### Question 42

An entity has a fixed fee contract for  $\stackrel{?}{}$  22,00,000 to develop a product that meets specified performance criteria. Estimated cost to complete the contract is  $\stackrel{?}{}$  20,00,000. The entity will transfer control of the product over five years and the entity uses the cost-to-cost input method to measure progress on the contract. An incentive award is available if the product meets the following weight criteria:

Weight (Kg)	Award % of	Incentive
	Fixed Fee	Fee (₹)
951 or greater	0%	Nil
701 - 950	10%	2,20,000
700 or less	25%	5,50,000

The entity has extensive experience creating products that meet the specific performance criteria. Based on its experience, the entity has identified five engineering alternatives that will achieve the 10% incentive and two that will achieve the 25% incentive. In this case, the entity determined that it has 90% confidence that it will achieve the 10% incentive and 10% confidence that it will achieve 25% incentive. Based on this analysis, the entity believes 10% to be the most likely amount when estimating the transaction price. Therefore, the entity includes only the 10% award in the transaction price when calculating revenue because the entity has concluded it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is subsequently resolved due to its 90% confidence in achieving the 10% award.

The entity reassesses its production status quarterly to determine whether it is on track to meet the criteria for the incentive award. At the end of the year four, it becomes apparent that this contract will fully achieve the weight-based criteria. Therefore, the entity revises its estimate of variable consideration to include the entire 25% incentive fee in the year four because, at this point, it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when including the entire variable consideration in the transaction price. Analyze the impact of changes in variable consideration when cost incurred is as follows:

Yea	₹		
r			
1	1,20,000		
2	3,70,000		
3	8,20,000		
4	5,70,000		
5	1,20,000		

Calculate yearly Revenue, Operating Profit and Margin (%). For simplification purposes, calculate revenue for the year independently based on costs incurred during the year divided by total expected costs, with the assumption that total expected costs do not change. (PYP 10 Marks Dec '21)

#### Answer 42

Table showing Yearly Revenue, Operating Profit and Margin (%)

Fixed	A	22,00,00		
consideration		0		

Estimated costs						
to complete	В	20,00,00	Year	Year	Year	Year
		0	2	3	4	5
		Year 1				
Total		0.00.000	0.00.000	0.00.000	O	F F0 0
estimate	С	2,20,000	2,20,000	2,20,000		5,50,0
d variable					00	00
consideration						
Fixed revenue	D=A x	1,32,000	4,07,000	9,02,000	6,27,0	1,32,0
	H/B				00	00
Variable revenue	E=C x	13,200	40,700	90,200	1,56,7	33,000
	H/B				50	
Cumulative	F (see					
revenu	below)	_			2,16,1	
e adjustment					50	
Total revenue	G=D+E+	1,45,200	4,47,700	9,92,200	9,99,9	1,65,0
	F				00	00
Costs	Н	1,20,000	3,70,000	8,20,000	5,70,0	1,20,0
					00	00
Operating profit	I=G–H	25,200	77,700	1,72,200	4,29,9	45,000
					00	
Margin (rounded	J=I/G	17.36%	17.36%	17.36%	42.99	27.27
off)	,				%	%

In practice, under the cost-to-cost measure of progress, total revenue for each period is determined by multiplying the total transaction price (fixed and variable) by the ratio of cumulative cost incurred to total estimated costs to complete, less revenue recognized to date.

Calculation of cumulative catch-up adjus			
Updated variable consideration	L		5,50,00
			0
Cumulative costs through Year 4	M	18,80,00	
		0	
Estimated costs to complete	N	20,00,00	
		0	
Percent complete in Year 4: (rounded	O=M/		94%
off)	N		
Cumulative variable revenue through	P		3,00,85
Year 4:			0
Cumulative catch-up adjustment	F=[(LxO)-		2,16,15
	P]		0

#### **Ouestion 43**

Card Ltd. is engaged in the business of manufacturing of car locks and nut bolts. Car Locks: Typically, a contract is entered into for sale of car locks and consideration is received in the event of delivery of goods to the customer place. The cost of each car lock is ₹ 1,500 and the selling price is ₹ 1,800. The terms of the contract entitle the customer to return any unused car locks within 30 days and receive a full refund. The Company estimates that the costs of recovering the car lock will be immaterial and expects that the returned car locks can be resold at a profit. The Company has sold a total of 20,000 car locks during the

## month ended 31st March, 2022. From past experience, Card Ltd. expects that 4% of the car locks will be returned in the financial year 2022 - 2023.

Nut Bolts: On 1st April, 2021, Card Ltd. enters into a one-year contract with a customer to deliver nut bolts. The contract stipulates that the price per piece will be adjusted retrospectively once the customer reaches certain sales volume, defined. as follows:

Price per piece	Cumulative sales volume
₹ 200	1 - 50,000
₹ 190	50,001 - 1,00,000
₹ 180	1,00,001 & above

Volume is determined based on sales during the financial year. There are no minimum purchase requirements. Card Ltd. estimates that the total sales volume for the year will be 90,000 based on its experience with similar contracts and forecasted sales to the customer.

Card Ltd. sells 24,000 pieces to the customer during the first quarter of the financial year 2021-2022 for a contract price of ₹ 200 per piece. You are required to:

- (i) Analyze the terms of the revenue contracts with customers for sale of car locks as per Ind AS 115. Determine the amount of revenue, refund liability and the asset to be recognized by Card Ltd. for the said contracts of car locks.
- (ii) Determine the transaction price, revenue and liability, if any, for nut bolts as per Ind AS 115 at the end of first quarter of the financial year 2021-2022. (PYP 8 Marks May '22)

#### **Answer 43**

#### Analysis:

(i)

#### (a) Nature of consideration received:

Card Ltd. applies the requirements in Ind AS 115 to the portfolio of 20,000 car locks because it reasonably expects that the effects on the financial statements from applying the requirements to the portfolio would not differ materially from applying the requirements to the individual contracts within the portfolio. Since the contract allows a customer to return the products, the consideration received from the customer is variable.

#### (b) Probability of significant reversal of cumulative revenue:

Card Ltd. considers on constraining estimates of variable consideration to determine whether the estimated amount of variable consideration of  $\ref{3,45,60,000}$  ( $\ref{1,800}$  x 19,200 car locks not expected to be returned) can be included in the transaction price. Card Ltd. determines that although the returns are outside the entity's influence, it has significant experience in estimating returns for this product and customer c lass. In addition, the uncertainty will be resolved within a short time frame ie the 30 -day return period. Thus, Card Ltd. concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognized (i.e.  $\ref{3,45,60,000}$ ) will not occur as the uncertainty will be resolved (i.e. over the return period).

Card Ltd. estimates that the costs of recovering the products will be immaterial and expects that the returned products can be resold at a profit.

Upon transfer of control of the 20,000 car locks, Card Ltd. does not recognise revenue for 800 car locks that it expects to be returned. Consequently, it

recognises the following:

- a. revenue of  $\gtrless 3,45,60,000$  ( $\gtrless 1,800 \times 19,200$  products not expected to be returned):
- b. a refund liability of ₹ 14,40,000 (₹ 1,800 refund x 800 products expected to be returned); and
- c. an asset of ₹ 12,00,000 (₹ 1,500 x 800 products for its right to recover products from customers on settling the refund liability).

(ii)

#### (a) Transaction Price:

The transaction price will be based on Card Ltd.'s estimate of total sales volume for the year. Since Card Ltd. estimates cumulative sales volume of 90,000 nut bolts during the year, transaction price per nut bolt will be ₹ 190. Card Ltd. will update its estimate of the total sales volume at each reporting date until the uncertainty is resolved.

#### (b) Determination of Revenue:

Card Ltd. concludes that based on a transaction price of ₹ 190 per nut bolt, it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised will not occur when the uncertainty is resolved. Revenue is therefore recognised at a selling price of ₹ 190 per nut bolt as each nut bolt is sold. Accordingly, for the first quarter of the financial year 2021-2022, Card Ltd. recognizes revenue of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  45,60,000 (24,000 nut bolts x  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  190).

#### (c) Determination of Liability:

Card Ltd. will recognise a liability for cash received in excess of the transaction price for the first 50,000 nut bolts sold at ₹ 200 per nut bolt (that is, ₹ 10 per nut bolt) until the cumulative sales volume is reached for the next pricing tier and the price is retroactively reduced. Accordingly, for the first quarter of the financial year 2021 -2022, Card Ltd. recognizes liability of ₹ 2,40,000 (24,000 nut bolts x (₹ 200 – ₹ 190).

#### Question 44

On 1st January, 2023, Z Limited enters into an agreement with a college for renovation of building including installation of new air conditioners at a transaction price of ₹ 40.00 lakhs. The expected cost of air conditioners is ₹ 12.00 lakhs. The other expected cost is ₹ 20.00 lakhs. Z Limited purchases the air conditioners and they are delivered to the site before 31st March, 2023. Z Limited uses an input method based on cost to measure progress towards completion of the contract.

Z Limited has incurred actual other costs (excluding the air conditioners) of ₹ 4.00 lakhs by 31st March, 2023.

Determine the revenue to be recognized as per applicable Ind AS for the year ended 31st March, 2023, if performance obligation is met over a period of time. (PYP 6 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 44

Costs to be incurred comprise two major components - air conditioners and cost of construction service.

- (a) The air conditioners are part of the overall construction project and are not a distinct performance obligation.
- (b) The cost of air conditioners is substantial to the overall project and are incurred well in advance.
- (c) Upon delivery at site, customer acquires control of such air conditioners.

(d) And there is no modification done to the air conditioners, which the company only procures and delivers at site. Nevertheless, as part of materials used in overall construction project, the company is a principal in the transaction with the customer for such air conditioners also.

Therefore, applying the guidance on Input method -

- The measure of progress should be made based on the percentage of costs incurred relative to the total budgeted costs.
- The cost of air conditioners should be excluded when measuring such progress and revenue for such air conditioners should be recognized to the extent of costs incurred.

The revenue to be recognized is measured as follows:

The revenue to be recognized is measured as measured a	sured as follows:
Particulars	Amount (₹)
Transaction price	40,00,000
Costs incurred:	
(a) Cost of air conditioners	12,00,000
(b) Other costs	4,00,000
Measure of progress:	4,00,000 / 20,00,000 = 20%
Revenue to be recognised:	
(a) For costs incurred (other than air conditioners)	Total attributable revenue = 28,00,000
	% of work completed = 20%
	Revenue to be recognised = 5,60,000
(b) Revenue for air conditioners	12,00,000 (equal to costs incurred)
Total revenue to be recognised	12,00,000 + 5,60,000 = 17,60,000

Therefore, for the year ended 31st March, 2023, the Company shall recognize revenue of ₹ 17,60,000 on the project.

#### section - B

#### Question 1

Q TV released an advertisement in Deshabandhu, a vernacular daily. Instead of paying for the same, Q TV allowed Deshabandhu a free advertisement spot, which was duly utilised by Deshabandu. How revenue for these non-monetary transactions in the area of advertising will be recognized and measured?

#### Answer 1

Paragraph 5(d) of Ind AS 115 excludes non-monetary exchanges between entities in the same line of business to facilitate sales to customers or potential customers. For example, this Standard would not apply to a contract between two oil companies that agree to an exchange of oil to fulfil demand from their customers in different specified locations on a timely basis.

In industries with homogenous products, it is common for entities in the same line of business to exchange products in order to sell them to customers or potential customers other than parties to exchange. The current scenario, on the contrary, will be covered under Ind AS 115 since the same is exchange of dissimilar goods or services because both of the entities deal in different mode of media, i.e., one is print media and another is electronic media and both parties are acting as customers and suppliers for each other.

235

## $^{\mathsf{age}}236$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Further, in the current scenario, it seems it is for consumption by the said parties and hence it does not fall under paragraph 5(d). It may also be noted that, even if it was to facilitate sales to customers or potential customers, it would not be scoped out since the parties are not in the same line of business.

As per paragraph 47 of Ind AS 115, "An entity shall consider the terms of the contract and its customary business practices to determine the transaction price. The transaction price is the amount of consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring promised goods or services to a customer, excluding amounts collected on behalf of third parties (for example, some sales taxes). The consideration promised in a contract with a customer may include fixed amounts, variable amounts, or both".

Paragraph 66 of Ind AS 115 provides that to determine the transaction price for contracts in which a customer promises consideration in a form other than cash, an entity shall measure the non-cash consideration (or promise of non-cash consideration) at fair value.

In accordance with the above, Q TV and Deshabandhu should measure the revenue promised in the form of non-cash consideration as per the above referred principles of Ind AS 115.

#### Question 2

A Ltd. a telecommunication company, entered into an agreement with B Ltd. which is engaged in generation and supply of power. The agreement provided that A Ltd. will provide 1,00,000 minutes of talk time to employees of B Ltd. in exchange for getting power equivalent to 20,000 units. A Ltd. normally charges ₹0.50 per minute and B Ltd. Charges ₹2.5 per unit. How should revenue be measured in this case?

#### Answer 2

Paragraph 5(d) of Ind AS 115 excludes non-monetary exchanges between entities in the same line of business to facilitate sales to customers or potential customers. For example, this Standard would not apply to a contract between two oil companies that agree to an exchange of oil to fulfil demand from their customers in different specified locations on a timely basis.

However, the current scenario will be covered under Ind AS 115 since the same is exchange of dissimilar goods or services.

As per paragraph 47 of Ind AS 115, "an entity shall consider the terms of the contract and its customary business practices to determine the transaction price. The transaction price is the amount of consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring promised goods or services to a customer, excluding amounts collected on behalf of third parties (for example, some sales taxes). The consideration promised in a contract with a customer may include fixed amounts, variable amounts, or both".

Paragraph 66 of Ind AS 115 provides that to determine the transaction price for contracts in which a customer promises consideration in a form other than cash, an entity shall measure the non-cash consideration (or promise of noncash consideration) at fair value.

On the basis of the above, revenue recognized by A Ltd. will be the consideration in the form of power units that it expects to be entitled for talktime sold, i.e. \$50,000 (20,000 units x \$2.5). The revenue recognized by B Ltd. will be the consideration in the form of talk time that it expects to be entitled for the power units sold, i.e., \$50,000 (1,00,000 minutes x \$0.50).

#### Question 3

Company X enters into an agreement on 1st January, 20X1 with a customer for renovation of hospital and install new air-conditioners for total consideration of ₹50,00,000. The promised renovation service, including the installation of new air-conditioners is a single performance obligation satisfied over time. Total expected costs are ₹40,00,000 including ₹10,00,000 for the air conditioners.

Company X determines that it acts as a principal in accordance with paragraphs B34-B38 of Ind AS 115 because it obtains control of the air conditioners before they are transferred to the customer. The customer obtains control of the air conditioners when they are delivered to the hospital premises.

Company X uses an input method based on costs incurred to measure its progress towards complete satisfaction of the performance obligation.

As at 31st March, 20X1, other costs incurred excluding the air conditioners are ₹ 6,00,000.

Whether Company X should include cost of the air conditioners in measure of its progress of performance obligation? How should revenue be recognized for the year ended March 20X1?

#### **Answer 3**

Paragraph B19 of Ind AS 115 inter alia, states that, "an entity shall exclude from an input method the effects of any inputs that, in accordance with the objective of measuring

progress in paragraph 39, do not depict the entity's performance in transferring control of goods or services to the customer".

In accordance with the above, Company X assesses whether the costs incurred to procure the air conditioners are proportionate to the entity's progress in satisfying the performance obligation. The costs incurred to procure the air conditioners (₹10,00,000) are significant relative to the total costs to completely satisfy the performance obligation (₹40,00,000). Also, Company X is not involved in manufacturing or designing the air conditioners.

Company X concludes that including the costs to procure the air conditioners in the measure of progress would overstate the extent of the entity's performance. Consequently, in accordance with paragraph B19 of Ind AS 115, the entity adjusts its measure of progress to exclude the costs to procure the air conditioners from the measure of costs incurred and from the transaction price. The entity recognizes revenue for the transfer of the air conditioners at an amount equal to the costs to procure the air conditioners (i.e., at a zero margin).

Company X assesses that as at March, 20X1, the performance is 20 per cent complete (i.e., ₹6,00,000 / ₹30,00,000). Consequently, Company X recognizes the following-

As at 31st March, 20X1

	Amount in
Revenue	18,00,000
Cost of goods sold	16,00,000
Profit	2,00,000

Revenue recognized is calculated as  $(20 \text{ per cent} \times 40,00,000) + 10,00,000$ . ( 40,00,000 = 50,00,000 transaction price - 10,00,000 costs of air conditioners.) Cost of goods sold is 6,00,000 of costs incurred + 10,00,000 costs of air conditioners.

#### Question 4

An entity G Ltd. enters into a contract with a customer P Ltd. for the sale of a machinery for 20,00,000. P Ltd. intends to use the said machinery to start a

786

food processing unit. The food processing industry is highly competitive and P Ltd. has very little experience in the said industry.

P Ltd. pays a non-refundable deposit of 1,00,000 at inception of the contract and enters into a long-term financing agreement with G Ltd. for the remaining 95 per cent of the agreed consideration which it intends to pay primarily from income derived from its food processing unit as it lacks any other major source of income. The financing arrangement is provided on a non-recourse basis, which means that if P Ltd. defaults then G Ltd. can repossess the machinery but cannot seek further compensation from P Ltd., even if the full value of the amount owed is not recovered from the machinery. The cost of the machinery for G Ltd. is 12,00,000. P Ltd. obtains control of the machinery at contract inception.

When should G Ltd. recognize revenue from sale of machinery to P Ltd. in accordance with Ind AS 115?

#### Answer 4

As per paragraph 9 of Ind AS 115, "An entity shall account for a contract with a customer that is within the scope of this Standard only when all of the following criteria are met:

- (a) the parties to the contract have approved the contract (in writing, orally or in accordance with other customary business practices) and are committed to perform their respective obligations;
- (b) the entity can identify each party's rights regarding the goods or services to be transferred;
- (c) the entity can identify the payment terms for the goods or services to be transferred;
- (d) the contract has commercial substance (ie the risk, timing or amount of the entity's future cash flows is expected to change as a result of the contract); and
- (e) it is probable that the entity will collect the consideration to which it will be entitled in exchange for the goods or services that will be transferred to the customer. In evaluating whether collectability of an amount of consideration is probable, an entity shall consider only the customer's ability and intention to pay that amount of consideration when it is due. The amount of consideration to which the entity will be entitled may be less than the price stated in the contract if the consideration is variable because the entity may offer the customer a price concession".

Paragraph 9(e) above, requires that for revenue to be recognized, it should be probable that the entity will collect the consideration to which it will be entitled in exchange for the goods or services that will be transferred to the customer. In the given case, it is not probable that G Ltd. will collect the consideration to which it is entitled in exchange for the transfer of the machinery. P Ltd.'s ability to pay may be uncertain due to the following reasons:

- (a) P Ltd. intends to pay the remaining consideration (which has a significant balance) primarily from income derived from its food processing unit (which is a business involving significant risk because of high competition in the said industry and P Ltd.'s little experience);
- (b) P Ltd. lacks sources of other income or assets that could be used to repay the balance consideration; and
- (c) P Ltd.'s liability is limited because the financing arrangement is provided on a non-recourse basis.

In accordance with the above, the criteria in paragraph 9 of Ind AS 115 are not met. Further, para 15 states that when a contract with a customer does not meet the criteria in paragraph 9 and an entity receives consideration from the customer, the entity shall recognize the consideration received as revenue only when either of the following events has occurred:

(a) the entity has no remaining obligations to transfer goods or services to the customer

and all, or substantially all, of the consideration promised by the customer has been received by the entity and is non-refundable; or

(b) the contract has been terminated and the consideration received from the customer is non-refundable.

Para 16 states that an entity shall recognize the consideration received from a customer as a liability until one of the events in paragraph 15 occurs or until the criteria in paragraph 9 are subsequently met. Depending on the facts and circumstances relating to the contract, the liability recognized represents the entity's obligation to either transfer goods or services in the future or refund the consideration received. In either case, the liability shall be measured at the amount of consideration received from the customer.

In accordance with the above, in the given case G Ltd. should account for the non-refundable deposit of ₹1,00,000 payment as a deposit liability as none of the events described in paragraph 15 have occurred—that is, neither the entity has received substantially all of the consideration, nor it has terminated the contract. Consequently, in accordance with paragraph 16, G Ltd. will continue to account for the initial deposit as well as any future payments of principal and interest as a deposit liability until the criteria in paragraph 9 are met (i.e. the entity is able to conclude that it is probable that the entity will collect the consideration) or one of the events in paragraph 15 has occurred. Further, G Ltd. will continue to assess the contract in accordance with paragraph 14 to determine whether the criteria in paragraph 9 are subsequently met or whether the events in paragraph 15 of Ind AS 115 have occurred.

#### Question 5

Entity I concludes that it is highly probable that it will collect 1.75 million, and such amount is not constrained under the variable consideration guidance. What is the transaction price in this arrangement?

#### Answer 5

Entity I is likely to provide a price concession and accept an amount less than  $\ref{2}$  million in exchange for the machinery. The consideration is therefore variable. The transaction price in this arrangement is  $\ref{2}$  1.75 million, as this is the amount which entity I expects to receive after providing the concession and it is not constrained under the variable consideration guidance. Entity I can also conclude that the collectability threshold is met for  $\ref{2}$  1.75 million and therefore contract exists.

#### Question 6

On 1st January 20X8, entity J enters into a one-year contract with a customer to deliver water treatment chemicals. The contract stipulates that the price per container will be adjusted retroactively once the customer reaches certain sales volume, defined, as follows:

Price per container		tainer	Cumulative sales volume	
	100		1 - 1,000,000 containers	
	90		1,000,001 - 3,000,000 containers	
	85		3,000,001 containers and above	

Volume is determined based on sales during the calendar year. There are no minimum purchase requirements. Entity J estimates that the total sales volume

 $^{2}$ 

for the year will be 2.8 million containers, based on its experience with similar contracts and forecasted sales to the customer.

Entity J sells 700,000 containers to the customer during the first quarter ended 31st March 20X8 for a contract price of ₹100 per container.

How should entity J determine the transaction price?

#### Answer 6

The transaction price is ₹ 90 per container based on entity J's estimate of total sales volume for the year, since the estimated cumulative sales volume of 2.8 million containers would result in a price per container of 90. Entity J concludes that based on a transaction price of ₹ 90 per container, it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when the uncertainty is resolved. Revenue is therefore recognized at a selling price of ₹90 per container as each container is sold. Entity J will recognize a liability for cash received in excess of the transaction price for the first 1 million containers sold at ₹100 per container (that is, ₹ 10 per container) until the cumulative sales volume is reached for the next pricing tier and the price is retroactively reduced.

For the quarter ended 31st March, 20X8, entity J recognizes revenue of ₹63 million (700,000 containers x 90) and a liability of 7 million [700,000 containers x (₹ 100 - ₹90)]. Entity J will update its estimate of the total sales volume at each reporting date until the uncertainty is resolved.

#### Question 7

Entity K sells electric razors to retailers for C 50 per unit. A rebate coupon is included inside the electric razor package that can be redeemed by the end consumers for C 10 per unit.

Entity K estimates that 20% to 25% of eligible rebates will be redeemed, based on its experience with similar programmes and rebate redemption rates available in the market for similar programmes. Entity K concludes that the transaction price should incorporate an assumption of 25% rebate redemption, as this is the amount for which it is highly probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue will not occur if estimates of the rebates change.

How should entity K determine the transaction price?

#### Answer 7

Entity K records sales to the retailer at a transaction price of 47.50 (50 less 25% of 10). The difference between the per unit cash selling price to the retailers and the transaction price is recorded as a liability for cash consideration expected to be paid to the end customer. Entity K will update its estimate of the rebate and the transaction price at each reporting date if estimates of redemption rates change.

#### Question 8

A manufacturer enters into a contract to sell goods to a retailer for ₹1,000. The manufacturer also offers price protection, whereby it will reimburse the retailer for any difference between the sale price and the lowest price offered to any customer during the following six months. This clause is consistent with other price protection clauses offered in the past, and the manufacturer believes that it has experience which is predictive for this contract.

Management expects that it will offer a price decrease of 5% during the price protection period. Management concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue will not occur if estimates change.

How should the manufacturer determine the transaction price?

#### Answer 8

The transaction price is ₹950, because the expected reimbursement is ₹ 50.

expected payment to the retailer is reflected in the transaction price at contract inception, as that is the amount of consideration to which the manufacturer expects to be entitled after the price protection. The manufacturer will recognize a liability for the difference between the invoice price and the transaction price, as this represents the cash that it expects to refund to the retailer. The manufacturer will update its estimate of expected reimbursement at each reporting date until the uncertainty is resolved.

#### Question 9

Electronics Manufacturer M sells 1,000 televisions to Retailer R for ₹50,00,000 (₹5,000 per television). M provides price protection to R by agreeing to reimburse R for the difference between this price and the lowest price that it offers for that television during the following six months. Based on M's extensive experience with similar arrangements, it estimates the following outcomes.

Price reduction in next six months ()	Probability	
0	70%	
500	20%	
1,000	10%	

Determine the transaction price.

#### Answer 9

After considering all relevant facts and circumstances, M determines that the expected value method provides the best prediction of the amount of consideration to which it will be entitled. As a result, it estimates the transaction price to be 4,800 per television – i.e. (₹ 5,000 x 70%) + (₹4,500 x 20%) + (₹4,000 x 10%).

#### Question 10

Construction Company C enters into a contract with Customer E to build an asset. Depending on when the asset is completed, C will receive either 1,10,000 or 1,30,000.

Outcome	Consideration ()	Probability			
Project completes on time	1,30,000	90%			
Project is delayed	1,10,000	10%			

Determine the transaction price.

#### Answer 10

Because there are only two possible outcomes under the contract, C determines that using the most likely amount provides the best prediction of the amount of consideration to which it will be entitled. C estimates the transaction price to be ₹ 1,30,000, which is the single most likely amount.

#### Question 11

Franchisor Y Ltd. licenses the right to operate a store in a specified location to Franchisee F. The store bears Y Ltd.'s trade name and F will have a right to sell Y Ltd.'s products for 10 years. F pays an up-front fixed fee. The franchise contract also requires Y Ltd. to maintain the brand through product improvements, marketing campaigns etc. Determine the nature of license.

#### Answer 11

The licence provides F access to the IP as it exists at any point in time in the licence period. This is because:

Page 241

- Y Ltd. is required to maintain the brand, which will significantly affect the IP by affecting F's ability to obtain benefit from the brand;
- any action by Y Ltd. may have a direct positive or negative effect on F; and
- these activities do not transfer a goods or service to F.

Therefore, Y Ltd. recognizes the up-front fee over the 10-year franchise period.

#### Question 12(Illustration)

New way limited decides to enter a new market that is currently experiencing economic difficulty and expects that in future economy will improve. New way enters into an arrangement with a customer in the new region for networking products for promised consideration of  $\gtrless$  1,250,000. At contract inception, New way expects that it may not be able to collect the full amount from the customer. Determine how New way will recognise this transaction?

#### Answer 12

Assuming the contract meets the other criteria covered within the scope of the model in Ind AS 115, New way need to assesses whether collectability is probable. In making this assessment, New way considers whether the customer has the ability and intent to pay the estimated transaction price, which may be an amount less than the contract price.

#### Question 13(Illustration)

A gymnasium enters into a contract with a new member to provide access to its gym for a 12-month period at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  4,500 per month. The member can cancel his or her membership without penalty after three months. Specify the contract term.

#### Answer 13

The enforceable rights and obligations of this contract are for three months, and therefore the contract term is three months.

#### Question 14(Illustration)

Contractor P enters into a manufacturing contract to produce 100 specialised CCTV Cameras for Customer Q for a fixed price of  $\gtrless$  1,000 per sensor. Customer Q can cancel the contract without a penalty after receiving 10 CCTV Cameras. Specify the contract units.

#### Answer 14

P determines that because there is no substantive compensation amount payable by Q on termination of the contract – i.e. no termination penalty in the contract – it is akin to a contract to produce 10 CCTV Cameras that gives Customer Q an option to purchase an additional 90 CCTV Cameras. Hence, contract is for 10 units.

#### **Question 15(Illustration)**

Manufacturer of airplanes for the air force negotiates a contract to design and manufacture new fighter planes for a Kashmir air base. At the same meeting, the manufacturer enters into a separate contract to supply parts for existing planes at other bases.

#### Answer 15

Contracts were negotiated at the same time, but they appear to have separate commercial objectives. Manufacturing and supply contracts are not dependent on one another, and the planes and the parts are not a single performance obligation. Therefore, contracts for supply of fighter planes and supply of parts shall not be combined and instead, they shall be accounted separately.

Page 242

#### Question 16(Illustration)

Software Company S enters into a contract to license its customer relationship management software to Customer B. Three days later, in a separate contract, S agrees to provide consulting services to significantly customise the licensed software to function in B's IT environment. B is unable to use the software until the customisation services are complete.

#### Answer 16

S determines that the two contracts should be combined because they were entered into at nearly the same time with the same customer, and the goods or services in the contracts are a single performance obligation.

#### Question 17(Illustration)

Manufacturer M enters into a contract to manufacture and sell a cyber security system to Government-related Entity P. One week later, in a separate contract, M enters into a contract to sell the same system to Government-related Entity Q. Both entities are controlled by the same government. During the negotiations, M agrees to sell the systems at a deep discount if both P and Q purchases the security system.

#### Answer 17

M concludes that the said two contracts should be combined because, among other things, P is a related party of Q, the contracts were entered into at nearly the same time and the contracts were negotiated as a single commercial package, which is clearly evident from the fact that discount is being offered if both the parties purchases the security system, thereby also making the consideration in one contract dependent on the other contract.

#### Question 18(Illustration)

An entity promises to sell 120 products to a customer for ₹ 120,000 (₹ 1,000 per product). The products are transferred to the customer over a six-month period. The entity transfers control of each product at a point in time. After the entity has transferred control of 60 products to the customer, the contract is modified to require the delivery of an additional 30 products (a total of 150 identical products) to the customer at a price of ₹ 950 per product which is the standalone selling price for such additional products at the time of placing this additional order. The additional 30 products were not included in the initial contract. It is assumed that additional products are contracted for a price that reflects the stand-alone selling price. Determine the accounting for the modified

#### Answer 18

contract?

When the contract is modified, the price of the contract modification for the additional 30 products is an additional  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  28,500 or  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  950 per product. The pricing for the additional products reflects the stand-alone selling price of the products at the time of the contract modification and the additional products are distinct from the original products.

Accordingly, the contract modification for the additional 30 products is, in effect, a new and separate contract for future products that does not affect the accounting for the existing contract and ₹ 950 per product for the 30 products in the new contract.

#### Question 19(Illustration)

On 1st April, 20X1, KLC Ltd. enters into a contract with Mr. K to provide
- A machine for ₹ 2.5 million

243

One year of maintenance services for ₹ 55,000 per month

On 1st October, 20X1, KLC Ltd. and Mr. K agree to modify the contract to reduce the amount of services from ₹ 55,000 per month to ₹ 45,000 per month.

#### Answer 19

The next six months of services are distinct from the services provided in the first six months before modification in contract,

Therefore, KLC Ltd. will account for the contract modification as if it were a termination of the existing contract and the creation of a new contract.

The consideration allocated to remaining performance obligation is ₹ 270,000, which is the sum of

- The consideration promised by the customer (including amounts already received from the customer) that was included in the estimate of the transaction price and had not yet been recognized as revenue. This amount is zero.
- The consideration promised as part of the contract modification ie ₹ 270,000.

#### Question 20(Illustration)

Growth Ltd enters into an arrangement with a customer for infrastructure outsourcing deal.

Based on its experience, Growth Ltd determines that customising the infrastructure will take approximately 200 hours in total to complete the project and charges ₹ 150 per hour. After incurring 100 hours of time, Growth Ltd and the customer agree to change an aspect of the project and increases the estimate of labour hours by 50 hours at the rate of ₹ 100 per hour. Determine how contract modification will be accounted as per Ind AS 115?

#### Answer 20

Considering that the remaining goods or services are not distinct, the modification will be accounted for on a cumulative catch up basis, as given below:

Particulars	Hours	Rate (₹)	Amount (₹)
Initial contract amount	200	150	30,000
Modification in contract	50	100	5,000
Contract amount after modification	250	140*	35,000
Revenue to be recognised	100	140	14,000
Revenue already booked	100	150	15,000
Adjustment in revenue			(1,000)

<sup>\*35,000 / 250 = 140</sup> 

#### **Question 21(Illustration)**

A construction services company enters into a contract with a customer to build a water purification plant. The company is responsible for all aspects of the plant including overall project management, engineering and design services, site preparation, physical construction of the plant, procurement of pumps and equipment for measuring and testing flow volumes and quality, and the integration of all components. Determine whether the company has a single or multiple performance obligations under the contract?

#### Answer 21

Determining whether a good or service represents a performance obligation on its own or is required to be aggregated with other goods or services can have a significant impact on the timing of revenue recognition. In order to determine how many

performance obligations are present in the contract, the company applies the guidance above. While the customer may be able to benefit from each promised good or service on its own (or together with other readily available resources), they do not appear to be separately identifiable within the context of the contract. That is, the promised goods and services are subject to significant integration, and as a result will be treated as a single performance obligation.

This is consistent with a view that the customer is primarily interested in acquiring a single asset (a water purification plant) rather than a collection of related components and services.

#### Question 22(Illustration)

An entity provides broadband services to its customers along with voice call service. Customer buys modem from the entity. However, customer can also get the connection from the entity and modem from any other vendor. The installation activity requires limited effort and the cost involved is almost insignificant. It has various plans where it provides either broadband services or voice call services or both. Are the performance obligations under the contract distinct?

#### **Answer 22**

Entity promises to customer to provide

- Broadband Service
- Voice Call services
- Modem

Entity's promise to provide goods and services is distinct if

- customer can benefit from the good or service either on its own or together with other resources that are readily available to the customer, and
- entity's promise to transfer the good or service to the customer is separately identifiable from other promises in the contract

For broadband and voice call services -

❖ Broadband and voice services are separately identifiable from other promises as company has various plans to provide the two services separately. These two services are not dependant or interrelated. Also the customer can benefit on its own from the services received.

For sale of modem -

❖ Customer can either buy product from entity or third party. No significant customisation or modification is required for selling product.

Based on the evaluation we can say that there are three separate performance obligation: -

- Broadband Service
- Voice Call services
- ❖ Modem

#### Question 23(Illustration)

An entity enters into a contract to build a power plant for a customer. The entity will be responsible for the overall management of the project including services to be provided like engineering, site clearance, foundation, procurement, construction of the structure, piping and wiring, installation of equipment and finishing. Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have?

#### Answer 23

Based on the discussion above it needs to be determined that the promised goods and services are capable of being distinct as per the principles of Ind AS 115. That is,

Page 745

whether the customer can benefit from the goods and services either on their own or together with other readily available resources. This is evidenced by the fact that the entity, or competitors of the entity, regularly sells many of these goods and services separately to other customers. In addition, the customer could generate economic benefit from the individual goods and services by using, consuming, selling or holding those goods or services.

However, the goods and services are not distinct within the context of the contract. That is, the entity's promise to transfer individual goods and services in the contract are not separately identifiable from other promises in the contract. This is evidenced by the fact that the entity provides a significant service of putting together the various inputs or goods and services into the power plant or the output for which the customer has contracted.

Since both the criteria has not met, the goods and services are not distinct. The entity accounts for all of the goods and services in the contract as a single performance obligation.

#### Question 24(Illustration)

Could the series requirement apply to hotel management services where day to day activities vary, involve employee management, procurement, accounting, etc?

#### Answer 24

The series guidance requires each distinct good or service to be "substantially the same." Management should evaluate this requirement based on the nature of its promise to customer. For example, a promise to provide hotel management services for a specified contract term may meet the series criteria. This is because the entity is providing the same service of "hotel management" each period, even though some on underlying activities may vary each day. The underlying activities for e.g. reservation services, property maintenance services are activities to fulfil the hotel management service rather than separate promises. The distinct service within the series is each time increment of performing the service.

#### Question 25(Illustration)

Entity A, a specialty construction firm, enters into a contract with Entity B to design and construct a multi-level shopping centre with a customer car parking facility located in sub-levels underneath the shopping centre. Entity B solicited bids from multiple firms on both phases of the project — design and construction.

The design and construction of the shopping centre and parking facility involves multiple goods and services from architectural consultation and engineering through procurement and installation of all of the materials. Several of these goods and services could be considered separate performance obligations because Entity A frequently sells the services, such as architectural consulting and engineering services, as well as standalone construction services based on third party design, separately. Entity A may require to continually alter the design of the shopping centre and parking facility during construction as well as continually assess the propriety of the materials initially selected for the project.

## Determine how many performance obligations does the entity A have?

#### Answer 25

Entity A analyses that it will be required to continually alter the design of the shopping centre and parking facility during construction as well as continually assess the

## ,age 247

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

propriety of the materials initially selected for the project. Therefore, the design and construction phases are highly dependent on one another (i.e., the two phases are highly interrelated). Entity A also determines that significant customisation and modification of the design and construction services is required in order to fulfil the performance obligation under the contract. As such, Entity A concludes that the design and construction services will be bundled and accounted for as one performance obligation.

#### Question 26 (Illustration)

An entity, a software developer, enters into a contract with a customer to transfer a software license, perform an installation service and provide unspecified software updates and technical support (online and telephone) for a two-year period. The entity sells the license, installation service and technical support separately. The installation service includes changing the web screen for each type of user (for example, marketing, inventory management and information technology). The installation service is routinely performed by other entities and does not significantly modify the software. The software remains functional without the updates and the technical support. Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have?

#### Answer 26

The entity assesses the goods and services promised to the customer to determine which goods and services are distinct. The entity observes that the software is delivered before the other goods and services and remains functional without the updates and the technical support. Thus, the entity concludes that the customer can benefit from each of the goods and services either on their own or together with the other goods and services that are readily available.

The entity also considers the factors of Ind AS 115 and determines that the promise to transfer each good and service to the customer is separately identifiable from each of the other promises. In particular, the entity observes that the installation service does not significantly modify or customise the software itself and, as such, the software and the installation service are separate outputs promised by the entity instead of inputs used to produce a combined output.

On the basis of this assessment, the entity identifies four performance obligations in the contract for the following goods or services:

- The software license
- An installation service
- Software updates
- Technical support

#### Question 27 (Illustration)

The promised goods and services are the same as in the above Illustration, except that the contract specifies that, as part of the installation service, the software is to be substantially customised to add significant new functionality to enable the software to interface with other customised software applications used by the customer. The customised installation service can be provided by other entities.

Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have?

#### **Answer 27**

The entity assesses the goods and services promised to the customer to determine which goods and services are distinct. The entity observes that the terms of the contract result in a promise to provide a significant service of integrating the licensed software into the

existing software system by performing a customised installation service as specified in the contract. In other words, the entity is using the license and the customised installation service as inputs to produce the combined output (i.e. a functional and integrated software system) specified in the contract. In addition, the software is significantly modified and customised by the service. Although the customised installation service can be provided by other entities, the entity determines that within the context of the contract, the promise to transfer the license is not separately identifiable from

the customised installation service and, therefore, the criterion on the basis of the factors is not met. Thus, the software license and the customised installation service are not distinct.

The entity concludes that the software updates and technical support are distinct from the other promises in the contract. This is because the customer can benefit from the updates and technical support either on their own or together with the other goods and services that are readily available and because the promise to transfer the software updates and the technical support to the customer are separately identifiable from each of the other promises.

On the basis of this assessment, the entity identifies three performance obligations in the contract for the following goods or services:

- a) customised installation service (that includes the software license);
- b) software updates; and
- c) technical support.

#### Question 28(Illustration)

Telco T Ltd. enters into a two-year contract for internet services with Customer C. C also buys a modem and a router from T Ltd. and obtains title to the equipment. T Ltd. does not require customers to purchase its modems and routers and will provide internet services to customers using other equipment that is compatible with T Ltd.'s network. There is a secondary market in which modems and routers can be bought or sold for amounts greater than scrap value. Determine how many performance obligations does the entity T Ltd. have?

#### Answer 28

T Ltd. concludes that the modem and router are each distinct and that the arrangement includes three performance obligations (the modem, the router and the internet services) based on the following evaluation:

Criterion 1: Capable of being distinct

- C can benefit from the modem and router on their own because they can be resold for more than scrap value.
- C can benefit from the internet services in conjunction with readily available resources i.e. either the modem and router are already delivered at the time of contract set- up, they could be bought from alternative retail vendors or the internet service could be used with different equipment.

Criterion 2: Distinct within the context of the contract

- T Ltd. does not provide a significant integration service.
- The modem, router and internet services do not modify or customise one another.
- C could benefit from the internet services using routers and modems that are not sold by T Ltd. Therefore, the modem, router and internet services are not highly dependent on or highly inter-related with each other.

#### Question 29(Illustration)

V Ltd. grants Customer C a three-year licence for anti-virus software. Under the contract, V Ltd. promises to provide C with when-and-if-available updates to that software during the licence period. The updates are critical to the continued use of the anti-virus software. Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have?

#### Answer 29

V Ltd. concludes that the licence and the updates are capable of being distinct because the anti- virus software can still deliver its original functionality during the licence period without the updates. C can also benefit from the updates together with the licence transferred when the contract is signed.

However, V Ltd. concludes that the licence and the updates are not separately identifiable because the software and the service are inputs into a combined item in the contract – i.e. the nature of V Ltd.'s promise is to provide continuous anti-virus protection for the term of the contract. Therefore, V Ltd. accounts for the licence and the updates as a single performance obligation.

#### Question 30 (Illustration)

Media Company P Ltd. offers magazine subscriptions to customers. When customers subscribe, they receive a printed copy of the magazine each month and access to the magazine's online content. Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have?

#### Answer 30

P evaluates whether the promises to provide printed copies and online access are separate performance obligations. P determines that the arrangement includes two performance obligations for the following reasons:

• The printed copies and online access are both capable of being distinct because the customer could use them on their own.

The printed copies and online access are distinct within the context of the contract because they are different formats so they do not significantly customise or modify each other, nor is there any transformative relationship into a single output.

#### Question 31 (Illustration)

Software Company K Ltd. enters into a contract with reseller D, which then sells software products to end users. K Ltd. has a customary business practice of providing free telephone support to end users without involving the reseller, and both reseller and the customer expect K Ltd. to continue to provide this support. Determine how many performance obligations does the entity K Ltd. have?

#### Answer 31

In evaluating whether the telephone support is a separate performance obligation, K Ltd. notes that the promise to provide telephone support free of charge to end users is considered a service that meets the definition of a performance obligation when control of the software product transfers to D. As a result, K Ltd. accounts for the telephone support as a separate performance obligation in the transaction with D.

#### Question 32 (Illustration)

Carmaker N Ltd. has a historical practice of offering free maintenance services – e.g. oil changes and tyre rotation – for two years to the end customers of dealers who buy its vehicles. However, the two years' free maintenance is not

Page 249

## $^{ m age}250$

### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

explicitly stated in the contract with its dealers, but it is typically stated in N's advertisements for the vehicles. Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have?

#### Answer 32

The maintenance is treated as a separate performance obligation in the sale of the vehicle to the dealer. Revenue from the sale of the vehicle is recognised when control of the vehicle is transferred to the dealer. Revenue from the maintenance services is recognised separately as and when the maintenance services are provided to the retail customer.

#### Question 33 (Illustration)

Entity sells gym memberships for ₹ 7,500 per year to 100 customers, with an option to renew at a discount in 2nd and 3rd years at ₹ 6,000 per year. Entity estimates an annual attrition rate of 50% each year.

Determine the amount of revenue to be recognised in the first year and the amount of contract liability against the option given to the customer for renewing the membership at discount.

#### Answer 33

Allocated price per unit (year) is calculated as follows:

Total estimated memberships is 175 members (Year 1 = 100; Year 2 = 50; Year 3 = 25) = 175

Total consideration is ₹ 12,00,000 {(100 x 7,500) + (50 x 6,000) + (25 x 6,000)} Allocated price per membership is ₹ 6,857 approx. (12,00,000 / 175) Basis on above, it is to be noted that although entity has collected ₹ 7,500 but revenue can be recognised at ₹ 6,857 approx. per membership and remaining ₹ 643

should be recorded as contract liability against option given to customer for renewing their membership at discount.

#### Question 34 (Illustration)

An entity enters into a contract for the sale of Product A for  $\ref{1,000}$ . As part of the contract, the entity gives the customer a 40% discount voucher for any future purchases up to  $\ref{1,000}$  in the next 30 days. The entity intends to offer a 10% discount on all sales during the next 30 days as part of a seasonal promotion. The 10% discount cannot be used in addition to the 40% discount voucher.

The entity believes there is 80% likelihood that a customer will redeem the voucher and on an average, a customer will purchase ₹ 500 of additional products.

Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have and their stand-alone selling price and allocated transaction price?

#### Answer 34

Since all customers will receive a 10% discount on purchases during the next 30 days, the only additional discount that provides the customer with a material right is the incremental discount of 30% on the products purchased. The entity accounts for the promise to provide the incremental discount as a separate performance obligation in the contract for the sale of Product A.

The entity believes there is 80% likelihood that a customer will redeem the voucher and on an average, a customer will purchase ₹ 500 of additional products. Consequently, the entity's estimated stand-alone selling price of the discount voucher is ₹ 120 (₹ 500 average purchase price of additional products x 30% incremental discount x 80%

# $P_{\text{age}}251$

### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

likelihood of exercising the option). The stand-alone selling prices of Product A and the discount voucher and the resulting allocation of the ₹ 1,000 transaction price are as follows:

Performance obligations	Stand-alone selling price
Product A	₹ 1000
Discount voucher	₹ 120
Total	₹ 1120

Performance obligations		Allocated transaction price (to nearest ₹10)
Product A	(₹ 1000 ÷ ₹ 1120 × ₹ 1000)	₹ 890
Discount voucher	(₹ 120 ÷ ₹ 1120 × ₹ 1000)	₹ 110
Total		₹ 1000

The entity allocates ₹ 890 to Product A and recognises revenue for Product A when control transfers. The entity allocates ₹ 110 to the discount voucher and recognises revenue for the voucher when the customer redeems it for goods or services or when it expires.

#### Question 35 (Illustration)

A cable company provides television services for a fixed rate fee of ₹ 800 per month for a period of 3 years. Cable services is satisfied overtime because customer consumes and receives benefit from services as it is provided i.e. customer generally benefits each day that they have access to cable service. Determine how many performance obligations does the cable company have?

#### Answer 35

Cable company determines that each increment of its services e.g. day or month, is a distinct performance obligation because customer benefits from that period of services on its own. Additionally, each increment of service is separately identifiable from those preceding and following it i.e. one service period does not significantly affect, modify or customise another. Therefore, it can be concluded that its contract with customer is a single performance obligation to provide three years of cable service because each of the distinct increments of service is satisfied over time. Also, cable company uses the same measure of progress to recognise revenue on its cable television service regardless of the contract's time period.

#### Question 36 (Illustration)

Manufacturer M enters into a 60-day consignment contract to ship 1,000 dresses to Retailer A's stores. Retailer A is obligated to pay Manufacturer M  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  20 per dress when the dress is sold to an end customer.

During the consignment period, Manufacturer M has the contractual right to require Retailer A to either return the dresses or transfer them to another retailer. Manufacturer M is also required to accept the return of the inventory. State when the control is transferred.

#### Answer 36

Manufacturer M determines that control has not been transferred to Retailer A on delivery, for the following reasons:

(a) Retailer A does not have an unconditional obligation to pay for the dresses until

- they have been sold to an end customer;
- (b) Manufacturer M is able to require that the dresses be transferred to another retailer at any time before Retailer A sells them to an end customer; and
- (c) Manufacturer M is able to require the return of the dresses or transfer them to another retailer.

Manufacturer M determines that control of the dresses transfers when they are sold to an end customer i.e. when Retailer A has an unconditional obligation to pay Manufacturer M and can no longer return or otherwise transfer the dresses.

Manufacturer M recognises revenue as the dresses are sold to the end customer.

#### Question 37 (Illustration)

An entity negotiates with major airlines to purchase tickets at reduced rates compared with the price of tickets sold directly by the airlines to the public. The entity agrees to buy a specific number of tickets and will pay for those tickets even if it is not able to resell them. The reduced rate paid by the entity for each ticket purchased is negotiated and agreed in advance. The entity determines the prices at which the airline tickets will be sold to its customers. The entity sells the tickets and collects the consideration from customers when the tickets are sold; therefore, there is no credit risk.

The entity also assists the customers in resolving complaints with the service provided by airlines. However, each airline is responsible for fulfilling obligations associated with the ticket, including remedies to a customer for dissatisfaction with the service. Determine whether the entity is a principal or an agent.

#### Answer 37

To determine whether the entity's performance obligation is to provide the specified goods or services itself (i.e. the entity is a principal) or to arrange for another party to provide those goods or services (i.e. the entity is an agent), the entity considers the nature of its promise. The entity determines that its promise is to provide the customer with a ticket, which provides the right to fly on the specified flight or another flight if the specified flight is changed or cancelled. The entity considers the following indicators for assessment as principal or agent under the contract with the customers:

- (a) the entity is primarily responsible for fulfilling the contract, which is providing the right to fly. However, the entity is not responsible for providing the flight itself, which will be provided by the airline.
- (b) the entity has inventory risk for the tickets because they are purchased before they are sold to the entity's customers and the entity is exposed to any loss as a result of not being able to sell the tickets for more than the entity's cost.
- the entity has discretion in setting the sales prices for tickets to its customers. The entity concludes that its promise is to provide a ticket (i.e. a right to fly) to the customer. On the basis of the indicators, the entity concludes that it controls the ticket before it is transferred to the customer. Thus, the entity concludes that it is a principal in the transaction and recognises revenue in the gross amount of consideration to which it is entitled in exchange for the tickets transferred.

#### Question 38 (Illustration)

Company D Ltd. provides advertising services to customers. D Ltd. enters into a sub-contract with a multinational online video sharing company, F Ltd. Under the sub-contract, F Ltd. places all of D Ltd.'s customers' adverts. D Ltd. notes the following:

D Ltd. works directly with customers to understand their advertising needs before placing adverts.

- D Ltd. is responsible for ensuring that the advert meets the customer's needs after the advert is placed.
- D Ltd. directs F Ltd. over which advert to place and when to place it.
- D Ltd. does not bear inventory risk because there is no minimum purchase requirement with F Ltd.
- D Ltd. does not have discretion in setting the price because fees are charged based on F Ltd.'s scheduled rates.

# D is Principal or an agent?

#### Answer 38

D Ltd. is primarily responsible for fulfilling the promise to provide advertising services. Although F Ltd. delivers the placement service, D Ltd. directly works with customers to ensure that the services are performed to their requirements. Even though D Ltd. does not bear inventory risk and does not have discretion in setting the price, it controls the advertising services before they are provided to the customer. Therefore, D Ltd. is a principal in this case.

# Question 39 (Illustration)

Customer buy a new data connection from the telecom entity. It pays one-time registration and activation fees at the time of purchase of new connection. The customer will be charged based on the usage of the data services of the connection on monthly basis. Are the performance obligations under the contract distinct?

#### Answer 39

By selling a new connection, the entity promises to supply data services to customer. Customer will not be able to benefit from just buying a data card and data services from third party. The activity of registering and activating connection is not a service to customer and therefore does not represent satisfaction of performance obligation. Entity's obligation is to provide data service and hence activation is not a separate performance obligation.

#### Question 40 (Illustration)

XYZ Limited enters into a contract with a customer to build a sophisticated machinery. The promise to transfer the asset is a performance obligation that is satisfied over time. The promised consideration is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2.5$  crore, but that amount will be reduced or increased depending on the timing of completion of the asset. Specifically, for each day after 31st March, 20X1 that the asset is incomplete, the promised consideration is reduced by  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 1$  lakh. For each day before 31st March, 20X1 that the asset is complete, the promised consideration increases by  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 1$  lakh.

In addition, upon completion of the asset, a third party will inspect the asset and assign a rating based on metrics that are defined in the contract. If the asset receives a specified rating, the entity will be entitled to an incentive bonus of  $\overline{\epsilon}$  15 lakh.

Determine the transaction price.

# Answer 40

In determining the transaction price, the entity prepares a separate estimate for each element of variable consideration to which the entity will be entitled using the estimation methods described in paragraph 53 of Ind AS 115:

Page 253

- a) the entity decides to use the expected value method to estimate the variable consideration associated with the daily penalty or incentive (i.e. ₹ 2.5 crore, plus or minus ₹ 1 lakh per day). This is because it is the method that the entity expects to better predict the amount of consideration to which it will be entitled.
- b) the entity decides to use the most likely amount to estimate the variable consideration associated with the incentive bonus. This is because there are only two possible outcomes (₹ 15 lakh or ₹ Nil) and it is the method that the entity expects to better predict the amount of consideration to which it will be entitled.

# Question 41 (Illustration)

AST Limited enters into a contract with a customer to build a manufacturing facility. The entity determines that the contract contains one performance obligation satisfied over time.

Construction is scheduled to be completed by the end of the 36th month for an agreed-upon price of ₹ 25 crore.

The entity has the opportunity to earn a performance bonus for early completion as follows:

15 percent bonus of the contract price if completed by the 30th month (25% likelihood)

10 percent bonus if completed by the 32nd month (40% likelihood)

5 percent bonus if completed by the 34th month (15% likelihood)

In addition to the potential performance bonus for early completion, AST Limited is entitled to a quality bonus of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2 crore if a health and safety inspector assigns the facility a gold star rating as defined by the agency in the terms of the contract. AST Limited concludes that it is 60% likely that it will receive the quality bonus.

Determine the transaction price.

#### Answer 41

In determining the transaction price, AST Limited separately estimates variable consideration for each element of variability ie the early completion bonus and the quality bonus.

AST Limited decides to use the expected value method to estimate the variable consideration associated with the early completion bonus because there is a range of possible outcomes and the entity has experience with a large number of similar contracts that provide a reasonable basis to predict future outcomes. Therefore, the entity expects this method to best predict the amount of variable consideration associated with the early completion bonus. AST's best estimate of the early completion bonus is ₹ 2.13 crore, calculated as shown in the following table:

Bonus %	Amount of bonus (₹ in crore)	Probabi lity	Probability- weighted amount (₹ in crore)
15%	3.75	25%	0.9375
10%	2.50	40%	1.00
5%	1.25	15%	0.1875
0%	-	20%	-
			2.125

AST Limited decides to use the most likely amount to estimate the variable consideration associated with the potential quality bonus because there are only

age 254

two possible outcomes ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  crore or  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  Nil) and this method would best predict the amount of consideration associated with the quality bonus. AST Limited believes the most likely amount of the quality bonus is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2 crore.

## Question 42 (Illustration)

HT Limited enters into a contract with a customer on 1st April, 20X1 to sell Product X for ₹ 1,000 per unit. If the customer purchases more than 100 units of Product A in a financial year, the contract specifies that the price per unit is retrospectively reduced to ₹ 900 per unit. Consequently, the consideration in the contract is variable.

For the first quarter ended 30th June, 20X1, the entity sells 10 units of Product A to the customer. The entity estimates that the customer's purchases will not exceed the 100 unit threshold required for the volume discount in the financial year. HT Limited determines that it has significant experience with this product and with the purchasing pattern of the customer. Thus, HT Limited concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognised (i.e. ₹ 1,000 per unit) will not occur when the uncertainty is resolved (i.e. when the total amount of purchases is known).

Further, in May, 20X1, the customer acquires another company and in the second quarter ended 30th September, 20X1 the entity sells an additional 50 units of Product A to the customer. In the light of the new fact, the entity estimates that the customer's purchases will exceed the 100 unit threshold for the financial year and therefore it will be required to retrospectively reduce the price per unit to ₹ 900. Determine the amount of revenue to be recognise by HT Ltd. for the quarter ended 30th June, 20X1 and 30th September, 20X1.

#### Answer 42

The entity recognises revenue of  $\gtrless$  10,000 (10 units  $\times$   $\gtrless$  1,000 per unit) for the quarter ended 30th June, 20X1.

HT Limited recognises revenue of ₹ 44,000 for the quarter ended 30th September, 20X1. That amount is calculated from ₹ 45,000 for the sale of 500 units (50 units x ₹ 900 per unit) less the change in transaction price of ₹ 1,000 (10 units x ₹ 100 price reduction) for the reduction of revenue relating to units sold for the quarter ended 30th June, 20X1.

#### Question 43 (Illustration)

An entity has a fixed fee contract for  $\overline{t}$  1 million to develop a product that meets specified performance criteria. Estimated cost to complete the contract is  $\overline{t}$  9,50,000. The entity will transfer control of the product over five years, and the entity uses the cost-to-cost input method to measure progress on the contract. An incentive award is available if the product meets the following weight criteria:

Weight (kg)	Award % of fixed fee	Incentive fee
951 or greater	0%	_
701–950	10%	₹ 100,000
700 or less	25%	₹ 250,000

The entity has extensive experience creating products that meet the specific performance criteria. Based on its experience, the entity has identified five engineering alternatives that will achieve the 10 percent incentive and two that will achieve the 25 percent incentive. In this case, the entity determined that it has 95 percent confidence that it will achieve the 10 percent incentive and 20 percent confidence that it will achieve the 25 percent incentive.

Based on this analysis, the entity believes 10 percent to be the most likely amount when estimating the transaction price. Therefore, the entity includes only the 10 percent award in the transaction price when calculating revenue because the entity has concluded it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is subsequently resolved due to its 95 percent confidence in achieving the 10 percent award.

The entity reassesses its production status quarterly to determine whether it is on track to meet the criteria for the incentive award. At the end of the year four, it becomes apparent that this contract will fully achieve the weight-based criterion. Therefore, the entity revises its estimate of variable consideration to include the entire 25 percent incentive fee in the year four because, at this point, it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when including the entire variable consideration in the transaction price.

Evaluate the impact of changes in variable consideration when cost incurred is as follows:

Year	₹
1	50,000
2	1,75,000
3	4,00,000
4	2,75,000
5	50,000

# Answer 43

Note: For simplification purposes, the table calculates revenue for the year independently based on costs incurred during the year divided by total expected costs, with the assumption that total expected costs do not change.

Fixed	A	1,000,000		8		
consideration						
Estimated costs	В	950,000				
to complete*						
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Total estimated	С	100,000	100,000	100,000	250,000	250,000
variable						
consideration						
Fixed revenue	$D=A \times H/B$	52,632	184,211	421,053	289,474	52,632
Variable	E=C x H/B	5,263	18,421	42,105	72,368	13,158
revenue						
Cumulative	F (see below)		_		99,370	
revenue						
adjustment						
Total revenue	G=D+E+F	57,895	202,632	463,158	461,212	65,790
Costs	Н	50,000	175,000	400,000	275,000	50,000

Operating profit	I=G–H	7,895	27,632	63,158	186,212	15,790
Margin	J=I/G	14%	14%	14%	40%	24%
(rounded off)						

- For simplicity, it is assumed there is no change to the estimated costs to complete throughout the contract period.
- In practice, under the cost-to-cost measure of progress, total revenue for each period is determined by multiplying the total transaction price (fixed and variable) by the ratio of cumulative cost incurred to total estimated costs to complete, less revenue recognized to date.

Calculation of cumulative catch-up adju			
Updated variable consideration	L		250,000
Percent complete in Year 4: (rounded off)	M=N/O		95%
Cumulative costs through Year 4	N	900,000	
Estimated costs to complete	0	950,000	
Cumulative variable revenue through Year 4:	Р		138,157
Cumulative catch-up adjustment	F=L x M-P		99,343

# **Question 44 (Illustration)**

On 1st April, 20X1, an entity enters into a contract with a client to provide asset management services for five years. The entity receives a two per cent quarterly management fee based on the client's assets under management at the end of each quarter. At 31st March, 20X2, the client's assets under management are ₹ 100 crore. In addition, the entity receives a performance-based incentive fee of 20 per cent of the fund's return in excess of the return of an observable market index over the five-year period. Consequently, both the management fee and the performance fee in the contract are variable consideration.

#### Answer 44

The entity accounts for the services as a single performance obligation because it is providing a series of distinct services that are substantially the same and have the same pattern of transfer (the services transfer to the customer over time and use the same method to measure progress—that is, a time-based measure of progress).

The entity observes that the promised consideration is dependent on the market and thus is highly susceptible to factors outside the entity's influence. In addition, the incentive fee has a large number and a broad range of possible consideration amounts. The entity also observes that although it has experience with similar contracts, that experience is of little predictive value in determining the future performance of the market. Therefore, at contract inception, the entity cannot conclude that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognised would not occur if the entity included its estimate of the management fee or incentive fee in the transaction price.

each reporting date, the entity updates its estimate of the transaction price. Consequently, at the end of each quarter, the entity concludes that it can include in the transaction price the actual amount of the quarterly management fee because the uncertainty is resolved. However, the entity concludes that it cannot include its estimate of the incentive fee in the transaction price at those dates. This is because there has not been a change in its assessment from contract inception—the variability of the fee based on the market index indicates that the entity cannot conclude that it is highly probable

that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognised would not occur if the entity included its estimate of the incentive fee in the transaction price.

At 31st March, 20X2, the client's assets under management are ₹ 100 crore. Therefore, the resulting quarterly management fee and the transaction price is ₹ 2 crore.

At the end of each quarter, the entity allocates the quarterly management fee to the distinct services provided during the quarter. This is because the fee relates specifically to the entity's efforts to transfer the services for that quarter, which are distinct from the services provided in other quarters.

Consequently, the entity recognises ₹ 2 crore as revenue for the quarter ended 31st March, 20X2.

# Question 45 (Illustration)

The entity applies the requirements in Ind AS 115 to the portfolio of 1,000 contracts because it reasonably expects that, in accordance with paragraph 4, the effects on the financial statements from applying these requirements to the portfolio would not differ materially from applying the requirements to the individual contracts within the portfolio. Since the contract allows a customer to return the products, the consideration received from the customer is variable. To estimate the variable consideration to which the entity will be entitled, the entity decides to use the expected value method (see paragraph 53(a) of Ind AS 115) because it is the method that the entity expects to better predict the amount of consideration to which it will be entitled. Using the expected value method, the entity estimates that 970 products will not be returned.

The entity estimates that the costs of recovering the products will be immaterial and expects that the returned products can be resold at a profit.

Determine the amount of revenue, refund liability and the asset to be recognised by the entity for the said contracts.

# Answer 45

The entity estimates that the costs of recovering the products will be immaterial and expects that the returned products can be resold at a profit.

Upon transfer of control of the 1,000 products, the entity does not recognise revenue for the 30 products that it expects to be returned. Consequently, in accordance with paragraphs 55 and B21 of Ind AS 115, the entity recognises the following:

- (a) revenue of ₹ 48,500 (₹ 50 × 970 products not expected to be returned);
- (b) a refund liability of ₹ 1,500 (₹ 50 refund × 30 products expected to be returned); and

(c) an asset of ₹ 900 (₹ 30 × 30 products for its right to recover products from customers on settling the refund liability).

### Question 46 (Illustration)

An entity manufactures and sells computers that include an assurance-type warranty for the first 90 days. The entity offers an optional 'extended coverage' plan under which it will repair or replace any defective part for three years from the expiration of the assurance-type warranty. Since the optional 'extended coverage' plan is sold separately, the entity determines that the three years of extended coverage represent a separate performance obligation (i.e. a servicetype warranty). The total transaction price for the sale of a computer and the extended warranty is ₹ 36,000. The entity determines that the stand-alone selling prices of the computer and the extended warranty are ₹ 32,000 and ₹ 4,000, respectively. The inventory value of the computer is ₹ 14,400. Furthermore, the entity estimates that, based on its experience, it will incur ₹ 2,000 in costs to repair defects that arise within the 90-day coverage period for the assurance-type warranty. Pass required journal entries.

#### Answer 46

# The entity will record the following journal entries:

	·		
Cash / Trade receivables	Dr.	36,000	
Warranty expense	Dr.	2,000	
To Accrued warranty costs (assurance-type			2,000
warranty)			
To Contract liability (service-type warranty)			4,000
To Revenue			32,000
(To record revenue and contract liabilities			
related to warranties)			
Cost of goods sold	Dr.	14,400	
To Inventory			14,400
(To derecognise inventory and recognise cost			
of goods sold)			

The entity derecognises the accrued warranty liability associated with the assurancetype warranty as actual warranty costs are incurred during the first 90 days after the customer receives the computer. The entity recognises the contract liability associated with the service-type warranty as revenue during the contract warranty period and recognises the costs associated with providing the service-type warranty as they are incurred. The entity had to determine whether the repair costs incurred are applied against the warranty reserve already established for claims that occur during the first 90 days or recognised as an expense as incurred.

# Question 47 (Illustration)

Entity sells 100 ultra-life batteries for ₹ 2,000 each and provides the customer with a five-year guarantee that the batteries will withstand the elements and continue to perform to specifications. The entity, which normally provides a one-year guarantee to customer purchasing ultra-life batteries, determines that years two through five represent a separate performance obligation. The entity determines that ₹ 1,70,000 of the ₹ 2,00,000 transaction price should be allocated to the batteries and ₹ 30,000 to the service warranty (based on estimated stand-alone selling prices and a relative selling price allocation). The

entity's normal one-year warranty cost is ₹ 100 per battery. Pass required journal

#### Answer 47

The entity will record the following journal entries:

Upon delivery of the batteries, the entity records the following entry:

Cash/Receivables	Dr.	2,00,000	
To Revenue			1,70,000
To Contract liability (service warranty)			30,000
Warranty expense	Dr.	10,000	
To Accrued warranty costs (assurance			10,000
warranty)			

The contract liability is recognised as revenue over the service warranty period (years 2 - 5). The costs of providing the service warranty are recognised as incurred. The assurance warranty obligation is used / derecognised as defective units are replaced / repaired during the initial year of the warranty. Upon expiration of the assurance warranty period, any remaining assurance warranty obligation is reversed.

# Question 48 (Illustration)

A commercial airplane component supplier enters into a contract with a customer for promised consideration of ₹ 70,00,000. Based on an evaluation of the facts and circumstances, the supplier concluded that ₹ 1,40,000 represented a insignificant financing component because of an advance payment received in excess of a year before the transfer of control of the product. State whether company needs to make any adjustment in determining the transaction price. What if the advance payment was larger and received further in advance, such that the entity concluded that ₹ 14,00,000 represented the financing component based on an analysis of the facts and circumstances.

# Answer 48

The entity may conclude that ₹ 1,40,000, or 2 percent of the contract price, is not significant, and the entity may not need to adjust the consideration promised in determining the transaction price.

However, when the advance payment was larger and received further in advance, such that the entity may conclude that ₹ 14,00,000 represents the financing component based on an analysis of the facts and circumstances. In such a case, the entity may conclude that ₹ 14,00,000, or 20 percent of the contract price, is significant, and the entity should adjust the consideration promised in determining the transaction price. Note: In this illustration, the entity's conclusion that 2 percent of the transaction price was not significant and 20 percent was significant is a judgment based on the entity's facts and circumstances. An entity may reach a different conclusion based on its facts and circumstances.

# Question 49 (Illustration)

NKT Limited sells a product to a customer for ₹ 1,21,000 that is payable 24 months after delivery. The customer obtains control of the product at contract inception. The contract permits the customer to return the product within 90 days. The product is new and the entity has no relevant historical evidence of product returns or other available market evidence.

The cash selling price of the product is  $\ge 1,00,000$  which represents the amount that the customer would pay upon delivery for the same product sold under otherwise identical terms and conditions as at contract inception. The entity's

#### Answer 49

The contract includes a significant financing component. This is evident from the difference between the amount of promised consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,21,000 and the cash selling price of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,00,000 at the date that the goods are transferred to the customer.

The contract includes an implicit interest rate of 10 per cent (i.e. the interest rate that over 24 months discounts the promised consideration of  $\gtrless$  1,21,000 to the cash selling price of  $\gtrless$  1,00,000). The entity evaluates the rate and concludes that it is commensurate with the rate that would be reflected in a separate financing transaction between the entity and its customer at contract inception.

Until the entity receives the cash payment from the customer, interest revenue would be recognised in accordance with Ind AS 109. In determining the effective interest rate in accordance with Ind AS 109, the entity would consider the remaining contractual term.

#### Question 50 (Illustration)

VT Limited enters into a contract with a customer to sell equipment. Control of the equipment transfers to the customer when the contract is signed.

The price stated in the contract is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1 crore plus a 10% contractual rate of interest, payable in 60 monthly instalments of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  212,470.

Determine the discounting rate and the transaction price when

Case A—Contractual discount rate reflects the rate in a separate financing transaction

Case B—Contractual discount rate does not reflect the rate in a separate financing transaction i.e. 14%.

#### Answer 50

Case A—Contractual discount rate reflects the rate in a separate financing transaction In evaluating the discount rate in the contract that contains a significant financing component, VT Limited observes that the 10% contractual rate of interest reflects the rate that would be used in a separate financing transaction between the entity and its customer at contract inception (i.e. the contractual rate of interest of 10% reflects the credit characteristics of the customer).

The market terms of the financing mean that the cash selling price of the equipment is ₹ 1 crore. This amount is recognised as revenue and as a loan receivable when control of the equipment transfers to the customer. The entity accounts for the receivable in accordance with Ind AS 109.

Case B—Contractual discount rate does not reflect the rate in a separate financing transaction

In evaluating the discount rate in the contract that contains a significant financing component, the entity observes that the 10% contractual rate of interest is significantly lower than the 14% interest rate that would be used in a separate financing transaction between the entity and its customer at contract inception (i.e. the contractual rate of interest of 10% does not reflect the credit characteristics of the customer). This suggests that the cash selling price is less than  $\ref{thmu}$  1 crore.

VT Limited determines the transaction price by adjusting the promised amount of consideration to reflect the contractual payments using the 14% interest rate that reflects the credit characteristics of the customer. Consequently, the entity determines

that the transaction price is  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  9,131,346 (60 monthly payments of  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  212,470 discounted at 14%). The entity recognises revenue and a loan receivable for that amount. The entity accounts for the loan receivable in accordance with Ind AS 109.

### Question 51 (Illustration)

ST Limited enters into a contract with a customer to sell an asset. Control of the asset will transfer to the customer in two years (i.e. the performance obligation will be satisfied at a point in time). The contract includes two alternative payment options:

- 1) Payment of ₹ 5,000 in two years when the customer obtains control of the asset or
- 2) Payment of ₹ 4,000 when the contract is signed. The customer elects to pay ₹ 4,000 when the contract is signed.

ST Limited concludes that the contract contains a significant financing component because of the length of time between when the customer pays for the asset and when the entity transfers the asset to the customer, as well as the prevailing interest rates in the market.

The interest rate implicit in the transaction is 11.8 per cent, which is the interest rate necessary to make the two alternative payment options economically equivalent. However, the entity determines that, the rate that should be used in adjusting the promised consideration is 6%, which is the entity's incremental borrowing rate.

Pass journal entries showing how the entity would account for the significant financing component

#### Answer 51

Journal Entries showing accounting for the significant financing component:

(a) Recognise a contract liability for the ₹ 4,000 payment received at contract inception:

Cash	Dr.	₹ 4.000	
To Contract liability		1,000	₹ 4,000

(b) During the two years from contract inception until the transfer of the asset, the entity adjusts the promised amount of consideration and accretes the contract liability by recognising interest on ₹ 4.000 at 6% for two years:

ability by recognising interest on 1,000 at 070 in	JI LVV	o years.	
Interest expense	Dr.	₹	
		494*	
To Contract liability			₹ 494
* ₹ 494 = ₹ 4,000 contract liability × (6% interest			
per year for two years).			

(c) Recognise revenue for the transfer of the asset:

Dr.	₹ 4,494	
		₹ 4 494
	Dr.	DI.   \

# **Question 52 (Illustration)**

ABC Limited enters into a contract for the construction of a power plant that includes scheduled milestone payments for the performance by ABC Limited throughout the contract term of three years. The performance obligation will be satisfied over time and the milestone payments are scheduled to coincide with the expected performance by ABC Limited. The contract provides that a specified percentage of each milestone payment is to be withheld as retention money by the customer throughout the arrangement and paid to the entity only when the building is complete.

Analyse whether the contract contains any financing component.

#### Answer 52

ABC Limited concludes that the contract does not include a significant financing component since the milestone payments coincide with its performance and the contract requires amounts to be retained for reasons other than the provision of finance. The withholding of a specified percentage of each milestone payment is intended to protect the customer from the contractor failing to adequately complete its obligations under the contract.

#### Question 53 (Illustration)

XYZ Limited, a personal computer (PC) manufacturer, enters into a contract with a customer to provide global PC support and repair coverage for three years along with its PC. The customer purchases this support service at the time of buying the product. Consideration for the service is an additional ₹ 3,000. Customers electing to buy this service must pay for it upfront (i.e. a monthly payment option is not available).

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

#### Answer 53

To determine whether there is a significant financing component in the contract, the entity considers the nature of the service being offered and the purpose of the payment terms. The entity charges a single upfront amount, not with the primary purpose of obtaining financing from the customer but, instead, to maximise profitability, taking into consideration the risks associated with providing the service. Specifically, if customers could pay monthly, they would be less likely to renew and the population of customers that continue to use the support service in the later years may become smaller and less diverse over time (i.e. customers that choose to renew historically are those that make greater use of the service, thereby increasing the entity's costs). In addition, customers tend to use services more if they pay monthly rather than making an upfront payment. Finally, the entity would incur higher administration costs such as the costs related to administering renewals and collection of monthly payments.

In assessing whether or not the contract contains a significant financing component, XYZ Limited determines that the payment terms were structured primarily for reasons other than the provision of finance to the entity. XYZ Limited charges a single upfront amount for the services because other payment terms (such as a monthly payment plan) would affect the nature of the risks it assumes to provide the service and may make it uneconomical to provide the service. As a result of its analysis, XYZ Limited concludes that there is not a significant financing component.

# Question 54 (Illustration)

A computer hardware vendor enters into a three-year arrangement with a customer to provide support services. For customers with low credit ratings, the

Page 263

vendor requires the customer to pay for the entire arrangement in advance of the provision of service. Other customers pay over time.

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

#### Answer 54

Due to this customer's credit rating, the customer pays in advance for the three-year term. Because there is no difference between the amount of promised consideration and the cash selling price (that is, the customer does not receive a discount for paying in advance), the vendor requires payment in advance only to protect against customer non-payment, and no other factors exist to suggest the arrangement contains a financing, the vendor concludes this contract does not provide the customer or the entity with a significant benefit of financing.

# Question 55 (Illustration)

A software vendor enters into a contract with a customer to provide a license solely in exchange for a sales-based royalty. Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

#### Answer 55

Although the payment will be made in arrears, because the total consideration varies based on the occurrence or non-occurrence of a future event that is not within the control of the customer or the entity, the software vendor concludes the contract does not provide the customer or the entity with a significant benefit of financing.

# Question 56 (Illustration)

An EPC contractor enters into a two-year contract to develop customized machine for a customer. The contractor concludes that the goods and services in this contract constitute a single performance obligation.

Based on the terms of the contract, the contractor determines that it transfers control over time, and recognizes revenue based on an input method best reflecting the transfer of control to the customer. The customer agrees to provide the contractor monthly progress payments, with the final 25 percent payment (holdback payment) due upon contract completion. As a result of the holdback payment, there is a gap between when control transfers and when consideration is received, creating a financing component.

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

#### Answer 56

There is no difference between the amount of promised consideration and the cash selling price (that is, the customer did not pay a premium for paying a portion of the consideration in arrears). The payment terms included a holdback payment only to ensure successful completion of the project, and no other factors exist to suggest the arrangement contains a financing. Hence, the contractor concludes this contract does not provide the customer or the contractor with a significant benefit of financing.

#### Question 57 (Illustration)

Company Z is a developer and manufacturer of defence systems that is primarily a Tier-II supplier of parts and integrated systems to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) in the commercial markets. Company Z enters into a contract with Company X for the development and delivery of 5,000 highly technical, specialized missiles for use in one of Company X's platforms.

,age 264

As a part of the contract, Company X has agreed to pay Company Z for their cost plus an award fee up to ₹ 100 crore. The consideration will be paid by the customer related to costs incurred near the time Company Z incurs such costs. However, the ₹ 100 crore award fee is awarded upon successful completion of the development and test fire of a missile to occur in 16 months from the time the contract is executed.

The contract specifies Company Z will earn up to ₹ 100 crore based on Company X's assessment of Company Z's ability to develop and manufacture a missile that achieves multiple factors, including final weight, velocity, and accuracy. Partial award fees may be awarded based on a pre-determined scale based on their success.

Assume Company Z has assessed the contract under Ind AS 115 and determined the award fee represents variable consideration. Based on their assessment, Company Z has estimated a total of

₹80 crore in the transaction price related to the variable consideration pursuant to guidance within Ind AS 115. Further, the entity has concluded it should recognize revenue over time for a single performance obligation using a cost-to-cost input method.

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

#### Answer 57

Company Z will transfer control over time beginning shortly after the contract is executed, but will not receive the cash consideration related to the award fee component from Company X for more than one year in the future. Hence, Company Z should assess whether the award fee represents a significant financing component.

The intention of the parties in negotiating the award fee due upon completion of the test fire, and based on the results of that test fire, was to provide incentive to Company Z to produce high functioning missiles that achieved successful scoring from Company X. Therefore, it was determined the contract does not contain a significant financing component, and Company Z should not adjust the transaction price.

As per Ind AS 115.63, as a practical expedient, an entity need not adjust the promised amount of consideration for the effects of a significant financing component if the entity expects, at contract inception, that the period between:

- (a) when the entity transfers a promised good or service to a customer and
- (b) when the customer pays for that good or service will be one year or less.

#### Question 58 (Illustration)

Company H enters into a two-year contract to develop customized software for Company C. Company H concludes that the goods and services in this contract constitute a single performance obligation.

Based on the terms of the contract, Company H determines that it transfers control over time, and recognizes revenue based on an input method best reflecting the transfer of control to Company C.

Company C agrees to provide Company H monthly progress payments. Based on the expectation of the timing of costs to be incurred, Company H concludes that progress payments are being made such that the timing between the transfer of control and payment is never expected to exceed one year.

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

 $^{\mathsf{Page}}26\overline{\mathsf{c}}$ 

#### Answer 58

Company H concludes it will not need to further assess whether a significant financing component is present and does not adjust the promised consideration in determining the transaction price, as they are applying the practical expedient under Ind AS 115. As per Ind AS 115.65, an entity shall present the effects of financing (interest revenue or interest expense) separately from revenue from contracts with customers in the statement of profit and loss. Interest revenue or interest expense is recognised only to the extent that a contract asset (or receivable) or a contract liability is recognised in accounting for a contract with a customer.

# Question 59 (Illustration)

An entity enters into a contract with a customer to provide a weekly service for one year. The contract is signed on 1st April, 20X1 and work begins immediately. The entity concludes that the service is a single performance obligation. This is because the entity is providing a series of distinct services that are substantially the same and have the same pattern of transfer (the services transfer to the customer over time and use the same method to measure progress — that is, a time-based measure of progress). In exchange for the service, the customer promises its 100 equity shares per week of service (a total of 5,200 shares for the contract). The terms in the contract require that the shares must be paid upon the successful completion of each week of service. How should the entity decide the transaction price?

#### Answer 59

The entity measures its progress towards complete satisfaction of the performance obligation as each week of service is complete. To determine the transaction price (and the amount of revenue to be recognised), the entity has to measure the fair value of 100 shares that are received upon completion of each weekly service. The entity shall not reflect any subsequent changes in the fair value of the shares received (or receivable) in revenue.

#### Question 60 (Illustration)

RT Limited enters into a contract to build an office building for AT Limited over an 18-month period. AT Limited agrees to pay the construction entity ₹ 350 crore for the project. RT Limited will receive a bonus of 10 lakh equity shares of AT Limited if it completes construction of the office building within one year. Assume a fair value of ₹ 100 per share at contract inception.

Determine the transaction price.

#### Answer 60

The ultimate value of any shares the entity might receive could change for two reasons:

- 1) the entity earns or does not earn the shares and
- 2) the fair value per share may change during the contract term.

When determining the transaction price, the entity would reflect changes in the number of shares to be earned. However, the entity would not reflect changes in the fair value per share. Said another way, the share price of ₹ 100 is used to value the potential bonus throughout the life of the contract.

As a result, if the entity earns the bonus, its revenue would be ₹ 350 crore plus 10 lakh equity shares at ₹ 100 per share for total consideration of ₹ 360 crore.

#### Question 61 (Illustration)

Production Company Y sells a television show to Television Company X. The consideration under the arrangement is a fixed amount of ₹ 1,000 and 100

396

advertising slots. Y determines that the stand-alone selling price of the show would be  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  1,500. Based on market rates, Y determines that the fair value of the advertising slots is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  600. Determine the transaction price.

#### Answer 61

Y determines that the transaction price is ₹ 1,600, comprising of ₹ 1,000 fixed amount plus the fair value of the advertising slots ie ₹ 600.

If the fair value of the advertising slots could not be reasonably estimated, then the transaction price would be ₹ 1,500 i.e. Y would use the stand-alone selling price of the goods or services promised for the non-cash consideration.

# Question 62 (Illustration)

MS Limited is a manufacturer of cars. It has a supplier of steering systems – SK Limited. MS Limited places an order of 10,000 steering systems on SK Limited. It also agrees to pay  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  25,000 per steering system and contributes tooling to be used in SK's production process.

The tooling has a fair value of ₹ 2 crore at contract inception. SK Limited determines that each steering system represents a single performance obligation and that control of the steering system transfers to MS Limited upon delivery. SK Limited may use the tooling for other projects and determines that it obtains control of the tooling.

Determine the transaction price?

#### Answer 62

As a result, at contract inception, SK Limited includes the fair value of the tooling in the transaction price at contract inception, which it determines to be  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  27 crore ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  25 crore for the steering systems and  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2 crore for the tooling).

#### Question 63 (Illustration)

An entity that manufactures consumer goods enters into a one-year contract to sell goods to a customer that is a large global chain of retail stores. The customer commits to buy at least  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  15 crore of products during the year. The contract also requires the entity to make a non-refundable payment of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1.5 crore to the customer at the inception of the contract. The  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1.5 crore payment will compensate the customer for the changes it needs to make to its shelving to accommodate the entity's products. The entity does not obtain control of any rights to the customer's shelves. Determine the transaction price.

# Answer 63

The entity considers the requirements in paragraphs 70 – 72 of Ind AS 115 and concludes that the payment to the customer is not in exchange for a distinct good or service that transfers to the entity. This is because the entity does not obtain control of any rights to the customer's shelves. Consequently, the entity determines that, in accordance with paragraph 70 of Ind AS 115, the ₹ 1.5 crore payment is a reduction of the transaction price.

The entity applies the requirements in paragraph 72 of Ind AS 115 and concludes that the consideration payable is accounted for as a reduction in the transaction price when the entity recognises revenue for the transfer of the goods. Consequently, as the entity transfers goods to the customer, the entity reduces the transaction price for each good by 10 per cent [( $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  1.5 crore  $\div$   $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  15 crore) x 100]. Therefore, in the first month in which the entity transfers goods to the customer, the entity recognises revenue of  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  1.125 crore ( $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  1.25 crore invoiced amount less

₹ 0.125 crore of consideration payable to the customer).

# **Question 64 (Illustration)**

Customer C is in the middle of a two-year contract with Telco B Ltd., its current wireless service provider, and would be required to pay an early termination penalty if it terminated the contract today. If C cancels the existing contract with B Ltd. and signs a two-year contract with Telco D Ltd. for ₹800 per month, then D Ltd. promises at contract inception to give C a one-time credit of ₹2,000 (referred to as a 'port-in credit'). The amount of the port-in credit does not depend on the volume of service subsequently purchased by C during the two-year contract.

Determine the transaction price.

#### Answer 64

D Ltd. determines that it should account for the port-in credit as consideration payable to a customer. This is because the credit will be applied against amounts owing to D Ltd. Since, D Ltd. does not receive any distinct goods or services in exchange for this credit, it will account for it as a reduction in the transaction price  $\mathop{\uparrow} 17,200 \mathop{\mid} (\mathop{\uparrow} 800 \mathop{x} 24 \mathop{month}) - \mathop{\uparrow} 2,000 \mathop{\mid}$ . D Ltd. will recognise the reduction in the transaction price as the promised goods or services are transferred.

# Question 65 (Illustration)

An entity enters into a contract with a customer to sell Products A, B and C in exchange for ₹ 10,000. The entity will satisfy the performance obligations for each of the products at different points in time. The entity regularly sells Product A separately and therefore the stand-alone selling price is directly observable. The stand-alone selling prices of Products B and C are not directly observable.

Because the stand-alone selling prices for Products B and C are not directly observable, the entity must estimate them. To estimate the stand-alone selling prices, the entity uses the adjusted market assessment approach for Product B and the expected cost plus a margin approach for Product C. In making those estimates, the entity maximises the use of observable inputs.

The entity estimates the stand-alone selling prices as follows:

The entity es	stimates the stand-aior	ne seming prices as follows:
Product	Stand-alone selling	Method
	price	
	₹	
Product A	5,000	Directly observable
Product B	2,500	Adjusted market assessment approach
Product C	7,500	Expected cost plus a margin approach
Total	15,000	

Determine the transaction price allocated to each product.

#### Answer 65

The customer receives a discount for purchasing the bundle of goods because the sum of the stand-alone selling prices (₹ 15,000) exceeds the promised consideration (₹ 10,000). The entity considers that there is no observable evidence about the performance obligation to which the entire discount belongs. The discount is allocated proportionately across Products A, B and C. The discount, and therefore the transaction price, is allocated as follows:

Product Allocated transaction price (to nearest ₹100)

896

	₹	
Product A	3,300	(₹ 5,000 ÷ ₹ 15,000 × ₹ 10,000)
Product B	1,700	(₹ 2,500 ÷ ₹ 15,000 × ₹ 10,000)
Product C	5,000	(₹ 7,500 ÷ ₹ 15,000 × ₹ 10,000)
Total	10,000	

#### Question 66 (Illustration)

An entity regularly sells Products X, Y and Z individually, thereby establishing the following stand-alone selling prices:

Product	Stand-alone selling price	
	₹	
Product X	50,000	
Product Y	25,000	
Product Z	45,000	
Total	1,20,000	

In addition, the entity regularly sells Products Y and Z together for ₹ 50,000. Case A—Allocating a discount to one or more performance obligations

The entity enters into a contract with a customer to sell Products X, Y and Z in exchange for ₹ 100,000. The entity will satisfy the performance obligations for each of the products at different points in time; or Product Y and Z at same point of time. Determine the allocation of transaction price to Product Y and Z. Case B—Residual approach is appropriate

The entity enters into a contract with a customer to sell Products X, Y and Z as described in Case A. The contract also includes a promise to transfer Product Alpha. Total consideration in the contract is ₹ 130,000. The stand-alone selling price for Product Alpha is highly variable because the entity sells Product Alpha to different customers for a broad range of amounts (₹ 15,000 - ₹ 45,000). Determine the stand-alone selling price of Products, X, Y, Z and Alpha using the residual approach.

Case C—Residual approach is inappropriate

The same facts as in Case B apply to Case C except the transaction price is ₹ 1,05,000 instead of ₹ 130,000.

#### Answer 66

Case A—Allocating a discount to one or more performance obligations

The contract includes a discount of ₹ 20,000 on the overall transaction, which would be allocated proportionately to all three performance obligations when allocating the transaction price using the relative stand-alone selling price method. However, because the entity regularly sells Products Y and Z together for ₹ 50,000 and Product X for ₹ 50,000, it has evidence that the entire discount of ₹ 20,000 should be allocated to the promises to transfer Products Y and Z in accordance with paragraph 82 of Ind AS 115. If the entity transfers control of Products Y and Z at the same point in time, then the entity could, as a practical matter, account for the transfer of those products as a single performance single performance obligation of Product X and recognise revenue of ₹ 50,000 when Products Y and Z simultaneously transfer to the customer.

If the contract requires the entity to transfer control of Products Y and Z at different points in time, then the allocated amount of ₹ 50,000 is individually allocated to the promises to transfer Product Y (stand-alone selling price of ₹ 25,000) and Product Z (stand-alone selling price of ₹ 45,000) as follows:

	₹	
Product	17,857	(₹ 25,000 ÷ ₹ 70,000 total stand-alone selling price × ₹
Y		50,000)
Product	32,143	(₹ 45,000 ÷ ₹ 70,000 total stand-alone selling price × ₹
Z		50,000)
Total	50,000	

Case B—Residual approach is appropriate

Before estimating the stand-alone selling price of Product Alpha using the residual approach, the entity determines whether any discount should be allocated to the other performance obligations in the contract.

As in Case A, because the entity regularly sells Products Y and Z together for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}} 50,000$  and Product X for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}} 50,000$ , it has observable evidence that  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}} 100,000$  should be allocated to those three products and a  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}} 20,000$  discount should be allocated to the promises to transfer Products Y and Z in accordance with paragraph 82 of Ind AS 115. Using the residual approach, the entity estimates the stand-alone selling price of Product Alpha to be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}} 30,000$  as follows:

Product	Stand-alone selling price	Method
	₹	
Product X	50,000	Directly observable
Products Y and Z	50,000	Directly observable with discount
Product Alpha	30,000	Residual approach
Total	130,000	

The entity observes that the resulting ₹ 30,000 allocated to Product Alpha is within the range of its observable selling prices (₹ 15,000 – ₹ 45,000).

Case C—Residual approach is inappropriate

The same facts as in Case B apply to Case C except the transaction price is ₹ 105,000 instead of

₹ 130,000. Consequently, the application of the residual approach would result in a stand-alone selling price of ₹ 5,000 for Product Alpha (₹ 105,000 transaction price less ₹ 100,000 allocated to Products X, Y and Z).

The entity concludes that ₹ 5,000 would not faithfully depict the amount of consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for satisfying its performance obligation to transfer Product Alpha, because ₹ 5,000 does not approximate the stand-alone selling price of Product Alpha, which ranges from ₹ 15,000 – ₹ 45,000. Consequently, the entity reviews its observable data, including sales and margin reports, to estimate the stand-alone selling price of Product Alpha using another suitable method. The entity allocates the transaction price of ₹ 1,05,000 to Products X, Y, Z and Alpha using the relative stand-alone selling prices of those products in accordance with paragraphs 73–80 of Ind AS 115.

#### Question 67 (Illustration)

An entity enters into a contract with a customer for two intellectual property licences (Licences A and B), which the entity determines to represent two performance obligations each satisfied at a point in time. The stand-alone selling prices of Licences A and B are ₹ 1,600,000 and ₹ 2,000,000,

respectively. The entity transfers Licence B at inception of the contract and transfers Licence A one month later.

Case A—Variable consideration allocated entirely to one performance obligation The price stated in the contract for Licence A is a fixed amount of ₹ 1,600,000 and for Licence B the consideration is three per cent of the customer's future sales of products that use Licence B. For purposes of allocation, the entity estimates its sales-based royalties (ie the variable consideration) to be ₹ 2,000,000. Allocate the transaction price.

Case B—Variable consideration allocated on the basis of stand-alone selling prices

The price stated in the contract for Licence A is a fixed amount of  $\overline{\xi}$  600,000 and for Licence B the consideration is five per cent of the customer's future sales of products that use Licence B. The entity's estimate of the sales-based royalties (ie the variable consideration) is  $\overline{\xi}$  3,000,000. Here, Licence A is transferred 3 months later. The royalty due from the customer's first month of sale is  $\overline{\xi}$  4,00,000.

Allocate the transaction price and determine the revenue to be recognised for each licence and the contract liability, if any.

#### Answer 67

Case A—Variable consideration allocated entirely to one performance obligation To allocate the transaction price, the entity considers the criteria in paragraph 85 and concludes that the variable consideration (ie the sales-based royalties) should be allocated entirely to Licence B. The entity concludes that the criteria are met for the following reasons:

- (a) the variable payment relates specifically to an outcome from the performance obligation to transfer Licence B (ie the customer's subsequent sales of products that use Licence B).
  - allocating the expected royalty amounts of ₹ 2,000,000 entirely to Licence B is consistent with the allocation objective in paragraph 73 of Ind AS 115. This is because the entity's estimate of the amount of sales-based royalties (₹ 2,000,000) approximates the stand-alone selling price of Licence B and the fixed amount of ₹ 1,600,000 approximates the stand-alone selling price of Licence A. The entity allocates ₹ 1,600,000 to Licence A. This is because, based on an assessment of the facts and circumstances relating to both

licences, allocating to Licence B some of the fixed consideration in addition to all of the variable consideration would not meet the allocation objective in paragraph 73 of Ind AS 115.

The entity transfers Licence B at inception of the contract and transfers Licence A one month later. Upon the transfer of Licence B, the entity does not recognise revenue because the consideration allocated to Licence B is in the form of a sales-based royalty. Therefore, the entity recognises revenue for the sales-based royalty when those subsequent sales occur.

When Licence A is transferred, the entity recognises as revenue the ₹ 1,600,000 allocated to Licence A.

**Case B—Variable consideration allocated on the basis of stand-alone selling prices** To allocate the transaction price, the entity applies the criteria in paragraph 85 of Ind AS 115 to determine whether to allocate the variable consideration (ie the sales-based royalties) entirely to Licence B.

In applying the criteria, the entity concludes that even though the variable payments relate specifically to an outcome from the performance obligation to transfer Licence B (ie the customer's subsequent sales of products that use Licence B), allocating the

variable consideration entirely to Licence B would be inconsistent with the principle for allocating the transaction price. Allocating  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  600,000 to Licence A and  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  3,000,000 to Licence B does not reflect a reasonable allocation of the transaction price on the basis of the stand-alone selling prices of Licences A and B of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,600,000 and  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,000,000, respectively. Consequently, the entity applies the general allocation requirements of Ind AS 115.

The entity allocates the transaction price of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  600,000 to Licences A and B on the basis of relative stand-alone selling prices of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1,600,000 and  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,000,000, respectively. The entity also allocates the consideration related to the sales-based royalty on a relative stand-alone selling price basis. However, when an entity licenses intellectual property in which the consideration is in the form of a sales-based royalty, the entity cannot recognise revenue until the later of the following events: the subsequent sales occur or the performance obligation is satisfied (or partially satisfied).

Licence B is transferred to the customer at the inception of the contract and Licence A is transferred three months later. When Licence B is transferred, the entity recognises as revenue  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 333,333$  [( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 2,000,000 \div \stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 3,600,000$ )  $\times \stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 600,000$ ] allocated to Licence B. When Licence A is transferred, the entity recognises as revenue  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 266,667$  [( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 1,600,000$ )  $\times \stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 3,600,000$ )  $\times \stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 600,000$ ] allocated to Licence A.

In the first month, the royalty due from the customer's first month of sales is  $\ 400,000$ . Consequently, the entity recognises as revenue  $\ 222,222$  ( $\ 2,000,000 \div \ 3,600,000 \times \ 400,000$ ) allocated to Licence B (which has been transferred to the customer and is therefore a satisfied performance obligation). The entity recognises a contract liability for the  $\ 177,778$  ( $\ 1,600,000 \div \ 3,600,000 \times \ 400,000$ ) allocated to Licence A. This is because although the subsequent sale by the entity's customer has occurred, the performance obligation to which the royalty has been allocated has not been satisfied.

# Question 68 (Illustration)

On 1st April, 20X0, a consultant enters into an arrangement to provide due diligence, valuation, and software implementation services to a customer for  $\mathfrak{T}$  2 crore. The consultant can earn

₹ 20 lakh bonus if it completes the software implementation by 30th September, 20X0 or ₹ 10 lakh bonus if it completes the software implementation by 31st December, 20X0.

The due diligence, valuation, and software implementation services are distinct and therefore are accounted for as separate performance obligations. The consultant allocates the transaction price, disregarding the potential bonus, on a relative stand-alone selling price basis as follows:

Due diligence – ₹ 80 lakh

Valuation - ₹ 20 lakh

Software implementation – ₹ 1 crore

At contract inception, the consultant believes it will complete the software implementation by 30th January, 20X1. After considering the factors in Ind AS 115, the consultant cannot conclude that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognized would not occur when the uncertainty is resolved since the consultant lacks experience in completing similar projects. As a result, the consultant does not include the amount of the early completion bonus in its estimated transaction price at contract inception.

On 1st July, 20X0, the consultant notes that the project has progressed better than expected and believes that implementation will be completed by 30th

September, 20X0 based on a revised forecast. As a result, the consultant updates its estimated transaction price to reflect a bonus of  $\overline{\xi}$  20 lakh.

After reviewing its progress as of 1st July, 20X0, the consultant determines that it is 100 percent complete in satisfying its performance obligations for due diligence and valuation and 60 percent complete in satisfying its performance obligation for software implementation.

Determine the transaction price.

#### Answer 68

On 1st July, 20X0, the consultant allocates the bonus of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  20 lakh to the software implementation performance obligation, for total consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1.2 crore allocated to that performance obligation, and adjusts the cumulative revenue to date for the software implementation services to

₹ 72 lakh (60 percent of ₹ 1.2 crore).

# Question 69 (Illustration)

Telco G Ltd. grants a one-time credit of ₹ 50 to a customer in Month 14 of a two-year contract. The credit is discretionary and is granted as a commercial gesture, not in response to prior service issues (often referred to as a 'retention credit'). The contract includes a subsidised handset and a voice and data plan. G Ltd. does not regularly provide these credits and therefore customers do not expect them to be granted.

How this will be accounted for under Ind AS 115?

#### Answer 69

G Ltd. concludes that this is a change in the transaction price and not a variable consideration. Since, the credit does not relate to a satisfied performance obligation, the change in transaction

price resulting from the credit is accounted for as a contract modification and recognised over the remaining term of the contract. If, in this example, rather than providing a one-time credit, G Ltd. granted a discount of ₹ 5 per month for the remaining contract term, then also G Ltd. would conclude that it was a change in the transaction price. It would apply the contract modification guidance and recognise the credit over the remaining term of the contract.

#### Question 70 (Illustration)

Minitek Ltd. is a payroll processing company. Minitek Ltd. enters into a contract to provide monthly payroll processing services to ABC limited for one year. Determine how entity will recognise the revenue?

# **Answer 70**

Payroll processing is a single performance obligation. On a monthly basis, as Minitek Ltd carries out the payroll processing –

- The customer, ie, ABC Limited simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits of the entity's performance in processing each payroll transaction.
- Further, once the services have been performed for a particular month, in case of termination of the agreement before maturity and contract is transferred to another entity, then such new entity will not need to re-perform the services for expired months.

Therefore, it satisfies the first criterion, ie, services completed on a monthly basis are consumed by the entity at the same time and hence, revenue shall be recognised over the period of time.

For certain performance obligations, an entity may not be able to readily identify whether a customer simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits from the entity's

performance as the entity performs. In such cases, a performance obligation is satisfied over time if an entity determines that another entity would not need to substantially re-perform the work that the entity has completed to date if that other entity were to fulfil the remaining performance obligation to the customer.

In making such determination, an entity shall make both of the following assumptions:

- (a) disregard potential contractual restrictions or practical limitations that otherwise would prevent the entity from transferring the remaining performance obligation to another entity; and
- (b) presume that another entity fulfilling the remainder of the performance obligation would not have the benefit of any work in progress.

#### Question 71 (Illustration)

T&L Limited ('T&L') is a logistics company that provides inland and sea transportation services. A customer - Horizon Limited ('Horizon') enters into a contract with T&L for transportation of its goods from India to Sri Lanka through sea. The voyage is expected to take 20 days from Mumbai to Colombo. T&L is responsible for shipping the goods from Mumbai port to Colombo port. Whether T&L's performance obligation is met over period of time?

#### Answer 71

T&L has a single performance to ship the goods from one port to another. The following factors are critical for assessing how services performed by T&L are consumed by the customer -

- As the voyage is performed, the service undertaken by T&L is progressing, such that no other entity will need to re-perform the service till so far as the voyage has been performed, if T&L was to deliver only part-way.
- The customer is directly benefitting from the performance of the voyage as & when it progresses.
- Therefore, such performance obligation is said to be met over a period of time.

#### Question 72 (Illustration)

AFS Ltd. is a risk advisory firm and enters into a contract with a company – WBC Ltd to provide audit services that results in AFS issuing an audit opinion to the Company. The professional opinion relates to facts and circumstances that are specific to the company. If the Company was to terminate the consulting contract for reasons other than the entity's failure to perform as promised, the contract requires the Company to compensate the risk advisory firm for its costs incurred plus a 15 per cent margin. The 15 per cent margin approximates the profit margin that the entity earns from similar contracts.

Whether risk advisory firm's performance obligation is met over period of time? Answer 72

AFS has a single performance to provide an opinion on the professional audit services proposed to be provided under the contract with the customer. Evaluating the criterion for recognising revenue over a period of time or at a point in time, Ind AS 115 requires one of the following criterion to be met -

Criterion (a) - whether the customer simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits from services provided by AFS: Company shall benefit only when the audit opinion is provided upon completion. And in case the contract was to be terminated, any other firm engaged to perform similar services will have to substantially reperform.

Hence, this criterion is not met.

- Criterion (b) An asset created that customer controls: This is service contract and no asset created, over which customer acquires control.
- Criterion (c) no alternate use to entity and right to seek payment:
  - ❖ The services provided by AFS are specific to the company WBC and do not have any alternate use to AFS
  - ❖ Further, AFS has a right to enforce payment if contract was early terminated, for reasons other than AFS's failure to perform. And the profit margin approximates what entity otherwise earns.

Therefore, criterion (c) is met and such performance obligation is said to be met over a period of time.

# Question 73 (Illustration)

Space Ltd. enters into an arrangement with a government agency for construction of a space satellite. Although Space Ltd is in this business for building such satellites for various customers across the world, however the specifications for each satellite may vary based on technology that is incorporated in the satellite. In the event of termination, Company has right to enforce payment for work completed to date.

Evaluate if contract will qualify for satisfaction of performance obligation over a period of time.

#### Answer 73

While evaluating the pattern of transfer of control to the customer, the Company shall evaluate conditions laid in para 35 of Ind AS 115 as follows:

- Criterion (a) whether the customer simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits: Customer can benefit only when the satellite is fully constructed and no benefits are consumed as its constructed. Hence, this criterion is not met.
- Criterion (b) An asset created that customer controls: Per provided facts, the customer does not acquire control of the asset as its created.
- Criterion (c) no alternate use to entity and right to seek payment:
  - The asset is being specifically created for the customer. The asset is customised to customer's requirements, such that any diversion for a different customer will require
    - significant work. Therefore, the asset has practical limitation in being put to alternate use.
  - ❖ Further, Space Ltd.has a right to enforce payment if contract was early terminated, for reasons other than Space Ltd.'s failure to perform.

Therefore, criterion (c) is met and such performance obligation is said to be met over a period of time.

#### **Question 74 (Illustration)**

ABC enters into a contract with a customer to build an item of equipment. The customer pays 10% advance and then 80% in instalments of 10% each over the period of construction with balance 10% payable at the end of construction period. The payments are non-refundable unless the company fails to perform as per the contract. Further, if the customer terminates the contract, then entity is entitled to retain payments made. The company will have no further right to compensation from the customer.

Evaluate if contract will qualify for satisfaction of performance obligation over a period of time.

#### Answer 74

The Company shall evaluate conditions laid in para 35 of Ind AS 115 as follows:

• Criterion (a) - whether the customer simultaneously receives and consumes the

775

benefits: Customer can benefit only when the asset is fully constructed and no benefits are consumed as its constructed. Hence, this criterion is not met.

- Criterion (b) An asset created that customer controls: As per provided facts, the customer does not acquire control of the asset as it is created.
- Criterion (c) no alternate use to entity and right to seek payment:
  - The customer has specific right over the asset and company does not have right to divert it for any alternate use. In other words, there is contractual restriction to use the asset for any alternate purpose.
  - ❖ In the event of early termination, Company has a right to retain any payments made by the customer. However, such payments need not necessarily compensate the selling price of the partially constructed asset, if the customer was to stop making payments.

Therefore, Company does not have a legally enforceable right to payment for work completed to date and the criterion under para 35 is not satisfied. Thus, revenue cannot be recognised over a period of time.

# Question 75 (Illustration)

An entity, an owner and manager of health clubs, enters into a contract with a customer for one year of access to any of its health clubs. The customer has unlimited use of the health clubs and promises to pay CU100 per month. The entity's promise to the customer is to provide a service of making the health clubs available for the customer to use as and when the customer wishes.

Evaluate if contract will qualify for satisfaction of performance obligation over a period of time. If yes, how should an entity measure its progress of service provided?

#### Answer 75

The entity shall determine if revenue should be recognised over a period of time by evaluating the conditions laid in para 35 of Ind AS 115.

Applying the first criterion of para 35 to establish if the customer simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits, as the entity provides service – The health club provides access to services uniformly through the year. The extent to which the customer uses the health clubs does not affect entitled. The customer therefore simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits of the entity's performance as it performs by making the health clubs available.

- Consequently, the entity's performance obligation is satisfied over time
- Once the pattern of satisfying performance obligation is defined, the Company then determines how progress should be measured. The services are uniformly provided to the customer through the year. Therefore, the best measure of progress is to recognise revenue on a straight line basis over the year. the amount of the remaining goods and services to which the customer is

#### Question 76 (Illustration)

On 1st January, 20X1, an entity contracts to renovate a building including the installation of new elevators. The entity estimates the following with respect to the contract:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Transaction price	5,000,000
Expected costs:	
(a) Elevators	1,500,000

(b) Other costs	2,500,000
Total	4,000,000

The entity purchases the elevators and they are delivered to the site six months before they will be installed. The entity uses an input method based on cost to measure progress towards completion. The entity has incurred actual other costs of 500,000 by 31st March, 20X1.

How will the Company recognize revenue, if performance obligation is met over a period of time?

#### Answer 76

Costs to be incurred comprise two major components – elevators and cost of construction service.

- (a) The elevators are part of the overall construction project and are not a distinct performance obligation
- (b) The cost of elevators is substantial to the overall project and are incurred well in advance.
- (c) Upon delivery at site, customer acquires control of such elevators.
- (d) And there is no modification done to the elevators, which the company only procures and delivers at site. Nevertheless, as part of materials used in overall construction project, the company is a principal in the transaction with the customer for such elevators also.

Therefore, applying the guidance on Input method -

- The measure of progress should be made based on percentage of costs incurred relative to the total budgeted costs.
- The cost of elevators should be excluded when measuring such progress and revenue for such elevators should be recognized to the extent of costs incurred.

The revenue to be recognized is measured as follows:

Inc revenue to be recognize	zeu is ilicasuleu as lollows.
Particulars	Amount (₹)
Transaction price	5,000,000
Costs incurred:	
(a) Cost of elevators	1,500,000
(b) Other costs	500,000
Measure of progress:	500,000 / 2,500,000 = 20%
Revenue to be recognised:	
(a) For costs incurred (other than	Total attributable revenue =
elevators)	3,500,000
	% of work completed = 20%
	Revenue to be recognised = 700,000
(b) Revenue for elevators	1,500,000 (equal to costs incurred)
Total revenue to be recognised	1,500,000 + 700,000 = 2,200,000

Therefore, for the year ended 31st March, 20X1, the Company shall recognize revenue of ₹ 2,200,000 on the project.

# Question 77 (Illustration)

How would the entity account for this transaction?

# **Answer 77**

In the above case, where the entity has a right to call back the goods upto a certain date

777

- The customer cannot be said to have acquired control, owing to the repurchase right with the seller entity
- Since the original selling price (₹ 1 million) is lower than the repurchase price (₹ 1.1 million), this is construed to be a financing arrangement and accounted as follows:
  - (a) Amount received shall be recognized as 'liability'
  - (b) Difference between sale price and repurchase price to be recognised as 'finance cost' and recognised over the repurchase term.

# Question 78 (Illustration)

An entity enters into a contract with a customer for the sale of a tangible asset on 1st January, 20X1 for ₹ 1,000,000. The contract includes a put option that gives the customer the right to sell the asset for ₹ 900,000 on or before 31st December, 20X1. The market price for such goods is expected to be ₹ 750,000

How would the entity account for this transaction?

#### Answer 78

In the above case, where the entity has an obligation to buy back the goods upto a certain date-

- The entity shall evaluate if the customer has a significant economic incentive to return the goods. Since the repurchase price is significantly higher than market price, therefore, customer has a significant economic incentive to return the goods. There are no other factors which entity may affect this assessment.
- Therefore, company determines that 'control' of goods is not transferred to the customer till 31st December, 20X1, ie, till the put option expires.
- Against payment of ₹ 1,000,000; the customer only has a right to use the asset and put it back to the entity for ₹ 900,000. Therefore, this will be accounted as a lease transaction in which difference between original selling price (ie, ₹ 1,000,000) and repurchase price (ie, ₹ 900,000) shall be recognized as lease income over the period of lease.
- At the end of repurchase term, ie, 31st December, 20X1, if the customer does not exercise such right, then the control of goods would be passed to the customer at that time and revenue shall be recognized for sale of goods for repurchase price (ie, ₹ 900,000).

#### Question 79 (Illustration)

An entity enters into a contract with a customer on 1st April, 20X1 for the sale of a machine and spare parts. The manufacturing lead time for the machine and spare parts is two years.

Upon completion of manufacturing, the entity demonstrates that the machine and spare parts meet the agreed-upon specifications in the contract. The promises to transfer the machine and spare parts are distinct and result in two performance obligations that each will be satisfied at a point in time. On 31st March, 20X3, the customer pays for the machine and spare parts, but only takes physical possession of the machine. Although the customer inspects and accepts the spare parts,

the customer requests that the spare parts be stored at the entity's warehouse because of its close proximity to the customer's factory. The customer has legal title to the spare parts and the parts can be identified as belonging to the customer. Furthermore, the entity stores the spare parts in a separate section of its warehouse and the parts are ready for immediate shipment at the

 $^{\rm age}278$ 

customer's request. The entity expects to hold the spare parts for two to four years and the entity does not have the ability to use the spare parts or direct them to another customer.

How will the Company recognise revenue for sale of machine and spare parts? Is there any other performance obligation attached to this sale of goods?

#### Answer 79

In the facts provided above, the entity has made sale of two goods – machine and space parts, whose control is transferred at a point in time. Additionally, company agrees to hold the spare parts for the customer for a period of 2-4 years, which is a separate performance obligation. Therefore, total transaction price shall be divided amongst 3 performance obligations –

- (i) Sale of machinery
- (ii) Sale of spare parts
- (iii) Custodial services for storing spare parts.

Recognition of revenue for each of the three performance obligations shall occur as follows:

- Sale of machinery: Machine has been sold to the customer and physical possession as well as legal title passed to the customer on 31st March, 20X3. Accordingly, revenue for sale of machinery shall be recognised on 31st March, 20X3.
- Sale of spare parts: The customer has made payment for the spare parts and legal title has been passed to specifically identified goods, but such spares continue to be physically held by the entity. In this regard, the company shall evaluate if revenue can be recognized on bill- n-hold basis if all below criteria are met:

revenue can be recognized on bin in hor	a sasis ii aii seisw eriteria are inet:
(a) the reason for the bill-and-hold	The customer has specifically
arrangement must be substantive (for	requested for entity to store goods in
example, the customer has requested	their warehouse, owing to close
the arrangement);	proximity to customer's factory.
(b) the product must be identified	The spare parts have been specifically
separately as belonging to the	identified and inspected by the
customer;	customer.
(c) the product currently must be	The spares are identified and
ready for physical transfer to the	segregated, therefore, read for
customer; and	delivery.
(d) the entity cannot have the ability	Spares have been segregated and
to use the product or to direct it to	cannot be redirected to any other
another customer	customer.

Therefore, all conditions of bill-and-hold are met and hence, company can recognize revenue for sale of spare parts on 31st March, 20X3.

- Custodial services: Such services shall be given for a period of 2 to 4 years from 31st March, 20X3. Where services are given uniformly and customer receives & consumes benefits simultaneously, revenue for such service shall be recognized on a straight line basis over a period of time.

#### Question 80 (Illustration)

An entity, a music record label, licenses to a customer a 1975 recording of a classical symphony by a noted orchestra. The customer, a consumer products company, has the right to use the recorded symphony in all commercials, including television, radio and online advertisements for two years in Country A. In exchange for providing the licence, the entity receives fixed consideration

of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  50,000 per month. The contract does not include any other goods or services to be provided by the entity. The contract is non-cancellable.

Determine how the revenue will be recognised?

#### Answer 80

The entity assesses the goods and services promised to the customer to determine which goods and services are distinct in accordance with paragraph 27 of Ind AS 115. The entity concludes that its only performance obligation is to grant the licence. The entity does not have any contractual or implied obligations to change the licensed recording. The licensed recording has significant stand-alone functionality (i.e. the ability to be played) and, therefore, the ability of the customer to obtain the benefits of the recording is not substantially derived from the entity's ongoing activities. The entity therefore determines that the contract does not require, and the customer does not reasonably expect, the entity to undertake activities that significantly affect the licensed recording. Consequently, the entity concludes that the nature of its promise in transferring the licence is to provide the customer with a right to use the entity's intellectual property as it exists at the point in time that it is granted. Therefore, the promise to grant the licence is a performance obligation satisfied at a point in time. The entity recognises all of the revenue at the point in time when the customer can direct the use of, and obtain substantially all of the remaining benefits from, the licensed intellectual property.

# Question 81 (Illustration)

Software Company X licenses its software application to Customer Y. Under the agreement, X will provide updates or upgrades on a when-and-if-available basis; Y can choose whether to install them. Y expects that X will undertake no other activities that will change the functionality of the software. Determine the nature of license.

#### Answer 81

Basis on the facts given in question it can be concluded that, although the updates and upgrades will change the functionality of the software, they are not activities considered in determining the nature of the entity's promise in granting the licence. The activities of X to provide updates or upgrades are not considered because they transfer a promised good or service to Y – i.e. updates or upgrades are distinct from the licence. Therefore, the software licence provides a right to use the IP that is satisfied at a point in time.

#### Question 82 (Illustration)

Film Studio C grants a licence to Customer D to show a completed film. C plans to undertake significant marketing activities that it expects will affect box office receipts for the film. The marketing activities will not change the functionality of the film, but they could affect its value.

Determine the nature of license.

#### **Answer 82**

C would probably conclude that the licence provides a right to use its IP and, therefore, is transferred at a point in time. There is no expectation that C will undertake activities to change the form or functionality of the film. Because the IP has significant standalone functionality, C's marketing activities do not significantly affect D's ability to obtain benefit from the film, nor do they affect the IP available to D.

#### Question 83 (Illustration)

Sports Team D enters into a three-year agreement to license its team name and logo to Apparel Maker M. The licence permits M to use the team name and logo

 $_{\text{Page}}280$ 

on its products, including display products, and in its advertising or marketing materials.

- (i) Determine the nature of license in the above case.
- (ii) Modifying above facts that, Sports Team D has not played games in many years and the licensor is Brand Collector B, an entity that acquires IP such as old team or brand names and logos from defunct entities or those in financial distress. B's business model is to license the IP, or obtain settlements from entities that use the IP without permission, without undertaking any ongoing activities to promote or support the IP

Would the answer be different in this situation?

#### **Answer 83**

- (i) The nature of D's promise in this contract is to provide M with the right to access the sports team's IP and, accordingly, revenue from the licence will be recognised over time. In reaching this conclusion, D considers all of the following facts:
  - M reasonably expects D to continue to undertake activities that support and maintain the value of the team name and logo by continuing to play games and field a competitive team throughout the licence period. These activities significantly affect the IP's ability to provide benefit to M because the value of the team name and logo is substantially derived from, or dependent on, those ongoing activities.
  - The activities directly expose M to positive or negative effects (i.e. whether D plays games and fields a competitive team will have a direct effect on how successful M is in selling its products featuring the team's name and logo).
  - D's ongoing activities do not result in the transfer of a good or a service to M as they occur (i.e. the team playing games does not transfer a good or service to M).
- (ii) Based on B's customary business practices, Apparel Maker M probably does not reasonably expect B to undertake any activities to change the form of the IP or to support or maintain the IP. Therefore, B would probably conclude that the nature of its promise is to provide M with a right to use its IP as it exists at the point in time at which the licence is granted.

#### Question 84 (Illustration)

Customer outsources its information technology data centre Term = 5 years plus two 1-yr renewal options

Average customer relationship is 7 years

Entity spends ₹ 400,000 designing and building the technology platform needed to accommodate out- sourcing contract:

Design services	₹ 50,000
Hardware	₹ 140,000
Software	₹ 100,000
Migration and testing of data centre	₹ 110,000
TOTAL	₹ 400,000

#### **Answer 84**

Design services	₹ 50,000	Assess under Ind AS 115. Any
		resulting asset would be amortized
		over 7 years (i.e. include renewals)
Hardware	₹ 140,000	Account for asset under Ind AS 16

Page 281

Software	₹ 100,000	Account for asset under Ind AS 38
Migration and testing of data Centre	₹ 110,000	Assess under Ind AS 115. Any resulting asset would be amortized over 7 years (i.e. include renewals)
TOTAL	₹ 400,000	

# Question 85 (Illustration)

An entity enters into a service contract with a customer and incurs incremental costs to obtain the contract and costs to fulfil the contract. These costs are capitalised as assets in accordance with Ind AS 115. The initial term of the contract is five years but it can be renewed for subsequent one- year periods up to a maximum of 10 years. The average contract term for similar contracts entered into by entity is seven years.

Determine appropriate method of amortisation?

#### Answer 85

The most appropriate amortization period is likely to be seven years (i.e. the initial term of five years plus two anticipated one year renewals) because that is the period over which the entity expects to provide services under the contract to which the capitalized costs relate.

#### Question 86 (Illustration)

A Ltd. is in the business of the infrastructure and has two divisions under the same; (I) Toll Roads and (II) Wind Power. The brief details of these business and underlying project details are as follows:

- I. Bhilwara-Jabalpur Toll Project The Company has commenced the construction of the project in the current year and has incurred total expenses aggregating to ₹ 50 crore as on 31st December, 20X1. Under IGAAP, the Company has 'recorded such expenses as Intangible Assets in the books of account. The brief details of the Concession Agreement are as follows:
  - Total Expenses estimated to be incurred on the project ₹ 100 crore;
  - Fair Value of the construction services is ₹ 110 crore;
  - Total Cash Flow guaranteed by the Government under the concession agreement is ₹ 200 crore;
  - Finance revenue over the period of operation phase is ₹ 15 crore:
  - Other income relates to the services provided during the operation phase.
- II. Kolhapur- Nagpur Expressway The Company has also entered into another concession agreement with Government of Maharashtra in the current year. The construction cost for the said project will be ₹ 110 crore. The fair value of such construction cost is approximately
  - ₹ 200 crore. The said concession agreement is Toll based project and the Company needs to collect the toll from the users of the expressway. Under IGAAP, UK Ltd. has recorded the expenses incurred on the said project as an Intangible Asset.
- (i) What would be the classification of Bhilwara-Jabalpur Toll Project as per applicable Ind AS? Give brief reasoning for your choice.
- (ii) What would be the classification of Kolhapur-Nagpur Expressway Toll Project as per applicable Ind AS? Give brief reasoning for your choice.
- (iii) Also, suggest suitable accounting treatment for preparation of financial statements as per Ind AS for the above 2 projects.

#### **Answer 86**

- (i) Here the operator has a contractual right to receive cash from the grantor. The grantor has little, if any, discretion to avoid payment, usually because the agreement is enforceable by law. The operator has an unconditional right to receive cash if the grantor contractually guarantees to pay the operator. Hence, operator recognizes a financial asset to the extent it has a contractual right to receive cash.
- (ii) Here the operator has a contractual right to charge users of the public services. A right to charge users of the public service is not an unconditional right to receive cash because the amounts are contingent on the extent that the public uses the service. Therefore, the operator shall recognise an intangible asset to the extent it receives a right (a licence) to charge users of the public service.
- (iii) Accounting treatment for preparation of financial statements


	Bhilwara-Jabalpur Toll Project Journal Entries					
	Particulars	Dr.				
		(₹ in	(₹ in			
		crore)	crore)			
	During construction:					
1	Financial asset A/c Dr.	110				
	To Construction revenue		110			
	[To recognise revenue relating to construction					
	services, to be settled in case]					
2	Cost of construction (profit or loss) Dr.	100				
	To Bank A/c (As and when incurred)		100			
	[To recognise costs relating to construction					
	services]					
	During the operation phase:					
3	Financial asset Dr.	15				
	To Finance revenue (As and when received or		15			
	due to receive)					
	[To recognise interest income under the financial					
	asset model]					
4	Financial asset Dr.	75				
	To Revenue [(200-110) – 15]		75			
	[To recognise revenue relating to the operation					
	phase]					
5	Bank A/c Dr.	200				
	To Financial asset		200			
	[To recognise cash received from the grantor]					
		Intoneihlo	agast Tarres			

# Kolhapur-Nagpur Expressway -Intangible asset Journal **Entries**

	Particulars	Dr . (₹ in crore)	Cr . (₹ in crore)
	During construction:	•	•
1	Cost of construction (profit or loss) Dr.	110	
	To Bank A/c (As and when incurred)		110
	[To recognise costs relating to construction		

	services]			
2	Intangible asset	Dr.	200	
	To Revenue			200
	[To recognise revenue relating services provided for non-cash cor			
	During the operation phase:			
3	Amortisation expense	Dr.	200	
	To Intangible asset (accumula	ted amortisation)		200
	[To recognise amortisation expense operation phase over the period of			
4	Bank A/c	Dr.	5	
	To Revenue			5
	[To recognise revenue relating t phase]	to the operation		_

Note: Amount in entry 4 is kept blank as no information in this regard is given in the question.



 $P_{age}285$ 

# Chapter 6 Unit-1 Ind AS 2: Inventories

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem pts	Dec' 21	Jan' 21	Jul'2 1	May' 18	Nov' 18	May' 19	May' 22	May' 23	Nov' 19	Nov' 20	Nov' 22	Nov' 23
MTP			Q8				Q9	Q10			Q7	Q6
PYP	Q2			Q14				Q15		Q1		
RTP	Q12							Q13		Q5, Q11	Q4	Q3

# Section - A

# Question 1

Sun Ltd. has fabricated special equipment (solar power panel) during 20X1-20X2 as per drawing and design supplied by the customer. However, due to a liquidity crunch, the customer has requested the company for postponement in delivery schedule and requested the company to withhold the delivery of finished goods products and discontinue the production of balance items.

As a result of the above, the details of customer balance and the goods held by the company as work-in-progress and finished goods as on 31.3.20X3 are as follows: Solar power panel (WIP) ₹ 85 lakhs

Solar power panel (finished products) ₹ 55 lakhs

Sundry Debtor (solar power panel) ₹ 65 lakhs

The petition for winding up against the customer has been filed during 20X2-20X3 by Sun Ltd. Comment with explanation on provision to be made of ₹ 205 lakh included in Sundry Debtors, Finished goods and work-in-progress in the financial statement of 20X2-20X3. (PYP 4 Marks, Nov' 20)

# Answer 1

From the fact given in the question it is obvious that Sun Ltd. is a manufacturer of solar power panel. As per Ind AS 2 'Inventories', inventories are assets (a) held for sale in the ordinary course of business; (b) in the process of production for such sale; or (c) in the form of materials or supplies to be consumed in the production process or in the rendering of services. Therefore, solar power panel held in its stock will be considered as its inventory. Further, as per the standard, inventory at the end of the year are to be valued at lower of cost or NRV.

As the customer has postponed the delivery schedule due to liquidity crunch the entire cost incurred for solar power panel which were to be supplied has been shown in Inventory. The solar power panel are in the possession of the Company which can be sold in the market. Hence company should value such inventory as per principle laid down in Ind AS 2 i.e. lower of Cost or NRV. Though, the goods were produced as per specifications of buyer the Company should determine the NRV of these goods in the market and value the goods accordingly. Change in value of such solar power panel should be provided for in the books. In the absence of the NRV of WIP and Finished product given in the question, assuming that cost is lower, the company shall value its inventory as per Ind AS 2 for  $\ref{140}$  lakhs [i.e solar power panel (WIP)  $\ref{85}$  lakhs + solar power panel (finished products)  $\ref{55}$  lakhs].

Alternatively, if it is assumed that there is no buyer for such fabricated solar power panel, then the NRV will be Nil. In such a case, full value of finished goods and WIP will

 $^{2}$ 

be provided for in the books.

As regards Sundry Debtors balance, since the Company has filed a petition for winding up against the customer in 20X2-20X3, it is probable that amount is not recoverable from the party. Hence, the provision for doubtful debts for ₹ 65 lakhs shall be made in the books against the debtor's amount.

# Question 2

In a manufacturing process of Saturn Limited, one by-product BP emerges besides two main products MP1 and MP2 and scrap. Details of cost of production process for financial year 2020-2021 are here under:

Item	Amount (₹)	Output	Closing Stock
		(Units)	31.3.2021
Raw Material	6,00,000	MP1-	1,000
		20,000	
Wages	3,60,000	MP2-	400
		16,000	
Fixed Overhead	2,60,000	<b>BP-</b> 8,000	
Variable	2,00,000		
Overhead	. ,		

Average Market Price of MP1 and MP2 is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  45.00 per unit and  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  37.50 per unit respectively. Average Market Price of by-product BP is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  10 per unit. All the units of by-product BP sold after incurring separate processing charges of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  32,000 and packing charges of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  8,000.  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  20,000 was realised from sale of scrap.

Calculate the value of closing stock of MP1 and MP2 as on 31.3.2021. Allocate Joint Cost based on the relative sales value of each product. (PYP 5 Marks Dec '21) Answer 2

# Calculation of NRV of By-product BP

		₹
Selling price of by-product	8,000 units x 10	80,000
Less: Separate processing	per unit	
charges of by-product BP		(32,000)
Packing charges		(8,000)
Net realizable value of by-		40,000
product BP		

# Calculation of cost of conversion for allocation between joint products MP1 and MP2

		₹
Raw material		6,00,000
Wages		3,60,000
Fixed overhead		2,60,000
Variable overhead		2,00,000
		14,20,000
Less: NRV of by-product BP (See	40,000	
calculation 1)		
Sale value of scrap	20,000	(60,000)
Joint cost to be allocated between MP1		13,60,000
and MP2		

Determination of "basis for allocation" and allocation of joint cost to MP1 and

#### MP2

	MP1	MP2
Output in units (a)	20,000	16,000
Sales price per unit (b)	₹ 45.00	₹ 37.50
Sales value (a x b)	9,00,000	6,00,000
Ratio of allocation	3	2
Joint cost of ₹ 13,60,000 allocated in the	₹ 8,16,000	₹
ratio of 3:2 (c)		5,44,000
Cost per unit [c/a]	₹ 40.80	₹ 34.00

## Determination of value of closing stock of MP1 and MP2

Particulars	MP1	MP2
Closing stock in units		400 units
	units	
Cost per unit	₹ 40.80	₹ 34.00
Value of closing stock	₹ 40,800	₹ 13,600

## Question 3

A Ltd. began operations in the year 20X1-20X2. In 20X1-20X2, it incurred the following expenditures on purchasing the raw materials for its product:

- a. Purchase price of the raw materials = ₹ 30,000;
- b. Import duty and other non-refundable purchase taxes =  $\frac{3}{2}$  8,000;
- c. Refundable purchase taxes = ₹ 1,000;
- d. Freight costs for bringing the goods from the supplier to the factory's storeroom for raw materials = ₹ 3,000;
- e. Costs of unloading the materials into the storeroom for raw materials = ₹ 20; and
- f. Packaging = ₹ 2,000.

On 31st March, 20X2, A Ltd. received  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  530 volume rebate from a supplier for purchasing more than  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  15,000 from the supplier during the year.

A Ltd. incurred the following additional costs in the production run:

- i. Salary of the machine workers in the factory = ₹ 5,000;
- ii. Salary of factory supervisor = ₹ 3,000;
- iii. Depreciation of the factory building and equipment used for production process = ₹ 600;
- iv. Consumables used in the production process = ₹ 200;
- v. Depreciation of vehicle used to transport the goods from the storeroom for raw materials to the machine floor = ₹ 400;
- vi. Factory electricity usage = ₹ 300;
- vii. Factory rental = ₹ 1,000; and
- viii. Depreciation of the entity's vehicle used by the factory supervisor is ₹ 200. During 20X1-20X2, A Ltd.

incurred the following administrative expenses:

- 1. Depreciation of the administration building = ₹ 500;
- Depreciation and maintenance of vehicles used by the administrative staff = ₹ 150; and
- 3. Salaries of the administrative personnel =  $\overline{3}$ ,050.

Of the administrative expenses, 20% is attributable to administering the factory. Remaining expenses are attributable, in equal proportion, to the sales and other

non- production operations (eg financing, tax and corporate secretarial functions).

In 20X1-20X2, A Ltd. incurred the following selling expenses:

- a) Advertising costs = ₹ 300;
- b) Depreciation and maintenance of vehicles used by the sales staff = ₹ 100; and
- c) Salaries of the administrative personnel = ₹ 6,000.

Pass necessary journal entries to record the cost of inventory in the books of A Ltd. (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 3

Journal Entries for the year 20X1-20X2

Journal Entries for the year 20X1-	20X	4	1
		₹	₹
Inventory A/c (W.N.1)	Dr.	42,490	
To Cash/Bank A/c			42,490
(To recognise the cost of raw materials purchased)			
Inventory A/c (W.N.2)	Dr.	11,240	
To Cash/Bank A/c (cost of direct labour)			5,000
To Property, plant and equipment (accumulated depreciation-factory equipment)			600
To Property, plant and equipment (accumulated depreciation-raw-materials delivery vehicle)			400
To Cash/Bank A/c (cost of electricity used)			300
To Property, plant and equipment (accumulated depreciation-factory supervisor's vehicle)			200
To Cash/Bank A/c (factory management's salaries)			3,000
To Cash/Bank A/c (factory rental)			1,000
To Cash/Bank A/c (administrative salaries attributable to the factory)			610
To Property, plant and equipment (attributable portion of accumulated depreciation-administration building)			100
To Property, plant and equipment (attributable portion of accumulated depreciation-administration vehicles)			30
(To recognise the costs of conversion)			
Inventory A/c (W.N.2)	Dr.	200	
To Inventory A/c (consumable stores) (To recognise the costs of consumable stores inventory consumed)			200

# The total cost of inventories = Costs of purchase + Costs of conversion = ₹ 42,490 + ₹ 11,240 + ₹ 200 = ₹ 53,930

# **Working Notes:**

1. Computation of costs of purchase

1. Computation of costs of purchase	
Description	₹
Purchase price	30,000
Import duty and other non-refundable purchase taxes	8,000
Freight costs for bringing the goods to the factory storeroom	3,000
Cost of unloading the raw materials into the storeroom	20
Packaging	2,000
Less: Trade discounts, rebates and subsidies	(530)
Cost of purchase	42,490

**Note:** Refundable taxes do not form part of the cost of inventories.

2. Computation of costs of conversion

Description	
Direct labour	5,000
Fixed production overheads  Depreciation and maintenance of factory equipment	600
Depreciation of vehicle used for transporting the goods	400
Depreciation of vehicle used by factory supervisor	200
Factory electricity usage	300
Factory management	3,000
Factory rental	1,000
Other costs of administering the factory 20% of depreciation of administration building	100
20% of depreciation of administration vehicles	30
20% of administrative staff costs	610
Variable production overheads Indirect material—consumables	200
Cost of conversion	11,440

# Question 4

A retailer company imported goods at a cost of ₹ 1,30,000 including ₹ 20,000 non-refundable import duties and ₹ 10,000 refundable purchase taxes. The risks and rewards of ownership of the imported goods were transferred to the retailer company upon collection of the goods from the harbour warehouse. The retailer company was required to pay for the goods upon collection. The retailer company incurred ₹ 5,000 to transport the goods to its retail outlet and a further ₹ 2,000 in delivering the goods to its customer. Further selling costs of ₹ 3,000 were incurred in selling the goods.

State whether delivery charges and selling expenses will form part of the cost of inventory. If not, then why? Also calculate the cost of inventory. (RTP Nov '22)

#### Answer 4

# Calculation of Inventory cost:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Purchase Price (1,30,000 – 20,000 – 10,000)	1,00,000
Non-refundable import duties	20,000
Transport cost	5,000
Total	1,25,000

**Note:** The cost of purchase excludes the refundable purchase taxes paid on acquisition of the goods as the  $\ge$  10,000 paid will be refunded to the retailer.

Ind AS 2 specifically exclude selling cost from forming part of cost of inventory. However, selling and distribution costs are generally used as single term because both are related, as selling costs are incurred to effect the sale and the distribution costs are incurred by the seller to complete a sale transaction by making the goods available to the buyer from the point of sale to the point at which the buyer takes possession. Since these costs are not related to bringing the goods to their present location and condition, the same are not included in the cost of inventories. Accordingly, though the word 'distribution costs' is not specifically mentioned in Ind AS 2, these costs would continue to be excluded from the cost of inventories. Therefore, it excludes the selling expenses incurred (i.e., ₹ 2,000 delivery costs and ₹ 3,000 other selling costs).

Paragraph 16 of Ind AS 16, Property, Plant and Equipment, inter alia states that the cost of an item of property, plant and equipment comprises the initial estimate of the costs of dismantling and removing the item and restoring the site on which it is located, the obligation for which an entity incurs either when the item is acquired or as a consequence of having used the item during a particular period for purposes other than to produce inventories during that period.

Further, paragraph 18 of Ind AS 16 states that an entity applies Ind AS 2 to the costs of obligations for dismantling, removing and restoring the site on which an item is located that are incurred during a particular period as a consequence of having used the item to produce inventories during that period. The obligations for costs accounted for in accordance with Ind AS 2 or Ind AS 16 are recognised and measured in accordance with Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets.

#### **Ouestion 5**

A company normally produced 1,00,000 units of a high precision equipment each year over past several years. In the current year, due to lack of demand and competition, it produced only 50,000 units. Further information is as follows:

Material = Rs. 200 per unit;

Labour = Rs.100 per unit;

Variable manufacturing overhead = Rs. 100 per unit;

Fixed factory production overhead =Rs. 1,00,00,000;

Fixed factory selling overhead = Rs. 50,00,000;

Variable factory selling overhead = Rs. 150 per unit.

Calculate the value of inventory per unit in accordance with Ind AS 2. What will be the treatment of fixed manufacturing overhead? (RTP Nov '20)

#### Answer 5

#### Calculation of Inventory value per unit as per Ind AS 2:

Particulars	Value per unit (Rs.)
Raw material	200
Labour	100
Variable manufacturing overhead	100
Fixed production overhead (1,00,00,000/1,00,000)	100
	500

Fixed overheads are absorbed based on normally capacity level, i.e.; 1 ,00,000 units, rather than on the basis of actual production, i.e.; 50,000 units. Therefore, fixed manufacturing overhead on 50,000 units, will be absorbed as inventory value. The remaining fixed manufacturing overhead Rs. 50,00,000 (1,00,00,000 - 50,00,000) will be charged to P&L.

**Note:** Selling costs are excluded from the cost of inventories and recognized as expense in the period in which they are incurred.

# Question 6

On 31 March 20X1, the inventory of ABC includes spare parts which it had been supplying to a number of different customers for some years. The cost of the spare parts was Rs. 10 million and based on retail prices at 31 March 20X1, the expected selling price of the spare parts is Rs. 12 million. On 15 April 20X1, due to market fluctuations, expected selling price of the spare parts in stock reduced to Rs. 8 million. The estimated selling expense required to make the sales would Rs. 0.5 million. Financial statements were authorised by Board of Directors on 20th April 20X1.

As at 31st March 20X2, Directors noted that such inventory is still unsold and lying in the warehouse of the company. Directors believe that inventory is in a saleable condition and active marketing would result in an immediate sale. Since the market conditions have improved, estimated selling price of inventory is Rs. 11 million and estimated selling expenses are same Rs. 0.5 million.

What will be the value inventory at the following dates:

- (a) 31st March 20X1
- (b) 31st March 20X2 (RTP May '18) (MTP 4 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 6

As per Ind AS 2 'Inventories', inventory is measured at lower of 'cost' or 'net realisable value'. Further, as per Ind AS 10: 'Events after Balance Sheet Date', decline in net realisable value below cost provides additional evidence of events occurring at the balance sheet date and hence shall be considered as 'adjusting events'.

(a) In the given case, for valuation of inventory as on 31 March 20X1, cost of inventory would be Rs. 10 million and net realisable value would be Rs. 7.5 million (i.e. Expected selling price Rs. 8 million- estimated selling expenses Rs. 0.5 million). Accordingly, inventory shall be measured at Rs. 7.5 million i.e. lower of cost and net realisable value. Therefore, inventory write down of Rs. 2.5 million would be

recorded in income statement of that year.

(b) As per para 33 of Ind AS 2, a new assessment is made of net realizable value in each subsequent period. It Inter alia states that if there is increase in net realizable value because of changed economic circumstances, the amount of write down is reversed so that new carrying amount is the lower of the cost and the revised net realizable value. Accordingly, as at 31 March 20X2, again inventory would be valued at cost or net realisable value whichever is lower. In the present case, cost is Rs. 1 million and net realisable value would be Rs. 10. 5 million (i.e. expected selling price Rs. 11 million – estimated selling expense Rs. 0.5 million). Accordingly, inventory would be recorded at Rs. 10 million and inventory write down carried out in previous year for Rs. 2.5 million shall be reversed.

#### Question 7

On 1st January, 20X1 an entity accepted an order for 7,000 custom-made corporate gifts.

On 3rd January, 20X1 the entity purchased raw materials to be consumed in the production process for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}}$  5,50,000, including  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}}$  50,000 refundable purchase taxes. The purchase price was funded by raising a loan of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}}$  5,55,000 (including  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}}$  5,000 loan-raising fees). The loan is secured by the inventories.

During January 20X1 the entity designed the corporate gifts for the customer. Design costs included:

- cost of external designer = ₹ 7,000; and
- labour = ₹ 3,000.
  - During February 20X1 the entity's production team developed the manufacturing technique and made further modifications necessary to bring the inventories to the conditions specified in the agreement. The following costs were incurred in the testing phase:
- materials, net of ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output = ₹
   21,000;
- labour = ₹ 11,000; and
- depreciation of plant used to perform the modifications = ₹ 5,000.

  During February 20X1, the entity incurred the following additional costs in manufacturing the customised corporate gifts:
- consumable stores = ₹ 55,000;
- labour = ₹65,000; and
- depreciation of plant used to manufacture the customised corporate gifts = ₹ 15,000.

The customised corporate gifts were ready for sale on 1st March, 20X1. No abnormal wastage occurred in the development and manufacture of the corporate gifts.

Compute the cost of the inventory? Substantiate your answer with appropriate reasons and calculations, wherever required. (MTP 6 Marks Sep'22, RTP May'21)

#### Answer 7

Statement showing computation of inventory cost

Particulars	Amount (₹)	Remarks
Costs of purchase	5,00,000	Purchase price of raw material [purchase price (₹ 5,50,000) less refundable purchase taxes (₹ 50,000)]
Loan-raising fee		Included in the measurement of the liability
Costs of purchase	55,000	Purchase price of consumable stores

 $^{3}$   $^{2}$   $^{2}$   $^{3}$ 

Costs of conversion	65,000	Direct costs—labour
Production overheads	15,000	Fixed costs—depreciation
Production overheads	10,000	Product design costs and labour cost for
		specific customer
Other costs	37,000	Refer working note
Borrowing costs	_	Recognised as an expense in profit or loss
Total cost of inventories	6,82,000	

## Working Note:

Costs of testing product designed for specific customer:

- ₹ 21,000 material (ie net of the ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output)
- + ₹ 11,000 labour + ₹ 5,000 depreciation = ₹ 37,000

# Question 8

State the major changes in Ind AS 2 vis-a-vis AS 2 in respect of the following namely:

- (i) Machinery Spares
- (ii) Subsequent assessment of Net Realizable value
- (iii) Cost Formulae (MTP 4 Marks April '21)

#### Answer 8

The major changes in Ind AS 2 vis-à-vis AS 2 with respect to following are as follows:

- (i) Machinery Spares: AS 2 explains that inventories do not include spare parts, servicing equipment and standby equipment which meet the definition of property, plant and equipment as per AS 10, Property, Plant and Equipment. Such items are accounted for in accordance with AS 10. Ind AS 2 does not contain specific explanation in respect of such spares as this aspect is covered under Ind AS 16.
- (ii) Subsequent Assessment of Net Realisable Value (NRV): Ind AS 2 provides detailed guidance in case of subsequent assessment of net realisable value. It also deals with the reversal of the write-down of inventories to net realisable value to the extent of the amount of original write-down, and the recognition and disclosure thereof in the financial statements. AS 2 does not deal with such reversal
- (iii) Cost Formulae: AS 2 specifically provides that the formula used in determining the cost of an item of inventory should reflect the fairest possible approximation to the cost incurred in bringing the items of inventory to their present location and condition whereas Ind AS 2 does not specifically state so and requires the use of consistent cost formulas for all inventories having a similar nature and use to the entity.

# Question 9

B Ltd. produces aircrafts. The length of time between first purchasing raw materials to make the aircrafts and the date the company completes the production and delivery is 9 months. The company receives payment for the aircrafts 7 months after the delivery.

- (a) What is the length of operating cycle?
- (b) How should it treat its inventory and debtors? (MTP 2 Marks March '22)

# Answer 9

(a) Calculation of operating cycle

Month	
MOHLH	

197 July

Period of manufacturing the aircraft	9
Credit period for settlement of delivery amount	<u>7</u>
	<u>16</u>

Hence, the length of the operating cycle will be 16 month.

**(b)** Since the inventory and debtors will be realised within normal operating cycle, i.e., 16 months, both the inventory as well as debtors should be classified as current.

# Question 10

A business has four items of inventory. A count of the inventory has established that the amounts of inventory currently held, at cost, are as follows:

			₹
	Cost	Estimated price	Sales Selling costs
Inventory A1	item8,000	7,800	500
Inventory A2	item14,000	18,000	200
Inventory B1	item16,000	17,000	200
Inventory C1	item6,000	7,500	150

Determine the value of closing inventory in the financial statements of a business. (MTP 4 Marks April '23)

#### Answer 10

The value of closing inventory in the financial statements:

Item of	Cost	NRV (Estimated	Measurement	Value
inventory		Sales price-	•	
		Selling costs)	or NRV)	
A1	8,000	(7,800 – 500) 7,300	NRV	7,300
A2	14,00	(18,000 – 200) 17,800	Cost	14,000
	0			
B1	16,00	(17,000 – 200) 16,800	Cost	16,000
	0			
C1	6,000	(7,500 – 150) 7,350	Cost	6,000
Value of Invento	ory			43,300

# Question 11

The following is relevant information for an entity:

- Full capacity is 10,000 labour hours in a year.
- Normal capacity is 7,500 labour hours in a year.
- Actual labour hours for current period are 6,500 hours.
- Total fixed production overhead is Rs. 1,500.
- Total variable production overhead is Rs. 2,600.
- Total opening inventory is 2,500 units.
- Total units produced in a year are 6,500 units.
- Total units sold in a year are 6,700 units.
- The cost of inventories is assigned by using FIFO cost formula.

How overhead costs are to be allocated to cost of goods sold and closing

795

# inventory (RTP May '20)

#### Answer 11

Hours taken to produce 1 unit = 6,500 hours / 6,500 units = 1 hour per unit. Fixed production overhead absorption rate:

- = Fixed production overhead / labour hours for normal capacity
- = Rs. 1,500 / 7,500
- = Rs. 0.2 per hour

Management should allocate fixed overhead costs to units produced at a rate of Rs. 0.2 per hour.

Therefore, fixed production overhead allocated to 6,500 units produced during the year (one unit per hour) = 6,500 units x1 hour x Rs. 0.2 = Rs. 1,300.

The remaining fixed overhead incurred during the year of Rs. 200 (Rs. 1500 – Rs. 1300) that remains unallocated is recognized as an expense.

The amount of fixed overhead allocated to inventory is not increased as a result of low production by using normal capacity to allocate fixed overhead.

# Variable production overhead absorption rate:

- = Variable production overhead/actual hours for current period
- = Rs. 2,600 / 6,500 hours = Rs. 0.4 per hour

Management should allocate variable overhead costs to units produced at a rate of Rs. 0.4 per hour.

The above rate results in the allocation of all variable overheads to units produced during the year.

Closing inventory = Opening inventory + Units produced during year – Units sold during year

= 2,500 + 6,500 - 6,700 = 2,300 units

As each unit has taken one hour to produce (6,500 hours / 6,500 units produced), total fixed and variable production overhead recognized as part of cost of inventory:

- = Number of units of closing inventory x Number of hours to produce each unit x (Fixed production overhead absorption rate + Variable production overhead absorption rate)
- = 2,300 units x 1 hour x (Rs. 0.2 + Rs. 0.4) = **Rs. 1,380**

The remaining Rs. 2,720 [(Rs. 1,500 + Rs. 2,600) – Rs. 1,380] is recognized as an expense in the income statement as follows:

Absorbed in cost of goods sold (FIFO basis)  $(6,500 - 2,300) = 4,200 \times 0.62,520$  Unabsorbed fixed overheads, not included in the cost of goods sold 200

Total **2,720** 

#### Question 12

Whether the following costs should be considered while determining the Net Realisable Value (NRV) of the inventories?

- (d) Costs of completion of work-in-progress;
- (e) Trade discounts expected to be allowed on sale; and
- (f) Cash discounts expected to be allowed for prompt payment (RTP Nov '21)

#### Answer 12

Ind AS 2 defines Net Realisable Value as the "estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business less the estimated costs of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale."

Costs of completion of work-in-progress are incurred to convert the work-in progress into finished goods. Since these costs are in the nature of completion costs, in accordance with the above definition, the same should be deducted from the estimated

,age 296

selling price to determine the NRV of work-in- progress.

The Guidance Note on Terms Used in Financial Statements defines Trade Discount as "A reduction granted by a supplier from the list price of goods or services on business considerations other than for prompt payment".

Trade discount is allowed either expressly through an agreement or through prevalent commercial practices in the terms of the trade and the same is adjusted in arriving at the selling price. Accordingly, the trade discount expected to be allowed should be deducted to determine the estimated selling price.

The Guidance Note on Terms Used in Financial Statements defines Cash Discount as "A reduction granted by a supplier from the invoiced price in consideration of immediate payment or payment within a stipulated period."

These types of costs are incurred to recover the sale proceeds immediately or before the end of the specified period or credit period allowed to the customer. In other words, these costs are not incurred to make the sale, therefore, the same should not be considered while determining NRV.

# Question 13

An entity has following details regarding cost and retail price of the goods

purchased and unsold at the beginning of the year:

	Cost	Retail Price
Opening inventory	6,250	8,000
Purchases	19,500	34,000
Inventory on hand		(23,000)
Sales for the period		19,000

Applying the retail method, compute the following:

- (a) Percentage of cost price over retail price;
- (b) Cost of closing inventory;
- (c) Value of cost of sales (at cost); and
- (d) Revenue earned during the year on sale of inventory

Ignore the impact of mark-ups or mark-downs on the selling price. (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 13

Table showing application of Retail method for calculation of the goods sold during the year and unsold inventory

S. No.	Particulars		₹
	Cost price of goods	6,250 + 19,500	25,750
	Retail price of goods	8,000 + 34,000	42,000
(a)	Cost percentage of retail price	25,750 / 42,000	61%
(b)	Closing inventory (at cost)	23,000 x 61%	14,030
(c)	Cost of sales for the period	[(6,250 + 19,500) - 14,030]	11,720
	Sales for the period		19,000
(d)	Revenue earned on sale of goods during the year	19,000 – 11,720	7,280

#### Question 14

XYZ Limited has a plant with the normal capacity to produce 10,00,000 units of a product per annum and the expected fixed overhead is Rs. 30,00,000, Fixed overhead, therefore based on normal capacity is Rs. 3 per unit. Determine Fixed overhead as per Ind AS 2 'Inventories' if

- (i) Actual production is 7,50,000 units.
- (ii) Actual production is 15,00,000 units. (PYP 8 Marks, May' 18)

# Answer 14

- (i) Actual production is 7,50,000 units: Fixed overhead is not going to change with the change in output and will remain constant at Rs. 30,00,000, therefore, overheads on actual basis is Rs. 4 per unit (30,00,000 / 7,50,000).
  - Hence, by valuing inventory at Rs. 4 each for fixed overhead purpose, it will be overvalued and the losses of Rs. 7,50,000 will also be included in closing inventory leading to a higher gross profit then actually earned.
  - Therefore, it is advisable to include fixed overhead per unit on normal capacity to actual production  $(7,50,000 \times 3)$  Rs. 22,50,000 and balance Rs. 7,50,000 shall be transferred to Profit & Loss Account.
- (ii) Actual production is 15,00,000 units: Fixed overhead is not going to change with the change in output and will remain constant at Rs. 30,00,000, therefore, overheads on actual basis is Rs. 2 (30,00,000 / 15,00,000).

  Hence by valuing inventory at Rs. 3 each for fixed overhead purpose, we will be adding the element of cost to inventory which actually has not been incurred. At Rs. 3 per unit, total fixed overhead comes to Rs. 45,00,000 whereas, actual fixed overhead expense is only Rs. 30,00,000. Therefore, it is advisable to include fixed

#### Note:

Various issues related to the applicability of Ind AS / implementation under Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015, are being raised by preparers, users and other stakeholders. Although many clarifications have been issued by way of ITFG Bulletins or EAC Opinion, still issues are arising on account of varying interpretations on several of its guidance. Therefore, alternate answers

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

overhead on actual basis (15,00,000 x 2) Rs. 30,00,000.

Majority of the examinees calculated fixed overhead per unit but had not explained the reason for its calculation.

may be possible for the above questions based on standards, depending upon the view taken.

#### Question 15

Summer Solutions Limited is engaged in the manufacturing of customized gifts for its corporate customers. On 1st December, 2022, the company received an order from Rain Limited for the supply of 15,000 customized corporate gifts. On 4th December, 2022, to meet the order, Summer Solutions Limited purchased 20,000 kg of certain material at  $\bar{t}$  110 per kg. The purchase price includes GST of  $\bar{t}$  10 per kg in respect of which full GST credit is admissible. Freight incurred amounted to  $\bar{t}$  1,00,000.

During January, 2023, the company incurred the following expenses to design the corporate gift for Rain Limited:

• Fee to external designer ₹ 20,000

• Labour ₹ 8,000

age 298

After checking the sample of gift, the management of Rain Limited did not approve the design of gift and suggested some modifications. Consequently, the production team of Summer Solutions Limited made modifications to bring the inventories as per the conditions specified in the order.

Following costs were incurred during testing phase:

Materials ₹ 45,000
 Labour ₹ 20,000

Depreciation of plant used during testing phase
 ₹ 7,000
 Some of the materials used during testing phase was scrapped and sold for ₹ 5,000.

During February, 2023, Summer Solutions Limited incurred the following additional costs in the manufacturing of customized corporate gifts:

• Consumable stores ₹ 1,25,000

• Labour ₹ 1,42,000

 Depreciation of plant used in manufacturing of customized corporate gifts: ₹ 38,000

On 15th March, 2023, the customized gifts were ready for delivery. There was no abnormal loss during the manufacturing process.

You are required to compute the cost of customized gifts. Your answer should be supported by appropriate reasons and calculations wherever necessary. (PYP 5 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 15

Statement showing computation of inventory cost

Particulars	₹	Reasons
Costs of purchase of raw material	21,00,000	Purchase price of raw material net of GST plus freight [{20,000 x (110-10)} + 1,00,000]
Costs of purchase of consumable stores	1,25,000	Purchase price of consumable stores
Costs of conversion	1,42,000	Direct costs — labour
Production overheads	38,000	Fixed costs — depreciation
Production overheads	28,000	Product design costs and labour cost for specific customer
Other costs	67,000	Refer working note
Total cost of inventories	25,00,000	

# **Working Note:**

Costs of testing product designed for specific customer:

₹ 40,000 material (net of ₹ 5,000 recovered from the sale of scrapped output) + ₹ 20,000 labour + ₹ 7,000 depreciation = ₹ 67,000

#### Section - B

#### **Question 1**

UA Ltd. purchased raw material @ ₹400 per kg. Company does not sell raw material but uses in production of finished goods. The finished goods in which raw material is used are expected to be sold at below cost. At the end of the accounting year, company is having 10,000 kg of raw material in inventory. As the company never sells the raw material, it does not know the selling price of raw material and hence cannot calculate the realisable value of the raw material

for valuation of inventories at the end of the year. However, replacement cost of raw material is 300 per kg. Compute the value of inventory of raw material?

#### Answer 1

As per Ind AS 2 "Inventories", materials and other supplies held for use in the production of inventories are not written down below cost if the finished products in which they will be incorporated are expected to be sold at or above cost. However, when there has been a decline in the price of materials and it is estimated that the cost of the finished products will exceed net realisable value, the materials are written down to net realisable value. In such circumstances, the replacement cost of the materials may be the best available measure of their net realisable value. Therefore, in this case, UA Ltd. will value the inventory of raw material at ₹30,00,000 (10,000 kg. @ ₹300 per kg.).

# Question 2

Sun Ltd. has fabricated special equipment (solar power panel) during 20X1-20X2 as per drawing and design supplied by the customer. However, due to a liquidity crunch, the customer has requested the company for postponement in delivery schedule and requested the company to withhold the delivery of finished goods products and discontinue the production of balance items.

As a result of the above, the details of customer balance and the goods held by the company as work-in-progress and finished goods as on 31.3.20X3 are as follows:

Solar power panel (WIP)

₹ 85 lakhs

Solar power panel (finished products)

₹ 55 lakhs

Sundry Debtor (solar power panel)

₹ 65 lakhs

The petition for winding up against the customer has been filed during 20X2-20X3 by Sun Ltd. Advise on provision to be made of 205 lakh included in Sundry Debtors, Finished goods and work-in-progress in the financial statement of 20X2-20X3.

#### Answer 2

From the facts given in the question it is obvious that Sun Ltd. is a manufacturer of solar power panel. As per Ind AS 2 'Inventories', inventories are assets (a) held for sale in the ordinary course of business; (b) in the process of production for such sale; or (c) in the form of materials or supplies to be consumed in the production process or in the rendering of services. Therefore, solar power panel held in its stock will be considered as its inventory. Further, as per the standard, inventory at the end of the year is to be valued at lower of cost or NRV.

As the customer has postponed the delivery schedule due to liquidity crunch the entire cost incurred for solar power panel which were to be supplied has been shown in Inventory. The solar power panel are in the possession of the Company which can be sold in the market. Hence, the company should value such inventory as per principle laid down in Ind AS 2 i.e. lower of Cost or NRV. Though, the goods were produced as per specifications of buyer the Company should determine the NRV of these goods in the market and value the goods accordingly. Change in value of such solar power panel should be provided for in the books. In the absence of the NRV of WIP and Finished product given in the question, assuming that cost is lower, the company shall value its inventory as per Ind AS 2 for ₹140 lakhs [i.e solar power panel (WIP) ₹85 lakhs + solar power panel (finished products) ₹55 lakhs].

Alternatively, if it is assumed that there is no buyer for such fabricated solar power panel, then the NRV will be Nil. In such a case, full value of finished goods and WIP will be provided for in the books.

As regards Sundry Debtors balance, since the Company has filed a petition for winding up against the customer in 20X2-20X3, it is probable that amount is not recoverable from the party. Hence, the provision for doubtful debts for ₹65 lakhs shall be made in the books against the debtor's amount.

#### Question 3

On 31 March 20X1, the inventory of ABC includes spare parts which it had been supplying to a number of different customers for some years. The cost of the spare parts was ₹10 million and based on retail prices at 31 March 20X1, the expected selling price of the spare parts is ₹12 million. On 15 April 20X1, due to market fluctuations, expected selling price of the spare parts in stock is reduced to 8 million. The estimated selling expense required to make the sales would ₹0.5 million. Financial statements were approved by the Board of Directors on 20th April 20X1.

As at 31st March 20X2, Directors noted that such inventory is still unsold and lying in the warehouse of the company. Directors believe that inventory is in a saleable condition and active marketing would result in an immediate sale. Since the market conditions have improved, estimated selling price of inventory is  $\gtrless 11$  million and estimated selling expenses are same  $\gtrless 0.5$  million.

Determine the value inventory at the following dates:

- (a) 31st March 20X1
- (b) 31st March 20X2

# Answer 3

As per Ind AS 2 'Inventories', inventory is measured at lower of 'cost' or 'net realisable value'. Further, as per Ind AS 10: 'Events after Balance Sheet Date', decline in net realisable value below cost provides additional evidence of events occurring at the balance sheet date and hence shall be considered as 'adjusting events'.

- (a) the given case, for valuation of inventory as on 31 March 20X1, cost of inventory would be ₹10 million and net realisable value would be ₹7.5 million (i.e. Expected selling price ₹8 million estimated selling expenses ₹0.5 million). Accordingly, inventory shall be measured at 7.5 million i.e. lower of cost and net realisable value. Therefore, inventory write down of ₹2.5 million would be recorded in income statement of that year.
- (b) As per para 33 of Ind AS 2, a new assessment is made of net realisable value in each subsequent period. It inter alia states that if there is increase in net realisable value because of changed economic circumstances, the amount of write down is reversed so that new carrying amount is the lower of the cost and the revised net realisable value. Accordingly, as at 31 March 20X2, again inventory would be valued at cost or net realisable value whichever is lower. In the present case, cost is ₹10 million and net realisable value would be ₹10.5 million (i.e. expected selling price ₹11 million estimated selling expense ₹0.5 million). Accordingly, inventory would be recorded at ₹10 million and inventory write down carried out in previous year for ₹2.5 million shall be reversed.

#### Question 4

The following information is gathered from an entity:

- Full capacity is 10,000 labour hours in a year.
- Normal capacity is 7,500 labour hours in a year.
- Actual labour hours for current period are 6,500 hours.
- Total fixed production overhead is ₹1,500.
- Total variable production overhead is ₹2,600.

105 age

- Total opening inventory is 2,500 units.
- Total units produced in a year are 6,500 units.
- Total units sold in a year are 6,700 units.
- The cost of inventories is assigned by using FIFO cost formula.

Determine the overhead costs to be allocated to cost of goods sold and closing inventory?

#### Answer 4

Hours taken to produce 1 unit = 6,500 hours / 6,500 units = 1 hour per unit. Fixed production overhead absorption rate:

- = Fixed production overhead / labour hours for normal capacity
- = ₹1,500 / 7,500
- = ₹ 0.2 per hour

Management should allocate fixed overhead costs to units produced at a rate of  $\P$  0.2 per hour.

Therefore, fixed production overhead allocated to 6,500 units produced during the year (one unit per hour) = 6,500 unit's x 1-hour x  $\mathbf{\xi}$  0.2 = 1,300.

The remaining fixed overhead incurred during the year of ₹ 200 (₹ 1500 – 1300) that remains unallocated is recognized as an expense.

The amount of fixed overhead allocated to inventory is not increased as a result of low production by using normal capacity to allocate fixed overhead.

Variable production overhead absorption rate:

- = Variable production overhead/actual hours for current period
- = ₹ 2,600 / 6,500 hours
- = ₹ 0.4 per hour

Management should allocate variable overhead costs to units produced at a rate of ₹ 0.4 per hour.

The above rate results in the allocation of all variable overheads to units produced during the year.

Closing inventory = Opening inventory + Units produced during year - Units sold during year

$$= 2,500 + 6,500 - 6,700 = 2,300$$
 units

As each unit has taken one hour to produce (6,500 hours / 6,500 units produced), total fixed and variable production overhead recognized as part of cost of inventory:

- = Number of units of closing inventory x Number of hours to produce each unit x (Fixed production overhead absorption rate + Variable production overhead absorption rate) = 2,300 unit's x 1-hour x (₹0.2 + ₹0.4)
- =**₹**1,380

The remaining ₹2,720 [(₹1,500 + ₹2,600) – ₹1,380] is recognized as an expense in the income statement as follows:

Absorbed in cost of goods sold (FIFO basis)  $(6,500 - 2,300) = 4,200 \times 0.6$  Unabsorbed fixed overheads, not included in the cost of goods sold 200 Total 2,720

# Question 5

Sharp Trading Inc. purchases motorcycles from various countries and exports them to Europe. Sharp Trading has incurred these expenses during 20X1:

- (a) Cost of purchases (based on vendors' invoices) ₹ 5,00,000
- (b) Trade discounts on purchases ₹10,000

302

- (c) Import duties ₹200
- (d) Freight and insurance on purchases ₹250
- (e) Other handling costs relating to imports ₹100
- (f) Salaries of accounting department ₹15,000
- (g) Brokerage commission payable to indenting agents for arranging imports ₹300
- (h) Sales commission payable to sales agents ₹150
- (i) After-sales warranty costs ₹600

Advise as if which of the above item is to be included in the cost of inventory and wants you to calculate cost of inventory as per Ind AS 2.

#### Answer 5

Items (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (g) are permitted to be included in the cost of inventory since these elements contribute to cost of purchase, cost of conversion and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition, as per Ind AS 2

# Statement showing cost of inventory

department, sales commission, and after-sales warranty costs are not considered as part of cost of inventory under Ind AS 2.

#### **Ouestion 6**

On 1 January 20X1 an entity accepted an order for 7,000 custom-made corporate gifts.

On 3 January 20X1 the entity purchased raw materials to be consumed in the production process for ₹5,50,000, including ₹50,000 refundable purchase taxes. The purchase price was funded by raising a loan of ₹5,55,000 (including ₹5,000 loan-raising fees). The loan is secured by the inventories.

During January 20X1 the entity designed the corporate gifts for the customer. Design costs included:

- cost of external designer = ₹ 7,000; and
- labour = ₹ 3,000.

During February 20X1 the entity's production team developed the manufacturing technique and made further modifications necessary to bring the inventories to the conditions specified in the agreement. The following costs were incurred in the testing phase:

materials, net of 3,000 recovered from the sale = ₹21,0
 of the scrapped output 00

age 303

• labour = ₹11,0• depreciation of plant used to perform the = ₹5,00modifications 0

During February 20X1 the entity incurred the following additional costs in manufacturing the customised corporate gifts:

• depreciation of plant used to manufacture the = ₹ customised corporate gifts 15,000

The customised corporate gifts were ready for sale on 1st March 20X1. No abnormal wastage occurred in the development and manufacture of the corporate gifts.

Compute the cost of the inventory? Substantiate your answer with appropriate reasons and calculations, wherever required.

#### Answer 6

Statement showing computation of inventory cost

Particulars	Amount (₹)	Remarks		
Costs of purchase	5,00,000	price (₹ 5,50,000) less refundable		
		purchase taxes (₹ 50,000)]		
Loan-raising fee	_	Include i th measuremen of the		
		d net		
		liability		
Costs of purchase	55,000	Purchase price of consumable stores		
Costs of conversion	65,000	Direct costs—labour		
Production overheads	15,000	Fixed costs—depreciation		
Production overheads	10,000	Product design costs and labour cost for		
		specific customer		
Other costs	37,000	Refer working note		
Borrowing costs		Recognized as an expense in profit or loss		
Total cost of inventories	6,82,000			
TT71 1 BT - 4				

#### Working Note:

#### Costs of testing product designed for specific customer:

21,000 material (ie net of the ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output)

11,000 labour + ₹ 5,000 depreciation.

# Question 7(Illustration)

As per Ind AS 2, inventories include 'materials and supplies awaiting use in the production process'. Whether packing material and publicity material are covered by the term 'materials and supplies awaiting use in the production process'.

#### Answer 7

While the primary packing material may be included within the scope of the term 'materials and supplies awaiting use in the production process' but the secondary packing material and publicity material cannot be so included, as these are selling costs which are required to be excluded as per Ind AS 2. For this purpose, the primary packing material is one which is essential to bring an item of inventory to its saleable condition, for example, bottles, cans etc., in case of food and beverages industry. Other packing material required for transporting and forwarding the material will normally be in the nature of secondary packing material.

# Question 8 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd. buys goods from an overseas supplier. It has recently taken delivery of 1,000 units of component X. The quoted price of component X was  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  1,200 per unit but ABC Ltd. has negotiated a trade discount of 5% due to the size of the order.

The supplier offers an early settlement discount of 2% for payment within 30 days and ABC Ltd. intends to achieve this. Import duties (basic custom duties) of ₹ 60 per unit must be paid before the goods are released through custom. Once the goods are released through customs, ABC Ltd. must pay a delivery cost of ₹ 5,000 to have the components taken to its warehouse.

Calculate the cost of inventory.

#### Answer 8

	₹
Purchase price (1,000 x 1,200 x 95%)	11,40,000
Import duties (1,000 x 60)	60,000
Delivery cost	5,000
Cost of inventory	12,05,000

**Note:** The intention to take settlement discount is irrelevant.

#### Question 9 (Illustration)

A business plans for production overheads of  $\overline{10,00,000}$  per annum. The normal level of production is 1,00,000 units per annum.

Due to supply difficulties the business was only able to make 75,000 units in the current year. Other costs per unit were  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  126. Calculate the per unit cost and amount of overhead to be expensed during the year.

#### Answer 9

Calculation of cost per unit:	₹
Other costs	126
Production overhead (10,00,000/1,00,000 units)	10
Unit cost	136

Overhead to be expensed:	₹
Total production overhead	10,00,000
The amount absorbed into inventory is (75,000 x 10)	(7,50,000)
The amount not absorbed into inventory	2,50,000

₹ 2,50,000 that has not been included in inventory is expensed during the year

i.e. recognized in the statement of profit and loss.

## Question 10 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd. manufactures control units for air conditioning systems. Each control unit requires the following:

1 component X at a cost of ₹ 1,205 each 1

component Y at a cost of ₹ 800 each

Sundry raw materials at a cost of ₹ 150 each

The company faces the following monthly expenses: Factory

rent ₹ 16,500

Energy cost ₹ 7,500

Selling and administrative costs ₹ 10,000

Each unit takes two hours to assemble. Production workers are paid ₹ 300 per hour.

Production overheads are absorbed into units of production using an hourly rate. The normal level of production per month is 1,000 hours. Determine the cost of inventory.

#### Answer 10

The cost of a single control unit:	₹
Materials:	
Component X	1,205
Component Y	800
Sundry raw materials	150
	2,155
Labour (2 hours x 300)	600
Production overhead [(16,500 + 7,500/1,000 hours) x 2	48
hours]	
	2,803

**Note:** The selling and administrative costs are not part of the cost of inventory.

#### Question 11 (Illustration)

A dealer has purchased 1,000 cars costing  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,80,000 each on deferred payment basis as  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  25,000 per month per car to be paid in 12 equal instalments.

At year end 31 March 20X1, twenty cars are in stock. What would be the cost of goods sold, finance cost and inventory carrying amount?

#### Answer 11

	₹
Deferred payment price (25,000 x 12)	3,00,000
Less: Cash price	2,80,000
Interest expense	20,000

		₹
Cost of inventory	20 cars x 2,80,000	56,00,000

Finance cost	1,000 cars x 20,000	2,00,00,000
Cost of goods sold	980 cars x 2,80,000	27,44,00,000

## Question 12 (Illustration)

Venus Trading Company purchases cars from several countries and sells them to Asian countries. During the current year, this company has incurred following expenses:

- 1. Trade discounts on purchase
- 2. Handling costs relating to imports
- 3. Salaries of accounting department
- 4. Sales commission paid to sales agents
- 5. After sales warranty costs
- 6. Import duties
- 7. Costs of purchases (based on supplier's invoices)
- 8. Freight expense
- 9. Insurance of purchases
- 10. Brokerage commission paid to indenting agents

Evaluate which costs are allowed by Ind AS 2 for inclusion in the cost of inventory in the books of Venus.

#### Answer 12

Items number 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 are allowed by Ind AS 2 for the calculation of cost of inventories. Salaries of accounts department, sales commission, and after sale warranty costs are not considered to be the cost of inventory. Therefore, they are not allowed by Ind AS 2 for inclusion in cost of inventory and are expensed off in the profit and loss account.

#### Question 13 (Illustration)

As per Ind AS 2, selling costs are excluded from the cost of inventories and are required to be recognised as an expense in the period in which these are incurred. Whether the distribution costs would now be included in the cost of inventories under Ind AS 2.

#### Answer 13

Selling and distribution costs are generally used as single term because both are related, as selling costs are incurred to effect the sale and the distribution costs are incurred by the seller to complete a sale transaction by making the goods available to the buyer from the point of sale to the point at which the buyer takes possession. Since these costs are not related to bringing the goods to their present location and condition, the same are not included in the cost of inventories. Accordingly, though the word 'distribution costs' is not specifically mentioned in Ind AS 2, these costs would continue to be excluded from the cost of inventories.

#### Question 14 (Illustration)

In a manufacturing process of Mars Ltd, one by-product BP emerges besides two main products MP1 and MP2 apart from scrap. Details of cost of production process are here under:

Item	Unit	Amount	Outpu	Closing Stock
			t	31.3.20X1
Raw material	14,50	1,50,000	MP 1-5,000	250
	0		units	
Wages	-	90,000	MP II - 4,000	100
			units	
Fixed overhead	-	65,000	BP- 2,000 units	
Variable overhead	-	50,000		

Average market price of MP1 and MP2 is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  60 per unit and  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  50 per unit respectively, by- product is sold  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  20 per unit. There is a profit of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  5,000 on sale of by-product after incurring separate processing charges of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  8,000 and packing charges of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,000,  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  5,000 was realised from sale of scrap. Calculate the value of closing stock of MP1 and MP2 as on 31.3.20X1.

#### Answer 14

As per Ind AS 2 'Inventories', most by-products as well as scrap or waste materials, by their nature, are immaterial. They are often measured at net realizable value and this value is deducted from the cost of the main product.

1) Calculation of NRV of By-product BP

Selling price of by-product	2,000 units x 20	40,00
Less: Separate processing charges of by- product	per unit	0
BP Packing charges		(8,00 0) (2,00 0)
Net realizable value of by- product BP		30,00

2) Calculation of cost of conversion for allocation between joint products MP1 and MP2

Raw material		1,50,000
Wages		90,000
Fixed overhead		65,000
Variable overhead		50,000
Less: NRV of by-product BP (See calculation 1)	30,00	
	0	
Sale value of scrap		(35,000)
	5,000	
Joint cost to be allocated between MP1 and		3,20,00
MP2		0

3) Determination of "basis for allocation" and allocation of joint cost to MP1 and MP2

	MP I	MP 2
Output in units (a)	5,000	4,000
Sales price per unit (b)	60	50

Sales value (a x b)	3,00,000	2,00,000
Ratio of allocation	3	2
Joint cost of ₹ 3,20,000 allocated in the ratio of 3:2 (c)	1,92,000	1,28,000
Cost per unit [c/a]	38.4	32

# 4) Determination of value of closing stock of MP1 and MP2

Particulars	MP I	MP 2
Closing stock in units	250 units	100 units
Cost per unit	38.4	32
Value of closing stock	9,600	3,200

# Question 15 (Illustration)

Mars Fashions is a new luxury retail company located in Lajpat Nagar, New Delhi. Kindly advise the accountant of the company on the necessary accounting treatment for the following items:

- (a) One of Company's product lines is beauty products, particularly cosmetics such as lipsticks, moisturizers and compact make-up kits. The company sells hundreds of different brands of these products. Each product is quite similar, is purchased at similar prices and has a short lifecycle before a new similar product is introduced. The point of sale and inventory system is not yet fully functioning in this department. The sales manager of the cosmetic department is unsure of the cost of each product but is confident of the selling price and has reliably informed you that the Company, on average, make a gross margin of 65% on each line.
- (b) Mars Fashions also sells handbags. The Company manufactures their own handbags as they wish to be assured of the quality and craftsmanship which goes into each handbag. The handbags are manufactured in India in the head office factory which has made handbags for the last fifty years. Normally, Mars manufactures 100,000 handbags a year in their handbag division which uses 15% of the space and overheads of the head office factory. The division employs ten people and is seen as being an efficient division within the overall company.

In accordance with Ind AS 2, explain how the items referred to in a) and b) should be measured.

#### Answer 15

- (a) The retail method can be used for measuring inventories of the beauty products. The cost of the inventory is determined by taking the selling price of the cosmetics and reducing it by the gross margin of 65% to arrive at the cost.
- (b) The handbags can be measured using standard cost especially if the results approximate cost. Given that the company has the information reliably on hand in relation to direct materials, direct labour, direct expenses and overheads, it would be the best method to use to arrive at the cost of inventories.

# Question 16 (Illustration)

Whether an entity can use different cost formulae for inventories held at different geographical locations having similar nature and use to it.

#### Answer 16

Paragraph 25 of Ind AS 2 prescribes that the cost of inventories, other than the items of inventories which are not ordinarily interchangeable as dealt with in paragraph 23, shall be assigned by using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) or weighted average cost formula. An entity shall use the same cost formula for all inventories having similar nature and use to it. In this case, since the inventories held at different geographical location are of similar nature and use to the entity, different cost formula cannot be used for inventory valuation purposes.

# Question 17 (Illustration)

Whether the following costs should be considered while determining the Net Realisable Value (NRV) of the inventories?

- (a) Costs of completion of work-in-progress;
- (b) Trade discounts expected to be allowed on sale; and
- (c) Cash discounts expected to be allowed for prompt payment

#### Answer 17

Ind AS 2 defines Net Realisable Value as the "estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business less the estimated costs of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale."

Costs of completion of work-in-progress are incurred to convert the work-in progress into finished goods. Since these costs are in the nature of completion costs, in accordance with the above definition, the same should be deducted from the estimated selling price to determine the NRV of work-in- progress.

The Guidance Note on Terms Used in Financial Statements defines Trade Discount as "A reduction granted by a supplier from the list price of goods or services on business considerations other than for prompt payment".

Trade discount is allowed either expressly through an agreement or through prevalent commercial practices in the terms of the trade and the same is adjusted in arriving at the selling price. Accordingly, the trade discount expected to be allowed should be deducted to determine the estimated selling price.

The Guidance Note on Terms Used in Financial Statements defines Cash Discount as "A reduction granted by a supplier from the invoiced price in consideration of immediate payment or payment within a stipulated period."

These types of costs are incurred to recover the sale proceeds immediately or before the end of the specified period or credit period allowed to the customer. In other words, these costs are not incurred to make the sale, therefore, the same should not be considered while determining NRV.

# Question 18 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd. manufactures and sells paper envelopes. The stock of envelopes was included in the closing inventory as of 31st March, 20X1, at a cost of ₹ 50 per pack. During the final audit, the auditors noted that the subsequent sale price for the inventory at 15th April, 20X1, was ₹ 40 per pack. Furthermore, enquiry reveals that during the physical stock take, a water leakage has created damages to the paper and the glue. Accordingly, in the following week, ABC Ltd. has spent a total of ₹ 15 per pack for repairing and reapplying glue to the envelopes. Calculate the net realizable value and inventory write-down (loss) amount.

#### Answer 18

The net realisable value is the expected sale price ₹ 40, less cost incurred to bring the goods to its saleble condition ie ₹ 15.

Thus, NRV of envelopes pack = ₹ 40 – ₹ 15 = ₹ 25 per pack.

The loss (inventory write-down) per pack is the difference between cost and net realizable value = ₹ 50 - ₹ 25 = ₹ 25 per pack.

## Question 19 (Illustration)

At the end of its financial year, Company P has 100 units of inventory on hand recorded at a carrying amount of  $\overline{t}$  10 per unit. The current market price is  $\overline{t}$  8 per unit at which these units can be sold. Company P has a firm sales contract with Company Q to sell 60 units at  $\overline{t}$  11 per unit, which cannot be settled net. Estimated incremental selling cost is  $\overline{t}$  1 per unit. Determine Net Realisable Value (NRV) of the inventory of Company P.

# Answer 19

While performing NRV test, the NRV of 60 units that will be sold to Company Q is ₹ 10 per unit (i.e. 11-1).

NRV of the remaining 40 units is ₹ 7 per unit (i.e. 8-1).

Therefore, Company P will write down those remaining 40 units by ₹ 120 (i.e. 40 x

3). Total cost of inventory would be

Goods to be sold to	60 units x ₹ 10 +	₹ 600
Company Q Remaining goods	40 unit x ₹ 7	₹ 280
		₹ 880

#### Question 20 (Illustration)

A business has four items of inventory. A count of the inventory has established that the amounts of inventory currently held, at cost, are as follows:

	Cost	Estimated Sales price	Selling costs
Inventory item A1	8,000	7,800	500
Inventory item A2	14,0 00	18,0 00	200
			200
Inventory item	16,0	17,0	200
B1	00	00	
Inventory item C1	6,000	7,500	150

Determine the value of closing inventory in the financial statements of a business

# Answer 20

The value of closing inventory in the financial statements:

Item of inventory	Cost	NRV (Estimated Sales price-	Measurement base (lower of	Value
		Selling costs)	cost or NRV)	
A1	8,000	(7,800 - 500)	NRV	7,300
		7,300		
A2	14,00	(18,000 - 200)	Cost	14,00
	0	17,800		0
B1	16,00	(17,000 - 200)	Cost	16,00

	-			0
Value of Inventory				43,30
		7,350		6,000
C1	6,000	(7,500 - 150)	Cost	
	0	16,800		0

Question 21 (Illustration)

Particulars		Kg.	₹
Opening Inventory:	Finished Goods	1,000	25,000
	Raw Materials	1,100	11,000
Purchases		10,00	1,00,000
		0	
Labour			76,500
Overheads (Fixed)			75,000
Sales		10,00	2,80,000
		0	
Closing Inventory:	Raw Materials	900	
	Finished Goods	1200	

The expected production for the year was 15,000 kg of the finished product. Due to fall in market demand the sales price for the finished goods was  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  20 per kg and the replacement cost for the raw material was  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  9.50 per kg on the closing day. You are required to calculate the closing inventory as on that date.

#### Answer 22

# Calculation of cost for closing inventory

Particulars	₹
Cost of Purchase (10,200 x 10)	1,02,000
Direct Labour	76,500
Fixed Overhead 75,000 x 10,200 / 15,000	51,000
Cost of Production	2,29,500
Cost of closing inventory per unit (2,29,500/10,200)	₹ 22.50
Net Realisable Value per unit	₹ 20.00

Since net realisable value is less than cost, closing inventory will be valued at  $\ 20$ . As NRV of the finished goods is less than its cost, relevant raw materials will be valued at replacement cost i.e.  $\ \ 9.50$ .

Therefore, value of closing inventory: Finished Goods (1,200 x 20)

₹ 24,000

Raw Materials (900 x 9.50) ₹ 8,550

₹ 32,550

#### Question 23 (Illustration)

Sun Pharma Limited, a renowned company in the field of pharmaceuticals has the following four items in inventory: The Cost and Net realizable value is given as follows:

Item	Cost	Net Realizable Value
A	2,000	1,900

В	5,000	5,100
С	4,400	4,550
D	3,200	2,990
Total	14,60	14,540
	0	

Determine the value of Inventories:

- a. On an item by item basis
- b. On a group basis

#### Answer 23

Inventories shall be measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value.

Item by item basis:	
A	1,900
В	5,000
C	4,400
D	2,990
	14,290
Group basis	14,540

# Question 24 (Illustration)

Mercury Ltd. uses a periodic inventory system. The following information relates to 20X1-20X2

Date	Particular	Unit	Cost	Total Cost
			p.u.	
April	Inventory	200	10	2,000
May	Purchases	5	11	550
		0		
September	Purchases	400	12	4,800
February	Purchases	350	14	4,900
	Total	1,000		12,250


Physical inventory at 31.3.20X2 400 units.

Calculate ending inventory value and cost of sales using:

- (a) **FIFO**
- (b) Weighted Average

# Answer 24

FIFO inventory 31.3.20X2	350 @14 =	4,900
	50 @ 12 =	600
		5,500
Cost of Sales	12,250-5,500 =	6,750
Weighted average cost per item	12,250/1000 =	12.25
Weighted average inventory at	400 x 12.25 =	4,900
31.3.20X2		
Cost of sales 20X1-20X2	12,250-4,900 =	7,350



 $_{\rm age}314$ 

# Chapter 6 Unit-2 Ind AS 16: Property, Plant and Equipment

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
				Q7,			Q8,					
MTP	Q12		Q9	Q13			Q14	Q15	Q11	Q10		
PYP								Q1	Q20			
					Q3,							
RTP	Q17		Q5	Q18	Q6			Q19		Q16	Q4	Q2

# Section - A

#### Question 1

On 1st May, 2022, Sanskar Limited purchased ₹ 42,00,000 worth of land for construction of a new warehouse for stocking new products.

The land purchased had an old temporary structure which was to be demolished for the purpose of construction of warehouse. The salvaged material from the demolition was to be sold as scrap. The company started the construction work of the warehouse on 1st June, 2022. Following costs were incurred by the company with regard to purchase of land and construction of warehouse:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Legal fees for purchase contract of land and recording ownership	1,50,000
Architect and consultant's fee	2,70,000
Cost of demolishing existing structure on the purchased land	1,35,000
Site preparation charges for the warehouse	1,00,000
Purchase of cement and other materials for the construction (including GST of ₹ 1,00,000 and GST credit is 50% of the payment)	15,00,000
Employment costs of the construction workers	8,00,000
General overhead costs allocated to the construction work	30,000 per month
Overhead costs incurred directly on the construction of warehouse	35,000 per month
Income received from land used as temporary parking during construction phase	80,000

# Additional Information:

- Receipt of ₹ 35,000 being proceeds from sale of salvaged and scrapped materials from demolition of existing structure.
- Materials costing ₹ 40,000 was wasted and further ₹ 1,20,000 was spent to rectify the wrong design work.
- The employment costs are for 10 months i.e. from 1st June 2022 till 31st March, 2023.

 $^{
m age}315$ 

- The construction of factory was completed on 28th February, 2023 (which is considered as substantial period of time as per Ind AS 23)
- The use of warehouse commenced on 1st March, 2023.
- The overall useful life of factory building was estimated at 25 years from the date of completion; however, it is estimated that the roof of the warehouse will need to be replaced 15 years after the date of completion and that the cost of replacing the roof at current prices would be 25% of the total cost of the building.
- At the end of the 25-year period, Sanskar Limited is legally bound to demolish the factory and restore the site to its original condition. The directors of the company estimate that the cost of demolition in 25 years' time (based on prices prevailing at that time) will be ₹ 80,00,000. An annual risk adjusted discount rate which is appropriate to this project is 10% per annum. The present value of ₹ 1 payable in 25 years' time at an annual discount rate of 10% per annum is ₹ 0.092.
- Sanskar Limited raised a loan of ₹ 60 lakhs @ 10% per annum rate of interest on 1st June, 2022. The building of warehouse meets the definition of a qualifying asset in accordance with Ind AS 23 Borrowing Costs. Sanskar Limited received an investment income of ₹ 25,000 on the temporary investment of the proceeds.
- Assume that cost of demolition of old structure is directly attributable to the cost of land.
- The company follows straight line method of depreciation.

You are required to compute:

- i. Cost of construction of the warehouse
- ii. Depreciation charge for the year ended 31st March, 2023
- iii. Carrying value of warehouse to be taken to Balance Sheet of the Company on 31st March, 2023.

You should explain your treatment of all the amounts referred to in this question as part of your answer. (PYP 8 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 1

i) Computation of the cost of construction of the warehouse

Description	Included in P.P.E. ₹	Explanation
Purchase of land	42,00,000	Separately capitalised as cost of land and do not form part of cost of construction of warehouse
Legal fee for purchase of contract of land	1,50,000	Associated legal costs are direct costs for purchasing the land. Hence, separately capitalised as cost of land and do not form part of cost of construction of warehouse
Net cost of demolishing the existing structure	1,00,000	Given in the question to assume it as directly attributable to the cost of land. However, it will be adjusted with the proceeds from sale of salvaged material from demolition (1,35,000 – 35,000). Further, it will be separately capitalised as cost of land and do not form part of cost of construction of warehouse.

Total cost of land	44,50,000	
Architect and consultant's fee	2,70,000	A direct cost of constructing the warehouse
Site preparation charges	1,00,000	A direct cost of constructing the warehouse
Cement and other materials	14,10,000*	A direct cost of constructing the warehouse net GST credit and wastage (15,00,000 – 50,000 – 40,000)
Expense to rectify the wrong design work	Nil	Assumed to be abnormal cost
Employment costs of the construction workers	7,20,000	A direct cost of constructing the warehouse for a nine-month period till 28th February, 2023 [(8,00,000/10) x 9]
Direct overhead costs	3,15,000	A direct cost of constructing the warehouse for a nine-month period (35,000 x 9)
Allocated overhead costs	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction
Income from temporary use of land as car parking area	Nil	Not essential to the construction so recognised directly in profit or loss
Finance costs	4,50,000	Capitalise the interest cost incurred in a nine-month period (from 1st June, 2022 to 28th February, 2023)
Investment income on temporary investment of the loan proceeds	(25,000)	Offset against the interest amount capitalised
Demolition cost recognised as a provision	7,36,000	Recognised as part of the initial cost at present value (i.e 80,00,000 x 0.092)
Total cost of construction of a warehouse	39,76,000	

ii) Computation of depreciation charges for the year ended 31st March, 2023 Note: Land is not depreciated as per Ind AS 16. Hence, only cost of warehouse is subject to depreciation.

Total depreciable amount as on 1st March, 2023	39,76,000	
Depreciation for 1 month must be in two parts:  (a) Depreciation on roof component  (b) Depreciation of remaining item	5,522 9,940	39,76,000 x 25% x 1/15 x 1/12 39,76,000 x 75% x 1/25 x 1/12

age 317

Total depreciation for the year		
2022-2023	15,462	

# Computation of carrying value of the warehouse on 31st March, 2023 iii)

Cost of the warehouse as on 1st March, 2023 [computed in (i) above]	39,76,000
Less: Depreciation for 1 month as computed in (ii) above	(15,462)
Carrying value of the warehouse as on 31st March, 2023	39,60,538

<sup>\*</sup>Note: In the above solution, it has been assumed that amount spent for rectifying the faulty design is not included in the cement and other material cost. However, alternatively, it may be considered as part of gross cement and material cost and in such a case, the cost of material will further be reduced with the amount of rectifying the faulty design as follows:

i) Computation of the cost of construction of the warehouse

Description	Included in P.P.E. ₹	Explanation
Purchase of land	42,00,000	Separately capitalised as cost of land and do not form part of cost of construction of warehouse
Legal fee for purchase of contract of land	1,50,000	Associated legal costs are direct costs for purchasing the land. Hence, separately capitalised as cost of land and do not form part of cost of construction of warehouse
Net cost of demolishing the existing structure	1,00,000	Given in the question to assume it as directly attributable to the cost of land. However, it will be adjusted with the proceeds from sale of salvaged material from demolition (1,35,000 – 35,000). Further, it will be separately capitalised as cost of land and do not form part of cost of construction of warehouse.
Total cost of land	44,50,000	
Architect and consultant's fee	2,70,000	A direct cost of constructing the warehouse
Site preparation charges	1,00,000	A direct cost of constructing the warehouse
Cement and other materials	12,90,000*	A direct cost of constructing the warehouse net GST credit, wastage and rectification cost (15,00,000 – 50,000 – 40,000 – 1,20,000)
Employment costs of the construction workers	7,20,000	A direct cost of constructing the warehouse for a nine-month period till 2 8th February, 2023 [(8,00,000/10) x 9]

Direct overhead costs	3,15,000	A direct cost of constructing the warehouse for a nine-month period (35,000 x 9)
Allocated overhead costs	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction
Income from temporary use of land as car parking area	Nil	Not essential to the construction so recognised directly in profit or loss
Finance costs	4,50,000	Capitalise the interest cost incurred in a nine-month period (from 1st June, 2022 to 28th February, 2023)
Investment income on temporary investment of the loan proceeds	(25,000)	Offset against the interest amount capitalised
Demolition cost recognised as a provision	7,36,000	Recognised as part of the initial cost at present value (i.e 80,00,000 x 0.092)
Total cost of construction of a warehouse	38,56,000	

ii) Computation of depreciation charges for the year ended 31st March, 2023
Note: Land is not depreciated as per Ind AS 16. Hence, only cost of warehouse is subject to depreciation.

Total depreciable amount as on 1st March, 2023	38,56,000	
Depreciation for 1 month must be in two parts: (a) Depreciation on roof component (b) Depreciation of remaining item	5,356 9,640	38,56,000 x 25% x 1/15 x 1/12 38,56,000 x 75% x 1/25 x 1/12
Total depreciation for the year 2022-2023	14,996	

# iii) Computation of carrying value of the warehouse on 31st March, 2023

Cost of the warehouse as on 1st March, 2023	38,56,000
[computed in (i) above] Less: Depreciation for 1 month as computed in	(14,996)
(ii) above	
Carrying value of the warehouse as on 31st March, 2023	38,41,004

# Question 2

Besides manufacturing plants, A Ltd. has various other assets, not used for operational activities, e.g., freehold land, townships in different locations, excess of office space rented to ABC, etc. Also, A Ltd. has some land, which are kept vacant as per the government regulations which require that a specified area around the plant should be kept vacant.

The details of these assets are as under:

	The details of these assets are as under:		
Property	Details		
A Ltd.'s office	A Ltd.'s registered office in Delhi, is a 15 storey building,		
building (registered	of which only 3 floors are occupied by A Ltd., whereas		
office)	remaining floors are given on rent to other companies.		
	These agreements are usually for a period of 3 years.		
	According to A Ltd., such excess office space will		
	continue to be let out on lease to external parties		
	and have no plans to occupy it, at least in near future.		
Flats in Township	As regards township in Location 1, there are		
located	approximately 2,000 flats in the said township. It was		
in location 1	built primarily for A Ltd.'s employees, hence,		
	approximately 80% of the flats are allotted to employees		
	and remaining flats are either kept vacant or given on		
	rent to other external parties. A lease agreement is		
	signed between A Ltd. and an individual party for every		
	12 months being 1st April to 31st March. The lease		
	entered is a cancellable lease (cancellable at the option		
	of any of the parties). Also, besides monthly rent,		
	additional charges are levied by A Ltd. on account of		
	electricity, water, cable connection, etc.		
	According to A Ltd., there is no intention of selling such		
	excess flats or allotting it to its employees.		
Flats in township	There are 1,000 flats in location 2 township, of which:		
located	• 400 flats are given to employees for their own		
in location 2	accommodation.		
	• 350 flats are given on rent to Central Government		
	and State Government for accommodation of their		
	employees. Average lease period being 12 months		
	with cancellable clause in lease agreements.		
	• 250 flats are kept vacant.		
Hostel located	60 rooms in the hostel have been let out to G Ltd., to		
in location 1	give accommodation to their personnel. Lease		
	agreement is prepared for every 11 months and renewed		
	thereafter. Besides the monthly rent amount, some		
	charges are levied towards water,		
	electricity and other amenities, e.g., cable connection,		
	etc.		
Land in	In 20X4, A Ltd. purchased a plot of land on the outskirts		
Land in location 1	In 20X4, A Ltd. purchased a plot of land on the outskirts of a major city. The area has mainly low-cost public		
	In 20X4, A Ltd. purchased a plot of land on the outskirts of a major city. The area has mainly low-cost public housing and very limited public transport facilities. The		
	In 20X4, A Ltd. purchased a plot of land on the outskirts of a major city. The area has mainly low-cost public housing and very limited public transport facilities. The government has plans to develop the area as an		
	In 20X4, A Ltd. purchased a plot of land on the outskirts of a major city. The area has mainly low-cost public housing and very limited public transport facilities. The government has plans to develop the area as an industrial park in 5 years' time and the land is expected		
	In 20X4, A Ltd. purchased a plot of land on the outskirts of a major city. The area has mainly low-cost public housing and very limited public transport facilities. The government has plans to develop the area as an		

	do with the property.
Land in location 1	A portion of land has been leased out to C Ltd. for its manufacturing operations. Land has been given on lease on a lease rental of ₹ 10 lacs p.a. with a lease term of 25 years.
Land in location 2	A portion of the land has been given on rent to D Ltd. which has constructed a petrol pump on such land. It has been leased for a period of 40 years and renewed for a further period of 40 years.

Determine the classification of properties which are not held for operational purposes, with suitable reasoning in the financial statements of A Ltd. (RTP Nov '23)

# Answer 2

Property	Classification of properties not held for operational purpose
A Ltd.'s office building (registered office)	Excess portion of office space has been given on lease to earn rental income. Out of 15 storey building, only 3 floors are occupied by A Ltd. Such excess office space was constructed for the purpose of letting it out. According to A Ltd., such excess office space will continue to be let out on lease to external parties and have no plans to occupy it, at least in near future. Further, office space given on rent, although in same building, is separately identifiable from another owner-occupied portion and hence can be sold separately (if required). Hence, the excess space will qualify to be an investment property.
Flats in Township located in location 1	Excess flats have been given on lease to earn rental income. According to A Ltd., there is no intention of selling such excess flats or allotting it to its employees. Further, flats given on rent, can be sold separately from flats occupied by A Ltd.'s employees as they are separately identifiable. A Ltd. also charges its lessees on account of ancillary services, i.e., water, electricity, cable connection, etc., but the monthly charges in such cases are generally not significant as compared to rental payments. Hence, flats given on rent should qualify to be an 'investment property'.  With regards to the flats kept vacant, A Ltd. has to evaluate
	the purpose of holding these flats, i.e., whether these would be kept for earning rentals or will it be allotted to its future employees. In case they are held for earning rentals, it would be classified as an investment property; and if they are held

	for allotment to future employees, it would form part of property, plant and equipment.
Flats in township located in location 2	be kept for earning rentals or will it be allotted to its future employees. In case they are held for earning rentals, it would be classified as an investment property; and if they are held for allotment to future employees, it would form part of property, plant and equipment.
Hostel located in location 1	Rooms in a hostel have been let out to G Ltd. to be used by its personnel. A Ltd. also charges G Ltd. on account of ancillary services, i.e., water, electricity, cable connection, etc., but the monthly charges in such cases are generally not significant as compared to rental payments. Hence, it should be classified as an 'Investment property'.
Land in location 1	Although management has not determined use for property after the development of park, yet in the medium-term the land is held for capital appreciation. As per Ind AS 40, if an entity has not determined that it will use the land either as owner-occupied property or for short term sale in the ordinary course of business, then it will be considered as land held for capital appreciation. Therefore, management should classify the property as an investment property.
Land in location 1	Since the land is held with an intention of giving it on lease and earning capital appreciation over a period, it should be classified as an 'Investment property'.
Land in location 2	Since the land is held with an intention of giving it on lease and earning capital appreciation over a period, it should be classified as 'Investment property'.

#### Question 3

On 1st October, 2017, A Ltd. completed the construction of a power generating facility. The total construction cost was Rs. 2,00,00,000. The facility was capable of being used from 1st October, 2017 but A Ltd. did not bring the facility into use until 1st January, 2018. The estimated useful life of the facility at 1st October, 2017 was 40 years. Under legal regulations in the jurisdiction in which A Ltd. operates, there are no requirements to restore the land on which power generating facilities stand to its original state at the end of the useful life of the facility. However, A Ltd. has a reputation for conducting its business in an environmentally friendly way and has previously chosen to restore similar land even in the absence of such legal requirements. The directors of A Ltd. estimated that the cost of restoring the land in 40 years' time (based on prices prevailing at that time) would be

Rs. 1,00,00,000. A relevant annual discount rate to use in any discounting calculations is 5%. When the annual discount rate is 5%, the present value of Rs. 1 receivable in 40 years' time is approximately 0.142.

Analyze and present how the above events would be reported in the financial statements of A Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 2018 as per Ind AS. (RTP Nov '18)

#### Answer 3

All figures are Rs. in '000.

The power generating facility should be depreciated from the date it is ready for use, rather than when it would actually start being used. In this case, then, the facility should be depreciated from 1st October, 2017.

Although A Ltd. has no legal obligation to restore the piece of land, it does have a constructive obligation, based on its past practice and policies.

The amount of the obligation will be 1,420, being the present value of the anticipated future restoration expenditure ( $10,000 \times 0.142$ ).

This will be recognised as a provision under non-current liabilities in the Balance Sheet of A Ltd. at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018.

As time passes the discounted amount unwinds. The unwinding of the discount for the year ended 31st March, 2018 will be 35.5 (1,420 x 5% x 6/12).

The unwinding of the discount will be shown as a finance cost in the statement of profit or loss and the closing provision will be 1,455.50 (1,420 + 35.5).

The initial amount of the provision is included in the carrying amount of the non-current asset, which becomes 21,420 (20,000 + 1,420).

The depreciation charge in profit or loss for the year ended  $31^{st}$  March, 2018 is 267.75 (21,420 x 1/40 x 6/12). The closing balance included in non-current assets will be 21,152.25 (21,420 – 267.75).

#### Question 4

Company A incurred ₹ 20,000 as cost for restoring the site on which the item of PPE was located. This item was used for manufacturing of goods and the requirement for restoring will arise due to manufacturing of goods.

What will the treatment of this ₹ 20,000 in the books of Company A? Analyse on the basis of the provisions of relevant Ind AS. (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 4

Paragraph 16 of Ind AS 16 clarifies that decommissioning costs that meet the recognition criteria under Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets, for a provision are added to the cost of an item of property, plant and equipment if such costs are not incurred through the asset's use to produce inventories. Paragraph 18 fills the gap by clarifying where such costs are incurred through the asset's use to produce inventories, they are added to the cost of inventories.

Where the obligation to restore the asset arises due to the use of the asset to produce inventories but not due to the asset's installation, construction or acquisition, the costs are added to the costs of inventories. Based on the above provisions and discussion, cost of restoring the site  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  20,000 incurred during the period of production as a consequence of having used the item to produce inventories during that period should be added to the cost of inventories. However, later the inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value in accordance with paragraph 9 of Ind AS 2.

# Question 5

An entity has the following items of property, plant and equipment:

- Property A a vacant plot of land on which it intends to construct its new administration headquarters;
- Property B a plot of land that it operates as a landfill site;

- Property C a plot of land on which its existing administration headquarters are built;
- Property D a plot of land on which its direct sales office is built;
- Properties E1-E10 ten separate retail outlets and the land on which they are built;
- Equipment A computer systems at its headquarters and direct sales
  office that are integrated with the point of sale computer systems in the
  retail outlets;
- Equipment B point of sale computer systems in each of its retail outlets;
- Furniture and fittings in its administrative headquarters and its sales office;
- Shop fixtures and fittings in its retail outlets.

How many classes of property, plant and equipment must the entity disclose? (RTP May '21)

#### Answer 5

To answer this question one must make a materiality judgement.

A class of assets is defined as a grouping of assets of a similar nature and use in an entity's operations.

The nature of land without a building is different to the nature of land with a building. Consequently, land without a building is a separate class of asset from land and buildings. Furthermore, the nature and use of land operated as a landfill site is different from vacant land. Hence, the entity should disclose Property A separately. The entity must apply judgement to determine whether the entity's retail outlets are sufficiently different in nature and use from its office buildings, and thus constitute a separate class of land and buildings.

The computer equipment is integrated across the organization and would probably be classified as a single separate class of asset.

Furniture and fittings used for administrative purposes could be sufficiently different to shop fixtures and fittings in retail outlets. Hence, they should be classified in two separate classes of assets.

## **Ouestion 6**

Mr. X, is the financial controller of ABC Ltd., a listed entity which prepares consolidated financial statements in accordance with Ind AS. Mr. X has recently produced the final draft of the financial statements of ABC Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 2018 to the managing director for approval. Mr. Y, who is not an accountant, had raised following queries from Mr. X after going through the draft financial statements:

The notes to the financial statements say that plant and equipment is held under the 'cost model'. However, property which is owner occupied is revalued annually to fair value. Changes in fair value are sometimes reported in profit or loss but usually in 'other comprehensive income'. Also, the amount of depreciation charged on plant and equipment as a percentage of its carrying amount is much higher than for owner occupied property. Another note states that property owned by ABC Ltd. but rent out to others is depreciated annually and not fair valued. Mr. Y is of the opinion that there is no consistent treatment of PPE items in the accounts. Elucidate how all these treatments comply with the relevant Ind AS. (RTP Nov '18)

#### Answer 6

 $^{3}$ 

# Ongoing through the queries raised by the Managing Director

Mr. Y, the financial controller Mr. X explained the notes and reasons for their disclosures as follows:

The accounting treatment of the majority of tangible non-current assets is governed by Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment'. Ind AS 16 states that the accounting treatment of PPE is determined on a class by class basis. For this purpose, property and plant would be regarded as separate classes. Ind AS 16 requires that PPE is measured using either the cost model or the revaluation model. This model is applied on a class by class basis and must be applied consistently within a class. Ind AS 16 states that when the revaluation model applies, surpluses are recorded in other comprehensive income, unless they are cancelling out a deficit which has previously been reported in profit or loss, in which case it is reported in profit or loss, unless they are cancelling out a surplus which has previously been reported in other comprehensive income, in which case they are reported in other comprehensive income, in which case they are reported in other comprehensive income.

According to Ind AS 16, all assets having a finite useful life should be depreciated over that life. Where property is concerned, the only depreciable element of the property is the buildings element, since land normally has an indefinite life. The estimated useful life of a building tends to be much longer than for plant. These two reasons together explain why the depreciation charge of a property as a percentage of its carrying amount tends to be much lower than for plant. Properties which are held for investment purposes are not accounted for under Ind AS 16, but under Ind AS 40 'Investment Property'. As per Ind AS 40, investment properties should be accounted for under a cost model. ABC Ltd. had applied the cost model and thus our investment properties are treated differently from the owner occupied property which is annually to fair value.

#### Question 7

MS Ltd. has acquired a heavy machinery at a cost of Rs. 1,00,00,000 (with no breakdown of the component parts). The estimated useful life is 10 years. At the end of the sixth year, one of the major components, the turbine requires replacement, as further maintenance is uneconomical. The remainder of the machine is perfect and is expected to last for the next four years. The cost of a new turbine is Rs. 45,00,000.

Advise a per Ind AS whether the cost of the new turbine be recognized as an asset, and, if so, what treatment should be used. Also calculate the revised carrying amount of the machinery? Consider the discount rate of 5% per annum. (MTP 8 Marks March '18, 7 Marks Oct '23)

#### Answer 7

The new turbine will produce economic benefits to MS Ltd., and the cost is measurable. Hence, the item should be recognized as an asset. The original invoice for the machine did not specify the cost of the turbine; however, the cost of the replacement (Rs. 45,00,000) can be used as an indication (usually by discounting) of the likely cost, six years previously.

If an appropriate discount rate is 5% per annum, Rs. 45,00,000 discounted back six years amounts to Rs. 33,57,900 [Rs. 45,00,000 / (1.05)6], i.e., the approximate cost of turbine before 6 years.

The current carrying amount of the turbine which is required to be replaced of Rs. 13,43,160 would be derecognized from the books of account, (i.e., Original Cost Rs. 33,57,900 as reduced by accumulated depreciation for past 6 years Rs. 20,14,740,

assuming depreciation is charged on straight-line basis.)

The cost of the new turbine, Rs. 45,00,000 would be added to the cost of machine, resulting in a revision of carrying amount of machine to Rs. 71,56,840. (i.e., Rs. 40,00,000\* - Rs. 13,43,160 + Rs. 45,00,000).

\*Original cost of machine Rs. 1,00,00,000 reduced by accumulated depreciation (till the end of 6 years) Rs. 60,00,000.

#### Question 8

G Ltd. has four assets, each in a different class under property, plant & equipment. Assets 1 and 2 are revalued under previous GAAP (AS). Assets 3 and 4 are not. Under previous GAAP, at 31st March 20X1, immediately prior to the entity's date of transition to Ind AS, it Balance Sheet (extract) is as follows:

	Asset 1	Asset 2	Asset 3	Asset 4	Total
	Valuation	Valuatio	Cost	Cost	
		n			
	₹	₹	₹	₹	₹
Cost or revaluation	5,000	2,000	4,000	4,500	15,500
Accumulated deprecation	(1,000)	(500)	(2,000	(1,700	(5,200)
Net book value	4,000	1,500	2,000	2,800	10,300
Revaluation surplus	2,500	500	-	-	3,000

On adoption of Ind AS, its management decides that, under Ind AS, it will:

- Continue to revalue asset 1. The fair value of asset 1 at the date of transition is not materially different from its carrying value under previous GAAP;
- Use the previous valuation of asset 2 as deemed cost, and adopt a policy of cost less depreciation under Ind AS;
- Adopt a policy of revaluation for asset 3. The fair value of asset 3 at the entity's date of transition is ₹ 5,000;
- Continue to use a policy of cost less depreciation for asset 4.

All depreciation methods are already in accordance with those required by Ind AS 16. Discuss the treatment under Ind AS of valuation of assets 1, 2, 3 & 4, being part of property, plant & equipment? (MTP 8 Marks March '22)

#### Answer 8

#### Measurement basis for valuation of PPE:

An entity has the following options with respect to measurement of its property, plant and equipment (Ind AS 16) in the opening Ind AS Balance Sheet:

- Measurement basis as per the respective standards applied retrospectively. This measurement option can be applied on an item-by-item basis. For example, Plant A can be measured applying Ind AS 16 retrospectively and Plant B can be measured applying the "fair value" or "revaluation" options mentioned below.
- Fair value at the date of transition to Ind AS. This measurement option can be applied on an item-by-item basis in similar fashion as explained above.
- Previous GAAP revaluation, if such revaluation was, at the date of revaluation, broadly comparable to (a) fair value or (b) cost or depreciated cost in accordance with other Ind AS adjusted to reflect changes in general or specific price index. This measurement option can be applied on an item-by-item basis in similar

fashion as explained above.

# Analysis of given case:

	Asset 1	Asset 2	Asset 3	Asset 4
Basis used	Revaluation	Revaluation	Cost Model	Cost Model
in previous	Model	Model		
GAAP				
Intent of	To continue	Use previous	Adopt a	Continue to use a
G Ltd. on	with	valuation as	policy of	policy of cost less
transition	Revaluation	deemed cost	revaluation	depreciation
<b>7</b>	model	A	D : 1 .	771 · · · · ·
Treatment at	Since fair	An entity	Fair value at	The entity is not
the Time of	value at the transition date	may elect to measure an	the date of transition	availing any exemption given
transition to	is not	item of	to	in Ind AS 101. The
Ind AS	materially	property,	Ind AS is	entity can
	different from	plant and	materially	measure applying
	its carrying	equipment at	different	Ind AS 16
	value under	the date of	from its	retrospectively. It
	previous	transition to Ind	carryin	is assumed that
	GAAP, G	AS at its fair	g	measurement
	Ltd.	value and use	value under	bases for cost of
	can carry	that fair value	previous	asset as per
	forward with	as its	GAAP. The	previous GAAP
	revalued carrying value	deemed cost at that date. In Ind	asset should be revalued	and Ind AS are same so asset will
	₹4,000 as	AS financial	and stated	be shown in the
	per	statements,	at its fair	Ind AS financial
	previous	asset will be	value of ₹	statements
	GAAP in Ind	carried	5,000 on the	at
	AS books and	forward at ₹	date of	₹ 2,800.
	continue to	1,500 and	transition to	
	disclose a	previously	Ind AS. A	
	revaluation	disclosed	revaluation	
	surplus of	revaluation	surplus	
	₹ 2,500.	surplus is	of	
		transferred to retained	₹ 3,000 (5,000 –	
		earnings or	2,000 – 2,000 will	
		another	be	
		component of	transferred	
		equity.	to	
			revaluation	
			reserve.	

# Question 9

Company X performed a revaluation of all of its plant and machinery at the beginning of 20X1. The following information relates to one of the machinery:

beginning of	20211.	The following	inioimacion	TCIACCS CO OII	COI
				Amount	
				('000)	

Gross carrying amount	Rs. 200
Accumulated depreciation (straight-line method)	(Rs. 80)
Net carrying amount	Rs. 120
Fair value	Rs. 150

The useful life of the machinery is 10 years and the company uses Straight line method of depreciation. The revaluation was performed at the end of 4 years. How should the Company account for revaluation of plant and machinery and depreciation subsequent to revaluation? Support your answer with journal entries. (MTP 6 Marks March '21) (RTP May '19 & May '20)

#### **Answer 9**

According to paragraph 35 of Ind AS 16, when an item of property, plant and equipment is revalued, the carrying amount of that asset is adjusted to the revalued amount. At the date of the revaluation, the asset is treated in one of the following ways:

(a) The gross carrying amount is adjusted in a manner that is consistent with the revaluation of the carrying amount of the asset. For example, the gross carrying amount may be restated by reference to observable market data or it may be restated proportionately to the change in the carrying amount. The accumulated depreciation at the date of the revaluation is adjusted to equal the difference between the gross carrying amount and the carrying amount of the asset after taking into account accumulated impairment losses.

# In such a situation, the revised carrying amount of the machinery will be as follows:

10110 11 51		
Gross carrying amount	Rs. 250	[(200/120) x 150]
Net carrying amount	Rs. 150	
Accumulated depreciation	Rs. 100	(Rs. 250 – Rs. 150)

#### Journal entry

	011019		
Plant and Machinery (Gross Blo	ock) Dr.	Rs. 50	
To Accumulated Depreciation	Rs. 20		
To Revaluation Reserve	Rs. 30		

# Depreciation subsequent to revaluation

Since the Gross Block has been restated, the depreciation charge will be Rs. 25 per annum (Rs. 250/10 years).

# Journal entry

Accumulated Depreciation	Dr.Rs. 25 p.a.	
To Plant and Machinery	(Gross Block)	Rs. 25 p.a.

(b) The accumulated depreciation is eliminated against the gross carrying amount of the asset. The amount of the adjustment of accumulated depreciation forms part of the increase or decrease in carrying amount that is accounted for in accordance with the paragraphs 39 and 40 of Ind AS 16.

In this case, the gross carrying amount is restated to Rs. 150 to reflect the fair value and accumulated depreciation is set at zero.

#### Journal entry

Accumulated Depreciation Dr. Rs. 80	
To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block) Rs. 80	
Plant and Machinery (Gross Block) Dr. Rs. 30	
To Revaluation Reserve Rs. 30	

#### Depreciation subsequent to revaluation

378

Since the revalued amount is the revised gross block, the useful life to be considered is the remaining useful life of the asset which results in the same depreciation charge of Rs. 25 per annum as per Option A (Rs. 150 / 6 years).

#### Journal entry

Accumulated Depreciation Dr. Rs. 25 p.a.

To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block) Rs. 25 p.a.

#### Question 10

Flywing Airways Ltd is a company which manufactures aircraft parts and engines and sells them to large multinational companies like Boeing and Airbus Industries.

On 1 April 20X1, the company began the construction of a new production line in its aircraft parts manufacturing shed.

Costs relating to the production line are as follows:

Details	Amou nt Rs.'00
Costs of the basic materials (list price Rs.12.5 million less a 20% trade discount)	10,000
Recoverable goods and services taxes incurred not included in the purchase cost	1,000
Employment costs of the construction staff for the three months to 30 June 20X1	1,200
Other overheads directly related to the construction	900
Payments to external advisors relating to the construction	500
Expected dismantling and restoration costs	2,000

#### Additional Information

The construction staff was engaged in the production line, which took two months to make ready for use and was brought into use on 31 May 20X1. The other overheads were incurred in the two months period ended on 31 May 20X1. They included an abnormal cost of Rs.3,00,000 caused by a major electrical fault.

The production line is expected to have a useful economic life of eight years. At the end of that time Flywing Airways Ltd is legally required to dismantle the plant in a specified manner and restore its location to an acceptable standard. The amount of Rs.2 million mentioned above is the amount that is expected to be incurred at the end of the useful life of the production line. The appropriate rate to use in any discounting calculations is 5%. The present value of Re.1 payable in eight years at a discount rate of 5% is approximately Re.0.68.

Four years after being brought into use, the production line will require a major overhaul to ensure that it generates economic benefits for the second half of its useful life. The estimated cost of the overhaul, at current prices, is Rs.3 million.

The Company computes its depreciation charge on a monthly basis. No impairment of the plant had occurred by 31 March 20X2. Analyze the accounting implications of costs related to production line to be recognized in the balance sheet and profit and loss for the year ended 31 March, 20X2. (MTP 12 Marks May '20)

#### Answer 10

Statement showing Cost of production line:

statement showing cost of production line.	
Particulars	Amount Rs.'000
	103.000
Purchase cost	10,000
Goods and services tax – recoverable goods and services tax not included	-
Employment costs during the period of getting the production line ready	800
for use (1,200 x 2 months / 3 months)	
Other overheads – abnormal costs	600
Payment to external advisors – directly attributable cost	500
Dismantling costs – recognized at present value where an obligation	1,360
exists (2,000 x 0.68)	
Total	13,26
	0

Carrying value of production line as on 31st March, 20X2:

Particulars	Amoun t Rs. '000
Cost of Production line Less: Depreciation (W.N.1)	13,260 (1,694)
Net carrying value carried to Balance Sheet	11,566

Provision for dismantling cost:

Particulars	Amoun t Rs. '000
Non-current liabilities Add: Finance cost (WN3)	1,360 57
Net book value carried to Balance Sheet	1,417

**Extract of Statement of Profit & Loss** 

Particulars	Amoun t Rs. '000
Depreciation (W.N.1) Finance cost (W.N.2)	1,694 57
Amounts carried to Statement of Profit & Loss	1,75 1

#### **Extract of Balance Sheet**

Particulars	Amou nt Rs. '000
Assets Non-current assets	
Property, plant and equipment  Equity and liabilities	11,566

 $^{\circ}_{
m age}330$ 

Non-current liabilities	
Other liabilities	
Provision for dismantling cost	1417

#### **Working Notes:**

1. Calculation of depreciation charge

Particulars	Amoun
	t Rs.
In accordance with Ind AS 16 the asset is split into two depreciable components: Out of the total capitalization amount of 13,260, Depreciation for 3,000 with a useful economic life (UEL) of four years $(3,000x \frac{1}{4} \times 10/12)$ . This is related to a major overhaul to ensure that it generates economic benefits for the second half of its useful life	625
For balance amount, depreciation for $10,260$ with an useful economic life (UEL) of eight years will be : $10,260 \times 1/8 \times 10/12$	1,069
Total (To Statement of Profit & Loss for the year ended 31 <sup>st</sup> March 20X2)	1,694

#### **Finance costs**

Particulars	Amou nt Rs. '000
Unwinding of discount (Statement of Profit and Loss – finance cost) $1,360 \times 5\% \times 10/12$	57
To Statement of Profit & Loss for the year ended 31st March 20X2	57

#### **Question 11**

An entity has a nuclear power plant and a related decommissioning liability. The nuclear power plant started operating on 1st April, 20X1. The plant has a useful life of 40 years. Its initial cost was ₹ 1,20,000. This included an amount for decommissioning costs of ₹ 10,000, which represented ₹ 70,400 in estimated cash flows payable in 40 years discounted at a risk-adjusted rate of 5 per cent. The entity's financial year ends on 31st March. Assume that a marketbased discounted cash flow valuation of ₹ 1,15,000 is obtained at 31st March, This valuation is after deduction of an allowance of ₹ 11,600 for 20X4. decommissioning costs, which represents no change to the original estimate, after the unwinding of three years' discount. On 31st March, 20X5, the entity estimates that, as a result of technological advances, the present value of the decommissioning liability has decreased by ₹ 5,000. The entity decides that a full valuation of the asset is needed at 31st March, 20X5, in order to ensure that the carrying amount does not differ materially from fair value. The asset is now valued at ₹ 1,07,000, which is net of an allowance for the reduced decommissioning obligation.

How the entity will account for the above changes in decommissioning liability if it adopts revaluation model? (MTP 8 Marks Oct '19, 10 Marks May'19)

# Answer 11

At 31st March, 20X4:

	₹
Asset at valuation (1)	1,26,600
Accumulated depreciation	Nil
Decommissioning liability	(11,600)
Net assets	1,15,000
Retained earnings (2)	(10,600)
Revaluation surplus (3)	5,600

#### **Notes:**

- (1) When accounting for revalued assets to which decommissioning liabilities attach, it is important to understand the basis of the valuation obtained. For example:
  - (a) if an asset is valued on a discounted cash flow basis, some valuers may value the asset without deducting any allowance for decommissioning costs (a 'gross' valuation), whereas others may value the asset after deducting an allowance for decommissioning costs (a 'net' valuation), because an entity acquiring the asset will generally also assume the decommissioning obligation. For financial reporting purposes, the decommissioning obligation is recognised as a separate liability, and is not deducted from the asset. Accordingly, if the asset is valued on a net basis, it is necessary to adjust the valuation obtained by adding back the allowance for the liability, so that the liability is not counted twice.
  - (b) if an asset is valued on a depreciated replacement cost basis, the valuation obtained may not include an amount for the decommissioning component of the asset. If it does not, an appropriate amount will need to be added to the valuation to reflect the depreciated replacement cost of that component. Since, the asset is valued on a net basis, it is necessary to adjust the valuation obtained by adding back the allowance for the liability. Valuation obtained of ₹ 1,15,000 plus decommissioning costs of ₹ 11,600, allowed for in the valuation but recognised as a separate liability = ₹ 1,26,600.
- (2) Three years' depreciation on original cost ₹ 1,20,000 × 3/40 = ₹ 9,000 plus cumulative discount on ₹ 10,000 at 5 per cent compound = ₹ 1,600; total ₹ 10.600.
- (3) Revalued amount ₹ 1,26,600 less previous net book value of ₹ 1,11,000 (cost ₹ 120,000 less accumulated depreciation ₹ 9,000).

The depreciation expense for 20X4-20X5 is therefore ₹ 3,420 (₹ 1,26,600 x 1 / 37) and the discount expense for 20X5 is ₹ 600. On  $31^{st}$  March, 20X5, the decommissioning liability (before any adjustment) is ₹ 12,200. However, as per estimate of the entity, the present value of the decommissioning liability has decreased by ₹ 5,000. Accordingly, the entity adjusts the decommissioning liability from ₹ 12,200 to ₹ 7,200.

The whole of this adjustment is taken to revaluation surplus, because it does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been recognised had the asset been carried under the cost model. If it had done, the excess would have been taken to profit or loss. The entity makes the following journal entry to reflect the change:

₹Provision for decommissioning liability Dr. 5,000

To Revaluation surplus

5,000

As at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X5, the entity revalued its asset at ₹ 1,07,000, which is net of an allowance of ₹ 7,200 for the reduced decommissioning obligation that should be

recognized as a separate liability. The valuation of the asset for financial reporting purposes, before deducting this allowance, is therefore ₹ 1,14,200. The following additional journal entry is needed:

#### Notes:

		₹	₹
Accumulated depreciation (1)	Dr.	3,420	
To Asset at valuation			3,420
Revaluation surplus (2)	Dr.	8,980	
To Asset at valuation (3)			8,980

- (1) Eliminating accumulated depreciation of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  3,420 in accordance with the entity's accounting policy.
- (2) The debit is to revaluation surplus because the deficit arising on the revaluation does not exceed the credit balance existing in the revaluation surplus in respect of the asset.
- (3) Previous valuation (before allowance for decommissioning costs) ₹ 1,26,600, less cumulative depreciation ₹ 3,420, less new valuation (before allowance for decommissioning costs) ₹ 1,14,200.

Following this valuation, the amounts included in the balance sheet are:

Asset at valuation	1,14,200
Accumulated depreciation	Nil
Decommissioning liability	(7,200)
Net assets	(7,200) 1,07,000
Retained earnings (1)	(14,620)
Revaluation surplus (2)	11,620

# Notes:

- (1) ₹ 10,600 at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4, plus depreciation expense of ₹ 3,420 and discount expense of ₹ 600 = ₹ 14,620.
- (2) ₹ 15,600 at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4, plus ₹ 5,000 arising on the decrease in the liability, less ₹ 8,980 deficit on revaluation = ₹ 11,620.

Following this valuation, the amounts included in the balance sheet are:

Asset at valuation 1,14,200
Accumulated depreciation Nil
Decommissioning liability (7,200)

Net assets 1,07,000 Retained earnings (1) (14,620) Revaluation surplus (2) 11,620

#### Notes:

- (1) ₹ 10,600 at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4, plus depreciation expense of ₹ 3,420 and discount expense of ₹ 600 = ₹ 14,620.
- (2) ₹ 15,600 at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4, plus ₹ 5,000 arising on the decrease in the liability, less ₹ 8,980 deficit on revaluation = ₹ 11,620.

#### Question 12

On 1st April, 20X1, Sun ltd purchased some land for  $\overline{t}$  10 million (including legal costs of  $\overline{t}$  1 million) in order to construct a new factory. Construction

work commenced on 1st May, 20X1. Sun ltd incurred the following costs in relation with its construction:

- Preparation and levelling of the land ₹ 3,00,000.
- Purchase of materials for the construction ₹ 6.08 million in total.
- Employment costs of the construction workers ₹ 2,00,000 per month.
- Overhead costs incurred directly on the construction of the factory ₹
   1,00,000 per month.
- Ongoing overhead costs allocated to the construction project using the company's normal overhead allocation model - ₹ 50,000 per month.
- Income received during the temporary use of the factory premises as a car park during the construction period - ₹ 50,000.
- Costs of relocating employees to work at the new factory ₹ 300,000.
- Costs of the opening ceremony on 31st January, 20X2 ₹ 150,000.

The factory was completed on 30th November, 20X1 (which is considered as substantial period of time as per Ind AS 23) and production began on 1st February, 20X2. The overall useful life of the factory building was estimated at 40 years from the date of completion. However, it is estimated that the roof will need to be replaced 20 years after the date of completion and that the cost of replacing the roof at current prices would be 30% of the total cost of the building.

At the end of the 40-year period, Sun Ltd has a legally enforceable obligation to demolish the factory and restore the site to its original condition. The directors estimate that the cost of demolition in 40 years' time (based on prices prevailing at that time) will be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  20 million. An annual risk adjusted discount rate which is appropriate to this project is 8%. The present value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  1 payable in 40 years' time at an annual discount rate of 8% is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  0.046.

The construction of the factory was partly financed by a loan of ₹17.5 million taken out on 1st April, 20X1. The loan was at an annual rate of interest of 6%. Sun Ltd received investment income of ₹100,000 on the temporary investment of the proceeds.

Required: Compute the carrying amount of the factory in the Balance Sheet of Sun Ltd at 31st March, 20X2. You should explain your treatment of all the amounts referred to in this part in your answer. (MTP 10 Marks Nov 21)

#### Answer 12

Computation of the cost of the factory

Description	Included in	Explanation
	P.P.E.	
	₹ '000	
Purchase of land	10,000	Both the purchase of the land
		and the associated legal costs
		are direct costs of constructing
		the factory.
Preparation and	300	A direct cost of constructing the
levelling		factory
Materials	6,080	A direct cost of constructing the
		factory
Employment costs of	1,400	A direct cost of constructing the
construction workers		factory for a seven-month
		period
Direct overhead costs	700	A direct cost of constructing the

		factory for a seven-month period
Allocated overhead costs	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction
Income from use as a	Nil	Not essential to the
car park		construction so recognised
		directly in profit or loss
Relocation costs	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction
Opening ceremony	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction
Finance costs	612.50	Capitalise the interest cost incurred in a seven-month period (purchase of land would not trigger off capitalisation since land is not a qualifying asset. Infact, the construction started from 1 <sup>st</sup> May, 20X1)
Investment income on	(100)	offset against the amount
temporary investment		capitalised
of the loan proceeds		
Demolition cost		Where an obligation must
recognised		recognise as
as a provision	920 part of the initial cost	
Total	19,912.50	

Computation of accumulated depreciation			
Total depreciable amount	9,912.50	All of the net finance cost of 512.50 (612.50 – 100) has been allocated to the depreciable amount.	
Depreciation must be in two parts: Depreciation of roof component Depreciation of remainder	49.5 6 57.8 2	9,912.50 x 30% x 1/20 x 4/12 9,912.50 x 70% x 1/40 x 4/12	
Total depreciation	107.38		
Computation of carrying amount	19,805.12	19,912.50 – 107.38	

#### Question 13

On 1st April 2017, A Ltd. assumes a decommissioning liability in a business combination. The entity is legally required to dismantle and remove an offshore oil platform at the end of its useful life, which is estimated to be 10 years. A Ltd. uses the expected present value technique to measure the fair value of the decommissioning liability. If A Ltd. was contractually allowed to transfer its decommissioning liability to a market participant, it concludes that a market participant would use the following inputs, probability-weighted as appropriate, when estimating the price, it would expect to receive:

 $^{\mathrm{age}}335$ 

(i) Labour costs are developed on the basis of current market place wages, adjusted for expectations of future wage increases, required to hire contractors to dismantle and remove offshore oil platforms. A Ltd. assigns probability assessments (based A Ltd.'s experience with fulfilling obligations of this type and its knowledge of the market) to a range of cash flow estimates as follows:

Cash flow estimate (Rs.)	Probability assessment
50,000	25%
62,500	50%
87,500	25%

- (ii) A Ltd. estimates allocated overhead and equipment operating costs to be 80% of expected labour costs in consistent with the cost structure of market participants.
- (iii) A Ltd. estimates the compensation that a market participant would require for undertaking the activity and for assuming the risk associated with the obligation to dismantle and remove the asset as follows:
  - 1. A third-party contractor typically adds 20% mark-up on labour and allocated internal costs to provide a profit margin on the job.
  - 2. A Ltd. estimates 5% premium of the expected cash flows, including the effect of inflation for uncertainty inherent in locking in today's price for a project that will not occur for 10 years.
- (iv) Entity A assumes a rate of inflation of 4% over the 10-year period on the basis of available market data.
- (v) The risk-free rate of interest for a 10-year maturity on 1st April, 2017 is 5 %. A Ltd. adjusts that rate by 3.5 per cent to reflect its risk of non-performance (ie the risk that it will not fulfil the obligation), including its credit risk.
- (vi) A Ltd. concludes that its assumptions would be used by market participants. In addition, A Ltd. does not adjust its fair value measurement for the existence of a restriction preventing it from transferring the liability.
- (vii) Measure the fair value of its decommissioning liability. Discount factor:

@ 5% for 10 <sup>th</sup> year	0.6139
@ 3.5% for 10 <sup>th</sup> year	0.7089
@ 8.5% for 10 <sup>th</sup> year	0.4423

(MTP 10 Marks April '18)

#### Answer 13

(a) Measurement of the fair value of its decommi	ssioning liability
	Expected
	cash
	flows
	(Rs.)
	1st April 2017
Expected labour costs (Refer W.N.)	65,625
Expected labour costs (Refer W.11.)	/

Contractor's profit mark-up [0.20 × (Rs. 65,625 + Rs. 52,500)]	23,625 1,41,750
Expected cash flows before inflation adjustment Inflation factor (4% for 10 years) on compounding	1.4802
Expected cash flows adjusted for inflation	2,09,818
Market risk premium (Rs. 2,09,818 x 5%)	10,491
Expected cash flows adjusted for market risk	2,20,309
Expected present value using discount rate of (5 +3.5) 8.5% for	
10 years	97,443

Working Note:

Cash flow estimate (Rs.)	Probability assessment	Expected cash flows (Rs.)
50,000	25%	12,500
62,500	50%	31,250
87,500	25%	21,875
		65,625

#### Question 14

On 1st April, 20X1, an entity purchased an office block (building) for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  50,00,000 and paid a non- refundable property transfer tax and direct legal cost of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2,50,000 and  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  50,000 respectively while acquiring the building. During 20X1, the entity redeveloped the building into two-story building. Expenditures on re- development were:

- ₹ 1,00,000 on Building plan approval;
- ₹ 10,00,000 on construction costs (including ₹ 60,000 refundable purchase taxes); and
- ₹ 40,000 was due to abnormal wastage of material and labour.

When the re-development of the building was completed on 1st October, 20X1, the entity rents out Ground Floor of the building to its subsidiary under an operating lease in return for rental payment. The subsidiary uses the building as a retail outlet for its products. The entity kept first floor for its own administration and maintenance staff usage. Equal value can be attributed to each floor. How will the entity account for all the above mentioned expenses in the books of account as on 1st October, 20X1? Also, discuss how the above building will be shown in the consolidated financial statements of the entity as a group and in its separate financial statements as per relevant Ind AS. (MTP 6 Marks April 22)

#### Answer 14

In accordance with Ind AS 16, all costs required to bring an asset to its present location and condition for its intended use should be capitalised. Therefore, the initial purchase price of the building would be:

Particulars	(₹)
Purchase amount	50,00,000

Non-refundable property tax	2,50,000
Direct legal cost	50,000
	53,00,000
Expenditures on redevelopment:	
Building plan approval	1,00,000 9,40,000
Construction costs (10,00,000 – 60,000)	9,40,000
Total amount to be capitalised at 1st October, 20X1	63,40,000

#### Treatment of abnormal wastage of material and labour:

As per Ind AS 16, the cost of abnormal amounts of wasted material, labour, or other resources incurred in self-constructing an asset is not included in the cost of the asset. It will be charged to Profit and Loss in the year it is incurred. Hence, abnormal wastage of  $\stackrel{?}{<}$  40,000 will be expensed off in Profit & Loss in the financial year 20X1-20X2.

# Accounting of property- Building

When the property is used as an administrative centre, it is not an investment property, rather it is an 'owner occupied property'. Hence, Ind AS 16 will be applicable.

When the property (land and/or buildings) is held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation (or both), it is an Investment Property. Ind AS 40 prescribes the cost model for accounting of such investment property.

Since equal value can be attributed to each floor, Ground Floor of the building will be considered as Investment Property and accounted for as per Ind AS 40 and First Floor would be considered as Property, Plant and Equipment and accounted for as per Ind AS 16.

Cost of each floor = ₹63,40,000 / 2 = ₹31,70,000

# As on 1st October, 20X1, the carrying value of building vis-à-vis its classification would be as follows:

- (i) In the Separate Financial Statements: The Ground Floor of the building will be classified as investment property for ₹ 31,70,000, as it is property held to earn rentals. While First Floor of the building will be classified as item of property, plant and equipment for ₹ 31,70,000.
- (ii) In the Consolidated Financial Statements: The consolidated financial statements present the parent and its subsidiary as a single entity. The consolidated entity uses the building for the supply of goods. Therefore, the leased-out property to a subsidiary does not qualify as investment property in the consolidated financial statements. Hence, the whole building will be classified as an item of Property, Plant and Equipment for ₹ 63,40,000.

# Question 15

On 1st January, 20X1 an entity purchased an item of equipment for  $\frac{1}{2}$  600,000, including  $\frac{1}{2}$  50,000 refundable purchase taxes. The purchase price was funded by raising a loan of  $\frac{1}{2}$  605,000. In addition, the entity has to pay  $\frac{1}{2}$  5,000 in loan raising fees to the Bank. The loan is secured against the equipment.

In January 20X1 the entity incurred costs of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  20,000 in transporting the equipment to the entity's site and  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  100,000 in installing the equipment at the site. At the end of the equipment's 10 -year useful life the entity is required to dismantle the equipment and restore the building housing the equipment. The present value of the cost of dismantling the equipment and restoring the building is estimated to be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  100,000.

In January 20X1 the entity's engineer incurred the following costs in modifying the equipment so that it can produce the products manufactured by the entity:

- Materials ₹ 55,000
- Labour ₹ 65,000
- Depreciation of plant and equipment used to perform the modifications ₹
   15.000

In January 20X1, the entity's production staff were trained in how to operate the new item of equipment. Training costs included:

- Cost of an expert external instructor ₹ 7,000
- Labour ₹ 3,000

In February 20X1 the entity's production team tested the equipment and the engineering team made further modifications necessary to get the equipment to function as intended by management. The following costs were incurred in the testing phase:

- Materials, net of ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output ₹ 21,000
- Labour ₹ 16,000

The equipment was ready for use on 1st March, 20X1. However, because of low initial order levels the entity incurred a loss of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  23,000 on operating the equipment during March. Thereafter the equipment operated profitably.

What is the cost of the equipment at initial recognition? Also show the calculation or reason for underlying treatment. (MTP 10 Marks March '23, RTP May'22)

#### Answer 15

Description	Calculation or reason	₹
Purchase price	₹ 600,000 purchase price minus ₹ 50,000 refundable purchase taxes	550,000
Loan raising fee	Offset against the measurement of the liability	-
Transport cost	Directly attributable expenditure	20,000
Installation costs	Directly attributable expenditure	100,000
Environmental restoration costs	The obligation to dismantle and restore the environment arose from the installation of the equipment	100,000
Preparation costs	₹ 55,000 materials + ₹ 65,000 labour + ₹ 135,000 15,000 depreciation	
Training costs	Recognised as expenses in profit and loss account. The equipment was capable of operating in the manner intended by management without incurring the training costs.	-
Cost of testing	₹ 21,000 materials (ie net of the ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output) + ₹ 16,000 labour	37,000
Operating loss	Recognised as expenses in profit and loss account	-
Borrowing costs	Recognised as expenses in profit and loss account	-

, age 330

Cost of equipment		9,42,000
-------------------	--	----------

# Question 16

Entity X has a warehouse which is closer to factory of Entity Y and vice versa. The factories are located in the same vicinity. Entity X and Entity Y agree to exchange their warehouses. The carrying value of warehouse of Entity X is Rs. 1,00,000 and its fair value is Rs. 1,25,000. It exchanges its warehouse with that of Entity Y, the fair value of which is Rs. 1,20,000. It also receives cash amounting to Rs. 5,000. How should Entity X account for the exchange of warehouses? (RTP Nov'20)

#### Answer 16

Paragraph 24 of Ind AS 16, inter alia, provides that when an item of property, plant and equipment is acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets, the cost of such an item of property, plant and equipment is measured at fair value unless (a) the exchange transaction lacks commercial substance or (b) the fair value of neither the asset received nor the asset given up is reliably measurable. If the acquired item is not measured at fair value, its cost is measured at the carrying amount of the asset given up.

Further as per paragraph 25 of Ind AS 16, an entity determines whether an exchange transaction has commercial substance by considering the extent to which its future cash flows are expected to change as a result of the transaction. An exchange transaction has commercial substance if:

- (a) the configuration (risk, timing and amount) of the cash flows of the asset received differs from the configuration of the cash flows of the asset transferred; or
- (b) the entity-specific value of the portion of the entity's operations affected by the transaction changes as a result of the exchange; and
- (c) the difference in (a) or (b) is significant relative to the fair value of the assets exchanged. In the given case, the transaction lacks commercial substance as the company's cash flows are not expected to significantly change as a result of the exchange because the factories are located in the same vicinity i.e. it is in the same position as it was before the transaction.

Hence, Entity X will have to recognize the assets received at the carrying amount of asset given up, i.e., Rs. 1,00,000 being carrying amount of existing warehouse of Entity X and Rs. 5,000 received will be deducted from the cost of property, plant and equipment. Therefore, the warehouse of Entity Y is recognised as property, plant and equipment with a carrying value of Rs. 95,000 in the books of Entity X.

#### Question 17

Heaven Ltd. had purchased a machinery on 1.4.2X01 for  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  30,00,000, which is reflected in its books at written down value of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  17,50,000 on 1.4.2X06. The company has estimated an upward revaluation of 10% on 1.4.2X06 to arrive at the fair value of the asset. Heaven Ltd. availed the option given by Ind AS of transferring some of the surplus as the asset is used by an enterprise.

On 1.4.2X08, the machinery was revalued downward by 15% and the company also re- estimated the machinery's remaining life to be 8 years. On 31.3.2 X10 the machinery was sold for ₹ 9,35,000. The company charges depreciation on straight line method.

Prepare machinery account in the books of Heaven Ltd. over its useful life to record the above transactions. (RTP Nov '21)

# .e.341

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

#### Answer 17

# In the books of Heaven Ltd. Machinery A/c

_	I I	Macnine			_
Date	Particulars	Amount	Date	Particulars	Amount
1.4.2X01	To Bank /	30,00,000	31.3.2X02	By Depreciation	2,50,00
	Vendor	, ,		(W.N.1)	0
			31.3.2X02	By Balance c/d	27,50,0
					00
		30,00,000			30,00,0
					00
1.4.2X02	To Balance b/d	27,50,000	31.3.2X03	By Depreciation	2,50,00
					0
			31.3.2X03	By Balance c/d	25,00,0
					00
		27,50,000			27,50,0
1 4 03/02	/D - D - 1 1 - / -1	05.00.000	21.2.0304	D. D	00
1.4.2X03	To Balance b/d	25,00,000	31.3. 2X04	By Depreciation	2,50,00
			31.3.2X04	Dr. Dolonoo o /d	22.50.0
			31.3.2704	By Balance c/d	22,50,0 00
		25,00,000			25,00,0
		25,00,000			00
1.4.2X04	To Balance b/d	22,50,000	31.3.2X05	By Depreciation	2,50,00
11.1.2110	To Balance s <sub>f</sub> a	22,00,000	01.0.2100	By Bepresiation	0
			31.3.2X05	By Balance c/d	20,00,0
				,	00
		22,50,000			22,50,0
					00
1.4.2X05	To Balance b/d	20,00,000	31.3.2X06	By Depreciation	2,50,00
		, ,		J I	0
			31.3.2X06	By Balance c/d	17,50,0
			01.0.27100	By Balance c/a	00
		20,00,000			20,00,0
		,-,-			00
1.4.2X06	To Balance b/d	17,50,000	31.3.2X07	By Depreciation	2,75,00
	,			(W.N.2)	0
1 4 03706	// D 1 /		01.0.03707	D D 1 /1	16.50.0
1.4.2X06	To Revaluation	1 75 000	31.3.2X07	By Balance c/d	16,50,0
	Reserve @ 10%	1,75,000			00
		19,25,000			19,25,0
		. ,			, oo
1.4.2X07	To Balance b/d	16,50,000	31.3.2X08	By Depreciation	2,75,00
					0
			31.3.2X08	By Balance c/d	13,75,0
					00
		16,50,000			16,50,0
1 4 07-00	m - D - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	10 ==	4 4 0775 5	D D 1 .	00
1.4.2X08	To Balance b/d	13,75,000	1.4.2X08	By Revaluation	1,25,00
					0

				Reserve (W.N.4)	
			31.3.2X09	By Profit and	81,250
				Loss A/c (W.N.5)	
			31.3.2X09	By Depreciation (W.N.3)	1,46,09 4
		13,75,000	31.3.2X09	By Balance c/d	10,22,6 56
					13,75,0 00
1.4.2X09	To Balance b/d	10,22,656	31.3.2X10	By Depreciation	1,46,09 4
31.3.2X10	To Profit and Loss A/c (balancing figure)	58,438*	31.3.2X10	By Bank A/c	9,35,00
		10,81,094			10,81,0 94

# **Working Notes:**

# 1. Calculation of useful life of machinery on 1.4.2X01

Depreciation charge in 5 years = (30,00,000 - 17,50,000) = ₹ 12,50,000Depreciation per year as per Straight Line method = 12,50,000 / 5 years = ₹ 2,50,000

Remaining useful life = ₹ 17,50,000 / ₹ 2,50,000 = 7 years Total useful life = 5 years + 7 years = 12 years

# 2. Depreciation after upward revaluation as on 31.3.2X06

 Book value as on 1.4.2X06
 17,50,000

 Add: 10% upward revaluation
 1,75,000

 Revalued amount
 19,25,000

Remaining useful life 7 years (Refer W.N.1)

Depreciation on revalued amount = 19,25,000 / 7 years = ₹ 2,75,000 lakh

# 3. Depreciation after downward revaluation as on 31.3.2X08

 Book value as on 1.4.2X08
 13,75,000

 Less: 15% Downward revaluation
 (2,06,250)

 Revalued amount
 11,68,750

Revised useful life 8 years

Depreciation on revalued amount = 11,68,750 / 8 years = ₹ 1,46,094

#### 4. Amount transferred from revaluation reserve

Revaluation reserve on 1.4.2X06 (A) ₹ 1,75,000

Remaining useful life 7 years

Amount transferred every year (1,75,000 / 7) ₹ 25,000

Amount transferred in 2 years (25,000 x 2) (B) ₹ 50,000 Balance of revaluation reserve on 1.4.2X08 (A-B) ₹ 1,25,000

# 5. Amount of downward revaluation to be charged to Profit and Loss Account

Downward revaluation as on 1.4.2X08 (W.N.3)  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2,06,250 Less: Adjusted from Revaluation reserve (W.N.4) ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$ 1,25,000) Amount transferred to Profit and Loss Account  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  81,250

#### Question 18

A Ltd. purchased some Property, Plant and Equipment on 1st April, 20X1, and estimated their useful lives for the purpose of financial statements prepared on the basis of Ind AS: Following were the original cost, and useful life of the various components of property, plant, and equipment assessed on 1st April, 20X1:

Property, Plant and	Original Cost	Estimated useful
Equipment		life
Buildings	Rs. 15,000,000	15 years
Plant and machinery	Rs. 10,000,000	10 years
Furniture and fixtures	Rs. 3,500,000	7 years

A Ltd. uses the straight-line method of depreciation. On 1st April, 20X4, the entity reviewed the following useful lives of the property, plant, and equipment through an external valuation expert:

Buildings	10 years
Plant and machinery	7 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 years

There were no salvage values for the three components of the property, plant, and equipment either initially or at the time the useful lives were revised. Compute the impact of revaluation of useful life on the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ending 31st March, 20X4. (RTP May '18)

#### Answer 18

The annual depreciation charges prior to the change in useful life were

The diffidal depreciation charges prior to the charge in doctar me were			
Buildings	Rs. 1,50,00,000/15 =	Rs. 10,00,000	
Plant and machinery	Rs. 1,00,00,000/10 =	Rs. 10,00,000	
Furniture and fixtures	Rs. 35,00,000/7 =	Rs. 5,00,000	
Total =		Rs. 25,00,000 (A)	

The revised annual depreciation for the year ending 31st March, 20X4, would be

	T	· · · · · /
Buildings	[Rs.1,50,00,000 - (Rs. 10,00,000	Rs. 12,00,000
	× 3)] / 10	
Plant and machinery	Rs. 1,00,00,000 – (Rs. 10,00,000	Rs. 10,00,000
	× 3)] / 7	
Furniture and	[Rs. 35,00,000 – (Rs. 5,00,000 ×	Rs.
fixtures	3)] / 5	4,00,000
Total		Rs. 26,00,000
		(B)

The impact on Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ending 31st March, 20X4 = Rs. 26,00,000 - Rs. 25,00,000 = Rs. 1,00,000

This is a change in accounting estimate which is adjusted prospectively in the period in which the estimate is amended and, if relevant, to future periods if they are also affected. Accordingly, from 20X4-20X5 onward, excess of Rs. 1,00,000 will be charged in the Statement of Profit and Loss every year till the time there is any further revision.

#### Question 19

Company X built a new plant that was brought into use on 1st April, 20X1. The cost to construct the plant was ₹ 1.5 crore. The estimated useful life of the plant

is 20 years and Company X accounts for the plant using the cost model.

The initial carrying amount of the plant included an amount of  $\mathbb{T}$  10 lakh for decommissioning, which was determined using a discount rate of 10%. On 31st March, 20X2, Company X remeasures the provision for decommissioning to  $\mathbb{T}$  13 lakh.

Provide necessary journal entries at the end of the year i.e. 31 st March, 20X2 for recording of depreciation and decommissioning provision. (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 19

# Journal Entries in the books of Company X for the year ending ended 31st March, 20X2

Oist Maich, 20X2			
		₹ in lakh	₹ in lakh
Depreciation (profit or loss)	Dr.	7.5	
To Accumulated depreciation (plant)			7.5
(Being depreciation on plant recognised un method (1,50,00,000 x 1/20))	der straight-line		
Interest expense (profit or loss)	Dr.	1.0	
To Provision for decommissioning			1.0
(Being unwinding of decommissioning prov recognised in the books)	ision @10%		
Plant	Dr.	2.0	
To Provision for decommissioning			2.0
(Being increase in decommissioning recognised [13,00,000 – (10,00,000 end of the year)	provision +1,00,000)] at the		

#### Question 20

M Ltd. is setting up a new factory outside the Delhi city limits. In order to facilitate the construction of the factory and its operations, M Ltd. is required to incur expenditure on the construction/ development of electric-substation. Though M Ltd. incurs (or contributes to) the expenditure on the construction/development, it will not have ownership rights on these items and they are also available for use to other entities and public at large. Whether M Ltd. can capitalise expenditure incurred on these items as property, plant and equipment (PPE)? If yes, then how should these items be depreciated and presented in the financial statements of M Ltd. as per Ind AS? (PYP 8 Marks, Nov'19, RTP Nov'18)

# Answer 20

As per Ind AS 16, the cost of an item of property, plant and equipment shall be recognised as an asset if, and only if:

- (a) it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the item will flow to the entity; and
- (b) the cost of the item can be measured reliably.

Further, Ind AS 16 does not prescribe the unit of measure for recognition, i.e., what constitutes an item of property, plant and equipment. Thus, judgement is required in applying the recognition criteria to an entity's specific circumstances.

Ind AS 16, further, states that the cost of an item of property, plant and equipment comprise any costs directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by

management.

In the given case, electric- substation is required to facilitate the construction of the refinery and for its operations. Expenditure on these items is required to be incurred in order to get future economic benefits from the project as a whole which can be considered as the unit of measure for the purpose of capitalization of the said expenditure even though the company cannot restrict the access of others for using the assets individually. It is apparent that the aforesaid expenditure is directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

In view of this, even though M Ltd. may not be able to recognize expenditure incurred on electric-substation as an individual item of property, plant and equipment in many cases (where it cannot restrict others from using the asset), expenditure incurred may be capitalized as a part of overall cost of the project.

From this, it can be concluded that, in the extant case the expenditure incurred on electric- substation should be considered as the cost of constructing the factory and accordingly, expenditure incurred on electric-substation should be allocated and capitalized as part of the items of property, plant and equipment of the factory.

#### Depreciation

As per Ind AS 16, each part of an item of property, plant and equipment with a cost that is significant in relation to the total cost of the item shall be depreciated separately.

Further, Ind AS 16 provides that, if these assets have a useful life which is different from the useful life of the item of property, plant and equipment to which they relate, it should be depreciated separately. However, if these assets have a useful life and the depreciation method that are the same as the useful life and the depreciation method of the item of property, plant and equipment to which they relate, these assets may be grouped in determining the depreciation charge. Nevertheless, if it has been included in the cost of property, plant and equipment as a directly attributable cost, it will be depreciated over the useful lives of the said property, plant and equipment.

The useful lives of electric-substation should not exceed that of the asset to which it relates.

#### Presentation

Electric-substation should be presented within the class of asset to which they relate

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

This part of the question is related with Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment'. Most of the examinees failed to express correctly the treatment of expenditure incurred for development of electric substation. Some examinees concluded correctly but were not able to substantiate their conclusion with proper reasoning.

ie factory.

#### Section - B

#### Question 1

# ABC Ltd. is installing a new plant at its production facility. It has incurred these costs:

1.	Cost of the plant (cost per supplier's invoice plus	₹25,00,000
	taxes)	
2.	Initial delivery and handling costs	₹2,00,000

345

3.	Cost of site preparation	₹6,00,000
4.	Consultants used for advice on the acquisition of the plant	₹7,00,000
5.	Interest charges paid to supplier of plant for deferred credit	₹2,00,000
6.	Net present value of estimated dismantling costs to be incurred after 7 years	₹3,00,000
7.	Operating losses before commercial production	₹4,00,000

Advise ABC Ltd. on the costs that can be capitalized in accordance with Ind AS 16.

#### Answer 1

According to Ind AS 16, these costs can be capitalized:

1.	Cost of the plant	₹25,00,00
	-	0
2.	Initial delivery and handling costs	₹2,00,000
3.	Cost of site preparation	₹6,00,000
4.	Consultants' fees	₹7,00,000
5.	Net present value of estimated dismantling costs to be incurred after 7 years	₹3,00,000
		₹43,00,00 0

#### Question 2

A Ltd. has an item of property, plant and equipment with an initial cost of 1,00,000. At the date of revaluation, accumulated depreciation amounted to 55,000. The fair value of the asset, by reference to transactions in similar assets, is assessed to be 65,000.

Pass journal entries with regard to revaluation.

#### Answer 2

		₹	₹
Accumulated depreciation  To Asset A/c (Being elimination of accumulated depreciation against the cost of		55,000	55,000
Asset A/c To Revaluation Surplus (Being increase of net asset value)	Dr e to Fair	20,000	20,000

<sup>age</sup> 346

Note: The net result is that the asset has a carrying amount of ₹65,000 [1,00,000 – 55,000

+ 20,000.1

#### Question 3

B Ltd. owns an asset with an original cost of ₹2,00,000. On acquisition, management determined that the useful life was 10 years and the residual value would be ₹20,000. The asset is now 8 years old, and during this time there have been no revisions to the assessed residual value.

#### Answer 3

# Calculation of accumulated depreciation till 8th year

Depreciable amount {Cost less residual value} = 2,00,000 - 20,000 = ₹ 1,80,000.

Annual depreciation = Depreciable amount / Useful life = 1,80,000 / 10 = ₹18,000.

Accumulated depreciation =  $18,000 \times No.$  of years (8) = ₹ 1,44,000.

#### Calculation of carrying amount at the end of the 8th year

The asset has a carrying amount of ₹56,000 at the end of year 8 [ie. ₹2,00,000 -₹1.44.0001

# Accounting of the changes in estimates

Revision of the useful life to 12 years results in a remaining useful life of 4 years (ie 12 years 8 years).

The revised depreciable amount is ₹46,000 ( ₹56,000 – ₹ 10,000)

Thus, depreciation should be charged in future ie from 9th year onwards at ₹11,500 per annum (₹ 46,000 / 4 years).

#### **Ouestion 4**

X Ltd. has a machine which got damaged due to fire as on 31st January, 20X1. The carrying amount of machine was 1,00,000 on that date. X Ltd. sold the damaged asset as scrap for 10,000. X Ltd. has insured the same asset against damage. As on 31st March, 20X1, the compensation proceedings were still in process but the insurance company has confirmed the claim. Compensation of 50,000 is receivable from the insurance company.

Determine the accounting for the above transaction for X Ltd.

#### Answer 4

As per para 66 of Ind AS 16, impairment or losses of items of property, plant and equipment and related claims for or payments of compensation from third parties are separate economic events and should be accounted for separately.

X Ltd. should account for the above transaction as given below:

At the time of sale of scrap machine, X Ltd. should write off the carrying amount of asset from books of account and provide a loss of ₹90,000. (i.e., carrying amount of ₹1,00,000 – realised amount of ₹10,000)

As on 31st March, 20X1, X Ltd. should recognize income of ₹50,000 against the compensation receivable in its profit or loss.

#### Question 5

An entity has a nuclear power plant and a related decommissioning liability. The nuclear power plant started operating on 1st April, 2XX1. The plant has a useful life of 40 years. Its initial cost was 1,20,000 which included an amount for decommissioning costs of 10,000, which represented 70,400 in estimated cash flows payable in 40 years discounted at a risk- adjusted rate of 5 per cent. The

₹

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

entity's financial year ends on 31st March. After 10 years, the net present value of the decommissioning liability has decreased by 8,000. The discount rate has not yet changed.

Examine how the entity will account for the above changes in decommissioning liability in the 11th year, if it adopts cost model.

#### Answer 5

On 31st March, 2X11, the plant is 10 years old. Accumulated depreciation is ₹ 30,000 (₹120,000 x 10 / 40 years). Due to unwinding of discount @ 5% over the 10 years, the amount of decommissioning liability has increased from ₹10,000 to ₹16,300 (approx.). On 31st March, 2X11, the discount rate has not changed. However, the entity estimates that, as a result of technological advances, the net present value of the decommissioning liability has decreased by ₹8,000. Accordingly, the entity adjusts the decommissioning liability from ₹16,300 to ₹8,300. On this date, the entity passes the following journal entry to reflect the change:

₹

Provision for decommissioning liability Dr. 8,000

To Asset 8,000

Following this adjustment, the carrying amount of the asset is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  82,000 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,20,000 –  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  8,000 –  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  30,000), which will be depreciated over the remaining 30 years of the asset's life giving a depreciation expense for the next year of 2,733 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  82,000 / 30). The next year's finance cost for unwinding of discount will be 415 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  8,300 × 5 per cent).

#### Question 6

An entity has a nuclear power plant and a related decommissioning liability. The nuclear power plant started operating on 1st April, 20X1. The plant has a useful 1,20,000. This included an amount for life of 40 years. Its initial cost was decommissioning costs of 10,000, which represented 70,400 in estimated cash flows payable in 40 years discounted at a risk- adjusted rate of 5 per cent. The entity's financial year ends on 31st March. Assume that a market-based discounted cash flow valuation of 1,15,000 is obtained at 31st March, 20X4. This valuation is after deduction of an allowance of 11,600 for decommissioning costs, which represents no change to the original estimate, after the unwinding of three years' discount. On 31st March, 20X5, the entity estimates that, as a result of technological advances, the present value of the decommissioning liability has decreased by 5,000. The entity decides that a full valuation of the asset is needed at 31st March, 20X5, in order to ensure that the carrying amount does not differ materially from fair value. The asset is now valued at 1,07,000, which is net of an allowance for the reduced decommissioning obligation.

Examine how will the entity account for the above changes in decommissioning liability if it adopts revaluation model.

#### Answer 6

At 31st March, 20X4:	
	₹
Asset at valuation (1)	1,26,600
Accumulated depreciation	Nil
Decommissioning liability	(11,600)
Net assets	1,15,000

age 348

Retained earnings (2)	(10,600)
Revaluation surplus (3)	15,600

#### **Notes:**

- (1) When accounting for revalued assets to which decommissioning liabilities attach, it is important to understand the basis of the valuation obtained. For example:
  - (a) if an asset is valued on a discounted cash flow basis, some valuers may value the asset without deducting any allowance for decommissioning costs (a 'gross' valuation), whereas others may value the asset after deducting an allowance for decommissioning costs (a 'net' valuation), because an entity acquiring the asset will generally also assume the decommissioning obligation. For financial reporting purposes, the decommissioning obligation is recognized as a separate liability, and is not deducted from the asset. Accordingly, if the asset is valued on a net basis, it is necessary to adjust the valuation obtained by adding back the allowance for the liability, so that the liability is not counted
  - (b) if an asset is valued on a depreciated replacement cost basis, the valuation obtained may not include an amount for the decommissioning component of the asset. If it does not, an appropriate amount will need to be added to the valuation to reflect the depreciated replacement cost of that component. Since, the asset is valued on a net basis, it is necessary to adjust the valuation obtained by adding back the allowance for the liability. Valuation obtained of ₹ 1,15,000 plus decommissioning costs of ₹ 11,600, allowed for in the valuation but recognized as a separate liability = ₹ 1,26,600.
- (2) Three years' depreciation on original cost  $₹1,20,000 \times 3/40 = ₹9,000$  plus cumulative discount on 10,000 at 5 per cent compound = ₹1,600; total ₹10,600.
- (3) Revalued amount ₹1,26,600 less previous net book value of ₹1,11,000 (cost ₹ 120,000 less accumulated depreciations ₹9,000).

The depreciation expense for 20X4-20X5 is therefore 3,420 ( $1,26,600 \times 1$  / 37) and the discount expense for 20X5 is  $600 (11,600 \times 5\% = 580 \text{ or } 600 \text{ (to the nearest } 100).$ On 31st March, 20X5, the decommissioning liability (before any adjustment) is 12,200. However, as per the estimate of the entity, the present value of the decommissioning liability has decreased by 5,000. Accordingly, the entity adjusts the decommissioning liability from 12,200 to 7,200.

The whole of this adjustment is taken to revaluation surplus, because it does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been recognized had the asset been carried under the cost model. If it had done, the excess would have been taken to profit or loss. The entity makes the following journal entry to reflect the change:

Provision for decommissioning liability Dr. 5,000 To Revaluation surplus

5.000

As at 31st March, 20X5, the entity revalued its asset at 1,07,000, which is net of an 7,200 for the reduced decommissioning obligation that should be recognized as a separate liability. The valuation of the asset for financial reporting purposes, before deducting this allowance, is therefore 1,14,200. The following additional journal entry is needed:

Notes:		

		₹	₹
Accumulated depreciation	Dr.	3,420	
To Asset at valuation			3,420
Revaluation surplus (2)	Dr.	8,980	
To Asset at valuation (3)			8,980

- (1) Eliminating accumulated depreciation of 3,420 in accordance with the entity's accounting policy.
- (2) The debit is to revaluation surplus because the deficit arising on the revaluation does not exceed the credit balance existing in the revaluation surplus in respect of the asset.
- (3) Previous valuation (before allowance for decommissioning costs) 1,26,600, less cumulative depreciation 3,420, less new valuation (before allowance for decommissioning costs) 1,14,200.

Following this valuation, the amounts included in the balance sheet are:

ing this valuation, the amounts included in the balance sheet are.		
Asset at valuation	1,14,200	
Accumulated depreciation	Nil	
Decommissioning liability	(7,200)	
Net assets	1,07,000	
Retained earnings (1)	(14,620)	
Revaluation surplus (2)	11,620	

#### Notes:

- (1)  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$ 10,600 at 31st March, 20X4, plus depreciation expense of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$ 3,420 and discount expense of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$ 600 =  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$ 14,620.
- (2)  $\stackrel{$<}{1}$ 5,600 at 31st March, 20X4, plus  $\stackrel{$<}{5}$ 5,000 arising on the decrease in the liability, less  $\stackrel{$<}{8}$ 980 deficit on revaluation =  $\stackrel{$<}{1}$ 1,620.

#### Question 7

A Ltd. purchased some Property, Plant and Equipment on 1st April, 20X1, and estimated their useful lives for the purpose of financial statements prepared on the basis of Ind AS. Following were the original cost, and useful life of the various components of property, plant, and equipment assessed on 1st April, 20X1

Property, Plant and Equipment	Original Cost	Estimated useful life
Buildings	15,000,000	15 years
Plant and machinery	10,000,000	10 years
Furniture and fixtures	3,500,000	7 years

A Ltd. uses the straight-line method of depreciation. On 1st April, 20X4, the entity reviewed the following useful lives of the property, plant, and equipment through an external valuation expert:

Buildings	10
	years
Plant and machinery	7
	years

Furniture and fixtures	5
	years

There were no salvage values for the three components of the property, plant, and equipment either initially or at the time the useful lives were revised. Examine the impact of revaluation of useful life on the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ending 31st March, 20X5.

#### Answer 7

The annual depreciation charges prior to the change in useful life were

The different depression should be prior to the street describe in the state were			
Buildings	₹1,50,00,000/15 =	₹10,00,000	
Plant and machinery	₹1,00,00,000/10 =	₹10,00,000	
Furniture and fixtures	₹35,00,000/7 =	₹ 5,00,000	
Total =		₹ 25,00,000 (A)	

The revised annual depreciation for the year ending 31st March, 20X5, would be

THE TENDER RITIGAT ACT	preciation for the year changes of	c 111a1 011, <b>2</b> 0110, 110
Buildings	₹ [ 1,50,00,000 - ( 10,00,000 ×	₹12,00,000
	3)] / 10	
Plant and machinery	₹ [ 1,00,00,000 - ( 10,00,000 ×	₹10,00,000
	3)] / 7	
Furniture and	₹ [ 35,00,000 - ( 5,00,000 × 3)]	₹4,00,000
fixtures	/ 5	
Total		₹26,00,000 (B)

The impact on Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ending 31st March, 20X5 = ₹26,00,000 - ₹25,00,000 = ₹1,00,000

This is a change in accounting estimate which is adjusted prospectively in the period in which the estimate is amended and, if relevant, to future periods if they are also affected. Accordingly, from 20X4-20X5 onward, excess of ₹1,00,000 will be charged in the Statement of Profit and Loss every year till the time there is any further revision.

#### Question 8

Mr. X, is the financial controller of ABC Ltd., a listed entity which prepares consolidated financial statements in accordance with Ind AS. Mr. X has recently produced the final draft of the financial statements of ABC Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 to the managing director Mr. Y for approval. Mr. Y, who is not an accountant, had raised following query from Mr. X after going through the draft financial statements:

The notes to the financial statements state that plant and equipment is held under the 'cost model'. However, property which is owner occupied is revalued annually to fair value. Changes in fair value are sometimes reported in profit or loss but usually in 'other comprehensive income'. Also, the amount of depreciation charged on plant and equipment as a percentage of its carrying amount is much higher than for owner occupied property. Another note states that property owned by ABC Ltd. but rent out to others is depreciated annually and not fair valued. Mr. Y is of the opinion that there is no consistent treatment of PPE items in the accounts.

Advise the finance controller for resolving the query of the managing director?

#### **Answer 8**

Ongoing through the query raised by the Managing Director Mr. Y, the financial controller Mr. X explained the notes and reasons for their disclosures as follows:

The accounting treatment of most tangible non-current assets is governed by Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment'. Ind AS 16 states that the accounting treatment of PPE is determined on a class by class basis. For this purpose, property and plant would be regarded as separate classes. Ind AS 16 requires that PPE is measured using either the cost model or the revaluation model. This model is applied on a class by class basis and must be applied consistently within a class. Ind AS 16 states that when the revaluation model applies, surpluses are recorded in other comprehensive income, unless they are cancelling out a deficit which has previously been reported in profit or loss, in which case it is reported in profit or loss, unless they are cancelling out a surplus which has previously been reported in other comprehensive income, in which case they are reported in other comprehensive income, in which case they are reported in other comprehensive income, in

According to Ind AS 16, all assets having a finite useful life should be depreciated over that life. Where property is concerned, the only depreciable element of the property is the buildings element, since land normally has an indefinite life. The estimated useful life of a building tends to be much longer than for plant. These two reasons together explain why the depreciation charge of a property as a percentage of its carrying amount tends to be much lower than for plant.

Properties which are held for investment purposes are not accounted for under Ind AS 16, but under Ind AS 40 'Investment Property'. As per Ind AS 40, investment properties should be accounted for under a cost model. ABC Ltd. had applied the cost model and thus our investment properties are treated differently from the owner-occupied property.

# Question 9

Company X performed a revaluation of all of its plant and machinery at the beginning of 20X1. The following information relates to one of the machinery:

	Amount ('000)
Gross carrying amount	₹ 200
Accumulated depreciation (straight-line method)	₹ 80)
Net carrying amount	₹ 120
Fair value	₹ 150

The useful life of the machinery is 10 years and the company uses Straight line method of depreciation. The revaluation was performed at the end of 4 years. Advise how should the company account for revaluation of plant and machinery and depreciation subsequent to revaluation. Support your answer with journal entries.

#### Answer 9

According to paragraph 35 of Ind AS 16, when an item of property, plant and equipment is revalued, the carrying amount of that asset is adjusted to the revalued amount. At the date of the revaluation, the asset is treated in one of the following ways:

(a) The gross carrying amount is adjusted in a manner that is consistent with the revaluation of the carrying amount of the asset. For example, the gross carrying amount may be restated by reference to observable market data or it may be

restated proportionately to the change in the carrying amount. The accumulated depreciation at the date of the revaluation is adjusted to equal the difference between the gross carrying amount and the carrying amount of the asset after taking into account accumulated impairment losses.

In such a situation, the revised carrying amount of the machinery will be as follows:

dell a blockation, the love our carrying	X2220 01220 02	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Gross carrying amount	₹ 250	[(200/120) x
		150]
Net carrying amount	₹ 150	
Accumulated depreciation	₹ 100	(₹ 250 – ₹150
		)

Journal entry

Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)	Dr.	₹	
		50	
To Accumulated			₹ 20
Depreciation			₹ 30

#### Depreciation subsequent to revaluation

Since the Gross Block has been restated, the depreciation charge will be ₹25 per annum (250/10 years).

	Journa	ıl entry		
Accumulated Depreciation	Dr	. ₹25		1
		p.a.		
To Plant and Machinery (Gross			₹25	1
Block)			p.a.	

(b) The accumulated depreciation is eliminated against the gross carrying amount of the asset.

The amount of the adjustment of accumulated depreciation forms part of the increase or decrease in carrying amount that is accounted for in accordance with the paragraphs 39 and 40 of Ind AS 16.

In this case, the gross carrying amount is restated to ₹150 to reflect the fair value and accumulated depreciation is set at zero.

-		4		
Jo	urn	al e	entr	IJ

Accumulated Depreciation	Dr.	₹ 80	
To Plant and Machinery (Gross			₹ 80
Block)			
Plant and Machinery (Gross	Dr.	₹ 30	₹ 30
Block)			
To Revaluation Reserve			

# Depreciation subsequent to revaluation

Since the revalued amount is the revised gross block, the useful life to be considered is the remaining useful life of the asset which results in the same depreciation charge of  $\rat{25}$  per annum as per Option A ( $\rat{150}$  / 6 years).

Ta	urna	1 ~~	4
JU	urna	т еп	LIV

Accumulated Depreciation	Dr.	₹ 25	
		p.a.	
To Plant and Machinery (Gross			₹ 25
Block)			p.a.

#### Question 10

An entity has the following items of property, plant and equipment:

- Property A a vacant plot of land on which it intends to construct its new administration headquarters;
- Property B a plot of land that it operates as a landfill site;
- Property C a plot of land on which its existing administration headquarters are built;
- Property D a plot of land on which its direct sales office is built;
- Properties E1-E10 ten separate retail outlets and the land on which they are built;
- Equipment A computer systems at its headquarters and direct sales office that are integrated with the point of sale computer systems in the retail outlets;
- Equipment B point of sale computer systems in each of its retail outlets;
- Furniture and fittings in its administrative headquarters and its sales office;
- Shop fixtures and fittings in its retail outlets.

Determine the classes of property, plant and equipment for disclosure by the entity?

#### Answer 10

To answer this question one must make a materiality judgement.

A class of assets is defined as a grouping of assets of a similar nature and use in an entity's operations.

The nature of land without a building is different to the nature of land with a building. Consequently, land without a building is a separate class of asset from land and buildings. Furthermore, the nature and use of land operated as a landfill site is different from vacant land. Hence, the entity should disclose Property A separately. The entity must apply judgement to determine whether the entity's retail outlets are sufficiently different in nature and use from its office buildings, and thus constitute a separate class of land and buildings.

The computer equipment is integrated across the organization and would probably be classified as a single separate class of asset.

Furniture and fittings used for administrative purposes could be sufficiently different to shop fixtures and fittings in retail outlets to be classified in two separate classes of assets.

#### Question 11

On 1.4.2X08, the machinery was revalued downward by 15% and the company also re- estimated the machinery's remaining life to be 8 years. On 31.3.2X10 the machinery was sold for 9,35,000. The company charges depreciation on straight line method.

Prepare machinery account in the books of Heaven Ltd. over its useful life to record the above transactions.

#### Answer 11

#### In the books of Heaven Ltd.

#### Machinery, A/c

Date	Particulars	Amount	Date	Particulars	Amount
1.4.2X01	To Bank/ Vendor	30,00,000	31.3.2X02	Ву	2,50,000

 $P_{\text{age}}354$ 

				Depreciatio	
				n	
				(W.N.1)	
			31.3.2X02	By Balance c/d	27,50,000
		30,00,000		,	30,00,000
1.4.2X02	To Balance b/d		31.3.2X03	By Depreciation	2,50,000
	,	, ,	31.3.2X03	By Balance c/d	25,00,000
		27,50,000		,	27,50,000
1.4.2X03	To Balance b/d		31.3. 2X04	By Depreciation	2,50,000
			31.3.2X04	By Balance c/d	22,50,000
		25,00,000			25,00,000
1.4.2X04	To Balance b/d	22,50,000	31.3.2X05	By Depreciation	2,50,000
	,		31.3.2X05	By Balance c/d	20,00,000
		22,50,000			22,50,000
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1.4.2X05	To Balance b/d	20,00,000	31.3.2X06	By Depreciation	2,50,000
		, ,	31.3.2X06	By Balance c/d	17,50,000
		20,00,000		J	20,00,000
1.4.2X06	To Balance b/d	17,50,000	31.3.2X07	By	2,75,000
		, ,		Depreciatio	., -,
				n	
				(W.N.2)	
1.4.2X06	To Revaluation		31.3.2X07	By Balance c/d	16,50,000
	Reserve @ 10%	1,75,000		,	
		, ,			
		19,25,000			19,25,000
1.4.2X07	To Balance b/d	16,50,000	31.3.2X08	By Depreciation	2,75,000
	,		31.3.2X08	By Balance c/d	13,75,000
		16,50,000			16,50,000
1.4.2X08	To Balance b/d	13,75,000	1.4.2X08	By	1,25,000
	,			Revaluatio	
				n	
				Reserve (W.N.4)	
			31.3.2X09	By Profit and	81,250
				Loss	
				A/c (W.N.5)	
			31.3.2X09	By	1,46,094
				Depreciatio	
				n	
				(W.N.3)	
			31.3.2X09	By Balance c/d	10,22,656
1 4 27722	m D 1 1 1 1	13,75,000	01.0.07515	D D	13,75,000
1.4.2X09	To Balance b/d	10,22,656		By Depreciation	1,46,094
31.3.2X10	To Profit and		31.3.2X10	By Bank A/c	9,35,000
	Loss				
	A/c (balancing	E0 400*			
	figure)	58,438*			
		10.01.004			10.01.004
		10,81,094			10,81,094

 $^{Page}35$ 

# **Working Notes:**

# 1. Calculation of useful life of machinery on 1.4.2X01

Depreciation charge in 5 years = (30,00,000-17,50,000) = 12,50,000 Depreciation per year as per Straight Line method = 12,50,000 / 5 years

₹= 2,50,000

Remaining useful life = ₹17,50,000 /₹ 2,50,000 = 7 years Total useful life = 5 years + 7 years = 12 years

# Depreciation after upward revaluation as on 1.4.2X06 Book value as on 1.4.2X06 Add: 10% upward revaluation Revalued amount ₹ 17,50,000 1,75,000 19,25,000

Remaining useful life 7 years (Refer W.N.1)

Depreciation on revalued amount = 19,25,000 / 7 years = ₹ 2,75,000 lakh

3. Depreciation after downward revaluation as on 1.4.2X08

Book value as on 1.4.2X08

Less: 15% Downward revaluation

Revalued amount

11,68,750

Revised useful life 8 years

Depreciation on revalued amount = 11,68,750 / 8 years = ₹ 1,46,094

#### 4. Amount transferred from revaluation reserve

Revaluation reserve on 1.4.2X06 (A)	₹1,75,000
Remaining useful life	₹7 years
Amount transferred every year (1,75,000 / 7)	₹25,000
Amount transferred in 2 years (25,000 x 2) (B)	₹50,000
Balance of revaluation reserve on 1.4.2X08 (A-B)	₹1,25,000

# 5. Amount of downward revaluation to be charged to Profit and Loss Account

Downward revaluation as on 1.4.2X08 (W.N.3)	₹2,06,250
Less: Adjusted from Revaluation reserve (W.N.4)	₹ ( 1,25,000)
Amount transferred to Profit and Loss Account	₹ 81,250

# Question 12(Illustration)

On 1st April, 20X1, an item of property is offered for sale at ₹ 10 million, with payment terms being three equal installments of ₹ 33,33,333 over a two-year period (payments are made on 1st April, 20X1, 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3). Implicit interest rate of 5.36 percent p.a. Show how the property will be recorded in accordance with Ind AS 16 and also pass necessary journal entries.

#### Answer 12

Ind AS 16 requires that the cost of an item of PPE is the cash price equivalent at the recognition date. Hence, the purchaser that takes up the deferred payment terms will recognise the acquisition of the asset as follows:

On 1st April, 20X1	(₹)	(₹)
Property, Plant and Equipment (W.N. 1)	95,00,0	
Dr.	00	33,33,3
To Bank A/c		33

To Accounts Payable (W.N. 2)			61,66,6
(Initial recognition of property)			67
On 31st March, 20X2			
Interest Expense (W.N. 2)	Dr.	3,30,53	
Accounts payable (W.N. 2)	Dr.	3	
To Bank A/c		30,02,8	33,33,3
(Recognition of interest expense and		00	33
payment of second installment)			
On 31st March, 20X3			
Interest Expense (W.N. 2)	Dr.	1,69,46	
Accounts payable (W.N. 2)	Dr.	7	
To Bank A/c		31,63,8	33,33,3
(Recognition of interest expense and		67	34
payment of final installment)			

#### **Working Notes:**

# 1. Calculation of cash price equivalent at initial recognition

Year	Payment	Discounting factor @ 5.36%	Present value
1.4.20X1	33,33,333	1.000	33,33,333
31.3.20X2	33,33,333	0.949	31,63,333
31.3.20X3	33,33,334	0.901	30,03,334
Initial date cash price	1,00,00,00		95,00,000
equivalent	0		

#### 2. Calculation of interest expenses

Year	Openi ng balanc e (a)	Interest @ 5.36% (b) = (a) x 5.36%	Total payment at year beginning (c)	Principal amount in the instalmen t (d) = (c) - (b)	Closing balance (e) = (a) - (d)
1.4.20X1	95,00,0 00	-	33,33,333	33,33,333	61,66,6 67
31.3.20X2	61,66,6 67	3,30,533	33,33,333	30,02,800	31,63,8 67
31.3.20X3	31,63,8 67	1,69,467 *	33,33,334	31,63,867	Nil

<sup>\*</sup>Difference of ₹ 116 [(31,63,867 x 5.36%) – (33,33,334 - 31,63,867)] is due to approximation.

# Question 13 (Illustration)

Pluto Ltd owns land and building which are carried in its balance sheet at an aggregate carrying amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  10 million. The fair value of such asset is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  15 million. It exchanges the land and building for a private jet, which has a fair value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  20 million, and pays additional  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  3 million in cash. Show the necessary treatment as per Ind AS 16 and pass journal entry for the transaction.

#### Answer 13

Provided that the transaction has commercial substance, the entity should

recognise the private jet at a cost of ₹ 18 million (being ₹ 15 million plus 3 million cash) and should recognise a profit on disposal of the land and building of ₹ 5 million, calculated as follow:

	(₹ 000)
Recognition of fair value of asset acquired (15,000 +	18,000
3,000)	
Less: Carrying amount of land and building disposed	(10,000)
Cash Paid	(3,000)
Profit on exchange of assets	5,000

The required journal entry is therefore as follow:

Property, Plant and Equipment (Private Jet) Dr.	18,000	
To Property, Plant and Equipment (Land and		10,000
Building)		
To Cash		3,000
To Profit on exchange of assets		5,000

# Question 14 (Illustration)

Jupiter Ltd. has an item of property, plant and equipment with an initial cost of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  100,000. At the date of revaluation accumulated depreciation amounted to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  55,000. The fair value of asset, by reference to transactions in similar assets, is assessed to be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  65,000. Find out the entries to be passed?

#### Answer 14

#### Method - I: Depreciation Elimination Approach

Accumulated depreciation Dr. 55,000

To Asset Cost 55,000

Asset Cost Dr. 20,000

To Revaluation reserve 20,000

The net result is that the asset has a carrying amount of  $\ge 65,000$  (100,000 – 55,000 + 20,000).

#### Method - II: Restatement Approach

Carrying amount (100,000 - 55,000) = 45,000 Fair value (revalued amount) 65,000 Surplus 20,000

% of surplus to the carrying amount (20,000 / 45,000)

44.44%

Entries to be Made:

Asset (1,00,000 x 44.44%) Dr. 44,444

To Accumulated Depreciation (55,000 x 44.44%) 24,444
To Revaluation Reserve 20,000

(Being the entry to increase both the original cost and the accumulated depreciation by 44.44%)

#### Question 15 (Illustration)

Venus Ltd. is a large manufacturing group. It owns a considerable number of industrial buildings, such as factories and warehouses, and office buildings in

several capital cities. The industrial buildings are located in industrial zones whereas the office buildings are in central business districts of the cities. Venus's Ltd. management wants to apply the Ind AS 16 revaluation model to subsequent measurement of the office buildings but continue to apply the historical cost model to the industrial buildings. Is this acceptable under Ind AS 16, Property, Plant and Equipment?

#### Answer 15

Venus's Ltd. management can apply the revaluation model only to the office buildings. The office buildings can be clearly distinguished from the industrial buildings in terms of their function, their nature and their general location.

Ind AS 16 permits assets to be revalued on a class-by-class basis.

The different characteristics of the buildings enable them to be classified as different PPE classes. The different measurement models can therefore be applied to these classes for subsequent measurement. All properties within the class of office buildings must therefore be carried at revalued amount. Separate disclosure of the two classes must be given in accordance with para 73 of Ind AS 16.

# Question 16 (Illustration)

An item of PPE was purchased for  $\sqrt[3]{9,00,000}$  on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X1. It is estimated to have a useful life of 10 years and is depreciated on a straight line basis. On  $1^{st}$  April, 20X3, the asset is revalued to  $\sqrt[3]{9,60,000}$ . The useful life remains unchanged as ten years. Ignore impact of deferred taxes.

#### Answer 16

Calculation of Additional Depreciation:	(₹)
Actual depreciation for 20X3-20X4 based on revalued amount (9,60,000/8)	1,20,000
Depreciation for 20X3-20X4 based on historical cost (9,00,000/10)	(90,000)
Additional Depreciation	30,000

In the profit or loss for 20X3-20X4, a depreciation expense of ₹ 1,20,000 will be charged. A reserve transfer, which will be shown in the statement of changes in equity, may be undertaken as follows:

Revaluation surplus Dr.	30,000	
To Retained earnings		30,000

The closing balance on the revaluation surplus on 31st March, 20X4 will therefore be as follows:

Balance arising on revaluation (9,60,000 –	2,40,000
7,20,000)	
Transfer to retained earnings	(30,000)
	2,10,000

#### Question 17 (Illustration)

An asset which cost ₹ 10,000 was estimated to have a useful life of 10 years and residual value ₹ 2000. After two years, useful life was revised to 4 remaining years. Calculate the depreciation charge for the years 1,2,3.

#### Answer 17

Year-1	Year-2	Year-3

95,59

Cost	10,000	10,000	10,000
Less: Accumulated	(800)	(1,600)	(3,200)
Depreciation			
Carrying Amount	9,200	8,400	6,800
Charges for year	10,000 - 2,000 /	10,000 - 2,000	8,400 - 2,000 /4
	10 = 800	/10 <sub>=</sub> 800	1,600

#### Question 18 (Illustration)

An entity acquired an asset 3 years ago at a cost of  $\mathfrak{T}$  5 million. The depreciation method adopted for the asset was 10 percent reducing balance method.

At the end of Year 3, the entity estimates that the remaining useful life of the asset is 8 years and determines to adopt straight –line method from that date so as to reflect the revised estimated pattern of recovery of economic benefits.

Show the necessary treatment in accordance of Ind AS 16. Calculate the depreciation charge for respective years.

#### Answer 18

Change in Depreciation Method shall be accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate in accordance of Ind AS 8 and hence will have a prospective effect.

Depreciation Charges for year 1 to 11 will be as follows: Year 1 ₹ 500,000

Year 2 ₹ 450,000

Year 3 ₹ 405,000

Year 4 to Year 11 (refer W.N.) ₹ 455,625 p.a.

# Working Note:

Year	Opening balance of asset (a)	Depreciation @ 10% on (a)	Closing balance of asset (c) = (a)- (b)
1	50,00,000	5,00,000	45,00,000
2	45,00,000	4,50,000	40,50,000
3	40,50,000	4,05,000	36,45,000

Year 3 onwards method of depreciation has been changed from reducing balance method to straight line method for which it is assessed that the remaining useful life is 8 years. Hence revised depreciation would be calculated as follows:

Revised depreciation as per straight line method = (Carrying amount as at the end of the 3<sup>rd</sup> year − Residual value) / Remaining useful life = 36,45,000/8 years = ₹4,55,625 per annum (for year 4 to year 11).

#### Question 19 (Illustration)

MS Ltd. has acquired a heavy machinery at a cost of  $\ref{thmath}$  1,00,00,000 (with no breakdown of the component parts). The estimated useful life is 10 years. At the end of the sixth year, one of the major components, the turbine requires replacement, as further maintenance is uneconomical. The remainder of the machine is perfect and is expected to last for the next four years. The cost of a new turbine is  $\ref{thmath}$  45,00,000. The discount rate assumed is 5%.

098

# Can the cost of the new turbine be recognised as an asset, and, if so, what treatment should be used?

#### Answer 19

The new turbine will produce economic benefits to MS Ltd., and the cost is measurable. Hence, the item should be recognised as an asset. The original invoice for the machine did not specify the cost of the turbine; however, the cost of the replacement ₹ 45,00,000 can be used as an indication (usually by discounting) of the likely cost, six years previously.

If an appropriate discount rate is 5% per annum, ₹ 45,00,000 discounted back six years amounts to

₹ 33,57,900 [₹ 45,00,000/(1.05) $^6$ ], i.e., the approximate cost of turbine before 6 years.

The current carrying amount of the turbine which is required to be replaced of ₹ 13,43,160 would be derecognised from the books of account, (i.e., Original Cost ₹ 33,57,900 as reduced by accumulated depreciation for past 6 years ₹ 20,14,740, assuming depreciation is charged on straight-line basis.)

The cost of the new turbine, ₹ 45,00,000 would be added to the cost of machine, resulting in a revision of carrying amount of machine to ₹ 71,56,840. (i.e., ₹  $40,00,000^* - ₹ 13,43,160 + ₹ 45,00,000$ ).

\*Original cost of machine ₹ 1,00,00,000 reduced by accumulated depreciation (till the end of 6 years) ₹ 60,00,000.

# Question 20 (Illustration)

On 1st April, 20X1, XYZ Ltd. acquired a machine under the following terms:

	₹
List price of machine	80,00,000
Import duty	5,00,000
Delivery fees	1,00,000
Electrical installation costs	10,00,000
Pre-production testing	4,00,000
Purchase of a five-year maintenance contract with vendor	7,00,000

In addition to the above information XYZ Ltd. was granted a trade discount of 10% on the initial list price of the asset and a settlement discount of 5%, if payment for the machine was received within one month of purchase. XYZ Ltd. paid for the plant on 20<sup>th</sup> April, 20X1. At what cost the asset will

#### be recognised?

#### Answer 20

In accordance with Ind AS 16, all costs required to bring an asset to its present location and condition for its intended use should be capitalised. Therefore, the initial purchase price of the asset should be:

	₹
List price	80,00,000
Less: Trade discount (10%)	(8,00,000)
	72,00,000
Import duty	5,00,000
Delivery fees	1,00,000
Electrical installation costs	10,00,000

age 361

Pre-production testing	4,00,000
Total amount to be capitalised at 1st April, 20X1	92,00,000

Maintenance contract is a separate contract to get service, therefore, the maintenance contract cost of  $\ \ 7,00,000$  should be taken as a prepaid expense and charged to the profit or loss over a period of 5 years.

In addition the settlement discount received of  $\mathbb{Z}$  3,60,000 ( $\mathbb{Z}$  72,00,000 x 5%) is to be shown as other income in the profit or loss.

# Question 21 (Illustration)

X Limited started construction on a building for its own use on 1st April, 20X0. The following costs are incurred:

	₹
Purchase price of land	30,00,000
Stamp duty & legal fee	2,00,000
Architect fee	2,00,000
Site preparation	50,000
Materials	10,00,000
Direct labour cost	4,00,000
General overheads	1,00,000

Other relevant information: Material costing ₹ 1,00,000 had been spoiled and therefore wasted and a further ₹ 1,50,000 was spent on account of faulty design work. As a result of these problems, work on the building was stopped for two weeks during November, 20X0 and it is estimated that ₹ 22,000 of the labour cost relate to that period. The building was completed on  $1^{st}$  January, 20X1 and brought in use  $1^{st}$  April, 20X1. X Limited had taken a loan of ₹ 40,00,000 on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X0 for construction of the building. The loan carried an interest rate of 8% per annum and is repayable on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X2. Calculate the cost of the building that will be included in tangible non-current asset as an addition?

### Answer 21

Only those costs which are directly attributable to bringing the asset into working condition for its intended use should be included. Administration and general costs cannot be included. Cost of abnormal amount of wasted material/ labor or other resources is not included as per para 22 of Ind AS 16. Here, the cost of spoilt materials and faulty designs are assumed to be abnormal costs. Also it is assumed that the wastages and labor charges incurred are abnormal in nature. Hence, same are also not included in the cost of PPE.

#### Amount to be included in Property, Plant and Equipment (PPE):

	₹
Purchase price of land	30,00,000
Stamp duty & legal fee	2,00,000
Architect fee	2,00,000
Site preparation	50,000
Material (10,00,000 – 2,50,000)	7,50,000
Direct labour cost (4,00,000 – 22,000)	3,78,000
General overheads	Nil
Interest*	Nil
Total to be capitalized	45,78,000

<sup>\*</sup>Assuming that period for Construction of building is not a substantial period

(i.e. 9 months) here, borrowing cost are not eligible for capitalisation.

#### Question 22 (Illustration)

XYZ Ltd. purchased an asset on 1st January, 20X0, for ₹ 1,00,000 and the asset had an estimated useful life of ten years and a residual value of nil. The company has charged depreciation using the straight-line method at ₹ 10,000 per annum. On 1st January, 20X4, the management of XYZ Ltd. Reviews the estimated life and decides that the asset will probably be useful for a further four years and, therefore, the total life is revised to eight years. How should the asset be accounted for remaining years?

#### Answer 22

Change in useful economic life of an asset is change in accounting estimate, which is to be applied prospectively, i.e., the depreciation charge will need to be recalculated. On 1st January, 20X4, when the asset's net book value is ₹ 60,000. The company should amend the annual provision for depreciation to charge the unamortised cost (namely, ₹ 60,000) over the revised remaining life of four years. Consequently, it should charge depreciation for the next four years at ₹ 15,000 per annum.

# Question 23 (Illustration)

On 1st April, 20X1, Sun ltd purchased some land for ₹ 10 million (including legal costs of ₹ 1 million) in order to construct a new factory. Construction work commenced on 1st May, 20X1. Sun ltd incurred the following costs in relation with its construction:

- Preparation and levelling of the land ₹ 3,00,000.
- Purchase of materials for the construction ₹ 6.08 million in total.
- Employment costs of the construction workers ₹ 2,00,000 per month.
- Overhead costs incurred directly on the construction of the factory ₹ 1,00,000 per month.
- Ongoing overhead costs allocated to the construction project using the company's normal overhead allocation model - ₹ 50,000 per month.
- Income received during the temporary use of the factory premises as a car park during the construction period - ₹ 50,000.
- Costs of relocating employees to work at the new factory 3,00,000 Costs of the opening ceremony on 31st January

The factory was completed on 30th November, 20X1 (which is considered as substantial period of time as per Ind AS 23) and production began on 1st February, 20X2. The overall useful life of the factory building was estimated at 40 years from the date of completion. However, it is estimated that the roof will need to be replaced 20 years after the date of completion and that the cost of replacing the roof at current prices would be 30% of the total cost of the building.

At the end of the 40-year period, Sun Ltd has a legally enforceable obligation to demolish the factory and restore the site to its original condition. The directors estimate that the cost of demolition in 40 years' time (based on prices prevailing at that time) will be ₹ 20 million. An annual risk adjusted discount rate which is appropriate to this project is 8%. The present value of ₹ 1 payable in 40 time at an annual discount rate of 8% is ₹ 0.046.

The construction of the factory was partly financed by a loan of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  17.5 million taken out on 1st April, 20X1. The loan was at an annual rate of interest of 6%. Sun Ltd received investment income of ₹ 100,000 on the temporary investment of the proceeds.

Required:

Compute the carrying amount of the factory in the Balance Sheet of Sun Ltd at  $31^{\rm st}$  March, 20X2. You should explain your treatment of all the amounts referred to in this part in your answer.

#### Answer 23

# Computation of the cost of the factory

Description	Include d in P.P.E. ₹ '000	Explanation
Purchase of land	10,000	Both the purchase of the land and the associated legal costs are direct costs of constructing the factory.
Preparation and levelling	300	A direct cost of constructing the factory
Materials	6,080	A direct cost of constructing the factory
Employment costs of	1,400	A direct cost of constructing the
construction workers		factory for a seven-month period
Direct overhead costs	700	A direct cost of constructing the factory for a seven-month period
Allocated overhead costs	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction
Income from use as a car park	Nil	Not essential to the construction so
•		recognised directly in profit or loss
Relocation costs	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction
Opening ceremony	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction
Finance costs	612.50	Capitalise the interest cost
1 11101100 00000	012,00	incurred in a
		seven-month period (purchase of land
		would not trigger off capitalisation since
		land is not a qualifying asset. Infact, the
		construction started from 1st May, 20X1)
Investment income on temporary	(100)	offset against the amount capitalised
investment of the loan proceeds		_
Demolition cost recognised as a		Where an obligation must recognise as
provision	920	part of the initial cost
Total	19,912.50	
Computation of accumulated de	,	
Total depreciable amount	9,912.50	All of the net finance cost of 512.50 (612.50 – 100) has been allocated to the depreciable amount. Also acceptable to reduce by allocating a portion to the non-depreciable land element principle

Depreciation must be in two		
parts:		
Depreciation of roof component	49.56	9,912.50 x 30% x 1/20 x 4/12
Depreciation of remainder	57.82	9,912.50 x 70% x 1/40 x 4/12
Total depreciation	107.38	
Computation of carrying amount	19,805.12	19,912.50 – 107.38

# Question 24 (Illustration)

H Limited purchased an item of PPE costing  $\ref{thmspace}$  100 million which has useful life of 10 years. The entity has a contractual decommissioning and site restoration obligation, estimated at  $\ref{thmspace}$  5 million to be incurred at the end of 10<sup>th</sup> year. The current market based discount rate is 8%.

The company follows SLM method of depreciation. H Limited follows the Cost Model for accounting of PPE. Determine the carrying value of an item of PPE and decommissioning liability at each year end when

- (a) There is no change in the expected decommissioning expenses, expected timing of incurring the decommissioning expense and / or the discount rate
- (b) At the end of Year 4, the entity expects that the estimated cash outflow on account of decommissioning and site restoration to be incurred at the end of the useful life of the asset will be  $\mathbb{Z}$  8 million (in place of  $\mathbb{Z}$  5 million, estimated in the past).

Determine in case (b), how H Limited need to account for the changes in the decommissioning liability?

#### Answer 24

The present value of such decommissioning and site restoration obligation at the end of  $10^{\text{th}}$  year is  $\ref{2.32}$  million [being 5 /  $(1.08)^{10}$ ]. H Limited will recognise the present value of decommissioning liability of  $\ref{2.32}$  million as an **addition to cost** of **PPE and** will also recognize a corresponding decommissioning liability. Further, the entity will recognise the unwinding of discount as finance charge.

(a) The following table shows the relevant computations, if there is **no change** in the expected decommissioning expenses, expected timing of incurring the decommissioning expense and / or the discount rate: (₹ in million)

Yea r	Opening Amount of PPE	Deprecia tion Charge (on SLM) for 10 Years	Carrying Amount of PPE at the end of the year	Opening Decommission ing Liability	Unwinding of Interest @ 8%	Closing Decommiss ioning Liability
1	102.3 2	10.23	92.08	2.32	0.19	2.50
2	92.08	10.23	81.85	2.50	0.20	2.70
3	81.85	10.23	71.62	2.70	0.22	2.92
4	71.62	10.23	61.39	2.92	0.23	3.15
5	61.39	10.23	51.16	3.15	0.25	3.40
6	51.16	10.23	40.93	3.40	0.27	3.68
7	40.93	10.23	30.69	3.68	0.29	3.97
8	30.69	10.23	20.46	3.97	0.32	4.29
9	20.46	10.23	10.23	4.29	0.34	4.63

1	10.23	10.23	-	4.63	0.37	5.00
0						
Tota		102.32			2.68	
1						

- (b) The changes to the estimate of expected decommissioning obligation:
  - The present value of the decommissioning liability at the end of Year 4 works out to be ₹ 5.04 million [being 8 / (1.08)6].
  - As against this, the carrying amount of decommissioning liability at the end of Year 4 is ₹ 3.15 million (as computed above).
  - o The changes in the decommissioning liability of ₹ 1.89 million (being ₹ 5.04 million less₹ 3.15 million) shall be added to the cost of the asset in the current period and the related provision for decommissioning liability is also adjusted.

The journal entry will be:

PPE

Dr. ₹ 1.89 million

To Provision for decommissioning liability

₹ 1.89 million

• The following table shows the calculations for years 5 - 10:


Yea r	Openin g Amoun t of PPE	Depreciati on Charge SLM – 10 Years	Carryin g Amount of PPE at end of the year	Openin g Decom mi ssionin g Liabilit y	Unwind ing of Interes t @8%	Closing Decommi ssio ning Liability
5	63.28	10.55	52.73	5.04	0.40	5.44
6	52.73	10.55	42.19	5.44	0.44	5.88
7	42.19	10.55	31.64	5.88	0.47	6.35
8	31.64	10.55	21.09	6.35	0.51	6.86
9	21.09	10.55	10.55	6.86	0.55	7.41
10	10.55	10.55	-	7.41	0.59	8.00
Tota 1		63.28			2.96	

Note that in the above table:

- Opening amount of PPE at the beginning of Year 5 is computed as ₹ 63.28 million (being carrying amount of ₹ 61.39 million at the end of Year 4 *plus* increase of ₹ 1.89 million arising due to increase in the present value of the decommissioning liability at the end of Year 4).
- The revised carrying amount of PPE (at ₹ 63.28 million) at the beginning of Year 5 will be depreciated over the balance 6 years of the useful life).

Opening decommissioning liability at the beginning of Year 5 is computed as ₹ 5.04 million (being carrying amount of ₹ 3.15 million at the end of Year 4 plus

• increase of ₹ 1.89 million). Since the entity has adjusted the increase in the decommissioning liability against the carrying amount of PPE, it needs to evaluate whether the new carrying amount (in this case, ₹ 63.28 million) is recoverable. If not, it will give rise to impairment loss, to be accounted forunder Ind AS 36.



,age 367

# Chapter 6 Unit-3 Ind AS 23: "Borrowing costs"

#### Attempts wise Distribution

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP			Q7			Q5		Q8		Q6		
PYP							Q1					
RTP			Q3	Q4	Q9		Q10	Q11			Q2	

# Section - A

#### Question 1

Zera Limited obtained a term loan of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}1,080$  lakh for complete renovation and modernization of its factory on 1st April, 2021. Plant and Machinery was acquired under the modernization scheme and installation was completed on 30th April, 2022. An expenditure of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}910$  lacs was incurred on installation of Plant and Machinery and the balance loan was used for working capital purposes. Management of Zera Limited considers the 12 months period as substantial period of time to get the asset ready for its intended use.

The company has paid total interest of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  94.40 lacs during financial year 2021-2022 on the above loan. Discuss the treatment in the books of account of Zera Limited of interest paid of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  94.40 lakh during the financial year 2021-2022. Will your answer be different, if the whole process of renovation and modernization gets completed by 31st December, 2021? (PYP 7 Marks May '22)

#### Answer 1

#### Treatment procedure:

As per Ind AS 23, borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset form part of the cost of that asset. Other borrowing costs are recognised as an expense. Where, a qualifying asset is an asset that necessarily takes a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use or sale.

# Applicability to the given case:

Accordingly, the treatment of interest of ₹ 94.40 lakh occurred during the year 2021-2022 would be as follows:

(i) When construction of asset completed on 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2022

The treatment for total borrowing cost of ₹ 94.40 lakh will be as follows:

Purpose	Nature	Interest to be capitalised  ₹ in lakh	Interest to be charged to profit and loss account ₹ in lakh
Modernisation	Qualifying asset	[94.40	
and		X	
renovation of plant		(910/1,08	
		0)]	
and machinery		= 79.54	

Working Capital	Not	a	qualifying		[94.40 x
	asset	,			(170/1,080)] =
					14.86
				79.54	14.86

(ii) When construction of assets is completed by 31st December, 2021

When the process of renovation gets completed in less than 12 months, the plant and machinery will not be considered as a qualifying asset until and unless the entity specifically considers that the asset took substantial period for completing its construction.

Accordingly, the whole of interest will be charged off to Profit and Loss account.

#### Question 2

Harish Construction Company is constructing a huge building project consisting of four phases. It is expected that the full building will be constructed over several years but Phase I and Phase II of the building will be operational as soon as they are completed. Following is the detail of the work done on different phases of the building during the current year: (₹ in lakh)

banaing daring the carrent year. (\ in is	anti,			
	Phase	Phase II	Phase III	Phase IV
	I			
	₹	₹	₹	₹
Cash expenditure	10	30	25	30
Building purchased	24	34	30	38
Total expenditure	34	64	55	68
Total expenditure of all phases				221
Loan taken @ 15% at the beginning of the year				200

After taking substantial period of construction, at the mid of the current year, Phase I and Phase II have become operational. Find out the total amount to be capitalized and to be expensed during the year. (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 2

<u> </u>	1 2					
1	Interest expense on loan ₹ 2,00,00,000 at 15%	30,00,000				
2	Total cost of Phases I and II (₹ 34,00,000 +64,00,000	98,00,000				
3	Total cost of Phases III and IV (₹ 55,00,000 + ₹ 68,00,000)	1,23,00,000				
4	Total cost of all 4 phases	2,21,00,000				
5	Total loan	2,00,00,000				
	Interest on loan used for Phases I & II, based on					
	proportionate	13,30,317(approx)				
6	Loan amount = $\frac{30,00,000}{2,21,000} \times 98,00,000$	, , (11 ,				
	Interest on loan used for Phases III & IV, based on					
7	proportionate Loan amount = $\frac{30,00,000}{2,21,000} \times 1,23,00,000$	16,69,683 (approx				

#### **Accounting treatment:**

#### 1. For Phase I and Phase II

Since Phase I and Phase II have become operational at mid of the year, half of the interest amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  6,65,158.50 (i.e.  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  13,30,317/2) relating to Phase I and Phase II should be capitalized (in the ratio of asset costs 34:64) and added to respective assets in Phase I and Phase II and remaining half of the interest amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  6,65,158.50 (i.e.  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  13,30,317/2) relating to Phase I and Phase II should be expensed off during the year.

# 2. For Phase III and Phase IV

Interest of ₹ 16,69,683 relating to Phase III and Phase IV should be held in Capital Work-in-Progress till assets construction work is completed, and thereafter capitalized in the ratio of cost of assets. No part of this interest amount should be charged/expensed off during the year since the work on these phases has not been completed yet.

# Question 3

How will you capitalize the interest when qualifying assets are funded by borrowings in the nature of bonds that are issued at discount?

Y Ltd. issued at the start of year 1, 10% (interest paid annually and having maturity period of 4 years) bonds with a face value of Rs. 2,00,000 at a discount of 10% to finance a qualifying asset which is ready for intended use at the end of year 2. Compute the amount of borrowing costs to be capitalized if the company amortizes discount using Effective Interest Rate method by applying 13.39% p.a. of EIR. (RTP May '21)

#### **Answer 3**

**Capitalization Method** As per the Standard, borrowing costs may include interest expense calculated using the effective interest method. Further, capitalization of borrowing cost should cease where substantially all the activities necessary to prepare the qualifying asset for its intended use or sale are complete. Thus, only that portion of the amortized discount should be capitalized as part of the cost of a qualifying asset which relates to the period during which acquisition, construction or production of the asset takes place.

### **Capitalization of Interest**

Hence based on the above explanation the amount of borrowing cost of year 1 & 2 are to be capitalized and the borrowing cost relating to year 3 & 4 should be expensed.

#### Quantum of Borrowing

The value of the bond to Y Ltd. is the transaction price ie Rs. 1,80,000 (2,00,000 – 20,000) Therefore, Y Ltd will recognize the borrowing at Rs. 1,80,000.

Computation of the amount of Borrowing Cost to be Capitalized Ltd will capitalise the interest (borrowing cost) using the effective interest rate of 13.39% for two years as the qualifying asset is ready for intended use at the end of the year 2, the details of which are as follows:

Year	Opening Borrowing	Interest expense @ 13.39% to be		nterest paid	Closing Borrowing
		capitalised			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) = (3) - (4)
1	1,80,000	24,102	2,04,102	20,000	1,84,102
2	1,84,102	24,651	2,08,753	20,000	1,88,753
		48,753			

Accordingly, borrowing cost of Rs. 48,753 will be capitalized to the cost of qualifying asset.

#### Question 4

An entity constructs a new head office building commencing on 1st September 20X1, which continues till 31st December 20X1. Directly attributable expenditure at the beginning of the month on this asset are Rs. 100,000 in September 20X1 and Rs. 250,000 in each of the months of October to December 20X1. The entity has not taken any specific borrowings to finance the

construction of the asset, but has incurred finance costs on its general borrowings during the construction period. During the year, the entity had issued 10% debentures with a face value of Rs. 20 lacs and had an overdraft of Rs. 500,000, which increased to Rs. 750,000 in December 20X1. Interest was paid on the overdraft at 15% until 1 October 20X1, then the rate was increased to 16%. Calculate the capitalization rate for computation of borrowing cost in accordance with Ind AS 23 'Borrowing Costs'. (RTP May '18)

#### Answer 4

Since the entity has only general borrowing hence first step will be to compute the capitalization rate. The capitalization rate of the general borrowings of the entity during the period of construction is calculated as follows:

Finance cost on Rs. 20 lacs 10% debentures during September – December 20X1	Rs. 66,667
Interest @ 15% on overdraft of Rs. 5,00,000 in September 20X1	Rs. 6,250
Interest @ 16% on overdraft of Rs. 5,00,000 in October and November 20X1	Rs. 13,333
Interest @ 16% on overdraft of Rs. 750,000 in December 20X1	Rs. 10,000
Total finance costs in September – December 20X1	Rs. 96,250

Weighted average borrowings during period (20,00,000×4)+ (500,000×3)+ (750,000×1)

= Rs. 25,62,500

Capitalization rate = Total finance costs during the construction period / Weighted average borrowings during the construction period

= 96,250 / 25,62,500 = 3.756%

#### Question 5

ABC Ltd. has taken a loan of USD 20,000 on April 1, 20X1 for constructing a plant at an interest rate of 5 % per annum payable on annual basis.

On April 1, 20X1, the exchange rate between the currencies i.e. USD vs Rupees was Rs. 45 per USD. The exchange rate on the reporting date i.e. March 31, 20X2 is Rs. 48 per USD.

The corresponding amount could have been borrowed by ABC Ltd. from State bank of India in local currency at an interest rate of 11% per annum as on April 1, 20X1.

Compute the borrowing cost to be capitalized for the construction of plant by ABC Ltd. (MTP 8 Marks April '19 & April '22)

#### Answer 5

In the above situation, the Borrowing cost needs to determine for interest cost on such foreign currency loan and eligible exchange loss difference if any.

(a) Interest on Foreign currency loan for the period: USD 20,000 x 5% = USD 1,000

Converted in Rs. : USD 1,000 x Rs. 48/USD = Rs. 48,000 Increase in liability due to change in exchange difference:

USD  $20,000 \times (48 - 45) = Rs. 60,000$ 

(b) Interest that would have resulted if the loan was taken in Indian Currency:

USD 20,000 x Rs. 45/USD x 11% = R5. 99,000

(c) Difference between Interest on Foreign Currency borrowing and local Currency borrowing

Rs. 99,000-48,000 = Rs. 51,000

Hence, out of Exchange loss of Rs. 60,000 on principal amount of foreign currency loan, only exchange loss to the extent of Rs. 51,000 is considered as borrowing costs. Total borrowing cost to be capitalized is as under:

Interest cost on borrowing

Rs. 48,000

(a) Exchange difference to the extent considered b be an adjustment to Interest cost

Rs. 51,000

Rs. 99,000

The exchange difference of Rs. 51,000 has been capitalized as borrowing cost and the remaining Rs. 9,000 will be expensed off in the Statement of Prost and loss.

#### Question 6

On 1 April 2019, entity A contracted for the construction of a building for Rs. 22,00,000. The land under the building is regarded as a separate asset and is not part of the qualifying asset. The building was completed at the end of March, 2020, and during the period the following payments were made to the contractor:

001111111111111111111111111111111111111			
Amount (Rs.)			
2,00,000			
6,00,000			
12,00,000			
2,00,000			
22,00,000			

Entity A's borrowings at its year end of 31 March 2020 were as follows:

- a. 10%, 4-year note with simple interest payable annually, which relates specifically to the project; debt outstanding on 31 March 2020 amounted to Rs. 7,00,000. Interest of Rs. 65,000 was incurred on these borrowings during the year, and interest income of Rs. 20,000 was earned on these funds while they were held in anticipation of payments.
- b. 12.5% 10-year note with simple interest payable annually; debt outstanding at 1 April 2019 amounted to Rs. 10,00,000 and remained unchanged during the year; and
- c. 10% 10-year note with simple interest payable annually; debt outstanding at 1 April 2019 amounted to Rs. 15,00,000 and remained unchanged during the year.

What amount of the borrowing costs can be capitalized at year end as per relevant Ind AS? (MTP 6 Marks Oct '20, RTP Nov'19)

#### Answer 6

As per Ind AS 23, when an entity borrows funds specifically for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset, the entity should determine the amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalization as the actual borrowing costs incurred on that borrowing during the period less any investment income on the temporary

investment of those borrowings.

The amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalization, in cases where the funds are borrowed generally, should be determined based on the expenditure incurred in obtaining a qualifying asset. The costs incurred should first be allocated to the specific borrowings.

Analysis of expenditure:

Date	Expenditur e (Rs.)	allocated in	Weighted for period outstanding (Rs.)
1 April 2019	2,00,000	0	0
30 June 2019	6,00,000	1,00,000*	$1,00,000 \times 9/12 = 75,000$
31 Dec 2019	12,00,000	12,00,000	12,00,000 × 3/12 = 3,00,000
31 March 2020	2,00,000	2,00,000	$2,00,000 \times 0/12 = 0$
Total	22,00,000		3,75,000

<sup>\*</sup>Specific borrowings of Rs. 7,00,000 fully utilized on 1 April & on 30 June to the extent of Rs. 5,00,000 hence remaining expenditure of Rs. 1,00,000 allocated to general borrowings.

The expenditure rate relating to general borrowings should be the weighted average of the borrowing costs applicable to the entity's borrowings that are outstanding during the period, other than borrowings made specifically for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset.

Capitalization rate =  $(10,00,000 \times 12.5\%) + (15,00,000 \times 10\%) = 11\%$ 10,00,000 + 15,00,000

Borrowing cost to be capitalized:	Amount
	(Rs.)
On specific loan	65,000
On General borrowing (Rs. 3,75,000 × 11%)	41,250
Total	1,06,250
Less: Interest income on specific borrowings	(20,000)
Amount eligible for capitalization	
Therefore, the borrowing costs to be capitalized are Rs. 86,250.	86,25 0

#### Question 7

An entity constructs a new office building commencing on 1st September, 20X1, which continues till 31st December, 20X1 (and is expected to go beyond a year). Directly attributable expenditure at the beginning of the month on this asset are Rs. 2 lakh in September 20X1 and Rs. 4 lakh in each of the months of October to December 20X1.

The entity has not taken any specific borrowings to finance the construction of the building but has incurred finance costs on its general borrowings during the construction period. During the year, the entity had issued 9% debentures with a face value of Rs. 30 lakh and had an overdraft of Rs. 4 lakh, which increased to Rs. 8 lakh in December 20X1. Interest was paid on the overdraft

at 12% until 1<sup>st</sup> October, 20X1 and then the rate was increased to 15%. Calculate the capitalization rate for computation of borrowing cost for the period ending 31<sup>st</sup> December 20X1, in accordance with Ind AS 23 'Borrowing Cost'.(MTP 5 Marks March '21, PYP 8 Marks Nov '19)

# Answer 7

Calculation of capitalization rate on borrowings other than specific borrowings

Nature of	Period of	Amount of	Rate of	Weighted average
general	outstanding	loan	interes	amount of
borrowings	balance	(Rs.)	t p.a.	interest
				(Rs.)
	a	b	С	$d = [(b \times c) \times (a/12)]$
9% Debentures	12 months	30,00,000	9%	2,70,000
Bank overdraft	9 months	4,00,000	12%	36,000
	2 months	4,00,000	15%	10,000
	1 month	8,00,000	15%	10,000
		46,00,000		3,26,000

Weighted average cost of borrowings

=  $\{30,00,000 \text{ x}(12/12)\} + \{4,00,000 \text{ x}(11/12)\} + \{8,00,000 \text{ x}(1/12)\}$ 

= 34,33,334

**Capitalization rate** = (Weighted average amount of interest / Weighted average of general borrowings) x 100

=  $(3,26,000 / 34,33,334) \times 100 = 9.50\%$  p.a.

#### Question 8

Nikka Limited has obtained a term loan of ₹ 620 lacs for a complete renovation and modernisation of its Factory on 1st April, 20X1. Plant and Machinery was acquired under the modernisation scheme and installation was completed on 30th April, 20X2. An expenditure of ₹ 510 lacs was incurred on installation of Plant and Machinery, ₹ 54 lacs has been advanced to suppliers for additional assets (acquired on 25th April, 20X1) which were also installed on 30th April, 20X2 and the balance loan of ₹ 56 lacs has been used for working capital purposes. Management of Nikka Limited considers the 12 months period as substantial period of time to get the asset ready for its intended use.

The company has paid total interest of ₹ 68.20 lacs during financial year 20X1-20X2 on the above loan. The accountant seeks your advice how to account for the interest paid in the books of accounts. Will your answer be different, if the whole process of renovation and modernization gets completed by 28th February, 20X2? (MTP 6 Marks March '23, RTP Nov '21)

#### Answer 8

As per Ind AS 23, Borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset form part of the cost of that asset. Other borrowing costs are recognised as an expense.

Where, a qualifying asset is an asset that necessarily takes a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use or sale.

Accordingly, the treatment of Interest of ₹ 68.20 lacs occurred during the year 20X1-20X2 would be as follows:

(i) When construction of asset completed on 30th April, 20X2

The treatment for total borrowing cost of ₹ 68.20 lakh will be as follows:

IUIIUWS.			
Purpose	Nature	Interest to b capitalized	e Interest to be charged to profit and loss account
		₹ in lakh	₹ in lakh
Modernization and renovation of plant and machinery	5 5	[68.20 x (510/620)] = 56.10	
Advance to suppliers	Qualifying	[68.20 x (54/620)] =	
for additional assets	asset	5.94	
Working Capital	Not a qualifying asset		[68.20 x 6.1 (56/620)] = 6
		62.04	6.16

(ii) When construction of assets is completed by 28th February, 20X2

When the process of renovation gets completed in less than 12 months, the plant and machinery and the additional assets will not be considered as qualifying assets (until and unless the entity specifically considers that the assets took substantial period of time for completing their construction). Accordingly, the whole of interest will be required to be charged off / expensed off to Profit and loss account.

#### **Ouestion 9**

K Ltd. began construction of a new building at an estimated cost of Rs. 7 lakh on 1st April, 2017. To finance construction of the building it obtained a specific loan of Rs. 2 lakh from a financial institution at an interest rate of 9% per annum.

The company's other outstanding loans were:

Amount	Rate of Interest per annum
Rs. 7,00,000	12%
Rs. 9,00,000	11%

The expenditure incurred on the construction was:

April, 2017	Rs. 1,50,000
August, 2017	Rs. 2,00,000
October, 2017	Rs. 3,50,000
January, 2018	Rs. 1,00,000

The construction of building was completed by 31st January, 2018. Following the provisions of Ind AS 23 'Borrowing Costs', calculate the amount of interest to be capitalized and pass necessary journal entry for capitalizing the cost and borrowing cost in respect of the building as on 31st January, 2018. (RTP Nov '18)

#### Answer 9

(i) Calculation of capitalization rate on borrowings other than specific borrowings

Amount of loan (Rs.)	Rate of interest	iı	Amount of nterest (Rs.)
7,00,000	12%	=	84,000
9,00,000	11%	=	99,000
16,00,000			1,83,000
Weighted average rate of in (1,83,000/16,00,000) x 100	iterest	=	11.4375%

# (ii) Computation of borrowing cost to be capitalized for specific borrowings and general borrowings based on weighted average accumulated expenses

Date of	Amount	Financed through	Calculation	Rs.
	spent			
expenditure				
1 <sup>st</sup> April, 2017	1,50,00	Specific borrowing		
	0		1,50,000 x 9% x	11,250
			10/12	
1st August,	2,00,00	Specific borrowing		
2017	0		50,000 x 9% x 10/12	3,750
		General borrowing		
			1,50,000 x 11.4375%	8,578.1
			x 6/12	25
1st October,	3,50,00	General borrowing	3,50,000 x 11.4375%	13,343.
2017	0		x 4/12	75
1st January,	1,00,00	General borrowing	1,00,000 x 11.4375%	953.125
2018	0		x 1/12	
				-37,875

**Note:** Since construction of building started on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017, it is presumed that all the later expenditures on construction of building had been incurred at the beginning of the respective month.

# (i) Total expenses to be capitalized for building

	Rs.
Cost of building Rs. (1,50,000 +	8,00,000
2,00,000 + 3,50,000 + 11,00,000	
Add: Amount of interest to be capitalized	37,875
	8,37,875

# (ii) Journal Entry

Date	Particulars		Rs.	Rs.
31.1.201	Building account	Dr	8,37,87	

**Note:** In the above journal entry, it is assumed that interest amount will be paid at the year end. Hence, entry for interest payable has been passed on 31.1.2018.

Page

8	To Bank account		8,00,000
	(To Lot one of the other in the		0
	To Interest payable (borrowing cost)		37,875
	(Being expenditure incurred on construction of building and borrowing cost thereon capitalized)		

Alternatively, following journal entry may be passed if interest is paid on the date of capitalization

apitalizat				
Date	Particulars		Rs.	Rs.
31.1.2018	Building account	Dr.	8,37,875	
	To Bank account			8,37,875
	(Being expenditure incurred on construction of building and borrowing cost thereon capitalized)			

# Question 10

The other relevant details are as follows:

(₹in crore)

Month	Cost of	Cash outflows (paid in	
	construction	advance at the start of	
	Accrued	each month)	
September	1.50	3.00	
October	0.50	1.70	
November	1.50	2.50	
December	0.50	-	
January	1.80	1.00	
February	0.70	-	
March	3.00	1.50	

Based on the above information, discuss the treatment of borrowing cost as per cash outflow basis and accrual basis and also suggest the appropriate amount of interest that should be capitalised to the cost of the plant in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2? (RTP May '22)

#### Answer 10

Paragraph 14 of Ind AS 23, inter-alia, states that to the extent that an entity borrows funds generally and uses them for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset, the entity shall determine the amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation by applying a capitalisation rate to the expenditures on that asset. The capitalisation rate shall be the weighted average of the borrowing costs applicable to all borrowings of the entity that are outstanding during the period. However, an entity shall exclude from this calculation borrowing costs applicable to borrowings made specifically for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset until substantially all the activities necessary to prepare that asset for its intended use or sale are complete. The amount of borrowing costs that an entity capitalises during a period shall not exceed the amount of borrowing costs it incurred during that period.

In this context, a question arises whether such expenditure should be based on costs accrued or actual cash outflows. To contrast these two alternatives, presented below is the computation of borrowing costs based on both the alternatives:

Month		Average capital expenditure	Cash outflows (paid	Average capital
	n	expenditure	in advance at	_
	Accrued		the start of	
			each month)	
September	1.50	$1.50 \times 7/12 = 0.875$	3.00	$3.00 \times 7/12 = 1.75$
October	0.50	$0.50 \times 6/12 = 0.25$	1.70	$1.70 \times 6/12 = 0.85$
November	1.50	$1.50 \times 5/12 = 0.625$	2.50	$2.50 \times 5/12 = 1.04$
December	0.50	$0.50 \times 4/12 = 0.17$	-	-
January	1.80	$1.80 \times 3/12 = 0.45$	1.00	$1 \times 3/12 = 0.25$
February	0.70	$0.70 \times 2/12 = 0.12$	-	-
March	3.00	$3.00 \times 1/12 = 0.25$	1.50	$1.50 \times 1/12 = 0.125$
	9.50	2.74	9.70	4.02

If the average capital expenditure on the basis of costs accrued is taken, the borrowing costs eligible to be capitalised would be  $\gtrless 2.74$  crore x 11% = 0.30 crore. Whereas, if average capital expenditure on the basis of cash flows is taken, the borrowing costs eligible to be capitalised would be  $\gtrless 4.02$  crore x 11% = 0.44 crore. Thus, there is a wide variance in the amount of borrowing cost to be capitalised, based on the accrual basis and on actual cash flows basis. This divergence is often experienced during the implementation of large projects, for example, an advance given to a supplier involves an upfront cash outflow while the actual expenditure accrues in later periods (with the receipt of goods and services).

As per paragraph 18 of Ind AS 23, expenditures on a qualifying asset include only those expenditures that have resulted in payments of cash, transfers of other assets or the assumption of interest-bearing liabilities. Expenditures are reduced by any progress payments received and grants received in connection with the asset (see Ind AS 20, Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance). The average carrying amount of the asset during a period, including borrowing costs previously capitalised, is normally a reasonable approximation of the expenditures to which the capitalization rate is applied in that period.

Where cash has been paid but the corresponding cost has not yet accrued interest becomes payable on payment of cash. Therefore, the amount so paid should be considered for determining the amount of interest eligible for capitalisation, subject to the fulfillment of other conditions prescribed in paragraph 16 of Ind AS 23. Accordingly, in the present case, interest should be computed on the basis of the cash flows rather than on the basis of costs accrued. Therefore, the amount of interest eligible for capitalisation would be ₹ 0.44 crore.

Another important factor to be noted is that paragraph 14 requires, inter alia, that the amount of borrowing costs that an entity capitalises during a period shall not exceed the amount of borrowing costs it incurred during that period. Thus, the amount of borrowing costs to be capitalised should not exceed the total borrowing costs incurred during the period, that is ₹ 0.5 crore.

#### Question 11

LT Ltd. is in the process of constructing a building. The construction process is expected to take about 18 months from 1st January 20X1 to 30th June 20X2. The building meets the definition of a qualifying asset. LT Ltd. incurs the following expenditure for the construction:

1st January, 20X1	₹ 5 crores
30th June, 20X1	₹ 20 crores
31st March, 20X2	₹ 20 crores
30th June, 20X2	₹ 5 crores

On 1st July 20X1, LT Ltd. issued 10% Redeemable Debentures of ₹ 50 crores. The proceeds from the debentures form part of the company's general borrowings, which it uses to finance the construction of the qualifying asset, ie, the building. LT Ltd. had no borrowings (general or specific) before 1st July 20X1 and did not incur any borrowing costs before that date. LT Ltd. incurred ₹ 25 crores of construction costs before obtaining general borrowings on 1st July 20X1 (preborrowing expenditure) and ₹ 25 crores after obtaining the general borrowings (post-borrowing expenditure).

For each of the financial years ended 31st March 20X1, 20X2 and 20X3, calculate the borrowing cost that LT Ltd. is permitted to capitalize as a part of the building cost. (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 11

Applying paragraph 17 of Ind AS 23 to the fact pattern, the entity would not begin capitalising borrowing costs until it incurs borrowing costs (i.e. from 1 st July, 20X1) In determining the expenditures on a qualifying asset to which an entity applies the capitalisation rate (paragraph 14 of Ind AS 23), the entity does not disregard expenditures on the qualifying asset incurred before the entity obtains the general borrowings. Once the entity incurs borrowing costs and therefore satisfies all three conditions in para 17 of Ind AS 23, it then applies paragraph 14 of Ind AS 23 to determine the expenditures on the qualifying asset to which it applies the capitalisation rate.

Calculation of borrowing cost for financial year 20X0-20X1

Expenditure	_	Capitalization	Weighted
		Period	average
		(current year)	Accumulated
			Expenditure
Date	Amount		
1st January 20X1	₹ 5 crore	0/3	Nil

Borrowing Costs eligible for capitalisation = NIL. LT Ltd. cannot capitalise borrowing costs before 1st July, 20X1 (the day it starts to incur borrowing costs).

Calculation of borrowing cost for financial year 20X1-20X2

Culculation of	bollowing c	ost ioi iilialiciai y	Cui 20111 20112
Expenditure		Capitalization	Weighted
		Period	average
		(current year)	Accumulated
			Expenditure
Date	Amount		
1st January,	₹ 5 crore	9/12*	₹ 3.75 crore
20X1			
30th June,	₹ 20 crore	9/12	₹ 15 crore
20X1			
31st March,	₹ 20 crore	0/12	Nil
20X2			
Total		_	₹ 18.75 crore

Borrowing Costs eligible for capitalisation = 18.75 cr. x 10% = ₹ 1.875 cr.

\*LT Ltd. cannot capitalise borrowing costs before 1st July, 20X1 (the day it starts to incur borrowing costs). Accordingly, this calculation uses a capitalization period from 1st July, 20X1 to 31st March, 20X2 for this expenditure.

Calculation of borrowing cost for financial year 20X2-20X3

Calcul	ation of b	orrowing cos	st for illiancial year	I ZUAZ-ZUAU
Exper	nditure		Capitalization	Weighted
			Period	average
			(current year)	Accumulated
				Expenditure
Date		Amount		
1st	January,	₹ 5 crore	3/12	₹ 1.25 crore
20X1				
30th	June,	₹ 20 crore	3/12	₹ 5 crore
20X1				
31st	March,	₹ 20 crore	3/12	₹ 5 crore
20X2				
30th	June,	₹ 5 crore	0/12	Nil
20X2				
Total				₹ 11.25 crore

Borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation = ₹ 11.25 cr. x 10% = ₹ 1.125 cr.

# Section - B

# Question 1

Marine Transport Limited ordered 3 ships for its fleet on 1st April, 20X0. It pays a down payment of 25% of the contract value of each of the ship out of long-term borrowings from a scheduled bank. The delivery has to commence from the financial year 20X7. On 1st March, 20X2, the ship builder informs that it has commenced production of one ship. There is no progress on other 2 ships. Marine Transport Limited prepares its financial statements on financial year basis.

# Answer 1

As per paragraph 5 of Ind AS 23, a qualifying asset is an asset that necessarily takes a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use or sale.

As per paragraph 17 of Ind AS 23, an entity shall begin capitalising borrowing costs as part of the cost of a qualifying asset on the commencement date. The commencement date for capitalisation is the date when the entity first meets all of the following conditions:

- (a) It incurs expenditures for the asset.
- (b) It incurs borrowing costs.
- (c) It undertakes activities that are necessary to prepare the asset for its intended use or sale.

The ship is a qualifying asset as it takes substantial period of time for its construction. Thus, the related borrowing costs should be capitalised.

Marine Transport Limited borrows funds and incurs expenditures in the form of down payment on 1st April, 20X0. Thus, condition (a) and (b) are met. However, condition (c) is met only on 1st March, 20X2, and that too only with respect to one ship. Thus, there is no capitalisation of borrowing costs during the financial year ended 31st March, 20X1. Even during the financial year ended 31st March, 20X2, borrowing costs relating to the 'one' ship whose construction had commenced from 1st March, 20X2 will be capitalised from 1st March, 20X2 to 31st March, 20X2. All other borrowing costs are expensed.

#### **Question 2**

X Limited has a treasury department that arranges funds for all the requirements of the Company including funds for working capital and expansion programs. During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, the Company commenced the construction of a qualifying asset and incurred the following expenses:

 Date
 Amount (₹)

 1st July, 20X1
 2,50,000

 1st December, 20X1
 3,00,000

The details of borrowings and interest thereon are as under:

Particulars	Average Balance (₹)	Interest (₹ )
Long term loan @	10,00,000	1,00,000
10%		
Working capital loan	5,00,000	65,000
	15,00,000	1,65,000

# Compute the borrowing costs that need to be capitalised

#### **Answer 2**

The capitalisation rate is calculated as below:

Total borrowing costs / Weighted average total borrowings: 1,65,000/15,00,000 = 11%. Interest to be capitalised is calculated as under:

_	On	₹ 2,50,000 @ 11% p.a. for 9	20,62
		months =	5
_	On	₹ 3,00,000 @ 11% p.a. for 4	11,00
		months =	0

Total interest capitalised for the year ended 31st March 20X2 is ₹ 31,625.

#### Question 3

An entity constructs a new head office building commencing on 1st September 20X1, which continues till 31st December 20X1. Directly attributable expenditure at the beginning of the month on this asset are  $\{1,00,000\}$  in September 20X1 and  $\{2,50,000\}$  in each of the months of October to December 20X1.

The entity has not taken any specific borrowings to finance the construction of the asset but has incurred finance costs on its general borrowings during the construction period. During the year, the entity had issued 10% debentures with a face value of 20 lacs and had an overdraft of  $\stackrel{?}{\phantom{}}$  5,00,000, which increased to  $\stackrel{?}{\phantom{}}$  7,50,000 in December 20X1. Interest was paid on the overdraft at 15% until 1 October 20X1, then the rate was increased to 16%.

Calculate the capitalization rate for computation of borrowing cost in accordance with Ind AS 23 'Borrowing Costs'.

# **Answer 3**

Since the entity has only general borrowing hence first step will be to compute the capitalisation rate. The capitalisation rate of the general borrowings of the entity during the period of construction is calculated as follows:

Finance cost on 20 lacs 10% debentures during	66,667
September – December 20X1	
Interest @ 15% on overdraft of 5,00,000 in September 20X	1 6,250
Interest @ 16% on overdraft of 5,00,000 in October and	
November 20X1	
Interest @ 16% on overdraft of 7,50,000 in December 20X1	10,000

Total finance costs in September – December 20X1	96,250

Weighted average borrowings during period  $(20,00,000 \times 4) + (500,000 \times 3) + (750,000 \times 1)$ 

 $\frac{(730,000 \times 1)}{} =$  ₹ 25,62,500

Capitalisation rate = Total finance costs during the construction period / Weighted average borrowings during the construction period

= 96,250 / 25,62,500 = 3.756%

# Question 4

K Ltd. began construction of a new building at an estimated cost of 7 lakh on 1st April, 20X1. To finance construction of the building it obtained a specific loan of 2 lakh from a financial institution at an interest rate of 9% per annum.

The company's other outstanding loans were:

Amount	Rate of Interest per
	annum
7,00,000	12
	%
9,00,000	11
	%

# The expenditure incurred on the construction was:

April, 20X1	1,50,000
August, 20X1	2,00,000
October, 20X1	3,50,000
January, 20X2	1,00,000

The construction of building was completed by 31st January, 20X2.

Following the provisions of Ind AS 23 'Borrowing Costs', calculate the amount of interest to be capitalized and pass necessary journal entry for capitalizing the cost and borrowing cost in respect of the building as on 31st January, 20X2.

#### Answer 4

Calculation of capitalization rate on borrowings other than specific borrowings

Amount of loan ()	Rate of intere st		Amount of interest
7,00,000	12%	=	84,000
9,00,000	11%	=	99,000
16,00,000			1,83,000
Weighted average rate of interest (1,83,000/16,00,000) x 100		=	11.4375%

Computation of borrowing cost to be capitalized for specific borrowings and general borrowings based on weighted average accumulated expenses

Date	of	Amount	Financed	Calculation	
incurren	ce of	spent	through		₹

expenditure				
1st April, 20X1	1,50,000	Specific	1,50,000 x 9% x 10/12	11,250
		borrowing		
1st August,	2,00,000	Specific	50,000 x 9% x 10/12	3,750
20X1		borrowing		
		General	1,50,000x11.4375% x	
		borrowing	6/12	8,578.125
1st October,	3,50,000	General	3,50,000x11.4375% x	
20X1		borrowing	4/12	13,343.75
1st	1,00,000	General	1,00,000x11.4375% x	
January		borrowing	1/12	953.125
, 20X2				
				37,875

**Note:** Since construction of building started on 1st April, 20X1, it is presumed that all the later expenditures on construction of building had been incurred at the beginning of the respective month.

Total expenses to be capitalized for building

	₹
Cost of building (1,50,000 + 2,00,000 + 3,50,000 + 1,00,000)	8,00,000
Add: Amount of interest to be capitalized	37,875
	8,37,875

**Journal Entry** 

Date	Particulars		
		₹	₹
31.1.20	Building account Dr.	8,37,875	
X2	To Bank account		8,00,000
	To Interest payable (borrowing cost)		0
	(Being expenditure incurred on		37,875
	construction of building and		
	borrowing cost thereon capitalized)		

Note: In the above journal entry, it is assumed that interest amount will be paid at the

Alternatively, following journal entry may be passed if interest is paid on the date of capitalization:

Date	Particulars			
			₹	₹
31.1.20 X2	Building account	Dr	8,37,875	8,37,875
	To Bank account (Being expenditure incurred on construction of building and borrowing cost thereon capitalized)			

283

#### **Question 5**

On 1st April, 20X1, entity A contracted for the construction of a building for ₹22,00,000. The land under the building is regarded as a separate asset and is not part of the qualifying assets. The building was completed at the end of March, 20X2, and during the period the following payments were made to the contractor:

Payment date	Amount ( '000)
1st April, 20X1	200
30th June, 20X1	600
31st December, 20X1	1,200
31st March, 20X2	200
Total	2,200

Entity A's borrowings at its year end of 31st March, 20X2 were as follows:

- a) 10%, 4-year note with simple interest payable annually, which relates specifically to the project; debt outstanding on 31st March, 20X2 amounted to ₹7,00,000. Interest of ₹65,000 was incurred on these borrowings during the year, and interest income of ₹20,000 was earned on these funds while they were held in anticipation of payments
- a) 12.5% 10-year note with simple interest payable annually; debt outstanding at 1st April, 20X1 amounted to ₹1,000,000 and remained unchanged during the year; and
- b) 10% 10-year note with simple interest payable annually; debt outstanding at 1st April, 20X1 amounted to ₹1,500,000 and remained unchanged during the year.

Determine the amount of the borrowing costs which can be capitalized at the year-end as per relevant Ind AS.

#### Answer 5

As per Ind AS 23, when an entity borrows funds specifically for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset, the entity should determine the amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation as the actual borrowing costs incurred on that borrowing during the period less any investment income on the temporary investment of those borrowings. The amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalization, in cases where the funds are borrowed generally, should be determined based on the capitalisation rate and expenditure incurred in obtaining a qualifying asset. The costs incurred should first be allocated to the specific borrowings.

Analysis of expenditure:

Date	Expenditure ( '000)	Amount allocated in general borrowings ( '000)	Weighted for period outstanding ( '000)		
1st April 20X1	200	0	0		
30th June 20X1	600	100*	$100 \times 9/12 = 75$		
31st Dec 20X1	1,200	1,200	$1,200 \times 3/12 = 300$		
31st March	200	200	$200 \times 0/12 = 0$		
20X2					
Total	2,200		375		

Specific borrowings of ₹7,00,000 fully utilized on 1st April & on 30th June to the extent of ₹5,00,000 hence remaining expenditure of 1,00,000 allocated to general borrowings. The capitalisation rate relating to general borrowings should be the weighted average of the borrowing costs applicable to the entity's borrowings that are outstanding during the period, other than borrowings made specifically for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset.

Capitalisation rate =  $\frac{(\text{₹10,00,000 x 12.5\%}) + (\text{₹15,00,000 x 10\%})}{\text{₹10.00,000} + \text{₹15,00,000}} = 11\%$ 

Borrowing cost to be capitalized:		Amount (₹)
On specific loan		65,000
On General borrowing (3,75,000 × 11%)		41,250
Total		1,06,25 0
Less: interest income on specific borrowings		(20,000)
Amount eligible for capitalization		86,250
Therefore, the borrowing costs to be capitalized are	86,250.	

# Question 6

In a group with Parent Company "P" there are 3 subsidiaries with following business:

"A" - Real Estate Company

"B" - Construction Company

"C" - Finance Company

- Parent Company has no operating activities of its own but performs management functions for its subsidiaries.
- Financing activities and cash management in the group are coordinated centrally.
- Finance Company is a vehicle used by the group solely for raising finance.
- All entities in the group prepare Ind AS financial statements.

The following information is relevant for the current reporting period 20X1-20X2: Real Estate Company

- Borrowings of  $\overline{10,00,000}$  with an interest rate of 7% p.a.
- Expenditures on qualifying assets during the period amounted to ₹15,40,000.
- All construction works were performed by Construction Company. Amounts invoiced to Real Estate Company included 10% profit margin.

#### **Construction Company**

- No borrowings during the period.
- Financed 10,00,000 of expenditures on qualifying assets using its own cash resources.

#### Finance Company

• Raised ₹20,00,000 at 7% p.a. externally and issued a loan to Parent Company for general corporate purposes at the rate of 8%.

#### Parent Company

- Used loan from Finance Company to acquire a new subsidiary.
- No qualifying assets apart from those in Real Estate Company and Construction

785

# Company.

· Parent Company did not issue any loans to other entities during the period. Compute the amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation in the financial statements of each of the four entities for the current reporting period 20X1-20X2.

#### Answer 6

Following is the treatment as per Ind AS 23:

#### Finance Company

No expenditure on qualifying assets have been incurred, so Finance Company cannot capitalise anything.

# **Real Estate Company**

Total interest costs in the financial statements of Real Estate Company is 70,000. Expenditures on qualifying assets exceed total borrowings, so the total amount of interest can be capitalised.

### **Construction Company**

No interest expense has been incurred, so Construction Company cannot capitalise anything.

Total general borrowings of the group: ₹10,00,000 + ₹20,00,000 = ₹30,00,000 Although Parent Company used proceeds from loan to acquire a subsidiary, this loan cannot be excluded from the pool of general borrowings.

Total interest expenditures for the group = ₹30,00,000 x 7% = ₹2,10,000

Total expenditures on qualifying assets for the group are added up. Profit margin charged by Construction Company to Real Estate Company is eliminated:

Real Estate Company - ₹15,40,000/1.1 = ₹14,00,000

Construction Co – ₹10,00,000

Total consolidated expenditures on qualifying assets:

₹ (14,00,000 + 10,00,000) = ₹24,00,000

Capitalisation rate = 7%

Borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation = ₹24,00,000 x 7% = ₹1,68,000

Total interest expenditures of the group are higher than borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation calculated based on the actual expenditures incurred on the qualifying assets. Therefore, only ₹1,68,000 can be capitalised.

#### Question 7

Examine how will you capitalise the interest, when qualifying assets are funded by borrowings in the nature of bonds that are issued at discount.

Y Ltd. issued at the start of year 1, 10% (interest paid annually and having maturity period of 4 years) bonds with a face value of ₹2,00,000 at a discount of 10% to finance a qualifying asset which is ready for intended use at the end of

Compute the amount of borrowing costs to be capitalized if the company amortizes discount using Effective Interest Rate method by applying 13.39% p.a. of EIR.

#### Answer 7

#### **Capitalisation Method**

As per the Standard, borrowing costs may include interest expense calculated using the effective interest method. Further, capitalisation of borrowing cost should cease where substantially all the activities necessary to prepare the qualifying asset for its intended use or sale are complete.

Thus, only that portion of the amortized discount should be capitalised as part of the cost of a qualifying asset which relates to the period during which acquisition,

construction or production of the asset takes place.

# **Capitalisation of Interest**

Hence, based on the above explanation the amount of borrowing cost of year 1 & 2 are to be capitalised and the borrowing cost relating to year 3 & 4 should be expensed.

# Quantum of Borrowing

The value of the bond to Y Ltd. is the transaction price ie ₹1,80,000 (₹2,00,000 -₹20,000) Therefore, Y Ltd will recognize the borrowing at ₹1,80,000.

# Computation of the amount of Borrowing Cost to be Capitalised

Y Ltd will capitalise the interest (borrowing cost) using the effective interest rate of 13.39% for two years as the qualifying asset is ready for intended use at the end of the year 2, the details of which are as follows:

Yea r	Opening Borrowin g	Interest expense @ 13.39% to be capitalised	Total	Interes t paid	Closing Borrowing
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) = (3) - (4)
1	1,80,000	24,102	2,04,10 2	20,00 0	1,84,102
2	1,84,102	24,651	2,08,75 3	20,00 0	1,88,753
		48,753			

Accordingly, borrowing cost of ₹48,753 will be capitalized to the cost of qualifying asset.

#### Question 8

Nikka Limited has obtained a term loan of ₹620 lacs for a complete renovation and modernisation of its Factory on 1st April, 20X1. Plant and Machinery was acquired under the modernisation scheme and installation was completed on 30th April, 20X2. An expenditure of 510 lacs was incurred on installation of Plant and Machinery, ₹54 lacs has been advanced to suppliers for additional assets (acquired on 25th April, 20X1) which were also installed on 30th April, 20X2 and the balance loan of ₹56 lacs has been used for working capital purposes. Management of Nikka Limited considers the 12 months period as substantial period of time to get the asset ready for its intended use.

The company has paid total interest of ₹68.20 lacs during financial year 20X1-20X2 on the above loan. The accountant seeks your advice how to account for the interest paid in the books of accounts. Will your answer be different, if the whole process of renovation and modernization gets completed by 28th February, 20X2?

#### Answer 7

As per Ind AS 23, Borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset form part of the cost of that asset. Other borrowing costs are recognized as an expense.

Where, a qualifying asset is an asset that necessarily takes a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use or sale.

Accordingly, the treatment of Interest of ₹68.20 lakh occurred during the year 20X1-20X2 would be as follows:

# When construction of asset completed on 30th April, 20X2

The treatment for total borrowing cost of ₹68.20 lakh will be as follows:

Purpose	Nature	Interest to be capitalised	Interest to be charged to profit and loss account
		₹in lakh	₹in lakh
Modernisation and	Qualifying	[68.2 x (510/620)] =	Nil
renovation of plant and machinery	asset	56.10	
Advance to	Qualifying	[68.2 x (54/620)] =	Nil
suppliers for additional assets	asset	5.94	
Working Capital	Not a qualifying asset		$\begin{bmatrix} 68.2 & x & (56/620) \end{bmatrix} & 6.1 \\ 0 & = 6 \end{bmatrix}$
		62.04	6.16

#### When construction of assets is completed by 28th February, 20X2

When the process of renovation gets completed in less than 12 months, the plant and machinery and the additional assets will not be considered as qualifying assets (until and unless the entity specifically considers that the assets took substantial period for completing their construction). Accordingly, the whole of interest will be charged off / expensed off to Profit and Loss account.

#### Question 9 (Illustration)

A company deals in production of dairy products. It prepares and sells various milk products like ghee, butter and cheese. The company borrowed funds from bank for manufacturing operation. The cheese takes substantial longer period to get ready for sale.

State whether borrowing costs incurred to finance the production of inventories (cheese) that have a long production period, be capitalised?

#### Answer 9

Ind AS 23 does not require the capitalisation of borrowing costs for inventories that are manufactured in large quantities on a repetitive basis. However, interest capitalisation is permitted as long as the production cycle takes a 'substantial period of time', as with cheese.

# Question 10 (Illustration)

A company is in the process of developing computer software. The asset has been qualified for recognition purposes. However, the development of computer software will take substantial period of time to complete.

- (i) Can computer software be termed as a 'qualifying asset' under Ind AS 23?
- (ii) Is management intention considered when assessing whether an asset is a qualifying asset?

#### Answer 10

- (i) Yes. An intangible asset that takes a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use or sale is a 'qualifying asset'. This would be the case for an internally generated computer software in the development phase when it takes a 'substantial period of time' to complete.
- (ii) Yes. Management should assess whether an asset, at the date of acquisition, is

888 Eage

'ready for its intended use or sale'. The asset might be a qualifying asset, depending on how management intends to use it. For example, when an acquired asset can only be used in combination with a larger group of fixed assets or was acquired specifically for the construction of one specific qualifying asset, the assessment of whether the acquired asset is a qualifying asset is made on a combined basis.

# Question 11 (Illustration)

A telecom company has acquired a 3G license. The licence could be sold or licensed to a third party. However, management intends to use it to operate a wireless network. Development of the network starts when the license is acquired.

Should borrowing costs on the acquisition of the 3G license be capitalised until the network is ready for its intended use?

#### Answer 11

Yes. The license has been exclusively acquired to operate the wireless network. The fact that the license can be used or licensed to a third party is irrelevant. The acquisition of the license is the first step in a wider investment project (developing the network). It is part of the network investment, which meets the definition of a qualifying asset under Ind AS 23.

# Question 12 (Illustration)

A real estate company has incurred expenses for the acquisition of a permit allowing the construction of a building. It has also acquired equipment that will be used for the construction of various buildings.

Can borrowing costs on the acquisition of the permit and the equipment be capitalised until the construction of the building is complete?

#### Answer 12

#### With respect to Permit

Yes, since permit is specific to one building. It is the first step in a wider investment project. It is part of the construction cost of the building, which meets the definition of a qualifying asset.

#### With respect to Equipment

No, since the equipment will be used for other construction projects. It is ready for its 'intended use' at the acquisition date. Hence, it does not meet the definition of a qualifying asset.

### Question 13 (Illustration)

# Is interest on a finance lease of a qualifying asset capitalised as borrowing costs? Answer 13

Yes, interest incurred for a finance lease is specific to an asset. Interest is capitalised if the asset is a qualifying asset or is used solely for the construction of a qualifying asset. For example, a crane or a dockyard is leased for the purpose of constructing a ship. The ship is a qualifying asset. The interest on the finance lease of the crane or dockyard is capitalised as borrowing costs. Borrowing costs on the finance lease can only be capitalised up to the point when the construction of the qualifying asset is complete.

#### Question 14 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd. has taken a loan of USD 20,000 on 1st April, 20X1 for constructing a plant at an interest rate of 5% per annum payable on annual basis.

Page 389

On 1st April, 20X1, the exchange rate between the currencies i.e. USD vs Rupees was  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}}$  45 per USD. The exchange rate on the reporting date i.e. 31st March, 20X2 is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}}$  48 per USD.

The corresponding amount could have been borrowed by ABC Ltd from State bank of India in local currency at an interest rate of 11% per annum as on 1st April, 20X1.

Compute the borrowing cost to be capitalized for the construction of plant by ABC Ltd. for the period ending 31st March, 20X2.

#### Answer 14

In the above situation, the borrowing cost needs to determine for interest cost on such foreign currency loan and eligible exchange loss difference if any.

- (a) Interest on foreign currency loan for the period: USD 20,000 x 5% = USD 1,000 Converted in ₹: USD 1,000 x ₹ 48/USD = ₹ 48,000 Increase in liability due to change in exchange difference: USD 20,000 x (48 45) = ₹ 60,000
- (b) Interest that would have resulted if the loan was taken in Indian Currency: USD  $20,000 \ge 45/\text{USD} \ge 11\% = 799,000$
- (c) Difference between interest on foreign currency borrowing and local currency borrowing:

₹ 99,000 - 48,000 = ₹ 51,000

Hence, out of exchange loss of ₹ 60,000 on principal amount of foreign currency loan, only exchange loss to the extent of ₹ 51,000 is considered as borrowing costs. Total borrowing cost to be capitalized is as under:

(a) Interest cost on borrowing ₹ 48,000

(b) Exchange difference to the extent considered to be an adjustment to Interest cost ₹ 51,000 ₹ 99,000

The exchange difference of ₹ 51,000 has been capitalized as borrowing cost and the remaining

₹ 9,000 will be expensed off in the Statement of Profit and loss.

#### Question 15 (Illustration)

Alpha Ltd. on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X1 borrowed 9% ₹ 30,00,000 to finance the construction of two qualifying assets. Construction started on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X1. The loan facility was availed on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X1 and was utilized as follows with remaining funds invested temporarily at 7%.

	Factory	Office
	Building	Building
1st April, 20X1	5,00,000	10,00,000
1st October, 20X1	5,00,000	10,00,000

Calculate the cost of the asset and the borrowing cost to be capitalized. Answer 15

Particulars	Factory Building	Office Building
Borrowing Costs	(10,00,000 x 9%)	(20,00,000 x 9%)
_	90,000	1,80,000
Less: Investment Income	$(5,00,000 \times 7\% \times$	$(10,00,000x7\% \times 6/12)$
	6/12) (17,500)	(35,000)
	72,500	1,45,000

. 390

Cost of the asset:		
Expenditure incurred	10,00,0	20,00,0
Borrowing Costs	00	00
Total		
	72,500	1,45,00
	10,72,5	0
	00	21,45,0
		00

#### Question 16 (Illustration)

On 1st April, 20X1, A Ltd. took a 8% loan of ₹ 50,00,000 for construction of building A which is repayable after 6 years ie on  $31^{\rm st}$  March 20X7. The construction of building was completed on  $31^{\rm st}$  March 20X3. A Ltd. started constructing a new building B in the year 20X3-20X4, for which he used his existing borrowings. He has outstanding general purpose loan of ₹ 25,00,000, interest on which is payable @ 9% and ₹ 15,00,000, interest on which is payable @ 7%. Is the specific borrowing transferred to the general borrowings pool once the respective qualifying asset is completed? Why?

#### Answer 16

Yes. If specific borrowings were not repaid once the relevant qualifying asset was completed, they become general borrowings for as long as they are outstanding. The borrowing costs that are directly attributable to obtaining qualifying assets are those borrowing costs that would have been avoided if the expenditure on the qualifying asset had not been made. If cash was not spent on other qualifying assets, it could be directed to repay this specific loan. Thus, borrowing costs could be avoided (that is, they are directly attributable to other qualifying assets).

- When general borrowings are used for qualifying assets, Ind AS 23 requires that, borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation is calculated by applying a capitalisation rate to the expenditures on qualifying assets.
- The amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation is always limited to the amount of actual borrowing costs incurred during the period.

# Question 17 (Illustration)

Beta Ltd had the following loans in place at the end of 31st March, 20X2: (Amounts in ₹ 000)

Loan	1 <sup>st</sup> April, 20X1	31st March, 20X2
18% Bank Loan	1,000	1,000
16% Term Loan	3,000	3,000
14% Debentures	-	2,000

14% debenture was issued to fund the construction of Office building on 1st July, 20X1 but the development activities has yet to be started.

On 1st April, 20X1, Beta ltd began the construction of a Plant being qualifying asset using the existing borrowings. Expenditure drawn down for the construction was:  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  500,000 on 1st April, 20X1 and  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  2,500,000 on 1st January, 20X2.

Calculate the borrowing cost that can be capitalised for the plant.

Answer 17

391

Capitalisation	$(18\% \times 1,000)$ $(16\% \times 3,000)$	16.5%
rate	$\frac{1,000 + 3,000}{1,000 + 3,000} + \frac{1,000 + 3,000}{1,000 + 3,000}$	
Borrowing Costs	(500,000 x 16.5%)+(2,500,000 x16.5% x	₹ 1,85,625
	[ 3/12)	

Capitalisation rate for above illustration could also be calculated with the following approach by assigning weights to the borrowings:

Particulars	Loan	Weighted average (a)	Interest rate (b)	Capitalisatio n rate (a*b)
18% Bank	1,000	25%	18%	4.5%
Loan				
16% Term	3,000	75%	16%	12%
Loan				
Total	4,000	100%		16.5%

Answer in both the approaches would be same as can be seen from the above two solutions.

#### Question 18 (Illustration)

X Ltd is commencing a new construction project, which is to be financed by borrowing. The key dates are as follows:

- (i) 15th May, 20X1: Loan interest relating to the project starts to be incurred
- (ii) 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 20X1: Technical site planning commences
- (iii) 19th June, 20X1: Expenditure on the project started to be incurred
- (iv) 18<sup>th</sup> July, 20X1: Construction work commences Identify commencement date.

#### Answer 18

In the above case, the three conditions to be tested for commencement date would be: Borrowing cost has been incurred on: 15<sup>th</sup> May, 20X1

Expenditure has been incurred for the asset on: 19th June, 20X1

Activities necessary to prepare asset for its intended use or sale: 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 20X1

Commencement date would be the date when the above three conditions would be satisfied in all


i.e. 19th June, 20X1

### Illustration 19 (Illustration)

A subsidiary (or jointly controlled entity or associate) finances the construction of a qualifying asset with an inter-company loan. Are borrowing costs incurred on the inter-company loan capitalised in the separate financial statements of the subsidiary (or jointly controlled entity or associate)?

#### Answer 19

Yes. Borrowing costs are capitalised to the extent of the actual costs incurred by the subsidiary (or jointly controlled entity or associate).



Page 393

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

# Chapter 6 Unit-4 Ind AS 36: "Impairment of Assets"

#### Attempts wise Distribution

Attem pts	Dec' 21	Jan' 21	Jul'2 1	May' 18	Nov' 18	May' 19	May' 22	May' 23	Nov' 19	Nov' 20	Nov' 22	Nov' 23
MTP			Q7		Q6	Q9	Q10			Q8		Q5, Q11
PYP			Q14		Q2						Q1	
RTP			Q3		Q4					Q12		Q13

# Section – A

# Question 1

Machinery A was purchased on 1st April 2016 for ₹ 12 lakhs and residual value is ₹ 60 thousand. Machinery B was purchased on 1st April, 2018 for ₹ 5 lakhs with no residual value. The useful life of both Machinery A and B is 10 years. The company expects following cash flows in the next 5 years pertaining to Machinery A. The incremental borrowing rate of company is 10% p.a.

The meremental bollowing late of company is		
Year	Cash Flows from Machinery A	
1	2,00,000	
2	1,50,000	
3	1,00,000	
4	1,50,000	
5	1,00,000 (Excluding Residual Value)	
Total	7,00,000	

On 31st March, 2021, the professional valuers have estimated that the current market value of machinery A is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{$}}}$  8.5 lakhs. There is a need to dismantle the machinery before delivering it to the buyer. Dismantling cost is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}{$}}}}}$  1.60 lakhs. Specialized packaging cost would be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}}$  30,000 and legal fees would be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}}}$  68,000. The inventory has been valued in accordance with Ind AS 2. The recoverable value of CGU is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}}$  10 lakhs as on 31st March, 2021. In the next year, the company has done the assessment of recoverability of the CGU and found that the value of such CGU is

₹ 11 lakhs i.e. on 31st March, 2022. The recoverable value of Machinery A is ₹ 5,50,000 and combined for Machinery A and Machinery B is ₹ 8,00,000 as on 31st March, 2022.

# You are required to:

- (i) Compute the impairment loss on CGU and carrying value of each asset after charging impairment loss for the year ending 31st March, 2021 by providing all the relevant working notes to arrive at such calculation.
- (ii) Compute the carrying value after considering prospective depreciation for the year 2021-2022 on the above assets.
- (iii) Compute the carrying value of CGU as at 31st March, 2022.

(Note: Present value factor of ₹ 1 should be taken upto 4 decimals for the purpose of calculation) (PYP 8 Marks Nov 22)

#### Answer 1

(i) Computation of impairment loss and carrying value of each of the asset in CGU after impairment loss

(a) Calculation of carrying value of Machinery A and B before impairment

, caroaracron or carrying	,	ory
Machinery A		
Cost	(A)	₹ 12,00,000
Residual value		₹ 60,000
Useful life		10 years
Useful life already elapse	d	5 years
Yearly depreciation	(B)	₹ 1,14,000
WDV as at 31st March 2021 [A- (B x 5)]		₹ 6,30,000
Machinery B		
Cost	(C)	₹ 5,00,000
Residual value		-
Useful life		10 years
Useful life already elapse	d	3 years
Yearly depreciation	(D)	₹ 50,000
WDV as at 31st March 20	D21 [C- (D x 3)]	₹ 3,50,000

(b) Calculation of Value-in-use of Machinery A

calculation of value in use of Muchinery 11			
Perio	Cash Flows (₹)	PVF@10	PV
d		%	
1	2,00,000	0.9091	1,81,820
2	1,50,000	0.8264	1,23,960
3	1,00,000	0.7513	75,130
4	1,50,000	0.6830	1,02,450
5	1,00,000	0.6209	62,090
5	60,000	0.6209	37,254
Value in use			5,82,704

(c) Calculation of Fair Value less cost of disposal of Machinery A

	₹
Fair Value	8,50,000
Less: Dismantling cost	(1,60,000)
Packaging cost	(30,000)

395

Legal Fees	(68,000)
Fair value less cost of disposal	5,92,000

(d) Calculation of Impairment loss on Machinery A

, calculation of impairment loss on machinery	
	₹
Carrying Value	6,30,000
Less: Recoverable Value ie higher of Value-inuse (₹ 5,82,704) and Fair value less cost of disposal (₹ 5,92,000)	(5,92,000)
Impairment Loss	38,000

#### (e) Calculation of Impairment loss of CGU

- 1. First goodwill will be impaired fully and then the remaining impairment loss of CGU will be allocated to Machinery A and Machinery B.
- 2. After deduction of value of goodwill ₹ 1,50,000 from total impairment loss of CGU of ₹ 2,95,000, remaining impairment loss would be ₹ 1,45,000. If we allocate remaining impairment loss to Machinery A and B on pro- rata basis, it will come to ₹ 93,214 on Machinery A. However, the impairment loss of Machinery A cannot exceed ₹ 38,000 since its recoverable value is ₹ 5,92,000. Hence, impairment loss to CGU will be as follows:

	Carrying value before impairment loss	Impairment loss	Carrying value after impairment loss
	₹	₹	₹
Machinery A	6,30,000	38,000	5,92,000
Machinery B	3,50,000	1,07,000*	2,43,000
Inventory	1,65,000	-	1,65,000
Goodwill	1,50,000	1,50,000	-
Total	12,95,000	2,95,000	10,00,000

<sup>\*</sup> Balancing figure.

# (ii) Carrying value after adjustment of depreciation of 2021-2022

	₹
Machinery A [5,92,000 - {(5,92,000 -	4,85,600
[60,000)/5}]	0.00.006
Machinery B [2,43,000 – (2,43,000/7)]	2,08,286
Inventory	1,65,000
Goodwill	-
Total	8,58,886

# (iii) Calculation of carrying value of CGU as on 31st March 2022

The revised value of CGU is ₹ 11 lakh. However, impaired goodwill cannot be reversed. Further, the individual assets cannot be increased above the lower of recoverable value or carrying value as if the assets were never impaired. Accordingly, the carrying value as on 31st March 2022 assuming that the impairment loss had never incurred, will be:

	Carrying Value	Recoverable	Final CV as
		Value	at 31st
			March 2022
Machinery A	[12,00,000 - (1,14,000	5,50,000	5,16,000
	x		
	6)] 5,16,000		
Machinery B	[5,00,000 –	(8,00,000 –	2,50,000
	(50,000x4)]	5,50,000)	
	3,00,000	2,50,000	
Inventory	1,65,000*	1,65,000*	1,65,000*
Goodwill	_	-	_
Total	9,81,000	9,65,000	9,31,000

Hence, the impairment loss to be reversed will be limited to ₹ 72,114 only (₹ 9,31,000 - ₹ 8,58,886).

\*Note: The question required valuation of CGU at the year-end 31st March 2022, for which value of inventory was required. In the absence of the value of inventory for the year ended 31st March 2022, it is assumed that the value of inventory for the year ended 31st March 2022 is same as it was for the year ended 31 st March, 2021.

# Question 2 XYZ Limited has three cash-generating units - X, Y and Z, the carrying amounts of which as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 are as follows:

Cash Units	Generating	Carrying lakh)	Amount	(Rs.	in	Remaining in years	useful	life
	X		800			20	0	
Y		1000		10				
Z		1200		20				

XYZ Limited also has corporate assets having a remaining useful life of 20 years as given below:

as given below.							
Corporate	Carrying	Remarks					
Assets	amount (Rs.						
	in lakh)						
AU	800	The carrying amount of AU can be					
		allocated on a reasonable basis to the					
		individual cash generating units.					
BU	400	The carrying amount of BU cannot be					
		allocated on a reasonable basis to the					
		individual cash-generating units.					

Recoverable amounts as on 31st March, 2018 are as follows:

Cash-generating units	Recoverable amount (Rs. in lakh)				
X	1000				
Y	1200				
Z	1400				
XYZ Limited	3900				

Calculate the impairment loss if any of XYZ Ltd. Ignore decimals. (PYP 10 Marks, Nov'18)

#### Answer 2

(i) Allocation of corporate assets to CGU

397 age

The carrying amount of AU is allocated to the carrying amount of each individual cash- generating unit. A weighted allocation basis is used because the estimated remaining useful life of Y's cash-generating unit is 10 years, whereas the estimated remaining useful lives of X and Z's cash-generating units are 20 years.

	useful lives of A and 2's cash-generating units are 20 years.							
	(Rs. in lakh)							
	Particulars	X	Y	Z	Total			
(a)	Carrying amount	800	1000	1,200	3,000			
(b)	Useful life	20 years	10 years	20 years				
(c)	Weight based on useful life	2	1	2				
(d)	Carrying amount (after assigning weight) (a x c)	1,600	1,000	2,400	5,000			
(e)	Pro-rata allocation of AU	32% (1,600/5,000 )	20% (1,000/5,000 )	48% (2,400/5,000 )	100%			
(f)	Allocation of carrying amount of AU (32: 20: 48)	256	160	384	800			
(g)	Carrying amount (after allocation of AU) (a+f)	1,056	1,160	1,584	3,800			

#### (ii) Calculation of impairment loss

## Step 1: Impairment losses for individual cash-generating units and its allocation

(a) Impairment loss of each cash-generating units

			<del></del>
(Rs. in lakh)			
Particulars	X	Y	Z
Carrying amount (after allocation of	1,056	1,160	1,584
AU)			
Recoverable amount	1,000	1,200	1,400
Impairment loss	56	Nil	184

(b) Allocation of the impairment loss (after rounding off)

(Rs. in lakh)						
Allocation to	X		Z			
AU	14	(56x256/1,05	45	(184x384/1,58		
		6)		4)		
Other assets in						
cash- generating	42	(56x800/1056	139			
units		)		1,584)		
Impairment loss	56		184			

Step 2: Impairment loss for the larger cash-generating unit, i.e., XYZ Ltd. as a whole

40 4 WIIOIO						
(Rs. in lakh)						
Particular s	X	Y	Z	AU	BU	XYZ Ltd.
Carrying amount	800	1,000	1,200	800	40	4,200

398 sae

					0	
Impairment loss (Step	(42)	-	(139)	(59)*	-	(240)
I)						
Carrying amount	758	1,000	1,061	741	40	3,960
(after Step I)					0	
Recoverable amount						3,900
Impairment loss for the 'larger' cash-generating						60
unit						

\*Rs. 14 lakh + Rs. 45 lakh = Rs. 59 lakh.

#### Question 3

On 31 March 20X1, Vision Ltd acquired 80% of the equity shares of Mission Ltd for Rs. 190 million. The fair values of the net assets of Mission Ltd that were included in the consolidated statement of financial position of Vision Ltd at 31 March 20X1 were Rs. 200 million. It is the Group's policy to value the non-controlling interest in subsidiaries at the date of acquisition at its proportionate share of the fair value of the subsidiaries' identifiable net assets.

On 31 March 20X4, Vision Ltd carried out its annual review of the goodwill on consolidation of Mission Ltd and found evidence of impairment. No impairment had been evident when the reviews were carried out at 31 March 20X2 and 31 March 20X3. The review involved allocating the assets of Mission Ltd into three cash-generating units and computing the value in use of each unit. The carrying values of the individual units before any impairment adjustments are given below:

	Unit ARs. in	Unit B	Unit C
	million	Rs. in million	Rs. in
			million
Intangible assets	30	10	-
Property, Plant and Equipment	80	50	60
Current Assets	60	30	40
Total	170	90	100
Value in use of unit	180	66	104

It was not possible to meaningfully allocate the goodwill on consolidation to the individual cash generating units but all the other net assets of Mission Ltd are allocated in the table shown above. The intangible assets of Mission Ltd have no ascertainable market value but all the current assets have a market value that is at least equal to their carrying value. The value in use of Mission Ltd as a single cash-generating unit on 31 March 20X4 is Rs. 350 million. Discuss and compute the accounting treatment of impairment of goodwill as per Ind AS 36? (RTP May '21)

#### **Answer 3**

The goodwill on consolidation of Mission Ltd that is recognized in the consolidated balance sheet of Vision Ltd is Rs. 30 million (Rs. 190 million – 80% x Rs. 200 million). This can only be reviewed for impairment as part of the cash generating units to which it relates. Since here the goodwill cannot be meaningfully allocated to the units, the impairment review is in two parts. Units A and C have values in use that are more than their carrying values. However, the value in use of Unit B is less than its carrying amount. This means that the assets of unit B are impaired by Rs. 24 million (Rs. 90

million – Rs. 66 million). This impairment loss will be charged to the statement of profit and loss.

Assets of Unit B will be written down on a pro-rata basis as shown in the table below:

(Rs. in

#### million)

As	set	Impact on carrying value			
		Existing	Impairment	Revised	
Intangible ass	ets	10	(4)	6	
Property, equipment	plant and	50	(20)	30	
Current assets	S	30	Nil*	30	
Total		90	(24)	66	

<sup>\*</sup> The current assets are not impaired because they are expected to realize at least their carrying value when disposed of. Following this review, the three units plus the goodwill are reviewed together i.e. treating Mission Limited as single cash generating Unit. The impact of this is shown in the following table, given that the recoverable amount of the business as a whole is

Rs. 350 million:

(Rs. in million)

Component	Impact of impairment review on carrying value					
	Existing Impairment Revised					
Goodwill (see note below)	37.50	(23.50)	14.00			
Unit A	170.00	Nil	170.00			
Unit B (revised)	66.00	Nil	66.00			
Unit C	100.00	Nil	100.00			
Total	373.50	(23.50)	350.00			

Note: As per Appendix C of Ind AS 36, given that the subsidiary is 80% owned the goodwill must first be grossed up to reflect a notional 100% investment. Therefore, the goodwill will be grossed up to Rs. 37.50 million (Rs. 30 million x 100/80). The impairment loss of Rs. 23.50 million is all allocated to goodwill, leaving the carrying values of the individual units of the business as shown in the table immediately above. The table shows that the notional goodwill that relates to a 100% interest is written down by Rs. 23.50 million to Rs. 14.00 million. However, in the consolidated financial statements the goodwill that is recognized is based on an 80% interest so the loss that is actually recognized is Rs. 18.80 million (Rs. 23.50 million x 80%) and the closing consolidated goodwill figure is Rs. 11.20 million (Rs. 14.00 million x 80%) or (Rs. 30 million – Rs. 18.80 million).

#### Question 4

M Ltd. has three cash-generating units: A, B and C. Due to adverse changes in the technological environment, M Ltd. conducted impairment tests of each of its cash- generating units. On 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018, the carrying amounts of A, B and C are Rs. 100 lakhs, Rs. 150 lakhs and Rs. 200 lakhs respectively. The operations are conducted from a headquarter. The carrying amount of the headquarter assets is Rs. 200 lakhs: a headquarter building of Rs. 150 lakhs and

a research centre of Rs. 50 lakhs. The relative carrying amounts of the cash-generating units are a reasonable indication of the proportion of the head-quarter building devoted to each cash-generating unit. The carrying amount of the research Centre cannot be allocated on a reasonable basis to the individual cash-generating units.

Following is the remaining estimated useful life of:

	A	В	С	Head quarter assets
Remaining estimated useful life	10	20	20	20

The headquarter assets are depreciated on a straight-line basis.

The recoverable amount of each cash generating unit is based on its value in use since net selling price for each CGU cannot be calculated. Therefore, Value in use is equal to

	A	В	C	M Ltd. as a whole
Recoverable amount	199	164	271	720*

\*The research centre generates additional future cash flows for the enterprise as a whole. Therefore, the sum of the value in use of each individual CGU is less than the value in use of the business as a whole. The additional cash flows are not attributable to the headquarter building.

Calculate and show allocation of impairment loss as per Ind AS 36. Ignore tax effects (RTP Nov '18)

#### Answer 4

#### 1. Identification of Corporate Assets of M Ltd.

Here, the corporate assets are the headquarter building and the research centre.

#### For corporate building

Since, the carrying amount of the headquarter building can be allocated on a reasonable and consistent basis to the cash-generating units under review. Therefore, only a 'bottom-up' test is necessary.

#### For research centre

Since the carrying amount of the research centre cannot be allocated on a reasonable and consistent basis to the individual CGU under review. Therefore, a 'top-down' test will be applied in addition to the 'bottom-up' test.

#### 2. Allocation of Corporate Assets

Since the estimated remaining useful life of A's CGU is 10 years, whereas the estimated remaining useful lives of B and C's CGU are 20 years, the carrying amount of the headquarter building is allocated to the carrying amount of each individual cashgenerating unit on weight basis.

## 3. Calculation of a weighted allocation of the carrying amount of the headquarter building Rs. lakhs) (Amount in

On 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2018	A	В	С	Total
Carrying amount (A)	100	150	200	450
Useful life	10 years	20 years	20 years	
Weighting based on useful life	1	2	2	
Carrying amount after weighting	100	300	400	800
Pro-rata allocation of the building	12.5%	37.5%	50%	100%
	(100/800)	(300/800)	(400/800)	
Allocation of the carrying amount	18.75	56.25	75	150
of the building (based on pro-rata above) (B)				

Carrying amount (after allocation of	118.75	206.25	275	600
the building)				

4. Calculation of Impairment Losses

a. Application of 'bottom-up' test (Amount in Rs. lakhs)

31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2018	A	В	C
Carrying amount (after allocation of the	118.75	206.25	275
building) (Refer Point 3 above)			
Recoverable amount (given in the question)	199	164	271
Impairment loss	0	(42)	(4)

(i) Allocation of the impairment losses for cash-generating units B and C

(Amount in Rs. lakhs)

Cash-generating unit	В	C
To headquarter building	(12) (42*56/206)	(1) (4*75/275)
To assets in cash-generating	(30) (42*150/206)	(3) (4*200/275)
unit	(42)	(4)

Since the research centre could not be allocated on a reasonable and consistent basis to A, B and C's CGU, M Ltd. compares the carrying amount of the smallest CGU to which the carrying amount of the research centre can be allocated (i.e., M as a whole) to its recoverable amount, in accordance with the 'top-down' test.

(ii) Application of the 'top-down' test (Amount in Rs. lakhs)

::, ==pp================================							
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2018	A	В	С	Building	Research centre	M Ltd.	
Carrying amount	100	150	200	150	50	650	
Impairment loss arising from the 'bottom-up' test		(30)	(3)	(13)	_	(46)	
Carrying amount after the 'bottom- up' test	100	120	197	137	50	604	
Recoverable amount						720	

Since recoverable amount is more than the carrying amount of M Ltd., no additional impairment loss has been resulted from the application of the 'top- down'test. Only an impairment loss of Rs. 46 lakhs will be recognized as a result of the application of the 'bottom-up' test.

#### Question 5

On 1st January Year 1, Entity Q purchased a machine costing ₹ 2,40,000 with an estimated useful life of 20 years and an estimated zero residual value. Depreciation is computed on straight-line basis. The asset had been revalued on 1st January Year 3 to ₹ 2,50,000, but with no change in useful life at that date. On 1st January Year 4 an impairment review showed the machine's recoverable amount to be ₹ 1,00,000 and its estimated remaining useful life to be 10 years.

#### Calculate:

- (i) The carrying amount of the machine on 31st December Year 2 and the revaluation surplus arising on 1st January Year 3.
- (ii) The carrying amount of the machine on 31st December Year 3 (immediately before the impairment).
- (iii) The impairment loss recognised in the year to 31st December Year 4 and its treatment thereon

(iv) The depreciation charge in the year to 31st December Year 4. Note: During the course of utilization of machine, the company did not opt to transfer part of the revaluation surplus to retained earnings. (MTP 8 Marks Oct '23)

#### Answer 5

(i) Calculation of Carrying amount of machine at the end of Year 2 2,40,000 Cost of machine

Accumulated depreciation for 2 years [2 years  $\times$  (2,40,000 ÷ 20)] (24,000)

Carrying amount of the machine at the end of Year 2 2,16,000

(ii) Calculation of carrying amount of the machine on 31 December Year

Carrying amount at the beginning of Year 3 2,16,000 Revaluation done at the beginning of Year 3 2,50,000 Revaluation surplus 34.000

(iii) Calculation of Impairment loss at the end of Year 4

When machine is revalued on 1 January Year 3, depreciation is charged on the revalued amount over its remaining expected useful life.

Valuation at 1 January (re-valued amount) 2,50,000 Accumulated depreciation in Year 3 (2,50,000 / (13,889)Carrying amount of the asset at the end of Year 2,36,111 On 1 January Year 4, recoverable amount of 1,00,000 the machine Impairment loss (2,36,111 - 1,00,000)1,36,111

An impairment loss of ₹ 34,000 will be taken to other comprehensive income (reducing the revaluation surplus for the asset to zero) The remaining impairment loss of  $\{1,02,111,(1,36,111-34,000)\}$  is recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss for the Year 4.

(iv) Calculation of depreciation charge in the Year 4

Carrying value of the machine at the beginning of Year ₹ 1,00,000 Estimated remaining useful life 10 years

Depreciation charge is (₹ 1,00,000 / 10 years) ₹ 10,000

#### Question 6

Great Ltd., acquired a machine on 1st April, 2012 for Rs. 7 crore that had an estimated useful life of 7 years. The machine is depreciated on straight line basis and does not carry any residual value. On 1st April, 2016, the carrying value of the machine was reassessed at Rs. 5.10 crore and the surplus arising out of the revaluation being credited to revaluation reserve. For the year ended March 2018, conditions indicating an impairment of the machine existed and the amount recoverable ascertained to be only Rs. 79 lakhs.

Calculate the loss on impairment of the machine and show how this loss is to be treated in the books of Great Ltd. Great Ltd., had followed the policy of writing down the revaluation surplus by the increased charge of depreciation resulting from the revaluation. (MTP 10 Marks Aug '18)

#### Answer 6

Statement Showing	g Impairment Loss
-------------------	-------------------

(Rs. in crores)					
Carrying amount of the machine as on 1st April, 2012	7.00				
Depreciation for 4 years i.e.2012-2013 to 2015-2016 $\left  \frac{7 \ Crores}{7 \ years} \times 4 \ years \right $	(4.00)				
Carrying amount as on 31.03.2016	3.00				
Add: Upward Revaluation (credited to Revaluation Reserve account)	2.10				
Carrying amount of the machine as on 1st April 2016 (revalued)					
Less: Depreciation for 2 years i.e. 2016-2017 & 2017-2018 $\left  \frac{5.10 \ Crores}{3 \ years} \times 2 \ years \right $	(3.40)				
·	1.70				
Carrying amount as on 31.03.2018	1.70				
Less: Recoverable amount	(0.79)				
Impairment loss	0.91				
Less: Balance in revaluation reserve as on 31.03.2018:					
Balance in revaluation reserve as on 31.03.20162.10					
Less: Enhanced depreciation met from revaluation reserve					
$2016-2017 & 2017-2018 = [(1.70 - 1.00) \times 2 \text{ years}]$ $(1.40)$					
Impairment loss set off against revaluation reserve balance as per para 58 of AS 28 "Impairment of Assets"	(0.70)				
Impairment Loss to be debited to profit and loss account	0.21				

#### Question 7

East Ltd. (East) owns a machine used in the manufacture of steering wheels, which are sold directly to major car manufacturers.

- The machine was purchased on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 at a cost of Rs. 5,00,000 through a vendor financing arrangement on which interest is being charged at the rate of 10 per cent per annum.
- During the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X3, East sold 10,000 steering wheels at a selling price of Rs. 190 per wheel.
- The most recent financial budget approved by East's management, covering the period 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X3 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X8, including that the company expects to sell each steering wheel for Rs. 200 during 20X3-X4, the price rising in later years in line with a forecast inflation of 3 per cent per annum.
- During the year ended 31st March, 20X4, East expects to sell 10,000

steering wheels. The number is forecast to increase by 5 per cent each year until 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X8.

- East estimates that each steering wheel costs Rs. 160 to manufacture, which includes Rs. 110 variable costs, Rs. 30 share of fixed overheads and Rs. 20 transport costs.
- Costs are expected to rise by 1 per cent during 20X4-X5, and then by 2 per cent per annum until 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X8.
- During 20X5-X6, the machine will be subject to regular maintenance costing Rs. 50,000.
- In 20X3-X4, East expects to invest in new technology costing Rs. 1,00,000. This technology will reduce the variable costs of manufacturing each steering wheel from Rs. 110 to Rs. 100 and the share of fixed overheads from Rs. 30 to Rs. 15 (subject to the availability of technology, which is still under development).
- East is depreciating the machine using the straight line method over the machine's 10 year estimated useful life. The current estimate (based on similar assets that have reached the end of their useful lives) of the disposal proceeds from selling the machine is Rs. 80,000 net of disposal costs. East expects to dispose of the machine at the end of March, 20X8.
- East has determined a pre-tax discount rate of 8 per cent, which reflects the market's assessment of the time value of money and the risks associated with this asset.

Assume a tax rate of 30%. What is the value in use of the machine in accordance with Ind AS 36? (MTP 10 Marks March '21, Apr'23, RTP Nov'19)

#### Answer 7

Calculation of the value in use of the machine owned by East Ltd. (East) includes the projected cash inflow (i.e. sales income) from the continued use of the machine and projected cash outflows that are necessarily incurred to generate those cash inflows (i.e cost of goods sold). Additionally, projected cash inflows include Rs. 80,000 from the disposal of the asset in March, 20X8. Cash outflows include routing capital expenditures of Rs. 50,000 in 20X5-X6

As per Ind AS 36, estimates of future cash flows shall not include:

- Cash inflows from receivables
- Cash outflows from payables
- Cash inflows or outflows expected to arise from future restructuring to which an entity is not yet committed
- Cash inflows or outflows expected to arise from improving or enhancing the asset's performance
- Cash inflows or outflows from financing activities
- Income tax receipts or payments.

Hence in this case, cash flows do not include financing interest (i.e. 10%), tax (i.e. 30%) and capital expenditures to which East has not yet committed (i.e. Rs. 100 000). They also do not include any savings in cash outflows from these capital expenditure, as required by Ind AS 36.

The cash flows (inflows and outflows) are presented below in nominal terms. They include an increase of 3% per annum to the forecast price per unit (B), in line with forecast inflation. The cash flows are discounted by applying a discount rate (8%) that is also adjusted for inflation.

**Note:** Figures are calculated on full scale and then rounded off to the nearest absolute value.

Year ended	20X3-X4	20X4-X5	20X5-20X6	20X6-X7	20X7-X8	Value in use
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Quantity (A)	10,000	10,500	11,025	11,576	12,155	
Price per unit(B)	200	206	212	219	225	
Estimated cash inflows (C=A x B)		21,63,000	23,37,300	25,35,144	27,34,875	
Misc. cash inflow disposal proceeds (D)					80 000	
Total	20,00,00	21,63,000	23,37,300	25,35,144	28,14,875	
estimated cash inflows (E=C+D)						
Cost per unit (F)	160	162	165	168	171	
Estimated cash outflows (G = A x F)	(16,00,00	(17,01,00	(18,19,125)	(19,44,768	(20,78,50 5)	
Misc. cash outflow: maintenance costs (H)			(50,000)			
Total estimated cash outflows (I=G+H)	(16,00,00	(17,01,00	(18,69,125)	(19,44,768	(20,78,50 5)	
Net cash flows (J=E-I)	4,00,000	4,62,000	4,68,175	5,90,376	7,36,370	
Discount factor 8% (K)	0.9259	0.8573	0.7938	0.7350	0.6806	
Discounte d future cash flows (L=J x K)	3,70,360	3,96,073	3,71,637	4,33,926	5,01,173	20,73,1 69

#### **Ouestion 8**

PQR Ltd. is the company which has performed well in the past but one of its major assets, an item of equipment, suffered a significant and unexpected deterioration in performance. Management expects to use the machine for a further four years after 31 March 2020, but at a reduced level. The equipment will be scrapped after four years. The financial accountant for PQR Ltd. has produced a set of cash-flow projections for the equipment for the next four years,

ranging from optimistic to pessimistic. CFO thought that the projections were too conservative, and he intended to use the highest figures each year. These were as follows:

	Rs.
Year ended 31 March 2021	2,76,000
Year ended 31 March 2022	1,92,000
Year ended 31 March 2023	1,20,000
Year ended 31 March 2024	1,14,000

The above cash inflows should be assumed to occur on the last day of each financial year. The pre-tax discount rate is 9%. The machine could have been sold at 31 March 2020 for Rs. 6,00,000 and related selling expenses in this regard could have been Rs. 96,000. The machine was revalued previously, and at 31 March 2020 an amount of Rs. 36,000 was held in revaluation surplus in respect of the asset. The carrying value of the asset at 31 March 2020 was Rs. 6,60,000. The Indian government has indicated that it may compensate the company for any loss in value of the assets up to its recoverable amount. (MTP 5 Marks Oct '20, RTP May '20)

#### Answer 8

Carrying amount of asset on 31 March 2020

= Rs. 6,60,000

#### Calculation of Value in Use

Year ended	Cash	Discount factor @	Amoun
	flow	9%	t
	Rs.		Rs.
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2021	2,76,000	0.9174	2,53,202
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2022	1,92,000	0.8417	1,61,606
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2023	1,20,000	0.7722	92,664
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2024	1,14,000	0.7084	80,758
Total (Value in Use)			5,88,230

#### Calculation of Recoverable amount

Particulars	Amount (Rs.)
Value in use	5,88,230
Fair value less costs of disposal (6,00,000 – 96,000)	5,04,000
Recoverable amount (Higher of value in use and fair value less costs of disposal)	5,88,230

Calculation of Impairment loss

Particulars	Amount (Rs.)
Carrying amount	6,60,000
Less: Recoverable amount	(5,88,230)
Impairment loss	71,770

Calculation of Revised carrying amount

v 9	
Particulars	Amount
	(Rs.)

707

Carrying amount	6,60,000
Less: Impairment loss	(71,770)
Revised carrying amount	5,88,230

#### Calculation of Revised Depreciation:

Revised carrying amount - Residual value

Remaining life = (5,88,230 - 0) / 4 = Rs. 1,47,058 per annum

#### Set off of Impairment loss:

The impairment loss of Rs. 71,770 must first be set off against any revaluation surplus in relation to the same asset. Therefore, the revaluation surplus of Rs. 36,000 is eliminated against impairment loss, and the remainder of the impairment loss Rs. 35,770 (Rs. 71,770 – Rs. 36,000) is charged to profit and loss.

#### Treatment of Government compensation:

Any compensation by government would be accounted for as such when it becomes receivable. At this time, the government has only stated that it may reimburse the company and therefore credit should not be taken for any potential government receipt.

#### Question 9

Himalaya Ltd. which is in a business of manufacturing and export of its product. Sometimes, back in 2016, the Government put restriction on export of goods exported by Himalaya Ltd. and due to that restriction Himalaya Ltd. impaired its assets. Himalaya Ltd. acquired identifiable assets won of Rs. 4,000 lakhs for Rs. 6,000 lakh at be end of the year 2012. The difference is treated a5 goodwill. The useful life of identifiable assets is 15 years and depreciated on straight line basis. When Government put the restriction at the end of 2016 the company recognized the impairment loss by determining the recoverable amount of assets for Rs. 2,720 lakh. In 2018, Government lifted the restriction imposed on the export and due to this favorable change, Himalaya Ltd. re- estimate recoverable amount, which was estimated at Rs. 3,420 lakh.

#### Required:

- (i) Calculation and allocation of impairment loss in 2016.
- (ii) Reversal of impairment loss and its allocation as per AS 28 in 2018. (MTP 12 Marks April '19)

#### Answer 9

(i) Calculation and allocation of impairment loss in 2016 (Amount in Rs. lakhs)

	Good	Identifiable	Total
	will	assets	
Historical cost	2,000	4,000	
Accumulated	1600		2667
depreciation/amortization (4 is	s.)		
Carrying amount before impair	rment 400	2,933	
Impairment loss*	400	2 3	613
Carrying amount after impairm	nent loss	2,720	2,72
-			0

#### \* Notes:

1. As per para 87 of AS 28, an impairment loss should be allocated to reduce the carrying amount of the assets of the unit in the following order:

408

- (a) first, to goodwill allocated to the cash-generating unit (if any); and
- (b) then, to the other assets of the unit on a pro-rata basis based on the carrying amount of each asset in the unit.

Hence, first goodwill is impaired at full value and then identifiable assets are impaired to arrive at recoverable value.

2. Since the goodwill has arisen on acquisition of assets ,AS 14 comes into the picture. As per para 19 of AS 14, goodwill shall amortize over a period not exceeding five years unless a somewhat longer period can be justified. Therefore, the amortization per od of goodwill is considered as 5 years.

(ii) Carrying amount of the assets at the end of 2018 (Amount in Rs. lakhs)

End of 2018	Goodwill	Identifiable	Total
		assets	
Carrying amount in 2018	0	2,225	2,225
Add: Reversal of impairment	-	175	175
loss(W.N.2)			
Carrying amount after	-	2,400	2,400
reversal of impairment loss			

#### Working Note:

1. Calculation of depreciation after impairmenttl1 2018 and reversal of impairment loss in 2018.

Amount in Rs, lakhs

		Identifiable	Total
		assets	
Carrying amount after impairment loss in 2016	0	2,720	2,720
Additional depreciation (i.e. (2,720/11)x 2)	-	495	495
Carrying amount	0	225	22
Recoverable amount Excess of recoverable amount our caring			420 1,195
amount			

Note: It is assumed that the restriction by the Government has been liked at the end of the year 2018.

2. Determination of the amount to be impaired by calculating depreciated historical cost of the identifiable assets without impairment at the end of 2018

(Amount in Rs. lakhs)

End of 2018	Identifiable assets
Historical cost	4,000
Accumulated depreciation	(266.67 X 6 Years) =
_	(1,600)
Depreciated historical cost	2,400
Carrying amount (in W.N.1)	2,225
Amount of reversal of impairment loss	175

#### **Notes:**

- 1. As per para 107 of AS 28, in allocating a reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit, the carrying amount of an asset should not be increased above the lower of:
  - (a) its recoverable amount (if determinable); and
  - (b) the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of amortization or depreciation) had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset in prior accounting periods.
    - Hence impairment loss reversal is restricted to Rs. 175 lakhs only.
- 2. The reversal of impairment loss took place in the 6<sup>th</sup> year. However, goodwill is amortized in 5 years. Therefore, there would be no balance in the goodwill account in the 6\* year even without impairment loss. Hence in W.N. 2 above there is no column for recalculation of goodwill.

#### Question 10

On 1st April, 20X1, Sun Ltd. has acquired 100% shares of Earth Ltd. for ₹ 30 lakh. Sun Ltd. has 3 cash-generating units A, B and C with fair value of ₹ 12 lakh, ₹ 8 lakh and ₹ 4 lakh respectively. The company recognizes goodwill of ₹ 6 lakh that relates to CGU 'C' only. During the financial year 20X2-20X3, the CFO of the company has a view that there is no requirement of any impairment testing for any CGU since their recoverable amount is comparatively higher than the carrying amount and believes there is no indicator of impairment. Analyse whether the view adopted by the CFO of Sun Ltd. is in compliance with the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment in accordance with relevant Ind AS. (MTP 6 Marks April 22)

#### Answer 10

Para 9 of Ind AS 36 'Impairment of Assets' states that an entity shall assess at the end of each reporting period whether there is any indication that an asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the entity shall estimate the recoverable amount of the asset.

Further, paragraph 10(b) of Ind AS 36 states that irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, an entity shall also test goodwill acquired in a business combination for impairment annually.

Sun Ltd. has not tested any CGU on account of not having any indication of impairment is partially correct i.e. in respect of CGU A and B but not for CGU C. Hence, the treatment made by the Company is not in accordance with Ind AS 36. Impairment testing in respect of CGU A and B are not required s ince there are no indications of impairment. However, Sun Ltd shall test CGU C irrespective of any indication of impairment annually as the goodwill acquired on business combination is fully allocated to CGU 'C'.

#### Question 11

#### Scenario A

X Ltd. has invested in a joint venture Y Ltd. by holding 50% of its equity share capital. During the year, X Ltd. sold an asset to Y Ltd. at its market value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  8,00,000. The asset's carrying value in X Ltd.'s books was  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  10,00,000.

Determine how should X Ltd. account for the sale transaction in its books. Scenario B

Assume the same facts as per Scenario A except that the asset is sold by Y Ltd. to X Ltd. instead of X Ltd. selling to Y Ltd.

Dage 410

Determine how should X Ltd. account for the above transaction in its books. (MTP 5 Marks Oct '23)

#### Answer 11

Scenario A

X Ltd. should record full loss of  $\gtrless 2,00,000$  (10,00,000 – 8,00,000) in its books as that would represent the impairment loss because the market value has actually declined. This loss would have been recorded even if X Ltd. would have first impaired the asset and then sold to Y Ltd. at zero profit / loss. Following entry should be passed in the books of X Ltd.

Bank A/c Dr. 8,00,000 Loss on sale of asset Dr. 2,00,000

To Asset 10,00,0 00

#### Scenario B

X Ltd. should record loss to the extent of its share in Y Ltd. Hence, X Ltd.'s share in loss i.e. ₹ 1,00,000 [(10,00,000 – 8,00,000) x 50%] should be recorded by X Ltd. in its books. The loss should be recorded since the market value of the asset has actually declined and this would represent impairment. This loss would have been recorded even if Y Ltd. would have first recorded an impairment loss of ₹ 2,00,000 and then sold to X Ltd. at zero profit / loss. Following entry should be passed in the books of X Ltd.

Asset Dr. 8,00,000 Share in loss of Y Ltd. Dr. 1,00,000

 To Bank
 8,00,000

 To Investment in Y
 1,00,000

Ltd.

#### Question 12

The UK entity with a sterling functional currency has a property located in US, which was acquired at a cost of US\$ 1.8 million when the exchange rate was £1 = US\$ 1.60. The property is carried at cost. At the balance sheet date, the recoverable amount of the property (as a result of an impairment review) amounted to US\$ 1.62 million, when the exchange rate £1 = US\$ 1.80. Compute the amount which is to be reported in Profit & Loss of UK entity as a result of impairment, if any. Ignore depreciation. Also analyse the total impairment loss on account of change in value due to impairment component and exchange component. (RTP Nov '20)

#### Answer 12

Ignoring depreciation, the loss that would be reported in the Profit and Loss as a result of the impairment is as follows

	p						
		€					
*Carrying valu	ie at bai	lance sheet dat	e-US\$	5 16,20,00	0@9,0	00,00	00
€ 1.8 =							
Historical cos	t- US\$ 1	18,00,000 @ €	1.6 =		11	,25,0	000
Impairment	loss	recognised	in	profit	a:	nd	loss
				_		(2,2)	5,000

111

The components of the impairment loss can be analysed	
as follows:	
Change in value due to impairment = US\$ 1,80,000 @	(1,00,000)
€ 1.8 =	
Exchange component of change =	
	(1,25,000)
US\$ 18,00,000 @ 1.8 – US\$ 18,00,000 @ € 1.6	

## \*Recoverable amount being less than cost becomes the carrying value. Question 13

On 31st March, 20X1, Jackson Ltd. purchased 80% of the equity of Kaplan Ltd. for ₹ 190 million. The fair values of the net assets of Kaplan Ltd. that were included in the consolidated balance sheet of Jackson Ltd. at 31st March, 20X1 were measured at ₹ 200 million (their fair values at that date). It is the group policy to value the non- controlling interest in subsidiaries at the date of acquisition at its proportionate share of the fair value of the subsidiaries' identifiable net assets. On 31st March, 20X4, Jackson Ltd. carried out its annual review of the goodwill on consolidation of Kaplan Ltd. for evidence of impairment. No impairment had been evident when the reviews were carried out on 31 st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3. The review involved allocating the assets of Kaplan Ltd. into three

cash-generating units and computing the value in use of each unit. The carrying values of the individual units before any impairment adjustments are given below:

	Unit A	Unit B	Unit C
	₹ in	₹ in	₹in
	million	million	million
Intangible assets	30	10	-
Property, Plant and	80	50	60
Equipment			
Current Assets	60	30	40
Total	170	90	100
Value in use of unit	180	66	104

It was not possible to meaningfully allocate the goodwill on consolidation to the individual cash generating units but all the other net assets of Kaplan Ltd. are allocated in the table shown above.

The intangible assets of Kaplan Ltd. have no ascertainable market value but all the current assets have a market value that is at least equal to their carrying value. The value in use of Kaplan Ltd. as a single cash-generating unit on 31st March, 20X4 is ₹ 350 million.

Recommend the treatment for impairment of goodwill. (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 13

The goodwill on consolidation of Kaplan Ltd. that is recognized in the consolidated balance sheet of Jackson Ltd. is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  30 million ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  190 million – 80% x  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  200 million). This can only be reviewed for impairment as part of the cash generating units to which it relates. Since here the goodwill cannot be meaningfully allocated to the units, the impairment review is in two parts.

Units A and C have values in use that are more than their carrying values. However, the value in use of Unit B is less than its carrying amount. This means that the assets

of unit B are impaired by ₹ 24 million (₹ 90 million – ₹ 66 million). This impairment loss will be charged to the Statement of Profit and Loss.

Assets will be written down on a pro-rata basis as shown in the table below:

#### ₹ in million

Asset	Impact on carrying value			
	Existing	Impairment	Revised	
Intangible assets	10	(4)	6	
Property, plant and equipment	50	(20)	30	
Current assets	30	Nil*	30	
Total	90	(24)	66	

<sup>\*</sup>The current assets are not impaired because they are expected to realize at least their carrying value when disposed of.

Following this review, the three units plus the goodwill are reviewed together. The impact of this is shown in the following table, given that the recoverable amount of the business as a whole is ₹ 350 million.

₹ in million

Component	Impact of impairment review on carrying value			
	Existing Impairment Revise			
Goodwill (see below)	37.50	(23.50)	14.00	
Unit A	170.00	Nil	170.00	
Unit B (revised)	66.00	Nil	66.00	
Unit C	100.00	Nil	100.00	
Total	373.50	(23.50)	350.00	

As per Appendix C of Ind AS 36, given that the subsidiary is 80% owned the goodwill must first be grossed up to reflect a notional 100% investment. Therefore, the goodwill will be grossed up to ₹ 37.50 million (₹ 30 million x 100/80). The impairment loss of ₹ 23.50 million is all allocated to goodwill, leaving the carrying values of the individual units of the business as shown in the table immediately above.

The table shows that the notional goodwill that relates to a 100% interest is written down by ₹ 23.50 million to ₹ 14.00 million. However, in the consolidated financial statements the goodwill that is recognized is based on an 80% interest so the loss that is actually recognized is ₹ 18.80 million (₹ 23.50 million x 80%) and the closing consolidated goodwill figure is ₹ 11.20 million (₹ 14.00 million x 80%) or (₹ 30 million – ₹ 18.80 million).

#### Question 14

A Limited purchased an asset of ₹ 200 lakh on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2017. It has useful life of 4 years with no residual value. Recoverable amount of the asset is as follows:

As on	Recoverable amount
AS UII	IXCCOVCIADIC AIIIUUIIL

31 <sup>st</sup> March 2018	₹ 120 lakh
31 <sup>st</sup> March 2019	₹ 80 lakh
31st March 2020	₹ 56 lakh

Calculate the amount of impairment loss or its reversal, if any,

- On 31st March 2018;
- On 31st March 2019:
- On 31st March 2020.

Depreciation is provided on SLM basis under the cost method. (PYP 6 Marks July 21)

#### Answer 14

As on 31st March, 2018

Carrying amount of the asset (opening balance)	₹ 200 lakh
Depreciation (₹ 200 lakh / 4 years)	(₹ 50 lakh)
Carrying amount of the asset (closing balance)	₹ 150 lakh
Recoverable amount (given) Difference	₹ 120 lakh ₹ 30 lakh

Therefore, an impairment loss of ₹ 30 lakh should be recognised as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018. Depreciation for subsequent years should be charged on the carrying amount of the asset (after providing for impairment loss), i.e., ₹ 120 lakh.

#### As on 31st March, 2019

Carrying amount of the asset (opening balance)	₹ 120 lakh
Depreciation (₹ 120 lakh / 3 years)	(₹ 40 lakh)
Carrying amount of the asset (closing balance)	₹ 80 lakh
Recoverable amount (Given)	₹ 80 lakh
Difference	NIL

Therefore, no impairment loss should be recognised as on 31 st March, 2019. **As on 31st March, 2020** 

Carrying amount of the asset (opening balance)	₹ 80 lakh
Depreciation (₹ 80 lakh / 2 years)	(₹ 40 lakh)
Carrying amount of the asset (closing balance)	₹ 40 lakh
Recoverable amount (given)	₹ 56
Difference	lakh (₹ 16
	lakh)

Since, the recoverable amount of the asset exceeds the carrying amount of the asset by ₹ 16 lakh, impairment loss recognised earlier should be reversed. However, reversal of an impairment loss should not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of amortization or depreciation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior years.

Carrying amount as on  $31^{\text{St}}$  March, 2020 had no impairment loss being recognised would have been ₹ 50 lakh [ie. ₹ 200 lakh – (200 lakh / 4 x 3)]. Therefore, the reversal of an impairment loss of ₹ 10 lakh (₹ 50 lakh - ₹ 40

lakh) should be done as on 31st March, 2020.

#### Section - B

#### Question 1

Apex Ltd. is engaged in manufacturing of steel utensils. It owns a building for its headquarters. The building used to be fully occupied for internal use. However, recently the company has undertaken a massive downsizing exercise as a result of which 1/3rd of the building became vacant. This vacant portion has now been given for on lease for 6 years. Determine the CGU of the building.

#### Answer 1

CGU of the building is Apex Ltd. as a whole as the primary purpose of the building is to serve as a corporate asset.

#### Question 2

ABC Ltd. has three cash-generating units: A, B and C, the carrying amounts of which as on 31st March, 20X1 are as follows:

Cash-generating units	Carrying amount	(₹ in crore) Remaining useful life
A	500	10
В	750	20
С	1,100	20

ABC Ltd. also has two corporate assets having a remaining useful life of 20 years.

		(₹ in crore)
Corporate asset	Carrying amount	Remarks
X	600	The carrying amount of X can be allocated on a reasonable basis (i.e., pro rata basis) to the individual cash-generating units.
Y	200	The carrying amount of Y cannot be allocated on a reasonable basis to the individual cash- generating units.

Recoverable amount as on 31st March, 20X1 is as follows:

Cash-generating units	Recoverable amount (₹ in crore)
A	600
В	900
С	1,400
ABC Ltd.	3,200

Calculate the impairment loss, if any. Ignore decimals.

#### Answer 2

#### Allocation of corporate assets

The carrying amount of X is allocated to the carrying amount of each individual cashgenerating unit. A weighted allocation basis is used because the estimated remaining useful life of A's cash-generating unit is 10 years, whereas the estimated remaining

useful lives of B and C's cash-generating units are 20 years.

			(₹ in	crore)
Particulars	A	В	С	Total
Carrying amount	500	750	1,100	2,350
Useful life	10 years	20 years	20 years	_
Weight based on useful life	1	2	2	
Carrying amount (after				
assigning				
weight)	500	1,500	2,200	4,200
Pro-rata allocation of X	12%	36%	52%	100%
	(500/4,20	(1,500/4,20	(2,200/4,20	
	0)	0)	0)	
Allocation of carrying amount of	72	216	312	600
X				
Carrying amount (after	572	966	1,412	2,950
allocation of X)				

### Calculation of impairment loss

## Step I: Impairment losses for individual cash-generating units and its allocation (a) Impairment loss of each cash-generating units

(₹ in crore)				
Particulars	A	В	С	
Carrying amount (after allocation of X)	572	966	1,412	
Recoverable amount	600	900	1400	
Impairment loss	-	66	12	

#### (b) Allocation of the impairment loss

(₹ in crore)				
Allocation to	В		С	
X	15	(66 x 216/966)	3	(12 x 312/1,412)
Other assets in cash- generating units	51	(66 x 750/ 966)	9	(12 x 1,100/ 1,412)
Impairment loss	66		12	

## Step II: Impairment losses for the larger cash-generating unit, i.e., ABC Ltd. as a whole

(₹ in crore)						
Particulars	A	В	С	X	Y	ABC Ltd.
Carrying amount	500	750	1,100	600	200	3,150
Impairment loss (Step I)	-	(51)	(9)	(18)	-	(78)
Carrying amount (after Step I)	500	699	1,091	582	200	3,072
Recoverable amount 3,20					3,200	
Impairment loss for the 'larger' cash-generating unit				Nil		

#### Question 3

Parent acquires an 80% ownership interest in Subsidiary for ₹ 2,100 on 1st April, 20X1. At that date, Subsidiary's net identifiable assets have a fair value of ₹ 1,500. Parent chooses to measure the non-controlling interests as the proportionate interest of Subsidiary's net identifiable assets. The assets of Subsidiary together are the smallest group of assets that generate cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets. Since other cash-generating units of Parent are expected to benefit from the synergies of the combination, the goodwill of ₹ 500 related to those synergies has been allocated to other cash-generating units within Parent. On 31st March, 20X2, Parent determines that the recoverable amount of cash-generating unit Subsidiary is ₹ 1,000. The carrying amount of the net assets of Subsidiary, excluding goodwill, is ₹ 1,350. Allocate the impairment loss on 31st March, 20X2.

#### Answer 3

Non-controlling interests is measured as the proportionate interest of Subsidiary's net identifiable assets, i.e., ₹ 300 (20% of ₹ 1,500). Goodwill is the difference between the aggregate of the consideration transferred and the amount of the non-controlling interests (₹ 2,100 + ₹ 300) and the net identifiable assets (₹ 1,500), i.e., ₹ 900.

Since, the assets of Subsidiary together are the smallest group of assets that generate cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets, therefore, Subsidiary is a cash-generating unit. Since other cash-generating units of Parent are expected to benefit from the synergies of the combination, the goodwill of ₹ 500 related to those synergies has been allocated to other cash-generating units within Parent. Because the cash-generating unit comprising Subsidiary includes goodwill within its carrying amount, it should be tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if there is an indication that it may be impaired.

#### Testing Subsidiary (cash-generating unit) for impairment

Goodwill attributable to non-controlling interests is included in Subsidiary's recoverable amount of ₹ 1,000 but has not been recognised in Parent's consolidated financial statements. Therefore, the carrying amount of Subsidiary should be grossed up to include goodwill attributable to the non-controlling interests, before being compared with the recoverable amount of ₹ 1,000. Goodwill attributable to Parent's 80% interest in Subsidiary at the acquisition date is ₹ 400 after allocating ₹ 500 to other cash-generating units within Parent. Therefore, goodwill attributable to the 20% non-controlling interests in Subsidiary at the acquisition date is ₹ 100.

#### Testing subsidiary for impairment on 31st March, 20X2

On 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	of	Net identifiable assets (₹)	Total (₹)
Carrying amount	400	1,350	1,750
Unrecognised non-controlling interests	100	-	100
Adjusted carrying amount	500	1,350	1,850
Recoverable amount			1,000
Impairment loss			850

#### Allocating the impairment loss

The impairment loss of ₹ 850 should be allocated to the assets in the unit by first reducing the carrying amount of goodwill.

Therefore, ₹ 500 of the ₹ 850 impairment loss for the unit is allocated to the goodwill. If the partially-owned subsidiary is itself a cash-generating unit, the goodwill impairment loss should be allocated to the controlling and non-controlling interests on the same basis as that on which profit or loss is allocated. In this case, profit or loss is allocated on the basis of relative ownership interests. Because the goodwill is recognised only to the extent of Parent's 80% ownership interest in Subsidiary, Parent recognises only 80% of that goodwill impairment loss (i.e., ₹ 400).

The remaining impairment loss of ₹ 350 is recognised by reducing the carrying amounts of Subsidiary's identifiable assets.

#### Allocation of the impairment loss for Subsidiary on 31st March, 20X2

On 31st March, 20X2	Goodwill of subsidiar y (₹)	Net identifiab le assets(₹)	Total (₹)
Carrying amount	400	1,350	1,750
Impairment loss	(400)	(350)	(750)
Carrying amount after impairment loss	-	1,000	1,000

#### Question 4

A Ltd. purchased a machinery of ₹ 100 crore on 1st April, 20X1. The machinery has a useful life of 5 years. It has nil residual value. A Ltd. adopts straight line method of depreciation for depreciating the machinery. Following information has been provided as on 31st March, 20X2:

Financial year	Estimated future cash
	flows (₹ in crore)
20X2-20X3	15
20X3-20X4	30
20X4-20X5	40
20X5-20X6	10

Discount rate applicable : 10% Fair value less costs to sell as on 31st March, 20X2 : ₹

70 crore Calculate the impairment loss, if any.

#### **Answer 4**

Value in use of the machinery as on 31st March, 20X2 can be calculated as follows:

Financial year	Estimated cash flows (₹ in crore)	Present value factor @ 10%	Present value
20X2-20X3	15	0.9091	13.64
20X3-20X4	30	0.8264	24.79
20X4-20X5	40	0.7513	30.05
20X5-20X6	10	0.6830	6.83
			75.31

The recoverable amount of the machinery is ₹ 75.31 crore (higher of value in use of ₹ 75.31 crore and fair value less costs to sell of ₹ 70 crore). Carrying amount of the machinery is ₹ 80 crore (after providing for one year depreciation @ ₹ 20 crore). Therefore, the impairment loss of ₹ 4.69 crore should be provided in the books.

#### **Question 5**

Assuming in the above question, as on 31st March, 20X3, there is no change in the estimated future cash flows and discount rate. Fair value less costs to sell as on 31st March, 20X3 is  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  40 crore. How should it be dealt with under Ind AS 36?

#### Answer 5

Value in use of the machinery as on March 31,20X3 can be calculated as follows:

Financial year	Estimated cash flows (₹ in crore)	Present value factor @ 10%	Present value
20X3-20X4	30	0.9091	27.27
20X4-20X5	40	0.8264	33.06
20X5-20X6	10	0.7513	7.51
	_		67.84

The recoverable amount of the machinery is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  67.84 crore (higher of value in use of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  67.84 crore and fair value less costs to sell of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  40 crore). Carrying amount of the machinery at the end of the year 20X2 is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  56.48 crore (after providing for two years depreciation (100- 20-4.69)-18.83). However, as per paragraph 116 of Ind AS 36, an impairment loss is not reversed just because of the passage of time (sometimes called the 'unwinding' of the discount), even if the recoverable amount of the asset becomes higher than its carrying amount.

Therefore, the impairment loss of ₹ 4.69 crore should not be reversed.

#### Question 6

A Ltd. purchased an asset of ₹ 100 lakh on 1st April, 20X0. It has useful life of 4 years with no residual value. Recoverable amount of the asset is as follows:

As on	Recoverable amount
31st March, 20X1	₹ 60 lakh
31st March, 20X2	₹ 40 lakh
31st March, 20X3	₹ 28 lakh

Calculate the amount of impairment loss or its reversal, if any, on 31st March, 20X1, 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3.

#### Answer 6

#### As on 31st March, 20X1

Carrying amount of the asset (opening balance)	₹ 100 lakh
Depreciation (₹ 100 lakh /4 years)	₹ 25 lakh
Carrying amount of the asset (closing balance)	₹ 75 lakh
Recoverable amount (given)	₹ 60 lakh

Therefore, an impairment loss of ₹ 15 lakh should be recognised as on 31st March, 20X1. Depreciation for subsequent years should be charged on the carrying amount of the asset (after providing for impairment loss), i.e., ₹ 60 lakh.

#### As on 31st March, 20X2

Carrying amount of the asset (opening balance)	₹ 60 lakh
Depreciation (₹ 60 lakh /3 years)	₹ 20 lakh
Carrying amount of the asset (closing balance)	₹ 40 lakh

Therefore, no impairment loss should be recognised as on 31st March, 20X2.

#### As on 31st March, 20X3

Carrying amount of the asset (opening balance)	₹ 40 lakh
Depreciation (₹ 40 lakh / 2 years)	₹ 20 lakh
Carrying amount of the asset (closing balance)	₹ 20 lakh
Recoverable amount (given)	₹ 28 lakh

Since, the recoverable amount of the asset exceeds the carrying amount of the asset by  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  8 lakh, impairment loss recognised earlier should be reversed. However, reversal of an impairment loss should not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of amortisation or depreciation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior years.

Carrying amount as on 31st March, 20X3 had no impairment loss being recognised would have been ₹ 25 lakh. Therefore, the reversal of an impairment loss of ₹ 5 lakh should be done as on 31st March, 20X3.

#### Question 7

## On 31st March, 20X1, XYZ Ltd. makes following estimate of cash flows for one of its asset located in USA:

Year	Cash flows
20X1-20X2	US \$ 80
20X2-20X3	US \$ 100
20X3-20X4	US \$ 20

#### Following information has been provided:

Particulars	India	USA
Applicable discount	15%	10%
rate		

#### Exchange rates are as follows:

As on	Exchange rate
31st March, 20X1	₹ 45/US \$

As on	Expected Exchange rate
31st March,	₹ 48/US \$
20X2 31st	₹ 51/US \$
March, 20X3	₹ 55/US \$
31st March, 20X4	

Calculate value in use as on 31st March, 20X1.

#### Answer 7

Year	Cash	Present	Discounted
	flows	value factor	cash flows

Page 42

# $_{\text{Page}}421$

#### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

	(US \$)	@ 10%	(US \$)	
20X1-20X2	80	0.9091	72.73	
20X2-20X3	100	0.8264	82.64	
20X3-20X4	20	0.7513	15.03	
Total Discounted cash flows in US \$ 170.40				
Exchange rate as on 31st March, 20X1, i.e., date of calculating value in use ₹ 45/US \$				
Value in use as	on 31st March,	20X1	₹ 7,668	

#### Question 8

Cash flow is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  100,  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  200 or  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  300 with probabilities of 10%, 60% and 30%, respectively. Calculate expected cash flows.

#### Answer 8

Cash flows	Probability	Expected cash flow
100	10%	10
200	60%	120
300	30%	90
Total		220

The expected cash flow is ₹ 220.

#### **Question 9**

Cash flow of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  1,000 may be received in one year, two years or three years with probabilities of 10%, 60% and 30%, respectively. Calculate expected cash flows assuming applicable discount rate of 5%, 5.25% and 5.5% in year 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

#### Answer 9

Year	Cas h flow s	P.V.F.	Present value	Probabili ty	Expected cash flows
1	1,000	0.95238	952.38	10%	95.24
2	1,000	0.90273	902.73	60%	541.64
3	1,000	0.85161	851.61	30%	255.48
Tota 1					892.36

The expected present value is ₹ 892.36.

#### Question 10

Calculate expected cash flows in each of the following cases:

- (a) the estimated amount falls somewhere between ₹ 50 and ₹ 250, but no amount in the range is more likely than any other amount.
- (b) the estimated amount falls somewhere between ₹ 50 and ₹ 250, and the most likely amount is ₹ 100. However, the probabilities attached to each amount are unknown.
- (c) the estimated amount will be ₹ 50 (10 per cent probability), ₹ 250 (30 per cent probability), or ₹ 100 (60 per cent probability).

# Page **422**

#### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

#### Answer 10

- (a) the estimated expected cash flow is ₹ 150 [(50 + 250)/2].
- (b) the estimated expected cash flow is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  133.33 [(50 + 100 + 250)/3].
- (c) the estimated expected cash flow is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  140 [(50 × 0.10) + (250 × 0.30) + (100 × 0.60)].

#### Question 11

Elia limited is a manufacturing company which deals in to manufacturing of cold drinks and beverages. It is having various plants across India. There is a Machinery A in the Baroda plant which is used for the purpose of bottling. There is one more machinery which is Machinery B clubbed with Machinery A. Machinery A can individually have an output and also sold independently in the open market. Machinery B cannot be sold in isolation and without clubbing with Machine A it cannot produce output as well. The Company considers this group o f assets as a Cash Generating Unit and an Inventory amounting to ₹ 2 Lakh and Goodwill amounting to ₹ 1.50 Lakhs is included in such CGU. Machinery A was purchased on 1st April 2013 for ₹ 10 Lakhs and residual value is ₹ 50 thousands. Machinery B was purchased on 1st April, 2015 for ₹ 5 Lakhs with no residual value. The useful life of both Machine A and B is 10 years. The Company expects following cash flows in the next 5 years pertaining to Machinery A. The incremental borrowing rate of the company is 10%.

Year	Cash Flows from Machinery A
1	1,50,000
2	1,00,000
3	1,00,000
4	1,50,000
5	1,00,000 (excluding Residual Value)
Total	6,00,000

On 31st March, 2018, the professional valuers have estimated that the current market value of Machinery A is  $\ref{7}$  lakhs. The valuation fee was  $\ref{1}$  lakh. There is a need to dismantle the machinery before delivering it to the buyer. Dismantling cost is  $\ref{1.50}$  lakhs. Specialised packaging cost would be  $\ref{25}$  thousand and legal fees would be  $\ref{75}$  thousand.

The Inventory has been valued in accordance with Ind AS 2. The recoverable value of CGU is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  10 Lakh as on 31st March, 2018. In the next year, the company has done the assessment of recoverability of the CGU and found that the value of such CGU is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  11 Lakhs ie on 31st March, 2019. The Recoverable value of Machine A is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  4,50,000 and combined Machine A and B is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  7,60,000 as on 31st March, 2019.

#### Required:

- a) Compute the impairment loss on CGU and carrying value of each asset after charging impairment loss for the year ending 31st March, 2018 by providing all the relevant working notes to arrive at such calculation.
- b) Compute the prospective depreciation for the year 2018-2019 on the above assets.
- c) Compute the carrying value of CGU as at 31st March, 2019.

#### Answer 11

(a) Computation of impairment loss and carrying value of each of the asset in

### CGU after impairment loss

#### (i) Calculation of carrying value of Machinery A and B before impairment

Machinery A		
Cost	(A)	₹ 10,00,000
Residual Value		₹ 50,000
Useful life		10 years
Useful life already elapsed		5 years
Yearly depreciation	(B)	₹ 95,000
WDV as at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 201	8 [A- (B x 5)]	₹ 5,25,000
Machinery B		
Cost	(C)	₹ 5,00,000
Residual Value		-
Useful life		10 years
Useful life already elapsed		3 years
Yearly depreciation	(D)	₹ 50,000
WDV as at 31st Mar	ch, 2018 [C- (D x 3)]	₹ 3,50,000

#### (ii) Calculation of Value-in-use of Machinery A

Period	Cash Flows (₹)	PVF	PV
1	1,50,000	0.909	1,36,350
2	1,00,000	0.826	82,600
3	1,00,000	0.751	75,100
4	1,50,000	0.683	1,02,450
5	1,00,000	0.621	62,100
5	50,000	0.621	31,050
Value in use			4,89,650

### (iii) Calculation of Fair Value less cost of disposal of Machinery A

	₹
Fair Value	7,00,000
Less: Dismantling cost	(1,50,000)
Packaging cost	(25,000)
Legal Fees	(75,000)
Fair value less cost of	4,50,000
disposal	

#### (iv) Calculation of Impairment loss on Machinery A

	₹
Carrying Value	5,25,00
	0
Less: Recoverable Value ie higher of Value-in-use and Fair value less cost of disposal	4,89,65 0
Impairment Loss	
	35,350

#### (v) Calculation of Impairment loss of CGU

1. First goodwill will be impaired fully and then the remaining impairment loss of ₹ 75,000 will be allocated to Machinery A and B.

,age 423

2. If we allocate remaining impairment loss to Machinery A and B on prorata basis, it would come to ₹ 45,000 on Machinery A. However, the impairment loss of Machinery A cannot exceed ₹ 35,350. Hence, impairment to CGU will be as follows:

	Carrying value before impairment loss	Impairmen t loss	Carrying value after impairment loss
	₹	₹	₹
Machinery A	5,25,000	35,350	4,89,650
Machinery B	3,50,000	39,650*	3,10,350
Inventory	2,00,000	-	2,00,000
Goodwill	1,50,000	1,50,000	
Total	12,25,000	2,25,000	10,00,000

<sup>\*</sup> Balancing figure.

### (b) Carrying value after adjustment of depreciation

	₹
Machinery A [4,89,650 – {(4,89,650-50,000)/5}]	4,01,720
Machinery B [3,10,350 – (3,10,350/7)]	2,66,014
Inventory	2,00,000
Goodwill	-
Total	8,67,734

#### (c) Calculation of carrying value of CGU as on 31st March, 2019

The revised value of CGU is ₹ 11 Lakh. However, impaired goodwill cannot be reversed. Further, the individual assets cannot be increased by lower of recoverable value or Carrying Value as if the assets were never impaired. Accordingly, the carrying value as on 31st March, 2019 assuming that the impairment loss had never incurred, will be:

	Carryin	Recoverable Value	Final CV as
	g		at 31st Mar
	Value		2019
Machinery A	4,30,00	4,50,000	4,30,000
	0		
Machinery B	3,00,00	(7,60,000 - 4,50,000)	3,00,000
	0	3,10,000	
Inventory	2,00,00	2,00,000	2,00,000
	0		
Goodwill	-		
Total		9,60,000	9,30,000
	9,30,00		
	0		

Hence the impairment loss to be reversed will be limited to ₹ 62,266 only (₹ 9,30,000 - ₹ 8,67,734).

#### Question 12

E Ltd. owns a machine used in the manufacture of steering wheels, which are sold directly to major car manufacturers.

• The machine was purchased on 1st April, 20X1 at a cost of ₹ 5,00,000 through

- a vendor financing arrangement on which interest is being charged at the rate of 10% per annum.
- During the year ended 31st March, 20X3, E Ltd. sold 10,000 steering wheels at a selling price of ₹ 190 per wheel.
- The most recent financial budget approved by E Ltd.'s management, covering the period 1st April, 20X3 - 31st March, 20X8, including that the company expects to sell each steering wheel for ₹ 200 during 20X3-20X4, the price rising in later years in line with a forecast inflation of 3% per annum.
- During the year ended 31st March, 20X4, E Ltd. expects to sell 10,000 steering wheels. The number is forecast to increase by 5% each year until 31st March, 20X8.
- E Ltd. estimates that each steering wheel costs ₹ 160 to manufacture, which includes
  - ₹ 110 variable costs, ₹ 30 share of fixed overheads and ₹ 20 transport costs.
- Costs are expected to rise by 1% during 20X4-20X5, and then by 2% per annum until 31st March, 20X8.
- During 20X5-20X6, the machine will be subject to regular maintenance costing ₹ 50,000.
- In 20X3-20X4, E Ltd. expects to invest in new technology costing ₹ 1,00,000. This technology will reduce the variable costs of manufacturing each steering wheel from
  - ₹ 110 to ₹ 100 and the share of fixed overheads from ₹ 30 to ₹ 15 (subject to the
  - availability of technology, which is still under development).
- E Ltd. is depreciating the machine using the straight line method over the 10 year estimated useful life. The current estimate (based on similar assets that have reached the end of their useful lives) of the disposal proceeds from selling the machine is ₹ 80 000 net of disposal costs. E Ltd. expects to dispose of the machine at the end of March, 20X8.
- E Ltd. has determined a pre-tax discount rate of 8%, which reflects the market's assessment of the time value of money and the risks associated with this asset.

Assume a tax rate of 30%. What is the value in use of the machine in accordance with Ind AS 36?

#### Answer 12

Calculation of the value in use of the machine owned by E Ltd. includes the projected cash inflow (i.e. sales income) from the continued use of the machine and projected cash outflows that are necessarily incurred to generate those cash inflows (i.e cost of goods sold). Additionally, projected cash inflows include ₹ 80,000 from the disposal of the asset in March, 20X8. Cash outflows include routing capital expenditures of ₹ 50,000 in 20X5-20X6

As per Ind AS 36, estimates of future cash flows shall not include:

- Cash inflows from receivables
- Cash outflows from payables
- Cash inflows or outflows expected to arise from future restructuring to which an entity is not yet committed
- Cash inflows or outflows expected to arise from improving or enhancing the asset's performance

- Cash inflows or outflows from financing activities
- Income tax receipts or payments.

Hence in this case, cash flows do not include financing interest (i.e. 10%), tax (i.e. 30%) and capital expenditures to which E Ltd. has not yet committed (i.e. ₹ 1,00,000). They also do not include any savings in cash outflows from these capital expenditures, as required by Ind AS 36.

The cash flows (inflows and outflows) are presented below in nominal terms. They include an increase of 3% per annum to the forecast price per unit (B), in line with forecast inflation. The cash flows are discounted by applying a discount rate (8%) that is also adjusted for inflation.

**Note:** Figures are calculated on full scale and then rounded off to the nearest absolute value.

Year ended	20X3-	20X4-	20X5-	20X6-	20X7-	Value in
	20X4	20X5	20X6			use
Quantity (A)	10,000	10,500	11,025	11,576	12,155	
Price per unit (B)	₹ 200	₹ 206	₹ 212	₹ 219	₹ 225	
Estimated cash	₹	₹	₹	₹	₹	
inflows (C=A x B)	20,00,000	21,63,000	23,37,300	25,35,144	27,34,875	
Misc. cash inflow					₹ 80 000	
disposal proceeds						
(D)						
Total estimated	₹	₹	₹	₹	₹	
cash inflows	20,00,000	21,63,000	23,37,300	25,35,144	28,14,875	
(E=C+D)						
Cost per unit (F)	₹ 160	₹ 162	₹ 165	₹ 168	₹ 171	
Estimated cash	(₹16,00,00	(₹17,01,00	(₹18,19,12	(₹19,44,76	(₹20,78,50	
outflows ( $G = A x$	0)	0)	5)	8)	5)	
F)						
Misc.cash outflow:			(₹ 50,000)			
maintenance						
costs (H)						
Total estimated	(₹16,00,00	(₹17,01,00	(₹18,69,12	(₹19,44,76	(₹20,78,50	
cash outflows	0)	0)	5)	8)	5)	
(I=G+H)						
Net cash	₹ 4,00,000	₹ 4,62,000	₹ 4,68,175	₹ 5,90,376	₹ 7,36,370	
flows (J=E-I)						
Discount factor 8%	0.9259	0.8573	0.7938	0.7350	0.6806	
(K)						
	₹ 3,70,360	₹ 3,96,073	₹ 3,71,637	₹ 4,33,926	₹ 5,01,173	₹20,73,16
cash flows (L=J x						9
K)						

#### **Question 13**

PQR Ltd. is the company which has performed well in the past but one of its major assets, an item of equipment, suffered a significant and unexpected deterioration in performance. Management expects to use the machine for a further four years after 31st March 20X6, but at a reduced level. The equipment will be scrapped after four years. The financial accountant for PQR Ltd. has produced a set of cash-flow projections for the equipment for the next four years, ranging from optimistic

to pessimistic. CFO thought that the projections were too conservative, and he intended to use the highest figures each year. These were as follows:

	₹ '000
Year ended 31st March 20X7	276
Year ended 31st March 20X8	192
Year ended 31st March 20X9	120
Year ended 31st March 20Y0	114

The above cash inflows should be assumed to occur on the last day of each financial year. The pre-tax discount rate is 9%. The machine could have been sold at 31st March 20X6 for ₹ 6,00,000 and related selling expenses in this regard could have been ₹ 96,000. The machine had been revalued previously, and at 31st March 20X6 an amount of ₹ 36,000 was held in revaluation surplus in respect of the asset. The carrying value of the asset at 31st March 20X6 was ₹ 6,60,000. The Indian government has indicated that it may compensate the company for any loss in value of the assets up to its recoverable amount.

Calculate impairment loss, if any and revised depreciation of asset. Also suggest how Impairment loss, if any would be set off and how compensation from government be accounted for?

#### Answer 13

Carrying amount of asset on 31st March 20X6 ₹ 6,60,000

Calculation of Value in Use:

Year ended	Cash flow ₹	Discount factor @	Amount ₹
		9%	
31st March, 20X7	2,76,000	0.9174	2,53,202
31st March, 20X8	1,92,000	0.8417	1,61,606
31st March, 20X9	1,20,000	0.7722	92,664
31st March, 20Y0	1,14,000	0.7084	80,758
	Total (Value in U	se)	5,88,230

#### Calculation of Recoverable amount:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Value in use	5,88,230
Fair value less costs of disposal (6,00,000 – 96,000)	5,04,000
Recoverable amount	5,88,230
(Higher of value in use and fair value less costs of	
disposal)	

#### Calculation of Impairment loss:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Carrying amount	6,60,000
Less: Recoverable amount	(5,88,230)
Impairment loss	71,770

#### Calculation of Revised carrying amount:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Carrying amount	6,60,000
Less: Impairment loss	(71,770)
Revised carrying amount	5,88,230

#### Calculation of Revised Depreciation:

Revised carrying amount - Residual value

Remaining life = (5,88,230 - 0) / 4 = ₹ 1,47,058 per annum

#### Set off of Impairment loss:

The impairment loss of ₹ 71,770 must first be set off against any revaluation surplus in relation to the same asset. Therefore, the revaluation surplus of ₹ 36,000 is eliminated against impairment loss, and the remainder of the impairment loss ₹ 35,770 (₹ 71,770 -

₹ 36,000) is charged to profit and loss.

#### Treatment of Government compensation:

Any compensation by government would be accounted for as such when it becomes receivable. At this time, the government has only stated that it may reimburse the company and therefore credit should not be taken for any potential government receipt.

#### Question 14

On 1 January Year 1, Entity Q purchased a machine costing ₹ 2,40,000 with an estimated useful life of 20 years and an estimated zero residual value. Depreciation is computed on straight-line basis. The asset had been re-valued on 1 January Year 3 to ₹ 2,50,000, but with no change in useful life at that date. On 1 January Year 4 an impairment review showed the machine's recoverable amount to be ₹ 1,00,000 and its estimated remaining useful life to be 10 years.

#### Calculate:

- a) The carrying amount of the machine on 31 December Year 2 and the revaluation surplus arising on 1 January Year 3.
- b) The carrying amount of the machine on 31 December Year 3 (immediately before the impairment).
- c) The impairment loss recognised in the year to 31 December Year 4 and its treatment thereon
- d) The depreciation charge in the year to 31 December Year 4.

Note: During the course of utilization of machine, the company did not opt to transfer part of the revaluation surplus to retained earnings.

#### Answer 14

(a)	Calculation	of Carrying	amount	of machine	at the end of	₹
Year 2						
	0 , 0 1	•				0.40.00

Cost of machine	2,40,00
	0
Accumulated depreciation for 2 years [2 years × (2,40,000 ÷ 20)]	(24,000)
Carrying amount of the machine at the end of Year 2	2,16,00
(b) Calculation of carrying amount of the machine on 31 December Year 3	₹
Carrying amount at the beginning of Year 3	2,16,00 0
Revaluation done at the beginning of Year 3	2,50,00

#### Revaluation surplus

34,000

#### (c)Calculation of Impairment loss at the end of Year 4

When machine is revalued on 1 January Year 3, depreciation is charged on the revalued amount over its remaining expected useful life.

Valuation at 1 January (re-valued amount)	2,50,000
Accumulated depreciation in Year 3 (2,50,000 / 18)	(13,889)
Carrying amount of the asset at the end of Year 3	2,36,111
On 1 January Year 4, recoverable amount of the machine	1,00,000
Impairment loss (2,36,111 – 1,00,000)	1,36,111

An impairment loss of  $\raiset$  34,000 will be taken to other comprehensive income (reducing the revaluation surplus for the asset to zero) The remaining impairment loss of  $\raiset$  1,02,111 (1,36,111 – 34,000) is recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss for the Year 4.

#### (d) Calculation of depreciation charge in the Year 4

Carrying value of the machine at the beginning of Year 4 ₹ 1,00,000

Estimated remaining useful life 10 years
Depreciation charge is (₹ 1,00,000 / 10 years) ₹ 10,000

#### Question 15 (Illustration)

The carrying value of a building in the books of Sun Ltd. as at 31st March, 20X1 is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  300 lakh. As on that date the value in use is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  250 lakh and fair value less cost of disposal is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  238 lakh. Calculate the Recoverable Amount.

#### Answer 15

Recoverable Amount: Higher of Fair Value less Costs of disposal and Value in Use Fair

Value less costs of disposal : ₹ 250 lakh

Value in Use: ₹ 238 lakh

Therefore, Recoverable value will be ₹ 250 lakh

#### Question 16 (Illustration)

Saturn India Ltd is reviewing one of its business segments for impairment. The carrying value of its net assets is 40 million. Management has produced two computations for the value-in-use of the business segment. The first value of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  36 million excludes the benefit to be derived from a future reorganization, but the second value of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  44 million includes the benefits to be derived from the future reorganization. There is not an active market for the sale of the business segments. Whether the business segment needs to be Impaired?

#### Answer 16

The benefit of the future reorganization should not be taken into account in calculating value-in-use. Therefore, the net assets of the business segment will be impaired by  $\ref{thm}$  4 million because the value- in-use of  $\ref{thm}$  36 million is lower than the carrying value of  $\ref{thm}$  40 million. The value-in-use can be used as the recoverable amount as there is no active market for the sale of the business segment.

#### Question 17 (Illustration)

Mars Ltd. gives the following estimates of cash flows relating to property, plant and equipment on 31st March, 20X4. The discount rate is 15%

429

Year	Cash Flow (₹ in lakh)
20X4-20X5	2,000
20X5-20X6	3,000
20X6-20X7	3,000
20X7-20X8	4,000
20X8-20X9	2,000
Residual Value at 31st March, 20X9	500

Property, plant & equipment was purchased on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X1 for  $\stackrel{?}{<} 20,000$  lakh

Residual Value estimated at the end of 8 years ₹ 500 lakh
Fair value less cost to disposal ₹ 10,000 lakh

Calculate impairment loss, if any on the property, plant and equipment. Also calculate the revised carrying amount and revised depreciation of property, plant and equipment.

#### Answer 17

#### (a) Calculation of Carrying Amount on 31st March, 20X4 (₹ in lakh)

Particular	Amount
Original Cost on 1st April, 20X1(20,000-500)/8 X3	20,000
Less: Depreciation	(7,313)
Carrying Amount	12,687

#### (b) Calculation of Value in Use

Year	Cash Flows	P.V.	Amount
20X4-20X5	2,000	.870	1,740
20X5-20X6	3,000	.756	2,268
20X6-20X7	3,000	.658	1,974
20X7-20X8	4,000	.572	2,288
20X8-20X9 (including residual value)	2,500	.497	1,243
Total			9,513

#### (c) Calculation of Recoverable Amount

Particular	Amount
Value in Use	9,513
Fair value less costs of disposal	10,000
Recoverable Amount	10,000

#### (d) Calculation of Impairment Loss

Carrying Amount – Recoverable Amount 12,687 – 10,000 = 2,687

#### (e) Calculation of Revised Carrying Amount

Particular	Amount
Carrying Amount	12,687

,age 430

Less: Impairment Loss	(2,687)
Revised Carrying Amount	10,000

#### (f) Calculation of Revised Depreciation

Revised Carrying Amount-Residual Value Remaining life 
$$= \frac{10,000-500}{5} = 1.900$$

#### **Question 18 (Illustration)**

Jupiter Ltd, a leading manufacturer of steel is having a furnace, which is carried in the balance sheet on 31st March, 20X1 at ₹ 250 lakh. As at that date the value in use and fair value is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$  200 lakh. The cost of disposal is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$  13 lakh.

Calculate the Impairment Loss to be recognised in the books of the Company? Answer 18

Calculation of Impairment Loss:

Calculation of Impairment Loss	₹ in lakh
Recoverable Amount =	200
Higher of ,	187
Fair Value less Cost of Disposal (200 -13)	
Or	200
Value in Use	
Impairment Loss = Carrying Amount – Recoverable Amount	
= 250 - 200	50

- An impairment loss shall be recognised immediately in profit or loss, unless the asset is carried at revalued amount in accordance with another Standard (for example, in accordance with the revaluation model in Ind AS 16).
- Any impairment loss of a revalued asset shall be treated as a revaluation decrease in accordance with that other Standard. Impairment loss on a revalued asset is recognised in other comprehensive income to the extent that the impairment loss does not exceed the amount in the revaluation surplus for that same asset. Such an impairment loss on a revalued asset reduces the revaluation surplus for that asset.
- When the amount estimated for an impairment loss is greater than the carrying amount of the asset to which it relates, an entity shall recognise a liability if, and only if, that is required by another Standard.
- After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.
- If an impairment loss is recognised, any related deferred tax assets or liabilities are determined in accordance with Ind AS 12 by comparing the revised carrying amount of the asset with its tax base.

#### Question 19 (Illustration)

Mercury Ltd. has an identifiable asset with a carrying amount of ₹ 1,000. Its recoverable amount is ₹ 650. The tax rate is 30% and the tax base of the asset is ₹ 800. Impairment losses are not deductible for tax purposes. What would be the impact of impairment loss on related deferred tax asset / liability against the revised carrying amount of asset?

#### Answer 19

The effect of impairment loss is as follows:

	Identifiable assets before impairment loss	Impairment loss	Identifiable assets after impairment loss
	₹	₹	₹
Carrying amount	1,000	(350)	650
Tax Base	800	-	800
Taxable	200	(350)	(150)
(deductible			
) temporary			
difference			
Deferred tax liability	60	(105)	(45)
(asset) at 30%			

In accordance with Ind AS 12, the entity recognises the deferred tax asset to the extent that it is probable that taxable profit will be available against which the deductible temporary difference can be utilised.

#### Question 20 (Illustration)

A company operates a mine in a country where legislation requires that the owner must restore the site on completion of its mining operations. The cost of restoration includes the replacement of the overburden, which must be removed before mining operations commence. A provision for the costs to replace the overburden was recognised as soon as the overburden was removed. The amount provided was recognised as part of the cost of the mine and is being depreciated over the mine's useful life. The carrying amount of the provision for restoration costs is ₹ 500, which is equal to the present value of the restoration costs.

The entity is testing the mine for impairment. The cash-generating unit for the mine is the mine as a whole. The entity has received various offers to buy the mine at a price of around  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  800. This price reflects the fact that the buyer will assume the obligation to restore the overburden. Disposal costs for the mine are negligible. The value in use of the mine is approximately  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  1,200, excluding restoration costs. The carrying amount of the mine is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  1,000. How the impairment loss is to be accounted for?

#### Answer 20

The cash-generating unit's fair value less costs of disposal is ₹ 800. This amount considers restoration costs that have already been provided for. As a consequence, the value in use for the cash-generating unit is determined after consideration of the restoration costs and is estimated to be ₹ 700 (₹ 1,200 less ₹ 500). The carrying amount of the cash-generating unit is ₹ 500, which is the carrying amount of the mine (₹ 1,000) less the carrying amount of the provision for restoration costs (₹ 500). Therefore, the recoverable amount of the cash- generating unit exceeds its carrying amount. Thus, there is no impairment loss.

#### Question 21 (Illustration)

Entity A acquires Entity B for ₹ 50 million, of which ₹ 35 million is the fair value of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The acquisition of B Ltd. is to be integrated into two of Entity A's CGUs with the net assets being allocated as follows:

₹ in million

	CGU 1	CGU 2	Total
Fair value of acquired identifiable tangible and intangible assets	25	10	35

In addition to the net assets acquired that are assigned to CGU 2, the acquiring entity expects CGU 2 to benefit from certain synergies related to the acquisition (e.g. CGU 2 is expected to realise higher sales of its products because of access to the acquired entity's distribution channels). There is no synergistic goodwill attributable to other CGUs. Entity A allocated the purchase consideration of the acquired business to CGU 1 and CGU 2 as ₹ 33 million and ₹ 17 million respectively. Determine the allocation of goodwill to each CGU?

### Answer 21

If goodwill is allocated to the CGUs based on the difference between the purchase consideration and the fair value of net assets acquired ie direct method, the allocation would be as follows:(All figures are ₹ in million, unless otherwise specified)

	CGU 1	CGU 2	Tota 1
Allocation of Purchase consideration	33	17	50
Less: Acquired identifiable tangible and intangible assets	(25)	(10)	(35)
Goodwill assigned to CGUs	8	7	15

### Question 22 (Illustration)

Earth Infra Ltd has two cash-generating units, A and B. There is no goodwill within the units' carrying values. The carrying values of the CGUs are CGU A for  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  20 million and CGU B for  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  30 million. The company has an office building which it is using as an office headquarter and has not been included in the above values and can be allocated to the units on the basis of their carrying values. The office building has a carrying value of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  10 million. The recoverable amounts are based on value-in-use of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  18 million for CGU A and  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  38 million for CGU B.

Determine whether the carrying values of CGU A and B are impaired. Answer 22

### Answer 22

The office building is a corporate asset which needs to be allocated to CGU A and B on a reasonable and consistent basis:

	A	В	Total
Carrying value of CGUs	20	30	50
Allocation of office building	4	6	10
(office building is allocated in the ratio of Carrying value of CGU's)			
Carrying value of CGU after			
Allocation of corporate asset	24	36	60
Recoverable Amount	18	38	56
Impairment Loss	6	_	

The impairment loss will be allocated on the basis of 4/24 against the building (₹ 1 million) and 20/24 against the other assets (₹ 5 million).

### Question 23 (Illustration)

A machine has suffered physical damage but is still working, although not as well as before it was damaged. The machine's fair value less costs of disposal is less

than its carrying amount. The machine does not generate independent cash inflows. The smallest identifiable group of assets that includes the machine and generates cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets is the production line to which the machine belongs. The recoverable amount of the production line shows that the production line taken as a whole is not impaired.

Assumption 1: budgets/forecasts approved by management reflect no commitment of management to replace the machine.

Assumption 2: budgets/forecasts approved by management reflect a commitment of management to replace the machine and sell it in the near future. Cash flows from continuing use of the machine until its disposal are estimated to be negligible.

How to account for the impairment loss of machine in above scenarios?

### Answer 23

- **1.** The recoverable amount of the machine alone cannot be estimated because the machine's value in use:
  - a) may differ from its fair value less costs of disposal; and
  - b) can be determined only for the cash-generating unit to which the machine belongs (the production line).

The production line is not impaired. Therefore, no impairment loss is recognised for the machine. Nevertheless, the entity may need to reassess the depreciation period or the depreciation method for the machine. Perhaps a shorter depreciation period or a faster depreciation method is required to reflect the expected remaining useful life of the machine or the pattern in which economic benefits are expected to be consumed by the entity.

2. The machine's value in use can be estimated to be close to its fair value less costs of disposal. Therefore, the recoverable amount of the machine can be determined and no consideration is given to the cash-generating unit to which the machine belongs (i.e. the production line). Because the machine's fair value less costs of disposal is less than its carrying amount, an impairment loss is recognised for the machine.

After the allocation procedures have been applied, a liability is recognised for any remaining amount of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit if, and only if, that is required by another Indian Accounting Standard.

### Question 24 (Illustration)

On 1st April 20X1, Venus Ltd acquired 100% of Saturn Ltd for ₹ 4,00,000. The fair value of the net identifiable assets of Saturn Ltd was ₹ 3,20,000 and goodwill was ₹ 80,000. Saturn Ltd is in coal mining business. On 31st March, 20X3, the government has cancelled licenses given to it in few states. As a result Saturn's Ltd revenue is estimated to get reduce by 30%. The adverse change in market place and regulatory conditions is an indicator of impairment. As a result, Venus Ltd has to estimate the recoverable amount of goodwill and net assets of Saturn Ltd on 31st March, 20X3.

Venus Ltd uses straight line depreciation. The useful life of Saturn's Ltd assets is estimated to be 20 years with no residual value. No independent cash inflows can be identified to any individual assets. So, the entire operation of Saturn Ltd is to be treated as a CGU. Due to the regulatory entangle it is not possible to determine the selling price of Saturn Ltd as a CGU. Its value in use is estimated by the management at ₹ 2,12,000.

Suppose by 31st March, 20X5 the government reinstates the licenses of Saturn Ltd. The management expects a favourable change in net cash flows. This is an indicator that an impairment loss may have reversed. The recoverable amount of Saturn's Ltd net asset is re- estimated. The value in use is expected to be ₹ 3,04,000 and fair value less cost to disposal is expected to be ₹ 2,90,000. Calculate the impairment loss, if any. Also show the accounting treatment for

Calculate the impairment loss, if any. Also show the accounting treatment for reversal of impairment loss and the subsequent depreciation thereon.

### Answer 24

Since the fair value less costs of disposal is not determinable the recoverable amount of the CGU is its value in use. The carrying amount of the assets of the CGU on 31st March, 20X3 is as follows:

### **Calculation of Impairment loss**

			₹
	Goodwill	Other assets	Total
Historical Cost	80,000	3,20,000	4,00,000
Accumulated Depreciation (3,20,000/20) x 2	-	(32,000)	(32,000)
Carrying Amount	80,000	2,88,000	3,68,000
Impairment Loss	(80,000)	(76,000)	(1,56,000)

### **Revised Carrying Amount**

- Impairment Loss = Carrying Amount Recoverable Amount (₹ 3,68,000 ₹ 2,12,000) =
  - ₹ 1,56,000 is charged in statement of profit and loss for the period ending 31st March, 20X3 as impairment loss.
- Impairment loss is allocated first to goodwill ₹ 80,000 and remaining loss of ₹ 76,000 (₹ 1,56,000 ₹ 80,000) is allocated to the other assets.

### **Reversal of Impairment loss**

Reversal of impairment loss is recognised subject to:-

- The impairment loss on goodwill cannot be reversed.
- The increased carrying amount of an asset after reversal of an impairment loss not to exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognised in prior years.

# Calculation of carrying amount of identifiable assets had no impairment loss is recognised

	₹
Historical Cost	3,20,00
	0
Accumulated Depreciation for 4 years (3,20,000/20) x 4	(64,000)
Carrying amount had no impairment loss is recognised on	2,56,00
31st March, 20X5	0

### Carrying amount of other assets after recognition of impairment loss

	₹
Carrying amount on 31st March, 20X3	2,12,00
	0

,age 435

Accumulated Depreciation for 2 years (2,12,000/18) x 2 [	
rounded off to nearest thousand for ease of calculation]	(24,000)
Carrying amount on 31st March, 20X5	1,88,00
	0

- The impairment loss recognised previously can be reversed only to the extent of lower of re-estimated recoverable amount is ₹ 2,56,000 (higher of fair value less costs of disposal
  - ₹ 2,90,000 and value in use ₹ 3,04,000)
- Impairment loss reversal will be ₹ 68,000 i.e. (₹ 2,56,000 ₹ 1,88,000). This amount is recognised as income in the statement of profit and loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X5.
- The carrying amount of other assets at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X5 after reversal of impairment loss will be ₹ 2,56,000.
- From 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X5 the depreciation charge will be ₹ 16,000 i.e. (₹ 2,56,000/16)

### Question 25 (Illustration)

A Ltd acquires 80% shares of a subsidiary B Ltd. for ₹ 3,200 thousand. At the date of acquisition, B Ltd.'s identifiable net assets is ₹ 3,000 thousand. A elects to measure NCI at proportionate share of net identifiable assets. It recognizes

• •	₹in
	thousand
Purchase Consideration	3,200
NCI (3,000 x 20%)	600
	3,800
Less: Net Assets	(3,000)
Goodwill	800

At the end of next financial year, B Ltd.'s carrying amount is reduced to  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,700 thousand (excluding goodwill). Recoverable amount of B Ltd.'s assets is Case (i)  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,800 thousand Calculate impairment loss allocable to Parent and NCI in both the cases.

### Answer 25

Case (i) ₹ in thousand

Case (1)	\ III tilousai	IG	
Particulars	Goodwill	Other	Total
		Asset	
Carrying amount	800	2,700	3,500
Unrecognised NCI (notional) [(800 / 80%) x	200	-	200
20%]			
Notional Total	1,000	2,700	3,700
Recoverable amount	-	-	2,000
Total Impairment loss	_	-	(1,700)
Impairment loss recognised in CFS	(800)	(700)	(1,500)
Carrying amount after impairment	-	2,000	2,000

Impairment loss on:	Parent	NCI
Goodwill	(800)	-
Other assets	(560)	(140)
Total	(1,360)	(140)

### Case (ii)

Particulars	Goodwill	Other Asset	Total
Carrying amount	800	2,700	3,500
Unrecognized NCI (notional) (800 / 80% x 20%)	200	-	200
Notional Total	1,000	2,700	3,700
Recoverable amount	-	-	2,800
Total Impairment loss	-	-	(900)
Impairment loss recognised in CFS (900 x 80%)	(720)	-	(720)
Carrying amount after impairment (800 – 720)	80	2,700	2,780

Impairment loss on:	Parent	NCI
Goodwill	(720)	-
Other assets	-	-
Total	(720)	-

It is to be noted that since an entity measures NCI at its proportionate interest in the net identifiable assets of a subsidiary at the acquisition date, rather than at fair value, goodwill attributable to NCI is not recognised in the parent's consolidated financial statements and so the impairment loss on such goodwill not recognised.

### Question 26 (Illustration)

From the following details of an asset, find out:

- (a) Impairment loss and its treatment.
- (b) Current year depreciation for the year

### end. Particulars of assets:

Cost of asset	₹ 56 lakh
Useful life	10 years
Salvage value	Nil
Carrying value at the beginning of the year	₹ 27.30 lakh
Remaining useful life	3 years
Recoverable amount at the beginning of the year	₹ 12 lakh
Upward revaluation done in last year	₹ 14 lakh

### **Answer 26**

### Impairment loss

Impairment loss = Carrying amount of the asset - Recoverable amount

- = ₹ 27.30 lakh ₹ 12 lakh
- = ₹ 15.30 lakh

### Treatment of impairment loss

As per Ind AS 36, impairment loss (whether of an individual asset of a CGU) is recognised in the following manner:

(a) Impairment loss of a revalued asset: It is recognised in other comprehensive income to the extent that the impairment loss does not exceed the amount held in the revaluation surplus for that same asset. The balance, if any, is recognised as an expense in the statement of profit and loss.

(b) Impairment loss of other assets: Impairment loss of any other asset should be recognised as an expense in the statement of profit and loss.

Since, the asset in question has been revalued upwards, the impairment loss will be adjusted first against the revaluation surplus of ₹ 14 lakh. The balance amount of ₹ 1.30 lakh will be recognised as an expense in the profit and loss account.

### Current year depreciation

Revised carrying amount (after recognising impairment loss) ₹ 12 lakh

Remaining useful life 3 years

Salvage value Nil

Annual depreciation (12/3) ₹4 lakh

### Question 27 (Illustration)

Venus Ltd. has an asset, which is carried in the Balance Sheet on 31st March, 20X1 at ₹ 500 lakh. As at that date the value in use is ₹ 400 lakh and the fair value less costs to sells is ₹ 375 lakh.

From the above data:

- (a) Calculate impairment loss.
- (b) Prepare journal entries for adjustment of impairment loss.

### **Answer 27**

According to Ind AS 36, Impairment of Assets, impairment loss is the excess of 'Carrying amount of the asset' over 'Recoverable Amount'.

In the present case, the impairment loss can be computed in the following manner:

**Step 1:** Fair value less costs to sell: ₹ 375 lakh

**Step 2:** Value in use: ₹ 400 lakh

Step 3: Recoverable amount, i.e., higher of 'fair value less costs to sell' & 'value in use'.

Thus, recoverable amount is ₹ 400 lakh

**Step 4:** Carrying amount of the asset ₹ 500 lakh

Step 5: Impairment loss, i.e., excess of amount computed in step 4 over amount computed in Step 3.

₹ 100 lakh (being the difference between ₹ 500 lakh and ₹ 400 lakh).

According to Ind AS 36, an impairment loss should be recognised as an expense in the statement of profit and loss immediately, unless the asset is carried at revalued amount in accordance with another Accounting Standard. Assuming, that the asset is not carried at revalued amount, the impairment loss of ₹ 100 lakh will be charged to Profit & Loss Account.

### **Journal Entries**

Date	Particul		Dr.	Cr.
	ars			
				₹ in lakh
31.3.20X 1	Impairment Loss A/c	Dr.	100	
	To Assets A/c			100
	(Being impairment loss on an asset recognised)			
31.3.20X 1	Statement of Profit & Loss	Dr.	100	
	To Impairment Loss A/c			100

(Being impairment loss transferred to		
statement of profit and loss)		

### Question 28 (Illustration)

A publisher owns 150 magazine titles of which 70 were purchased and 80 were self-created. The price paid for a purchased magazine title is recognised as an intangible asset. The costs of creating magazine titles and maintaining the existing titles are recognised as an expense when incurred. Cash inflows from direct sales and advertising are identifiable for each magazine title. Titles are managed by customer segments. The level of advertising income for a magazine title depends on the range of titles in the customer segment to which the magazine title relates. Management has a policy to abandon old titles before the end of their economic lives and replace them immediately with new titles for the same customer segment. What is the cash-generating unit for an individual magazine title?

### Answer 28

It is likely that the recoverable amount of an individual magazine title can be assessed. Even though the level of advertising income for a title is influenced, to a certain extent, by the other titles in the customer segment, cash inflows from direct sales and advertising are identifiable for each title. In addition, although titles are managed by customer segments, decisions to abandon titles are made on an individual title basis. Therefore, it is likely that individual magazine titles generate cash inflows that are largely independent of each other and that each magazine title is a separate cashgenerating unit.

### Question 29 (Illustration)

A mining entity owns a private railway to support its mining activities. The private railway could be sold only for scrap value and it does not generate cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from the other assets of the mine. Should the entity determine the recoverable amount for the private railway or for the mining business as a whole?

### **Answer 29**

It is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of the private railway because its value in use cannot be determined and is probably different from scrap value. Therefore, the entity estimates the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit to which the private railway belongs, i.e., the mine as a whole.

### Question 30 (Illustration)

A bus company provides services under contract with a municipality that requires minimum service on each of seven separate routes. Assets devoted to each route and the cash flows from each route can be identified separately. One of the routes operates at a significant loss. Should the company determine the recoverable amount for an individual asset or for a cash generating unit?

### Answer 30

Because the entity does not have the option to curtail any one bus route, the lowest level of identifiable cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets is the cash inflows generated by the seven routes together. The cash-generating unit for each route is the bus company as a whole.

### Question 31 (Illustration)

,age 439

A significant raw material used for plant Y's final production is an intermediate product bought from plant X of the same entity. X's products are sold to Y at a transfer price that passes all margins to

X. 80% of Y's final production is sold to customers outside of the entity. 60% of X's final production is sold to Y and the remaining 40% is sold to customers outside of the entity. For each of the following cases, what are the cash-generating units for X and Y?

- (a) If X could sell the products it sells to Y in an active market and internal transfer prices are higher than market prices, what are the cash-generating units for X and Y?
- (b) If there is no active market for the products X sells to Y, what are the cashgenerating units for X and Y?

### Answer 31

(a) Cash-generating unit for X: X could sell its products in an active market and, so, generate cash inflows that would be largely independent of the cash inflows from Y. Therefore, it is likely that X is a separate cash-generating unit, although part of its production is used by Y.

**Cash-generating unit for Y:** It is likely that Y is also a separate cash-generating unit. Y sells 80% of its products to customers outside of the entity. Therefore, its cash inflows can be regarded as largely independent.

**Effect of internal transfer pricing:** Internal transfer prices do not reflect market prices for X's output. Therefore, in determining value in use of both X and Y, the entity adjusts financial budgets/forecasts to reflect management's best estimate of future prices that could be achieved in arm's length transactions for those of X's products that are used internally.

- **(b) Cash-generating units for X and Y:** It is likely that the recoverable amount of each plant cannot be assessed independently of the recoverable amount of the other plant because:
  - (i) the majority of X's production is used internally and could not be sold in an active market. So, cash inflows of X depend on demand for Y's products. Therefore, X cannot be considered to generate cash inflows that are largely independent of those of Y.
  - (ii) the two plants are managed together.

As a consequence, it is likely that X and Y together are the smallest group of assets that generates cash inflows that are largely independent.

### Question 32 (Illustration)

XYZ Limited produces a single product and owns plants 1, 2 and 3. Each plant is located in a different country. Plant 1 produces a component that is assembled in either Plant 2 or Plant 3. The combined capacity of Plant 2 and Plant 3 is not fully utilised. XYZ Limited's products are sold worldwide from either Plant 2 or Plant 3, e.g., Plant 2's production can be sold in Plant 3's country if the products can be delivered faster from Plant 2 than from Plant 3. Utilisation levels of Plant 2 and Plant 3 depend on the allocation of sales between the two sites. If there is no active market for Plant 1's products, what are the cash-generating units for Plant 1, Plant 2 and Plant 3?

### Answer 32

It is likely that the recoverable amount of each plant cannot be assessed independently because:

(a) There is no active market for Plant 1's products. Therefore, Plant 1's cash inflows depend on sales of the final product by Plant 2 and Plant 3.

(b) Although there is an active market for the products assembled by Plant 2 and Plant 3, cash inflows for Plant 2 and Plant 3 depend on the allocation of production across the two sites. It is unlikely that the future cash inflows for Plant 2 and Plant 3 can be determined individually.

As a consequence, it is likely that Plant 1, Plant 2 and Plant 3 together (i.e., XYZ Limited as a whole) are the smallest identifiable group of assets that generates cash inflows that are largely independent.

### Question 33 (Illustration)

Goodwill had previously been allocated to cash-generating unit A. The goodwill allocated to A cannot be identified or associated with an asset group at a level lower than A, except arbitrarily. A is to be divided and integrated into three other cash-generating units, B, C and D. How the goodwill should be reallocated to B, C and D?

### **Answer 33**

Since goodwill allocated to A cannot be non-arbitrarily identified or associated with an asset group at a level lower than A, it is reallocated to units B, C and D on the basis of the relative values of the three portions of A before those portions are integrated with B, C and D.

### Question 34 (Illustration)

### Answer 34

Particulars	Goodwil 1 (₹ in crore)	Identifiable assets (₹ in crore)	Total (₹ in crore)
Historical cost	200	1,000	1,200
Depreciation (20X1- 20X2)	ı	(100)	(100)
Carrying amount	200	900	1,100

Since, the recoverable amount is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  600 crore, there is an impairment loss of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  500 crore. The impairment loss of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  500 crore should be allocated to goodwill first, and then to the other identifiable assets, i.e.,  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  200 crore to goodwill and  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  300 crore to identifiable assets of Plant A.

			(₹ in crore)
Particulars	Goodwill	Identifiable	Tot
		assets	a1
Impairment loss Carrying amount after	(200)	(300)	(500)
impairment loss	-	600	600

### Question 35 (Illustration)

Sun Ltd is an entity with various subsidiaries. The entity closes its books of account at every year ended on 31st March. On 1st July, 20X1, Sun Ltd acquired an 80% interest in Pluto Ltd. Details of the acquisition were as follows:

- Sun Ltd acquired 800,000 shares in Pluto Ltd by issuing two equity shares for every five acquired. The fair value of Sun Ltd's share on 1<sup>st</sup> July, 20X1 was ₹ 4 per share and the fair value of a Pluto's share was ₹ 1.40 per share. The costs of issue were 5% per share.
- Sun Ltd incurred further legal and professional costs of ₹ 100,000 that directly related to the acquisition.
- The fair values of the identifiable net assets of Pluto Ltd at 1<sup>st</sup> July, 20X1 were measured at
  - ₹ 1.3 million. Sun Ltd initially measured the non-controlling interest in Pluto Ltd at fair value. They used the market value of a Pluto Ltd share for this purpose. No impairment of goodwill arising on the acquisition of Pluto Ltd was required at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 or 20X3.

Pluto Ltd comprises three cash generating units A, B and C. When Pluto Ltd was acquired the directors of Sun Ltd estimated that the goodwill arising on acquisition could reasonably be allocated to units A:B:C on a 2:2:1 basis. The carrying values of the assets in these cash generating units and their recoverable amounts are as follows:

Uni	Carrying value (before goodwill	Recoverable
t	allocation)	amount
	₹ '000	₹ '000
A	600	740
В	550	650
С	450	400

### Required:

- (i) Compute the carrying value of the goodwill arising on acquisition of Pluto Ltd in the consolidated Balance Sheet of Sun ltd at 31st March, 20X4 following the impairment review.
- (ii) Compute the total impairment loss arising as a result of the impairment review, identifying how much of this loss would be allocated to the non-controlling interests in Pluto 1td.

### Answer 35

### 1. Computation of goodwill on acquisition

Particular	Amount (₹
	'000)
Cost of investment (8,00,000 x 2/5 x ₹ 4)	1,280
Fair value of non-controlling interest (2,00,000 x ₹ 1·4)	280
Fair value of identifiable net assets at date of acquisition	(1,300)
So goodwill equals	260

Acquisition costs are not included as part of the fair value of the consideration given under Ind AS 103, Business Combination.

### 2. Calculation of impairment loss

Uni t		Carrying value	Recoverable Amount	Impairment Loss	
	Before Allocatio n	Allocation of goodwill (2:2:1)	After Allocation		
Α	600	104	704	740	Nil
В	550	104	654	650	4
С	400*	52	452	400	52

<sup>\*</sup> After writing down assets in the individual CGU to recoverable amount.


### 3. Calculation of closing goodwill

Goodwill arising on acquisition (W1)	260
Impairment loss (W2)	(56)
So closing goodwill equals	204

### 4. Calculation of overall impairment loss

on goodwill (W3)	56
on assets in unit C (450 – 400)	50
So total loss equals	106

<sup>₹ 21.2 (20%)</sup> of the above is allocated to the NCI with the balance allocated to the shareholders of Sun Ltd.



4444 age

# Chapter 6 Unit-5 Ind AS 38: "Intangible Assets"

### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
											Q5,	
MTP							Q6		Q7	Q8	Q9	
										Q4,		
RTP			Q3		Q10		Q12	Q13		Q11	Q2	Q1

### Section - A

### Question 1

A company engaged in the provision of Information Technology Products and Services incurred following expenditure during the development phase of its software product that is to be offered to its customers. The entity also purchases software from third parties for incorporating into its end software product offered to its customers. The company is in the process of launching it in the market for licensing to customers. The company also takes services of external professional software developers for such software development purpose. Costs incurred in relation to the development of its software product for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 are as follows:

Particulars		Amou
	nt	(₹
	tho	usands)
Purchase price of imported software		600
Employment costs (Note 1)		1,200
Testing costs		1,800
Other costs directly related to customization (Note 2)		450
Professional fees paid for external software developers		220
Costs of training provided to staff to operate the asset		195
Costs of advertising in market		1,560
Administrative and general overheads		825

### Note 1:

The software was developed in nine months ended 31st December, 20X1 and was capable of operating in the manner intended by the entity. It was brought into use on 31st March, 20X2. The employment costs are for the period of twelve months (i.e. up to 31st March, 20X2). The employees were engaged in developing the software and related activities.

### Note 2:

Other costs directly related to development include an abnormal cost of 50,000 in respect of repairing the damage which resulted from a security breach. What will be the amount of the software development costs that can be

# capitalized by explaining the reason for each element of cost? (RTP Nov '23) Answer 1

In the given fact pattern, the entity should apply the recognition and measurement principles relevant for an internally generated intangible asset. The entity has to ensure compliance with additional requirements relating to internally generated intangible assets in addition to general recognition criteria and initial measurement of intangible asset. In the instant case, for the measurement of software development cost, entity must evaluate the costs incurred for recognition of an intangible asset arising from development phase with reference to paragraphs 65 to 67 of Ind AS 38. According to the said paragraphs, the initial carrying amount of the software will be computed as follows:

computed as follows.							
Particulars	Amount (₹ in thousan ds)	Amount to be capitalised as Intangible Assets (₹ in thousands)	Remarks				
Purchase price of imported software	600	600	The cost of materials or / and services used or consumed in generating the intangible asset and any directly attributable cost of preparing the asset for its intended use.				
Employment costs (Note 1)	1,200	900	Employment costs for the period of nine months are directly attributable costs. Therefore, the cost to be				
			capitalized is ₹ 900 thousand (i.e., 9/12 x ₹ 1,200 thousand) for nine months as the asset was ready for its intended use by that time. It is assumed that ₹ 100 thousand is equally incurred each month. Capitalisation of eligible costs should cease when the asset is capable of operating in the manner intended by management.				
Testing costs	1,800	1,800	The cost of testing whether the asset is functioning properly is a directly attributable cost. (Refer paragraph 59 of Ind AS 38)				
Other costs directly related to development (Note 2)	450	400	Cost of identified inefficiencies deducted, i.e., ₹ 450 thousand – ₹ 50 thousand.				
Professional fees paid for bringing the software to its working condition	220	220	The cost of materials or/and services used or consumed in generating the intangible asset				

Costs of training provided to staff	195	Nil	Expenditure on training staff to operate the asset cannot be capitalised. (Refer paragraph 67 of Ind AS 38)
Costs of advertising in market	1,560	Nil	Selling, administrative and other general overhead expenditure cannot be capitalised. (Refer paragraph 67 of Ind AS 38)
Administrative and general overheads	825	Ni1	
Total	6,850	3,920	

Accordingly, the initial carrying value of the software is ₹ 39,20,000. The remaining costs will be charged to profit or loss.

### **Ouestion 2**

An entity has an intangible asset in the form of a product protected by patented technology which is expected to be a source of net cash inflows for at least 15 years. It has been recognised in the books on initial date at ₹12,00,000. The entity has a commitment from a third party to purchase that patent in five years for 60 per cent of the fair value of the patent at the date it was acquired, and the entity intends to sell the patent in five years. Company is amortising the asset in 15 years considering its residual value to be Zero. Annual amortization charged to Profit and Loss is ₹80,000. State, whether the accounting treatment done by the Company is in accordance with Ind AS 38? If not, then calculate the annual amortization of the intangible asset and also the amount at which it will be reflected in the balance sheet. (RTP Nov'22)

### Answer 2

For determination of amortisation of the intangible asset, which has finite useful life, two elements need to be determined: useful life and residual value.

### Useful life is defined as:

- i. the period over which an asset is expected to be available for use by an entity; or
- ii. the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by an entity.

In the instant case, since the entity expects that the asset will be available for use by it for the period of 5 years and thereafter it will be transferred, the useful life of the asset is 5 years.

For residual value, paragraphs 100-102 of Ind AS 38 states that the residual value of an intangible asset with a finite useful life shall be assumed to be zero unless:

- (a) there is a commitment by a third party to purchase the asset at the end of its useful life; or
- (b) there is an active market (as defined in Ind AS 113) for the asset and:
  - (i) residual value can be determined by reference to that market; and
- (ii) it is probable that such a market will exist at the end of the asset's useful life. The depreciable amount of an asset with a finite useful life is determined after deducting its residual value. A residual value other than zero implies that an entity expects to dispose of the intangible asset before the end of its economic life.

An estimate of an asset's residual value is based on the amount recoverable from disposal using prices prevailing at the date of the estimate for the sale of a similar asset

that has reached the end of its useful life and has operated under conditions similar to those in which the asset will be used.

On application of above paragraphs, the depreciable amount of the patent will be determined after deducting the residual value, which is 60 % of its fair value at the date of its acquisition. Accordingly, the patent will be amortised over its useful life of 5 years, with a residual value equal to 60% of its fair value at the date of its acquisition. The patent will also be reviewed for impairment in accordance with Ind AS 36. Therefore, the accounting policy of amortising the asset over a period of 15 years considering its residual value of Zero is not in accordance with Ind AS 38.

### Computation of correct amount of residual value and annual amortization:

		₹
Cost of Intangible asset		12,00,000
Residual value	(60% of ₹ 12,00,000)	7,20,000
Depreciable value of intangible	(12,00,000 -	4,80,000
asset	7,20,000)	
Useful life		5 years
Annual amortisation	(4,80,000 / 5)	₹ 96,000 p.a.

### Question 3

PQR Ltd. is a gaming developer company. Few years back, it developed a new game called 'Cloud9'. This game sold over 10,00,000 copies around the world and was extremely profitable. Due to its popularity, PQR Ltd. released a new game in the 'Cloud9' series every year. The games continue to be the bestseller. Based on Management's expectations, estimates of cash flow projections for the 'cloud9 videogame series' over the next five years have been prepared. Based on these projections, PQR Ltd. believes that cloud9 series brand should be recognised at INR 20,00,000 in its financial statement. PQR Ltd. has also paid INR 10,00,000 to MNC Ltd. to acquire rights of another video game series called the 'Headspace' videogame series. The said series have huge demand in the market.

Discuss the accounting treatment of the above in the financial statements of PQR Ltd. (RTP May '21)

### **Answer 3**

In order to determine the accounting treatment of 'cloud9 videogame series' and 'Headspace', definition of asset and intangible asset given in Ind AS 38 may be noted: "An asset is a resource:

- (a) controlled by an entity as a result of past events; and
- (b) from which future economic benefits are expected to flow to the entity."

"An intangible asset is an identifiable non-monetary asset without physical substance." In accordance with the above, for recognizing an intangible asset, an entity must be able to demonstrate that the item satisfies the criteria of identifiability, control and existence of future economic benefits.

In order to determine whether 'cloud9 videogame series' meet the aforesaid conditions, following provisions of Ind AS 38 regarding Internally Generated Intangible Assets may be noted:

As per paragraph 63 and 64 of Ind AS 38, internally generated brands, mastheads, publishing titles, customer lists and items similar in substance should not be recognized as intangible assets. Expenditure on such items cannot be distinguished from the cost of developing the business as a whole. Therefore, such items are not recognised as intangible assets.

Accordingly, though the cash flow projections suggest that the cloud9 brand will lead to future economic benefits, yet the asset has been internally generated; therefore, the Cloud9 brand cannot be recognised as intangible asset in the financial statements.

In order to determine whether 'Headspace' meet the aforesaid conditions, following provisions of Ind AS 38 regarding 'Separately acquired Intangible Assets' should be analysed.

As per paragraphs 25 and 26 of Ind AS 38, normally, the price an entity pays to acquire separately an intangible asset will reflect expectations about the probability that the expected future economic benefits embodied in the asset will flow to the entity. In other words, the entity expects there to be an inflow of economic benefits, even if there is uncertainty about the timing or the amount of the inflow. Therefore, the probability recognition criterion in paragraph 21(a) is always considered to be satisfied for separately acquired intangible assets. In addition, the cost of a separately acquired intangible asset can usually be measured reliably. This is particularly so when the purchase consideration is in the form of cash or other monetary assets.

The Headspace game has been purchased for INR 10,00,000 and it is expected to generate future economic benefits to the entity. Since Headspace game is a separately acquired asset and the future benefits are expected to flow to the entity, therefore, an intangible asset should be recognised in respect of the 'Headspace' asset at its cost of INR 10,00,000. After initial recognition, either cost model or revaluation model can be used to measure headspace intangible asset as per guidance given in paragraphs 74-87 of Ind AS 38. In accordance with this, Headspace intangible asset should be carried at its cost/revalued amount (as the case may be) less any accumulated amortisation and any accumulated impairment losses.

### Question 4

One of the senior engineers at XYZ has been working on a process to improve manufacturing efficiency and, consequently, reduce manufacturing costs. This is a major project and has the full support of XYZ's board of directors. The senior engineer believes that the cost reductions will exceed the project costs within twenty four months of their implementation. Regulatory testing and health and safety approval was obtained on 1 June 20X5. This removed uncertainties concerning the project, which was finally completed on 20 April 20X6. Costs of Rs. 18,00,000, incurred during the year till 31st March 20X6, have been recognized as an intangible asset. An offer of Rs. 7,80,000 for the new developed technology has been received by potential buyer but it has been rejected by XYZ. Utkarsh believes that the project will be a major success and has the potential to save the company Rs. 12,00,000 in perpetuity. Director of research at XYZ, Neha, who is a qualified electronic engineer, is seriously concerned about the long term prospects of the new process and she is of the opinion that competitors would have developed new technology at some time which would require to replace the new process within four years. She estimates that the present value of future cost savings will be Rs. 9,60,000 over this period. After that, she thinks that there is no certainty about its future. What would be the appropriate accounting treatment of aforesaid issue? (RTP May '20)

### Answer 4

Intangible Assets' requires an intangible asset to be recognised if, and only if, certain criteria are met. Regulatory approval on 1 June 20X5 was the last criterion to be met, the other criteria have been met as follows:

• Intention to complete the asset is apparent as it is a major project with full support from board

- Finance is available as resources are focused on project
- Costs can be reliably measured
- Benefits are expected to exceed costs (in 2 years)

Amount of Rs. 15,00,000 (Rs.  $18,00,000 \times 10/12$ ) should be capitalized in the Balance sheet of year ending 20X5-20X6 representing expenditure since 1 June 20X5.

The expenditure incurred prior to 1 June 20X5 which is Rs. 3,00,000 ( $2/12 \times Rs. 18,00,000$ ) should be recognized as an expense, retrospective recognition of expense as an asset is not allowed.

Ind AS 36 'Impairment of assets' requires an intangible asset not yet available for use to

be tested for impairment annually.

Cash flow of Rs. 12,00,000 in perpetuity would clearly have a present value in excess of Rs. 12,00,000 and hence there would be no impairment. However, the research director is technically qualified, so impairment tests should be based on her estimate of a four-year remaining life and so present value of the future cost savings of Rs. 9,60,000 should be considered in that case.

9,60,000 is greater than the offer received (fair value less costs to sell) of Rs. 7,80,000 and so Rs. 9,60,000 should be used as the recoverable amount.

So, the carrying amount should be consequently reduced to Rs. 9,60,000.

Calculation of Impairment loss:

Particulars	Amount Rs.
Carrying amount (Restated)	15,00,000
Less: Recoverable amount	9,60,000
Impairment loss	5,40,000

Impairment loss of Rs. 5,40,000 is to be recognised in the profit and loss for the year 20X5-20X6.

Necessary adjusting entry to correct books of account will be:

	Rs.	Rs.
Operating expenses- Development expenditure	3,00,00	
Dr.	0	
Operating expenses–Impairment loss of intangible	5,40,00	8,40,000
assets Dr.	0	
Intangible To assets – Development expenditure		

### Question 5

X Ltd. purchased a franchise from a restaurant chain at a cost of ₹ 1,00,00,000 under a contract for a period of 10 years. Can the franchise right be recognised as an intangible asset in the books of X Ltd. under Ind AS 38? (MTP 4 Marks Oct'22) (RTP Nov 21)

### Answer 5

An intangible asset is an identifiable non-monetary asset without physical substance. For considering an asset as an intangible asset, an entity must be able to demonstrate that the item satisfies the criteria of identifiability, control over a resource and existence of future economic benefits.

In the given case, the franchise right meets the identifiability criterion as it is arising from contract to purchase the franchise right for 10 years. In addition, X Ltd. will have future economic benefits and control over them from the franchise right. Accordingly,

the franchise right meets the definition of intangible asset. The same can be recognised if the following recognition criteria laid down in para 21 of Ind AS 38 is met:

An intangible asset shall be recognised if, and only if:

- (a) it is probable that the expected future economic benefits that are attributable to the asset will flow to the entity; and
- (b) the cost of the asset can be measured reliably.

In the instant case, identifiability criterion is fulfilled, future economic benefits from franchise right are expected to flow to the entity and cost can also be measured reliably. Therefore, X Ltd. should recognise the franchise right as an intangible asset.

### Question 6

The Company has taken a particular application software of a supplier namely, Crystal Systems Limited, which is available on a cloud infrastructure managed and controlled by the Crystal Systems Limited. The Company contracts to pay a fee of ₹5,00,000 per month in exchange for a right to receive access to the Crystal Systems Limited's application software for 2 years. The Company accesses the software on need basis over the internet. The contract does not convey any rights to New Age Technology Limited over the tangible assets of the Crystal Systems Limited.

The Chief Accountant of New Age Technology Limited has sought your advice, whether the IT should account for this transaction for use of software with Crystal Systems Limited in terms of Ind AS 116 leases or an intangible asset in terms of Ind AS 38 'Intangible Assets'. Help him to understand your assessment. (MTP 6 Marks March '22)

### Answer 6

Assessment of applicability of Ind AS 38 in the given scenario

As per Ind AS 38, to be an intangible asset the asset should meet following criteria:

- Identifiability;
- Control over a Resource (Asset); and
- Existence of Future Economic Benefits.

Crystal Systems Limited manages and controls the application software available on a cloud infrastructure and New Age Technology Limited has limited rights to use the same. Merel y right to access the application of Crystal Systems Limited, does not give New Age Technology Limited power to obtain future economic benefits flowing from the software itself. Hence, the application software should not be recognised as an asset under Ind AS 38.

### Assessment of applicability of Ind AS 116 in the given scenario

At the inception of a contract, an entity shall assess whether the contract is or contains a lease. For the purpose, a lease is defined as a contract, or part of a contract that conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset for a period of time in exchange for consideration. This right to control the asset throughout the period of use is emphasized ONLY if the customer has both (i) right to obtain substantially all the economic benefits from the use of the identified asset, and (ii) the right to direct the use of the identified asset.

In the given case, the contract gives the New Age Technology Limited only the right to access the Crystal Systems Limited's application software over the contract term, and hence the contract is not a lease contract within the meaning of Ind AS 116.

### Conclusion

The right to access the Crystal Systems Limited's application software for a price over a specified period is a service contract. If the Crystal Systems Limited pays amounts for

which the services are yet to be received, then the advance payment is a prepayment and an asset for the Crystal Systems Limited.

### Question 7

A Ltd. intends to open a new retail store in a new location in the next few weeks. It has spent a substantial sum on a series of television advertisements to promote this new store. It has paid for advertisements costing Rs. 8,00,000 before 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2. Rs. 7,00,000 of this sum relates to advertisements shown before 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 and Rs. 1,00,000 to advertisements shown in April, 20X2. Since 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2, A Ltd. has paid for further advertisements costing Rs. 4,00,000. The accountant charged all these costs as expenses in the year to 31 March 20X2. However, CFO of A Ltd. does not want to charge Rs.12,00,000 against my 20X1-20X2 profits. He believes that these costs can be carried forward as intangible assets because the company's market research indicates that this new store is likely to be highly successful. (MTP 6 Marks Oct '19, RTP Nov'18)

### Answer 7

Ind AS 38 specifically prohibits recognising advertising expenditure as an intangible asset. Irrespective of success probability in future, such expenses have to be recognized in profit or loss. Therefore, the treatment given by the accountant is correct since such costs should be recognised as expenses. However, the costs should be recognised on an accruals basis. Therefore, of the advertisements paid for before 31st March, 20X2, Rs. 7,00,000 would be recognized as an expense and Rs. 1,00,000 as a pre-payment in the year ended 31st March 20X2. Rs. 4,00,000 cost of advertisements paid for since 31st March, 20X2 would be charged as expenses in the year ended 31st March, 20X3.

### Question 8

CARP Ltd. is engaged in developing computer software.

The expenditures incurred by CARP Ltd. in pursuance of its development of software is given below:

- (i) Paid Rs. 1,50,000 towards salaries of the program designers.
- (ii) Incurred Rs. 3,00,000 towards other cost of completion of program design.
- (iii) Incurred Rs. 80,000 towards cost of coding and establishing technical feasibility.
- (iv) Paid Rs. 3,00,000 for other direct cost after establishment of technical feasibility.
- (v) Incurred Rs. 90,000 towards other testing costs.
- (vi) A focus group of other software developers was invited to a conference for the introduction of this new software. Cost of the conference aggregated to Rs. 60,000.
- (vii) On 15 March 2020, the development phase was completed and a cash flow budget was prepared.

Net profit for the year 2019-2020 was estimated to be equal to Rs. 30,00,000. How CARP Ltd. should account for the above-mentioned cost as per relevant Ind AS? (MTP 4 Marks Oct '20, PYP 5 Marks May'19)

### Answer 8

Costs incurred in creating computer software, should be charged to research & development expenses when incurred until technical feasibility/asset recognition criteria have been established for the product. Here, technical feasibility is established after completion of detailed program design. In this case, Rs. 5,30,000 (salary cost of Rs. 1,50,000, program design cost of Rs. 3,00,000 and coding and technical feasibility cost of Rs. 80,000) would be recorded as expense in Profit and Loss since it belongs to research phase. Cost incurred from the point of technical feasibility are capitalized as software costs. But the conference cost of Rs.60,000 would be expensed off. In this situation, direct cost after establishment of technical feasibility of Rs. 3,00,000 and testing cost of Rs. 90,000 will be capitalized. The cost of software capitalized is = Rs.

### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Some of the examinees wrongly capitalized cost of coding `80,000. Hence they were not able to arrive at the correct capitalized value of the software.

(3,00,000 + 90,000) = Rs. 3,90,000.

### Question 9

Super Sounds Limited had the following transactions during the Financial Year 20 X1-20X2.

- (i) On 1st April 20X1, Super Sounds Limited purchased the net assets of Music Limited for ₹ 13,20,000. The fair value of Music Limited's identifiable net assets was ₹ 10,00,000. Super Sounds Limited is of the view that due to popularity of Music Limited's product, the life of goodwill is 10 years.
- (ii) On 4th May 20X1, Super Sounds Limited purchased a Franchisee to organize musical shows from Armaan TV for ₹ 80,00,000 and at an annual fee of 2% of musical shows revenue. The Franchisee expires after 5 years. Musical shows revenue were ₹ 10,00,000 for financial year 20X1-20X2. The projected future revenues for financial year 20X2-20X3 is ₹ 25,00,000 and ₹ 30,00,000 p.a. for remaining 3 years thereafter.
- (iii) On 4th July 20X1, Super Sounds Limited was granted a Copyright that had been applied for by Music Limited. During financial year 20X1-20X2, Super Sound Limited incurred ₹ 2,50,000 on legal cost to register the Patent and ₹ 7,00,000 additional cost to successfully prosecute a copyright infringement suit against a competitor.

The life of the Copyright is for 10 years.

Super Sound Limited follows an accounting policy to amortize all intangible on SLM (Straight Line Method) basis or any appropriate basis over a maximum period permitted by relevant Ind AS, taking a full year amortization in the year of acquisition.

- (i) You are required to prepare A Schedule showing the intangible section in Super Sound Limited Balance Sheet as on 31st March 20X2, and
- (ii) A Schedule showing the related expenses that would appear in the Statement of Profit and Loss of Super Sound Limited for the year ended 20X1-20X2.(MTP 10 Marks Sep'22, PYP 10 Marks, Jan'21)

### Answer 9

Super Sounds Limited Balance Sheet (Extract relating to intangible asset) as at 31 st March 20X2

Note	₹
No.	

Assets		
(1) Non- current asset	1	69,45,0
Intangible assets	1	09,43,0

# Super Sounds Limited Statement of Profit and Loss (Extract) for the year ended 31st March 20X2

	Note No.	₹
Revenue from Operations		10,00,000
Total Revenue		
Expenses:		
Amortization expenses	2	16,25,000
Other expenses	3	
Total Expenses		7,20,00 0

### **Notes to Accounts (Extract)**

1. Intangible Assets

	Gross Block				Acc	cumulated			Net block
		(Cost)			amortisation			Net block	
		Openi	Additio	Closin	Openi	Addition	Closin	Openi	Closin
		ng balanc	ns	g Balanc	ng balanc	s	g Balanc	ng balanc	g Balanc
		е		e	е		е	е	е
		₹	₹	₹	₹	₹	₹	₹	₹
1.	Goodwill*	-	3,20,00	3,20,000	-	-	-	-	3,20,000
	(W.N.1)		0						
2.	Franchise*	-	80,00,0	80,00,00	-	16,00,00	16,00,0	-	64,00,00
	* (W.N.2)		00	0		0	00		0
3.	Copyright								
	(W.N.3)	-	2,50,00		-	25,000		-	2,25,000
			0	2,50,000			25,000		
		-	85,70,0	85,70,00	-	16,25,00	16,25,0	-	69,45,00
			00	0		0	00		0

<sup>\*</sup>As per Ind AS 36, irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, an entity shall test goodwill acquired in a business combination for impairment annually. This implies that goodwill is not amortised annually but is subject to annual impairment, if any.

<sup>\*\*</sup>As per the information in the question, the limiting factor in the contract for the use is time i.e., 5 years and not the fixed total amount of revenue to be generated. Therefore, an amortisation method that is based on the revenue generated by an activity that includes the use of an intangible asset is inappropriate and amortisation based on time can only be applied.

2.	Amortization expenses		
	Franchise (W.N.2)	16,00,000	
	Copyright (W.N.3)	25,000	16,25,000
3.	Other expenses		
	Legal cost on copyright	7,00,000	

 $^{2}$ 

**Working Notes:** 

		₹
(1)	Goodwill on acquisition of business	
	Cash paid for acquiring the business	13,20,000
	Less: Fair value of net assets acquired	(10,00,000)
	Goodwill	3,20,000
(2)	Franchise	80,00,000
	Less: Amortisation (over 5 years)	(16,00,000)
	Balance to be shown in the balance sheet	64,00,000
(3)	Copyright	2,50,000
	Less: Amortisation (over 10 years as per SLM)	(25,000)
	Balance to be shown in the balance sheet	2,25,000

### Question 10

Mr. X, is the financial controller of ABC Ltd., a listed entity which prepares consolidated financial statements in accordance with Ind AS. Mr. X has recently produced the final draft of the financial statements of ABC Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 2018 to the managing director for approval. Mr. Y, who is not an accountant, had raised following queries from Mr. X after going through the draft financial statements:

In the year to March, 2018, ABC Ltd. spent considerable amount on designing a new product. ABC Ltd. spent the six months from April, 2017 to September, 2017 researching into the feasibility of the product. Mr. X charged these research costs to profit or loss. From October, 2017, A Ltd. was confident that the product would be commercially successful and A Ltd. is fully committed to finance its future development. A Ltd. spent remaining part of the year in developing the product, which is expected to start from selling in the next few months. These development costs have been recognised as intangible assets in the Balance Sheet. State whether the treatment done by Mr. X is correct when all these research and development costs are design costs. Justify your answer with reference to relevant Ind AS. Provide answers to the queries raised by the managing director Mr. Y as per Ind AS. (RTP Nov '18)

### Answer 10

As per Ind AS 38 'Intangible Assets', the treatment of expenditure on intangible items depends on how it arose. Internal expenditure on intangible items incurred during research phase cannot be recognised as an asset. Once it can be demonstrated that a development project is likely to be technically feasible, commercially viable, overall profitable and can be adequately resourced, then future expenditure on the project can be recognised as an intangible asset. The difference in the treatment of expenditure upto 30th September, 2017 and expenditure after that date is due to the recognition phase ie. research or development phase.

### **Question 11**

ABC Pvt. Ltd., recruited a player. As per the terms of the contract, the player is prohibited from playing for any other entity for coming 5 years and have to in the employment with the company and cannot leave the entity without mutual agreement. The price the entity paid to acquire this right is derived from the skills and fame of the said player. The entity uses and develops the player through participation in matches. State whether the cost incurred to obtain the right

# regarding the player can be recognised as an intangible asset as per Ind AS 38? (RTP Nov '20)

### Answer 11

As per Ind AS 38, for an item to be recognised as an intangible asset, it must meet the definition of an intangible asset, i.e., identifiability, control over a resource and existence of future economic benefits and also recognition criteria.

With regard to establishment of control, paragraph 13 of Ind AS 38 states that an entity controls an asset if the entity has the power to obtain the future economic benefits flowing from the underlying resource and to restrict the access of others to those benefits. The capacity of an entity to control the future economic benefits from an intangible asset would normally stem from legal rights that are enforceable in a court of law. In the absence of legal rights, it is more difficult to demonstrate control. However, legal enforceability of a right is not a necessary condition for control because an entity may be able to control the future economic benefits in some other way.

Further, paragraph 15 of Ind AS 38 provides that an entity may have a team of skilled staff and may be able to identify incremental staff skills leading to future economic benefits from training. The entity may also expect that the staff will continue to make their skills available to the entity. However, an entity usually has insufficient control over the expected future economic benefits arising from a team of skilled staff and from training for these items to meet the definition of an intangible asset. For a similar reason, specific management or technical talent is unlikely to meet the definition of an intangible asset, unless it is protected by legal rights to use it and to obtain the future economic benefits expected from it, and it also meets the other parts of the definition. Since the right in the instant case is contractual, identifiability criterion is satisfied. Based on the facts provided in the given case, the player is prohibited from playing in other teams by the terms of the contract which legally binds the player to stay with ABC

Accordingly, in the given case, the company would be able to demonstrate control. Future economic benefits are expected to arise from use of the player in matches. Further, cost of obtaining rights is also reliably measurable. Hence, it can recognise the costs incurred to obtain the right regarding the player as an intangible asset. However, careful assessment of relevant facts and circumstances of each case is required to be made.

### **Question 12**

Ltd for 5 years.

D Ltd. a leading publishing house, purchased copyright of a book from its author for publishing the same. As per the terms of the contract, if D Ltd. chooses to make the payment upfront then, copyright consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  80,00,000 is to be paid (which is in line with general practice in such arrangements). However, the contract also provided that, in case D Ltd. chooses to pay the consideration after 2 years, then it will be required to pay  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  1,00,00,000. At what value should the intangible asset be recognised as per Ind AS 38? (RTP May '22)

### Answer 12

As per paragraph 32 of Ind AS 38, "If payment for an intangible asset is deferred beyond normal credit terms, its cost is the cash price equivalent. The difference between this amount and the total payments is recognized as interest expense over the period of credit unless it is capitalized in accordance with Ind AS 23, Borrowing Costs."

In the given case, if the payment for an intangible asset i.e. copyright is deferred beyond normal credit terms, the cash price equivalent ₹ 80,00,000 should be considered as its cost and the intangible asset will be recorded initially at this value.

The difference of ₹ 20,00,000 between cash price equivalent (i.e. ₹ 80,00,000) and the total payment (i.e. ₹ 1,00,00,000) should be recognised as interest expense over the period of credit (i.e. 2 years in this case), unless it is eligible for capitalisation in accordance with Ind AS 23, Borrowing Costs.

### Question 13

An entity acquired two trade secrets (secret recipes) in a business combination. Recipe A is patented. Recipe B is not legally protected. How the acquisition of Recipe A and Recipe B would be accounted for by the entity as per relevant Ind AS. (RTP May '23)

### Answer 13

Para 11 and 12 of Ind AS 38 states that the definition of an intangible asset requires an intangible asset to be identifiable to distinguish it from goodwill. Goodwill recognized in a business combination is an asset representing the future economic benefits arising from other assets acquired in a business combination that are not individually identified and separately recognized. The future economic benefits may result from synergy between the identifiable assets acquired or from assets that, individually, do not qualify for recognition in the financial statements.

Further, an asset is identifiable if it either:

- (a) is separable, i.e. is capable of being separated or divided from the entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or together with a related contract, identifiable asset or liability, regardless of whether the entity intends to do so; or
- (b) arises from contractual or other legal rights, regardless of whether those rights are transferable or separable from the entity or from other rights and obligations. In the given case, Recipe A meets the contractual-legal criterion for identification as an intangible asset because it is protected by a patent. This recipe is identified an recognized separately from goodwill while accounting the business combination.

Since Recipe B is not protected by a patent, it does not meet the contractual-legal criterion for identification as an intangible asset. However, Recipe B is identified as a separate intangible asset because it meets the separability criterion. Such recipes can be, and often are, exchanged, licensed or leased to others. Therefore, the unpatented Recipe B should be accounted for as a separate intangible asset acquired in the business combination.

### Section - B

### Question 1

- (a) ₹ 30,00,000 for obtaining the skilled staff of Y Ltd.
- (b)  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  50,00,000 by way of payment towards 'Non-compete Fee' so as to restrict Y Ltd. to compete in the same line of business for next 5 years.

However, the above items (a) and (b) are not forming part of the net assets acquired of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  8,50,00,000. How should the above transactions be accounted for by X Ltd?

Answer 1

 $^{2}$ 

X Ltd. should recognise an intangible asset in respect of the consideration paid towards 'Non- Compete Fee'. However, amount paid for obtaining skilled staff amounting to ₹ 30,00,000 does not meet the definition of intangible asset since X Ltd. has not established any right over the resource and the same should be expensed. The entity has insufficient control over the expected future economic benefits arising from the team of skilled staff.

Therefore, ₹ 50,00,000 will be separately recognised as an intangible asset, whereas amount paid for obtaining skilled staff does not meet the recognition criteria for being identified as a separate intangible asset. However, since it is acquired as part of a business combination, it forms part of the goodwill recognised at the acquisition date. The value of goodwill would be ₹ 1,00,00,000 (₹ 1,50,00,000 - ₹ 50,00,000).

### Question 2

X Ltd. purchased a franchise from a restaurant chain at a cost of ₹ 1,00,00,000 and the franchise has 10 years life. In addition, the franchise agreement mentions that the franchisee would also pay the franchisor royalty as a percentage of sales made. Can the franchise rights be treated as an intangible asset under Ind AS 38?

### Answer 2

The franchise rights meets the identification criterion of an intangible asset since it arises from the contractual rights. It is acquired separately and it's cost can be measured reliably. In addition, X Ltd. will have future economic benefits and control over them from the franchise rights. X Ltd. should recognise the franchise right as intangible asset and amortise it over 10 years. Royalty as a percentage of sales paid to the franchisor would be a charge to the profit and loss in the books of the X Ltd.

### Question 3

An entity regularly places advertisements in newspapers advertising its products and includes a reply slip that informs individuals replying to the advertisement that the entity may pass on the individual's details to other sellers of similar products, unless the individual ticks a box in the advertisement. Over a period of time the entity has assembled a list of customers' names and addresses. The list is provided to other entities for a fee. The entity would like to recognise an asset in respect of the expected future economic benefits to be derived from the list. Can the customer list be treated as an intangible asset under Ind AS 38?

### Answer 3

In this situation, the entity has no legal rights to the customer relationship, but exchange transactions have taken place that evidence separability of the asset and the control that the entity is able to exercise over the asset. Therefore, the list is an intangible asset. However, the entity may not recognise the asset because the cost of generating the customer list internally cannot be distinguished from the cost of developing the business as a whole. It does not meet the conditions specified to recognize an internally generated intangible asset.

### Question 4

A software company X Ltd. is developing new software for the telecom industry. It employs 100 employs engineers trained in that particular discipline who are engaged in the development of the software. X Ltd. feels that it has an excellent HR policy and does not expect any of its employees to leave in the near future.

It wants to recognise these set of engineers as a human resources asset in the form of an intangible asset. What would be your advice to X Ltd?

### Answer 4

Although, without doubt the skill sets of the employees make them extremely valuable to the company, however it does not have control over them. Merely having good HR policies would not make them eligible to be recognised as an intangible asset.

### Question 5

X Ltd. has acquired a telecom license from Government to operate mobile telephony in two states of India. Can the cost of acquisition be capitalised as an intangible asset under Ind AS 38?

### Answer 5

Cost of acquisition of the telecom license can be capitalised as an intangible asset under the head Licenses, as it will lead to future economic benefits for X Ltd.

### Question 6

X Ltd. purchased a standardised finance software at a list price of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  30,00,000 and paid  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  50,000 towards purchase tax which is non-refundable. In addition to this, the entity was granted a trade discount of 5% on the initial list price. X Ltd. incurred cost of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  7,00,000 towards customisation of the software for its intended use. X Ltd. also purchased a 5-year maintenance contract with the vendor company of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,00,000. At what cost the intangible asset will be recognised?

### Answer 6

In accordance with Ind AS 38, the cost of a separately acquired intangible asset is its purchases price and non-refundable purchase taxes, after deducting trade discounts and rebates and any directly attributable cost of preparing the asset for its intended use

Therefore, the initial cost of the asset should be:

	Amount (₹)
List price	30,00,000
Less: Trade discount (5%)	(1,50,000)
	28,50,000
Non-refundable purchase tax	50,000
Customisation cost	7,00,000
Total cost	36,00,000

The maintenance contract of ₹ 2,00,000 is an expense and therefore should be taken as a prepaid expense and charged to profit and loss over a period of 5 years.

### Question 7

X Limited in a business combination, purchased the net assets of Y Limited for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  4,00,000 on 31st March, 20X1. The assets and liabilities position of Y Limited just before the acquisition is as follows:

Assets	Cost (in ₹)
Property, Plant & Equipment	1,00,000
Intangible asset 1	20,000
Intangible asset 2	50,000
Cash & Bank	1,30,000

age 459

Liabilities	
Trade payable	50,000

The fair market value of the PPE, intangible asset 1 and intangible asset 2 is available and they are  $\raiset{1,50,000}$ ,  $\raiset{30,000}$  and  $\raiset{70,000}$  respectively. How would X Limited account for the net assets acquired from Y Limited?

### Answer 7

X Limited will account for the assets acquired from Y Limited in following manner:

Assets	Amount (₹)
Property, plant and equipment	1,50,000
Goodwill	70,000
Intangible asset 1	30,000
Intangible asset 2	70,000
Cash & Bank	1,30,000
Liabilities	
Trade payable	50,000

**Note 1-** Goodwill is the difference between fair value of net assets acquired and purchase consideration paid when is calculated as follow: Goodwill = \$4,00,000 - \$(1,50,000 + 70,000 + 30,000 + 1,30,000 - 50,000) = \$70,000.

### **Ouestion 8**

X Ltd. acquired Y Ltd. on  $30^{th}$  April, 20X1. The purchase consideration is ₹ 50,00,000. The fair value of the tangible assets is ₹ 45,00,000. The company estimates the fair value of "in-process research projects" at ₹ 10,00,000. No other Intangible asset is acquired by X Ltd. in the transaction. Further, cost incurred by X Ltd. in relation to that research project is as follows:

- (a) ₹ 5,00,000 as research expenses
- (b) ₹ 2,00,000 to establish technological feasibility
- (c) ₹ 7,00,000 for further development cost after technological feasibility is established. At what amount the intangible asset should be measured under Ind AS 38?

### **Answer 8**

X Ltd. should initially recognise the acquired "in house research project" at its fair value i.e.,  $\ge 10,00,000$ . Research cost of  $\ge 5,00,000$  and cost of  $\ge 2,00,000$  for establishing technical feasibility should be charged to profit & loss. Costs incurred from the point of technological feasibility/asset recognition criteria until the time when development costs are incurred are capitalised. So the intangible asset should be recognised at  $\ge 17,00,000$  ( $\ge 10,00,000 + \ge 7,00,000$ ).

### Question 9

X Ltd. acquired a patent right of manufacturing drug from Y Ltd. In exchange X Ltd. gives its intellectual property right to Y Ltd. Current market value of the patent and intellectual property rights are  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  20,00,000 and  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  18,00,000 respectively. At what value patent right should be initially recognised in the books of X Ltd. in following two situations?

- (a) X Ltd. did not pay any cash to Y Ltd.
- (b) X Ltd. pays ₹ 2,00,000 to Y Ltd.

097

### **Answer 9**

If an entity is able to determine reliably the fair value of either the asset received or the asset given up, then the fair value of the asset given up is used to measure cost unless the fair value of the asset received is more clearly evident.

The transaction at the fair value of the asset received adjusted for any cash received or paid. Therefore, in case (a) patent is measured at  $\ge 18,00,000$ , in case (b) it is measured at  $\ge 20,00,000$  (18,00,000 + 2,00,000).

### Question 10

X Garments Ltd. spent  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  1,00,00,000 towards promotions for a fashion show by way of various on-road shows, contests etc. After that event, it realised that the brand name of the entity got popular and resultantly, subsequent sales have shown a significant improvement. It is further expected that this hike will have an effect over the next 2-3 years. How the entity should account for the above cost incurred on promoting such show?

### Answer 10

Expenditure of ₹ 1,00,00,000 though increased future economic benefits, but it does not result in creation of an intangible asset. Such promotional cost should be expensed off.

### Question 11

An entity is developing a new production process. During 20X1-20X2, expenditure incurred was ₹ 1,000, of which ₹ 900 was incurred before  $1^{st}$  March, 20X2 and ₹ 100 was incurred between  $1^{st}$  March, 20X2 and  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2. The entity is able to demonstrate that at  $1^{st}$  March, 20X2, the production process met the criteria for recognition as an intangible asset. The recoverable amount of the know-how embodied in the process (including future cash outflows to complete the process before it is available for use) is estimated to be ₹ 500. Explain the accounting treatment of expenditure incurred in 20X1-20X2 and 20X2-20X3 as per relevant Ind AS. During 20X2-20X3, expenditure incurred is ₹ 2,000. At the end of 20X3, the recoverable amount of the know-how embodied in the process (including future cash outflows to complete the process before it is available for use) is estimated to be ₹ 1,900.

### Answer 11

At the end of the financial year 20X2, the production process is recognised as an intangible asset at a cost of ₹ 100 (expenditure incurred since the date when the recognition criteria were met, i.e., 1st March, 20X2). ₹ 900 expenditure incurred before 1st March, 20X2 is recognised as an expense because the recognition criteria were not met until 1st March, 20X2. This expenditure does not form part of the cost of the production process recognised in the balance sheet.

At the end of 20X3, the cost of the production process is  $\gtrless$  2,100 ( $\gtrless$  100 expenditure recognised at the end of 20X2 plus  $\gtrless$  2,000 expenditure recognised in 20X3). The entity recognises an impairment loss of  $\gtrless$  200 to adjust the carrying amount of the process before impairment loss ( $\gtrless$  2,100) to its recoverable amount ( $\gtrless$  1,900). This impairment loss will be reversed in a subsequent period if the requirements for the reversal of an impairment loss in Ind AS 36 are met.

### Question 12

X Ltd. is engaged is developing computer software. The expenditures incurred by X Ltd. in pursuance of its development of software is given below:

- (a) Paid ₹ 2,00,000 towards salaries of the program designers.
- (b) Incurred ₹ 5,00,000 towards other cost of completion of program design.
- (c) Incurred ₹ 2,00,000 towards cost of coding and establishing technical

feasibility.

- (d) Paid  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  7,00,000 for other direct cost after establishment of technical feasibility.
- (e) Incurred ₹ 2,00,000 towards other testing costs.
- (f) A focus group of other software developers was invited to a conference for the introduction of this new software. Cost of the conference aggregated to ₹ 70.000.

On 15th March, 20X1, the development phase was complete and a cash flow budget was prepared.

Net profit for the year was estimated to be equal ₹ 40,00,000. How X Ltd. should account for the above mentioned cost?

### Answer 12

Costs incurred in creating computer software, should be charged to research & development expenses when incurred until technical feasibility/asset recognition criteria have been established for the product. Here, technical feasibility is established after completion of detailed program design.

In this case,  $\gtrless$  9,00,000 (salary cost of  $\gtrless$  2,00,000, program design cost of  $\gtrless$  5,00,000 and coding and technical feasibility cost of  $\gtrless$  2,00,000) would be recorded as expense in Profit and Loss since it belongs to research phase.

Cost incurred from the point of technical feasibility are capitalised as software costs. But the conference cost of ₹ 70,000 would be expensed off.

In this situation, direct cost after establishment of technical feasibility of  $\ref{7,00,000}$  and testing cost of  $\ref{2,00,000}$  will be capitalised.

The cost of software capitalised is = ₹ (7,00,000 + 2,00,000) = ₹ 9,00,000.

### Question 13

X Ltd. has started developing a new production process in financial year 20X1-20X2. Total expenditure incurred till  $30^{th}$  September, 20X1, was ₹ 1,00,00,000. The expenditure on the development of the production process meets the recognition criteria on  $1^{st}$  July, 20X1. The records of X Ltd. show that, out of total ₹ 1,00,00,000, ₹ 70,00,000 were incurred during July to September, 20X1. X Ltd. publishes its financial results quarterly. How X Ltd. should account for the development expenditure?

### Answer 13

X Ltd. should recognise the intangible asset at ₹ 70,00,000 and ₹ 30,00,000 which was already recognised as an expense in first quarter should not be capitalised.

### Question 14

X Ltd. decides to revalue its intangible assets on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X1. On the date of revaluation, the intangible assets stand at a cost of ₹ 1,00,00,000 and accumulated amortisation is ₹ 40,00,000. The intangible assets are revalued at ₹ 1,50,00,000. How should X Ltd. account for the revalued intangible assets in its books of account?

### Answer 14

The intangible assets are revalued to  $\raiset{1,50,00,000}$  on an amortised replacement cost basis, which is a 150% increase from its original cost. Thereby applying the existing ratio of accumulated depreciation to the cost the revalued gross amount would be  $\raiset{2,50,00,000}$  gross and  $\raiset{1,00,00,000}$  on amortisation.

 $P_{\text{age}}462$ 

### Question 15

One of the senior engineers at XYZ has been working on a process to improve manufacturing efficiency and, consequently, reduce manufacturing costs. This is a major project and has the full support of XYZ's board of directors. The senior engineer believes that the cost reductions will exceed the project costs within twenty four months of their implementation. Regulatory testing and health and safety approval was obtained on 1 June 20X5. This removed uncertainties concerning the project, which was finally completed on 20 April 20X6. Costs of ₹ 18,00,000, incurred during the year till 31st March 20X6, have been recognized as an intangible asset. An offer of ₹ 7,80,000 for the new developed technology has been received by potential buyer but it has been rejected by XYZ. Utkarsh believes that the project will be a major success and has the potential to save the company ₹ 12,00,000 in perpetuity. Director of research at XYZ, Neha, who is a qualified electronic engineer, is seriously concerned about the long term prospects of the new process and she is of the opinion that competitors would have developed new technology at some time which would require to replace the new process within four years. She estimates that the present value of future cost savings will be ₹ 9,60,000 over this period. After that, she thinks that there is no certainty about its future. What would be the appropriate accounting treatment of aforesaid issue?'

### Answer 15

Ind AS 38 'Intangible Assets' requires an intangible asset to be recognised if, and only if, certain criteria are met. Regulatory approval on 1 June 20X5 was the last criterion to be met, the other criteria have been met as follows:

- Intention to complete the asset is apparent as it is a major project with full support from board
- Finance is available as resources are focused on project
- Costs can be reliably measured
- Benefits are expected to exceed costs (in 2 years)

Amount of ₹ 15,00,000 (₹ 18,00,000 x 10/12) should be capitalised in the Balance sheet of year ending 20X5-20X6 representing expenditure since 1 June 20X5.

The expenditure incurred prior to 1 June 20X5 which is ₹ 3,00,000 (2/12 x ₹ 18,00,000) should be recognised as an expense, retrospective recognition of expense as an asset is not allowed.

Ind AS 36 'Impairment of assets' requires an intangible asset not yet available for use to be tested for impairment annually.

Cash flow of ₹ 12,00,000 in perpetuity would clearly have a present value in excess of ₹ 12,00,000 and hence there would be no impairment. However, the research director is technically qualified, so impairment tests should be based on her estimate of a four-year remaining life and so present value of the future cost savings of ₹ 9,60,000 should be considered in that case. ₹ 9,60,000 is greater than the offer received (fair value less costs to sell) of ₹ 7,80,000 and so ₹ 9,60,000 should be used as the recoverable amount. So, the carrying amount should be consequently reduced to ₹ 9,60,000.

### Calculation of Impairment loss:

Particulars	Amount ₹
Carrying amount (Restated)	15,00,000
Less: Recoverable amount	9,60,000

463

Impairment loss	5,40,000

Impairment loss of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 5,40,000$  is to be recognised in the profit and loss for the year 20X5-20X6.

Necessary adjusting entry to correct books of account will be:

	₹	₹
Operating expenses- Development expenditure Dr.	3,00,00	
	0	
Operating expenses-Impairment loss of intangible	5,40,00	8,40,00
assets Dr.	0	0
To Intangible assets – Development expenditure		

### Question 16 (Illustration)

Sun Ltd has an expertise in the consulting business. In years gone by, the Company gained a 30% market share for its services business and intends to recognise it as an intangible asset. Is the action by Company justified?

### Answer 16

Market share does not meet the definition of intangible assets as is not identifiable i.e. it is neither separable and nor has arisen from contractual or legal rights.

### Question 17 (Illustration)

Company XYZ ltd has provided training to its staff on various new topics like GST, Ind AS etc. to ensure the compliance as per the required law. Can the company recognise such cost of staff training as intangible asset?

### Answer 17

It is clear that the company will obtain the economic benefits from the work performed by the staff as it increases their efficiency. But it does not have control over them because staff could choose to resign the company at any time.

Hence the company lacks the ability to restrict the access of others to those benefits. Therefore, the staff training cost does not meet the definition of an intangible asset.

### Question 18 (Illustration)

Pluto Ltd. intends to open a new retail store in a new location in the next few weeks. Pluto Ltd has spent a substantial sum on a series of television advertisements to promote this new store. The Company has paid an amount of ₹ 800,000 for advertisements before 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1. ₹ 700,000 of this sum relates to advertisements shown before 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1 and ₹ 100,000 to advertisements shown in April, 20X1. Since 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1, the Company has paid for further advertisements costing ₹ 400,000. Pluto Ltd is of view that such costs can be carried forward as intangible assets. Since market research indicates that this new store is likely to be highly successful. Please explain and justify the treatment of the above costs in the financial statements for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1.

### Answer 18

Under Ind AS 38 – Intangible Assets – intangible assets can only be recognized if they are identifiable and have a cost which can be reliably measured.

These criteria are very difficult to satisfy for internally developed intangibles.

For these reasons, Ind AS 38 specifically prohibits recognising advertising expenditure as an intangible asset. The issue of how successful the store is likely to be does not affect this prohibition. Therefore, such costs should be recognised as expenses.

However, the costs would be recognised on accrual basis. Therefore, of the advertisements paid for before 31st March, 20X1, ₹ 7,00,000 would be recognised as an expense and ₹ 1,00,000 as a pre-payment in the year ended 31st March, 20X1. The ₹ 4,00,000 cost of advertisements paid for since 31st March, 20X1 would be charged as expenses in the year ended 31st March, 20X2.

### Question 19 (Illustration)

Venus India Private Ltd acquired a software for its internal use costing ₹ 10,00,000. The amount payable for the software was ₹ 600,000 immediately and ₹ 400,000 in one year time. The other expenditure incurred were:-

**Purchase tax : ₹ 1,00,000** 

Entry Tax : 10% (recoverable later from tax department) Legal fees:  $\frac{1}{2}$  87,000 Consultancy fees for implementation :  $\frac{1}{2}$  1,20,000 Cost of capital of the company is 10%.

Calculate the cost of the software on initial recognition using the principles of Ind AS 38 Intangible Assets.

### Answer 19

Particulars	Amount in ₹
Cash paid	600,000
Deferred consideration (₹ 400,000/1.1)	3,63,636
Purchase Tax	1,00,000
Entry tax (not to be considered as it is a refundable tax)	-
Legal fees	87,000
Consultancy fees for implementation	1,20,000
Total cost to be capitalised	12,70,636

### Question 20 (Illustration)

On 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1, Earth India Ltd. paid ₹ 50,00,000 for a 100% interest in Sun India Ltd. At that date Sun Ltd.'s net assets had a fair value of ₹ 30,00,000. In addition, Sun Ltd. also held the following rights:

- Trade Mark named "GRAND" valued at ₹ 180,000 using a discounted cash flow technique.
- Sole distribution rights to an electronic product; future cash flows from which are estimated to be ₹ 150,000 per annum for the next 6 years.

10% is considered an appropriate discount rate. The 6 year, 10% annuity factor is 4.36.

Calculate goodwill and other Intangible assets arising on acquisition.

### Answer 20

Particulars	Amount	Amount
Purchase Consideration (A)		50,00,000
Net Asset acquired	30,00,000	
Trade Mark	1,80,000	
Distribution Rights (1,50,000 x 4.36)		
Total (B)	6,54,00	(38,34,000)
Goodwill on Acquisition		11,66,000

age 465

### Question 21(Illustration)

Sun Ltd acquired a software from Earth Ltd. in exchange for a telecommunication license. The telecommunication license is carried at  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  5,00,000 in the books of Sun Ltd. The Software is carried at  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  10,000 in the books of the Earth Ltd which is not the fair value.

Advise journal entries in the following situations in the books of Sun Ltd and Earth Ltd:

- 1) Fair value of software is ₹ 5,20,000 and fair value of telecommunication license is ₹ 5,00,000.
- 2) Fair Value of Software is not measurable. However similar Telecommunication license is transacted by another company at ₹ 4,90,000.
- 3) Neither Fair Value of Software nor Telecommunication license could be reliably measured.

### Answer 21

		₹ in '000
Situation	Sun Ltd.	Earth Ltd.
1	Software Dr. 500	Telecommunication license Dr. 520
	To Telecommunication license	To Software 10
	500	To Profit on Exchange 510
	To Profit on Exchange Nil	_
2	Software Dr. 490	Telecommunication license Dr.
	Loss on Exchange Dr. 10	490 To Software 10
	To Telecommunication license 500	To Profit on Exchange 480
	Note: The company may first	
	recognise Impairment loss and	
	then reccord an entry. The effect	
	is the same as impairment loss	
	will also be charged to Income	
	Statement.	
3	Software Dr. 500	Telecommunication license Dr. 10
	To Telecommunication license	To Software 10
	500	

### Question 22 (Illustration)

Venus Ltd. is preparing its accounts for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 and is unsure how to treat the following items.

- 1. Company has completed a big marketing and advertising campaign costing ₹ 2,40,000. The finance director had authorised this campaign on the basis that it would create ₹ 5,00,000 of additional profits over the next three years.
- 2. A new product was developed during the year. The expenditure aggregated ₹ 1,50,000 of which ₹ 1,00,000 was incurred prior to 30<sup>th</sup> September, 20X1, the date on which it became clear that the product was technically viable. The new product will be launched in the next four months and its recoverable amount is estimated at ₹ 70,000.
- 3. Staff participated in a training programme which cost the company ₹ 300,000. The training organisation had made a presentation to the directors of Baxter outlining that incremental profits to the business over the next twelve months would be ₹ 500,000.

What amounts should appear as assets in Venus Ltd. Balance sheet as at 31st March, 20X2?

### **Answer 22**

The treatment in Venus Ltd's balance sheet as at 31st March, 20X2 will be as follows:

- 1. Marketing and advertising campaign: no asset will be recognised because it is not possible to identify future economic benefits that are attributable only to this campaign. All of the expenditure should be expensed in the statement of profit and loss account.
- 2. New product: development expenditure appearing in the statement of financial position will be valued at ₹ 50,000. The expenditure prior to the date on which the product becomes technically feasible is recognised in the statement of profit and loss account as an expense.
- 3. Training programme: no intangible asset will be recognised, because staff are not under the control of Venus Ltd. and when staff leave the benefits of the training, whatever they may be, also leave.

### Question 23 (Illustration)

Expenditure on a new production process in 20X1-20X2:

1st April to 31st December2,7001st January to 31st March900

3,600

₹

The production process met the intangible asset recognition criteria for development on 1st January, 20X2. The amount estimated to be recoverable from the process is ₹ 1,000.

Expenditure incurred for development of the process in FY 20X2-20X3 is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  6,000. Asset was brought into use on 31st March, 20X3 and is expected to be useful for 6 years.

What is the carrying amount of the intangible asset at 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3. Also determine the charge to profit or loss for 20X1-20X2?

At 31st March, 20X4, the amount estimated to be recoverable from the process is  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 5,000$ .

What is the carrying amount of the intangible asset at 31st March, 20X4 and the charge to profit or loss for 20X3-20X4 on account of impairment loss?

### Answer 23

### 1) Expenditure to be transferred to profit or loss in 20X1-20X2 ₹

Total Expenditure	3,600
Less: Expenditure during development phase	(900)
Expenditure to be transferred to profit or loss	2,700

# 2) Carrying amount of intangible asset on $31^{st}$ March, 20X2

Expenditure during Development Phase will be	₹ 900
capitalised	
(Recoverable amount is higher being ₹ 1,000, hence no	
impairment)	

# 3) Carrying amount of intangible asset on 31st March, 20X3

Carrying amount of intangible asset on 31st March,	₹ 900
20X2	

Page 467

Add: Further expenditure during development phase	6,000
Total capital expenditure on development phase	6,900

## 4) Expenditure to be charged to profit or loss in 20X3-20X4

Opening balance of Intangible Asset	6,900
Less: Amortisation for the year (6,900 / 6)	(1,150)
Carrying amount of intangible asset	5,750
Less: Recoverable Amount	(5,000)
Amount charged to profit or loss (Impairment Loss)	750

# 5) Carrying Amount of Intangible Asset on 31st March, 20X4

Value of Intangible Asset will be recoverable amount i.e. ₹ 5,000

### Question 24 (Illustration)

- 1. Saturn Ltd. acquired an intangible asset on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X1 for ₹ 1,00,000. The asset was revalued at ₹ 1,20,000 on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2 and ₹ 85,000 on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X3.
- 2. Jupiter Ltd. acquired an intangible asset on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X1 for ₹ 1,00,000. The asset was revalued at ₹ 85,000 on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2 and at ₹ 1,05,000 on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X3.

Assuming that the year-end for both companies is 31st March, and that they both use the revaluation model, show how each of these transactions should be dealt with in the financial statements. Explain the treatment for revaluation of intangible asset. Ignore computation of amortization on them for ease of understanding.

### Answer 24

### Saturn Ltd.

₹ 20,000 revaluation increase on 31st March, 20X2 should be credited to the revaluation reserve and recognised in other comprehensive income. ₹ 20,000 of the revaluation decrease on 31st March, 20X3 should be debited to revaluation reserve and remaining ₹ 15,000 should be recognised as an expense.

### Jupiter Ltd.

₹ 15,000 revaluation decrease on 31st March, 20X2 should be recognised as an expense in the Statement of Profit and loss. ₹ 15,000 out of the ₹ 20,000 increase on 31st March, 20X3 should be recognised as income. The remaining ₹ 5,000 should be credited to revaluation reserve and recognised in other comprehensive income.

**Note:** The above amount will be different if amortization of intangible asset is taken into consideration.

### Question 25 (Illustration)

X Limited engaged in the business of manufacturing fertilisers entered into a technical collaboration agreement with a foreign company Y Limited. As a result, Y Limited would provide the technical know-how enabling X Limited to manufacture fertiliser in a more efficient way. X Limited paid ₹ 10,00,00,000 for the use of know-how for a period of 5 years. X Limited estimates the production of fertiliser as follows:

Year	(In metric tons)

1	50,000
2	70,000
3	1,00,000
4	1,20,000
5	1,10,000

At the end of the 1st year, it achieved its targeted production. At the end of 2nd year, 65,000 metric tons of fertiliser was being manufactured, and X Limited considered to revise the estimates for the next 3 years. The revised figures are 85,000, 1,05,000 and 1,15,000 metric tons for year 3, 4 & 5 respectively. How will X Limited amortise the technical know-how fees as per Ind AS 38?

#### Answer 25

Based on the above data, it may be suitable for X Ltd. to use unit of production method for amortisation of technical know-how.

The total estimated unit to be produced 4,50,00 MT. The technical know-how will be amortised on the basis of the ratio of yearly production to total production.

The first year charge should be a proportion of 50,000/4,50,000 on ₹ 10,00,00,000 = ₹ 1,11,11,111.

At the end of 2nd year, as per revised estimate the total number of units to be produced in future are 3,70,000 MT (ie 65,000 + 85,000 + 1,05,000 + 1,15,000). The amortisation for second year will be 65,000 / 3,70,000 on (10,00,00,000 - 1,11,11,111) ie 1,56,15,615.

Amortisation for remaining years (unless the estimates are again revised) : Year 3 = 85,000 / 3,70,000 on (10,00,00,000 - 1,11,11,111) ie. ₹ 2,04,20,420

Year 4 = 1,05,000 / 3,70,000 on (10,00,00,000 - 1,11,11,111) ie. ₹ 2,52,25,225 Year 5 = 1,15,000 / 3,70,000 on (10,00,00,000 - 1,11,11,111) ie. ₹ 2,76,27,629

# Question 26 (Illustration)

X Ltd. purchased a patent right on  $1^{\rm st}$  April, 20X1, for ₹ 3,00,000; which has a legal life of 15 years. However, due to the competitive nature of the product, the management estimates a useful life of only 5 years. Straight-line amortisation is determined by the management to be the best method. As at  $1^{\rm st}$  April, 20X2, management is uncertain that the process can actually be made economically feasible, and decides to write down the patent to an estimated market value of ₹ 1,50,000 and decides to amortise over 2 years. As at  $1^{\rm st}$  April, 20X3, having perfected the related production process, the asset is now appraised at a value of ₹ 3,00,000. Furthermore, the estimated useful life is now believed to be 4 more years. Determine the value of intangible asset at the end of each financial year?

#### Answer 26

#### Value as on 31st March, 20X2

Original cost₹ 3,00,000Less: amortisation(₹ 60,000)Net Value₹ 2,40,000

# Value as on 31st March, 20X3

On 1st April, 20X2, the impairment is recorded by writing down the asset to the estimated value of  $\ge$  1,50,000, which necessitates a  $\ge$  90,000 charge to profit & loss (carrying value,  $\ge$  2,40,000 less fair value  $\ge$  1,50,000).

Amortisation provided for the financial year 20X2-20X3 is ₹ 75,000 (₹ 1,50,000/2) Net value is = ₹ 1,50,000 - ₹ 75,000 = ₹ 75,000.

# Value as on 31st March, 20X4

As of 1st April, 20X3, the carrying value of the patent is ₹ 75,000.

,age 469

Revalued amount of patent is ₹ 3,00,000.

Out of total revaluation gain of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2,25,000$ ,  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 90,000$  will be charged to profit & loss and balance amount of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 1,35,000$  ( $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2,25,000 - \stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 90,000$ ) will be credited to revaluation reserve.

Amortization provided for the financial year 20X3-20X4 is ₹ 75,000 (₹ 3,00,000 / 4) Net value is = ₹ 3,00,000 – ₹ 75,000 = ₹ 2,25,000. Similarly, Value as on March 31, 20X5 = ₹ 2,25,000 - ₹ 75,000 = ₹ 1,50,000

Value as on March 31, 20X6 = ₹1,50,000 - ₹75,000 = ₹75,000 Value as on March 31, 20X7 = ₹75,000 - ₹75,000 = Nil

# Question 27 (Illustration)

X Pharmaceutical Ltd. seeks your opinion in respect of following accounting transactions:

- 1. Acquired a 4 year license to manufacture a specialised drug at a cost of ₹ 1,00,00,000 at the start of the year. Production commenced immediately.
- 2. Also purchased another company at the start of year. As part of that acquisition, X Pharmacy Ltd. acquired a brand with a fair value of ₹ 3,00,00,000 based on sales revenue. The life of the brand is estimated at 15 years.
- 3. Spent ₹ 1,00,00,000 on an advertising campaign during the first six months. Subsequent sales have shown a significant improvement and it is expected this will continue for 3 years.
- 4. It has commenced developing a new drug 'Drug-A'. The project cost would be ₹ 10,00,00,000. Clinical trial proved successful and such drug is expected to generate revenue over the next 5 years. Cost incurred (accumulated) till 31st March, 20X1 is ₹ 5,00,00,000.

Balance cost incurred during the financial year 20X1-20X2 is ₹ 5,00,00,000.

5. It has also commenced developing another drug 'Drug B'. It has incurred ₹ 50,00,000 towards research expenses till 31st March, 20X2. The technological feasibility has not yet been established.

#### Answer 27

X Pharmaceutical Ltd. is advised as under:


- 1. It the drug license as an intangible asset, because it is a separate external purchase, separately identifiable asset and considered successful in respect of feasibility and probable future cash inflows.
  - The drug license should be recorded at ₹ 1,00,00,000.
- 2. It should recognise the brand as an intangible asset because it is purchased as part of acquisition and it is separately identifiable. The brand should be amortised over a period of 15 years.

The brand will be recorded at ₹ 3,00,00,000.

- 3. The advertisement expenses of 1,00,00,000 should be expensed off.
- 4. The development cost incurred during the financial year 20X1-20X2 should be capitalised. Cost of intangible asset (Drug A) as on 31st March, 20X2

Opening cost	₹ 5,00,00,000
Development cost	₹ 5,00,00,000
Total cost	₹ 10,00,00,000

Research expenses of ₹ 50,00,000 incurred for developing 'Drug B' should be expensed off since technological feasibility has not yet established.



 $_{\rm age}471$ 

# Chapter 6 Unit-6 Ind AS 40: "Investment Property"

### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP			Q4		Q5			Q3				
PYP		Q7	Q1									
RTP					Q6						Q2	

# Section - A

### **Question 1**

Special Limited is a multinational entity that owns 3 properties. All 3 properties were purchased on 1st April. 2020. The following details were furnished:

Particulars	Property	Property 2	Property 3
	1		
Purchase Price	₹	₹	₹
	7,50,000	10,50,000	12,00,000
Estimated life	10 years	15 years	15 years
Fair value as on 31 <sup>st</sup>	₹	₹ 9,50,000	₹
March, 2021	8,00,000		13,00,000

The Company uses Property 1 and Property 2 for its business purposes. The Company is exploring the opportunity to sell Property 3 if it gets reasonable consideration. Till the time it is not sold, the Company has rented the property. It has adopted revaluation model for subsequent measurement of these properties. The depreciation is charged on straight line method. However, the Company has not charged any depreciation on Property 1 and Property 3 tor the current year since the fair value of properties exceeds their carrying amount. The difference between their fair value and carrying amount has been recognized in the statement of profit and loss. The properties are shown under the head property, plant and equipment in the Balance Sheet. Analyze whether the accounting policies adopted by the Company in relation to the given properties are in accordance with Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment and present an extract of the Balance Sheet for the year ended 31st March 2021. (PYP 8 Marks July 21)

#### Answer 1

# (a) Preamble:

The given issue needs to be examined in the umbrella of the provisions given in Ind AS 1 'Presentation of Financial Statements', Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment' in relation to property '1' and '2' and Ind AS 40 'Investment Property' in relation to property '3'.

# Guidance given in relevant Ind AS:

# 1. Property '1' and '2'

Definition and applicability:

As per Ind AS 16, Property plant and equipment are tangible items that:

- (a) are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes; and
- (b) are expected to be used during more than one period.

Hence, property 1 and 2 are held for use in the business, therefore Ind AS 16 shall apply in respect of these two properties.

# **Accounting Principles:**

- If an asset's carrying amount is increased as a result of a revaluation, the increase shall be recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus. However, the increase shall be recognised in profit or loss to the extent that it reverses a revaluation decrease of the same asset previously recognised in profit or loss.

If an asset's carrying amount is decreased as a result of revaluation, the decrease shall be recognised in profit and loss statement.

# 2. Property '3'

# Definition and applicability:

As per Ind AS 40, Investment property is property held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:

- Use in the production of goods or services or for administrative purposes; or
- Sale in the ordinary course of business.

Therefore, property 3 is an investment property and company shall follow cost model for its subsequent measurement.

# **Accounting Principles:**

- An entity shall adopt as its accounting policy the cost model to all of its investment property; and (Refer paragraph 30 of Ind AS 40)
- requires that an entity shall disclose the fair value of investment property. (Refer paragraph 79 (e) of Ind AS 40

Further, paragraph 54 (2) of Ind AS 1 'Presentation of Financial Statements' requires that as a minimum, the balance sheet shall include line items that present the following amounts:

- a. Property, Plant and Equipment
- b. Investment Property.

### Analysis:

As per the facts given in the question, Special Ltd. has

- a. Presented all three properties in balance sheet as 'property, plant and equipment';
- b. Not charged depreciation to Property '1' and '3';
- c. Upward revaluation is recognised in the statement of profit and loss as profit; and
- d. Applied revaluation model to Property '3' being classified as Investment Property. The above accounting treatment is neither correct nor in accordance with provision of Ind AS 1, Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 40.

Accordingly, Special Ltd. shall depreciate Property 1 irrespective of the fact that, their fair value exceeds the carrying amount. The revaluation gain shall be recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus. There is no alternative of revaluation model in respect to property '3' being classified as Investment Property and only cost model is permitted for subsequent measurement. However, Special Ltd. is required to disclose the fair value of the property in the Notes to Accounts. Further, Property '3' shall be presented as separate line item as Investment Property and depreciation should be charged on it as well.

Therefore, as per the provisions of Ind AS 1, Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 40, the presentation of these three properties in the balance sheet will be as follows:

<b>Balance Sheet</b>	(extracts)	as at	31st	March,	2021
Assets					

Page 473

Non-Current Asse	ets	
Property, Plan	t and Equipment	
Property '1'	17,50,0	
Property '2'	00	
Investment Pro	operties	
Property '3	11,20,000	
<b>Equity and Liabil</b>	ities	
Other Equity		
Revaluation R	eserve	
Property '1' 75,000)]	[8,00,000 - (7,50,000	- 1,25,000

The revaluation reserve should be routed through Other Comprehensive Income (subsequently not reclassified to Profit and Loss) and shown in a separate column under Statement of Changes in Equity.

# **Working Notes:**

working notes:									
Particulars	Property 1	Property 2	Property 3						
Purchase Price	₹ 7,50,000	₹	₹ 12,00,000						
		10,50,000							
Estimated Life	10 years	15 years	15 years						
Depreciation for the year	₹ 75,000	₹ 70,000	₹ 80,000						
Carrying Value as	₹ 6,75,000	₹ 9,80,000	₹ 11,20,000						
on 31 <sup>st</sup>									
March, 2021									
Fair Value as	₹ 8,00,000	₹ 9,50,000	₹ 13,00,000						
on 31 <sup>st</sup> March,									
2021									
Subsequent	Fair	Fair	Cost						
Measurement	Value	Value (₹							
Revaluation Surplus /	₹	30,000)							
(Deficit)	1,25,000								

# Question 2

An entity owns a two-storey building. Floor 1 is rented out to independent third parties under operating leases. Floor 2 is occupied by the entity's administration and maintenance staff. The entity can measure reliably the fair value of each floor of the building without undue cost or effort. How the same will be classified / presented in the balance sheet as per relevant Ind AS. What will be the accounting treatment as per relevant Ind AS on initial and subsequent date? (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 2

Investment property is property (land or a building—or part of a building—or both) held (by the owner or by the lessee as a right-of-use asset) to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:

- a) use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes; or
- b) sale in the ordinary course of business.

Property mentioned in (a) above would be covered under Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment'.

On applying the above provisions, Floor 1 of the building is classified as an item of investment property by the entity (lessor) because it is held to earn rentals. Ind AS 40 is applicable in this case. An investment property should be measured initially at its cost. After initial recognition, an entity shall measure all of its investment properties in accordance with Ind AS 16's requirements for cost model. However, entities are required to measure the fair value of investment property, for the purpose of disclosure even though they are required to follow the cost model.

Floor 2 of the building will be classified as property, plant and equipment because it is held by administrative staff i.e. it is held for use for administrative purposes. Ind AS 16 is applicable in this case. An item of property, plant and equipment that qualifies for recognition as an asset should be initially measured at its cost. After recognition, an entity shall choose either the cost model or the revaluation model as its accounting policy and shall apply that policy to an entire class of property, plant and equipment.

#### **Ouestion 3**

X Ltd owned a land property whose future use was not determined as at 31 March 20X1. How should the property be classified in the books of X Ltd as at 31 March 20X1?

During June 20X1, X Ltd commenced construction of office building on it for own use. Presuming that the construction of the office building will still be in progress as at 31 March 20X2

- (a) How should the land property be classified by X Ltd in its financial statements as at 31 March 20X2?
- (b) Will there be a change in the carrying amount of the property resulting from any change in use of the investment property?
- (c) Whether the change in classification to, or from, investment properties is a change in accounting policy to be accounted for in accordance with Ind AS 8, Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors?
- (d) Would your answer to (a) above be different if there were to be a management intention to commence construction of an office building for own use; however, no construction activity was planned by 31 March 20X2? (MTP 5 Marks March '23, PYP 5 Marks May'22, RTP May'21)

#### Answer 3

As per paragraph 8(b) of Ind AS 40, any land held for currently undetermined future use, should be classified as an investment property. Hence, in this case, the land would be regarded as held for capital appreciation. Hence the land property should be classified by X Ltd as investment property in the financial statements as at 31 March 20X1.

As per Para 57 of the Standard, an entity can change the classification of any property to, and from, an investment property when and only when evidenced by a change in use. A change occurs when the property meets or ceases to meet the definition of investment property and there is evidence of the change in use. Mere management's intention for use of the property does not provide evidence of a change in use.

Accordingly, the property in different cases would be classified as under:

- (a) Since X Ltd has commenced construction of office building on it for own use, the property should be reclassified from investment property to owner occupied as at 31 March 20X2.
- (b) As per Para 59, transfers between investment property, owner occupied and inventories do not change the carrying amount of the property transferred and they

- do not change the cost of the property for measurement or disclosure purposes.
- (c) No. The change in classification to, or from, investment properties is due to change in use of the property. No retrospective application is required and prior period's financial statements need not be re-stated.
- (d) Mere management intentions for use of the property do not evidence change in use. Since X Ltd has no plans to commence construction of the office building during 20X1-20X2, the property should continue to be classified as an investment property by X Ltd. in its financial statements as at 31 March 20X2.

#### Question 4

Shaurya Limited owns Building A which is specifically used for the purpose of earning rentals. The Company has not been using the building A or any of its facilities for its own use for a long time. The company is also exploring the opportunities to sell the building if it gets the reasonable amount in consideration.

Following information is relevant for Building A for the year ending 31st March, 20X2:

Building A was purchased 5 years ago at the cost of Rs.10 crore and building life is estimated to be 20 years. The company follows straight line method for depreciation. During the year, the company has invested in another Building B with the purpose to hold it for capital appreciation. The property was purchased on 1st April, 20X1 at the cost of Rs. 2 crore. Expected life of the building is 40 years. As usual, the company follows straight line method of depreciation. Further, during the year 20X1-20X2, the company earned / incurred following direct operating expenditure relating to Building A and Building B:

Rental income from Building A = Rs. 75 lakh
Rental income from Building B = Rs. 25 lakh

Sales promotion expenses = Rs. 5 lakh Fees & Taxes = Rs. 1 lakh

Ground rent = Rs. 2.5 lakh

Repairs & Maintenance = Rs. 1.5 lakh Legal & Professional = Rs. 2 lakh Commission and brokerage = Rs. 1 lakh

The company does not have any restrictions and contractual obligations against buildings - A and

B. For complying with the requirements of Ind AS, the management sought an independent report from the specialists so as to ascertain the fair value of buildings A and B. The independent valuer has valued the fair value of property as per the valuation model recommended by International valuation standards committee. Fair value has been computed by the method by streamlining present value of future cash flows namely, discounted cash flow method.

The other key inputs for valuation are as follows:

The estimated rent per month per square feet for the period is expected to be in the range of Rs. 50 - Rs. 60. It is further expected to grow at the rate of 10 percent per annum for each of 3 years. The weighted discount rate used

is 12% to 13%. Assume that the fair value of properties based on discounted cash flow method is measured at Rs. 10.50 crore on 31st March, 20X2.

What would be the treatment of Building A and Building B in the balance sheet of Shaurya Limited? Provide detailed disclosures and computations in line with relevant Indian accounting standards. Treat it as if you are preparing a separate note or schedule, of the given assets in the balance sheet. (MTP 8 Marks Mar '21, RTP Nov '20)

#### Answer 4

Investment property is held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both. Ind AS 40 shall be applied in the recognition, measurement and disclosure of investment property. An investment property shall be measured initially at its cost. After initial recognition, an entity shall measure all of its investment properties in accordance with the requirement of Ind AS 16 for cost model.

The measurement and disclosure of Investment property as per Ind AS 40 in the balance sheet would be depicted as follows:

#### **INVESTMENT PROPERTIES:**

Particulars	Period 31 <sup>st</sup>	ended
	March, (Rs. in o	20X2
Gross Amount:	(103. 111 0	.1010)
Opening balance (A)		10.00
Additions during the year (B)		2.00
Closing balance (C) = (A) + (B)		12.00
Depreciation:		
Opening balance (D)		2.50
Depreciation during the year (E) (0.5 + 0.05)		0.55
Closing balance $(F) = (D) + (E)$		3.05
Net balance (C) - (F)		8.95

for The changes in the carrying value of investment properties the year ended 31st March, 20X2 are as follows:

# Amount recognised in Profit and Loss with respect to Investment Properties

Particulars	Period	ending
	31 <sup>st</sup> 20X2	March,
	crore)	(Rs. in
Rental income from investment properties (0.75 + 0.25)		1.00
Less: Direct operatingexpenses generating rental income (5+1+2.5+1.5+2+1)		(0.13)
Profit from investment properties before depreciation and indirect expenses		0.87
Less: Depreciation		(0.55)
Profit from earnings from investment properties before indirect expenses		0.32

#### Disclosure Note on Investment Properties acquired by the entity

The investment properties consist Property A and Property B. As at 31st March, 20X2, the fair value of the properties is Rs.10.50 crore. The valuation is performed by independent valuers, who are specialists in valuing investment properties. A valuation model as recommended by International Valuation Standards Committee has

been applied. The Company considers factors like management intention, terms of rental agreements, area leased out, life of the assets etc. to determine classification of assets as investment properties. The Company has no restrictions on the realisability of its investment properties and no contractual obligations to purchase, construct or develop investment properties or for repairs, maintenance and enhancements.

Description of valuation techniques used and key inputs to valuation on investment

properties:

Valuation technique	Significant unobservable inputs	Range(Weighted average)				
Discounted cash flow	- Estimated rental value per sq. ft. per month	- Rs. 50 to Rs. 60				
(DCF) method	<u> </u>	- 10% every 3 years				
		- 12% to 13%				

#### **Ouestion 5**

UK Ltd. has purchased a new head office property for Rs. 10 crores. The new office building has 10 floors and the organisation structure of UK Ltd. is as follows:

Floor	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th 10t
Use	Waiting	Admi	HR	Accounts	Inspection	MD	Canteen	7	<b>Vacant</b>
	Area	n				Office			

Since UK Ltd. did not need the floors 8, 9 and 10 for its business needs, it has leased out the same to a restaurant on a long-term lease basis. The terms of the lease agreement are as follows:

- Tenure of Lease Agreement 5 Years
- Non-Cancellable Period 3 years
- Lease Rental-annual lease rental receivable from these floors are Rs. 10,00,000 per floor with an escalation of 5% every year.

Based on the certificate from its architect, UK Ltd. has estimated the cost of the 3 top floors as approximately Rs. 3 crores. The remaining cost of Rs. 7 crores can be allocated as 25% towards Land and 75% towards Building.

As on 31st March, 2018, UK Ltd. obtained a valuation report from an independent valuer who has estimated the fair value of the property at Rs. 15 crores. UK Ltd. wishes to use the cost model for measuring Property, Plant & Equipment and the fair value model for measuring the Investment Property. UK Ltd. depreciates the building over an estimated useful life of 50 years, with no estimated residual value. Advise UK Ltd. on the accounting and disclosures for the above as per the applicable Ind AS. (MTP 10 Marks Aug '18)

#### Answer 5

Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment' states that property, plant and equipment are tangible items that are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes. As per Ind AS 40 'Investment property', investment property is a property held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes or sale in the ordinary course of business.

Further, as per para 8 of Ind AS 40, the building owned by the entity and leased out under one or more operating leases will be classified as investment property.

Here top three floors have been leased out for 5 years with a non-cancellable period of 3 years. The useful life of the building is 50 years. The lease period is far less that the

useful life of the building leased out. Further, the lease rentals of three years altogether do not recover the fair value of the floors leased i.e. 15 crore  $\times$  30% = 4.50 crore. Hence the lease is an operating lease. Therefore, the 3 floors leased out as operating lease will be classified as investment property in the books of lessor ie. UK Ltd.

However, for investment property, Ind AS 40 states that an entity shall adopt as its accounting policy the cost model to all of its investment property. Ind AS 40 also requires that an entity shall disclose the fair value of such investment property(ies).

				(in crore)	
	Total	PPE (70%)		Investment	
				property (30%)	
		Land (25%)	Building (75%)		
Cost	10	1.75	5.25	3	
FV	15	2.625	7.875	4.5	
Valuation model followed		Cost	Cost	Cost (as per para 30 of Ind AS 40)	
Value recognized in the books		1.75	5.25	3	
Less: Depreciation		Nil	(5.25/50) = 0.105 crore	(3/50) = 0.06	
Carrying value as on 31st March, 2018		1.75	5.145	2.94	
Impairment loss		No impairment loss since fair value is more than the cost			

#### Question 6

X Ltd. is engaged in the construction industry and prepares its financial statements up to 31st March each year. On 1st April, 2013, X Ltd. purchased a large property (consisting of land) for Rs. 2,00,00,000 and immediately began to lease the property to Y Ltd. on an operating lease. Annual rentals were Rs. 20,00,000. On 31st March, 2017, the fair value of the property was Rs. 2,60,00,000. Under the terms of the lease, Y Ltd. was able to cancel the lease by giving six months' notice in writing to X Ltd. Y Ltd. gave this notice on 31st March, 2017 and vacated the property on 30th September, 2017. On 30th September, 2017, the fair value of the property was Rs. 2,90,00,000. On 1st October, 2017, X Ltd. immediately began to convert the property into ten separate flats of equal size which X Ltd. intended to sell in the ordinary course of its business. X Ltd. spent a total of Rs. 60,00,000 on this conversion project between 30th September, 2017 to 31st March, 2018. The project was incomplete at 31st March, 2018 and the directors of X Ltd. estimate that they need to spend a further Rs. 40,00,000 to complete the project, after which each flat could be sold forRs. 50,00,000.

Examine and show how the three events would be reported in the financial statements of X Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 2018. as per Ind AS (RTP Nov '18)

# Answer 6

From 1st April, 2013, the property would be regarded as an investment property since it is being held for its investment potential rather than being owner occupied or developed for sale.

The property would be measured under the cost model. This means it will be measured at Rs. 2,00,00,000 at each year end.

On 30th September, 2017, the property ceases to be an investment property. X Ltd. begins to develop it for sale as flats. The increase in the fair value of the property from 31st March, 2017 to 30th September, 2017 of Rs. 30,00,000 (Rs. 29,00,000 – Rs. 26,00,000) would be recognised in P/L for the year ended 31st March, 2018.

Since the lease of the property is an operating lease, rental income of Rs. 10,00,000 (Rs.  $20,00,000 \times 6/12$ ) would be recognised in P/L for the year ended 31st March, 2018. When the property ceases to be an investment property, it is transferred into inventory at its then fair value of Rs. 2,90,00,000. This becomes the initial 'cost' of the inventory. The additional costs of Rs. 60,00,000 for developing the flats which were incurred up to and including 31st March, 2018 would be added to the 'cost' of inventory to give a closing cost of Rs. 3,50,00,000.

The total selling price of the flats is expected to be Rs. 5,00,00,000 ( $10 \times Rs. 50,00,000$ ). Since the further costs to develop the flats total Rs. 40,00,000, their net realisable value is Rs. 4,60,00,000 (Rs. 5,00,00,000 – Rs. 40,00,000), so the flats will be measured at a cost of

Rs. 3,50,00,000.

The flats will be shown in inventory as a current asset.

# Question 7

On 1st April 2019, an entity purchased an office block (building) for ₹ 50,00,000 and paid a non-refundable property transfer tax and direct legal cost of ₹ 2,50,000 and ₹ 50,000 respectively while acquiring the building.

During 2019, the entity redeveloped the building into two-story building. Expenditures on re-development were:

- ₹ 1,00,000 Building plan approval;
- ₹ 10,00,000 construction costs (including ₹ 60,000 refundable purchase taxes); and
- ₹ 40,000 due to abnormal wastage of material and labour.

When the re-development of the building was completed on 1st October 2019, the entity rents out Ground Floor of the building to its subsidiary under an operating lease in return for rental payment. The subsidiary uses the building as a retail outlet for its products. The entity kept first floor for its own administration and maintenance staff usage. Equal value can be attributed to each floor. How will the entity account for all the above mentioned expenses in the books of account?

Also, discuss how the above building will be shown in Consolidated financial statement of the entity as a group and in its separate financial statements as per relevant Ind AS (PYP 5 Marks, Jan'21)

#### Answer 7

In accordance with Ind AS 16, all costs required to bring an asset to its present location and condition for its intended use should be capitalized. Therefore, the initial purchase price of the building would be:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Purchase amount	50,00,000
Non-refundable property tax	2,50,000
Direct legal cost	50,000

	53,00,000
Expenditures on redevelopment:	
Building plan approval	1,00,000
Construction costs (10,00,000 - 60,000)	9,40,000
Total amount to be capitalised at 1st October 2019	63,40,000

### Treatment of abnormal wastage of material and labour:

As per Ind AS 16, the cost of abnormal amounts of wasted material, labour, or other resources incurred in self-constructing an asset is not included in the cost of the asset. It will be charged to Profit and Loss in the year it is incurred. Hence, abnormal wastage of ₹ 40,000 will be expensed off in Profit & Loss in the financial year 2019 -2020.

# Accounting of property- Building

When the property is used as an administrative centre, it is not an investment property, rather it is an 'owner occupied property'. Hence, Ind AS 16 will be applicable.

When the property (land and/or buildings) is held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation (or both), it is an Investment property. Ind AS 40 prescribes the cost model for accounting of such investment property.

Since equal value can be attributed to each floor, Ground Floor of the building will be considered as Investment Property and accounted as per Ind AS 40 and First Floor would be considered as Property, Plant and Equipment and accounted as per Ind AS 16.

Cost of each floor = ₹ 63,40,000 / 2 = ₹ 31,70,000

As on 1st October 2019, the carrying value of building vis-à-vis its classification would be as follows:

- (i) In Separate Financial Statements: The Ground Floor of the building will be classified as investment property for ₹31,70,000, as it is property held to earn rentals. While First Floor of the building will be classified as item of property, plant and equipment for ₹31,70,000.
- (ii) In Consolidated Financial Statements: The consolidated financial statements present the parent and its subsidiary as a single entity. The consolidated entity uses the building for the supply of goods. Therefore, the leased-out property to a subsidiary does not qualify as investment property in the consolidated financial statements. Hence, the whole building will be classified as an item of Property, Plant and Equipment for ₹63,40,000.

# Section - B

#### **Ouestion 1**

On 1st April, 20X1 an entity acquired an investment property (building) for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  40,00,000. Management estimates the useful life of the building as 20 years measured from the date of acquisition. The residual value of the building is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2,00,000. Management believes that the straight-line depreciation method reflects the pattern in which it expects to consume the building's future economic benefits. What is the carrying amount of the building on 31st March, 20X2?

#### Answer 1

Cost of the asset is ₹ 40,00,000.

Depreciable amount = Cost less Residual value = ₹ (40,00,000 - 2,00,000) = ₹ 38,00,000 Depreciation for the year = Depreciable amount/useful life

- = ₹ 38,00,000/20
- = ₹ 1,90,000.

Page 481

= Cost less accumulated depreciation Carrying amount = ₹ (40,00,000 - 1,90,000) = ₹ 38,10,000.

X Limited has an investment property (building) which is carried in Balance Sheet on 31st March, 20X1 at ₹ 15,00,000. During the year X Limited has stopped letting out the building and used it as its office premise. On 31st March, 20X1, management estimates the recoverable amount of the building as ₹ 10,00,000 and its remaining useful life as 20 years and residual value is nil. How should X Limited account for the above investment property as on 31st March, 20X1?

#### Answer 2

At 31st March, 20X1, X Limited must transfer the property from investment property to property, plant and equipment since there is a change in use of the said building. The transfer should be made at its carrying amount i.e., ₹ 15,00,000.

Since recoverable amount of the property as on 31st March, 20X1 is ₹ 10,00,000, impairment loss ₹ 5,00,000 should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss. So, the carrying amount of Investment property at 31st March,20X1 would be ₹ 10,00,000. The entity must disclose the reclassification. From April, 20X1, X Limited will depreciate the building over its remaining useful life of 20 years.

### **Ouestion 3**

In financial year 20X1-20X2, X Limited incurred the following expenditure in acquiring property consisting of 6 identical houses each with separate legal title including the land on which it is built.

The expenditure incurred on various dates is given below:

On 1st April, 20X1 - Purchase cost of the property ₹ 1,80,00,000.

On 1st April, 20X1 - Non-refundable transfer taxes ₹ 20,00,000 (not included in the purchase cost).

On 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 20X1- Legal cost related to property acquisition ₹ 5,00,000. On 6<sup>th</sup> April, 20X1- Advertisement campaign to attract tenants ₹ 3,00,000.

On 8th April, 20X1 - Opening ceremony function for starting business ₹ 1,50,000. Throughout 20X1-20X2, incurred ₹ 1,00,000 towards day-to-day repair maintenance and other administrative expenses.

X Limited uses one of the six houses for office and accommodation of its few staffs. The other five houses are rented to various independent third parties.

How X Limited will account for all the above-mentioned expenses in the books of account?

#### Answer 3

The cost of the property = ₹ (1,80,00,000 + 20,00,000 + 5,00,000) = ₹ 2,05,00,000.

Since five houses out of six are being rented, so 5/6th of the property cost will be accounted for as an investment property and 1/6th of the property cost will be accounted for as owner- occupied property.

Cost of the investment property = ₹  $2,05,00,000 \times 5/6 = ₹ 1,70,83,333$ 

Cost of the owner-occupied property = ₹ (2,05,00,000 - 1,70,83,333) = ₹ 34,16,667.

All other costs, i.e., advertisement expenses, ceremony expenses and repair maintenance expenses will be expensed off as and when incurred.

#### Question 4

X Ltd. is engaged in the construction industry and prepares its statements up to 31st March each year. On 1st April, 20X1, X Ltd. Purchased a large property (consisting of land) for ₹ 2,00,00,000 and immediately began to

lease the property to Y Ltd. on an operating lease. Annual rentals were ₹ 20,00,000. On 31st March, 20X5, the fair value of the property was ₹ 2,60,00,000. Under the terms of the lease, Y Ltd. was able to cancel the lease by giving six months' notice in writing to X Ltd. Y Ltd. gave this notice on 31st March, 20X5 and vacated the property on 30th September, 20X5. On 30th September, 20X5, the fair value of the property was ₹ 2,90,00,000. On 1st October, 20X5, X Ltd. immediately began to convert the property into ten separate flats of equal size which X Ltd. intended to sell in the ordinary course of its business. X Ltd. spent a total of ₹ 60,00,000 on this conversion project between 30th September, 20X5 to 31st March, 20X6. The project was incomplete at 31st March, 20X6 and the directors of X Ltd. estimate that they need to spend a further ₹ 40,00,000 to complete the project, after which each flat could be sold for ₹ 50,00,000. Examine and show how the three events would be reported in the financial statements of X Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 20X6 as per Ind AS.

#### Answer 4

From 1st April, 20X1, the property would be regarded as an investment property since it is being held for its investment potential rather than being owner occupied or developed for sale. The property would be measured under the cost model. This means it will be measured at ₹ 2,00,00,000 at each year end.

On 30th September, 20X5, the property ceases to be an investment property. X Ltd. begins to develop it for sale as flats. As per para 59 of Ind AS 40, transfers between investment property, owner-occupied property and inventories do not change the carrying amount of the property transferred and they do not change the cost of that property for measurement or disclosure purposes. Hence, the carrying value of the reclassified property will be ₹ 2,00,00,000.

Since the lease of the property is an operating lease, rental income of ₹ 10,00,000 (₹ 20,00,000 x 6/12) would be recognised in P/L for the year ended 31st March, 20X6. The additional costs of ₹ 60,00,000 for developing the flats which were incurred up to and including 31st March, 20X6 would be added to the 'cost' of inventory to give a closing cost of ₹ 2,60,00,000. The total selling price of the flats is expected to be ₹ 5,00,00,000 (10 x ₹ 50,00,000). Since the further costs to develop the flats total ₹ 40,00,000, their net realisable value is ₹ 4,60,00,000 (₹ 5,00,00,000 – ₹ 40,00,000), so the flats will be measured at a cost of ₹ 2,60,00,000. The flats will be shown in inventory as a current asset.

#### Question 5

Shaurya Limited owns a Building A which is specifically used for the purpose of earning rentals. The Company has not been using the building A or any of its facilities for its own use for a long time. The company is also exploring the opportunities to sell the building if it gets the reasonable amount in consideration. Following information is relevant for Building A for the year ending 31st March, 20X2:

Building A was initially purchased at the cost of ₹ 10 crores. At that time, the useful life of the building was estimated to be 20 years; out of which 5 years have been expired as on 1st April, 20X1. The company follows straight line method for depreciation.

During the year, the company has invested in another Building B with the purpose to hold it for capital appreciation. The property was purchased on 1st April, 20X1 at the cost of ₹ 2 crores. Expected life of the building is 40 years. As usual, the company follows straight line method of depreciation.

Further, during the year 20X1-20X2 the company earned/incurred following direct operating expenditure relating to Building A and Building B:

Rental income from Building A	=	₹ 75 lakhs
Rental income from Building B	=	₹ 25 lakhs
Sales promotion expenses	=	₹ 5 lakhs
Fees & Taxes	=	₹ 1 lakhs
Ground rent	=	₹ 2.5 lakhs
Repairs & Maintenance	=	₹ 1.5 lakhs
Legal & Professional	=	₹ 2 lakhs
Commission and brokerage	=	₹ 1 lakhs

The company does not have any restrictions and contractual obligations against Property - A and B. For complying with the requirements of Ind AS, the management sought an independent report from the specialists so as to ascertain the fair value of buildings A and

B. The independent valuer has valued the fair value of property as per the valuation model recommended by International valuation standards committee. Fair value has been computed by the method by streamlining present value of future cash flows namely, discounted cash flow method. The other key inputs for valuation are as follows:

The estimated rent per month per square feet for the period is expected to be in the range of

₹ 50 - ₹ 60. And it is further expected to grow at the rate of 10 percent per annum for each of 3 years. The weighted discount rate used is 12% to 13%.

Assume that the fair value of properties based on discounted cash flow method is measured at ₹ 10.50 crores. The treatment of fair value of properties is to be given in the financials as per the requirements of Indian accounting standards.

What would be the treatment of Building A and Building B in the balance sheet of Shaurya Limited? Provide detailed disclosures and computations in line with relevant Indian accounting standards. Treat it as if you are preparing a separate note or schedule, of the given assets in the balance sheet.

# Answer 5

Investment property is held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both. Ind AS 40 shall be applied in the recognition, measurement and disclosure of investment property. An investment property shall be measured initially at its cost. After initial recognition, an entity shall measure all of its investment properties in accordance with Ind AS 16's requirements for cost model.

The measurement and disclosure of Investment property as per Ind AS 40 in the balance sheet would be depicted as follows:

Particulars	Period ended 31st March, 20X2 (		
	in crores)		
Gross Amount:			
Opening balance (A)	10.00		
Additions during the year (B)	2.00		
Closing balance (C) = (A) + (B)	12.00		
Depreciation:			
Opening balance (D)	2.50		

Depreciation during the year (E) (0.5 + 0.05)	0.55
Closing balance (F) = (D) + (E)	3.05
Net balance (C) - (F)	8.95

The changes in the carrying value of investment properties for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 are as follows:

# Amount recognised in Profit and Loss with respect to Investment Properties

Particulars	Period ending 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 (₹ in crores)
Rental income from investment properties (0.75 + 0.25)	1.00
Less: Direct operating expenses generating rental income (5+1+2.5+1.5+2+1)	(0.13)
Profit from investment properties before depreciation and indirect expenses	0.87
Less: Depreciation	(0.55)
Profit from earnings from investment properties before indirect expenses	0.32

# Disclosure Note on Investment Properties acquired by the entity

The investment properties consist Property A and Property B. As at March 31, 20X2, the fair value of the properties is ₹ 10.50 crores. The valuation is performed by independent valuers, who are specialists in valuing investment properties. A valuation model as recommended by International Valuation Standards Committee has been applied. The Company considers factors like management intention, terms of rental agreements, area leased out, life of the assets etc. to determine classification of assets as investment properties.

The Company has no restrictions on the realisability of its investment properties and no contractual obligations to purchase, construct or develop investment properties or for repairs, maintenance and enhancements.

Description of valuation techniques used and key inputs to valuation on investment properties:

Valuation technique	Significant unobservable inputs	Range (Weighted average)
Discounted cash	- Estimated rental value per	-₹ 50 to ₹ 60
flow (DCF) method	sq. ft. per month	
	- Rent growth per annum	-10% every 3 years
	- Discount rate	-12% to 13%

#### Question 6

X Ltd owned a land property whose future use was not determined as at 31 March 20X1. How should the property be classified in the books of X Ltd as at 31 March 20X1?

During June 20X1, X Ltd commenced construction of office building on it for own use. Presuming that the construction of the office building will still be in progress as at 31 March 20X2

- (a) How should the land property be classified by X Ltd in its financial statements as at 31 March 20X2?
- (b) Will there be a change in the carrying amount of the property resulting

from any change in use of the investment property?

- (c) Whether the change in classification to, or from, investment properties is a change in accounting policy to be accounted for in accordance with Ind AS 8, Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors?
- (d) Would your answer to (a) above be different if there were to be a management intention to commence construction of an office building for own use; however, no construction activity was planned by 31 March 20X2?

#### Answer 6

As per paragraph 8(b) of Ind AS 40, any land held for currently undetermined future use, should be classified as an investment property. Hence, in this case, the land would be regarded as held for capital appreciation. Hence the land property should be classified by X Ltd as investment property in the financial statements as at 31 March 20X1.

As per Para 57 of the Standard, an entity can change the classification of any property to, and from, an investment property when and only when evidenced by a change in use. A change occurs when the property meets or ceases to meet the definition of investment property and there is evidence of the change in use. Mere management's intention for use of the property does not provide evidence of a change in use.

- (a) Since X Ltd has commenced construction of office building on it for own use, the property should be reclassified from investment property to owner occupied as at 31 March 20X2.
- (b) As per Para 59, transfers between investment property, owner occupied and inventories do not change the carrying amount of the property transferred and they do not change the cost of the property for measurement or disclosure purposes.
- (c) No. The change in classification to, or from, investment properties is due to change in use of the property. No retrospective application is required and prior period's financial statements need not be re-stated.
- (d) Mere management intentions for use of the property do not evidence change in use. Since X Ltd has no plans to commence construction of the office building during 20X1-20X2, the property should continue to be classified as an investment property by X Ltd. in its financial statements as at 31 March 20X2.

# Question 7 (Illustration)

### Answer 7

Under the recognition principle, an entity recognises in the carrying amount of an investment property the cost of replacing part of an existing investment property at the time that cost is incurred if the recognition criteria are met and the carrying amount of those parts that are replaced is derecognised.

#### Question 8 (Illustration)

Netravati Ltd. purchased a commercial office space as an Investment Property, in the Global Trade Centre Commercial Complex, for ₹ 5 crores. However, for purchasing the same, the Company had to obtain membership of the Global Trade

 $_{\text{Page}}486$ 

Centre Commercial Complex Association by paying  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  6,25,000 as a one-time joining fee. Netravati Ltd. wants to write off the one-time joining fees paid as an expense under Membership and Subscription Charges and value the investment property at  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  5 crores. Advise.

Would you answer change if the office space was purchased with the intention of using it as an administrative centre of the company?

#### **Answer 8**

### **Cost of Investment Property**

As per Ind AS 40, the cost of a purchased investment property comprises its purchase price and any directly attributable expenditure (e.g. professional fees for legal services, property transfer taxes and other transaction costs). Accordingly, on initial recognition, the one-time joining fee of  $\gtrless$  6,25,000 should be added to the purchase price. Therefore, the investment property should be measured at  $\gtrless$  5,06,25,000 (i.e. cost of the commercial office space + one-time joining fee). Writing off the amount of  $\gtrless$  6,25,000 to the P&L is not appropriate.

#### Use as Administrative Office

If the property is used as an administrative centre, it is not an investment property, but rather an 'owner occupied property'. Hence, Ind AS 16 will be applicable. Even under Ind AS 16, all direct costs relating to the acquisition of the asset should be added to the purchase price. Hence, cost of the asset under Ind AS 16 would be ₹ 5,06,25,000.

# Question 9 (Illustration)

- (a)  $\ge 2,00,000$  planning permission.
- (b)  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  7,00,000 construction costs (including  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  40,000 refundable purchase taxes) What is the cost of the Building as per Ind AS 40?

#### Answer 9

As per Ind AS 40, the cost of a purchased investment property comprises its purchase price and any directly attributable expenditure (e.g. professional fees for legal services, property transfer taxes and other transaction costs).

Accordingly, cost of the Building is arrived at as under:

Partic	eulars	Amount in	Total ₹	
		₹		
Purchase price			30,00,000	
Add:	Property transfer taxes		1,00,000	
	Direct legal costs		20,000	
	Fee for planning permission		2,00,000	
	Construction costs	7,00,000		
	Less: Refundable purchase taxes	40,000	6,60,000	
Cost	of the Building as per Ind AS 40		39,80,000	

**Note:** The building does not qualify the substantial period criteria for redevelopment of property. Hence, borrowing cost of loan fund has not been capitalised.

age 487

# Question 10 (Illustration)

X Limited purchased a land worth of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,00,00,000. It has option either to pay full amount at the time of purchases or pay for it over two years for a total cost of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,20,00,000. What should be the cost of the building under both the payment methods?

#### Answer 10

# Question 11 (Illustration)

Moon Ltd has purchased a building on 1st April, 20X1 at a cost of ₹ 10 million. The building was used as a factory by the Moon Ltd and was measured under cost model. The expected useful life of the building is estimated to be 10 years. Due to decline in demand of the product, the Company does not need the factory anymore and has rented out the building to a third party from 1st April, 20X5. On this date the fair value of the building is ₹ 8 million. Moon ltd uses cost model for accounting of its investment property.

#### Answer 11


Carrying amount of the building after depreciation of 4 years 6 (10-10/10 x 4).

The company has applied cost model under Ind AS 16 till now.

There is no impairment as the fair value is greater than the carrying

There is no impairment as the fair value is greater than the carrying amount of building. Revaluation Surplus credited to Other Comprehensive Income

(not applicable since cost model is used under Ind AS 16)
Building initially recognised as Investment Property
(Cost model Ind AS 40)



 $_{\text{Page}}489$ 

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

# Chapter 6 Unit-7 Ind AS 105: "Non-current Assets Held for sale"

### Attempts wise Distribution

Attem pts	Dec' 21	Jan' 21	Jul'2 1	May' 18	Nov' 18	May' 19	May' 22	May' 23	Nov' 19	Nov' 20	Nov' 22	Nov' 23
MTP	Q8			Q7			Q6					Q5, Q9
PYP							Q13	Q1				
RTP	Q4			Q10			Q11	Q12			Q3	Q2

# Section - A

# Question 1

Venus Limited had purchased on 1st April, 2020, a PPE kits manufacturing plant for ₹ 12.00 lakhs. The useful life of the plant is 8 years. The deprecation is provided on straight line method. On 30th September, 2022, Venus Limited temporarily discontinues production at the said plant due to decline in the demand for PPE kits. However, the plant is maintained in a workable condition, and it can be used in future whenever the demand picks up.

The accountant of Venus Limited decided to treat the plant as held for sale under Ind AS 105 until the demand picks up. She, thus measures the plant at lower of carrying amount and fair value less cost to sell.

She also stopped charging the depreciation for the rest of period as the plant was held for sale. The fair value less cost to sell the said plant on 30th September, 2022 and 31st March, 2023 was ₹ 6.75 lakhs and ₹ 6.00 lakhs respectively.

She performed the following working to determine the carrying amount of the plant on initial classification as held for sale:

Particulars	₹ in lakhs
Purchase price of the plant	12.00
Less: Accumulated depreciation for 2.5 years (₹ 12.00 lakhs / 8 years x 2.5 years)	(3.75) 8.25
Fair value less cost to sales as on 30th September, 2022	6.75
The carrying amount is lower of ₹ 8.25 lakhs and ₹ 6.75 lakhs	6.75

#### Balance Sheet extracts as at 31st March, 2023

Particulars	₹ in		
	lakhs		
Assets			
<b>Current Assets</b>			
Other Current Assets			
Assets classified as held for sale	6.00		

190

Discuss whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is as per applicable Ind AS. If not, what should be the correct treatment. Provide balance sheet extract as at 31st March, 2023 together with the computation of the carrying value of PPE as at 31st March, 2023. (PYP 8 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 1

The treatment of PPE kits manufacturing plant needs to be examined in the light of the provisions given in Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment' and Ind AS 105 'Noncurrent Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations'.

Paragraph 6 of Ind AS 105 'Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations' states that an entity shall classify a non-current asset as held for sale if its carrying amount will be recovered principally through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use.

Paragraph 7 of Ind AS 105 states that for this to be the case, the asset must be available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sale of such assets (or disposal groups) and its sale must be highly probable. Thus, an asset cannot be classified as a non-current asset held for sale, if the entity intends to sell it in the distant future.

Further, paragraph 8 of Ind AS 105 states that for the sale to be highly probable, the appropriate level of management must be committed to a plan to sell the asset (or disposal group), and an active programme to locate a buyer and complete the plan must have been initiated. Further, the asset (or disposal group) must be actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value. In addition, the sale should be expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year from the date of classification and actions required to complete the plan should indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

# \* PS: Read financial year '2022-2023' as '2021-2022'.

Paragraph 13 of Ind AS 105 states that an entity shall not classify as held for sale a noncurrent asset (or disposal group) that is to be abandoned. This is because its carrying amount will be recovered principally through continuing use.

Paragraph 14 of Ind AS 105 states that an entity shall not account for a non-current asset that has been temporarily taken out of use as if it had been abandoned.

Paragraph 55 of Ind AS 16 states that depreciation does not cease when the asset becomes idle or is retired from active use unless the asset is fully depreciated.

Going by the guidance given above, the Accountant of Venus Ltd. has treated the plant as held for sale and measured it at the fair value less cost to sell. Also, the depreciation has not been charged thereon since the date of classification as held for sale which is not correct and not in accordance with Ind AS 105 and Ind AS 16.

Accordingly, the manufacturing plant should neither be treated as abandoned asset nor as held for sale because its carrying amount will be principally recovered through continuous use. Venus Ltd. shall not stop charging depreciation or treat the plant as held for sale because its carrying amount will be recovered principally through continuing use to the end of their economic life.

The working of the same for presenting in the balance sheet is given as below:

Calculation of carrying amount as on 31st March, 2023	₹
Purchase Price of Plant	12,00,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation (12,00,000/ 8 years) x 3 years	(4,50,000) 7,50,000

,age 491

Less: Impairment loss	(1,50,000)
	6,00,000

### Balance Sheet extracts as on 31st March, 2023

Assets	₹
Non-Current Assets Property, Plant and Equipment	6,00,000

### **Working Note:**

Fair value less cost to sell of the Plant = ₹ 6,00,000

Value in use (not given) or Nil (since plant has temporarily not been used for manufacturing due to decline in demand)

Recoverable amount = higher of above i.e. ₹ 6,00,000

Impairment loss = Carrying amount – Recoverable amount Impairment loss = ₹ 7,50,000 - ₹ 6,00,000 = ₹ 1,50,000.

### Question 2

Company X has identified one of its division (disposal group) to be sold to a prospective buyer and the Board has approved the plan to sell the division on 30th September, 20X1. The sale is expected to complete after one year but it still qualifies to be held for sale under Appendix B of Ind AS 105. Costs to sell the division is estimated to be  $\overline{10}$  crores (to be incurred in March, 20X3). The fair value of the division is  $\overline{10}$  400 crores (on 30th September, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X2) and carrying value is  $\overline{10}$  500 crores.

How shall such a division (disposal group) be measured under Ind AS 105 on following reporting dates:

- A. 30th September, 20X1
- B. 31st March, 20X2

Consider the discounting factor @ 10% for 1 year to 0.909 and fo r 1.5 years to be 0.867. (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 2

Paragraph 15 of Ind AS 105 states that an entity shall measure a non-current asset (or disposal group) classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell.

Further, paragraph 17 of Ind AS 105 states that when the sale is expected to occur beyond one year, the entity shall measure the costs to sell at their present value. Any increase in the present value of the costs to sell that arises from the passage of time shall be presented in profit or loss as a financing cost.

Company X has identified a disposal group and is committed to sell the same. The sale is expected to be completed after a period of one year hence, it will measure the costs to sell such disposal group at present value as per paragraph 17 of Ind AS 105.

#### A. On 30th September, 20X1

The disposal group will be measured at fair value less costs to sell which will be as follows:

Fair value:	₹ 400.00 crores	
PV of costs to sell:	(₹ 8.67 crores)	(₹ 10 crores x 0.867)

Total:	₹ 391.33
	crores

# B. On 31st March, 20X1

The disposal group will be measured at fair value less costs to sell which will be as follows:

Fair value:	₹ 400.00 crores	
PV of costs to sell:	(₹ 9.09 crores)	(10 x 0.909)
Total:	₹ 390.91 crores	

The increase in costs to sell the division by ₹ 0.42 crore (₹ 9.09 crores – ₹ 8.67 crores) will be recognised in profit and loss as financing cost in accordance with paragraph 17 of Ind AS 105.

### Question 3

Company A has financial year ending 31st March, 20X0. On 1st June, 20X0, the Company has classified its Division B as held for sale in accordance with Ind AS 105. How property, plant and equipment (PPE) for which the company has adopted cost model shall be measured immediately before the classification as held for sale on 1st June, 20X0? (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 3

Paragraph 18 of Ind AS 105 provides that immediately before the initial classification of the asset (or disposal group) as held for sale, the carrying amounts of the asset (or all the assets and liabilities in the group) shall be measured in accordance with applicable Ind AS.

In the instant case, Company A should measure the property, plant and equipment (for which it has adopted cost model), in accordance with Ind AS 16, Property, Plant and Equipment. Hence, depreciation should be provided upto 31st May, 20X0.

#### Question 4

On February 28, 20X1, Entity X is committed to the following plans:

- (g) To sell a property after completion of certain renovations to increase its value prior to selling it. The renovations are expected to be completed within a short span of time i.e., 2 months.
- (h) To sell a commercial building to a buyer after the occupant vacates the building. The time required for vacating the building is usual and customary for sale of such commercial property. The entity considers the sale to be highly probable.

Can the above-mentioned property and commercial building be classified as noncurrent assets held for sale at the reporting date i.e. 31st March, 20X1? (RTP Nov 21)

#### Answer 4

Ind AS 105 provides guidance on classification of a non-current asset held for sale in paragraph 7 which states that, the asset (or disposal group) must be available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets (or disposal groups) and its sale must be highly probable.

(a) In respect of Entity X's plan to sell property which is being renovated and such

267

renovation is incomplete as at the reporting date. Although, the renovations are expected to be completed within 2 months from the reporting date i.e., March 31, 20X1, the property cannot be classified as held for sale at the reporting date as it is not available for sale immediately in its present condition.

(b) In case of Entity X's plan to sell commercial building, it intends to transfer the commercial building to a buyer after the occupant vacates the building and the time required for vacating such building is usual and customary for sale of such non- current asset. Accordingly, the criterion of the asset being available for immediate sale would be met and hence, the commercial building can be classified as held for sale at the reporting date

### **Question 5**

S Ltd purchased a property for ₹ 6,00,000 on 1st April, 20X1. The useful life of the property is 15 years. On 31st March, 20X3, S Ltd classified the property as held for sale. The impairment testing provides the estimated recoverable amount of ₹ 4,70,000.

The fair value less cost to sell on 31st March, 20X3 was ₹ 4,60,000. On 31st March, 20X4 management changed the plan, as property no longer met the criteria of held for sale. The recoverable amount as at 31st March, 20X4 is ₹ 5,00,000.

Provide the accounting treatment of events for the year ending 31 st March, 20X3 and 31st March, 20X4 and value the property thereupon. (MTP 8 Marks Oct '23)

#### Answer 5

I. Value of property immediately before the classification as held for sale as per Ind AS

•		
16 as on 31st March,	₹	
20X3	6,00,000	
Purchase price		
Less: Accumulated	(80,000)	(for two years)
depreciation		
Less: Impairment loss	(50,000)	(5,20,000-
		4,70,000)
Carrying Amount	4,70,000	

On initial classification as held for sale on 31st March, 20X3, the value will be lower of: Carrying amount after impairment 4.70.000

Fair value less cost to sell ₹ 4,60,000

On 31st March, 20X3, Non-current asset classified as held for sale will be recorded at ₹ 4,60,000.

Depreciation of ₹ 40,000 and Impairment Loss of ₹ 60,000 (50,000 +10,000) is charged in profit or loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X3.

II. On 31st March, 20X4, held for sale property is reclassified as criteria doesn't met. The value will be lower of:

Carrying amount immediately before classification on 31st March, 20X3

₹ 4,70,000

Less: Depreciation based on 13 years balance life (₹ 36,154)Carrying amount had the asset not classified as held for sale₹ 4,33,846 Recoverable Amount

₹ 5,00,000

Property will be valued at ₹ 4,33,846 on 31st March, 20X4 Adjustment to the carrying amount of ₹ 26,154 (₹ 4,60,000 - 4,33,846) is charged to the profit or loss.

# Question 6

Identify which of the following is a disposal group at 31st March, 20X1:

- (1) On 21st March, 20X1, XYZ Ltd. announced the Board's intention to sell its shares in a subsidiary company, Alpha Ltd., contingent upon the approval of Alpha Ltd.'s shareholders. It seems unlikely that approval will be granted in the near future and no specific potential buyer has been identified.
- (2) PQR Ltd. has entered into a contract to sell the entire delivery fleet of vehicles operated from its warehouse to a competitor, ABC Ltd., on 14th March, 20X1. The assets will be transferred on 28th April, 20X1 from which date the Group will outsource its delivery activities to another company, LMN Ltd.
- (3) On 16th January, 20X1, DEF's management and shareholders approved a plan to sell its retail business in Mumbai and a consultant is hired to manage the sale. As at 31 st March, 20X1 heads of agreement had been signed although due diligence and the negotiation of final terms are still in process. The transaction is expected to be completed in April, 20X1. (MTP 6 Marks April 22)

#### Answer 6

### Presented as disposal group held for sale

- (1) PQR Ltd.'s fleet of vehicles is classified as held for sale because it constitutes a group of assets to be sold in their present condition and the sale is highly probable at the reporting date (as a contract has been entered into).
- (2) DEF Ltd.'s sale of its retail business will not be completed until the final terms (e.g. of purchase price) are agreed. However, the business is ready for immediate sale and the sale is highly probable to be completed by April, 20X1. This implies that the retail business is a disposal group held for sale, unless other evidence after the reporting date but before the financial statements are approved for issue, comes to light to indicate the contrary.

#### Not presented as disposal group held for sale

(1) XYZ Ltd.'s shares in Alpha Ltd. are not available for an immediate sale as shareholders' approval is required. Also, no specific potential buyer has been identified. Taking these facts into consideration, it is clear that the sale is not highly probable.

#### Question 7

A Ltd. is to sell a non-current asset, being a piece of land. The piece of land has been contaminated and will require the entity to carry out Rs. 100,000 of work in order to rectify the contamination. If the land was not contaminated, it could be sold for Rs. 300,000. With the contamination, it is worth only Rs. 200,000. The work that is needed to rectify the contamination will extend the period of sale by one year from the date the land is first marketed for sale.

#### Required:

In the following situations, examine with suitable reasons whether land can be classified as held for sale in accordance with Ind AS 105: Non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations

Situation 1 The land is marketed for Rs. 300,000 and A Ltd. was not aware of the

contamination till the time a firm purchase commitment was signed with a purchaser. The purchaser found the contamination through a survey. The purchaser signed the firm purchase commitment on condition that the contamination damage will be rectified.

Situation 2 A Ltd. marketed the land for Rs. 300,000, knowing about the contamination when the proposal to sale the land went in the market. However, A Ltd. marketed it with an agreement that it would carry out the rectification work within few months from signing the firm purchase commitment.

Situation 3A Ltd. knew about the contamination prior to float the proposal to sell the land and markets it for Rs. 200,000 with no obligation on itself to rectify or fix the contamination. (MTP 8 Marks April '18)

#### Answer 7

#### Situation 1

As far as the entity was aware, the land was marketed and available for immediate sale in its present condition at a reasonable price. The event extending the one-year period was imposed by the buyer after the firm purchase commitment was received and the entity is taking steps to address it. The land qualifies as held for sale and continues to do so after it is required to carry out the rectification work.

#### Situation 2

The land is not available for immediate sale in its present condition when it is first marketed. It is being marketed at a price that involves further work to the land. It cannot be classified as held for sale when it is first marketed. It also cannot be classified as held for sale when a purchase commitment is received, because even then it is not for sale in its present condition and no conditions have been unexpectedly imposed. The land will not be classified as held for sale until the rectification work is actually carried out.

#### Situation 3

The land in this case is available for immediate sale in its present condition and it would qualify to be classified as held for sales since it is being marketed at reasonable price.

#### Question 8

On June 1, 20X2, entity D Limited plans to sell a group of assets and liabilities, which is classified as a disposal group. On July 31, 20X2, the Board of Directors approved and committed to the plan to sell the manufacturing unit by entering into a firm purchase commitment with entity G Limited. However, since the manufacturing unit is regulated, the approval from the regulator is needed for sale. The approval from the regulator is customary and highly probable to be received by November 30, 20X2 and the sale is expected to be completed by 31st March, 20X3. Entity D Limited follows December year end. The assets and liabilities attributable to this manufacturing unit are as under:

(∌	in	lakh)
17	111	Iakiii

Particulars	Carrying value	Carrying value
	as on 31 <sup>st</sup>	as on 31 <sup>st</sup>
	December,	July, 20X2
	20X1	
Goodwill	1,000	1,000
Plant and Machinery	2,000	1,800
Building	4,000	3,700

<sub>age</sub>496

Debtors	1,700	2,100
Inventory	1,400	800
Creditors	(600)	(500)
Loans	(4,000)	(3,700)
Net	5,500	5,200

The fair value of the manufacturing unit as on December 31, 20X1 is ₹ 4,000 lakh and as on July 31, 20X2 is ₹ 3,700 lakh. The cost to sell is ₹ 200 lakh on both these dates. The disposal group is not sold at, the period end i.e., December 31, 20X2. The fair value as on 31st December, 20X2 is lower than the carrying value of the disposal group as on that date.

### Required:

- (i) Assess whether the manufacturing unit can be classified as held for sale and reasons thereof. If yes, then at which date?
- (ii) The measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as held for sale.
- (iii) The measurement of the manufacturing unit as at the end of the year. (MTP 10 Marks Nov 21, PYP 10 Marks Nov'19)

#### Answer 8

- (i) Assessment of manufacturing unit whether to be classified as held for sale The manufacturing unit can be classified as held for sale due to the following reasons:
  - (a) The disposal group is available for immediate sale and in its present condition. The regulatory approval is customary and it is expected to be received in one year. The date at which the disposal group is classified as held for sale will be 31st July, 20X2,
    - i.e. the date at which management becomes committed to the plan.
  - (b) The sale is highly probable as the appropriate level of management i.e., board of directors in this case have approved the plan.
  - (c) A firm purchase agreement has been entered with the buyer.
  - (d) The sale is expected to be complete by 31st March, 20X3, i.e., within one year from the date of classification.
- (ii) Measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as held for sale

Following steps need to be followed:

**Step 1:** Immediately before the initial classification of the asset (or disposal group) as held for sale, the carrying amounts of the asset (or all the assets and liabilities in the group) shall be measured in accordance with applicable Ind AS. This has been done and the carrying value of the disposal group as on 31st July, 20X2 is determined at ₹ 5,200 lakh. The difference between the carrying value as on 31st December, 20X1 and 31st July, 20X2 is accounted for as per Ind AS

Step 2: An entity shall measure a non-current asset (or disposal group) classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell., The fair value less cost to sell of the disposal group as on 31st July, 20X2 is ₹ 3,500 lakh (i.e. ₹ 3,700 lakh - ₹ 200 lakh). This is lower than the carrying value of ₹ 5,200 lakh. Thus, an impairment loss needs to be recognised and allocated first towards goodwill and thereafter prorata between assets of the disposal group which are within the scope of Ind AS

# 1051 1 11: 1

105 based on their carrying value.

Thus, the assets will be measured as under:

(₹ in lakh)

inus, the assets will be incasured as under.			(\tania)
Particulars	Carrying value	Impairment	Carrying value
	as on 31 <sup>st</sup> July,		as on 31 <sup>st</sup>
	20X1		July, 20X2
Goodwill	1,000	1,000) (Refer WN)	-
Plant and Machinery	1,800	(229) (Refer WN)	1,571
Building	3,700	(471)	3,229
Debtors	2,100	-	2100
Inventory	800	-	800
Creditors	(500)	-	500
Loans	(3,700)	-	3,700
Net	5,200	1,700	3,500

# Working Note:

# (i) Allocation of impairment loss to Plant and Machinery and Building

After adjustment of impairment loss of ₹ 1,000 lakh from the full value of goodwill, the balance ₹ 700 lakh (₹ 1,700 lakh – ₹ 1,000 lakh) is allocated to plant and machinery and Building on proportionate basis. Plant and machinery – ₹ 700 lakh x ₹ 1,800 lakh / ₹ 5,500 lakh = ₹ 229 lakh (rounded off) Building – ₹ 700 lakh x ₹ 3,700 lakh / ₹ 5,500 lakh = ₹ 471 lakh (rounded off)

# (ii) Measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as at the year end

The measurement as at the year-end shall be on similar lines as done above. The assets and liabilities in the disposal group not within the scope of this Standard are measured as per the respective standards. The fair value less cost to sell of the disposal group as a whole is calculated. This fair value less cost to sell as at the year-end shall be compared with the carrying value as at the date of classification as held for sale. It is provided that the fair value as on the year end is less than the carrying amount as on that date – thus the impairment loss shall be allocated in the same way between the assets of the disposal group falling within the scope of this standard as shown above. Measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as at the year-end shall be on similar lines as done above.

#### **Question 9**

G Ltd. is a wholly owned subsidiary of U Ltd. engaged in management consultancy services. On 31st January, 20X2, the board of directors of U Ltd. decided to discontinue the business of G Ltd. from 30th April, 20X2. They made a public announcement of their decision on 15th February, 20X2.

G Ltd. does not have many assets or liabilities and it is estimated that the outstanding trade receivables and payables would be settled by 31st May, 20X2. U Ltd. would collect any amounts still owed by G Ltd.'s customers after 31st May, 20X2. They have offered the employees of G Ltd. termination payments or alternative employment opportunities.

Following are some of the details relating to G Ltd.:

- On the date of public announcement, it is estimated by G Ltd. that it would have to pay
  - ₹ 540 lakhs as termination payments to employees and the costs for

relocation of employees who would remain with the Group would be ₹ 60 lakhs. The actual termination payments totalling to ₹ 520 lakhs were made in full on 15th May, 20X2. As per latest estimates made on 15th May, 20X2, the total relocation cost is ₹ 63 lakhs.

G Ltd. had taken a property on lease, which was expiring on 31 st March, 20X6. The present value of the future lease rentals (using an appropriate discount rate) is ₹ 430 lakhs. On 15th May, 20X2, G Ltd. made a payment to the lessor of ₹ 410 lakhs in return for early termination of the lease.

The loss after tax of G Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 was ₹ 400 lakhs. G Ltd. made further operating losses totalling ₹ 60 lakhs till 30th April, 20X2. What are the provisions that the Company is required to make as per Ind AS 37 as on 31st March, 20X2? (MTP 8 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 9

A discontinued operation is one that is discontinued in the period or classified as held for sale at the year end. The operations of G Ltd were discontinued on 30 th April, 20X2 and therefore, would be treated as discontinued operation for the year ending 31st March, 20X3. It does not meet the criteria for held for sale since the company is terminating its business and does not hold these for sale.

As per para 72 of Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets', restructuring includes sale or termination of a line of business. A constructive obligation to restructure arises when an entity:

- (a) has a detailed formal plan for the restructuring
- (b) has raised a valid expectation in those affected that it will carry out the restructuring by starting to implement that plan or announcing its main features to those affected by it.

The Board of directors of U Ltd have decided to terminate the operations of G Ltd. from 30<sup>th</sup> April, 20X2. They have made a formal announcement on 15th February, 20X2, thus creating a valid expectation that the termination will be implemented. This creates a constructive obligation on the company and requires provisions for restructuring.

A restructuring provision includes only the direct expenditures arising from the restructuring that are necessarily entailed by the restructuring and are not associated with the ongoing activities of the entity.

The termination payments fulfil the above condition. As per Ind AS 10 'Events after Reporting Date', events that provide additional evidence of conditions existing at the reporting date should be reflected in the financial statements. Therefore, the company should make a provision for ₹ 520 lakhs in this respect.

The relocation costs relate to the future conduct of the business and are not liabilities for restructuring at the end of the reporting period. Hence, these would be recognised on the same basis as if they arose independently of a restructuring.

The lease would be regarded as an onerous contract. A provision would be made at the lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfil it. Hence, a provision shall be made for ₹ 410 lakhs.

Further operating losses relate to future events and do not form a part of the closure provision. Therefore, the total provision required = ₹ 520 lakhs + ₹ 410 lakhs = ₹ 930 lakhs.

#### Question 10

Following is the extract of the consolidated financial statements of A Ltd. for the year ended on:

Asset/ (liability)	Carry amount as on
	31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1
	(In Rs. '000)
Attributed goodwill	200
Intangible assets	950
Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income	300
Property, plant & equipment	1100
Deferred tax asset	250
Current assets – inventory, receivables and cash balances	600
Current liabilities	(850)
Non-current liabilities – provisions	(300)
Total	2,250

On 15th September 20X1, Entity A decided to sell the business. It noted that the business meets the condition of disposal group classified as held for sale on that date in accordance with Ind AS 105. However, it does not meet the conditions to be classified as discontinued operations in accordance with that standard. The disposal group is stated at the following amounts immediately prior to reclassification as held for sale.

Asset/ (liability)	Carry amount as on 15 <sup>th</sup> September 20X1 (In Rs. '000)
Attributed goodwill	200
Intangible assets	930
Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income	360
Property, plant & equipment	1,020
Deferred tax asset	250
Current assets – inventory, receivables and cash balances	520
Current liabilities	(870)
Non-current liabilities – provisions	(250)
Total	2,160

Entity A proposed to sell the disposal group at Rs. 19,00,000. It estimates that the costs to sell will be Rs. 70,000. This cost consists of professional fee to be paid to external lawyers and accountants.

As at 31st March 20X2, there has been no change to the plan to sell the disposal group and entity A still expects to sell it within one year of initial classification. Mr. X, an accountant of Entity A premeasured the following assets/ liabilities in accordance with respective standards as on 31st March 20X2:

Available for sale:	(In '000)	Rs.
Financial assets		410
Deferred tax assets		230
Current assets- Inventory, receivables and cash balances		400
Current liabilities		900
Non- current liabilities- provisions		250

The disposal group has not been trading well and its fair value less costs to sell has fallen to Rs. 16,50,000.

### Required:

What would be the value of all assets/ labilities within the disposal group as on the following dates in accordance with Ind AS 105?

- (a) 15 September, 20X1 and
- (b) 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 (RTP May 18)

#### Answer 10

(a) As at 15 September, 20X1 The disposal group should be measured at Rs. 18,30,000 (19,00,000-70,000). The impairment write down of Rs. 3,30,000 (Rs. 21,60,000 – Rs. 18,30,000) should be recorded within profit from continuing operations. The impairment of Rs. 3,30,000 should be allocated to the carrying values of the appropriate non-current assets.

Asset/ (liability)	Carrying value as at 15 June 2004	Impairme nt	Revised carrying value as per IND AS 105
Attributed goodwill	200	(200)	1
Intangible assets	930	(62)	868
Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income	360	-	360
Property, plant & equipment	1,020	(68)	952
Deferred tax asset	250	_	250
Current assets – inventory, receivables and cash balances	520	-	520
Current liabilities	(870)	-	(870)
Non-current liabilities – provisions	(250)	-	(250)
Total	2,160	(330)	1,830

impairment loss is allocated first to goodwill and then pro rata to the other assets of the disposal group within Ind AS 105 measurement scope. Following assets are not in the measurement scope of the standard- financial asset

measured at other comprehensive income, the deferred tax asset or the current assets. In addition, the impairment allocation can only be made against assets and is not allocated to liabilities.

(b) As on 31 March. 20X2:

All of the assets and liabilities, outside the scope of measurement under IFRS 5, are remeasured in accordance with the relevant standards. The assets that are remeasured in this case under the relevant standards are the Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income (Ind AS 109), the deferred tax asset (Ind AS 12), the current assets and liabilities (various standards) and the non-current liabilities (Ind AS 37).

Asset/ (liability)	Carrying	Change in	Impairme	Revised
	amount as on 15 September, 20X1	value to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 20X2	nt	carryin g value as per Ind AS 105
Attributed goodwill	-	-	-	-
Intangible assets	868	-	(29)	839
Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income  Property, plant &		50	(31)	921
equipment			(- )	
Deferred tax asset	250	(20)	-	230
Current assets – inventory, receivables and cash balances	520	(120)	-	400
Current liabilities	(870)	(30)	_	(900)
Non-current liabilities – provisions	(250)	-	-	(250)
Total	1,830	(120)	(60)	1,650

# Question 11

X Ltd. acquires B Ltd. exclusively with a view to sale and it meets the criteria to be classified as discontinued operation as per Ind AS 105. Further, following information is available about B Ltd.:

Fair value of total assets excluding liabilities on acquisition - ₹ 360

Costs to sell as on acquisition and on reporting date - ₹ 10

Fair value of liabilities on acquisition and reporting date –  $\stackrel{?}{\scriptstyle{\sim}}$  80

Fair value of total assets excluding liabilities on the reporting date - ₹ 340

How discontinued operation pertaining to B Ltd. should be measured in consolidated financial statements of X Ltd. on acquisition date and reporting date? (RTP May '22)

#### Answer 11

Ind AS 105 defines a disposal group as a group of assets to be disposed of, by sale or otherwise, together as a group in a single transaction, and liabilities directly associated with those assets that will be transferred in the transaction. The group

includes goodwill acquired in a business combination if the group is a cash-generating unit to which goodwill has been allocated in accordance with the requirements of paragraphs 80–87 of Ind AS 36, Impairment of Assets, or if it is an operation within such a cash-generating unit.

In the given case, B Ltd. is acquired exclusively with a view to sell and meets the criteria to be classified as discontinued operation.

The discontinued operation would be measured in accordance with paragraphs 15 and 16 of Ind AS 105

As per para 15, an entity shall measure a non-current asset (or disposal group) classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell.

As per para 16, if a newly acquired asset (or disposal group) meets the criteria to be classified as held for sale (see paragraph 11), applying paragraph 15 will result in the asset (or disposal group) being measured on initial recognition at the lower of its carrying amount had it not been so classified (for example, cost) and fair value less costs to sell. Hence, if the asset (or disposal group) is acquired as part of a business combination, it shall be measured at fair value less costs to sell.

Therefore, on acquisition date, in line with paragraph 16, X Ltd. will measure B Ltd. as a disposal group at fair value less costs to sell which will be calculated as Fair value of total assets excluding liabilities on acquisition – Costs to sell = 360 - 10 = 350.

Fair value of liabilities on acquisition = ₹80.

At the reporting date, in line with paragraph 15, X Ltd. will remeasure the disposal group at the lower of its cost and fair value less costs to sell which will be calculated as:

Fair value of total assets excluding liabilities on subsequent reporting date – Costs to sell

= ₹340 - ₹10 = ₹330

Fair value of liabilities on reporting date = ₹80.

At the reporting date, X Ltd. shall present these assets and liabilities separately from other assets and liabilities in its consolidated financial statements.

In the statement of profit and loss, X Ltd. shall recognise loss on subsequent measurement (of net assets at fair value) of B Ltd. which equals to 20 (270 - 250).

#### **Question 12**

On 1st January, 20X1, the carrying amounts of the relevant assets of the division of an entity, Star Ltd. were as follows:

- Purchased goodwill ₹ 1.2 lakhs;
- Property, plant and equipment (average remaining estimated useful life two years)

₹ 4 lakhs;

• Inventories ₹ 2 lakhs.

From 1st January, 20X1, Star Ltd. began to actively market the division and has received a number of serious enquiries.

On 1st January, 20X1, the directors estimated that they would receive ₹ 6.4 lakhs from the sale of the division. Since 1st January, 20X1, market conditions have improved and on 30th April, 20X1, Star Ltd. received and accepted a firm offer to purchase the division for ₹ 6.6 lakhs. The sale is expected to be completed on 30th June, 20X1.

₹ 6.6 lakhs can be assumed to be a reasonable estimate of the value of the division on 31st March, 20X1.

<sup>2</sup> 203

During the period from 1st January 20X1 to 31st March, 20X1, inventories of the division costing  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  1.6 lakhs were sold for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  2.4 lakhs. At 31st March, 20X1, the total cost of the inventories of the division was  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  1.8 lakhs. All of these inventories have an estimated net realizable value that is in excess of their cost.

Explain the disclosure requirement related to sale of division and provide the accounting treatment of property held for sale and discontinued operations. (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 12

The decision to offer the division for sale on 1st January, 20X1 means that from that date the division is classified as held for sale. The division available for immediate sale, is being actively marketed at a reasonable price, and the sale is expected to be completed within one year.

The consequence of this classification is that the assets of the division will be measured at the lower of their existing carrying amounts (₹ 7.20 lakhs i.e. Goodwill ₹ 1.2 lakh + PPE ₹ 4 lakhs + Inventory ₹ 2 lakhs) and their fair value less costs to sell (₹ 6.40 lakhs).

This implies that the assets of the division will be measured at ₹ 6.40 lakhs on 1st January, 20X1.

The reduction in carrying value of the assets of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  0.80 lakhs ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  7.20 lakhs –  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  6.40 lakhs) will be treated as an impairment loss and allocated to goodwill, leaving a carrying amount for goodwill of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  0.40 lakhs ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1.20 lakhs –  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  0.80 lakhs).

The increased expectation of the selling price of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  0.20 lakhs ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  6.60 lakhs –  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  6.40 lakhs) will be treated as a reversal of an impairment loss. However, since this reversal relates to goodwill, it cannot be recognised.

The assets of the division need to be presented separately from other assets in the balance sheet. Their major classes of assets classified as held for sale should be separately disclosed, either in the balance sheet or in the notes.

The property, plant and equipment should not be depreciated after 1 st January, 20X1, so it's carrying value at 31st March, 20X1 will be ₹ 4 lakhs. The inventories of the division will be shown at their year-end cost of ₹ 1.80 lakhs.

The division will be regarded as a discontinued operation for the year ended 31st March, 20X1. It will represent a separate line of business and will be held for sale at the year end.

The statement of profit and loss should disclose, as a single amount, the post-tax profit or loss of the division and the impairment loss arising on the re-measurement of the division on classification as held for sale. Further analysis of this single amount may be presented in the notes or in the statement of profit and loss. If it is presented in the statement of profit and loss it shall be presented in a section identified as relating to discontinued operations, i.e. separately from continuing operations.

# Question 13

Black Ltd. is a manufacturing company. The following balances as at 31st March, 2021 are from the audited Financial Statements and as at 30th September, 2021 & 31st March, 2022 are provided by the accountant of Black Ltd:

Asset / (Liability)	Carrying Amount as at (₹ in		
	lakh)		
	31.3.20	30.9.202	31.3.202
	21	1	2
Attributed goodwill	2,560	2,560	
Intangible assets	12,680	11,080	

Financial assets measured at Fair Value through Other Comprehensive Income (FVTOCI)	4,310	5,260	7,310
Property, plant & equipment	16,820	18,670	
Deferred tax assets	3,120	3,120	2,970
Current assets: inventory, receivables, cash & cash equivalents	8,640	7,040	4,860
Current liabilities	(11,110)	(13,230)	(16,190)
Non-current liabilities: Provisions	(3,670)	(4,610)	(4,610)
Total	33,350	29,890	(5,660)

Black Ltd. decided to sell the business on 30th September, 2021. The business meets the condition of disposal group classified as held for sale on that date in accordance with Ind AS 105. However, it does not meet the conditions to be classified as discontinued operations in accordance with Ind AS 105. Black Ltd. proposed to sell the disposal group at ₹ 26,000 lakh. The costs to sell is estimated at ₹ 200 lakh. As at 31st March, 2022, there has been no change to the plan to sell the disposal group and Black Ltd. still expects to sell it within one year of initial classification. The disposal group has not been trading well and its fair value less costs to sell has fallen to ₹ 19,738 lakh. You are asked to calculate the value of all assets / liabilities within the disposal group as at 30th September, 2021 and 31st March, 2022 in accordance with Ind AS 105. (PYP 8 Marks May '22)

#### Answer 13

#### (a) As at 30<sup>th</sup>September, 2021

The disposal group should be measured at ₹ 25,800 lakh (₹ 26,000 lakh - ₹ 200 lakh). The impairment write down of ₹ 4,090 lakh (₹ 29,890 lakh - ₹ 25,800 lakh) should be recorded within profit from continuing operations.

The impairment of ₹ 4,090 should be allocated to the carrying values of the appropriate non-current assets.

Asset / (liability)	Carrying value as at 30 <sup>th</sup> September, 2021	Impairment	Revised carrying value as per Ind AS 105
Attributed goodwill	2,560	(2,560)	-
Intangible assets	11,080	(570)*	10,510
Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income	5,260	-	5,260
Property, plant & equipment	18,670	(960)**	17,710
Deferred tax asset	3,120	-	3,120

Current assets – inventory, receivables and cash			
balances	7,040	-	7,040
Current liabilities	(13,230)	-	(13,230)
Non-current liabilities – provisions	(4,610)	1	(4,610)
Total	29,890	(4,090)	25,800

\*[(4,090 - 2,560) x {11,080/(11,080 + 18,670)}] \*\*[(4,090 - 2,560) x {18,670/(11,080 + 18,670)}]

The impairment loss is allocated first to goodwill and then pro-rata to the other assets of the disposal group within Ind AS 105 measurement scope. Following assets are not in the measurement scope of this standard- financial asset measured at other comprehensive income, the deferred tax asset or the current assets. In addition, the impairment allocation can only be made against assets and is not allocated to liabilities.

#### (b) As on 31st March, 2022

All the assets and liabilities, outside the scope of measurement under Ind AS 105, are remeasured in accordance with the relevant standards. The assets that are remeasured in this case under the relevant standards are the financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income (Ind AS 109), the deferred tax asset (Ind AS 12), the current assets and liabilities (various standards) and the non-current liabilities (Ind AS 37).

Asset / (liability)	Carrying amount as on 30th September, 2021	in value	Impairme nt	Revise d carryin g value as per Ind AS 105
Attributed goodwill	-	-	-	-
Intangible assets	10,510	-	(1,051)*	9,459
Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income	5,260	2,050	-	7,310
Property, plant & equipment	17,710	-	(1,771)**	15,939
Deferred tax asset	3,120	(150)	-	2,970
Current assets – inventory, receivables and cash balances Current liabilities	7,040 (13,230)	(2,180) (2,960)	- -	4,860 (16,190)
Non-current liabilities		(2,500)		(10,170)
provisions	(4,610)	-	-	(4,610)
Total	25,800	(3,240)	(2822)	19,738

\*[2,822 x {10,510 /(10,510 + 17,710)}]
\*\*[2,822 x {17,710 /(10,510 + 17,710)}]

#### Section - B

#### Question 1

On February 28, 20X1, Entity X becomes committed to a plan to sell a property. However, it plans certain renovations to increase its value prior to selling it. The renovations are expected to be completed within a short span of time i.e., 2 months. Can the property be classified as held for sale at the reporting date i.e. 31st March, 20X1?

#### Answer 1

The property cannot be classified as held for sale at the balance sheet date as it is not available for sale immediately in its present condition. Although the renovations are expected to be completed within a short span 2 months, this fact is not relevant for classification. The delay in the timing of the transfer of

- 1. the property imposed by the Entity X demonstrates that the property is not available for immediate sale.
- **2.** However, if the PPE meets the criteria for held for sale by 30<sup>th</sup> April, 20X1 (i.e., 2 months from February 28, 20X1) and the accounts are not authorised by that date, then necessary disclosures need to be given in the financial statements.

#### Question 2

On 1st March, 20X1, entity R decides to sell one of its factories. An agent is appointed and the factory is actively marketed. As on 31st March, 20X1, it is expected that the factory will be sold by 28th February, 20X2. However, in May 20X1, the market price of the factory deteriorated. Entity R believed that the market will recover and thus did not reduce the price of the factory. The company's accounts are authorised for issue on 26th June, 20X1. Should the factory be shown as held for sale as on 31st March, 20X1?

#### Answer 2

In this example, the factory ceases to meet the definition of held for sale post the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are authorised for issue, as it is not actively marketed at a reasonable price. But, since the market conditions deteriorated post the balance sheet date, the asset will be classified as held for sale as at 31st March, 20X1.

#### Question 3

On 1st June, 20X1, entity X plans to sell a group of assets and liabilities, which is classified as a disposal group. On 31st July, 20X1, the Board of Directors approves and becomes committed to the plan to sell the manufacturing unit by entering into a firm purchase commitment with entity Y. However, since the manufacturing unit is regulated, the approval from the regulator is needed for sale. The approval from the regulator is customary and highly probable to be received by 30th November, 20X1 and the sale is expected to be completed by 31st March, 20X2. Entity X follows December year end. The assets and liabilities attributable to this manufacturing unit are as under: (Amount in ₹)

Particulars	Carrying value as on 31st December, 20X0	Carrying value as on 31st July, 20X1
Goodwill	500	500
Plant and Machinery	1,000	900
Building	2,000	1,850
Debtors	850	1,050
Inventory	700	400
Creditors	(300)	(250)
Loans	(2,000)	(1,850)
	2,750	2,600

The fair value of the manufacturing unit as on 31st December, 20X0 is ₹ 2,000 and as on 31st July, 20X1 is ₹ 1,850. The cost to sell is ₹ 100 on both these dates. The disposal group is not sold at the period end i.e., 31st December, 20X1. The fair value as on 31st December, 20X1 is lower than the carrying value of the disposal group as on that date.

#### Required:

- 1. Assess whether the manufacturing unit can be classified as held for sale and reasons there for. If yes, then at which date?
- 2. The measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as held for sale.
- 3. The measurement of the manufacturing unit as at the end of the year.

#### Answer 3

#### Assessing whether the manufacturing unit can be classified as held for sale

The manufacturing unit can be classified as held for sale due to the following reasons:

- (a) The disposal group is available for immediate sale and in its present condition. The regulatory approval is customary and it is expected to be received in one year. The date at which the disposal group must be classified as held for sale is 31st July, 20X1, i.e., the date at which management becomes committed to the plan.
- (b) The sale is highly probable as the appropriate level of management i.e., board of directors in this case have approved the plan.
- (c) A firm purchase agreement has been entered with the buyer.
- (d) The sale is expected to be complete by 31st March, 20X2, i.e., within one year from the date of classification.

## Measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as held for sale Following steps need to be followed:

**Step 1:** Immediately before the initial classification of the asset (or disposal group) as held for sale, the carrying amounts of the asset (or all the assets and liabilities in the group) shall be measured in accordance with applicable Ind AS.

This has been done and the carrying value of the disposal group as on 31st July, 20X1 is determined at ₹ 2,600. The difference between the carrying value as on 31st December, 20X0 and 31st July, 20X1 is accounted for as per the relevant Ind AS.

**Step 2:** An entity shall measure a non-current asset (or disposal group) classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell.

The fair value less cost to sell of the disposal group as on 31st July, 20X1 is ₹ 1,750 (i.e.1,850-100). This is lower than the carrying value of ₹ 2,600. Thus, an impairment loss needs to be recognised and allocated first towards goodwill and thereafter pro-rata between assets of the disposal group which are within the scope of Ind AS 105 based on their carrying value. Thus, the assets will be measured as under:

Particulars	Carrying value – 31st July, 20X1	Impairme nt	Carrying value as per Ind AS 105 – 31st July, 20X1
Goodwill	500	(500)	-
Plant and	900	(115)	78
Machinery	1,850	(235)	5
Building	1,050	-	1,615
Debtors	400	-	1,050
Inventory	(250)	-	400
Creditors	(1,850)	-	(250)
Loans	2,600	(850)	(1,850)
			1,750

## Measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as at the year end

The measurement as at the year-end shall be on similar lines as done above. The assets and liabilities in the disposal group not within the scope of this Standard are measured as per the respective Standards.

The fair value less cost to sell of the disposal group as a whole is calculated. This fair value less cost to sell as at the year-end shall be compared with the carrying value as at the date of classification as held for sale. It is provided that the fair value as on the year end is less than the carrying amount as on that date – thus the impairment loss shall be allocated in the same way between the assets of the disposal group falling within the scope of this standard as shown above.

Question 4

Following is the extract of the consolidated financial statements of A Ltd. for the year ended on:

Asset/ (liability)	Carrying amount as on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1 (In ₹ '000)
Attributed goodwill	200
Intangible assets	950
Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income	300
Property, plant & equipment	1100
Deferred tax asset	250
Current assets – inventory, receivables and cash balances	600
Current liabilities	(850)
Non-current liabilities – provisions	(300)
Total	2,250

On 15th September 20X1, Entity A decided to sell the business. It noted that the business meets the condition of disposal group classified as held for sale on that date in accordance with Ind AS 105. However, it does not meet the conditions to be classified as discontinued operations in accordance with that standard.

The disposal group is stated at the following amounts immediately prior to reclassification as held for sale.

Asset/ (liability)	Carry amount as on 15 <sup>th</sup> September 20X1 (In ₹ '000)
Attributed goodwill	200
Intangible assets	930
Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income	360
Property, plant & equipment	1,020
Deferred tax asset	250
Current assets – inventory, receivables and cash balances	520
Current liabilities	(870)
Non-current liabilities – provisions	(250)
Total	2,160

Entity A proposed to sell the disposal group at ₹ 19,00,000. It estimates that the costs to sell will be ₹ 70,000. This cost consists of professional fee to be paid to external lawyers and accountants.

As at 31st March 20X2, there has been no change to the plan to sell the disposal group and entity A still expects to sell it within one year of initial classification. Mr. X, an accountant of Entity A remeasured the following assets/ liabilities in accordance with respective standards as on 31st March 20X2:

Available for sale:	(In ₹ '000)
Financial assets	410
Deferred tax assets	230
Current assets- Inventory, receivables and cash balances	400
Current liabilities	900
Non- current liabilities- provisions	250

The disposal group has not been trading well and its fair value less costs to sell has fallen to ₹ 16,50,000.

#### Required:

What would be the value of all assets/ labilities within the disposal group as on the following dates in accordance with Ind AS 105?

- (a) 15 September, 20X1 and
- (b) 31st March, 20X2

#### Answer 4

#### As at 15 September, 20X1

The disposal group should be measured at ₹ 18,30,000 (19,00,000-70,000). The impairment write down of ₹ 3,30,000 (₹ 21,60,000 – ₹ 18,30,000) should be recorded within profit from continuing operations.

The impairment of  $\gtrless$  3,30,000 should be allocated to the carrying values of the appropriate non-current assets.

 $_{Page}510$ 

Asset/ (liability)	Carrying value as at 15 September, 20X1	Impairment	Revised carrying value as per IND AS 105
Attributed goodwill	200	(200)	-
Intangible assets	930	(62)	868
Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income	360	-	360
Property, plant & equipment	1,020	(68)	952
Deferred tax asset	250	-	250
Current assets - inventory, receivables and cash balances	520	-	520
Current liabilities	(870)	1	(870)
Non-current liabilities – provisions	(250)	-	(250)
Total	2,160	(330)	1,830

The impairment loss is allocated first to goodwill and then pro rata to the other assets of the disposal group within Ind AS 105 measurement scope. Following assets are not in the measurement scope of the standard- financial asset measured at other comprehensive income, the deferred tax asset or the current assets. In addition, the impairment allocation can only be made against assets and is not allocated to liabilities.

#### (b) As on 31 March, 20X2:

All of the assets and liabilities, outside the scope of measurement under Ind AS 105, are remeasured in accordance with the relevant standards. The assets that are remeasured in this case under the relevant standards are the Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income (Ind AS 109), the deferred tax asset (Ind AS 12), the current assets and liabilities (various standards) and the non-current liabilities (Ind AS 37).

Asset/ (liability)	Carrying amount as on 15 September , 20X1	Change in value to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 20X2	Impairme nt	Revised carrying value as per Ind AS 105
Attributed goodwill	-	-	-	-
Intangible assets	868	1	(29)	839

Financial asset measured at fair value through other comprehensive income	360	50	-	410
Property,				
plant &	952	-	(31)	921
equipment				
Deferred tax asset	250	(20)	1	230
Current assets – inventory, receivables and cash balances	520	(120)	-	400
Current liabilities	(870)	(30)	-	(900)
Non-current liabilities – provisions	(250)	-	-	(250)
Total	1,830	(120)	(60)	1,650

#### Question 5

CK Ltd. prepares the financial statement under Ind AS for the quarter year ended 30th June, 20X1. During the 3 months ended 30th June, 20X1 following events occurred:

On 1st April, 20X1, the Company has decided to sell one of its divisions as a going concern following a recent change in its geographical focus. The proposed sale would involve the buyer acquiring the non-monetary assets (including goodwill) of the division, with the Company collecting any outstanding trade receivables relating to the division and settling any current liabilities.

On 1st April, 20X1, the carrying amount of the assets of the division were as follows:

- Purchased Goodwill ₹ 60,000
- Property, Plant & Equipment (average remaining estimated useful life two years) ₹ 20,00,000
- Inventories ₹ 10,00,000

From 1st April, 20X1, the Company has started to actively market the division and has received number of serious enquiries. On 1st April, 20X1 the directors estimated that they would receive Rs. 32,00,000 from the sale of the division. Since 1st April, 20X1, market condition has improved and as on 1st August, 20X1 the Company received and accepted a firm offer to purchase the division for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  33,00,000.

The sale is expected to be completed on 30th September, 20X1 and ₹ 33,00,000 can be assumed to be a reasonable estimate of the value of the division as on 30th June, 20X1. During the period from 1st April to 30th June inventories of the division costing ₹ 8,00,000 were sold for ₹ 12,00,000. At 30th June, 20X1, the total cost of the inventories of the division was ₹ 9,00,000. All of these inventories have an estimated net realisable value that is in excess of their cost.

# $^{\circ}$ age513

#### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

The Company has approached you to suggest how the proposed sale of the division will be reported in the interim financial statements for the quarter ended 30th June, 20X1 giving relevant explanations.

#### Answer 5

The decision to offer the division for sale on 1st April, 20X1 means that from that date the division has been classified as held for sale. The division available for immediate sale, is being actively marketed at a reasonable price and the sale is expected to be completed within one year.

The consequence of this classification is that the assets of the division will be measured at the lower of their existing carrying amounts and their fair value less cost to sell. Here the division shall be measured at their existing carrying amount ie ₹ 30,60,000 since it is less than the fair value less cost to sell ₹ 32,00,000.

The increase in expected selling price will not be accounted for since earlier there was no impairment to division held for sale.

The assets of the division need to be presented separately from other assets in the balance sheet. Their major classes should be separately disclosed either on the face of the balance sheet or in the notes.

The Property, Plant and Equipment shall not be depreciated after 1st April, 20X1 so its carrying value at 30th June, 20X1 will be ₹ 20,00,000 only. The inventories of the division will be shown at ₹ 9,00,000.

The division will be regarded as discontinued operation for the quarter ended 30th June, 20X1. It represents a separate line of business and is held for sale at the year end.

The Statement of Profit and Loss should disclose, as a single amount, the post-tax profit or loss of the division on classification as held for sale.

Further, as per Ind AS 33, EPS will also be disclosed separately for the discontinued operation.

#### **Question 6**

Identify which of the following is a disposal group at 31 March 20X1:

- (1) On 21 March 20X1, XYZ announced the Board's intention to sell its shares in a subsidiary company, Alpha, contingent upon the approval of Alpha's shareholders. It seems unlikely that approval will be granted in the near future and no specific potential buyer has been identified.
- (2) PQR has entered into a contract to sell the entire delivery fleet of vehicles operated from its warehouse to a competitor, ABC, on 14 March 20X1. The assets will be transferred on 28 April 20X1 from which date the Group will outsource its delivery activities to another company, LMN.
- (3) On 16 January 20X1, DEF's management and shareholders approved a plan to sell its retail business in Mumbai and a consultant is hired to manage the sale. As at 31 March 20X1 heads of agreement had been signed although due diligence and the negotiation of final terms are still in process. The transaction is expected to be completed in April 20X1.

#### Answer 6

#### Presented as held for sale

- (1) PQR's fleet is classified as held for sale because it constitutes a group of assets to be sold in their present condition and the sale is highly probable at the reporting date (as a contract has been entered into).
- (2) DEF's sale of its retail business will not be completed until the final terms (e.g. of purchase price) are agreed. However, the business is ready for immediate sale

and the sale is highly probable unless other evidence after the reporting date but before the financial statements are approved for issue, comes to light to indicate the contrary.

#### Not presented as held for sale

(1) XYZ's shares in Alpha are not available for an immediate sale as shareholders' approval is required. Also no specific potential buyer has been identified. In taking these fact into consideration for the assessment of whether the sale is highly probable, it is clearly not highly probable.

#### Question 7 (Illustration)

Entity ABC owns an item of property and it was stated at the following amounts in its last financial statements:

31st March, 20X1

Cost 12,00,000

Depreciation (6,00,000)

Net book value 6,00,000

The asset is depreciated at an annual rate of 10% i.e. ₹ 1,20,000 p.a. Entity ABC closes its books as on 31st March each year. During July, 20X1, entity ABC decides to sell the asset and on 1st August it meets the conditions to be classified as held for sale. Analyse.

#### Answer 7

At 31st July, entity ABC should ensure that the asset is measured in accordance with Ind AS 16. It should be depreciated by further  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  40,000 ( $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1,20,000 x 4/12) and should be carried at  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  5,60,000 before it is measured in accordance with Ind AS 105. Note: From the date the asset is classified as held for sale no further depreciation will be charged.

#### Question 8 (Illustration)

S Ltd purchased a property for  $\gtrless$  6,00,000 on 1st April, 20X1. The useful life of the property is 15 years. On 31st March, 20X3, S Ltd classified the property as held for sale. The impairment testing provides the estimated recoverable amount of  $\gtrless$  4,70,000.

The fair value less cost to sell on 31st March, 20X3 was ₹ 4,60,000. On 31st March, 20X4 management changed the plan, as property no longer met the criteria of held for sale. The recoverable amount as at 31st March, 20X4 is ₹ 5,00,000.

Provide the accounting treatment of events for the year ending 31st March, 20X3 and 31st March, 20X4 and value the property at the end of 20X3 and 20X4.

#### Answer 8

(a) Value of property immediately before the classification as held for sale as per Ind AS 16 as

Carrying Amount	4,70,000	
		4,70,000)
Less: Impairment loss	50,000	(5,20,000-
Depreciation		
Less: Accumulated	80,000	(for two years)
on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X3 Purchase Price	6,00,000	
1110 10 00	1	

On initial classification as held for sale on 31st March, 20X3, the value will be

514

lower of:

Carrying amount after impairment

₹ 4,70,000

Fair Value less Cost to sell

₹ 4,60,000

On  $31^{\text{st}}$  March, 20X3 Non-current asset classified as held for sale

will be recorded at ₹ 4,60,000.

Depreciation of ₹ 40,000 and Impairment Loss of ₹ 60,000 (50,000 +10,000) is charged in profit or loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X3.

(b) On 31st March, 20X4, held for sale property is reclassified as criteria doesn't met. The value will be lower of:

Carrying amount immediately before classification on 31st March, 20X3 ₹ 4,70,000 *Less:* Depreciation based on 13 years balance life (₹ 36,154) Carrying amount had the asset is not classified as held for sale ₹ 4,33,846 Recoverable Amount ₹ 5,00,000

Property will be valued at ₹ 4,33,846 on 31st March, 20X4

Adjustment to the carrying amount of ₹ 26,154 (₹ 4,60,000 - 4,33,846) is charged to the profit or loss.

#### Question 9 (Illustration)

Identify whether each of the following scenarios gives rise to a discontinued operation and/or classification of assets as held for sale:

S. No	Particulars	Discontinu ed operation Yes/No	Assets held for sale Yes/No
1	MNO disposes of a component of the entity by selling the underlying assets. The sales transaction is incomplete at the reporting date.		
2	PQR has ceased activities that meet the definition of a discontinued operation without selling any assets.		
3	STU ceases activities and has already completed the sale of the underlying assets at the reporting date.		
4	VWX will sell or has sold assets that are within the scope of Ind AS 105, but does not discontinue any of its operations.		

#### Answer 9

#### Discontinued operations and assets held for sale

S. No	Particular s	Discontinu ed operation Yes/No	Assets held for sale Yes/No
1	MNO disposes of a component of the entity by selling the underlying assets. The sales transaction is incomplete at the reporting date.	Yes	Yes
2	PQR has ceased activities that meet the definition of a discontinued operation without selling any assets.	Yes	No


3	STU ceases activities and has already	Yes	No
	completed the sale of the underlying		
	assets at the reporting date.		
4	VWX will sell or has sold assets that are	No	Yes
	within the scope of Ind AS 105, but does		
	not discontinue any of its operations.		

#### Question 10 (Illustration)

Sun Ltd is a retailer of takeaway food like burger and pizzas. It decides to sell one of its outlets located in Chandani Chowk in New Delhi. The company will continue to run 200 other outlets in New Delhi. All Ind AS 105 criteria for held for sale classification were first met at 1st October, 20X1. The outlet will be sold in June, 20X2. Management believes that outlet is a discontinued operation and wants to present the results of outlet as 'discontinued operations'. Analysis

#### Answer 10

The Chandani Chowk outlet is a disposal group; it is not a discontinued operation as it is only one outlet. It is not a major line of business or geographical area, nor a subsidiary acquired with a view to resale.



Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or 🕓 +917890770777

 $_{\rm age}517$ 

## Chapter 6 Unit-8 Ind AS 116: "Leases"

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem pts	Dec' 21	Jan' 21	July' 21	May' 18	Nov' 18	May' 19	May' 22	May' 23	Nov' 19	Nov' 20	Nov' 22	Nov' 23
MTP	Q11				Q10	Q8	Q13	Q15			Q9, Q12	Q14
PYP	Q20	Q19	Q2	Q18						Q3	Q1	
RTP					Q6	Q16	Q17		Q7	Q5	Q4	

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

Lessee enters into a 10 years lease for 6000 square metres of office space. The annual lease payments are ₹1,00,000 payable at the end of each year. The interest rate implicit in the lease cannot be readily determined. Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the commencement date is 8% p.a. At the beginning of the 6th year, lessee and lessor agree to amend the original lease to reduce the space to only 3,000 square metres of the original space starting from the first quarter of year 6. The annual fixed lease payments (from year 6 to year 10) are ₹60,000. Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at-the beginning of year 6 is 6% p.a.

You are required to analyse the effect of the said modification and give journal entries for the same in the books of Lessee.

Note: Give your calculation by adopting the present value factor as:

Yea	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
r										
8%	0.925	0.857	0.793	0.735	0.680	0.630	0.583	0.540	0.500	0.463
	9	3	8	0	6	2	5	3	2	2
6%	0.943	0.890	0.839	0.792	0.747	0.705	0.665	0.627	0.591	0.558
	4	0	6	1	3	0	1	4	9	4

(PYP 8 Marks Nov 22)

#### Answer 1

#### In the books of Lessee

Calculation of ROU asset and lease liability before modification: (in ₹)

		Lease Lia	bility		ROU asset			
Year	Initial value	Lease payment s	expense	Closin g balanc		Depreciati on	Closing balance	
	a	ъ	@ 8% c = a	<b>e d</b> = <b>a</b> - <b>b</b>	е	F	g	
	~	•	ж 8%	+ c	,	-	۵	
1	6,71,000 (Refer W.N.)	1,00,000	53,680	6,24,68 0	6,71,00 0	67,100	6,03,90 0	
2	6,24,680	1,00,000	49,974	5,74,65 4	6,03,90 0	67,100	5,36,80 0	

812

3	5,74,654	1,00,000	45,972	5,20,62	5,36,80	67,100	4,69,70
				6	0		0
4	5,20,626	1,00,000	41,650	4,62,27	4,69,70	67,100	4,02,60
				6	0		0
5	4,62,276	1,00,000	36,982	3,99,25	4,02,60	67,100	3,35,50
				8	0		0
6	3,99,258				3,35,50		
					0		

At the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6), Lessee remeasures the lease liability based on:

- (a) A five-year remaining lease term,
- (b) Annual payments of ₹ 60,000 and

(c) Lessee's incremental borrowing rate of 6% p.a.

Year	Lease	Present value	Present value of lease
	Payment(A)	factor @ 6% (B)	payments (A $\times$ B = C)
6	60,000	0.9434	56,604
7	60,000	0.8900	53,400
8	60,000	0.8396	50,376
9	60,000	0.7921	47,526
10	60,000	0.7473	44,838
Total			2,52,744

Lessee determines the proportionate decrease in the carrying amount of the ROU Asset on the basis of the remaining ROU Asset (i.e., 3,000 square metres corresponding to 50% of the original ROU Asset). 50% of the pre-modification ROU Asset (₹ 3,35,500)

Alternative manner of above calculation:

Annual lease payments x Sum of PVF from year  $6^{th}$  year to  $10^{th}$  year @ 6% discount rate = `60,000 x 4.2124 = `2,52,744

is ₹ 1,67,750. 50% of the pre modification lease liability (₹ 3,99,258) is ₹ 1,99,629. Consequently, lessee reduces the carrying amount of the ROU Asset by ₹ 1,67,750 and the carrying amount of the lease liability by ₹ 1,99,629 and recognises the difference between the decrease in the lease liability and the decrease in the ROU Asset (₹ 1,99,629 – ₹ 1,67,750 = ₹ 31,879) as a gain in profit or loss account at the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6).

**Journal Entry** 

Particulars		Debit	Credit (₹)
		(₹)	
Lease Liability	Dr.	1,99,62	
To ROU Asset		9	1,67,750
To Profit & Loss			31,879

Lessee recognises the difference between the reduced 50% lease liability of  $\gtrless$  1,99,629 and the modified lease liability of  $\gtrless$  2,52,744 (which equals  $\gtrless$  53,115) as an adjustment to the ROU Asset reflecting the change in the consideration paid for the lease and the revised discount rate.

**Journal Entry** 

	Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Dr.	53,11 5	53,11
	Dr.	<b>(₹)</b> Dr. 53,11

,age 519

#### **Working Note:**

Calculation of Initial value of ROU asset and lease liability:

Yea	Lease	Present	Present value of
r	Payment(A)	value factor	lease payments
		@ 8% (B)	$(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C})$
1	1,00,000	0.9259	92,590
2	1,00,000	0.8573	85,730
3	1,00,000	0.7938	79,380
4	1,00,000	0.7350	73,500
5	1,00,000	0.6806	68,060
6	1,00,000	0.6302	63,020
7	1,00,000	0.5835	58,350
8	1,00,000	0.5403	54,030
9	1,00,000	0.5002	50,020
10	1,00,000	0.4632	46,320
			6,71,000

Alternative manner of above calculation:

Annual lease payments x Sum of PVF from year 1 to 10 @ 8% discount rate

= `1,00,000 x 6.71 = `6,71,000

Note: It is assumed that even after modification, annual lease payment will continue to be made at the end of the year as mentioned under the original terms of the lease.

#### Question 2

Ted entered into a lease contract with lessor to lease 2,000 sqm of retail space for 5 years. The rentals are payable monthly in advance. The lease commenced on 1st April 2019. In the year 2020, as a direct consequence of Covid 19 pandemic, Ted has negotiated with the lessor which may results in the following situations:

- Lessor agrees a rent concession under which the monthly rent will be reduced by 30% per month for the 12 months commencing 1<sup>st</sup> October 2020.
- Ted is granted a rent concession by the lessor whereby the lease payments for the period October 2020 to December 2020 are deferred. Three months are added to the end of the lease term at same monthly rent.
- Lessor offers to reduce monthly rent by 50% for the months October 2020 to March 2021 on the condition that its space is reduced from 2,000 sq m to 1,500 sq m.

Analyze the given situations in the light of Ind AS 116 and comment on whether rent concession/deferral is eligible for practical expedient? (PYP 4 Marks July 21)

#### Answer 2

#### Applicability of practical expedient:

The practical expedient applies only to rent concessions occurring as a direct consequence of the covid-19 pandemic.

As a practical expedient, a lessee may elect not to assess a rent concession as a lease modification only if all of the following conditions are met:

(a) the change in lease payments results in revised consideration for the lease that is substantially the same as, or less than, the consideration for the lease immediately

preceding the change;

- (b) any reduction in lease payments affects only payments originally due on or before the 30th June, 2021; and
- (c) there is no substantive change to other terms and conditions of the contract **Analysis:**

Based on above guidance, answer to the given situations with the lessor would be as follows:

- Lessor agrees a rent concession under which the monthly rent will be reduced by 30% per month for the 12 months commencing 1st October 2020:

  The rent deferral does not satisfy the criteria to apply the practical expedient because out of the listed eligibility criteria given in Ind AS 116, rent concession reduces lease payments starting from October, 2020 and reduction will continue till September, 2021 which is beyond 30th June 2021. Therefore, Ted is not permitted to apply the practical expedient.
- Ted is granted a rent concession by the lessor whereby the lease payments for the period October 2020 to December 2020 are deferred. Three months are added to the end of the lease term at same monthly rent:
  - (a) condition is met since revised consideration in the lease is substantially the same as the original
  - (b) condition is met since the rent concession only reduces lease payments originally due in 2020 i.e. before 30th June 2021.
  - (c) condition is met since the lessee assesses that three-month extension at the end of the lease term is with substantially the same lease payments. Hence, it would not constitute a substantive change.

Since, the rent concession is a direct consequence of COVID-19 and all three conditions are met, rent concession is eligible for application of practical expedient in this case.

• Lessor offers to reduce monthly rent by 50% for the months October 2020 to March 2021 on the condition that its space is reduced from 2,000 sqm to 1,500 sqm:

The rent concession does not satisfy the criteria to apply the practical expedient because out of the listed eligibility criteria given in Ind AS 116, there is a substantive change to the terms and conditions of the lease as there is a change in the scope of lease by reducing the space from 2,000 sqm to 1,500 sqm. Therefore, Ted is not permitted to apply the practical expedient.

#### Question 3

Venus Ltd. (Seller-lessee) sells a building to Mars Ltd. (Buyer-lessor) for cash of Rs. 28,00,000. Immediately before the transaction, the building is carried at a cost of Rs. 13,00,000. At the same time, Seller-lessee enters into a contract with Buyer-lessor for the right to use the building for 20 years, with an annual payment of Rs. 2,00,000 payable at the end of each year.

The terms and conditions of the transaction are such that the transfer of the building by Seller-lessee satisfies the requirements for determining when a performance obligation is satisfied in accordance with Ind AS 115 "Revenue from Contracts with Customers".

The fair value of the building at the date of sale is Rs. 25,00,000. Initial direct costs, if any, are to be ignored. The interest rate implicit in the lease is 12% p.a., which is readily determinable by Seller-lessee. Present Value (PV) of annual payments (20 payments of Rs. 2,00,000 each discounted @ 12%) is Rs. 14,94,000.

## Buyer-lessor classifies the lease of the building as an operating lease. How should the said transaction be accounted by Venus Ltd.? (PYP 8 Marks Nov'20) Answer 3

Considering facts of the case, Venus Ltd. (seller-lessee) and Mars Ltd. (buyer-lessor) account for the transaction as a sale and leaseback.

Firstly, since the consideration for the sale of the building is not at fair value, Seller-lessee and Buyer - lessor make adjustments to measure the sale proceeds at fair value. Thus, the amount of the excess sale price of Rs. 3,00,000 (as calculated below) is recognized as additional financing provided by Buyer-lessor to Seller-lessee.

- 3	recognized as additional milations provided by zely or	200001 00 00110
	Sale Price:	28,00,000
	Less: Fair Value (at the date of sale):	(25,00,000)
	Additional financing provided by Buyer-lessor to Seller-lessee	3,00,000

The present value of the annual payments is Rs. 14,94,000 (as given in the question). Out of this Rs. 14,94,000, Rs. 3,00,000 relates to the additional financing (as calculated above) and balance Rs. 11,94,000 relates to the lease.

#### Accounting by Venus Ltd. (seller-lessee):

At the commencement date, Seller-lessee measures the ROU asset arising from the leaseback of the building at the proportion of the previous carrying amount of the building that relates to the right-of-use retained by Seller-lessee, calculated as follows:

(A)	13,00,000
(B)	25,00,000
J asset	11,94,000
/ B) x	6,20,880
	(B) U asset

Seller-lessee recognizes only the amount of the gain that relates to the rights transferred to Buyer-lessor, calculated as follows:

	dansieried to buyer-lessor, calculated as ion	nows.	
	Fair Value (at the date of sale)	(A)	25,00,00
			O
	Carrying Amount	(B)	13,00,00
			O
	Discounted lease payments for the 20-year	ROU asset (C)	11,94,00
			О
	Gain on sale of building	(D) = (A - B)	12,00,00
			0
	Relating to the right to use the building reta	ined by Seller-	5,73,120
	lessee (E)= $[(D/A)xC]$		
	Relating to the rights transferred to Buyer	-lessor (D -	6,26,880
ı	E)		

At the commencement date, Seller-lessee accounts for the transaction, as follows:

Bank / Cash A/c	Dr.	28,00,000	
ROU Asset A/c	Dr.	6,20,880	
To Building			13,00,000
To Financial Liability			14,94,000
To Gain on rights transferred			6,26,880

#### Question 4

Entity X, a utility company enters into a contract for twenty years with Entity Y, a power company, to purchase all of the

electricity produced by a new solar power station. The solar power station is explicitly specified in the contract and Entity Y has no substitution rights. Entity Y owns the solar power station and will receive tax credits relating to the construction and ownership of the solar power station, and Entity X will receive renewable energy credits that accrue from use of the solar power station.

Whether Entity X has the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from the solar power station during the period of arrangement? (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 4

Paragraphs B21 of Ind AS 116 states that to control the use of an identified asset, a customer is required to have the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the asset throughout the period of use (for example, by having exclusive use of the asset throughout that period). A customer can obtain economic benefits from use of an asset directly or indirectly in many ways, such as by using, holding or subleasing the asset. The economic benefits from use of an asset include its primary output and by-products (including potential cash flows derived from these items), and other economic benefits from using the asset that could be realised from a commercial transaction with third party.

In the given case, Entity X has the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from the use of the solar power station over the 20-year period because it obtains:

- electricity produced by the power station i.e. the primary product from use of the asset over the lease term and
- renewable energy credits i.e. the by-product from use of the asset.
   Although Entity Y will receive economic benefits from the solar power station in the form of tax credits, those economic benefits relate to the ownership of the solar power station rather than the use of the power station. Thus, these credits are not considered in this assessment.

#### Question 5

A company manufactures specialized machinery. The company offers customers the choice of either buying or leasing the machinery. A customer chooses to lease the machinery. Details of the arrangement are as follows:

- (i) The lease commences on 1st April, 20X1 and lasts for three years.
- (ii) The lessee is required to make three annual rentals payable in arrears of > 57,500.
- (iii) The leased machinery is returned to the lessor at the end of the lease.
- (iv) The fair value of the machinery is `1,50,000, which is equivalent to the selling price of the machinery
- (v) The machinery cost `1,00,000 to manufacture. The lessor incurred costs of `2,500 to negotiate and arrange the lease.
- (vi) The expected useful life of the machinery is 3 years. The machinery has an expected residual value of `10,000 at the end of year three. The estimated residual value does not change over the term of the lease.
- (vii) The interest rate implicit in the lease is 10.19%. The lessor classifies the lease as a finance lease. How should the Lessor account for the same in its books of accounts? Pass necessary journal entries. (RTP Nov'22)

Answer 5

Page 573

The cost to the lessor for providing the machinery on lease consists of the book value of the machinery (`1,00,000), plus the initial direct costs associated with entering into the lease (`2,500), less the future income expected from disposing of the machinery at the end of the lease (the present value of the unguaranteed residual value of `10,000 discounted @ 10.19%, being `7,470). This gives a cost of sale of `95,030. The lessor records the following entries at the commencement of the lease:

		`	,
Lease receivable	Dr.	1,50,000	
Cost of sales	Dr.	95,030	
To Inventory			1,00,000
To Revenue			1,42,530
To Creditors/Cash			2,500

The sales profit recognised by the lessor at the commencement of the lease is therefore `47,500 (`1,42,530 - `95,030). This is equal to the fair value of the machinery of `1,50,000, less the book value of the machinery (`1,00,000) and the initial direct costs of entering into the lease (`2,500). Revenue is equal to the lease receivable (`1,50,000), less the present value of the unguaranteed residual value (`7,470).

	receivable at	payments (`)(b)	Income (10.19% per	Decrease In lease receivable (`) (d)=(b)-(c)	Lease receivable at the end of year (`) (e)=(a)-(d)
1	1,50,000	57,500	15,285	42,215	1,07,785
2	1,07,785	57,500	10,983	46,517	61,268
3	61,268	57,500	6,232*	51,268	10,000

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation

The lessor will record the following entries:

1110 10000	i will record the following	CIICIICO.		
				•
Year 1	Cash/Bank	Dr.	57,500	
	To Lease receivable			42,215
	To Interest income			15,285
Year 2	Cash/Bank	Dr.	57,500	
	To Lease receivable			46,517
	To Interest income			10,983
Year 3	Cash/Bank	Dr.	57,500	
	To Lease receivable			51,268
	To Interest income			6,232

At the end of the three-year lease term, the leased machinery will be returned to the lessor, who will record the following entries:

			•
Inventory	Dr.	10,000	
To Lease receivable			10,000

#### Question 6

Jeevan India Limited is in the business of development of smart city. For development of smart city, Jeevan India Limited allots its land to customer on 99 years of lease. The customer is required to pay lease premium at the time of execution of lease deed and lease rent on annual basis over a period of 99 years. The lease premium amount is the market value of land and lease rent is nominal amount say Rs. 1 per square metre per year. The lease premium is non-refundable.

As per the lease terms, on completion of 99 years, the lease is renewable at mutual consent of lessor and lessee.

How would income in respect of lease premium collected by Jeevan India Limited (which is the market value of land and is not refundable) at the time of execution of lease deed be recognised as per Ind AS, if for subsequent years, only nominal lease rent is collected. (RTP Nov '19)

#### Answer 6

Paragraph 5 of Ind AS 115 scopes out revenue arising from lease agreements. Principles enunciated under Ind AS 17, Leases would be applicable for revenue arising from leasing agreements.

Recognition of income in respect of lease would depend on its classification as per Ind AS 17, Leases.

If the lease of land is an operating lease, then it will be accounted for as given below:

- Lessors shall present assets subject to operating leases in their balance sheet according to the nature of the asset.
- Lease income from operating leases shall be recognised in income on a straightline basis over the lease term, unless either:
  - (a) another systematic basis is more representative of the time pattern in which use benefit derived from the leased asset is diminished, even if the payments to the lessors are not on that basis; or
  - (b) the payments to the lessor are structured to increase in line with expected general inflation to compensate for the lessor's expected inflationary cost increases. If payments to the lessor vary according to factors other than inflation, then this condition is not met.

The long lease term may be an indication that the lease is classified as a finance lease. **If it is a finance lease** then lessor Jeevan India Ltd. shall recognise assets held under a finance lease in their balance sheets and present them as a receivable at an amount equal to the net investment in the lease. The recognition of finance income shall be based on a pattern reflecting a constant periodic rate of return on the lessor's net investment in the finance lease. Nominal lease rent collected every year will also be accounted every year on accrual basis.

#### **Question 7**

Entity X (lessee) entered into a lease agreement ('lease agreement') with Entity Y (lessor) to lease an entire floor of a shopping mall for a period of 9 years. The annual lease rent of Rs. 70,000 is payable at year end. To carry out its operations smoothly, Entity X simultaneously entered into another agreement ('facilities agreement') with Entity Y for using certain other facilities owned by Entity Y such as passenger lifts, DG sets, power supply infrastructure, parking space etc., which are specifically mentioned in the agreement, for annual service charges amounting to Rs. 1,00,000. As per the agreement, the ownership of the facilities shall remain with Entity Y. Lessee's incremental borrowing rate is 10%.

The facilities agreement clearly specifies that it shall be co-existent and coterminous with 'lease agreement'. The facility agreement shall stand terminated automatically on termination or expiry of 'lease agreement'.

Entity X has assessed that the stand-alone price of 'lease agreement' is Rs. 1,20,000 per year and stand-alone price of the 'facilities agreement' is Rs. 80,000 per year. Entity X has not elected to apply the practical expedient in paragraph 15 of Ind AS 116 of not to separate non-lease component (s) from lease

component(s) and accordingly it separates non-lease components from lease components.

How will Entity X account for lease liability as at the commencement date? (RTP Nov '20)

#### Answer 7

Entity X identifies that the contract contains lease of premises and non-lease component of facilities availed. As Entity X has not elected to apply the practical expedient as provided in paragraph 15, it will separate the lease and non-lease components and allocate the total consideration of Rs. 1,70,000 to the lease and non-lease components in the ratio of their relative stand-alone selling prices as follows:

Particulars	Stand-alone Prices	% of total Stand- alone Price	Allocation of consideratio n
	Rs.		Rs.
Building rent	1,20,000	60%	1,02,000
Service charge	80,000	40%	68,000
Total	2,00,000	100%	1,70,000

As Entity X's incremental

borrowing rate is 10%, it discounts lease payments using this rate and the lease liability at the commencement date is calculated as follows:

Year	Lease Payment	Present value	resent value of
	(A)	_ , ,	lease payments (A
			X B = C)
Year 1	1,02,000	.909	92,718
Year 2	1,02,000	.826	84,252
Year 3	1,02,000	.751	76,602
Year 4	1,02,000	.683	69,666
Year 5	1,02,000	.621	63,342
Year 6	1,02,000	.564	57,528
Year 7	1,02,000	.513	52,326
Year 8	1,02,000	.467	47,634
Year 9	1,02,000	.424	43,248
Lease Liabil	ity at commend	ement date	5,87,316

Further, Rs. 68,000 allocated to the non-lease component of facility used will be recognised in profit or loss as and when incurred.

#### Question 8

On 1" April, 2017, J Ltd. began to lease a property on a 20-year lease. J Ltd. paid a lease premium of Rs. 30,00,000 on 1 s' April, 2017. The terms of the lease required J Ltd. to make annual payments of Rs. 500,000 in arrears, the first of which was made on 31st March, 2018.

On 1 April, 2017 the fair values of the leasehold interests in the leased property were as follows:

— Land

Rs. 30,00,000.

Page **526** 

#### — Buildings Rs. 45,00,000.

There is no opportunity to extend the lease term beyond 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2037. On 1<sup>st</sup> ApriI, 2017, the estimated useful economic life of the buildings was 20 pars. The annual rate of interest implicit in finance leases can be taken to be 9.2%. The present value of 20 payments of Re. 1 in arrears at a discount rate of 9.2% is Rs. 9.

#### Required:

Explain the accounting treatment for the above property lease and produce appropriate extract from the financial statements of J Ltd. for the year ended 31 March, 2018. (MTP 12 Marks April '19)

#### Answer 8

#### Statement of Profit and Loss

	Rs.'000
Operating Lease rental	(260)
Amortization of asset leased on finance lease	(225)
Finance cost relating to finance leases	(248.4)

#### **Balance Sheet**

	Rs.'000
Property, plant and equipment	4,275
Prepaid Operating lease rentals:	
In non-current assets	1,080
In Current assets	60
Lease liability:	
In non-current liabilities	(2,592.1)
In Current liabilities	(56.3)

#### Explanation and supporting calculations:

Separate decisions are made for the land and buildings elements of the lease.

(1) The **land lease is an operating lease** because land has an indefinite useful economic life and the lease term is 20 years.

The lease premium and annual rentals are apportioned 400/(3/75) to the land element.

Therefore, the premium for the land element is Rs. 12,00,000 (Rs.  $30,00,000 \times 40\%$ ) and the annual rentals for the land element Rs. 200,000 (Rs.  $500,000 \times 40\%$ ). This makes the total lease payment Rs. 52,00,000 (Rs.  $12,00,000 + 20 \times Rs$ . 200,000). The rental expense for the current period is Rs. 2,60,000 (Rs.  $52,00,000 \times 1/20$ ).

The amount paid in the current period regarding the land element is Rs. 14,00,000 (Rs. 12,00,000 + Rs. 200,000). Therefore, there is a prepayment of Rs. 1,140,000

(Rs 14,00,000— Rv2,60,000) at the year end.

In the next 19 periods, the rental expense will be Rs. 260,000 and the rental payment will be Rs. 200,000. Therefore, Rs. 60,000 of the rental prepayment will reverse in each period. This means that Rs. 60,000 of the prepayment will be a current asset, and the balance a non-current asset.

(2) The buildings element of the lease will be a finance lease because the lease term is for substantially all of the useful life of the buildings.

The premium apportioned to the buildings element is Rs. 18,00,000 (Rs. 30,00,000 x 60%) and the annual rental apportioned to the buildings is Rs. 300,000 (Rs. 500,000 x 60%)

The initial carrying value of the leased asset in PPE is Rs. 45,00,000 (Rs.  $18,00,000 + Rs. 300,000 \times 9$ ).

Therefore, the annual depreciation charge is Rs. 2,25,000 (Rs.  $45,00,000 \times 1/20$ ) and the closing PPE = Rs. 42,75,000 (Rs. 45,00,000 - Rs. 2,25,000).

The finance cost in respect of the finance lease and the closing non-current liability is shown in the working below.

The closing current liability is Rs. 56,300 (Rs. 26,48,400 — Rs. 25,92,100).

Lease liability profile — working

Year ended 31st March	Bal b/f Rs. '000	Finance Cost @ 9.2 % Rs. '000	Lease rental Payment Rs.'000	Bal c/f Rs. '000
2018	* 2700	248.4	(300)	2,648.4
2019	2,648.4	243.7	(300)	2,592.1

<sup>\*</sup> Balance brought forward is equal to net of lease premium of Rs. 8,00,000 i.e. Rs.45,00000 -Rs 18,00,000 =Rs.57,00,000

#### **Question 9**

Feel Fresh Limited (the Company) is into manufacturing and retailing of FMCG products listed on stock exchanges in India. One of its products is bathing soap which the Company sells under the brand name 'Feel Fresh'. The Company does not have its own manufacturing facilities for soap and therefore it enters into arrangements with a third party to procure the soaps. The Company entered into a long term purchase contract of 10 years with M/s. Radhey. Following are the relevant terms of the contract with M/s. Radhey.

- (i) M/s. Radhey has to purchase a machine costing ₹ 10,00,000 from the supplier as specified by the Company. The machine will be customized to produce the soaps as designed by the Company. This machine cannot be used by M/s. Radhey to produce the soaps for buyers other than the Company due to the design specifications. The machine has a useful life of 10 years and the straight line method of depreciation is best suited considering the use of the machine.
- (ii) The Company will pay ₹ 4.75 per soap for the first year of contract. This is calculated based on the budgeted annual purchase of 7,00,000 soaps as follows:

Particulars	Per soap price
Variable cost of manufacturing	4.00
Cost of machine (₹ 1,74,015 / 7,00,000 soaps)	0.25
M/s. Radhey's margin	0.50
Per soap cost to the Company	4.75

In case the Company purchases more than 7,00,000 (i.e. budgeted number of soaps) soaps in the first year then the cost of the machine (i.e. 0.25 per soap) will not be paid for soaps procured in excess of 7,00,000 units. However, in case Company procures less than budgeted number of soaps, then the Company will pay the differential unabsorbed cost of the machine, at the end of the year. For example, if the Company purchases only 6,00,000 soaps in first year then the differential amount of  $\frac{3}{2}$  24,015 (1,74,015 - (6,00,000 x 0.25)) will be paid by the Company to M/s. Radhey at the end of the year. Variable cost will be actualized at the end of the year.

(iii) The cost per soap will be calculated for each year in advance based on the budgeted number of soaps to be produced each year. An amount of ₹ 1,74,015 shall be considered each year for the cost of machine for year 1 to year 8 while calculating the cost per soap. Any differential under absorbed amount shall be

paid by the Company to M/s. Radhey at the end of that year. A charge of ₹ 1,74,015 per annum for the machine is derived using borrowing cost of 8% p.a. For year 9 and year 10, only variable cost and margins will be paid.

- (iv) M/s. Radhey does not have any right to terminate the contract but the Company has the right to terminate the contract at the end of each year. However, if the Company terminates the contract, it has to compensate M/s. Radhey for any unabsorbed cost of Machine. For example, if the Company terminates the contract at the end of second year then it has to pay ₹ 10,44,090 (i.e. 1,74,015 per year x 6 remaining years). If it terminates the contract after the 8th year then the Company does not have to pay the compensation since the cost of the machine would have been absorbed.
- (v) In the first year, the Company purchases 5,50,000 soaps at ₹ 4.75 per soap. Evaluate the contract of the Company with M/s. Radhey and provide necessary accounting entries for first year in accordance with Ind AS with working notes. Assume all cash flows occur at the end of the year. (MTP 15 Marks Oct'22)

#### Answer 9

#### Identification of the contract (by applying para 9 of Ind AS 116)

#### (a) Identified asset

Feel Fresh Ltd. (a customer company) enters into a long-term purchase contract with M/s Radhey (a manufacturer) to purchase a particular type and quality of soaps for 10 year period.

Since for the purpose of the contract M/s Radhey has to buy a customized machine as per the directions of Feel Fresh Ltd. and also the machine cannot be used for any other type of soap, the machine is an identified asset.

#### (b) Right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the asset throughout the period of use

Since the machine cannot be used for manufacture of soap for any other buyer, Feel Fresh Ltd. will obtain substantially all the economic benefits from the use of the asset throughout the period of use.

#### (c) Right to direct the use

Feel Fresh Ltd. controls the use of machine and directs the terms and conditions of the contract with respect to recovery of fixed expenses related to machine.

Hence the contract contains a lease.

#### Lease term

The lease term shall be 10 years assuming reasonable certainty. Though the lessee is not contractually bound till 10th year, i.e., the lessee can refuse to make payment anytime without lessor's permission but, it is assumed that the lessee is reasonably certain that it will not exercise this option to terminate.

#### Identification of lease payment

Lease payments are defined as payments made by a lessee to a lessor relating to the right to use an underlying asset during the lease term, comprising the following:

- (a) fixed payments (including in-substance fixed payments), less any lease incentives
- (b) variable lease payments that depend on an index or a rate
- (c) the exercise price of a purchase option if the lessee is reasonably certain to exercise that option
- payments of penalties for terminating the lease, if the lease term reflects the lessee exercising an option to terminate the lease

Here in-substance fixed payments in the given lease contract are ₹ 1,74,015 p.a. The present value of lease payment which would be recovered in 8 years @ 8% would be ₹ 10,00,000 (approx.)

Variable lease payments that do not depend on an index or rate and are not, in

substance, fixed are not included as lease payments. Instead, they are recognised in profit or loss in the period in which the event that triggers the payment occurs (unless they are included in the carrying amount of another asset in accordance with other Ind AS).

Hence, lease liability will be recognized by ₹ 10,00,000 in the books of Feel Fresh Ltd. Since there are no payments made to lessor before commencement date less lease incentives received from lessor or initial direct costs incurred by lessee or estimate of costs for restoration / dismantling of underlying asset, the right of use asset is equal to lease liability.

Journal Entries On initial recognition

	_		
ROU Asset	Dr.	10,00,0	
To Lease Liability		00	10,00,0
To initially recognise the Lease Liabili	ty and	the correspo	onding ROU

At the end of the first year

ne the cha of the line year			
Interest Expense	Dr.	80,000	
To Lease Liability			80,000
To record interest expense and accrete the	e lease liability i	using the effec	ctive interest
method (₹ 10,00,000 x 8%)			
Depreciation Expense (10,00,000 / 10 year	s) Dr.	1,00,000	
To ROU Asset			1,00,000
To record depreciation on ROU using the st	raight-line metl	nod (₹ 10,00,0	00 / 10 years)
Lease Liability	Dr.	1,74,015	
To Bank / M/s. Radhey			1,74,015
To record lease payment			
Cost of soap	Dr.	24,75,000	
To Bank / M/s. Radhey {5,50,000 x (4)	+ 0.5)}		24,75,000
To record variable expenses paid as cost of	the goods purch	hased	

#### Question 10

UK Ltd. has installed Wind Turbine Equipment at Rajasthan to generate electricity for which it has entered into a Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) with the State Government. The terms of the PPA are as follows:

- The PPA is for an initial period of 3 years, renewable at mutual terms and conditions. The Management estimates the useful life of such project around 20 years.
- The price per unit is fixed for a period of one year and is renewed every year as per the State Government policy.
- The Company's Management is of the view that the power generated by the project will be completely sold to the State Government and not to any third party. However, there is no such restriction prescribed in the PPA.
- Currently the Company has classified the Wind Turbine Equipment as part of the Property, Plant & Equipment and is charging depreciation on the same.

For the above PPA, which condition, as per the applicable Ind AS, is not relevant in determining whether an arrangement is or contains a lease?

(A) Use of Specific Assets;

# $^{\mathrm{age}}531$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

- (B) Right to Operate the assets;
- (C) Right to control the Physical access;
- (D) Price is contractually fixed by the purchaser;

UK Ltd. also wants you to give your suggestion on the accounting of the above arrangement under applicable Ind AS. (MTP 10 Marks Oct '18)

#### Answer 10

As per paragraph 6 of Appendix C to Ind AS 17(*Ind AS 116*), "Determining whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease shall be based on the substance of the arrangement and requires an assessment of whether:

- (a) fulfilment of the arrangement is dependent on the use of a specific asset or assets (the asset); and
- (b) the arrangement conveys a right to use the asset."

In the present case, the PPA with the State Government can be fulfilled by the use of the Wind Turbine Equipment which is a specific asset. Accordingly, condition (a) above is satisfied. With respect to condition (b), paragraph 9 of Appendix C to Ind AS 17 (Ind AS 116) provides as below:

"An arrangement conveys the right to use the asset if the arrangement conveys to the purchaser (lessee) the right to control the use of the underlying asset. The right to control the use of the underlying asset is conveyed if any one of the following conditions is met:

- (a) The purchaser has the ability or right to operate the asset or direct others to operate the asset in a manner it determines while obtaining or controlling more than an insignificant amount of the output or other utility of the asset.
- (b) The purchaser has the ability or right to control physical access to the underlying asset while obtaining or controlling more than an insignificant amount of the output or other utility of the asset.
- (c) Facts and circumstances indicate that it is remote that one or more parties other than the purchaser will take more than an insignificant amount of the output or other utility that will be produced or generated by the asset during the term of the arrangement, and the price that the purchaser will pay for the output is neither contractually fixed per unit of output nor equal to the current market price per unit of output as of the time of delivery of the output."

## Accounting of the PPA with the State Government under applicable Ind

Continuing the rationale to the above, in the present case, criteria (c) above is fulfilled since:

- The entire output of Wind Turbine Equipment is estimated to be consumed by the purchaser
  - i.e. the State Government
- The price paid by the State Government includes an element of revision in price every year which makes the price for the output variable.

Accordingly, the PPA with the State Government contains an embedded lease arrangement.

Further to determine whether the lease arrangement is an operating lease or a finance lease, paragraph 10 of Ind AS 17 (*Ind AS 116*) provides certain examples (that individually or in combination would normally lead to a lease being classified as a finance lease) which can be analyzed as below:

(a) the lease transfers ownership of the asset to the lessee by the end of the lease term - Not fulfilled, as the ownership is not transferred to the State

Government.

- (b) the lessee has the option to purchase the asset after completion of the agreement Not fulfilled, as the State Government doesn't have an option to purchase the Wind Turbine Equipment after the completion of PPA.
- (c) the lease term is for the major part of the economic life of the asset even if title is not transferred Not fulfilled, as the PPA is for 3 years whereas the useful life of the Wind Turbine Equipment project is 20 years.
- (d) at the inception of the lease the present value of the minimum lease payments amounts to at least substantially all of the fair value of the leased asset Cannot be determined since the price per unit is not fixed for the entire tenure of the PPA. Definition of the 'inception of the lease' (given in para 4 of Ind AS 17) inter alia states that in the case of a finance lease, the amounts to be recognized at the commencement of the lease term are determined. This implies that the given PPA is not a finance lease.
- (e) the leased assets are of such a specialized nature that only the lessee can use them without major modifications Not fulfilled, as the asset is not specialized in nature.

#### Conclusion:

Based on the evaluation above, PPA with the State Government shall be accounted by UL Ltd. as "Property, plant and equipment under an operating lease arrangement".

#### Question 11

A retailer (lessee) entered into 3-year lease of retail space beginning at 1st April 20X1 with three annual lease payments of 2,00,000 due on 31st March 20X2, 20X3 and 20X4, respectively. The lease is classified as an operating lease under the erstwhile, accounting standard. The retailer initially applies Ind AS 116 for the first time in the annual period beginning at 1st April 20X3. The incremental borrowing rate at the date of the initial application (i.e., 1st April 20X3) is 10% p.a. and at the commencement of the lease (i.e., 1st April 20X1) was 12% p.a. The ROU asset is subject to straight-line depreciation over the lease term. Assume that no practical expedients are elected, the lessee did not incur initial direct costs, there were no lease incentives and there were no requirements for the lessee to dismantle and remove the underlying asset, restore the site on which it is located or restore the underlying asset to the condition under the terms and conditions of the lease.

What would be the impact for the lessee as per Ind AS 116 using the following transition approaches:

- (i) Full Retrospective Approach
- (ii) Modified Retrospective Approach (when ROU asset is not equal to lease liability)

Show the impact of adjustments through journal entries, consequent to transition for the year 20X2-20X3 and 20X3-20X4. (MTP 16 Marks Oct 21)

#### Answer 11

#### Full Retrospective Approach:

Under the full retrospective approach, the lease liability and the ROU asset are measured on the commencement date (i.e., 1st April, 20X1 in this case) using the incremental borrowing rate at **lease commencement date** (i.e., 12% p.a. in this case). The lease liability is accounted for by the interest method subsequently and the ROU asset is subject to depreciation on the straight-line basis over the lease term of three years. The Lease Liability and ROU Asset are as follows:

Page **532** 

Year	Payments	Presen	Discounted
	(Cash flows)	t Value	Cash flows /
		Factor	Present
		@ 12%	Value
31 Mar 20X2	2,00,000	0.8929	1,78,580
31 Mar 20X3	2,00,000	0.7972	1,59,440
31 Mar 20X4	2,00,000	0.7118	1,42,360
	6,00,000		4,80,380

#### Lease Liability Schedule:

=					
Year	Opening	Interest @ 12%	Expense	Payments	Closing
31 Mar 20X2	4,80,380		57,646	(2,00,000)	3,38,02
31 Mar 20X3	3,38,026		40,563	(2,00,000)	1,78,58 9
31 Mar 20X4	1,78,589		21,411*	(2,00,000)	-

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation

#### **ROU Asset Schedule:**

Year	Opening	Depreciati	Closin
		on	g
31 Mar	4,80,380	(1,60,126)	3,20,2
20X2			54
31 Mar	3,20,254	(1,60,127)	1,60,1
20X3		,	27
31 Mar	1,60,127	(1,60,127)	-
20X4		,	

### The following table shows account balances under this method beginning at lease commencement:

lease commencement.					
Date	ROU Asset	Lease Liabilit y	t	Depreciati on Expense	Retaine d Earnings
1 Apr 20X1	4,80,380	4,80,380	-	-	-
31 Mar 20X2	3,20,254	3,38,026	_	-	-
1 Apr 20X2	3,20,254	3,38,026			(17,772)
31 Mar 20X3	1,60,127	1,78,589	40,563	1,60,127	-
1 Apr 20X3	1,60,127	1,78,589	-	_	
31 Mar 20X4	-	-	21,411	1,60,127	-

Ind AS 116 is applicable for the financial year beginning from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X3. Hence, 20X3-20X4 is the first year of adoption and using Full retrospective method the comparative for 20 X2-20X3 needs to be restated and 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X2 (i.e the opening of the comparative) is taken as transition date for adoption of this standard. At adoption, the lessee would record the ROU asset and lease liability at the 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X2 by taking values from the above table, with the difference

between the ROU asset and lease liability going to retained earnings as of 1st April, 20X2 (assuming that only the 20X2-20X3 financial information is included as comparatives).

ROU Asset	Dr.	3,20,254		
Retained Earnings	Dr.	17,772		
To Lease Liability			3,38,02	
To initially recognise the lease-related asset and liability as of 1 April 20X2.				

The following journal entries would be recorded during 2002 2003:

ine following journal	entries would	be recorded during	20X2-20X3:			
Interest expense	Dr.	40,563				
To Lease Liability			40,563			
To record interest expense method.	To record interest expense and accrete the lease liability using the interest method.					
Depreciation expense	Dr.	1,60,127				
To ROU Asset			1,60,127			
To record depreciation exper	nse on the ROU	asset.				
Lease Liability	Dr.	2,00,000				
To Cash			2,00,000			
To record lease payment.						

The following journal entries would be recorded during 20X3-20X4:

			8
Interest expense	Dr.	21,411	
To Lease Liability			21,411
To record interest expense interest method.	and acc	rete the lease liabili	ty using the
Depreciation expense	Dr.	1,60,127	
To ROU Asset			1,60,127
To record depreciation expen	se on the	ROU asset.	
Lease Liability	Dr.	2,00,000	
To Cash			2,00,000
To record lease payment.			•

#### Modified Retrospective Approach (When ROU asset is not equal to lease liability):

Under the modified retrospective approach (Alternative 1), the lease liability is measured based on the remaining lease payments (i.e., from the date of transition to the lease end date, viz., 1st April, 20X3 to 31st March, 20X4 in this case) discounted using the incremental borrowing rate as of the date of initial application being 1st April, 20X3 (i.e. 10% p.a. in this case). The ROU asset is at its carrying amount as if Ind AS 116 had been applied since the commencement date (i.e., 1st April 20X1 in this case) by using incremental borrowing rate as at transition date. The Lease Liability and ROU Asset are as follows:

Year	Payments (Cash flows)	Discounting Factor @10%	Discounted Cash flows / Present Value
31 Mar	2,00,0	0.909	1,81,820
20X4	00	1	
	2,00,0 00		1,81,820

Lease Liability Schedule:

Year		Balance	Interest Expense @ 10%		Closing Balance
31	Mar	1,81,820	18,180	(2,00,00	-
20X4	-		*	0)	

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation

#### **ROU Asset Schedule:**

Year	Opening Balance	Depreciation	Closing Balance
31 Mar 20X4	1,65,787***	(1,65,787)	-

<sup>\*\*\*(</sup>Refer W.N.3)

The following table shows account balances under this method beginning at lease commencement:

Dat	ROU	Lease	Interest	Depreciatio	Retained
e	Asset	Liability	Expense	n Expense	Earnings
1 Apr 20X1	4,97,36	4,97,36	-	-	-
	0*	0**			
31 Mar	3,31,57	3,47,09	49,73	1,65,7	-
20X2	4	6	6	86	
31 Mar	1,65,78	1,81,80	34,71	1,65,7	(16,01
20X3	7	6	0	87	9)
1 Apr 20X3	1,65,78	1,81,80	-	-	-
	7	6			
31 Mar	_	_	18,19	1,65,7	-
20X4			4	87	

<sup>\*(</sup>Refer W.N.1)

At adoption, the lessee would record the ROU asset and lease liability at 1 <sup>st</sup> April 20X3 by taking values from the above table, with the difference between the ROU asset and lease liability going to retained earnings as 1 <sup>st</sup> April 20X3.

ROU Asset	Dr.	1,65,787	
Retained Earnings	Dr.	16,019	
To Lease Liability			1,81,80
			6

To initially recognise the lease-related asset and liability as of 1<sup>st</sup> April 20X3.

The following journal entries would be recorded during 20X3-20X4:

IIIC IOIIOWIIIS JOUIIIAI	circinco wodia	DC 1CCO1acc	aariiig	20210	20111
Interest expense	Dr.		18,19		
			4		

<sup>\*\*(</sup>Refer W.N.2)

To Lease Liability	18,19
	4

To record interest expense and accrete the lease liability using the interest method.

Depreciation expense	Dr.	1,65,7 87		
To ROU Asset			1,65,787	
To record depreciation expense on the ROU asset.				
Lease Liability	Dr.	2,00,0 00		
To Cash			2,00,000	
To record lease payment.				

#### **Working Notes**

1. Calculation of Present value of lease payments as at commencement date i.e., 1st April, 20X1

Year		Payments (Cash flows)	Discounting Factor @10%	Discounted Cash flows / Present
				Value
31	Mar	2,00,0	0.909	1,81,8
20X2		00	1	20
31	Mar	2,00,0	0.826	1,65,2
20X3		00	4	80
31	Mar	2,00,0	0.751	1,50,2
20X4		00	3	60
		6,00,0		4,97,3
		00		60

2. Lease Liability Schedule:

Touse Tiusiii	cy Somoun	••		
Year	Opening	Interest Expense @	Paymen	Closin
		10%	ts	g
31 Mar	4,97,36	49,736	(2,00,00	3,47,09
20X2	0		0)	6
31 Mar	3,47,09	34,710	(2,00,00	1,81,80
20X3	6		0)	6
31 Mar	1,81,80	18,194*	(2,00,00	-
20X4	6		0)	

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation

3. Calculation of ROU asset as at transition date i.e., 1st April, 20X3

Year		Opening	Depreciati	Closing
			on	
31	Mar	4,97,360	(1,65,786)	3,31,57
20X2				4
31	Mar	3,31,574	(1,65,787)	1,65,78
20X3			, , ,	7
31	Mar	1,65,787	(1,65,787)	-
20X4		·	, , ,	

#### Question 12

The Company has entered into a lease agreement for its retail store as on 1 st April, 20X1 for a period of 10 years. A lease rental of ₹ 56,000 per annum is payable in arrears. The Company recognized a lease liability of ₹ 3,51,613 at inception using an incremental borrowing rate of 9.5% p.a. as at 1st April 20X1. As per the terms of lease agreement, the lease rental shall be adjusted every 2 years to give effect of inflation. Inflation cost index as notified by the Income tax department shall be used to derive the lease payments. Inflation cost index was 280 for financial year 20X1-20X2 and 301 for financial year 20X3-20X4. The current incremental borrowing rate is 8% p.a. Show the Journal entry at the beginning of year 3, to account for change in lease (MTP 5 Marks Sep'22, RTP Nov'21)

#### Answer 12

As per para 27 (b) of Ind AS 116, variable lease payments that depend on an index or a rate, are initially measured using the index or rate as at the commencement date. At the beginning of the third year, Lessee remeasures the lease liability at the present value of eight payments of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  60,200 discounted at an original discount rate of 9.5% per annum as per para 43 of Ind AS 116.

amuni as per para 10 of ma 110.					
Year	Revised lease rental	Discount factor @ 9.5%	Present value		
3	[(56,000 / 280) x 301] =	0.913	54,963		
	60,200				
4	60,200	0.834	50,207		
5	60,200	0.762	45,872		
6	60,200	0.696	41,899		
7	60,200	0.635	38,277		
8	60,200	0.580	34,916		
9	60,200	0.530	31,906		
10	60,200	0.484	29,137		
			3,27,127		

Table showing amortised cost of lease liability

Year	Opening	Interest @ 9.5%	Rental paid	Closing
	balance			balance
1	3,51,613	33,403	56,00	3,29,016
			0	
2	3,29,016	31,257	56,00	3,04,273
			0	

Difference of ₹ 22,854 (3,27,127 – 3,04,273) will increase the lease liability with corresponding increase in ROU Asset as per para 39 of Ind AS 116. Journal entry at the beginning of year 3 would be:

Right-of-use asset Dr.	₹ 22,854	
To Lease liability		₹ 22,854

#### Question 13

Lessee enters into a 10-year lease for 5,000 square metres of office space. The annual lease payments are  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}}$  1,00,000 payable at the end of each year. The interest rate implicit in the lease cannot be readily determined. Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the commencement date is 6% p.a. At the beginning of Year 7, Lessee and Lessor agree to amend the original lease by extending the contractual

lease term by four years. The annual lease payments are unchanged (i.e., ₹ 1,00,000 payable at the end of each year from Year 7 to Year 14). Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the beginning of Year 7 is 7% p.a. How should the said modification be accounted for? Pass the journal entry for the said modification. (MTP 10 Marks April 22)

#### Answer 13

At the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 7), Lessee remeasures the lease liability based on:

- (a) Remaining lease term = 8 years
- (b) Annual payments = ₹ 1,00,000 and
- (c) Lessee's incremental borrowing rate = 7% p.a.

The modified lease liability equals  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 5,97,100$  (W.N.1). The lease liability immediately before the modification (including the recognition of the interest expense until the end of Year 6) is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 3,46,355$  (W.N.3). Lessee recognises the difference between the carrying amount of the modified lease liability and the carrying amount of the lease liability immediately before the modification (i.e.,  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2,50,745$ ) (W.N.4) as an adjustment to the ROU Asset.

**Journal Entry** 

	₹	₹
ROU Asset A/c Dr.	2,50,74	5
To Lease Liability A/c		2,50,745
(Being difference in lease liability on modification of lease adjusted through A/c)		

#### **Working Notes:**

1. Calculation of present value of modified lease liability at the beginning of 7th year

Year	Lease	Present value factor @	Present value of
	Payment	7% (B)	lease payments (A x
	(A)		B = C)
7	1,00,000	0.935	93,500
8	1,00,000	0.873	87,300
9	1,00,000	0.816	81,600
10	1,00,000	0.763	76,300
11	1,00,000	0.713	71,300
12	1,00,000	0.666	66,600
13	1,00,000	0.623	62,300
14	1,00,000	0.582	58,200
PV of	the modified	lease liability at the	
beginn	ing of the 7th year	ar	5,97,100

2. Calculation of present value of lease liability at the commencement date

Year	Lease Payment	Present value factor	PV of lease
	(A)	(A) @ 6% (B)	
			B = C
1	1,00,000	0.943	94,300
2	1,00,000	0.890	89,000
3	1,00,000	0.840	84,000
4	1,00,000	0.792	79,200

age 538

5	1,00,000	0.747	74,700
6	1,00,000	0.705	70,500
7	1,00,000	0.665	66,500
8	1,00,000	0.627	62,700
9	1,00,000	0.592	59,200
10	1,00,000	0.558	55,800
Present commen	value of the lea cement date	ase liability at the	7,35,900

3. Calculation of lease liability immediately before the modification date

Year	Opening lease	Interest @ 6%	Lease	Closing
	liability (A)	(B) = $[A \times 6\%]$	payment	liability
			s (C)	(D) = [A+B-C]
1	7,35,900	44,154	1,00,000	6,80,054
2	6,80,054	40,803	1,00,000	6,20,857
3	6,20,857	37,251	1,00,000	5,58,108
4	5,58,108	33,486	1,00,000	4,91,594
5	4,91,594	29,496	1,00,000	4,21,090
6	4,21,090	25,265	1,00,000	3,46,355
Lease liability as at modification date				3,46,355

4. Adjustment to ROU asset

Modified Lease liability	5,97,100
Original Lease liability as at modification date	(3,46,355)
Adjustment to ROU asset	2,50,745

The ROU asset will be increased by ₹ 2,50,745 on the date of modification.

#### **Ouestion 14**

Determine the lease term in the following scenarios:

Scenario A: Entity ABC enters into a lease for equipment that includes a non-cancellable term of six years and a two-year fixed-priced renewal option with future lease payments that are intended to approximate market rates at lease inception. There are no termination penalties or other factors indicating that Entity ABC is reasonably certain to exercise the renewal option. What is the lease term?

Scenario B: Entity XYZ enters into a lease for a building that includes a non-cancellable term of eight years and a two-year, market-priced renewal option. Before it takes possession of the building, Entity XYZ pays for leasehold improvements. The leasehold improvements are expected to have significant value at the end of eight years, and that value can only be realised through continued occupancy of the leased property. What is the lease term?

Scenario C: Entity PQR enters into a lease for an identified retail space in a shopping centre. The retail space will be available to Entity PQR for only the months of October, November and December during a non-cancellable term of seven years. The lessor agrees to provide the same retail space for each of the seven years. What is the lease term? (MTP 6 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 14

Scenario A: At the lease commencement date, the lease term is six years (being the

non-cancellable period). The renewal period of two years is not taken into consideration since Entity ABC is not reasonably certain to exercise the option because there are no penalties or other factors which indicate that the entity will opt for renewal of lease.

Scenario B: At the lease commencement, Entity XYZ determines that it is reasonably certain to exercise the renewal option because it would suffer a significant economic penalty if it abandoned the leasehold improvements at the end of the initial noncancellable period of eight years. Thus, at the lease commencement, Entity XYZ concludes that the lease term is ten years (being eight years of non-cancellable period plus the renewal period of two years where the lessee is reasonably certain to exercise the option).

Scenario C: At the lease commencement date, the lease term is 21 months (three months per year over the seven annual periods as specified in the contract), i.e., the period over which Entity PQR controls the right to use the underlying asset.

#### Question 15

Entity X is an Indian entity whose functional currency is Indian Rupee. It has taken a plant on lease from Entity Y for 5 years to use in its manufacturing process for which it has to pay annual rentals in arrears of USD 10,000 every year. On the commencement date, exchange rate was USD = Rs. 68. The average rate for Year 1 was Rs. 69 and at the end of year 1, the exchange rate was Rs. 70. The incremental borrowing rate of Entity X on commencement of the lease for a USD borrowing was 5% p.a.

How will entity X measure the right of use (ROU) asset and lease liability initially and at the end of Year 1? (MTP Apr'23, RTP May' 21)

#### Answer 15

On initial measurement, Entity X will measure the lease liability and ROU asset as under:

Year	Lease Payments (USD)	Present Value factor @ 5%	Present Value of Lease Payment	Conversion rate (spot rate)	INR value
1	10,000	0.952	9,520	68	6,47,360
2	10,000	0.907	9,070	68	6,16,760
3	10,000	0.864	8,640	68	5,87,520
4	10,000	0.823	8,230	68	5,59,640
5	10,000	0.784	7,840	68	5,33,120
Total			43,300		29,44,400

As per Ind AS 21, The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates, monetary assets and liabilities are restated at each reporting date at the closing rate and the difference due to foreign exchange movement is recognised in profit and loss whereas nonmonetary assets and liabilities carried measured in terms of historical cost in foreign currency are not restated.

Accordingly, the ROU asset in the given case being a non-monetary asset measured in terms of historical cost in foreign currency will not be restated but the lease liability being a monetary liability will be restated at each reporting date with the resultant difference being taken to profit and loss.

At the end of Year 1, the lease liability will be measured in terms of USD as under: Lease Liability:

Year	Initial Value	Lease	Interest @	Closing Value
	(USD)	Payment	5%	(USD)

	(a)	(b)	(c) = (a x 5%)	$(\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{b})$
1	43,300	10,000	2,165	35,465

Interest at the rate of 5% will be accounted for in profit and loss at average rate of Rs. 69 (i.e., USD  $2,165 \times 69$ ) = Rs. 1,49,385.

Particulars		Dr. (Rs.)	Cr. (Rs.)
Interest Expense	Dr.	1,49,385	
To Lease liability			1,49,385

Lease payment would be accounted for at the reporting date exchange rate, i.e. Rs. 70 at the end of year 1

Particulars		Dr. (Rs.)	Cr. (Rs.)
Lease liability	Dr.	7,00,00	
		0	7,00,00
To Cash			0

As per the guidance above under Ind AS 21, the lease liability will be restated using the reporting date exchange rate i.e., Rs. 70 at the end of Year 1. Accordingly, the lease liability will be measured at Rs. 24,82,550 (35,465 x Rs. 70) with the corresponding impact due to exchange rate movement of Rs. 88,765 (24,82,550 – (29,44,400 + 1,49,385 – 700,000) taken to profit and loss.

At the end of year 1, the ROU asset will be measured as under:

Year	Opening Balance (Rs.)	Depreciation (Rs.)	Closing Balance (Rs.)
1	29,44,400	5,88,880	23,55,520

#### Question 16

#### Case I

Scenario 1: The 'last mile' is a dedicated cable that connects Entity Y's network with the end customer's device. The use of this cable is at the discretion of the customer. Entity Y decides the location of end points and has right to replace the lines (dedicated cable), however it is not practical to replace the lines, since replacement would require additional costs to be incurred without any corresponding benefit. Whether the arrangement would be within the scope of Ind AS 116?

Scenario 2: If it is practical for the Entity Y to replace the lines and Entity Y would benefit from this replacement, would the answer be different?

#### Case II

Customer X enters into a 10-year contract with a utility company, Entity Y, for the right to use three specified, physically distinct fibers within a larger cable connecting Mumbai to Delhi. Customer makes the decisions about the use of the fibers by connecting each

end of the fibers to its electronic equipment. Entity Y owns extra fibers but can substitute those for Customer's fibers only for reasons of repairs, maintenance or malfunction. The useful life of the fiber is 15 years. Whether this arrangement is covered under Ind AS 116?

#### Case III

Customer X enters into a 10-year contract with Entity Y for the right to use a specified amount of capacity within a cable connecting Mumbai to Delhi. The specified amount is equivalent to Customer X having the use of the full capacity

of three fiber strands within the cable (the cable contains multiple fibers with similar capacities). Entity Y makes decisions about the transmission of data (i.e., Entity Y lights the fibers, makes decisions about which fibers are used to transmit Customer's traffic). The useful life of the fiber is 15 years. Whether this arrangement is covered under Ind AS 116? (RTP May '22)

#### Answer 16

# Paragraph 9, B9, B13 and B14 of Ind AS 116 state the following:

"9 At inception of a contract, an entity shall assess whether the contract is, or contains, a lease. A contract is, or contains, a lease if the contract conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset for a period of time in exchange for consideration."

"B9 To assess whether a contract conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset for a period of time, an entity shall assess whether, throughout the period of use, the customer has both of the following:

- (a) the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the identified asset; and
- (b) the right to direct the use of the identified asset."

"B13 An asset is typically identified by being explicitly specified in a contract. However, an asset can also be identified by being implicitly specified at the time that the asset is made available for use by the customer."

"B14 Even if an asset is specified, a customer does not have the right to use an identified asset if the supplier has the substantive right to substitute the asset throughout the period of use. A supplier's right to substitute an asset is substantive only if both of the following conditions exist:

- (a) the supplier has the practical ability to substitute alternative assets throughout the period of use (for example, the customer cannot prevent the supplier from substituting the asset and alternative assets are readily available to the supplier or could be sourced by the supplier within a reasonable period of time); and
- (b) the supplier would benefit economically from the exercise of its right to substitute the asset (i.e., the economic benefits associated with substituting the asset are expected to exceed the costs associated with substituting the asset)."

Paragraph B20 of Ind AS 116 which provides guidance regarding identified asset in case of portion of assets states that a capacity portion of an asset is an identified asset if it is physically distinct (for example, a floor of a building). A capacity or other portion of an asset that is not physically distinct (for example, a capacity portion of a fibre optic cable) is not an identified asset, unless it represents substantially all of the capacity of the asset and thereby provides the customer with the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the asset.

Paragraph B21 of Ind AS 116, inter alia, states that to control the use of an identified asset, a customer is required to have the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the asset throughout the period of use (for example, by having exclusive use of the asset throughout that period). A customer can obtain economic benefits from use of an asset directly or indirectly in many ways, such as by using, holding or subleasing the asset.

Further, paragraph B24 of Ind AS 116 provides that a customer has the right to direct the use of an identified asset throughout the period of use if the customer has the right to direct how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout the period of use.

Paragraph B25 of Ind AS 116 states that a customer has the right to direct how and for what purpose the asset is used if, within the scope of its right of use defined in the contract, it can change how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout

the period of use. In making this assessment, an entity considers the decision-making rights that are most relevant to changing how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout the period of use. Decision-making rights are relevant when they affect the economic benefits to be derived from use. The decision-making rights that are most relevant are likely to be different for different contracts, depending on the nature of the asset and the terms and conditions of the contract.

# Case I

#### Scenario 1:

- (i) As per paragraph B13 of Ind AS 116, 'Last mile' which is a dedicated cable is an identified asset since it is physically distinct.
- (ii) There are no substantive substitution rights with Entity Y, as it does not have the practical ability to substitute alternative assets throughout the period of use. Thus, this arrangement is within the scope of Ind AS 116.

#### Scenario 2:

If Entity Y has the practical ability to replace the lines and it would benefit from such replacement, Entity Y has substantive substitution rights. In such case, this arrangement for the 'last mile cable' will not be within the scope of Ind AS 116.

#### Case II

The fibers are specified in the contract and are physically distinct. Hence, in accordance with paragraph B13 and B20, the said three fibers are identified asset. Paragraph B18, inter alia, states that the supplier's right or obligation to substitute the asset for repairs and maintenance, if the asset is not operating properly or if a technical upgrade becomes available does not preclude the customer from having the right to use an identified asset.

Further, paragraph B27 provides that although rights such as those to operate or maintain an asset are often essential to the efficient use of an asset, they are not rights to direct how and for what purpose the asset is used and can actually be dependent on the decisions about how and for what purpose the asset is used.

In accordance with the above, as Entity Y can substitute these three distinct fibers only for reasons of repairs, maintenance or malfunction, it does not preclude them from being an identified asset.

Further, the Customer X has right to control the use of the identified fibers for 10 year since it has –

- (a) the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the identified fibers throughout the period of use, i.e., 10 years; and
- (b) the right to direct the use of the fibers as it makes the decisions about the use of the fibers, i.e., it has right to direct how and for what purpose the fibers are used throughout the period of use.

Hence, this arrangement is within the scope of Ind AS 116.

#### Case III

Paragraph B20 specifically provides that a capacity or other portion of an asset that is not physically distinct (for example, a capacity portion of a fiber optic cable) is not an identified asset, unless it represents substantially all of the capacity of the asset and thereby provides the customer with the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the asset. In the given case, the capacity portion that will be provided to Customer X is not physically distinct from the remaining capacity of the cable and does not represent substantially all of the capacity of the cable, thus, it is not an identified asset. Further, Entity Y makes all decisions about the transmission of data, (i.e., supplier lights the fibers, makes decisions about which fibers are used to transmit customer's traffic).

Thus, the contract does not contain a lease and is therefore not within the scope

of Ind AS 116.

#### Question 17

How will Entity Y account for the incentive in the following scenarios:

Scenario A:

Entity Y (lessor) enters into an operating lease of property with Entity X (lessee) for a five-year term at a monthly rental of  $\[mathbb{?}\]$  1,10,000. In order to induce Entity X to enter into the lease, Entity Y provides  $\[mathbb{?}\]$  6,00,000 to Entity X at lease commencement for lessee improvements (i.e., lessee's assets).

Scenario B:

Entity Y (lessor) enters into an operating lease of property with Entity X (lessee) for a five-year term at a monthly rental of  $\stackrel{?}{}$  1,10,000. At lease commencement, Entity Y provides  $\stackrel{?}{}$  6,00,000 to Entity X for leasehold improvements which will be owned by Entity Y (i.e., lessor's assets). The estimated useful life of leasehold improvements is 5 years. (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 17

Para 70 of Ind AS 116 state that at the commencement date, the lease payments included in the measurement of the net investment in the lease comprise the following payments for the right to use the underlying asset during the lease term that are not received at the commencement date:

- (a) fixed payments (including in-substance fixed payments as described in para B42), less any lease incentives payable;
- (b) variable lease payments that depend on an index or a rate, initially measured using the index or rate as at the commencement date;
- (c) any residual value guarantees provided to the lessor by the lessee, a party related to the lessee or a third party unrelated to the lessor that is financially capable of discharging the obligations under the guarantee;
- (d) the exercise price of a purchase option if the lessee is reasonably certain to exercise that option (assessed considering the factors described in para B37); and
- (e) payments of penalties for terminating the lease, if the lease term reflects the lessee exercising an option to terminate the lease.

Further para 71 of the standard states that a lessor shall recognise lease payments from operating leases as income on either a straight-line basis or another systematic basis. The lessor shall apply another systematic basis if that basis is more representative of the pattern in which benefit from the use of the underlying asset is diminished."

Scenario A

In accordance with above, in the given case, at lease commencement, Entity Y accounts for the incentive as follows:

To account for the lease incentive

Deferred lease incentive Dr. ₹ 6,00,000

To Cash

₹ 6,00,000

Recurring monthly journal entries in Years 1 – 5

To record cash received on account of lease rental and amortisation of lease incentive over the lease term

Cash Dr. ₹ 1,10,000

To Lease income ₹ 1,00,000
To Deferred lease incentive ₹ 10,000\*

\* This is calculated as ₹ 6,00,000 ÷ 60 months.

Scenario B

Entity Y has provided lease incentive amounting to  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  6,00,000 to Entity X for leasehold

544

improvements in the premises. As Entity Y has the ownership of the leasehold improvements carried out by the lessee, it shall account for the same as property, plant and equipment and shall depreciate the same over its useful life.

In accordance with above, in the given case, at lease commencement, Entity Y accounts for the incentive as follows:

To record the lease incentive

Property, plant & Equipment Dr. ₹ 6,00,000 To Cash ₹ 6,00,000

Recurring monthly journal entries in Years 1 – 5

To record cash received on account of lease rental over the lease term

Cash Dr. ₹ 1,10,000

To Lease income ₹ 1,10,000

To record depreciation on PPE over the lease term using straight line method

Depreciation Dr. ₹ 10,000

To Accumulated Depreciation ₹ 10,000

# Question 18

The following facts are given for the Sky Ltd:

- A Lease which is non-cancellable was initiated on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014 for equipment with an expected useful life of five years.
- Three payments are due to the 'Lessor' of an amount of Rs. 1,02,000 per year beginning 31<sup>st</sup> March 2015. Included in the lease payments is a sum of Rs. 2,000 to be paid annually by the 'Lessee' for insurance.
- The 'Lessee' guarantees Rs. 20,000 residual value on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017 to the Lessor.
- Irrespective of the Rs. 20,000 residual value guarantee, the leased asset is expected to have only Rs. 2,000 residual value to the lessee at the end of the lease term.
- The Lessee company depreciates similar equipment that it owns on a straight line basis.
- The fair value of the equipment at 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014 is Rs. 2,64,000.
- The Lessor's implicit rate is 10%. This fact is known to the Lessee company. As per the provisions of Ind AS 17 'Leases' -
  - (i) How should Lessee Company classify and record the lease transaction at its inception on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014? Indicate journal entry also.
  - (ii) What are the journal entries the Lessee is required to make to record the lease payments and the interest, insurance and depreciation expenses on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2015 through 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017?
  - (iii) What entry should the Lessee make on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017 to record the guaranteed residual value payment (assuming estimated residual value of Rs. 2,000) and to clear the lease related accounts from the lessee's books?
  - (iv) What would be the Current and Non-current classification in the books of Lessee in the first year?

(Discount factor: 2015: 0.909; 2016: 0.826; 2017: 0.751) (PYP 12 Marks, May'18)

#### Answer 18

(i) The Lessee company should record the asset as a finance lease since the risk and reward is transferred. Lessee has guaranteed to the lessor, the residual value of Rs.

Page 545

20,000, in spite of the fact that the estimated residual value of the asset will be Rs. 2.000.

Further the lease payments substantially cover the fair value of leased asset as per calculation given below.

# Calculation of Present value of Minimum Lease Payments (MLP) at implicit rate of 10%

Year	Discoun t	Minimum Lease payments (see	Present Value
	Factor	note below)	of MLP
Annual Lease Rentals			
31st March, 2015	0.909	1,00,000	90,900
31st March, 2016	0.826	1,00,000	82,600
31st March, 2017	0.751	1,00,000	75,100
Guaranteed Residual			
Value			
31st March, 2017	0.751	20,000	15,020
		Total	2,63,620

**Note:** The contingent rent, taxes, insurance, maintenance expenses etc. if paid by the lessee to the lessor, then it does not form part of the minimum lease payments and will be expensed when incurred. Insurance expense is a kind of reimbursement from lessee to lessor. Hence in the above case, for calculation of present value of minimum lease payments only lease rental of Rs. 1,00,000 has been considered.

At the time of initial recognition, the lessee will recognise the leasehold asset at lower of below:

Present value of MLP 2,63,620

Fair value of leased asset 2,64,000 Hence, leasehold asset will be recognised at 2,63,620

Accounting entry for initial recognition would be:

Leasehold equipment Dr. 2,63,620
To Leasehold obligation 2,63,620

# (ii) Lease rentals should split between principal portion of leasehold obligation and finance costs as follows:

Year		Opening balance	Payments	Finance costs @ 10%	Reduction in liability	3
		(a)	(b)	(a) x 10% = (c)	(b)-(c)=(d)	(a)-(d) = (e)
1st 2014	April,	-				2,63,620
31st 2015	March,	2,63,62 0	1,00,000	26,362	73,638	1,89,982
31st 2016	March,	1,89,98 2	1,00,000	18,998	81,002	1,08,980
31st 2017	March,	1,08,98 0	1,00,000	11,020*	88,980	20,000

<sup>\*</sup> Difference is due to approximation.

In the books of Lessee

Journal Entries (at the time of subsequent measurement)

546

Date	Particulars		Dr.	Cr.
			Rs.	Rs.
31st	Insurance Expenses	Dr.	2,000	
Marc	Leasehold obligation	Dr.	73,63	
h 2015			8	
	Interest Expenses	Dr	26,36	
	Depreciation	Dr	87,20	
	Depreciation		7	
	To Cash	†	•	1,02,00
				0
	To Accumulated Depreciation			87,207
31st	Insurance Expenses	Dr.	2,000	
Marc	Leasehold obligation	Dr.	81,00	
h 2016			2	
	Interest Expenses	Dr	18,99	
	D		8	
	Depreciation	Dr	87,20 7	
	To Cash	†	•	1,02,00
				0
	To Accumulated Depreciation			87,207
31st	Insurance Expenses	Dr.	2,000	
Marc	Leasehold obligation	Dr.	88,98	
h 2017			0	
	Interest Expenses	Dr.	11,02	
			07.00	
	Depreciation	Dr.	87,20 6	
	To Cash		Ö	1,02,000
	To Accumulated Depreciation			87,206

# (iii) Entries at the end of lease period

Leasehold Obligation AccountDr. 20,000Accumulated Depreciation AccountDr. 2,61,620

To Cash Account 18,000

To Leasehold Equipment Account 2,63,62

# (iv) The Current and Non-current Classification at the end of year ${\bf 1}$ in the books of Lessee

**Balance Sheet (An extract)** 

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Most of the examinees included insurance charges in minimum lease payments and calculated finance charges accordingly. They were unable to pass correct journal entries and classify current and non-current assets and liabilities in the balance sheet.

,age 547

	Rs.
ASSETS	
Non-current Asset	
Leasehold Asset	
Gross Block	2,63,620
Accumulated Depreciation	(87,207)
LIABILITIES	
Non-current Liability	
Leasehold Obligation (payable after 12 months from the reporting date)	1,08,980
Current Liability	
Leasehold Obligation (payable within 12 months from the reporting date)	81,002

#### **Ouestion 19**

Coups Limited availed a machine on lease from Ferrari Limited. The terms and conditions of the Lease are as under:

Lease period is 3 years, machine costing `8,00,000.

- Machine has expected useful life of 5 years.
- Machine reverts back to Ferrari Limited on termination of lease.
- The unguaranteed residual value is estimated at `50,000 at the end of 3rd year.
- 3 equal annual installments are made at the end of each year.
- Implicit Interest Rate (IRR) = 10%.
- Present value of  $\hat{}$  1 due at the end of  $3^{rd}$  year at 10% rate of interest is 0.7513.
- Present value of annuity of `1 due at the end of 3rd year at 10% IRR is 2.4868

You are required to ascertain whether it is a Finance Lease or Operating Lease and also calculate Unearned Finance Income with the relevant context to relevant Ind AS. (PYP 6 Marks, Jan 21)

#### Answer 19

It is assumed that the fair value of the machine on lease is equivalent to the cost of the machine.

- (i) A lease is classified as a finance lease if it transfers substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of an underlying asset. A lease is classified as an operating lease if it does not transfer substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of an underlying asset.
- (ii) Computation of annual lease payment to the lessor

	,
Cost of equipment / fair value	8,00,00
	0
Unguaranteed residual value	50,000
Present value of residual value after third year @ 10%	37,565
$(50,000 \times 0.7513)$	
Fair value to be recovered from lease payments	7,62,43
(8,00,000 - 37,565)	5

age 548

Present value of annuity for three years is 2.4868	
Annual lease payment = 7,62,435 / 2.4868	3,06,59
	3

The present value of lease payment i.e., `7,62,435 is more than 95% of the fair market value i.e., `8,00,000. The present value of minimum lease payments substantially covers the initial fair value of the leased asset and lease term (i.e. 3 years) covers the major part of the life of asset (i.e. 5 years). Therefore, it constitutes a finance lease.

(iii) Computation of Unearned Finance Income

	,
Total lease payments (`3,06,593 x 3)	9,19,779
Add: Unguaranteed residual value	50,000
Gross investment in the lease	9,69,779
Less: Present value of investment (lease payments and	
residual value) (37,565 + 7,62,435) Unearned finance income	(8,00,000) 1,69,779

# Question 20

Jakob Ltd. entered into a contract for lease of machinery with Jason Ltd. on 1.1.2018. The initial term of the lease is 6 years with a renewal option of further 2 years.

- The annual payments for initial term and renewal term are `2,80,000 and `3,50,000 respectively.
- The annual lease payment will increase based on the annual increase in the CPI at the end of the preceding year. For example, the payment due on 1.1.2019 will be based on the CPI available at 31.12.2018.
- Jakob Ltd.'s incremental borrowing rate at the lease inception date and as at 1.1.2021 is 8% and 10% respectively and the CPI at lease commencement date and as at 1.1.2021 is 250 and 260 respectively.
- At the lease commencement date, Jakob Ltd. did not think that it will be a viable option to renew the lease but in the first quarter of 2021, Jakob Ltd. made some major changes in the retail store which increases its economic life by five years.
- Jakob Ltd. determined that it would only recover the cost of the improvements if it exercises the renewal option, creating a significant economic incentive to extend.

Jakob Ltd. asked your opinion whether remeasurement of lease is required in the first quarter of 2021. (PYP 10 Marks Dec '21)

#### Answer 20

Since in the first quarter of 2021, Jakob Ltd. is reasonably certain that it will exercise its renewal option, it is required to re-measure the lease in the first quarter of 2021.

The following table summarizes information pertinent to the lease remeasurement:

	0000101101101	
R	Re-measured lease term	5 years (3 years remaining in the initial term plus 2 years in the renewal period)
J	akob Ltd.'s incremental borrowing rate on the	10%

955 Sage

re-measurement date	
CPI available on the re-measurement date	260
Right-of-use asset immediately before the re-	` 6,99,019 (Refer note
measurement	2)
Lease liability immediately before the re-	` 7,79,417 (Refer note
measurement	2)

#### Procedure to re-measure the lease liability:

To re-measure the lease liability, Jakob Ltd. would first calculate the present value of the future lease payments for the new lease term (using the updated discount rate of 10%).

Since the initial lease payments were based on a CPI of 250, the CPI has increased by 4% [{(260-250)/250} x 100]. As a result, Jakob Ltd. would increase the future lease payments by 4%.

# Computation of present value of the future lease payments based on an updated CPI of 260:

<del></del>							
		Yea					
			r			1	
	4	4 5 6 7 8					
Lease payment	2,91,2	2,91,20	2,91,20	3,64,00	3,64,00	16,01,6	
	00	0	0	0	0	00	
Discount @ 10%	1	0.909	0.826	0.751	0.683		
Present value	2,91,2	2,64,70	2,40,53	2,73,36	2,48,61	13,18,4	
	00	1	1	4	2	08	

# Calculation of the adjustment to the lease liability on re-measurement by comparing the recalculated and original lease liability balances on the re-measurement date:

Revised lease liability	13,18,408
Original lease liability	(7,79,417)
Adjustment to the lease liability on re-measurement	5,38,991

Based on above calculations, it is clear that re-measurement of lease is required and accordingly adjustment to lease liability and ROU asset is required in the first quarter of 2021.

#### Journal entry to adjust the lease liability

ROU Asset	Dr.	5,38,99	
To Lease liability		1	5,38,99 1
(Being lease liability and F measurement)	ROU asset a	djusted on a	ccount of re-

#### **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Calculation of ROU asset before the date of re-measurement

Year	Lease	Present	Present value of
beginning Payment		value factor	lease payments
	(A)	@ 8% (B)	$(A \times B = C)$
1	2,80,000	1.000	2,80,000
2	2,80,000	0.926	2,59,280
3	2,80,000	0.857	2,39,960
4	2,80,000	0.794	2,22,320

5	2,80,000	0.735	2,05,800
6	2,80,000	0.681	1,90,680
Lease liability	13,98,040		
date			

Or

(2,80,000 x Sum of PV (4.993) @ 8% for 5 years = 13,98,040)

#### 2. Calculation of Lease Liability and ROU asset at each year end

Yea		Lease Liability			ROU asset		
r	Initi	Leas	Intere	Closin	Initi	Depreciati	Closin
	al valu	e paymen	st expens	g balanc	al Valu	on for 6 years	g balanc
	e	ts	e	е	е	<b>J</b> 0412	е
			<b>@ 8%</b>				
	а	b	c = (a-b) x	d = a			
			8%	b+c			
1	13,98,0	2,80,0	89,443	12,07,4	13,98,0	2,33,007	11,65,0
	40	00		83	40		33
2	12,07,4	2,80,0	74,199	10,01,6	11,65,0	2,33,007	9,32,02
	83	00		82	33		6
3	10,01,6	2,80,0	57,735	7,79,41	9,32,0	2,33,007	6,99,01
	82	00		7	26		9
4	7,79,4				6,99,0		
	17				19		

As per the information given in the third bullet point at page 10, it is inferred that annual lease payments are due at the beginning of the year. Hence, it can be inferred that the annual lease payment of 2021 had been paid on 1.1.2021. Accordingly lease liability considered for the purpose of remeasurement would be of 5 th, 6th, 7th and 8th year only i.e. for 4 years. However, since remeasurement has been decided in the first quarter of 2021, ROU asset balance before remeasurement will be after depreciation of 3 years i.e. till 2020.

Based on the above contention, following alternative solution is also possible:

Since in the first quarter of 2021, Jakob Ltd. is reasonably certain that it will exercise its renewal option, it is required to re-measure the lease in the first quarter of 2021.

The following table summarizes information pertinent to the lease remeasurement:

Re-measured lease term	4 years (2 years remaining in the initial term plus 2 years in the renewal period)
Jakob Ltd.'s incremental borrowing rate on the	10%
re-measurement date	
CPI available on the re-measurement date	260
Right-of-use asset immediately before the	` 6,99,019 (Refer
re-measurement	note 2)
Lease liability immediately before the re-	5,39,370 (Refer
measurement	note 2)

# Procedure to re-measure the lease liability:

To re-measure the lease liability, Jakob Ltd. would first calculate the present value of the future lease payments for the new lease term (using the updated discount rate of 10%).

Since the initial lease payments were based on a CPI of 250, the CPI has increased by 4% [{(260-250)/250} x 100]. As a result, Jakob Ltd. would increase the future lease payments by 4%.

# Computation of present value of the future lease payments based on an updated CPI of 260:

		Yea					
		r			1		
	5	6	7	8			
Lease payment	2,91,200	2,91,200	3,64,000	3,64,000	13,10,40 0		
Discount @	1	0.909	0.826	0.751			
Present value	2,91,200	2,64,701	3,00,664	2,73,764	11,30,32 9		

Calculation of the adjustment to the lease liability on re-measurement by comparing the recalculated and original lease liability balances on the re-measurement date:

Revised lease liability	11,30,329
Original lease liability	(5,39,370)
Adjustment to the lease liability on re-measurement	5,90,959

Based on above calculations, it is clear that re-measurement of lease is required and accordingly adjustment to lease liability and ROU asset is required in the first quarter of 2022.

Journal entry to adjust the lease liability

oouthar entry to adjust the lease hability								
ROU Asset	Dr.	5,90,95						
To Lease liability		9	5,90,95 9					
(Being lease liability and RC measurement)	OU asset adju	isted on acc	count of re-					

#### **Working Notes:**

# 1. Calculation of ROU asset before the date of re-measurement

Year	Lease	Present	Present value of
beginning	Payment	value factor	lease payments (A
	(A)	@ 8% (B)	x B = C)
1	2,80,000	1.000	2,80,000
2	2,80,000	0.926	2,59,280
3	2,80,000	0.857	2,39,960
4	2,80,000	0.794	2,22,320
5	2,80,000	0.735	2,05,800
6	2,80,000	0.681	1,90,680
Lease liabile date	ity as atcommen	13,98,040	

Or

 $(2,80,000 \times \text{sum of PV } (4.993) @ 8\% \text{ for 5 years} = 13,98,040)$ 

557

# 2. Calculation of Lease Liability and ROU asset at each year end

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

This question is on accounting for modification of lease term as per Ind AS 116. Some examinees committed mistake in computation of present value of lease liability and ROU asset for initial recognition. Most of the examinees factored the lease payment right from the first year instead of from 4th or 5th year thereby ended up with the wrong solution.

Year	Lease Liability			ROU asset			
	Initial	Lease	Interest	Closing	Initial	Depreciatio	Closing
	value	payment	expense	balance	Value	n for 6	balance
		S	@ 8%			years	
	a	b	c = (a-b) x	d = a-b+c			
			8%				
1	13,98,04	2,80,000	89,443	12,07,48	13,98,04	2,33,007	11,65,03
	0			3	0		3
2	12,07,48	2,80,000	74,199	10,01,68	11,65,03	2,33,007	9,32,02
	3			2	3		6
3	10,01,68	2,80,000	57,735	7,79,417	9,32,02	2,33,007	6,99,01
	2				6		9
4	7,79,417	2,80,000	39,953	5,39,370	6,99,01		
					9		
5	5,39,370						

# Section - B

#### Question 1

A lessee enters into a ten-year contract with a lessor (freight carrier) to transport a specified quantity of goods. Lessor uses rail wagons of a particular specification and has a large pool of similar rail wagons that can be used to fulfil the requirements of the contract. The rail wagons and engines are stored at lessor's premises when they are not being used to transport goods. Costs associated with substituting the rail wagons are minimal for lessor. Whether the lessor has substantive substitutions rights and whether the arrangement contains a lease?

#### Answer 1

In this case, the rail wagons are stored at lessor's premises and it has a large pool of similar rail wagons and substitution costs to be incurred are minimal. Thus, the lessor has the practical ability to substitute the asset. If at any point, the same become economically beneficial for the lessor to substitute the wagons, he can do so and hence, the lessor's substitution rights are substantive and the arrangement does not contain a lease.

#### **Question 2**

Customer M enters into a 20-year contract with Energy Supplier S to install, operate and maintain a solar plant for M's energy supply. M designed the solar plant before it was constructed – M hired experts in solar energy to assist in determining the location of the plant and the engineering of the equipment to be used. M has the exclusive right to receive and the obligation to take any energy produced. Whether it can be established that M is having the right to control the use of identified asset?

#### **Answer 2**

In this case, the nature of the solar plant is such that all the decisions about how and for what purpose the asset is used are predetermined because:

- the type of output (i.e. energy) and the production location are predetermined in the agreement; and
- when, whether and how much energy is produced is influenced by the sunlight and the design of the solar plant.

Because M designed the solar plant and thereby predetermined any decisions about how and for what purpose it is used, M is considered to have the right to direct the use. Although regular maintenance of the solar plant may increase the efficiency of the solar panels, it does not give the supplier the right to direct how, and for what purpose the solar plant is used. Hence, M is having a right to control the use of asset.

#### **Question 3**

A Customer enters into a ten-year contract with a Company (a ship owner) for the use of an identified ship. Customer decides whether and what cargo will be transported, and when and to which ports the ship will sail throughout the period of use, subject to restrictions specified in the contract. These restrictions prevent the company from sailing the ship into waters at a high risk of piracy or carrying explosive materials. The company operates and maintains the ship and is responsible for safe passage. Does the customer have the right to direct how and for what purpose the ship is to be used throughout the period of use and whether the arrangement contains a lease?

#### Answer 3

The customer has the right to direct the use of the ship because the contractual restrictions are merely protective rights that protect the company's investment in the ship and its personnel. In the scope of its right of use, the customer determines how and for what purpose the ship is used throughout the ten-year period because it decides whether, where and when the ship sails, as well as the cargo that it will transport.

The customer has the right to change these decisions throughout the period of use and hence, the contract contains a lease.

# Question 4

A Lessee enters into a ten-year lease contract with a Lessor to use an equipment. The contract includes maintenance services (as provided by lessor). The Lessor

obtains its own insurance for the equipment. Annual payments are  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  10,000 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  1,000 relate to maintenance services and  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  500 to insurance costs).

The Lessee is able to determine that similar maintenance services and insurance costs are offered by third parties for  $\ref{equation}$  2,000 and  $\ref{equation}$  500 a year, respectively. The Lessee is unable to find an observable stand-alone rental amount for a similar equipment because none is leased without related maintenance services provided by the lessor. How would the Lessee allocate the consideration to the lease component?

#### Answer 4

The observable stand-alone price for maintenance services is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,000. There is no observable stand-alone price for the lease. Further, the insurance cost does not transfer a good or service to the lessee and therefore, it is not a separate lease component. Thus, the Lessee allocates  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  8,000 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  10,000 –  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,000) to the lease component.

#### Question 5

A Lessee enters into a non-cancellable lease contract with a Lessor to lease a building. Initially, the lease is for five years, and the lessee has the option to extend the lease by another five years at the same rental.

To determine the lease term, the lessee considers the following factors:

- Market rentals for a comparable building in the same area are expected to increase by 10% over the ten-year period covered by the lease. At inception of the lease, lease rentals are in accordance with current market rents.
- ♦ The lessee intends to stay in business in the same area for at least 20 years.
- ♦ The location of the building is ideal for relationships with suppliers and customers. What should be the lease term for lease accounting under Ind AS 116?

#### Answer 5

After considering all the stated factors, the lessee concludes that it has a significant economic incentive to extend the lease.

Thus, for the purpose of lease accounting under Ind AS 116, the lessee uses a lease term of ten years.

#### **Question 6**

A Lessee enters into a lease of a five-year-old machine. The non-cancellable lease term is 15 years. The lessee has the option to extend the lease after the initial 15-year period for optional periods of 12 months each at market rents. To determine the lease term, the lessee considers the following factors:

- The machine is to be used in manufacturing parts for a type of plane that the lessee expects will remain popular with customers until development and testing of an improved model are completed in approximately 15 years.
- ♦ The cost to install the machine in lessee's manufacturing facility is significant.
- The non-cancellable term of lessee's manufacturing facility lease ends in 19 years, and the lessee has an option to renew that lease for another twelve years.
- ♦ Lessee does not expect to be able to use the machine in its manufacturing process for other types of planes without significant modifications.
- The total remaining life of the machine is 30 years.

What should be the lease term for lease accounting under Ind AS 116?

255

#### Answer 6

The lessee notes that the terms for the optional renewal provide no economic incentive and the cost to install is significant. The lessee has no incentive to make significant modifications to the machine after the initial 15-year period. Therefore, the lessee does not expect to have a business purpose for using the machine after the non-cancellable lease term of 15 years. Thus, the lessee concludes that the lease term consists of the 15-year non-cancellable period only.

# Question 7

A Company leases a manufacturing facility. The lease payments depend on the number of operating hours of the manufacturing facility, i.e., the lessee has to pay  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2,000$  per hour of use. The annual minimum payment is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2,000,000,000$ . The expected usage per year is 20,000 hours. Whether the said payments be included in the calculation of lease liability under Ind AS 116?

#### Answer 7

The said lease contains in-substance fixed payments of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}} 2,00,00,000$  per year, which are included in the initial measurement of the lease liability under Ind AS 116. However, the additional  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}} 2,00,00,000$  that the company expects to pay per year are variable payments that do not depend on an index or rate and, thus, are not included in the initial measurement of the lease liability but, are expensed when the over-use occurs.

#### Question 8

The facilities agreement clearly specifies that it shall be co-existent and coterminous with 'lease agreement'. The facility agreement shall stand terminated automatically on termination or expiry of 'lease agreement'.

Entity X has assessed that the stand-alone price of 'lease agreement' is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1,20,000 per year and stand-alone price of the 'facilities agreement' is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  80,000 per year. Entity X has not elected to apply the practical expedient in paragraph 15 of Ind AS 116 of not to separate non-lease component(s) from lease component(s) and accordingly it separates non-lease components from lease components.

How will Entity X account for lease liability as at the commencement date?

#### Answer 8

Entity X identifies that the contract contains lease of premises and non-lease component of facilities availed. As Entity X has not elected to apply the practical expedient as provided in paragraph 15, it will separate the lease and non-lease components and allocate the total consideration of ₹ 1,70,000 to the lease and non-lease components in the ratio of their relative stand-alone selling prices as follows:

1. Particulars	Stand-alone	% of total Stand-	Allocation of
	Prices	alone Price	consideration

Page **556** 

	₹		₹
Building rent	1,20,000	60%	1,02,000
Service charge	80,000	40%	68,000
Total	2,00,000	100%	1,70,000

As Entity X's incremental borrowing rate is 10%, it discounts lease payments using this rate and the lease liability at the commencement date is calculated as follows:

Year	Lease Payment (A)	Present value factor @ 10% (B)	Present value of lease payments (A x B = C)
Year 1	1,02,000	0.909	92,718
Year 2	1,02,000	0.826	84,252
Year 3	1,02,000	0.751	76,602
Year 4	1,02,000	0.683	69,666
Year 5	1,02,000	0.621	63,342
Year 6	1,02,000	0.564	57,528
Year 7	1,02,000	0.513	52,326
Year 8	1,02,000	0.467	47,634
Year 9	1,02,000	0.424	43,248
Lease Liability at commencement date			5,87,316

Further, ₹ 68,000 allocated to the non-lease component of facility used will be recognised in profit or loss as and when incurred.

# Question 9

Entity X is an Indian entity whose functional currency is Indian Rupee. It has taken a plant on lease from Entity Y for 5 years to use in its manufacturing process for which it has to pay annual rentals in arrears of USD 10,000 every year. On the commencement date, exchange rate was USD = Rs. 68. The average rate for Year 1 was Rs. 69 and at the end of year 1, the exchange rate was Rs. 70. The incremental borrowing rate of Entity X on commencement of the lease for a USD borrowing was 5% p.a. How will entity X measure the right of use (ROU) asset and lease liability initially and at the end of Year 1?

# Answer 9

On initial measurement, Entity X will measure the lease liability and ROU asset as under:

Year	Leas Payments	Present Value	Present Value	Conversio n rate (spot	INR value
	(USD)		of Lease	rate)	
		<b>@</b> 5%	Payment		
1	10,000	0.952	9,520	68	6,47,360
2	10,000	0.907	9,070	68	6,16,760
3	10,000	0.864	8,640	68	5,87,520
4	10,000	0.823	8,230	68	5,59,640
5	10,000	0.784	7,840	68	5,33,120
Total			43,300		29,44,40
					0

As per Ind AS 21 The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates, monetary assets

and liabilities are restated at each reporting date at the closing rate and the difference due to foreign exchange movement is recognised in profit and loss whereas non-monetary assets and liabilities carried measured in terms of historical cost in foreign currency are not restated.

Accordingly, the ROU asset in the given case being a non-monetary asset measured in terms of historical cost in foreign currency will not be restated but the lease liability being a monetary liability will be restated at each reporting date with the resultant difference being taken to profit and loss.

At the end of Year 1, the lease liability will be measured in terms of USD as under:

Lease Liability:

	(USD)	Lease Payment (b)	<b>5%</b>	Closing Value (USD) (d = a + c - b)
1	43,300	10,000	2,165	35,465

Interest at the rate of 5% will be accounted for in profit and loss at average rate of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  69 (i.e., USD 2,165 x 69) =  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  1,49,385.

Particulars		Dr. (₹)	Cr. (₹)
Interest Expense	Dr.	1,49,38	
To Lease liability		5	1,49,38 5

Lease payment would be accounted for at the reporting date exchange rate, i.e.  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  70 at the end of year 1

Particulars		Dr. (₹)	Cr. (₹)
Lease liability	Dr.	7,00,00	
To Cash		0	7,00,00 0

As per the guidance above under Ind AS 21, the lease liability will be restated using the reporting date exchange rate i.e., ₹ 70 at the end of Year 1. Accordingly, the lease liability will be measured at ₹ 24,82,550 (35,465 x ₹ 70) with the corresponding impact due to exchange rate movement of ₹ 88,765 (24,82,550 – (29,44,400 + 1,49,385 – 700,000) taken to profit and loss.

At the end of year 1, the ROU asset will be measured as under:

Year	Opening Balance (₹)	Depreciation (₹)	Closing Balance (₹)
1	29,44,400	5,88,880	23,55,520

# Question 10 (Illustration)

#### Scenario A:

A lessee enters into a lease with a nine-month non-cancellable term with an option to extend the lease for four months. The lease does not have a purchase option. At the lease commencement date, the lessee is reasonably certain to exercise the extension option because the monthly lease payments during the extension period are significantly below market rates. Whether the lessee can take a short-term exemption in accordance with Ind AS 116? Scenario B:

Assume the same facts as Scenario A except, at the lease commencement date, the lessee is not reasonably certain to exercise the extension option because the monthly lease payments during the optional extension period are at what the lessee expects to be market rates and there are no other factors that would make exercise of the renewal option reasonably certain. Will your answer be different in this case?

#### Answer 10

#### Scenario A:

As the lessee is reasonably certain to exercise the extension option (Refer section 3.2 lease term), the lease term is greater than 12 months (i.e., 13 months). Therefore, the lessee will not account for the lease as a short-term lease.

#### Scenario B:

In **this** case, the lease term is less than 12 months, i.e., nine months. Thus, the lessee may account for the said lease under the short-term lease exemption, i.e., it recognises lease payments as an expense on either a straight-line basis over the lease term or another systematic basis.

#### Question 11 (Illustration)

Customer XYZ enters into a ten-year contract with Supplier ABC for the use of rolling stock specifically designed for Customer XYZ.

The rolling stock is designed to transport materials used in Customer XYZ's production process and is not suitable for use by other customers. The rolling stock is not explicitly specified in the contract but, Supplier ABC owns only one rolling stock that is suitable for Customer XYZ's use. If the rolling stock does not operate properly, the contract requires Supplier ABC to repair or replace the rolling stock. Whether there is an identified asset?

#### Answer 11

Yes, the said rolling stock is an identified asset.

Though the rolling stock is not explicitly specified in the contract (e.g., by serial number), it is implicitly specified because Supplier ABC must use it to fulfil the contract.

#### Question 12 (Illustration)

Customer XYZ enters into a ten-year contract with Supplier ABC for the use of a car. The specification of the car is specified in the contract (i.e., brand, type, colour, options, etc.). At inception of the contract, the car is not yet built.

Whether there is an identified asset?

# Answer 12

Yes, the said car is an identified asset.

Though the car cannot be identified at inception of the contract, it is implicitly specified at the time the same will be made available to Customer XYZ.

# Question 13 (Illustration)

#### Scenario A:

An electronic data storage provider (supplier) provides services through a centralised data centre that involve the use of a specified server (Server No. 10). The supplier maintains many identical servers in a single accessible location and determines, at inception of the contract, that it is permitted to and can easily substitute another server without the customer's consent throughout the period of use.

Further, the supplier would benefit economically from substituting an alternative asset, because doing this would allow the supplier to optimise the performance of

Page **559** 

its network at only a nominal cost. In addition, the supplier has made clear that it has negotiated this right of substitution as an important right in the arrangement, and the substitution right affected the pricing of the arrangement. Whether the substitution rights are substantive and whether there is an identified asset?

#### Scenario B:

Assume the same facts as in Scenario A except that Server No. 10 is customised, and the supplier does not have the practical ability to substitute the customised asset throughout the period of use. Additionally, it is unclear whether the supplier would benefit economically from sourcing a similar alternative asset.

Whether the substitution rights are substantive and whether there is an identified asset?

#### **Answer 13**

#### Scenario A:

The customer does not have the right to use an identified asset because, at the inception of the contract, the supplier has the practical ability to substitute the server and would benefit economically from such a substitution. Thus, there is no identified asset.

However, if the customer could not readily determine whether the supplier had a substantive substitution right (**for e.g.**, there is insufficient transparency into the supplier's operations), the customer would **presume** the substitution right is not substantive and conclude that there is an identified asset.

#### Scenario B:

The substitution right is not substantive, and Server No. 10 would be an identified asset because the supplier does not have the practical ability to substitute the asset and there is no evidence of economic benefit to the supplier for substituting the asset. In this case, neither of the conditions of a substitution right is met (whereas both the conditions must be met for the supplier to have a substantive substitution right). Therefore, Server No 10 will be considered as an identified asset.

#### **Question 14 (Illustration)**

Customer XYZ enters into a 15-year contract with Supplier ABC for the right to use five fibres within a fibre optic cable between Mumbai and Pune. The contract identifies five of the cable's 25 fibres for use by Customer XYZ. The five fibres are dedicated solely to Customer XYZ's data for the duration of the contract term. Assume that Supplier ABC does not have a substantive substitution right. Whether there is an identified asset?

#### Answer 14

Yes, the said five fibres are identified assets because they are physically distinct and explicitly specified in the contract.

# Question 15 (Illustration)

#### Scenario A:

Customer XYZ enters into a ten-year contract with Supplier ABC for the right to transport oil from India to Bangladesh through Supplier ABC's pipeline. The contract provides that Customer XYZ will have the right to use of 95% of the pipeline's capacity throughout the term of the arrangement. Whether there is an identified asset?

#### Scenario B:

Assume the same facts as in Scenario A, except that Customer XYZ has the right to use 65% of the pipeline's capacity throughout the term of the arrangement. Whether there is an identified asset?

 $_{\text{Page}}560$ 

#### Answer 15

# Scenario A:

Yes, the capacity portion of the pipeline is an identified asset.

While 95% of the pipeline's capacity is not physically distinct from the remaining capacity of the pipeline, it represents **substantially all of the capacity** of the entire pipeline and thereby provides

Customer XYZ with the **right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits** from use of the pipeline.

#### Scenario B:

No, the capacity portion of the pipeline is **NOT** an identified asset.

Since 65% of the pipeline's capacity is **less than substantially all** of the capacity of the pipeline, Customer XYZ does **not have the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits** from use of the pipeline.

#### Question 16 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd enters into a contract with XYZ Ltd, which grants ABC Ltd exclusive rights to use a specific grain storage facility over a five-year period in the months of May and June. During these months, ABC Ltd has the right to decide which crops are placed in storage and when to remove them. XYZ Ltd provides the loading and unloading services for the warehouse activities. During the other ten months each year, XYZ Ltd has the right to determine how the warehouse will be used. Which party has the right to control the use of the identified asset during the period of use?

#### Answer 16

In the above case, ABC Ltd has the right to control the use of the identified asset during the period of use because they have the power to determine how the warehouse will be used during the contractually defined usage periods. The analysis should focus on the rights and economics of the use of the warehouse for the specified usage periods (May and June). During the period of use, ABC Ltd has the rights to determine how much of a crop to place in storage, and the timing of placing and removing it from storage. These rights are more significant to the economics of the use of the asset than the loading and unloading services performed by XYZ Ltd during the same period. ABC Ltd receives all of the economic benefit from use of the asset during those specified time periods. Therefore, contract contains a lease for the specified period of term.

#### Question 17 (Illustration)

Company MNO enters into a 15-year contract with Power Company PQR to purchase all of the electricity produced by a new solar farm. PQR owns the solar farm and will receive tax credits relating to the construction and ownership of the solar farm, and MNO will receive renewable energy credits that accrue from use of the solar farm.

Who has the right to substantial benefits from the solar farm? Answer 17

Company MNO has the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the solar farm over the 15-year period because it obtains:

- ♦ the electricity produced by the farm over the lease term i.e. the primary product from use of the asset; and
- ♦ the renewable energy credits i.e. the by-product from use of the asset. Although PQR receives economic benefits from the solar farm in the form of tax credits, these economic benefits relate to the ownership of the solar farm. The

tax credits do not relate to use of the solar farm and therefore are not considered in this assessment.

#### Question 18 (Illustration)

Customer X enters into a contract with Supplier Y to use a vehicle for a fiveyear period. The vehicle is identified in the contract. Supplier Y cannot substitute another vehicle unless the specified vehicle is not operational (for e.g., if it breaks down). Under the contract:

- Customer X operates the vehicle (i.e., drives the vehicle) or directs others to operate the vehicle (for e.g., hires a driver).
- Customer X decides how to use the vehicle (within contractual limitations). For example, throughout the period of use, Customer X decides where the vehicle goes, as well as when or whether it is used and what it is used for. Customer X can also change these decisions throughout the period of use.
- Supplier Y prohibits certain uses of the vehicle (for e.g., moving it overseas) and modifications to the vehicle to protect its interest in the asset.

Whether Customer X has the right to direct the use of the vehicle throughout the period of lease?

#### Answer 18

Yes, Customer X has the right to direct the use of the identified vehicle throughout the period of use because it has the **right to change** how the vehicle is used, when or whether the vehicle is used, where the vehicle goes and what the vehicle is used for.

Supplier Y's limits on certain uses for the vehicle and modifications to it are considered **protective rights** that define the scope of Customer X's use of the asset, but do not affect the assessment of whether Customer X directs the use of the asset.

#### Question 19 (Illustration)

Entity A contracts with Supplier H to manufacture parts in a facility. Entity A designed the facility and provided its specifications. Supplier H owns the facility and the land. Entity A specifies how many parts it needs and when it needs the parts to be available. Supplier H operates the machinery and makes all operating decisions including how and when the parts are to be produced, as long as it meets the contractual requirements to deliver the specified number on the specified date. Assuming supplier H cannot substitute the facility and hence is an identified asset. Which party has the right to control the use of the identified asset (i.e., equipment) during the period of use?

#### Answer 19

Entity A does not direct the use of the asset that most significantly drives the economic benefits because Supplier H determines how and when the equipment is operated once the contract is signed. Therefore, Supplier H has the right to control the use of the identified asset during the period of use. Although Entity A stipulates the product to be provided and has input into the initial decisions regarding the use of the asset through its involvement in the design of the asset, it does not have decision making rights over how and for what purpose the asset will be used over the asset during the period of use. This arrangement is a supply agreement, not a lease.

#### Question 20 (Illustration)

Entity L enters into a five—year contract with Company A, a ship owner, for the use of an identified ship. Entity L decides whether and what cargo will be transported, and when and to which ports the ship will sail throughout the

Page **562** 

period of use, subject to restrictions specified in the contract. These restrictions prevent Entity L from sailing the ship into waters at a high risk of piracy or carrying explosive materials as cargo. Company A operates and maintains the ship, and is responsible for safe passage.

Who has the right to direct the use of the ship during the period of use?

#### Answer 20

Entity L has the right to direct the use of the ship. The contractual restrictions are protective rights. In the scope of its right of use, Entity L determines how and for what purpose the ship is used throughout the five — year period because it decides whether, where and when the ship sails, as well as the cargo that it will transport. Entity L has the right to change these decisions throughout the period of use. Therefore, the contract contains a lease.

#### Question 21 (Illustration)

#### Scenario A:

A lessee enters a lease of an excavator and the related accessories (for e.g., excavator attachments) that are used for mining purposes. The lessee is a local mining company that intends to use the excavator at a copper mine. How many lease and non-lease components are there?

#### Scenario B:

Assume the same facts as in Scenario A, except that the contract also conveys the right to use an additional loading truck. This loading truck could be deployed by the lessee for other uses (for e.g., to transport iron ores at another mine).

#### Answer 21

#### Scenario A:

The lessee would be unable to benefit from the use of the excavator without also using the accessories. Therefore, the excavator is dependent upon the accessories. Thus, from the perspective of the lessee, the contract contains one lease component.

#### Scenario B:

The lessee can benefit from the loading truck on its own or together with other readily available resources because the loading truck could be deployed for other uses independent of the excavator. The lessee can also benefit from the use of the excavator on its own or together with other readily available resources. Thus, from the perspective of the lessee, the contract contains two lease components, viz., a lease of the excavator (together with the accessories) and a lease of the loading truck.

#### Question 22 (Illustration)

Entity L rents an office building from Landlord M for a term of 10 years. The rental contract stipulates that the office is fully furnished and has a newly installed and tailored HVAC system. It also requires Landlord M to perform all common area maintenance (CAM) during the term of the arrangement. Entity L makes single monthly rental payment and does not pay for the maintenance separately. The office building has a useful life of 40 years and the HVAC system and office furniture each has a life of 15 years.

What are the units of account in the lease?

#### Answer 22

There are three components in the arrangement – the building assets (office building and HVAC), the office furniture, and the maintenance agreement.

The office building and HVAC system are one lease component because they cannot function independently of each other. The HVAC system was designed and tailored

 $P_{\text{age}}563$ 

specifically to be integrated into the office building and cannot be removed and used in another building without incurring substantial costs. These building assets are a lease component because they are identified assets for which Entity L directs the use.

The office furniture functions independently and can be used on its own. It is also a lease component because it is a group of distinct assets for which Entity L directs the use.

The maintenance agreement is a non-lease component because it is a contract for service and not for the use of a specified asset.

# Question 23 (Illustration)

#### Scenario A:

A lessee enters into a five-year lease of equipment, with fixed annual payments of  $\mathbf{\xi}$  10,000. The contract contains fixed annual payments as follows:  $\mathbf{\xi}$  8,000 for rent,  $\mathbf{\xi}$  1,500 for maintenance and  $\mathbf{\xi}$  500 of administrative tasks. How the consideration would be allocated?

#### Scenario B:

Assume the fact pattern as in scenario A except that, in addition, the contract requires the lessee to pay for the restoration of the equipment to its original condition. How the consideration would be allocated?

#### Answer 23

#### Scenario A:

The contract contains two components, viz., a lease component (lease of equipment) and a non-lease component (maintenance). The amount paid for administrative tasks does not transfer a good or service to the lessee.

Assuming that the lessee does not elect to use the practical expedient as per para 15 of Ind AS 116, both the lessee and the lessor account for the lease of equipment and maintenance components separately and the administration charge is included in the total consideration to be allocated between those components. Therefore, the total consideration in the contract of  $\ref{total}$  50,000 will be allocated to the lease component (equipment) and the non-lease component (maintenance).

#### Scenario B:

The contract still contains two components, viz., a lease component (lease of equipment) and a non-lease component (maintenance). Similar to the amount paid for administrative tasks, the restoration does not transfer a good or service to the lessee as it is only performed at the end of the lease term.

Therefore, the total consideration in the contract of ₹ 50,000 will be allocated to the lease component (equipment) and the non-lease component (maintenance).

# Question 24 (Illustration)

A lessee enters into a lease of an equipment. The contract stipulates the lessor will perform maintenance of the leased equipment and receive consideration for that maintenance service. The contract includes the following fixed prices for the lease and non-lease component:

Lease	₹ 80,000
Maintenance	₹ 10,000
Total	₹ 90,000

Assume the stand-alone prices cannot be readily observed, so the lessee makes estimates, maximising the use of observable information, of the lease and non-lease components, as follows:

Lease	₹	₹	
-------	---	---	--

	85,000
Maintenance	₹
	15,000
Total	₹
	1,00,000

In the given scenario, assuming lessee has not opted the practical expedient, how will the lessee allocate the consideration to lease and non-lease component?

#### Answer 24

The stand-alone price for the lease component represents 85 $/$ ₹ 1,00,000) of total estimated stand-alone prices. The less consideration in the contract (i.e., ₹ 90,000), as follows:	•
Lease	* ₹

Lease		* ₹
	,	76,500
Maintenance	** ₹	13,50
		0
Total		₹
	9	00,000
* ₹ 90,000 x ** ₹ 90,000 x 15%		
85%		

# Question 25 (Illustration)

#### Scenario A:

Entity ABC enters into a lease for equipment that includes a non-cancellable term of six years and a two-year fixed-priced renewal option with future lease payments that are intended to approximate market rates at lease inception. There are no termination penalties or other factors indicating that Entity ABC is reasonably certain to exercise the renewal option. What is the lease term? Scenario B:

Entity XYZ enters into a lease for a building that includes a non-cancellable term of eight years and a two-year, market-priced renewal option. Before it takes possession of the building, Entity XYZ pays for leasehold improvements. The leasehold improvements are expected to have significant value at the end of eight years, and that value can only be realised through continued occupancy of the leased property. What is the lease term?

#### Scenario C:

Entity PQR enters into a lease for an identified retail space in a shopping centre. The retail space will be available to Entity PQR for only the months of October, November and December during a non-cancellable term of seven years. The lessor agrees to provide the same retail space for each of the seven years. What is the lease term?

#### Answer 25

# Scenario A:

At the lease commencement date, the lease term is six years (being the non-cancellable period). The renewal period of two years is not taken into consideration since Entity ABC is not reasonably certain to exercise the option because there are no penalties or other factors which indicate that the entity will opt for renewal of lease.

#### Scenario B:

At the lease commencement, Entity XYZ determines that it is reasonably certain to

Page **565** 

exercise the renewal option because it would suffer a significant economic penalty if it abandoned the leasehold improvements at the end of the initial non-cancellable period of eight years. Thus, at the lease commencement, Entity XYZ concludes that the lease term is ten years (being eight years of non-cancellable period plus the renewal period of two years where the lessee is reasonably certain to exercise the option).

# Scenario C:

At the lease commencement date, the lease term is 21 months (three months per year over the seven annual periods as specified in the contract), i.e., the period over which Entity PQR controls the right to use the underlying asset.

#### Question 26 (Illustration)

Retailer M enters into a five-year lease for a building floor, followed by two successive five-year renewal options. On the commencement date, Retailer M is not reasonably certain to exercise the extension option. At the end of third year, Retailer M extended to include another floor from year 4 due to a business acquisition. For this purpose, the lessee concludes a separate seven-year lease for an additional floor in the building already leased. Is Retailer M required to reassess the lease term in this case?

#### Answer 26

Ind AS 116 requires a lessee to reassess the lease term if there is change in business decision—of the company which is directly relevant to exercising or not exercising an option to renew / extend the lease. In the given case, the Retailer M at the end of third year has extended to include another floor in the same building on account of acquiring another company. As Retailer M has entered into fresh lease of another floor for a seven-year term, it is reasonably certain to exercise the renewal option of original lease for a further five-year term. Hence Retailer M will have to reassess the lease term at the end of third year.

#### **Question 27 (Illustration)**

Company N has taken 10 vehicles on lease for an initial period of 5 years with an extension option at the option of the lessee for a further period of 5 years at the same rental amount. The remaining useful life of the vehicles as on the commencement date of the lease is 15 years. Company N has determined at the commencement date that it is reasonably certain to exercise the extension option and hence it has taken a period of 10 years for the lease. At the end of 4th year, there is an announcement by the government that all the cars of this particular model have to be discontinued from the road within 1 year due to the change in the pollution norms in the country. Will the lease term be reassessed in this case?

#### Answer 27

In the given case, as per Ind AS 116, the announcement by the government to discontinue the use of the underlying asset will prohibit the lessee from exercising the extension option that was already included in the non-cancellable period by Company N and hence, Company N will reassess the non-cancellable period to exclude the extension option of 5 years.

#### **Question 28 (Illustration)**

Entity M and Lessor A enter into a 10-year lease of an office building for fixed annual lease payments of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  200,000. Per the terms of the lease agreement, annual fixed lease payments comprise  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  170,000 for rent and  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  30,000 for real estate taxes. What are the fixed lease payments for purposes of classifying the lease?

Page **566** 

#### **Answer 28**

The fixed lease payments are  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}} 2,00,000$ . Although real estate taxes are explicitly stated in the lease contract, they do not represent a separate non-lease component as they do not provide a separate good or service. The right to use the office building is the only component. The annual lease payments of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}} 2,00,000$  represent payments related to that single lease component.

# Question 29 (Illustration)

Entity Q enters into a seven-year lease for a piece of machinery. The contract sets out the lease payments as follows.

- If Q uses the machinery within a given month, then an amount of 2,000 accrues for that month.
- If Q does not use the machinery within a given month, then an amount of 1,000 accrues for that month.

What is considered as lease payment in this case?

#### **Answer 29**

Q considers the contract and notes that although the lease payments contain variability based on usage, and there is a realistic possibility that Q may not use the machinery in some months, a monthly payment of 1,000 is unavoidable. Accordingly, this is an insubstance fixed payment, and is included in the measurement of the lease liability.

#### Question 30 (Illustration)

Entity P enters into a five-year lease for office space with Entity Q. The initial base rent is  $\overline{1}$  lakh per month. Rents increase by the greater of 1% of Entity P's generated sales or 2% of the previous rental rate on each anniversary of the lease commencement date. What are the lease payments for purposes of measuring lease liability?

#### Answer 30

In the given case, the lease payments for purposes of classifying the lease are the fixed monthly payments of  $\ref{thm}$  1 lakh plus the minimum annual increase of 2% of the previous rental rate. Entity P is required to pay no less than a 2% increase regardless of the level of sales activity; therefore, this minimum level of increase is in substance fixed lease payment.

#### Question 31 (Illustration)

Company N leases a production line. The lease payments depend on the number of operating hours of the production line – i.e., N has to pay ₹ 1,000 per hour of use. The annual minimum payment is ₹ 10,00,000. The expected usage per year is 1,500 hours.

#### Answer 31

The lease contains in substance fixed payments of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  10,00,000 per year, which are included in the initial measurement of the lease liability. The additional  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  5,00,000 that Company N expects to pay per year are variable payments that do not depend on an index or a rate but usage.

#### Question 32 (Illustration)

An entity enters into a 10-year lease of property. The lease payment for the first year is ₹ 1,000. The lease payments are linked to the consumer price index (CPI), i.e., not a floating interest rate. The CPI at the beginning of the

first year is 100. Lease payments are updated at the end of every second year. At the end of year one, the CPI is 105. At the end of year two, the CPI is 108. What should be included in lease payments?

#### Answer 32

At the lease commencement date, the lease payments are ₹ 1,000 per year for 10 years. The entity does not take into consideration the potential future changes in the index. At the end of year one, the payments have not changed and hence, the liability is not updated.

At the end of year two, when the lease payments change, the entity updates the remaining eight lease payments to  $\sqrt{1,080}$  per year (i.e.,  $\sqrt{1,000}$  / 100 x 108).

#### Question 34 (Illustration)

Entity XYZ is a medical equipment manufacturer and a supplier of the related consumables. Customer ABC operates a medical centre. Under the agreement entered into by both parties, Entity XYZ grants Customer ABC the right to use a medical laboratory machine at no cost and Customer ABC purchases consumables for use in the equipment from Entity XYZ at ₹ 100 each.

The consumables can only be used for that equipment and Customer ABC cannot use other consumables as substitutes. There is no minimum purchase amount required in the contract.

Based on its historical experience, Customer ABC estimates that it is highly likely to purchase at least 8,000 units of consumables annually. Customer ABC has appropriately assessed that the arrangement contains a lease of medical equipment. There are no residual value guarantees or other forms of consideration included in the contract. Whether these payments affect the calculation of lease liability and ROU Asset? How does Entity XYZ and Customer ABC would allocate these lease payments?

#### Answer 34

There are two components in the arrangement, viz., a lease of equipment and the purchase of consumables.

Even though Customer ABC may believe that it is highly unlikely to purchase lesser than 8,000 units of consumables every year, in this example, there are no lease payments for purposes of initial measurement (for Entity XYZ and Customer ABC) and lease classification (for Entity XYZ).

Entity XYZ and Customer ABC would allocate the payments associated with the future payments to the lease and consumables component of the contract (assuming Customer ABC does not elect to combine lease and non-lease components for this class of asset).

If Customer ABC elects the practical expedient not to separate the associated non-lease component from the lease component and instead accounts for the lease component and the non-lease component as a single lease component, the future payments for the consumables will still constitute genuine variability. Hence there will also be no lease payments for purposes of initial measurement.

#### Question 35 (Illustration)

Entity A enters into a five-year lease of an office building. The lease payments are ₹ 5,00,000 per year and the contract includes an additional water charge calculated as ₹ 0.50 per litre consumed. Payments are due at the end of year. Entity A elects to apply the practical expedient to combine lease and non-lease components

**Answer 35** 

Page 568

As stated above, payments are due at the end of the year. Entity A elects to apply the practical expedient not to separate lease and non-lease components.

At the commencement date, Entity A measures the lease liability as the present value of the fixed lease payments (i.e. five annual payments of 5,00,000). Although Entity A has elected to apply the practical expedient to combine non-lease components (i.e. water charges) with the lease component, Entity A excludes the non-lease component from its lease liability because they are variable payments that depend on usage. That is, the nature of the costs does not become fixed just because Entity A has elected not to separate them from the fixed lease payments. Entity A recognises the payments for water – as a variable lease payment – in profit or loss when they are incurred.

In contrast, if B does not elect to apply the practical expedient to combine lease and non-lease components, then it recognises the payments for water – as an operating expense – in profit or loss when they are incurred.

# Question 36 (Illustration)

An entity (a lessee) enters into a lease and guarantees that the lessor will realise  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  20,000 from selling the asset to another party at the end of the lease. At lease commencement, based on the lessee's estimate of the residual value of the underlying asset, the lessee determines that it expects that it will owe  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  8,000 at the end of the lease. Whether the lessee should include the said payment of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  8,000 as a lease payment?

#### Answer 36

The lessee should include the amount of ₹ 8,000 as a lease payment because it is expected that it will owe the same to the lessor under the residual value guarantee.

# Question 37 (Illustration)

Entity L enters into a lease for 10 years, with a single lease payment payable at the beginning of each year. The initial lease payment is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  100,000. Lease payments will increase by the rate of LIBOR each year. At the date of commencement of the lease, LIBOR is 2 per cent.

Assume that the interest rate implicit in the lease is 5 per cent. How lease liability is initially measured?

#### Answer 38

In the given case, the lease payments depend on a rate (i.e., LIBOR) and hence is included in measuring lease liability. As per Ind AS 116, the lease payments should initially be measured using the rate (i.e. LIBOR) as at the commencement date. LIBOR at that date is 2 per cent; therefore, in measuring the lease liability, it is assumed that each year the payments will increase by 2 per cent, as follows:

Year	Lease	Discount factor @	PV of lease
	Payment	5%	payments
1	1,00,000	1	100,000
2	1,02,000	0.952	97,102
3	1,04,040	0.907	94,364
4	1,06,121	0.864	91,689
5	1,08,243	0.823	89,084
6	1,10,408	0.784	86,560
7	1,12,616	0.746	84,012
8	1,14,869	0.711	81,672

9	1,17,166	0.677	79,321
10	1,19,509	0.645	77,083
			8,80,887

Therefore, the lease liability is initially measured at ₹8,80,887

# Question 39 (Illustration)

Entity Y and Entity Z execute a 12-year lease of a railcar with the following terms on 1 January, 20X1:

- The lease commencement date is 1 February 20X1.
- ♦ Entity Y must pay Entity Z the first monthly rental payment of ₹ 10,000 upon execution of the lease.
- ♦ Entity Z will pay Entity Y ₹ 50,000 cash incentive to enter into the lease payable upon lease execution.

Entity Y incurred  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  1,000 of initial direct costs, which are payable on 1 February 20X1. Entity Y calculated the initial lease liability as the present value of the lease payments discounted using its incremental borrowing rate because the rate implicit in the lease could not be readily determined; the initial lease liability is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  8,50,000. How would Lessee Company measure and record this lease?

#### Answer 39

Entity Y would calculate the right-of-use asset as follows:

₹

Initial measurement of right-of-use asset	8,11,000
Initial direct cost	1,000
Lease incentives received from Entity Z	(50,000)
commencement date	
Lease payments made to Entity Z at or before the	10,000
Initial measurement of lease liability	8,50,000

#### Question 40 (Illustration)

Company H leases an aircraft for a period of 5 years. The aircraft must undergo a planned check after every 100,000 flight hours. At the end of the lease, company H must have a check performed (or refund the costs to the lessor), irrespective of the actual number of flight hours. What are the lease payments for purposes of calculating ROU asset?

#### **Answer 40**

In the given case, the legal requirement to perform a check after every 1,00,000 flight hours does not directly lead to an obligation as it depends on future circumstances. However, as the check must be carried out at the end of the lease irrespective of the actual number of flight hours gives rise to an obligation.

As a result, company H has to recognize a provision for the costs of the final check ("present value of the expected cost") at the beginning of the lease term. At the same time, these costs must be included in the cost of the right-of-use (ROU) asset pursuant to para 24 (d) of Ind AS 116.

# Question 41 (Illustration)

Entity ABC (lessee) enters into a three-year lease of equipment. Entity ABC agrees to make the following annual payments at the end of each year:

₹ 20,000 in year one

₹ 30,000 in year two

0/5

# ₹ 50,000 in year three.

For simplicity purposes, there are no other elements to the lease payments (like purchase options, lease incentives from the lessor or initial direct costs). Assumed a discount rate of 12% (which is Entity ABC's incremental borrowing rate because the interest rate implicit in the lease cannot be readily determined). Entity ABC depreciates the ROU Asset on a straight-line basis over the lease term. How would Entity ABC would account for the said lease under Ind AS 116?

#### Answer 41

At the commencement date, Entity ABC would initially recognise ROU Asset and the corresponding Lease Liability of ₹ 77,364 which is calculated as follows:

Year	Payments (Cash flows)	Discounting Factor @12%	Discounted flows / Value	Cash Present
1	20,000	0.8929		17,858
2	30,000	0.7972		23,916
3	50,000	0.7118		35,590
	1,00,000			77,364

Then, the next step would be to prepare a schedule for Lease Liability and ROU Asset as follows: **Lease Liability** 

Year	Opening balance	Interest Expense	Payment s	Closing balance
1	77,364	9,284	(20,000)	66,648
2	66,648	7,998	(30,000)	44,646
3	44,646	5,354*	(50,000)	-

<sup>\*</sup> Difference of ₹ 4 is due to approximation.

# ROU Asset (assuming no lease incentives, no initial direct costs, etc.):

Year	Opening balance		Closing balance
1	77,364	(25,788)	51,576
2	51,576	(25,788)	25,788
3	25,788	(25,788)	-

At lease commencement, Entity ABC would recognise the Lease Liability and the corresponding ROU Asset as follows:

ROU Asset	Dr.	77,364	
To Lease Lia	ability		77,364
To initially recogni	se the Lease Liabilit	y and the correspor	nding ROU Asset

# The following journal entries would be recorded in the first year:

Interest Expense	Dr.	9,28	34	
To Lease Liabil	ity			9,284
To record interest e	-		liability usir	ng the
Depreciation Expens	e Dr.	25,78	38	

,age 571

To ROU Asset					25,	,788
To record interest expense and straight line method (₹ 77,364 /		lease	lia	bility	using	the
Lease Liability Dr.		20,0	000			
To Cash / Bank					20	,000
To record lease payment						

Following is the summary of the said lease contract's accounting (assuming no changes due to reassessment):

Particulars	Initially	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Cash lease payments		20,000	30,000	50,000
Lease Expense Recognised:				
Interest Expense		9,284	7,998	5,354
Depreciation Expense		25,788	25,788	25,788
Total Periodic Expense		35,072	33,786	31,142
Balance Sheet:				
ROU Asset	77,364	51,576	25,788	_
Lease Liability	(77,364)	(66,648)	(44,646)	-

#### Question 42(Illustration)

Company EFG enters into a property lease with Entity H. The initial term of the lease is 10 years with a 5- year renewal option. The economic life of the property is 40 years and the fair value of the leased property is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  50 Lacs. Company EFG has an option to purchase the property at the end of the lease term for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  30 lacs. The first advance annual payment is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  5 lacs with an increase of 3% every year thereafter. The implicit rate of interest is 9.04%. Entity H gives Company EFG an incentive of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  2 lacs (payable at the beginning of year 2), which is to be used for normal tenant improvement. Company EFG is reasonably certain to exercise that purchase option. How would EFG measure the right-of-use asset and lease liability over the lease term?

#### Answer 42

As per Ind AS 116, Company EFG would first calculate the lease liability as the present value of the annual lease payments, less the lease incentive paid in year 2, plus the exercise price of the purchase option using the rate implicit in the lease of approximately 9.04%.

	(approx.)
Total lease liability	₹ 49,99,648 or ₹ 50,00,000
(W.N. 2)	
PV of purchase option at end of lease term	₹ 12,60,000
(W.N. 1)	
PV of lease payments, less lease incentive	₹ 37,39,648

The right-of-use asset is equal to the lease liability because there is no adjustment required for initial direct costs incurred by Company EFG, lease payments made at or before the lease commencement date, or lease incentives received prior to the lease commencement date.

Entity EFG would record the following journal entry on the lease commencement date.

Right-of-use Asset	Dr.	₹ 50,00,000	
To Lease Liability			₹
-			50,00,000
To record ROU asset and lease liability at the commencement date.			

Since the purchase option is reasonably certain to be exercised, EFG would amortize the right-of- use asset over the economic life of the underlying asset (40 years). Annual amortization expense would be ₹ 1,25,000 (₹ 50,00,000 / 40 years)

Interest expense on the lease liability would be calculated as shown in the following table. This table includes all expected cash flows during the lease term, including the lease incentive paid by Entity H and Company EFG's purchase option.

Year	Payment	Principal	Interest	Interest	Lease
		paid at the beginning of the year	-	expense	Liability (end of the year
	a	b= a-c	c = (d of pvs.	d = [(e of pvs. year- a)	` -
			Year)	$\begin{bmatrix} y & y & y & y \\ x & 9.04\% \end{bmatrix}$	rear · u – aj
Commence ment					50,00,000
Year 1	5,00,000	5,00,000	-	4,06,800	49,06,800
Year 2	3,15,000*	(91,800)	4,06,800	4,15,099	50,06,899
Year 3	5,30,450	1,15,351	4,15,099	4,04,671	48,81,120
Year 4	5,46,364	1,41,693	4,04,671	3,91,862	47,26,618
Year 5	5,62,754	1,70,892	3,91,862	3,76,413	45,40,277
Commence ment					50,00,000
Year 1	5,00,000	5,00,000	-	4,06,800	49,06,800
Year 2	3,15,000*	(91,800)	4,06,800	4,15,099	50,06,899
Year 3	5,30,450	1,15,351	4,15,099	4,04,671	48,81,120
Year 4	5,46,364	1,41,693	4,04,671	3,91,862	47,26,618
Year 5	5,62,754	1,70,892	3,91,862	3,76,413	45,40,277

The discount rate for year 10 is different in the above calculations because in the earlier one its beginning of year 10 and in the later one its end of the year 10.

# Question 43 (Illustration)

Entity W entered into a contract for lease of retail store with Entity J on January 01/01/20X1. The initial term of the lease is 5 years with a renewal option of further 3 years. The annual payments for initial term and renewal term is ₹ 100,000 and ₹ 110,000 respectively. The annual lease payment will increase based on the annual increase in the CPI at the end of the preceding year. For example, the payment due on 01/01/20X2 will be based on the CPI available at 31/12/20X1. Entity W's incremental borrowing rate at the lease inception date and as at 01/01/20X4 is 5% and 6% respectively and the CPI at lease commencement date and as at 01/01/20X4 is 120 and 125 respectively. At the lease commencement date, Entity W did not have a significant economic incentive to exercise the renewal option. In the first quarter of 20X4, Entity W

installed unique lease improvements into the retail store with an estimated fiveyear economic life. Entity W determined that it would only recover the cost of the improvements if it exercises the renewal option, creating a significant economic incentive to extend. Is Entity W required to remeasure the lease in the first quarter of 20X4?

# Answer 43

Since Entity W is now reasonably certain that it will exercise its renewal option, it is required to remeasure the lease in the first quarter of 20X4. The following table summarizes information pertinent to the lease remeasurement.

Remeasured lease term	5 years; 2 years remaining in the initial term plus 3 years in the renewal period
Entity W's incremental borrowing rate On the	
remeasurement date	6%
CPI available on the remeasurement date	125
Right-of-use asset immediately before the	₹ 1,81,840 (Refer note 1)
remeasurement	
Lease liability immediately before the	₹ 1,95,244 (Refer note 1)
remeasurement	

To remeasure the lease liability, Entity W would first calculate the present value of the future lease payments for the new lease term (using the updated discount rate of 6%). The following table shows the present value of the future lease payments based on an updated CPI of 125. Since the initial lease payments were based on a CPI of 120, the CPI has increased by 4.167% approx. As a result, Entity W would increase the future lease payments by 4.167%%. As shown in the table, the revised lease liability is 4.91,376.

Year	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Lease payment	1,04,167	1,04,167	1,14,583	1,14,583	1,14,583	5,52,083
Discount @ 6%	1	0.943	0.890	0.840	0.792	
Present value	1,04,000	98,230	1,01,979	96,250	90,750	4,91,376

To calculate the adjustment to the lease liability, Entity W would compare the recalculated and original lease liability balances on the remeasurement date.

	2,96,132
Original lease liability	(1,95,244)
Revised lease liability	4,91,376

#### Entity W would record the following journal entry to adjust the lease liability.

ROU Asset	Dr.	2,96,1	
		32	
To Lease lia	bility		2,96,1
			32
Being lease liabilit	y and ROU asset adjus	sted on account of	remeasurement.

# **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Calculation of ROU asset before the date of remeasurement

Year beginning	Lease Payment (A)	Present value factor @ 5% (B)	
1	1,00,000	1.000	1,00,000
2	1,00,000	0.952	95,200
3	1,00,000	0.907	90,700
4	1,00,000	0.864	86,400
5	1,00,000	0.823	82,300
Lease liabili	ity as at commend	ement date	4,54,600

# 2. Calculation of Lease Liability and ROU asset at each year end

Year	Year Lease Liability				ROU asset		
	Initial value	Lease paymen ts	Interest expense@ 5%	Closin g balanc	Initia 1 Valu	Depreciati on for 5 years	Closin g balanc
				e	e		e
1	4,54,600	1,00,000	17,730	3,72,330	4,54,600	90,920	3,63,680
2	3,72,330	1,00,000	13,617	2,85,947	3,63,680	90,920	2,72,760
3	2,85,947	1,00,000	9,297	1,95,244	2,72,760	90,920	1,81,840
4	1,95,244				1,81,840		

#### Question 44 (Illustration)

Lessee enters into a 10-year lease for 2,000 square metres of office space. At the beginning of Year 6, Lessee and Lessor agree to amend the original lease for the remaining five years to include an additional 3,000 square metres of office space in the same building. The additional space is made available for use by Lessee at the end of the second quarter of Year 6. The increase in total consideration for the lease is commensurate with the current market rate for the new 3,000 square metres of office space, adjusted for the discount that Lessee receives reflecting that Lessor does not incur costs that it would otherwise have incurred if leasing the same space to a new tenant (for example, marketing costs).

# How should the said modification be accounted for?

#### **Answer 44**

Lessee accounts for the modification as a separate lease, separate from the original 10-year lease because the modification grants Lessee an additional right to use an underlying asset, and the increase in consideration for the lease is commensurate with the stand-alone price of the additional right-of-use adjusted to reflect the circumstances of the contract. In this example, the additional underlying asset is the new 3,000 square metres of office space. Accordingly, at the commencement date of the new lease (at the end of the second quarter of Year 6), Lessee recognises a ROU Asset and a lease liability relating to the lease of the additional 3,000 square metres of office space. Lessee does not make any adjustments to the accounting for the original lease of 2,000 square metres of office space as a result of this modification.

# Question 45 (Illustration)

Lessee enters into a 10-year lease for 5,000 square metres of office space. The annual lease payments are  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  1,00,000 payable at the end of each year. The interest rate implicit in the lease cannot be readily determined. Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the commencement date is 6% p.a. At the beginning of Year 7, Lessee and Lessor agree to amend the original lease by extending the contractual lease term by four years. The annual lease payments are unchanged (i.e.,  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  1,00,000 payable at the end of each year from Year 7 to Year 14). Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the beginning of Year 7 is 7% p.a. How should the said modification be accounted for?

#### Answer 45

At the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 7), Lessee remeasures the lease liability based on:

- (a) An eight-year remaining lease term
- (b) Annual payments of ₹ 1,00,000 and
- (c) Lessee's incremental borrowing rate of 7% p.a.

The modified lease liability equals  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  5,97,100 (W.N.1). The lease liability immediately before the modification (including the recognition of the interest expense until the end of Year 6) is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  3,46,355 (W.N.3). Lessee recognises the difference between the carrying amount of themodified lease liability and the carrying amount of the lease liability immediately before the modification (i.e.,  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,50,745) (W.N. 4) as an adjustment to the ROU Asset.

# **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Calculation of modified lease liability:

Year	Lease Payment	Present value factor	Present value of lease
	(A)	@ 7% (B)	payments (A*B=C)
7	100,000	0.935	93,500
8	100,000	0.873	87,300
9	100,000	0.816	81,600
10	100,000	0.763	76,300
11	100,000	0.713	71,300
12	100,000	0.666	66,600
13	100,000	0.623	62,300
14	100,000	0.582	58,200
Modified le	ease liability		5,97,100

# 2. Calculation of Lease liability as at commencement date:

Year	Lease	Present value factor @ 6% (B)	Present value
	Payment		of lease
	(A)		payments
			$(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C})$
1	100,000	0.943	94,300
2	100,000	0.890	89,000
3	100,000	0.840	84,000
4	100,000	0.792	79,200
5	100,000	0.747	74,700
6	100,000	0.705	70,500
7	100,000	0.665	66,500
8	100,000	0.627	62,700
9	100,000	0.592	59,200
10	100,000	0.558	55,800

926576

Lease liability as at modification date	7,35,900
---	----------

# 3. Calculation of Lease liability immediately before modification date:

Year	Opening lease liability (A)	Interest @ 6% (B) = [A x 6%]	Lease payments (C)	Closing liability (D) = [A+B-C]		
1	7,35,900	44,154	100,000	6,80,054		
2	6,80,054	40,803	100,000	6,20,857		
3	6,20,857	37,251	100,000	5,58,108		
4	5,58,108	33,486	100,000	4,91,594		
5	4,91,594	29,496	100,000	4,21,090		
6	4,21,090	25,265	100,000	3,46,355		
	Lease liability as at modification date					

# 4. Adjustment to ROU asset:

Modified Lease liability	5,97,100
Original Lease liability as at modification date	(3,46,355)
Adjustment to ROU asset	2,50,745

The ROU asset will be increased by ₹ 2,50,745 on the date of modification.

# Question 46 (Illustration)

Lessee enters into a 10-year lease for 5,000 square metres of office space. The annual lease payments are ₹ 50,000 payable at the end of each year. The interest rate implicit in the lease cannot be readily determined. Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the commencement date is 6% p.a. At the beginning of Year 6, Lessee and Lessor agree to amend the original lease to reduce the space to only 2,500 square metres of the original space starting from the end of the first quarter of Year 6. The annual fixed lease payments (from Year 6 to Year 10) are ₹ 30,000. Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the beginning of Year 6 is 5% p.a. How should the said modification be accounted for?

#### Answer 46

In the given case, Lessee calculates the ROU asset and the lease liabilities before modification as follows:

		Lease Liability				ROU asset	
Year	Initial value	Lease payment	Interest expense	Closing balance	Initial Value	Depreciation	Closing balance
		S	@ <b>6</b> %	1 1 - 1	_	c	
	a	b	c = a x 6%	d = a-b + c	е	I	g
1	3,67,95	50,00	22,077	3,40,027	3,67,950	36,795	3,31,15
	0*	0					5
2	3,40,02	50,00	20,402	3,10,429	3,31,155	36,795	2,94,36
	7	0					0
3	3,10,42	50,00	18,626	2,79,055	2,94,360	36,795	2,57,56
	9	0					5
4	2,79,05	50,00	16,743	2,45,798	2,57,565	36,795	2,20,77
	5	0					0
5	2,45,79	50,00	14,748	2,10,546	2,20,770	36,795	1,83,97

775

	8	0			5
6	2,10,54			1,83,975	
	6				

<sup>\*(</sup>refer note 1)

At the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6), Lessee remeasures the lease liability based on:

- (a) a five-year remaining lease term,
- (b) annual payments of ₹ 30,000 and
- (c) Lessee's incremental borrowing rate of 5% p.a.

Year	Lease Payment(A)	Present value factor @ 5% (B)	Present value of lease payments (A x B = C)
6	30,000	0.952	28,560
7	30,000	0.907	27,210
8	30,000	0.864	25,920
9	30,000	0.823	24,690
10	30,000	0.784	23,520
Total			1,29,900

Lessee determines the proportionate decrease in the carrying amount of the ROU Asset on the basis of the remaining ROU Asset (i.e., 2,500 square metres corresponding to 50% of the original ROU Asset).

50% of the pre-modification ROU Asset (₹ 1,83,975) is ₹ 91,987.50. 50% of the pre-modification lease liability (₹ 2,10,546) is ₹ 1,05,273.

Consequently, Lessee reduces the carrying amount of the ROU Asset by \$91,987.50 and the carrying amount of the lease liability by \$1,05,273. Lessee recognises the difference between the decrease in the lease liability and the decrease in the ROU Asset (\$1,05,273 - \$91,987.50

= ₹ 13,285.50) as a gain in profit or loss at the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6).

Lessee recognises the difference between the remaining lease liability of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,05,273 and the modified lease liability of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,29,900 (which equals  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  24,627) as an adjustment to the ROU Asset reflecting the change in the consideration paid for the lease and the revised discount rate.

#### **Working Note:**

#### Calculation of Initial value of ROU asset and lease liability:

Year	Lease	Present value	Present value of lease
	Payment(	factor @ 6% (B)	payments $(A \times B = C)$
	<b>A</b> )		
1	50,000	0.943	47,150
2	50,000	0.890	44,500
3	50,000	0.840	42,000
4	50,000	0.792	39,600
5	50,000	0.747	37,350
6	50,000	0.705	35,250
7	50,000	0.665	33,250
8	50,000	0.627	31,350
9	50,000	0.592	29,600
10	50,000	0.558	27,900

		3,67,950
		, ,

# Question 47 (Illustration)

Lessee enters into a 10-year lease for 5,000 square metres of office space. At the beginning of Year 6, Lessee and Lessor agree to amend the original lease for the remaining five years to reduce the lease payments from  $\gtrless$  1,00,000 per year to  $\gtrless$  95,000 per year. The interest rate implicit in the lease cannot be readily determined. Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the commencement date is 6% p.a. Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the beginning of Year 6 is 7% p.a. The annual lease payments are payable at the end of each year.

How should the said modification be accounted for?

#### **Answer 47**

In the given case, Lessee calculates the ROU asset and the lease liabilities before modification as follows:

Year	Opening lease liability (A)	Interest @ 6%	Lease payments (C)	Closing liability
		$(B) = [A \times 6\%]$		$(\mathbf{D}) = [\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{C}]$
1	7,35,900	44,154	100,000	6,80,054
2	6,80,054	40,803	100,000	6,20,857
3	6,20,857	37,251	100,000	5,58,108
4	5,58,108	33,486	100,000	4,91,594
5	4,91,594	29,496	100,000	4,21,090
6	4,21,090			

At the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6), Lessee remeasures the lease liability based on:

- (a) a five-year remaining lease term,
- (b) annual payments of ₹95,000, and
- (c) Lessee's incremental borrowing rate of 7% p.a.

Year	Lease Payments (A)	Present value @ 7% (B)	Present value of lease payments (A x B = C)
1	95,000	0.935	88,825
2	95,000	0.873	82,935
3	95,000	0.816	77,520
4	95,000	0.763	72,485
5	95,000	0.713	67,735
			3,89,500

Lessee recognises the difference between the carrying amount of the modified liability (₹ 3,89,500) and the lease liability immediately before the modification (₹ 4,21,090) of ₹ 31,590 as an adjustment to the ROU Asset.

#### **Working Note:**

Calculation of Initial value of ROU asset and lease liability:

Year	Lease	Present	value	Present	value (
	Payment	factor @ 6%		lease payn	nents
	(A)	(B)		$(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C})$	
1	1,00,000		0.943		94,300

Lease liability	7,35,900		
10	1,00,000	0.558	55,800
9	1,00,000	0.592	59,200
8	1,00,000	0.627	62,700
7	1,00,000	0.665	66,500
6	1,00,000	0.705	70,500
5	1,00,000	0.747	74,700
4	1,00,000	0.792	79,200
3	1,00,000	0.840	84,000
2	1,00,000	0.890	89,000

# Question 48 (Illustration)

Lessee enters into a 10-year lease for 2,000 square metres of office space. The annual lease payments are ₹ 1,00,000 payable at the end of each year. The interest rate implicit in the lease cannot be readily determined. Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the commencement date is 6% p.a.

At the beginning of Year 6, Lessee and Lessor agree to amend the original lease to:

- (a) include an additional 1,500 square metres of space in the same building starting from the beginning of Year 6 and
- (b) reduce the lease term from 10 years to eight years. The annual fixed payment for the 3,500 square metres is ₹ 1,50,000 payable at the end of each year (from Year 6 to Year 8). Lessee's incremental borrowing rate at the beginning of Year 6 is 7% p.a.

The consideration for the increase in scope of 1,500 square metres of space is not commensurate with the stand-alone price for that increase adjusted to reflect the circumstances of the contract. Consequently, Lessee does not account for the increase in scope that adds the right to use an additional 1,500 square metres of space as a separate lease.

How should the said modification be accounted for?

#### Answer 48

The pre-modification ROU Asset and the pre-modification lease liability in relation to the lease are as follows:

		Lease lial	oility	ROU Asset			
Year	Openin	Interest	Lease	Closing	Opening	Depreciatio	Closing
	g	expense @	payment	balance	balance	n charge	balance
	balance	<b>6</b> %					
1	7,35,900	44,154	(1,00,000)	6,80,054	7,35,900	(73,590)	6,62,310
	*						
2	6,80,054	40,803	(1,00,000)	6,20,857	6,62,310	(73,590)	5,88,720
3	6,20,857	37,251	(1,00,000)	5,58,108	5,88,720	(73,590)	5,15,130
4	5,58,108	33,486	(1,00,000)	4,91,594	5,15,130	(73,590)	4,41,540
5	4,91,594	29,496	(1,00,000)	4,21,090	4,41,540	(73,590)	3,67,950
6	4,21,090				3,67,950		

\*Refer Note 4.

At the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6), Lessee remeasures the lease liability on the basis of:

Page **580** 

- (a) A three-year remaining lease term (ie. till 8th year),
- (b) Annual payments of ₹ 150,000 and
- (c) Lessee's incremental borrowing rate of 7% p.a.

Year	Lease Payments	Present value @ 7%	Present value of lease
	(A)	(B)	payments $(A \times B = C)$
1	1,50,000	0.935	1,40,250
2	1,50,000	0.873	1,30,950
3	1,50,000	0.816	1,22,400
Modi	fied lease liability		3,93,600

The modified liability equals  $\gtrless$  3,93,600, of which (a)  $\gtrless$  1,31,200 relates to the increase of  $\gtrless$  50,000 in the annual lease payments from Year 6 to Year 8 and (refer note 1) (b)  $\gtrless$  2,62,400 relates to

the remaining three annual lease payments of ₹ 1,00,000 from Year 6 to Year 8 with reduction of lease term (Refer Note 3)

#### Decrease in the lease term:

At the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6), the premodification ROU Asset is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  3,67,950. Lessee determines the proportionate decrease in the carrying amount of the ROU Asset based on the remaining ROU Asset for the original 2,000 square metres of office space (i.e., a remaining three-year lease term rather than the original five-year lease term). The remaining ROU Asset for the original 2,000 square metres of office space is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,20,770 [i.e.,  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  (3,67,950 / 5) x 3 years].

At the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6), the premodification lease liability is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  4,21,090. The remaining lease liability for the original 2,000 square metres of office space is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,67,300 (i.e., present value of three annual lease payments of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,00,000, discounted at the original discount rate of 6% p.a.) (refer note 2).

Lease Liability	Dr.	1,53,79	
		0	
To ROU Asset			1,47,180
To Gain			6,610

At the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6), Lessee recognises the effect of the remeasurement of the remaining lease liability reflecting the revised discount rate of 7% p.a., which is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  4,900 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,67,300 –  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,62,400\*), as an adjustment to the ROU Asset.

\*(Refer note 3)

Lease Liability	Dr.	4,900	
To ROU Asset			4,900

Page 581

# Increase in the leased space:

At the commencement date of the lease for the additional 1,500 square metres of space (at the beginning of Year 6), Lessee recognises the increase in the lease liability related to the increase in leased space of ₹ 1,31,200 (i.e., present value of three annual lease payments of ₹ 50,000, discounted at the revised interest rate of 7% p.a.) as an adjustment to the ROU Asset.

ROU Asset	Dr.	1,31,20	
		0	
To Lease Liability			1,31,20
			0

The modified ROU Asset and the modified lease liability in relation to the modified lease are as follows:

	Lease liability			ROU Asset			
Year	Openin g balance	Interest expense @	Lease payment	Closin g balanc	Openin g balance	Depreciati on charge	Closin g balanc
				е		<b>g</b> .	e
6	3,93,600	27,552	(1,50,000	2,71,15	3,47,070*	(1,15,690)	2,31,38
			)	2	*		0
7	2,71,152	18,981	(1,50,000	1,40,13	2,31,380	(1,15,690)	1,15,69
			)	3			0
8	1,40,133	9,867*	(1,50,000	-	1,15,690	(1,15,690)	_
			)				

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation; \*\*Refer Note 5

# **Working Notes:**

1 Calculation of lease liability on increased consideration:

Year	Lease Payments (A)	Present value @7% (B)	Present value of lease payments (A $\times$ B = C)
1	50,000	0.935	46,750
2	50,000	0.873	43,650
3	50,000	0.816	40,800
Modi	fied lease liability		1,31,200

2 Calculation of remaining lease liability for the original contract of 2000 square meters at Original discount rate:

Year	Lease Payments (A)	Present value factor @ 6% (B)	Present value of lease payments (A $\times$ B = C)
1	1,00,000	0.943	94,300
2	1,00,000	0.890	89,000
3	1,00,000	0.840	84,000
Rem	aining lease liability	2,67,300	

3 Calculation of remaining lease liability for the original contract of 2000 square meters at revised discount rate:

Year	Lease Payments	Present	value	Present value	of
		factor @ 7%		lease payments	
	(A)	(B)		$(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C})$	

Remaining lease liability			2,62,400
3	1,00,000	0.816	81,600
2	1,00,000	0.873	87,300
1	1,00,000	0.935	93,500

4 Calculation of Initial value of ROU asset and lease liability:

Year	Lease Payment	Present value factor @ 6% (B)	Present value of lease payments (A x B = C)
	(A)		
1	100,000	0.943	94,300
2	100,000	0.890	89,000
3	100,000	0.840	84,000
4	100,000	0.792	79,200
5	100,000	0.747	74,700
6	100,000	0.705	70,500
7	100,000	0.665	66,500
8	100,000	0.627	62,700
9	100,000	0.592	59,200
10	100,000	0.558	55,800
Leas	e liability as at m	odification date	7,35,900

5 Calculation of opening balance of Modified ROU Asset at the beginning of 6<sup>th</sup> year:

The remaining ROU Asset for the original 2,000 square metres of office space after decrease in term	2,20,770
Less: Adjustment for increase in interest rate from 6% to 7%	(4,900)
Add: Adjustment for increase in leased space	1,31,200
	3,47,070

#### Question 49 (Illustration)

A Dealer-Lessor enters into a 10-year lease of equipment with Lessee. The equipment is not specialised in nature and is expected to have alternative use to Lessor at the end of the 10-year lease term. Under the lease:

- **◆** Lessor receives annual lease payments of ₹ 15,000, payable at the end of the year
- Lessor expects the residual value of the equipment to be ₹ 50,000 at the end of the 10-year lease term
- Lessee provides a residual value guarantee that protects Lessor from the first ₹ 30,000 of loss for a sale at a price below the estimated residual value at the end of the lease term (i.e.,₹ 50,000)
- The equipment has an estimated remaining economic life of 15 years, a carrying amount of₹ 1,00,000 and a fair value of ₹ 1,11,000
- The lease does not transfer ownership of the underlying asset to Lessee at the end of the lease term or contain an option to purchase the underlying asset
- ♦ The interest rate implicit in the lease is 10.078%.

How should the Lessor account for the same in its books of accounts?

Page **583** 

#### Answer 49

Lessor shall classify the lease as a **FINANCE LEASE** because the sum of the present value of lease payments amounts to **substantially all** of the fair value of the underlying asset.

At lease commencement, Lessor accounts for the finance lease, as follows:

Net investment in the lease	Dr.	₹ 1,11,000 <sup>(a)</sup>	
Cost of goods sold	Dr.	₹ 92,340 <sup>(b)</sup>	
To Revenue			₹ 1,03,340 <sup>(c)</sup>
To Property held for leas	se		₹ 1,00,000 <sup>(d)</sup>

To record the net investment in the finance lease and derecognise the underlying asset.

- (a) The net investment in the lease consists of:
  - (1) the present value of 10 annual payments of ₹ 15,000 plus the guaranteed residual value of ₹ 30,000, both discounted at the interest rate implicit in the lease, which equals ₹ 1,03,340 (i.e., the lease payment) (Refer note 1) **AND**
  - (2) the present value of unguaranteed residual asset of ₹ 20,000, which equals ₹ 7,660 (Refer note 2).

Note that the net investment in the lease is subject to the same considerations as other assets in classification as current or non-current assets in a classified balance sheet.

- (b) Cost of goods sold is the carrying amount of the equipment of ₹ 1,00,000 (less) the present value of the unguaranteed residual asset of ₹ 7,660.
- (c) Revenue equals the lease receivable.
- (d) The carrying amount of the underlying asset.

At lease commencement, Lessor recognises selling profit of  $\stackrel{?}{}$  11,000 which is calculated as = lease payment of  $\stackrel{?}{}$  1,03,340 – [carrying amount of the asset ( $\stackrel{?}{}$  1,00,000) – net of any unguaranteed residual asset ( $\stackrel{?}{}$  7,660) ie which equals  $\stackrel{?}{}$  92,340]

Year 1 Journal entry for a finance lease

Cash	Dr.	₹	
		15,000 <sup>(e)</sup>	
To Net investment in the lease			₹ 3,813 <sup>(f)</sup>
To Interest income			₹ 11,187 <sup>(g)</sup>

- (e) Receipt of annual lease payments at the end of the year.
- (f) Reduction of the net investment in the lease for lease payments received of ₹ 15,000, net of interest income of ₹ 11,187
- **(g)** Interest income is the amount that produces a constant periodic discount rate on the remaining balance of the net investment in the lease. Please refer the computation below:
- **(h)** The following table summarises the interest income from this lease and the related amortisation of the net investment over the lease term:

Year		Annual Rental Payment	Annual Interest Income <sup>(h)</sup>	Net investment at the end of the year
Initial	net	1	-	1,11,000
investment				
1		15,000	11,187	1,07,187
2		15,000	10,802	1,02,989

3	15,000	10,379	98,368
4	15,000	9,914	93,282
5	15,000	9,401	87,683
6	15,000	8,837	81,520
7	15,000	8,216	74,736
8	15,000	7,532	67,268
9	15,000	6,779	59,047
10	15,000	5,953	50,000 <sup>(i)</sup>

- (i) Interest income equals 10.078% of the net investment in the lease at the beginning of each year. For e.g., Year 1 annual interest income is calculated as ₹ 1,11,000 (initial net investment) x 10.078%.
- (j) The estimated residual value of the equipment at the end of the lease term. Working Notes:

#### 1 Calculation of net investment in lease:

Year	Lease Payment	Present value	Present value of lease
	(A)	factor @	payments $(A \times B = C)$
		10.078% (B)	
1	15,000	0.908	13,620
2	15,000	0.825	12,375
3	15,000	0.750	11,250
4	15,000	0.681	10,215
5	15,000	0.619	9,285
6	15,000	0.562	8,430
7	15,000	0.511	7,665
8	15,000	0.464	6,960
9	15,000	0.421	6,315
10	15,000	0.383	5,745
10	30,000	0.383	11,480*
			1,03,340

<sup>\*</sup> Figure has been rounded off for equalization of journal entry.

2 Calculation of present value of unguaranteed residual asset

Year	Lease Payment (A)	Present value factor @ 10.078% (B)	Present value of lease payments (A x B = C)
10	20,000	0.383	7,660

#### Question 50 (Illustration)

Lessor L leases retail space to Lessee Z and classifies the lease as an operating lease. The lease includes fixed lease payments of ₹ 10,000 per month.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, L and Z agree on a rent concession that allows Z to pay no rent in the period from July, 2020 to September 2020 but to pay rent of 20,000 per month in the period from January 2021 to March 2021. There are no other changes to the lease.

How this will be accounted for by lessor?

#### Answer 50

L determines that the reduction in lease payments in July 2020 to September 2020 and the proportional increase in January 2021 to March 2021 does not result in an overall change in the consideration for the lease.

L does not account for the change as a lease modification. L continues to recognise

Page **585** 

operating lease income on a straight-line basis, which is representative of the pattern in which Z's benefit from use of the underlying asset is diminished.

#### Question 51 (Illustration)

Lessor M enters into a 10-year lease of office space with Lessee K, which commences on 1 April 2015. The rental payments are 15,000 per month, payable in arrears. M classifies the lease as an operating lease. M reimburses K's relocation costs of K of 600,000, which M accounts for as a lease incentive. The lease incentive is recognised as a reduction in rental income over the lease term using the same basis as for the lease income – in this case, on a straight- line basis over 10 years. On 1 April 2020, during the COVID-19 pandemic, M agrees to waive K's rental payments for May, June and July 2020. This decrease in consideration is not included in the original terms and conditions of the lease and is therefore a lease modification. How this will be accounted for by lessor?

#### Answer 51

M accounts for this modification as a new operating lease from its effective date – i.e. 1 April 2020. M recognises the impact of the waiver on a straight-line basis over the five-year term of the new lease. M also takes into account the carrying amount of the unamortised lease incentive on 1 April 2020 of  $\gtrless$  3,00,000. M amortises this balance on a straight-line basis over the five-year term of the new lease.

#### Question 52 (Illustration)

Lessor L enters into an eight-year lease of 40 lorries with Lessee M that commences on 1 January 2018. The lease term approximates the lorries' economic life and no other features indicate that the lease transfer or does not transfer substantially all of the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of the lorries. Assuming that substantially all of the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of the lorries are transferred, L classifies the lease as a finance lease. During the COVID-19 pandemic, M's business has contracted. In June 2020, L and M amend the contract so that it now terminates on 31 December 2020. Early termination was not part of the original terms and conditions of the lease and this is therefore a lease modification. The modification does not grant M an additional right to use the underlying assets and therefore cannot be accounted for as a separate lease. How this will be accounted for by lessor?

# Answer 52

L determines that, had the modified terms been effective at the inception date, the lease term would not have been for major part of the lorries' economic life. Furthermore, there are no other indicators that the lease would have transferred substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of the lorries. Therefore, the lease would have been classified as an operating lease.

In June 2020, L accounts for the modified lease as a new operating lease. The lessor L:

- a) derecognises the finance lease receivable and recognises the underlying assets in its statement of financial position according to the nature of the underlying asset i.e. as property, plant and equipment in this case; and
- b) measures the aggregate carrying amount of the underlying assets as the amount of the net investment in the lease immediately before the effective date of the lease modification.

#### Question 53 (Illustration)

Entity ABC (original lessee/intermediate lessor) leases a building for five years. The building has an economic life of 40 years. Entity ABC subleases the building for four years.

How should the said sublease be classified by Entity ABC?

#### **Answer 53**

The sublease is classified with reference to the 'ROU Asset' in the head lease (and NOT the 'underlying building' of the head lease). Hence, when assessing the useful life criterion, the sublease term of four years is compared with five-year ROU Asset in the head lease (NOT compared with 40-year economic life of the building) and accordingly may result in the sublease being classified as a finance lease.

#### Question 54 (Illustration)

#### Head lease:

An intermediate lessor enters into a five-year lease for 10,000 square metres of office space (the head lease) with Entity XYZ (the head lessor).

#### Sublease:

At the beginning of Year 3, the intermediate lessor subleases the 10,000 square metres of office space for the remaining lease term i.e three years of the head lease to a sub-lessee.

How sho

# uld the said sublease be classified and accounted for by the Intermediate Lessor? Answer 54

The intermediate lessor classifies the sublease by reference to the ROU Asset arising from the head lease (i.e., in this case, comparing the three-year sublease with the five-year ROU Asset in the head lease). The intermediate lessor classifies the sublease as a finance lease, having considered the requirements of Ind AS 116 (i.e., one of the criteria of 'useful life' for a lease to be classified as a finance lease).

When the intermediate lessor enters into a sublease, the intermediate lessor:

- (i) derecognises the ROU asset relating to the head lease that it transfers to the sublessee and recognises the net investment in the sublease;
- (ii) recognises any difference between the ROU asset and the net investment in the sublease in profit or loss; **AND**
- (iii) retains the lease liability relating to the head lease in its balance sheet, which represents the lease payments owed to the head lessor.

During the term of the sublease, the intermediate lessor recognises both

- finance income on the sublease **AND**
- interest expense on the head lease.

#### Question 55 (Illustration)

#### Head lease:

An intermediate lessor enters into a five-year lease for 10,000 square metres of office space (the head lease) with Entity XYZ (the head lessor). At the commencement of the head lease, the intermediate lessor subleases the 10,000 square metres of office space for two years to a sub-lessee. How should the said sublease be classified and accounted for by the Intermediate Lessor?

#### Answer 55

The intermediate lessor classifies the sublease by reference to the ROU Asset arising from the head lease (i.e., in this case, comparing the two-year sublease with the five-year ROU Asset in the head lease). The intermediate lessor classifies the sublease as an operating lease, having considered the requirements of Ind AS 116 (i.e., one of the criteria of 'useful life' for a lease to be classified as a finance lease and since, it is not

satisfied, classified the same as an operating lease).

When the intermediate lessor **enters into** the sublease, the intermediate lessor retains:

- the lease liability AND
- the ROU asset

both relating to the head lease in its balance sheet.

**During the term** of the sublease, the intermediate lessor:

- (a) recognises a depreciation charge for the ROU asset and interest on the lease liability; **AND**
- (b) recognises lease income from the sublease.

#### **Sub-lessee Accounting:**

A sub-lessee accounts for its lease in the same manner as any other lease (i.e., as a new lease subject to Ind AS 116's recognition and measurement provisions).

# Question 56 (Illustration)

The terms and conditions of the transaction are such that the transfer of the building by Seller- lessee satisfies the requirements for determining when a performance obligation is satisfied in Ind AS 115 'Revenue from Contracts with Customers'.

The fair value of the building at the date of sale is  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  27,00,000. Initial direct costs, if any, are to be ignored. The interest rate implicit in the lease is 12% p.a., which is readily determinable by Seller-lessee.

Buyer-lessor classifies the lease of the building as an operating lease.

How should the said transaction be accounted by the Seller-lessee and the Buyer-lessor?

#### Answer 56

Considering facts of the case, Seller-lessee and buyer-lessor account for the transaction as a sale and leaseback. Firstly, since the consideration for the sale of the building is not at fair value, Seller-lessee and Buyer - lessor make adjustments to measure the sale proceeds at fair value. Thus, the amount of the excess sale price of ₹ 3,00,000 (as calculated below) is recognised as additional financing provided by Buyer-lessor to Seller-lessee.

Additional financing provided by Buyer-lessor to Selle lessee	r- 3,00,000
Less: Fair Value (at the date of sale):	(27,00,000)
Sale Price:	30,00,000

Next step would be to calculate the present value of the annual payments which amounts to ₹ 14,94,000 (calculated considering 20 payments of ₹ 2,00,000 each, discounted at 12% p.a.) of which ₹ 3,00,000 relates to the additional financing (as calculated above) and balance ₹ 11,94,000 relates to the lease — corresponding to 20 annual payments of ₹ 40,164 and ₹ 1,59,836, respectively (refer calculations below).

# Proportion of annual lease payments:

Present value of lease payments (as	calculated above) (A)	14,94,000
-------------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------

 $P_{\text{age}}588$ 

Additional financing provided (as calculated	l above) (B)	3,00,000
Relating to the Additional financing provide	d (C) = (E x	40,160
B / A)		
Relating to the Lease	(D) = (E - C)	1,59,840
Annual payments (at the end of each year)	(E)	2,00,000

#### Seller-Lessee:

At the commencement date, Seller-lessee measures the ROU asset arising from the leaseback of the building at the proportion of the previous carrying amount of the building that relates to the right-of-use retained by Seller-lessee, calculated as follows:

ROU Asset	[(A / B) x C]	6,63,333
	(C)	
Discounted lease payments for the	20-year ROU asset	11,94,000
Fair Value (at the date of sale)	(B)	27,00,000
Carrying Amount	(A)	15,00,000

Seller-lessee recognises only the amount of the gain that relates to the rights transferred to Buyer- lessor, calculated as follows:

Fair Value (at the date of sale)	(A)	27,00,000
Tan value (at the date of sale)	(71)	, ,
Carrying Amount	(B)	15,00,000
Discounted lease payments for the 20-y	year ROU asset	(C) 11,94,000
Gain on sale of building	$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B})$	12,00,000
Relating to the right to use the building	ng retained by Sel	ler- 5,30,667
lessee		
$(E) = [(D / A) \times C]$		
Relating to the rights transferred to Bu		6,69,333

At the commencement date, Seller-lessee accounts for the transaction, as follows:

Cash	Dr.	30,00,000	
ROU Asset	Dr.	6,63,333	
To Building			15,00,000
To Financial Liability			14,94,000
To Gain on rights tra	nsferred		6,69,333

#### **Buver-Lessor:**

At the commencement date, Buyer-lessor accounts for the transaction, as follows:

Building	Dr.	27,00,00	
_		0	
Financial Asset	Dr.	3,00,000	
(20 payments of ₹ 40,160 discounted @ (approx.)	12% p.a.)		
To Cash			30,00,0
			00

After the commencement date, Buyer-lessor accounts for the lease by treating ₹ 1,59,840 of the annual payments of ₹ 2,00,000 as lease payments. The remaining ₹ 40,160 of annual payments received from Seller-lessee are accounted for as:

- (a) payments received to settle the financial asset of ₹ 3,00,000 AND
- (b) interest revenue.

#### Question 57 (Illustration)

A retailer (lessee) entered into 3-year lease of retail space beginning at 1 April 2017 with three annual lease payments of ₹ 2,00,000 due on 31 March 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively. The lease is classified as an operating lease under Ind AS 17. The retailer initially applies Ind AS 116 for the first time in the annual period beginning at 1 April 2019. The incremental borrowing rate at the date of the initial application (i.e., 1 April 2019) is 10% p.a. and at the commencement of the lease (i.e., 1 April 2017) was 12% p.a. The ROU asset is subject to straight-line depreciation over the lease term. Assume that no practical expedients are elected, the lessee did not incur initial direct costs, there were no lease incentives and there were no requirements for the lessee to dismantle and remove the underlying asset, restore the site on which it is located or restore the underlying asset to the condition under the terms and conditions of the lease. What would be the impact for the lessee using all the following transition approaches: Full Retrospective Approach Modified Retrospective Approach

- Alternative 1
- Alternative 2

#### Answer 57

# **Full Retrospective Approach:**

Under the full retrospective approach, the lease liability and the ROU asset are measured on the commencement date (i.e., 1 April 2017 in this case) using the incremental borrowing rate **at lease commencement date** (i.e., 12% p.a. in this case). The lease liability is accounted for by the interest method subsequently and the ROU asset is subject to depreciation on the straight-line basis over the lease term of three years. Let us first calculate the Lease Liability and ROU Asset as follows:

Year	Payments (Cash flows)	Present Value Factor @12%	Discounted Cash flows
			/ Present Value
31 Mar 2018	2,00,000	0.8929	1,78,580
31 Mar 2019	2,00,000	0.7972	1,59,440
31 Mar 2020	2,00,000	0.7118	1,42,360
	6,00,000		4,80,380

# Lease Liability Schedule:

•	se Blabilley Sell	cauro.			
	Year	Opening	Interest Expense  @ 12%	Payments	Closing
	31 Mar 2018	4,80,380	57,646	(2,00,000)	3,38,026
	31 Mar 2019	3,38,026	40,563	(2,00,000)	1,78,589
	31 Mar 2020	1,78,589	21,411*	(2,00,000)	-

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation

ROU Asset Schedule:

Year	Opening	Depreciation	Closing
31 Mar 2018	4,80,380	(1,60,126)	3,20,254
31 Mar 2019	3,20,254	(1,60,127)	1,60,127
31 Mar 2020	1,60,127	(1,60,127)	-

Page **590** 

# The following table shows account balances under this method beginning at lease commencement:

Date	ROU Asset	Lease Liability	Interest Expense	Depreciation Expense	Retained Earnings
01 Apr 2017	4,80,380	4,80,380	-	-	-
31 Mar 2018	3,20,254	3,38,026	-	-	-
01 Apr 2018	3,20,254	3,38,026			(17,772)
31 Mar 2019	1,60,127	1,78,589	40,563	1,60,127	-
01 Apr 2019	1,60,127	1,78,589	-	-	-
31 Mar 2020	-	-	21,411	1,60,127	-

Ind AS 116 is applicable for the financial year beginning from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2019. Hence, 2019-20 is the first year of adoption and using Full retrospective method the comparative for 2018-19 needs to be restated and 1<sup>st</sup> April 2018 (i.e the opening of the comparative) is taken as transition date for adoption of this standard. At adoption, the lessee would record the ROU asset and lease liability at the 1 April 2018 by taking values from the above table, with the difference between the ROU asset and lease liability going to retained earnings as of 1 April 2018 (assuming that only the 2018-19 financial information is included as comparatives).

ROU Asset	Dr.	3,20,254	
Retained Earnings	Dr.	17,772	
To Lease Liability			3,38,026
To initially recognise the lease-related asset and liability as of 1 April 201			of 1 April 2018.

# The following journal entries would be recorded during 2018-2019:

Interest expense	Dr.	40,563	
To Lease Liability			40,563
To record interest expense a method.	and accrete the	lease liability usi	ng the interest
Depreciation expense	Dr.	1,60,127	
To ROU Asset			1,60,12 7
To record depreciation expe	ense on the ROU	J asset.	
Lease Liability	Dr.	2,00,000	
To Cash			2,00,00
To record lease payment.			

# The following journal entries would be recorded during 2019-2020:

Interest expense	Dr.	21,411	
To Lease Liability		21,411	
To record interest expense and accrete the lease liability using the interest method.			ing the interest
Depreciation expense	Dr.	1,60,127	
To ROU Asset			1,60,12
			7

To record depreciation expense on the ROU asset.			
Lease Liability			
To Cash			2,00,00
			0
To record lease payment			

# Modified Retrospective Approach (Alternative 1):

Under the modified retrospective approach (Alternative 1), the lease liability is measured based on the remaining lease payments (i.e., from the date of transition to the lease end date, viz., 01 April 2019 to 31 March 2020 in this case) discounted using the incremental borrowing rate as of the date of initial **application being 01 April 2019** (i.e. 10% p.a. in this case). The ROU asset is at its carrying amount as if Ind AS 116 had been applied since the commencement date (i.e., 01 April 2017 in this case) by using incremental borrowing rate as at transition date. Let us first calculate the Lease Liability and ROU Asset as follows:

Year		Payments (Cash flows)	Discounting Factor @10%	Discounted Cash flows / Present Value
31 2020	Mar	2,00,000	0.9091	1,81,820
		2,00,000		1,81,820

# Lease Liability Schedule:

Year	Opening Balance	Interest Expense @ 10%	Payments	Closing Balance
31 Mar 2020	1,81,820	18,180	(2,00,000)	_

#### **ROU Asset Schedule:**

Year	Opening Balance	Depreciation	Closing Balance
31 Mar 2020	1,65,787***	(1,65,787)	-

<sup>\*\*\*(</sup>Refer note no 3)

The following table shows account balances under this method beginning at lease commencement:

Date		ROU Asset	Lease Liabilit y	Interes t Expens e	Depreciation Expense	Retaine d Earning s
01 2017	Apr	4,97,360*	4,97,360**	-	-	-
31 2018	Mar	3,31,574	3,47,096	49,736	1,65,786	-
31 2019	Mar	1,65,787	1,81,806	34,710	1,65,787	(16,019)
01 2019	Apr	1,65,787	1,81,806	-	-	-
31 2020	Mar	-	-	18,194	1,65,787	-

<sup>\*(</sup>Refer note no 1)

At adoption, the lessee would record the ROU asset and lease liability at the 1 April

<sup>\*\*(</sup>Refer note no 2)

2019 by taking values from the above table, with the difference between the ROU asset and lease liability going to retained earnings as of 1 April 2019.

ROU Asset	Dr.	1,65,787		
Retained Earnings	Dr.	16,019		
To Lease Liability			1,81,806	
To initially recognise the lease-related asset and liability as of 1 April 2019.				

# The following journal entries would be recorded during 2019-2020:

Interest expense	Dr.	18,194			
To Lease Liability			18,194		
To record interest expense and accrete the lease liability using the intermethod.					
Depreciation expense	Dr.	1,65,787			
To ROU Asset			1,65,787		
To record depreciation expe	nse on the ROU	asset.			
Lease Liability	Dr.	2,00,000			
To Cash			2,00,000		
To record lease payment.					

#### Note 1:

# Calculation of Present value of lease payments as at commencement date i.e., 01/04/2017

Year	Payments (Cash flows)	Discounting Factor @10%	Discounted Cash flows / Present Value
31 Mar 2018	2,00,000	0.9091	1,81,820
31 Mar 2019	2,00,000	0.8264	1,65,280
31 Mar 2020	2,00,000	0.7513	1,50,260
	6,00,000		4,97,360

# Lease Liability Schedule:

Year	Opening	Interest Expense @ 10%	Payments	Closing
31 Mar 2018	4,97,360	49,736	(2,00,000)	3,47,096
31 Mar 2019	3,47,096	34,710	(2,00,000)	1,81,806
31 Mar 2020	1,81,806	18,194*	(2,00,000)	-

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation

Calculation of ROU asset as at transition date i.e., April 01, 2019

Year	Opening	Depreciation	Closing
31 Mar 2018	4,97,360	(1,65,786)	3,31,574
31 Mar 2019	3,31,574	(1,65,787)	1,65,787
31 Mar 2020	1,65,787	(1,65,787)	-

# Modified Retrospective Approach (Alternative 2):

Under the modified retrospective approach (Alternative 2), the lease liability is also measured based on the remaining lease payments (i.e., from the date of transition to the lease end date, viz., 01 April 2019 to 31 March 2020 in this case) discounted

using the incremental borrowing rate as of the date of initial **application being 01 April 2019** (i.e. 10% p.a. in this case). The carrying amount of the ROU asset is an amount equal to the carrying amount of the lease liability on the date of initial application as there are no prepayments or accrual items and hence, no impact on retained earnings as on the transition date.

# Let us first calculate the Lease Liability and ROU Asset as follows:

Year	Payments (Cash flows)	Discounting Factor @ 10%	Discounted Cash flows / Present Value
31 Mar 2020	2,00,000	0.9091	1,81,820
	2,00,000		1,81,820

#### Lease Liability Schedule:

Year	Opening	Interest Expense	Payments	Closing
31 Mar 2020	1,81,820	18,182	(2,00,000)	-

#### **ROU Asset Schedule:**

Year	Opening	Depreciation	Closing
31 Mar 2020	1,81,820	(1,81,820)	_

The following table shows account balances under this method beginning at lease commencement:

Date		ROU Asset	Lease Liability	Interest Expense	Depreciatio n Expense	
01 Apr	2019	1,81,820	1,81,820	-	-	-
31	Mar	-	-	18,182	1,81,820	_
2020						

At adoption, the lessee would record the ROU asset and lease liability at the 1 April 2019 by taking values from the above table and there will be no impact on retained earnings on the transition date being 1 April 2019 since under this alternative, ROU Asset is equal to the Lease Liability.


ROU Asset	Dr.	1,81,820	
To Lease Liability			1,81,820
To initially recognise the 2019.	lease-related asset	and liabilit	y as of 1 April

# The following journal entries would be recorded during 2019-20:

Interest expense	Dr.	18,182	
To Lease Liability			18,182
To record interest expensinterest method.	e lease liab	ility using the	
Depreciation expense	Dr.	1,81,820	
To ROU Asset			1,81,820
To record depreciation exp	ense on the ROU a	asset.	
Lease Liability	Dr.	2,00,000	
To Cash			2,00,000
To record lease payment.			

A summary of the lease contract's accounting (assuming there are no changes due to reassessments) is, as follows:

Particulars	Full Retrospectiv e Approach		Modified Retrospective Approach (Alternative 2)			
Opening balance sheet impact as on 1 April 2019:						
ROU Asset	1,60,126	1,65,787	1,81,820			
Lease Liability	1,78,589	1,81,806	1,81,820			
Period ended 31 March 2020 activity:						
Cash lease payments	2,00,000	2,00,000	2,00,000			
Lease payments recognis	Lease payments recognised:					
Interest expense	21,411	18,194	18,180			
Depreciation expense	1,60,127	1,65,787	1,81,820			
Total periodic expense	1,81,538	1,83,981	2,00,002			



 $^{\text{Page}}296$ 

# Chapter 7 Unit-1 Ind AS 41: "Agriculture"

**Attempts wise Distribution** 

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP	Q7		Q6						Q8		Q5	
PYP		Q10	Q1					Q11	Q2			
RTP								Q9	Q4		Q3	

# Section - A

#### Question 1

Moon Ltd prepares financial statements to 31st March, each year. On 1st April 20X1 the company carried out the following transactions:

- -- Purchased a land for `50 Lakhs.
- -- Purchased 200 dairy cows (average age at 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 is 2 years) for `10 Lakhs.
- -- Received a grant of `1 million towards the acquisition of the cows. This grant was non- refundable.

For the year ending 31st March, 20X2, the company has incurred following costs:

- -- `6 Lakh to maintain the condition of the animals (food and protection).
- `4 Lakh as breeding fee to a local farmer.

On 1st October, 20X1, 100 calves were born. There were no other changes in the number of animals during the year ended 31st March, 20X2. As of 31st March, 20X2, Moon Ltd had 3,000 litres of unsold milk in inventory. The milk was sold shortly after the year end at market prices.

Information regarding fair values is as follows:

information regarding fair values is as follows.				
Item	Fair Value less cost to sell			
	1 <sup>st</sup> April, 20X1	1 <sup>st</sup> October, 20X1	31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	
	₹	₹	₹	
Land	50 Lakhs	60 Lakhs	70 Lakhs	
New born calves (per calf)	1,000	1,100	1,200	
Six month old calves (per calf)	1,100	1,200	1,300	
Two year old cows (per cow)	5,000	5,100	5,200	
Three year old cows (per cow)	5,200	5,300	5,500	
Milk (per litre)	20	22	24	

Prepare extracts from the Balance Sheet and Statement of Profit & Loss that would be reflected in the financial statements of the entity for the year ended 31st March, 20X2. (PYP 9 Marks Jul'21)

Answer 1

#### Extract from the Statement of Profit & Loss

	WN	Amount
Income		
Change in fair value of purchased dairy cow	WN 2	1,00,000
Government Grant	WN 3	10,00,000
Change in the fair value of newly born calves	WN 4	1,30,000
Fair Value of Milk	WN 5	72,000
Total Income		13,02,000
Expenses		
Maintenance Costs	WN 2	6,00,000
Breeding Fees	WN 2	4,00,000
Total Expense		(10,00,000)
Net Income		3,02,000

#### **Extracts from Balance Sheet**

Property, Plant and Equipment:		
Land	WN 1	50,00,000
Biological assets other than bearer plants:		
Dairy Cow	WN 2	11,00,000
Calves	WN 4	1,30,000
Inventory:		62,30,000
Milk	WN 5	72,000
		72,000

# **Working Notes:**

- 1. **Land:** The purchase of the land is not covered by Ind AS 41. The relevant standard which would apply to this transaction is Ind AS 16. Under this standard the land would initially be recorded at cost and depreciated over its useful economic life. This would usually be considered to be infinite in the case of land and so no depreciation would be appropriate. Under Cost Model no recognition would be made for post-acquisition changes in the value of land. The allowed alternative treatment under Revaluation Model would permit the land to be revalued to market value with the revaluation surplus taken to the other comprehensive income. We have followed the Cost Model.
- 2. **Dairy Cows:** Under the 'fair value model' laid down in Ind AS 41 the mature cows would be recognised in the Balance Sheet at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 at the fair value of 200 x ` 5,500 = ` 11,00,000.

  Increase in price change 200 x (5,200-5,000) = 40,000

  Increase in physical change 200 x (5,500-5,200) = 60,000

The total difference between the fair value of matured herd and its initial cost (11,00,000 –

`10,00,000 = a gain of `1,00,000) would be recognised in the profit and loss

- along with the maintenance costs and breeding fee of `6,00,000 and `4,00,000 respectively.
- 3. **Grant:** Grant relating to agricultural activity is not subject to the normal requirement of Ind AS 20. Under Ind AS 41 such grants are credited to income as soon as they are unconditionally receivable rather than being recognised over the useful economic life of the herd. Therefore, `10,00,000 would be credited to income of the company.
- 4. **Calves:** They are a biological asset and the fair value model is applied. The breeding fees are charged to income and an asset of  $100 \times 1,300 = 1,30,000$  recognised in the Balance sheet and credited to Profit and loss.
- 5. **Milk:** This is agricultural produce and initially recognised on the same basis as biological assets. Thus the milk would be valued at  $3,000 \text{ x} \cdot 24 = 72,000$ . This is regarded as 'cost' for the future application of Ind AS 2 to the unsold milk.

#### Question 2

A herd of 15, 4-year-old cows valued at 500 thousand per cow were held in 'M Dairy Farm' as at 1st April 2021. The following transactions took place on 1st October, 2021:

- (A) One cow aged 4.5 years was purchased for 520 thousands.
- (B) One calf was born.

No cow was sold or disposed off during the year.

The per cow/calf fair value less cost to sell was as follows: ₹ in thousands

The per cow/can fair value less cost to sen was as to	mows. Vim t
4 year old cow on 1st April 2021	500
New born calf on 1st October 2021	400
4.5 year old cow on 1st October 2021	520
New born calf on 31st March, 2022	410
0.5 year old calf on 31st March, 2022	440
4 year old cow on 31st March, 2022	516
4.5 year old cow on 31st March, 2022	540
5 year old cow on 31st March, 2022	560

#### You are required to:

- (i) Calculate change in fair value less costs to sell showing:
  - (a) The portion attributable to physical changes
  - (b) The portion attributable to price changes.
- (ii) Calculate the carrying cost of the herd as on 31st March, 2022.
- (iii) Prepare an extract of the livestock account for the year ended 31st March, 2022. (PYP Marks Nov 22)

#### Answer 2

(i) Change in fair value less costs to sell, due to physical change and price change:

		thousand
•	<b>TTT</b>	uioasaiia

Fair	Fair value less costs to sell of herd at 1st April 2021 (15 ×					
500)	500)					
Purc	Purchase on 1st October 2021 (1 x 520)					
(a)	Increase in fair value less costs to sell due to price					
	change:					
	15 cows x (516 – 500)	240				

599

	1 cows x (540 – 520)		20	
	1 calf x (410 – 400)		10	270
(b)	Increase in fair value less costs to physical change:	sell du	e to	
	15 cows x (560 – 516)		660	
	1 cows x (560 – 540)		20	
	1 calf x (440 – 410)		30	
	1 calf x 400 (Gain on initial recogn	nition)	400	1,110
				9,400

(ii) Calculation of carrying cost of herd as on 31st March 2022 i.e. Fair value less costs to sell of herd at 31st March 2022

16 × 560 1 × 440 8,960 440 9,400

(iii) Extract of Livestock Account for the year 31st March 2022

•	Extract of Livestock Account for the year 31st march 2022					
	Particulars	Amoun	Particulars	Amoun		
		t (₹ in		t (₹ in		
		000)		000)		
	To Opening Stock	7500	By Closing	9,400		
			Balance			
	To Purchases (1x520)	520				
	To Increase in fair value (Price Changes)	270				
	To Increase in fair value					
	(Physical Changes)	1,110				
	Total	9,400	Total	9,400		

#### Question 3

M. Chinnaswamy & Brothers Ltd. is a company that is engaged in growing and maintaining coconut palms and selling their output in various forms. The company has a farmland having 2,00,000 coconut palms in the coastal area of Karnataka near Mangalore.

The fair value of each coconut palm is derived based on the average realisable price of ₹ 30 per nut (fruit). Each coconut palm grows 80 nuts per annum on an average basis. Each coconut palm can generate revenue for as long as 80 years and the current palms are only 20-year-old. The management thinks that considering the risk factors in business, the valuation of each palm can be considered at 5 times its annual revenue.

During August, 20X5, the Ooty Hotels Association (OHA) chairman and his team visited the corporate office of the company at Mangalore. The deal was to supply tender coconuts to Ooty Hotels at an agreed price throughout the year. The agreement came into effect from 1st September, 20X5 whereby the company shall reserve 15,000 coconut palms (out of 2,00,000 coconut palms) for OHA and will charge a concessional rate of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  15 only per nut supplied to OHA. OHA will in turn supply the tender coconuts to each Ooty Hotel at the same price. This contract price is applicable irrespective of the ownership of palm trees (it is not an entity-specific restriction). All tender coconuts of these 15,000 coconut palms were used by OHA irrespective of the agreement being effective from 1st September, 20X5.

# $_{\text{Page}}601$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

# What will be the valuation of 2,00,000 coconut palms in the company's farm for the quarter ended 30th September, 20X5? (RTP Nov '23)

#### **Answer 3**

Para 16 of Ind AS 41 says that entities often enter into contracts to sell their biological assets or agricultural produce at a future date. Contract prices are not necessarily relevant in measuring fair value, because fair value reflects the current market conditions in which buyers and sellers would enter into a transaction. As a result, the fair value of a biological asset or agricultural produce is not adjusted because of the existence of a contract.

Moreover, the OHA contract represents just 7.5% [(15,000 / 2,00,000) x 100] of the total number of palms in the farm. Hence, the contract price can't be considered for fair valuation of the entire inventory of bearer plants.

#### The valuation in this case would be as follows:

Adding the fair value for 15,000 coconut palm (15,000 palm x 80 nuts x  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  15 x 5 times) and 1,85,000 coconut palm (1,85,000 palm x 80 nuts x  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  30 x 5 times), we get total valuation of 2,00,000 coconut palm as  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  231 crore.

#### Question 4

ABC Ltd. is in the business of manufacturing an apple beverage and requires large quantity of apples to manufacture such beverage. In order to satisfy its requirement of apples, it enters into 3 years lease contracts with owners of apple orchards. The lease contracts are mainly of two types:

- (1) Contract 1: The owner of the apple orchard (i.e. the lessor) raises the apple trees to produce apples. ABC Ltd. (i.e. lessee) makes a fixed annual payment to the owner of the apple orchard who is required to cultivate the produce as per the specifications of ABC Ltd. ABC Ltd. harvests the apples itself for fulfilling its requirement of apples.
- (2) Contract 2: ABC Ltd. obtains the apple orchard from owner (i.e. the lessor) to raise the apple trees for subsequent harvest of the apples to ensure that the apples are as per the requirements of ABC Ltd. ABC Ltd. makes a fixed annual payment to the owner of the apple orchards (i.e. the lessor).

Explain whether ABC Ltd. is engaged in agricultural activity as per Ind AS 41 in both of the cases? (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 4

Paragraph 5 of Ind AS 41, Agriculture defines agricultural activity and biological transformation as follows:

"Agricultural activity is the management by an entity of the biological transformation and harvest of biological assets for sale or for conversion into agricultural produce or into additional biological assets."

"Biological transformation comprises the processes of growth, degeneration, production, and procreation that cause qualitative or quantitative changes in a biological asset."

#### Contract 1:

As per contract 1, during the 3 years of the contract, ABC Ltd. only harvests apples from the apple orchards whereas biological transformation is managed by the owners of the apple orchards (i.e. the lessor). Since ABC Ltd. is not involved in the biological transformation of the apple orchards and is only harvesting biological assets, it cannot be said to be an agricultural activity as per Ind AS 41. Hence, ABC Ltd. is not engaged in agricultural activity as per Ind AS 41.

#### Contract 2:

As per contract 2, ABC Ltd. obtains the apple orchards and is actively involved in the

# $^{\mathsf{age}}$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

raising of apple trees in order to ensure that the apples are as per its requirements. Since, it is actively managing the biological transformation and harvest of biological asset, Hence, ABC Ltd. is engaged in agricultural activity as per Ind AS 41.

#### Question 5

Analyze whether the following activities fall within the scope of Ind AS 41 with proper reasoning:

- Managing animal-related recreational activities like Zoo
- Fishing in the ocean
- Fish farming
- Development of living organisms such as cells, bacteria and viruses
- Growing of plants to be used in the production of drugs
- Purchase of 25 dogs for security purpose of the company's premises (MTP 6 Marks Sep'22 & Oct '23, RTP May '21)

#### Answer 5

r 5	TT71 41 t	D
Activity	Whether in the scope of Ind AS 41?	Remarks
Managing animal- related recreational activities like Zoo	No	Since the primary purpose is to show the animals to public for recreational purposes, there is no management of biological transformation but simply control of the number of animals. Hence it will not fall in the purview of considered in the definition of agricultural activity.
Fishingin the ocean	No	Fishing in ocean is harvesting biological assets from unmanaged sources. There is no management of biological transformation since fish grow naturally in the ocean. Hence, it will not fall in the scope of the definition of agricultural activity.
Fish farming	Yes	Managing the growth of fish and then harvest for sale is agricultural activity within the scope of Ind AS 41 since there is Management of biological transformation of biological assets for sale or additional biological assets
Development of living organisms such as cells, bacteria viruses	Analysis required	The development of living organisms for research purposes does not qualify as agricultural activity, as those organisms are not being developed for sale, or for conversion into agricultural produce or into additional biological assets. Hence, development of such organisms for the said purposes does not fall under the scope of Ind AS 41. However, if the organisms are being developed for sale or use in dairy products the activity will be considered as agricultural activity under the scope of Ind AS 41.

Growing of plants to be used in the production of drugs	Yes	If an entity grows plants for using it in production of drugs, the activity will be agricultural activity. Hence it will come under the scope of Ind AS 41.
Purchase of 25 dogs for security purposes of the company's premises.	No	Ind AS 41 is applied to account for the biological assets when they relate to agricultural activity. Guard dogs for security purposes do not qualify as agricultural activity, since they are not being kept for sale, or for conversion into agricultural produce or into additional biological assets. Hence, they are outside the scope of Ind AS 41

#### Question 6

A farmer owned a dairy herd of three years old cattle as at 1 st April, 20X1 with a fair value of Rs. 13,750 and the number of cattle in the herd was 250. The fair value of three year cattle as at 31st March, 20X2 was Rs. 60 per cattle. The fair value of four year cattle as at 31st March, 20X2 is Rs. 75 per cattle. Calculate the measurement of group of cattle as at 31st March, 20X2 stating price and physical change separately. (MTP 4 Marks April '21)

#### Answer 6

VOI 0	
Particulars	Amount
	(Rs.)
Fair value as at 1st April, 20X1	13,750
Increase due to Price change [250 x {60 -	1,250
[(13,750/250)]]	
Increase due to Physical change [250 x {75-60}]	3,750
Fair value as at 31st March, 20X2	18,750

#### **Ouestion 7**

Entity A purchased cattle at an auction on 30th June 20X1

Entity is purchased eatitie at an adection on coin of	Entity is paremased eattle at an adection on ooth came 20111				
Purchase price at 30th June 20X1	` 1,00,000				
Costs of transporting the cattle back to the	` 1,000				
entity's farm Sales price of the cattle at 31st March, 20X2	`1,10,000				
•	, ,				

The company would have to incur similar transportation costs if it were to sell the cattle at auction, in addition to an auctioneer's fee of 2% of sales price. The auctioneer charges 2% of the selling price, from both, the buyer as well as the seller.

Calculate the amount at which cattle is to be recognised in books on initial recognition and at year end 31st March, 20X2. (MTP 4 Marks Nov 21, RTP Nov '20)

#### Answer 7

Initial recognition of cattle

initial 10008millon of outlie	
	,
Fair value less costs to sell (`1,00,000 – `1,000 - `2,000)	97,000

509<sup>986</sup>

Cash outflow (`1,00,000 + `1,000 + `2,000)	1,03,000
Loss on initial recognition	6,000
Cattle Measurement at year end	
Fair value less costs to sell (`1,10,000 – 1,000 – (2% x 1,10,000))	1,06,800

At 31st March, 20X2, the cattle is measured at fair value of `1,09,000 less the estimated auctioneer's fee of `2,200). The estimated transportation costs of getting the cattle to the auction of `1,000 are deducted from the sales price in determining fair value.

# Question 8

As at 31st March, 20X1, a plantation consists of 100 Pinus Radiata trees that were planted 10 years earlier. The tree takes 30 years to mature, and will ultimately be processed into building material for houses or furniture. The enterprise's weighted average cost of capital is 6% p.a.

Only mature trees have established fair values by reference to a quoted price in an active market. The fair value (inclusive of current transport costs to get 100 logs to market) for a mature tree of the same grade as in the plantation is: As at 31st March, 20X1: 171 As at 31st March, 20X2: 165

Assume that there would be immaterial cash flow between now and point of harvest. The present value factor of Rs. 1 @ 6% for

19th year = 0.331 20th year = 0.312

State the value of such plantation as on 31st March, 20X1 and 20X2 and the gain or loss to be recognized as per Ind AS. (MTP 6 Marks Oct '19, RTP Nov'18)

#### **Answer 8**

As at 31st March, 20X1, the mature plantation would have been valued at 17,100 (171  $\times$  100).

As at 31st March, 20X2, the mature plantation would have been valued at 16,500 (165  $\times$  100).

Assuming immaterial cash flow between now and the point of harvest, the fair value (and therefore the amount reported as an asset on the statement of financial position) of the plantation is estimated as follows:

As at 31st March, 20X1:  $17,100 \times 0.312 = 5,335.20$ . As at 31st March, 20X2:  $16,500 \times 0.331 = 5,461.50$ .

#### Gain or loss

The difference in fair value of the plantation between the two-year end dates is 126.30 (5,461.50 – 5,335.20), which will be reported as a gain in the statement or profit or loss (regardless of the fact that it has not yet been realised).

# Question 9

Fisheries Ltd. practices pisciculture in sweet waters (ponds, tanks and dams). The fishing activity of Fisheries Ltd. in such sweet waters consists only of catching the fishes. Comment whether such fishing activity will be covered within the scope of Ind AS 41? (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 9

Paragraph 5 of Ind AS 41, defines agricultural activity as follows:

"Agricultural activity is the management by an entity of the biological transformation and harvest of biological assets for sale or for conversion into agricultural produce or into additional biological assets." For fishing to qualify as agricultural activity, it must

satisfy both of the below mentioned conditions:

- a) management of biological transformation of a biological asset; and
- b) harvesting of biological assets for sale or for conversion into agricultural produce or into additional biological assets.

Therefore, when fishing involves managed activity to grow and procreate fishes in designated areas, such fishing is an agricultural activity as per the above definition. Managing the growth of fish for subsequent sale is an agricultural activity as per Ind AS 41.

In the aforementioned scenario, only fish harvesting is managed by Fisheries Ltd. Therefore, mere fish harvesting without management of biological transformation cannot be termed as an agricultural activity as per Ind AS 41.

Hence, fishing in sweet waters (pond, tanks and dams) where only fishing (harvesting) is carried out without any management of biological transformation is outside the scope of Ind AS 41.

#### Question 10

On 1st November 2019, Crattle Agro Limited purchased 100 goats of special breed from a market for `10,00,000 with a transaction cost of 2%. Goats fair value decreased from `10,00,000 to `9,00,000 as on 31st March 2020. Determine the fair value on the date of purchase and as on financial year ended 31st March 2020. Also pass relevant journal entries on 1st November 2019 and 31st March 2020. (PYP 4 Marks, Jan'21)

#### Answer 10

The fair value less cost to sell of goats on the date of purchase i.e. on 1st November, 2019, would be `9,80,000 (10,00,000-20,000). Expense of `20,000 would be recognized in profit and loss.

On date of Purchase

Biological Asset Dr. 9,80,000 Expense on initial recognition Dr. 20,000

To Bank 10,00,00

0

(Being biological asset purchased)

On 31st March, 2020 goats would be measured at `8,82,000 as Biological Asset (9,00,000-18,000) and loss of `98,000 (9,80,000 - 8,82,000) would be recognized in profit or loss.

# At the end of reporting period

Loss – Change in fair value Dr. 98,000

To Biological Asset 98,000

(Being change in fair value recognized at the end of reporting period)

Note: It is assumed that the transaction cost is borne by the seller.

#### Question 11

ABC Ltd. is into dairy farm. Cows are milked on a daily basis. After milking, milk is immediately kept in cold storage. The milk is sold to retail distributors on a weekly basis.

On 1st April, 2022, ABC Ltd. had 500 cows which were all 3 years old.

During the financial year 2022-2023, some of the cows became sick and on 30th September, 2022, 20 cows died. On 1st October, 2022, ABC Ltd. purchased 20 replacement cows from the market for `63,000 each. These 20 cows were all 1-year-old when they were purchased.

Page 605

On 31st March, 2023, ABC Ltd. had 1,000 litres of milk in cold storage which had not been sold to retail distributors. The market price of milk as at 31st March, 2023 was `60 per litre. While selling the milk to distributors, ABC Ltd. incurs selling costs of `3 per litre. These amounts did not change during March, 2023 and are not expected to change during April, 2023.

Information relating to fair value and costs to sell is given below:

Date	Fai	r value of a	Cost to sell			
	1 year	1 year 1.5 year 3 years 4 years				
1.4.2022	60,000	66,000	81,000	75,000	3,000	
1.10.2022	63,000	69,000	84,000	78,000	3,000	
31.3.2023	64,500	70,500	87,000	79,500	3,300	

You can assume that fair value of 3.5 year old cow as on 30th September, 2022 is `81.000.

Provide necessary journal entries in the books of account with respect to cows for above events & transactions in the financial statements of ABC Ltd. as at-

- (i) 30th September, 2022;
- (ii) 1st October, 2022 and
- (iii) 31st March, 2023.

Also determine the value of milk inventory as at 31st March, 2023. (PYP Marks May '23)

#### Answer 11

	Jou	figures in `)			
S. No.	Date	Particulars		Dr.	Cr.
(i) 30th September, 2022		Loss (on death of 20 cows) (Refer W.N.)	Dr.	15,60,000	
		To Biological asset (Loss booked on death of 20 cows)			15,60,000
(ii)	1st October, 2022	Biological Asset (purchase of 20 new cows) (Refer W.N.)	Dr.	12,00,000	
		Loss on initial recognition (of 20 new cows)	Dr.	60,000	
		To Bank			12,60,000
		(Initial recognition of 20 new purchased cows at fair value less costs to sell)	Dr.	8,64,000	
(iii)	31st March	Loss on remeasurement of old cows			

8

			ı
To Biological			8,64,000
asset			
[(3,90,00,000 –			
15,60,000) –			
3,65,76,000]			
(Subsequent			
measurement of	Dr.	1,44,000	
cows at fair value			
less costs to sell)			
Biological Asset			
(13,44,000 –			
12,00,000)			
To Gain on			1,44,000
remeasurement of			, ,
new cows			
(Subsequent			
measurement of			
cows at			
fair value less			
costs to sell)			

Inventory (Milk) as at 31st March, 2023 = 57,000 [1,000 x (60 - 3)]

#### Working Note:

Calculation of Biological asset at various dates

Calcula	Calculation of Biological asset at various dates							
Date		Number	Age	Fair Value (`)	Cost to Sell (`)	Net (`)	Biological asset (`)	
1st Ap	ril, 2022	500	3 years	81,000	3,000	78,000	3,90,00,000	
30th Septer 2022	nber,	(20)	3.5 years	81,000	3,000	78,000	(15,60,000)	
1 st 2022	October,	20	1 year	63,000	3,000	60,000	12,00,000 3,86,40,000	
31 st 2023	March,	480	4 years	79,500	3,300	76,200	3,65,76,000	
		20 1.5 y	ears 70,5	00	3,300 67,200		13,44,000 3,79,20,000	

# Section - B

# Question 1

Entity A purchased cattle at an auction on 30th June 20X1

Purchase price at 30th June 20X1	₹
-	1,00,000
Costs of transporting the cattle back to the entity's farm	₹ 1,000
Sales price of the cattle at 31st March, 20X2	₹
•	1.10.000

The company would have to incur similar transportation costs if it were to sell the cattle at auction, in addition to an auctioneer's fee of 2% of sales price. The auctioneer charges 2% of the selling price, from both, the buyer as well as the

,age 607

seller. Calculate the amount at which cattle is to be recognised in books on initial recognition and at year end 31st March, 20X2.

#### Answer 1

Initial recognition of cattle

	₹
Fair value less costs to sell (₹ 1,00,000 – ₹ 1,000 - ₹ 2,000)	97,000
Cash outflow (₹ 1,00,000 + ₹ 1,000 + ₹ 2,000)	1,03,000
Loss on initial recognition	6,000
Cattle Measurement at year end	
Fair value less costs to sell (₹ 1,10,000 – 1,000 – (2% x	1,06,800
1,10,000))	

At 31st March, 20X2, the cattle is measured at fair value of  $\gtrless$  1,09,000 less the estimated auctioneer's fee of  $\gtrless$  2,200). The estimated transportation costs of getting the cattle to the auction of  $\gtrless$  1,000 are deducted from the sales price in determining fair value.

# Question 2

XY Ltd. is a farming entity where cows are milked on a daily basis. Milk is kept in cold storage immediately after milking and sold to retail distributors on a weekly basis. On 1 April 20X1, XY Ltd. had a herd of 500 cows which were all three years old.

During the year, some of the cows became sick and on 30 September 20X1, 20 cows died. On 1 October 20X1, XY Ltd. purchased 20 replacement cows from the market for  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  21,000 each. These 20 cows were all one year old when they were purchased.

On 31 March 20X2, XY Ltd. had 1,000 litres of milk in cold storage which had not been sold to retail distributors. The market price of milk at 31 March 20X2 was ₹ 20 per litre. When selling the milk to distributors, XY Ltd. incurs selling costs of ₹ 1 per litre. These amounts did not change during March 20X2 and are not expected to change during April 20X2.

Information relating to fair value and costs to sell is given below:

Date	Fair v	alue of a da	Costs to sell a cow		
	1 year	1.5 years	3 years	4 years	
1st April 20X1	20,000	22,000	27,000	25,000	1,000
1st October 20X1	21,000	23,000	28,000	26,000	1,000
31st March 20X2	21,500	23,500	29,000	26,500	1,100

You can assume that fair value of a 3.5 years old cow on 1<sup>st</sup> October 20X1 is ₹ 27.000.

Pass necessary journal entries of above transactions with respect to cows in the financial statements of XY Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 20X2? Also show the amount lying in inventory if any.

#### Answer 2

# Journal Entries on 1st October, 20X1 in ₹)

(All figures

Loss (on death of 20 cows) (Refer W.N.)	Dr.	5,20,00	
		0	
To Biological asset			5,20,000
(Loss booked on death of 20 cows)			

809

Biological Asset (purchase of 20 new cows) (Refer	Dr.	4,00,00	
W.N.)		0	
Loss on initial recognition (of 20 new cows)	Dr.	20,000	
To Bank			4,20,000
(Initial recognition of 20 new purchased cows at			
fair value less costs to sell)			

Journal Entries on 31st March, 20X2

		•	
Loss on remeasurement of old cows	Dr.	2,88,00	
		0	
To Biological asset			2,88,00
[(1,30,00,000 - 5,20,000) - 1,21,92,000]			0
(Subsequent measurement of cows at fair value less			
costs to sell)			
Biological Asset (4,48,000 – 4,00,000)	Dr.	48,000	
To Gain on remeasurement of new cows			48,000
(Subsequent measurement of cows at fair value less			
costs to sell)			

Inventory (Milk) as at  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2 = ₹ 19,000 [1,000 x (20 - 1)]

# Working Note:

Calculation of Biological asset at various dates

Date	Number	Age	Fair Value	Cost to	Net (₹)	Biological
			(₹)	Sell (₹)		asset (₹)
1st April	500	3 years	27,000	1,000	26,00	1,30,00,000
20X1					0	
1st October	(20)	3.5	27,000	1,000	26,00	(5,20,000)
20X1		years			0	
1st October	20	1 year	21,000	1,000	20,00	4,00,000
20X1					0	
						1,28,80,000
31st March	480	4 years	26,500	1,100	25,40	1,21,92,000
20X2					0	
	20	1.5	23,500	1,100	22,40	4,48,000
		years			0	
						1,26,40,000

#### **Ouestion 3**

Company X purchased 100 goats at an auction for ₹ 1,00,000 on 30 September 20X1. Subsequent transportation costs were ₹ 1,000 that is similar to the cost X would have to incur to sell the goat at the auction. Additionally, there would be a 2% selling fee on the market price of the goat to be incurred by the seller. On 31 March 20X2, the market value of the goat in the most relevant market increases to ₹ 1,10,000. Transportation costs of ₹ 1,000 would have to be incurred by the seller to get the goat to the relevant market. An auctioneer's fee of 2% on the market price of the goat would be payable by the seller.

On 1 June 20X2, X sold 18 goats for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  20,000 and incurred transportation charges of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  150. In addition, there was a 2% auctioneer's fee on the market price of the goat paid by the seller.

On 15 September 20X2, the fair value of the remaining goat was ₹ 82,820. 42 goats were slaughtered on that day, with a total slaughter cost of ₹ 4,200. The total

market price of the carcasses on that day was ₹ 48,300, and the expected transportation cost to sell the carcasses is ₹ 420. No other costs are expected. On 30 September 20X2, the market price of the remaining 40 goat was ₹ 44,800.

The expected transportation cost is ₹ 400. Also, there would be a 2% auctioneer's

fee on the market price of the goat payable by the seller.

Pass Journal entries so as to provide the initial and subsequent measurement for all above transactions. Interim reporting periods are of 30 September and 31 March and the company determines the fair values on these dates for reporting.

#### Answer 3

#### 1. Value of goat at initial recognition (30 September 20X1) (All figures are in ₹)

Biological asset (goat)	Dr.	97,000	
Loss on initial recognition	Dr.	4,000	1,01,00
To Bank (Purchase and cost of transportation)			0
(Initial recognition of goat at fair value less costs to sell)			

<sup>\*</sup>Fair value of goat =  $1,00,000 - 1,000 - 2,000 \overline{(2\% \text{ of } 1,00,000)} = 97,000$ Subsequent measurement at 31 March 20X2 (All figures are in ₹)

Biological Assets (Goat)	Dr.	9,80	
To Gain on Sale (Profit & Loss)		0	9,80
(Subsequent measurement of Goat at fair value less costs to sell (1,06,800** – 97,000))			U

<sup>\*\*</sup> Fair value of goat = 1,10,0000 - 1,000 - 2,200 (2% of 1,10,000) = 1,06,800

#### Sale of goat on 1 June 20X2

#### (All figures are in ₹)

Biological Assets (Goats)	Dr.	226	
To Gain on Sale (Profit & Loss)			226
(Subsequent re-measurement of 18 goats at fair value less costs to sell just prior to the point at which they are sold [19,450 - {(1,06,800/100) x 18}])			
Cost to Sales	Dr.	19,450	
To Biological Assets (Goats)			19,450
(Recording a cost of sales figure separately with a corresponding reduction in the value of the biological assets)			
Bank	Dr.	19,450	
Selling expenses (150 + 400)	Dr.	550	20.00
To Revenue			20,00
(Recognition of revenue from sale of goat)			J

# Transfer of Goat to Inventory on 15 September 20X2 (All figures are in ₹)

,			
Inventory (48,300 - 420)	Dr	47,880	

Loss on remeasurement	Dr	1,176	
	•		
To Biological Asset (Goats)			44,856
. ,			#
To Bank (Slaughtering cost)			4,200
10 24111 (0144811011118 0000)			.,
(Transfer of goat to inventory)			

<sup>\*</sup>Note: 44,856 is calculated as the proportion of goat sold using the fair value  $[(1,06,800+226-19,450) \times 42/82]$ 

# Subsequent measurement of goat at 30 September 20X2 (All figures are in ₹)

Biological Asset (Goats)	Dr	784	
			784
To Gain on remeasurement			
(Subsequent measurement of goat at fair value le costs to sell [43,504## – {(1,06,800 + 226 – 19,456 44,856}]			

<sup>##</sup>Fair value of goat = 44,800 - 400 - 896 (2% of 44,800) = 43,504.

#### Question 4

On 1st November, 20X1, C Agro Ltd. purchased 100 goats of special breed from a market for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  10,00,000 with a transaction cost of 2%. Goats fair value decreased from  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  10,00,000 to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  9,00,000 as on 31st March, 20X2.

Determine the fair value on the date of purchase and as on financial year ended 31st March, 20X2 under both the cases viz-

- (i) the transaction costs are borne by the seller and
- (ii) the transaction costs are incurred by the seller and purchaser both. Also pass journal entries under both the situations on both dates.

#### Answer 4

As per para 12 of Ind AS 41, a biological asset shall be measured on initial recognition and at the end of each reporting period at its fair value less costs to sell. Therefore, regardless of who bears the transaction costs, the transaction costs of 2% are the costs to sell the goats on  $1^{\rm st}$  November 20X1, and therefore, the goats should be measured at their fair value less costs to sell on initial recognition date, i.e., ₹ 9,80,000.

#### **Journal Entry**

#### As on 1st November 20X1:

(i) Where transaction costs are borne by the seller:

Biological assets (Goats) A/c Dr. 9,80,000 Loss on purchase of biological assets Dr. 20,000 (Goats) A/c

To Bank A/c 10,00,0 00

(ii) Where transaction costs are borne by the buyer:

Biological assets (Goats) A/c Dr. 9,80,000 Loss on purchase of biological asset Dr. 40,000

(Goats) A/c

To Bank A/c 10,20,000

As on 31 March 20X2 – under both the scenarios:

Loss on fair valuation of biological assets Dr. 98,000 A/c 98,000

To Biological assets (Goats) A/c [9,80,000 - (9,00,000 - 18,000)]

#### Question 5

Analyse whether the following activities fall within the scope of Ind AS 41 with proper reasoning:

- Managing animal-related recreational activities like Zoo
- Fishing in the ocean
- Fish farming
- Development of living organisms such as cells, bacteria and viruses
- Growing of plants to be used in the production of drugs
- Purchase of 25 dogs for security purpose of the company's premises.

#### Answer 5

Activity	Whethe r in the scope of Ind AS	Remarks
Managing animal-related recreational activities like Zoo	<b>41?</b> No	Since the primary purpose is to show the animals to public for recreational purposes, there is no management of biological transformation but simply control of the number of animals. Hence it will not fall in the purview of considered in the definition of agricultural activity.
Fishing in the ocean	No	Fishing in ocean is harvesting biological assets from unmanaged sources. There is no management of biological transformation since fish grow naturally in the ocean. Hence, it will not fall in the scope of the definition of agricultural activity.
Fish farming	Yes	Managing the growth of fish and then harvest for sale is agricultural activity within the scope of Ind AS 41 since there is management of biological transformation of biological assets for sale or additional biological assets.
Development of living organisms such as cells, bacteria viruses	Analysis required	The development of living organisms for research purposes does not qualify as agricultural activity, as those organisms are not being developed for sale, or for conversion into agricultural produce or into additional biological assets. Hence, development of such organisms for the said purposes does not fall under the scope of Ind AS 41.

		However, if the organisms are being developed for sale or use in dairy products, the activity will be considered as agricultural activity under the scope of Ind AS 41.
Growing of	Yes	If an entity grows plants for using it in production of
plants to be used		drugs, the activity will be agricultural activity. Hence it
in the production		will come under the scope of Ind AS 41.
of drugs		
Purchase of 25	No	Ind AS 41 is applied to account for the biological assets
dogs for security		when they relate to agricultural activity.
purposes of the		Guard dogs for security purposes do not qualify as
company's		agricultural activity, since they are not being kept for
premises		sale, or for conversion into agricultural produce or into
		additional biological assets. Hence, they are outside
		the scope of Ind AS 41.

# Question 6 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd grows vines, harvests the grapes and produces wine. Which of these activities are in the scope of Ind AS 41?

### Answer 6

The grape vines are bearer plants that continually generate crops of grapes which are covered by Ind AS 16, Property, Plant and Equipment.

When the entity harvests the grapes, their biological transformation ceases and they become agricultural produce covered by Ind AS 41, Agriculture.

Wine involves a lengthy maturation period. This process is similar to the conversion of raw materials to a finished product rather than biological transformation hence treated as inventory in accordance with Ind AS 2, Inventories.

### Question 7 (Illustration)

A farmer owned a dairy herd, of three years old cattle as at 1st April, 20X1 with a fair value of ₹ 13,750 and the number of cattle in the herd was 250.

The fair value of three year cattle as at 31st March, 20X2 was ₹ 60 per cattle. The fair value of four year cattle as at 31st March, 20X2 is ₹ 75 per cattle.

Calculate the measurement of group of cattle as at 31st March, 20X2 stating price and physical change separately.

### Answer 7

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Fair value as at 1st April, 20X1	13,750
Increase due to Price change [250 x {60 - (13,750/250)}]	1,250
Increase due to Physical change [250 x {75-60}]	3,750
Fair value as at 31st March, 20X2	18,750

### Question 8 (Illustration)

XYZ Ltd., on 1st December, 20X3, purchased 100 sheep from a market for ₹ 5,00,000. The transaction cost of 2% on the market price of the sheep was incurred which was paid by the seller. Sheep's fair value increased from ₹ 500,000 to ₹ 600,000 on 31st March, 20X4. Transaction cost of 2% would have to be incurred by the seller to get the sheep to the relevant market.

Determine the fair value on the date of purchase and the reporting date and pass necessary journal entries thereon.

Page **613** 

# Page **614**

# Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

### **Answer 8**

The fair value less cost to sell of sheep's on the date of purchase would be ₹ 4,90,000 (5,00,000- 10,000). Expense of ₹ 10,000 would be recognised in profit and loss.

### On date of Purchase

Biological Asset Dr. 4,90,000 Loss on initial recognition Dr. 10,000

To Bank 5,00,0

(Being biological asset purchased)

On 31st March, 20X4 sheep would be measured at  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  5,88,000 as Biological Asset (6,00,000- 12,000) and gain of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  98,000 (5,88,000 - 4,90,000) would be recognised in profit or loss.

# At the end of reporting period

Biological Asset Dr. 98,000

To Gain – Change in fair value 98,000 (Being change in fair value recognised at the end of reporting period)

### Question 9 (Illustration)

Agro Foods Ltd. runs a poultry farm business. It has received a government grant from the government for setting up a new poultry unit in a backward area. Agro Foods Ltd used the amount of government grants to buy the first batch of broiler birds, incubators etc. The broiler birds are measured at fair value less costs to sell. However, the incubator machine is measured as per the cost model in Ind AS 16. As such there are no conditions attached to the release of the government grants pertaining to purchase of poultry birds. However, as regards the investment in incubators and other related plant and machinery items, the government grant contains a condition that the plant and machinery item should be used for a minimum period of 3 years. The useful life of the incubator machine has also been determined to be 3 years in accordance with the management estimate of the time period over which the economic benefits embedded in the incubator machine shall be consumed.

Advise the accounting requirements prescribed in Ind AS 41 Agriculture and Ind AS 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance in respect of both the government grants?

### Answer 9

Ind AS 41 requires an unconditional government grant related to a biological asset measured at its fair value less costs to sell to be recognised in profit or loss when, and only when, the government grant becomes receivable. Accordingly, the amount of government grant attributable to the broiler birds which qualify as a biological bird shall be recognized in profit or loss account when the grant becomes receivable. If a government grant is conditional, including when a government grant requires an entity not to engage in specified agricultural activity, an entity should recognize the government grant in profit or loss when, and only when, the conditions attaching to the government grant are met. This provision of Ind AS 41 is not applicable as we have been informed that there are no conditions attached to the release of the government grant pertaining to broiler birds. In the given case, the grant related to broiler birds has already been received for the purpose of providing immediate financial support to the entity with no future related conditions to be fulfilled.

Accordingly, the grant relating to broiler birds is to be recognized in profit and loss in the period in which it is received.

If a government grant relates to a biological asset measured at its cost less any accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses, the entity applies Ind AS 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance. The incubator machine does not qualify as a biological asset as it is specifically covered by Ind AS 16 which states that plant and machinery items used to develop or maintain biological assets is covered by Ind AS 16. Therefore, the provisions relating to Government grants contained in Ind AS 41 will not apply to the incubator machine. Therefore, we have to apply directly the provisions contained in IAS 20. Ind AS 20 contains two methods of presentation in financial statements of grants (or the appropriate portions of grants) related to assets are regarded as acceptable alternatives:

- One method recognises the grant as deferred income that is recognized in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the useful life of the asset.
- The other method deducts the grant in calculating the carrying amount of the asset. The grant is recognized in profit or loss over the life of a depreciable asset as a reduced depreciation expense.

Therefore, the grant relating to incubator machine will have to be accounted as a deferred income that is recognized in Profit or loss on a systematic basis over a period of 3 years in line with the condition attached to the grant. Alternatively, the grant may be deducted in determining the carrying amount of the incubator. In such a case the grant is recognised in Profit or Loss over the 3-year useful life of the depreciable incubator machine as a reduced depreciation expense.

### Question 10 (Illustration)

Moon Ltd prepares financial statements to 31st March, each year. On 1st April 20X1 the company carried out the following transactions:

- -- Purchased a land for ₹ 50 Lakhs.
- -- Received a grant of  $\overline{1}$  1 million towards the acquisition of the cows. This grant was non- refundable.

For the year ending 31st March, 20X2, the company has incurred following costs:

- -- ₹ 6 Lakh to maintain the condition of the animals (food and protection).
- -- ₹ 4 Lakh as breeding fee to a local farmer.

On 1st October, 20X1, 100 calves were born. There were no other changes in the number of animals during the year ended 31st March, 20X2. As of 31st March, 20X2, Moon Ltd had 3,000 litres of unsold milk in inventory. The milk was sold shortly after the year end at market prices.

Information regarding fair values is as follows:

Item	Fair Value less cost to sell				
	1st April,	1st October,	31st March, 20X2		
	20X1	20X1			
	₹	₹	₹		
Land	50 Lakhs	60 Lakhs	70 Lakhs		
New born calves (per calf)	1,000	1,100	1,200		
Six month old calves (per calf)	1,100	1,200	1,300		
Two year old cows (per cow)	5,000	5,100	5,200		

Page **615** 

Three year old cows (per	5,200	5,300	5,500
cow)			
Milk (per litre)	20	22	24

Prepare extracts from the Balance Sheet and Statement of Profit & Loss that would be reflected in the financial statements of the entity for the year ended 31st March, 20X2.

### Answer 10

### Extract from the Statement of Profit & Loss

	WN	Amount
Income		
Change in fair value of purchased dairy cow	WN 2	1,00,000
Government Grant	WN 3	10,00,000
Change in the fair value of newly born calves	WN 4	1,30,000
Fair Value of Milk	WN 5	72,000
Total Income		13,02,000
Expenses		
Maintenance Costs	WN 2	6,00,000
Breeding Fees	WN 2	4,00,000
Total Expense		(10,00,000)
Net Income		3,02,000

### **Extracts from Balance Sheet**

Property, Plant and Equipment:		
Land	WN 1	50,00,000
Biological assets other than bearer plants:		
Dairy Cow	WN 2	11,00,000
Calves	WN 4	1,30,000
		62,30,000
Inventory:		
Milk	WN 5	72,000
		<u>72,000</u>

### **Working Notes:**

- 6. **Land:** The purchase of the land is not covered by Ind AS 41. The relevant standard which would apply to this transaction is Ind AS 16. Under this standard the land would initially be recorded at cost and depreciated over its useful economic life. This would usually be considered to be infinite in the case of land and so no depreciation would be appropriate. Under Cost Model no recognition would be made for post-acquisition changes in the value of land. The allowed alternative treatment under Revaluation Model would permit the land to be revalued to market value with the revaluation surplus taken to the other comprehensive income. We have followed the Cost Model.
- 7. **<u>Dairy Cows:</u>** Under the 'fair value model' laid down in Ind AS 41 the mature cows would be recognised in the Balance Sheet at  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2 at the fair value of  $200 \times 7.500 = 11,00,000$ .


Increase in price change  $200 \times (5,200-5,000) = 40,000$ 

Increase in physical change  $200 \times (5,500-5,200) = 60,000$ 

The total difference between the fair value of matured herd and its initial cost (₹ 11,00,000 – ₹ 10,00,000 = a gain of ₹ 1,00,000) would be recognised in the profit

,age 616

- and loss along with the maintenance costs and breeding fee of ₹ 6,00,000 and ₹ 4,00,000 respectively.
- 8. **Grant:** Grant relating to agricultural activity is not subject to the normal requirement of Ind AS 20. Under Ind AS 41 such grants are credited to income as soon as they are unconditionally receivable rather than being recognised over the useful economic life of the herd. Therefore, ₹ 10,00,000 would be credited to income of the company.
- 9. <u>Calves:</u> They are a biological asset and the fair value model is applied. The breeding fees are charged to income and an asset of  $100 \times 1,300 = 1,30,000$  recognised in the Balance sheet and credited to Profit and loss.
  - <u>Milk:</u> This is agricultural produce and initially recognised on the same basis as biological assets. Thus the milk would be valued at  $3,000 \times 24 = 72,000$ . This is regarded as 'cost' for the future application of Ind AS 2 to the unsold milk.



 $_{\rm age}618$ 

# Chapter 7 Unit-2 Ind AS 20: "Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure

### **Attempts wise Distribution**

of Government Assistance"

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP	Q9		Q7		Q5		Q6	Q11		Q8	Q10	
PYP					Q2	Q14	Q1					
RTP	Q12			Q4				Q3				Q13

# Section - A

### Question 1

Shagun Ltd. received two different grants from State Government as per details below:

- (i) A cash grant of `24 lakh was received on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2020 towards the skill development of employees over a period of 18 months, starting from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2020. Actual costs of the skill development program in financial year 2020 -2021 was `30 lakh and in financial year 2021-2022 was `20 lakh. State, how this grant should be accounted for in the books of account in financial year 2019-2020, 2020-2021 & 2021-2022?
- (ii) A grant of `10 lakh receivable over three years (`5 lakh in financial year 2019-2020, `2 lakh in financial year 2020-2021 and `3 lakh in financial year 2021-2022), contingent on developing 5 gardens and maintaining them for three years. The gardens are developed in financial year 2019-2020 at a total cost of `6 lakh, and the maintenance cost for financial year 2019-2020 is `12 lakh, for financial year 2020-2021 is `15 lakh and for financial year 2021-2022 is `17 lakh.

Calculate the grant income and deferred income to be accounted for in the books for financial years 2019-2020, 2020-2021 & 2021-2022. (PYP 8 Marks May '22)

### Answer 1

(i) At 31st March, 2020 the grant would be recognized as a liability and presented in the balance sheet as a split between current and non-current amounts. `16 lakh [(12 months / 18 month) x 24 lakhs] is current and would be recognized in profit and loss for the year ended 31st March, 2021. The balance amount of `8 lakh will be shown as non-current.

At the end of the year 2020-2021, there would be a current balance of `8 lakh (being the non-current balance at the end of year 2019-2020 reclassified as current) in the balance sheet. This would be recognised as profit in the statement of profit and loss for the year ended on 2021-2022.

# 2022 Balance Sheet (extracts) as

a	<u> </u>		
	31 <sup>st</sup> March,2020	31 <sup>st</sup>	
			March,2022
Current liabilities			

Deferred income	16 lakh	8 lakh	-
Non-current liabilities			
Deferred income	8 lakh	-	-

# Statement of Profit and Loss (extracts) for the year ended

	31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2021	31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2022
Method 1 (As per para 29 of Ind AS 20)		
Other Income - Government grant	16 lakh	8 lakh
received		
Training costs	(30 lakh)	(20 lakh)
Method 2 (Alternative) (As per para 29 of		
Ind AS 20)		
Training costs (30 lakh – 16 lakh)	14 lakh	
Training costs (20 lakh – 8 lakh)		12 lakh

(ii) The income of `10 lakh should be recognized over the three-year period to compensate for the related costs. Since the receipt of grant is depending on fulfilling the contract, it is assumed that on initial date certainty to fulfil the conditions by the entity could not be established. Hence, the grant is recognized in the books on receipt basis.

Calculation of Grant Income and Deferred Income:(`in lakh)

Year	Maintenan ce Cost	Grant Income	Grant received during the year	Deferred Income
	а	b = [(10/50) x a]	С	đ
2019- 2020	18	3.6	5	(5 – 3.6)1.4
2020- 2021	15	3.0	2	[(5 + 2) - (3.6 + 3.0)] 0.4
2021- 2022	17	3.4	3	$   \begin{bmatrix}     (5 + 2 + 3) - (3.6 + 3.0 + 3.4)] -   \end{bmatrix} $
	50	10.0		,-

Therefore, Grant income to be recognised in Profit & Loss for years 2019 -2020, 2020-2021 and 2021-2022 will be ` 3.6 lakh, ` 3.0 lakh and ` 3.4 lakh respectively.

Amount of grant that has not yet been credited to profit & loss i.e. deferred income will be reflected in the balance sheet. Hence, deferred income balance as at year end 2019-2020, 2020-2021 and 2021-2022 will be `1.4 lakh, `0.4 lakh and Nil respectively.

### Question 2

How will you recognize and present the grants received from the Government in the following cases as per Ind AS 20?

- (i) A Ltd. received one acre of land to setup a plant in backward area (fair value of land
  - Rs. 12 lakh and acquired value by Government is Rs. 8 lakhs).
- (ii) B Ltd. received an amount of loan for setting up a plant at concessional

Page **620** 

rate of interest from the Government.

- (iii) D Ltd. received an amount of Rs. 25 lakh for immediate start-up of a business without any condition.
- (iv) S Ltd. received Rs. 10 lakh for purchase of machinery costing Rs. 80 lakh. Useful life of machinery is 10 years. Depreciation on this machinery is to be charged on straight line basis.

Government gives a grant of Rs. 25 lakh to U Limited for research and development of medicine for breast cancer, even though similar medicines are available in the market but are expensive. The company is to ensure by developing a manufacturing process over a period of two years so that the cost comes down at least to 50%. (PYP 5 Marks, Nov'18)(Certain adjustments similar to MTP Oct 20 5 Marks, PYP May'19 5 Marks)

### Answer 2

- (i) The land and government grant should be recognized by A Ltd. at fair value of Rs. 12,00,000 and this government grant should be presented in the books as deferred income. (As per the Amendment in IND AS 20, If the company is following the policy of recognizing non-monetary grants at nominal value, the company will not recognize any government grant. Land will be shown in the Financial statements
- (ii) As per para 10A of Ind AS 20 'Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance', loan at concessional rates of interest is to be measured at fair value and recognised as per Ind AS 109. Value of concession is the difference between the initial carrying value of the loan determined in accordance with Ind AS 109, and the proceeds received. The benefit is accounted for as Government grant.
- (iii) Rs. 25 lakh has been received by D Ltd. for immediate start-up of business. Since this grant is given to provide immediate financial support to an entity, it should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss immediately with disclosure to ensure that its effect is clearly understood, as per para 21 of Ind AS 20.
- (iv) Rs. 10 lakh should be recognized by S Ltd. as deferred income and will be transferred to profit and loss over the useful life of the asset. In this case, Rs. 1,00,000 [Rs. 10 lakh / 10 years] should be credited to profit and loss each year over period of 10 years. (As per the Amendment in IND AS 20, If the company is following the policy of recognizing non-monetary grants at nominal value, the company will not recognize any government grant. The machinery will be recognized at Rs 70 Lakhs (80 lakhs - 10 lakhs). Reduced Depreciation will be charged to the statement of
- (v) As per para 12 of Ind AS 20, the entire grant of Rs. 25 lakh should be recognized immediately as deferred income and charged to profit and loss over a period of two years based on the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate provided that there is reasonable assurance that U Ltd. will comply with the conditions attached to the grant.

### Question 3

Profit or Loss)

A Ltd. received a government grant of 10,00,000 to defray expenses for environmental protection. Expected environmental costs to be incurred is 3,00,000 per annum for the next 5 years. How should A Ltd. present such grant related to income in its financial statements? (RTP May '23)

### Answer 3

As per paragraph 29 of Ind AS 20 'Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance', grants related to income are presented as part of profit or loss, either separately or under a general heading such as 'Other income'. Alternatively, they are deducted in reporting the related expense.

In accordance with the above, presentation of grants related to income under both the methods would be as follows:

Method 1: Credit in the Statement of Profit and Loss

The entity can recognise the grant as income on a straight-line basis i.e., ₹ 2,00,000 per year in the statement of profit and loss either separately or under the head "Other Income".

This method considered on the contention that it would be inappropriate to present income and expense items on a net basis and that separation of the grant from the expense would facilitate comparison with other expenses not affected by a grant.

Method 2: As a deduction in reporting the related expense

Since the grant relates to environmental expenses incurred/to be incurred by the entity, it can present the grant by reducing the grant amount every year from the related expense i.e., environmental expense of 1,00,000 (i.e., net expense  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  3,00,000 –  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,00,000).

This method is considered based on the contention that the expenses might well not have been incurred by the entity if the grant had not been available and presentation of the expense without offsetting the grant might therefore be misleading.

The Standard regards both the methods as acceptable for the presentation of grants related to income. However, method 2 may be more appropriate when the company can relate the grant to a specific expenditure.

The Standard also provides that disclosure of the grant may be necessary for a proper understanding of the financial statements. Disclosure of the effect of the grants on any item of income or expense which is required to be separately disclosed is usually appropriate.

### **Question 4**

ABC Ltd is a government company and is a first-time adopter of Ind AS. As per the previous GAAP, the contributions received by ABC Ltd. from the government (which holds 100% shareholding in ABC Ltd.) which is in the nature of promoters' contribution have been recognized in capital reserve and treated as part of shareholders' funds in accordance with the provisions of AS 12, Accounting for Government Grants. State whether the accounting treatment of the grants in the nature of promoters' contribution as per AS 12 is also permitted under Ind AS 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance. If not, then what will be the accounting treatment of such grants recognized in capital reserve as per previous GAAP on the date of transition to Ind AS (RTP May 18)

### Answer 4

Paragraph 2 of Ind AS 20, "Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance" inter alia states that the Standard does not deal with government participation in the ownership of the entity. Since ABC Ltd. is a Government company, it implies that government has 100% shareholding in the entity. Accordingly, the entity needs to determine whether the payment is provided as a shareholder contribution or as a government. Equity contributions will be recorded in equity while grants will be shown in the Statement of Profit and Loss. Where it is concluded that the contributions are in the nature of government grant, the entity shall apply the principles of Ind AS 20 retrospectively as specified in Ind AS 101 'First Time

Adoption of Ind AS'. Ind AS 20 requires all grants to be recognised as income on a systematic basis over the periods in which the entity recognises as expenses the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate. Unlike AS 12, Ind AS 20 requires the grant to be classified as either a capital or an income grant and does not permit recognition of government grants in the nature of promoter's contribution directly to shareholders' funds. Where it is concluded that the contributions are in the nature of shareholder contributions and are recognised in capital reserve under previous GAAP, the provisions of paragraph 10 of Ind AS 101 would be applied which states that, which states that except in certain cases, an entity shall in its opening Ind AS Balance Sheet:

- (a) Recognise all assets and liabilities whose recognition is required by Ind AS;
- (b) Not recognise items as assets or liabilities if Ind AS do not permit such recognition;
- (c) Reclassify items that it recognised in accordance with previous GAAP as one type of asset, liability or component of equity, but are a different type of asset, liability or component of equity in accordance with Ind AS; and
- (d) Apply Ind AS in measuring all recognised assets and liabilities." Accordingly, as per the above requirements of paragraph 10(c) in the given case, contributions recognised in the Capital Reserve should be transferred to appropriate category under 'Other Equity' at the date of transition to Ind AS.

### Question 5

A Limited received from the government a loan of Rs.1,00,00,000 @ 5% payable after 5 years in a bulleted payment. The prevailing market rate of interest is 12%. Interest is payable regularly at the end of each year. Calculate the amount of government grant and Pass necessary journal entry. Also examine how the Government grant be realized. Also state how the grant will be recognized in the statement of profit or loss assuming that the loan is to finance a depreciable asset. (MTP 6 Marks Aug '18)

### Answer 5

The fair value of the loan is calculated at Rs. 74,76,656.

Yea r	Opening Balance	Interest Calculated @ 12%	Interest paid @ 5% on Rs. 1,00,00,000 + principal	
(a)	(b)	(c) = (b) x 12%	paid (d)	(e) =(b) + (c) - (d)
1	74,76,65	8,97,200	5,00,000	78,73,856
2	6	9,44,862	5,00,000	83,18,718
3	78,73,85	9,98,246	5,00,000	88,16,964
4	6	10,58,036	5,00,000	93,75,000
5	83,18,71	11,25,000	1,05,00,000	Nil
	8			
	88,16,96			
	4			
	93,75,00			
	0			

A Limited will recognise Rs. 25,23,344 (Rs. 1,00,00,000 - Rs. 74,76,656) as the government grant and will make the following entry on receipt of loan:

Bank Account

Dr.

Rs. 1,00,00,000

To Deferred Income

Rs. 25,23,344

To Loan Account Rs. 74,76,656

Rs. 25,23,344 is to be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods in which A Limited recognise the related costs (which the grant intends to compensate) as expenses.

If the loan is to finance a depreciable asset, Rs. 25,23,344 will be recognised in profit or loss on the same basis as depreciation.

### Question 6

M Limited had constructed another factory few years ago with the assistance of yet another government grant, 'Innovative Product'. The grant is non-repayable and, following the construction of the factory, cannot be clawed back by the government. There are no further conditions attached to the grant that the Company is required to satisfy. The grant received has been treated as deferred income and is being credited to the income statement over the same period as the factory is being depreciated. Following an adverse change in the demand of the product the factory manufactures, during the year at the reporting date, the directors have concluded that the factory's carrying value is no longer recoverable in full and that a write down for impairment is required. The write down is more than covered by the amortized deferred income balance related to the grant.

Discuss, in the context of Ind AS framework and Ind AS 20, the impairment of the

Discuss, in the context of Ind AS framework and Ind AS 20, the impairment of the factory for which 'Innovative Product' government grant, has been received. Would your answer be different, if there are further conditions attached to grant beyond construction of factory? (MTP 6 Marks March '22)

#### Answer 6

### **Accounting treatment for Government Grant:**

Government grants, related to assets, including non-monetary grants at fair value should be presented in the Balance Sheet either by setting up the grant as deferred income or by deducting the grant in arriving at the asset's carrying amount. (Para 24 of Ind AS 20)

Government grants should be recognised as income over the periods in which the entity recognises as expenses the related costs that they are intended to compensate, on a systematic basis. The outcome should be same in the Profit and Loss account statement regardless of whether grants are netted or deferred.

In case the grant had been offset against the acquisition cost of the factory and net carrying value is less than the recoverable amount, there would be no need for an impairment write-down. The Profit and Loss account would be charged with annual depreciation on the net acquisition cost.

### Government grant relating to 'Innovative Product':

To match the same result for the grant 'Innovative Product' which has been shown as deferred income and the factory is initially recorded at its cost, it is reasonable to release an amount of deferred income to the Profit and Loss account to compensate for the impairment write-down.

### Treatment in case of further conditions attached:

If there are further conditions attached to the grant beyond construction of the factory, it may not be appropriate to release an amount of the deferred income to compensate for the impairment write down. An entity would need to assess those further conditions to determine the amount, if any, of deferred income to release.

### Question 7

Rainbow Limited is carrying out various projects for which the company has either received government financial assistance or is in the process of receiving

the same. The company has received two grants of Rs. 1,00,000 each, relating to the following ongoing research and development projects:

- (i) The first grant relates to the "Clean river project" which involves research into the effect of various chemicals waste from the industrial area in Madhya Pradesh. However, no major steps have been completed by Rainbow limited to commence this research as at 31st March, 20X2.
- (ii) The second grant relates to the commercial development of a new equipment that can be used to manufacture eco-friendly substitutes for existing plastic products. Rainbow Limited is confident about the technical feasibility and financial viability of this new technology which will be available for sale in the market by April 20X3.

In September 20X1, due to the floods near one of its factories, the entire production was lost and Rainbow Limited had to shut down the factory for a period of 3 months. The State Government announced a compensation package for all the manufacturing entities affected due to the floods. As per the scheme, Rainbow Limited is entitled to a compensation based on the average of previous three months' sales figure prior to the floods, for which the company is required to submit an application form on or before30<sup>th</sup> June, 20X2 with necessary figures. The financial statements of Rainbow Limited are to be adopted on 31<sup>st</sup> May, 20X2, by which date the claim form would not have been filed with the State Government. Suggest the accounting treatment of, if any, for the two grants received and the flood-related compensation in the books of accounts of Rainbow Limited as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2. (MTP 6 Marks March '21, RTP May'20)

### Answer 7

# Accounting treatment for:

### 1. First Grant

The first grant for 'Clear River Project' involving research into effects of various chemicals waste from the industrial area in Madhya Pradesh, seems to be unconditional as no details regarding its refund has been mentioned. Even though the research has not been started nor any major steps have been completed by Rainbow Limited to commence the research, yet the grant will be recognised immediately in profit or loss for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2. Alternatively, in case, the grant is conditional as to expenditure on research, the grant will be recognised in the books of Rainbow Limited over the years the expenditure is being incurred.

### 2. Second Grant

The second grant related to commercial development of a new equipment is a grant related to depreciable asset. As per the information given in the question, the equipment will be available for sale in the market from April, 20X3. Hence, by that time, grant relates to the construction of an asset and should be initially recognised as deferred income.

The deferred income should be recognised as income on a systematic and rational basis over the asset's useful life. The entity should recognise a liability on the balance sheet for the years ending 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3. Once the equipment starts being used in the manufacturing process, the deferred grant income of Rs. 100,000 should be recognised over the asset's useful life to compensate for depreciation costs.

**Alternatively**, as per Ind AS 20, Rainbow Limited would also be permitted to offset the deferred income of Rs. 100,000 against the cost of the equipment in

April, 20X3.

### 3. For flood related compensation

Rainbow Limited will be able to submit an application form only after 31 st May, 20X2 ie in the year 20X2-20X3. Although flood happened in September, 20X1 and loss was incurred due to flood related to the year 20X1-20X2, the entity should recognise the income from the government grant in the year when the application form related to it is submitted and approved by the government for compensation.

Since, in the year 20X1-20X2, the application form could not be submitted due to adoption of financials with respect to sales figure before flood occurred, Rainbow Limited should not recognise the grant income as it has not become receivable as on 31st March,20X2.

### Question 8

How will you recognize and present the grants received from the Government in the following cases as per Ind AS 20? A Ltd. received one acre of land to setup a plant in backward area (fair value of land Rs. 12 lakhs and acquired value by Government is Rs. 8 lakhs).

- (i) B Ltd. received an amount of loan for setting up a plant at concessional rate of interest from the Government.
- (ii) D Ltd. received an amount of Rs. 25 lakhs for immediate start-up of a business without any condition.
- (iii) S Ltd. received Rs. 10 lakhs for purchase of machinery costing Rs. 80 lakhs. Useful life of machinery is 10 years. Depreciation on this machinery is to be charged on straight line basis.
- (iv) Government gives a grant of Rs. 25 lakh to U Limited for research and development of medicine for breast cancer, even though similar medicines are available in the market but are expensive. The company is to ensure by developing a manufacturing process over a period of two years so that the cost comes down at least to 50%. (MTP 5 Marks Oct '20) (Certain adjustments similar to PYP Nov'18 & May'19 5 Marks)

### **Answer 8**

- (i) The land and government grant should be recognized by A Ltd. at fair value of Rs. 12,00,000 and this government grant should be presented in the books as deferred income. Alternatively, if the company is following the policy of recognising non-monetary grants at nominal value, the company will not recognise any government grant. Land will be shown in the financial statements at Rs. 1)
- (ii) As per para 10A of Ind AS 20 'Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance', loan at concessional rates of interest is to be measured at fair value and recognized as per Ind AS 109. Value of concession is the difference between the initial carrying value of the loan determined in accordance with Ind AS 109, and the proceeds received. The benefit is accounted for as Government grant.
- (iii) Rs. 25 lakh has been received by D Ltd. for immediate start-up of business. Since this grant is given to provide immediate financial support to an entity, it should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss immediately with disclosure to ensure that its effect is clearly understood, as per para 21 of Ind AS 20.
- (iv) Rs. 10 lakh should be recognized by S Ltd. as deferred income and will be transferred to profit and loss over the useful life of the asset. In this case, Rs.

# 1,00,000 [Rs. 10 lakh/10 years] should be credited to profit and loss each year over period of 10 years. Alternatively, if the company is following the policy of recognising non-monetary grants at nominal value, the company will not

recognise any government grant. The machinery will be recognised at Rs. 70 lakh (Rs. 80 lakh - Rs. 10 lakh). Reduced depreciation will be charged to the Statement of Profit or Loss.

(v) As per para 12 of Ind AS 20, the entire grant of Rs. 25 lakh should be recognized immediately as deferred income and charged to profit and loss over a period of two years based on the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate provided that there is reasonable assurance that U Ltd. will comply with the conditions attached to the grant.

### Question 9

Entity A is awarded a government grant of Rs. 60,000 receivable over three years (Rs.40,000 in year 1 and Rs.10,000 in each of years 2 and 3), contingent on creating 10 new jobs and maintaining them for three years. The employees are recruited at a total cost of Rs.30,000, and the wage bill for the first year is Rs. 1,00,000, rising by Rs.10,000 in each of the subsequent years. Calculate the grant income and deferred income to be accounted for in the books for year 1, 2 and 3. (MTP 4 Marks Nov 21, RTP Nov 20)

#### Answer 9

The income of Rs. 60,000 should be recognised over the three year period to compensate for the related costs.

#### Calculation of Grant Income and Deferred Income:

Year	Labou r	Grant Incom		Deferre d	
	Cost	е		Incom	
				е	
	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	
1	1,30,000	21,667	60,000 x	18,333	(40,000 - 21,667)
			(130/360)		
2	1,10,000	18,333	60,000 x	10,000	(50,000 – 21,667 –
			(110/360)		18,333)
3	1,20,000	20,000	60,000 x	-	(60,000 – 21,667 –
			(120/360)		18,333 –
	3,60,00	60,000			20,000)
	0				

Therefore, Grant income to be recognised in Profit & Loss for years 1, 2 and 3 are Rs. 21,667, Rs. 18,333 and Rs. 20,000 respectively.

Amount of grant that has not yet been credited to profit & loss i.e; deferred income is to be reflected in the balance sheet. Hence, deferred income balance as at year end 1, 2 and 3 are Rs. 18,333, Rs. 10,000 and Nil respectively.

### Question 10

A Limited is engaged in the manufacturing of certain specialized chemicals. During the manufacturing process, certain wastewater is produced which is released by A Limited in the nearby river. To reduce pollution of the rivers, the state government has introduced a scheme with the following salient features:

• If a manufacturer installs certain pre-approved wastewater treatment plant, the government will provide an interest free loan equal to 50% of the cost of

the plant;

- Such loan will be repayable to the government in 5 years from the date of disbursal;
- The manufacturer availing the benefit of this scheme must treat the wastewater of its factory using the specified plant before releasing it to the river. If this condition is violated, the entire loan shall become immediately repayable to the government along with a penalty of ₹ 10 lakh.

Cost of the wastewater treatment plant to be installed to avail the benefit of the scheme is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  50 lakh. A Limited decided to utilise this scheme because, if it were to obtain the similar loan from a bank, it would be available at a market interest rate of 12% per annum. Accordingly, A Limited applied for and obtained the government loan of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  25 lakh on 1st April, 20X1. A Limited purchased and installed the plant such that it became ready for use on the same date.

A Limited has an accounting policy of recognising government grant in relation to depreciable assets in the proportion of depreciation expense. It has determined that the plant will be depreciated over a period of 5 years using straight-line method. In the month of March, 20X3, government officials conducted a surprise audit, and it was found that A Limited was not using the wastewater treatment plant as prescribed. Accordingly, on 31st March, 20X3, the government ordered A Limited to repay the entire loan along with penalty. A Limited repaid the loan with interest and penalty as per the order on 31st March, 20X3.

Measure the amount of government grant as on 1st April, 20X1. Determine the nature of the government grant and its accounting treatment (principally) for the year ended 31 st March, 20X2. Also determine the impact on profit or loss if any, on account of revocation of government grant as on 31st March, 20X3. (MTP 12 Marks Sep'22, RTP May'22)

### Answer 10

As per the principles of Ind AS 20 "Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance", the benefits of a government loan at a below market rate of interest is treated as a government grant. The loan shall be recognized and measured in accordance with Ind AS 109 "Financial Instruments". The benefit of the below market rate of interest shall be measured as the difference between the initial carrying value of the loan determined in accordance with Ind AS 109 and the proceeds received. The benefit is accounted for in accordance with Ind AS 20. As per Ind AS 109, the loan should be initially measured at its fair value.

Initial recognition of grant as on 1st April, 20X1

Fair value of loan = ₹ 25,00,000 x 0.567 (PVF @ 12%, 5th year) = ₹ 14,17,500

A Limited will recognize ₹ 10,82,500 (25,00,000 – 14,17,500) as the government grant and will make the following entry on receipt of loan:

Date	Particulars		Dr. (₹)	Cr. (₹)
1.4.20X1	Bank account Dr.		25,00,00	
	To Deferred Grant Income	0	10,82,500	
	To Loan account		14,17,500	
	(Being grant initially recorded at fair va			

As per para 3 of Ind AS 20, grants related to assets are government grants whose primary condition is that an entity qualifying for them should purchase, construct or otherwise acquire long-term assets.

As per para 24-27 of Ind AS 20, Government grants related to assets, including non-monetary grants at fair value, shall be presented in the balance sheet either by setting up the grant as deferred income or by deducting the grant in arriving at the carrying

amount of the asset.

One method recognises the grant as deferred income that is recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the useful life of the asset.

The other method deducts the grant in calculating the carrying amount of the asset. The grant is recognised in profit or loss over the life of a depreciable asset as a reduced depreciation expense.

A Ltd. has adopted first method of recognising the grant as deferred income that is recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the useful life of the asset. Here, deferred income is recognised in profit or loss in the proportion in which depreciation expense on the asset is recognised.

Depreciation for the year (20X1-20X2) = ₹50,00,000 / 5 years = ₹10,00,000

As the loan is to finance a depreciable asset, ₹ 10,82,500 will be recognized in Profit or Loss on the same basis as depreciation.

Since the depreciation is provided on straight line basis by A Limited, it will credit ₹ 2,16,500 (10,82,500 / 5) equally to its statement of profit and loss over the 5 years.

#### **Journal Entries**

Date	Particulars	Dr. (₹)	Cr. (₹)
31.3.20X2	Depreciation (Profit or Loss A/c)Dr.	10,00,000	
	To Property, Plant & Equipment		10,00,000
	(Being depreciation provided for the year)		
	Deferred grant income Dr.	2,16,500	
	To Profit or Loss		2,16,500
	(Being deferred income adjusted)		

Impact on profit or loss due to revocation of government grant as on 31st March 20X3 As per para 32 of Ind AS 20, a government grant that becomes repayable shall be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate. Repayment of a grant related to income shall be applied first against any unamortised deferred credit recognised in respect of the grant. To the extent that the repayment exceeds any such deferred credit, or when no deferred credit exists, the repayment shall be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

Amount payable to Government on account of= ₹
principal loan 25,00,000

Amount payable to Government on account of=

penalty 10,00,000

### **Journal Entries**

Date	Particulars Particulars		Dr. (₹)	Cr. (₹)
31.3.20X	Deferred grant income	Dr.	2,16,500	
3	To Profit or Loss			2,16,500
	(Being deferred income adjusted)			
	Loan account (W.N.1)	Dr.	17,78,112	
	Deferred grant income (W.N.2)	Dr.	6,49,500	
	Profit or Loss Dr.		72,388	
	To Government grant payable			25,00,000
	(Being refund of government grant)			
	Profit or Loss	Dr.	10,00,000	
	To Government grant payable			10,00,000
	(Being penalty payable to government)			

Therefore, total impact on profit or loss on account of revocation of government grant as on 31st March, 20X3 will be ₹ 10,72,388 (10,00,000 + 72,388). Circumstances giving rise to repayment of a grant related to an asset may require consideration to be given to the

age 629

possible impairment of the new carrying amount of the asset.

# **Working Notes:**

### 2. Amortisation Schedule of Loan

Year	Opening	balance	of	Interest	(a)	Closing	balance	of
	Loan			12%		Loan		
31.03.20		14,17,5	00	1,70,	100		15,87,6	000
X2								
31.03.20		15,87,6	00	1,90,	512		17,78,1	12
Х3								

### 3. Deferred Grant Income

Year	Opening	Adjustme	Closing	
	balance	nt	balance	
31.03.20X2	10,82,500	2,16,500	8,66,000	
31.03.20X3	8,66,000	2,16,500	6,49,500	

# Question 11

An entity opens a new factory and receives a government grant of  $\ref{thmodel}$  15,000 in respect of capital equipment costing  $\ref{thmodel}$  1,00,000. It depreciates all plant and machinery at 20% per annum on straight-line basis. Show the statement of profit and loss and balance sheet extracts in respect of the grant for first year under both the methods as per Ind AS 20. (MTP 6 Marks March '23)

### Answer 11

# When grant is treated as deferred income

Statement of profit and loss - An extract

Statement	i profit and 1000 in childee
	₹
Depreciation (₹ 1,00,000 x 20%)	(20,000)
Government grant credit (W.N.1)	3,000

### Balance Sheet - An extract

Balance Sheet - An extract				
		₹		
Non-current assets				
Property, plant and equipment	1,00,000			
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(1,00,000 x 20%) (20,000)	80,000		
		XX		
Non-current liabilities				
Government grant	[12,000 - 3,000	9,000		
_	(current liability)]			
Current liabilities				
Government grant	(15,000 x 20%)	3,000		
		XX		

# Working Note:

### Government grant deferred income account

dovernment grant deserted meome account					
	₹		₹		
To Profit or loss	3,000	By Grant cash received	15,000		
(15,000 × 20%)					

To Balance c/f	12,000	
	15,000	15,000

# When grant is deducted from cost of the asset Statement of profit and loss – An extract

	₹
Depreciation [(₹ 1,00,000 – 15,000) x 20%]	(17,000)

### Balance Sheet - An extract

				₹
Non-current	assets			
Property,	plant	and	(1,00,000-15,000)	
equipment			85,000	68,00
Less:	Accumi	ılated	(17,000)	0
depreciation	L			

### Question 12

A Ltd. has been conducting its business activities in backward areas of the country and due to higher operating costs in such regions, it has collectively incurred huge losses in previous years. As per a scheme of government announced in March 20X1, the company will be partially compensated for the losses incurred by it to the extent of `10,00,00,000, which will be received in October 20X1. The compensation being paid

by the government meets the definition of government grant as per Ind AS 20. Assume that no other conditions are to be fulfilled by the company to receive the compensation.

When should the grant be recognised in statement of profit and loss? Discuss in light of relevant Ind AS. (RTP Nov '21)

### Answer 12

Paragraph 7 of Ind AS 20 states that, Government grants, including non-monetary grants at fair value, shall not be recognised until there is reasonable assurance that:

- (a) the entity will comply with the conditions attaching to them; and
- (b) the grants will be received.

Further, paragraphs 20 and 22 of Ind AS 20 state as follows:

"A government grant that becomes receivable as compensation for expenses or losses already incurred or for the purpose of giving immediate financial support to the entity with no future related costs shall be recognised in profit or loss of the period in which it becomes receivable".

"A government grant may become receivable by an entity as compensation for expenses or losses incurred in a previous period. Such a grant is recognised in profit or loss of the period in which it becomes receivable, with disclosure to ensure that its effect is clearly understood."

In accordance with the above, in the given case, as at March 20 X1, A Ltd. is entitled to receive government grant in the form of compensation for losses already incurred by it in the previous years. Therefore, even though the compensation will be received in the month of October 20X1, A Ltd. should recognise the compensation receivable by it as a government grant in the profit or loss for the period in which it became receivable, i.e., for the financial year 20X0-20X1 with disclosure to ensure that its effect is clearly understood.

# Question 13

An entity opens a new factory and receives at the beginning of the year a government grant of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}} 15,000$  in respect of capital equipment costing  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}} 1,00,000$ . It depreciates all plant and machinery at 20% p.a. using straight-line method. Assume that there is reasonable assurance that the conditions attached to the grant will be fulfilled.

For year 1, pass the necessary Journal Entries and show the presentation of the effect of this grant in both Balance Sheet and Statement of Profit and Loss under both methods permitted under paragraph 24 of Ind AS 20? (RTP Nov '23)

### Answer 13

Paragraph 24 of Ind AS 20 provides that government grants related to assets, including non-monetary grants at fair value, shall be presented in the balance sheet either by setting up the grant as deferred income or by deducting the grant in arriving at the carrying amount of the asset.

In accordance with the above, journal entries and presentation of grants related to assets under both the methods are as follows:

# Method 1: When the deferred income account is set-up with the amount of government grant

(i) Journal Entries

(1)	Journa				
S. No.	Particulars	Nature of Account	Dr. / Cr.	Amount (in ₹)	Amount (in ₹)
(i)	Bank A/c	Balance Sheet (Asset)	Dr.	15,000	15,000
	To Government Grant Deferred Income A/c	Balance Sheet (Liability)	Cr.		
	(Being grant received and deferred income set up)				
(ii)	Government Grant Deferred Income A/c	Balance Sheet (Liability)	Dr.	3,000	
	To Government Grant Income A/c	Income (P/L)	Cr.		3,000
	(Being amortisation of the grant in Profit and loss A/c for the current year)				
(iii)	Depreciation A/c	Expense (P/L)	Dr.	20,000	
	To Accumulated Depreciation A/c	Balance Sheet (Asset)	Cr.		20,000
	(Being depreciation charge of the asset for the current year)				
(iv)	Government Grant Income A/c	Income (P/L)	Dr.	3,000	
	To Profit and Loss A/c	P/L	Cr.		3,000
	(Being transfer of government grant income to profit and loss A/c)				

(v)	Profit and Loss A/c	P/L	Dr.	20,000	
	To Depreciation A/c	Expense (P/L)	Cr.		20,000
	(Being the charge of				
	depreciation transferred to				
	profit and loss A/c)				

# (ii) Presentation in Balance Sheet and Statement of Profit and Loss Extract of Statement of Profit and Loss

Particulars	Amount (in ₹)
Income	
Government grant (Refer W.N.1)	3,000
Expenses	
Depreciation (1,00,000 x 20%)	(20,000)
Net effect on profit and loss	(17,000)

Presentation in Balance Sheet (Year 1)

Particulars	Amount (in ₹)
Non-current Assets	
Property, Plant and Equipment	
Plant & machinery	1,00,000
Accumulated depreciation (1,00,000 × 20%)	(20,000)
	80,000
Non-current liabilities	
Government grant (Refer W.N.1)	9,000
Current liabilities	
Government grant (Refer W.N.1)	3,000

# Working Note 1: Presentation in Balance Sheet as current and non-current liability

Particulars	Amount (in ₹)
Portion to be amortised in next 12 months (15,000 x 20%)	3,000
Portion to be amortised after 12 months	9,000
Total Balance	12,000

# Method 2: When the government grant is deducted from the cost of the asset

# (l) Journal Entries

S. No.	Particulars		Nature of	Account	Dr./ Cr.	Amount (in ₹)	Amount (in ₹)
(i)	Bank A/c		Balance (Asset)	Sheet	Dr.	15,000	
	To Gover: Grant A/		Balance (Liability)	Sheet	Cr.		15,000
	(Being received)	grant					

633

(ii)	Government A/c	Grant	Balance (Liability)	Sheet	Dr.	15,000	
	To Plant & Machiner		Balance (Asset)	Sheet	Cr.		15,000
	(Being cost of asset reduced with grant received)						
(iii)	Depreciation (85,000 x 20%)	,	Expense (P	/L)	Dr.	17,000	
	To Accum Depreciat		Balance (Asset)	Sheet	Cr.		17,000
	(Being depredent charge of the for the current	asset					
(iv)	Profit and Los	ss A/c	P/L		Dr.	17,000	
	To Depred	ciation	Expense (P	/L)	Cr.		17,000
	(Being the characteristics) depreciation transferred the profit and los	to					

(II) Presentation in Balance Sheet and Statement of Profit and Loss Extract of Statement of Profit and Loss (Year 1)

Particulars	Amount (in ₹)
Depreciation (₹ 85,000 x 20%)	(17,000)

**Extract of Balance Sheet (Year 1)** 

Particulars	Amount (in ₹)
Non-current Assets	
Property, Plant and Equipment	
Plant & machinery	
Original cost	1,00,000
Less: Government Grant	(15,000)
Adjusted cost	85,000
Accumulated depreciation	(17,000)
Carrying amount	68,000

# Question 14

Med quick Ltd. has received the following grants from the Central Government for its newly started pharmaceutical business:

- Rs. 50 lakh received for immediate start-up of business without any condition.
- Rs. 70 lakh received for research and development of drugs required for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases with following conditions:
  - (i) That drugs should be available to the public at 20% cheaper from current market price and
  - (ii) The drugs should be in accordance with quality prescribed by the Govt. Drug

Page **634** 

Control department.

- Three acres of land (fair value: Rs. 20 lakh) received for set up of plant.
- Rs. 4 lakh received for purchase of machinery of Rs. 10 lakh. Useful life of machinery is 4 years. Depreciation on this machinery is to be charged on straight-line basis.

How should Med quick Ltd. recognize the government grants in its books of accounts as per relevant Ind AS? (PYP 4 Marks, May'19)

### Answer 14

Mediquick Ltd. should recognise the grants in the following manner:

- a. Rs. 50 lakhs have been received for immediate start-up of business. This should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss immediately as there are no conditions attached to the grant.
- b. Rs. 70 lakhs should be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods in which the entity recognises as expense the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate. However, for this compliance, there should be reasonable assurance that Mediquick Ltd. complies with the conditions attached to the grant.
- c. Land should be recognised at fair value of Rs. 20 lakhs and government grants should be presented in the balance sheet by setting up the grant as deferred income.
  - **Alternatively,** since the land is granted at no cost, it may be presented in the books at nominal value.
- d. Rs. 4 lakhs should be recognised as deferred income and will be transferred to profit and loss account over the useful life of the asset. In this cases, Rs. 1,00,000 [Rs. 4 lakhs/ 4 years] should be credited to profit and loss account each year over the period of 4 years.

**Alternatively,** Rs. 4,00,000 will be deducted from the cost of the asset and depreciation will be charged at reduced amount of Rs. 6,00,000 (Rs. 10,00,000 – Rs. 4,00,000) i.e. Rs. 1,50,000 each year.

### Section - B

# Question 1

ABC Ltd. has received the following grants from the Government of Delhi for its newly started pharmaceutical business:

- ₹ 20 lakhs received for immediate start-up of business without any condition.
- ₹ 50 lakhs received for research and development of drugs required for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases with following conditions:
  - that drugs should be available to the public at 20% cheaper from current market price; and
  - the drugs should be in accordance with quality prescribed by the World Health Organisation [WHO].
- Two acres of land (fair Value: ₹ 10 Lakhs) received for set up plant.
- ₹ 2 lakhs received for purchase of machinery of ₹ 10 lakhs. Useful life of machinery is 5 years. Depreciation on this machinery is to be charged on straight-line basis.

How should ABC Ltd. recognise the government grants in its books of accounts? Answer 1

ABC Ltd. should recognise the grants in the following manner:

• ₹ 20 lakhs have been received for immediate start-up of business. This should be recognised in Statement of Profit and Loss immediately as there are no conditions

359ee 635

attached to the grant.

- ₹ 50 lakhs should be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods which the entity recognises as expense the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate provided that there is reasonable assurance that ABC Ltd. will comply with the conditions attached to the grant.
- Land should be recognised at fair value of ₹ 10 lakhs and government grants should be presented in the balance sheet by setting up the grant as deferred income. Alternatively, deduct the amount of grant from the cost of the asset. In the given case, the land is granted at no cost. It will be presented in the books at nominal value.
- ₹ 2 lakhs should be recognised as deferred income and will be transferred to profit and loss over the useful life of the asset. In this cases, ₹ 40,000 [₹ 2 lakhs/5] should be credited to profit and loss each year over period of 5 years. Alternatively, ₹ 2,00,000 will be deducted from the cost of the asset and depreciation will be charged at ₹ 8,00,000 (₹ 10,00,000 ₹ 2,00,000).

### Question 2

A Limited received from the government a loan of ₹1,00,00,000 @ 5% payable after 5 years in a bulleted payment. The prevailing market rate of interest is 12%. Interest is payable regularly at the end of each year. Calculate the amount of government grant and Pass necessary journal entry. Also examine how the Government grant be realized. Also state how the grant will be recognized in the statement of profit or loss assuming that the loan is to finance a depreciable asset.

### Answer 2

The fair value of the loan is calculated at ₹ 74,76,656.

Yea r	Opening Balance	Interest calculated @ 12%	Interest paid @ 5% on ₹ 1,00,00,000 + principal paid	Closing Balance
(a)	(b)	(c) = (b) $\times 12\%$	(d)	(e) =(b) + (c) - (d)
1	74,76,656	8,97,200	5,00,000	78,73,856
2	78,73,856	9,44,862	5,00,000	83,18,718
3	83,18,718	9,98,246	5,00,000	88,16,964
4	88,16,964	10,58,036	5,00,000	93,75,000
5	93,75,000	11,25,000	1,05,00,000	Nil

A Limited will recognise ₹ 25,23,344 (₹ 1,00,00,000 – ₹ 74,76,656) as the government grant and will make the following entry on receipt of loan:

Bank Account Dr. 1,00,00,000

To Deferred Income 25,23,344
To Loan Account 74,76,656

₹ 25,23,344 is to be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods in which A Limited recognised as expenses the related costs for which the grant is intended to compensate.

If the loan is to finance a depreciable asset. ₹ 25,23,344 will be recognised in profit or loss on the same basis as depreciation.

### Question 3

9E998

MNC Ltd. has received grant in the nature of exemption of custom duty on capital goods with certain conditions related to export of goods under Export Promotion Capital Goods (EPCG) scheme of Government of India. Whether the same is a government grant under Ind AS 20, Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance? If yes, then how the same is to be accounted for if it is

- (a) A Grant related to asset or
- (b) A Grant related to income?

### Answer 3

Paragraph 3 of Ind AS 20 states that Government grants are assistance by government in the form of transfers of resources to an entity in return for past or future compliance with certain conditions relating to the operating activities of the entity. They exclude those forms of government assistance which cannot reasonably have a value placed upon them and transactions with government which cannot be distinguished from the normal trading transactions of the entity.

In accordance with the above, in the given case exemption of custom duty under EPCG scheme is a government grant and should be accounted for as per the provisions of Ind AS 20.

# Ind AS 20 defines grant related to assets and grants related to income as follows:

"Grants related to asset are government grants whose primary condition is that an entity qualifying for them should purchase, construct or otherwise acquire long-term assets. Subsidiary conditions may also be attached restricting the type or location of the assets or the periods during which they are to be acquired or held. Grants related to income are government grants other than those related to assets."

Presentation of grants related to assets

Government grants related to assets, including non-monetary grants at fair value, shall be presented in the balance sheet by setting up the grant as deferred income.

The grant set up as deferred income is recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the useful life of the asset. Alternatively, the amount of grant will be deducted from the cost of the asset and depreciation will be charged on the reduced value of the asset.

Presentation of grants related to income

Grants related to income are presented as part of profit or loss, either separately or under a general heading such as 'Other income'; alternatively, they are deducted in reporting the related expense.

### Presentation

In the given case, based on the terms and conditions of the scheme, the grant received is to compensate the import cost of assets subject to an export obligation as prescribed in the EPCG Scheme and does not relate to purchase, construction or acquisition of a long term asset. Hence it is a grant related to income.

Accounting of such grant

It may be further noted that as per paragraph 12 of Ind AS 20, government grants shall be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods in which the entity recognises as expenses the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate Grants related to income are presented as part of profit or loss, over a period of six years, either separately or under a general heading such as 'Other income'. Alternatively, they are deducted in reporting the related expense.

### Question 4

7899

ABC Ltd is a government company and is a first-time adopter of Ind AS. As per the previous GAAP, the contributions received by ABC Ltd. from the government (which holds 100% shareholding in ABC Ltd.) which is in the nature of promoters' contribution have been recognised in capital reserve and treated as part of shareholders' funds in accordance with the provisions of AS 12, Accounting for Government Grants. State whether the accounting treatment of the grants in the nature of promoters' contribution as per AS 12 is also permitted under Ind AS 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance.

#### Answer 4

Paragraph 2 of Ind AS 20, "Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance" inter alia states that the Standard does not deal with government participation in the ownership of the entity.

Since ABC Ltd. is a Government company, it implies that government has 100% shareholding in the entity. Accordingly, as per Ind AS 20, the entity needs to determine whether the payment is provided as a shareholder contribution or as a government. Equity contributions will be recorded in equity while grants will be shown in the Statement of Profit and Loss.

Where it is concluded that the contributions are in the nature of government grant, the entity shall apply the principles of Ind AS 20 retrospectively as specified in Ind AS 101 'First Time Adoption of Ind AS'. Ind AS 20 requires all grants to be recognised as income on a systematic basis over the periods in which the entity recognises as expenses the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate. Unlike AS 12, Ind AS 20 requires the grant to be classified as either a capital or an income grant and does not permit recognition of government grants in the nature of promoter's contribution directly to shareholders' funds.

# Question 5

Rainbow Limited is carrying out various projects for which the company has either received government financial assistance or is in the process of receiving the same. The company has received two grants of  $\gtrless$  1,00,000 each, relating to the following ongoing research and development projects:

- (i) The first grant relates to the "Clean river project" which involves research into the effect of various chemicals waste from the industrial area in Madhya Pradesh. However, no major steps have been completed by Rainbow limited to commence this research as at 31st march, 20X2.
- (ii) The second grant relates to the commercial development of a new equipment that can be used to manufacture eco-friendly substitutes for existing plastic products. Rainbow Limited is confident about the technical feasibility and financial viability of this new technology which will be available for sale in the market by April 20X3.

In September 20X1, due to the floods near one of its factories, the entire production was lost and Rainbow Limited had to shut down the factory for a period of 3 months. The State Government announced a compensation package for all the manufacturing entities affected due to the floods. As per the scheme, Rainbow Limited is entitled to a compensation based on the average of previous three months' sales figure prior to the floods, for which the company is required to submit an application form on or before 30th June, 20X2 with necessary figures. The financial statements of Rainbow Limited are to be adopted on 31st May, 20X2, by which date the claim form would not have been filed with the State Government.

Suggest the accounting treatment of, if any, for the two grants received and the flood-related compensation in the books of accounts of Rainbow Limited as on 31st March, 20X2.

### Answer 5

# Accounting treatment for:

### 1. First Grant

The first grant for 'Clear River Project' involving research into effects of various chemicals waste from the industrial area in Madhya Pradesh, seems to be unconditional as no details regarding its refund has been mentioned. Even though the research has not been started nor any major steps have been completed by Rainbow Limited to commence the research, yet the grant will be recognised immediately in profit or loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X2.

Alternatively, in case, the grant is conditional as to expenditure on research, the grant will be recognised in the books of Rainbow Limited over the year the expenditure is being incurred.

### 2. Second Grant

The second grant related to commercial development of a new equipment is a grant related to depreciable asset. As per the information given in the question, the equipment will be available for sale in the market from April, 20X3. Hence, by that time, grant relates to the construction of an asset and should be initially recognised as deferred income.

The deferred income should be recognised as income on a systematic and rational basis over the asset's useful life.

The entity should recognise a liability on the balance sheet for the years ending 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3. Once the equipment starts being used in the manufacturing process, the deferred grant income of ₹ 100,000 should be recognised over the asset's useful life to compensate for depreciation costs.

Alternatively, as per Ind AS 20, Rainbow Limited would also be permitted to offset the deferred income of ₹ 100,000 against the cost of the equipment as on 1st April, 20X3.

# **3.** For flood related compensation

Rainbow Limited will be able to submit an application form only after 31st May, 20X2 ie in the year 20X2-20X3. Although flood happened in September, 20X1 and loss was incurred due to flood related to the year 20X1-20X2, the entity should recognise the income from the government grant in the year when the application form related to it is submitted and approved by the government for compensation.

Since, in the year 20X1-20X2, the application form could not be submitted due to adoption of financials with respect to sales figure before flood occurred, Rainbow Limited should not recognise the grant income as it has not become receivable as on 31st March, 20X2.

# Question 6

An entity opens a new factory and receives a government grant of  $\ref{thmodel}$  15,000 in respect of capital equipment costing  $\ref{thmodel}$  1,00,000. It depreciates all plant and machinery at 20% per annum on straight-line basis. Show the statement of profit and loss and balance sheet extracts in respect of the grant for first year under both the methods as per Ind AS 20.

### Answer 6

(a) When grant is treated as deferred income

Statement of profit and loss - An extract

beacement of profit and	1000 IIII OMCIACE
	₹
Depreciation (₹ 1,00,000 x 20%)	(20,000)
Government grant credit (W.N.1)	3,000

Balance Sheet - An extract

Balance Sneet - An extract				
		₹		
Non-current assets				
Property, plant and equipment	1,00,000	80,00		
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(1,00,000 x 20%) (20,000)	0		
		5555		
Non-current liabilities				
Government grant	[12,000 – 3,000 (current liability)]	9,000		
Current liabilities				
Government grant	(15,000 x 20%)	3,000		
		5555		

# Working Note:

1. Government grant deferred income account

	₹		₹					
To Profit or loss	3,000	By Grant cash	15,000					
(15,000 × 20%)		received						
To Balance c/f	12,000							
	15,000		15,000					

(b) When grant is deducted from cost of the asset

Statement of profit and loss – An extract

beacomone of profit and loop in onclude						
	₹					
Depreciation [(₹ 1,00,000 – 15,000) x 20%]	(17,000)					

Balance Sheet - An extract

Balance Sheet - An extract					
		₹			
Non-current assets					
Property, plant and	(1,00,000-15,000)				
equipment	85,000	68,00			
Less: Accumulated	(17,000)	0			
depreciation					

### Question 7

A company receives a cash grant of ₹ 30,000 on 31 March 20X1. The grant is towards the cost of training young apprentices. Training programme is expected to last for 18 months starting from 1 April 20X1. Actual costs of the training

# incurred in 20X1-20X2 was ₹ 50,000 and in 20X2-20X3 ₹ 25,000. State, how this grant should be accounted for?

### Answer 7

At 31st March 20X1 the grant would be recognised as a liability and presented in the balance sheet as a split between current and non-current amounts.  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 20,000$  [(12 months / 18 months) x 30,000] is current and would be recognised in profit and loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X1. The balance amount of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 10,000$  will be shown as non-current. At the end of year 20X1-20X2, there would be a current balance of 10,000 (being the non-current balance at the end of year 20X1-20X1 reclassified as current) in the balance sheet.

This would be recognised in profit in the year 20X2-20X3. Extracts from the financial statements are as follows:

Balance Sheet (extracts)

Buil	X1100 D11000 (	(02202000)	
	31 March 20X1	31 March 20X2	31 March 20X3
Current liabilities Deferred income	20,000	10,000	1
Non-current liabilities			
Deferred income	10,000	-	-

Statement of profit and loss (extracts)

	31 March 20X2	31 March 20X3						
Method 1								
Other Income - Government grant	20,000	10,000						
received Training costs	(50,000)	(25,000)						
Method 2	, , , ,	,						
Training costs (50,000 – 20,000)	30,000							
Training costs (25,000 – 10,000)		15,000						

### Question 8

Entity A is awarded a government grant of ₹60,000 receivable over three years (₹40,000 in year 1 and ₹10,000 in each of years 2 and 3), contingent on creating 10 new jobs and maintaining them for three years. The employees are recruited at a total cost of ₹30,000, and the wage bill for the first year is ₹ 1,00,000, rising by ₹10,000 in each of the subsequent years. Calculate the grant income and deferred income to be accounted for in the books for year 1, 2 and 3.

### Answer 8

The income of ₹ 60,000 should be recognised over the three year period to compensate for the related costs.

# Calculation of Grant Income and Deferred Income:

Year	Labour Cost	Grant Incom		Deferred Income	
		е			
	₹	₹		₹	
1	1,30,000	21,667	60,000 x	18,333	(40,000 – 21,667)
			(130/360)		
2	1,10,000	18,333	60,000 x	10,000	(50,000 – 21,667 –
			(110/360)		18,333)
3	1,20,000	20,000	60,000 x	-	(60,000 – 21,667 –

,age 641

		(120/360)	18,333
			- 20,000)
3,60,000	60,000		

Therefore, Grant income to be recognised in Profit & Loss for years 1, 2 and 3 are ₹ 21,667, ₹ 18,333 and ₹ 20,000 respectively.

Amount of grant that has not yet been credited to profit & loss i.e; deferred income is to be reflected in the balance sheet. Hence, deferred income balance as at year end 1, 2 and 3 are ₹ 18,333, ₹ 10,000 and Nil respectively.

### Question 9 (Illustration)

Government gives a grant of ₹ 10,00,000 for past research of H1N1 vaccine to A Pharmaceuticals Limited. There is no condition attached to the grant. Examine how the Government grant be recognised in the books of A Pharmaceuticals Limited.

### Answer 9

The entire grant should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

# Question 10 (Illustration)

Government gives a grant of ₹ 10,00,000 for research and development of H1N1 vaccine to A Pharmaceuticals Limited even though similar vaccines are available in the market but are expensive. The entity has to ensure by developing a manufacturing process over a period of 2 years that the costs come down by at least 40%. Examine how the Government grant be recognised assuming that A Pharmaceuticals Limited has reasonable assurance that the conditions attached to the grant will be complied with.

### Answer 10

The entire grant should be recognised immediately as deferred income and charged to profit or loss over a period of two years.

### Question 11 (Illustration)

A village of artisans in a district got devastated because of an earthquake. A Limited was operating in that district and was providing employment to the artisans. The government gave a grant of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  10,00,000 to A Limited so that 100 artisans are rehabilitated over a period of 3 years. Government releases  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  2,00,000. Examine how the Government grant be recognised.

### Answer 11

A Limited will recognise ₹ 10,00,000 as government grant and set it up as a deferred income and will recognise it in its profit or loss over the period of three years as per the principles enunciated in Ind AS 20.

Once a government grant is recognised, any related contingent liability or contingent asset is treated in accordance with Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets.

The manner in which a grant is received does not affect the accounting method to be adopted in regard to the grant. Thus a grant is accounted for in the same manner whether it is received in cash or as a reduction of a liability to the government or in the form of a non-monetary asset.

### Question 12 (Illustration)

age 645

A Limited received from the government a loan of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  50,00,000 @ 5% payable after 5 years in a bulleted payment. The prevailing market rate of interest is 12%. Interest is payable regularly at the end of each year. Calculate the amount of government grant and Pass necessary journal entry. Also examine how the Government grant be recognised.

### Answer 12

The fair value of the loan is calculated at ₹ 37,38,328.

Yea r	Opening Balance	Interest calculated @ 12%	Interest paid @ 5% on ₹ 50,00,000 + principal paid	Closing Balance
(a)	(b)	(c) = (b) x 12%	(d)	(e) =(b) + (c) - (d)
1	37,38,328	4,48,600	2,50,000	39,36,928
2	39,36,928	4,72,431	2,50,000	41,59,359
3	41,59,359	4,99,123	2,50,000	44,08,482
4	44,08,482	5,29,018	2,50,000	46,87,500
5	46,87,500	5,62,500	52,50,000	Nil

A Limited will recognise ₹ 12,61,672 (₹ 50,00,000 – ₹ 37,38,328) as the government grant and will make the following entry on receipt of loan:

Bank Account Dr. 50,00,000

To Deferred Income 12,61,672 To Loan Account 37,38,328

₹ 12,61,672 is to be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods in which A Limited recognise as expenses the related costs for which the grant is intended to compensate. (see Illustration 5 in this regard)

### **Question 13 (Illustration)**

Continuing with the facts given in the Illustration 4, state how the grant will be recognized in the statement of profit or loss assuming:

- (a) the loan is an immediate relief measure to rescue the enterprise
- (b) the loan is a subsidy for staff training expenses, incurred equally, for a period of 4 years
- (c) the loan is to finance a depreciable asset.

### Answer 13

₹ 12,61,672 is to be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods in which A Limited recognised as expenses the related costs for which the grant is intended to compensate.

Assuming (a), the loan is an immediate relief measure to rescue the enterprise. ₹ 12,61,672 will be recognised in profit or loss immediately.

Assuming (b), the loan is a subsidy for staff training expenses, incurred equally, for a period of 4 years. ₹ 12,61,672 will be recognised in profit or loss over a period of 4 years.

Assuming (c), the loan is to finance a depreciable asset. ₹ 12,61,672 will be recognised in profit or loss on the same basis as depreciation.

,age 643

# Question 14 (Illustration)

A Limited wants to establish a manufacturing unit in a backward area and requires 5 acres of land. The government provides the land on a leasehold basis at a nominal value of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  10,000 per acre. The fair value of the land is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  100,000 per acre. Calculate the amount of the Government grant to be recognized by an entity.

#### Answer 14

A limited will recognise the land at fair value of ₹ 5,00,000 and ₹ 450,000 [(₹ 100,000 – ₹ 10,000) x 5)] as government grant. This government grant should be presented in the balance sheet by setting up the grant as deferred income.

Alternatively, the land may be recognised by A Ltd. at nominal value of ₹ 50,000 (₹  $10,000 \times 5$ ).

# Question 15 (Illustration)

A Limited establishes solar panels to supply solar electricity to its manufacturing plant. The cost of solar panels is ₹ 1,00,00,000 with a useful life of 10 years. The depreciation is provided on straight line method basis. The government gives ₹ 50,00,000 as a subsidy. Examine how the Government grant be realized.

### Answer 15

A Limited will set up ₹ 50,00,000 as deferred income and will credit ₹ 5,00,000 equally to its statement of profit and loss over next 10 years.

Alternatively, A Ltd. may deduct ₹ 50,00,000 from the cost of solar panel of ₹ 1,00,00,000.

### Question 16 (Illustration)

Continuing with the facts given in the Illustration 7 above, state how the same will be disclosed in the Statement of cash flows.

### Answer 16

A Limited will show ₹ 1,00,00,000 being acquisition of solar panels as outflow in investing activities. The receipt of ₹ 50,00,000 from government will be shown as inflow under financing activities.

### Question 17 (Illustration)

A Ltd. received a government grant of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  10,00,000 to defray expenses for environmental protection. Expected environmental costs to be incurred is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  3,00,000 per annum for the next 5 years. How should A Ltd. present such grant related to income in its financial statements?

# Answer 17

As per paragraph 29 of Ind AS 20, Grants related to income are presented as part of profit or loss, either separately or under a general heading such as 'Other income'; alternatively, they are deducted in reporting the related expense.

In accordance with the above, presentation of grants related to income under both the methods are as follows:

### Question 18 (Illustration)

A Ltd. has received a grant of ₹ 10,00,00,000 in the year 20X1-20X2 from local government in the form of subsidy for selling goods at lower price to lower income group population in a particular area for two years. A Ltd. had accounted for the grant as income in the year 20X1-20X2. While accounting for the grant in the

Page 644

year 20X1-20X2, A Ltd. was reasonably assured that all the conditions attached to the grant will be complied with. However, in the year 20X5-20X6, it was found that A Ltd. has not complied with the above condition and therefore notice of refund of grant has been served to it. A Ltd. has contested but lost in court in 20X5-20X6 and now grant is fully repayable. How should A Ltd. reflect repayable grant in its financial statements ending 20X5-20X6?

### Answer 18

Note: It is being assumed that the accounting done in previous years was not incorrect and was not in error as per Ind AS 8.

Paragraph 32 of Ind AS 20, states that a Government grant that becomes repayable shall be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate (see Ind AS 8, Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors).


Repayment of a grant related to income shall be applied first against any unamortised deferred credit recognised in respect of the grant. To the extent that the repayment exceeds any such deferred credit, or when no deferred credit exists, the repayment shall be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

Repayment of a grant related to an asset shall be recognised by increasing the carrying amount of the asset or reducing the deferred income balance by the amount repayable. The cumulative additional depreciation that would have been recognised in profit or loss to date in the absence of the grant shall be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

The following journal entries should be passed:

S. No.	Particulars	Nature of Account	Dr./ Cr.	Amount (₹ in crores)
(i)	Repayment of Government Grant	Expense (P/L)	Dr.	10
	To Grant repayable	Balance sheet (Liability)		10
	(Being recognition of repayment of grant in statement of profit or loss)			
(ii)	Grant repayable	Balance sheet (Liability)	Dr.	10
	To Bank	Balance sheet (Asset)		10
	(Being grant refunded)			

Assuming that no deferred credit balance exists in the year 20X5-20X6, therefore repayment recognised in P&L. It may also be noted that the standard also provides that circumstances giving rise to repayment of a grant related to an asset may require consideration to be given to the possible impairment of the new carrying amount of the asset.



 $_{\rm age}646$ 

# Chapter 7 Unit-3 Ind AS 102: "Share Based Payment"

### Attempts wise Distribution

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
	Q7,			Q8,								
MTP	Q11		Q10	Q9		Q12		Q1		Q5		
PYP	Q6	Q17		Q2					Q13			
											Q3,	
RTP			Q4			Q14		Q16			Q15	

# Section - A

### Question 1

Voya Limited issued 1,000 share options to each of its 200 employees for an exercise price of `10. The employees are required to stay in employment for next 3 years. The fair value of the option is estimated at `18.

90% of the employees are expected to vest the option.

The Company faced severe crisis during the 2nd year and it was decided to cancel the scheme with immediate effect. The market price of the share at the date of cancellation was `15.

The following information is available:

- Fair value of the option at the date of cancellation is `12.
- The company paid compensation to the employees at the rate of `13.50. There were only 190 employees in the employment at that time.

You are required to show how cancellation will be recorded in the books of the Company as per relevant Ind AS. (PYP 5 Marks July 21, MTP 6 Marks Apr'23)

### Answer 1

### (a) (A) Calculation of employee compensation expense

	Year 1	Year 2	
Expected employees to			
remain in the employment	180	190	
during the vesting period			
Fair value of option	18	18	
Number of options	1,000	1,000	
Total	32,40,00	34,20,00	
	0	0	
Expense weightage	1/3	2/3	Balance 2/3 <sup>rd</sup> in
			full, as it is
			cancelled
Expense for the year	10,80,00	23,40,00	Remaining
	0	0	amount since
			cancelled

### (B) Cancellation compensation to be charged in the year 2

Cancellation compensation			
---------------------------	--	--	--

Number of employees	(A)	190	
Amount agreed to pay	(B)	13.5	
N 1 C 1	(0)	0	
Number of options/ employee	(C)	1,00	
Compensation amount (A x B x C)		Ü	25,65,000
Less: Amount to be deducted from Equity			
Number of employees	(D)	190	
Fair value of option (at the date of			
cancellation) (E)			
Number of options / employee	(F)	1,00	
		0	
Amount to be deducted from Equity	(D x E		(22,80,00
x F)			0)
Balance transferred to Profit and Loss			
			2,85,000

### Question 2

ABC Limited issued 20,000 Share Appreciation Rights (SARs) that vest immediately to its employees on 1st April 2015. The SARs will be settled in cash. At that date it is estimated using an option pricing model, that the fair value of a SAR is Rs. 95. SAR can be exercised any time up to 31st March 2018. At the end of 31st March 2016 it is expected that 95% of total employees will exercise the option, 92% of total employees will exercise the option at the end of next year and finally 89% will be vested only at the end of the 3rd year. Fair values at the end of each period have been given below:

Fair value of SAR	Rs.
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2016	110
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2017	107
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2018	112

Discuss the applicability of Cash Settled Share based payments under the relevant Ind AS and pass the journal entries. (PYP 10 Marks, May'18)

#### Answer 2

Applicability of cash settled share-based payment transactions For cash-settled share-based payment transactions, the entity shall measure the goods or services acquired and the liability incurred at the fair value of the liability.

- 1. When vesting conditions are attached to the share based payment plans
  The recognition of such share based payment plans should be done by
  recognizing fair value of the liability at the time of goods/ services received
  and not at the date of grant.
- 2. When no vesting period / condition is attached or to be fulfilled

  Cash settled share based payment can be recognized in full at initial recognition itself.

Until the liability is settled, the entity shall remeasure the fair value of the liability at the end of each reporting period date and difference in fair value will be charged to profit or loss for the period as employee benefit expenses. At the date of settlement, the liability is paid in cash based on the fair value on the date of settlement.

# Calculation of expenses recognized during the year on account of change in the fair value of SARs

Period	Fair value	To be vested	Cumulative expenses	Expense / (benefit) for the current year
	a	ъ	c = a x b x 20,000	<pre>d = c-of current period - c   of   previous period</pre>
1st April, 2015	95	100%	19,00,000	19,00,000
31st March, 2016	110	95%	20,90,000	1,90,000
31st March, 2017	107	92%	19,68,800	(1,21,200)
31st March, 2018	112	89%	19,93,600	24,800
				19,93,600

# **Journal Entries**

Date	Journal Bitt			
Date				
1st April, 2015	Employee benefits expenses Dr.		19,00,0 00	
	To Share based payment liabil	ity		19,00,00 0
	(Fair value of the SAR recogniz initially)	ed		
31st March,	Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,90,00 0	
2016	To Share based payment liabil	ity		1,90,000
	(Fair value of the SAR remeasured)			
31st March,	Share based payment liability	Dr.	1,21,20 0	
2017	To Employee benefits expenses		1,21,200	
	(Fair value of the SAR re-meas reversed)	ured &		
31st	Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	24,800	
March, 2018	To Share based payment liabil	ity		24,800
	(Fair value of the SAR premeas recognized)	sured &		
	Share based payment liability	Dr.	19,93,6 00	
	To Cash			19,93,6 00
	(Settlement of SARs in cash)			

# Question 3

On 1st April 20X1, Nuogen Ltd. had granted 1,20,000 share options to its employees with the vesting condition being a service condition as follows:

949ee

- Vesting date: 31st March 20X2 80,000 share options (1-year vesting period since grant date)
- Vesting date: 31st March 20X5 40,000 share options (4-year vesting period since grant date)

Each option can be converted into one equity share of Nuogen Ltd. The fair value of the options on grant date, i.e., on 1st April 20X1 was `20.

Nuogen Ltd. is required to prepare financial statements in Ind AS for the financial year ending 31st March 20X4. The transition date for Ind AS being 1st April 20X2.

The entity has disclosed publicly the fair value of both these equity instruments as determined at the measurement date, as defined in Ind AS 102.

The previous applicable GAAP for the entity was IGAAP (AS) and therein, the entity had not adopted intrinsic method of valuation.

The share options have not been yet exercised by the employees of Nuogen Ltd.

How the share based payment should be reflected in, the books of Nuogen Ltd. as on 31st March 20X4, assuming that the entity has erred by not passing any entry for the aforementioned transactions in the books of Nuogen Ltd. on grant date, i.e. 1st April 20X1? (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 3

# For 80,000 share-based options vested before transition date:

Ind AS 101 provides that a first-time adopter is encouraged, but not required, to apply Ind AS 102 on 'Share-based Payment' to equity instruments **that vested before the date of transition to Ind AS**. Hence, Nuogen Ltd. may opt for the exemption given in Ind AS 101 for 80,000 share options vested before the transition date. However, since no earlier accounting was done for these share-based options under previous GAAP too, therefore this led to an error on the transition date, as detected on the reporting date i.e. 31st March, 20X4. Hence, being an error, no exemption could be availed by Nuogen Ltd. on transition date with respect to Ind AS 102.

While preparing the financial statements for the financial year 20X3 -20X4, an error has been discovered which occurred in the year 20X1 -20X2, i.e., for the period which was earlier than earliest prior period presented. The error should be corrected by restating the opening balances of relevant assets and/or liabilities and relevant component of equity for the year 20X2-20X3. This will result in consequential restatement of balances as at 1st April, 20X2 (i.e, opening balance sheet as at 1st April, 20X2).

Accordingly, on retrospective calculation of Share based options with respect to 80,000 options, Nuogen Ltd. will create 'Share based payment reserve (equity)' by 16,00,000 and correspondingly adjust the same though Retained earnings.

#### For 40,000 share based options to be vested on 31st March, 20X5:

Since share-based options have not been vested before transition date, no option as per Ind AS 101 is available to Nuogen Ltd. The entity will apply Ind AS 102 retrospectively. However, Nuogen Ltd. did not account for the same at the grant date. This will result in consequential restatement of balances as at 1st April, 20X2 (i.e, opening balance sheet as at 1st April, 20X2). Adjustment is to be made by recognising the 'Share based payment reserve (equity)' and adjusting the retained earnings by 2,00,000.

Further, expenses for the year ended 31st March, 20X3 and share based payment reserve (equity) as at 31st March, 20X3 were understated because of non-

recognition of 'employee benefits expense' and related reserve. To correct the above errors in the annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X4, the entity should restate the comparative amounts (i.e., those for the year ended 31 st March, 20X3) in the statement of profit and loss. In the given case, 'Share based payment reserve (equity)' would be credited by `2,00,000 and 'employee benefits expense' would be debited by `2,00,000

For the year ending 31st March, 20X4, 'Share based payment reserve (equity)' would be credited by `2,00,000 and 'employee benefits expense' would be debited by `2,00,000.

**Working Note:** 

Period	Lot	Proportio n	Fair value	Cumulative expenses	Expenses
		а	b	d= b x a	e = d- previous period d
20X1-20X2	1 (1-year vesting period)		16,00,000	16,00,000	16,00,000
20X1-20X2	2 (4-year vesting period)		8,00,000	2,00,000	2,00,000
20X2-20X3	2 (4-year vesting period)	· •	8,00,000	4,00,000	2,00,000
20X3-20X4	2 (4-year vesting period)		8,00,000	6,00,000	2,00,000

# Question 4

Company P is a holding company for company B. A group share-based payment is being organized in which Parent issues its own equity shares to the employees of company B. The details are as below

Number of Employees of Company B	100
Grant date fair value of share	Rs. 87
Number of shares granted to each employee	25
Vesting conditions	Immediately
Face value per share	Rs. 10

Pass the journal entries in the books of company P & company B. (RTP May '21)

#### Answer 4

Journal Entries in Books of Company P

countries in booms or company i		
	Debit	Credit
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)
Investment in Company B Dr.	2,17,500	
To Equity Share Capital A/c (2,500 shares x Rs. 10)		25,000
To Securities Premium A/c (2,500 shares x Rs. 77)		1,92,500
(Being allotment of 25 shares each to 100 employees of B at fair value of Rs. 87 per share)		7

# Journal Entries in Books of Company B

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

Particulars	Debit	Credit
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)
Employee Benefit Expense A/c Dr.	2,17,500	
To Capital Contribution from Parent P		2,17,500
(Being issue of shares by Parent to Employees pursuant to Group Share-based Payment Plan)		

#### Question 5

An entity which follows its financial year as per the calendar year grants 1,000 share appreciation rights (SARs) to each of its 40 management employees as on 1st January 20X5. The SARs provide the employees with the right to receive (at the date when the rights are exercised) cash equal to intrinsic value of the entity's share price. All of the rights vest on 31st December 20X6; and they can be exercised during 20X7 and 20X8. Management estimates that, at grant date, the fair value of each SAR is Rs. 11; and it estimates that 10% of the employees will leave evenly during the two-year period. The fair values of the SARs at each year end are shown below:

Year	Fair value at year end
31 December 20X5	12
31 December 20X6	8
31 December 20X7	13
31 December 20X8	12

10% of employees left before the end of 20X6. On 31st December 20X7 (when the intrinsic value of each SAR was Rs. 10), six employees exercised their options and remaining employees exercised their options at the end of 20X8 (when the intrinsic value of each SAR was equal to the fair value of Rs. 12). How much expense and liability is to be recognized at the end of each year? Also pass Journal entries. (10 Marks MTP May '20, RTP May 20)

# Answer 5

The amount recognized as an expense in each year and as a liability at each year end is as follows:

Year	Expens	Liabilit	Calculation of Liability
	е	y	
	Rs.	Rs.	
31 December	2,16,00	2,16,00	$= 36 \times 1,000 \times 12 \times \frac{1}{2}$
20X5	0	0	
31 December	72,000	2,88,000	$= 36 \times 1,000 \times 8$
20X6			
31 December	1,62,000	3,90,000	=30 x 1,000 x 13
20X7			Expense comprises an increase in
			the liability of Rs. 102,000 and cash
			paid to those exercising their
			SARs of Rs. 60,000(6 x 1,000 x
			10).

31	December	(30,000)	0	Liability extinguished.
20X8				Excess liability reversed, because
				cash paid to those exercising their
				SARs Rs. 3,60,000 (30 x 1,000x 12)
				was less than the opening liability
				Rs.3,90,000.

#### **Journal Entries**

	irnai en	LITES	
31 December 20X5			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	2,16,000	
To Share based payment liability		]	2,16,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)		]	
31 December 20X6			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	72,000	
To Share based payment liability		]	72,000
(Fair value of the SAR re-measured	)	]	
31 December 20X7	•		
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,62,000	
To Share based payment liability			1,62,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
Share based payment liability	Dr.	60,000	
To Cash			60,000
(Settlement of SAR)			
31 December 20X8	<u> </u>		
Share based payment liability	Dr.	30,000	
To Employee benefits expenses			30,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)		]	
Share based payment liability To Cash (Settlement of SAR)	Dr.	3,60,000	3,60,000

#### Question 6

Georgy Ltd. gave its key management an option to take either 810 equity shares or cash amount equivalent to 650 equity shares on 1st April, 2020. The minimum service requirement is 2 years. If shares are opted then they are to be kept for at least 4 years.

Fair value of the shares	•
Fair value for share alternative (with restrictions)	460
Grant date fair value on 1st April, 2020	480
Fair value on 31st March, 2021	530
Fair value on 31st March, 2022	560

Pass the necessary Journal Entries for the years ended 31st March, 2021 &

# 2022 if the key management exercises the cash option at the end of 2022. (PYP 6 Marks Dec '21)

# Answer 6

	1st April, 2020	31st March, 2021	31st March, 2022
Equity alternative (810 x 460)	3,72,600		
Cash alternative (650 x 480)	3,12,000		
Equity option (3,72,600 – 3,12,000)	60,600		
Cash Option (cumulative) (using		[(650 x 530)	
period end fair value)		X	3,64,000
		1/2] 1,72,250	
Equity Option (cumulative)		30,300	60,600
Expense for the period			
Equity option		30,300	30,300
Cash Option		1,72,250	1,91,750
Total		2,02,250	2,22,050

#### **Journal Entries**

31st March, 2021		
Employee benefits expenses Dr.	2,02,25	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)	0	30,300
To Share based payment liability		1,72,250
(Recognition of Equity option and cash settlement		
option)		
31st March, 2022		
Employee benefits expenses Dr.	2,22,05	
	0	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)		30,300
To Share based payment liability		1,91,750
(Recognition of Equity option and cash settlement		
option)		
Share based payment liability Dr.	3,64,00	
	0	
To Bank/ Cash		3,64,000
(Settlement in cash)		

# Question 7

On 1st April, 20X1, ABC limited gives options to its key management personnel (employees) to take either cash equivalent to 1,000 shares or 1,500 shares. The minimum service requirement is 2 years and shares being taken must be kept for 3 years. (8 Marks Oct 21)

Fair values of the shares are as follows:	₹
Share alternative fair value (with restrictions)	102
Grant date fair value on 1 <sup>st</sup> April, 20X1	113
Fair value on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	120

Fair Value on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X3

The employees exercise their cash option at the end of 20X2-20X3. Pass the journal entries.

# (MTP 8 Marks Oct 21)

#### Answer 7

	1 <sup>st</sup> April, 20X1	31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X3
	₹	₹	₹
Equity alternative (1,500 x 102)	1,53,000		
Cash alternative (1,000 x 113)	1,13,000		
Equity option (1,53,000-1,13,000)	40,000		
Cash Option (cumulative)		(1,000 x 120 x	
(using period end fair value)		<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> ) 60,000	1,32,000
Equity Option (cumulative)		(40,000 x ½) 20,000	40,000
Expense for the period			
Equity option		20,000	20,000
Cash Option		60,000	72,000
Total		80,000	92,000

# **Journal Entries**

31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2			₹
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	80,00	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)*		U	20,000
To Share based payment liability			60,000
(Recognition of Equity option and casoption)	h settlement		
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X3			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	92,000	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)*			20,000
To Share based payment liability			72,000
(Recognition of Equity option and casoption)	h settlement		
Share based payment liability	Dr.	1,32,00 0	
To Bank/ Cash			1,32,00 0
(Settlement in cash)			• • •

<sup>\*</sup>The equity component recognized (₹ 40,000) shall remain within equity. By electing to receive cash on settlement, the employees forfeited the right to receive equity instruments. However, ABC Limited may transfer the share-based payment

reserve within equity, i.e. a transfer from one component of equity to another.

#### Question 8

A Ltd. grants 100 shares to each of its 500 employees on 1st January 20X1. The employees should remain in service during the vesting period. The shares will vest at the end of the

First year if the company's earnings increase by 12%;

Second year if the company's earnings increase by more than 20% over the two-year period;

Third year if the entity's earnings increase by more than 22% over the three-year period.

The fair value per share at the grant date is INR 122. In 20X1, earnings increased by 10%, and 29 employees left the organization. The company expects that earnings will continue at a similar rate in 20X2 and expects that the shares will vest at the end of the year 20X2. The company also expects that additional 31 employees will leave the organization in the year 20X2 and that 440 employees will receive their shares at the end of the year 20X2. At the end of 20X2, company's earnings increased by 18%. Only 29 employees left the organization during 20X2. Company believes that additional 23 employees will leave in 20X3 and earnings will further increase so that the performance target will be achieved in 20X3. At the end of the year 20X3, only 21 employees have left the organization. The company's earnings increased to desired level and the performance target has been met.

Determine the expense for each year and pass appropriate journal entries as per the relevant Ind AS? (MTP 12 Marks March '18 & April '19)

#### Answer 8

Since the earnings of the entity is non-market related, hence it will not be considered in fair value calculation of the shares given. However, the same will be considered while calculating number of shares to be vested.

Workings:

workings.			
	20X1	20X2	20X3
Total employees	500	500	500
Employees left (Actual)	(29)	(58)	(79)
Employees expected to leave in the	(31)	(23)	-
next year			
Year end – No of employees	440	419	421
Shares per employee	100	100	100
Fair value of share at grant date	122	122	122
Vesting period	1/2	2/3	3/3
Expenses-20X1 (Note 1)	26,84,00		
	0		
Expenses-20X2 (Note 2)		7,23,867	
Expenses-20X3 (Note 3)			17,28,333

#### Note 1:

Expenses for 20X1 = No. of employee's x Shares per employee x Fair value of share x Proportionate vesting period

 $= 440 \times 100 \times 122 \times \frac{1}{2}$ 

= 26,84,000

Note 2:

Expenses for 20X2 = (No of employees x Shares per employee x Fair value of share x Proportionate vesting period) – Expenses recognized in year 20X1

$$= (419 \times 100 \times 122 \times 2/3) - 26,84,000 = 7,23,867$$

#### Note 3:

Expenses for 20X3 = (No of employees x Shares per employee x Fair value of share x Proportionate vesting period) – Expenses recognized in year 20X1 and 20X2 =  $(421 \times 100 \times 122 \times 3/3) - (26,84,000 + 7,23,867) = 17,28,333$ .

**Journal Entries** 

O di nui Bittito			
31-Dec-20X1			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	26,84,00	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)		0	26,84,00
(Equity settled shared based payment expected			0
vesting amount)			
31-Dec-20X2			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	7,23,867	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)			7,23,867
(Equity settled shared based payment expected			
vesting amount)			
31-Dec-20X3			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	17,28,3	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)		33	17,28,3
(Equity settled shared based payment expected			33
vesting amount) Share based payment reserve			
(equity)		51,36,2	
	D	00	51,36,2
r			00
To Share Capital			
(Share capital Issued)			

# Question 9

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Majority of the examinees were able to calculate correctly the ESOP expenses as per the vesting years. However, they stumped in the calculation of proportionate expenses to be recognized in the financial years. Hence, the amount in the journal entries also went wrong.

Rely Industries issued share-based option to one of its key management personal which can be exercised either in cash or equity and it has following features:

Option I		Period	INR
No of cash settled s	shares		74,000
Service condition		3 years	
Option II			
No of equity settled	l shares		90,000
Conditions:			
Service		3 years	

Restriction to sell		2 years	
Fair values			
Equity price with a rest	triction of sale	for 2 years	115
Fair value grant date			135
Fair value as on 31St March	2016		138
	2017		140
	2018		147

Pass the Journal entries? (MTP 15 marks April '18, PYP 6 Marks Nov'22)
Answer 9

Fair value of Equity option components:	
Fair value of a share with restrictive clause	Rs. 115
No. of shares	90,000 shares
Fair value (90,000 X 115) A	Rs. 1,03,50,000
Fair value of a share at the date of grant	Rs. 135
No. of cash settled shares	74,000
Fair value (74,000 X 135) B	Rs. 99,90,000
Fair value of equity component in compound instrument (A-B)	Rs. 3,60,000

#### Journal Entries

Journal Entries		
31/3/2016		Rs.
Employee benefit expenses Dr.	35,24,000	
To Share based payment reserve (equity) (3,60,000/3)		1,20,000
To Share based payment liability (138 x 74,000) / 3		34,04,000
(Recognition of equity option and cash settlement	option)	
31/3/2017		
Employee benefits expenses Dr.	36,22,667	
To Share based payment reserve (equity) (3,60,000/3)		1,20,000
To Share based paymentliability (140 x 74,000) 2/3 -34,04,000		35,02,667
(Recognition of equity option and cash settlement	option)	
31/3/2018		
Employee benefits expenses Dr.	40,91,333	
To Share based payment reserve (equity) (3,60,000/3)		1,20,000
To Share based payment liability		39,71,333
(147 x 74,000) 3/3 - (34,04,000 + 35,02,667)		
(Recognition of equity option and cash settlement	option)	

# $^{3}$ $^{6}$ $^{6}$ $^{6}$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Upon cash alternative chosen Share based payment liability (147 x 74,000) Dr. To Bank/ Cash (Being settlement made in cash)	1,08,78,000	1,08,78,000
Upon equity alternative chosen Share based payment liability (147 x 74,000) Dr. To Share capital (Being settlement made in equity)	1,08,78,000	1,08,78,000

# Question 10

New Age Technology Limited has entered into following Share Based payment transactions:

- (i) On 1st April, 20X1, New Age Technology Limited decided to grant share options to its employees. The scheme was approved by the employees on 30th June, 20X1. New Age Technology Limited determined the fair value of the share options to be the value of the equity shares on 1st April, 20X1.
- (ii) On 1st April, 20X1, New Age Technology Limited entered into a contract to purchase IT equipment from Bombay Software Limited and agreed that the contract will be settled by issuing equity instruments of New Age Technology Limited. New Age Technology Limited received the IT equipment on 30th July, 20X1. The share-based payment transaction was measured based on the fair value of 'the equity instruments as on 1 st April, 20X1.
- (iii) On 1st April, 20X1, New Age Technology Limited decided to grant the share options to its employees. The scheme was approved by the employees on 30th June, 20X1. The issue of the share options was however subject to the same being approved by the shareholders in a general meeting. The scheme was approved in the general meeting held on 30th September, 20X1. The fair value of the equity instruments for measuring the share- based payment transaction was taken on 30th September, 20X1.

Identify the grant date and measurement date in all the 3 cases of Share based payment transactions entered into by New Age Technology Limited, supported by appropriate rationale for the determination? (MTP 8 Marks April '21 & Mar '23, RTP May 22)

#### Answer 10

Ind AS 102 defines grant date and measurement dates as follows:

- (a) **Grant date**: The date at which the entity and another party (including an employee) agree to a share-based payment arrangement, being when the entity and the counterparty have a shared understanding of the terms and conditions of the arrangement. At grant date the entity confers on the counterparty the right to cash, other assets, or equity instruments of the entity, provided the specified vesting conditions, if any, are met. If that agreement is subject to an approval process (for example, by shareholders), grant date is the date when that approval is obtained.
- (b) **Measurement date:** The date at which the fair value of the equity instruments granted is measured for the purposes of this Ind AS. For transactions with employees and others providing similar services, the measurement date is

grant date. For transactions with parties other than employees (and those providing similar services), the measurement date is the date the entity obtains the goods or the counterparty renders service.

Applying the above definitions in the given scenarios following would be the conclusion based on the assumption that the approvals have been received

prospectively:

Scenario	Grant date	Measuremen t date	Base for grant date	Base for measurement date
(i)	30th June 20X , 1	30th June, 20X 1	The date on which the scheme was approved by the employees	For employees, the
(ii)	1st April 20X , 1	30th July, 20X 1	The date when the entity and the counterparty entered a contract and agreed for settlement by equity instruments	obtains the
(iii)	30th September , 20X1	30th September, 20X1	The date whe the approval n by sharehold wa ers s obtained	For employees, the measurement date is grant date

#### **Question 11**

Ryder, a public limited company is reviewing certain events which have occurred since its year - end 31st March, 20X4. The financial statements were authorized for issue on 12th May, 20X4. The following events are relevant to the financial statements for the year ended 31 st March, 20X4. The company granted share appreciation rights (SARs) to its employees on 1 st April, 20X2 based on 10 million shares. At the date the rights are exercised, the SAR's provide employees with the right to receive cash equal to the appreciation in the company's share price since the grant date. The rights vested on 31st March, 20X4 and payment was made on schedule on 1st May, 20X4. The FV of the SAR's per share at 31st March, 20X3 was `6, at 31st March, 20X4 was `8 and at 1st May, 20X4 was `9. The company has recognized a liability for the SAR's as at 31st March, 20X2 based upon Ind AS 102 'Share-based Payments' but the liability was stated at the same amount at 31st March, 20X4.

Discuss the accounting treatment of the above events in the financial statements of the Ryder Group for the year ending 31st March, 20X4 taking into account the implications of events occurring after the reporting period. (MTP 6 Marks Oct 21)

# Answer 11

Ind AS 102 'Share-based Payments' requires a company to remeasure the fair value of a liability to pay cash-settled share-based payments at each reporting date and the settlement date until the liability is settled. Share Appreciation rights fall under this category. Hence, the company should recognize a liability

of `80 million (`8 x 10 million) at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4, the vesting date. The liability recognised at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4 was in fact based on the share price at the previous year-end and would have been shown at `6 x ½ x 10 million shares – half the cost as the SARs vest over 2 years. This liability at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4 has not been changed since the previous year- end by the company. The SARs vest over a two-year period and hence on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4 there would be a weighting of the eventual cost by 1 year / 2 year. Therefore, an additional liability of `50 million (30 million + 20 million) should be accounted for in the financial statements at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4. The SARs would be settled on 1<sup>st</sup> May, 20X4 at `90 million (`9 x 10 million). The increase of `10 million (over and above `80 million) in the value of the SARs is a non-adjusting event. Hence, the change in the fair value of `10 million during the year 20X4-20X5 would be charged to profit and loss for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X5 and not 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4.

### Question 12

A Ltd. had on 1st April, 2015 granted 1,000 share options each to 2,000 employees. The options are due to vest on 31st March, 2018 provided the employee remains in employment till 31st March, 2018. On 1st April, 2015, the Directors of Company estimated that 1,800 employees would qualify for the option on 31st March, 2018. This estimate was amended to 1,850 employees on 31st March, 2016 and further amended to 1,840 employees on 31st March, 2017. On 1st April, 2015, the fair value of an option was Rs. 1.20. The fair value increased to Rs. 1.30 as on 31st March, 2016 but due to challenging business conditions, the fair value declined thereafter. In September 2016, when the fair value of an option was Rs. 0.90, the Directors repriced the option and this caused the fair value to increase to Rs. 1.05. Trading conditions improved in the second half of the year and by 31st March, 2017 the fair value of an option was Rs.1.25. QA Ltd. decided that additional cost incurred due to repricing of the options on 30th September, 2016 should be spread over the remaining vesting period from 30th September, 2016 to 31st March, 2018. The Company has requested you to suggest the suitable accounting treatment for these transaction as on 31 st March, 2017. (MTP 6 Marks Mar'19, Oct'22 RTP Nov'19)

#### Answer 12

Paragraph 27 of Ind AS 102 requires the entity to recognise the effects of repricing that increase the total fair value of the share-based payment arrangement or are otherwise beneficial to the employee. If the repricing increases the fair value of the equity instruments granted paragraph B43(a) of Appendix B requires the entity to include the incremental fair value granted (ie the difference between the fair value of the repriced equity instrument and that of the original equity instrument, both estimated as at the date of the modification) in the measurement of the amount recognised for services received as consideration for the equity instruments granted. If the repricing occurs during the vesting period, the incremental fair value granted is included in the measurement of the amount recognised for services received over the period from the repricing date until the date when the repriced equity instruments vest, in addition to the amount based on the grant date fair value of the original equity instruments, which is recognised over the remainder of the original vesting period.

Accordingly, the amounts recognized in years 1 and 2 are as follows:

Year	Calculation	Compensati	Cumulati
		on	ve
		expense	compensat
		for period	ion
		•	Rs.
		Rs.	RS.
1	[1,850 employees× 1,000 options × Rs.	7,40,000	7,40,000
	$1.20] \times \frac{1}{3}$		
2	(1,840 employees× 1,000 options ×	8,24,000	15,64,000
	[(Rs.1.20		
	$\times 2/3$ + {(Rs.1.05 - 0.90) $\times 0.5/1.5$ }] -		
	7,40,000		

#### Question 13

ABC Limited granted 500 stock appreciation rights (SAR) each to 80 employees on 1st April, 20X1 with a fair value `100 each. The terms of the award require the employee to provide service for four years to earn the award. The SARs are expected to be settled in cash and it is expected that 100% of the employees will exercise the option. The fair value of each SAR at each reporting date is as follows:

31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 110 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X3 120 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4 115 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X5 130

Please present the journal entries in the books of ABC Limited over the entire life of the grants. What would be the difference if at the end of the second year of service (i.e. at 31 st March, 20X3), ABC Limited modifies the terms of the award to require only three years of total service? Please present with the revised journal entries. Answer on the basis of relevant Ind AS.(MTP 10 Marks March '22) (PYP 8 Marks Nov '19)

#### Answer 13

Number of SARs = 80 Employees x 500 SARs = 40,000 SARs

# 1. When the term of the awards is 4 years of service

Period	Fair	To be	Cumulative	Expense	Cumulati
	value	vested		in	ve
				proportion	expenses
				to the	recognize
				award	d
				earned	
	a	b	c = 40,000 x a	$d = [{(c / no.)}]$	e
			x b	of total	
				years) x	
				years	
				completed} –	
				e of pvs	
				year]	
1st April,	100	100	40,00,000	-	-
20X1		%			
31st March,	110	100	44,00,000	11,00,000	11,00,00

20X2		%			0	
31st March,	120	100	48,00,000	13,00,000	24,00,00	
20X3		%			0	
31st March,	115	100	46,00,000	10,50,000	34,50,00	
20X4		%			0	
31st March,	130	100	52,00,000	17,50,000	52,00,00	
20X5		%			0	

#### **Journal Entries**

Journal Entire	3	
31st March, 20X2		
Employee benefits expenses/Profit and Dr. Loss A/c To Share based payment liability (Fair value of SARs has been recognised)	11,00,0 00	11,00,0 00
31st March, 20X3		
Employee benefits expenses/Profit and Dr. Loss A/c To Share based payment liability (Fair value of SARs has been remeasured)	13,00,0 00	13,00,0 00

31st March, 20X4			
Employee benefits expenses/Profit and Loss A/c To Share based payment liability (Fair value of SARs has been recognized)	Dr.	10,50,0 00	10,50,0 00
31st March, 20X5			
Employee benefits expenses A/c  To Share based payment liability (Fair value of SARs has been recognized)	Dr.	17,50,0 00	17,50,0 00

# 2. When the term of the awards is modified to 3 years of service instead of 4 years of service

Period	Fair	%age of	Cumulative	Expense in	Cumulat
	valu	vesting		proportion to	ive
	e			the award	expenses
				earned	recogniz
					ed
	a	b	c = 40,000 x a	d = [{(c / no.	e
			x b	of total years)	
				x years	
				completed}	
				– e of pvs	

				year]	
1st April,	10	100	40,00,000	-	-
20X1	0	%			
31st March,	11	100	44,00,000	11,00,0	11,00,00
20X2	0	%		00	0
31st March,	12	100	48,00,000	21,00,0	32,00,00
20X3	0	%		00	0
31st March,	11	100	46,00,000	14,00,0	46,00,00
20X4	5	%		00	0

#### **Journal Entries**

Journal Enti	103	
31st March, 20X2		
Employee benefits expenses Dr.	11,00,0	
To Share based payment liability	00	11,00,0
(Fair value of SARs has been recognised)		00
31st March, 20X3		
Employee benefits expenses Dr.	21,00,0	
To Share based payment liability	00	21,00,0
(Fair value of SARs has been re-measured)		00
31st March, 20X4		
Employee benefits expenses Dr.	14,00,0	
To Share based payment liability	00	14,00,0
(Fair value of SARs has been recognized)		00

# Question 14

A parent grants 200 share options to each of 100 employees of its subsidiary, conditional upon the completion of two years' service with the subsidiary. The fair value of the share options on grant date is Rs. 30 each. At grant date, the subsidiary estimates that 80 percent of the employees will complete the two-year service period. This estimate does not change during the vesting period. At the end of the vesting period, 81 employees complete the required two years of service. The parent does not require the subsidiary to pay for the shares needed to settle the grant of share options.

Pass the necessary journal entries for giving effect to the above arrangement. (RTP May '19)

#### Answer 14

As required by <u>paragraph B53</u> of the Ind AS 102, over the two-year vesting period, the subsidiary measures the services received from the employees in accordance, the requirements applicable to equity-settled share-based payment transactions as given in <u>paragraph 43B</u>. Thus, the subsidiary measures the services received from the employees on the basis of the fair value of the share options at gran t date. An increase in equity is recognized as a contribution from the parent in the separate or individual financial statements of the subsidiary.

The journal entries recorded by the subsidiary for each of the two years are as follows:

Year 1	Rs.	Rs.
Remuneration expense Dr.	2,40,00	
(200 x 100 employees x Rs. 30 x 80% x ½)	0	
To Equity (Contribution from the		2,40,00
parent)		0
Year 2		
Remuneration expense Dr.	2,46,00	
[(200 x 81 employees x Rs. 30) – 2,40,000]	0	
To Equity (Contribution from the		2,46,000
parent)		

# Question 15

The following particulars in respect of stock options granted by a company are available:

No. of Employees	400	Nominal Value per	` 100
covered		share	
No. of options per	60	Exercise price per	` 125
Employee		share	

Shares offered were put in three groups. Group 1 was for 20% of shares offered with vesting period one-year. Group II was for 40% of shares offered with vesting period two- years. Group III was for 40% of shares offered with vesting period three-years. Fair value of option per share on grant date was `10 for Group I, `12.50 for Group II and `14 for Group III.

Gloup 1, 12.50 for Glou	ip ii and 14 ioi Gioup iii.	
Position on 1st Year	Position on 2nd Year	Position on 3rd Year
-No. of employees left =	- Employees left = 35	- Employees left = 28
40		
- Estimate of	- Estimate of employees	
employees to leave	to leave in Year 3 = 30	Options in Group III =
in Year 2 = 36		295
- Estimate of	- Employees	
employees to leave	exercising	
in Year 3 = 34	Options in Group II =	
	319	
- Employees		
exercising Options in		
Group I = 350		

Options not exercised immediately on vesting, were forfeited. Compute expenses to recognize in each year and show important accounts in the books of the company. (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 15

Total number of Options per employee = 60

Group I - 20% vesting in Year 1	Group II - 40% vesting in Year 2	Group III - 40% vesting in Yr. 3
= 12 options, Vesting period = 1	= 24 options, Vesting	= 24 options, Vesting
Yr.	period = 2 Yrs.	period = 3 Yrs.

Computation of Expenses for all the years

Group = No. of	Group I =	Group II = 24	Group III = 24 Options
Options	12	Options	
	<b>Options</b>		

Page 66

	Year 1	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
(a) Employees at	400 - 40 =	400 - 40 =	360 - 35	400 - 40	360 -	325 -
year end =			=	=	35 =	28 =
[Opening						
No. of Employees	360	360	325	360	325	297
- Forfeiture]						
(b) Expected to	NA	36	NA	36 + 34	30	NA
leave in future				=		
				70		
(c) No. of employees	360	324	325	290	295	297
eligible (a - b)	(0.50 1.0	(201	( <b>2</b> 2 <b>2</b> 2 4	/200	/00 <b>=</b>	400 <b>-</b>
(d) Options	(360 x 12	(324 x 24	`	(290 x	(295 x	(297 x
expected to Vest =	sh.)	sh.)	sh.)	24	24	24
[(a) NT C	4 200	7 776	7.000	sh.)	sh.)	sh.)
[(c) x No. of Shares]	4,320	7,776	7,800	6,960	7,080	7,128
(e) FV per option=	` 10	` 12.50	` 12.50	` 14	` 14	` 14
(f) Value of Total	`43,200	` 97,200	` 97,500	` 97,440	99,120	` 99,792
Options = $[d \times e]$						
(g) Total Cumulative	` 43,200	$[(f) \times 1/2]$	$[(f) \times 2/2]$	[(f) x	[(f) x	[(f) x
Cost of Options				1/3]	2/3]	3/3]
= [(f) x Completed		` 48,600	` 97,500	`32,480	`66,080	` 99,792
Yrs/ Total Yrs)						
(h) Less: Recognized	0	0	` 48,600	0	`32,480	` 66,080
in last years						
(i) Expenses to be recognized	` 43,200	` 48,600	` 48,900	`32,480	`33,600	33,712
(j) Employees not	10Employee 325 - 319 = 6 297 - 295 = 2 Employees			mplovees		
1	s Employees					
(k) Total Expenses	`43,200 (Gr. 1) + `48,600 (Gr. 2) + `32,480 (Gr. 3) = `					
for- Year 1	1,24,280					
Year 2	`48,900 (Gr. 2) + `33,600 (Gr. 3) = `82,500					
Year 3	` 33,712 (Gr. 3 only)					

Employees Benefit Expenses A/c			
	Yea	r 1	
	`		,
To Share-based Payment Reserve	1,24,280	By Profit and Loss	1,24,280
A/c	1,24,280	A/c	1,24,280
	Yea	r 2	
To Share-based Payment Reserve	82,500	By Profit and Loss	82,500
A/c	82,500	A/c	82,500

	Year 3	
To Share-based Payment Reserve	33,712 By Profit and Loss	33,712
A/c	33,712 A/c	33,712

Share-based Payment Reserve A/c	
Year 1	

Page **666** 

	•		•
To Retained Earnings [(360	1,200	By Employees Benefit	1,2s4,280
- 350) Emp x 12 Options x `		Expenses A/c	
10]			
To Share Capital (350	4,20,000	By Bank A/c (350	5,25,000
Emp x 12 Options x `100)		Emp x 12 Options x 125)	
To Securities Premium			
(350 Emp x 12 Options x `	1,47,000		
35)			
To Balance c/d	81,080		
	6,49,280		6,49,280
	Yea		
To Retained Earnings	1,800	,	81,080
[(325 - 319) Emp x 24		By Employees Benefit	
Options x ` 12.50]		Expenses A/c	82,500
To Share Capital (319	7,65,600	By Bank A/c (319 Emp	9,57,000
Emp x 24 Options x ` 100)		x 24 Options x ` 125)	
To Securities Premium	2,87,100		
(319 Emp x 24 Options x `			
37.50)			
To Balance c/d	66,080		
	11,20,580		11,20,580
	Yea		
To Retained Earnings	672	J	66,080
[(297 - 295) Emp x 24 Option		By Employees Benefit	
x `14]		Expenses A/c	33,712
To Share Capital (295 Emp x	7,08,000	, , ,	8,85,000
24 Options x ` 100)	2 = 6 1 2 2	x 24 Options x ` 125)	
To Securities Premium (295	2,76,120		
Emp x 24 Options x ` 39)	0.04.700		0.04.700
	9,84,792		9,84,792
	I .		

Working Note:

Calculation of Securities Premium			
	Group I	Group II	Group III
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Exercise Price received per share	125.00	125.00	125.00
Value of service received per share, being			
the FV of the Options	10.00	12.50	14.00
Total Consideration received per share	135.00	137.50	139.00
Less: Nominal Value per share	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)
Securities Premium per share	35.00	37.50	39.00

#### Question 16

Entity A runs a copper-mining business. Entity A has a year-end of 31st March. Dividends declared on the shares accrue to the employees during the three-year period. If the condition is met, the employees will receive the shares together with the dividends that have been declared on those shares

during the three years upto 31st March, 20X3.

The entity estimates that on 1st April, 20X0 its shares are valued at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  10 each. The grant date fair amount of each share is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  10.

Entity A prepares annual financial statements for the year ended 31 st March and:

- on 1st April, 20X0 it estimates that 800 shares will vest;
- at the end of the first year (31st March, 20X1) it has revised this estimate to 780;
- ♦ at 31st March, 20X2 it has further revised this estimate to 750; and
- ♦ 750 shares vest on 31st March, 20X3 based on the number of employees still employed on that date.

On 1st April, 20X0 as part of a long-term incentive scheme, Entity A provisionally awards its sales employees 1,000 Entity A's shares receivable on 31st March, 20X3. Explain the accounting treatment for the above share-based awards based on satisfaction of the condition that the sales employees must remain in employment until 31st March, 20X3. The requirement to remain in employment is a service condition and would not be reflected in the fair value of the share awards. (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 16

The grant date fair value amount would be recognized as an expense over the three-year service period adjusted by the number of shares expected to vest. Consequently, for each period, Entity A estimates how many eligible employees are expected to be employed on 31st March, 20X3 and this forms the basis for that adjustment. The journal entries would be:

Year 1 (Year ended 31st March, 20X1)

Employee benefit expenses A/c Dr. ₹ 2,600

To Share-based payment reserve ₹ 2,600 (To recognize the receipt of employee services in exchange for shares)

Year 2 (Year ended 31st March, 20X2)

Employee benefit expenses A/c Dr. ₹ 2,400

To Share-based payment reserve ₹ 2,400 (To recognize the receipt of employee services in exchange for shares)

Year 3 (Year ended 31st March, 20X3)

Employee benefit expenses A/c Dr. ₹ 2,500

To Share-based payment reserve  $\rat{2,500}$  (To recognize the receipt of employee services in exchange for shares)

#### **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Year 1

780 shares expected to vest  $x \ge 10$  grant date fair value of each share x = 1/3 of vesting period elapsed =  $\ge 2,600$  recognised in Year 1.

#### 2. Year 2

(750 shares expected to vest  $x \notin 10$  grant date fair value of each share x 2/3 of vesting period elapsed) less  $\notin 2,600$  recognised in Year  $1 = \notin 2,400$  recognised in Year 2.

#### 3. Year 3

(750 shares x ₹ 10 grant date fair value of each share) less ₹ 5,000 recognised in Years 1 and 2 = ₹ 2,500 recognised in Year 3.

### Question 17

On 1st April 2017, Kara Ltd. granted an award of 150 share options to each of its 1,000 employees, on condition of continuous employment with Kara

<sup>age</sup>668

Ltd. for three years and the benefits will then be settled in cash of an equivalent amount of share price. Fair value of each option on the grant date was `129. Towards the end of 31st March 2018, Kara Ltd.'s share price dropped; so on 1st April 2018 management chose to reduce the exercise price of the options.

At the date of the re-pricing, the fair value of each of the original share options granted was `50 and the fair value of each re-priced option was `80. Thus, the incremental fair value of each modified option was `30. At the date of the award, management estimated that 10% of employees would leave the entity before the end of three years (i.e., 900 awards would vest). During financial year 2018-2019, it became apparent that fewer employees than expected were leaving, so management revised its estimate of the number of leavers to only 5 % (i.e. 950 awards would vest). At the end of 31st March 2020, awards to 930 employees actually vested. Determine the expense for each year and pass appropriate journal entries as per the relevant Ind AS. (PYP 12 Marks, Jan 21)

#### Answer 17

**Note:** The first para of the question states that "benefits will then be settled in cash of an equivalent amount of share price." This implies that the award is cash settled share-based payment. However, the second and third para talks about repricing of the option which arises in case of equity settled share-based payment. Hence, two alternative solutions have been provided based on the information taking certain assumptions.

# $1^{\rm st}$ Alternative based on the assumption that the award is cash settled share-based payment.

In such a situation, the services received against share-based payment plan to be settled in cash are measured at fair value of the liability and the liability continues to be re- measured at every reporting date until it is actually paid off. There is a vesting condition attached to the share-based payment plans i.e. to remain in service for next 3 years. The recognition of such share-based payment plans should be done by recognizing fair value of the liability at the time of services received and not at the date of grant. The liability so recognized will be fair valued at each reporting date and difference in fair value will be charged to profit or loss for the period.

# Calculation of expenses:

### For the year ended 31st March 2018

- = `50 x 150 awards x 900 employees x (1 year /3 years of service)
- = 22,50,000

# For the year ended 31 st March 2019

**Note:** It is assumed that the fair value of `80 each of repriced option continues at the end of the remaining reporting period ie 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2019 and 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2020

- = [ $^{80}$  x 150 awards x 950 employees x (2 year / 3 years of service)]  $^{22.50,000}$
- = 7,60,00,000 22,50,000 = 53,50,000

#### For the year ended 31st March 2020

- $= [`80 \times 150 \text{ awards } \times 930 \text{ employees}] `22,50,000 `53,50,000]$
- = 1,11,60,000 22,50,000 53,50,000 = 35,60,000

# **Journal Entries**

# 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018

999 age

Employee benefits expenses  To Share based payment liability(Fair value of the liability recognized)	Dr.	22,50,000	22,50,000
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2019			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	53,50,000	
To Share based payment liability (Fair value of the liability remeasured)		Ę	53,50,000
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2020			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	35,60,000	
To Share based payment liability (Fair value of the liability recognized)		3	35,60,000
Share based payment liability To Bank (Being liability for awards settled in cash)	Dr.	1,11,60,000	1,11,60,00 0

2nd Alternative based on fair value at the grant date (ignoring the fact that the award has to be settled in cash).

Calculation of expenses:

# For the year ended 31st March 2018

- = [129 x 150 awards x 900 employees x (1 year /3 years of service)]
- = `58,05,000

#### For the year ended 31st March 2019

Ind AS 102 requires the entity to recognize the effects of repricing that increase the total fair value of the share-based payment arrangement or are otherwise beneficial to the employee.

If the repricing increases the fair value of the equity instruments granted standard requires the entity to include the incremental fair value granted (ie the difference between the fair value of the repriced equity instrument and that of the original equity instrument, both estimated as at the date of the modification) in the measurement of the amount recognised for services received as consideration for the equity instruments granted.

If the repricing occurs during the vesting period, the incremental fair value granted is included in the measurement of the amount recognised for services received over the period from the repricing date until the date when the repriced equity instruments vest, in addition to the amount based on the grant date fair value of the original equity instruments, which is recognised over the remainder of the original vesting period. Accordingly, the amounts recognised are as follows:

Year	Calculation	Compensat	Cumulati
ended		ion	ve
		expense	compensat
		for	ion
		period	expense
		,	`

31	[` 129 x 150 awards x 900	58,05,000	58,05,000
March,	employeesx (1 year /3 years		
2018	of service)]		
31	[ 129 x 150 awards x 950	85,87,500	1,43,92,500
March,	employeesx (2 year /3 years		
2019	of service)] + (80-50) x 150		
	awards x 950 employees x (1		
	year / 2 years of service) -		
	58,05,000		
31	[(` 129 + 30) x 150 awards	77,88,000	2,21,80,500
March,	x 930		
2020	employees] - 1,43,92,500		

#### Journal Entries

31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2018			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	58,05,000	
To Outstanding Share based pay	ment		58,05,000
option			
(Fair value of the liability recognized)			
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2019			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	85,87,500	
To Outstanding Share based pay		85,87,500	
option			
(Fair value of the liability re-me	asured)		

# Section - B

#### Question 1

An entity issued 100 shares each to its 1,000 employees subject to service condition of next 2 years. Grant date fair value of the share is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  195 each. There is an expectation 97% of the employees will remain in service at the end of 1st year. However, at the end of 2nd year the expected employees to remain in service would be 91% of the total employees. Calculate expense for the year 1 & 2?

#### Answer 1

Year end	% Vest	Expense (current period)
FIRST	97%	$00 \times 1,000 \times 195 \times 97\% \times 1/2 = 94,57,500$
SECOND	91%	100 x 1,000 x 195 x 91% x 2/2 -
		94,57,500= 82,87,500

#### **Question 2**

An entity issued 50 shares each to its 170 employees subject to service condition of next 2 years. The settlement is to be made in cash. Grant date fair value of the share is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  85 each, however, the fair value as at end of 1st year, 2nd year were  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  80 &  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  90 respectively. Calculate expense for years 1 and 2?

#### Answer 2

Year end	Vest	Expense (current period)
FIRST	1/2	$50 \times 170 \times 80 \times 1/2 = 3,40,000$
SECOND	2/2	$50 \times 170 \times 90 \times 2/2 - 3,40,000 = 4,25,000$

- Liability will be re-measured at each reporting date.
- Fair value at the end of the year will be used.

#### Question 3

Company P is a holding company for company B. A group share-based payment is being organized in which Parent issues its own equity-shares for the employees of company B. The details are as below –

Number of employees of company B	100
Grant date fair value of share	₹ 87
Number of shares to each employee granted	25
Vesting conditions	Immediately

Pass the journal entry in the books of company P & company B?

#### Answer 3

# **Books of Company P**

Investment in Company B Dr. ₹ 2,17,500

To Equity (Issue of Shares) ₹ 2,17,500

**Books of Company B** 

Expense Dr. ₹ 2,17,500

To Capital contribution from Parent P ₹ 2,17,500

#### **Question 4**

An entity P issues share-based payment plan to its employees based on the below details:

Number of employees	100
Fair value at grant date	₹ 25
Market condition	Share price to reach at ₹ 30
Service condition Expected completion of market condition	To remain in service until market condition is fulfilled 4 years

Define expenses related to such share-based payment plan in each year subject to the below scenarios-

- a) Market condition if fulfilled in year 3, or
- b) Market condition is fulfilled in year 5.

# Answer 4

Market conditions are required to be considered while calculating fair value at grant date. However, service conditions will be considered as per the expected vesting right to be exercised by the employees and would be re-estimated during vesting period. However, if the market related condition is fulfilled before it is expected then all remaining expenses would immediately be charged off. If market related condition takes longer than the expected period then original expected period will be followed.

a) Market condition is fulfilled in year 3:

Year 1	2,500/4 = 625
Year 2	2,500/4 = 625
Year 3	2,500-625-625=1,250
Year 4	NIL

b) Market condition is fulfilled in year 5:

,	mainet come	muon is ranimea in year o:	
	Year 1	2,500/4 = 625	
	Year 2	2,500/4 = 625	

Year 3	2,500/4 = 625
Year 4	2,500/4 = 625
Year 5	NIL

#### Question 5

Entity X grants 10 shares each to its 1000 employees on the conditions as mentioned below-

- To remain in service & entity's profit after tax (PAT) shall reach to ₹ 100 million.
- It is expected that PAT should reach to ₹ 100 million by the end of 3 years.
- Fair value at grant date is ₹ 100.
- Employees expected for vesting right by 1<sup>st</sup> year 97%, then it revises to 95% by 2<sup>nd</sup> year and finally to 93% by 3<sup>rd</sup> year.

Calculate expenses for next 3 years in respect of share-based payment?

#### Answer 5

Entity's PAT is one of the non-market related condition and hence would be included while making an expectation of vesting shares and there is no requirement to make any changes in the non-market condition whether this is fulfilled or not because it has already been considered in the expectation of vesting rights at the end of each year.

Year -1	$1,000 \times 10 \times 100 \times 97\% \times 1/3 = 3,23,333$
Year-2	$1,000 \times 10 \times 100 \times 95\% \times 2/3 - 3,23,333 =$
	3,10,000
Year -3	1,000 x 10 x 100 x 93% x 3/3 - 6,33,333 =
	2,96,667

#### Question 6

At 1st January, 20X0, Ambani Limited grants its CEO an option to take either cash amount equivalent to 800 shares or 990 shares. The minimum service requirement is 2 years. There is a condition to keep the shares for 3 years if shares are opted.

Fair values of the shares	₹
Share alternative fair value (with restrictions)	212
Grant date fair value on 1st January, 20X0	213
Fair value on 31st December, 20X0	220
Fair value on 31st December, 20X1	232

The key management exercises his cash option at the end of 20X2. Pass journal entries.

#### Answer 6

	1st	31st	31st
	January,	December,	December,
	20X0	20X0	20X1
Equity alternative (990 x	2,09,880		
212)			
Cash alternative (800 x 213)	1,70,400		
Equity option (2,09,880 –	39,480		
1,70,400)			
Cash Option (cumulative)			
(using period end fair value)		88,000	1,85,600

Equity Option (cumulative)	19,740	39,480
Expense for the period		
Equity option	19,740	19,740
Cash Option	88,000	97,600
Total	1,07,740	1,17,340

#### **Journal Entries**

Journa	Diffics		
31st December, 20X0			₹
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,07,74	
To Share based payment res	erve (equity)	0	19,740
To Share based payment liability			88,000
(Recognition of Equity option and cooption)	ash settlement		
31 <sup>st</sup> December, 20X1			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,17,340	
To Share based payment res		19,740	
To Share based payment liability			97,600
(Recognition of Equity option and cooption)	ash settlement		
Share based payment liability	Dr.	1,85,600	
To Bank/ Cash			1,85,60
(Settlement in cash)			

# Question 7

MINDA issued 11,000 share appreciation rights (SARs) that vest immediately to its employees on 1st April, 20X0. The SARs will be settled in cash. Using an option pricing model, at that date it is estimated that the fair value of a SAR is ₹ 100. SAR can be exercised any time until 31st March, 20X3. It is expected that out of the total employees, 94% at the end of period on 31st March, 20X1, 91% at the end of next year will exercise the option. Finally, when these were vested i.e. at the end of the 3rd year, only 85% of the total employees exercised the option.

Fair value of SAR	₹
31st March, 20X1	132
31st March, 20X2	139
31st March, 20X3	141
Pass the Journal entries?	·

# Answer 7

Period	Fair	To be	Cumulativ	Expens
	value	vested	е	е

Start	100	100%	11,00,00	11,00,0
			0	00
Period 1	132	94%	13,64,88	2,64,88
			0	0
Period 2	139	91%	13,91,39	26,510
			0	
Period 3	141	85%	13,18,35	(73,040)
			0	
				13,18,3
				50

#### **Journal Entries**

11,00,000	44.00.000
7	11,00,000
<b>-</b>	
2,64,880	0.64.000
]	2,64,880
26,510	
<b>-</b>	26,510
73,040	70.040
7	73,040
7	
13,18,350	10 10 050
7	13,18,350
<b> </b>	
	2,64,880

# Question 8

P Ltd. granted 400 stock appreciation rights (SAR) each to 75 employees on 1st April 20X1 with a fair value ₹ 200. The terms of the award require the employee to provide service for four years in order to earn the award. The fair value of each SAR at each reporting date is as follows:

31st March 20X2 ₹ 210

31st March 20X3 ₹ 220

31st March 20X4 ₹ 215

31<sup>st</sup> March 20X5 ₹ 218

What would be the difference if at the end of the second year of service (i.e. at 31st March 20X3), P Ltd. modifies the terms of the award to require only three years of service?

#### **Answer 8**

Journal entries in the books of P Ltd (without modification of service period of stock appreciation rights)

(₹ in lakhs)

		( > 11	ı ıanıısı
Date	Particulars	Debit	Credit
31.03.20X	Profit and Loss account Dr.	15.75	
2	To Liability against SARs		15.75
	(Being expenses liability for stock appreciation		
	rights recognized)		
31.03.20X	Profit and Loss account Dr.	17.25	
3	To Liability for SARs		17.25
	(Being expenses liability for stock appreciation		
	rights recognized)		
31.03.20X	Profit and Loss account Dr.	15.38	
4	To Liability for SARs		15.38
	(Being expenses liability for stock appreciation		
	rights recognized)		
31.03.20X	Profit and Loss account Dr.	17.02	
5	To Liability for SARs		17.02
	(Being expenses liability for stock appreciation		
	rights recognised)		

Journal entries in the books of P Ltd (with modification of service period of stock appreciation rights) (₹ in lakhs)

periou or see	ck appreciation rights)		( , 111 10	.ixii.5)
Date	Particulars		Debit	Credit
31.03.20X2	Profit and Loss account	Dr.	15.75	
	To Liability for SARs			15.75
	(Being expenses liability for st	ock		
	appreciation rights recognised	l)		
31.03.20X3	Profit and Loss account	Dr.	28.25	
	To Liability for SARs			28.25
	(Being expenses liability for st	ock		
	appreciation rights recognised	l)		
31.03.20X4	Profit and Loss account	Dr.	20.50	
	To Liability for SARs			20.50
	(Being expenses liability for st	ock		
	appreciation rights recognised	1)		

# **Working Notes:**

Calculation of expenses for issue of stock appreciation rights without modification of service period

For the year ended 31st March 20X2

- = ₹ 210 x 400 awards x 75 employees x 1 year /4 years of service
- = ₹ 15,75,000

For the year ended 31st March 20X3

- = ₹ 220 x 400 awards x 75 employees x 2 years /4 years of service
  - ₹ 15,75,000 previous recognised

929e

```
= ₹ 33,00,000 - ₹ 15,75,000 = ₹ 17,25,000
For the year ended 31st March 20X4
= ₹ 215 x 400 awards x 75 employees x 3 years/4 years of service - ₹ 33,00,000 previously recognised
= ₹ 48,37,500 - ₹ 33,00,000 = ₹ 15,37,500
For the year ended 31st March, 20X5
= ₹ 218 x 400 awards x 75 employees x 4 years / 4 years of service - ₹ 48,37,500 previously recognised
= ₹ 65,40,000 - ₹ 48,37,500 = ₹ 17,02,500
```

# Calculation of expenses for issue of stock appreciation rights with modification of service period

For the year ended 31st March 20X2

- = ₹210 x 400 awards x 75 employees x 1 year / 4 years of service
- = ₹ 15,75,000 For the year ended 31st March 20X3
  - = ₹220 x 400 awards x 75 employees x 2 years / 3 years of service ₹15,75,000 previous recognised
  - = ₹44,00,000 ₹15,75,000 = ₹28,25,000

For the year ended 31st March 20X4

- = ₹215 x 400 awards x 75 employees x 3 years / 3 years of service
  - ₹ 44,00,000 previous recognised
- = ₹64,50,000 ₹44,00,000 = ₹20,50,000.

### Question 9

QA Ltd. had on 1st April, 20X1 granted 1,000 share options each to 2,000 employees. The options are due to vest on 31st March, 20X4 provided the employee remains in employment till 31st March, 20X4.

On 1st April, 20X1, the Directors of Company estimated that 1,800 employees would qualify for the option on 31st March, 20X4. This estimate was amended to 1,850 employees on 31st March, 20X2 and further amended to 1,840 employees on 31st March, 20X3.

On 1st April, 20X1, the fair value of an option was  $\ref{1.20}$ . The fair value increased to  $\ref{1.30}$  as on 31st March, 20X2 but due to challenging business conditions, the fair value declined thereafter. In September, 20X2, when the fair value of an option was  $\ref{0.90}$ , the Directors repriced the option and this caused the fair value to increase to  $\ref{0.90}$ . Trading conditions improved in the second half of the year and by 31st March, 20X3 the fair value of an option was  $\ref{0.90}$ . QA Ltd. decided that additional cost incurred due to repricing of the options on 30th September, 20X2 should be spread over the remaining vesting period from 30th September, 20X2 to 31st March, 20X4.

The Company has requested you to suggest the suitable accounting treatment for these transaction as on 31st March, 20X3.

#### Answer 9

Paragraph 27 of Ind AS 102 requires the entity to recognise the effects of repricing that increase the total fair value of the share-based payment arrangement or are otherwise beneficial to the employee.

If the repricing increases the fair value of the equity instruments granted paragraph B43(a) of Appendix B requires the entity to include the incremental fair value granted (ie the difference between the fair value of the repriced equity instrument and that of the original equity instrument, both estimated as at the date of the modification) in the measurement of the amount recognised for services received as consideration for the equity instruments granted.

If the repricing occurs during the vesting period, the incremental fair value granted is included in the measurement of the amount recognised for services received over the period from the repricing date until the date when the repriced equity instruments vest, in addition to the amount based on the grant date fair value of the original equity instruments, which is recognised over the remainder of the original vesting period.

Accordingly, the amounts recognised in years 1 and 2 are as follows:

	Calculation	mpensation expense for period	Cumulative compensati on expense
		₹	₹
1	[1,850 employees x 1,000 options x ₹ 1.20] x 1/3	7,40,000	7,40,000
2	(1,840 employees x 1,000 options x [(₹ 1.20 x 2/3) + {(₹ 1.05 - 0.90) x 0.5/1.5}] - 7,40,000	8,24,000	15,64,000

**Note:** Year 3 calculations have not been provided as it was not required in the question.

### Question 10

A parent, Company P, grants 30 shares to 100 employees each of its subsidiary, Company S, on condition that the employees remain employed by Company S for three years. Assume that at the outset, and at the end of Years 1 and 2, it is expected that all the employees will remain employed for all the three years. At the end of Year 3, none of the employees has left. The fair value of the shares on grant date is ₹ 5 per share. Company S agrees to reimburse Company P over the term of the arrangement for 75 percent of the final expense recognised by Company S. What would be the accounting treatment in the books of Company P and Company S?

#### Answer 10

Company S expects to recognise an expense totalling ₹ 15,000 (30 shares x 100 employees x ₹ 5 per share) and, therefore, expects the total reimbursement to be ₹ 11,250 (₹ 15,000 x 75%). Company S therefore reimburses Company P ₹ 3,750 (₹ 11,250 x 1/3) each year.

# Accounting by Company S

In each of Years 1 to 3, Company S recognises an expense in profit or loss, the cash paid to Company P, and the balance of the capital contribution it has received from Company P.

I = I = I		
Journal Entry	:	₹
Employee benefits expenses Dr.	5,000	
To Cash/Bank		3,750
To Equity (Contribution from the parent)		1,250
(To recognise the share-based payment expense and partial		
reimbursement to parent)		

# Accounting by Company P

In each of Years 1 to 3, Company P recognises an increase in equity for the instruments being granted, the cash reimbursed by Company S, and the balance as investment for the capital contribution it has made to Company S.

Page **678** 

Journal Entry			₹
Investment in Company S	Dr.	1,250	
Cash/Bank	Dr.	3,750	
To Equity			5,00
(To recognise the grant of equity instruments to employees of subsidiary less partial reimburseme from subsidiary)	nt		0

#### Question 11

An entity which follows its financial year as per the calendar year grants 1,000 share appreciation rights (SARs) to each of its 40 management employees as on 1st January 20X5. The SARs provide the employees with the right to receive (at the date when the rights are exercised) cash equal to the appreciation in the entity's share price since the grant date. All of the rights vest on 31st December 20X6; and they can be exercised during 20X7 and 20X8. Management estimates that, at grant date, the fair value of each SAR is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  11; and it estimates that overall 10% of the employees will leave during the two-year period. The fair values of the SARs at each year end are shown below:

Year	Fair value at year
	end
31 December 20X5	12
31 December 20X6	8
31 December 20X7	13
31 December 20X8	12

10% of employees left before the end of 20X6. On 31st December 20X7 (when the intrinsic value of each SAR was  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  10), six employees exercised their options; and the remaining 30 employees exercised their options at the end of 20X8 (when the intrinsic value of each SAR was equal to the fair value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  12).

How much expense and liability is to be recognized at the end of each year? Pass Journal entries.

#### Answer 11

The amount recognized as an expense in each year and as a liability at each year end) is as follows:

Year	Expense	Liability	Calculation of Liability
	₹	₹	-
31 December 20X5	2,16,000	2,16,000	= 36 x 1,000 x 12 x ½
31 December 20X6	72,000	2,88,000	$= 36 \times 1,000 \times 8$
31 December 20X7	1,62,000*	3,90,000	$= 30 \times 1,000 \times 13$
31 December 20X8	(30,000)**	0	Liability extinguished

<sup>\*</sup> Expense comprises an increase in the liability of ₹ 102,000 and cash paid to those exercising their SARs of ₹ 60,000 (6 x 1,000 x 10).

#### **Journal Entries**

31 December 20X5				
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	2,16,00		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Difference of opening liability ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  3,90,000) and actual liability paid [ $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  3,60,000 (30 x 1,000 x 12)] is recognised to Profit and loss ie  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  30,000.

To Share based payment liability		0	2,16,00 0
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
31 December 20X6			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	72,00	
To Share based payment liability		0	72,00 0
(Fair value of the SAR re-			
measured)			
31 December 20X7			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,62,000	
To Share based payment liability			1,62,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
Share based payment liability	Dr.	60,000	
To Cash			60,000
(Settlement of SAR)			
31 December 20X8			
Share based payment liability	Dr.	30,000	
To Employee benefits expenses			30,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
Share based payment liability	Dr.	3,60,000	
To Cash			3,60,000
(Settlement of SAR)			

Note: Last two entries can be combined.

# Question 12 (Illustration)

ABC Limited granted to its employees, share options with a fair value of ₹ 5,00,000 on 1st April, 20X0, if they remain in the organization upto 31st March, 20X3. On 31st March, 20X1, ABC Limited expects only 91% of the employees to remain in the employment. On 31st March, 20X2, company expects only 89% of the employees to remain in the employment. However, only 82% of the employees remained in the organisation at the end of March, 20X3 and all of them exercised their options. Pass the Journal entries?

Period	Proporti on	Fair value	To be vested	Cumulativ e expenses	Expenses
	а	b	С	d= b x c x a	e = d-previous period d
Period 1	1/3	5,00,000	91 %	1,51,667	1,51,667
Period 2	2/3	5,00,000	89 %	2,96,667	1,45,000
Period 3	3/3	5,00,000	82 %	4,10,000	1,13,333
					4,10,000

**Journal Entries** 

31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,51,667	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)			1,51,66 7
(1/3 of expected vested equity instrume	nts value)		
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,45,000	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)			1,45,00 0
(2/3 of expected vested equity instrume	nts value)		
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X3			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,13,333	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)			1,13,33 3
(Final vested equity instruments value)			
Share based payment reserve (equity)	Dr.	4,10,000	
To Share Capital			4,10,00 0
(re-allocated and issued shares)			

#### Question 13 (Illustration)

XYZ issued 10,000 Share Appreciation Rights (SARs) that vest immediately to its employees on 1st April, 20X0. The SARs will be settled in cash. Using an option pricing model, at that date it is estimated that the fair value of a SAR is ₹ 95. SAR can be exercised any time upto 31st March, 20X3. At the end of period on 31st March, 20X1 it is expected that 95% of total employees will exercise the option, 92% of total employees will exercise the option at the end of next year and finally 89% were exercised at the end of the 3rd year. Fair Values at the end of each period have been given below:

Fair value of SAR	₹
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1	112
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	109
31st March, 20X3	114

#### Pass the Journal entries?

#### Answer 13

Perio d	Fair value a	To be vested b	Cumulative c= a x b x 10,000	Expense d= c-prev. period c
Start	95	100%	9,50,000	9,50,000
Period 1	112	95%	10,64,000	1,14,000
Period 2	109	92%	10,02,800	(61,200)
Period 3	114	89%	10,14,600	11,800

			10,14,600
Joi	ırnal Entries	1	
1 <sup>st</sup> April, 20X0			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	9,50,00	
To Share based payment liability		0	9,50,00 0
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,14,00	
To Share based payment liability		0	1,14,00 0
(Fair value of the SAR remeasured)			
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2			
Share based payment liability	Dr.	61,20	
To Employee benefits expenses		0	61,20 0
(Fair value of the SAR re-measured	& reversed)		
31st March,-20X3			L
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	11,800	
To Share based payment liability			11,800
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
Share based payment liability	Dr.	10,14,600	
To Cash			10,14,600
(Settlement of SAR)			

# Question 14 (Illustration)

On 1st January, 20X1, ABC limited gives options to its key management personnel (employees) to take either cash equivalent to 1,000 shares or 1,500 shares. The minimum service requirement is 2 years and shares being taken must be kept for 3 years. The employees exercise their cash option at the end of 20X2. Pass the journal entries.

#### Answer 14

	1 <sup>st</sup> January, 20X1 ₹	31 <sup>st</sup> December, 20X1 ₹	31 <sup>st</sup> December, 20X2 ₹
Equity alternative (1,500 x 102)	1,53,000		
Cash alternative (1,000 x 113)	1,13,000		

,age 682

Equity option (1,53,000 –	40,000		
1,13,000)			
Cash option (cumulative)		(1,000x120 x	1,32,000
(using period end fair		1/2 )	
value		60,000	
Equity option (cumulative)		(40,000 x ½)	40,000
		20,000	
Expense for the period			
Equity option		20,000	20,000
Cash Option		60,000	72,000
Total		80,000	92,000

### **Journal Entries**

Country Bitties				
31 <sup>st</sup> December, 20X1			₹	
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	80,00		
To Share based payment re- (equity)*	serve	0	20,000	
To Share based payment liability			60,000	
(Recognition of Equity option an settlement option)	d cash			
31 <sup>st</sup> December, 20X2				
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	92,000		
To Share based payment re- (equity)*	serve		20,000	
To Share based payment liability			72,000	
(Recognition of Equity option an settlement option)	d cash			
Share based payment liability	Dr.	1,32,000		
To Bank/ Cash			1,32,000	
(Settlement in cash)				

<sup>\*</sup>The equity component recognized (₹ 40,000) shall remain within equity. By electing to receive cash on settlement, the employees forfeited the right to receive equity instruments. However, ABC Limited may transfer the share based payment reserve within equity, i.e. a transfer from one component of equity to another.

#### Question 15 (Illustration)

Indian Inc. issued 995 shares in exchange for purchase of an office building. The title was transferred in the name of Indian Inc. on February, 20X1 and shares were issued. Fair value of the office building was ₹ 2,00,000 and face value of each share of Indian Inc was ₹ 100. Pass the journal entries?

#### Answer 15

1 <sup>st</sup> February, 20X1			₹
Office Building	Dr.	2,00,00	
		0	
To Share capital (995 x 100)			99,500

To Securities premium (balance)		1,00,500
(Recognition of equity option and cash settlement option)		

#### Question 16 (Illustration)

Reliance limited hired a maintenance company for its oil fields. The services will be settled by issuing 1,000 shares of Reliance. Period for which the service is to be provided is 1st April, 20X1 to 1st July, 20X1 and fair value of the service was estimated using market value of similar contracts for  $\gtrless$  1,00,000. Nominal value per share is  $\gtrless$  10. Record the transactions?

#### Answer 16

Fair value of services	1,00,000
	1,00,000
Number of months	3
Monthly expense	33,333.33

30 <sup>th</sup> April, 20X1		₹	
Repair & Maintenance	33,333.		
Dı	33	33,333.3	
		3	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)			
(Recognition of Equity settled SBP using fair value of services rendered)			
31 <sup>st</sup> May, 20X1			
Repair & Maintenance Dr	. 33,333.		
To Share based payment reserve (equity)	33	33,333.3	
		3	
(Recognition of Equity settled SBP using fair value of services rendered)			

30 <sup>th</sup> June, 20X1				
Repair & Maintenance	Dr.	33,333. 33		
To Share based payment reserve (equity)			33,333. 33	
(Recognition of Equity settled SBP using fair value of services rendered)				
1 <sup>st</sup> July, 20X1				
Share based payment reserve (equity)	Dr.	1,00,00		
To Equity Shares (1000 x 10)		0	10,0000	
To Securities premium (balancing figure)			90,000	
(Recognition of Equity settled SBP using	fair value of se	rvices ren	dered)	

#### Question 17 (Illustration)

Tata Industries issued share-based option to one of its key management personal which can be exercised either in cash or equity and it has following features:

Option I	Period	₹
No of cash settled shares		74,000

Service		3 years				
condition						
Option II	Option II					
No of equity se each		90,000				
Conditions:						
Service		3 years				
Restriction to sell		2 years				
Fair values						
Equity price wi	th a restriction of sale for 2 years		115			
Fair value at gr	rant date		135			
Fair value	20X0		138			
	20X1		140			
	20X2		147			

# Pass the Journal

#### Answer 17

11		
Fair value of Equity option compo	nents:	
Fair value of a share with restrictive		₹ 115
clause		
Number of shares		90,000
Fair value (90,000 x 115)	A	₹ 1,03,50,000
Fair value of a share at the date of		₹ 135
grant		
Number of cash settled shares		74,000
Fair value (74,000 x 135)	В	₹ 99,90,000
Fair value of equity component in con	₹ 3,60,000	
(A-B)		

# **Journal Entries**

31/12/20X0			₹
Employee benefit expenses	35,24,0		
To Share based payment reserve (equity) (3,60,000/3)	00	1,20,000	
To Share based payment liability (1- / 3		34,04,000	
(Recognition of equity option and cash soption)			
31/12/20X1			
Employee benefits expenses	36,22,6		
To Share based payment reserve (equity) (3,60,000/3)		67	1,20,000

To Share based payment liability (140 x 74,000) 2/3 -34,04,000			35,02,667
(Recognition of equity option and cash	ent		
option) 31/12/20X2			
		40.01.0	ı
Employee benefits expenses	Dr	. 40,91,3	
To Share based payment reserve (3,60,000/3)	(equity)		1,20,000
To Share based payment liability			39,71,333
(147 x 74,000) 3/3 - (34,04,0) 35,02,667)	00 +		
(Recognition of equity option and cash option)	n settleme	ent	
Upon cash alternative chosen			
Share based payment liability (147 x Dr. 74,000)		. 1,08,78,0 00	1,08,78,0
To Bank/ Cash			00
(Being settlement made in cash)			
Share based payment reserve (equity)	Dr	3,60,00 0	3,60,00
To Retained Earnings			0
(Being transfer of equity from one accanother one)			
Upon equity alternative chosen			
Share based payment liability	Dr	1,08,78,0 00	
To Share Capital	To Share Capital		90,00,000
To Share Premium			18,78,000
(Being settlement made in equity)			
Share based payment reserve (equity) Dr.		3,60,000	
To Retained Earnings			3,60,000
(Being transfer of equity from one accanother one)			

# Question 18 (Illustration)

Ankita Holding Inc. grants 100 shares to each of its 500 employees on 1st January, 20X1. The employees should remain in service during the vesting period. The shares will vest at the end of the First year if the company's earnings increase by 12%; Second year if the company's earnings increase by more than 20% over the two-year period; Third yearif the entity's earnings increase by more than 22% over the three-year period. The fair value per share at the grant date is  $\overline{\ast}$  122. In 20X1, earnings increased by 10%, and 29 employees left the organisation. The company expects that the shares will vest at the end of the year 20X2. The company also expects that additional 31 employees will leave the organisation in the year 20X2 and that 440 employees will receive their shares at the end of the year 20X2. At the end of 20X2, company's earnings increased by 18%. Therefore,

the shares did not vest. Only 29 employees left the organization during 20X2. Company believes that additional 23 employees will leave in 20X3 and earnings will further increase so that the performance target will be achieved in 20X3. At the end of the year 20X3, only 21 employees have left the organization. Assume that the company's earnings increased to desired level and the performance target has been met.

Determine the expense for each year and pass appropriate journal entries?

#### Answer 18

Since the earnings of the entity is non-market related, hence it will not be considered in fair value calculation of the shares given. However, the same will be considered while calculating number of shares to be vested.

# Workings:

workings.	20X1	20X2	20X3
Total employees	500	500	500
Employees left (Actual)	(29)	(58)	(79)
Employees expected to leave in the next	(31)	(23)	-
year			
Year end – No of employees	440	419	421
Shares per employee	100	100	100
Fair value of share at grant date	122	122	122
Vesting period	1/2	2/3	3/3
Expenses-20X1 (Note 1)	26,84,00		
	0		
Expenses-20X2 (Note 2)		7,23,867	
Expenses-20X3 (Note 3)			17,28,333

#### Note 1:

Expense for 20X1 = Number of employees x Shares per employee x Fair value of share x Proportionate vesting period

=  $440 \times 100 \times 122 \times \frac{1}{2} = 26,84,000$ 

#### Note 2:

Expense for 20X2 = (Number of employees x Shares per employee x Fair value of share x Proportionate vesting period) – Expense recognized in year 20X1

 $= (419 \times 100 \times 122 \times 2/3) - 26,84,000 = 7,23,867$ 

# Note 3:

Expense for 20X3 = (No of employees x Shares per employee x Fair value of share x Proportionate vesting period) – Expense recognized in year 20X1 and 20X2

=  $(421 \times 100 \times 122 \times 3/3) - (26,84,000 + 7,23,867) = 17,28,333$ .

#### Journal Entries

31 <sup>st</sup> December, 20X1			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	26,84,0	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)		00	26,84,0 00

(Equity settled shared based payment e			
vesting amount)			
31 <sup>st</sup> December, 20X2			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	7,23,86	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)	To Share based payment reserve		7,23,86 7
(Equity settled shared based payment e	expected		
vesting amount)			
31 <sup>st</sup> December, 20X3			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	17,28,33	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)			17,28,33 3
(Equity settled shared based payment e vesting amount)	expected		
Share based payment reserve (equity)	Dr.	51,36,20 0	
To Share Capital			51,36,20 0
(Share capital Issued)			

#### Question 19 (Illustration)

ACC limited granted 10,000 share options to one of its managers. In order to get the options, the manager has to work for next 3 years in the organization and reduce the cost of production by 10% over the next 3 years.

Dain males af the antion	3 OF	T T
Fair value of the option	₹ 95	
at grant date was		
Cost reduction achieved-		
Year 1	12%	Achieved
Year 2	8%	Not expected to
		vest in future
Year 3	10%	Achieved

# How the expenses would be recorded?

#### Answer 19

It is a non-market related condition. Hence the target to achieve cost reduction would be taken while estimating the number of options to be vested.

Year	Optio	Fair		FV of the options
	ns	value		vested
Year	10,00	95	1/3	3,16,667
1	0			
Year	10,00	95	0	(3,16,667)
2	0			
Year	10,00	95	3/3	9,50,000
3	0			

The condition to achieve 10% cost reduction each was not fulfilled in the year 2 and there was no expectation to vest this non-market condition in future as well and hence earlier expense amount was reversed in year 2. Since in the year 3 the non-market condition was again met, hence all such expense will be charged to Profit and Loss.

# Question 20 (Illustration)

Apple Limited has granted 10,000 share options to one of its directors for which he must work for next 3 years and the price of the share should increase by 20% over next 3 years.

Year 1	22%
Year 2	19%
Year 3	<b>— 25</b> %
At the gra	ant date, the fair value of the option was ₹ 120.

#### Answer 20

The share price movement is a market based vesting condition hence its expectations are taken into consideration while calculating the fair value of the option.

Even if the required market condition as required is not fulfilled, there is no requirement to reverse the expense previously booked.

Irrespective of the outcome of the market prices (as it is already taken care of in the fair value of the option), each period an amount of  $(120 \times 10,000)/3 = ₹ 4,00,000$  will be charged to profit and loss.

#### Question 21 (Illustration)

Marathon Inc. issued 150 share options to each of its 1,000 employees subject to the service condition of 3 years. Fair value of the option given was calculated at  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  129. Below are the details and activities related to the SBP plan-

<b>Year 1:</b> 35 employees left and further 60 employees are expected to leave
Share options re-priced (as MV of shares has fallen) as the FV fell to ₹ 50.
After the re-pricing they are now worth ₹ 80, hence expense is expected to increase by ₹ 30.
<b>Year 2:</b> 30 employees left and further 36 employees are expected to leave
Year 3: 39 employees left
How the modification/ re-pricing will be accounted?

#### Answer 21

The re-pricing has been done at the end of year 1, and hence the increased expense would be spread over next 2 years equally.

Total increased value due to modification			₹ 30	(1/2 weight each
is				years)
	Year 1		Year	Year 3
			2	
Number of employees	1,000		1,000	1,000

689

Employee left	(35)	(65)	104
Expected to leave	(60)	(36)	
Net employees	905	899	896
Options per employee	150	150	150
Fair value of the option	129	129	129
Period weight	1/3	2/3	3/3
Modification		30	30
Expense (original)	58,37,25 0	57,59,850	57,40,500
Modification	Nil	20,22,750	20,09,250
		(899x150x30x1	(896x150x30x2/2)-
		/2)	20,22,750)

# Question 22 (Illustration)

Anara Fertilisers Limited issued 2000 share options to its 10 directors for an exercise price of ₹ 100. The directors are required to stay with the company for next 3 years.

xt 3 years.	
Fair value of the option estimated	₹ 130
Expected number of directors to vest the option	8
During the year 2, there was a crisis in the company and Management decided to cancel the scheme immediately. estimated further as below-	It was
Fair value of option at the time of cancellation was	₹ 90
Market price of the share at the cancellation date was	₹ 99
There was a compensation which was paid to directors an	d only 9
directors were currently in employment. At the time of ca	ncellation of
such scheme, it was agreed to pay an amount of	
₹ 95 per option to each of 9 directors.	
How the cancellation would be recorded?	

#### Answer 22

	Year 1	Year 2	
A)			
Expected directors to vest	8	9	
Fair value of option	130	130	
Number of options	2,000	2,000	
Total	20,80,00	23,40,00	
	0	0	
Expense weightage	1/3		Full, as it is
		16,46,6	cancelled
Expense for the year	6,93,333	67	Remaining
			amount since
			cancelled

969<sub>age</sub>

B) Cancellation compensation	
Number of directors	9
Amount agreed to pay	95
Number of options/ director	2,000
Compensation amount (9 x 95 x 2,000) Also refer working notes 1 and 2	17,10,000

#### **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Amount to be deducted from Equity

Number of directors	9
Fair value of option (at the date of cancellation)	90
Number of options / director	2,000
Total	16,20,000

#### 2. Amount transferred to Profit and Loss

Total cancellation compensation	17,10,000
Less: To deduct from Equity	(16,20,000)
Balance transferred to Profit and Loss	90,000

# Question 23 (Illustration)

A parent grants 200 share options to each of 100 employees of its subsidiary, conditional upon the completion of two years' service with the subsidiary. The fair value of the share options on grant date is ₹ 30 each. At grant date, the subsidiary estimates that 80 percent of the employees will complete the two-year service period. This estimate does not change during the vesting period. At the end of the vesting period, 81 employees complete the required two years of service. The parent does not require the subsidiary to pay for the shares needed to settle the grant of share options.

Pass the necessary journal entries for giving effect to the above arrangement.

#### Answer 23

As required by paragraph B53 of the Ind AS 102, over the two-year vesting period, the subsidiary measures the services received from the employees in accordance, the requirements applicable to equity-settled share-based payment transactions as given in paragraph 43B. Thus, the subsidiary measures the services received from the employees on the basis of the fair value of the share options at grant date. An increase in equity is recognised as a contribution from the parent in the separate or individual financial statements of the subsidiary.

# The journal entries recorded by the subsidiary for each of the two years are as follows:


Year 1	₹	₹
Remuneration expense Dr.	2,40,00	
(200 x 100 employees x Rs. 30 x 80% x ½)	0	
To Equity (Contribution from the parent)		2,40,000
Year 2		
Remuneration expense Dr.	2,46,00	
[(200 x 81 employees x Rs. 30) – 2,40,000]	0	
To Equity (Contribution from the parent)		2,46,000


 $^{\mathrm{age}}691$ 


# **CA CS CMA Mentoring Program Reviews**














Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or 🕓 +917890770777

age 692

# Chapter 8 Unit-1 Ind AS 19: "Employee Benefits"

#### Attempts wise Distribution

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
												Q8,
MTP			Q7	Q6	Q10		Q11	Q5		Q9		Q12
PYP						Q1						
												Q2,
RTP			Q3			Q4		Q14	Q13			Q15

# Section - A

#### Question 1

In 2017-18, Diana Ltd. has around 3,000 employees in the company. As per the company policy, the employees are given 30 days of Privilege Leave (PL), 12 days of Sick Leave (SL) and 12 days of Casual Leave. Out of the total PL and SL, 10 PL and 5 SL can be carried forward to next year. On the basis of past trends, it has been noted that 1,000 employees will take 5 days of PL and 2 days of SL and 2,000 employees will avail 10 as PL and 5 as SL. Also the company has been incurring profits since incorporation. It has been decided in 2017-18 to distribute profits to its employees @ 8% during the year. However, due to the employee turnover in the organisation, the expected pay-out of the Diana Ltd. is to be around 7%. The profits earned during 2017-18 is Rs. 12,000 lakh.

Diana Ltd. also has a post-employment benefit plan available which is in the nature of defined contribution plan where contribution to this fund amounts to Rs. 500 lakh which will fall due within 12 months from the end of accounting period. The company has paid Rs. 120 lakh to its employees in 2017-18.

What is the treatment for the short-term compensating absences, profit-sharing plan and the defined contribution plan by Diana Ltd. as per the provisions of relevant Ind AS? (PYP 5 Marks, May '19)

#### Answer 1

- (i) **For short term compensating expenses:** Diana. Ltd. will recognise a liability in its books to the extent of 5 days of PL for 1,000 employees and 10 days of PL for remaining 2,000 employees and 2 days of SL for 1,000 employees and 5 days of SL for remaining 2,000 employees in its books as an unused entitlement that has accumulated in 2017-2018.
- (ii) **For profit sharing plan:** Diana. Ltd. will recognise Rs. 840 lakh  $(12,000 \times 7\%)$  as a liability and expense it in books of accounts.
- (iii) **For defined contribution plan:** When an employee has rendered service to an entity during a period, the entity shall recognise the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service:
  - (a) Under Ind AS 19, the amount of Rs. 380 lakh (500-120) may be recognised as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting contribution already paid. However,

- if the contribution already paid would have exceeded the contribution due for service before the end of the reporting period, an entity shall recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense); and
- (b) Also, Rs. 380 lakh will be recognized as an expense in this case study which will be disclosed as an expense in the statement of profit and loss.

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Examinees failed to provide the correct treatment of accumulated short term compensating expenses, treatment of profit sharing plan and treatment of defined contribution plan. They have not deducted the contribution already paid by the entity

#### Question 2

Arunachalam Ltd. operates a Defined Retirement Benefits Plan for its current and former employees. Given the large size of the company, it engaged a firm of Actuaries for advice on the Contribution Levels and overall Liabilities of the Plan to pay benefits. Following details are given:

- (a) On 1st April, 20X1, the actuarial valuation of the present value of the defined benefit obligation was ₹ 15 crores. On the same date, the fair value of the assets of the Defined Benefit Plan was ₹ 13 crores. On 1st April, 20X1, the annual market yield based on Government Bonds was 5%.
- (b) During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, Arunachalam made contributions of ₹ 1.75 crore into the Plan and the Plan paid out benefits of ₹ 1.05 crore to retired members. Assume that both these payments were made on 31st March, 20X2.
- (c) The Actuarial Firm estimated that the current service cost for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 would be ₹ 1.55 crores. On 28th February, 20X2, the rules of the Plan were amended with retrospective effect which led to an increase in the present value of the defined benefit obligation by ₹ 37.5 lakhs from that date.
- (d) During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, Arunachalam was in negotiation with employee representatives regarding planned redundancies. These negotiations were completed shortly before the year end and the redundancy packages were agreed. The impact of these redundancies was to reduce the present value of the defined benefit obligation by ₹ 2 crores. Before 31st March, 20X2, Arunachalam made payments of ₹ 1.875 crores to the employees affected by the redundancies in compensation for a curtailment of their benefits. These payments were made out of the assets of the Retirement Benefits Plan.
- (e) On 31st March, 20X2, the present value of the defined benefit obligation was ₹ 17 crores and the fair value of the assets of the Defined Benefit Plan was ₹ 14 crores.

Discuss how the above will be accounted in the books of Arunachalam Ltd. for the year 20X1-20X2. Also give the extracts of financial statements affected due to above transactions. (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 2

1. Extract of Balance Sheet (Net Amount in the Balance Sheet)
(₹ in lakhs)
31.3.20X2 1.4.20X1

1694 Jane

PV of Defined Benefit Obligation (given)	(1,700.00)	(1,500.00)
FV of Plan Assets (given)	1,400.00	1 200 00
		1,300.00
Net Defined Benefit Liability (under Long-term	(300.00)	
Provision)	(000.00)	(200.00)

#### 2. Extract of Statement of Profit and Loss

	(₹ in lakhs)
Current service cost (given)	155.00
Past service cost (given)	37.50
Gain on settlement (₹ 200 lakhs – ₹ 187.50 lakhs)	(12.50)
Net interest on net defined benefit liability [₹ 75 lakhs - ₹ 65 lakhs]	10.00
Total to Statement of Profit and Loss	190.00

3. Extract of Other Comprehensive Income (Remeasurements)

-	(₹ in lakhs)
Actuarial loss on defined benefit obligation (W.N.1)	(237.50)
Return on plan assets other than expected return (W.N.2)	152.50
Total	(85.00)

# **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Defined Benefit Obligation Account

#### 2. Plan Assets Account

Particulars	₹ in lakhs	Particulars	₹ in lakhs
To Balance b/f (given) [balance as on 1.4.20X1]	1,300.00	By Defined Benefit Obligation [benefits paid]	105.00
To Expected Return [5% on Opening balance]	65.00	By Payments on curtailment and settlement	187.50
To Bank (contributions paid)	175.00	By Balance c/d (given) [balance as on 31.3.20X2]	1,400.00
To Actuarial Gain (balancing figure)	152.50 <b>1,692.50</b>		1,692.50

The above Defined Benefit Obligation Account and Plan Assets Account can alternatively be presented in a statement form as follows:

Defined Benefit Obligation		Plan Assets	
Particulars	₹ in lakhs	Particulars	₹ in lakhs
PV of Obligation b/f.	1,500.00	FV of Plan Assets b/f.	1,300.00
Interest Cost [₹ 1,500 x 5%]	75.00	Interest Income [₹ 1,300 x 5%]	65.00
Current Service Cost	155.00	Contribution during 20X1-20X2	175.00
Benefits paid during 20X1-20X2	(105.00)	Benefits paid during 20X1- 20X2	(105.00)
Plan Curtailment and Settlement	(200.00)	Payment towards settlement	(187.50)
Past Service Cost	37.50		
Remeasurement Loss (balancing figure)	237.50	Remeasurement Gain (balancing figure)	152.50
PV of Obligation c/f.	1,700.00	FV of Plan Assets c/f.	1,400.00

#### Question 3

At 1 April, 20X0, the fair value of the Plan Assets was Rs. 10,00,000. The Plan paid benefits of Rs. 1,90,000 and received contributions of Rs. 4,90,000 on 30 September, 20X0. The company computes the Fair Value of Plan Assets to be Rs. 15,00,000 as on 31 March, 20X1 and the Present Value of the Defined Benefit Obligation to amount to Rs. 14,79,200 on the same date. Actuarial losses on defined benefit obligation were Rs. 6,000.

Compounding happens half-yearly. The normal interest rate for 6 months period is 10%, while the effective interest rate for 12 months period is based on the following data:

At 1 April, 20X0, the company made the following estimates based on market prices at that date:

Particulars Particulars	%
Interest and Dividend Income, after tax payable by the fund	9.25
Add: Realized and Unrealized Gains on Plan Assets (after	2.00
Less: Administration Costs	(1.00)
Expected Rate of Return	10.25

**Determine** 

actual return and expected return on plan asset. Also compute amount to be recognized in 'Other Comprehensive Income' in this case. (RTP May '21)

969es

#### Answer 3

# Computation of Expected Return on Plan Assets

Particulars	Rs.
Return on Rs. 10,00,000 for 20X0-20X1 at $10.25\%$ = Rs. $10,00,000 \times 10.25\%$	1,02,50 0
: Return on Rs. 3,00,000 for 6 months at 10% Normal Rate = $[3,00,000 \text{ (Inflow Rs. 4,90,000 less Payments Rs. 1,90,000)} \times 10\% \times 6/12)]$	
	15,000
Expected Return on Plan Assets	1,17,5
	00

Computation of Actual Return on Plan Assets

Particulars	Rs.
Fair Value of Plan Assets at the year-end – 31 March 20X1	15,00,000
Less: Fair Value of Plan Assets at the beginning – 1 April 20X0	(10,00,000
Less: Contributions received during the year 20X0-20X1	(4,90,000)
Add: Benefits paid during the year 20X0-20X1	1,90,000
Actual Return on Plan Assets	2,00,000

**Computation of Net Actuarial Gain** 

computation of Net Metaurial Gain			
Particulars	Rs.		
Actual Return on Plan Assets	2,00,000		
Less: Expected Return on Plan Assets	(1,17,500)		
Actuarial Gain on Plan Assets	) 82,500		
Less: Actuarial Loss on Defined Benefit Obligation (given)	(6,000)		
Net Actuarial Gain to be recognized in 'Other Comprehensive Income'	•		
-	76,50 0		

#### Question 4

ABC Limited operates a defined benefit plan which provides to the employees covered under the plan a pension benefit which is equal to 0.75% final salary for each year of completed service. An employee needs to complete minimum of five years' service for becoming eligible to the benefit. On 1st April, 2015, the entity improves the pension benefit to 1% of final salary for each year of service, including prior years. The present value of the defined benefit obligation is therefore, increased by Rs. 80 million. Given below is the composition of this amount:

Employees with more than 5 years' of service at 1 <sup>st</sup> April, 2015	Rs. 60 million
Employees with less than 5 years' of service at 1 <sup>st</sup> April, 2015	Rs. 20 million

The employees in the second category have completed average 2 and half years of service. Hence, they need to complete another two and half year of service until vesting.

Comment on the treatment of Rs. 80 million of the defined benefit obligation in the financial statements both as per AS 15 and Ind AS 19. (RTP May '19)

#### Answer 4

Under AS 15, a past service cost of Rs. 60 million needs to be recognized immediately, as those benefits are already vested. The remaining Rs. 20 million cost is recognized on a straight line basis over the vesting period, i.e., period to two and half years commencing from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2015.

Under Ind AS 19, the entire past service cost of Rs. 80 million needs to be recognized and charged in profit or loss immediately. ABC Ltd. cannot defer any part of this cost.

# Question 5

On 1st April, 20X1, ABC limited gives options to its key management personnel (employees) to take either cash equivalent to 1,000 shares or 1,500 shares. The minimum service requirement is 2 years and shares being taken must be kept for 3 years.

Fair values of the shares are as follows:	₹
Share alternative fair value (with restrictions)	102
Grant date fair value on 1st April, 20X1	113
Fair value on 31st March, 20X2	120
Fair Value on 31st March, 20X3	132

The employees exercise their cash option at 31st March, 20X3. Pass the journal entries. (MTP 6 Marks March '23)

#### Answer 5

	1st April, 20X1 ₹	31st March, 20X2 ₹	<u> </u>
Equity alternative (1,500 x 102)	1,53,000		
Cash alternative (1,000 x 113)	1,13,000		
Equity option (1,53,000 – 1,13,000)	40,000		
Cash option		(1,000x120 x ½)	1,32,000
(cumulative) (using period end fair value		60,000	
Equity option (cumulative)		(40,000 x ½) 20,000	40,000
Expense for the period			
Equity option		20,000	20,000
Cash Option		60,000	72,000
Total		80,000	92,000

**Journal Entries** 

31st March, 20X2	₹
------------------	---

age 698

Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	80,00	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)*		0	20,000
To Share based payment liability			60,000
(Recognition of Equity option and option)	cash settlement		
31st March, 20X3			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	92,000	
To Share based payment reserve (equity)*			20,000
To Share based payment liability			72,000
(Recognition of Equity option and option)	cash settlement		
Share based payment liability	Dr.	1,32,00 0	
To Bank/ Cash			1,32,000
(Settlement in cash)			

<sup>\*</sup>The equity component recognized (₹ 40,000) shall remain within equity. By electing to receive cash on settlement, the employees forfeited the right to receive equity instruments. However, ABC Limited may transfer the share based payment reserve within equity, i.e. a transfer from one component of equity to another.

#### **Ouestion 6**

RS Ltd. discontinues a business segment. Under the agreement with employee's union, the employees of the discontinued segment will earn no further benefit. This is a curtailment without settlement, because employees will continue to receive benefits for services rendered before discontinuance of the business segment. Curtailment reduces the gross obligation for various reasons including change in actuarial assumptions made before curtailment. If the benefits are determined based on the last pay drawn by employees, the gross obligation reduces after the curtailment because the last pay earlier assumed is no longer valid. RS Ltd. estimates the share of unamortized service cost that relates to the part of the obligation at Rs. 18 (10% of Rs. 180).

Calculate the gain from curtailment and liability after curtailment to be recognized in the balance sheet of RS Ltd. on the basis of given information:

- (i) Immediately before the curtailment, gross obligation is estimated at Rs. 6,000 based on current actuarial assumption.
- (ii) The fair value of plan assets on the date is estimated at Rs. 5,100.
- (iii) The unamortized past service cost is Rs. 180.
- (iv) Curtailment reduces the obligation by Rs. 600, which is 10% of the gross obligation. (MTP 6 Marks April '18)

#### Answer 6

Gain from curtailment is estimated as under:

	Rs.
Reduction in gross obligation	600
Less: Proportion of unamortized past service cost	(18)

969<sup>98</sup>

Gain from curtailment	582
	1

The liability to be recognized after curtailment in the balance sheet is estimated as under:

	Rs.
Reduced gross obligation (90% of ₹ 6,000)	5,400
Less: Fair value of plan assets	(5,100)
	300
Less: Unamortized past service cost (90% of ₹ 180)	(162)
Liability to be recognized in the balance sheet	138

#### Question 7

Mr. Niranjana is working for InfoTech Ltd. Consider the following particulars:

	Year 20X0- 20X1	Year 20X1- 20X2
Annual salary	Rs. 30,00,000	Rs. 30,00,000
No. of working days during the year	300 days	300 days
Leave allowed	10 days	10 days
Leave taken	7 days	13 days
Leave unutilized carried forward to next year	3 days	NIL

Based on past experience, Infotech Ltd. assumes that Mr. Niranjan will avail the unutilized leaves of 3 days of 20X0-20X1 in 20X1-20X2.

Infotech Ltd. contends that it will record Rs. 30,00,000 as employee benefits expense in each of the years 20X0-20X1 and 20X1-20X2, stating that the leaves will, in any case, be utilized by 20X1-20X2. Comment on the accounting treatment proposed to be followed by Infotech Ltd. Also pass journal entries for both the years. (MTP 8 Marks April '21)

#### Answer 7

• •		
Particulars	Year 20X0-20X1	Year 20X1-
		20X2
Annual Salary	Rs. 30,00,000	Rs. 30,00,000
No. of working days (A)	300 days	300 days
Leaves Allowed	10 days	10 days
Leaves Taken (B)	7 days	13 days
Therefore, No. of days worked (A – B)	293 days	287 days
Expense proposed to be recognized by InfoTech Ltd.	Rs. 30,00,000	Rs. 30,00,000

Based on the evaluation above, Mr. Niranjan has worked for 6 days more (293 days - 287 days) in 20X0-20X1 as compared to 20X1-20X2.

Since he has worked more in 20X0-20X1 as compared to 20X1-20X2, the accrual concept requires that the expenditure to be recognized in 20X0-20X1 should be more as compared to 20X1-20X2.

Thus, if Infotech Ltd. recognizes the same expenditure of Rs. 30,00,000 for each year, it would be in violation of the accrual concept.

The expenditure to be recognized will be as under:

The expenditure to be recognized will be as under.			
Particulars	Year 20X0-20X1	Year 20X1-	
		20X2	
Annual salary (A)	Rs. 30,00,000	Rs. 30,00,000	
No. of working days (B)	300 days	300 days	
Salary cost per day (A ÷ B)	Rs. 10,000 per	Rs. 10,000 per	
	day	day	
No. of days worked (from above)	293 days	287 days	
Expense to be recognised:			
In 20X0-20X1:Rs. 30,00,000 + [Rs.			
10,000 per	Rs. 30,30,000		
day x 3 days (leaves unutilized			
expected to be utilized subsequently)]			
In 20X1-20X2:Rs. 30,00,000 - [Rs.			
10,000 per		Rs. 29,70,000	
day – 3 days (excess leave utilized in			
20X1-20X2)]			

#### Journal Entry for 20X0-20X1

Employee Benefits Expense Account Dr. 30,30,000

To Bank Account 30,00,000
To Provision for Leave Encashment 30,000

Journal Entry for 20X1-20X2

Employee Benefits Expense Account Dr. 29,70,00

0

Provision for Leave EncashmentDr. 30,000

Account

To Bank Account 30,00,00

0

#### Question 8

RKA Private Ltd is an old company established in 1995. The company started with a very small capital base and today it is one of the leading companies in India in its industry. The company has an annual turnover of Rs. 11,000 crores and planning to get listed in the next year.

The company has a large employee base. The company provided a defined benefit plan to its employees. Following is the information relating to the balances of the fund's assets and liabilities as at 1st April, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X2.Rs. in lacs

Particulars	1st	31 <sup>st</sup>
	April,	March,
	20X1	20X2
Present value of benefit obligation	1,400	1,580
Fair value of plan assets	1,140	1,275

For the financial year ended 31st March, 20X2, service cost was Rs. 55 lacs. The company made a contribution of an amount of Rs. 111 lacs to the plan. No benefits were paid during the year.

Consider a discount rate of 8%.

As per Ind AS, you are required to -

(a) Compute the balance(s) of the company to be included its balance sheet

as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 and amounts to be recognized in the statement of profit and loss and other comprehensive income for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2.

Give the journal entries in respect of amount(s) to be recognized.(MTP 8 Marks Oct'19 & April '23) (MTP 12 Marks Oct '23)

#### Answer 8

# (a) Extract of the Balance Sheet of RKA Private Ltd as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 Rs. in lacs

Closing net defined liability (1,580 – 1,275) lacs

305

Extract of the Statement of Profit or Loss of RKA Private Ltd for the year ended 31st March, 20X2

Particulars	Rs. in lacs
Service cost	55
Net interest (Refer W.N.1)	21
Profit or loss	76
Other comprehensive income:	
Remeasurements (Refer W.N.2)	80
Total	156

(b) Journal entries in the books of RKA Private Ltd

Particulars		Rs in lacs	Rs in lacs
Profit & Loss	Dr.	76	
Other comprehensive income	Dr.	80	
To Cash (Contribution)			111
To Net defined benefit liabil: <b>WN 3)</b>	ity <b>(Refer</b>		45

#### **Working Notes:**

- 1. Computation of Net interest taken to the Statement of Profit or Loss
  - = Discount rate x Opening net defined benefit liability
  - $= 8\% \times (1,400 1,140)$  lacs
  - $= 8\% \times 260 \text{ lacs}$
  - = 21 lacs (Rounded off to nearest lacs)

# 2. Computation of Remeasurements

#### Actuarial gain or loss on defined benefit liability:

Particulars	Rs. in lacs
Opening balance of liability	1,400
Current service cost	55
Interest on opening liability (1,400 x 8%)	112
Actuarial loss (Bal. fig)	13
Closing balance of liability	1,580

Actual return on plan assets:

Particulars	Amount lacs	Rs.	In
Opening balance of asset		1,1	40
Cash contribution		1	11

Actual return (Bal. fig)	24
Closing balance of asset	1,275

Net interest on opening balance of plan asset = Rs. 91 lacs (i.e. Rs. 1,140 lacs  $\times$  8%) (Rounded off to nearest lacs)

Hence there is a decrease in plan assets due to remeasurement for which computation is as follows:

Actual Return – Net interest on opening plan asset

- = Rs. 24 lacs Rs. 91 lacs
- = Rs. 67 lacs.

#### Net remeasurement would be computed as follows:

Actuarial loss on liability + Loss on return

- = Rs. 13 lacs + Rs. 67 lacs
- = Rs. 80 lacs.

# Computation of increase/ decrease in net defined benefit liability:

Particulars	₹ in lacs
Opening net liability (₹ 1,400 lacs – ₹ 1,140 lacs)	260
Closing net liability (₹ 1,580 lacs – ₹ 1,275	305
lacs) Increase in liability	45

#### Question 9

On 1 April 20X1, the fair value of the assets of XYZ Ltd's defined benefit plan were valued at Rs.20,40,000 and the present value of the defined obligation was Rs.21,25,000. On 31stMarch,20X2 the plan received contributions from XYZ Ltd amounting to Rs. 4,25,000 and paid out benefits of Rs. 2,55,000. The current service cost for the financial year ending 31 March 20X2 is Rs. 5,10,000. An interest rate of 5% is to be applied to the plan assets and obligations. The fair value of the plan's assets at 31 March 20X2 was Rs.23,80,000, and the present value of the defined benefit obligation was Rs.27,20,000. Provide a reconciliation from the opening balance to the closing balance for Plan assets and Defined benefit obligation. Also show how much amount should be recognised in the statement of profit and loss, other comprehensive income and balance sheet? (MTP 6 Marks May '20 & Oct '20, RTP May '20, PYP 7 Marks May'22)

#### Answer 9

# Reconciliation of Plan asset and Defined benefit obligations

	Plan Asset Rs.	Defined benefit obligations Rs.
Fair value/present value as at 1 <sup>st</sup> April 20X1	20,40,000	21,25,000
Interest @ 5%	1,02,000	1,06,250
Current service cost		5,10,000
Contributions received	4,25,000	-
Benefits paid	(2,55,000)	(2,55,000)
Return on gain (assets) (balancing figure)	68,000	-
Actuarial Loss (balancing figure)	-	2,33,750
Closing balance as at March 31,20X2	23,80,000	27,20,000

# In the Statement of Profit and loss, the following will be recognized: Rs.

Current service cost

5,10,000

Net interest on net defined liability (Rs. 1,06,250–Rs. 1,02,000)

4,250

Defined benefit re-measurements recognised in other comprehensive income:

Rs.

Loss on defined benefit obligation (2,33,7 5)

68,000

(1,65,7 5)

In the Balance sheet, the following will be recognised:

Rs.

Net defined liability (Rs. 27,20,000 – Rs. 23,80,000)

Gain on plan assets

3,40,000

#### Question 10

An employee Roshan has joined a company XYZ Ltd. in the year 2018. The annual emoluments of Roshan as decided is Rs. 14,90,210. The company also has a policy of giving a lump sum payment of 25% of the last drawn annual salary of the employee for each completed year of service if the employee retires after completing minimum 5 years of service. The salary of the Roshan is expected to grow @ 10% per annum.

The company has inducted Roshan in the beginning of the year and it is expected that he will complete the minimum five year term before retiring.

What is the amount the company should charge in its Profit and Loss account every year as cost for the Defined Benefit obligation? Also calculate the current service cost and the interest cost to be charged per year assuming a discount rate of 8%.

(P.V factor for 8% - 0.735, 0.794, 0.857, 0.926, 1)(MTP 8 Marks Oct '18)

#### Answer 10

# Calculation of Defined Benefit Obligation

Expected last drawn salary = Rs.

= Rs. 14,90,210 x 110% x 110% x 110% x 110%

x 110%

= Rs. 24,00,000

Defined Benefit Obligation (DBO) 30,00,000

= Rs.  $24,00,000 \times 25\% \times 5 = Rs$ .

Amount of Rs. 6,00,000 will be charged to Profit and Loss Account of the company every year as cost for Defined Benefit Obligation.

Calculation of Current Service Cost

Yea r	Equal apportioned amount of DBO [i.e. Rs. 30,00,000/5 years]		Current service cost (Present Value)
а	b	С	$d = b \times c$
1	6,00,000	0.735 (4	4,41,000
2	6,00,000	Years) 0.794 (3 Years)	4,76,400

age 704

3	6,00,000	0.857 (2	5,14,200
		Years)	
4	6,00,000	0.926 (1 Year)	5,55,600
5	6,00,000	1 (0 Year)	6,00,000

Calculation of Interest Cost to be charged per year

Year	Opening balance	Interest cost	Current service cost	Closing balance
а	b	c = b x 8%	d	e = b + c + d
1	0	0	4,41,00 0	4,41,000
2	4,41,000	35,280	4,76,40 0	9,52,680
3	9,52,680	76,214	5,14,20 0	15,43,094
4	15,43,094	1,23,447	5,55,60 0	22,22,141
5	22,22,141	1,77,859*	6,00,00 0	30,00,000

<sup>\*</sup>Due to approximations used in calculation, this figure is adjusted accordingly.

#### Question 11

Diamond Pvt. Ltd, has a headcount of around 1,000 employees in the organisation in financial year 2X19-2X20. As per the company's policy, the employees are given 35 days of privilege leave (PL), 15 days of sick leave (SL) and 10 days of casual leave. Out of the total PL and SL, 10 PL and 5 SL can be carried forward to next year. On the basis of past trends, it has been noted that 200 employees will take 5 days of PL and 2 days of SL and 800 employees will avail 10 days of PL and 5 days of SL.

Diamond Pvt. Ltd. has a post-employment benefit plan which is in the nature of defined contribution plan where contribution to the fund amounts to ₹ 200 crores which will fall due within 12 months from the end of the accounting period. The company has paid ₹ 40 crore to this plan in financial year 2X19-2X20.

What would be the treatment of the short-term compensating absences, profitsharing plan and the defined contribution plan in the books of Diamond Pvt. Ltd.?

(MTP 6 Marks March '22) (PYP 6Marks Nov '20)

# Answer 11

- (i) Treatment of short term compensating absences: Diamond Pvt. Ltd. will recognize a liability in its books to the extent of 5 days of PL for 200 employees and 10 days of PL for remaining 800 employees and 2 days of SL for 200 employees and 5 days of SL for remaining 800 employees in its books as an unused entitlement that has accumulated in 2X19-2X20 as short-term compensated absences.
- (ii) Treatment of defined contribution plan: When an employee has rendered service to an entity during a period, the entity shall recognise the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service. Under Ind AS 19, the amount of ₹ 160 crore (200-40) will be recognised as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any contribution already paid i.e. ₹ 40 crore (with contribution of ₹ 200 crore to the plan) and an expense in the statement of profit and loss. It can also be seen that the contributions are payable within 12 months from the end of the year in which the employees render the related service; hence, they will not be

discounted.

# Question 12

An entity which follows its financial year as per the calendar year grants 1,000 share appreciation rights (SARs) to each of its 40 management employees as on 1 st January, 20X5. The SARs provide the employees with the right to receive (at the date when the rights are exercised) cash equal to the appreciation in the entity's share price since the grant date. All of the rights vest on 31st December, 20X6 and they can be exercised during 20X7 and 20X8. Management estimates that, at grant date, the fair value of each SAR is ₹ 11 and it estimates that overall, 10% of the employees will leave during the two-year period. The fair values of the SARs at each year end are shown below:

Year	Fair value at year
	end
31st December, 20X5	12
31st December, 20X6	8
31st December, 20X7	13
31st December, 20X8	12

10% of employees left before the end of 20X6. On 31st December, 20X7 (when the intrinsic value of each SAR was  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  10), six employees exercised their options and the remaining 30 employees exercised their options at the end of 20X8 (when the intrinsic value of each SAR was equal to the fair value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  12).

How much expense and liability is to be recognized at the end of each year? Pass Journal entries. (10 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 12

The amount recognized as an expense in each year and as a liability at each year-end) is as follows:

Year	Expense (₹)	Liability (₹)	Calculation of
			Liability
31st December, 20X5	2,16,000	2,16,000	$= 36 \times 1,000 \times 12 \times$
			1/2
31st December, 20X6	72,000	2,88,000	= 36 x 1,000 x 8
31st December, 20X7	1,62,000*	3,90,000	= 30 x 1,000 x 13
31st December, 20X8	(30,000)**	0	Liability extinguished

<sup>\*</sup> Expense comprises an increase in the liability of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{\sim}{}}$  1,02,000 and cash paid to those exercising their SARs of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{\sim}{}}$  60,000 (6 x 1,000 x 10).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Difference of opening liability (₹ 3,90,000) and actual liability paid [₹ 3,60,000 (30 x 1,000 x 12)] is recognised to Profit and loss ie ₹ 30,000.

Journal	<b>Entries</b>

31st December, 20X5			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	2,16,00	2,16,00
To Share-based payment liability			0
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
31st December, 20X6			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	72,00	

902

# $^{\mathrm{age}}707$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

To Share-based payment liability		0	72,00 0
(Fair value of the SAR re-measured)		-	
31st December, 20X7			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,62,000	
To Share-based payment liability			1,62,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
Share-based payment liability	Dr.	60,000	
To Cash			60,000
(Settlement of SAR)			
31st December, 20X8			
Share-based payment liability	Dr.	30,000	
To Employee benefits expenses			30,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
Share-based payment liability	Dr.	3,60,000	
To Cash			3,60,000
(Settlement of SAR)			

Note: Last two entries can be combined.

# Question 13

(All numbers in Rs. '000 unless otherwise stated) ABL Ltd. operates a defined retirement benefits plan on behalf of current and former employees. ABL Ltd. receives advice from actuaries regarding contribution levels and overall liabilities of the plan to pay benefits. On 1st April, 20X1, the actuaries advised that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was Rs. 60,000. On the same date, the fair value of the assets of the defined benefit plan was Rs. 52,000. On 1st April, 20X1, the annual market yield on high quality corporate bonds was 5%. During the year ended 31st March 20X2, ABL Ltd. made contributions of Rs. 7,000 into the plan and the plan paid out benefits of Rs. 4200 to retired members. Assume that both these payments were made on 31st March 20X2. The actuaries advised that the current service cost for the year ended 31st March 20X2 was Rs. 6,200. On 28th February, 20X2, the rules of the plan were amended with retrospective effect. These amendments meant that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was increased by Rs. 1500 from that date. During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, ABL Ltd. was in negotiation with employee representatives regarding planned redundancies. The negotiations were completed shortly before the year end and redundancy packages were agreed. The impact of these redundancies was reduce the to present obligation by Rs. 8000. Before 31st March, 20X2, ABL Ltd. defined benefit made payments of Rs. 7500 to the employees affected by the redundancies in compensation for the curtailment of their benefits. These payments were made out of the assets of the retirement benefits plan. On 31st March, 20X2, the

actuaries advised that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was Rs. 68,000. On the same date, the fair value of the assets of the defined benefit plan were Rs. 56,000. (RTP Nov '19, RTP Nov'18)

#### Answer 13

(All numbers in Rs. '000 unless otherwise stated)

On 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X2, ABL Ltd. will report a net pension liability in the statement of financial position. The amount of the liability will be Rs. 12,000 (68,000 – 56,000).

For the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X2, ABL Ltd. will report the current service cost as an operating cost in the statement of profit or loss. The amount reported will be Rs. 6,200. The same treatment applies to the past service cost of Rs. 1,500.

For the year ended 31st March 20X2, ABL Ltd. will report a finance cost in profit or loss based on the net pension liability at the start of the year of Rs. 8,000 (60,000 -52,000). The amount of the finance cost will be Rs. 400 (8,000 x 5%).

The redundancy programme represents the partial settlement of the curtailment of a defined benefit obligation. The gain on settlement of Rs. 500 (8,000 - 7,500) will be reported in the statement of profit or loss.

Other movements in the net pension liability will be reported as remeasurement gains or losses in other comprehensive income.

For the year ended 31st March 20X2, the remeasurement loss will be Rs. 3,400 (refer

# Working Note:

Calculation of remeasurement gain or loss:	Rs.	<b>'000</b>
Liability at the start of the year (60,000 - 52,000)	8,000	
Current service cost	6,200	
Past service cost	1,500	
Net finance cost	400	
Gain on settlement	(500)	
Contributions to plan	(7,000)	
Remeasurement loss (balancing figure)	3,400	
Liability at the end of the year (68,000 – 56,000)	12,000	

#### Question 14

From the following particulars, compute the net defined benefit liability and expense to be recognized in Profit and Loss account. (₹ in lakhs)

Particulars	Defined benefit Plan Assets obligation			Assets
	31st Dec. 20X2	31st Dec. 20X1	Dec.	31st Dec. 20X1
Balance at the beginning of the year	63.25	47.08	21.80	14.65
Current service cost	5.84	4.97	-	-
Interest cost	4.27	3.56	-	-
Changes in demographic assumptions	0.62	1.86	-	-
Changes in financial	3.58	1.93	-	-

assumptions				
Experience variance	(2.49)	4.46	-	-
Benefits paid	-	(0.61)	-	(0.61)
Investment income	-	-	1.47	1.12
Employers' contribution	-	-	8.00	7.00
Return on plan assets	-	-	2.12	(0.35)

(RTP May '23)

#### Answer 14

Computation of defined benefit liability and expenses to be charged to Statement of Profit and Loss:

Front and Loss.	Defined Plan Assets (₹ in				
	benefit obligation		lakhs)		
	(₹ in lak		141110,		
	31st Dec	31st Dec	31st Dec	31st Dec	
	20X2	20X1	20X2	20X1	
Balance at the beginning of year	63.25	47.08	21.80*	14.65	
Current service cost	5.84	4.97	-	-	
Interest cost	4.27	3.56	-	-	
Changes in demographic assumptions	0.62	1.86	-	-	
Changes in financial assumptions	3.58	1.93	-	-	
Experience variance	(2.49)	4.46	-	-	
Benefits paid	-	(0.61)	-	(0.61)	
Investment income	-	-	1.47	1.12	
Employers' contribution	_	-	8.00	7.00	
Return on plan assets	-	-	2.12	(0.35)	
Balance at the end of year	75.07	63.25	33.39	21.81*	

In the BALANCE SHEET, the following will be recognised:

Net defined liability to be recognised for the period ending 31 st December, 20X1:

= ₹ 41.44 lakhs (₹ 63.25 lakhs - ₹ 21.81 lakhs)

Net defined liability to be recognised for the period ending 31st December, 20X2:

= ₹ 41.68 lakhs (₹ 75.07 lakhs - ₹ 33.39 lakhs)

# In the STATEMENT OF PROFIT AND LOSS, the following will be recognised:

	Defined	benefit	Plan Ass	sets (₹ in
	oblig	gation (₹ in	lakhs)	
	lakh	.s)		
	31st Dec.,	31st Dec.,	31st	31st Dec.,
	20X2	20X1	Dec.,	20X1
			20X2	
Current service	5.84	4.97	_	_
cost				
Interest cost	4.27	3.56	_	_
	1	l	1	1

90Z

Investment income	-	-	(1.47)	(1.12)
Total	10.11	8.53	(1.47)	(1.12)

Expense to be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss for the period ending 31st December, 20X1 = ₹ 7.41 lakhs (₹ 8.53 lakhs - ₹ 1.12 lakhs)

Expense to be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss for the period ending 31st December, 20X2 = ₹ 8.64 lakhs (₹ 10.11 lakhs - ₹ 1.47 lakhs).

#### Question 15

On 1st January, 20X2, the directors of Johansen Ltd. decided to terminate production at one of the company's divisions. This decision was publicly announced on 31st January, 20X2. The activities of the division were gradually reduced from 1st April, 20X2 and closure is expected to be complete by 30th September, 20X2.

At 31st January, 20X2, the directors prepared the following estimates of the financial implications of the closure:

- (i) Redundancy costs were initially estimated at ₹ 2 million. Further expenditure of ₹ 8,00,000 will be necessary to retrain employees who will be affected by the closure but remained with Johansen Ltd. in different divisions. This retraining will begin in early July 20X2. Latest estimates are that redundancy costs will be ₹ 1.9 million, with retraining costs of ₹ 8,50,000.
- (ii) Plant and equipment having an expected carrying value at 31 st March, 20X2 of ₹ 8 million will have a recoverable amount ₹ 1.5 million. These estimates remain valid.
- (iii) The division is under contract to supply goods to a customer for the next three years at a pre- determined price. It will be necessary to pay compensation of ₹ 6,00,000 to this customer. The compensation actually paid, on 31st May, 20X2, was ₹ 5,50,000.
- (iv) The division will make operating losses of ₹ 3,00,000 per month in the first three months of 20X2-20X3 and ₹ 2,00,000 per month in the next three months of 20X2-20X3. This estimate proved accurate for April, 20X2 and May, 20X2.
- (v) The division operates from a leasehold premise. The lease is a non-cancellable operating lease with an unexpired term of five years from 31 st March, 20X2. The annual lease rentals (payable on 31st March in arrears) are ₹ 1.5 million. The landlord is not prepared to discuss an early termination payment.

Following the closure of the division it is estimated that Johansen Ltd. would be able to sub-let the property from 1st October, 20X2.

Johansen Ltd. could expect to receive a rental of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  3,00,000 for the six-month period from 1st October, 20X2 to 31st March, 20X3 and then annual rentals of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  5,00,000 for each period ending 31st March, 20X4 to 31st March, 20X7. All rentals will be received in arrears.

Any discounting calculations should be performed using a discount rate of 5% per annum. You are given the following data for discounting at 5% per annum:

Present value of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1 received at the end of year 1 =  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  0.95 Present value of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1 received at the end of year 1-2 inclusive =  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1.86

Present value of ₹ 1 received at the end of year 1–3 inclusive = ₹ 2.72

Present value of ₹ 1 received at the end of year 1-4 inclusive = ₹ 3.54

Present value of ₹ 1 received at the end of year 1-5 inclusive = ₹ 4.32

Compute the amounts that will be included in the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 in respect of the decision to close the division of Johansen Ltd. (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 15

As per Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets', closure of a division is a restructuring exercise. Ind AS 37 states that a constructive obligation to proceed with the restructuring arises when at the reporting date the entity has:

- Commenced activities connected with the restructuring; or
- Made a public announcement of the main features of the restructuring to those affected by it. In this case a public announcement has been made and so a provision will be necessary at 31st March, 20X2.

# This will result in the following charges to the Statement of Profit and Loss:

- (i) Estimate of redundancy costs of ₹ 1.9 million is the best estimate of the expenditure at the date the financial statements are authorized for issue. Changes in estimates after the reporting date are taken into account for this purpose as an adjusting event after the reporting date. No charge is necessary for the retraining costs as these are not incurred in 20X1-20X2 and cannot form part of a restructuring provision as they are related to the ongoing activities of the entity.
- (ii) Impairment of plant and equipment of ₹ 6.5 million is although not strictly part of the restructuring provision the decision to restructure before the year-end means that related assets need to be reviewed for impairment. In this case the recoverable amount of the plant and equipment is only ₹ 1.5 million. As per Ind AS 36 Impairment of Assets', property, plant and equipment should be written down to this amount, resulting in a charge of ₹ 6.5 million to the income statement.
- (iii)For compensation for breach of contract of ₹ 0.55 million, same principle applies here as applied to the redundancy costs.
- (iv)No charge is recognized in 20X1-20X2 with respect to future operating losses of 20X2-20X3. Future operating losses relate to future events and provisions are made only for the consequences of past events.
- (v) Ind AS 37 states that an onerous contract is one for which the expected cost of fulfilling the contract exceeds the benefits expected from the contract. Provision is made for the lower of the expected net cost of fulfilling the contract and the cost of early termination (not available in this case).

The net cost of fulfilling the contract is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 4.51$  million [ $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 1.5$  million x  $4.32 - \stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 0.3$  million x  $0.95 - \stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 0.5$  million x (4.32 - 0.95)].

#### Section - B

#### **Question 1**

An entity has 100 employees, who are each entitled to five working days of paid sick leaves for each year. Unused sick leave may be carried forward for one calendar year. Sick leave is taken first out of the current year's entitlement and then out of any balance brought forward from the previous year (LIFO basis) At 31st March, 20X1, the average unused entitlement is two days per employee. The entity expects, on the basis of experience that is expected to continue, that 92 employees will take no more than five days of paid sick leaves in 20X1-20X2 and that the remaining eight employees will take an average of six and a half days each. The entity expects that it will pay an additional twelve days of sick pay as a result of the unused entitlement that has accumulated at 31st March, 20X1 (one and a half days each, for eight employees). Would the entity require to recognize any liability in respect of leaves?

#### Answer 1

At 31st March, 20X1, the average unused entitlement is two days per employee. The entity expects, on the basis of experience that is expected to continue, that 92

711

employees will take no more than five days of paid sick leaves in 20X1-20X2 and that the remaining eight employees will take an average of six and a half days each.

The entity expects that it will pay an additional twelve days of sick pay as a result of the unused entitlement that has accumulated at 31st March, 20X1 (one and a half days each, for eight employees).

Therefore, the entity would recognize a liability equal to twelve days of sick pay.

#### **Question 2**

A plan provides a monthly pension of 0.3% of final salary for each year of service. The pension is payable from the age of 65. What is the current service cost?

Benefit equal to the present value, at the expected retirement date, of a monthly pension of 0.3% of the estimated final salary payable from the expected retirement date until the expected date of death is attributed to each year of service. The current service cost is the present value of that benefit.

The present value of the defined benefit obligation is the present value of monthly pension payments of 0.3% of final salary, multiplied by the number of years of service up to the end of the reporting period. The current service cost and the present value of the defined benefit obligation are discounted because pension payments begin at the age of 65.

#### **Question 3**

A plan pays a benefit of  $\mathbf{\xi}$  140 for each year of service, excluding service before the age of 25. The benefits vest immediately. Compute the benefit to be attributed before the age of 25 and after 25?

#### Answer 3

No benefit is attributed to service before the age of 25 because service before that date does not lead to benefits (conditional or unconditional). A benefit of ₹ 140 is attributed to each subsequent year.

#### **Question 4**

B Pvt. Ltd. has a post-employment medical plan which will reimburse 20% of an employee's post-employment medical costs if the employee leaves after more than ten and less than twenty years of service and 50% of those costs if the employee leaves after twenty or more years of service. How would you measure the benefit to be attributed for the employee service for the last 20 years, 10 and 20 years and within 10 years?

#### Answer 4

As per Ind AS 19, the benefit will be attributed till the period the employee service will lead to no material amount of benefits. And service in later years will lead to a materially higher level of benefit than in earlier years. Therefore, for employees expected to leave after twenty or more years, the entity would attribute benefit on a straight-line basis. Service beyond twenty years will lead to no material amount of further benefits. Therefore, the benefit attributed to each of the first twenty years is 2.5% (i.e. 50%) divided by 20) of the present value of the expected medical costs.

For employees expected to leave between ten and twenty years, the benefit attributed to each of the first ten years is 2% (20 % divided by 10) of the present value of the expected medical costs. For these employees, no benefit is attributed to service between the end of the tenth year and the estimated date of leaving.

For employees expected to leave within ten years, no benefit is attributed.

# Question 5

Cisca Pvt. Ltd. has a headcount of around 1,000 employees in the organisation in 20X0- 20X1. As per the company's policy, the employees are given 35 days of privilege leaves (PL), 15 days of sick leaves (SL) and 10 days of casual leaves. Out of the total PL and sick leaves, 10 PL leaves and 5 sick leaves can be carried forward to next year. On the basis of past trends, it has been noted that 200 employees will take 5 days of PL and 2 days of SL and 800 employees will avail 10 days of PL and 5 days of SL.

Also the company has been incurring profits since 20XX. It has decided in 20X0-20X1 to distribute profits to its employees @ 4% during the year.

However, due to the employee turnover in the organisation, the expected pay-out of the Cisca Pvt. Ltd. is expected to be around 3.5%. The profits earned during 20X0-20X1 is ₹ 2,000 crores.

Cisca Pvt. Ltd. has a post-employment benefit plan also available which is in the nature of defined contribution plan where contribution to the fund amounts to ₹ 100 crores which will fall due within 12 months from the end of accounting period. The company has paid ₹ 20 crores to its employees in 20X0-20X1.

What would be the treatment of the short-term compensating absences, profitsharing plan and the defined contribution plan in the books of Cisca Pvt. Ltd?

#### Answer 5

- Cisca Pvt. Ltd. will recognise a liability in its books to the extent of 5 days of PL for 200 employees and 10 days of PL for remaining 800 employees and 2 days of SL for 200 employees and 5 days of SL for remaining 800 employees in its books as an unused entitlement that has accumulated in 20X0-20X1 as short-term compensated absences.
- (ii) Cisca Pvt. Ltd. will recognise ₹ 70 crores (2,000 x 3.5%) as a liability and expense in its books of account.
- (iii) When an employee has rendered service to an entity during a period, the entity shall recognise the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service.

Under Ind AS 19, the amount of ₹ 80 crores will be recognised as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any contribution already paid (100-20) and an expense in the statement of profit and loss. However, if the contribution already paid would have exceeded the contribution due for service before the end of the reporting period, an entity shall recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense).

It can also be seen that the contributions are payable within 12 months from the end of the year in which the employees render the related service, they will not be discounted. However, where contributions to a defined contribution plan do not fall due wholly within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service, they shall be discounted using the discount rate.

#### Question 6

OPQ Ltd is a listed company having its corporate office at Nagpur. The company has a branch office at Chennai. The company has been operating in Indian market for the last 10 years.

The company operates a pension plan that provides a pension of 2.5% of the final salary for each year of service. The benefits become vested after seven years of service.

On 1st April, 20X8, the company increased the pension to 3% of the final salary for each year of service starting from 1st April, 20X1. On the date of the M improvement, the present value of the additional benefits for service from 1st April, 20X1 to 1 April 20X8 was as follows:

• Employees with more than seven years' service on 1 January 20X8 - ₹

2,75,000

• Employees with less than 7 years of service – ₹ 2,21,000 (average 4 years to go).

What would be the accounting treatment in this case?

#### Answer 6

OPQ Ltd increased the pension to 3% of the final salary for each year of service starting from 1st April, 20X1 to 1st April, 20X8. The company would recognize the total amount of \$4,96,000 (i.e. \$2,75,000 + \$2,21,000) immediately, as for the purpose of recognition it does not make any difference as to whether the benefits are already vested or not.

#### Question 7

SA Pvt Ltd is engaged in the business of retail having 100 retail outlets across Northern and Southern India. The company's head office is located at Chennai.

SA Pvt Ltd is a subsidiary of SAG Ltd. SAG Ltd is listed on the National Stock Exchange in India. Following information is available for SA Pvt Ltd:

#### **Plan Assets**

At 1st April, 20X1, the fair value of plan assets was ₹ 10,000.

Contribution to the plan assets done on 31st March, 20X2 - ₹ 3,000 Amount paid on 31st March, 20X2 - ₹ 300

At 31st March, 20X2, the fair value of plan assets was  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  14,700 Actual return on plan assets –  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,000

**Defined Benefit Obligation** 

At 1st April, 20X1, present value of the defined benefit obligation was ₹ 12,000.

At 31st March, 20X2, present value of the defined benefit obligation was  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  15,500. Actuarial losses on the obligation for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 were  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  100. Current Service Cost –  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,500 Benefit paid –  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  300

Discount rate used to calculate defined benefit liability - 10%.

As per Ind AS 19, please suggest if there is any amount based on the abovementioned information that would be taken to other comprehensive income (with workings). Also compute net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset).

#### Answer 7

As per Ind AS 19, net remeasurement of ₹ 900 would be recognized in other comprehensive income.

#### Computation of Net remeasurement

- = Remeasurement Actuarial loss
- = ₹ 1000 (Refer WN 1) ₹ 100 (Given in the question)= ₹ 900.

#### Computation of net interest expense

Particulars	Amount in
Defined benefit liability as at 1 April 20X1(A)(Given in the question)	12,000
Fair value of plan asset as at 1 April 20X1 (B) (Given in the question)	(10,000)
Net defined benefit liability (A - B)	2,000
Net interest expense (as it is net liability) (Refer note given below)	200

#### Note:

Net interest expense would be computed on net defined benefit liability using discount rate of 10% given in the question-

714

- = Net defined benefit liability x Discount rate
- $= 2.000 \times 10\%$
- = ₹ 200.

#### **Working Note:**

#### Computation of amount of remeasurement

Particulars	Amount in
Actual return on plan asset for the year ended 31 March 20X2 <b>(C) (Given in the question)</b>	2,000
Less: Interest income on ₹ 10,000 held for 12 months at 10% (D)	(1,000)
Remeasurement (E = C - D)	1,000

#### Question 8

A Ltd. prepares its financial statements to 31st March each year. It operates a defined benefit retirement benefits plan on behalf of current and former employees. A Ltd. receives advice from actuaries regarding contribution levels and overall liabilities of the plan to pay benefits. On 1st April, 20X1, the actuaries advised that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was ₹ 6,00,00,000. On the same date, the fair value of the assets of the defined benefit plan was ₹ 5,20,00,000. On 1st April, 20X1, the annual market yield on government bonds was 5%. During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, A Ltd. made contributions of ₹ 70,00,000 into the plan and the plan paid out benefits of ₹ 42,00,000 to retired members. Both these payments were made on 31st March, 20X2. The actuaries advised that the current service cost for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 was ₹ 62,00,000. On 28th February, 20X2, the rules of the plan were amended with retrospective effect. These amendments meant that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was increased by ₹ 15,00,000 from that date.

During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, A Ltd. was in negotiation with employee representatives regarding planned redundancies. The negotiations were completed shortly before the year end and redundancy packages were agreed. The impact of these redundancies was to reduce the present value of the defined benefit obligation by  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  80,00,000. Before 31st March, 20X2, A Ltd. made payments of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}}$  75,00,000 to the employees affected by the redundancies in compensation for the curtailment of their benefits. These payments were made out of the assets of the retirement benefits plan.

# Answer 8

All figures are ₹ in '000.

On 31st March, 20X2, A Ltd. will report a net pension liability in the statement of financial position. The amount of the liability will be 12,000 (68,000 – 56,000).

For the year ended 31st March, 20X2, A Ltd. will report the current service cost as an operating cost in the statement of profit or loss. The amount reported will be 6,200. The same treatment applies to the past service cost of 1,500.

For the year ended 31st March, 20X2, A Ltd. will report a finance cost in profit or loss based on the net pension liability at the start of the year of 8,000 (60,000 - 52,000). The amount of the finance cost will be  $400 (8,000 \times 5\%)$ .

The redundancy programme represents the partial settlement of the curtailment of a defined benefit obligation. The gain on settlement of 500 (8,000 - 7,500) will be reported in the statement of profit or loss.

Other movements in the net pension liability will be reported as remeasurement gains or losses in other comprehensive income.

For the year ended 31st March, 20X2, the remeasurement loss will be 3,400 (Refer W. N.).

# Working Note:

#### Remeasurement of gain or loss

	₹ in
	'000
Liability at the start of the year (60,000 – 52,000)	8,000
Current service cost	6,200
Past service cost	1,500
Net finance cost	400
Gain on settlement	(500)
Contributions to plan	(7,000)
Remeasurement loss (balancing figure)	3,400
Liability at the end of the year (68,000 – 56,000)	12,000

#### **Ouestion 9**

On 1 April 20X1, the fair value of the assets of XYZ Ltd's defined benefit plan were valued at ₹ 20,40,000 and the present value of the defined obligation was ₹ 21,25,000. On 31st March,20X2 the plan received contributions from XYZ Ltd amounting to ₹ 4,25,000 and paid out benefits of ₹ 2,55,000. The current service cost for the financial year ending 31 March 20X2 is ₹ 5,10,000. An interest rate of 5% is to be applied to the plan assets and obligations. The fair value of the plan's assets at 31 March 20X2 was ₹ 23,80,000, and the present value of the defined benefit obligation was ₹ 27,20,000. Provide a reconciliation from the opening balance to the closing balance for Plan assets and Defined benefit obligation. Also show how much amount should be recognised in the statement of profit and loss, other comprehensive income and balance sheet?

#### Answer 9

#### Reconciliation of Plan assets and Defined benefit obligation

	Plan Assets ₹	Defined benefit obligati on ₹
Fair value/present value as at 1st April 20X1	20,40,00	21,25,00 0
Interest @ 5%	1,02,000	1,06,250
Current service cost		5,10,000
Contributions received	4,25,000	-

Benefits paid	(2,55,00	(2,55,00
	0)	0)
Return on gain (assets) (balancing figure)	68,000	-
Actuarial Loss (balancing figure)	-	2,33,750
Closing balance as at March 31,20X2	23,80,00	27,20,00
	0	0

# In the Statement of Profit and loss, the following will be recognised:

Current service cost

5,10,000

Net interest on net defined liability (₹ 1,06,250 – ₹ 1,02,000) 4,250

# Defined benefit re-measurements recognised in Other Comprehensive Income:

Loss on defined benefit obligation Gain on plan assets

(2,33,750) 68,000

(1,65,750)

In the Balance sheet, the following will be recognised:

₹

Net defined liability (₹ 27,20,000 – ₹ 23,80,000)

3,40,000

# Question 10 (Illustration)

Mr. Rajan is working for Infotech Ltd. Consider the following particulars:

Annual salary of Mr. Rajan = ₹ 30,00,000

Total working days in 20X0-X1 = 300 days

Leaves allowed in 20X0-X1 as per company policy = 10 days

Leaves utilized by Mr. Rajan in 20X0-X1 = 8 days

The unutilized leaves are settled by way of payment and accordingly, carry forward of such leaves to the subsequent period is not allowed.

Compute the total employee benefit expense for Infotech Ltd. in respect of 20X0-X1.

#### Answer 10

Mr Rajan is entitled to a salary of ₹ 30,00,000 for 300 total working days.

Thus, per day salary works out to ₹ 30,00,000 ÷ 300 days = Rs. 10,000 per day In the year 20X0-20X1, Mr. Rajan availed 8 out of 10 leaves allowed by the company.

Accordingly, leaves unutilized = 10 - 8 = 2 days

In line with the company policy, Infotech Ltd. will pay Mr. Rajan for the unutilized leave. Thus, total expense for 20X0-20X1 = 30,00,000 + (2 days unutilized leaves x 10,000 per day) = 30,20,000.

#### Question 11 (Illustration)

#### Mr. Niranjan is working for Infotech Ltd. Consider the following particulars:

	Year 20X0-	Year 20X1-
	20X1	20X2
Annual salary	₹ 30,00,000	₹ 30,00,000
No. of working days during the year	300 days	300 days
Leave allowed	10 days	10 days
Leave taken	7 days	13 days

Leave unutilized carried forward to	3 days	NIL
next year		

Based on past experience, Infotech Ltd. assumes that Mr. Niranjan will avail the unutilized leaves of 3 days of 20X0-20X1 in 20X1-20X2.

Infotech Ltd. contends that it will record ₹ 30,00,000 as employee benefits expense in each of the years 20X0-20X1 and 20X1-20X2, stating that the leaves will, in any case, be utilized by 20X1-20X2.

Comment on the accounting treatment proposed to be followed by Infotech Ltd. Also pass journal entries for both the years.

#### Answer 11

Particulars	Year 20X0- 20X1	Year 20X1- 20X2
Annual Salary	₹ 30,00,000	₹ 30,00,000
No. of working days (A)	300 days	300 days
Leaves Allowed	10 days	10 days
Leaves Taken (B)	7 days	13 days
Therefore, No. of days worked (A – B)	293 days	287 days
Expense proposed to be recognized by Infotech Ltd.	₹ 30,00,000	₹ 30,00,000

Based on the evaluation above, Mr. Niranjan has worked for 6 days more (293 days - 287 days) in 20X0-X1 as compared to 20X1-20X2.

Since he has worked more in 20X0-20X1 as compared to 20X1-20X2, the accrual concept requires that the expenditure to be recognized in 20X0-20X1 should be more as compared to 20X1-20X2.

Thus, if Infotech Ltd. recognizes the same expenditure of ₹ 30,00,000 for each year, it would be in violation of the accrual concept.

The expenditure to be recognized will be as under:

Particulars	Year 20X0-	Year 20X1-
	20X1	20X2
Annual salary (A)	₹ 30,00,000	₹ 30,00,000
No. of working days (B)	300 days	300 days
Salary cost per day (A ÷ B)	₹ 10,000 per	₹ 10,000 per day
	day	
No. of days worked (from above)	293 days	287 days
Expense to be recognized:		
<b>In 20X0-20X1:</b> ₹ 30,00,000 + [₹ 10,000 per		
day x		
3 days (leaves unutilized expected to be	₹ 30,30,000	
utilized subsequently)]	X 30,30,000	
<b>In 20X1-20X2:</b> ₹ 30,00,000 – [₹ 10,000 per		
day –		₹ 29,70,000
3 days (excess leave utilized in 20X1-20X2)]		, , , , , , ,

Journal Entry for 20X0-20X1

Employee Benefits Expense Account 30,30,000 Dr.

To Bank Account 30,00,000 30,000

To Provision for Leave Encashment

# Journal Entry for 20X1-20X2

Employee Benefits Expense Account Dr. 29,70,000 Provision for Leave Encashment Account Dr. 30,000 To Bank Account 30,00,000

#### Question 12(Illustration)

Assume same information as in Illustration 2.

Based on past experience, Infotech Ltd. assumes that Mr. Niranjan will avail the unutilized leaves of 2 days of 20X0-20X1 subsequently.

However, in 20X1-20X2, Mr. Niranjan availed in actual all 3 days of brought forward leave.

Compute the expense to be recognised in 20X0-20X1 and 20X1-20X2. Also pass journal entries for both the years.

# Answer 12 The expenditure to be recognized will be as under:

Particulars	Year 20X0- 20X1	Year 20X1-20X2
Annual salary (A)	₹ 30,00,000	₹ 30,00,000
No. of working days (B)	300 days	300 days
Salary cost per day (A ÷ B)	₹ 10,000 per	₹ 10,000 per day
	day	
No. of days worked (from above)	293 days	287 days
Expense to be recognised: In 20X0-20X1: ₹ 30,00,000 + [₹ 10,000 per day x 2 days (leaves unutilized expected to be utilized subsequently)]	₹ 30,20,000	
In 20X1-20X2: ₹ 30,00,000 - [₹ 10,000 per day x 3 days (excess leave utilized in 20X1- 20X2)] + ₹ 10,000 (additional expense due to change in accounting estimate)		₹ 29,80,000

The additional ₹ 10,000 booked as an expense in 20X1-20X2 represents a change in accounting estimate (i.e. as against the entity's estimation that 2 days of unutilized leave would be utilized subsequently, actually 3 days were utilized subsequently), for which a prospective effect needs to be given, in line with Para 36 of Ind AS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.

# Journal Entry for 20X0-20X1

Employee Benefits Expense Account Dr. 30,20,000

To Bank Account 30,00,000
To Provision for Leave Encashment 20,000

#### Journal Entry for 20X1-20X2

Employee Benefits Expense Account Dr. 29,80,000 Provision for Leave Encashment Account Dr. 20,000

To Bank Account 30,00,000

#### Question 13 (Illustration)

Sunderam Pvt. Ltd. has a headcount of 100 employees in 20X0-20X1. As per the employee policy, the employees are entitled to:

- 30 casual leaves out of which 10 casual leaves may be carried forward to the next year; and
- 10 sick leaves out of which 2 sick leaves may be carried forward as paid leave. At 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1, the average unused entitlement is 5 days per employee for casual leaves and 1 day per employee for sick leave. On an average, it is found that the number of such employees who would be claiming casual leaves would be 30 and 10 employees who would claim sick leaves. Compute the liability to be recognised in respect of sick leaves and casual leaves by the entity at the end of the financial year 20X0-20X1.

#### Answer 13

Type of leave (A	Leave Entitlement (B)	Leaves c/f permissible (C)	Average leaves Unutilized (D)	No. of Employees (E)	Liability (I = D x E)
Casual	30 days	10 days	5 days	30	150 days
Leave					salary
Sick	10 days	2 days	1 days	10	10 days
Leave			-		salary

The entity will recognise liability in the books equal to 150 (30 x 5) days of paid casual leaves and 10 (10 x 1) days of paid sick leaves.

#### Question 14 (Illustration)

An entity has 100 employees, who are each entitled to ten working days of paid sick leave for each year. Unused sick leave may be carried forward for one financial year. Sick leave is taken first out of the current year's entitlement and then out of any balance brought forward from the previous year (a LIFO basis).

At 31 March 20X1, the average unused entitlement is two days per employee. Based on past experience, the management expects that only 20% of the employees will use 1 day from their carried forward leave. Salary per day is ₹ 2,500.

Compute the expenses in respect of the short-term compensated absences, if they are assumed to be (a) vested short-term compensated absences, and (b) non-vested short-term compensated absences.

#### Answer 14

Vested short-term compensated absences:

Employee Benefit Expense = 100 Employees x 2 Days x ₹ 2,500 = ₹ 5,00,000

Non-vested short-term compensated absences:

Employee Benefit Expense = 100 Employees x 20% x 1 Days x ₹ 2,500 = ₹ 50,000

#### Question 15 (Illustration)

Acer Ltd. has 350 employees (same as a year ago). The average staff attrition rates observed during past 10 years represents 6% per annum. Acer Ltd. provides the following benefits to all its employees:

Paid vacation - 10 days per year regardless of date of hiring. Compensation for paid vacation is 100% of employee's salary and unused vacation can be carried forward for 1 year. As of 31st March, 20X1, unused vacation carried forward was 3 days per employee, average salary was ₹ 15,000 per day and accrued expense for unused vacation in 20X0-20X1 was ₹ 65,00,000. During 20X1-20X2, employees took 9 days of vacation in average. Salary increase in 20X1-20X2 was 10%.

How would Acer Ltd. recognize liabilities and expenses for these benefits as of 31st March, 20X2?. Pass the journal entry to show the accounting treatment.

### Answer 15

# Paid Vacation:

Step 1: Calculation of Unused Vacation in man-days as on 31st March, 20X2:

A. No. of Employees in service for the whole year (94%):

Particulars	Man-days
Unused vacation as on 31st March, 20X1	3 days per
	employee
Entitlement to vacation for 20X1-20X2	10 days per
	employee
Average vacation availed in 20X1-20X2	(9) days per
	employee
Unused vacation as on 31st March, 20X2	4 days per
(being unused leaves of 20X1-20X2 on FIFO	employee
basis)	
Total Unused vacation as on 31st March, 20X2	1,316 man-
- (A)	days
(350 employees x 94% x 4 days per employee)	-

# B. Newcomers (6%):

Particulars	Man-days
Entitlement to vacation for 20X1-20X2	10 days per
	employee
Average vacation availed in 20X1-20X2	(9) days per
	employee
Unused vacation as on 31st March, 20X2	1 day per
(being unused leaves of 20X1-20X2 on FIFO	employee
basis)	
Total Unused vacation as on 31st March, 20X2	21 man-days
- (B)	
(350 employees x 6% x 1 day per employee)	
Total unused vacation as on 31st March, 20X2 (A	1,337 man-days
+ B)	

# Step 2: Calculation of average salary per day:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Average salary per day as on 31st March, 20X1	15,000
Salary increase in 20X1-20X2	10%
Average salary per day as on 31st March, 20X2	16,500

# Step 3: Calculation of provision for unused paid vacation:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Calculation of provision for unused paid vacation 20X1-20X2: (1,337 man-days x ₹ 16,500)	2,20,60,500
Provision for unused paid vacation 20X0-20X1	65,00,000

# Step 4: Accounting treatment Provision for 20X1-20X2

Employee Benefits Expenses A/c Dr. 2,20,60,500

,age 721

To Provision for Leave Encashment

2,20,60,500

65,00,000

**Settlement of Liability of 20X0-20X1** 

Provision for Leave Encashment A/c Dr. 65,00,000

To Cash / Bank

# Question 16 (Illustration)

Laxmi Mills is a profit-making entity and has reported profit of  $\ref{thmodel}$  200 crore in the financial year 20X1-20X2. According to its profit-sharing plan, it distributes and pays 5% as its portion of profit to its employees if they complete 1 year with the organisation.

Under this plan, an entity is under an obligation to pay if the employees complete a specified period with the organisation. Laxmi Mills has estimated that due to staff turnover in the organisation, the estimated pay-out would be around 4.5%. Compute the liability and expense of the company under this plan.

### Answer 16

The company shall recognize a liability and an expense of an amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  9 crores for the financial year 20X1-20X2 (i.e. 4.5% of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  200 crores).

# Question 17 (Illustration)

Acer Ltd. has 350 employees (same as a year ago). The average staff attrition rates as observed during past 10 years represents 6% per annum. Acer provides the following benefits to all its employees:

Annual bonus - during past 10 years.

Acer paid bonus to all employees who were in service during the entire financial year. Bonus was paid in June following the financial year-end. Amount of bonus for 20X1-20X2 paid in June 20X2 represented ₹ 1,25,000 per employee. Acer Ltd. used to increase amount of bonus based on official inflation rate which is 8.5% for 20X2-20X3, although there was no legal obligation to increase the bonus by such inflation rate.

How would Acer Ltd. recognize liabilities and expenses for these employee benefits as on 31st March, 20X3? Pass the journal entry to show the accounting treatment.

### Answer 17

51 1 <i>1</i>	
Particulars	Amount (₹)
Bonus paid for 20X1-20X2	1,25,000 per
	employee
Bonus for 20X2-20X3 - increased by inflation of 8.5%: [1,25,000 x (100% + 8.5%)]	1,35,625 per employee
No. of employees in staff during the whole year $[350 \times (100-6\%)]$	329 employees
Provision for Bonus for 20X2-20X3	4,46,20,625

# **Accounting Treatment:**

Provision for Bonus for 20X2-20X3

Employee Benefits Expenses A/c Dr. 4,46,20,625

To Provision for Bonus 20X2-20X3

4,46,20,625

### Note:

It is given that the company is under no legal obligation to increase the bonus by the official inflation rate. However, the company has been increasing the bonus by the inflation rate over the past years. This has given rise to a constructive obligation for Acer Ltd. Informal practices, such as these, give rise to a constructive obligation where the entity has no realistic alternative but to pay employee benefits. Accordingly, provision is made for the amount considering the inflation rate.

# Question 18 (Illustration)

A company pays each employee a lump-sum one-time benefit upon retirement. This benefit is computed based on the employee's years in service in the company and the final salary prior to retirement. To cover its liabilities from this remuneration, the company contributes 3% of annual gross salaries to the fund. Would this obligation represent a defined contribution plan or a defined benefit plan and why?

### Answer 18

Defined benefit plan.

Reason: Although the Company pays contributions to the fund to cover its liabilities, amount of remuneration is determined in advance and Company will have to carry the risk in case the fund's assets are not sufficient to cover remuneration in full.

# Question 19 (Illustration)

In accordance with applicable legislation, company contributes 12% and employees 12% of annual gross salaries to the provident and pension fund. Upon retirement, the employees will get the accumulated balance that is calculated based on employee's years of service and his average salary for past 15 years before retirement. The pension will be paid out of the state fund assets and the company has no further obligation except to make contributions. Would this obligation represent a defined contribution plan or a defined benefit plan?

# Answer 19

# Defined contribution plan.

Reason: Although employee's pension is determined in advance by the formula (and thus employees neither carry actuarial nor investment risks), Company's liability is limited to contributions to the fund. In this case, as pension will be paid out of the state fund, it is a state fund which carries all the risks.

# Question 20 (Illustration)

Acer Ltd. provides lump-sum remuneration upon retirement to its employees. Remuneration is paid out of the fund to which Acer Ltd. contributes 12% of annual gross salaries. Contributions are made twice a year ie in November of the related financial year and in June after the financial year- end. Total annual gross salaries for 20X0-X1 amounted to ₹ 50 crores. Contribution made by Acer Ltd. in November 20X0 was ₹ 2.8 crores. Remuneration depends on the number of employee's service and amount of cash in the fund at retirement date (Acer Ltd. has no further obligations except for contributions).

How should this transaction appear in the financial statements of Acer Ltd. as of 31 March 20X1?

## Answer 20

1. Calculation of accrual for contributions in 20X0-20X1:

5773

Annual gross salaries in 20X0-20X1:	₹	50.00 crores
Amount of total contributions for 20X0-20X1	₹	6.00 crores
(12%):		
Contributions already made in November 20X0:	₹	2.80 crores
Accrual (₹ 6 crores - ₹ 2.8 crores)	₹	3.20 crores

# 2. Accounting Treatment:

Employee Benefits Expenses Account

Dr. 6.00 crores

To Bank Account

2.80 crores

To Contribution Payable

3.20 crores

The contribution of ₹ 6 crores will be debited to the statement profit and loss. The contribution payable of ₹ 3.20 crores will appear as a liability as at 31st March, 20X1.

# Question 21 (Illustration)

Dinkar Ltd., a large IT company, accounts for gratuity on payment basis, and supports such accounting policy by making the following disclosure in the Financial Statements:

"Due to high labour turnover, a large degree of uncertainty is involved in estimating the liability of gratuity. Accordingly, the management opines that as the estimates of the uncertainty would confuse the readers by complicating the financial statements, such liability would be recorded on payment basis."

The management opines that by making the above disclosures, the company is complying with the requirements of all the Ind AS, as a disclosure to the effect of the above is given. The management is also willing to specifically highlight the above aspect by making it conspicuous in the financial statements.

Is the contention of management correct as per the provisions of Ind AS?

### Answer 21

Gratuity represents a payment being made to an employee upon retirement / resignation from the organization. The amount is determined in accordance with the provisions of the Gratuity Act, 1972, which applies to Dinkar Ltd. Since the amount is determined pursuant to a formula laid down under the statue, the gratuity payable represents a Defined Benefit Plan that is to be paid to the employees, with the actuarial risk and investment risk both belonging to the employer. Thus, Dinkar Ltd. must comply with Ind AS 19 and provide for the gratuity on an annual basis.

In estimating the liability for gratuity, there would be several assumptions involved such as mortality rate, staff attrition rate, salary at the time of retirement / resignation, discount rate etc., all of which have to be considered by Dinkar Ltd. The complexity involved in this exercise does not provide Dinkar Ltd. with an excuse to avoid accrual accounting.

Dinkar Ltd. has stated that it would be willing to make a disclosure to the effect of the departure from Ind AS 10 requirements. In terms of Para 19 of Ind AS 1, departure is permitted in extremely rare circumstances wherein the management concludes that compliance with an Ind AS requirement would be so misleading that it would conflict with the objective of the Financial Statements set out in the Framework.

In the given case, compliance with Ind AS would not be a conflict, as the compliance with Ind AS 19 would ensure that the accrual assumption laid down in the Framework is complied with. Further, a disclosure cannot be a remedy for non-compliance. Therefore, the company have to state that the Ind AS have not been complied with by the company in the preparation and presentation of its Financial Statements.

Hence, the company will have to suitably modify the financial statements considering the materiality and pervasiveness of the non-compliance.

# Question 22 (Illustration)

# How will the following information be presented in the Balance Sheet of Udyog Ltd.?

Particulars	₹ in lakhs
PV of Defined Benefit Obligations	3,500
Fair Value of Plan Assets	3,332

# **Answer 22**

Particulars	₹ in lakhs
PV of Defined Benefit Obligations	3,500
Less: Fair Value of Plan Assets	(3,332)
Deficit, to be treated as Net Defined Benefit Liability under	
Non-current	
Liabilities as Provisions in the Balance Sheet	
	168

# Question 23 (Illustration)

How will the following information be presented in the Balance Sheet of Udyog Ltd.?

Particulars	₹ in lakhs
PV of Defined Benefit Obligations	2,750
Fair Value of Plan Assets	2,975
Asset Ceiling	175

### **Answer 23**

Particulars	₹ in lakhs
PV of Defined Benefit Obligations	2,750
Less: Fair Value of Plan Assets	(2,975)
Surplus, to be treated as Net Defined Benefit Asset,	225
Asset Ceiling as per Ind AS 19	175
Least of above is Surplus to be treated as Net Defined Benefit Asset under Non-current Assets in the Balance Sheet	175

# Question 24 (Illustration)

AJ Ltd is engaged in the business of trading of chemicals having a net worth of ₹ 150 crores. The company's profitability is good and hence the company has introduced various benefits for its employees to keep them motivated and to ensure that they stay with the organization. The company is an associate of RJ Ltd which is listed on Bombay Stock Exchange in India.

The company initially did not have any HR function but over the last 2 years, the management set up that function and now HR department takes care of all the benefits related to the employees and how they can be structured in a manner beneficial to both the employees and the objectives of the company.

One of the employee benefits involves a lump sum payment to employee on L termination of service and that is equal to 1 per cent of final salary for each year of service. Consider the salary in year 1 is ₹ 10,000 and is assumed to increase at 7 per cent (compound) each year.

Taking a discount rate at 10 per cent per year, you are required to show

- (a) benefits attributed (year on year) and
- (b) the obligation in respect of this benefit (year on year)

For and employee who is expected to leave at the end of year 5 Following assumptions may be taken to solve this:

- There are no changes in actuarial assumptions.
- No additional adjustments are needed to reflect the probability that the employee may leave the entity at an earlier or later date.

### Answer 24

a. Computation of benefit attributed to prior years and current year: Amount in  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 

Year		:	;	•	
Benefit attributed to:					
- Prior years	-	131	262	393	524
- Current year (Refer W.N.1)	131	131	131	131	131
Total (i.e. current and prior years)	131	262	393	524	655

b. Computation of the obligation for an employee who is expected to leave at the end of year 5 (taking discount rate of 10% p.a.)

Amount in ₹

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Opening obligation (A)	-	89	196	324	475
Interest at 10% (B = A X 10%)	-	9	20	32	47
Current service cost (C) (Refer WN 2)	89	98	108	119	131
Closing obligation D = (A+B+C)	89	196	324	475	653

Figures have been rounded off in the above table.

### **Working Notes:**

1. A lump sum benefit is payable on termination of service and equal to 1 per cent of final salary for each year of service. The salary in year 1 is ₹ 10,000 and is assumed to increase at 7 per cent (compound) each year.

The year on year salary would be as follows:

Amount in ₹

Year		:	;	•	
Salary	10,000	10,700	11,449	12,250	13,108
		(10,000 x)	(10,700 x)	(11,449 x	(12,250 x
		107%)	107%)	107%)	107%)

Accordingly, for the purpose of above-mentioned employee benefit, 1% of final salary to be considered for each year of service would be ₹ 131.

2. Computation of current service cost: Amount in ₹

Year			į	•	
1% salary at the end of year 5					131
PV factor at the end of each year to	0.683	0.751	0.826	0.909	1.000
be considered at 10% p.a. (E)					
PV at the end of each year	89	98	108	119	131
	(131 x	(131 x	(131 x	(131 x	(131 x)
		•	•	•	•

Accordingly, for the purpose of above-mentioned employee benefit, 1% of final salary to be considered for each year of service would be ₹ 131.

 $^{\mathrm{age}}726$ 

# **Question 25 (Illustration)**

A plan pays a benefit of ₹ 150 for each year of service. The benefits vest after ten years of service. Compute the benefit to be attributed each year?

# Answer 25

- 1. A benefit of ₹ 150 is attributed to each year.
- 2. In each of the first ten years, the current service cost and the present value of the obligation reflect the probability that the employee may not complete ten years of service. This is because the benefits vest at a future date (i.e. after ten years of service).

# Question 26 (Illustration)

A plan pays a benefit of  $\mathbf{\xi}$  150 for each year of service, excluding service before the age of 25. The benefits vest immediately. Compute the benefit to be attributed each vear?

### Answer 26

- 1. No benefit is attributed to the service before the age of 25 because service before that date does not lead to benefits (conditional or unconditional).
- 2. A benefit of ₹ 150 is attributed to each subsequent year. There is no requirement to reflect any probability of completion as the benefits vest immediately.

# Question 27 (Illustration)

Amra Pvt. Ltd. has a plan for its employees where it has decided to pay a lump-sum benefit of ₹ 2,000 that will vest after ten years of service. However, that plan will provide no further benefit for subsequent service.

Compute the benefit attributed for 10 years of service and for the period of service after 10 years?

# Answer 27

- 1. In this case, as per the company's plan, a benefit of ₹ 200 (₹ 2,000 ÷ 10 years) is attributed to each of the first 10 years.
- 2. The current service cost in each of the first ten years reflects the probability that the employee may not complete ten years of service. This is because the benefits vest at a future date (i.e. after ten years of service).

No benefit is attributed to subsequent years.

# Question 28 (Illustration)

Sanat Pvt. Ltd. has a plan for the employees where employees are entitled to a benefit of 5% of final salary for each year of service before the age of 55. Compute the benefit attributed up to 55 years and after 55?

# **Answer 28**

Benefit of 5% of estimated final salary is attributed to each year up to the age of 55. This is the date when further service by the employee will lead to no material amount of further benefits under the plan. No benefit is attributed to service after that age.

# Question 29 (Illustration)

A post-employment medical plan reimburses 40 percent of an employee's postemployment medical costs if the employee leaves after more than ten and less than twenty years of service and 50 per cent of those costs if the employee leaves

after twenty or more years of service. How will the benefit be attributed to the years of service?

### Answer 29

- 1. Under the Plan's Benefit Formula, the entity should attribute 4% of the present value of the expected medical costs ( $40\% \div 10$  years) to each of the first ten years, and 1% ( $10\% \div 10$  years) to each of the second ten years.
- 2. For employees expected to leave within 10 years, no benefit is attributed.
- 3. The Current Service Cost in each year reflects the probability that the employee may not complete the necessary period of service to earn part or all of the benefits.

# Question 30 (Illustration)

A post-employment medical plan reimburses 10 percent of an employee's postemployment medical costs if the employee leaves after more than ten and less than twenty years of service and 50 per cent of those costs if the employee leaves after twenty or more years of service.

How will the benefit be attributed to the years of service?

### Answer 30

- 1. Service in later years will lead to a materially higher level of benefit than in earlier year. So, for employees expected to leave after 20 or more years, the entity should attribute benefit on a straight-line basis under Para 71. Service beyond 20 years will lead to no material amount of further benefits. So, the benefit attributed to each of the first 20 years will be 2.5% of the Present Value of the Expected Medical Costs (50% ÷ 20 years).
- 2. For employees expected to leave between 10 and 20 years, the benefit attributed to each of the first 10 years is 1% (10% ÷ 10 years) of the Present Value of the expected medical costs. For these employees, no benefit is attributed to service between the end of the tenth year and the estimated date of leaving.
- 3. For employees expected to leave within ten years, no benefit is attributed.
- 4. The Current Service Cost in each year reflects the probability that the employee may not complete the necessary period of service to earn part or all of the benefits.

# Question 31 (Illustration)

AKJ Ltd is a listed company engaged in the business of manufacturing of electronic equipment. The company has various branch offices spread out across India and has 1,000 employees. As per the statutory requirements, gratuity shall be payable to an employee on the termination of his employment after he has rendered continuous service for not less than five years -

- (a) on his superannuation, or
- (b) on his retirement or resignation, or
- (c) on his death or disablement due to accident or disease.

The completion of continuous service of five years shall not be necessary where the termination of the employment of any employee is due to death or disablement.

The amount payable is determined by a formula linked to number of years of service and last drawn salary. The amount payable to an employee shall not exceed ₹ 10,00,000.

Compute the amount of employee benefit, if any, attributed to each year of service.

Answer 31

The amount of gratuity would be attributed to each year of service and calculated as follows: Number of employees not likely to fulfil the eligibility criteria will be ignored.

Page 728

Other employees will be grouped according to period of service they are expected to render taking into account:

- mortality rate,
- disablement and
- resignation after 5 years.

Gratuity payable will be calculated in accordance with the formula prescribed in the governing statute based on the period of service and the salary at the time of termination of employment, assuming promotion, salary increases etc.

# Question 32 (Illustration)

Pratap Ltd. belongs to the ship-building industry. The company reviewed an Actuarial Valuation for the first time for its pension scheme which revealed a surplus of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}}$  60 lakhs. It wants to spread the same over the next 2 years by reducing the annual contribution to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}}$  20 lakhs instead of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}}$  50 lakhs. The average remaining life of the employees is estimated to be 6 years. Advise the Company in line with Ind AS 19.

### Answer 32

- 1. **Recognition:** As per Ind AS 19, any Actuarial Gains and Losses should be recognized as a re-measurement of the Net Defined Benefit Liability / (Asset) in "Other Comprehensive Income".
- 2. **Measurement and Presentation:** In the given case, the amount of surplus from Pension Scheme of ₹ 60 lakhs is an Actuarial Gain and should be recognized as a "re-measurement" in "Other Comprehensive Income", and not to be adjusted from the amount of annual contribution in future years.
- 3. **Disclosure:** The change relating to Actuarial Valuation for the Pension Scheme requires disclosure under Ind AS 8. Disclosures required by Ind AS 19 should also be made in the financial statements.

# Question 33 (Illustration)

RKA Private Ltd is an old company established in 19XX. The company started with a very small capital base and today it is one of the leading companies in India in its industry. The company has an annual turnover of ₹ 11,000 crores and planning to get listed in the next year.

The company has a large employee base. The company provided a defined benefit plan to its employees. Following is the information relating to the balances of the fund's assets and liabilities

as at 1st April, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X2. ₹ in lacs

Particulars	1 <sup>st</sup> April, 20X1	31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2
Present value of benefit obligation	1,400	1,580

729

Fair value of plan assets	1,140	1,275
---------------------------	-------	-------

For the financial year ended 31st March, 20X2, service cost was  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  55 lacs. The company made a contribution of an amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  111 lacs to the plan. No benefits were paid during the year.

Consider a discount rate of 8%. You are required to -

(a) Compute the balance(s) of the company to be included its balance sheet as on 31st March, 20X2 and amounts to be recognized in the statement of profit and loss and other comprehensive income for the year ended 31st March, 20X2. Give the journal entries in respect of amount(s) to be recognized.

### Answer 2

(a) Extract of the Balance Sheet of RKA Private Ltd as at 31st March, 20X2

₹ in lacs

Closing net defined liability (1,580 – 1,275) lacs

305

Extract of the Statement of Profit or Loss of RKA Private Ltd for the year ended  $31^{\rm st}$  March, 20X2

Particulars	₹ in lacs
Service cost	55
Net interest (Refer W.N.1)	21
Profit or loss	76
Other comprehensive income:	
Remeasurements (Refer W.N.2)	80
Total	156

# (b) Journal entries in the books of RKA Private Ltd

Particulars		₹ in lacs	₹ in lacs
Profit & Loss	Dr.	76	
Other comprehensive income	Dr.	80	
To Cash (Contribution)			111
To Net defined benefit lia <b>WN 3</b> )	bility <b>(Refer</b>		45

# **Working Notes:**

- 1. Computation of Net interest taken to the Statement of Profit or Loss
  - = Discount rate x Opening net defined benefit liability
  - $= 8\% \times (1,400 1,140)$  lacs
  - = 8% x 260 lacs = 21 lacs (Rounded off to nearest lacs)

# 2. Computation of Remeasurements

# **Defined Benefit Obligation Account**

<b>Particulars</b>	₹ in lacs	Particulars	₹ in lacs
To balance c/d (given)	1,580	By balance b/d (given)	1,400
(closing balance)		(opening balance)	
		By CurrentService Cost	55
		(given)	
		By Interest on Opening	112
		Liability	
		(1,400 x 8%)	

1,580	by fietdariai 1055 (bar. ligare	1 590
	By Actuarial loss (bal. figure	13

### OR

# Statement to calculate Actuarial gain or loss on defined benefit liability:

Particulars	₹ in lacs
Opening balance of liability	1,400
Current service cost	55
Interest on opening liability (1,400 x 8%)	112
Actuarial loss (Bal. fig)	13
Closing balance of liability	1,580

### **Plan Assets Account**

Particulars	₹ in lacs	Particulars	₹ in lacs
To balance b/d (given) (opening balance)	1,140	By balance c/d (given) (closing balance)	1,27 5
To Bank Account (contribution for the year)	111		
To Surplus / Actual Return (bal. figure)	24		
	1,275		1,275

# OR

# Statement to calculate Actual return on plan assets:

Particulars	₹ in lacs
Opening balance of asset	1,140
Cash contribution	111
Actual return (Bal. fig)	24
Closing balance of asset	1,275

Net interest on opening balance of plan asset = ₹ 91 lacs (i.e. ₹ 1,140 lacs x 8%) (Rounded off to nearest lacs)

Hence there is a decrease in plan assets due to remeasurement for which computation is as follows:

Actual Return – Net interest on opening plan asset

= ₹ 24 lacs - ₹ 91 lacs = ₹ 67 lacs.


Net remeasurement would be computed as follows:

Actuarial loss on liability + Loss on return

= ₹ 13 lacs + ₹ 67 lacs = ₹ 80 lacs.

# Computation of increase/ decrease in net defined benefit liability:

Particulars	₹ in lacs
Opening net liability (₹ 1,400 lacs – ₹ 1,140 lacs)	260
Closing net liability ₹ 1,580 lacs – ₹ 1,275 lacs)	305
Increase in liability	45



<sup>3</sup> 32

# Chapter 8 Unit-2 Ind AS 37: "Provisions, contingent Liabilities and contingent Assets"

# **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP						Q7	Q8	Q5		Q6		
PYP					Q12			Q1				
										Q2,		
RTP					Q9		Q3		Q4	Q10	Q11	

# Section - A

# Question 1

An entity is a telecom operator. Laying of cables across the world is a requirement to enable the entity to run its business. Cables are also laid under the sea and contracts are entered into for the same. By virtue of laws of the countries through which the cable passes, the entity is required to restore the sea bed at the end of the contract period. What is the nature of obligation that the entity has in such a case? (PYP 4 Marks May '23)

### Answer 1

Paragraph 14 of Ind AS 37 states that a provision shall be recognised when:

- (a) an entity has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;
- (b) it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation; and
- (c) a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation. If these conditions are not met, no provision shall be recognised.

Further, with regard to past event paragraph 17 of Ind AS 37 states that a past event that leads to a present obligation is called an obligating event. For an event to be an obligating event, it is necessary that the entity has no realistic alternative to settling the obligation created by the event. This is the case only:

- (a) where the settlement of the obligation can be enforced by law; or
- (b) in the case of a constructive obligation, where the event (which may be an action of the entity) creates valid expectations in other parties that the entity will discharge the obligation."

On the basis of the above, provision should be recognised as soon as the obligating event takes place because the entity is under legal obligation to restore the sea bed, provided the other recognition criteria stated in paragraph 14 reproduced above are met. Moreover, the amount of the provision would depend on the extent of the obligation arising from the obligating event. In the instant case, an obligating event is the laying of cables under the sea. To the extent the cables have been laid down under the sea, a legal obligation has arisen and to that extent provision for restoration of sea bed should be recognised.

# Question 2

A company manufacturing and supplying process control equipment is entitled

733

to duty draw back if it exceeds its turnover above a specified limit. To claim duty drawback, the company needs to file application within 15 days of meeting the specified turnover. If application is not filed within stipulated time, the Department has discretionary power of giving duty draw back credit. For the year 20X1-20X2 the company has exceeded the specified limit of turnover by the end of the reporting period. However, duty drawback can be claimed on filing of application within the stipulated time or on discretion of the Department if filing of application is late. The application for duty drawback is filed on April 20, 20X2, which is after the stipulated time of 15 days of meeting the turnover condition. Duty drawback has been credited by the Department on June 28, 20X2 and financial statements have been approved by the Board of Directors of the company on July 26, 20X2. What would be the treatment of duty drawback credit as per the given information? (RTP May'20)

### Answer 2

In the instant case, the condition of exceeding the specified turnover was met at the end of the reporting period and the company was entitled for the duty drawback. However, the application for the same has been filed after the stipulated time. Therefore, credit of duty drawback was discretionary in the hands of the Department. Since the claim was to be accrued only after filing of application, its accrual will be considered in the year 20X2-20X3 only.

Accordingly, the duty drawback credit is a contingent asset as at the end of the reporting period 20X1-20X2, which will be realized when the Department credits the same.

As per para 35 of Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets, contingent assets are assessed continually to ensure that developments are appropriately reflected in the financial statements. If it has become virtually certain that an inflow of economic benefits will arise, the asset and the related income are recognised in the financial statements of the period in which the change occurs. If an inflow of economic benefits has become probable, an entity discloses the contingent asset.

In accordance with the above, the duty drawback credit which was contingent asset for the

F.Y. 20X1 -20X2 should be recognised as asset and related income should be recognized in the reporting period in which the change occurs. i.e., in the period in which realization becomes virtually certain, i.e., F.Y. 20X2 - 20X3.

# Question 3

XYZ Ltd. offers a six-month warranty on its small to medium sized equipment, which can be put to use by the customer with no installation support. The warranty comes with the equipment and the customer cannot purchase it separately. This equipment is typically sold at a gross margin of 40%. XYZ Ltd. has made a provision of `30,000 during the year ended 31st March, 20X2, which is approximately 1% of its gross margin on the sale of these equipment. Based on past experience, it is expected that 1% of equipment sold have been returned as faulty within the warranty period. Faulty equipment returned to XYZ Ltd. during the warranty period are scrapped and the sale value is fully refunded to the customer.

Assuming that sales occurred evenly during the year, how should XYZ Ltd. evaluate whether any additional warranty provision is required on equipment sold in the past as at 31st March, 20X2? Had the warranty period been 2 years instead of six months, what additional criteria would XYZ Ltd. need to consider? (RTP May '22)

# Answer 3

# Calculation of additional warranty provisions:

Warranty claim covers 1% of gross margin, whereas customers are refunded the full selling price. As the goods are scrapped it is assumed XYZ Ltd has no potential for re-imbursement from its supplier regarding the faulty goods.

# A calculation of warranty provision is set out below:

1% of annual gross margin is `30,000 therefore 100% of annual gross margin must be `30,00,000. Since gross margin is 40%, sales should be `75,00,000. As provide in the question that the sales are evenly spread during the year and given the six month warranty, half of the sales occurred in the second half of the year is still covered within the warranty period as follows.

	% age	Annual	Product	Percentage	Warrant
		sales	under	expected to	y
			warranty at	be	provisio
			31st March, 20X2	returned	n
		•	,		,
Gross	40%	30,00,000			
margin					
Selling price	100%	75,00,000	37,50,000	1%	37,500

The warranty provision should therefore be increased by `7,500 (`37,500 – `30,000). As the provision is expected to be used in the next 6 months no discounting is required. If the warranty period is 2 years:

Since the outstanding period of warranties is 6 months (i.e. less than a year), no discounting is required. However, if a longer warranty period is to be given, the entity will have to take into account the effect of the time value of money. The amount of provision shall be the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the warranty obligation. (Refer Para 45 of Ind AS 37)

The discount rate shall be a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability. The discount rate shall not reflect risks for which future cash flow estimates have been adjusted. (Refer Para 47 of Ind AS 37)

	% age	Annual	Product	Percentage	Warranty
		sales	under	expected to	provision
			warranty at	be	
			31st March, 20X2	returned	
		•	•	•	,
Gross	40%	30,00,000			
margin					
Selling price	100%	75,00,000	75,00,000	1%	75,000

The warranty provision should therefore be increased by `45,000 (`75,000 – `30,000). Further discounting of provision would be required.

# **Ouestion 4**

(a) A manufacturer gives warranties at the time of sale to purchasers of its product. Under the terms of the contract for sale, the manufacturer undertakes to remedy, by repair or replacement, manufacturing defects that become apparent within three years from the date of sale. As this is the first year that the warranty has been available, there is no data from the firm to indicate whether there will be claim under the warranties. However, industry research suggests that it is likely that such claims will be forthcoming.

Should the manufacturer recognize a provision in accordance with the requirements of Ind AS 37. Why or why not?

- (b) Assume that the firm has not been operating its warranty for five years, and reliable data exists to suggest the following:
  - If minor defects occur in all products sold, repair costs of Rs. 20,00,000 would result.
  - If major defects are detected in all products, costs of Rs. 50,00,000 would result.
  - The manufacturer's past experience and future expectations indicate that each year 80% of the goods sold will have no defects. 15% of the goods sold will have minor defects, and 5% of the goods sold will have major defects.

Calculate the expected value of the cost of repairs in accordance with the requirements of Ind AS 37, if any. Ignore both income tax and the effect of discounting. (RTP Nov 19)

### Answer 4

- (a) For a provision to be recognized, Para 14 of Ind AS 37 requires that:
  - a) an entity has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;
  - b) it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will required to settle the obligation, and
  - c) a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation.

Here, the manufacturer has a present legal obligation. The obligation event is the sale of the product with a warranty.

Ind AS 37 outlines that the future sacrifice of economic benefits is probable when it is more likely than less likely that the future sacrifice of economic benefits will required. The probability that settlement will be required will be determined by considering the class of obligation (warranties) as a whole. In accordance with para 24 of Ind AS 37, it is more likely than less likely that a future sacrifice of economic benefits will be required to settle the class of obligations as a whole.

If a reliable estimate can be made the provision can be measured re liably. Past data can provide reliable measures, even if the data is not firm specific but rather industry based. Ind AS 37 notes that only in extremely rare cases, a reliable measure of a provision cannot be obtained. Difficulty in estimating the amount of a provision under conditions of significant uncertainty does not justify non-recognition of the provision.

Here, the manufacturer should recognize a provision based on the best estimate of the consideration required to settle the present obligation as at the reporting date.

(b) The expected value of cost of repairs in accordance with Ind AS 37 is: (80% x nil) + (15% x Rs. 20,00,000) + (5% x Rs. 50,00,000) = 3,00,000 + 2,50,000 = 5,50,000

### **Question 5**

Entity XYZ entered into a contract to supply 1000 television sets for  $\ref{2}$  million. An increase in the cost of inputs has resulted into an increase in the cost of sales to  $\ref{2}$ .5 million. The penalty for non- performance of the contract is expected to be  $\ref{2}$  0.25 million. Is the contract onerous and how much provision in this regard is required? (MTP 5 Marks March '23, RTP May'20)

# Answer 5

Ind AS 37 "Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets" defines an onerous contract as a contract in which the unavoidable costs of meeting the obligations under the contract exceed the economic benefits expected to be received under it.

 $\mathsf{Page} \, \overline{\mathsf{736}}$ 

Paragraph 68 of Ind AS 37 states that the unavoidable costs under a contract reflect the least net cost of exiting from the contract, which is the lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfill it.

In the instant case, cost of fulfilling the contract is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 0.5$  million ( $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2.5$  million –  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2$  million) and cost of exiting from the contract by paying penalty is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 0.25$  million.

In accordance with the above reproduced paragraph, it is an onerous contract as cost of meeting the contract exceeds the economic benefits.

Therefore, the provision should be recognised at the best estimate of the unavoidable cost, which is lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfill it, i.e., at  $\ \ 0.25$  million (lower of  $\ \ 0.25$  million and  $\ \ 0.5$  million).

# Question 6

An entity engaged in automobile sector has assessed the impact of COVID-19 outbreak on its future viability of business model. Senior Management has identified the need for restructuring some of its business activities and retrenching its employees in many areas. Senior Management is drawing up a plan for the consideration of the Board of Directors in their meeting scheduled in May 2020, which is subsequent to the reporting date of the current financial year i.e. 31 March 2020. Can the entity recognise provisions for restructuring costs in the financial statements of the current year i.e. 2019-2020? (MTP 4 Marks Oct '20)

### Answer 6

In accordance with paragraph 72 of Ind AS 37, 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets', a constructive obligation to restructure arises only when an entity has detailed formal plan for restructuring identifying the business or part of business concerned; the principal locations affected; the location, function, and approximate number of employees who will be compensated for terminating their services; the expenditures that will be undertaken; and when the plan will be implemented; and has raised a valid expectation in those affected that it will carry out the restructuring by starting to implement that plan or announcing its main features to those affected by it.

Further, paragraph 75 of Ind AS 37 provides that a management or board decision to restructure taken before the end of the reporting period does not give rise to a constructive obligation at the end of the reporting period unless the entity has, before the end of the reporting period.

- (a) started to implement the restructuring plan; or
- (b) announced the main features of the restructuring plan to those affected by it in a sufficiently specific manner to raise a valid expectation in them that the entity will carry out the restructuring.

In the given case, since COVID-19 pandemic impact started during March 2020, it is likely that the senior management started drawing up the plan for restructuring some of its business activities after the end of the reporting period, i.e., 2019-2020. If that be so, as per Ind AS 37, the management decisions subsequent to reporting date do not give rise to constructive obligation as of reporting date and no provision is required for restructuring costs as at 31 March 2020. In this regard, paragraph 75 of Ind AS 37 provides that if an entity starts to implement a restructuring plan, or announces its main features to those affected, only after the reporting period, disclosure is required under Ind AS 10, Events after the Reporting Period, if the restructuring is material and non-disclosure could influence the economic decisions that users make on the basis of the financial statements.

### **Ouestion 7**

During the year, QA Ltd. delivered manufactured products to customer K. The products were faulty and on 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2016 customer K commenced legal action against the Company claiming damages in respect of losses due to the supply of faulty product. Upon investigating the matter, QA Ltd. discovered that the products were faulty due to defective raw material procured from supplier F.

Therefore, on 1<sup>st</sup> December, 2016, the Company commenced legal action against F claiming damages in respect of the supply of defec tive raw materials.

QA Ltd. has estimated that it's probability of success of both legal actions, the action of K against QA Ltd. and action of QA Ltd. against F, is very high.

On 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2016, QA Ltd. has estimated that the damages it would have to pay K would be Rs. 5 crores. This estimate was revised to Rs. 5.2 crores as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 and Rs. 5.25 crores as at 15<sup>th</sup> May, 2017. This case was eventually settled on 1<sup>st</sup> June, 2017, when the Company paid damages of Rs. 5.3 crores to K.

On 1<sup>st</sup> December, 2016, QA Ltd. had estimated that it would receive damages of Rs. 3.5 crores from F. This estimate was revised to Rs. 3.6 crores as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 and Rs. 3.7 crores as on 15<sup>th</sup> May, 2017. This case was eventually settled on 1<sup>st</sup> June, 2017 when F paid Rs. 3.75 crores to QA Ltd. QA Ltd. had, in its financial statements for the year ended 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2017, provided Rs. 3.6 crores as the financial statements were approved by the Board of Directors on 26<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

- (i) Whether the Company is required to make provision for the claim from customer K as per applicable Ind AS? If yes, please give the rationale for the same.
- (ii) If the answer to (a) above is yes, what is the entry to be passed in the books of account as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017? Give brief reasoning for your choice.

				<del></del>	<i>J</i>
(A)	Statement of Profit and Los		5.2	Rs.	5.2
	A/c Dr.	crores		crores	
	To Current Liability A/c			Rs.	5.2
				crores	
(B)	Statement of Profit and Los	s Rs.	5.3		
	A/c Dr.	crores			
	To Non-Current Liability A/c			Rs.	5.3
				crores	
(C)	Statement of Profit and Los	s Rs.	5.25		
	A/c Dr.	crores			
	To Current Liability A/c			Rs.	5.25
				crores	

(iii) What will the accounting treatment of the action of QA Ltd. against supplier F as per applicable Ind AS? (MTP 6 Marks Mar '19)

### Answer 7

(i) Yes, QA Ltd. is required to make provision for the claim from customer K as per Ind AS 37 since the claim is a present obligation as a result of delivery of faulty goods manufactured. Also, it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligations. Further, a reliable estimate of Rs. 5.2 crore can be made of the amount of the obligation while preparing the financial

statements as on 31st March, 2017.

- (ii) Option (A): Statement of Profit and Loss A/c Dr. Rs. 5.2 crore To Current Liability A/c Rs. 5.2 crore
- (iii) As per para 31 of Ind AS 37, QA Ltd. shall not recognise a contingent asset. Here the probability of success of legal action is very high but there is no concrete evidence which makes the inflow virtually certain. Hence, it will be considered as contingent asset only and shall not be recognized.

# Question 8

G Ltd. operates oil exploration and production facilities. It is preparing its transition date opening balance sheet as per Ind AS.

There is a significant decommissioning obligation in connection with several oil wells, but it's previous GAAP did not require the obligation to be recognized. Discuss the treatment of decommissioning obligation as per relevant Ind AS. (MTP 4 Marks March '22)

### **Answer 8**

De-commissioning Obligation of G Ltd. and recognition of decommissioning cost:

Retrospective application of Ind AS 37 requires management to recognise the provision for decommissioning cost on the opening Ind AS Balance Sheet. The provision should reflect the net present value of the management's best estimate of the amount required to settle the obligation.

# **Accounting Treatment:**

The obligation should be capitalised as a separate component of property, plant and equipment, together with the accumulated depreciation from the date when the obligation was incurred to the transition date. The amount to be capitalised as part of the cost of the asset is calculated by discounting the liability back to the date when the obligation initially arose, using the best estimate of historical discount rate. The associated accumulated depreciation is calculated by applying the current estimate of the asset's useful life, using the entity's depreciation policy for the asset.

Any difference between the provision and the related component of the property, plant and equipment is adjusted against the retained earnings.

The entity could elect to apply the deemed cost exemption. Property, plant and equipment would be restated to fair value, with the corresponding adjustment to the retained earnings. Management would need to ensure that the fair value obtained was the gross fair value and not net of the decommissioning obligation. Management would recognise the provision for decommissioning costs in accordance with Ind AS 37. No cost in respect of provision should be added to property, plant and equipment but such cost should be recognised in the entity's opening retained earnings.

### **Ouestion 9**

U Ltd. is a large conglomerate with a number of subsidiaries. It is preparing consolidated financial statements as on 31st March 2018 as per the notified Ind AS. The financial statements are due to be authorized for issue on 15th May 2018. It is seeking your assistance for some transactions that have taken place in some of its subsidiaries during the year.

G Ltd. is a wholly owned subsidiary of U Ltd. engaged in management consultancy services. On 31st January 2018, the board of directors of U Ltd. decided to discontinue the business of G Ltd. from 30<sup>th</sup> April 2018. They made a public announcement of their decision on 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.

G Ltd. does not have many assets or liabilities and it is estimated that the

outstanding trade receivables and payables would be settled by 31st May 2018. U Ltd. would collect any amounts still owed by G Ltd's customers after 31st May 2018. They have offered the employees of G Ltd. termination payments or alternative employment opportunities.

Following are some of the details relating to G Ltd.:

- On the date of public announcement, it is estimated by G Ltd. that it would have to pay 540 lakhs as termination payments to employees and the costs for relocation of employees who would remain with the Group would be Rs. 60 lakhs. The actual termination payments totalling to Rs. 520 lakhs were made in full on 15th May 2018. As per latest estimates made on 15th May 2018, the total relocation cost is Rs. 63 lakhs.
- G Ltd. had taken a property on operating lease, which was expiring on 31st March 2022. The present value of the future lease rentals (using an appropriate discount rate) is Rs. 430 lakhs. On 15th May 2018, G Ltd. made a payment to the lessor of Rs. 410 lakhs in return for early termination of the lease.

The loss after tax of G Ltd. for the year ended 31st March 2018 was Rs. 400 lakhs. G Ltd. made further operating losses totalling Rs. 60 lakhs till 30th April 2018. How should U Ltd. present the decision to discontinue the business of G Ltd. in its consolidated statement of comprehensive income as per Ind AS?

What are the provisions that the Company is required to make as per lnd AS 37? (RTP Nov'18)

# Answer 9

A discontinued operation is one that is discontinued in the period or classified as held for sale at the year end. The operations of G Ltd were discontinued on 30<sup>th</sup> April 2018 and therefore, would be treated as discontinued operation for the year ending 31<sup>st</sup> March 2019. It does not meet the criteria for held for sale since the company is terminating its business and does not hold these for sale.

Accordingly, the results of G Ltd will be included on a line-by-line basis in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income as part of the profit from continuing operations of U Ltd for the year ending 31st March 2018.

As per para 72 of Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets', restructuring includes sale or termination of a line of business. A constructive obligation to restructure arises when:

- (a) an entity has a detailed formal plan for the restructuring
- (b) has raised a valid expectation in those affected that it will carry out the restructuring by starting to implement that plan or announcing its main features to those affected by it.

The Board of directors of U Ltd have decided to terminate the operations of G Ltd. from 30th April 2018. They have made a formal announcement on 15th February 2018, thus creating a valid expectation that the termination will be implemented. This creates a constructive obligation on the company and requires provisions for restructuring.

A restructuring provision includes only the direct expenditures arising from the restructuring that are necessarily entailed by the restructuring and are not associated with the ongoing activities of the entity.

The termination payments fulfil the above condition. As per Ind AS 10 'Events after Reporting Date', events that provide additional evidence of conditions existing at the reporting date should be reflected in the financial statements. Therefore, the company should make a provision for Rs. 520 lakhs in this respect.

The relocation costs relate to the future conduct of the business and are not liabilities for restructuring at the end of the reporting period. Hence, these would be recognised

# $_{age}741$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

on the same basis as if they arose independently of a restructuring.

The operating lease would be regarded as an onerous contract. A provision would be made at the lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfil it. Hence, a provision shall be made for Rs. 410 lakhs.

Further operating losses relate to future events and do not form a part of the closure provision.

Therefore, the total provision required = Rs. 520 lakhs + Rs. 410 lakhs = Rs. 930 lakhs **Note:** 

Various issues related to the applicability of Ind AS / implementation under Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015, are being raised by preparers, users and other stakeholders. Although many clarifications have been issued by way of ITFG Bulletins or EAC Opinion, still issues are arising on account of varying interpretations on several of its guidance. Therefore, alternate answers may be possible for the above questions based on standards, depending upon the view taken.

# Question 10

In order to encourage companies and organisations to generously contribute to the Government's COVID-19 relief fund, taxation laws have been amended to reckon these contributions as deductible for the financial year ending 31st March, 2020 even if the contributions are made after the year end but within three months after year end. Government of India issued the notification on 31st March, 2020 by way of an Ordinance. Such contributions to COVID-19 funds are considered for compliance with annual spends on corporate social responsibility (CSR) for the current accounting year under the Companies Act, 2013. In this scenario, whether the contributions to COVID-19 Relief Funds made subsequent to reporting date of the current accounting period can be provided for as expenses of the current accounting period? Also show its impact on deferred tax, if any. (RTP Nov '20)

# Answer 10

According to paragraph 14 of Ind AS 37, a provision shall be made if:

- (a) an entity has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;
- (b) it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation; and
- (c) a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation. If these conditions are not met as of reporting date, no provision shall be recognised for that financial year.

Government of India issued the notification on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2020 by way of an Ordinance and hence, it is most unlikely for any entity to have a present obligation on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2020, for such a commitment. As these conditions are not met as of reporting date of financial year 2019 - 2020, no provision should be recognised in the financial statements for that financial year.

In the fact pattern given above, the accounting implications for the financial year 2019-2020 is as follows:

- Do not recognize expense / liability for the contribution to be made subsequent to the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2020 as it does not meet the criteria of a present obligation as at the balance sheet date. However, the expected spend may be explained in the notes to the accounts as the same will also be considered in measurement of deferred tax liability.
- If the entity claims a deduction in the Income Tax return for the financial year 2019 2020 for that contribution made subsequent to 31st March, 2020, recognise

Deferred Tax Liability as there would be a tax saving in financial year 2019 - 2020 for a spend incurred in subsequent year.

# Question 11

HVCL manufactures heavy equipment for construction industry. An order for supply of 90 equipment was received from ABIL. The unit price of the equipment was agreed at `190 lakhs each. 64 equipment was supplied during the year 20X1-20X2 and balance quantity remaining to be supplied as on 31.3.20X2. HVCL has 5 equipment in its inventory as on 31.3.20X2. HVCL considered that the contract was an onerous contract and therefore, the net realisable value of inventory has been taken as value of inventory as on 31.3.20X2.

The management of HVCL contends that costs incurred towards administrative overheads, finance charges, R & D expenses, sales overhead, head quarter expenditure etc., are considered as period cost and hence not considered for creation of provision. Hence, the same have not been included in the computation of unavoidable cost.

The management of HVCL has submitted the details of costs that have been considered for creation of provision towards onerous contract:

- Material cost includes cost of material procured, cost of freight & insurance incurred for material procurement and handling, loading and unloading charges incurred.
- Labour cost/ Factory Overheads includes salaries and other expenses of direct production department, and also expenses allocated from indirect departments to direct department.
- Material Overheads Includes salaries and other expenses (including expenses allocated from other departments) booked under departments linked with materials like purchases, stores and quality control.

Accordingly, provision has been made considering the above costs only. The value of provision created for 21 remaining equipment to be produced is as per the working shown below:

	Value lakh)	(`	in
(i) Cost of production (which includes material cost, labour cost/factory overhead and material overhead)		199.	00
(ii) Selling price	(1	90.0	0)
(iii) Differential cost per equipment		9.0	00
(iv) Differential cost of `9 Lakh per equipment for 21 equipment		189.	00

Whether the company's accounting treatment of cost for creation of provision towards onerous contracts is in line with the provisions of Ind AS 37? (RTP Nov'22)

### Answer 11

As per para 68 of Ind AS 37, onerous contract is a contract in which the unavoidable costs of meeting the obligations under the contract exceed the economic benefits expected to be received under it. The unavoidable cost under a contract reflects the least net cost of exiting from the contract, which is the lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation for penalties arising from failure to fulfilling it.

Ind AS 37 provides that the amount recognised shall be the best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the present obligation, which is the amount that an entity would rationally pay to settle the obligation at the end of the reporting period or to transfer it to a third party at that time. In case of onerous contracts, an amount

that an entity would rationally pay to settle the obligation would be the lower of the compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfil the contacts and excess of unavoidable cost of meeting the obligations under the contract from the economic benefits expected to be received under it.

As per para 68 of Ind AS 37, the cost of fulfilling a contract comprises the costs that relate directly to the contract. Costs that relate directly to a contract consist of both -

- the incremental costs of fulfilling that contract—for example, direct labour and materials; and
- an allocation of other costs that relate directly to fulfilling contracts—for example, an allocation of the depreciation charge for an item of property, plant and equipment used in fulfilling that contract among others.

The unavoidable costs of meeting the obligations under the contract are only costs that:

- "are directly variable with the contract and therefore incremental to the performance of the contract;"
- do not include allocated or shared costs that will be incurred regardless of whether the entity fulfils the contract or not; and
- cannot be avoided by the entity's future actions.

Accordingly, HVCL has correctly measured the cost for creation of provision for onerous contracts by considering material cost, labour cost (to the extent it relates directly to production) and material overheads (to the extent it relates directly to production). Further, HVCL is correct that the period cost will not be considered for measurement of cost for the purpose of creation of provision on onerous contracts as they do not relate directly to fulfilling the contracts.

### **Question 12**

Sun Limited has entered into a binding agreement with Moon Limited to buy a custom- made machine for Rs. 4,00,000. At the end of 2017-18, before delivery of the machine, Sun Limited had to change its method of production. The new method will not require the machine ordered which is to be scrapped after delivery. The expected scrap value is nil. Given that the asset is yet to be delivered, should any liability be recognized for the potential loss? If so, give reasons for the same, the amount of liability as well as the accounting entry. (PYP 4 Marks, Nov'18)

### Answer 12

# As per Ind AS 37, Executory contracts are contracts under which

- Neither party has performed any of its obligations; or
- Both parties have partially performed their obligations to an equal extent.

The contract entered by Sun Ltd. is an executory contract, since the delivery has not yet

Ind AS 37 is applied to executory contracts only if they are onerous.

Ind AS 37 defines an onerous contract as a contract in which the unavoidable costs of meeting the obligations under the contract exceed the economic benefits expected to be received under it.

As per the facts given in the question, Sun Ltd. will not require the machine ordered. However, since it is a binding agreement, the entity cannot exit / cancel the agreement. Further, Sun Ltd. has to scrap the machine after delivery at nil scrap value.

These circumstances do indicate that the agreement/contract is an onerous contract. Therefore, a provision should be made for the onerous element of Rs. 4,00,000 ie the full cost of the machine.

		Rs.	Rs.
Onerous Contract Provision Expense A/c	Dr.	4,00,00	
		0	
To Provision for Onerous Contract			4,00,00
Liability A/c			0
(Being asset to be received due to binding			
agreement recognized)			
Profit and Loss Account (Loss due to onerous	Dr.	4,00,00	
contract)		0	
To Onerous Contract Provision Expense			4,00,00
A/c			0
(Being loss due to onerous contract			
recognized and asset derecognized)			

# Section - B

# Question 1

X Ltd. is operating in the telecom industry. During the Financial Year 20X1-20X2, the Income Tax authorities sent a scrutiny assessment notice under Section 143(2) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, in respect to return filed under Section 139 of this Act for Previous Year 20X0-20X1 (Assessment Year 20X1-20X2) and initiated assessment proceedings on account of a deduction claimed by the company which in the view of the authorities was inadmissible. During the financial year 20X1-20X2 itself, the assessment proceedings completed and the assessing officer did not allow the deduction and raised a demand of ₹ 1,00,00,000 against the company. The company contested such levy and filed an appeal with the Appellate authority. At the end of the financial year 20X1-20X2, the appeal had not been heard. The company is not confident whether that the company would win the appeal. However, the company was advised by its legal counsel that on a similar matter, two appellate authorities of different jurisdictions had given conflicting judgements, one in favour of the assessee and one against the assessee. The legal counsel further stated it had more than 50% chance of winning the appeal. Please advise how the company should account for these transactions in the financial year 20X1-20X2.

### Answer 1

Ind AS 37 provides that in rare cases it not clear whether there is a present obligation, for example, in a lawsuit, it may be disputed either whether certain events have occurred or whether those events result in a present obligation. In such a case, an entity should determine whether a present obligation exits at the end of the reporting period by taking account of all available evidence, for example, the opinion of experts. In the present case, the company is not confident that whether it would win the appeal. By taking into account the opinion of the legal counsel, it is not sure that whether the company would win the appeal. On the basis of such evidence, it is more likely than not that a present obligation exists at the end of the reporting period. Therefore, the entity should recognise a provision. The company should provide for a liability of ₹ 1,00,00,000.

# Question 2

An entity is a telecom operator. Laying of cables across the world is a requirement to enable the entity to run its business. Cables are also laid under the sea and contracts are entered into for the same. By virtue of laws of the countries through which the cable passes, the entity is required to restore the sea bed at the end of the contract period. What is the nature of obligation that the entity has in such a case?

### Answer 2

Paragraph 14 of Ind AS 37 states that a provision shall be recognised when:

- (d) an entity has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;
- (e) it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation; and
- (f) a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation. If these conditions are not met, no provision shall be recognised.

Further, with regard to past event paragraph 17 of Ind AS 37 states that a past event that leads to a present obligation is called an obligating event. For an event to be an obligating event, it is necessary that the entity has no realistic alternative to settling the obligation created by the event. This is the case only:

- (c) where the settlement of the obligation can be enforced by law; or
- (d) in the case of a constructive obligation, where the event (which may be an action of the entity) creates valid expectations in other parties that the entity will discharge the obligation."

On the basis of the above, provision should be recognised as soon as the obligating event takes place because the entity is under legal obligation to restore the sea bed, provided the other recognition criteria stated in paragraph 14 reproduced above are met. Moreover, the amount of the provision would depend on the extent of the obligation arising from the obligating event. In the instant case, an obligating event is the laying of cables under the sea. To the extent the cables have been laid down under the sea, a legal obligation has arisen and to that extent provision for restoration of sea bed should be recognised.

### **Ouestion 4**

U Ltd. is a large conglomerate with a number of subsidiaries. It is preparing consolidated financial statements as on 31st March 20X2 as per the notified Ind AS. The financial statements are due to be approved for issue on 15th May 20X2. Following are a few transactions that have taken place in some of its subsidiaries during the year:

G Ltd. is a wholly owned subsidiary of U Ltd. engaged in management consultancy services. On 31<sup>st</sup> January 20X2, the board of directors of U Ltd. decided to discontinue the business of G Ltd. from 30<sup>th</sup> April 20X2. They made a public announcement of their decision on 15<sup>th</sup> February 20X2.

G Ltd. does not have many assets or liabilities and it is estimated that the outstanding trade receivables and payables would be settled by 31st May 20X2. U Ltd. would collect any amounts still owed by G Ltd.'s customers after 31st May 20X2. They have offered the employees of G Ltd. termination payments or alternative employment opportunities.

Following are some of the details relating to G Ltd.:

- On the date of public announcement, it is estimated by G Ltd. that it would have to pay
  - ₹ 540 lakhs as termination payments to employees and the costs for relocation of employees who would remain with the Group would be ₹ 60 lakhs.

Page 745

The actual termination payments totalling to ₹ 520 lakhs were made in full on 15th May 20X2. As per latest estimates made on 15th May 20X2, the total relocation cost is ₹ 63 lakhs.

G Ltd. had taken a property on operating lease, which was expiring on 31st March 20X6. The present value of the future lease rentals (using an appropriate discount rate) is ₹ 430 lakhs. On 15th May 20X2, G Ltd. made a payment to the lessor of ₹ 410 lakhs in return for early termination of the lease.

The loss after tax of G Ltd. for the year ended 31st March 20X2 was ₹ 400 lakhs. G Ltd. made further operating losses totalling ₹ 60 lakhs till 30th April 20X2. What are the provisions that the Company is required to make as per lnd AS 37?

A discontinued operation is one that is discontinued in the period or classified as held for sale at the year end. The operations of G Ltd were discontinued on 30th April 20X2 and therefore, would be treated as discontinued operation for the year ending 31st March 20X3. It does not meet the criteria for held for sale since the company is terminating its business and does not hold these for sale.

As per para 72 of Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets', restructuring includes sale or termination of a line of business. A constructive obligation to restructure arises when:

- (a) an entity has a detailed formal plan for the restructuring
- (b) has raised a valid expectation in those affected that it will carry out the restructuring by starting to implement that plan or announcing its main features to those affected by it.

The Board of directors of U Ltd have decided to terminate the operations of G Ltd. from 30th April 20X2. They have made a formal announcement on 15th February 20X2, thus creating a valid expectation that the termination will be implemented. This creates a constructive obligation on the company and requires provisions for restructuring.

A restructuring provision includes only the direct expenditures arising from the restructuring that are necessarily entailed by the restructuring and are not associated with the ongoing activities of the entity. The termination payments fulfil the above condition. As per Ind AS 10 'Events after Reporting Date', events that provide additional evidence of conditions existing at the reporting date should be reflected in the financial statements. Therefore, the company should make a provision for ₹ 520 lakhs in this respect.

The relocation costs relate to the future conduct of the business and are not liabilities for restructuring at the end of the reporting period. Hence, these would be recognised on the same basis as if they arose independently of a restructuring. The operating lease would be regarded as an onerous contract. A provision would be made at the lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfil it. Hence, a provision shall be made for ₹410 lakhs. Further operating losses relate to future events and do not form a part of the closure provision. Therefore, the total provision required = ₹ 520 lakhs + ₹ 410 lakhs = ₹ 930 lakhs

# Question 5

A company manufacturing and supplying process control equipment is entitled to duty draw back if it exceeds its turnover above a specified limit. To claim duty drawback, the company needs to file application within 15 days of meeting the specified turnover. If application is not filed within stipulated time, the Department has discretionary power of giving duty draw back credit. For the year 20X1-20X2 the company has exceeded the specified limit of turnover by

the end of the reporting period. However, duty drawback can be claimed on filing of application within the stipulated time or on discretion of the Department if filing of application is late. The application for duty drawback is filed on April 20, 20X2, which is after the stipulated time of 15 days of meeting the turnover condition. Duty drawback has been credited by the Department on June 28, 20X2 and financial statements have been approved by the Board of Directors of the company on July 26, 20X2. What would be the treatment of duty drawback credit as per the given information?

### Answer 5

In the instant case, the condition of exceeding the specified turnover was met at the end of the reporting period and the company was entitled for the duty drawback. However, the application for the same has been filed after the stipulated time. Therefore, credit of duty drawback was discretionary in the hands of the Department. Since the claim was to be accrued only after filing of application, its accrual will be considered in the year 20X2-20X3 only. Accordingly, the duty drawback credit is a contingent asset as at the end of the reporting period 20X1-20X2, which will be realised when the Department credits the same. As per para 35 of Ind AS 37, *Provisions*, *Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets*, contingent assets are assessed continually to ensure that developments are appropriately reflected in the financial statements. If it has become virtually certain that an inflow of economic benefits will arise, the asset and the related income are recognised in the financial statements of the period in which the change occurs. If an inflow of economic benefits has become probable, an entity discloses the contingent asset.

In accordance with the above, the duty drawback credit which was contingent asset for the F.Y. 20X1-20X2 should be recognised as asset and related income should be recognized in the reporting period in which the change occurs. i.e., in the period in which realisation becomes virtually certain, i.e., F.Y. 20X2-20X3.

### **Question 6**

Entity XYZ entered into a contract to supply 1000 television sets for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  2 million. An increase in the cost of inputs has resulted into an increase in the cost of sales to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  2.5 million. The penalty for non- performance of the contract is expected to be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  0.25 million. Is the contract onerous and how much provision in this regard is required?

### Answer 6

Ind AS 37 "Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets" defines an onerous contract as a contract in which the unavoidable costs of meeting the obligations under the contract exceed the economic benefits expected to be received under it. Paragraph 68 of Ind AS 37 states that the unavoidable costs under a contract reflect the least net cost of exiting from the contract, which is the lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfill it. In the instant case, cost of fulfilling the contract is  $\gtrless$  0.5 million ( $\gtrless$  2.5 million –  $\gtrless$  2 million) and cost of exiting from the contract by paying penalty is  $\gtrless$  0.25 million. In accordance with the above reproduced paragraph, it is an onerous contract as cost of meeting the contract exceeds the economic benefits. Therefore, the provision should be recognised at the best estimate of the unavoidable cost, which is lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfill it, i.e., at  $\gtrless$  0.25 million (lower of  $\gtrless$  0.25 million and  $\gtrless$  0.5 million).

# Question 7

Marico has an obligation to restore environmental damage in the area surrounding its factory. Expert advice indicates that the restoration will be carried out in two distinct phases; the first phase requiring expenditure of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$ 2 million to remove the contaminated soil from the area and the second phase, commencing three years later from the end of first phase, to replant the area with suitable trees and vegetation. The estimated cost of replanting is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$ 3.5 million. Marico uses a cost of capital (before taxation) of 10% and the expenditure, when incurred, will attract tax relief at the company's marginal tax rate of 30%. Marico has not recognised any provision for such costs in the past and today's date is 31 March 20X2. The first phase of the clean up will commence in a few months time and will be completed on 31 March 20X3 when the first payment of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$ 2 million will be made. Phase 2 costs will be paid three years later from the end of first phase. Calculate the amount to be provided at 31 March 20X2 for the restoration costs.

# Answer 7

Year	Cash Flow	10% Discount factor	Present Value				
20X2-20X3	20,00,000	0.909	18,18,000				
20X5-20X6	35,00,000	0.683	23,90,500				
Prov	Provision required at 31 March 20X2						

The provision is calculated using the pre-tax costs and a pre-tax cost of capital. The fact that the eventual payment will attract tax relief will be reflected in the recognition of a deferred tax asset for the deductible temporary difference (assuming that the recognition criteria for deferred tax assets are met.)

# Question 8 (Illustration)

ABC Limited is an automobile component manufacturer. The automobile manufacturer has specified a delivery schedule, non-adherence to which will entail a penalty. As on 31st March, 20X1, the reporting date, the manufacturer has a delivery scheduled for June 20X2. However, the manufacturer is aware that he will not be able to meet the delivery schedule in June 20X2.

Determine whether the entity has a present obligation as at 31st March, 20X1, requiring recognition of provision.

# **Answer 8**

In this case, there is no present obligation arising out of a past event as the goods are scheduled for delivery in June 20X2 and there is no delay as at 31st March, 20X1. Hence, there is no present obligation to pay the penalty in the current year. Therefore, there is no present obligation to recognise the provision.

### Question 9 (Illustration)

X Shipping Ltd. is required by law to overhaul its shipping fleet once in every 3 years. The company's finance team was of the view that recognising the costs only when paid would prevent matching of revenue earned all the time with certain costs of large amounts which are incurred occasional. Thereby, it has formulated an accounting policy of providing in its books of account for the future cost of maintenance (overhauls, annual inspection etc.) by calculating a rate per hours sailed on sea and accumulating a provision over time. The provision is adjusted when the expenditure is actually incurred. Is the accounting policy of X Shipping Ltd. correct?

### Answer 9

A provision is made for a present obligation arising out of a past event. Even a legal requirement to overhaul does not make the cost of overhaul a liability, because no obligation exists to overhaul the ships independently of the company's future actions - the company could avoid the future expenditure by its future actions for example by selling the ships. So there is no present obligation.

As per the standard, financial statements deal with the financial position of an entity at the end of its reporting period and not its possible position in the future. Therefore, no provision is recognised for costs that need to be incurred to operate in the future. The only

liabilities recognised in an entity's balance sheet are those that exist at the end of the reporting period.

Therefore, the accounting policy of X Shipping Ltd. is not correct. The company should adopt the component approach in Ind AS 16, *Property, Plant and Equipment*, for accounting for the refurbishment cost.

# Question 10 (Illustration)

X Chemical Ltd. is operating in the vicinity of a river since 20 years. A community living near X Chemical Ltd. claims that its operations has caused contamination of drinking water. X Chemical Ltd. has received notice from the governmental environmental agency that official investigations will be made into claims of pollution caused by the entity. If it is found that X Chemical Ltd. has caused contamination, then penalties and fine would be levied on it.

X Chemical Ltd. believes that it has implemented all environmental safety measures to an extent that it is unlikely to cause pollution. Management is not sure whether it has all the information about the entire 20 years. Therefore, neither management nor external experts are able to assess X Chemical Ltd.'s responsibility until the investigation has completed.

In such situation, how should management of X Chemical Ltd. account for a liability?

### Answer 10

As per the standard, in the present case, the available evidence does not support a conclusion that a present obligation exists. However, there is a possible obligation which exists and will be confirmed upon completion of investigations. Therefore, management should disclose the contingent liability for potential penalties and fines that may be imposed if contamination is proved.

# Question 11 (Illustration)

X Ltd. has entered into an agreement with its selling agent Y, in accordance with which X Ltd. has to pay a base percentage of commission on export sales and an additional commission is to be paid if the export incentives are received. As per the accounting policy of X Ltd., it recognises export incentives when actually realised, on account of the uncertainty in realising such incentives. Export incentives have not been received for the year 20X1-20X2, however X Ltd. is hopeful of receiving the export incentives in the year 20X2-20X3. In the financial statements for 20X1-20X2, should X Ltd. provide for both base commission and additional commission?

### Answer 11

So far as the base percentage of sales commission is concerned, it is a present obligation arising out of past events. The obligating event takes place when the sales are made and also since commission is based on percentage of sale, reliable

estimation can also be made. Therefore, the base percentage of sales commission should be provided.

However, in respect of additional commission, it is to be paid when the export incentives are recognised and export incentives are recognised only when it is received. Therefore, the obligating event will arise only when export incentives are received. Hence, no provision for additional commission is to be made in financial year 20X1-20X2. The expectation of X Ltd. to receive the export incentives in next year would not make any difference as on 31 March 20X2.

# Question 13 (Illustration)

An entity sells goods with a warranty under which customers are covered for the cost of repairs of any manufacturing defects that become apparent within the first six months after purchase. If minor defects were detected in all products sold, repair costs of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$ 1 million would result. If major defects were detected in all products sold, repair costs of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$ 4 million would result. The entity's past experience and future expectations indicate that, for the coming year, 75% of the goods sold will have no defects, 20% of the goods sold will have minor defects and 5% of the goods sold will have major defects. In accordance with the standard, an entity assesses the probability of an outflow for the warranty obligations as a whole.

### Answer 13

The expected value of the cost of repairs is: (75% of nil) + (20% of 1m) + (5% of 4m) = ₹ 4,00,000

# Question 14 (Illustration)

X Solar Power Ltd., a power company, has a present obligation to dismantle its plant after 35 years of useful life. X Solar Power Ltd. cannot cancel this obligation or transfer to third party. X Solar Power Ltd. has estimated the total cost of dismantling at ₹50,00,000, the present value of which is ₹30,00,000. Based on the facts and circumstances, X Solar Power Ltd. considers the risk factor of 5% i.e., the risk that the actual outflows would be more from the expected present value. How should X Solar Power Ltd. account for the obligation?

### Answer 14

The obligation should be measured at the present value of outflows i.e., ₹ 30,00,000. Further a risk adjustment of 5% i.e., ₹ 1,50,000 (₹ 30,00,000 x 5%) would be made. So, the liability will be recognised at = ₹ 30,00,000 + ₹1,50,000 = ₹ 31,50,000.

# Question 15 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd. has an obligation to restore the seabed for the damage it has caused in the past. It has to pay ₹ 10,00,000 cash on  $31^{\rm st}$  March 20X3 relating to this liability. ABC Ltd.'s management considers that 5% is an appropriate discount rate. Calculate the amount to be provided for at  $31^{\rm st}$  March 20X1 for the costs of restoring the seabed.

# Answer 15

Discounting factor of 5% for  $2^{nd}$  year as on  $31^{st}$  March 20X1 =  $(1/1.05)^2$  = 0.907 The present value of the provision as on  $31^{st}$  March 20X1 is = ₹ 10,00,000 x 0.907 = ₹ 9.07.000

The amount of increase in the provision resulting from unwinding of discounting to reflect the passage of time should be included as an element of borrowing cost in determining the profit or loss for the year.

<sup>2</sup> 200 150

# $^{\mathsf{Page}}/51$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

The provision should be initially recognised at ₹ 9,07,000 which is the present value of ₹ 10,00,000 discounted at 5% for two years. At the end of year 1 i.e.  $31^{st}$  March 20X2, the provision increases to ₹ 9,52,350, and the difference of ₹ 45,350 is recognised as borrowing cost. Similarly, for the year ending  $31^{st}$  March 20X3, the provision will increase to 10,00,000 and the increase being recognised as borrowing cost. Consequently, at the end of year 2 the amount of provision will be equal to the amount due, i.e., ₹ 10,00,000.

**Note:** There may be some difference in amount due to approximation (limiting discounting factor to 3 place decimal), which can be overcome either by full scale calculation or adjustment at the end.

# Question 16 (Illustration)

X Chemicals Ltd. engaged in the chemical industry causes environmental damage by dumping waste in the river near its factory. It does not clean up because there is no environmental legislation requiring cleaning up and X Chemicals Ltd. is causing damage for last 40 years. As at 31 March, 20X2, the State Legislature has passed a path breaking legislation requiring all polluting factories to clean-up the river water already contaminated. The formal Gazette notification of the law is pending. How should X Chemicals Ltd. deal with this situation?

### Answer 16

The obligating event is the contamination of water and because of the virtually certainty of legislation requiring cleaning up, an outflow of resources is certain. It is possible to arrive at best estimated cost for the cleanup activity. So, a provision should be recognised in the books of X Chemicals Ltd. for 20X1-20X2.

# Question 17 (Illustration)

X Beauty Solutions Ltd. is selling cosmetic products under its brand name 'B', but it is getting its product manufactured from Y Ltd. It has an understanding (enforceable agreement) with Y Ltd. that if the company becomes liable for any damage claims, due to any injury or harm to the customer of the cosmetic products, 30% will be reimbursed to it by Y Ltd. During the financial year 20X1-20X2, a claim of ₹ 30,00,000 becomes payable to customers by X Beauty Solutions Ltd. How should X Beauty Solutions Ltd. account for the claim that becomes payable?

# Answer 17

# Question 18 (Illustration)

X Telecom Ltd. has income tax litigation pending before appellate authorities. Legal advisor's opinion is that X Telecom Ltd. will lose the case and estimated that liability of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  1,00,00,000 may arise in two years. The liability is recognised on a discounted basis. The discount rate at which the liability has been discounted is 10% and it is assumed that discount rate does not change over the period of 2 years. How should X Telecom Ltd. calculate the amount of borrowing cost?

### Answer 18

The discount factor of 10% for 2 years is 0.826. X Telecom Ltd. will initially recognise provision for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  82,60,000 ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}}$  1,00,00,000 x 0.826).

The discount factor of 10% at the end of year 1 is 0.909. At the end of year 1, provision amount would be  $\gtrless$  90,90,000 ( $\gtrless$  1,00,00,000 x 0.909).

As per the standard, the difference between the two present values i.e., ₹ 8,30,000 (90,90,000-82,60,000) is recognised as a borrowing cost in year 1.

At the end of the Year 2, the liability would be ₹ 1,00,00,000.

The difference between the two present values i.e., ₹ 9,10,000 (₹ 1,00,00,000 - ₹ 90,90,000) is recognised as borrowing cost in year 2.

# Question 19(Illustration)

X Packaging Ltd. has two segments, packaging division and paper division. In March 20X1, the board of directors approved and announced a formal plan to sell the paper division in June 20X1. Operating losses of the paper division are estimated to be approximately  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  50,00,000 during the period from April 1, 20X1 to the expected date of disposal. Management of X Packaging Ltd. wants to include the future operating loss of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  50,00,000 in a provision for restructuring in the financial statements for the period ended March 31, 20X1. Can X Packaging Ltd. include these operating losses in a provision for restructuring?

### Answer 19

Standard states that provision should not be made for future operating losses. Since Ind AS 37 prohibits the recognition of future operating losses, so X Packaging Ltd. should not include these future operating losses in a provision for restructuring even though these losses relate to the disposal group.

# Question 20 (Illustration)

X Metals Ltd. had entered into a non-cancellable contract with Y Ltd. to purchase 10,000 units of raw material at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  50 per unit at a contract price of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}}$  5,00,000. As per the terms of contract, X Metals Ltd. would have to pay  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  60,000 to exit the said contract. X Metals Ltd. has discontinued manufacturing the product that would use the said raw material. For that X Metals Ltd. has identified a third party to whom it can sell the said raw material at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  45 per unit.

How should X Metals Ltd. account for this transaction in its books of account in respect of the above contract?

### Answer 20

These circumstances do indicate an onerous contract. The only benefit to be derived from the purchase contract costing  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 5,00,000$  are the proceeds from the sale contact, which are  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 4,50,000$ . Therefore, a provision should be made for the onerous element of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 50,000$ , being the lower of cost of fulfilling the contract and the penal cost of cancellation of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 60,000$ .

# Question 21 (Illustration)

X Cements Ltd. has three manufacturing units situated in three different states of India. The board of directors of X Cements Ltd., in their meeting held on January 10, 20X1, decided to close down its operations in one particular state on account of environmental reasons. A detailed formal plan for shutting down the above unit was also formalised and agreed by the board of directors in that meeting, which specifies the approximate number of employees who will be compensated and expenditure expected to be incurred. Date of implementation

of plan has also been mentioned. Meetings were also held with customers, suppliers, and workers to communicate the features of the formal plan to close down the operations in the said state, and representatives of all interested parties were present in those meetings. Do the actions of the board of directors create a constructive obligation that needs a provision for restructuring?

### Answer 21

As per Ind AS 37, the conditions prescribed are:

- (a) there should be detailed formal plan of restructuring;
- (b) which should have raised valid expectations in the minds of those affected that the entity would carry out the restructuring by announcing the main features of its plans to restructure.


The board of directors did discuss and formalise a formal plan of winding up the operation in the above said state. This plan was communicated to the parties affected and created a valid expectation in their minds that X Cements Ltd. would go ahead with its plans to close down operations in that state. Thus, there is a constructive obligation that needs to be provided at year-end.

# Question 22 (Illustration)

A manufacturer gives warranties at the time of sale to purchasers of its three product lines. Under the terms of the warranty, the manufacturer undertakes to repair or replace items that fail to perform satisfactorily for two years from the date of sale. At the end of the reporting period, a provision of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  60,000 has been recognised. The provision has not been discounted as the effect of discounting is not material. Draft the Note.

### Answer 22

A provision of ₹ 60,000 has been recognised for expected warranty claims on products sold during the last three financial years. It is expected that the majority of this expenditure will be incurred in the next financial year, and all will be incurred within two years after the reporting period.



Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or 🕓 +917890770777

# Chapter 9 Unit-1 Ind AS 12: "Income Taxes"

# Attempts wise Distribution

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP	Q6			Q9	Q8	Q7					Q10	Q5
PYP	Q1	Q14										
RTP			Q12		Q13				Q11	Q4	Q3	Q2

# Section - A

# Question 1

PC Ltd. got incorporated on 1st April, 2020. As on 31.3.2021, the following temporary differences exist:

- (i) Taxable temporary differences relating to accelerated depreciation of ₹ 1,24,000. These are expected to reverse equally over next 4 years.
- (ii) Deductible temporary difference relating to preliminary expenses of ₹80,000 expected to reverse equally over next 5 years.

It is expected that PC Ltd. will continue to make losses for next 5 years. Tax rate is 20%. Losses can be carried forward but not backwards. Discuss the treatment of deferred tax as on 31st March, 2021 as per relevant Ind AS. (PYP Dec '21)

### Answer 1

The year-wise anticipated reversal of temporary differences is as under:

ending on 31st March , 2022	ending on 31st March , 2023	ending on 31st March , 2024	ending on 31st March , 2025	ending on 31st March , 2026
31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	Nil
16 000	16 000	16 000	16 000	16,000
	31st March , 2022	on 31st 31st March , 2022 , 2023	on 31st 31st 31st March March , 2022 , 2023 , 2024	on 31st 31st 31st March March , 2022 , 2023 , 2024 , 2025 31,000 31,000 31,000

# Recognition of deferred tax liability:

PC Ltd. will recognise a deferred tax liability of ₹ 24,800 on taxable temporary difference relating to accelerated depreciation of ₹ 1,24,000 @ 20%.

# Recognition of deferred tax asset:

However, it will limit and recognise a deferred tax asset on reversal of deductible temporary difference relating to preliminary expenses reversing up to year ending 31st March, 2025 amounting to ₹ 12,800 (₹ 64,000 @ 20%).

### Reversal of deferred tax asset:

No deferred tax asset shall be recognized for the reversal of deductible temporary difference for the year ending on 31st March, 2026 as there are no taxable temporary differences. Further, the future estimation is also a loss. However, if there are tax planning opportunities that could be identified for the year ending on 31st March, 2026, deferred tax asset on the remainder of  $\gtrless$  16,000 ( $\gtrless$  80,000 –  $\gtrless$  64,000) of deductible temporary difference could be recognised at the 20% tax rate.

### Question 2

On 1st April, 20X2, the carrying amount of the building is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  90,000, and it is revalued upwards by  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  45,000 to its current market value of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1,35,000. There is no change to the estimated residual value of zero, or to the useful life of the building after revaluation.

Determine the carrying amount, depreciation for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X3 and defer tax thereafter till the useful life of the building. Further analyse the treatment and impact of defer tax since 31st March, 20X3 till the useful life of the building. (RTP Nov '23)

### Answer 2

Since there is no change to the estimated residual value of zero, or to the useful life of the building after revaluation, at the end of the 2nd year i.e. 31st March 20X3, the building will be depreciated over the next 9 years at ₹ 15,000 per year.

Following the revaluation, the temporary difference associated with the building is₹ 1,35,000. Of this amount, only ₹ 90,000 arose on initial recognition, since ₹ 10,000 of the original temporary difference of ₹ 1,00,000 arising on initial recognition of the asset has been eliminated through depreciation of the asset. The carrying amount (which equals the temporary difference, since the tax base is zero) and depreciation during the year ended 31st March, 20X3 and thereafter may then be analysed as follows:

Year	Carrying amount	Tax base b	Gross temporary difference (c= a-b)	Unrecognised temporary difference d	Recognised temporary difference (e=c-d)	Deferred tax liability f = e @ 30%
0	1,00,000		1,00,000	1,00,000		30 /6
1	90,000	_	90,000	90,000	-	-
1 .	,	_	,	,	- -	10 700
Reval	1,35,000	-	1,35,000	90,000	45,000	13,500
2	1,20,000	-	1,20,000	80,000	40,000	12,000
3	1,05,000	-	1,05,000	70,000	35,000	10,500
4	90,000	-	90,000	60,000	30,000	9,000
5	75,000	-	75,000	50,000	25,000	7,500
6	60,000	-	60,000	40,000	20,000	6,000
7	45,000	-	45,000	60,000	15,000	4,500
8	30,000	-	30,000	20,000	10,000	3,000
9	15,000	-	15,000	10,000	5,000	1,500
10	-	-	-	-	-	_

Note:

756

The depreciation is allocated pro rata to the cost element and revalued element of the total carrying amount.

On 31st March, 20X3, the entity recognises a deferred tax liability based on the temporary difference of ₹ 45,000 arising on the revaluation (i.e., after initial recognition) giving a deferred tax expense of ₹ 13,500 (₹ 45,000 @ 30%) recognised in Other Comprehensive Income (OCI).

This has the result that the effective tax rate shown in the financial statements for the revaluation is 30% (₹ 45,000 gain with deferred tax expense of ₹ 13,500). As can be seen from the table above, as at 31st March, 20X4 (year 3), ₹ 40,000 of the total temporary difference arose after initial recognition. The entity, therefore, provides for deferred tax of ₹ 12,000 (₹ 40,000 @ 30%), and a deferred tax credit of ₹ 1,500 (the reduction in the liability from ₹ 13,500 to ₹ 12,000) is recognised in profit or loss.

The deferred tax credit can be explained as the tax effect at 30% of the additional ₹ 5,000 depreciation relating to the revalued element of the building.

## Question 3

Following is the summarized statement of profit and loss of EARTH Limited as per Ind AS for the year ended 31st March 20X1:

Particulars	₹ in Crore
Revenue from operations	1,160.00
Other income	56.00
Total Income (A)	1,216.00
Purchase of stock-in-trade	40.00
Changes in inventories of stock-in-trade	6.00
Employee benefits expense	116.00
Finance costs	130.00
Depreciation and amortization expense	30.00
Other expenses	300.00
Total Expenses (B)	622.00
Profit Before Tax (A-B)	594.00
Current tax	165.40
Deferred tax	1.50
Tax Expenses	166.90
Profit after Tax	427.10

#### Additional information:

- Corporate income tax rate applicable to EARTH Limited is 30%.
- Other income includes long-term capital gains of  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  10 crore which are taxable at the rate of 10%.
- Other expenses include the following items which are not deductible for income tax purposes:

Item	₹ in Crore
Penalties	1.00
Impairment of goodwill	44.00
Corporate Social Responsibility expense	6.00

- Other expenses include research and development (R & D) expenditure of ₹ 8 crore in respect of which a 200% weighted deduction is available under income tax laws.
- Other income includes dividends of ₹ 4 crore, which is exempt from tax.
- Profit before tax of ₹ 594 crore includes (i) agriculture income of ₹ 55 crore

757

which is exempt from tax; and (ii) profit of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  60 crore earned in the USA on which EARTH Limited is required to pay tax at the rate of 20%.

• Depreciation as per income tax laws is ₹ 25.0 crore.

During review of the financial statements of EARTH Limited, the CFO multiplied profit before tax by the income tax rate and arrived at  $\stackrel{?}{}$  178.2 crore as the tax expense ( $\stackrel{?}{}$  594 crore x 30% =  $\stackrel{?}{}$  178.2 crore). However, actual income tax expense appearing in the summarized statement of profit and loss is  $\stackrel{?}{}$  166.9 crore.

The CFO has sought your help in reconciling the difference between the two tax expense amounts. Prepare a reconciliation containing the disclosure as required under the relevant Ind AS. (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 3

Reconciliation of income tax expense and current tax as per accounting profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X1

Particulars		₹ in
		crore
Accounting profit		594.00
Tax at the applicable tax rate of 30%		178.20
Tax effect of expenses that are not deductible in determining		
taxable profits:		
Penalties (1.00 x 30%)	0.30	
Impairment of goodwill (44.00 x 30%)	13.20	
Corporate social responsibility expense (6.00 x 30%)	1.80	15.30
Tax effect of expenses that are deductible in determining taxable		
profits:		
Research and development expenses (8.00 x 30%)		(2.40)
Tax effect of income that are exempted in determining taxable		
profits:		
Dividend income (Exempt) (4.00 x 30%)	1.20	
Agriculture income (Exempt) (55.00 x 30%)	16.50	(17.70)
Tax effect of income on which different tax rates are used for		
determining taxable profits:		
Differential income tax on long term capital gain [10.00 x (30% -	2.00	
10%)]		
Foreign income in USA [60.00 x (30%-20%)]	6.00	(8.00)
Income tax expense (Current) reported in the Statement of Profit		
and Loss for the current year		165.40

#### Reconciliation of deferred tax:

Particulars	₹ in crore
Deferred tax in relation to depreciation and amortization [(30 – 25) x 30%]	1.50
Tax expense (deferred) reported in the Statement of Profit or Loss	
for the current year	1.50

#### Question 4

On 1 January 2020, entity H acquired 100% share capital of entity S for Rs.15,00,000. The book values and the fair values of the identifiable assets and liabilities of entity S at the date of acquisition are set out below, together with their tax bases in entity S's tax jurisdictions. Any goodwill arising on the acquisition is not deductible for tax purposes. The tax rates in entity H's and entity S's jurisdictions are 30% and 40% respectively.

Acquisitions	Book	Tax base	Fair values
_	values	Rs.'000	Rs.'000
	Rs.'000		
Land and buildings	600	500	700
Property, plant and equipment	250	200	270
Inventory	100	100	80
Accounts receivable	150	150	150
Cash and cash equivalents	130	130	130
Accounts payable	(160)	(160)	(160)
Retirement benefit obligations	(100)	-	(100)

You are required to calculate the deferred tax arising on acquisition of Entity S. Also calculate the Goodwill arising on acquisition. (RTP Nov'20)

#### Answer 4

Calculation of Net assets acquired (excluding the effect of deferred tax liability):

Net assets acquired		Fair values Rs.'000
Land and buildings	500	700
Property, plant and equipment	200	270
Inventory	100	80
Accounts receivable	150	150
Cash and cash equivalents	130	130
Total assets	1,080	1,330
Accounts payable	(160)	(160)
Retirement benefit obligations	-	(100)
Net assets before deferred tax liability	920	1,070

Calculation of deferred tax arising on acquisition of entity S and goodwill

	Rs.'000	Rs.'000
Fair values of S's identifiable assets and liabilities		1,070
(excluding deferred tax)		
Less: Tax base		(920)
Temporary difference arising on acquisition		150
Net deferred tax liability arising on acquisition of		60
entity S (Rs.150,000 @ 40%)		
Purchase consideration		1,500
Less: Fair values of entity S's identifiable assets and	1,070	
liabilities (excluding deferred tax)		
Deferred tax liability	(60)	(1,010)
Goodwill arising on acquisition		490

**Note:** Since, the tax base of the goodwill is nil, taxable temporary difference of Rs.4,90,000 arises on goodwill. However, no deferred tax is recognised on the goodwill. The deferred tax on other temporary differences arising on acquisition is provided at 40% and not 30%, because taxes will be payable or recoverable in entity S's tax jurisdictions when the temporary differences will be reversed.

## Question 5

A Ltd. prepares financial statements to 31st March each year. The rate of income tax applicable to A Ltd. is 20%. The following information relates to transactions, assets and liabilities of A Ltd. during the year ended 31st March, 20X2:

- (i) A Ltd. has a 40% shareholding in L Ltd. A Ltd. purchased this shareholding for ₹ 45 Cr. The shareholding gives A Ltd. significant influence over L Ltd. but not control and therefore A Ltd. accounts for its interest in L Ltd. using the equity method. The equity method carrying value of A Ltd.'s investment in L Ltd. was ₹ 70 Cr on 31st March, 20X1 and ₹ 75 Cr on 31st March 20X2. In the tax jurisdiction in which A Ltd. operates, profits recognised under the equity method are taxed if and when they are distributed as a dividend, or the relevant investment is disposed of.
- (ii) A Ltd. measures its head office building using the revaluation model. The building is revalued every year on 31st March. On 31st March, 20X1, carrying value of the building (after revaluation) was ₹ 40 Cr and its tax base was ₹ 22 Cr. During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, A Ltd. charged depreciation in its statement of profit or loss of ₹ 2 Cr and claimed a tax deduction for tax depreciation of ₹ 1.25 Cr. On 31st March, 20X2, the building was revalued to ₹ 45 Cr. In the tax jurisdiction in which A Ltd. operates, revaluation of property, plant and equipment does not affect taxable income at the time of revaluation.

Basis the above information, you are required to compute:

- (a) The deferred tax liability of A Ltd. at 31st March, 20X2
- (b) The charge or credit to both profit or loss and other comprehensive income relating to deferred tax for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 (MTP 10 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 5

(A) Deferred Tax Liability as at 31st March, 20X2 Investment in L Ltd.:

Carrying Amount = ₹ 75 Cr

Tax base = ₹ 45 Cr (Purchase cost)

Temporary Difference = ₹ 30 Cr

Since carrying amount is higher than the tax base, the temporary difference is recognized as a taxable temporary difference. Using the tax rate of 20%, a deferred tax liability of ₹ 6 Cr is recognized:

Head office building

Carrying Amount = ₹ 45 Cr (Revalued amount on 31st March,

20X2)

Tax base = ₹ 20.75 Cr (22 Cr – 1.25 Cr)

Temporary Difference = ₹ 24.25 Cr

Since carrying amount is higher than the tax base, the temporary difference is recognized as a taxable temporary difference. Using the tax rate of 20%, a deferred tax liability of  $\gtrless$  4.85 Cr is created.

Total Deferred Tax Liability ₹ 6 Cr + ₹ 4.85 Cr = ₹ 10.85 Cr

(B) Charge to Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31st March 20X2: Investment in L Ltd.

Particulars	Carrying	Tax	Temporary
	amount	Base	Difference
Opening Balance (1st April, 20X1)	₹ 70 Cr	₹ 45 Cr	₹ 25 Cr

Closing	Balance	₹ 75 Cr	₹ 45 Cr	₹ 30 Cr
(31st	March,			
20X2)				
Net Char	nge			₹ 5 Cr
Increase in Deferred Tax Liability (20% tax rate)			₹ 1 Cr	

Considering the increase in the value of investment arising through Statement of Profit and Loss, the accounting for the increase in deferred tax liability is made as under:

Tax expense (Profit or Loss Statement)

To Deferred Tax Liability

Dr. ₹1 Cr

₹ 1 Cr

(Being increase in deferred tax liability recognized)

Head Office Building:

The deferred tax liability at 31st March, 20X1 is ₹ 3.6 Cr (20% x {₹ 40 Cr - ₹ 22 Cr}). At 31st March, 20X2, prior to revaluation, the carrying amount of the property is ₹ 38 Cr and its tax base is ₹ 20.75 Cr (₹ 22 Cr - ₹ 1.25 Cr). The deferred tax liability at this point is ₹ 3.45 Cr (20% x {₹ 38 Cr - ₹ 20.75 Cr}).

The reduction in this liability is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  0.15 Cr ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  3.6 Cr –  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  3.45 Cr). This would be credited to income tax expense in arriving at profit or loss.

Post revaluation, the carrying value of the building becomes  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  45 Cr and the tax base stays the same. Therefore, the new deferred tax liability is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  4.85 Cr (20% x ( $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  45 Cr

₹ 20.75 Cr)). The increase in the deferred tax liability of ₹ 1.4 Cr (₹ 4.85 Cr – ₹ 3.45 Cr) is charged to other comprehensive income.

#### Question 6

A's Ltd. profit before tax according to Ind AS for Year 20X1 -20X2 is Rs. 100 thousand and taxable profit for year 20X1-20X2 is Rs. 104 thousand. The difference between these amounts arose as follows:

- 1. On 1<sup>st</sup> February, 20X2, it acquired a machine for Rs. 120 thousand. Depreciation is charged on the machine on a monthly basis for accounting purpose. Under the tax law, the machine will be depreciated for 6 months. The machine's useful life is 10 years according to Ind AS as well as for tax purposes.
- 2. In the year 20X1-20X2, expenses of Rs. 8 thousand were incurred for charitable donations. These are not deductible for tax purposes.

Prepare necessary entries as at 31st March 20X2, taking current and deferred tax into account. The tax rate is 25%. Also prepare the tax reconciliation in absolute numbers as well as the tax rate reconciliation. (8 Marks MTP Oct 21, RTP May'18)

#### Answer 6

Current tax= Taxable profit x Tax rate = Rs. 104 thousand x 25% = Rs. 26 thousand Computation of Taxable Profit:

		Rs.	in
		thousand	
Accoun	nting profit		100
Add:	Donation not deductible		8
Less:	Excess Depreciation (6 - 2)		(4)
Total T	`axable profit		104

		Rs. in	Rs. in
		thousand	thousand
Profit & loss A/c	Dr.	26	
To Current Tax			26

#### Deferred tax:

Machine's carrying amount according to Ind AS = Rs. 118 thousand (Rs. 120 thousand – Rs. 2 thousand) Machine's carrying amount for taxation purpose = Rs. 114 thousand (Rs. 120 thousand – Rs. 6 thousand) Deferred Tax Liability = Rs. 4 thousand x 25%

		Rs. thous	in and
Profit & loss A/c	Dr.	1	
To Deferred Tax Liability			1

Tax reconciliation in absolute numbers:

	Rs. in
	thousand
Profit before tax according to Ind AS	100
Applicable tax rate @ 25%	
Tax	25
Expenses not deductible for tax purposes (Rs. 8 thousand x 25%)	2
Tax expense (Current and deferred)	27

## Tax rate reconciliation

Applicable tax rate	25%
Expenses not deductible for tax purposes	2%
Average effective tax rate	27%

## Question 7

QA Ltd. is in the process of computation of the deferred taxes as per applicable Ind AS and wants guidance on the tax treatment for the following:

- (i) QA Ltd. does not have taxable income as per the applicable tax laws, but pays 'Minimum Alternate Tax' (MAT) based on its books profits. The tax paid under MAT can be carried forward for the next 10 years and as per the Company's projections submitted to its bankers, it is in a position to get credit for the same by the end of eighth year. The Company is recognising the MAT credit as a current asset under IGAAP. The amount of MAT credit as on 31st March, 2016 is Rs. 8.5 crores and as on 31st March, 2017 is Rs. 9.75 crores;
- (ii) The Company measures its head office property using the revaluation model. The property is revalued every year as on 31<sup>st</sup> March. On 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2016, the carrying value of the property (after revaluation) was Rs. 40 crores whereas its tax base was Rs. 22 crores. During the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017, the Company charged depreciation in its Statement of Profit and Loss of Rs. 2 crores and claimed a tax deduction for tax depreciation of Rs. 1.25 crores. On 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017, the property was revalued to Rs.45 crores. As per the tax laws, the revaluation of Property, Plant & Equipment does not affect taxable income at the time of revaluation. The Company has no other temporary differences other than those indicated above. The Company

wants you to compute the deferred tax liability as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 and the charge/credit to the Statement of Profit and Loss and/or Other Comprehensive Income for the same. Consider the tax rate at 20%. (10 Marks MTP Mar'19)

#### Answer 7

Computation of Deferred Tax Liability

(i) MAT credit as on 31st December of Rs. 9.75 crore will be presented in the Balance Sheet as Deferred tax asset. DTA in the current year will be Rs. 1.25 crore (Rs. 9.75 crore – Rs. 8.50 crore)

(ii) (a) In case defer tax is created only on account of depreciation

if in ease delet tax is created only on account ordepreciation						
	g value	Value as per	Tax bas	Taxable/ (deductible	Total Deferred	Credit to P&L
	without revalua	x ta	е	temporary	tax liability/	during the year
	tion	records		difference	(asset) @ 20%	
A	b	С	d	E= b-d	F = e x 20%	go
31st March,	22 crore	22 crore	22	nil	nil	nil
2016			crore			
Less:	(2 crore)	(1.25				
Depreciation		crore)				
for the year						
2016- 17						
Carrying	20 crore	20.75	20.75	(0.75 crore)	DTA	DTA
value as on		crore	crore		(0.15	(0.15)
31st March,					crore)	crore)
2017						

(b) Computation of tax effect taking into account the revalued figures and adjusting impact of tax effect on account of difference in depreciation

S.		Carryin		Tax	Taxable	Total	Credit	Charged
No.		g value after revalua tion	e as per tax recor ds	base	/ (deducti ble) tempora ry differen ce	Deferr ed tax liabilit y/ (asset) @ 20%	to P&L during the year	to OCI during the year
	a	Ъ	С	d	E= b-d	F = e x 20%	യ	h
I	31st March, 2016	40 crore	22 crore	22 crore	18 crore	DTL 3.6 crore	-	DTL 3.6 crore
IV	Revalued again on 31.3.2017 (It is assumed that revaluation has been	45 crore	20.75 cror e (22- 1.25)	20.75 crore	24.25 crore	DTL 4.85 crore	DTA (0.15 crore) (Refer table (a) above)	DTL 5 crore (Refer Note below) [50 DTL (B/F) -

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt -Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

	done after taking into consideratio n the impact of depreciation for the current year)					0.15 DT = 4.85 DTI	
V	Additional DTL/DTA required during the year (IV-I)			DTL 1.25 crore	DTA (0.15 crore) (Refer table (a))	DTL (1.40 crore) (Refer Note below)	)

#### Note:

As per para 65 of Ind AS 12, when an asset is revalued for tax purposes and that revaluation is related to an accounting revaluation of an earlier period, or to one that is expected to be carried out in a future period, the tax effects on account of revaluation of asset and the adjustment of the tax base are recognised in other comprehensive income in the periods in which they occur.

Here, it is important to understand that only the tax effects on account of revaluation of asset and the adjustment of the tax base are recognised in other comprehensive income. However, tax effects on account of depreciation of asset and the adjustment of the tax base are recognized in profit and loss.

Accordingly, first of all the tax effect has been calculated assuming that there is no revaluation (Refer Table (a) above) [Since the information for the carrying value before revaluation has not been mentioned, it is assumed to be equal to the carrying amount as per the tax records]. Later the DTA arrived due to difference in depreciation is adjusted with the DTL created due to revaluation. DTA of Rs. 0.15 crore on account of depreciation will be charged to Profit and Loss and DTL of Rs. 1.40 crore will be charged to OCI. Net effect in the year 31.3.2017 will be DTL 1.25 crore (DTL 1.4 crore – DTA 0.15 crore) [Refer Table (b) above.

#### **Question 8**

QA Ltd. is in the process of computation of the deferred taxes as per applicable Ind AS. QA Ltd. had acquired 40% shares in GK Ltd. for an aggregate amount of Rs. 45 crores. The shareholding gives QA Ltd. significant influence over GK Ltd. but not control and therefore the said interest in GK Ltd. is accounted using the equity method. Under the equity method, the carrying value of investment in GK Ltd. was Rs. 70 crores on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 and Rs. 75 crores as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018. As per the applicable tax laws, profits recognized under the equity method are taxed if and when they are distributed as dividend or the relevant investment is disposed of QA Ltd. wants you to compute the deferred tax liability as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 and the charge to the Statement of Profit for the same. Consider the tax rate at 20%. (4 Marks MTP Aug '18)

#### **Answer 8**

764

## DTL created on accumulation of undistributed profits as on 31.3.2018

	Carryin g value		Tax base	Taxable temporary differences	Total Deferred tax liability @ 20%	Charged to P&L during the year
а	b	С	d	E= b-d	F = e x 20%	g
31st March, 2017	70 crore	45 crore	45 crore	25 crore	5 crore	5 crore
31st March, 2018	75 crore	45 crore	45 crore	30 crore	6 crore	1 crore (6 crore – 5 crore)

#### Question 9

A Limited recognizes interest income in its books on accrual basis. However, for income tax purposes the method is 'cash basis'. On December 31, 20X1, it has interest receivable of Rs. 10,000 and the tax rate was 25%. On February 28, 20X2, the finance bill is introduced in the legislation that changes the tax rate to 30%. The finance bill is enacted as Act on May 21, 20X2. Determine the treatment of deferred tax, as per Ind AS, in case the reporting date of A Ltd.'s financial statement is December 31, 20X1 and these are approved for issued on May 31, 20X2. (6 Marks MTP March '18)

#### Answer 9

The difference of Rs. 10,000 between the carrying value of interest receivable of Rs. 10,000 and its tax base of NIL is a taxable temporary difference.

A Limited has to recognise a deferred tax liability of Rs. 2,500 (Rs.  $10,000 \times 25\%$ ) in its financial statements for the reporting period ended on December 31, 20X1.

It will not recognise the deferred tax liability @ 30% because as on December 31, 20X1, this tax rate was neither substantively enacted or enacted on the reporting date. However, if the effect of this change is material, A Limited should disclose this difference in its financial statements.

#### Question 10

K Ltd prepares consolidated financial statements to 31st March each year. During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, K Ltd entered into the following transactions:

- (a) On 1st April, 20X1, K Ltd purchased an equity investment for ₹ 2,00,000. The investment was designated as fair value through other comprehensive income. On 31st March, 20X2, the fair value of the investment was ₹ 2,40,000. In the tax jurisdiction in which K Ltd operates, unrealised gains and losses arising on the revaluation of investments of this nature are not taxable unless the investment is sold. K Ltd has no intention of selling the investment in the foreseeable future.
- (b) On 1st August 20X1, K Ltd sold products to A Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary operating in the same tax jurisdiction as K Ltd, for ₹ 80,000. The goods had cost to K Ltd for ₹ 64,000. By 31st March 20X2, A Ltd had sold 40% of these goods, selling the remaining during next year.
- (c) On 31st October, 20X1, K Ltd received ₹ 2,00,000 from a customer. This payment was in respect of services to be provided by K Ltd from 1st November,

20X1 to 31st July, 20X2. K Ltd recognised revenue of ₹ 1,20,000 in respect of this transaction in the year ended 31st March, 20X2 and will recognise the remainder in the year ended 31st March, 20X3. Under the tax jurisdiction in which K Ltd operates, ₹ 2,00,000 received on 31st October, 20X1 was included in the taxable profits of K Ltd for the year ended 31st March, 20X2.

Explain and show how the tax consequences (current and deferred) of the three transactions would be reported in its statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income for the year ended 31st March, 20X2. Assume tax rate to be 25%. (6 Marks MTP Oct'22)

### Answer 10

- a) Because the unrealised gain on revaluation of the equity investment is not taxable until sold, there are no current tax consequences. The tax base of the investment is ₹ 2,00,000. The revaluation creates a taxable temporary difference of ₹ 40,000 (₹ 2,40,000 ₹ 2,00,000).
  - This creates a deferred tax liability of ₹ 10,000 (₹ 40,000 x 25%). The liability would be non-current. The fact that there is no intention to dispose of the investment does not affect the accounting treatment. Since, the unrealised gain is reported in other comprehensive income, the related deferred tax expense is also reported in other comprehensive income.
- b) When K Ltd. sold the products to A Ltd., K Ltd. would have generated a taxable profit of ₹ 16,000 (₹ 80,000 ₹ 64,000). This would have created a current tax liability for K Ltd and the group of ₹ 4,000 (₹ 16,000 x 25%). This liability would be shown as a current liability and charged as an expense in arriving at profit or loss for the period. In the consolidated financial statements the carrying value of the unsold inventory would be ₹ 38,400 (₹ 64,000 x 60%). The tax base of the unsold inventory would be ₹ 48,000 (₹ 80,000 x 60%). In the consolidated financial statements there would be a deductible temporary difference of ₹ 9,600 (₹ 38,400 ₹ 48,000) and a potential deferred tax asset of ₹ 2,400 (₹ 9,600 x 25%). This would be recognised as a deferred tax asset since A Ltd. is expected to generate sufficient taxable profits against which to utilise the deductible temporary difference. The resulting credit would reduce consolidated deferred tax expense in arriving at profit or loss.
- c) The receipt of revenue in advance on 1st October 20X1 would create a current tax liability of ₹ 50,000 (₹ 2,00,000 x 25%) as at 31st March 20X2. The carrying value of the revenue received in advance at 31st March 20X2 is ₹ 80,000 (₹ 2,00,000 ₹ 120,000). Its tax base is nil. The deductible temporary difference of ₹ 80,000 would create a deferred tax asset of ₹ 20,000 (₹ 80,000 x 25%). The asset can be recognised because K Ltd. has sufficient taxable profits against which to utilise the deductible temporary difference.

## **Question 11**

An entity is finalising its financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2. Before 31st March, 20X2, the government announced that the tax rate was to be amended from 40 per cent to 45 per cent of taxable profit from 30 th June, 20X2.

The legislation to amend the tax rate has not yet been approved by the legislature. However, the government has a significant majority and it is usual, in the tax jurisdiction concerned, to regard an announcement of a change in the tax rate as having the substantive effect of actual enactment (i.e. it is substantively enacted).

After performing the income tax calculations at the rate of 40 per cent, the entity has the following deferred tax asset and deferred tax liability balances:

Page **766** 

Deferred tax asset	Rs. 80,000
Deferred tax liability	Rs. 60,000

Of the deferred tax asset balance, Rs. 28,000 related to a temporary difference. This deferred tax asset had previously been recognised in OCI and accumulated in equity as a revaluation surplus.

The entity reviewed the carrying amount of the asset in accordance with para 56 of Ind AS 12 and determined that it was probable that sufficient taxable profit to allow utilisation of the deferred tax asset would be available in the future.

Show the revised amount of Deferred tax asset & Deferred tax liability and present the necessary journal entries. (RTP Nov'19)

#### Answer 11

Calculation of Deductible temporary differences:

Deferred tax asset = Rs. 80,000 Existing tax rate = 40% Deductible temporary= 80,000/40% differences

Rs. 2,00,000

## Calculation of Taxable temporary differences:

Deferred tax liability = Rs. 60,000 Existing tax rate = 40% Deductible temporary= 60,000 / 40% differences

= Rs. 1,50,000

Of the total deferred tax asset balance of Rs. 80,000, Rs. 28,000 is recognized in OCI Hence, Deferred tax asset balance of Profit & Loss is Rs. 80,000 - Rs. 28,000 = Rs. 52,000 Deductible temporary difference recognized in Profit & Loss is Rs. 1,30,000 (52,000 / 40%) Deductible temporary difference recognized in OCI is Rs. 70,000 (28,000 / 40%)

The adjusted balances of the deferred tax accounts under the new tax rate are:

Deferred tax asset		Rs.
Previously credited to OCI-equity	Rs. 70,000 x 0.45	
Previously recognised as Income	Rs. 1,30,000 x	58,500
	0.45	
		90,000
Deferred tax liability		
Previously recognized as expense	Rs. 1,50,000 x	67,500
_	0.45	

The net adjustment to deferred tax expense is a reduction of Rs. 2,500. Of this amount, Rs. 3,500 is recognised in OCl and Rs. 1,000 is charged to P&L.

The amounts are calculated as follows:

		g	amount at 40%	increase (decrease) in deferred tax expense	
Deferred to					
Previously equity	credited to	OCI-	31,500	28,000	(3,500)
Previously	recognised	l as	58,500	52,000	(6,500)

767

Income			
	90,000	80,000	(10,000)
Deferred tax liability			
Previously recognized as	67,500	60,000	7,500
expense			
Net adjustment			(2,500)

#### An alternative method of calculation is:

Rs.

DTA shown in OCI	Rs.	$70,000 \times (0.45 - 0.40)$	3,500
DTA shown in Profit or Loss	Rs.	$1,30,000 \times (0.45-0.40)$	6,500
DTL shown in Profit or Loss	Rs.	$1,50,000 \times (0.45 - 0.40)$	7,500

#### **Journal Entries**

	Rs.	Rs.
Deferred tax asset	3,500	
OCI –revaluation surplus		3,500
Deferred tax asset	6,500	
Deferred tax expense		6,500
Deferred tax expense	7,500	
Deferred tax liability		7,500

### Alternatively, a combined journal entry may be passed as follows:

		Rs.	Rs.
Deferred tax asset	Dr.	10,000	
Deferred tax expense	Dr.	1,000	
To OCI –revaluation surplus			3,500
To Deferred tax liability			7,500

## **Ouestion 12**

The entity has an identifiable asset ASSOTA with a carrying amount of Rs. 10,00,000. Its recoverable amount is Rs. 6,50,000. The tax base of ASSOTA is Rs. 8,00,000 and the tax rate is 30%. Impairment losses are not tax deductible. Entity expects to continue to earn profits in future.

For the identifiable asset ASSOTA, what would be the impact on the deferred tax asset/liability at the end of the period? (RTP May 21)

#### Answer 12

As per Ind AS 36, the revised carrying amount of asset ASSOTA would be Rs.6,50,000. The tax base of asset ASSOTA is given as Rs.8,00,000.

Carrying base of asset = Rs.6,50,000

Tax base of asset = Rs.8,00,000

Since tax base is greater than carrying base of asset, so deferred tax asset would be created on the temporary difference of Rs.1,50,000 (Rs.8,00,000 – Rs.6,50,000) at the given tax rate of 30%.

Hence, Deferred tax asset for the asset ASSOTA would be  $Rs.1,50,000 \times 30\% = Rs.45,000$ .

#### Question 13

X Ltd. prepares consolidated financial statements to 31st March each year. During the year ended 31st March 2018, the following events affected the tax position of the group:

(i) Y Ltd., a wholly owned subsidiary of X Ltd., made a loss adjusted for tax purposes of Rs. 30,00,000. Y Ltd. is unable to utilise this loss against

previous tax liabilities. Income-tax Act does not allow Y Ltd. to transfer the tax loss to other group companies. However, it allows Y Ltd. to carry the loss forward and utilise it against company's future taxable profits. The directors of X Ltd. do not consider that Y Ltd. will make taxable profits in the foreseeable future.

- (ii) Just before 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018, X Ltd. committed itself to closing a division after the year end, making a number of employees redundant. Therefore X Ltd. recognised a provision for closure costs of Rs. 20,00,000 in its statement of financial position as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018. Income-tax Act allows tax deductions for closure costs only when the closure actually takes place. In the year ended 31 March 2019, X Ltd. expects to make taxable profits which are well in excess of Rs.20,00,000. On 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018, X Ltd. had taxable temporary differences from other sources which were greater than Rs. 20,00,000.
- (iii) During the year ended 31 March 2017, X Ltd. capitalised development costs which satisfied the criteria in paragraph 57 of Ind AS 38 'Intangible Assets'. The total amount capitalised was Rs. 16,00,000. The development project began to generate economic benefits for X Ltd. from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2018. The directors of X Ltd. estimated that the project would generate economic benefits for five years from that date. The development expenditure was fully deductible against taxable profits for the year ended 31 March 2018.
- (iv) On 1 April 2017, X Ltd. borrowed Rs. 1,00,00,000. The cost to X Ltd. of arranging the borrowing was Rs. 2,00,000 and this cost qualified for a tax deduction on 1 April 2017. The loan was for a three-year period. No interest was payable on the loan but the amount repayable on 31 March 2020 will be Rs. 1,30,43,800. This equates to an effective annual interest rate of 10%. As per the Income-tax Act, a further tax deduction of Rs. 30,43,800 will be claimable when the loan is repaid on 31st March, 2020.

Explain and show how each of these events would affect the deferred tax assets / liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet of X Ltd. group at 31 March, 2018 as per Ind AS. Assume the rate of corporate income tax is 20%. (RTP Nov 18, May'19)

## Answer 13

- (i) The tax loss creates a potential deferred tax asset for the group since its carrying value is nil and its tax base is Rs. 30,00,000.

  However, no deferred tax asset can be recognised because there is no prospect of being able to reduce tax liabilities in the foreseeable future as no taxable profits are anticipated.
- (ii) The provision creates a potential deferred tax asset for the group since its carrying value is Rs. 20,00,000 and its tax base is nil.

  This deferred tax asset can be recognised because X Ltd. is expected to generate taxable profits in excess of Rs. 20,00,000 in the year to 31st March, 2019.

  The amount of the deferred tax asset will be Rs. 4,00,000 (Rs. 20,00,000 x 20%).

  This asset will be presented as a deduction from the deferred tax liabilities caused by the (larger) taxable temporary differences.
- (iii) The development costs have a carrying value of Rs. 15,20,000 (Rs. 16,00,000 (Rs. 16,00,000 x 1/5 x 3/12)).
  - The tax base of the development costs is nil since the relevant tax deduction has already been claimed.
  - The deferred tax liability will be Rs. 3,04,000 (Rs. 15,20,000 x 20%). All deferred tax

liabilities are shown as non-current.

(iv) The carrying value of the loan at  $31^{st}$  March, 2018 is Rs. 1,07,80,000 (Rs. 1,00,00,000 – Rs. 2,00,000 + (Rs. 98,00,000 x 10%)).

The tax base of the loan is Rs. 1,00,00,000.

This creates a deductible temporary difference of Rs. 7,80,000 (Rs. 1,07,80,000 – Rs. 1,00,00,000) and a potential deferred tax asset of Rs. 1,56,000 (Rs. 7,80,000 x 20%).

Due to the availability of taxable profits next year (see part (ii) above), this asset can be recognised as a deduction from deferred tax liabilities.

## Question 14

## C Ltd. acquired the following assets and liabilities of D Ltd. in a business combination: $\bar{\epsilon}$ in '000s

	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Temporar y Differenc e
Plant & equipment	500	510	(10)
Inventory	130	150	(20)
Trade receivables	200	210	(10)
Loans and advances	80	85	(5)
	910	955	(45)
10%	200	200	
Debentures			
	710	755	
Consideration Paid	760	760	
Goodwill	50	5	45

Goodwill is deductible as permissible expenses under the existing tax law. Calculate Deferred Tax Asset / liability as per relevant Ind AS and also pass related journal entry in books of C Ltd. and assume tax rate at 25%. (PYP 4 Marks Jan 21)

#### Answer 14

In this case there is a Deferred Tax Asset as the Tax base of assets acquired is higher by ₹45,000. Deferred Tax Asset would be ₹11,250 (45,000 x 25%)

#### Journal entry

Plant and equipment	Dr.	5,00,000
Inventory	Dr.	1,30,000
Trade receivables	Dr.	2,00,000
Loans and advances	Dr.	80,000
Goodwill (50,000 - 11,250)	Dr.	38,750
Deferred Tax Asset	Dr.	11,250

To 10% Debentures 2,00,000

To Bank 7,60,000

(Assets and liabilities taken over, goodwill and deferred tax asset have been recognised)

## Section - B

## Question 1

Page 770

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

An asset which cost 150 has a carrying amount of 100. Cumulative depreciation for tax purposes is 90 and the tax rate is 25%. Calculate the tax base and the corresponding deferred tax or liability, if any.

#### Answer 1

The tax base of the asset is 60 (cost of 150 less cumulative tax depreciation of  $\ref{totaleq}$  90). To recover the carrying amount of  $\ref{totaleq}$  100, the entity must earn taxable income of  $\ref{totaleq}$  100, but will only be able to deduct tax depreciation of  $\ref{totaleq}$  60. Consequently, the entity will pay income taxes of  $\ref{totaleq}$  10 ( $\ref{totaleq}$  40 at 25%) when it recovers the carrying amount of the asset. The difference between the carrying amount of  $\ref{totaleq}$  100 and the tax base of  $\ref{totaleq}$  60 is a taxable temporary difference of  $\ref{totaleq}$  40. Therefore, the entity recognizes a deferred tax liability of  $\ref{totaleq}$  10 ( $\ref{totaleq}$  40 at 25%) representing the income taxes that it will pay when it recovers the carrying amount of the asset.

## Question 2

On 1<sup>st</sup> April 20X1, ABC Ltd acquired 100% shares of XYZ Ltd for ₹ 4,373 crore. By 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X5, XYZ Ltd had made profits of ₹ 5 crore, which remain undistributed. Based on the tax legislation in India, the tax base investment in XYZ Ltd is its original cost. Assume the dividend distribution tax rate applicable is 15%. Show deferred tax treatment.

#### Answer 2

A taxable temporary difference of  $\mathfrak{T}$  5 therefore exists between the carrying value of the investment in XYZ at the reporting date of  $\mathfrak{T}$  4,378 ( $\mathfrak{T}$  4,373 +  $\mathfrak{T}$  5) and its tax base of  $\mathfrak{T}$  4,373. Since a parent, by definition, controls a subsidiary, it will be able to control the reversal of this temporary difference, for example - through control of the dividend policy of the subsidiary. Therefore, deferred tax on such temporary difference is generally not provided unless it is probable that the temporary will reverse in the foreseeable future

#### Question 3

ABC Ltd. acquired 50% of the shares in PQR Ltd. on  $1^{st}$  January, 20X1 for ₹ 1000 crore. By  $31^{st}$  March, 20X5 PQR Ltd. had made profits of ₹ 50 crore (ABC Ltd.'s share), which remained undistributed. Based on the tax legislation in India, the tax base of the investment in PQR Ltd. is its original cost. Assume the dividend distribution tax rate applicable is 15%. Show deferred tax treatment.

#### Answer 3

A taxable temporary difference of ₹ 50 therefore exists between the carrying value of the investment in PQR at the reporting date of ₹ 1,050 (₹ 1,000 + ₹ 50) and its tax base of ₹ 1,000. As ABC Ltd. does not completely control PQR Ltd. it is not in a position to control the dividend policy of PQR Ltd. As a result, it cannot control the reversal of this temporary difference and deferred tax is provided on temporary differences arising on investments in joint venture (50 x 15%).

#### Question 4

A company had purchased an asset at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  1,00,000. Estimated useful life of the asset is 5 years and depreciation rate is 20% SLM. Depreciation rate for tax purposes is 25% SLM. The operating profit is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  1,00,000 for all the 5 years. Tax rate is 30% for the next 5 years. Calculate the Book Value as per financial and tax purposes and then DTL.

#### Answer 4

Calculation of the Book Value as per financial and tax purposes.

777

₹ 000's

## Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

## Financial Accounting:

8					
Year	1	2	3	4	5
Gross Block	100	100	100	100	100
Accumulated Depreciation	20	40	60	80	100
Carrying Amount	80	60	40	20	0

Tax Accounting:					₹ 000°
Year			1	•	
Gross Block	100	100	100	100	100
Accumulated Depreciation	25	50	75	100	100
Carrying Amount	75	50	25	0	0

Calculation of DTL:					₹ 000's
Year			1		:
Carrying Amount Tax Base	80	60	40	20	0
	75	50	25	0	0
Difference	5	10	15	20	0
Deferred Tax Liability (Difference x 30%)	1.5	3	4.5	6	0

## Question 5

A Ltd. acquired B Ltd. The following assets and liabilities are acquired in a business combination: 000's

	Fair Value	Carrying amount	Temporary Difference
Plant and Equipment	250	260	(10)
Inventory	120	125	(5)
Debtors	200	210	(10)
	570	595	(25)
9% Debentures	(100)	(100)	
	470	495	
Consideration paid	500	500	
Goodwill	30	5	(25)

## Calculate Deferred Tax Asset.

#### Answer 5

In this case there is a Deferred Tax Asset as the Tax base of assets acquired is higher by 25,000. DTA would be ₹ 7,500 (25,000 x 30%)

## Journal entry:

Plant and equipment	Dr	250
Inventory	Dr	120

Debtors	Dr	200	
Goodwill	Dr	22.5 (30- 7.5)	
DTA	Dr	7.5	
To 9% Debentures			100

To Bank		500

### Question 6

B Limited is a newly incorporated entity. Its first financial period ends on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1. As on the said date, the following temporary differences exist:

- (a) Taxable temporary differences relating to accelerated depreciation of ₹ 9,000. These are expected to reverse equally over next 3 years.
- (b) Deductible temporary differences of ₹ 4,000 expected to reverse equally over next 4 years.

It is expected that B Limited will continue to make losses for next 5 years. Tax rate is 30%. Losses can be carried forward but not backwards. Discuss the treatment of deferred tax as on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X1.

#### Answer 6

The year-wise anticipated reversal of temporary differences is as under:

Particulars	Year ending on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	Year ending on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X3	Year ending on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X4	Year ending on 31st March, 20X5
Reversal of taxable temporary difference relating to accelerated depreciation over next 3 years (₹ 9,000/3) Reversal of deductible temporary difference relating to preliminary expenses over	3,00	3,00	3,00	Nil
next 4 years (₹ 4,000/4)			1.00	1,00
	1,00	1,00	1,00	0

B Limited will recognise a deferred tax liability of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,700 on taxable temporary difference relating to accelerated depreciation of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  9,000 @ 30%.

However, it will limit and recognise a deferred tax asset on reversal of deductible temporary difference relating to preliminary expenses reversing up to year ending  $31^{\text{st}}$  March, 20X4 amounting to ₹ 900 (₹ 3,000 @ 30%). No deferred tax asset shall be recognized for the reversal of deductible temporary difference for the year ending on  $31^{\text{st}}$  March, 20X5 as there are no taxable temporary differences. Further, the outlook is also a loss. However, if there are tax planning opportunities that could be identified for the year ending on  $31^{\text{st}}$  March, 20X5 deferred tax asset on the remainder of ₹ 1,000 (₹ 4,000 – ₹ 3,000) of deductible temporary difference could be recognised at the 30% tax rate.

## Question 7

X Ltd. prepares consolidated financial statements to 31<sup>st</sup> March each year. During the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March 2018, the following events affected the tax position of the group:

(i) Y Ltd., a wholly owned subsidiary of X Ltd., made a loss adjusted for tax purposes of ₹ 30,00,000. Y Ltd. is unable to utilise this loss against previous tax liabilities. Income- tax Act does not allow Y Ltd. to transfer the tax loss

# to other group companies. However, it allows Y Ltd. to carry the loss

forward and utilise it against company's future taxable profits. The directors of X Ltd. do not consider that Y Ltd. will make taxable profits in the foreseeable future.

- (ii) Just before 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018, X Ltd. committed itself to closing a division after the year end, making a number of employees redundant. Therefore, X Ltd. recognised a provision for closure costs of ₹ 20,00,000 in its statement of financial position as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018. Income-tax Act allows tax deductions for closure costs only when the closure actually takes place. In the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March 2019, X Ltd. expects to make taxable profits which are well in excess of ₹ 20,00,000. On 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018, X Ltd. had taxable temporary differences from other sources which were greater than ₹ 20,00,000.
- (iii) During the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017, X Ltd. capitalised development costs which satisfied the criteria in paragraph 57 of Ind AS 38 'Intangible Assets'. The total amount capitalised was ₹ 16,00,000. The development project began to generate economic benefits for X Ltd. from 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2018. The directors of X Ltd. estimated that the project would generate economic benefits for five years from that date. The development expenditure was fully deductible against taxable profits for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018.
- (iv) On 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017, X Ltd. borrowed ₹ 1,00,00,000. The cost to X Ltd. of arranging the borrowing was ₹ 2,00,000 and this cost qualified for a tax deduction on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017. The loan was for a three-year period. No interest was payable on the loan but the amount repayable on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2020 will be ₹ 1,30,43,800. This equates to an effective annual interest rate of 10%. As per the Income-tax Act, a further tax deduction of ₹ 30,43,800 will be claimable when the loan is repaid on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2020. Explain and show how each of these events would affect the deferred tax assets / liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet of X Ltd. group at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 as per Ind AS. Assume the rate of corporate income tax is 20%.

#### Answer 7

- (i) The tax loss creates a potential deferred tax asset for the group since its carrying value—is nil and its tax base is ₹ 30,00,000. However, no deferred tax asset can be recognised because there is no prospect of being able to reduce tax liabilities in the foreseeable future as no taxable profits are anticipated.
- (ii) The provision creates a potential deferred tax asset for the group since its carrying value is ₹ 20,00,000 and its tax base is nil.

  This deferred tax asset can be recognised because X Ltd. is expected to generate taxable profits in excess of ₹ 20,00,000 in the year to 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2019.

The amount of the deferred tax asset will be ₹ 4,00,000 (₹ 20,00,000 x 20%). This asset will be presented as a deduction from the deferred tax liabilities caused by the (larger) taxable temporary differences.

- (iii) The development costs have a carrying value of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{$\sim$}}$  15,20,000 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{$\sim$}}$  16,00,000 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{$\sim$}}$  16,00,000 x 1/5 x 3/12)).
  - The tax base of the development costs is nil since the relevant tax deduction has already been claimed.
  - The deferred tax liability will be ₹ 3,04,000 (₹ 15,20,000 x 20%). All deferred tax

liabilities are shown as non-current.

(iv) The carrying value of the loan at 31st March, 2018 is ₹ 1,07,80,000 (₹ 1,00,00,000 -

 $\neq 2,00,000 + (\neq 98,00,000 \times 10\%)$ .

The tax base of the loan is  $\ge 1,00,00,000$ .

This creates a deductible temporary difference of ₹ 7,80,000 (₹ 1,07,80,000 – ₹ 1,00,00,000) and a potential deferred tax asset of ₹ 1,56,000 (₹  $7,80,000 \times 20\%$ ).

Due to the availability of taxable profits next year (see part (ii) above), this asset can be recognised as a deduction from deferred tax liabilities.

## Question 8

PQR Ltd., a manufacturing company, prepares consolidated financial statements to 31st March each year. During the year ended 31st March, 2018, the following events affected the tax position of the group:

- QPR Ltd., a wholly owned subsidiary of PQR Ltd., incurred a loss adjusted for tax purposes of ₹ 30,00,000. QPR Ltd. is unable to utilise this loss against previous tax liabilities. Income-tax Act does not allow QPR Ltd. to transfer the tax loss to other group companies. However, it allows QPR Ltd. to carry the loss forward and utilise it against company's future taxable profits. The directors of PQR Ltd. do not consider that QPR Ltd. will make taxable profits in the foreseeable future.
- During the year ended 31st March, 2018, PQR Ltd. capitalised development costs which satisfied the criteria as per Ind AS 38 'Intangible Assets'. The total amount capitalised was ₹ 16,00,000. The development project began to generate economic benefits for PQR Ltd. from 1st January, 2018. The directors of PQR Ltd. estimated that the project would generate economic benefits for five years from that date. The development expenditure was fully deductible against taxable profits for the year ended 31st March, 2018.
- On 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017, PQR Ltd. borrowed ₹ 1,00,00,000. The cost to PQR Ltd. of arranging the borrowing was ₹ 2,00,000 and this cost qualified for a tax deduction on 1st April 2017. The loan was for a three-year period. No interest was payable on the loan but the amount repayable on 31st March 2020 will be ₹ 1,30,43,800. This equates to an effective annual interest rate of 10%. As per the Income-tax Act, a further tax deduction of ₹ 30,43,800 will be claimable when the loan is repaid on 31st March, 2020.

Explain and show how each of these events would affect the deferred tax assets / liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet of PQR Ltd. group at 31st March, 2018 as per Ind AS. The rate of corporate income tax is 30%.

#### Answer 8

## Impact on consolidated balance sheet of PQR Ltd. group at 31st March, 2018

- The tax loss creates a potential deferred tax asset for the POR Ltd. group since its carrying value is nil and its tax base is ₹ 30,00,000. However, no deferred tax asset can be recognised because there is no prospect of being able to reduce tax liabilities in the foreseeable future as no taxable profits are anticipated.
- The development costs have a carrying value of ₹ 15,20,000 (₹ 16,00,000 -(₹ 16,00,000 x 1/5 x 3/12)). The tax base of the development costs is nil since the L∩ relevant tax deduction has already been claimed. The deferred tax liability will be ₹4,56,000 (₹15,20,000 x 30%). All deferred tax liabilities are shown as non-current.
- The carrying value of the loan at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 is ₹ 1,07,80,000 (₹ 1,00,00,000

- ₹ 200,000 + (₹ 98,00,000 x 10%)). The tax base of the loan is 1,00,00,000. This creates a deductible temporary difference of ₹ 7,80,000 and a potential deferred tax asset of ₹ 2,34,000 (₹ 7,80,000 x 30%).

### Question 9

An entity is finalising its financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2. Before 31st March, 20X2, the government announced that the tax rate was to be amended from 40 per cent to 45 per cent of taxable profit from 30th June, 20X2. The legislation to amend the tax rate has not yet been approved by the legislature. However, the government has a significant majority and it is usual, in the tax jurisdiction concerned, to regard an announcement of a change in the tax rate as having the substantive effect of actual enactment (i.e. it is substantively enacted). After performing the income tax calculations at the rate of 40 per cent, the entity has the following deferred tax asset and deferred tax liability balances:

Deferred tax asset	₹ 80,000
Deferred tax liability	₹ 60,000

Of the deferred tax asset balance, ₹ 28,000 related to a temporary difference. This deferred tax asset had previously been recognised in OCI and accumulated in equity as a revaluation surplus.

The entity reviewed the carrying amount of the asset in accordance with para 56 of Ind AS 12 and determined that it was probable that sufficient taxable profit to allow utilisation of the deferred tax asset would be available in the future.

Show the revised amount of Deferred tax asset & Deferred tax liability and present the necessary journal entries.

#### Answer 9

## Calculation of Deductible temporary differences:

earearation of Beaderiore temp	orary c	tiller elleco.
Deferred tax asset	:	₹ 80,000
Existing tax rate	:	40%
Deductible temporary differences	;	80,000/40%
	:	₹ 2,00,000

## Calculation of Taxable temporary differences:

Deferred tax liability ₹ 60,000

Existing tax rate 40%

Deductible temporary differences = 60,000 / 40% ₹ 1,50,000

Of the total deferred tax asset balance of ₹80,000, ₹28,000 is recognized in OCI Hence, Deferred tax asset balance of Profit & Loss is ₹80,000 - ₹28,000 = ₹ 52,000 Deductible temporary difference recognized in Profit & Loss is ₹ 1,30,000 (52,000 / 40%) Deductible temporary difference recognized in OCI is ₹ 70,000 (28,000 / 40%)

The adjusted balances of the deferred tax accounts under the new tax rate are:

Deferred tax asset		
Previously credited to OCI-equity	₹ 70,000 x 0.45	31,500
Previously recognised as Income	₹ 1,30,000 x 0.45	58,500
		90,000

Deferred tax liability		
Previously recognized as expense	₹ 1,50,000 x 0.45	67,500

The net adjustment to deferred tax expense is a reduction of ₹ 2,500. Of this amount, Rs.3,500 is recognized in OCI and Rs.1,000 is charged to P& L The amounts are calculated as follows:

	Carryin g	Carryin g	Increase (decrease) in
	amoun t at 45%	amoun t at 40%	deferred tax expense
Deferred tax assets			
Previously credited to OCI-equity	31,500	28,000	(3,500)
Previously recognised as Income	58,500	52,000	(6,500)
	90,000	80,000	(10,000)
Deferred tax liability			
Previously recognized as expense	67,500	60,000	7,500
Net adjustment			(2,500)

An alternative method of calculation is:		
DTA shown in OCI ₹ 70,000 x (0.45 - 0.40)	3,500	
DTA shown in Profit or Loss ₹ 1,30,000 x (0.45-0.40)	6,500	
DTL shown in Profit or Loss ₹ 1,50,000 x (0.45 -0.40	7,500	

## Journal Entries

	THAT BITCHES		
Deferred tax asset	Dr.	3,500	
OCI –revaluation surplus			3,500
Deferred tax asset	Dr.	6,500	
Deferred tax expense			6,500
Deferred tax expense	Dr.	7,500	
Deferred tax liability			7,500

### Alternatively, a combined journal entry may be passed as follows:

Deferred tax asset	Dr.	10,000	
Deferred tax expense	Dr.	1,000	
To OCI –revaluation s	surplus		3,500
To Deferred tax liabil	ity		7,500

#### Question 10

On 1 January 2020, entity H acquired 100% share capital of entity S for ₹15,00,000. The book values and the fair values of the identifiable assets and liabilities of entity S at the date of acquisition are set out below, together with their tax bases in entity S's tax jurisdictions. Any goodwill arising on the acquisition is not deductible for tax purposes. The tax rates in entity H's and entity S's jurisdictions are 30% and 40% respectively.

7/ 986

Acquisitions	Book values	Tax base	Fair values
_	₹'000	₹'000	₹'000
Land and buildings	600	500	700
Property, plant and equipment	250	200	270
Inventory	100	100	80
Accounts receivable	150	150	150
Cash and cash equivalents	130	130	130
Accounts payable	(160)	(160)	(160)
Retirement benefit obligations	(100)	-	(100)

You are required to calculate the deferred tax arising on acquisition of Entity S. Also calculate the Goodwill arising on acquisition.

#### Answer 10

Calculation of Net assets acquired (excluding the effect of deferred tax liability):

Net assets acquired	Tax base ₹'000	Fair values ₹'000
Land and buildings	500	700
Property, plant and equipment	200	270
Inventory	100	80
Accounts receivable	150	150
Cash and cash equivalents	130	130
Total assets	1,080	1,330
Accounts payable	(160)	(160)
Retirement benefit obligations	-	(100)
Net assets before deferred tax liability	920	1,070

Calculation of deferred tax arising on acquisition of entity S and goodwill

Calculation of deferred tax arising on acquisit	tion of entity s	s ana gooaw
	₹'000	₹'00
		0
Fair values of S's identifiable assets and liabilities		1,070
(excluding deferred tax)		
Less: Tax base		(920)
Temporary difference arising on acquisition		150
Net deferred tax liability arising on acquisition of		60
entity S (₹150,000 @ 40%)		
Purchase consideration		1,500
Less: Fair values of entity S's identifiable assets and	1,070	
liabilities (excluding deferred tax)		
Deferred tax liability	(60)	(1,010
·	, ,	)
Goodwill arising on acquisition		490

**Note:** Since, the tax base of the goodwill is nil, taxable temporary difference of ₹4,90,000 arises on goodwill. However, no deferred tax is recognised on the goodwill. The deferred tax on other temporary differences arising on acquisition is provided at

40% and not 30%, because taxes will be payable or recoverable in entity S's tax jurisdictions when the temporary differences will be reversed.

### Question 11 A(Illustration)

H Ltd. is a manufacturing company, wanting to calculate its taxable profit or loss for the year ended 31 March 20X8. The statement of profit and loss and other comprehensive income, the balance sheet and the notes are given below. Tax rate for the financial year 20X7-20X8 is 30%, but the new tax rate of 32%, for the year 20X8-20X9 and beyond, has already been enacted before the year end. Calculate taxable profit for the financial year 20X7-20X8 and the related current tax expense.

Balance Sheet as of 31 March 20X8

UI 31 March 20A6	
	₹
	,
	4,20,00,000
	21,00,000
	1,54,00,000
	72,80,000
	2,19,10,000
	1,06,40,000
	63,00,000
TOTAL ASSETS	10,56,30,000
	4,20,00,000
	2,86,24,330
	30,80,000

Non-current liabilities		
Deferred income - government		14,00,000
grants		
Liability for product warranty		5,60,000
costs		
Deferred tax liability (from		7,75,670
20X6-20X7)		
Current liabilities		
Trade payables		2,67,40,000
Medical benefits for employees		24,50,000
	TOTAL EQUITY &	10,56,30,00
	LIABILITIES	0

Extract of Statement of profit and loss for the year ended 31 March 20X8

6/7

Revenue	16,81,40,000
Cost of sales	(13,44,00,000)
Gross profit	3,37,40,000
Operating costs	(2,68,80,000)
Profit from operations	68,60,000
Finance costs	(9,10,000)
Profit before taxation	59,50,000

#### **Notes:**

Depreciation expense for the year financial year 20X7-20X8 allowable as per the Income Tax Rules is ₹ 72,10,000. Depreciation as allowed for the purposes of financial reporting included in operating costs is 59,50,000. Cost of PPE is 5,60,00,000 and H Ltd. deducted expenses of ₹ 1,45,60,000 in its tax returns prior to financial year 20X7-20X8. Further, as of 31 March 20X8, H Ltd. for the first time revalued its property, plant and equipment to market value of 4,20,00,000 (revaluation surplus = 30,80,000).

In 20X4-20X5, H Ltd. incurred product development costs of ₹35,00,000. These costs were recognized as an asset and amortized over period of 10 years. For tax purposes, H Ltd. deducted full product development costs when they were in 20X4-20X5.

Trading investments were acquired in the preceding year at a cost of ₹80,50,000. These investments are classified as at fair value through profit or loss and thus recognized in their fair value. Fair value adjustments are not allowable by the tax authorities

Bad debt provision amounts to 45,50,000 and relates to 2 debtors: debtor A -28,00,000 (receivable originates in 20X5-20X6 and 100% provision was recognized in the preceding year) and debtor B -17,50,000 (receivable originates in 20X6-20X7 and 100% provision was recognized in F.Y. 20X7-20X8). Tax law allows deduction of 20% of provision for debtors overdue for more than 1 year, another 30% for debtors overdue for more than 2 years and remaining 50% for debtors overdue for more than 3 years.

H Ltd. created a provision for inventory obsolescence in accordance with Ind AS 2 requirements. New provision created in 20X7-20X8 was 3,78,000 (total provision: 6,30,000). Being a general provision, this provision is not tax deductible.

Government grants are not taxable. Full government grant received in 20X7-20X8 is included in the balance sheet.

In 20X7-20X8, H Ltd. increased a liability for product warranty costs by 1,75,000. Product warranty costs are not tax deductible until the company pays claims. Claims paid in 20X7-20X8 amounted to 2,17,000.

During the year, H Ltd. introduced health care benefits for employees. The expenses are allowable for tax purposes only when benefits are paid but in line with Ind AS 19, recognized in profit or loss when employees provide service.

Penalties towards violation of laws included in operating expenses amount to 63,000. These are not deductible for tax purposes.

Tax law allows to deduct expenses for petrol only up to 1,40,000 per vehicle per year. H Ltd. had 4 vehicles in 20X7-20X8 and its total petrol expenses amounted to 7,21,000.

Note: This illustration is prepared for the purposes of understanding the computation of current tax and is in no way based on the provisions of the Income Tax Act, 1961. For the purposes of Financial Reporting, the tax treatments will be given in the question.

## Answer 11

Calculation of current tax expense

	(A)	59,50,000
		59,50,000
		3,50,000
		7,70,000
		17,50,000
		3,78,000
		1,75,000
		24,50,000
		63,000
		1,61,000
(B)		120,47,000

Deduct:		
Tax depreciation		(72,10,000)
Tax allowance for bad debt provisions (W.N.2)		(11,90,000)
Product warranty costs provision - claims paid		(2,17,000)
Total	(C)	(86,17,000)
Taxable profit / loss:	(A+B-C)	93,80,000
Tax rate is 30%		
Current income tax (93,80,000 x 30%)		28,14,000

## Journal Entry

the state of the s		
Profit or loss - Current income tax expense Dr.	28,14,00	
To Credit Current income tax liabilities	0	28,14,00
		0

## Working Notes:

worming notes.	
1. Product development costs:	
Annual amortization (	3,50,000
35,00,000/ 10)	
2. Bad debt provisions:	
Debtor A - 28,00,000 from 20X5	
20X	
> 2 years - 30% deductible in 20X7	8,40,000

20X		
Debtor B - 17,50,000 from 20X6		
20X'		
> 1 year - 20% deductible in 20X7		3,50,000
20X		
Total - tax deductible in 20X7-		11,90,000
20X8		
3. Petrol expenses		
Actual expenses		7,21,000
Tax deductible (4 x 140,000)		5,60,000
Excess		1,61,000
	****	

## Question 11 B (in continuation to Illustration 1A)

Based on the balance sheet and notes of H Ltd. from previous example, calculate tax base of its assets and liabilities as of 31 March 20X8. Note that balance sheet has been adjusted by current tax expense and liability.

Balance Sheet as of 31 March 20X8			
ASSETS	<b>∌</b>		
Non-current assets			
Property, plant and equipment	420,00,000		
Product development costs	21,00,000		
Investment in subsidiary – S Ltd. Current assets Trading investments Trade receivables Inventories Cash and cash equivalents  EQUITY & LIABILITIES Equity Share capital Accumulated profits Revaluation surplus Long-term liabilities Deferred income - government	72,80,000 219,10,000 106,40,000 63,00,000 10,56,30,00 0		
grants Liability for product warranty costs Deferred tax liability (from 20X6-20X7) Current liabilities Trade payables Medical benefits for employees	420,00,000 258,10,330 30,80,000		
Current Tax Liability	14,00,000 5,60,000 7,75,670		

Total Equity & Liabilities	267,40,000 24,50,000
	28,14,000 10,56,30,00 0

Remaining information are same as per Illustration 1A.

### Answer 11

## **Determination of Tax Base**

Item	Carrying	Tax base
	amount	
Property, plant and equipment	420,00,000	342,30,000
Product development costs	21,00,000	0
Investment in subsidiary	154,00,000	154,00,000
Trading investments	72,80,000	80,50,000
Trade receivables	219,10,000	247,10,000
Inventories	106,40,000	112,70,000
Cash and cash equivalents	63,00,000	63,00,000
Deferred income - government grants	-14,00,000	0
Liability for product warranty costs	-5,60,000	0
Trade payables	-267,40,000	-267,40,000
Health care benefits for employees	-24,50,000	0

Working Notes:

Wor	king Notes:		
1.	Property, plant and equipment		
	Cost	560,00,000	
	Less: current tax depreciation	(72, 10, 000)	
	Less: PY tax depreciation	(145,60,000)	
	Tax base	3,42,30,000	
2.	Trade receivables - bad debt provisions:		
I	Calculation of cost		
	Carrying amount	219,10,000	
	Add back: bad debt provision	45,50,000	
	Cost	2,64,60,000	A
II	Debtor A - 28,00,000 from 20X5-20X6		
	> 1 year - 20% deducted in 20X6-20X7	5,60,000	
	> 2 years - 30% deducted in 20X7-20X8	8,40,000	
	Already deducted for tax:	14,00,000	
III	Debtor B -17,50,000 from 20X6-20X7		
I	I	l	I

 $^{\mathrm{age}}$ 

> 1 year - 20% deducted in 20X7-20X8	3,50,000	
Total deducted for tax purposes	17,50,000	В
Tax base of trade receivables:	2,47,10,000	A-B

## Illustration 12 C (in continuation to Illustration 1A):

Based on the data from above illustration 1A of H Ltd., calculate temporary differences and deferred tax. Note from Illustration 1A: Tax rate for 20X7-20X8 is 30%, but the new tax rate of 32% for the year 20X8-20X9 and beyond has already been enacted before the year end.

#### Answer 12

Calculation of Temporary Differences / Deferred Tax

Item	Carryi	Tax base	Tempor	Taxa	DTA /
	ng		ary	ble /	DTL
	amou		differe	deduc	at
	nt		nce	tible	32%
Property, plant and	4,20,00,00	3,42,30,00	77,70,000	taxable	(24,86,40
equipment	0	0			0)
Product development costs	21,00,000	0	21,00,000	taxable	(6,72,000)
Investment in	1,54,00,00	1,54,00,00	0		0
subsidiary S Ltd.	0	0			
Trading investments	72,80,000	80,50,000	(7,70,000)	deductib le	2,46,400
Trade receivables	2,19,10,00	2,47,10,00	(28,00,00	deductib	8,96,000
	0	0	0)	le	
Inventories	1,06,40,00	1,12,70,00	(6,30,000)	deductib	2,01,600
	0	0		le	
Cash and cash equivalents	63,00,000	63,00,000	0		0
Deferred income -	(14,00,000)	0	(14,00,00	excluded	0
government grants			(0)		
Liability for product	(5,60,000)	0	(5,60,000)	deductib	1,79,200
warranty costs	,		,	le	
Trade payables	(2,67,40,00	(2,67,40,00	0		0
	0)	0)			
Medical benefits for	(24,50,000)	0	(24,50,00	deductib	7,84,000
employees			0)	le	
Deferred tax asset -					23,07,200
total					
Deferred tax liability -					(31,58,40
total					0)
Deferred tax total					(8,51,200)

#### Question 12(Illustration)

The directors of H Ltd. wish to recognise a material deferred tax asset in relation to 250 Cr of unused trading losses which have accumulated as at 31st March 20X1. H Ltd. has budgeted profits for 80 Cr for the year ended 31st March 20X2. The directors have forecast that profits will grow by 20% each year thereafter.

However, the market is currently depressed and sales orders are at a lower level for the first quarter of 20X2 than they were for the same period in any of the previous five years. On extrapolating the sales order book, it is noted that the improvement in trading results may occur after the next couple of years to come at the position of breakeven and the budgeted profits shared by the directors of H Ltd. do not appear to be in line with the sales order book. H Ltd. operates under a tax jurisdiction which allows for trading losses to be only carried forward for a maximum of two years.

Analyse whether a deferred tax asset can be recognized in the financial statements of H Ltd. for the year ended 31st March 20X1?

#### Answer 12

In relation to unused trading losses, the carrying amount is zero since the losses have not yet been recognised in the financial statements of H Ltd. A potential deferred tax asset does arise but the determination of the tax base is more problematic.

The tax base of an asset is the amount which will be deductible against taxable economic benefits from recovering the carrying amount of the asset. Where recovery of an asset will have no tax consequences, the tax base is equal to the carrying amount. H Ltd. operates under a tax jurisdiction which only allows losses to be carried forward for two years. The maximum the tax base could be is therefore equal to the amount of unused losses for years 20X0 and 20X1 since these only are available to be deducted from future profits. The tax base though needs to be restricted to the extent that there is a probability of sufficient future profits to offset the trading losses. The directors of H Ltd. should base their forecast of the future profitability on reasonable and supportable assumptions. There appears to be evidence that this is not the case.

H Ltd. has accumulated trading losses and there is little evidence that there will be an improvement in trading results within the next couple of years. The market is depressed and sales orders for the first quarter of 20X2 are below levels in any of the previous five years.

The forecast profitability for 20X2 and subsequent growth rate therefore appear to be unrealistically optimistic.

Given that losses can only be carried forward for a maximum of two years; it is unlikely that any deferred tax asset should be recognised.

Hence, the contention of directors to recognized deferred tax assets in relation to 250 crores is not correct.

## Question 13(Illustration)

On 1st April 20X1, S Ltd. leased a machine over a 5 year period. The present value of lease liability is 120 Cr (discount rate of 8%) and is recognized as lease liability and corresponding Right of Use (RoU) Asset on the same date. The RoU Asset is depreciated under straight line method over the 5 years. The annual lease rentals are 30 Cr payable starting 31st March 20X2. The tax law permits tax deduction on the basis of payment of rent.

Assuming tax rate of 30%, you are required to explain the deferred tax consequences for the above transaction for the year ended 31st March 20X2.

#### Answer 13

A temporary difference effectively arises between the value of the machine for accounting purposes and the amount of lease liability, since the rent payment is eligible for tax deduction.

Tax base of the machine is nil as the amount is not eligible for deduction for tax purposes.

<sup>386</sup>785

Tax base of the lease liability is nil as it is measured at carrying amount less any future tax deductible amount

Recognition of deferred tax on 31st March 20X2:

Carrying amount in balance sheet

₹	96.00 Dr Lease
,	99.60 Cr
₹	3.60 Cr
₹	0.00 Cr
\ ₹	3.60 Cr
<b>\</b> ₹	1.08 Cr
	₹₹₹₹₹

## Question 14(Illustration)

On 1st April 20X1, A Ltd. acquired 12 Cr shares (representing 80% stake) in B Ltd. by means of a cash payment of 25 Cr. It is the group policy to value the noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries at the date of acquisition at fair value. The market value of an equity share in B Ltd. at 1st April 20X1 can be used for this purpose. On 1st April 20X1, the market value of a B Ltd. share was 2.00

On 1st April 20X1, the individual financial statements of B Ltd. showed the net assets at 23 Cr.

The directors of A Ltd. carried out a fair value exercise to measure the identifiable assets and liabilities of B Ltd. at 1st April 20X1. The following matters emerged:

- Property having a carrying value of 15 Cr at 1st April 20X1 had an estimated market value of 18 Cr at that date.
- Plant and equipment having a carrying value of 11 Cr at 1st April 20X1 had an estimated market value of 13 Cr at that date.
- Inventory in the books of B Ltd. is shown at a cost of 2.50 Cr. The fair value of the inventory on the acquisition date is

The fair value adjustments have not been reflected in the individual financial statements of B Ltd. In the consolidated financial statements, the fair value adjustments will be regarded as temporary differences for the purposes of computing deferred tax. The rate of deferred tax to apply to temporary differences is 20%.

Assume that the current book value (prior to fair valuation exercise under Ind AS 103) equals the tax base.

Calculate the deferred tax impact on above and calculate the goodwill arising on acquisition of B Ltd.

### Answer 14

## Computation of Net Assets of B Ltd.

As per books	<b>=</b>	23.00 Cr
Add: Fair value differences not recognized in books of B I	Ltd.:	
Property (18 Cr – 15 Cr)	<b>x</b>	3.00 Cr
Plant and Equipment (13 Cr – 11 Cr)	<b>₹</b>	2.00 Cr
Inventory (3 Cr – 2.5 Cr)	₹	0.50 Cr
28.5 Cr	₹	

Less: Deferred tax liability on fair value difference @ 
$$20\%$$
 [(3 Cr + 2 Cr + 0.50 Cr) x  $20\%$ ] Total Net Assets at Fair Value

(1.10 Cr) 27.40 Cr

Computation of Goodwill:

## Question 15(Illustration)

On 1st April 20X1, P Ltd. had granted 1 Cr share options worth 4 Cr (fair value) subject to a two- year vesting period. The income tax law permits a tax deduction at the exercise date of the intrinsic value of the options. The intrinsic value of the options at 31st March 20X2 was 1.60 Cr and at 31st March 20X3 was 4.60 Cr. The increase in the fair value of the options on 31st March 20X3 was not foreseeable at 31st March 20X2. The options were exercised at 31st March 20X3. Give the accounting for the above transaction for deferred tax for period ending 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3. Assume that there are sufficient taxable profits available in future against any deferred tax assets. Tax rate of 30% is applicable to P Ltd.

## Answer 15

wer 15		
On 31st March 20X2:		
The tax benefit is calculated as under:		
Carrying amount of Share based payment		₹0.00 Cr
Tax Base of Share based payment ( 1.60 Cr x ½)		₹0.80 Cr
Temporary Difference (Carrying amount – tax base)		₹0.80 Cr
Deferred Tax Asset recognized (Temporary Difference		
x Tax rate)		
(0.80 Cr x 30%)		₹0.24 Cr
Journal Entry for above:		
Deferred Tax Asset Dr.	₹0.24	
	Cr	
To Tax Expense		₹0.24 Cr

(Being DTA recognized on equity option)

On 31st March 20X3:

The options have been exercised and a current tax benefit will be available to the entity on the basis of intrinsic value of 4.60 Cr. Initially recognized deferred tax asset will no longer be required.

The accounting entry will be done as under:

Tax Expense Dr ₹0.24 Cr

To Deferred Tax Asset ₹0.24 Cr

(Being DTA reversed on the exercise of the option)

## Question 16(Illustration)

787 age

A Ltd.'s profit before tax according to Ind AS for Year 20X1-20X2 is 100 thousand and taxable profit for year 20X1-20X2 is 104 thousand. The difference between these amounts arose as follows:

- 1. On 1st February, 20X2, it acquired a machine for 120 thousand. Depreciation is charged on the machine on a monthly basis for accounting purpose. Under the tax law, the machine will be depreciated for 6 months. The machine's useful life is 10 years according to Ind AS as well as for tax purposes.
- 2. In the year 20X1-20X2, expenses of 8 thousand were incurred for charitable donations. These are not deductible for tax purposes.

Prepare necessary entries as at 31st March 20X2, taking current and deferred tax into account. The tax rate is 25%. Also prepare the tax reconciliation in absolute numbers as well as the tax rate reconciliation.

#### Answer 16

Current tax= Taxable profit x Tax rate = ₹104 thousand x 25% = ₹26 thousand. Computation of Taxable Profit:

	in thousand
Accounting profit	100
Add: Donation not deductible	8
Less: Excess Depreciation (6-2)	(4)
Total Taxable profit	104

		in thousand	in thousand
Profit & loss A/c	Dr.	26	
To Current Tax			26

### Deferred tax:

Machine's carrying amount according to Ind AS is ₹118 thousand (120 thousand – 2 thousand)

Machine's carrying amount for taxation purpose = ₹114 thousand (120 thousand – 6 thousand)

Deferred Tax Liability = ₹4 thousand x 25%

		in tl	nousan
Profit & loss A/c	Dr.	1	
To Deferred Tax Liability			1

Tax reconciliation in absolute numbers:

	in thousand
Profit before tax according to Ind	100
AS	
Applicable tax rate @ 25%	
Tax	25
Expenses not deductible for tax 8 thousand x 25%) purposes (	2
Tax expense (Current and deferred)	27

Tax rate reconciliation

Applicable tax rate	25%
Expenses not deductible for tax purposes	2%
Average effective tax rate	27%

## Question 17(Illustration)

An entity has a deductible temporary difference of ₹50,000. It has no taxable temporary differences against which it can be offset. The entity is also not anticipating any future profits. However, it can implement a tax planning strategy which can generate profits up to ₹60,000. The cost of implementing this tax planning strategy is ₹12,000. The tax rate is 30%. Compute the deferred tax asset that should be recognised.

#### Answer 17

The entity should recognise a deferred tax asset of ₹14,400 @ 30% of ₹48,000 (₹60,000 – ₹12,000).

The balance deferred tax asset of ₹600 @ 30% on ₹2,000 (₹50,000 – ₹48,000) shall remain unrecognised.

## Question 18(Illustration)

A Limited recognises interest income in its books on accrual basis. However, for income tax purposes the method is 'cash basis'. On 31st December, 20X1, it has interest receivable of 10,000 and the tax rate was 25%. On 28th February, 20X2, the finance bill is introduced in the legislation that changes the tax rate to 30%. The finance bill is enacted as Act on 21st May, 20X2.

Discuss the treatment of deferred tax in case the reporting date of A Limited's financial statement is 31st December, 20X1 and these are approved for issued on 31st May, 20X2.

## Answer 18

The difference of ₹ 10,000 between the carrying value of interest receivable of ₹ 10,000 and its tax base of NIL is a taxable temporary difference.

A Limited has to recognise a deferred tax liability of ₹2,500 ( ₹10,000 x 25%) in its financial statements for the reporting period ended on 31st December, 20X1. It will not recognise the deferred tax liability @ 30% because as on 31st December, 20X1, this tax rate was neither substantively enacted or enacted on the reporting date. However, if the effect of this change is material, A Limited should disclose this difference in its financial statements.

#### Question 19(Illustration)

A Ltd prepares financial statements to 31st March each year. The rate of income tax applicable to A Ltd is 20%. The following information relates to transactions, assets and liabilities of A Ltd during the year ended 31st March 20X2:

- i. A Ltd has a 40% shareholding in L Ltd. A Ltd purchased this shareholding for 45 Cr. The shareholding gives A Ltd significant influence over L Ltd but not control and therefore A Ltd. accounts for its interest in L Ltd using the equity method. The equity method carrying value of A Ltd's investment in L Ltd was 70 Cr on 31st March 20X1 and 75 Cr on 31st March 20X2. In the tax jurisdiction in which A Ltd operates, profits recognised under the equity method are taxed if and when they are distributed as a dividend or the relevant investment is disposed of.
- ii. A Ltd. measures its head office building using the revaluation model. The building is revalued every year on 31st March. On 31st March 20X1, carrying

287 Apred

value of the building (after revaluation) was 40 Cr and its tax base was 22 Cr. During the year ended 31st March 20X2, A Ltd charged depreciation in its statement of profit or loss of 2 Cr and claimed a tax deduction for tax depreciation of 1.25 Cr. On 31st March 20X2, the building was revalued to 45 Cr. In the tax jurisdiction in which A Ltd operates, revaluation of property, plant and equipment does not affect taxable income at the time of revaluation.

Basis the above information, you are required to compute:

- (a) The deferred tax liability of A Ltd at 31st March 20X2
- (b) The charge or credit to both profit or loss and other comprehensive income relating to deferred tax for the year ended 31st March 20X2

#### Answer 19

(A) Deferred Tax Liability as at 31st March 20X2

## Investment in L Ltd:

Carrying Amount = ₹ 75 Cr

Tax base = 45 Cr (Purchase cost) Temporary Difference= 30 <sup>₹</sup> Cr

Since carrying amount is highe₹ than the tax base, the temporary difference is recognized as a taxable temporary difference. Using the tax rate of 20%, a deferred tax liability of ₹6 Cr is recognized:

## Head office building

Carrying Amount = 45 Cr (Revalued amount on 31st March 20X2)

Tax base = (20.75 Cr)(22 Cr - 1.25 Cr)

Temporary Difference = ₹ 24.25 Cr

Since carrying amount is higher than the tax base, the temporary difference is recognized as a taxable temporary difference. Using the tax rate of 20%, a deferred tax liability of ₹4.85 Cr is created.

Total Deferred Tax Liability ₹6 Cr + ₹4.85 Cr = ₹10.85 Cr

## (B) Charge to Statement of Profit or Loss for the year ended 31st March 20X2: Investment in L Ltd

Particulars Difference	Carrying amount	Tax Base	Temporary
Opening Balance (1st April 20X1)	₹70 Cr	₹45 Cr	₹25 Cr
Closing Balance (31st March 20X2)	₹75 Cr	₹45 Cr	₹30 Cr
Net Change			₹5 Cr

Increase in Deferred Tax Liability (20% tax rate)

₹1 Cr

Considering the increase in the value of investment arising through Statement of Profit or Loss, the accounting for the increase in deferred tax liability is made as under:

Tax expense (Profit or Loss Statement) Dr ₹1 Cr
To Deferred Tax Liability 1 Cr

(Being increase in deferred tax liability recognized)

### **Head Office Building:**

The deferred tax liability at 31st March 20X1 is ₹3.6 Cr (20% x { ₹40 Cr - ₹22 Cr}). At 31st March 20X2, prior to revaluation, the carrying amount of the property is 38 Cr and its tax base is ₹20.75 Cr (₹22 Cr - ₹1.25 Cr). The deferred tax liability at this

96Z<sup>986</sup>

point is ₹3.45 Cr (20% x { ₹38 Cr - ₹20.75 Cr}). The reduction in this liability is ₹0.15 Cr (3.6 Cr - 3.45 Cr). This would be credited to income tax expense in arriving at profit or loss.

Post revaluation, the carrying value of the building becomes ₹45 Cr and the tax base stays the same. Therefore, the new deferred tax liability is ₹4.85 Cr (20% x ( ₹45 Cr – ₹20.75 Cr)). The increase in the deferred tax liability of ₹1.4 Cr ( ₹4.85 Cr – ₹3.45 Cr) is charged to other comprehensive income.

## Question 20(Illustration)

K Ltd prepares consolidated financial statements to 31st March each year. During the year ended 31st March 20X2, K Ltd entered into the following transactions:

- (a) On 1st April 20X1, K Ltd purchased an equity investment for 2,00,000. The investment was designated as fair value through other comprehensive income. On 31st March 20X2, the fair value of the investment was 2,40,000. In the tax jurisdiction in which K Ltd operates, unrealised gains and losses arising on the revaluation of investments of this nature are not taxable unless the investment is sold. K Ltd has no intention of selling the investment in the foreseeable future.
- (b) On 1st August 20X1, K Ltd sold products to A Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary operating in the same tax jurisdiction as K Ltd, for 80,000. The goods had cost to K Ltd for 64,000. By 31st March 20X2, A Ltd had sold 40% of these goods, selling the remaining during next year.
- (c) On 31st October 20X1, K Ltd received 2,00,000 from a customer. This payment was in respect of services to be provided by K Ltd from 1st November 20X1 to 31st July 20X2. K Ltd recognised revenue of 1,20,000 in respect of this transaction in the year ended 31st March 20X2 and will recognise the remainder in the year ended 31st March 20X3. Under the tax jurisdiction in which K Ltd operates, 2,00,000 received on 31st October 20X1 was included in the taxable profits of K Ltd for the year ended 31st March 20X2.


Explain and show how the tax consequences (current and deferred) of the three transactions would be reported in its statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income for the year ended 31st March 20X2. Assume tax rate to be 25%.

#### Answer 19

- (a) Because the unrealised gain on revaluation of the equity investment is not taxable until sold, there are no current tax consequences. The tax base of the investment is ₹2,00,000. The revaluation creates a taxable temporary difference of ₹40,000 ( 2,40,000 - 2,00,000.
  - This creates a deferred tax liability of ₹10,000 (₹ 40,000 x 25%). The liability would be non- current. The fact that there is no intention to dispose of the investment does not affect the accounting treatment. Because the unrealised gain is reported in other comprehensive income, the related deferred tax expense is also reported in other comprehensive income.
- (b) When K Ltd sold the products to A Ltd, K Ltd would have generated a taxable profit of ₹16,000 (₹ 80,000 – ₹64,000). This would have created a current tax liability for K Ltd and the group of ₹4,000 ( ₹16,000 x 25%). This liability would be shown as a current liability and charged as an expense in arriving at profit or loss for the period. In the consolidated financial statements the carrying value of the unsold inventory would be ₹38,400 (₹64,000 x 60%). The tax base of the unsold inventory would be ₹48,000 (₹ 80,000 x 60%). In the consolidated financial statements there would be a

- deductible temporary difference of ₹9,600 (₹ 38,400 ₹48,000) and a potential deferred tax asset of ₹2,400 (₹9,600 x 25%). This would be recognised as a deferred tax asset since A Ltd is expected to generate sufficient taxable profits against which to utilise the deductible temporary difference. The resulting credit would reduce consolidated deferred tax expense in arriving at profit or loss.
- (c) The receipt of revenue in advance on 1st October 20X1 would create a current tax liability of ₹50,000 (₹ 200,000 x 25%) as at 31st March 20X2. The carrying value of the revenue received in advance at 31st March 20X2 is ₹80,000 (₹200,000 − ₹120,000). Its tax base is nil. The deductible temporary difference of ₹80,000 would create a deferred tax asset of ₹20,000 (₹ 80,000 x 25%). The asset can be recognised because K Ltd has sufficient taxable profits against which to utilise the deductible temporary difference.

age 792



 $_{Page}793$ 

### Chapter 9 Unit-2

## Ind AS 21: "The Effects of changes in Foreign Exchanges Rates"

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem pts	Dec' 21	Jan' 21	Jul'2 1	May' 18	Nov' 18	May' 19	May' 22	May' 23	Nov' 19	Nov' 20	Nov' 22	Nov' 23
MTP	Q9		Q8		Q7			Q6			Q3	Q5, Q10
PYP	Q1		Q14			Q2						
RTP					Q4			Q11	Q12		Q13	

#### Section – A

#### Question 1

Hari Ltd. purchased an equipment for 10,200 CAD from Canada supplier on credit basis on 31st January, 2020. Hari Ltd.'s functional currency is INR. The fair value of the equipment determined on 31st March, 2020 is 12,100 CAD. The payment to overseas supplier done on 31st March 2021 and the fair value of the equipment remains unchanged for the year ended on 31st March, 2021.

The exchange rates are as follow:

- On the date of transaction 1 CAD = INR 57.68
- On 31st March, 2020 1 CAD = INR 62.12
- On 31st March 2021 -1 CAD = INR 69.24

Prepare the journal entries for the year ended on 31st March, 2020 and 31st March, 2021 according to Ind AS 21. Tax rate is 25%. Hari Ltd. follows revaluation model as per Ind AS 16 in respect of Property Plant & Equipment. (PYP 5 Marks Dec '21)

#### Answer 1

#### **Journal Entries**

#### Purchase of an equipment on credit basis on 30th January 2020:

	₹	₹
Equipment A/c (10,200 CAD x ₹ 57.68) Dr.	5,88,33	
To Creditors – Equipment A/c	6	5,88,33
(Being initial transaction recorded at exchange rat	e	6
on the date of transaction)		

#### Exchange difference arising on translating monetary item on 31 st March 2020:

lange uniterence arising on translating monetary it		ot muitor
	₹	₹
Profit & Loss A/c [(10,200 CAD x ₹ 62.12) – (10,200	45,288	
CAD x		
₹ 57.68)] Dr.		
To Creditors – Equipment A/c		45,288
(Being loss on exchange difference recognised)		
Equipment A/c Dr.	1,09,59	
	2	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI)		1,09,59
		2
(Being equipment revalued to 12,100 CAD [₹ 57.68		
x (12,100 CAD – 10,200 CAD)])		

Equipment A/c Dr.	53,724	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI)		53,724
(Being equipment measured at the exchange rate		
on 31.3.2020 [12,100 CAD x (₹ 62.12 - ₹ 57.68)]		
Revaluation Surplus (OCI) [(1,09,592 + 53,724) x	40,82	
[ 25%] Dr.	9	40,82
To Deferred Tax Liability		9
(Being DTL created @ 25% of the total OCI amount)		

Exchange difference arising on translating monetary item and settlement of creditors on 31st March 2021:

creditors on 31st march 2021:				
	₹	₹		
Creditors - Equipment A/c (10,200 CAD x ₹ 62.12)	6,33,62			
Dr.	4			
Profit & loss A/c [(10,200 CAD x (₹ 69.24 -₹	72,624	7,06,24		
[62.12)] Dr.		8		
To Bank A/c				
(Being final settlement of creditors done)				
Equipment A/c [(12,100 CAD x (₹ 69.24 - ₹ 62.12)]	86,152			
Dr.				
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI)		86,152		
(Being equipment revalued)				
Revaluation Surplus (OCI) (86,152 x 25%) Dr.	21,538			
To Deferred Tax Liability		21,538		
(Being DTL created @ 25% of the total OCI				
amount)				

#### Question 2

XYZ Global Ltd. has a functional currency of USD and needs to translate its financial statements into the functional and presentation currency of XYZ Info. (Euro).

The following is the statement of financial position of XYZ Global Ltd. prior to translation:

Property, plant and equipment	USD 60,000	Euro
Receivables	9,00,000	
Total assets	9,60,000	
Issued capital	40,000	25,0 00
Opening retained earnings	25,000	15,0 00
Profit for the year	22,000	
Accounts payable	8,15,000	
Accrued liabilities	58,000	
Total equity and liabilities	9,60,000	
Additional information.		

Additional information:

Relevant exchange rates are:

Rate at the beginning of the = USD 1.25 year - Euro = 1

Average rate for the year - Euro 1 = USD 1.20 Rate at the end of the year - Euro 1 = USD 1.15 You are required to:

- (i) Translate the statement of financial position of XYZ Global Ltd. into Euro which is ready for consolidation by XYZ Info. (Share capital and opening retained earnings have been pre-calculated.)
- (ii) Prepare a working of the cumulative balance of the foreign currency translation reserve as per relevant Ind AS. (PYP 5 Marks, May'19)

#### Answer 2

#### Translation of the financial statements

i i alistacioti			
	USD	Rate/Euro	Euro
	a	b	a/b
Property, plant and	60,000	1.15	52,174
equipment	0.00.000	1 1 -	7.00.600
Receivables	9,00,000	1.15	7,82,609
Total assets	9,60,000		8,34,783
Issued capital	40,000		25,000
Opening retained	25,000		15,000
earnings			
Profit for the year	22,000	1.20	18,333
Accounts payable	8,15,000	1.15	7,08,696
Accrued liabilities	58,000	1.15	50,435
Total equity and liabilities	9,60,0		8,17,464
Foreign Currency	00		
Translation Reserve			17,319
(FCTR) (Refer the below			
working)			
Total equity and liabilities			8,34,783

Working of the cumulative balance of the FCTR

Particulars	Actual	Amount	Difference
	translated		translated at
	amount in		closing rate
	Euro		of USD 1.15
			/ EURO
	а	b	b-a
Issued capital	25,000	34,783*	9,783
Opening retained earnings	15,000	21,739**	6,739
Profit for the year	18,333	19,130**	797
	58,333	 75,652	17,319

$$*\frac{40,000}{1.15} = 34,783$$
  $**\frac{25,000}{1.15} = 21,739$   $***\frac{22,000}{1.15} = 19,130$ 

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Many examinees have multiplied the USD value by EURO rates instead of dividing it. Thus, the whole solution went wrong. A few examinees failed to present the working of cumulative balance of Foreign Currency Translation Reserve (FCTR).

#### Question 3

An Indian entity, whose functional currency is rupees, purchases USD dominated bond at its fair value of USD 1,000. The bond carries stated interest @ 4.7% p.a. on its face value. The said interest is received at the year end. The bond has maturity period of 5 years and is redeemable at its face value of USD 1,250. The fair value of the bond at the end of year 1 is USD 1,060. The exchange rate on the date of transaction and at the end of year 1 are USD 1 = Rs. 40 and USD 1 = Rs. 45, respectively. The weighted average exchange rate for the year is 1 USD = Rs. 42.

The entity has determined that it is holding the bond as part of an investment portfolio whose objective is met both by holding the asset to collect contractual cash flows and selling the asset. The purchased USD bond is to be classified under the FVTOCI category.

The bond results in effective interest rate (EIR) of 10% p.a.

Calculate gain or loss to be recognised in Profit & Loss and Other Comprehensive Income for year 1. Also pass journal entry to recognise gain or loss on above. (Round off the figures to nearest rupees) (RTP Nov 20, MTP 8 Marks Oct'22)

#### Answer 3

Computation of amounts to be recognized in the P&L and OCI:

Computation of amounts to be recognized in the P&L and OCI					
Particulars	USD	Exchange	Rs.		
		rate			
Cost of the bond	1,000	40	40,000		
Interest accrued @ 10% p.a.	100	42	4,200		
Interest received (USD 1,250 x 4.7%)	(59)	45	(2,655)		
Amortized cost at year-end	1,041	45	46,845		
Fair value at year end	1,060	45	47,700		
Interest income to be recognized in P& L			4,200		
Exchange gain on the principal amount [1,000 x (45 -40)]			5,000		
Exchange gain on interest accrual [100 x (45 -			300		
Total exchange gain/loss to be recognized in F					
Fair value gain to be recognized in 1,041)]	OCI [45 x (	1,060 -	855		

Journal entry to recognize gain/loss

Bond (Rs. 47,700 - Rs. 40,000)	Dr.	7,700	
Bank (Interest received)	Dr.	2,655	
To Interest Income (P & L)			4,200

To Exchange gain (P & L)	5,300
To OCI (fair value gain)	855

#### Question 4

Global Limited, an Indian company acquired on 30th September, 20X1 70% of the share capital of Mark Limited, an entity registered as company in Germany. The functional currency of Global Limited is Rupees and its financial year end is 31st March, 20X2.

- (i) The fair value of the net assets of Mark Limited was 23 million EURO and the purchase consideration paid is 17.5 million EURO on 30<sup>th</sup> September, 20X1. The exchange rates as at 30<sup>th</sup> September, 20X1 was Rs. 82 / EURO and at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 was Rs. 84 / EURO. What is the value at which the goodwill has to be recognised in the financial statements of Global Limited as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2?
- (ii) Mark Limited sold goods costing 2.4 million EURO to Global Limited for 4.2 million EURO during the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2. The exchange rate on the date of purchase by Global Limited was Rs. 83 / EURO and on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 was

Rs. 84 / EURO. The entire goods purchased from Mark Limited are unsold as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2. Determine the unrealised profit to be eliminated in the preparation of consolidated financial statements. (RTP Nov 19, May'21)

#### Answer 4

(i) Para 47 of Ind AS 21 requires that goodwill arose on business combination shall be expressed in the functional currency of the foreign operation and shall be translated at the closing rate in accordance with paragraphs 39 and 42. In this case the amount of goodwill will be as follows:

Net identifiable asset Dr. 23 million Goodwill(bal. fig.) Dr. 1.4 million

To Bank 17.5 million
To NCI (23 x 30%) 6.9 million

Thus, goodwill on reporting date would be 1.4 million EURO x Rs. 84 = Rs. 117.6 million

(ii)

Particulars	EURO in million
Sale price of Inventory	4.20
Unrealised Profit [a]	1.80

Exchange rate as on date of purchase of Inventory [b] Rs. 83 / Euro Unrealized profit to be eliminated [a  $\times$  b] Rs. 149.40 million

As per para 39 of Ind AS 21 "income and expenses for each statement of profit and loss presented (ie including comparatives) shall be translated at exchange rates at the dates of the transactions". In the given case, purchase of inventory is an expense i tem shown in the statement profit and loss account. Hence, the exchange rate on the date of purchase of inventory is taken for calculation of unrealized profit which is to be eliminated on the event of consolidation.

#### Question 5

On 30th January, 20X1, A Ltd. purchased a machinery for \$5,000 from USA supplier on credit basis. A Ltd.'s functional currency is Rupees. The exchange rate on the date of transaction is 1 \$ = ₹ 60. The fair value of the machinery determined on 31 st March, 20X1 is \$ 5,500. The exchange rate on 31st March, 20X1 is 1\$ = ₹ 65. The payment to overseas supplier done on 31st March 20X2 and the exchange rate on 31st March 20X2 is 1\$ = ₹ 67. The fair value of the machinery remain unchanged for the year ended on 31 st March 20X2. Prepare the Journal entries for the year ended on 31st March 20X1 and year 20X2 according to Ind AS 21. Tax rate is 30%. A Ltd. follows Revaluation method in respect of Plant & Machinery. (MTP 8 Marks Oct '23)

Answer 5

Journal Entries
Purchase of Machinery on credit basis on 30th January, 20X1:

	₹	₹
Machinery A/c (\$ 5,000 x ₹ 60) Dr.	3,00,00	
To Creditors-Machinery A/c	0	3,00,00
(Initial transaction will be recorded at exchange rate on the date of transaction)		U

Exchange difference arising on translating monetary item on 31 st March, 20X1:

		₹	₹
Profit & Loss A/c [(\$ 5,000 x ₹ 65) – (\$ 5,000	Dr.	25,00	
x ₹ 60)]		0	
To Creditors-Machinery A/c			25,000
Machinery A/c	Dr.	30,00	
		0	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI)			30,000
[Being Machinery revalued to \$5,500; (₹ 60 x	(\$ 5,500		
- \$ 5,000)]			
Machinery A/c	Dr.	27,50	
		0	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI)			27,500
(Being Machinery measured at	rate on		
the exchange 31.3.20X1 [\$			
5,500 x (₹ 65 - ₹ 60)]			
Revaluation Surplus (OCI)	Dr.	17,25	
		0	
To Deferred Tax Liability			17,250
(DTL created @ of 30% of the total OCI amoun	ıt)		

Exchange difference arising on translating monetary item and settlement of creditors on 31st March, 20X2:

		₹	₹
Creditors-Machinery A/c (\$ 5,000 x	Dr.	3,25,000	
₹ 65) Profit & loss A/c [(\$ 5,000 x (₹ 67 - ₹	Dr.	10,000	
[65)] To Bank A/c			3,35,000

96Z<sup>98</sup>

Machinery A/c [\$ 5,500 x (₹ 67 - ₹ Dr. 65)]	11,000	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI)		11,000
Revaluation Surplus (OCI) Dr.	3,300	
To Deferred Tax Liability		3,300
(DTL created @ of 30% of the total OCI amount)		

#### Question 6

Supplier, A Ltd., enters into a contract with a customer, B Ltd., on 1 st January, 20X1 to deliver goods in exchange for total consideration of USD 50 million and receives an upfront payment of USD 20 million on this date. The functional currency of the supplier is INR. The goods are delivered and revenue is recognised on 31st March, 20X1. USD 30 million is received on 1st April, 20X1 in full and final settlement of the purchase consideration.

State the date of transaction for advance consideration and recognition of revenue. Also state the amount of revenue in INR to be recognized on the date of recognition of revenue. The exchange rates on 1st January, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X1 are ₹ 72 per USD and ₹ 75 per USD respectively. (MTP 5 Marks March '23, RTP May'19)

#### Answer 6

A Ltd. will recognise a non-monetary contract liability amounting ₹ 1,440 million, by translating USD 20 million at the exchange rate on 1st January, 20X1 ie ₹ 72 per USD. A Ltd. will recognise revenue at 31st March, 20X1 (that is, the date on which it transfers the goods to the customer).

A Ltd. determines that the date of the transaction for the revenue relating to the advance consideration of USD 20 million is 1st January, 20X1. Applying paragraph 22 of Ind AS 21, A Ltd. determines that the date of the transaction for the remainder of the revenue as 31 st March, 20X1.

On 31st March, 20X1, A Ltd. will:

- derecognise the non-monetary contract liability of USD 20 million and recognise USD 20 million of revenue using the exchange rate as at 1st January, 20X1 ie ₹ 72 per USD; and
- recognise revenue and a receivable for the remaining USD 30 million, using the exchange rate on 31st March, 20X1 ie ₹ 75 per USD.
- the receivable of USD 30 million is a monetary item, so it should be translated using the closing rate until the receivable is settled.

#### Question 7

(Also in Chapter 21- Ind AS 12 Income taxes)

ABC Ltd. works out translation gain/loss over the years on its investment in foreign subsidiary 2014-15: Rs. 2 lakhs, 2015-16: Rs. 4 lakhs, 2016-17: Rs. 3 lakhs. The foreign subsidiary is sold on 30th June 2017. The translation gain on sale of such investment as on that date is Rs. 2 lakhs. Assuming that deferred tax effect is computed @ 30%. How should the company present the translation gain/loss, deferred taxation and reclassification adjustment in the Profit and loss, other comprehensive income, equity and liabilities? (MTP 6 Marks Oct '18)

#### Answer 7

	Statemen	of Profit and	<b>Equity Liabilities</b>	
	t loss			

008

	Profit and loss	Other- comprehensive income	Equity	Liabilities
2014-15				
Translation Gain		2.00		
Less: Deferred Tax Expenses		(0.60)		
		1.40		
Translation Reserve			1.40	
Deferred tax liabilities				0.60
2015-16				
Translation Gain		2.00		
Less: Deferred Tax Expenses		(0.60)		
		1.40		
Translation Reserve			2.80	
Deferred tax liabilities				1.20
2016-17				
Translation loss		(1.00)		
Less: Deferred Tax Expenses		0.30		
		(0.70)		
Translation Reserve			2.10	
Deferred tax liabilities				0.90
2017-18				
Translation loss		(1.00)		
Less: Deferred Tax Expenses		0.30		
-		(0.70)		
Translation Reserve		, ,	1.40	
Deferred tax liabilities				0.60
Reclassification adjustment				
credited to P&L	1.40			
Current Tax				(0.60)
Adjustment of deferred tax				
liabilities	0.60			

#### Question 8

What is the functional currency of an entity? What are the primary and secondary factors that influence determination of functional currency? (MTP 4 Marks March '21, PYP 4 Marks Nov'19)

#### Answer 8

Functional currency is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. In this regard, the primary economic environment will normally be the one in which it primarily generates and expends cash i.e. it operates. The functional currency is normally the currency of the country in which the entity is located. It might, however, be a different currency.

The following are the factors that influence determination of an appropriate functional currency:

Page **801** 

#### (1) Primary indicators:

#### (a) The currency

- (i) that mainly influences sales prices for its goods and services. This will often be the currency in which sales prices are denominated and settled; and
- (ii) of the country whose competitive forces and regulations mainly determine the sales prices of its goods and services.
- (iii) the currency that mainly influences labour, material and other costs of providing goods and services. This will often be the currency in which these costs are denominated and settled.

#### (2) Secondary indicators:

Other factors that may provide supporting evidence to determine an entity's functional currency are-

- (a) the currency in which funds from financing activities (i.e. issuing debt and equity instruments) are generated; and
- (b) the currency in which receipts from operating activities are usually retained.

#### Question 9

PQR Holdings Limited is based in London and has Pound sterling ("GBP") as its functional and presentation currency. On 1st April, 20X1, PQR Holdings Limited incorporated PQR India Limited as its wholly owned subsidiary in India. PQR India will be engaged in trading of items purchased from PQR Holdings. The shares of PQR India, having a face value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}}$  10 each amounting to total of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}}$  500 crore, were issued to PQR Holdings in GBP on 1st April, 20X1.

PQR India has adopted Ind AS with effect from its incorporation. In accordance with Ind AS, management of PQR India has concluded that its functional currency is Indian Rupee ("INR"). Following is the summarized trial balance of PQR India as on 31st March, 20X2, being the reporting date of PQR India and PQR Holdings:

(Note: All amounts in the below mentioned trial balance are ₹ in crore)

S. No.	Particula Particula	Debi	Credi
	rs	t	t
		Balance	Balance
		S	S
1.	Share capital	-	500.0
2.	Securities premium reserve on issue of equity shares	-	150.0
3.	Retained earnings	-	110.0
4.	Long-term borrowings	-	30.0
5.	Deferred tax liability	-	10.0
6.	Income tax payable	-	25.0
7.	Import duty payable	-	5.0
8.	Employee benefits payable		7.5
9.	Sundry trade payables	-	2.5
10	Property, plant and equipment (net of depreciation)	550.0	-
11	Computer software (net of amortisation)	70.0	-

12	Inventories purchased on 15 <sup>th</sup> March, 20X2		
	(there is no indicator of impairment)		
13.	Cash and bank balance	5.0	-
14.	Sundry trade receivables	17.0	-
15.	Allowance for doubtful trade receivables	-	2.0
	Total	842.0	842.0

Additional information relating to property, plant and equipment, and computer software:

Line item	Date of acquisition
Property, plant and equipment	30 <sup>th</sup> April, 20X1
Computer software	5 <sup>th</sup> May, 20X1

PQR India has adopted the following accounting policy in relation to shareholders' funds to translate equity:

<u> </u>	
Share capital	To be translated using historical
	exchange rate
Securities premium	To be translated using historical
	exchange rate
Retained earnings	To be translated using average
	exchange rate

Since the presentation currency of PQR Holdings is GBP, PQR India is required to translate its trial balance from INR to GBP. Following table provides relevant foreign exchange rates:

Closing spot rate as on 1 <sup>st</sup> April, 20X1	1 INR = 0.0123 GBP
Closing spot rate as on 30 <sup>th</sup> April, 20X1	1 INR = 0.0120 GBP
Closing spot rate as on 5 <sup>th</sup> May, 20X1	1 INR = 0.0119 GBP
Closing spot rate on 15 <sup>th</sup> March, 20X2	1 INR = 0.0108 GBP
Closing spot rate as on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	1 INR = 0.0109 GBP
Average exchange rate for the year ended 31st	1 INR = 0.0116 GBP
March, 20X2	

As the accountant of PQR India, you are required to do the following for its separate financial statements:

- (a) Explain the principle of monetary and non-monetary items. Based on this principle, bifurcate the line items of the trial balance into monetary and non-monetary items.
- (b) Translate the trial balance of PQR India from INR to GBP. (MTP 14 Marks Nov 21)

#### Answer 9

Monetary items are units of currency held and assets and liabilities to be received or paid in a fixed or determinable number of units of currency. Para 15 of Ind AS 21 states that the essential feature of a monetary item is a right to receive (or an obligation to deliver) a fixed or determinable number of units of currency. Similarly, a contract to receive (or deliver) a variable number of the entity's own equity instruments or a variable amount of assets in which the fair value to be received (or delivered) equals a fixed or

determinable number of units of currency is a monetary item.

Conversely, the essential feature of a non-monetary item is the absence of a right to receive (or an obligation to deliver) a fixed or determinable number of units of currency. On the basis of above principles, the line items of trial balance should be bifurcated as follows:

Particulars	Monetary item /
	Non- monetary item
Share Capital	Non-monetary item
Securities Premium reserve on issue of equity shares	Non-monetary item
Retained earnings	Non-monetary item
Long-term borrowings	Monetary item
Deferred tax liability	Non-monetary item
Income tax payable	Monetary item
Import duty payable	Monetary item
Employee benefits payable	Monetary item
Sundry trade payables	Monetary item
Property, plant and equipment (net of depreciation)	Non-monetary item
Computer software (net of amortization)	Non-monetary item
Inventories purchased (there is no indicator of impairment)	Non-monetary item
Cash and bank balance	Monetary item
Sundry trade receivables	Monetary item
Allowance for doubtful trade receivables	Monetary item

As per para 38 of Ind AS 21, an entity may present its financial statements in any currency (or currencies). If the presentation currency differs from the entity's functional currency, it translates its results and financial position into the presentation currency. For example, when a group contains individual entities with ifferent functional currencies, the results and financial position of each entity are expressed in a common currency so that consolidated financial statements may be presented.

Translation of the balances for the purpose of consolidation

e harbose	01 C0112011	uation
INR	Rat	Amount
in	e	in
crore	(GBP	GBP
550.0	0.0109	5.995
70.0	0.0109	0.763
200.0	0.0109	2.18
5.0	0.0109	0.0545
	INR in crore 550.0 70.0 200.0	in e (GBP) 550.0 0.0109 70.0 0.0109 200.0 0.0109

Sundry trade receivables net of allowance for doubtful trade receivables (17.0-2.0)	15.0	0.0109	0.1635
Total Assets	840.0		9.156
Share Capital	500.0	0.0123	6.15
Securities Premium reserve	150.0	0.0123	1.845
Retained earnings	110.0	0.0116	1.276
Long-term borrowings	30.0	0.0109	0.327
Deferred tax liability	10.0	0.0109	0.109
Income tax payable	25.0	0.0109	0.2725
Import duty payable	5.0	0.0109	0.0545
Employee benefits payable	7.5	0.0109	0.08175
Sundry trade payables	2.5	0.0109	0.02725
Foreign Currency Translation reserve recognised in OCI (balancing figure)			(0.987)
Total Equity and liabilities	840.0		9.156

#### Question 10

An entity can borrow funds in its functional currency ( $\gtrless$ ) @ 12%. It borrows \$ 1,000 @ 4% on 1st April, 20X1 when \$ 1 =  $\gtrless$  40. The equivalent amount in functional currency is  $\gtrless$  40,000. Interest is payable on 31st March, 20X2. On 31st March, 20X2, exchange rate is \$ 1 =  $\gtrless$  50. The loan is not due for repayment.

Compute exchange loss and borrowing cost to be capitalized as on 31 st March, 20X2. What will be exchange loss and borrowing cost to be capitalized as on 31 st March, 20X2 if the exchange rate on 31st March, 20X2, is \$1 = \$41? (MTP 6 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 10

When the exchange rate on 31st March, 20X2, is \$ 1 = ₹ 50.

The exchange loss in this case is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  10,000 [\$ 1,000 x ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  50 -  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  40)]. The borrowing cost is

₹ 2,000 (\$ 1,000 x 4% x ₹ 50).

Had the entity borrowed funds in functional currency the borrowing cost would have been ₹ 4,800 (₹  $40,000 \times 12\%$ ).

The entity will treat exchange difference upto  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2,800$  ( $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 4,800 - \stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 2,000$ ) as a borrowing cost that may be eligible for capitalisation under this Standard.

Thus, the total eligible borrowing cost is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  4,800 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,800) equivalent to the cost of borrowing cost in functional currency.

When the exchange rate on 31st March, 20X2, is \$ 1 = ₹ 41.

The exchange loss would be ₹ 1,000 [\$ 1,000 – (₹ 41 – ₹ 40)]. The entity will treat the entire exchange loss as an eligible borrowing cost as total borrowing cost i.e. ₹ 2,640 [(₹ 1,000 x 4% x 41) + ₹ 1,000] since exchange loss in foreign currency does not exceed the cost of borrowings in functional currency, i.e., ₹ 4,800.

#### **Ouestion 11**

On 1st January, 2018, P Ltd. purchased a machine for \$ 2 lakhs. The functional

208<sub>age</sub>

currency of P Ltd. is Rupees. At that date the exchange rate was \$1= Rs. 68. P Ltd. is not required to pay for this purchase until 30th June, 2018. Rupees strengthened against the \$ in the three months following purchase and by 31st March, 2018 the exchange rate was \$1 = Rs. 65. CFO of P Ltd. feels that these exchange fluctuations wouldn't affect the financial statements because P Ltd. has an asset and a liability denominated in rupees. which was initially the same amount. He also feels that P Ltd. depreciates this machine over four years so the future year-end amounts won't be the same.

Examine the impact of this transaction on the financial statements of P Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 2018 as per Ind AS. (RTP Nov '18)

#### Answer 11

As per Ind AS 21 'The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates' the asset and liability would initially be recognised at the rate of exchange in force at the transaction date ie 1st January, 2018. Therefore, the amount initially recognised would be Rs. 1,36,00,000 (\$  $2,00\,000$  x Rs. 68).

The liability is a monetary item so it is retranslated using the rate of exchange in force at 31st March, 2018. This makes the closing liability of Rs. 1,30,00,000 (\$ 2,00,000 x Rs. 65).

The loss on re-translation of Rs. 6,00,000 (Rs. 1,36,00,000 – Rs. 1,30,00,000) is recognised in the Statement of profit or loss.

The machine is a non-monetary asset carried at historical cost. Therefore, it continues to be translated using the rate of Rs. 68 to \$ 1.

Depreciation of Rs. 8,50,000 (Rs. 1,36,00,000 x  $\frac{1}{4}$  x 3/12) would be charged to profit or loss for the year ended 31st March, 2018.

The closing balance in property, plant and equipment would be Rs. 1,27,50,000 (Rs. 1,36,00,000 – Rs. 1,30,00,000). This would be shown as a non-current asset in the statement of financial position.

A Ltd. prepares its financial statements to 31st March each year. It operates a defined benefit retirement benefits plan on behalf of current and former employees. A Ltd. receives advice from actuaries regarding contribution levels and overall liabilities of the plan to pay benefits. On 1st April, 2017, the actuaries advised that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was Rs. 6,00,00,000. On the same date, the fair value of the assets of the defined benefit plan was Rs. 5,20,00,000. On 1st April, 2017, the annual market yield on government bonds was 5%. During the year ended 31st March, 2018, A Ltd. made contributions of Rs. 70,00,000 into the plan and the plan paid out benefits of Rs. 42,00,000 to retired members. Both these payments were made on 31st March, 2018.

The actuaries advised that the current service cost for the year ended 31st March, 2018 was Rs. 62,00,000. On 28th February, 2018, the rules of the plan were amended with retrospective effect. These amendments meant that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was increased by Rs. 15,00,000 from that date.

During the year ended 31st March, 2018, A Ltd. was in negotiation with employee representatives regarding planned redundancies. The negotiations were completed shortly before the year end and redundancy packages were agreed. The impact of these redundancies was to reduce the present value of the defined benefit obligation by Rs. 80,00,000. Before 31st March, 2018, A Ltd. made payments of Rs. 75,00,000 to the employees affected by the redundancies in compensation for the curtailment of their benefits. These payments were made out of the assets of the retirement benefits plan. On 31st March, 2018, the actuaries advised that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was Rs. 6,80,00,000. On the same date, the fair value of the assets of the defined benefit plan were Rs. 5,60,00,000.

Examine and present how the above event would be reported in the financial statements of A Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 2018 as per Ind AS.

#### **Question 12**

On 1st April, 20X1, Makers Ltd. raised a long term loan from foreign investors. The investors subscribed for 6 million Foreign Currency (FCY) loan notes at par. It incurred incremental issue costs of FCY 2,00,000. Interest of FCY 6,00,000 is payable annually on 31st March, starting from 31st March, 20X2. The loan is repayable in FCY on 31st March, 20X7 at a premium and the effective annual interest rate implicit in the loan is 12%. The appropriate measurement basis for this loan is amortised cost. Relevant exchange rates are as follows:

- -1st April, 20X1 FCY 1 = Rs. 2.50.
- $-31^{st}$  March, 20X2 FCY 1 = Rs. 2.75.

Average rate for the year ended 31st Match, 20X2 - FCY 1 = Rs. 2.42. The functional currency of the group is Indian Rupee.

What would be the appropriate accounting treatment for the foreign currency loan in the books of Makers Ltd. for the FY 20X1-20X2? Calculate the initial measurement amount for the loan, finance cost for the year, closing balance and exchange gain / loss. (RTP May 20)

#### Answer 12

Initial carrying amount of loan in books

Loan amount received 60,00,000 FCY Less: Incremental issue costs 2,00,000 FCY 58,00,000 FCY

Ind AS 21, "The Effect of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates" states that foreign currency transactions are initially recorded at the rate of exchange in force when the transaction was first recognized.

Loan to be converted in INR

= 58,00,000 FCY x Rs. 2.50/FCY

= Rs. 1,45,00,000

Therefore, the loan would initially be recorded at Rs. 1,45,00,000.

#### Calculation of amortized cost of loan (in FCY) at the year end:

Period	Opening	Interest @ 12%	Cash Flow	Closing
	Financial	(FCY)	(FCY)	Financial
	Liability (FCY)	В	С	Liability
	A			(FCY)
				A+B-C
20X1-	58,00,000	6,96,000	6,00,000	58,96,000
20X2				

The finance cost in FCY is 6,96,000

The finance cost would be recorded at an average rate for the period since it accrues over a period of time.

Hence, the finance cost for FY 20X1-20X2 in INR is Rs. 16,84,320 (6,96,000 FCY x Rs.

The actual payment of interest would be recorded at  $6,00,000 \times 2.75 = INR 16,50,000$ The loan balance is a monetary item so it is translated at the rate of exchange at the reporting date.

So the closing loan balance in INR is 58,96,000 FCY x INR 2.75 / FCY = Rs. 1,62,14,000The exchange differences that are created by this treatment are recognized in profit and loss.

In this case, the exchange difference is Rs. [1,62,14,000 - (1,45,00,000 + 16,84,320 -

[16,50,000] = Rs. 16,79,680.

This exchange difference is taken to profit and loss

#### Question 13

Infotech Global Ltd. (a stand-alone entity) has a functional currency of USD and needs to translate its financial statements into the presentation currency (INR). The following is the draft financial statements of Infotech Global Ltd. prepared in accordance with its functional currency.

#### **Balance Sheet**

Particulars	31st March,	31st March,
	20X3	20X2
	USD	USD
Property, plant and equipment	50,000	55,000
Trade Receivables	68,500	56,000
Inventory	8,000	5,000
Cash	40,000	35,000
Total assets	1,66,500	1,51,000
Share Capital	50,000	50,000
Retained earnings	29,500	18,000
Total Equity	79,500	68,000
Trade payables	40,000	38,000
Loan	47,000	45,000
Total liabilities	87,000	83,000
Total equity and liabilities	1,66,500	1,51,000

#### Statement of Profit and Loss

Particulars	USD
Revenue	1,77,214
Cost of sales	1,13,100
Gross Profit	64,114
Distribution costs	2,400
Administrative expenses	18,000
Other expenses	11,000
Finance costs	12,000
Profit before tax	20,714
Income tax expense	6,214
Profit for the year	14,500

#### Extracts from Statement of Changes in Equity

	- <u> </u>
Particulars	31st March,
	20X3 (USD)
Retained earnings at the beginning of the	18,000
year	

Profit for the year	14,500
Dividends	(3,000)
Retained earnings at the end of the year	29,500

- Share capital was issued when the exchange rate was USD 1 = INR 70.
- Retained earnings on 1st April, 20X1 was INR 4,00,000.
- At 31st March, 20X2, a cumulative gain of INR 4,92,000 has been recognised in the foreign exchange reserve, which is due to translation of entity's financial statements into INR in the previous years.
- Entity paid a dividend of USD 3,000 when the rate of exchange was USD 1 = INR 73.5
- Profit for the year 20X1-20X2 of USD 8,000, translated in INR at INR 5,72,000.
- Profit for the year 20X2-20X3 of USD 14,500, translated in INR at INR 10,72,985.

For the sake of simplicity, items of income and expense are translated at weighted average monthly rate as there has been no significant exchange rate fluctuation during the entire year and the business of the entity is not cyclical in nature.

Relevant exchange rates are as follows:

- Rate at 31st March, 20X2 USD 1= INR 73
- Rate at 31st March, 20X3 USD 1= INR 75

Prepare financial statements of Infotech Global Ltd. translated from functional currency (USD) to presentation currency (INR). (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 13

As per paragraph 39 of Ind AS 21, all assets and liabilities are translated at the closing exchange rate which is USD 1 = INR 73 on 31st March, 20X2 and USD 1 = INR 75 on 31st March, 20X3.

In the given case, share capital is translated at the historical rate USD 1 = INR 70. The share capital will not be restated at each year end. It will remain unchanged. Accordingly, the translated financial statements will be as follows:

Note 1: Retained earnings at 31st March, 20X3 and 31st March, 20X2:

Particulars	31st March, 20X3	31st March, 20X2
	INR	INR
Opening retained earnings	9,72,000	4,00,000
Profit for the year	10,72,985	5,72,000
Dividends paid (USD 3,000 x INR 73.5)	(2,20,500)	-
Closing retained earnings	18,24,485	9,72,000

#### **Balance Sheet**

Dalance Sheet							
Particulars	31st March, 20X3		Particulars 31		31s	st Mar	ch, 20X2
	USD	Rate	INR	USD	Rate	INR	
Property,	50,000	75	37,50,00	55,000	73	40,15,000	
pla			0				
nt and							
equipment							
Trade	68,500	75	51,37,50	56,000	73	40,88,000	
Receivables			0				

908<sub>986</sub>

Inventory	8,000	75	6,00,000	5,000	73	3,65,000
Cash	40,000	75	30,00,00	35,000	73	25,55,000
			0			
Total assets	1,66,5		1,24,87,	1,51,00		1,10,23,0
Chana Camital	50.00	70	<b>500</b>	FO 000	70	25 00 000
Share Capital	50,00 0	70	35,00,00 0	50,000	70	35,00,000
Retained earnings (Refer note 1)	29,50 0		18,24,48 5	18,000		9,72,000
Foreign Exchange reserve (Balancing figure)			6,38,015	-		4,92,000
Total Equity	79,500		59,62,50	68,000		49,64,00
	79,500		0	08,000		0
Trade payables	40,00 0	75	30,00,00	38,000	73	27,74,000
Loan		75		45,000	73	
	47,000		35,25,000			32,85,000
Total				83,000		
liabilities	87,000		65,25,00 0			60,59,00
Total equity and liabilities	1,66,50 0		1,24,87,5 00	1,51,00 0		1,10,23, 000

The foreign exchange reserve is the exchange difference resulting from translating income and expense at the average exchange rate and assets and liabilities at the closing rate.

#### Other Comprehensive Income

Exchange differences on translating from USD to INR	INR
(6,38,015 - 4,92,000)	1,46,015

#### Statement of Changes in Equity (INR)

Particulars	Shar e capita 1	Retaine d Earning s	gn	Total
Balance at 1st April,	35,00,00	9,72,000	4,92,000	49,64,00
20X2	0			0
Dividends	-	(2,20,50	-	(2,20,50
		0)		0)
Profit for the year	-	10,72,98	-	10,72,98
		5		5

<sup>2</sup>age 810

Exchange difference (transferred to OCI)	_	_	1,46,015	
				1,46,015
Balance at 31st March,	35,00,00	18,24,48	6,38,015	59,62,50
20X3	0	5		0

#### Question 14

SB Limited is engaged in the business of producing extracts from the natural plants for pharmaceuticals and Ayurvedic companies. It has a wholly owned subsidiary, UB Limited which is engaged in the business of pharmaceuticals. UB Limited purchases the pharmaceuticals extracts from its parent company. The demand of UB Limited is very high and hence to cater its shortfall, UB Limited also purchases the pharmaceutical extracts from other companies. Purchases are made at the competitive prices.

SB Limited sold pharmaceutical extracts to UB Limited for Euro 10 lakhs on 1st February, 2021. The cost of these extracts was ₹ 770 lakh in the books of SB Limited at the time of sale. At the year-end, i.e. 31st March 2021, all these pharmaceutical extracts were lying as closing stock and payable with UB Limited.

Euro is the functional currency of UB Limited while Indian-Rupee is the functional currency of SB Limited.

Following additional information is available:

Exchange rate on 1<sup>st</sup> February 2021 1 Euro = ₹ 85 Exchange rate on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2021 1 Euro = ₹ 88

Provide the accounting treatment of the above in the books of SB Limited and UB Limited.

Also show its impact on consolidated financial statements. Support your answer by journal entries, wherever necessary. Assume NRV to be higher than the cost. (PYP 5 Marks July 21)

#### Answer 14

Accounting treatment in the books of SB Ltd (Functional Currency INR)

SB Ltd will recognize sales of ₹ 850 lakh (10 lacs Euro x ₹ 85) Profit on sale of inventory = 850 lakh - 770 lakh = ₹ 80 lakh.

On balance sheet date receivable from UB Ltd. will be translated at closing rate i.e. 1 Euro = ₹88. Therefore, unrealised forex gain will be recorded in standalone profit and loss of ₹30 lakh [i.e. (₹88 - ₹85) x 10 lakh Euro].

#### **Journal Entries**

Date		(₹ in lakh	(₹ in lakh
1.2.2021	UB Ltd. A/c Dr.	850	)
	To Sales		850
	(Being revenue recorded on initial recognition)		
31.3.202	UB Ltd. A/c Dr.	30	
	To Foreign exchange difference		
	(unrealised)		30

112

(Being foreign exchange difference		Ì
recorded at year end)		ì

Accounting treatment in the books of UB Ltd (Functional currency EURO)

Date			in	in
			Euros	Euros
1.2.2021	Purchase account	Dr.	10	
	To SB limited		lakh	10 lakh
	(Being purchased recorded at todate of transaction)		lakii	

UB Ltd will recognize inventory on 1st February, 2021 of Euro 10 lakh which will also be its closing stock at year end

#### Accounting treatment in the consolidated financial statements

Receivable and payable in respect of abovementioned sale / purchase between SB Ltd and UB Ltd will get eliminated.

The closing stock of UB Ltd will be recorded at lower of cost or NRV.

Since the question ask to assume that NRV is higher than cost, inventory will be measured at cost only. Therefore, no write off is required.

The amount of closing stock of ₹ 850 lakh include two components-

- Cost of inventory for ₹ 770 lakh; and
- Profit element of ₹ 80 lakh; and

At the time of consolidation, the second element amounting to ₹ 80 lakh will be eliminated from the closing stock.

**Journal Entry** 

	(₹ in lakh)	(₹ in lakh)			
Consolidated P&L A/c			Dr.	80	
To Inventory					80
(Being profit element	of	intragrou	transaction		
eliminated)		р			

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Examinees were not able to pass correct journal entries specifically for UB Ltd. and for the purpose of consolidated financial statements.

#### Section - B

#### Question 1

Parent P acquired 90 percent of subsidiary S some years ago. P now sells its entire investment in S for  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1,500 lakhs. The net assets of S are 1,000 and the NCI in S is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  100 lakhs. The cumulative exchange differences that have arisen during P's ownership are gains of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  200 lakhs, resulting in P's foreign currency translation reserve in respect of S having a credit balance of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$ 180 lakhs, while the cumulative amount of exchange differences that have been attributed to the NCI is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  20 lakhs Calculate P's gain on disposal in its consolidated financial statements.

Answer 1

Page 812

P's gain on disposal in its consolidated financial statements would be calculated in the following manner:

	(₹ in Lakhs)
Sale proceeds	1,500
Net assets of S	(1,000)
NCI derecognised	100
Foreign currency translation reserve	180
Gain on disposal	780

#### **Ouestion 2**

Entity A, whose functional currency is ₹, has a foreign operation, Entity B, with a Euro functional currency. Entity B issues to A perpetual debt (i.e. it has no maturity) denominated in euros with an annual interest rate of 6 per cent. The perpetual debt has no issuer call option or holder put option. Thus, contractually it is just an infinite stream of interest payments in Euros. In A's consolidated financial statements, can the perpetual debt be considered, in accordance with Ind AS 21.15, a monetary item "for which settlement is neither planned nor likely to occur in the foreseeable future" (i.e. part of A's net investment in B), with the exchange gains and losses on the perpetual debt therefore being recorded in equity?

#### **Answer 2**

Yes, as per Ind AS 21 net investment in a foreign operation is the amount of the reporting entity's interest in the net assets of that operation.

As per para 15 of Ind AS 21, an entity may have a monetary item that is receivable from or payable to a foreign operation. An item for which settlement is neither planned nor likely to occur in the foreseeable future is, in substance, a part of the entity's net investment in that foreign operation. Such monetary items may include long-term receivables or loans. They do not include trade receivables or trade payables.

#### Analysis on the basis of above mentioned guidance

Through the origination of the perpetual debt, A has made a permanent investment in B. The interest payments are treated as interest receivable by A and interest payable by B, not as repayment of the principal debt. Hence, the fact that the interest payments are perpetual does not mean that settlement is planned or likely to occur. The perpetual debt can be considered part of A's net investment in B. In accordance with para 15 of Ind AS 21, the foreign exchange gains and losses should be recorded in equity at the consolidated level because settlement of that perpetual debt is neither planned nor likely to occur.

#### Question 3

Infotech Global Ltd. has a functional currency of USD and needs to translate its financial statements into the functional and presentation currency of Infotech Inc. (L\$).

The following balances appear in the books of of Infotech Global Ltd. at the year-end prior to translation:

	USD	L\$
Property, plant and equipment	50,000	
Receivables	9,35,00	
	0	
Total assets	9,85,00	

Page 813

	0	
Issued capital	50,000	30,055
Opening retained earnings	28,000	15,274
Profit & Loss A/c (Profit for the year)	20,000	
Accounts payable	8,40,00	
	0	
Accrued liabilities	47,000	
Total equity and liabilities	9,85,00	
	0	

Translate the above balances of Infotech Global Ltd. into L\$ ready for consolidation by Infotech Inc. (Share capital and opening retained earnings have been pre-populated.)

Prepare a working of the cumulative balance of the foreign currency translation reserve.

Additional information:

Relevant exchange rates are:

Rate at beginning of the year L\$ 1 = USD 1.22

Average rate for the year L\$ 1 = USD 1.175

Rate at end of the year L\$ 1 = USD 1.13

#### Answer 3

#### Translation of the balances for the purpose of consolidation

	USD	Rate	L\$
Property, plant and equipment	50,000	1.13	44,248
Receivables	9,35,000	1.13	8,27,434
Total assets	9,85,000		8,71,682
Issued capital	50,000	_	30,055
Opening retained earnings	28,000	_	15,274
Profit for the year	20,000	1.175	17,021
Accounts payable	8,40,000	1.13	7,43,363
Accrued liabilities	47,000	1.13	41,593
Total equity and liabilities USD	9,85,00		8,47,306
Foreign Currency Translation Reserve (Refer	0		24,376
WN-1)			
Total equity and liabilities L\$			8,71,682

#### **Working Note**

#### 1 Cumulative balance of the FCTR

Particulars	Actual translated amount in L\$	Amount (Refer WN-2)	Difference
	Α	В	B-A
Issued capital	30,055	44,248	14,193
Opening retained earnings	15,274	24,779	9,505
Profit for the year	17,021	17,699	678
	62,350	86,726	24,376

# 2 Translated amount if the same conversion rate is applied to following items as applied on other items

	Translated
	amount

Issued capital	50,00	1.13	44,248
	0		
Opening retained earnings	28,00	1.13	24,779
	0		
Profit for the year	20,00	1.13	17,699
-	0		
	98,0		86,726
	98,0 00		

#### Question 4

On 30<sup>th</sup> January, 20X1, A Ltd. purchased a machinery for \$5,000 from USA supplier on credit basis. A Ltd.'s functional currency is Rupees. The exchange rate on the date of transaction is 1 \$ = ₹ 60. The fair value of the machinery determined on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X1 is \$ 5,500. The exchange rate on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X1 is 1\$ = ₹ 65. The payment to overseas supplier done on  $31^{st}$  March 20X2 and the exchange rate on  $31^{st}$  March 20X2 is 1\$ = ₹ 67. The fair value of the machinery remain unchanged for the year ended on  $31^{st}$  March 20X2. Prepare the Journal entries for the year ended on  $31^{st}$  March 20X1 and year 20X2 according to Ind AS 21. Tax rate is 30%. A Ltd. follows Revaluation method in respect of Plant & Machinery.

#### Answer 4

#### 1. Journal Entries

Purchase of Machinery on credit basis on 30th January 20X1:

	₹	₹
Machinery A/c (5,000 x \$ 60) Dr.	3,00,00	
To Creditors-Machinery A/c	0	3,00,00
(Initial transaction will be recorded at exchange rate on the date of transaction)		O

## Exchange difference arising on translating monetary item on 31st March 20X1:

		₹	₹
Profit & Loss A/c [(5,000 x \$ 65) - (5,	000 x \$ 60)] Dr.	25,000	
To Creditors-Machinery A/c			20,000
Machinery A/c	Dr.	30,000	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI)			30,000
[Being Machinery revalued to USD 5,50 (USD 5,500 - USD 5,000)]	00; (₹ 60 x		
Machinery A/c	Dr.	27,500	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI)			27,500
(Being Machinery measured exchange rate on 31-03-20 5,500 x (₹ 65 - ₹ 60)]		е	
Revaluation Surplus (OCI)	Dr.	17,250	

To Deferred Tax Liability	17,250
(DTL created @ of 30% of the total OCI amount)	

## Exchange difference arising on translating monetary item and settlement of creditors on 31st March 20X2:

		₹	₹
Creditors-Machinery A/c (5,000 x \$65)	Or.	3,25,000	
Profit & loss A/c [(5,000 x (\$ 67 -\$ 65)]	Or.	10,000	
To Bank A/c			3,35,000
Machinery A/c [(5,500 x (\$ 67 - \$ 65)) Dr.		11,000	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI)			11,000
Revaluation Surplus (OCI) Dr.		3,300	
To Deferred Tax Liability			3,300
(DTL created @ of 30% of the total OCI amount	:)		

#### Question 5

On 1st January, 2018, P Ltd. purchased a machine for \$ 2 lakhs. The functional currency of P Ltd. is Rupees. At that date the exchange rate was \$1=₹68. P Ltd. is not required to pay for this purchase until 30th June, 2018. Rupees strengthened against the \$ in the three months following purchase and by 31st March, 2018 the exchange rate was \$1=₹65. CFO of P Ltd. feels that these exchange fluctuations wouldn't affect the financial statements because P Ltd. has an asset and a liability denominated in rupees. which was initially the same amount. He also feels that P Ltd. depreciates this machine over four years so the future year-end amounts won't be the same. Examine the impact of this transaction on the financial statements of P Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 2018 as per Ind AS.

#### Answer 5

As per Ind AS 21 'The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates' the asset and liability would initially be recognised at the rate of exchange in force at the transaction date ie 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2018. Therefore, the amount initially recognised would be ₹ 1,36,00,000 (\$ 2,00 000 x ₹ 68).

The liability is a monetary item so it is retranslated using the rate of exchange in force at  $31^{st}$  March, 2018. This makes the closing liability of ₹ 1,30,00,000 (\$ 2,00,000 x ₹ 65).

The loss on re-translation of ₹ 6,00,000 (₹ 1,36,00,000 – ₹ 1,30,00,000) is recognised in the Statement of profit or loss.

The machine is a non-monetary asset carried at historical cost. Therefore, it continues to be translated using the rate of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\sim}}$  68 to \$1.

Depreciation of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}}$  8,50,000 ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}}$  1,36,00,000 x  $^{1/4}$  x 3/12) would be charged to profit or loss for the year ended 31st March, 2018.

#### Question 6

Supplier, A Ltd., enters into a contract with a customer, B Ltd., on 1st January, 2018 to deliver goods in exchange for total consideration of USD 50 million and

318 Jane 8

receives an upfront payment of USD 20 million on this date. The functional currency of the supplier is INR. The goods are delivered and revenue is recognised on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018. USD 30 million is received on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2018 in full and final settlement of the purchase consideration. State the date of transaction for advance consideration and recognition of revenue. Also state the amount of revenue in INR to be recognized on the date of recognition of revenue. The exchange rates on 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 and 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 are ₹ 72 per USD and ₹ 75 per USD respectively.

#### Answer 6

This is the case of Revenue recognised at a single point in time with multiple payments. As per the guidance given in Appendix B to Ind AS 21:

A Ltd. will recognise a non-monetary contract liability amounting ₹ 1,440 million, by translating USD 20 million at the exchange rate on 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 ie ₹ 72 per USD.

A Ltd. will recognise revenue at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 (that is, the date on which it transfers the goods to the customer).

A Ltd. determines that the date of the transaction for the revenue relating to the advance consideration of USD 20 million is 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2018. Applying paragraph 22 of Ind AS 21, A Ltd. determines that the date of the transaction for the remainder of the revenue as 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018.

On 31st March, 2018, A Ltd. will:

- derecognise the non-monetary contract liability of USD 20 million and recognise USD 20 million of revenue using the exchange rate as at 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 ie ₹ 72 per USD; and
- recognise revenue and a receivable for the remaining USD 30 million, using the exchange rate on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 ie ₹ 75 per USD.
- the receivable of USD 30 million is a monetary item, so it should be translated using the closing rate until the receivable is settled.

#### Question 7

Global Limited, an Indian company acquired on  $30^{\rm th}$  September, 20X1~70% of the share capital of Mark Limited, an entity registered as company in Germany. The functional currency of Global Limited is Rupees and its financial year end is  $31^{\rm st}$  March, 20X2.

- (i) The fair value of the net assets of Mark Limited was 23 million EURO and the purchase consideration paid is 17.5 million EURO on 30<sup>th</sup> September, 20X1.
  - The exchange rates as at  $30^{th}$  September, 20X1 was ₹ 82 / EURO and at  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2 was ₹ 84 / EURO.
  - What is the value at which the goodwill has to be recognised in the financial statements of Global Limited as on 31st March, 20X2?
- (ii) Mark Limited sold goods costing 2.4 million EURO to Global Limited for 4.2 million EURO during the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2. The exchange rate on the date of purchase by Global Limited was ₹ 83 / EURO and on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 was
  - ₹84 / EURO. The entire goods purchased from Mark Limited are unsold as on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2. Determine the unrealised profit to be eliminated in the preparation of consolidated financial statements.

Answer 7

(i) Para 47 of Ind AS 21 requires that goodwill arose on business combination shall be expressed in the functional currency of the foreign operation and shall be translated at the closing rate in accordance with paragraphs 39 and 42. In this case the amount of goodwill will be as follows:

> Net identifiable asset Dr.23 million Goodwill(bal. fig.)Dr.1.4 million To Bank To NCI (23 x 30%)

Thus, goodwill on reporting date would be 1.4 million EURO x ₹ 84 =₹117.6

6.9 million

17.5 million

(ii)

Particulars	EURO in million
Sale price of Inventory	4.20
Unrealised Profit [a]	1.80

Exchange rate as on date of purchase of Inventory [b]₹83 / Euro Unrealized profit to be eliminated [a x b]₹ 149.40 million

As per para 39 of Ind AS 21 "income and expenses for each statement of profit and loss presented (ie including comparatives) shall be translated at exchange rates at the dates of the transactions".

In the given case, purchase of inventory is an expense item shown in the statement profit and loss account. Hence, the exchange rate on the date of purchase of inventory is taken for calculation of unrealized profit which is to be eliminated on the event of consolidation.

#### **Question 8**

On 1st April, 20X1, Makers Ltd. raised a long term loan from foreign investors. The investors subscribed for 6 million Foreign Currency (FCY) loan notes at par. It incurred incremental issue costs of FCY 2,00,000. Interest of FCY 6,00,000 is payable annually on 31st March, starting from 31st March, 20X2. The loan is repayable in FCY on 31st March, 20X7 at a premium and the effective annual interest rate implicit in the loan is 12%. The appropriate measurement basis for this loan is amortised cost. Relevant exchange rates are as follows:

- 1st April, 20X1 FCY 1 = ₹ 2.50.
- 31st March, 20X2 FCY 1 = ₹ 2.75.
- Average rate for the year ended 31st Match, 20X2 FCY 1 = ₹ 2.42.

The functional currency of the group is Indian Rupee.

What would be the appropriate accounting treatment for the foreign currency loan in the books of Makers Ltd. for the FY 20X1-20X2? Calculate the initial measurement amount for the loan, finance cost for the year, closing balance and exchange gain / loss.

#### Answer 8

#### Initial carrying amount of loan in books

Loan amount received = 60,00,000 FCY Less: Incremental issue costs = 2,00,000 FCY

58,00,000 FCY

Ind AS 21, "The Effect of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates" states that foreign currency transactions are initially recorded at the rate of exchange in force when the transaction was first recognized.

Loan to be converted in INR  $= 58,00,000 \text{ FCY } \times ₹ 2.50/\text{FCY}$ = ₹ 1,45,00,000

Therefore, the loan would initially be recorded at ₹ 1,45,00,000. Calculation of amortized cost of loan (in FCY) at the year end:

Period	Opening Financial Liability (FCY) A	Interest @ 12% (FCY) B	Cash Flow (FCY) C	Closing Financial Liability (FCY) A+B- C
20X1-	58,00,0	6,96,00	6,00,00	58,96,0
20X2	00	0	0	00

The finance cost in FCY is 6,96,000

The finance cost would be recorded at an average rate for the period since it accrues over a period of time.

Hence, the finance cost for FY 20X1-20X2 in INR is ₹ 16,84,320 (6,96,000 FCY x ₹ 2.42 / FCY)

The actual payment of interest would be recorded at  $6,00,000 \times 2.75 = INR 16,50,000$ The loan balance is a monetary item so it is translated at the rate of exchange at the

So the closing loan balance in INR is 58,96,000 FCY x INR 2.75 / FCY = ₹ 1,62,14,000 The exchange differences that are created by this treatment are recognized in profit and loss. In this case, the exchange difference is ₹ [1,62,14,000 -(1,45,00,000 + 16,84,320 - 16,50,000)] = ₹ 16,79,680.

This exchange difference is taken to profit and loss.

#### Question 9 (Illustration)

Future Ltd. sells a revitalising energy drink that is sold throughout the world. Sales of the energy drink comprise over 90% of the revenue of Future Ltd. For convenience and consistency in pricing, sales of the energy drink are denominated in USD. All financing activities of Future Ltd. are in its local currency (L\$), although the company holds some USD cash reserves. Almost all of the costs incurred by Future Ltd. are denominated in L\$. What is the functional currency of Future Ltd.?

#### Answer 9

The functional currency of Future Ltd. is L\$ looking at the primary indicators. The facts presented indicate that the currency that mainly influence the cost of producing the energy drink is the L\$. As stated in the fact pattern, pricing of the product in USD is done for convenience and consistency purposes; there is no indication that the sales price is influenced by the USD.

#### Ouestion 10 (Illustration)

Small India Private Limited (Small), a subsidiary of Big Inc., takes orders from Indian customers for Big Inc's merchandise and then bills and collects for the sale of the merchandise in Rupees. Small also has a local warehouse in India to facilitate timely delivery and ensures that it remits to its parent all cash flows that it generates as the operations of Small are primarily financed by Big Inc.

# Big Inc is based out of US and has its functional currency as USD. What is Small's functional currency?

#### Answer 10

Small, although based in India with its cash flows generated in India, is essentially a "pass through company" established by its parent. Small is totally reliant on Big Inc. for financing and goods to be sold, despite the fact that goods are sold within India and in INR. Therefore, Small is not a self-contained entity in India, rather an entity that is dependent on its parent.

Due to this dependence of Small on its parent company, it can be said that the primary economic environment for Small is that of US and thus, its functional currency should also be USD.

Hence all the transactions of Small which are denominated in any currency other than USD should be recorded in USD at the spot rate and any changes in the exchange rate would result in an exchange gain or loss to be taken to the statement of profit or loss.

#### Question 11 (Illustration)

A is an Oman based company having a foreign operation, B, in India. The foreign operation was primarily set up to execute a construction project in India. The functional currency of A is OMR.

78% of entity B 's finances have been raised in USD by way of contribution from A. B's bank accounts are maintained in USD as well as INR. Cash flows generated by B are transferred to A on a monthly basis in USD in respect of repayment of finance received from A.

Revenues of B are in USD. Its competitors are globally based. Tendering for the construction project happened in USD.

B incurs 70% of the cost in INR and remaining 30% costs in USD.

Since B is located in India can it can presume its functional currency to be INR? Answer 11

No, B cannot presume INR to be its functional currency on the basis of its location. It needs to consider various factors listed in Ind AS for determination of functional currency.

Primary indicators:

- 1. the currency that mainly influences
  - (a) sales prices for its goods and services. This will often be the currency in which sales prices are denominated and settled; and of the country whose competitive forces and regulations mainly determine the sales prices of its goods and services.
  - (b) labour, material and other costs of providing goods and services. This will often be the currency in which these costs are denominated and settled.
- 2. Other factors that may provide supporting evidence to determine an entity's functional currency are (**Secondary indicators**):
  - (a) the currency in which funds from financing activities (i.e. issuing debt and equity instruments) are generated; and
  - (b) the currency in which receipts from operating activities are usually retained.
- 3. **If an entity is a foreign operation**, additional factors set out in Ind AS 21 should be considered to determine whether its functional currency is the same as that of the reporting entity of which it is a subsidiary, branch, associate or joint venture:

# $^{3}$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Whether the activities of foreign operations are carried out as an extension of that reporting entity, rather than being carried out with a significant degree of autonomy;

- (a) Whether the transactions with the reporting entity are a high or a low proportion of the foreign operation's activities;
- (b) Whether cash flows from the activities of the foreign operations directly affect the cash flows of the reporting entity and are readily available for remittance to it.
- (c) Whether cash flows from the activities of the foreign operation are sufficient to service existing and normally expected debt obligation without funds being made available by the reporting entity.

On the basis of additional factors mentioned in point 3 above, B cannot be said to have functional currency same as that of A Ltd.

Hence primary and secondary indicators should be used for the determination of functional currency of B giving priority to primary indicators. The analysis is given below:

- Its significant revenues and competitive forces are in USD.
- Its significant portion of cost is incurred in INR. Only 30% costs are in USD.
- 78% of its finances have been raised in USD.
- It retains its operating cash flows partially in USD and partially in INR. Keeping these factors in view, USD should be considered as the functional currency of B.

#### Question 12 (Illustration)

S Ltd is a company based out of India which got listed on Bombay Stock Exchange in the financial year ended 31st March, 20X1. Since then the company's operations have increased considerably. The company was engaged in the business of trading of motor cycles. The company only deals in imported Motor cycles. These motor cycles are imported from US.

After importing the motor cycles, these are sold across India through its various distribution channels. The company had only private customers earlier but the company also started corporate tie-up and increased its customer base to corporates also. The purchase of the motor cycles are in USD because the vendor(s) from whom these motor cycles are purchased those are all located in US.

All other operating expenses of the company are incurred in India only because of its location and they generally happen to be in INR

Currently, its customers are both corporate and private in the ratio of 70:30 approximately. The USD denominated prices of motor cycles in India are different from those in other countries.

The company is also expecting that in the coming years, its customers base will increase significantly in India and the current proportion may also change.

Currently, the invoices are raised to the corporate customers in USD for the purpose of hedging. However, private customers don't accept the same arrangement and hence invoices are raised to them in INR.

What would be the functional currency of this company?

#### Answer 12

The functional currency of S Ltd is INR.

Following factors need to be considered for determination of functional currency: Primary indicators

1. the currency that mainly influences

- (a) sales prices for its goods and services. This will often be the currency in which sales prices are denominated and settled; and of the country whose competitive forces and regulations mainly determine the sales prices of its goods and services.
- (b) labour, material and other costs of providing goods and services. This will often be the currency in which these costs are denominated and settled.
- 2. Other factors that may provide supporting evidence to determine an entity's functional currency are (**Secondary indicators**):
  - (a) the currency in which funds from financing activities (i.e. issuing debt and equity instruments) are generated; and
  - (b) the currency in which receipts from operating activities are usually retained.

Primary and secondary indicators should be used for the determination of functional currency of S Ltd. giving priority to primary indicators.

#### The analysis is given below:

Ind AS 21 gives greater emphasis to the currency of the economy that determines the pricing of transactions, as opposed to the currency in which transactions are denominated.

Sales prices for motor cycles are mainly influenced by the competitive forces and regulations in India. The market for motor cycles depends on the economic situation in India and the company is in competition with importers of other motor cycle brands.

Even though 70% of the revenue of the company is denominated in USD, Indian economic conditions are the main factors affecting the prices. This is evidenced by the fact that USD denominated sales prices in India are different from USD denominated sales prices for the same motor cycles in other countries.

Management is able to determine the functional currency because the revenue is clearly influenced by the Indian economic environment and expenses are mixed.

On the basis of above analysis, INR should be considered as the functional currency of the company.

#### Question 13 (Illustration)

Functional currency of parent P is EURO while the functional currency of its subsidiary S is USD. P sells inventory to S and a transaction for the same was made for USD 300 during the year. At the year end, a balance of the same amount is outstanding as receivable from S. It has been observed that such balance amount has been continuing as receivable from S year on year and even though the payments in respect of these balances are expected to be received in the foreseeable future but if we look at the year-end then we see this balance as outstanding every year.

In addition to the trading balances between P and S, P has lent an amount of USD 500 to S that is not expected to be repaid in the foreseeable future. Should the exchange difference, if any, be recognised in the profit and loss?

#### Answer 13

The exchange gain or loss will arise in the books of accounts of P in respect of its trading balance with S and the same should be recognised in profit or loss. This being a balance for in the nature of trade receivable for P, it would not be considered as its net investment in a foreign operation (i.e. S).

The amount lent by P should be regarded as its net investment in S (i.e. foreign operation). Thus, the exchange gain or loss incurred by P on the USD 500 loan should be recognised in profit or loss in P's separate financial statements and in


other comprehensive income in its consolidated financial statements.

#### Question 14 (Illustration)

In the above illustration, suppose that for tax reasons, the 'permanent' funding (i.e. loan amount) extended to S is made via another entity in the group, T, rather than from P directly. That is, on the directions of P, T gives the loan to S. T is also a subsidiary of P.

Demonstrate where should the exchange difference, if any, be recognised.

#### Answer 14



Any exchange difference in respect of the loan is recognised in other comprehensive income in the consolidated financial statements because from the group's point of view the funding relates to an investment in a foreign operation. This is the case irrespective of the currency in which the loan is denominated. So, if the loan is denominated in T's functional currency, and this is different from that of S, then exchange differences still should be recognised in other comprehensive income in the consolidated financial statements.

#### Question 15 (Illustration)

The functional and presentation currency of parent P is USD while the functional currency of its subsidiary S is EURO. P sold goods having a value of USD 100 to S when the exchange rate was USD 1 = Euro 2. At year-end, the amount is still due, and the exchange rate is USD 1 = Euro 2.2. How should the exchange difference, if any, be accounted for in the consolidated financial statements?

#### Answer 15

At year-end, S should restate its accounts payable to EURO 220, recognising a loss of Euro 20 in its profit or loss. Thus, in the books of S, the balance payable to P will appear at EURO 220 while in the books of P the balance receivable from S will be USD 100.

For consolidation purposes, the assets and liabilities of S will be translated to USD at the closing rate.

At the time of consolidation, USD 100 which will get eliminated against the receivable in the books of P but the exchange loss of EURO 20 recorded in the subsidiary's statement of profit or loss has no equivalent gain in the parent's financial statements. Therefore, exchange loss of EURO 20 will remain in the consolidated statement of profit or loss.

The reason for this is that the intra-group balance represents a commitment to translate

Euro into USD and this is similar to holding a foreign currency asset in the books of the parent company.

i.e. the subsidiary would be required to buy USD to settle the obligation to the parent, so the Group has an exposure to foreign currency risk.

#### Question 16 (Illustration)

M Ltd is engaged in the business of manufacturing of bottles for pharmaceutical companies and non-pharmaceutical companies. It has a wholly owned subsidiary, G Ltd, which is engaged in the business of pharmaceuticals. G Ltd purchases the pharmaceutical bottles from its parent company. The demand of G Ltd is very high and hence to cater to its shortfall, G Ltd also purchases the bottles from other companies. Purchases are made at the competitive prices.

M Ltd sold pharmaceuticals bottles to G Ltd for Euro 12 lacs on 1<sup>st</sup> February, 20X1. The cost of these bottles was ₹ 830 lacs in the books of M Ltd at the time of sale. At the year-end i.e. 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1, all these bottles were lying as closing stock and payable with G Ltd.

Euro is the functional currency of G Ltd. while Indian Rupee is the functional currency of M Ltd. Following additional information is available:

Exchange rate on 1st February, 20X1

1 Euro = ₹ 83

Exchange rate on 31st March, 20X1

1 Euro = ₹ 85

Provide the accounting treatment for the above in books of M Ltd. and G Ltd. Also show its impact on consolidated financial statements. Support your answer by Journal entries, wherever necessary, in the books of M Ltd.

#### Answer 16

#### Accounting treatment in the books of M Ltd (Functional Currency INR)

M Ltd will recognize sales of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  996 lacs (12 lacs Euro x 83) Profit on sale of inventory = 996 lacs – 830 lacs =  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  166 lacs.

On balance sheet date receivable from G Ltd. will be translated at closing rate i.e. 1 Euro = ₹85. Therefore, unrealised forex gain will be recorded in standalone profit and loss of ₹24 lacs. (i.e. (85 - 83) x 12 Lacs)

#### **Journal Entries**

		₹ (in Lacs)	₹ (in Lacs)
G Ltd. A/c	Dr.	996	
To Sales			996
(Being revenue recorded on in	nitial recognition)		
G Ltd. A/c	Dr.	24	
To Foreign exchange difference (unrealised)			24
(Being foreign exchange differ	rence recorded at		
year end)			

#### Accounting treatment in the books of G Ltd (Functional currency EURO)

G Ltd will recognize inventory on 1<sup>st</sup> February, 20X1 of Euro 12 lacs which will also be its closing stock at year end.

#### **Journal Entry**

	(in Euros)	(in Euros)
PurchaseDr.	12 lakhs	1.0
To M Ltd.		12 lakhs

,age 824

#### Accounting treatment in the consolidated financial statements

Receivable and payable in respect of above mentioned sale / purchase between M Ltd and G Ltd will get eliminated.

The closing stock of G Ltd will be recorded at lower of cost or NRV.

	Euro (in lacs)	Rate	₹ (in lacs)
Cost	12	83	996
NRV (Assumed Same)	12	85	1020

Therefore, no write off is required. The amount of closing stock of Rs. 996 lacs includes two components–

- Cost of inventory for ₹830 lacs; and
- Profit element of ₹ 166 lacs; and

At the time of consolidation, the second element amounting to ₹ 166 lacs will be eliminated from the closing stock.

#### **Journal Entry**

#### Accounting treatment in the books of G Ltd (Functional currency EURO)

G Ltd will recognize inventory on 1st February, 20X1 of Euro 12 lacs which will also be its closing stock at year end.

#### Journal Entry

		(in Euros)	(in Euros)
Purchase	Dr.	12 lakhs	
To M Ltd.			12 lakhs

#### Accounting treatment in the consolidated financial statements

Receivable and payable in respect of above mentioned sale / purchase between M Ltd and G Ltd will get eliminated.

The closing stock of G Ltd will be recorded at lower of cost or NRV.

	Euro (in lacs)	Rate	₹ (in lacs)
Cost	12	83	996
NRV (Assumed Same)	12	85	1020


Therefore, no write off is required. The amount of closing stock of Rs. 996 lacs includes two components–

- Cost of inventory for ₹830 lacs; and
- Profit element of ₹ 166 lacs; and

At the time of consolidation, the second element amounting to ₹ 166 lacs will be eliminated from the closing stock.

#### **Journal Entry**

	₹ (in Lacs)	₹ (in Lacs)
Consolidated P&L A/c Dr.	166	
To Inventory		166
(Being profit element of intragroup transaction eliminated)		



,age 826

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

## Chapter 10 Unit-1 Ind AS 24: "Related Party Disclosures"

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem pts	Dec' 21	Jan' 21	Jul'2 1	May' 18	Nov' 18	May' 19	May' 22	May' 23	Nov' 19	Nov' 20	Nov' 22	Nov' 23
MTP	Q9				Q7	Q6	Q10			Q5, Q8	Q4	
PYP								Q1				
RTP					Q3		Q12		Q11	Q2		

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

Mr. M has an investment in X Limited and Y Limited

- i. Under what circumstances, Mr. M is a related party of X Limited and Y
- ii. Will X Limited and Y Limited be related parties, if Mr. M has only significant influence over both X Limited and Y Limited? (PYP 4 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 1

- As per para 9(a) of Ind AS 24, Mr. M will be considered as a related party to X Limited, when
  - Mr. M has control or joint control over X Limited
  - Mr. M has significant influence over X Limited

Similar will be the circumstances for Mr. M being considered as related party to Y Limited.

(ii) Even if Mr. X has only significant influence over both the entities i.e., X Limited & Y Limited, then both the entities (X Limited & Y Limited) will not be considered as related party, if no direct or indirect control is exercised on each other in any of the manner.

#### Question 2

Mr. X owns 95% of entity A and is its director. He is also beneficiary of a trust that owns 100% of entity B, of which he is a director.

Whether entities A and B are related parties? Would the situation be different if:

- (a) Mr. X resigned as a director of entity A, but retained his 95% holding?
- (b) Mr. X resigned as a director of entities A and B and transferred the 95% holding in entity A to the trust? (RTP Nov '20)

#### Answer 2

Entities A and B are related parties, because the director (Mr. X) controls entity A and is a member of the key management personnel of entity B.

Answers to different given situations would be as under:

Mr. X resigned as a director of entity A, but retained his 95% holding

Mr. X continues to control entity A through his 95% holding even though he is not (nominally) a director of the entity. Entities A and B are related if Mr. X controls the trust. Mr. X controls entity A and also, through the trust, controls entity B. Entities A and B are controlled by the same person, and so they are related parties.

Mr. X might still be a member of 'key management personnel' even though he is not

(nominally) a director of entity A. Key management personnel includes, but is not restricted to, directors, which include those who are executive 'or otherwise' provided they had authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity. There could be two reasons why entities A and B would continue to be related parties: Mr. X being a member of 'Key management personnel' of entity A and Mr. X controlling entity A.

Mr. X resigned as a director of entities A and B and transferred the 95% holding in entity A to the trust.

If Mr. X controls the trust, he controls entities A and B through the trust, so they will be related parties (see reason in (a) above)

Mr. X is a member of 'key management personnel' of the two entities (see (a) above) if, as seems likely, he continues to direct their operating and financial policies. The substance of the relationship and not merely the legal form should be considered. If Mr X is regarded as a member of the key management personnel of, say, entity A, entity B is a related party, because he exercises control or significant influence over entity B by virtue of his control over the trust.

#### Question 3

Mr. X, is the financial controller of ABC Ltd., a listed entity which prepares consolidated financial statements in accordance with Ind AS. Mr. X has recently produced the final draft of the financial statements of ABC Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 2018 to the managing director for approval. Mr. Y, who is not an accountant, had raised following queries from Mr. X after going through the draft financial statements:

One of the notes to the financial statements gives details of purchases made by ABC Ltd. from PQR Ltd. during the period. Mr. Y own 100% of the shares in PQR Ltd.. However, he feels that there is no requirement for any disclosure to be made in ABC Ltd.'s financial statements since the transaction is carried out on normal commercial terms and is totally insignificant to ABC Ltd., as it represents less than 1% of ABC Ltd.'s purchases. (RTP Nov '18)

#### Answer 3

Ongoing through the queries raised by the Managing Director Mr. Y, the financial controller Mr. X explained the notes and reasons for their disclosures as follows:

(a) Related parties are generally characterised by the presence of control or influence between the two parties.

Ind AS 24 'Related Party Disclosures' identifies related parties as, inter alia, key management personnel and companies controlled by key management personnel. On this basis, PQR Ltd. is a related party of ABC Ltd.

The transaction is required to be disclosed in the financial statements of ABC Ltd. since Mr. Y is Key Management personnel of ABC Ltd. Also at the same time, it owns 100% shares of PQR Ltd. ie. he controls PQR Ltd. This implies that PQR Ltd. is a related party of ABC Ltd.

Where transactions occur with related parties, Ind AS 24 requires that details of the transactions are disclosed in a note to the financial statements. This is required even if the transactions are carried out on an arm's length basis.

Transactions with related parties are material by their nature, so the fact that the transaction may be numerically insignificant to ABC Ltd. does not affect the need for disclosure.

#### Question 4

State any 5 major differences between Ind AS 24 and AS 18. (MTP 5 Marks Oct'22)

#### Answer 4

Note: Students may answer any 5 points out of the 11 points mentioned below.

	Estudents may answer any 5 points out of the 11 points mentioned below.					
S.No	Particulars	Ind AS 24	AS 18			
1.	Definition of	Ind AS 24 uses the term "a close	AS 18 uses the term			
	Relative	member of the family of a person".	"relatives of an individual"			
		Definition of close members of	AS 18 covers the spouse,			
		family as per Ind AS 24 includes	son, daughter, brother,			
		those family members, who may be	sister, father and mother			
		expected to influence, or be	who may be expected to			
		influenced by, that person in their	influence, or be influenced			
		dealings with the entity, including:	by, that individual in			
		(a) that person's children, spouse	his/her dealings with the			
		or domestic partner, brother, sister, father and mother;	reporting enterprise.			
		(b) children of that person's				
		spouse				
		or domestic partner; and				
		(c) dependents of that person or				
		that person's spouse or				
		domestic partner.				
		Hence, the definition as per Ind AS				
		24 is much wider.				
2.	State Controlled	Ind AS 24, there is extended	AS 18 defines state-			
	Enterprise:	coverage of Government	controlled enterprise as "an			
		Enterprises, as it defines a	enterprise which is under			
		government-related entity as "an	the control of the Central			
		entity that is controlled, jointly	Government and/or any			
		controlled or significantly influenced by a government."	State Government(s)".			
		Further, "Government refers to				
		government, government agencies				
		and similar bodies whether local,				
		national or international."				
3.	Key	Ind AS 24 covers KMP of the parent	AS 18 covers key			
	Managemen	as well. Ind AS 24 also covers the				
	t Personnel	entity, or any member of a group of	(KMP) of the entity only			
		which it is a part, providing key				
		management personnel services to				
		the reporting entity or to the parent				
1	Doloted Dantica in	of the reporting entity	Ag non AC 19 ag mantagara			
4.	Related Parties in case of Joint	Under Ind AS 24 there is extended	As per AS 18, co-venturers or co-associates are not			
	case of Joint Venture	coverage in case of joint ventures.  Two entities are related to each	or co-associates are not related to each other.			
	Venture	other in both their financial	letated to each other.			
		statements, if they are either co-				
		venturers or one is a venturer and				
		the other is an associate.	6.			
	1		, <del></del>			

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

# 830

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

5.	Effect of	Ind AS 24 does not specifically	AS 18 mentions that where
	influences which	mention this.	there is an inherent
	do not lead to		difficulty for management
	transactions		to determine the effect of
			influences which do not
			lead to transactions,
			disclosure of such effects is
			not required
6.	Post-employment	Ind AS 24 specifically includes	AS 18 does not specifically
	Benefits	post- employment benefit plans for	cover entities that are post-
		the benefit of employees of an	employment benefit plans,
		entity or	as related parties.
		its related entity as related parties.	
7.	Next Most	Ind AS 24 requires an additional	AS 18 has no such
	Senior	disclosure as to the name of the	requirement.
	Parent	next most senior parent which	
		produces consolidated financial	
		statements for	
		public use.	
8.	Disclosure for	Ind AS 24 requires extended	AS 18 does not specifically
	Compensation	disclosures for compensation of	require
		KMP under different categories.	
9.	Disclosure of	Ind AS 24 requires "the amount of	AS 18 gives an option to
	'Amount of the	the transactions" need to be	disclose the "Volume of the
	Transactions' vs	disclosed.	transactions either as an
	Volume of the		amount or as an
	Transactions		appropriate proportion".
10	Government	Ind AS 24 requires disclosures of	AS 18 presently exempts
	Related Entities:	certain information by the	the disclosure of such
		government related entities.	information.
11	Clarification of	Ind AS 24 neither defines these	AS 18 includes definition
	Control,	terms nor it includes such	and clarificatory text,
	Substantial	clarificatory text and allows	primarily with regard to
	Interest and	respective standards to deal with	control, substantial interest
	Significant	the same.	(including 20% threshold),
	Influence		significant influence
1	1	1	(including 20% threshold)

#### Question 5

ABC Ltd. is a long-standing customer of XYZ Ltd. Mrs. P whose husband is a director in XYZ Ltd. purchased a controlling interest in entity ABC Ltd. on 1 June 2019. Sales of products from XYZ Ltd. to ABC Ltd. in the two-month period from 1 April 2019 to 31 May 2019 totalled

Rs. 8,00,000. Following the share purchase by Mrs. P, XYZ Ltd. began to supply the products at a discount of 20% to their normal selling price and allowed ABC Ltd. three months' credit (previously ABC Ltd. was only allowed one month's credit, XYZ Ltd.'s normal credit policy). Sales of products from XYZ Ltd. to ABC Ltd. in the ten-month period from 1 June 2019 to 31 March 2020 totalled Rs. 60,00,000. On 31 March 2020, the trade receivables of XYZ Ltd. included Rs. 18,00,000 in respect of amounts owing by ABC Ltd.

Analyse and show (where possible by quantifying amounts) how the above event would be reported in the financial statements of XYZ Ltd. for the year ended 31 March 2020 as per Ind AS. You are required to mention the disclosure requirements as well. (MTP 5 Marks Oct '20, RTP Nov'18)

#### Answer 5

XYZ Ltd. would include the total revenue of Rs. 68,00,000 (Rs. 60,00,000 + Rs. 8,00,000) from ABC Ltd. received / receivable in the year ended 31st March 2020 within its revenue and show Rs. 18,00,000 within trade receivables at 31 March 2020.

Mrs. P would be regarded as a related party of XYZ Ltd. because she is a close family member of one of the key management personnel of XYZ Ltd.

From 1st June 2019, ABC Ltd. would also be regarded as a related party of XYZ Ltd. because from that date ABC Ltd. is an entity controlled by another related party.

Since ABC Ltd. is a related party with whom XYZ Ltd. has transactions, XYZ Ltd. should disclose:

- The nature of the related party relationship.
- The revenue of Rs. 60,00,000 from ABC Ltd. since 1st June 2019.
- The outstanding balance of Rs. 18,00,000 at 31st March 2020.

In the current circumstances it may well be necessary for XYZ Ltd. to also disclose the favourable terms under which the transactions are carried out.

#### Question 6

An Indian company has a parent company out side India. Parent company negotiates software licenses with end vendor and based on number of licences, parent company get its reimbursement from Indian company. Say, license cost of Rs. 12 Lac is charged for calendar year of 2018. Parent company generates is invoice in February'18. Indian company accounts full invoice in February'18 and then for Indian financial year, accounts Reimbursement expense of Rs. 3. 00 Lac during FY 1718 (for licencing cost relating to period January'18 to March'18) and Prepaid expenses of Rs. 9 Lac for licensing cost reimbursement relating to April'18 to December'18. Prepaid expense is subsequently reversed and expense of Rs. 9 Lac is accounted for in FY 18-19.

What amount should be disclosed at Related party transaction?(MTP 4 Marks Mar '19)

#### Answer 6

Paragraph 9 of Ind AS 24 Related Party Disclosures defines Related Party Transactions as under:

"A related party transaction is a transfer of resources, services or obligations between a reporting entity and a related party, regardless of whether a price is charged."

Paragraph 6 of Ind AS 24 states as under:

"6 A related party relationship could have an effect on the profit or loss and financial position of

an entity..."

In the given case, there is a transfer of resources to the extent of Rs.12 lac from the company to the parent towards software license. Of this transfer of resources, the company has consumed the benefits relating to Rs.3 lac of software license cost which is recognise in profit or loss. The benefits relating to Rs.9 lac of software license cost will be consumed in the next reporting period and therefore is recognised in balance sheet as prepaid expenses.

Paragraph 18 of Ind AS 24 states as under:

"18 If an entity has had related party transactions during the periods covered by the financial statements, it shall disclose the nature of the related party relationship as well as information about those transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments necessary for users to understand the potential effect of the relationship of the financial statements. At a minimum, disclosures shall include:

- a. The amount of the transactions:
- The amount of outstanding balances, including commitments, and;
  - Their terms and conditions, including whether they are secured, and the nature of the consideration to be provided in settlement; and
  - (ii) Details of any guarantees given or received;
- Provisions for doubtful debts related to the amount of outstanding balances; and c.
- d. The expense recognised during the period in respect of bad and doubtful debts due from related parties."

Therefore, the company has to disclose:

- 1. The amount of transaction with the parent of Rs.12 lac towards software license;
- Outstanding balance of Rs.9 lac presented as prepaid expense along with the terms and conditions and state that the same will be settled in the next reporting period by receipt of software licensing services.
- The amount of Rs.3 lac recognized as software license expense in profit or loss for the benefits consumed during the period to make it understandable to users.

#### Paragraph 113 of Ind AS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements states as under:

"113 An entity shall present notes in a systematic manner. An entity shall crossreference each line items in the balance sheet and in the statement of profit and loss, and in the statement of changes in equity and of cash flows to any related information in the notes."

Therefore, the company shall cross-reference the software license expense recognised in profit or loss and prepaid expenses recognised in balance sheet to the notes disclosing related party transactions.

#### **Question 7**

Mr. X has a 100% investment in A Ltd. He is also a member of the key management personnel (KMP) of B Ltd. B Ltd has a 100% investment in C Ltd. Examine related party relationship of A Ltd., as per Ind AS 24, in the financial statements of C Ltd. (MTP 4 Marks Aug '18)


#### Answer 7

Para 9 of Ind AS 24 defines the term "key management personnel" as persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity directly or indirectly, including any director (whether executive or not). Further, significant influence is the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of the investee but is not control or joint control of those policies.

Therefore, a key management personnel (KMP) has significant influence over the entity. Accordingly, Mr. X has significant influence over B Ltd. since he is a key management personnel of B Ltd.

Now, para 9(vii) of the standard states that an entity is related to a reporting entity if the person identified in para 9(a)(i) (here KMP ie. Mr. X) has significant influence over the entity or is a member of the key management personnel of the entity (or of a parent of the entity)"

Therefore, if C Ltd. is a reporting entity, A Ltd. is related to C Ltd. because a key management personnel of parent B Limited has control over A Limited. Therefore, the relationship of C Ltd. and A Ltd. will be "Entities controlled by key management



#### Question 8

Mr. Atul is an independent director of a company X Ltd. He plays a vital role in the management of X Ltd. and contributes in major decision making process of the organisation. X Ltd. pays sitting fee of Rs.2,00,000 to him for every Board of Directors' (BOD) meeting he attends. Throughout the year, X Ltd. had 5 such meetings which was attended by Mr. Atul.

Similarly, a non-executive director, Mr. Naveen also attended 5 BOD meetings and charged Rs. 1,50,000 per meeting. The Accountant of X Ltd. believes that they being not the employees of the organisation, their fee should not be disclosed as per related party transaction.

Examine whether the sitting fee paid to independent director and non-executive director is required to be disclosed in the financial statements prepared as per Ind AS? (MTP 4 Marks May '20, RTP May'18)

#### Answer 8

As per paragraph 9 of Ind AS 24, Related Party Disclosures, "Key management personnel are those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity, directly or indirectly, including any director (whether executive or otherwise) of that entity."

Accordingly, key management personnel (KMP) includes any director of the entity who are having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity. Hence, independent director Mr. Atul and non-executive director Mr. Naveen are covered under the definition of KMP in accordance with Ind AS.

Also as per paragraph 7 and 9 of Ind AS 19, 'Employee Benefits', an employee may provide services to an entity on a full-time, part-time, permanent, casual or temporary basis. For the purpose of the Standard, Employees include directors and other management personnel.

Therefore, contention of the Accountant is wrong that they are not employees of X

Ltd.

Paragraph 17 of Ind AS requires disclosure about employee benefits for key management personnel. Therefore, an entity shall disclose key management personnel compensation in total i.e. disclosure of directors' fee of (Rs. 10,00,000 + Rs. 7,50,000) Rs. 17,50,000 is to be made as employees benefits (under various categories).

Since short-term employee benefits are expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the annual reporting period in which the employees render the related services, the sitting fee paid to directors will fall under it (as per Ind AS 19) and is required to be disclosed in accordance with the paragraph 17 of Ind AS 24.

#### Question 9

Mr. X has a 100% investment in A Limited. He is also a member of the key management personnel (KMP) of C Limited. B Limited has a 100% investment in C Limited.

Required

Examine related party relationships from the perspective of C Limited for A

- (a) Limited.
- (b) Examine related party relationships from the perspective of C Limited for A Limited if Mr. X is a KMP of B Limited and not C Limited.
- (c) Will the outcome in (a) & (b) would be different if Mr. X has joint control over A Limited.
- (d) Will the outcome in (a) & (b) would be different if Mr. X has significant influence over A Limited. (MTP 4 Marks Nov 21)

#### Answer 9

- (a) A Limited is related to C Limited because Mr. X controls A Limited and is a member of KMP of C Limited.
- (b) Still A Limited will be related to C Limited.
- (c) No, Still A Limited will be related to C Limited.
- (d) Yes, A Ltd. is not controlled by Mr. X. Therefore, despite Mr. X being KMP of C Ltd., A Ltd., having significant influence of Mr. X, will not be considered as related party of C Limited.

#### Question 10

Mr. X is a domestic partner of Ms. Y. Mr. X has an investment in A Limited and Ms. Y has an investment in B Limited.

- (i) Examine when can a related party relationship is established, from the perspective of A Limited's financial statements.
- (ii) Examine when can related party relationship is established, from the perspective of B Limited's financial statements.
- (iii) Will A Limited and B Limited be related parties if Mr. X has only significant influence over A Limited and Ms. Y also has significant influence over B Limited. (MTP 5 Marks April 22)

#### Answer 10

- (i) If Mr. X controls or jointly controls A Limited, then Mr. X is a related party to A limited. B Limited will be considered as related to A Limited when Ms. Y also has control, joint control or significant influence over B Limited because Ms. Y is a domestic partner of Mr. X.
- (ii) If Ms. Y controls or jointly controls B Limited, then Ms. Y is a related party to B limited. A Limited will be considered as related to B Limited when Mr. X also has

<sup>2</sup> 2834

- control, joint control or significant influence over A Limited because Mr. X is a domestic partner of Ms. Y.
- (iii) No, Significant influence does not lead to direct / indirect control between the A Ltd. and B Ltd. Hence, they will not be considered as related party.

#### Question 11

Uttar Pradesh State Government holds 60% shares in PQR Limited and 55% shares in ABC Limited. PQR Limited has two subsidiaries namely P Limited and Q Limited. ABC Limited has two subsidiaries namely A Limited and B Limited. Mr. KM is one of the Key management personnel in PQR Limited.

- (a) Determine the entity to whom exemption from disclosure of related party transactions is to be given. Also examine the transactions and with whom such exemption applies.
- (b) What are the disclosure requirements for the entity which has availed the exemption? (RTP Nov '19)

#### Answer 11

(a) As per para 18 of Ind AS 24, 'Related Party Disclosures', if an entity had related party transactions during the periods covered by the financial statements, it shall disclose the nature of the related party relationship as well as information about those transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, necessary for users to understand the potential effect of the relationship on the financial statements.

However, as per para 25 of the standard a reporting entity is exempt from the disclosure requirements in relation to related party transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, with:

- (i) a government that has control or joint control of, or significant influence over, the reporting entity; and
- (ii) another entity that is a related party because the same government has control or joint control of, or significant influence over, both the reporting entity and the other entity

## According to the above paras, for Entity P's financial statements, the exemption in paragraph 25 applies to:

- (i) transactions with Government Uttar Pradesh State Government; and
- (ii) transactions with Entities PQR and ABC and Entities Q, A and B. Similar exemptions are available to Entities PQR, ABC, Q, A and B, with the transactions with UP State Government and other entities controlled directly or indirectly by UP State Government. However, that exemption does not apply to transactions with Mr. KM. Hence, the transactions with Mr. KM needs to be disclosed under related party transactions.
- **(b)** It shall disclose the following about the transactions and related outstanding balances referred to in paragraph 25:
  - (a) the name of the government and the nature of its relationship with the reporting entity (ie control, joint control or significant influence);
  - (b) the following information in sufficient detail to enable users of the entity's financial statements to understand the effect of related party transactions on its financial statements:
    - (i) the nature and amount of each individually significant transaction; and
    - (ii) for other transactions that are collectively, but not individually, significant, a qualitative or quantitative indication of their extent.

### Question 12

Entity A owns 30% of the share capital of entity B and has the ability to exercise significant influence over it.

Entity B holds the following investments:

- 70% of the share capital of its subsidiary, entity C; and
- 30% of the share capital of entity D, with the ability to exercise significant influence.

Entity A transacts with entities C and D. Should entity A disclose these transactions as related party transactions in its separate financial statements? Also explain the disclosure of such transactions in the financial statements of C and D as related party transaction. (RTP May '22)

#### Answer 12

Entity A should disclose its transactions with entity C in entity A's separate financial statements. Entity C is a related party of entity A, because entity C is the subsidiary of entity A's associate, entity B.

Entity A's management is not required to disclose entity A's transactions with entity D in its financial statements. Entity D is not a related party of entity A, because entity A has no ability to exercise control or significant influence over entity D. Entity C is required to disclose its transactions with entity A in its financial statements, because entity A is a related partly.

Entity D is not required to disclose transactions with entity A, because they are not related parties.

#### Section - B

#### Question 1

Mr. X is a domestic partner of Ms. Y. Mr. X has an investment in A Limited and Ms. Y has an investment in B Limited.


#### Required

- (a) Examine when can a related party relationship is established, from the perspective of A Limited's financial statements:
- (b) Examine when can related party relationship is established, from the perspective of B Limited's financial statements:
- (c) Will A Limited and B Limited be related parties if Mr. X has only significant influence over A Limited and Ms. Y also has significant influence over B Limited:

#### Answer 1

- (a) If Mr. X controls or jointly controls A Limited, B Limited is related to A Limited when Ms. Y has control, joint control or significant influence over B Limited
- (b) If Mr. X controls or jointly controls A Limited, A Limited is related to B Limited when Ms. Y has control, joint control or significant influence over B Limited.

(c) No, Significant influence does not lead to direct/indirect control between the A Ltd. & B Ltd.



#### Question 2

A Limited has both (i) joint control over B Limited and (ii) joint control or significant influence over C Limited Required

- (a) Examine related party relationship from the perspective of C Limited's financial statements.
- (b) Examine related party relationship from the perspective of B Limited's financial statements.

#### Answer 2

- a) C Limited is related to B Limited
- b) B Limited is related to C Limited

#### Question 3

ABC Ltd. is a long-standing customer of XYZ Ltd. Mrs. P whose husband is a director in XYZ Ltd. purchased a controlling interest in entity ABC Ltd. on 1<sup>st</sup> June, 20X1. Sales of products from XYZ Ltd. to ABC Ltd. in the two-month period from 1<sup>st</sup> April 20X1 to 31<sup>st</sup> May 20X1 totalled ₹ 8,00,000. Following the share purchase by Mrs. P,XYZ Ltd. began to supply the products at a discount of 20% to their normal selling price and allowed ABC Ltd. three months' credit (previously ABC Ltd. was only allowed one month's credit, XYZ Ltd.'s normal credit policy). Sales of products from XYZ Ltd. to ABC Ltd. in the ten-month period from 1<sup>st</sup> June 20X1 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X2 totalled ₹ 60,00,000. On 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X2, the trade receivables of XYZ Ltd. included ₹ 18,00,000 in respect of amounts owing by ABC Ltd.

Analyse and show (where possible by quantifying amounts) how the above event would be reported in the financial statements of XYZ Ltd. for the year ended 31st March 20X2 as per Ind AS. You are required to mention the disclosure requirements as well.

#### Answer 3

XYZ Ltd. would include the total revenue of ₹ 68,00,000 (₹ 60,00,000 + ₹8,00,000) from ABC Ltd. received / receivable in the year ended 31st March 20X2 within its revenue and show ₹ 18,00,000 within trade receivables at 31st March 20X2.

Mrs. P would be regarded as a related party of XYZ Ltd. because she is a close family member of one of the key management personnel of XYZ Ltd.

From 1<sup>st</sup> June 20X1, ABC Ltd. would also be regarded as a related party of XYZ Ltd. because from that date ABC Ltd. is an entity controlled by another related party.

Because ABC Ltd. is a related party with whom XYZ Ltd. has transactions, then XYZ Ltd. should disclose:

- The nature of the related party relationship.
- The revenue of ₹ 60,00,000 from ABC Ltd. since 1st June 20X1.
- The outstanding balance of ₹ 18,00,000 at 31st March 20X2.

In the current circumstances it may well be necessary for XYZ Ltd. to also disclose the favourable terms under which the transactions are carried out.

#### Question 4

Mr. Atul is an independent director of a company X Ltd. He plays a vital role in the Management of X Ltd. and contributes in major decision making process of the organisation. X Ltd. pays sitting fee of  $\stackrel{?}{}$  2,00,000 to him for every Board of Directors' (BOD) meeting he attends. Throughout the year, X Ltd. had 5 such meetings which was attended by Mr. Atul.

Similarly, a non-executive director, Mr. Naveen also attended 5 BOD meetings and charged  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}} 1,50,000$  per meeting. The Accountant of X Ltd. believes that they being not the employees of the organisation, their fee should not be disclosed as per related party transaction in accordance with Ind AS 24.

Examine whether the sitting fee paid to independent director and non-executive director is required to be disclosed in the financial statements prepared as per Ind AS?

#### Answer 4

As per paragraph 9 of Ind AS 24, Related Party Disclosures, "Key management personnel are those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity, directly or indirectly, including any director (whether executive or otherwise) of that entity." Accordingly, key management personnel (KMP) includes any director of the entity who are having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity. Hence, independent director Mr. Atul and non-executive director Mr. Naveen are covered under the definition of KMP in accordance with Ind AS. Also as per paragraph 7 and 9 of Ind AS 19, 'Employee Benefits', an employee may provide services to an entity on a full-time, part-time, permanent, casual or temporary basis. For the purpose of the Standard, Employees include directors and other management personnel. Independent directors are not employee of the company and this para requires rewording.

Therefore, contention of the Accountant is wrong that they are not employees of X Ltd. Paragraph 17 of Ind AS requires disclosure about employee benefits for key management personnel. Therefore, an entity shall disclose key management personnel compensation in total i.e. disclosure of directors' fee of (₹ 10,00,000 + ₹ 7,50,000) ₹ 17,50,000 is to be made as employees benefits (under various categories).

Since short-term employee benefits are expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the annual reporting period in which the employees render the related services, the sitting fee paid to directors will fall under it (as per Ind AS 19) and is required to be disclosed in accordance with the paragraph 17 of Ind AS 24.

#### Question 5

Mr. X, is the financial controller of ABC Ltd., a listed entity which prepares consolidated financial statements in accordance with Ind AS. Mr. X has recently produced the final draft of the financial statements of ABC Ltd. for the year

Page 838

ended 31st March, 20X2 to the managing director Mr. Y for approval. Mr. Y, who is not an accountant, had raised following query from Mr. X after going through the draft financial statements:

One of the notes to the financial statements gives details of purchases made by ABC Ltd. from PQR Ltd. during the period 20X1-20X2. Mr. Y owns 100% of the shares in PQR Ltd. However, he feels that there is no requirement for any disclosure to be made in ABC Ltd.'s financial statements since the transaction is carried out on normal commercial terms and is totally insignificant to ABC Ltd., as it represents less than 1% of ABC Ltd.'s purchases. Provide answers to the query raised by the Managing Director Mr. Y as per Ind AS.

Ongoing through the queries raised by the Managing Director Mr. Y, the financial controller Mr. X explained the notes and reasons for their disclosures as follows: Related parties are generally characterised by the presence of control or influence between the two parties.

Ind AS 24 'Related Party Disclosures' identifies related parties as, inter alia, key management personnel and companies controlled by key management personnel. On this basis, PQR Ltd. is a related party of ABC Ltd.

The transaction is required to be disclosed in the financial statements of ABC Ltd. since Mr. Y is Key Management personnel of ABC Ltd. Also at the same time, it owns 100% shares of POR Ltd. ie. he controls PQR Ltd. This implies that PQR Ltd. is a related party of ABC Ltd.

Where transactions occur with related parties, Ind AS 24 requires that details of the transactions are disclosed in Notes to the financial statements. This is required even if the transactions are carried out on an arm's length basis.

Transactions with related parties are material by their nature, so the fact that the transaction may be numerically insignificant to ABC Ltd. does not affect the need for disclosure.

#### **Question 6**

Uttar Pradesh State Government holds 60% shares in PQR Limited and 55% shares in ABC Limited. PQR Limited has two subsidiaries namely P Limited and Q Limited. ABC Limited has two subsidiaries namely A Limited and B Limited. Mr. KM is one of the Key management personnel in PQR Limited.

- (a) Determine the entity to whom exemption from disclosure of related party transactions is to be given. Also examine the transactions and with whom such exemption applies.
- (b) What are the disclosure requirements for the entity which has availed the exemption?

#### Answer 6

(a) As per para 18 of Ind AS 24, 'Related Party Disclosures', if an entity had related party transactions during the periods covered by the financial statements, it shall disclose the nature of the related party relationship as well as information about those transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, necessary for users to understand the potential effect of the relationship on the financial statements.

However, as per para 25 of the standard a reporting entity is exempt from the

disclosure requirements in relation to related party transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, with:

- (i) a government that has control or joint control of, or significant influence over, the reporting entity; and
- (ii) another entity that is a related party because the same government has control or joint control of, or significant influence over, both the reporting entity and the other entity

According to the above paras, for Entity P's financial statements, the exemption in paragraph 25 applies to:

- (i) transactions with Government Uttar Pradesh State Government; and
- (ii) transactions with Entities PQR and ABC and Entities Q, A and B. Similar exemptions are available to Entities PQR, ABC, Q, A and B, with the transactions with UP State Government and other entities controlled directly or indirectly by UP State Government. However, that exemption does not apply to transactions with Mr. KM. Hence, the transactions with Mr. KM needs to be disclosed under related party transactions.
- (b) It shall disclose the following about the transactions and related outstanding balances referred to in paragraph 25:
  - (a) the name of the government and the nature of its relationship with the reporting entity (ie control, joint control or significant influence);
  - (b) the following information in sufficient detail to enable users of the entity's financial statements to understand the effect of related party transactions on its financial statements:
    - (i) the nature and amount of each individually significant transaction; and
    - (ii) for other transactions that are collectively, but not individually, significant, a qualitative or quantitative indication of their extent.

#### Question 7

S Ltd., a wholly owned subsidiary of P Ltd is the sole distributor of electricity to consumers in a specified geographical area. A manufacturing facility of P Ltd is located in the said geographical area and, accordingly, P Ltd is also a consumer of electricity supplied by S Ltd. The electricity tariffs for the geographical area are determined by an independent rate-setting authority and are applicable to all consumers of S Ltd, including P Ltd. Whether the above transaction is required to be disclosed as a related party transaction as per Ind AS 24, Related Party Disclosures in the financial statements of S Ltd.?

#### Answer 7

As per paragraph 9(b)(i) of Ind AS 24, each parent, subsidiary and fellow subsidiary in a 'group' is related to the other members of the group. Thus, in the case under discussion, P Ltd is a related party of S Ltd from the perspective of financial statements of S Ltd.

Paragraph 11 of Ind AS 24 states as follows:

"In the context of this Standard, the following are not related parties:

- (a) two entities simply because they have a director or other member of management personnel in common or because a member of key management personnel of one entity has significant influence over the other entity.
- (b) two joint venturers simply because they share joint control of a joint venture.
- (c) (i) providers of finance, (ii) trade unions, (iii) public utilities, and (iv) departments and agencies of a government that does not control, jointly control or significantly influence the reporting entity, simply by virtue of their normal dealings with an entity (even though they may affect the freedom of action of an

entity or participate in its decision-making process).

(d) a customer, supplier, franchisor, distributor or general agent with whom an entity transacts a significant volume of business, simply by virtue of the resulting economic dependence."


Being engaged in distribution of electricity, S Ltd is a public utility. Had the only relationship between S Ltd and P Ltd been that of a supplier and a consumer of electricity, P Ltd would not have been regarded as a related party of S Ltd. However, as per the facts of the given case, this is not the only relationship between S Ltd and P Ltd. Apart from being a supplier of electricity to P Ltd., S Ltd is also a subsidiary of P Ltd; this is a relationship that is covered within the related party relationships to which the disclosure requirements of the standard apply. In view of the above, the supply of electricity by S Ltd to P Ltd is a related party transaction that attracts the disclosure requirements contained in paragraph 18 and other relevant requirements of the standard. This is notwithstanding the fact that P Ltd is charged the electricity tariffs determined by an independent rate-setting authority (i.e., the terms of supply to P Ltd are at par with those applicable to other consumers)

Ind AS 24 does not exempt an entity from disclosing related party transactions merely because they have been carried out on an arm's length basis.

#### Question 8 (Illustration)

Entity P Limited has a controlling interest in subsidiaries SA Limited and SB Limited and SC Limited. SC Limited is a subsidiary of SB Limited. P Limited also has significant influence over associates A1 Limited and A2 Limited. Subsidiary SC Limited has significant influence over associate A3 Limited Examine related party relationships of various entities.

#### **Answer 8**



- In Separate Financial Statements of P Limited, SA Limited, SB Limited, SC Limited, A1 Limited, A2 Limited and A3 Limited are all related parties.
- In the Individual Financial Statements of SA Limited, P Limited, SB Limited, SC Limited, A1 Limited, A2 Limited and A3 Limited are all related parties.
- In the Individual Financial Statements of SB Limited, P Limited, SA Limited, SC Limited, A1 Limited, A2 Limited and A3 Limited are all related parties.

- In the Individual Financial Statements of SC Limited, P Limited, SA Limited, SB Limited, A1 Limited, A2 Limited and A3 Limited are all related parties.
- In the Individual Financial Statements of associates A1 Limited, A2 Limited and A3 Limited; P Limited, SA Limited, SB Limited and SC Limited are related parties.
- A1 Limited, A2 Limited and A3 Limited are not related to each other.
- For Parent's consolidated financial statements, A1 Limited, A2 Limited and A3 Limited are related to the Group

#### **Question 9 (Illustration)**


Mr. X has a 100% investment in A Limited. He is also a member of the key management personnel (KMP) of C Limited. B Limited has a 100% investment in C Limited.

#### Required

- (a) Examine related party relationships from the perspective of C Limited for A Limited.
- (b) Examine related party relationships from the perspective of C Limited for A Limited if Mr. X is a KMP of B Limited and not C Limited.
- (c) Will the outcome in (a) & (b) would be different if Mr. X has joint control over A Limited.
- (d) Will the outcome in (a) & (b) would be different if Mr. X has significant influence over A Limited.


#### Answer 9

(a) A Limited is related to C Limited because Mr. X controls A Limited and is a member of KMP of C Limited.



(b) Still A Limited will be related to C Limited.

- (c) No, Still A Limited will be related to C Limited.
- (d) Yes, A Ltd. is not controlled by Mr. X. Therefore, despite Mr. X being KMP of C Ltd.,



A Ltd., having significant influence of Mr. X, will not be considered as related party of C Limited.

#### Question 10 (Illustration)

Mr. X has an investment in A Limited and B Limited. Required

- (i) Examine when can related party relationship be established
  - (a) from the perspective of A Limited's financial statements:
  - (b) from the perspective of B Limited's financial statements:
- (ii) Will A Limited and B Limited be related parties if Mr. X has only significant influence over both A Limited and B Limited

#### Answer 10

- (i) (a) If Mr. X controls or jointly controls A Limited, B Limited is related to A Limited when Mr. X has control, joint control or significant influence over Entity B.
  - (b) If Mr. X controls or jointly controls A Limited, A Limited is related to Entity B when Mr. X has control, joint control or significant influence over Entity B.
- (ii) No, A Ltd. & B Ltd., will not be considered as related party since no direct or indirect control is exercised on each other in any of the manner.


#### Question 11 (Illustration)

Government G directly controls Entity 1 and Entity 2. It indirectly controls Entity A and Entity B through Entity 1, and Entity C and Entity D through Entity 2. Person X is a member of the key management personnel in Entity 1. Examine the entity to whom the exemption for disclosure to be given and for transaction with whom.

#### Answer 11

For Entity A's financial statements, the exemption of Ind AS 24 applies to:

- (a) transactions with Government G; and
- (b) transactions with Entities 1 and 2 and Entities B, C and D. However, that exemption does not apply to transactions with Person X.




#### Question 12 (Illustration)

Power Limited is a producer of electricity. Transmission Limited regularly purchases electricity from Power Limited. Power Limited whose financial year ends on March 31, 20X2, acquired 100% shareholding of Transmission Limited on July 15, 20X1. However, the entire shareholding is disposed of on March 21, 20X2. Power Limited and Transmission Limited had transactions when Transmission Limited was a subsidiary of Power Limited and also in the period when it was not a subsidiary of Power Limited. For which period, related party disclosure should Power Limited make in its financial statements for the year ended March 31, 20X2 with respect to transactions with Transmission Limited.

#### Answer 12

Power Limited should in its financial statements for the year ended March 31, 20X2 make related party disclosures for the period from July 15, 20X1 to March 21, 20X2 when Transmission Limited was its subsidiary.



Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or (S) +917890770777

,age 845

## Chapter 10 Unit-2 Ind AS 33: "Earnings per Share"

#### Attempts wise Distribution

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
			Q8,							Q10,		
MTP	Q7		Q14			Q11	Q12	Q16	Q9	Q13	Q6	Q1
PYP	Q2						Q17					
RTP	Q4			Q5				Q3			Q15	

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

1 January Shares in issue

1,000,000

5% Convertible bonds

₹ 100,000

(terms of conversion 120 ordinary shares for ₹ 100)

31March Holders of ₹ 25,000 bonds converted to ordinary shares.

Profit for the year ended 31 December

₹ 200,000

Tax rate 30%.

Profit

Calculate basic and diluted EPS. Ignore the need to split the convertible bonds into liability and equity elements. (MTP 6 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 1

Number of shares Profit ₹ 200,000

Outstanding shares 1,000,000

New shares on conversion (weighted average)

9/12 × ₹ 25,000 / 100 × 120 22,500

Figures for basic EPS 1,022,500 200,000

Basic EPS is (₹ 200,000 / 1,022,500) = 0.196 per share Dilution

adjustments

Unconverted shares ₹75,000 / 100 × 120 90,000

Interest: ₹  $75,000 \times 5\% \times 0.7$  2,625

Converted shares pre conversion adjustment

3/12 × ₹ 25,000 / 100 × 120 7,500

Interest:  $[3/12 \times \text{ } \text{ } 25,000 \times 5\% \times 0.7]$ 

1,120,000 202,844

Diluted EPS is (₹ 202,844 / 1,120,000) = 0.181

#### Question 2

From the following information you are asked to calculate (a) Basic and Diluted EPS of Duck Ltd. and (b) Diluted EPS of Swan Ltd.:

	Duck	Swan
	Ltd.	Ltd.
	Amount	Amount
	(₹)	(₹)
Income/(Loss) from Continuing Operations	2,52,000	(1,80,00
		0)

,age 846

Income/(Loss)	from	Discontinued	(4,20,00	3,25,920
Operations			O)	
Net Income/(Loss	)		(1,68,00	1,45,920
			0)	
Weighted Averag	ge Numb	er of Shares	80,000	96,000
Incremental	common	shares		
outstanding relati	ng to sto	ck options	16,000	25,600

#### (PYP 8 Marks Dec '21)

#### Answer 2

#### For Duck Ltd.

#### i. Calculation of Basic EPS

Basic EPS = Profit for the year / Weighted average number of shares outstanding Basic EPS (Continued Operations) = Profit from continued operations / Weighted average number of shares outstanding

= ₹ 2,52,000 / 80,000 = ₹ 3.15

Basic Loss per share (Discontinued operations) = Loss from discontinued operations / Weighted average number of shares outstanding

= (₹ 4,20,000)/80,000 = (₹ 5.25)

Overall Basic Loss per share = (₹ 1,68,000) / 80,000 = (₹ 2.10) (i)

#### ii. Calculation of Diluted EPS

Diluted EPS = Profit for the year / Adjusted weighted average number of shares outstanding

EPS (Continued Operations) = Profit from continued operations / Adjusted weighted average number of shares outstanding

= ₹ 2,52,000 / 96,000 = ₹ 2.625

Loss per share (Discontinued operations) = Loss from discontinued operations/ Adjusted weighted average number of shares outstanding

= (₹ 4,20,000) / 96,000 = (₹ 4.375)

Overall Diluted Loss per share = (₹ 1,68,000) / 96,000 = (₹ 1.75) (ii)

#### **Reporting Status:**

The income from continuing operations is the control number, there is a dilution in basic EPS for income from continuing operations (reduction of EPS from  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  3.15 to  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2.625). Therefore, even though there is an anti-dilution [Loss per share reduced from  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2.10 (i) to  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1.75 (ii) above], diluted loss per share of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1.75 is reported.

#### For Swan Ltd.

Treatment of potential shares:

In case of loss from continuing operations, the potential shares are excluded since including those shares would result into anti-dilution effect on the control number (loss from continuing operations).

Therefore, the diluted EPS will be calculated as under:

Diluted EPS = Profit for the year / Adjusted weighted average number of shares outstanding

Overall Profit = Loss from continuing operations + Gain from discontinued operations

= (₹ 1,80,000) + ₹ 3,25,920 = ₹ 1,45,920

Weighted average number of shares outstanding = 96,000

Diluted EPS = ₹ 1,45,920 / 96,000 = ₹ 1.52

### **Reporting Status:**

The dilutive effect of the potential common shares on EPS for income from discontinued operations and net income would not be reported because of the loss from continuing operations.

#### Question 3

Company P has both ordinary shares and equity-classified preference shares in issue. The reconciliation of the number of shares during Year 1 is set out below:

Number of shares

Dates in	Transaction	Ordinar	Treasur	Preference
Year 1		У	у	shares
		shares	shares	
1st April	Balance	30,00,000	(5,00,000)	5,00,000
15th April	Bonus issue - 5% (no corresponding changes in resources)	1,50,000	(25,000)	-
1st May	Repurchase of shares for cash	-	(2,00,000)	-
1st November	Shares issued for cash	4,00,000	-	-
31st March	Balance	35,50,000	(7,25,000)	5,00,000

The following additional information is relevant for Year 1.

- Company P's net profit for the year is ₹ 46,00,000.
- On 15th February, non-cumulative preference dividends of ₹ 1.20 per share were declared. The dividends were paid on 15th March. Preference shares do not participate in additional dividends with ordinary shares.
- Dividends on non-cumulative preference shares are deductible for tax purposes.

The applicable income tax rate is 30%.

The financial year of Company P ends on 31st March.

Determine the Basic EPS of the Company P for Year 1. Use the number of months or part of months, rather than the number of days in the calculation of EPS. (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 3

#### Determination of numerator for calculation of Basic EPS

The first step in the basic EPS calculation is to determine the profit or loss that is attributable to ordinary shareholders of Company P for the period.

Non-cumulative dividends paid on equity-classified preference shares are not deducted in arriving at net profit or loss for the period, but they are not returns to ordinary shareholders. Accordingly, these dividends are deducted from net profit or loss for the period in arriving at the numerator.

		(₹)
Net profit		46,00,00
		0
Preference dividends (5,00,000 shares x 1.2)	(6,00,000	
	)	
Related tax (₹ 6,00,000 x 30%)		(4,20,000)
	1,80,000	
Profit or loss attributable to P's ordinary		41,80,00
shareholders		0

Accordingly, the numerator for calculation of Basic EPS is ₹

#### Determination of denominator for calculation of Basic EPS

The second step in the basic EPS calculation is to determine the weighted-average

number of ordinary shares outstanding for the reporting period.

Number of shares	Time weighting	Weight	Weighted average number of
			shares
1st April – opening balance (30,00,000 – 5,00,000)	25,00,000	1	
15th April – bonus issue (1,50,000			
- 25,000)	1,25,000		
1st April to 30th April	26,25,000	1/12	2,18,750
1st May – repurchase of shares	(2,00,000)		
1st May to 31st October	24,25,000	6/12	12,12,500
1st November – new shares issued	4,00,000		
1st November to 31st March	28,25,000	5/12	11,77,083
Weighted average number of shares	for the year		26,08,333

The denominator for calculation of Basic EPS is 26,08,333 shares. Basic EPS = ₹ 41,80,000 / 26,08,333 shares = ₹ 1.60 per share (approx.).

#### Question 4

#### Following information pertains to an entity for the year ending 31 st March 20X1:

Net profit for the year	₹ 12,00,000
Weighted average number of equity shares	5,00,000
outstanding during the year	shares
Average market price per share during the year	₹ 20
Weighted average number of shares under option	1,00,000
during the year	shares
Exercise price per share under option during the year	₹ 15

Calculate basic and diluted earnings per share. (RTP Nov '21)

#### Answer 4

#### Calculation of earnings per share

	Earnings	Shares	Per share
Profit attributable to equity holders	₹ 12,00,000		
Weighted average shares outstanding during year 20X1 Basic earnings per share		5,00,00	₹ 2.40
Weighted average number of shares under option Weighted average number of shares that would have been issued at		100,00	
average market	Refer Note	(75,000	

price: (1,00,000 × ₹ 15.00) ÷ ₹ 20.00		)	
Diluted earnings per share	₹ 1,200,000	525,00 0	₹ 2.29

**Note**: Earnings have not increased because the total number of shares has increased only by the number of shares (25,000) deemed to have been issued for no consideration.

#### Question 5

P Ltd. is a subsidiary company of ABC Ltd. It preparing both Separate financial statement (SFS) and consolidated financial statements (CFS) for the year ending on 31st March, 20XI. It has net profit after tax of Rs. 20,00,000 as per SFS & Rs. 16,00,000 as per CFS. Share capital of P Ltd. is 2,00,000 shares of Rs. 10 each. ABC Ltd. has acquired 80% shares of P Ltd. Accountant of P Ltd. had calculated following Basic EPS for its SFS:

10110 1111	
Calculation of Basic EPS in its SFS	
Net Profit after tax	Rs. 16,00,000
Number of equity shares attributable to Parent company ABC Ltd. (2,00,000 x 80%)	1,60,000 shares
Basic EPS	Rs. 10 per share

## Examine the correctness of the above presentation of Basic EPS. (RTP May '18)

As per paragraph 4 of Ind AS 33 "Earnings per Share", when an entity presents both consolidated financial statements and separate financial statements prepared in accordance with Ind AS 110, Consolidated Financial Statements, and Ind AS 27, Separate Financial Statements, respectively, the disclosures required by this Standard shall be presented both in the consolidated financial statements and separate financial statements. In consolidated financial statements such disclosures shall be based on consolidated information and in separate financial statements such disclosures shall be based on information given in separate financial statements. An entity shall not present in consolidated financial statements, earnings per share based on the information given in separate financial statements, earnings per share based on the information given in consolidated financial statements. Also paragraph 9 of the standard states that an entity shall calculate basic earnings per

Also paragraph 9 of the standard states that an entity shall calculate basic earnings per share amounts for profit or loss attributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent entity and, if presented, profit or loss from continuing operations attributable to those equity holders.

Further, paragraph A1 of Appendix A of Ind AS 33 states that for the purpose of calculating earnings per share based on the consolidated financial statements, profit or loss attributable to the parent entity refers to profit or loss of the consolidated entity after adjusting for non- controlling interests.

Therefore, the requirements of paragraph 9 of Ind AS 33 have been provided in the context of calculating EPS in the consolidated financial statements of an entity.

The accountants of P Ltd. had followed this for calculation of Basic EPS in its SFS. As per ITFG Bulletin 11, for SFS analogy may be drawn from paragraph 9 of Ind AS 33 that in case of separate financial statements, the parent entity mentioned in paragraph 9 will imply the legal entity of which separate financial statements are being prepared and accordingly, when an entity presents EPS in its separate financial statements, then the

same shall be calculated based on the profit or loss attributable to its equity shareholders.

Hence, the presentation of Basic EPS by the Accountant of P Ltd. on the basis of consolidated financial statements in its separate financial statements is not correct. The correct presentation of Basic EPS would be as follows:

correct presentation of basic bits would be as	ionows.
Calculation of Basic EPS of P Ltd. in SFS	
Net Profit after tax	Rs. 20,00,000
No. of share issued	2,00,000
	shares
Basic EPS	Rs. 10 per
	share

#### Question 6

ABC Ltd.	1st	January, Shares in issue 10,00,000
	20X1	
	31st	March,(a) Rights issue 1 for 5 at 90 paise
	20X1	
		(b) Fair value of shares ₹ 1 (cum-rights
		price)

Calculate the number of shares for use in the EPS calculation for the calendar year. (MTP 5 Marks Sep '22)

#### Answer 6

#### Rights issue bonus fraction

Rights issue bonus fluction					
	Shares	₹ per share	₹		
Cum-rights	5	1	5.0		
Rights	1	0.9	0.9		
Ex-rights	6		5.9		
Theoretical ex-rights price	(5.9 / 6)	= 0.983			
Bonus fraction = Cum-rig rights price = 1/0.983					
	Number of shares				
1 January - 31 March (10,00,000 × 3/12 × 1/0.983) 2,54,32					
1 April - 31 December (12,00,000 × 9/12) 9,00,000					
Number of shares for the purpose of EPS calculation 11,54,323					

#### Question 7

Sohan has been recently hired in Zio Life Limited. Since he is facing difficulty in computation of EPS as per Ind AS 33, guide him by discussing the steps for the calculation of Basic EPS and Diluted EPS along with the necessary computations for EPS of Year 1.

The following basic facts relate to Company Zio Life Limited.

- Net profit for Year 1 is ₹ 46,00,000.
- The number of ordinary shares outstanding on 1<sup>st</sup> April Year 1 is 30,00,000. The following facts are also relevant for Year 1.
- On 1<sup>st</sup> April, Zio Life Limited issues 20,00,000 three-year term convertible bonds for ₹ 1 each.
- Zio Life Limited has an option to settle the principal amount in ordinary shares (every 10 bonds are convertible into one ordinary share) or cash on settlement

 $\mathsf{Page}851$ 

date.

- The principal amount of the bonds is classified as an equity instrument and the interest is classified as a financial liability.
- The interest expense relating to the liability component of the bonds is ₹ 1,800.
- The interest expense is tax-deductible. The applicable income tax rate is 40%. (MTP 7 Marks Oct 21)

#### Answer 7

The EPS computations for Year 1 as per Ind AS 33 are as follows

The LPS computations i	or Year 1 as per Ind AS 33 are as follows.
Basic EPS	Diluted EPS
1. Determine the numerator	1. Identify Potential
No adjustment is necessary	OrdinaryShares (POSs)
until the convertible bonds	The convertible bonds are the only POSs.
are converted and ordinary	-
shares are issued. The	
numerator is net profit ie. ₹	
46,00,000.	
2. Determine the denominator	2. For each POS, calculate Earnings per
There is no change in the	Incremental Share (EPIS)
number of outstanding	Since Zio Life Limited has the choice of
shares during the year. The	settlement, for the purpose of determining the
denominator is therefore	EPIS, it assumes the share-settlement
30,00,000.	assumption.
	Potential adjustment to the numerator for
	EPIS:
	The convertible bonds, when settled in
	ordinary shares, would increase profit or loss
	for the year by the post-tax amount of the
	interest expense:
	(Interest expense on the convertible bonds) x (1
	- income tax rate) = (₹ 1,800) x (1 - 40%) = ₹
	1,080
	Potential adjustment to the denominator
	for EPIS:
	The convertible bonds, when settled in
	ordinary shares, would increase the number
	of outstanding shares by 2,00,000
	(20,00,000 / 10).
	EPIS is calculated as follows:
	EPIS = 1,080 / 2,00,000 = 0.01
3. Determine basic EPS	3. Rank the POSs
Basic EPS = 46,00,000 /	This step does not apply, because the
30,00,000	convertible bonds are the only class of
= 1.53	POSs.
	4. Identify dilutive POSs and determine
	diluted EPS
	The potential impact of convertible bonds is
	determined as follows. (Refer W.N. below)

Accordingly,	Zio	Life	Limited	includes	the
impact of the	conv	ertibl	le bonds i	n diluted I	EPS.
Diluted EPS	= ₹ 1.	.44			

#### **Working Note:**

#### Calculation of Diluted EPS

	Earnings (₹)	Weighted average number of shares		Dilutive?
Basic EPS	46,00,000	30,00,000	1.53	
Convertible bonds	1,080	2,00,000		
Total	46,01,080	32,00,000	1.44	Yes

#### **Question 8**

ABC Ltd. has 1,000,000 Rs. 1 ordinary shares and 1,000 Rs. 100 10% convertible bonds (issued at par), each convertible into 20 ordinary shares on demand, all of which have been in issue for the whole of the reporting period. ABC Ltd.'s share price is Rs. 4.50 per share and earnings for the period are Rs. 500,000. The tax rate applicable to the entity is 21%.

Calculate basic EPS, earnings per incremental share for the convertible bonds and diluted EPS.

(MTP 4 Marks March '21)

#### Answer 8

Basic EPS is Rs. 0.50 per share (ie 500,000/1,000,000)

The earnings per incremental share for the convertible bonds is calculated as follows: Earnings effect = No. of bonds x nominal value x interest cost x (1 – applicable tax rate)

 $= 1,000 \times 100 \times 10\% \times (1-0.21) = Rs. 7,900.$ 

#### Incremental shares calculation

Assume all bonds are converted to shares, even though this converts Rs. 100 worth of bonds into 20 shares worth only Rs. 90 and is therefore not economically rational. This gives  $1000 \times 20 = 20,000$  additional shares.

Earnings per incremental share = Rs. 7,900 / 20,000 = Rs. 0.395

**Diluted EPS** = (Rs. 500,000 + Rs. 7,900) / (1,000,000 + 20,000) = Rs. 0.498 per share.

#### Question 9

Mittal Motors Limited is preparing financials for the year ended March 31, 20 X2. The Company had some queries in preparation of certain data that is required to be presented in the financials. As the retainer of the Company, please advise the company for the following issues:

- (i) Mittal Motors has issued 10,00,000 numbers of 9% cumulative preference shares. The Company has arrears of Rs. 15 crores of preference dividend as on March 31, 20X2, it includes current year arrears of Rs. 1.75 crores. The Company did not declare any dividend for equity shareholders as well as for preference shareholders.
  - What is the amount of dividend to be reduced from profit or loss for the year for calculating basic Earnings Per Share?  $\Box$
- (ii) Further Mittal Motors has also issued certain convertible debentures, which are outstanding as at the year end. For the purpose of computation of weighted in

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

average number of shares (to arrive at diluted EPS) when should the dilutive potential shares should be deemed to have been converted into shares?

- (A) At the start of the period.
- (B) The date of issue of the potential shares
- (C) At the start of the period or, if later, the date of the issue of the potential shares
- (D) At the end of the period. (MTP 5 Marks Oct '19)

#### Answer 9

- i. As per para 14 (b) of Ind AS 33 "Earnings per share", "The after-tax amount of preference dividends that is deducted from profit or loss is the after-tax amount of the preference dividends for cumulative preference shares required for the period, whether or not the dividends have been declared. The amount of preference dividends for the period does not include the amount of any preference dividends for cumulative preference shares paid or declared during the current period in respect of previous periods". In the given case, the amount of preference dividends Rs.1.75 crores declared for the year ended March 31, 20X2 (i.e., the current period) is to be deducted from profit or loss for calculating EPS.
- ii. As per para 36 of Ind AS 33 "Earnings per share', "For the purpose of calculating diluted earnings per share, the number of ordinary shares shall be the weighted average number of ordinary shares plus the weighted average number of ordinary shares that would be issued on the conversion of all the dilutive potential ordinary shares into ordinary shares. Dilutive potential ordinary shares shall be deemed to have been converted into ordinary shares at the beginning of the period or, if later, the date of the issue of the potential ordinary shares"

#### Question 10

Explain why weighted average number of shares are used in the calculation of earnings per share and how it is calculated.

Following is the data for company XYZ in respect of number of equity shares during the financial year 20X1-20X2. Find out the number of shares for the purpose of calculation of basic EPS.

s.	Date	Particulars	Number of
No.			shares
1	1-Apr-20X1	Opening balance of outstanding equity shares	1,00,000
2	15-Jun- 20X1	Issue of equity shares	75,000
3	8-Nov- 20X1	Conversion of convertible preference shares in Equity	50,000
4	22-Feb- 20X2	Buy back of shares	(20,000)
5	31-Mar- 20X2	Closing balance of outstanding equity shares	205,000

#### (MTP 4 Marks May '20, Oct'22)

#### Answer 10

As per para 20 of Ind AS 33, Earnings per share, the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding during the period reflects the possibility that the amount of shareholders' capital varied during the period as a result of a larger or smaller number of shares being outstanding at any time. The weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding at the period is the number of ordinary shares outstanding at the beginning of the period, adjusted by the number of ordinary shares bought back or issued and the period of the period, adjusted by the number of ordinary shares bought back or issued and the period of the pe

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

during the period multiplied by a time - weighting factor. The time weighting factor is the number of days that the shares are outstanding as a proportion of the total number of days in the period; a reasonable approximation of the weighted average is adequate in many circumstances.

#### Formula

#### The weighted average number of shares is calculated as follows:

Number of shares x (number of days the shares were held during the year / 365) Following the above formula, the weighted average number of shares for calculation of EPS for the year 20X1-20X2 will be as follows:

Sr. No.	Date	Particulars	No of shares	No of days shares were outstandi ng	Weighted average no of shares
1	1April	Opening balance of			
	20X1	outstanding equity shares	1,00,000	365	1,00,000
2	15June 20X1	Issue of equity shares	75,000	290	59,589
3	8Novembe r 20X1	Conversion of convertible preference shares in Equity	50,000	144	19,726
4	22Februar	Buy back of shares			
	y 20X2		(20,000)	(38)*	(2,082)
5	31March	Closing balance of			
	20X2	outstanding equity shares	2,05,000		1,77,233

<sup>\*</sup>These shares had already been considered in the shares issued. The same has been deducted assuming that the bought back shares have been extinguished immediately.

### Question 11

#### Calculate Subsidiary's and Group's Basic EPS and Diluted EPS, when

The second of th	5 Dusie Di 5 una Dilacea Di 5, when	
Parent:		
Profit attributable to ordinary	Rs. 12,000 (excluding any earnings of, or	
equity holders of the parent	dividends paid by, the subsidiary)	
entity		
Ordinary shares outstanding	10,000	
Instrument of subsidiary owned	800 ordinary shares	
by the parent	30 warrant exercisable to purchase	
	ordinary	
	shares of subsidiary	
	300 convertible preference shares	
Subsidiary:		
Profit	Rs. 5,400	
Ordinary shares outstanding	1,000	
Warrants	150, exercisable to purchase ordinary	
	shares of the subsidiary	
Exercise price	Rs.10	
Average market price of one	Rs. 20	
ordinary share		
_	RS. 20	

Conveilible preference shares	400, each convertible into one ordinary
_	share
Dividends on preference shares	Re 1 per share
No inter-company eliminations	or adjustments were necessary except for

dividends.

Ignore income taxes. Also, ignore classification of the components of convertible financial instruments as liabilities and equity or the classification of related interest and dividends as expenses and equity as required by Ind AS 32.

(MTP 8 Marks April '19)

#### Answer 11

#### Subsidiary's earnings per share

Rs. 5.00 calculated: Basic EPS Rs. 5,400 (a) — Rs.400

(b)

1,000 (c)

Rs. 5,400 Diluted EPS Rs. 3.66 calculated:

(d)

(1,000)

75 (e) + 400(f)

#### **Notes:**

- (a) Subsidiary's profit attributable to ordinary equity holders.
- (b) Dividends paid by subsidiary on convertible preference shares.
- Subsidiary's ordinary shares outstanding.
- (d) Subsidiary's profit attributable to ordinary equity holders (Rs. 5,000) increased by Rs. 400 preference dividends for the purpose of calculating diluted earnings per share.
- (e) Incremental shares from warrants, calculated: [(Rs. 20 Rs. 10) Rs. 20] x 150.
- Subsidiary's ordinary shares assumed outstanding from conversion of convertible preference shares, calculated: 400 convertible preference shares X conversion factor of 1.

#### Consolidated earnings per share

Rs. 1.63 calculated: Basic EPS

Rs. 12.000(a) + Rs. 4.300 (b) / 10.000(c)

Diluted EPS Rs. 1.61 calculated: Rs. 12.000 + Rs. 2.928(d) + Rs. 55(e) +

Rs. 1,098(f) / 10,000

- (a) Parent's profit attributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent entity.
- (b) Portion of subsidiary's Profit to be included in consolidated basic earnings per share, calculated: (800X Rs. 5.00) + (300X Re.1.00)
- (c) Parent's ordinary shares outstanding.
- (d) Parent's proportionate interest in subsidiary's earnings attributable to ordinary shares, calculated: (800 / 1,000) X (1,000 shares X Rs. 3.66 per share).
- (e) Parent's proportionate interest in subsidiary's earnings attributable to warrants. calculated: (30 + 150) X (75 incremental shares X Rs. 3.66 per share).
- Parent's proportionate interest in subsidiary's earnings attributable to convertible preference shares, calculated: (300 + 400) X (400 shares from conversion X Rs. 3.66 per share).

#### **Question 12**

The following information is available relating to Space India Limited for the Financial Year 20X1-20X2.

Net profit attributable to equity shareholders	₹ 90,000
Number of equity shares outstanding	16,000
Average fair value of one equity share during the year	₹ 90

**Potential Ordinary Shares:** 

Options	900 options with exercise price of ₹ 75		
Convertible Preference Shares	7,500 shares entitled to a cumulative dividend of ₹ 9 per share. Each preference share is convertible into 2 equity shares.		
10% Convertible Debenture of ₹ 100 each	s ₹ 10,00,000 and each debenture is convertible into 4 equity shares		
Tax rate	25%		

You are required to compute Basic and Diluted EPS of the company for the financial year 20X1-20X2. (MTP 8 Marks March '22, PYP 8 Marks Nov'20)

#### Answer 12

(i) Basic Earnings per share

		Year ended 31.3.20X2
Net profit attributable to equity shareholders	(A)	₹ 90,000
Number of equity shares outstanding	(B)	16,000
Earnings per share	(A/B)	₹ 5.625

#### (ii) Diluted earnings per share

Options are most dilutive as their earnings per incremental share is nil. Hence, for the purpose of computation of diluted earnings per share, options will be considered first. 10% convertible debentures being second most dilutive will be considered next and thereafter convertible preference shares will be considered (as per W.N.).

	Net profit attributable to equity shareholde	No. of equity shares	Net Profit attributable le per share ₹	
	rs ₹			
Net profit attributable to equity shareholders	90,000	16,000	5.625	
Options		150		
	90,000	16,150	5.572	Dilutive
10% Convertible debentures	75,000	40,000		
	1,65,000	56,150	2.939	Dilutive
Convertible Preference Shares	67,500	15,000		
	2,32,500	71,150	3.268	Anti-
				Dilutive

Since diluted earnings per share is increased when taking the convertible preference shares into account (₹ 2.939 to ₹ 3.268), the convertible preference shares are anti-dilutive and are ignored in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the great ended 31st March, 20X2. Therefore, diluted earnings per share for the

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

year ended 31st March, 20X2 is ₹ 2.939.

#### **Working Note:**

Calculation of incremental earnings per share and allocation of rank

Calculation of increa	Increase in earnin gs (1)	Increase in number of equit y share s	Earnings per increme ntal shar e (3) = (1) ÷ (2)	Ran k
	₹		₹	
Options				
Increase in earnings	Nil			
No. of incremental shares issued for no consideration [900 x (90-75)/90]		150	Nil	1
Convertible Preference				
Increase in net profit attributable to equity shareholders as adjusted by attributable dividend tax [(₹ 9 x 7,500)] + 8% (₹ 9 x 7,500)]	67,500			
No. of incremental shares (2 x 7,500)		15,000	4.50	3
10% Convertible Debentures				
Increase in net profit [(₹ 10,00,000 x 10% x (1 - 0.25)]	75,000			
No. of incremental shares (10,000 x 4)		40,000	1.875	2

#### Question 13

An entity issues 2,000 convertible bonds at the beginning of Year 1. The bonds have a three - year term and are issued at par with a face value of Rs. 1,000 per bond, giving total proceeds of

Rs. 20,00,000. Interest is payable annually in arrears at a nominal annual interest rate of 6%. Each bond is convertible at any time up to maturity into 250 ordinary shares. The entity has given an option to settle the principal amount of the convertible bonds in ordinary shares or in cash.

When the bonds are issued, the prevailing market interest rate for similar debt without a conversion option is 9%. At the issue date, the market price of ordinary share is Rs. 3. Income tax is ignored.

Calculate basic and diluted EPS when

Profit attributable to ordinary equity holders of the	Rs. 10,00,000
parent entity Year 1	
Ordinary shares outstanding	12,00,000
Convertible bonds outstanding	2,000

#### (MTP 5 Marks Oct '20, RTP May'19)

#### Answer 13

Allocation of proceeds of the bond issue:

Liability component (W.N.1)	Rs.
	18,47,720
Equity component	Rs.
	1,52,280
	Rs.
	2,000,000

The liability and equity components would be determined in accordance with Ind AS 32. These amounts are recognised as the initial carrying amounts of the liability and equity components. The amount assigned to the issuer conversion option equity element is an addition to equity and is not adjusted.

Basic earnings per share Year 1:

 $\frac{Rs.10,00,000}{12,00,000}$  = Rs.0.83 Per ordinary share

Diluted earnings per share Year 1:

It is presumed that the issuer will settle the contract by the issue of ordinary shares. The dilutive effect is therefore calculated in accordance with the Standard.

 $\frac{Rs.10,00,000+Rs.1,66,295 (W.N.2)}{12,00,000+5,00,000(W.N.3)} = Rs.0.69 \text{ Per ordinary share}$ 

#### **Working Notes:**

- 1. This represents the present value of the principal and interest discounted at 9%  $1,20,000 \times 2.531$  = Rs. 3,03,720  $20,00,000 \times 0.772$  = Rs. 15,44,000 Rs. 18,47,720
- 2. Profit is adjusted for the accretion of Rs. 1,66,295 (Rs. 18,47,720 × 9%) of the liability because of the passage of time. However, it is assumed that interest @ 6% for the year has already been adjusted.

5,00,000 ordinary shares = 250 ordinary shares x 2,000 convertible bonds

#### **Question 14**

CAB Limited is in the process of preparation of the consolidated financial statements of the group for the year ending 31st March, 20X3 and the extract of the same is as follows:

<b>Particula</b>	ırs	Attributable	Non-	Total
		to CAB	controlling	(Rs. in
		Limited	interest	<b>'</b> 000)
Profit for	the year	39,000	3,000	42,000
Other	Comprehensive	5,000	Ni1	5,000
Income Total Income	Comprehensive	44,000	3,000	47,000

The long-term finance of the company comprises of the following:

- (i) 20,00,00,000 equity shares at the beginning of the year and the company has issued 5,00,00,000 shares on 1st July, 20X2 at full market value.
- (ii) 8,00,00,000 irredeemable preference shares. These shares were in issue for the  $^{\text{C}}$

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt -Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

whole of the year ended 31st March, 20X3. The dividend on these preference shares is discretionary.

(iii) Rs. 18 crores of 6% convertible debentures issued on 1st April, 20X1 and repayable on 31st March, 20X5 at par. Interest is payable annually. As an alternative to repayment at par, the holder on maturity can elect to exchange their convertible debentures for 10 crores ordinary shares in the company. On 1st April, 20X1, the prevailing market interest rate for four-year convertible debentures which had no right of conversion was 8%. Using an annual discount rate of 8%, the present value of Rs. 1 payable in four years is 0.74 and the cumulative present value of Rs. 1 payable at the end of years one to four is 3.31.

In the year ended 31st March, 20X3, CAB Limited declared an ordinary dividend of 0.10 paise per share and a dividend of 0.05 paise per share on the irredeemable preference shares.

Compute the following:

- the finance cost of convertible debentures and its closing balance as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X3 to be presented in the consolidated financial statements.
- the basic and diluted earnings per share for the year ended 31 st March, 20X3. Assume that income tax is applicable to CAB Limited and its subsidiaries at 25%. (RTP May '20, MTP 12 Marks Apr'21)

#### Answer 14

Calculation of the liability and equity components on 6% Convertible debentures:

Present value of principal payable at the end of  $4^{th}$  year (Rs. 1,80,000 thousand x 0.74) = Rs. 1,33,200 thousand

Present value of interest payable annually for 4 years (Rs. 1,80,000 thousand x 6% x 3.31)

= Rs. 35,748 thousand

Total liability component = Rs. 1,68,948 thousand

Therefore, equity component = Rs. 1,80,000 thousand – Rs. 1,68,948 thousand = Rs. 11,052 thousand Calculation of finance cost and closing balance of 6% convertible debentures

Year	Opening balance in '000	Finance cost @ 8% in '000	Interest paid @ 6% in '000	Closing balance in '000
	a	b = a x 8%	С	$\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}$
31.3.20X2	1,68,948	13,515.84	10,800	1,71,663.84
31.3.20X3	1,71,663.84	13,733.11	10,800	1,74,596.95

Finance cost of convertible debentures for the year ended 31.3. 20X3 is Rs. 13,733.11 thousand and closing balance as on 31.3. 20X3 is Rs. 1,74,596.95 thousand.

#### Calculation of Basic EPS in '000

Profit for the year	39,000
Less: Dividend on preference shares (80,000 thousand x Rs. 0.05)	(4,000)
Profit attributable to equity shareholders	35,000

Weighted average number of shares =  $20,00,00,000 + \{5,00,00,000 \times (9/12)\}$  = 23,75,00,000 shares or 2,37,500 thousand shares

Basic EPS = Rs. 35,000 thousand / 2,37,500 thousand shares = Rs. 0.147 Calculation of Diluted EPSRs. in '000

Profit for the year 39,000

09890

Less: Dividend on preference shares (80,000 x 0.05)	(4,000)
	35,000
Add: Finance cost (as given in the above table	)
13,733.11	
Less: Tax @ 25% (3,433.28)	10,299.8
	3
	45,299.8
	3

Weighted average number of shares =  $20,00,00,000 + \{5,00,00,000 \times (9/12)\} + 10,00,00,000$ 

= 33,75,00,000 shares or 3,37,500 thousand shares

Diluted EPS= Rs. 45,299.83 thousand / 3,37,500 thousand shares = Rs. 0.134

#### Question 15

Company S is a subsidiary of Company P. Following facts are in respect of Company S:

- Company S has 10,000 ordinary shares and 1,000 options outstanding, of which Company P owns 9,000 shares and 500 options, respectively.
- The options have an exercise price of ₹ 40.
- The average market price of Company S's ordinary share was ₹ 50 in 20X1.
- In 20X1, Company S's profit was ₹ 30,000.

Following facts are in respect of Company P:

- Company P has 5,000 ordinary shares outstanding.
- In 20X1, Company P's profit (excluding any distributed and undistributed earnings of subsidiaries) was ₹ 7,000.
- The options outstanding are dilutive at P's level.

Determine the diluted EPS of Company P for the year 20X1. Ignore income tax. (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 15

To determine the diluted EPS of Company P, the diluted EPS of Company S has to be calculated first.

Calculation of Company S's diluted EPS:

Calculation of Company 5's unuted EF5.			
₹ 30,000			
10,000			
200			
₹ 30,000/ (10,000			
20			
₹2.94			
₹7,000			
₹ 26,460			
₹294			
5,000			
₹ 6.75			

#### Working Note:

Computation of Incremental shares related to weighted average options outstanding: All options are dilutive because their exercise price is below the average market price ,age 861

of Company S's ordinary shares for the period.

The incremental shares are calculated as follows:

Shares issued on assumed exercise of options	1,000
Less: Shares that would be issued at average market Price [(40 x	(800)
1,000)/50]	, ,
Incremental shares	200

#### Question 16

At 31st March, 2019 the issued share capital of SB Limited consisted of 20,00,000 ordinary shares of  $\overline{t}$  1 each. On 1st July 2019, the Company issued  $\overline{t}$  25,00,000 of 8% convertible loan stock for cash at par. Each  $\overline{t}$  100 nominal of the loan stock may be converted, at any time during the years ended 2024 to 2027, into the number of ordinary shares set out below:

- 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2024: 135 Ordinary Shares
- 31st March, 2025: 130 Ordinary Shares
- 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2026: 125 Ordinary Shares
- 31st March, 2027: 120 Ordinary Shares

If the loan stock is not converted by 2027, they would be redeemed at par. It is assumed that the written equity conversion option is accounted for as a derivative liability and marked to market through profit or loss. The change in the options fair value reported on 31st March 2020 and 31st March 2021 amounted to losses of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\sim}}$  5,300 respectively. Further, it is assumed that there are no tax consequences arising from these losses.

The profit before interest, fair value movements and taxation for the year ended 31st March, 2020 and 2021 amounted to ₹ 16,50,000 and ₹ 17,90,000 respectively and relate wholly to continuing operations. The rate of tax for both the periods is 33% (including cess and surcharge if any). Calculate Basic and Diluted EPS for 31st March 2020 & 31st March 2021. (PYP 8 Marks July 21, MTP 8 Marks April '23)

### Answer 16

ei 10	2021	2020
Trading results	₹	₹
A. Profit before interest, fair value movements	17,90,00	16,50,00
and tax	0	0
B. Interest on 8% convertible loan stock (2020:	(2,00,000	(1,50,000
9/12 ×	)	)
₹ 2,00,000)		
C. Change in fair value of embedded option	(5,300)	(5,000)
Profit before tax	15,84,70	14,95,00
	0	0
Taxation @ 33% on (A-B)	(5,24,700	(4,95,000
	)	)
Profit after tax	10,60,00	10,00,00
	0	0
Calculation of basic EPS		
Number of equity shares outstanding	20,00,00	20,00,00
	0	0
Earnings	10,60,00	10,00,00
	0	0

38e862

Basic EPS 53 paise 50 paise

#### Calculation of diluted EPS

#### Test whether convertibles are dilutive:

The saving in after-tax earnings, resulting from the conversion of ₹ 100 nominal of loan stock, amounts to (₹ 100 × 8% × 67%) + (₹ 5,300 / 25,000) = ₹ 5.36 + ₹ 0.21 = ₹ 5.57. There will then be 135 extra shares in issue.

Therefore, the incremental EPS is 4 paise (ie. ₹ 5.57 / 135). As this incremental EPS is less than the basic EPS at the continuing level, it will have the effect of reducing the basic EPS of 53 paise. Hence the convertibles are dilutive.

	2021	2020
Adjusted earnings	₹	₹
Profit for basic EPS	10,60,000	10,00,000
Add: Interest and other charges on earnings saved as a result of the conversion	• • •	(1,50,000 + 5,000) 1,55,0
Less: Tax relief on interest portion	(66,000)	(49,500)
Adjusted earnings for equity	11,99,300	11,05,500

#### Adjusted number of shares

From the conversion terms, it is clear that the maximum number of shares is suable on conversion of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 25,00,000$  loan stock after the end of the financial year would be at the rate of 135 shares per  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}} 100$  nominal (that is, 33,75,000 shares).

	2021	2020
Number of equity shares for basic EPS	20,00,000	20,00,00
		0
Maximum conversion at date of issue	-	25,31,25
(33,75,000 × 9/12)		0
Maximum conversion after balance sheet date	33,75,000	-
Adjusted shares	53,75,000	45,31,25
		0
Adjusted earnings for equity	11,99,300	11,05,50
		0
Diluted EPS (approx.)	22 paise	24 paise

#### Question 17

GOLD Ltd., a manufacturing company, prepares its financial statements on 31st March every year. On 1st April, 2021, it had issued (a) 10,00,000 ordinary shares and (b) 6% convertible bonds amounting to  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1,00,000, the terms of conversion being 120 ordinary shares for every  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  100. On 30th June, 2021,  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  50,000 bonds converted to ordinary shares. The profit for the year ended 31st March, 2022 is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,50,000. The applicable tax rate is 25%.

Calculate basic and diluted EPS. Ignore the need to split the convertible bonds into liability and equity element. (PYP 4 Marks May '22)

#### Answer 17

· · ·		
	Number of shares	Profit (₹)
Profit		2,50,000

 $P_{\text{age}}863$ 

Outstanding ordinary shares	10,00,000	
New shares on conversion (weighted average) [(9/12 x ₹ 50,000 / 100 x 120)]	45,000	
Figures for basic EPS	10,45,000	2,50,000
<b>Basic EPS is</b> (₹ 2,50,000 / 10,45,000 shares) = ₹ 0.239 per share		
Dilution adjustments		
Unconverted shares (₹ 50,000 / 100 x 120)	60,000	
Interest (₹ 50,000 x 6% x 0.75 net of tax)		2,250
Converted shares pre conversion adjustment (3/12 x ₹ 50,000 / 100 x 120)	15,000	
Interest: [3/12 x ₹ 50,000 x 6% x 0.75 net of tax]		562.50
<b>Diluted EPS</b> is (₹ 2,52,812.50 / 11,20,000 shares) = ₹ 0.226 per share	11,20,000	2,52,812.50

### Section - B

#### Question 1

ABC Ltd 1 January 20X1 Shares in issue 1,000,000 31 March 20X1 (a) Rights issue 1 for 5 at 90 paise

(b) Fair value of shares ₹ 1 (cum-rights price)

Calculate the number of shares for use in the EPS calculation for the calendar year.

#### Answer 1

<b>Rights</b>	issue	bonus
---------------	-------	-------

fraction	Shares	₹ per share		
		-	₹	
Cum-rights	5	1	5.0	
Rights	1	0.	0.9	
		9		
Ex-rights	6		5.9	
Theoretical ex-rights	(5.9 /	= 0.9833		
price	6)			
Bonus fraction = Cum	-rights pric	ce / Theoretical ex	rights pric	e
= 1/0.9833	3	•		

#### Number of shares

1 January - 31 March $(1,000,000 \times 3/12 \times 1/.9833)$	254,237
<b>1 April - 31 December</b> $(1,200,000 \times 9/12)$	900,000
	1,154,246

#### Question 2

1 January Shares in issue 1,000,000 5% Convertible bonds ₹ 100,000

(terms of conversion 120 ordinary shares for ₹ 100)

31March Holders of ₹ 25,000 bonds converted to ordinary shares.

Profit for the year ended 31 December ₹ 200,000

Tax rate 30%.

Calculate basic and diluted EPS. Ignore the need to split the convertible bonds into liability and equity elements.

#### Answer 2

1	Number of shares	Profit ₹
Profit		200,000
Outstanding shares	1,000,000	
New shares on conversion (weighte	d average)	
9/12 × ₹ 25,000 / 100 × 12	20 22,500	-
Figures for basic EPS	1,022,500	200,000
Basic EPS is (₹ 200,000 / 1,022,50	00) = 0.196 per share	
Dilution adjustments	· -	
Unconverted shares ₹75,000 / 1	00 × 120 90,000	
Interest: ₹ 75,000 × 5% × 0.7		2,625
Converted shares pre conversion a	djustment	
3/12 × ₹ 25,000 / 100 × 120	7,500	
Interest: [3/12 × ₹ 25,000 × 5%	× 0.7]	219
	1,120,000	202,844

Diluted EPS is (₹ 202,844 / 1,120,000) = 0.181

# Question 3

1 January Shares in issue 1,000,000

Profit for the year ended 31 December ₹ 100,000 Average fair value during period ₹ 8

The company has in issue 200,000 options to purchase equal ordinary shares

Exercise price ₹6

Calculate the diluted EPS for the period.

#### Answer 3

#### Diluted EPS

	Number of Shares	Profit (₹)	EPS
Basic	1,000,000	100,000	0.10
Dilution (Refer W.N.)	50,000	_	_
	1,050,000	100,000	0.095

#### Working Notes:

Proceeds of issue  $(200,000 \times 76) = 1,200,000$ 

Number that would have been issued at Fair value (1,200,000 / ₹ 8)= 150,000

Number actually issued 200,000 Number for "free" (200,000 – 150,000) 50,000

#### Question 3

Calculate Basic EPS for period ending 20X0, 20X1 and 20X2, when

	20X0	20X1	20X2

Profit attributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent entity  ₹ 1,100 ₹ 1,500	
Shares outstanding before rights issue	500 shares
Rights issue	One new share for each
	five outstanding shares
Exercise price	₹ 5.00
Date of rights issue	1 January 20X1
Last date to exercise rights	1 March 20X1
Market price of one ordinary share immediately before exercise on 1st March 20X1:	₹ 11.00
Reporting date	31 December

#### Answer 3

	Diluted EPS		
	Number of Shares	Profit (₹)	EPS
Basic	1,000,000	100,000	0.10
Dilution (Refer W.N.)	50,000	_	_
	1,050,000	100,000	0.095
Working Notes:			
Proceeds of issue	(200,000 × ₹ 6	= 1,200,	000
Number that would have b	een issued at Fair v	alue (1,200,0	00 / ₹
8)= 150,000 Number actua	ally issued	2	00,000
Number for "free" (200,000	) - 150,000)	50,	,000

# Question 4 Calculate Subsidiary's and Group's Basic EPS and Diluted EPS, when

Parent:	
Profitattributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent entity	₹ 12,000 (excluding any earnings of, or dividends paid by, the subsidiary)
Ordinary shares outstanding	10,000
Instruments of subsidiary	800 ordinary shares
owned by the parent	30 warrants exercisable to purchase ordinary shares of subsidiary
	300 convertible preference shares
Subsidiary:	-
Profit	₹ 5,400
Ordinary shares outstanding	1,000
Warrants	150, exercisable to purchase ordinary shares of the subsidiary
Exercise price	₹ 10
Average market price of one ordinary share	₹ 20
Convertible preference shares	400, each convertible into one

	ordinary share
Dividends on preference shares	₹ 1 per share

No inter-company eliminations or adjustments were necessary except for dividends.

Ignore income taxes. Also, ignore classification of the components of convertible financial instruments as liabilities and equity or the classification of related interest and dividends as expenses and equity as required by Ind AS 32.

#### **Answer 4**

# Calculation of theoretical ex-rights value per share

Fair value of all outstanding shares before the exercise of rights + total amount received from exercise of rights

Number of shares outstanding before exercise + number of shares issued in the exercise

Theoretical ex-rights value per share = ₹10.00

# Calculation of adjustment factor

Fair value per share before exercise of rights	₹ 11.00	
		= 1.10
Theoretical ex-rights value per share	₹ 10.00	

# Calculation of basic earnings per share

Calculation of basic earnings per share	
20X0 20X1 2	0X2
20X0 Basic EPS as originally reported: ₹1,100 / 500	
shares ₹ 2.20	
20X0 Basic EPS restated for rights: ₹1,100 / (500 shares	
x 1.1) ₹ 2.00	
20X1 Basic EPS including effects of rights issue: ₹ 2.54	
$\{7,500 / [(500 \times 1.1 \times 2/12) + (600 \times 10/12)]\}$	
20X2 Basic EPS: ₹ 1.800 / 600 shares ₹ 3.00	

# Question 5

CAB Limited is in the process of preparation of the consolidated financial statements of the group for the year ending 31st March, 20X3 and the extract of the same is as follows:

Particulars	Attributable to CAB Limited	Non- controlling interest	Tota 1 (₹ in '000)
Profit for the year	39,000	3,000	42,000
Other Comprehensive Income	5,000	Nil	5,000

Total Comprehensive	44,000	3,000	47,000
Income			

The long-term finance of the company comprises of the following:

- (i) 20,00,00,000 equity shares at the beginning of the year and the company has issued 5,00,00,000 shares on 1st July, 20X2 at full market value.
- (ii) 8,00,00,000 irredeemable preference shares. These shares were in issue for the whole of the year ended 31st March, 20X3. The dividend on these preference shares is discretionary.
- (iii) ₹ 18 crores of 6% convertible debentures issued on 1st April, 20X1 and repayable on 31st March, 20X5 at par. Interest is payable annually. As an alternative to repayment at par, the holder on maturity can elect to exchange their convertible debentures for 10 crores ordinary shares in the company. On 1st April, 20X1, the prevailing market interest rate for four-year convertible debentures which had no right of conversion was 8%. Using an annual discount rate of 8%, the present value of ₹ 1 payable in four years is 0.74 and the cumulative present value of ₹ 1 payable at the end of years one to four is 3.31.

In the year ended 31st March, 20X3, CAB Limited declared an ordinary dividend of 0.10 paise per share and a dividend of 0.05 paise per share on the irredeemable preference shares.

Compute the following:

- the finance cost of convertible debentures and its closing balance as on 31st March, 20X3 to be presented in the consolidated financial statements.
- the basic and diluted earnings per share for the year ended 31st March, 20X3. Assume that income tax is applicable to CAB Limited and its subsidiaries at 25%.

#### Answer 5

Subsidiary's earnings per share

Substatary 5 ca	inings per snare	
Basic EPS	₹ 5.00 calculated:	₹ 5,400 (a) – ₹400 (b)
		1,000 (c)
Diluted EPS	₹ 3.66 calculated:	₹ 5,400 ( <i>d</i> )
		(1,000 + 75(e) + 400(f))

#### **Notes:**

- (a) Subsidiary's profit attributable to ordinary equity holders.
- (b) Dividends paid by subsidiary on convertible preference shares.
- (c) Subsidiary's ordinary shares outstanding.
- (d) Subsidiary's profit attributable to ordinary equity holders (₹ 5,000) increased by ₹ 400 preference dividends for the purpose of calculating diluted earnings per share.
- (e) Incremental shares from warrants, calculated: [(₹ 20 ₹ 10) ÷ ₹ 20] × 150.
- (f) Subsidiary's ordinary shares assumed outstanding from conversion of convertible preference shares, calculated: 400 convertible preference shares × conversion factor of 1.

#### Consolidated earnings per share

898

Basic EPS	₹ 1.63	712,000(a) + 74,300(b)
	calculated:	10,000(c)
		₹ 12,000 + ₹ 2,928( $d$ ) + ₹ 55( $e$ ) + ₹1,098( $f$ )
Diluted EPS	₹ 1.61 calculated:	10,000

- (a) Parent's profit attributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent entity.
- (b) Portion of subsidiary's profit to be included in consolidated basic earnings per share, calculated: (800 × ₹ 5.00) + (300 × Re 1.00).
- (c) Parent's ordinary shares outstanding.
- (d) Parent's proportionate interest in subsidiary's earnings attributable to ordinary shares, calculated: (800 ÷ 1,000) × (1,000 shares × ₹ 3.66 per share).
- (e) Parent's proportionate interest in subsidiary's earnings attributable to warrants, calculated: (30 ÷ 150) × (75 incremental shares × ₹ 3.66 per share).

Parent's proportionate interest in subsidiary's earnings attributable to convertible preference shares, calculated: (300 ÷ 400) × (400 shares from conversion × ₹ 3.66 per share).

Calculation of the liability and equity components on 6% Convertible debentures: Present value of principal payable at the end of 4<sup>th</sup> year (₹ 1,80,000 thousand x 0.74) = ₹ 1,33,200 thousand

Present value of interest payable annually for 4 years (₹ 1,80,000 thousand x 6% x 3.31)

= ₹ 35,748 thousand

Total liability component = ₹ 1,68,948 thousand

Therefore, equity component = ₹ 1,80,000 thousand – ₹ 1,68,948 thousand = ₹ 11,052 thousand

Calculation of finance cost and closing balance of 6% convertible debentures

Year	Openin g balanc e ₹ in '000	Finance cost @ 8% ₹ in '000	Interest paid @ 6% ₹ in '000	Closing balance ₹ in '000
	а	b = a x 8%	С	d = a + b - c
31.3.20X 2	1,68,948	13,515.84	10,800	1,71,663.84
31.3.20X 3	1,71,663.84	13,733.11	10,800	1,74,596.95

Finance cost of convertible debentures for the year ended 31.3. 20X3 is ₹ **13,733.11 thousand** and closing balance as on 31.3. 20X3 is ₹ **1,74,596.95** thousand.

Calculation of Basic EPS	₹ in '000
Profit for the year	39,000
Less: Dividend on preference	
shares (80,000 thousand x	(4,000)
₹ 0.05)	
Profit attributable to equity shareholders	35,000

Weighted average number of shares =  $20,00,00,000 + \{5,00,00,000 \times (9/12)\}$ 

= 23,75,00,000 shares or 2,37,500 thousand shares

Basic EPS

= ₹ 35,000 thousand / 2,37,500 thousand shares

Page 869

= ₹ 0.147

#### Calculation of Diluted EPS

₹ in '000

Profit for the year	39,000
Less: Dividend on preference shares (80,000 x 0.05)	(4,000)
	35,000
Add: Finance cost (as given in the above table)	
13,733.11	
Less: Tax @ 25% (3,433.28)	10,299.83
	45,299.83

Weighted average number of shares

 $= 20,00,00,000 + \{5,00,00,000 \times (9/12)\} + 10,00,00,000$ 

= 33,75,00,000 shares or 3,37,500 thousand shares

Diluted EPS = ₹ 45,299.83 thousand / 3,37,500 thousand shares

**=** ₹ 0.134

#### Question 7 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd. issues 9% preference shares of fair value of  $\mathbb{T}$  10 each on 1.4.20X1. Total value of the issue is  $\mathbb{T}$  10,00,000. The shares are issued for a period of 5 years and would be redeemed at the end of  $\mathbb{T}$  11 each.

At the end of the year 3, i.e. on 31.3.20X4, company finds that it has earned good returns than expected over last three years and can make the redemption of preference shares early. To compensate the shareholders for two years of dividend which they need to forego, company decided to redeem the shares at ₹ 12 each instead of original agreement of ₹ 11. Comment on the impact of early conversion of preference shares at a premium on earnings for the year 20X3- 20X4 attributable to ordinary equity holders of ABC Ltd. for basic EPS. Ignore the EIR impact in the solution and answer on the basis of Ind AS 33 only.

#### Answer 7

In the given situation,  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1 per share is the excess payment made by the company amounting to  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,00,000 in all. The amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,00,000 will be deducted from the earnings of the year 20X3-20X4 while calculating the basic EPS of year 20X3-20X4.

#### Question 8 (Illustration)

An entity has following preference shares in issue at the end of 20X4:

- 5% redeemable, non-cumulative preference shares: These shares are classified as liabilities. During the year, a dividend was paid on the 5% preference shares ₹ 100,000.
- Increasing-rate, cumulative, non-redeemable preference shares issued at a discount in 20X0, with a cumulative dividend rate from 20X5 of 10%: The shares were issued at a discount to compensate the holders, because dividend payments will not commence until 20X5. The accrual for the discount in the current year, calculated using the effective interest method amounted to, say, ₹ 18,000. These shares are classified as equity ₹

200,000.

- 8% non-redeemable, non-cumulative preference shares: At the beginning of the year, the entity had ₹ 100,000 8% preference shares outstanding but, at 30 June 20X4, it repurchased ₹ 50,000 of these at a discount of ₹ 1,000 - ₹ 50,000.
- 7% cumulative, convertible preference shares (converted in the year): These shares were classified as equity, until their conversion into ordinary shares at the beginning of the year. No dividend was accrued in respect of the year, although the previous year's dividend was paid immediately prior to conversion. To induce conversion, the terms of conversion of the 7% convertible preference shares were also amended, the revised terms entitled the preference shareholders to an additional 100 ordinary shares on conversion with a fair value of ₹ 300 -

The profit after tax for the year 20X4 is ₹ 150,000. Determine the adjustments for the purpose of calculating EPS.

#### Answer 8

#### Adjustments for the purpose of calculating EPS are made as follows:

Particulars	Amou	Amoun
	nt	t
	(₹)	(₹)
Profit after tax		150,000
Amortisation of discount on issue of increasing-rate	(18,000	
preference shares (Refer Note 1)	)	
Discount on repurchase of 8% preference shares (Refer		(17,000)
Note 2)	1,000	
Profit attributable to ordinary equity holders for basic EPS		1,33,00
(Refer Note 3-5)		0

#### **Notes:**

- The original discount on issue of the increasing-rate preference shares is treated as amortised to retained earnings and treated as preference dividends for EPS purposes and adjusted against profit attributable to the ordinary equity holders. There is no adjustment in respect of dividend, because these do not commence until 20X5. Instead, the finance cost is represented by the amortisation of the discount in the dividend-free period. In future years, the accrual for the dividend of ₹ 20,000 will be deducted from profits.
- The discount on repurchase of the 8% preference shares has been credited to equity so should be added to profit.
- The dividend on the 5% preference shares has been charged to the income statement, because the preference shares are treated as liabilities, so no adjustment is required for it from the profit.
- No accrual for the dividend on the 8% preference shares is required, because they are non- cumulative. If a dividend had been declared for the year, it would have been deducted from profit for the purpose of calculating basic EPS, because the shares are treated as equity and the dividend would have been charged to equity in the financial statements.
  - The 7% preference shares were converted at the beginning of the year, so there is no adjustment in respect of the 7% preference shares, because no dividend accrued in respect of the year. The payment of the previous year's cumulative dividend is ignored for EPS purposes, because it will have been adjusted for in

the prior year. Similarly, the excess of the fair value of additional ordinary shares issued on conversion of the convertible preference shares over the fair value of the ordinary shares to which the shareholders would have been entitled under the original conversion terms would already have been deducted from profit attributable to the ordinary shareholders, and no further adjustment is required.

It may be noted that as per Sections 53 and 55 of the Companies Act, 2013, a company cannot issue shares at discount or any irredeemable preference shares. However, the above illustration has been given only to explain the concept given in Ind AS.

# Question 9 (Illustration)

Following is the data for company XYZ in respect of number of equity shares during the financial year 20X1-20X2. Find out the number of shares for the purpose of calculation of basic EPS as per Ind AS 33.

hose o	e of calculation of basic EFS as per fill AS 33.		
S.	Date	Particulars	No of shares
No.			
1	1-Apr- 20X1	Opening balance of outstanding equity shares	100,000
2	15-Jun- 20X1	Issue of equity shares	75,000
3	8-Nov- 20X1	Conversion of convertible preference shares in Equity	50,000
4	22-Feb- 20X2	Buy back of shares	(20,000)
5	31-Mar- 20X2	Closing balance of outstanding equity shares	205,000

#### **Answer 9**

The closing balance of the outstanding shares is 2,05,000 by a normal addition and subtraction. But as per weighted average concept, one need to find out for how many days each type of shares was actually held during the year.

The shares which were there on  $1^{st}$  April 20X1, were held for the whole year. Therefore, weighted average number of such shares will be given by the formula: No of shares x no of days the shares were held during the year / 365

 $= 1,00,000 \times 365 / 365 = 1,00,000$ 

But the shares which were issued on  $15^{th}$  June 20X1, were held for only 290 days. Therefore, the weighted average number of shares will be  $75,000 \times 290 / 365 = 59.589$ .

Following the above formula, the weighted average number of shares for calculation of EPS for the year 20X1-20X2 will be as follows:

Sr. No.	Date	Particulars	No of shares	No of days shares were outstandi	Weighted average no of shares
1	1-Apr- 20X1	Opening balance of outstanding equity shares	1,00,000	365	1,00,000

2	15-Jun-	Issue of equity shares	75,000	290	59,589
	20X1				
3	8-Nov-	Conversion of			
	20X1	convertible preference			
		shares in Equity	50,000	144	19,726
4	22-Feb-	Buy back of shares	(20,000)	(38)*	(2,082)
	20X2				
5	31-Mar-	Closing balance of			
	20X2	outstanding equity shares	2,05,000		1,77,233

<sup>\*</sup> These shares had already been considered in the shares issued. The same has been deducted assuming that the bought back shares have been extinguished immediately.

# Question 10 (Illustration)

On 31 March, 20X2, the issued share capital of a company consisted of ₹ 100,000,000 in ordinary shares of ₹ 25 each and ₹ 500,000 in 10% cumulative non-redeemable preference shares (classified as equity) of Re 1 each. On 1 October, 20X2, the company issued 1,000,000 ordinary shares fully paid by way of capitalisation of reserves in the proportion 1:4 for the year ended 31 March, 20X3. Profit for 20X1-20X2 and 20X2-20X3 is ₹ 450,000 and ₹ 550,000 respectively. Calculate the basic EPS for 20X1-20X2 and 20X2-20X3.

#### Answer 10

	20X2-20X3 ₹'000	20X1-20X2 ₹'000
Calculation of earnings		
Profit for the year	550	450
Less: Preference shares dividend	(50)	(50)
Earnings (A)	500	400

	No. of shares in '000	No. of shares in '000
Number of ordinary shares		
Shares in issue for full year	4,000	4,000
Capitalisation issue at 1 October 20X2	1,000	1,000
Number of shares (B)	5,000	5,000
Earnings per ordinary share (A/B)	10 Paise	8 Paise*

<sup>\*</sup>The comparative EPS for 20X1-20X2 can alternatively be calculated by adjusting the previously disclosed EPS in 20X1-20X2 (in this example, 10 Paise) by the following factor:

Number of shares before the bonus issue/ Number of shares after the bonus issue \*Adjusted EPS for 20X1-20X2 10 Paise x (4,000/5,000) = 8 Paise

## Question 11 (Illustration)

X Ltd.	

age 873

1 January	1,000,000 shares in issue
28 February	Issued 200,000 shares at fair value
31 August	Bonus issue 1 share for 3 shares held
30 November	Issued 250,000 shares at fair value

Calculate the number of shares which would be used in the basic EPS calculation. Consider reporting date as December end.

#### Answer 11

Period	Calculations	Weighted average number of shares
1 January - 28 February	1,000,000 × 2 / 12 × 4 / 3	222,222
1 March - 31 August	1,200,000 × 6 / 12 × 4 / 3	800,000
1 September - 30 November	1,600,000 × 3 / 12	400,000
1 December - 31 December	1,850,000 × 1 / 12	154,167
December		1,576,389

#### Question 12 (Illustration)

At 31 December 20X1, the issued share capital of a company consisted of 1.8 million ordinary shares of  $\overline{t}$  10 each, fully paid. The profits for the year ended 31 December 20X1 and 20X2 amounted to  $\overline{t}$  630,000 and  $\overline{t}$  875,000 respectively. On 31 March 20X2, the company made a rights issue on a 1 for 4 basis at  $\overline{t}$  30. The market price of the shares immediately before the rights issue was  $\overline{t}$  60. Calculate EPS.

#### Answer 12

## Calculation of theoretical ex rights price:

	Number of shares		₹
Initial holding	4	Market Value (4 x 60)	240
Rights taken up	1	Cost (1 x 30)	30
New holding	5	Theoretical price	270

# Theoretical ex rights price = 270/5 = ₹ 54 Calculation of bonus element

The bonus element of the rights issue is given by the fraction:

Market price before rights issue/Theoretical ex-rights price =60 / 54 = 10/9

This corresponds to a bonus issue of 1 for 9. The bonus ratio will usually be greater than 1 (that is, the market price of the shares immediately prior to the exercise of rights is greater than the theoretical ex-rights price). If the ratio is less than 1, it might indicate that the market price has fallen significantly during the rights period, which was not anticipated when the rights issue was announced. In this situation, the rights issue should be treated as an issue of shares for cash at full market price.

It can be demonstrated, using the figures in the illustration, that a rights issue of 1 for 4 at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  30 is equivalent to a bonus issue of 1 for 9 combined with an issue of shares at full market price of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  54 per share. Consider an individual shareholder holding 180 shares:

	Number	Valu	₹
	of shares	е	(in million)
	(in '000s)		,
Original holding	1,800	Value at ₹60 per share	108.00
Rights shares (1:4)	450	Value at ₹30 per share	13.50
Holding after rights issue	2,250	Value at ₹54 per share	121.50

The additional 450 thousand rights shares at ₹30 can be shown to be equivalent to a bonus issue of 1 for 9 on the original holding, followed by an issue of 1:8 at full market price of ₹54 following the bonus issue, as follows:

	Number of shares (in '000s)	Valu e	₹ (in million)
Original holding	1,800	Value at ₹60 per share	108.00
Bonus issue of 1 for 9	200	Value Nil	nil
	2000	Value at ₹ 54 per share	108.00
Issue of 1 for 8 at full price (450-200)	250	Value at ₹ 54 per share	13.50
Total holding	2250	Value at ₹54 per share	121.50

The shareholder is therefore indifferent as to whether the entity makes a rights issue of 1 for 4 at ₹ 30 per share, or a combination of a bonus issue of 1 for 9 followed by a rights issue of 1 for 8 at full market price of ₹ 54 per share. Having calculated the bonus ratio, the ratio should be applied to adjust the number of shares in issue before the rights issue, both for the current year and for the previous year. Therefore, the weighted average number of shares in issue for the current and the previous period, adjusted for the bonus element, would be:

#### Weighted average number of shares:

	20X2	20X1
No of actual shares in issue before rights	1,800,000	1,800,000
Correction for bonus issue (1:9)	200,000	200,000
Deemed no of shares in issue before right issue	2,000,000	2,000,000

(1.8 million x 10/9 for the whole year)

The no of shares after the rights issue would be

 $= 1.8 \text{ million } \times 5/4 = 2,250,000$ 

Therefore, the weighted average number of shares would be

2.0 million for the whole year 2,000,000

1.8 million x 10/9 x 3/12 (before rights 500,000 issue)

2.25 million x 9/12 (after rights issue)	1,687,500	-
Weighted average number	2,187,500	2,000,00 0
	20X2	20X1
Calculation of earnings	(as pre stated	viously )
Profits for the year	₹ 875,000	₹ 630,000
Weighted average number	2,187,500	1,800,000
Basic EPS	40p	35p
Basic EPS for 20X1 (as restated)	₹ 630, 2,000	,
		21.00p

In practice, the restated EPS for 20X1 can also be calculated by adjusting the EPS figure of the previous year by the reciprocal of the bonus element factor:  $35p \times 9/10 = 31.50 p$ 

# Question 13 (Illustration)

Entity A has in issue 25,000 4% debentures with a nominal value of Re 1. The debentures are convertible to ordinary shares at a rate of 1:1 at any time until 20X9. The entity's management receives a bonus based on 1% of profit before tax. Entity A's results for 20X2 showed a profit before tax of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  80,000 and a profit after tax of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  64,000 (for simplicity, a tax rate of 20% is assumed in this question). Calculate Earnings for the purpose of diluted EPS.

#### Answer 13

For the purpose of calculating diluted EPS, the earnings should be adjusted for the reduction in the interest charge that would occur if the debentures were converted, and for the increase in the management bonus payment that would arise from the increased profit.

	Amount (₹)
Profit after tax	64,000
Add: Reduction in interest cost (25,000 × 4%) (Refer Note)	1,000
<i>Less</i> : Tax expense (1,000 × 20%)	(200)
Less: Increase in management bonus (1,000 × 1%)	(10)
Add: Tax benefit (10 × 20%)	2
Earnings for the purpose of diluted EPS	64,792

**Note:** For simplicity, this illustration does not classify the components of the convertible debenture as liabilities and equity, as required by Ind AS 32.

#### Question 14 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd.'s share price is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  4.50 per share and earnings for the period are  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  500,000. The tax rate applicable to the entity is 21%.

Calculate basic EPS, earnings per incremental share for the convertible bonds and diluted EPS.

#### Answer 14

Basic EPS is ₹ 0.50 per share (ie 500,000/1,000,000)

The earnings per incremental share for the convertible bonds is calculated as follows:

Earnings effect = No. of bonds x nominal value x interest cost x (1 - applicable tax rate)

=  $1,000 \times 100 \times 10\% \times (1-0.21) = ₹7,900$ .

#### Incremental shares calculation

Assume all bonds are converted to shares, even though this converts ₹ 100 worth of bonds into 20 shares worth only ₹ 90 and is therefore not economically rational.

This gives  $1000 \times 20 = 20,000$  additional shares.

**Earnings per incremental share** = ₹7,900 / 20,000 = ₹0.395

**Diluted EPS** = (₹ 500,000 + ₹ 7,900) / (1,000,000 + 20,000) = ₹ 0.498 per share.

# Question 15 (Illustration)

At 30 June 20X1, the issued share capital of an entity consisted of 1,500,000 ordinary shares of  $\mathbb{Z}$  1 each. On 1 October 20X1, the entity issued  $\mathbb{Z}$  1,250,000 of 8% convertible loan stock for cash at par. Each  $\mathbb{Z}$  100 nominal of the loan stock may be converted, at any time during the years ended 20X6 to 20X9, into the number of ordinary shares set out below:

30 June 20X6: 135 ordinary shares; 30 June 20X7: 130 ordinary shares; 30 June 20X8: 125 ordinary shares; and 30 June 20X9: 120 ordinary shares.

If the loan stocks are not converted by 20X9, they would be redeemed at par.

The profit before interest, fair value movements and taxation for the year ended 30 June 20X2 and 20X3 amounted to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  825,000 and  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  895,000 respectively and relate wholly to continuing operations. The rate of tax for both periods is 33%.

Calculate Basic and Diluted EPS.

#### Answer 15

	20X3	20X2
Trading results	₹	₹
A. Profit before interest, fair value movements and	895,000	825,000
tax		
B. Interest on 8% convertible loan stock (20X2:	(100,000)	(75,000)
9/12 ×		
₹100,000)		
C. Change in fair value of embedded option	(2,650)	(2,500)
Profit before tax	792,350	747,500

Taxation @ 33% on (A-B)	(262,350)	(247,500)
Profit after tax	530,000	500,000
Calculation of basic EPS		
Number of equity shares outstanding	1,500,00	1,500,00
	0	0
Earnings	₹	₹ 500,000
-	530,000	
Basic EPS	35 paise	33 paise

# Calculation of diluted EPS

#### Test whether convertibles are dilutive:

The saving in after-tax earnings, resulting from the conversion of  $\gtrless$  100 nominal of loan stock, amounts to  $\gtrless$  100 × 8% × 67% +  $\gtrless$  2,650/12,500 =  $\gtrless$  5.36 +  $\gtrless$  0.21 =  $\gtrless$  5.57.

There will then be 135 extra shares in issue.

Therefore, the incremental EPS is 4 paise (ie. ₹ 5.57/135). As this incremental EPS is less than the basic EPS at the continuing level, it will have the effect of reducing the basic EPS of 35 paise. Hence the convertibles are dilutive.

	20X3	20X2
Adjusted earnings	₹	₹
Profit for basic EPS	530,000	500,000
Add: Interest and other charges on earnings saved	102,650	77,500
as a result of the conversion	(100,000 + 2,650)	(75000+ 2500)
Less: Tax relief thereon	(33,000)	(24,750)
Adjusted earnings for equity	599,650	552,750

#### Adjusted number of shares

	20X3	20X2
Number of equity shares for basic EPS	1,500,000	1,500,00
		0
Maximum conversion at date of issue 1,687,500		1,265,62
× 9/12		5
Maximum conversion after balance sheet date	1,687,500	_
Adjusted shares	3,187,500	2,765,62
		5
Adjusted earnings for equity	₹ 599,650	₹
		552,750
Diluted EPS (approx.)	19 paise	20 paise

#### Question 16 (Illustration)

At 31 December 20X7 and 20X8, the issued share capital of an entity consisted of 4,000,000 ordinary shares of ₹ 25 each. The entity has granted options that

Page 878

give holders the right to subscribe for ordinary shares between 20Y6 and 20Y9 at ₹ 70 per share. Options outstanding at 31 December 20X7 and 20X8 were 630,000. There were no grants, exercises or lapses of

options during the year. The profit after tax, attributable to ordinary equity holders for the years ended 31 December 20X7 and 20X8, amounted to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  500,000 and  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  600,000 respectively (wholly relating to continuing operations).

Average market price of share:

Year ended 31 December 20X7 = ₹ 120 Year ended 31 December 20X8 = ₹ 160

#### Answer 16

	20X8	20X7
Calculation of basic EPS		
Profit after tax	₹ 600,00 0	₹ 500,000
Number of share	4,000,00	4,000,000
Basic EPS (approx.)	15 paise	13 paise.
Calculation of diluted EPS		
Adjusted number of shares		
Number of shares under option:		
Issued at full market price:		
(630,000 × 70) ÷ 120		367,500
(630,000 × 70) ÷ 160	275,625	
Issued at nil consideration — dilutive	354,375	262,500
Total number of shares under option	630,000	630,000
Number of equity shares for basic EPS	4,000,00	4,000,000
Number of dilutive shares under option	354,375	262,500
Adjusted number of shares (A)	4,354,37 5	4,262,500
Profit after tax (B)	₹ 600,00 0	₹ 500,000
Diluted EPS (B/A)	14 paise	12 paise

**Note:** If options had been granted or exercised during the period, the number of 'nil consideration' shares in respect of these options would be included in the diluted EPS calculation on a weighted average basis for the period prior to exercise.

# Question 17 (Illustration)

Profit attributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent	₹
entity for year 20X1	1,200,000
Weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding	500,0
during year 20X1	00
	share
	s

978<sub>986</sub>

Average market price of one ordinary share during year 20X1	₹ 20.00
Weighted average number of shares under option during year 20X1	100,0 00 share s
Exercise price for shares under option during year 20X1	₹ 15.00
Calculate basic and diluted EPS.	

#### Answer 17

Calculation of earnings per share

	Earnings	Shares	Per share
Profit attributable to ordinary equity			
holders of the parent entity for year	₹		
20X1	1,200,000		
Weighted average shares			
outstanding during year 20X1		500,00	
		0	
Basic earnings per share			₹ 2.40
Weighted average number of shares		100,00	
under option		0	
Weighted average number of shares			
that would have been issued at			
average market price: (100,000 × ₹	Refer Note	(75,000	
15.00) ÷ ₹ 20.00		( )	
Diluted earnings per share	₹	525,00	₹ 2.29
	1,200,00	0	
	0		

**Note**: Earnings have not increased because the total number of shares has increased only by the number of shares (25,000) deemed to have been issued for no consideration.

## Question 18 (Illustration)

Ordinary shares 1,000,000 (there were no options, warrants outstanding during or convertible instruments outstanding during the period)

An agreement related to a recent business combination provides for the issue of additional ordinary shares based on the following conditions:

5,000 additional ordinary shares for each new retail site opened during 20X1

1,000 additional ordinary shares for each ₹ 1,000 of consolidated profit in excess of ₹ 2,000,000 for the year ended 31 December

20X1

Retail sites opened during the year:

one on 1 May 20X1

one on 1 September 20X1

Page 880

Consolidated year-to-date profit attributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent entity:

Consolidated year-to-date ₹ 1,100,000 as of 31 March 20X1

₹ 2,300,000 as of 30 June 20X1

₹ 1,900,000 as of 30 September 20X1

(including a

₹ 450,000 loss from a discontinued

operation)

₹ 2,900,000 as of 31 December 20X1

Calculate basic and diluted EPS.

#### Answer 18

Basic earnings per share					
	Firs	Secon	Thir	Fourt	Full year
	t	d	d	h	
	quarte	quarte	quarte	quarte	
	r	r	r	r	
Numerator (₹)	1,100,00	1,200,00	(400,000	1,000,00	2,900,00
	0	0	)	0	0
Denominator:					
Ordinary shares	1,000,00	1,000,00	1,000,00	1,000,00	1,000,00
outstanding	0	0	0	0	0
Retail site contingency	_	3,333 <b>6</b>	6,667 <b>7</b>	10,000	5,000 <b>8</b>
Earnings contingency <sup>9</sup>	_	1	I	1	I
Total shares	1,000,00	1,003,33	1,006,66	1,010,00	1,005,00
	0	3	7	0	0
Basic earnings per share (₹)	1.10	1.20	(0.40)	0.99	2.89

- $5,000 \text{ shares} \times \frac{2}{3}$
- $7 5,000 shares + (5,000 shares \times 1/3)$
- 8  $(5,000 \text{ shares} \times 8/12) + (5,000 \text{ shares} \times 4/12)$
- The earnings contingency has no effect on basic earnings per share because it is not certain that the condition is satisfied until the end of the contingency period. The effect is negligible for the fourth-quarter and full-year calculations because it is not certain that the condition is met until the last day of the period.

			Diluted	earnings j	per share
	Firs		Thir	Fourt h	Full year
	quart	d quart	d quart	quart	
	er	er	er	er	
Numerator (₹)	1,100,00	1,200,00	(400,00	1,000,000	2,900,00
	0	0	0)		0
Denominator:					
Ordinary shares	1,000,00	1,000,00	1,000,0	1,000,000	1,000,00

outstanding	0	0	00		0
Retail site contingency	_	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Earnings contingency	_10	300,0001	_12	900,00013	900,000
		1			
Total shares	1,000,00	1,305,00	1,010,0	1,910,000	1,910,00
	0	0	00		0
Diluted earnings per	1.10	0.92	$(0.40)^{14}$	0.52	1.52
share (₹)					

<sup>10</sup> Company A does not have year-to-date profit exceeding ₹ 2,000,000 at 31 March 20X1. The Standard does not permit projecting future earnings levels and including the related contingent shares.

# Question 19 (Illustration)

# Assume the following facts for Company XY:

- Income from continuing operations: INR 30,00,000
- Loss from discontinued operations: (INR 36,00,000)
- Net loss: (INR 6,00,000)
- Weighted average Number of shares outstanding 10,00,000
- Incremental common shares outstanding relating to stock options2,00,000
- (a) You are required to calculate the basic and diluted EPS for Company XY from the above information.
- (b) Assume, if in above case, Loss from continued operations is `10,00,000 and income from discontinued operations is `36,00,000 calculate the diluted EPS.

#### Answer 19

(a) **Step 1**:

Basic EPS = Profit for the year / Weighted average
Number of shares outstanding
Basic EPS (Continued Operations) = Profit from continued operations
/ Weighted

average Number of shares outstanding = ₹ 30,00,000 / 10,00,000 = ₹ 3.00

Basic Loss per share (Discontinued operations) = Loss from discontinued operations / Weighted

average Number of shares outstanding = ₹ (36,00,000) / 10,00,000 = (₹ 3.60)

Overall Basic Loss per share = (₹6,00,000) / 10,00,000 = ₹ (0.60) (i)

Step 2: Calculation of Diluted EPS
Diluted EPS = Profit

= Profit for the year / Adjusted Weighted average

Number of shares outstanding
EPS (Continued Operations) = Profit from continued operations /
Adjusted Weighted

average Number of shares outstanding

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  [(₹ 2,300,000 – ₹ 2,000,000) ÷ 1,000] × 1,000 shares = 300,000 shares.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Year-to-date profit is less than ₹ 2,000,000.

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  [(₹ 2,900,000 – ₹ 2,000,000) ÷ 1,000] × 1,000 shares = 900,000 shares.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Because the loss during the third quarter is attributable to a loss from a discontinued operation, the antidilution rules do not apply. The control number (ie profit or loss from, continuing operations attributable to the equity holders of the parent entity) is positive. Accordingly, the effect of potential ordinary shares is included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share.

Loss per share (Discontinued operations) = Loss from discontinued operations / Adjusted

weighted average number of shares outstanding

= ₹ (36,00,000) / 12,00,000 = (₹ 3.00)

Overall Diluted Loss per share = ₹ 6,00,000 / 12,00,000 = ₹ (0.50) (ii) The income from continuing operations is the control number, there is a dilution in basic EPS for income from continuing operations (reduction of EPS from ₹ 3.00 to ₹ 2.50). Therefore, even though there is an anti-dilution [Loss per share reduced from ₹ 0.60 (i) to ₹ 0.50 (ii) above], diluted loss per share of ₹ 0.50 is reported.

**(b)** In case of loss from continuing operations, the potential shares are excluded since including those shares would result into anti-dilution effect on the **control number** (loss from continuing operations). Therefore, the diluted EPS will be calculated as under:

Diluted EPS = Profit for the year / Adjusted weighted average number of shares outstanding Overall Profit = Loss from continuing operations + Gain from discontinued operations

Weighted average number of shares outstanding = 10,00,000 Diluted EPS = 3.60

The dilutive effect of the potential common shares on EPS for income from discontinued operations and net income would not be reported because of the loss from continuing operations.

# Question 20 (Illustration)

An entity issues 2,000 convertible bonds at the beginning of Year 1. The bonds have a three- year term and are issued at par with a face value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  1,000 per bond, giving total proceeds of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  2,000,000. Interest is payable annually in arrears at a nominal annual interest rate of 6 per cent. Each bond is convertible at any time up to maturity into 250 ordinary shares. The entity has an option to settle the principal amount of the convertible bonds in ordinary shares or in cash. When the bonds are issued, the prevailing market interest rate for similar debt without a conversion option is 9 per cent. At the issue date, the market price of one ordinary share is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  3. Income tax is ignored. Calculate basic and diluted EPS when

Profit attributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent entity Year 1	₹ 1,000,000
Ordinary shares outstanding	1,200,000
Convertible bonds outstanding	2,000
Allocation of proceeds of the bond issue:	
Liability component (Refer Note 1)	₹ 1,848,122
Equity component	₹ 151,878
	₹ 2,000,000

The liability and equity components would be determined in accordance with Ind AS 32. These amounts are recognised as the initial carrying amounts of the liability and equity components. The amount assigned to the issuer

conversion option equity element is an addition to equity and is not adjusted.

Basic earnings per share Year 1:

Diluted earnings per share Year 1:

It is presumed that the issuer will settle the contract by the issue of ordinary shares. The dilutive effect is therefore calculated in accordance with the Standard.

 $\frac{Rs.1,000,000+Rs.166,331}{1,200,000+500,000} = \text{Rs. 0.69 per ordinary share}$ 

#### Notes:

- 1. This represents the present value of the principal and interest discounted at 9% -
  - ₹ 2,000,000 payable at the end of three years; ₹ 120,000 payable annually in arrears for three years.
- 2. Profit is adjusted for the accretion of ₹ 166,331 (₹ 1,848,122 x 9%) of the liability because of the passage of time. However, it is assumed that interest @ 6% for the year has already been adjusted.
- 3. 500,000 ordinary shares = 250 ordinary shares x 2,000 convertible bonds

#### Question 21 (Illustration)

An entity has two classes of shares in issue:

- 5,000 non-convertible preference shares
- 10,000 ordinary shares

The preference shares are entitled to a fixed dividend of  $\overline{\xi}$  5 per share before any dividends are paid on the ordinary shares. Ordinary dividends are then paid in which the preference shareholders do not participate. Each preference share then participates in any additional ordinary dividend above  $\overline{\xi}$  2 at a rate of 50% of any additional dividend payable on an ordinary share.

The entity's profit for the year is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  100,000, and dividends of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2 per share are declared on the ordinary shares.

Compute the allocation of earnings for the purpose of calculation of Basic EPS when an entity has ordinary shares & participating equity instruments that are not convertible into ordinary shares.

Answer 21
The calculation of basic EPS is as follows:

	₹	₹
Profit		100,000
Less: Dividends payable for the period:		
Preference (5,000 × ₹ 5)	25,000	
Ordinary (10,000 × ₹ 2)	20,000	(45,000)
Undistributed earnings		55,000

Allocation of undistributed earnings:

Allocation per ordinary share = A

,age 884

# Allocation per preference share = B where B =

50% of A (A × 10,000) + (50% × A × 5,000) = ₹ 55,000

A = 55,000 / (10,000 + 2,500) = ₹ 4.4 B = 50% of A

B = ₹ 2.2

Dividend per share are:	Preference shares ₹ per share	Ordinar y share s
		₹ per share
Distributed earnings	5.00	2.00
Undistributed earnings	2.20	4.40
Totals	7.20	6.40

Proof:  $(5,000 \times ₹7.2) + (10,000 \times ₹6.4) = ₹100,000$ 

#### Question 22 (Illustration)

(This illustration does not illustrate the classification of the components of convertible financial instruments as liabilities and equity or the classification of related interest and dividends as expenses and equity as required by Ind AS 32).

Profit attributable to equity holders of the parent entity	₹ 100,000
Ordinary shares outstanding	10,000
Non-convertible preference shares	6,000
Non-cumulative annual dividend on preference shares (before any dividend is paid on ordinary shares)	₹ 5.50 per share

After ordinary shares have been paid a dividend of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 2.10$  per share, the preference shares participate in any additional dividends on a 20:80 ratio with ordinary shares.

Compute the allocation of earnings for the purpose of calculation of Basic EPS when an entity has ordinary shares & participating equity instruments that are not convertible into ordinary shares.

#### Answer 22

Dividends or	n preference	shares paid	(6000 x ₹ 5	.50 per	₹ 33,000
share)					

silarc)

Dividends on ordinary shares paid (10,000 x ₹ 2.10 per ₹ 21,000

share)

## Basic earnings per share is calculated as follows: ₹ ₹

Profit attributable to equity holders of the parent 100,000

entity

Less: Dividend paid:

Preference	33,000	
Ordinary	21,000	(54,000)

Undistributed earnings 46,000

# Allocation of undistributed earnings:

Allocation per ordinary share = A
Allocation per preference share = B; B =  $^{1}/_{4}$  A

(A x 10,000) + ( $^{1}/_{4}$  x A x 6,000) = ₹ 46,000
A = ₹ 46,000 ÷ (10,000 + 1,500)
A = ₹ 4.00
B =  $^{1}/_{4}$  A
B = Re. 1.00

# Dividend per share:


	Preference shares	Ordinary shares
Distributed earnings	₹ 5.50	₹ 2.10
Undistributed earnings	₹ 1.00	₹ 4.00
Totals	₹ 6.50	₹ 6.10

# Question 23 (Illustration)

An entity issues 100,000 ordinary shares of Re 1 each for a consideration of  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  2.50 per share. Cash of  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  1.75 per share was received by the balance sheet date. The partly paid shares are entitled to participate in dividends for the period in proportion to the amount paid. Calculate number of shares for calculation of Basic EPS.

## **Answer 23**

The number of ordinary share equivalents that would be included in the basic EPS calculation on a weighted basis is as follows:  $(100,000 \times 1.75) / 2.50 = 70,000$  shares.



age 887

# Chapter 10 Unit-3 Ind AS 108: "Operating segments"

#### Attempts wise Distribution

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP			Q6	Q5				Q7				Q4
PYP		Q2		Q3				Q1		Q9	Q10	
RTP						Q8						

## Section - A

# Question 1

A Limited operates in coating industry. Its business segments comprise Coating (consisting of decorative, automotive, industrial paints and related activities) and Others (consisting of chemicals, polymers and related activities).

Certain information for financial year 2022-2023 is given below:

All amount						s in `lakhs
Segments	External revenue (including GST)	GST	Other operating income	Result	Assets	Liabilities
Coating	1,20,000	3,000	24,000	6,000	30,000	18,000
Others	42,000	1,800	9,000	2,400	18,000	6,000

#### **Additional Information:**

- i) Unallocated income net of expenses is `18,00,00,000
- ii) Interest and bank charges is 12,00,00,000
- iii) Income tax expenses is `12,00,00,000 (current tax `11,70,00,000 and deferred tax `30,00,000)
- iv) Unallocated Investments are `60,00,00,000 and other assets are `60,00,00,000.
- v) Unallocated liabilities, Reserve & Surplus and Share Capital are 1,20,00,00,000, 1,80,00,00,000 & 60,00,00,000 respectively.
- vi) Depreciation amounts for coating & others are `6,00,00,000 and `1,80,00,000 respectively.
- vii) Capital expenditure for coating and others are `30,00,00,000 and `12,00,00,000 respectively. viii. Revenue from outside India is `3,72,00,00,000 and segment asset outside India `60,00,00,000.

Based on the above information, how A Limited would disclose information about reportable segment, revenue, profit or loss, assets and liabilities for financial year 2022-2023. Ignore corresponding figures for the previous year. Give figures in `lakhs. (PYP 16 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 1

## **Segment information**

Information about operating segment

Page **888** 

# (1) the company's operating segments comprise:

**Coatings:** consisting of decorative, automotive, industrial paints and related activities.

**Others:** consisting of chemicals, polymers and related activities.

# (2) Segment revenues, results and other information:

(`in lakhs)

Segm	ent revenues, results and other information: (`in lab					
	Revenue	Coating	Others	Total		
1.	External revenue (gross)	1,20,000	42,000	1,62,000		
	GST	(3,000)	(1,800)	(4,800)		
	Total revenue (net)	1,17,000	40,200	1,57,200		
	Other operating income	24,000	9,000	33,000		
	Total Revenue	1,41,000	49,200	1,90,200		
2.	Results Segment results	6,000	2,400	8,400		
	Unallocated income (net of unallocated expenses)			1,800		
	Profit from operation before interest, taxation and exceptional items			10,200		
	Interest and bank charges			(1,200)		
	Profit before exceptional items			9,000		
	Exceptional items			Nil		
	Profit before taxation			9,000		
	Income taxes Current taxes			(1,170)		
	Deferred taxes			(30)		
	Profit after taxation			7,800		
3.	Other information					
(a)	Assets Segment assets	30,000	18,000	48,000		
	Investments			6,000		
	Unallocated assets			6,000		
	Total assets			60,000		
(b)	Liabilities and Shareholder's funds Segment liabilities	18,000	6,000	24,000		
	Unallocated liabilities			12,000		
	Share capital			6,000		
	Reserves and surplus			18,000		
	Total liabilities and shareholder's funds			60,000		

6889969

(c)	Others Capital expenditure	(3,000)	(1,200)	(4,200)
	Depreciation	(600)	(180)	(780)
Geogr	aphical Information	India	Outside India	Total
	Revenue	1,53,000	37,200	1,90,200
	Revenue Segment assets	1,53,000 54,000	37,200 6,000	1,90,200 60,000

#### **Notes:**

- (i) The operating segments have been identified in line with Ind AS 108, taking into account the nature of products, organisation structure, economic environment and internal reporting system.
- (ii) Segment revenue, results, assets and liabilities include the respective amounts identifiable to each of the segments. Unallocable assets include unallocable noncurrent assets and other current assets. Unallocable liabilities include unallocable current liabilities and net deferred tax liability.

Question 2
Heavy Goods Ltd. has 6 operating segments namely L-Q (below). The total revenues (internal and external), profits or losses and assets are set out below:(In Rs.)

Segme	Inter Segment	External	Profit /	Total
nt	Sales	Sales	loss	assets
L	4,200	12,300	3,000	37,500
M	3,500	7,750	1,500	23,250
N	1,000	3,500	(1,500)	15,750
0	0	5,250	(750)	10,500
P	500	5,500	900	10,500
Q	1,200	1,050	600	5,250
	10,400	35,350	3,750	1,02,750

Heavy Goods Ltd. needs to determine how many reportable segments it has. You are required to advice Heavy Goods Ltd. as per the criteria defined in Ind AS 108 (PYP 4 Marks ,Jan'21)

#### Answer 2

As per paragraph 13 of Ind AS 108, an entity shall report separately information about an operating segment that meets any of the following quantitative thresholds:

- (a) Its reported revenue, including both sales to external customers and intersegment sales or transfers, is 10 per cent or more of the combined revenue, internal and external, of all operating segments.
  - Combined total sales of all the segment = Rs. 10,400 + Rs. 35,350 = Rs.
  - $45,750.\ 10\%$  thresholds =  $45,750 \times 10\%$  = 4,575.
- (b) The absolute amount of its reported profit or loss is 10 per cent or more of the greater, in absolute amount, of

- (i) the combined reported profit of all operating segments that did not report aloss and
- (ii) the combined reported loss of all operating segments that reported a loss. In the given situation, combined reported profit = Rs. 6,000 and combined reported loss (Rs. 2,250). Hence, for 10% thresholds Rs. 6,000 will be considered. 10% thresholds = Rs. 6,000 x 10% = Rs. 600
- (c) Its assets are 10 per cent or more of the combined assets of all operating segments. Combined total assets of all the segment = Rs. 1,02,750

10% thresholds = Rs.1,02,750 x 10% = 10,275

Accordingly, quantitative thresholds are calculated below:

Accordingly, qua	intitative	threshold	us are ca	aiculated	below:		
Segments	L	M	N	0	P	Q	Reportab le segments
% segment sales to total sales	36.66%	24.59%	9.84%	11.48%	13.11%	4.92%	L,M,O,P
% segment profit to total profits	50%	25%	25%	12.5%	15%	10%	L,M,N,O,P ,Q
% segment assets to total assets	36.50%	22.63%	15.33 %	10.22 %	10.22%	5.11%	L,M,N,O,P

Segments L, M, O and P clearly satisfy the revenue and assets tests and they are separate reportable segments.

Segments N does not satisfy the revenue test, but it does satisfy the asset test and it is a reportable segment.

Segment Q does not satisfy the revenue or the assets test but is does satisfy the profits test. Therefore, Segment Q is also a reportable segment.

Hence, all segments i.e.; L, M, N, O, P and Q are reportable segments.

#### Question 3

Seeds Ltd. is operating in oil industry. Its business segments comprise crushing and refining. Certain information for financial year 2017-18 is given below:

(Rs. in lakh)

Segments	External Sale		Other Operating Income	Result	Assets	Liabilities
Crushing	1,00,000	2,500	20,000	5,000	25,000	15,000
Refining	35,000	1,500	7,500	2,000	15,000	5,000

Additional Information: (Rs. in lakh)

- Unallocated revenue net of expenses is Rs. 1,500.
- Interest and bank charges is Rs. 1,000
- Income-tax expense is Rs. 1,000 (current tax Rs. 975 and deferred tax Rs. 25)
- Investments Rs. 5,000 and unallocated assets Rs. 5,000

Page 891

- Unallocated liabilities, Reserves & Surplus and Share capital are Rs. 10,000;
   Rs. 15,000 and Rs. 5,000 respectively.
- Depreciation amounts for crushing and refining are Rs. 500 and Rs. 150 respectively.
- Capital expenditure for crushing and refining are Rs. 2,500 and Rs. 1,000 respectively.
- Revenue from outside India is Rs. 15,000 and segment assets outside India Rs. 5,000.

Based on the above information, how Seeds Ltd. would disclose information about reportable segment revenue, profit or loss, assets and liabilities for financial year 2017-18? (PYP 10 Marks, May'18)

#### Answer 3

# Segment revenues, results and other information (Rs. in lakh)

	Segment revenues, results a			
	Revenue	Crushin	Refinin	Total
4	D ( )	<b>g</b>	<b>g</b>	1.05.00
1.	External sales (gross)	1,00,00	35,000	1,35,00
	Tax	(0.500)	(1 500)	(4.000)
		(2,500)	(1,500)	(4,000)
	External sales (net)	97,500	33,500	1,31,00
	Other operating income	20,000	7,500	27,500
	Total Revenue	1,17,50	41,000	1,58,50
	Total Revenue	0	11,000	0
2.	Results			
	Segment results	5,000	2,000	7,000
	Unallocated income (net of			
	unallocated expenses)			1,500
	Profit from operation before			
	interest, taxation and			8,500
	exceptional items Interest and bank charges			(1,000)
	Profit before exceptional items			7,500
	Exceptional items			7,300 Nil
	Profit before taxation			7,500
	Less: Income Taxes			7,300
	Current taxes			(975)
	Deferred taxes			(25)
	Profit after taxation			6,500
3.	Other Information			0,500
(a)	Assets			
(ω)	Segment Assets	25,000	15,000	40,000
	Investments	20,000	10,000	5,000
	Unallocated assets			5,000
	Total Assets			50,000
(b)	Liabilities/Shareholder's funds			33,000
(~)	Segment liabilities	15,000	5,000	20,000
	Unallocated liabilities	10,000	0,000	10,000
<u> </u>	onanocated napinties	1	L	10,000

	Share capital			5,000
	Reserves and surplus			15,000
	Total liabilities / shareholder's			50,000
	funds			
(c)	Others			
	Capital Expenditure	2,500	1,000	3,500
	Depreciation	500	150	650

# **Geographical Information**

			(Rs. in lakh)
	India	Outside India	Total
Revenue	1,43,500	15,000	1,58,500
Segment assets	35,000	5,000	40,000
Capital expenditure	3,500	-	3,500

**Note:** Segment revenue, results, assets and liabilities include the respective amounts identifiable to each of the segments.

## **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

A few examinees were unable to give information about discloser of results and geographical information in an orderly manner. They presented their answers either without headings or mixed the disclosure information rather than disclosing it categorically.

#### Question 4

From the following transactions taken from a parent company having multiple businesses and multiple segments, identify which transactions will be classified as Operating, Investing and Financing:

Sr. No.	Nature of transaction
1	Issued preference shares
2	Purchased the shares of 100% subsidiary company
3	Dividend received from shares of subsidiaries
4	Dividend received from other companies
5	Bonus shares issued
6	Purchased license for manufacturing of special drugs
7	Royalty received from the goods patented by the company
8	Rent received from the let-out building (letting out is not main business)
9	Interest received from loans and advances given
10	Dividend paid
11	Interest paid on security deposits
12	Purchased goodwill
13	Acquired the assets of a company by issue of equity shares (not parting any cash)

14	Interim dividends paid
15	Dissolved the 100% subsidiary and received the amount in
	final settlement

# (MTP 6 Marks Oct '23)

#### Answer 4

Sr. No.	Nature of transaction	Operating/Invest ing/ Financing/Not to be
-		considered
1	Issued preference shares	Financing
2	Purchased the shares of 100% subsidiary company	Investing
3	Dividend received from shares of subsidiaries	Investing
4	Dividend received from other companies	Investing
5	Bonus shares issued	No cash flow
6	Purchased license for manufacturing of special drugs	Investing
7	Royalty received from the goods patented by the company	Operating
8	Rent received from the let-out building (letting out is not main business)	Investing
9	Interest received from loans and advances given	Investing
10	Dividend paid	Financing
11	Interest paid on security deposits	Financing
12	Purchased goodwill	Investing
13	Acquired the assets of a company by issue of equity	Not to be
	shares (not parting any cash)	considered
14	Interim dividends paid	Financing
15	Dissolved the 100% subsidiary and received the amount in final settlement	Investing

#### Question 5

A Ltd. is a cash rich company. It has its business running across the country which as per AS 17 constitutes geographical segments. The company has also got substantial investments. The company has provided its segmental report for its primary segment and secondary geographical segments and the extract of its Note on Investment from its draft financial statements for the year ending 31st March 2018:

Primary segment report

	Segment 1	Segment 2	Segment 3	Total
Segment Revenue	2,655	2,121	1,264	6,040
Segment Results	504	1,111	114	1,729
Unallocable Costs				
Interest Income				403

Finance Costs	(120)
Others	(50)
Net Profit Before	1,962
Tax	

Segmental report for its secondary geographical segments
All figures are Rs. in crores

Geography	Segment Assets	Segment Revenue
Delhi	1,962	2522
Mumbai	1,691	1241
Chennai	2,030	1255
Others (refer Additional information 1)	1,082	1022
Total	6,765	6040

#### **Note on Investment**

Investment in:	Rs. in
	crores
Mutual Funds - Liquid Funds	500
Mutual Funds - ETFs	20
X Ltd. a wholly owned subsidiary	100
A Foundation – 100%	20
Time Deposit	20
Total	660

#### Additional information:

- 1. Segment Assets in 'Others' category comprises of Rs. 744 crores held for a new project yet to commence operations in Kolkata.
- 2. Tax expenses are not considered in above segment reporting as the management is of the opinion that taxes are not a part of operating cost.
- 3. Mutual funds are valued at MTM basis as of year-end. These were initially invested for Rs. 300 crore for liquid funds and Rs. 25 crore for ETFs respectively.
- 4. The Foundation has a clause in its deed that in the event of liquidation, the net assets of the trust shall be transferred to another trust with similar objects.

Analyze the extracts given above and Identify the errors and misstatements in the segment reports / Note on Investment and also prepare the rectified Note to Accounts on Investment in accordance with the Accounting Standards. (MTP 15 Marks April '18)

#### Answer 5

Geographical segment reporting: The category 'others' in the secondary geographical segment report represents 16% of the total geographical segment assets. Para 48 of AS 17 inter alia requires to disclose the total carrying amount of segment assets by geographic allocation of assets, for each geographical segment whose segment assets are 10 per cent or more of the total assets of all geographical segments'.

Hence to comply with AS 17 disclosures, the management has to further breakdown the 'others' category and identify reportable geographies. Kolkata segment assets is 10.997% of total geographical assets i.e. [(744 / 6,765) x 100]. Therefore, Kolkata segment will be considered as the reportable geographical segment.

The revised secondary segmental reporting will be as follows:

All figures are Rs. in crores

Geograph y	Segment Assets	Segment Revenue
Delhi	1,962	2,522
Mumbai	1,691	1,241
Chennai	2,030	1,255
Kolkata	744	0
Others	338	1,022
TOTAL	6,765	6,040

Besides above, the same para also mandates to disclose 'the total cost incurred during the period to acquire segment assets that are expected to be used during more than one period (tangible and intangible fixed assets) by geographical location of assets, for each geographical segment whose segment assets are 10 per cent or more of the total assets of all segments'. Hence, the management has to show the addition to segment assets in a separate table; and if there are no additions during the year, the same has to be stated as 'Nil' for the year.

1. **Primary Business Segment Report:** The management's interpretation of presenting 'Net profit before taxes' is incorrect. The standard requires allocating expenses to each segment to the possible extent, and unallocated costs to be shown separately. In this case, tax expense will be added as an unallocable cost.

#### 2. Notes to Accounts on Investment

	Refer Note	Rs. in
	No.	crore
Non-current Investment (at cost)		
X Ltd., a wholly owned subsidiary		100
A Foundation	1	20
Total Non-current Investment (A)		120
Current Investment	2	325
Total Current Investment (B)		325
Total (A+B)		445

In accordance with Para 35 (d) of AS 13, a note should be given that there exists a significant restriction on the realisability of investments or the remittance of income and proceeds of disposal. Accordingly, the note is prepared as follows:

Note 1: The Company has a 100% stake holding in A Foundation. There exists a significant restriction on the realisability of investments due to the clause in the

constitution deed of the Foundation that in the event of liquidation, the net assets of the trust shall be transferred to another trust with similar objects.

Note 2: Para 31 of AS 13 states – Investment classified as current investment should be carried in the financial statements at the lower of cost and fair value determined either on an individual investment basis or by category of investment, but not on an overall (or global) basis'. Assuming that the MTM values provided represent the fair value, accordingly investments in mutual funds are treated as follows:

Investment in Mutual Funds	Rs. in crore
Mutual Funds - Liquid Funds (MTM)	300
Mutual Funds - ETFs	25
Total	325

Note 3: Time deposit will be a part of cash and cash equivalents.

#### Question 6

T Ltd is engaged in transport sector, running a fleet of buses at different routes. T Ltd has identified 3 operating segments:

- Segment 1: Local Route
- Segment 2: Inter-city Route
- Segment 3: Contract Hiring

The characteristics of each segment are as under:

Segment 1: The local transport authority awards the contract to ply the buses at different routes for passengers. These contracts are awarded following a competitive tender process; the ticket price paid by passengers are controlled by the local transport authority. T Ltd would charge the local transport authority on a per kilometer basis.

Segment 2: T Ltd operates buses from one city to another, prices are set by T Ltd on the basis of services provided (Deluxe, Luxury or Superior).

Segment 3: T Ltd also leases buses to schools under a long-term arrangement.

While Segment 1 has been showing significant decline in profitability, Segment 2 is performing well in respect of higher revenues and improved margins. The management of the company is not sure why is the segment information relevant for users when they should only be concerned about the returns from overall business. They would like to aggregate the Segment 1 and Segment 2 for reporting under 'Operating Segment'

#### Required:

Whether it is appropriate to aggregate Segments 1 and 2 with reference to Ind AS 108 'Operating Segments'? and

Discuss, in the above context, whether disclosure of segment information is relevant to an investor's appraisal of financial statements? (MTP 6 Marks March '21)

#### Answer 6

Ind AS 108 'Operating Segments' requires operating segments to be aggregated to present a reportable segment if the segments have similar economic characteristics, and the segments are similar in each of the following aggregation criteria:

(a) The nature of the products and services

Page 897

- (b) The nature of the production process
- (c) The type or class of customer for their products and services
- (d) The methods used to distribute their products or provide their services
- (e) If applicable, the nature of the regulatory environment

While the products and services are similar, the customers for those products and services are different.

In Segment 1, the decision to award the contract is in the hands of the local authority, which also sets prices and pays for the services. The company is not exposed to passenger revenue risk, since a contract is awarded by competitive tender.

On the other hand, in the inter-city segment, the customer determines whether a bus route is economically viable by choosing whether or not to buy tickets. T Ltd sets the ticket prices but will be affected by customer behavior or feedback. T Ltd is exposed to passenger revenue-risk, as it sets prices which customers may or may not choose to pay.

Operating Segment provides information that makes the financial statements more useful to investors. In making the investment decisions, investors and creditors consider the returns they are likely to make on their investment. This requires assessment of the amount, timing and uncertainty of the future cash flows of T Ltd as well as of management's stewardship of T Ltd's resources. How management derives profit is therefore relevant information to an investor.

Inappropriately aggregating segments reduces the usefulness of segment disclosures to investors. Ind AS 108 requires information to be disclosed that is not readily available elsewhere in the financial statements, therefore it provides additional information which aids an investor's understanding of how the business operates and is managed.

In T Ltd.'s case, if the segments are aggregated, then the increased profits in segment 2 will hide the decreased profits in segment 1. However, the fact that profits have sharply declined in segment 1 would be of interest to investors as it may suggest that future cash flows from this segment are at risk.

#### Question 7

XYZ Ltd. has eight segments namely A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H. The information regarding respective segments for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 is as follows:

Segments	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	H
External sales	0	255	15	10	15	50	25	35
Inter-segment sales	100	60	30	5				_
Total	100	315	45	15	15	50	25	35
Segment result Profit/(Loss)	5	(90)	15	(5)	8	(5)	5	7
Segment assets	15	47	5	11	3	5	5	9

Identify which of the above segments out of A to H would be considered as reportable segments of XYZ Ltd. for the year ending 31st March, 20X1? (MTP 5 Marks April '23, RTP May'22)

#### Answer 7

# An entity has eight segments and the relevant information is as follows:

Criteria 1: Segment revenue is 10% or more of total external + intersegment sales

868<sub>Page</sub>

Segments	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	Total
Total sales	100	315	45	15	15	50	25	35	600
% to total sales	16.7	52.5	7.5	2.5	2.5	8.3	4.2	5.8	
Reportable segments	A	В	-	-	-	-	-	-	

#### Criteria 2: 10% or more of segment result

Consider segment profit and loss separately in absolute terms

Segments	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	Total
Profit	5	-	15	-	8	-	5	7	40
Segments loss	-	90	-	5	-	5	-	-	100

Since segment loss is greater, we select 100 as evaluating the segment percentage

Segments	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	Total
% to segment loss	5	90	15	5	8	5	5	7	
Reportable segments	-	В	С	-	-	-	-	-	

Criteria 3: 10% or more of segment assets

Segments	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Total
Assets	15	47	5	11	3	5	5	9	100
%	15	47	5	11	3	5	5	9	100
Reportable	Α	В	-	D	_	-	-	-	
segments									

Based on the above 3 criteria, the Reportable Segments are A, B, C & D However, 75% test for external sales should also be checked.

Reportable Segments	A	В	С	D	TOTAL
External sales	0	255	15	10	280
Total entity's sales (external) 405					
% of reportable segments external sales to entity's sales 69.14%					
Required percentage					75%

Hence, in the above scenario, additional operating segments need to be identified as reportable segments, till the 75% test is satisfied, even if those segments do not satisfy the quantitative threshold limits.

#### **Question 8**

An entity uses the weighted average cost formula to assign costs to inventories and cost of goods sold for financial reporting purposes, but the reports provided to the chief operating decision maker use the First-In, First-Out (FIFO) method for evaluating the performance of segment operations. Which cost formula should be used for Ind AS 108 disclosure purposes? (RTP May '19)

#### Answer 8

The entity should use First-In, First-Out (FIFO) method for its Ind AS 108 disclosures, even though it uses the weighted average cost formula for measuring inventories for inclusion in its financial statements. Where chief operating decision maker uses only one measure of segment asset, same measure should be used to report segment information. Accordingly, in the given case, the method used in preparing the financial information for the chief operating decision maker should be used for reporting under Ind AS 108.

statements needs to be given by the entity in its segment report

# Question 9 John Limited has identified four segments for which revenue data is given as per

However, reconciliation between the segment results and results as per financial

	External	Internal	Total
	Sale	Sale	(Rs.)
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)	
Segment A	4,00,000	Ni1	4,00,000
Segment B	80,000	Ni1	80,000
Segment C	90,000	20,000	1,10,000
Segment D	70,000	6,20,000	6,90,000
Total sales	6,40,000	6,40,000	12,80,000

The following additional information is available with respect to John Limited: Segment C is a high growing business and management expects that this segment to make a significant contribution to external revenue in coming years. Discuss, which of the segments would be reportable under the threshold criteria identified in Ind AS 108 and why? (PYP 6 Marks, Nov'20)

#### **Answer 9**

Threshold amount of 10% of total revenue is Rs. 1,28,000 (Rs. 12,80,000 × 10%). Segment A exceeds the quantitative threshold (Rs. 4,00,000 > Rs. 1,28,000) and hence is a reportable segment.

Segment D exceeds the quantitative threshold (Rs. 6,90,000 > Rs. 1,28,000) and hence is a reportable segment.

Segment B & C do not meet the quantitative threshold amount and may not be classified as reportable segment.

However, the total external revenue generated by these two segments A & D represent only 73.44% (Rs. 4,70,000 / 6,40,000 x 100) of the entity's total external revenue. If the total external revenue reported by operating segments constitutes less than 75% of the entity's total external revenue, additional operating segments should be identified as reportable segments until at least 75% of the revenue is included in reportable segments.

In case of John Limited, it is given that Segment C is a high growing business and management expects this segment to make a significant contribution to external revenue in coming years. In accordance with the requirement of Ind AS 108, John Limited may designate segment C as a reportable segment, making the total external revenue attributable to reportable segments be 87.5% (Rs. 5,60,000/ 6,40,000 x 100) of total entity's external revenue.

In this situation, Segments A, C and D will be reportable segments and Segment B will be shown as other segment.

Alternatively, Segment B may be considered as a reportable segment instead of Segment C, based on the choice of John Ltd. 's management, if it meets the definition of operating segment.

If Segment B is considered as reportable segment, external revenue reported will be Rs. 4,00,000 + Rs. 80,000 + Rs. 70,000 = Rs. 5,50,000

% of Total External Revenue = Rs. 5,50,000 / Rs. 6,40,000 = 85.94% Segments A, B and D will be reportable segments and Segment C will be shown as other segment.

#### Question 10

Pharmaceuticals Limited has 5 operating segments namely K, L, M, N and O. The profit/loss of respective segments for the year ended 31st March, 2022 are as follows:

Segme	Profit / (Loss) (₹ in
nt	crore)
K	1,560
L	3,000
M	(4,600)
N	(9,000)
0	12,000
Total	2,960

Based on the quantitative thresholds, you are required to determine that which of the above segments would be considered as reportable segments for the year ending 31st March, 2022. (PYP 5 Marks Nov 22)

#### Answer 10

With regard to quantitative thresholds to determine reportable segment relevant in context of instant case, paragraph 13(b) of Ind AS 108 'Operating Segments' may be noted which provides as follows:

"The absolute amount of its reported profit or loss is 10 per cent or more of the greater, in absolute amount, of (i) the combined reported profit of all operating segments that did not report a loss and (ii) the combined reported loss of all operating segments that reported a loss."

In compliance with Ind AS 108, the segment profit/loss of respective segment will be compared with the greater of the following:

- (i) All segments in profit, i.e., K, L and O Total profit ₹ 16,560 crores.
- (ii) All segments in loss, i.e., M and N Total loss ₹ 13,600 crores. Greater of the above ₹ 16,560 crores.

Based on the above, reportable segments will be determined as follows:

Segment	Profit/(Loss ) (₹ in crore)	% age of ₹ 16,560 crore*	Reportable segment
K	1,560	9.42%	No
L	3,000	18.12%	Yes
M	(4,600)	27.78%	Yes
N	(9,000)	54.35%	Yes
О	12,000	72.46%	Yes
Total	2,960		

Hence, L, M, N, O are reportable segments.

106

#### Section - B

#### Question 1

X Ltd. has identified 4 operating segments for which revenue data is given below:

	External Revenue	Internal Revenue	Total (₹)
	(₹)	(₹)	
Segment A	30,00,000	Nil	30,00,000
Segment B	6,50,000	Nil	6,50,000
Segment C	8,50,000	1,00,000	9,50,000
Segment D	5,00,000	49,00,000	54,00,000
Total Revenue	50,00,000	50,00,000	1,00,00,00
			0

#### Additional information:

Segment C is a new business unit and management expect this segment to make a significant contribution to external revenue in coming years. Which of the segments would be reportable under the criteria identified in Ind AS 108?

#### Answer 1

Threshold amount is ₹ 10,00,000 (₹ 1,00,00,000 × 10%).

Segment A exceeds the quantitative threshold (₹ 30,00,000 > ₹ 10,00,000) and hence reportable segment.

Segment D exceeds the quantitative threshold (₹ 54,00,000 > ₹ 10,00,000) and hence reportable segment.

Segment B & C do not meet the quantitative threshold amount and may not be classified as reportable segment.

However, the total external revenue generated by these two segments A & D represent only 70% [(₹ 35,00,000 / 50,00,000) x 100] of the entity's total external revenue. If the total external revenue reported by operating segments constitutes less than 75% of the entity total external revenue, additional operating segments should be identified as reportable segments until at least 75% of the revenue is included in reportable segments.

In case of X Ltd., it is given that Segment C is a new business unit and management expect this segment to make a significant contribution to external revenue in coming years. In accordance with the requirement of Ind AS 108, X Ltd. designates this start-up segment C as a reportable segment, making the total external revenue attributable to reportable segments 87% [(₹ 43,50,000/ 50,00,000) x 100] of total entity revenues. In this situation, Segments A, C and D will be reportable segments and Segment B will be shown as other segment.

**Alternatively,** segment B can be considered as a reportable segment as well as it meets the definition of operating segment. If Segment B is considered as reportable segment: External revenue reported:  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  30,00,000 +  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  6,50,000 +  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  5,00,000 =  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  41,50,000 % of Total External Revenue =  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  41,50,000 /  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  50,00,000 = 83%

Accordingly, Segments A, B and D will be reportable segments and Segment C will be shown as other segment.

#### Question 2

<sup>2</sup> 305

X Ltd. is operating in coating industry. Its business segments comprise Coating and Others (consisting of chemicals, polymers and related activities). Certain information for financial year 20X1-20X2 is given below:

(₹ in lakhs)

Segments	External Revenue (including GST)	GS T	Other operating income		Asset	Liabilitie s
Coating	2,00,000	5,00 0	40,000	10,000	50,00 0	30,000
Others	70,000	3,00	15,000	4,000	30,00 0	10,000

#### Additional information:

- 1. Unallocated income net of expenses is ₹ 30,00,00,000
- 2. Interest and bank charges is ₹ 20,00,00,000
- Income tax expenses is ₹ 20,00,00,000 (current tax ₹ 19,50,00,000 and deferred tax ₹ 50,00,000)
- 4. Unallocated Investments are ₹ 1,00,00,00,000 and other assets are ₹ 1,00,00,00,000.
- 5. Unallocated liabilities, Reserves & surplus and share capital are  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  2,00,00,000,
  - ₹ 3,00,00,00,000 & ₹ 1,00,00,00,000 respectively.
- 6. Depreciation amounts for coating & others are  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  10,00,00,000 and  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  3,00,00,000 respectively.
- 7. Capital expenditure for coating and others are  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  50,00,00,000 and  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  20,00,00,000 respectively.
- 8. Revenue from outside India is ₹ 6,20,00,000,000 and segment asset outside India
  - ₹ 1,00,00,00,000.

Based on the above information, how X Ltd. would disclose information about reportable segment revenue, profit or loss, assets and liabilities for financial year 20X1-20X2?

#### Answer 2

#### Segment information

- (A) Information about operating segment
  - (1) the company's operating segments comprise:

**Coatings:** consisting of decorative, automotive, industrial paints and related activities.

**Others:** consisting of chemicals, polymers and related activities.

(2) Segment revenues, results and other information. (₹ in Lakhs)

	Revenue	Coating	Others	Total
1.	External Revenue (gross)	2,00,000	70,000	2,70,000
	GST	(5,000)	(3,000)	(8,000)
	Total Revenue (net)	1,95,000	67,000	2,62,000
	Other Operating Income	40,000	15,000	55,000
	Total Revenue	2,35,000	82,000	3,17,000
2.	Results			

 $\frac{1}{1000}$ 

# $_{\rm age}904$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

	Segment results	10,000	4,000	14,000
	Unallocated income (net of unallocated			3,000
	expenses)			
	Profit from operation before			17,000
	interest, taxation and exceptional items			
	Interest and bank charges			(2,000)
	Profit before exceptional items			15,000
	Exceptional items			Nil
	Profit before taxation			15,000
	Income Taxes			
	-Current taxes			(1,950)
	-Deferred taxes			(50)
	Profit after			13,000
	taxation Other			
3.	Information Assets			
(a)	Segment Assets			80,000
	Investments	<b>=</b> 0.000	20.000	10,000
	Unallocated assets	50,000	30,000	10,000 <b>1,00,000</b>
	Total Assets			1,00,000
	Liabilities/Shareholder's funds			40,000
	Segment liabilities			20,000
(b)	Unallocated			10,000
	liabilities Share	30,000	10,000	30,000 <b>1,00,000</b>
	capital	00,000	10,000	1,00,000
	Reserves and surplus			
	Total liabilities/shareholder's			
	funds Others			
(c)	Capital Expenditure			
	Depreciation	(5,000)	(2,000)	
		(1,000)	(300)	
Geo	graphical Information			(₹ in lakhs)
			Outside	Total
		(₹)	India (₹)	(₹)
	Revenue	2,55,000	62,00	3,17,000
	Segment assets	90,000	0	1,00,000
	Capital expenditure	7,000	10,00	7,000
			0	
es:				

#### **Notes:**

- (i) The operating segments have been identified in line with the Ind AS 108, taking into account the nature of product, organisation structure, economic environment and internal reporting system.
- (ii) Segment revenue, results, assets and liabilities include the respective amounts identifiable to each of the segments. Unallocable assets include unallocable fixed assets and other current assets. Unallocable liabilities include unallocable

current liabilities and net deferred tax liability.

(iii) Corresponding figures for previous year have not been provided. However, in practical scenario the corresponding figures would need to be given.

#### Question 3

An entity uses the weighted average cost formula to assign costs to inventories and cost of goods sold for financial reporting purposes, but the reports provided to the chief operating decision maker use the First-In, First-Out (FIFO) method for evaluating the performance of segment operations. Which cost formula should be used for Ind AS 108 disclosure purposes?

#### Answer 3

The entity should use First-In, First-Out (FIFO) method for its Ind AS 108 disclosures, even though it uses the weighted average cost formula for measuring inventories for inclusion in its financial statements. Where chief operating decision maker uses only one measure of segment asset, same measure should be used to report segment information. Accordingly, in the given case, the method used in preparing the financial information for the chief operating decision maker should be used for reporting under Ind AS 108.

However, reconciliation between the segment results and results as per financial statements needs to be given by the entity in its segment report.

#### Question 4

ABC Limited has 5 operating segments namely A, B, C, D and E. The profit/loss of respective segments for the year ended March 31, 20X1 are as follows:

Segment	Profit/(Loss)
	(₹ in crore)
A	780
В	1,500
С	(2,300)
D	(4,500)
E	6,000
Total	1,480

Based on the quantitative thresholds, which of the above segments A to E would be considered as reportable segments for the year ending March 31, 20X1?

#### Answer 4

With regard to quantitative thresholds to determine reportable segment relevant in context of instant case, paragraph 13(b) of Ind AS 108 may be noted which provides as follows:

"The absolute amount of its reported profit or loss is 10 per cent or more of the greater, in absolute amount, of (i) the combined reported profit of all operating segments that did not report a loss and (ii) the combined reported loss of all operating segments that reported a loss." In compliance with Ind AS 108, the segment profit/loss of respective segment will be compared with the greater of the following:

- (i) All segments in profit, i.e., A, B and E Total profit ₹ 8,280 crores.
- (ii) All segments in loss, i.e., C and D Total loss ₹ 6,800 crores. Greater of the above

Page 905

- ₹ 8,280 crores. Based on the above, reportable segments will be determined as follows:

Segment	Profit/(Loss) (₹ in crore)	As absolute % of ₹ 8,280 crore	Reportable segment
A	780	9%	No
В	1,500	18%	Yes
С	(2,300)	28%	Yes
D	(4,500)	54%	Yes
E	6,000	72%	Yes
Total	1,480		

Hence B, C, D, E are reportable segments.

#### Question 5 (Illustration)

ABC Ltd. manufactures and sells healthcare products, and food and grocery products. Three products namely A, B & C are manufactured. Product A is classified as healthcare product and product B & C are classified as food and grocery products. Products B & C are similar products. Discrete financial information is available for each manufacturing locations and for the selling activity of each product. There are two line managers responsible for manufacturing activities of products A, B & C. Manager X manages product A and Manager B manages products B & C. The operating results of health care products (product A) and food and grocery products (products B & C) are regularly reviewed by the CODM. Identify reportable segments of ABC Ltd.

#### Answer 5

In this situation both the healthcare, and food and grocery product line meet the criteria for operating segments set out above. Therefore, it is likely that ABC Ltd.'s operating segments would be classified as being (i) healthcare and (ii) food and grocery segments. Not every part of an entity is necessarily an operating segment or part of an operating segment. For example, a corporate headquarters or some functional departments may not earn revenues or may earn revenues that are only incidental to the activities of the entity and would not be operating segments. For the purposes of Ind AS 108, an entity's post-employment benefit plans are not operating segments.

#### Question 6 (Illustration)

The CEO along with other Board members do a review of financial information about various business segments and take decisions on the basis of discrete information available for these segments and are correctly identified as Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM). Review of only revenue information is done for decision making about those segments by the CODM. As per CODM, many segments require minimal costs due to centralization of costs. Whether review of only the revenue related information is sufficient for these segments to be considered as operating segments for the purposes of Ind AS 108 'Operating Segments'?

#### Answer 6

Many entities would be considering the decision making for segments on the basis of revenue growth – especially the ones aggressively trying to build a market share.

Common examples would be businesses into technology sector or those creating or launching new products from time to time. For them, the decision making for different regional segments would need revenue growth and related information for further investment decision.

The logic given by the CODM is that since many segments require minimal costs (due to centralization of costs), therefore, revenue-only data is a fair representation of the operating results.

In the above case, review of the information that is based only on revenue data may be appropriate to consider that the segment meets the definition of an operating segment.

#### Question 7 (Illustration)

X Ltd. is engaged in the manufacture and sale of two distinct type of products A & B. X Ltd. supplies the product in the domestic market in India as well as in Singapore. There are two regional managers responsible for manufacturing activities of product A & B worldwide and also two other managers responsible for different geographical areas. For internal reporting purposes, X Ltd. provides information product-wise and as per the geographical location of the company. The CODM regularly reviews the operating results of both sets of components. How should X Ltd. identify its operating segments?

#### **Answer 7**

In this situation, both the geographical sales areas and product areas may meet the criteria for operating segment. However, in such situation, it is more difficult to determine clearly which set of components should be identified as the entity's operating segments. In such situation the entity should determine which set of components constitutes the operating segments by reference to the core principle. The core principle is that the entity should disclose information to enable users of its financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business activities in which it engages and the economic environments in which it operates. The entity should also assess whether the identified operating segments could realistically represent the level at which the CODM is assessing performance and allocating resources.

Therefore, X Ltd. should consider all the above factors and apply judgement to determine which component should be disclosed as operating segment.

#### Question 8 (Illustration)

CODM of XY Ltd. receives and reviews multiple sets of information when assessing the businesses' overall performance to take a decision on resources allocation. It receives the information as under:

- Level 1 Report: Summary report for all 4 regions
- Level 2 Report: Summary report for 20 Sub-regions within those regions
- Level 3 Report: Detailed report for 50 Branches within the sub-regions What factors and level should be considered for determining an operating segment?

#### **Answer 8**

 $706^{\circ}$ 

We need to consider multiple factors (including but not limited to below):

- The process that CODM may use to assess the performance (Key Financial Matrix, KPIs, Ratio etc.);
- Identify the segment managers and their responsibility areas;
- The process of budgeting for resource allocations.

#### Question 9 (Illustration)

XY Ltd. has operations in France, Italy, Germany, UK and India. It wishes to apply aggregation criteria on geographical basis. How will the aggregation criteria apply for reporting segments in the given scenario?

#### **Answer 9**

XY Ltd. needs to assess and prove that each country possesses the same economic characteristics. Factors including exchange control regulations, currency risks and economic conditions are required to be considered. Considering above factors, it may be possible to aggregate the results of France, Italy and Germany (falling within EU region) and results of UK and India may be separately reported (no aggregation is permitted).

#### Question 10 (Illustration)

X Ltd. is engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling papers. Varieties of paper like adhesive paper, anti-rust paper, antique paper, art paper etc., are manufactured and sold by X Ltd. Should X Ltd. classify these papers into different segments?

#### Answer 10

Two or more operating segments may be aggregated into a single operating segment if the segments have similar economic characteristics, and the segments are similar with respect to various factors like nature of the product and production process, type of customers, method of distribution and regulatory requirement. In case of X Ltd., so far as varieties of paper concerned, i f all factors such as nature of the product and production process, type of customers, method of distribution and regulatory requirement are common, there is no need to create different segments for each type of paper.

#### Question 11 (Illustration)

T Ltd is engaged in transport sector, running a fleet of buses at different routes.

T Ltd has identified 3 operating segments:

- Segment 1: Local Route
- Segment 2: Inter-city Route
- Segment 3: Contract Hiring

The characteristics of each segment are as under:

Segment 1: The local transport authority awards the contract to ply the buses at  $\infty$ for passengers. These contracts are awarded following a different routes competitive tender process; the ticket price paid by passengers are controlled by

the local transport authority. T Ltd would charge the local transport authority on a per kilometer basis.

Segment 2: T Ltd operates buses from one city to another, prices are set by T Ltd on the basis of services provided (Deluxe, Luxury or Superior).

Segment 3: T Ltd also leases buses to schools under a long-term arrangement.

While Segment 1 has been showing significant decline in profitability, Segment 2 is performing well in respect of higher revenues and improved margins. The management of the company is not sure why is the segment information relevant for users when they should only be concerned about the returns from overall business. They would like to aggregate the Segment 1 and Segment 2 for reporting under 'Operating Segment'

#### Required:

Whether it is appropriate to aggregate Segments 1 and 2 with reference to Ind 108 'Operating Segments'? and Discuss, in the above context, whether disclosure of segment information is relevant to an investor's appraisal of financial statements?

#### Answer 11

Ind AS 108 'Operating Segments' requires operating segments to be aggregated to present a reportable segment if the segments have similar economic characteristics, and the segments are similar in each of the following aggregation criteria:

- (a) The nature of the products and services
- (b) The nature of the production process
- (c) The type or class of customer for their products and services
- (d) The methods used to distribute their products or provide their services
- (e) If applicable, the nature of the regulatory environment

While the products and services are similar, the customers for those products and services are different.

In Segment 1, the decision to award the contract is in the hands of the local authority, which also sets prices and pays for the services. The company is not exposed to passenger revenue risk, since a contract is awarded by competitive tender. On the other hand, in the inter-city segment, the customer determines whether a bus route is economically viable by choosing whether or not to buy tickets. T Ltd sets the ticket prices but will be affected by customer behavior or feedback. T Ltd is exposed to passenger revenue-risk, as it sets prices which customers may or may not choose to pay.

Operating Segment provides information that makes the financial statements more useful to investors. In making the investment decisions, investors and creditors consider the returns they are likely to make on their investment. This requires assessment of the amount, timing and uncertainty of the future cash flows of T Ltd as well as of management's stewardship of T Ltd's resources. How management derives profit is therefore relevant information to an investor.

Inappropriately aggregating segments reduces the usefulness of segment disclosures to investors. Ind AS 108 requires information to be disclosed that is not readily elsewhere in the financial statements, therefore it provides additional information which aids an investor's understanding of how the business operates and

In T Ltd.'s case, if the segments are aggregated, then the increased profits in segment

2 will hide the decreased profits in segment 1. However, the fact that profits have sharply declined in segment 1 would be of interest to investors as it may suggest that future cash flows from this segment are at risk.

#### Question 11 (Illustration)

X Ltd. has identified the following business components.

Segment	Revent	ue (₹)	Profit (₹)	Assets (₹)
	External	Internal	`	• • •
Pharma	97,00,00	Nil	20,00,00	55,00,00
FMCG	0	4,00,00	0	0
	Ni1	0	2,50,000	25,00,00
				0
Ayurveda	3,00,000	Ni1	2,00,000	4,00,00
Others	8,00,000	41,00,0	5,50,000	0
Total for the entity	1,08,00,000	00	30,00,00	6,00,00
•		45,00,00	0	0
		0 ′		90,00,00
				0

Which of the segments would be reportable as per the criteria prescribed in Ind AS108?

#### Answer 11

Quantitative thresholds are calculated below:

Segments	Pharm	FMCG	Ayurved	Other
	a		a	s
% segment sales to total	63.40	2.61	1.96	32.0
sales	66.67	8.33	6.67	3
% segment profit to total	61.11	27.7	4.44	18.3
profits		8		3
% segment assets to total				6.67
assets				

Segment Pharma would separately reportable since they meet all three size criteria, though any one criteria is required. FMCG segment does not satisfy the revenue and profit test but does satisfy the asset test. So it would be separately reportable. Ayurveda segment does not meet any threshold. It may not be classified as reportable segment.

An entity may combine information about operating segments that do not meet the quantitative thresholds with information about other operating segments that do not meet the quantitative thresholds to produce a reportable segment only if the operating segments have similar economic characteristics and share a majority of the aggregation criteria.

If the total external revenue reported by operating segments constitutes less than 75% of the entity's revenue, additional operating segments should be identified as reportable segments (even if they do not meet the criteria) until at least 75% of the entity's revenue is included in reportable segments.

#### Note

- External revenue of reportable segments must be  $\geq 75\%$  of total external revenue of the entity.
- Operating segments that do not meet any of the quantitative thresholds may be considered reportable, and separately disclosed, if information about the segment

 $^{P_{age}}910$ 

is useful to users.

#### Question 12 (Illustration)

An entity has branches in different parts of the country – catering to different customers and selling local made products (a product of one region is not sold in any other region). No region or product contributes more than 5% to total revenue of the entity. Discuss how many segments are reportable?

#### Answer 12

Under the quantitative threshold, external revenue of reportable segments must be ≥ 75% of total external revenue of the entity. Considering above case, minimum 15 operating segments need to be reportable (75% [threshold] / 5% {revenue}).

#### Question 13 (Illustration)

GH Ltd. has four distinct operating segments. The management of GH is concerned as it is unsure on how common costs be reasonably allocated to different operating segments. They intend to allocate management charges, interest costs of internal funding, cost of management of properties and pension costs. Whether such costs need to conform to the accounting policies as used to prepare the financial statements?


#### Answer 13

Ind AS 108 does not prescribe any specific basis but suggests that a reasonable basis to be used in allocation of common costs. Here, it may not be reasonable to allocate management charges to most profitable segment. However, it may be reasonable to charge interest costs of internal funding on the basis of actual usage over time, even if majority of funds are used for running a loss-making segment.

A reasonable manner of allocation of above costs could be:

**Management Charges**: These may be allocated based on Net Assets invested or Revenue earned by the segments. It needs to be understood if there is an operating segment which is yet to earn revenue, it would fail to have any costs being allocated. **Interest costs**: As mentioned above, these may be allocated on the basis of actual usage and time.

**Cost of management of properties:** Based on value of property used at each segment. **Pension costs:** Based on salary expenses of each segment.



 $_{\rm age}912$ 

## Chapter 11 Accounting and Reporting of Financial Instruments

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Atte	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
mpts	21	21	21	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
			Q18,									
			Q21,				Q16,			Q24,		Q1,
			Q22,		Q20,		Q17,			Q25,		Q19,
	Q36,		Q23,	Q33,	Q27,	Q26,	Q38,			Q31,		Q40,
MTP	Q37		Q34	Q35	Q28	Q29	Q39	Q50	Q30	Q32		Q54
	Q5,		Q6,				Q4,	Q2,	Q8,			
PYP	Q51	Q7	Q49	Q10		Q47	Q52	Q53	Q48		Q3	
								Q12,				
					Q9,		Q11,	Q43,			Q14,	
RTP	Q13			Q46	Q15		Q41	Q44			Q42	Q45

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

Company Z is engaged in the business of importing oil seeds for further processing as well as trading purposes. It enters into the following types of contracts as on 1 October 20X1:

Particulars	Contract 1	Contract 2	Contract 3		
Nature of Contract	Import of oil seeds from a foreign supplier	Purchase of oil seeds from a domestic producer / supplier	Contract to sell oil seeds on the commodity exchange		
Quantity and rate	100 MT at USD 400 per MT to be delivered as on 31 March 20X2	50 MT at `30,000 per MT to be delivered as on 31 January 20X2	50 MT at USD 450 per MT, maturing as on 15 January 20X2		
Net settlement clause included in the contract		Yes	Yes		
Net settlement in practice for similar contracts	1	Yes – company Z has net settled some of the domestic purchase contracts. However, these instances constitute only 1 per cent of the total domestic purchase contracts in value. The remaining contracts are settled by	derivative contracts to hedge the risks on		

approximately 30 per cent of the value of total import contracts.	taking delivery of oil seeds which are used for further processing.	purchase contracts

Company Z is required to determine if the contracts entered into for purchase and sale of oil seeds are derivatives within the scope of lnd AS 109 or are executory contracts outside the scope of lnd AS 109.
(MTP 8 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 1

#### Contract 1:

The following factors indicate that this contract does not meet the 'own use' exemption:

- The contract permits net settlement, and
- There is a past practice of a significant proportion (30 per cent in this illustration) of similar contracts being settled on a net basis either in cash or by sale of the oil seeds prior to delivery/shortly after taking delivery.

Therefore, this contract would fall within the scope of lnd AS 109 and should be recognised as a derivative instrument as on 1 October 20X1. The contract would be in the nature of a forward contract to buy 100 MT of oil seeds as on 31 March 20X2 at USD 400 per MT. Company Z would have to recognise the fair value changes (based on change in forward purchase rate) on this contract in the statement of profit and loss at each reporting date.

#### Contract 2

Contract 2 also permits net settlement in cash. Further, there have been some instances of similar domestic purchase contracts being settled net in cash in the past. However, these have been infrequent in nature and insignificant in proportion to the total value of similar contracts (i.e.1 percent in this illustration).

Company Z is in the practice of taking delivery of the oil seeds purchased under similar contracts and using them for further processing in its plants.

This indicates that the domestic purchase contract meets the criteria for the 'own-use' exemption and should be considered as an executory contract.

Therefore, this contract would not fall within the scope of Ind AS 109.

#### **Contract 3**

This contract is in the nature of a derivative contract transacted on a commodity exchange and is required to be net settled in cash on maturity. Therefore, this derivative contract would be covered by lnd AS 109 and required to be classified and measured at FVTPL

#### Question 2

Weak Limited, which is a fully owned subsidiary company of Strong Limited approached Strong Limited for an interest free loan for mitigation of its financial difficulties. Strong Limited provided the loan to Weak Limited on the following terms & conditions:

Nature of loan	Interest Free
Amount of loan	`60,00,000

914

Date of disbursement of loan	1st April, 2021
Loan period	3 years
Loan repayable by Weak Ltd.	On 31st March, 2024
Market rate of interest for similar loan	8% (both for holding and subsidiary) per annum
P.V. factor of `1 at the end of 3rd year at 8% per annum is	

Assuming that there are no transaction costs, you are required to pass necessary accounting entries in the books of Weak Limited for all the three years. (PYP 5 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 2

Accounting in the books of Weak Ltd (Subsidiary)

Date	Particulars	Amount	Amount
	On the date of loan		
1.4.2021	Bank A/c Dr.	60,00,000	
	To Loan from Strong Ltd. (Payable)		47,62,800
	To Equity (Deemed capital contribution from ABC Ltd.) (Being the loan taken from Strong Ltd. recognised at fair value)		12,37,200
	At the end of Year 1		
31.3.2022	Interest expense (Finance cost) Dr.	3,81,024	
	To Loan from Strong Ltd. (Payable) (Being interest expense recognised)		3,81,024
	At the end of Year 2		
31.3.2023	Interest expense (Finance cost) Dr.	4,11,506	
	To Loan from Strong Ltd. (Payable) (Being interest expense recognised)		4,11,506
	At the end of Year 3		
31.3.2024	Interest expense (Finance cost) Dr.	4,44,670*	
	To Loan from Strong Ltd. (Payable) (Being interest expense recognised)		4,44,670
	On repayment of loan		

<sup>age</sup>915

31.3.2024	Loan from Strong Ltd. (Payable) Dr.	60,00,000	
	To Bank A/c (Being loan repaid by Weak Ltd.)		60,00,000

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation.

#### **Working Notes:**

- 1. Present Value of Loan =  $^{\circ}60,00,000 \times 0.7938 = ^{\circ}47,62,800$
- 2. Amortisation table for computation of interest:

Year end	Opening balance (1)	Interest @ 8% (2)	Repayment (3)	Closing balance (1) + (2) - (3)
1	47,62,800.00	3,81,024.00	-	51,43,824.00
2	51,43,824.00	4,11,506.00	-	55,55,330.00
3	55,55,330.00	4,44,670.00*	60,00,000.00	-

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation.

#### Question 3

ENG Ltd. has developed model to measure the expected credit loss based on the lifetime expected credit loss model. Accordingly, the company has estimated the following provisioning matrix:

	Curren t	1-30 days past due	31-60 days past due	61-90 days past due	More than 90 days past due
Default Rate	0.3%	1.6%	3.6%	6.6%	10.6%

The Company has a portfolio of trade receivables of  $\overline{\xi}$  6 crores as on 31st March, 2022 and operates in only one geographical region. The customer base of the company consists of large number of small clients and trade receivables are categorized by common risk characteristics that are representative of customer's abilities to pay all amounts due as per the contractual terms.

The trade receivables do not have significant financing component. The above provision matrix is based on its historically observed default rate over the expected life of the trade receivables and is adjusted for forward looking estimate. The company has asked you to suggest whether the above system of making the provision for the expected credit loss is in accordance with the applicable Ind AS? If yes, then determine the expected credit loss for the Trade Receivables outstanding as on 31st March. 2022 on the following basis:

	Curren	1-30 days past due		61-90 days past due	More than 90 days past due
% of Trade Receivables	50%	25%	13%	8%	4%

(PYP 5 Marks Nov 22)

#### Answer 3

To determine the expected credit losses for the portfolio, ENG Ltd. should use a

provision matrix. The provision matrix will be based on its historical observed default rates over the expected life of the trade receivables and shall be adjusted for forward-looking estimates. At every reporting date the historical observed default rate shall be updated and changes in the forward-looking estimates shall be analysed. In this case, it is forecast that economic conditions will deteriorate over the next year. Therefore, as per para 5.5.15 of Ind AS 109, the loss allowance for trade receivables shall be measured at an amount equal to lifetime expected credit losses. On that basis, ENG Ltd. estimates the provision matrix.

The trade receivables from the large number of small customers amount to ₹

6,00,00,000 and are measured using the provision matrix:

0,00,00,000 and are me	Provision % age	Gross carrying amount	Default rate	credit loss allowance (Gross carrying amount x
	ъ	C = ₹ 6	d	lifetime expected credit loss rate) e = c x d
а	В	crore x b	u	e – c x a
		₹		₹
Current	50%	3,00,00,000	0.3%	₹ 90,000
1–30 days past due	25%	1,50,00,000	1.6%	₹ 2,40,000
31-60 days past due	13%	78,00,000	3.6%	₹ 2,80,800
61–90 days past due	8%	48,00,000	6.6%	₹ 3,16,800
More than 90 days				
past due	4%	24,00,000	10.6%	₹ 2,54,400
		6,00,00,000		₹ 11,82,000

#### Question 4

X Ltd. issues ` 1.5 crore convertible bonds on 1st April, 2018. The bonds have a life of 8 years and a face value of ` 10 each and offer interest @ 5.5% p.a. payable at the end of each financial year.

Bonds are issued at their face value and each bond can be converted into one ordinary share of X Ltd. at any time in the next eight years.

Companies of a similar risk profile have recently issued debt with similar terms, without the option for conversion, at a rate of 7% p.a.

You are required to:

- (i) Provide the journal entries from financial year 2018-2019 to financial year 2021-2022;
- (ii) Calculate the interest expenses across all eight years of the life of the convertible bonds;
- (iii) Give the accounting entries if the holders of the bonds elect to convert the bonds to ordinary shares at the end of the fourth year (after receiving interest for the fourth year). (PYP 14 Marks May '22)

#### Answer 4

(a) (i)	Journal Entries				
		Dr. (`)	Cr. (`)		
1 <sup>st</sup> April, 2018					
Bank A/c	Dr.	1,50,00,00			
		0			

To Convertible bonds A/c (liability) (Refer W.N.)

,age 917

1,36,56,0

		75
To Convertible bonds A/c (equity) (Refer W.N.)		13,43,925
(Being recognition of convertible bonds at the date		, ,
of issuance into liability and equity components)		
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2019		
Interest expense A/c Dr.	9,55,925	
To Bank A/c		8,25,000
To Convertible bonds A/c (liability)		1,30,925
(Being interest expense recorded at market rate of 7% and actual interest paid @ 5.5%)		
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2020		
Interest expense A/c Dr.	9,65,090	
To Bank A/c		8,25,000
To Convertible bonds A/c (liability)		1,40,090
(Being interest expense recorded at market rate of 7% and actual interest paid @ 5.5%)		
31st March, 2021		
Interest expense A/c Dr.	9,74,896	
To Bank A/c		8,25,000
To Convertible bonds A/c (liability)		1,49,896
(Being interest expense recorded at market rate of 7% and actual interest paid @ 5.5%)		
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2022		
Interest expense A/c Dr.	9,85,389	
To Bank A/c		8,25,000
To Convertible bonds A/c (liability)		1,60,389
(Being interest expense recorded at market rate of 7% and actual interest paid @ 5.5%)		

### (ii) Table showing computation of interest expense at market rate and actual interest outflow @ 5.5%

Year	Date		Opening bond liability	Actual interest outflow @ 5.5%	Interes t expense @ 7%	Increase in liabilit y	Closing bond liability
			a	b = 1.5 cr x 5.5%	c = a x 7%	d = c-b	e = a+d
0	1 <sup>st</sup> Apri	1, 2018					1,36,56,07 5
1	31st 2019	March,	1,36,56,07 5	8,25,000	9,55,925	1,30,92 5	1,37,87,00 0
2	31st 2020	March,	1,37,87,00	8,25,000	9,65,090	1,40,09 0	1,39,27,09 0
3	31 <sup>st</sup> 2021	March,	1,39,27,09	8,25,000	9,74,896	1,49,89 6	1,40,76,98 6
4	31st	March,	1,40,76,98	8,25,000	9,85,389	1,60,38	1,42,37,37

age 918

	2022		6			9	5
5	31st	March,	1,42,37,37	8,25,000	9,96,616	1,71,61	1,44,08,99
	2023	,	5			6	1
6	31st	March,	1,44,08,99	8,25,000	10,08,629	1,83,62	1,45,92,62
	2024	,	1			9	0
7	31st	March,	1,45,92,62	8,25,000	10,21,483	1,96,48	1,47,89,10
	2025	,	0			3	3
8	31st	March,	1,47,89,10	8,25,000	10,35,897	2,10,89	1,50,00,00
	2026	,	3		*	7	0

<sup>\*</sup>Difference of `660 (10,35,897 -10,35,237) is due to rounding off

(iii) When holders of the bonds elect to convert the bonds to ordinary shares at the end of the fourth year (after receiving their interest payments), the entries would be:

	Dr. (`)	Cr. (`)
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2022		
Convertible bonds A/c (liability) Dr	. 1,42,37,37 5	
Convertible bonds A/c (equity) Dr	. 13,43,925	
To Ordinary share capital A/c		1,55,81,30 0
(Being bonds converted into ordinar shares of X Ltd.)	у	

#### Working Note:

Computation of equity and liability component of convertible bond at 7% market rate

Tate	
	•
Present value of principal to be received at the end of eight year discounted at 7% (1,50,00,000 x 0.582)	87,30,000
Annuity of annual interest discounted at 7% for 8 years $(1,50,00,000 \times 5.5\% \times 5.971)$	49,26,075
Total present value (a)	1,36,56,075
Equity component (balancing figure) (a-b)	13,43,925
Total proceeds received from issuance of convertible bonds (b)	1,50,00,000

#### Question 5

Jakob Ltd. entered into a contract for lease of machinery with Jason Ltd. on 1.1.2018. The initial term of the lease is 6 years with a renewal option of further 2 years.

- The annual payments for initial term and renewal term are `2,80,000 and `3,50,000 respectively.
- The annual lease payment will increase based on the annual increase in the CPI at the end of the preceding year. For example, the payment due on 1.1.2019 will be based on the CPI available at 31.12.2018.
- Jakob Ltd.'s incremental borrowing rate at the lease inception date and as at 1.1.2021 is 8% and 10% respectively and the CPI at lease commencement date and as at 1.1.2021 is 250 and 260 respectively.

- At the lease commencement date, Jakob Ltd. did not think that it will be a
  viable option to renew the lease but in the first quarter of 2021, Jakob Ltd. made
  some major changes in the retail store which increases its economic life by five
  years.
- Jakob Ltd. determined that it would only recover the cost of the improvements if it exercises the renewal option, creating a significant economic incentive to extend
- Jakob Ltd. asked your opinion whether remeasurement of lease is required in the first quarter of 2021. (10 Marks Dec '21)

#### Answer 5

Since in the first quarter of 2021, Jakob Ltd. is reasonably certain that it will exercise its renewal option, it is required to re-measure the lease in the first quarter of 2021.

### The following table summarizes information pertinent to the lease remeasurement:

Re-measured lease term	5 years (3 years remaining in the initial term plus 2 years in the renewal period)
Jakob Ltd.'s incremental borrowing rate on the	10%
re-measurement date	
CPI available on the re-measurement date	260
Right-of-use asset immediately before the re-	` 6,99,019 (Refer note
measurement	2)
Lease liability immediately before the re-	7,79,417 (Refer note
measurement	2)

#### Procedure to re-measure the lease liability:

To re-measure the lease liability, Jakob Ltd. would first calculate the present value of the future lease payments for the new lease term (using the updated discount rate of 10%).

Since the initial lease payments were based on a CPI of 250, the CPI has increased by 4% [{(260-250)/250} x 100]. As a result, Jakob Ltd. would increase the future lease payments by 4%.

### Computation of present value of the future lease payments based on an updated CPI of 260:

		Year					
	4	5	6	7	8	1	
Lease payment	2,91,20	2,91,20	2,91,20	3,64,00	3,64,00	16,01,6	
	0	0	0	0	0	00	
Discount @	1	0.909	0.826	0.751	0.683		
10%							
Present value	2,91,20	2,64,70	2,40,53	2,73,36	2,48,61	13,18,4	
	0	1	1	4	2	08	

# Calculation of the adjustment to the lease liability on re-measurement by comparing the recalculated and original lease liability balances on the remeasurement date:

Revised lease liability	13,18,408
Original lease liability	(7,79,417)
Adjustment to the lease liability on re-measurement	5,38,991

Based on above calculations, it is clear that re-measurement of lease is required and accordingly adjustment to lease liability and ROU asset is required in the first quarter

of 2021.

Journal entry to adjust the lease liability

			J
ROU Asset	Dr.	5,38,99	
To Lease liability		1	5,38,99
(Being lease liability and RO measurement)	U asset adji	usted on acco	ount of re-

#### Working Notes:

#### 3. Calculation of ROU asset before the date of re-measurement

Year	Lease	Present	Present value of
beginning	Payment	value factor	lease payments (A
	(A)	@ 8% (B)	x B = C
1	2,80,000	1.000	2,80,000
2	2,80,000	0.926	2,59,280
3	2,80,000	0.857	2,39,960
4	2,80,000	0.794	2,22,320
5	2,80,000	0.735	2,05,800
6	2,80,000	0.681	1,90,680
Lease liability	as at the com	mencement	13,98,040
date			

Or

(2,80,000 x Sum of PV (4.993) @ 8% for 5 years = 13,98,040)

4. Calculation of Lease Liability and ROU asset at each year end

As per the information given in the third bullet point at page 10, it is inferred that annual lease payments are due at the beginning of the year. Hence, it can be inferred that the annual lease payment of 2021 had been paid on 1.1.2021. Accordingly lease liability considered for the purpose of remeasurement would be of 5 th, 6th, 7th and 8th year only i.e. for 4 years. However, since remeasurement has been decided in the first quarter of 2021, ROU asset balance before remeasurement will be after depreciation of 3 years i.e. till 2020.

Based on the above contention, following alternative solution is also possible:

Yea		Lease Liability				ROU a	sset
r	Initi	Leas	Interes	Closin	Initi	Depreciati	Closin
	al	е	t	g	al	on for 6	g
	valu	paymen	expens	balanc	Valu	years	balanc
	е	ts	е	е	е		e
			@ <b>8</b> %				
	а	b	c = (a-b) x	d = a-			

126

			8%	b+c			
1	13,98,0	2,80,00	89,443	12,07,48	13,98,0	2,33,007	11,65,03
	40	0		3	40		3
2	12,07,4	2,80,00	74,199	10,01,68	11,65,0	2,33,007	9,32,026
	83	0		2	33		
3	10,01,6	2,80,00	57,735	7,79,417	9,32,02	2,33,007	6,99,019
	82	0			6		
4	7,79,41				6,99,01		
	7				9		

Since in the first quarter of 2021, Jakob Ltd. is reasonably certain that it will exercise its renewal option, it is required to re-measure the lease in the first quarter of 2021.

### The following table summarizes information pertinent to the lease remeasurement:

measurement.	
Re-measured lease term	4 years (2 years remaining in the initial term plus 2 years in the renewal period)
Jakob Ltd.'s incremental borrowing	10%
rate on the	
re-measurement date	
CPI available on the re-measurement date	260
Right-of-use asset immediately before the	` 6,99,019 (Refer note
re-measurement	2)
Lease liability immediately before the re-	5,39,370 (Refer note
measurement	2)

#### Procedure to re-measure the lease liability:

To re-measure the lease liability, Jakob Ltd. would first calculate the present value of the future lease payments for the new lease term (using the updated discount rate of 10%).

Since the initial lease payments were based on a CPI of 250, the CPI has increased by 4% [{(260-250)/250} x 100]. As a result, Jakob Ltd. would increase the future lease payments by 4%.

### Computation of present value of the future lease payments based on an updated CPI of 260:

		Year			
	5	6	7	8	1
Lease payment	2,91,200	2,91,200	3,64,000	3,64,000	13,10,400
Discount @	1	0.909	0.826	0.751	
10%					
Present value	2,91,200	2,64,701	3,00,664	2,73,764	11,30,329

# Calculation of the adjustment to the lease liability on re-measurement by comparing the recalculated and original lease liability balances on the re-measurement date:

Revised lease liability	11,30,329
Original lease liability	(5,39,370)
Adjustment to the lease liability on re-measurement	5,90,959

Based on above calculations, it is clear that re-measurement of lease is required and accordingly adjustment to lease liability and ROU asset is required in the first quarter of 2022.

Journal entry to adjust the lease liability

			<i>J</i>
ROU Asset	Dr.	5,90,95	
To Lease liability		9	5,90,95 9
(Being lease liability and ROU a measurement)	asset adjuste	d on accoun	t of re-

#### **Working Notes:**

#### 3. Calculation of ROU asset before the date of re-measurement

	-		
Year	Lease	Present	Present value of
beginning	Payment	value factor	lease payments
	(A)	@ 8% (B)	$(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C})$
1	2,80,000	1.000	2,80,000
2	2,80,000	0.926	2,59,280
3	2,80,000	0.857	2,39,960
4	2,80,000	0.794	2,22,320
5	2,80,000	0.735	2,05,800
6	2,80,000	0.681	1,90,680
Lease liabili	·	t date	13,98,040
Lease liabili commencen	·	t date	13,98

Or

#### $(2,80,000 \times \text{sum of PV } (4.993) @ 8\% \text{ for 5 years} = 13,98,040)$

4.	Calculation of Lease	Lial	bility a	and	<b>ROU</b>	asset	at each	vear e	nd

Year			Lease Liabili	ity	ROU asset		
	Initial	Lease	Interest	Closing	Initial	Depreciatio	Closing
	value	payment	expense	balance	Value	n for 6	balance
		S	@ 8%			years	
	a	b	c = (a-b) x	d = a-b+c			
			8%				
1	13,98,04	2,80,000	89,443	12,07,48	13,98,04	2,33,007	11,65,03
	0			3	0		3
2	12,07,48	2,80,000	74,199	10,01,68	11,65,03	2,33,007	9,32,02
	3			2	3		6
3	10,01,68	2,80,000	57,735	7,79,417	9,32,02	2,33,007	6,99,01
	2				6		9
4	7,79,417	2,80,000	39,953	5,39,370	6,99,01		
					9		
5	5,39,370		·				

#### Question 6

On 1st October, 2017 Axe Limited issues preference shares to B Limited for a consideration of `18 lakh. The holder has an option to convert these preference shares to a fixed number of equity instruments of the issuer any time up to a period of 4 years. If the holder does not exercise the option, the preference shares are redeemable at the end of 4 years. The preference shares carry a fixed coupon of 5.5% per annum and is payable every year. The prevailing market rate for similar preference shares without the conversion feature is 8% per annum. Axe Limited has an early redemption option to prepay the instrument at `20 lakh and on 30th

### September, 2020, it exercised that option. The interest rate has changed on that date.

At that time, Axe Limited could have issued a 1 year (that is maturity 30th September, 2021) non-convertible instrument at 6%. Calculate the value of liability and equity components at the date of initial recognition. Also give amortization schedule. (Limit discounting factor to 3 decimal places for calculation purpose). (PYP 5 Marks July 21)

#### Answer 6

The values of the liability and equity components are calculated as follows:

Present value of principal payable at the end of 4 years (`	` 13,23,000
18,00,000 discounted at 8% for 4 years i.e. ` 18,00,000 x	
0.735)	
Present value of interest payable in arrears for 4 years	
(`99,000 (`18,00,000 x 5.5%) discounted at 8% for	
each of 4 years (i.e.	` 3,27,888
^ 99,000 x 3.312))	
Total financial liability	` 16,50,888
Consideration amount	(`18,00,000)
Residual – equity component	`1,49,112

Therefore, equity component = fair value of compound instrument, say, `18,00,000 less financial liability component i.e. `16,50,888 = `1,49,112.

The amortisation schedule of the instrument is set out below:

Dates	Cash flows	Finance cost at effective	Liability
		interest rate	
1 <sup>st</sup> October 2017	18,00,000	-	16,50,88 8
30 <sup>th</sup> September 2018	(99,000)	1,32,071	16,83,95 9
30 <sup>th</sup> September 2019	(99,000)	1,34,717	17,19,67 6
30 <sup>th</sup> September 2020	(99,000)	1,37,574	17,58,25 0
30 <sup>th</sup> September 2021	(18,99,000)	1,40,750*	-

<sup>\*</sup>Note: The difference in amount of finance cost is due to approximation of discounting factor to 3 decimal places.

#### Question 7

Jewels Ltd. entered into a transaction to purchase 1,000 gms of platinum on 15th January, 2020. The transaction provides for a price payable which is equal to market value of 1,000 gms of platinum on 15th April 2020 and shall be settled by issue of such number of equity shares as is required to settle the aforementioned transaction, at a price of `100 per share on 15th April 2020. Whether this is to be classified as liability or equity as on 31st March 2020 as per Ind AS 109?

You are required to explain with reasons. (PYP 5 Marks, Jan 21)

#### Answer 7

There is a contract for purchase of 1,000 gms of platinum whose consideration varies

476

in response to changing value of platinum. Analysing this contract as a derivative with all three of the following characteristics:

- (a) Value of contract changes in response to change in market value of platinum;
- (b) There is no initial net investment
- (c) It will be settled at a future date, i.e. 15<sup>th</sup> April 2020. Since the above criteria are met, this is a derivative contract.

Now, a derivative contract that is settled in own equity other than exchange of fixed amount of cash for fixed number of shares is classified as 'liability'. In this case, since the contract results in issue of variable number of shares based on transaction price to be determined in future, hence, this shall be classified as 'derivative financial liability' as per Ind AS 109.

#### Question 8

Vedika Ltd. issued 80,000 8% convertible debentures of Rs. 100 each on 1st April, 2015. The debentures are due for redemption on 31st March, 2019 at a premium of 20%, convertible into equity shares to the extent of 50% and balance to be settled in cash to the debenture holders. The interest rate on equivalent debentures without conversion right was 12%. The conversion to equity qualifies as fixed for fixed.

You are required to separate the debt and equity components at the time of issue and show the accounting entries in Vedika Ltd.'s books at initial recognition only. The following present values of Rupee 1 at 8% and 12% are provided for a period of 5 years.

Interest rate	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Years 5
8%	0.923	0.853	0.789	0.731	0.677
12%	0.887	0.788	0.701	0.625	0.557

(PYP 10 Marks, Nov 19)

#### Answer 8

Computation of debt component of convertible debentures on 1st April, 2015

Particulars	Amount
	(Rs.)
Present value of principal amount repayable after 4	
years	
(A) 80,00,000 x 50% x 120% x 0.625 (12% discount	30,00,000
factor)	
(B) Present value of interest [8,00,000 x 80% x 3.001]	
(4 years cumulative 10% discount factor)	19,20,640
Total present value of debt component (A) + (B)	49,20,640
Issue proceeds from convertible debentures	80,00,000
Value of equity component	30,79,360

Journal entry at initial recognition

Particulars	Dr.	Cr.
	Amount	Amount
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)

Bank A/c	Dr.	80,00,0	
To 8% Debentures A/c (liabili	ty	00	49,20,6
component) To 8% Debenture	es A/c		40
(equity component)			30,79,3
(Being disbursement recorded at	fair		60
value)			

**Note:** The question has been solved on the basis of the discounting factors given in the question.

#### Question 9

NAV Limited granted a loan of Rs. 120 lakh to OLD Limited for 5 years @ 10% p.a. which is Treasury bond yield of equivalent maturity. But the incremental borrowing rate of OLD Limited is 12%. In this case, the loan is granted to OLD Limited at below market rate of interest. Ind AS 109 requires that a financial asset or financial liability is to be measured at fair value at the initial recognition. Should the transaction price be treated as fair value? If not, find out the fair value. What is the accounting treatment of the difference between the transaction price and the fair value on initial recognition in the book of NAV Ltd.?

Present value factors at 12%:

Year	1	2	3	4	5
PVF	0.892	0.797	0.712	0.636	0.567

(RTP 4 Marks Nov'18)

#### Answer 9

Since the loan is granted to OLD Ltd at 10% i.e below market rate of 12%. It will be considered as loan given at off market terms. Hence the Fair value of the transaction will be lower from its transaction price & not the transaction price.

#### Calculation of fair value

Yea r	Future cash flow (in lakh)	Discounting factor @ 12%	Present value (in lakh)
1	12	0.892	10.704
2	12	0.797	9.564
3	12	0.712	8.544
4	12	0.636	7.632
5	120+12=132	0.567	74.844
			111.288

The fair value of the transaction be Rs. 111.288 lakh.

Since fair value is based on level 1 input or valuation technique that uses only data from observable markets, difference between fair value and transaction price will be recognized in Profit and Loss as fair value loss i.e Rs. 120 lakh– Rs. 111.288 lakh= Rs. 8.712 lakh.

Note: One may also calculate the above fair value by the way of annuity on interest amount rather than separate calculation.

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Many examinees fumbled in providing the accounting treatment for the difference between transaction price and the fair value of the loan on initial recognition.

Page 926

#### Question 10

S Limited issued redeemable preference shares to its Holding Company -H Limited. The terms of the instrument have been summarized below. Analyze the given situation, applying the guidance in Ind AS 109 'Financial Instruments', and account for this in the books of H Limited.

decount for this in the books of A Limited.		
Nature	Non-cumulative redeemable	
	preference shares	
Repayment	Redeemable after 3 years	
Date of Allotment	1 <sup>st</sup> April 2015	
Date of Repayment	31 <sup>st</sup> March 2018	
Total Period	3 Years	
Value of Preference Shares issued	5,00,00,000	
Dividend Rate	0.0001% Per Annum	
Market rate of interest	12% Per Annum	
Present value factor	0.7118	

#### (PYP 8 Marks May'18)

#### Answer 10

### 1. Analysis of the financial instrument issued by S Ltd. to its holding company H Ltd.

Applying the guidance in Ind AS 109, a 'financial asset' shall be recorded at its fair value upon initial recognition. Fair value is normally the transaction price. However, sometimes certain type of instruments may be exchanged at off market terms (ie, different from market terms for a similar instrument if exchanged between market participants).

For example, a long-term loan or receivable that carries no interest while similar instruments if exchanged between market participants carry interest, then fair value

for such loan receivable will be lower from its transaction price owing to the loss of interest that the holder bears. In such cases where part of the consideration given or received is for something other than the financial instrument, an entity shall measure the fair value of the financial instrument.

In the above case, since S Ltd has issued preference shares to its Holding Company– H Ltd, the relationship between the parties indicates that the difference in transaction price and fair value is akin to investment made by H Ltd. in its subsidiary. This can further be substantiated by the nominal rate of dividend i.e. . 0.0001% mentioned in the terms of the instrument issued.

#### Computations on initial recognition:

#### Rs.

Transaction value of the Redeemable preference shares	5,00,00,000
Less: Present value of loan component @ 12% (5,00,00,000	(3,55,90,000)
x .7118)	
Investment in subsidiary	1,44,10,000

Subsequently, such preference shares shall be carried at amortized cost at each reporting date as follows:

Date	Opening Balance	Interest @ 12%	Closing balance
1st April, 2015	3,55,90,000	-	3,55,90,000
31st March, 2016	3,55,90,000	42,70,800	3,98,60,800
31st March, 2017	3,98,60,800	47,83,296	4,46,44,096
31st March, 2018	4,46,44,096	53,55,904*	5,00,00,000

<sup>\*</sup> Rs.  $4,46,44,096 \times 12\% = Rs. 53,57,292$ . The difference of Rs. 1,388 (Rs. 53,57,292 -

Rs. 53,55,904) is due to approximation in present value factor.

2. In the books of H Ltd. Journal Entries to be done at every reporting date

Date	Particulars	Amoun	Amount
1st April, 2015	Investment (Equity portion)	1,44,10,00	
	Redeemable Preference Shares	3,55,90,00	
	To Bank		5,00,00,00
	(Being initial recognition of transaction recorded)		
31st March, 2016	Redeemable Preference Shares	42,70,800	
	To Interest income		42,70,800
	(Being interestincome on loan component recognized)		
31st March, 2017	Redeemable Preference Shares	47,83,296	
	To Interest income		47,83,296
	(Being interest income on loan component recognized)		
31st March, 2018	Redeemable Preference Shares	53,55,904	
	To Interest income		53,55,904
	(Being interest income on loan component recognized)		
31st March, 2018	Bank	5,00,00,00	
	To Redeemable Preference Shares		5,00,00,000
	(Being settlement of transaction done at the end of the third year)		

#### Question 11

On 1 st April, 2X01, Entity X issued a 10% convertible debenture with a face value of `1,000 maturing on 31st March, 2X11. The debenture is convertible into ordinary shares of Entity X at a conversion price of `50 per share. Interest is payable yearly in cash. On 1st April, 2X02, to induce the holder to convert the convertible debenture promptly, Entity X reduces the conversion price to `40 if the debenture is converted before 1st June, 2X02 (ie, within 60 days). The market price of Entity X's ordinary shares on the date the terms are amended is `80 per share. How will the revised terms be accounted? (RTP May '22)

#### Answer 11

The fair value of the incremental consideration paid by Entity X is calculated as follows:

Number of ordinary shares to be is under amended terms	sued to debe	enture holders			
Particulars					
Face value		1,000			
New conversion price		40 per share			
Number of ordinary shares to be issued	d to	1,000 / `40			
25	5 Shares debe	nture holders under			
amended terms					
Number of ordinary shares to be is	sued to debe	enture holders			
under original terms	T				
Face value		1,000			
Original conversion price	,	50 per share			
Number of ordinary shares to be	1,000 / `	20 Shares			
issued to debenture holders under	50				
original terms		5 Shares			
Number of additional shares to be					
issued to debenture holders under					
amended terms					
Value of additional shares upon conversion (to be recognised as					
loss in P&L)					
5 shares x `80 per share		400			

#### **Question 12**

In an arm's length transaction, Entity X buys 10,000 convertible preference shares in Company Z for cash payments of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  40,000, with  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  25,000 payable immediately and  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  15,000 payable in two years. The market rate of annual interest for a two-year loan to the entity would be 6%. Explain the accounting treatment for the said transaction. (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 12

Since payment of ₹ 15,000 is deferred for two years, the fair value of the consideration given for the shares is equal to ₹ 25,000 plus the present value of ₹ 15,000. The present value of ₹ 15,000 deferred payment is ₹ 13,350 (₹ 15,000 ÷ 1.062). Entity X will initially measure the shares purchased at ₹ 38,350 (i.e., ₹ 25,000 + ₹ 13,350). Since this transaction took place at an arm's length, this is considered to be fair value for initial recognition in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

The difference between the ₹ 40,000 cash paid out and the ₹ 38,350, i.e. ₹ 1,650, will be recognised as interest expense in profit or loss over the two year period of deferred

Page 929

payment.

#### Question 13

A company, AB Ltd. holds investments in subsidiaries and associates. In its separate financial statements, AB Ltd. wants to elect to account its investments in subsidiaries at

cost and the investments in associates as financial assets at fair value through profit or loss (FVTPL) in accordance with Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments.

Whether AB Limited can carry investments in subsidiaries at cost and investments in associates in accordance with Ind AS 109 in its separate financial statements? (RTP Nov '21)

#### Answer 13

Paragraph 10 of Ind AS 27 'Separate Financial Statements' inter-alia provides that, when an entity prepares separate financial statements, it shall account for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates either at cost, or in accordance with Ind AS 109 'Financial Instruments' in its separate financial statements. Further, the entity shall apply the same accounting for each category of investments.

It may be noted that although the 'category' is used in number of Standards, it is not defined in any of the Ind AS. It seems that subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures would qualify as separate categories. Thus, the same accounting policies are applied for each category of investments - i.e. each of subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures. However, paragraph 10 of Ind AS 27 should not be read to mean that, in all circumstances, all investments in associates are one 'category' of investment and all investments in joint ventures or an associate are one 'category' of investment. These categories can be further divided into sub-categories provided the sub-category can be defined clearly and objectively and results in information that is relevant and reliable. For example, an investment entity parent can have investment entity subsidiary (at fair value through profit or loss) and non-investment entity subsidiary (whose main purpose is to provide services that relate to the investment entity's investment activities) as separate categories in its separate financial statements.

In the present case, investment in subsidiaries and associates are considered to be different categories of investments. Further, Ind AS 27 requires to account for the investment in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates either at cost, or in accordance with Ind AS 109 for each category of Investment. Thus, AB Limited can carry its investment in subsidiaries at cost and its investments in associates as financial assets in accordance with Ind AS 109 in its separate financial statements.

#### **Ouestion 14**

On 1st April, 20X1 an entity granted an interest-free loan of  $\hat{}$  5,00,000 to an employee for a period of three years. The market rate of interest for similar loans is 5% per year.

On 31st March, 20X3, because of financial difficulties, the employee asked to extend the interest-free loan for further three years. The entity agreed. Under the restructured terms, repayment will take place on 31st March, 20X7. However, the entity only expects to receive a payment of `2,50,000, given the financial difficulty of the employee.

Explain the accounting treatment on initial recognition of loan and after giving effect of the changes in the terms of the loan as per Ind AS 109. Support your answer with Journal entries and amortised cost calculation, as on the date of initial recognition and on the date of change in terms of loan. (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 14

As the loan is not at a market interest rate, hence it is not recorded at the transaction price of 5,00,000. Instead, the entity measures the loan receivable at the present value of the future cash inflows discounted at a market rate of interest available for a similar loan. The present value of the loan receivable (financial asset) discounted at 5% per year is  $5,00,000 \div (1.05)3 = 4,32,000$ . Therefore, 4,32,000 is recorded on initial measurement of the loan receivable. This amount will accrete to 5,00,000 over the three-year term using the effective interest method.

The difference between 5,00,000 and 4,32,000 i.e., 68,000 is accounted for as prepaid employee cost in accordance with Ind AS 19 'Employee Benefits', which will be deferred and amortised over the period of loan on straight line basis.

The journal entries on initial recognition are:

		,	•
Loan receivable (financial asset)	Dr.	4,32,000	
Prepaid employee cost (asset)	Dr.	68,000	
To Cash / Bank (financial asset)	(Being loan granted to the		5,00,00
employee recognised)			0

The amortised cost calculation at 1st April, 20X1 is as follows:

Period	Carrying amount at 1st April		Cash inflow	Carrying mount at 31st March
20X1-20X2	4,32,000	21,600	_	4,53,600
20X2-20X3	4,53,600	22,680	_	4,76,280
20X3-20X4	4,76,280	23,720*	(5,00,000)	_

<sup>\*</sup>Difference of `94 (`23,814 - `23,720) is due to approximation.

On 31st March, 20X3, the carrying amount of the loan receivable is `4,76,280.

As a result of that modification, on 31st March, 20X3, the present value of estimated cash flows is recalculated to be  $^{\circ}$  2,05,750 using the asset's original effective interest rate of 5% ( $^{\circ}$  2,50,000  $\div$  (1.05)4).

An impairment loss of 2,70,530 (4,76,280 - 2,05,750) is recognised in profit or loss in the year 20X2-20X3.

The carrying amount of the loan receivable may be reduced directly, as follows:

· · · · - <b>, , ,</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
	`	•
Profit or loss - impairment loss Dr.	2,70,530	
To Loan receivable		2,70,530
(Being impairment loss recognised)		

In this case, the loan receivable will be measured at ₹ 2,05,750 at 31st March, 20X3. The revised amortised cost calculation at 1st April, 20X3 is as follows:

Period	Carrying mount at 1st	Interest at 5% (the original effective	Cash inflow	Carrying amount at
	April	interest rate)		31st March
20X3-20X4	2,05,750	10,288	_	2,16,038
20X4-20X5	2,16,038	10,802	_	2,26,840
20X5-20X6	2,26,840	11,342		2,38,182
20X6-20X7	2,38,182	11,818	(2,50,000)	

### Question 15

On 1st April 2017, A Ltd. lent Rs. 2 crores to a supplier in order to assist them with their expansion plans. The arrangement of the loan cost the company Rs. 10 lakhs. The company has agreed not to charge interest on this loan to help the supplier's short -term cash flow but expected the supplier to repay Rs. 2.40 crores on 31st March 2019. As calculated by the finance team of the company, the effective annual rate of interest on this loan is 6.9% On 28th February 2018, the company received the information that poor economic climate has caused the supplier significant problems and in order to help them, the company agreed to reduce the amount repayable by them on 31st March 2019 to Rs. 2.20 crores. Suggest the accounting entries as per applicable Ind AS.(RTP Nov 18)

#### Answer 15

The loan to the supplier would be regarded as a financial asset. The relevant accounting standard Ind AS 109 provides that financial assets are normally measured at fair value.

If the financial asset in which the only expected future cash inflows are the receipts of principal and interest and the investor intends to collect these inflows rather than dispose of the asset to a third party, then Ind AS 109 allows the asset to be measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method.

If this method is adopted, the costs of issuing the loan are included in its initial carrying value rather than being taken to profit or loss as an immediate expense. This makes the initial carrying value Rs. 2,10,00,000.

Under the effective interest method, part of the finance income is recognised in the current period rather than all in the following period when repayment is due. The income recognised in the current period is Rs. 14,49,000 (Rs. 2,10,00,000 x 6.9%)

In the absence of information regarding the financial difficulties of the supplier the financial asset at 31st March, 2018 would have been measured at Rs. 2,24,49,000 (Rs. 2,10,00,000 + 14,49,000). The information regarding financial difficulty of the supplier is objective evidence that the financial asset suffered impairment at 31st March 2018.

The asset is re-measured at the present value of the revised estimated future cash inflows, using the original effective interest rate. Under the revised estimates the closing carrying amount of the asset would be Rs. 2,05,79,981 (Rs. 2,20,00,000 / 1.069). The reduction in carrying value of Rs. 18,69,019 (Rs. 2,24,49,000 – 2,05,79,981) would be charged to profit or loss in the current period as an impairment of a financial asset.

Therefore, the net charge to profit or loss in respect of the current period would be Rs. 4,20,019 (18,69,019 – 14,49,000).

#### Question 16

Wheel Co. Limited borrowed `50,00,00,000 from a bank on 1st April, 20X1. The original terms of the loan were as follows:

- Interest rate: 11%
- Repayment of principal in 5 equal instalments
- Payment of interest annually on accrual basis
- Upfront processing fee: `58,70,096
- Effective interest rate on loan: 11.50%

On 31st March, 20X3, Wheel Co. Limited approached the bank citing liquidity issues in meeting the cash flows required for immediate instalments and renegotiated the terms of the loan with banks as follows:

• Interest rate 15%

786<sub>996</sub>937

#### Repayment of outstanding principal in 10 equal instalments starting 31 st March, 20X4

• Payment of interest on an annual basis

Record journal entries in the books of Wheel Co. Limited till 31st March, 20X4, after giving effect of the changes in the terms of the loan on 31st March, 20X3. (MTP 14 Marks March '22, MTP 14 Marks Oct '18)

#### Answer 16

On the date of initial recognition, the effective interest rate of the loan shall be computed keeping in view the contractual cash flows and upfront processing fee paid. The following table shows the amortisation of loan based on effective interest rate:

Date	Cash flows (principal )	Cash flows (interest and	Amortised cost (opening + interest – cash flows)	Interest @ EIR (11.50%
1 April,	(500,000,000	<b>fee)</b> 5,870,096	494,129,904	)
20X1	(300,000,000)	3,870,090	494,129,904	
31 Mar	100,000,000	55,000,000	395,954,843	56,824,939
20X2				
31 Mar	100,000,000	44,000,000	297,489,650	45,534,807
20X3 31 Mar	100,000,000	33,000,000	198,700,959	34,211,310
20X4	100,000,000	33,000,000	190,700,939	34,211,310
31 Mar	100,000,000	22,000,000	99,551,570	22,850,610
20X5	, ,			
31 Mar	100,000,000	11,000,000	(O)	11,448,430
20X6				

a. 1st April, 20X1

Particulars		Dr. Amount (`)	Cr. Amount
Bank A/c	Dr.	494,129,9	
To Loan from bank A/c		04	494,129,9
(Being loan recorded at its fair valu	ie less		04
transaction costs on the initial reco	ognition date)		

b. 31st March, 20X2

Particulars	Dr.	Cr.	
		Amount	Amount
		(`)	(`)
Loan from bank A/c	Dr.	98,175,0	
Interest expense (profit and loss)	Dr.	61	
To Bank A/c		56,824,9	155,000,0
(Being first instalment of loan and pa	yment of	39	00
interest accounted for as an adjustme			
amortised cost of loan)			

c. 31st March, 20X3 – Before Wheel Co. Limited approached the bank –

Particulars Dr. Cr.

Amount Amount

Interest expense (profit and loss) Dr.	45,534,8	
To Loan from bank A/c To Bank A/c	07	1,534,8
(Being loan payment of interest recorded by the		07
Company before it approached the Bank for		44,000,0
deferment of principal)		00
1 ,		

Upon receiving the new terms of the loan, Wheel Co. Limited, re-computed the carrying value of the loan by discounting the new cash flows with the original effective interest rate and comparing the same with the current carrying value of the loan. As per requirements of Ind AS 109, any change of more than 10% shall be considered a substantial modification, resulting in fresh accounting for the new

Date	Cash flows			PV of cash
	(principal	outflow @15%		flows
31 Mar 20X3	(400,000,000)			
31 Mar 20X4	40,000,000	60,000,000	0.8969	89,686,09 9
31 Mar 20X5	40,000,000	54,000,000	0.8044	75,609,80 5
31 Mar 20X6	40,000,000	48,000,000	0.7214	63,483,09 2
31 Mar 20X7	40,000,000	42,000,000	0.6470	53,053,54 2
31 Mar 20X8	40,000,000	36,000,000	0.5803	44,100,06 8
31 Mar 20X9	40,000,000	30,000,000	0.5204	36,429,13 3
31 Mar 20Y0	40,000,000	24,000,000	0.4667	29,871,42 2
31 Mar 20Y1	40,000,000	18,000,000	0.4186	24,278,90 3
31 Mar 20Y2	40,000,000	12,000,000	0.3754	19,522,23 5
31 Mar 20Y3	40,000,000	6,000,000	0.3367	15,488,49
Present Value (PV)	of new contractua	l cash flows disco	ounted 45	1,522,79
at 11.50%				7 480 65

Present Value (PV) of new contractual cash flows discounted	451,522,79
at 11.50%	1
Carrying amount of loan	397,489,65
	O
Difference	54,033,141
Percentage of carrying amount	13.59%

Note: Calculation above done on full decimal, though in the table discount factor is limited to 4 decimals.

Considering a more than 10% change in PV of cash flows compared to the carrying value of the loan, the existing loan shall be considered to have been extinguished and the new loan shall be accounted for as a separate financial liability. The accounting entries for the same are included below:

#### d. 31st March, 20X3 - Accounting for extinguishment

Particulars	Dr. Amount	Cr. Amount (`)
-------------	------------	----------------

		(*)	
Loan from bank (old) A/c	Dr.	397,489,650	
Finance cost (profit and loss) I	Dr.	2,510,350	
To Loan from bank (new) A/c			400,000,000
(Being new loan accounted for	at its		
principal amount in absence o	f any		
transaction costs directly related to	o such		
loan and correspondingly a de-recog	gnition		
of existing loan)			

#### e. 31st March, 20X4

Particulars	Dr. Amount	Cr. Amount
Loan from bank A/c Dr.	40,000,0	
Interest expense (profit and loss)	00	
Dr.	60,000,0	100,000,000
To Bank A/c	00	
(Being first instalment of the new loan		
and payment of interest accounted for as		
an adjustment to the amortised cost of		
loan)		

#### Question 17

A Company invested in Equity shares of another entity on 15 th March for 20,000. Transaction Cost = 400 (not included in 20,000)

Fair Value on Balance Sheet date i.e. 31st March, 20X1 = `24,000. Pass necessary Journal Entries when Financial Asset is accounted as FVTPL. (MTP 4 Marks March '22)

#### Answer 17

Date	Particulars		(`)	(`)
15/3/20X1	Investment A/c Transaction	Dr.	20,000	
	Cost A/c	Dr.	400	
	To Bank			20,400
31/3/20X1	Investment A/c	Dr.	4,000	
	To Fair Value Gain A/c			4,000
31/3/20X1	P&L A/c	Dr.	400	
	To Transaction Cost A/c			400
31/3/20X1	Fair Value Gain A/c	Dr.	4,000	
	To P&L A/c			4,000

#### Question 18

XYZ issued Rs. 4,80,000 4% redeemable preference shares on 1st April 20X5 at par. Interest is paid annually in arrears, the first payment of interest amounting Rs. 19,200 was made on 31st March 20X6 and it is debited directly to retained earnings by accountant. The preference shares are redeemable for a cash amount of Rs. 7,20,000 on 31st March 20X8. The effective rate of interest on the redeemable preference shares is 18% per annum. The proceeds of the issue have been recorded within equity by accountant as this reflects the legal nature of the

Page **93**5

shares. Board of directors intends to issue new equity shares over the next two years to build up cash resources to redeem the preference shares.

Mukesh, Accounts manager of XYZ has been told to review the accounting of aforesaid issue. CFO has asked from Mukesh the closing balance of preference shares at the year end. If you were Mukesh, then how much balance you would have shown to CFO on analysis of the stated issue. Prepare necessary adjusting journal entry in the books of account, if required. Analyze. (MTP 5 Marks March '21, RTP May 20)

#### Answer 18

The preference shares provide the holder with the right to receive a predetermined amount of annual dividend out of profits of the company, together with a fixed amount on redemption. Whilst the legal form is equity, the shares are in substance debt. The fixed level of dividend is interest and the redemption amount is equivalent to the repayment of a loan.

Under Ind AS 32 'Financial Instruments: Presentation' these instruments should be classified as financial liabilities because there is a contractual obligation to deliver cash. The preference shares should be accounted for at amortized cost using the effective interest rate of 18%.

Year	1 April, 20X5	Interest @18%	Paid at 4%	31 March, 20X6
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
20X5-20X6	480,00	86,40	(19,200	547,20
	0	0	)	0

Accordingly, the closing balance of Preference shares at year end i.e. 31st March, 20X6 would be Rs. 5,47,200. Accountant has inadvertently debited interest of Rs. 19,200 in the profit and loss. However, the interest of Rs. 86,400 should have been debited to profit and loss as finance charge. Similarly, amount of Rs. 5,47,200 should be included in borrowings (non-current liabilities) and consequently, Equity should be reduced by Rs. 480,000 proceeds of issue and Rs. 67,200 (86,400 – 19,200) i.e. total by 5,47,200.

Necessary adjusting journal entry to rectify the books of accounts will be:

	Rs.	Rs.
Preference share capital (equity) (Balance sheet)	4,80,000	
Dr.		
Finance costs (Profit and loss) Dr.	86,400	
To Equity – Retained earnings (Balance sheet)		19,200
To Preference shares (Long-term Borrowings) (Balance sheet)		5,47,200

#### Question 19

Company A, an Indian company whose functional currency is `, enters into a contract to purchase machinery from an unrelated local supplier, company B. The functional currency of company B is also `. However, the contract is denominated in USD, since the machinery is sourced by company B from a US based supplier. Payment is due to company B on delivery of the machinery.

Key terms of the contract:

Contractual features	Details
Contract / order date	9th September
	20X1

Delivery / payment date	31st December 20X1
Purchase price	USD 1,000,000
USD / `Forward rate on 9th September, 20X1 for 31st December, 20X1 maturity	67.8
USD / `Spot rate on 9th September, 20X1	66.4
USD / `Forward rates for 31st December, on:	
30th September	67.5
31st December (spot rate)	67.0

Company A is required to analyse if the contract for purchase of machinery (a capital asset) from company B contains an embedded derivative and whether this should be separately accounted for on the basis of the guidance in Ind AS 109. Also give necessary journal entries for accounting the same. (8 Marks Sep '23)

## Answer 19

The USD contract for purchase of machinery entered into by company A includes an embedded foreign currency derivative due to the following reasons:

- The host contract is a purchase contract (non-financial in nature) that is not classified as, or measured at FVTPL.
- The embedded foreign currency feature (requirement to settle the contract by payment of USD at a future date) meets the definition of a stand-alone derivative it is akin to a USD
  - `forward contract maturing on 31st December, 20X1.
- USD is not the functional currency of either of the substantial parties to the contract (i.e., neither company A nor company B).
- Machinery is not routinely denominated in USD in commercial transactions around the world. In this context, an item or a commodity may be considered 'routinely denominated' in a particular currency only if such currency was used in a large majority of similar commercial transactions around the world. For example, transactions in crude oil are generally considered routinely denominated in USD. A transaction for acquiring machinery would not qualify for this exemption.
- USD is not a commonly used currency for domestic commercial transactions in the economic environment in which either company A or B operate. This exemption generally applies when the business practice in a particular economic environment is to use a more stable or liquid foreign currency (such as the USD), rather than the local currency, for a majority of internal or cross-border transactions, or both. Here, companies A and B are companies operating in India and the purchase contract is an internal/domestic transaction. USD is not a commonly used currency for internal trade within this economic environment and therefore the contract would not qualify for this exemption.

Accordingly, company A is required to separate the embedded foreign currency derivative from the host purchase contract and recognise it separately as a derivative.

The separated embedded derivative is a forward contract entered into on 9 th September, 20X1, to exchange USD 10,00,000 for `at the USD / `forward rate of `67.8 on 31st December, 20X1. Since the forward exchange rate has been deemed to be the market rate on the date of the contract, the embedded forward contract has a fair value of zero on initial recognition.

Subsequently, company A is required to measure this forward contract at its fair value, with changes in fair value recognised in the statement of profit and loss. The

following is the accounting treatment at quarter-end and on settlement:

Accounting treatment:

Date	Particulars	Amount (`)	Amount (`)
09-Sep-X1	On initial recognition of the forward contract		
	(No accounting entry recognised since initial fair value of the forward contract is considered to be nil)	Nil	Nil
30-Sep-X1	Fair value change in forward contract		
	Derivative asset (company B)  Dr . [(67.8-67.5) x10,00,000]	3,00,000	
	To Profit or loss		3,00,000
31-Dec-X1	Fair value change in forward contract		
	Forward contract asset (company B)  Dr	5,00,000	
	. [{(67.8-67) x 10,00,000} - 3,00,000]		
	To Profit or loss		5,00,000
31-Dec-X1	Recognition of machinery acquired and on settlement		
	Property, plant and equipment Dr	6,78,00,00	
	. (at forward rate)		
	To Forward contract asset (company B)		8,00,000
	To Creditor (company B) / Bank		6,70,00,00 0

#### Question 20

Discuss the need of hedge accounting and types of various hedges? (MTP 8 Marks Oct '18)

#### Answer 20

Hedge accounting may be required due to accounting mismatches in:

- **Measurement** some financial instruments (non-derivative) are not measured at fair value with changes being recognised in the statement of profit and loss whereas all derivatives, which commonly are used as hedging instruments, are measured at fair value
- **Recognition** unsettled or forecast transactions that may be hedged are not recognised on the balance sheet or are included in the statement of profit and loss only in a future accounting period, whereas all derivatives are recognised at inception.

Recognition mismatches include the hedge of a contracted or expected but not yet recognised sale, purchase or financing transaction in a foreign currency and future committed variable interest payments.

## Types of hedge accounting

## 1. Fair value hedge accounting model

- A fair value hedge seeks to offset the risk of changes in the fair value of an existing asset or liability or an unrecognised firm commitment that may give rise to a gain or loss being recognised in the statement of profit and loss.
- A fair value hedge is a hedge of the exposure to changes in fair value of a recognised asset or liability or an unrecognised firm commitment, or an identified portion of such an asset, liability or firm commitment, that is attributable to a particular risk and could affect the statement of profit and loss.

## 2. Cash flow hedge accounting model

- A cash flow hedge seeks to offset certain risks of the variability of cash flows in respect of an existing asset or liability or a highly probable forecast transaction that may be reflected in the statement of profit and loss in a future period.
- A cash flow hedge is a hedge of the exposure to variability in cash flows that (i) is attributable to a particular risk associated with a recognised asset or liability (such as all or some future interest payments on variable rate debt) or a highly probable forecast transaction or a firm commitment in respect of foreign currency and (ii) could affect the statement of profit and loss.

## 3. Net investment hedging

• An investor in a non-integral operation is exposed to changes in the carrying amount of the net assets of the foreign operation (the net investment) arising from the translation of those assets into the reporting currency of the investor.

## Question 21

A Ltd. (the 'Company') makes a borrowing for Rs. 10 lacs from RBC Bank, with bullet repayment of Rs. 10 lacs and an annual interest rate of 12% per annum. Now, Company defaults at the end of 5th year and consequently, a rescheduling of the payment schedule is made beginning 6th year onwards. The Company is required to pay Rs. 1,300,000 at the end of 6th year for one time settlement, in lieu of defaults in payments made earlier.

- (a) Does the above instrument meet definition of financial liability? Please explain.
- (b) Analyze the differential amount to be exchanged for one-time settlement (MTP 4 Marks April '21)

## Answer 21

- a) A Ltd. has entered into an arrangement wherein against the borrowing, A Ltd. has contractual obligation to make stream of payments (including interest and principal). This meets definition of financial liability.
- b) Let's compute the amount required to be settled and any differential arising upon one time settlement at the end of 6th year
  - Loan principal amount = Rs. 10,00,000
  - Amount payable at the end of 6th year = Rs. 12,54,400 [10,00,000 x 1.12 x 1.12 (Interest for 5th & 6th year in default plus principal amount)]
  - One time settlement = INR 13,00,000
  - Additional amount payable = Rs. 45,600

The above represents a contractual obligation to pay cash against settlement of a financial liability under conditions that are unfavorable to A Ltd. (owing to additional amount payable in comparison to amount that would have been paid without one time settlement). Hence the rescheduled arrangement meets definition of 'financial

liability'.

#### Question 22

ABC Bank gave loans to a customer – Target Ltd. that carry fixed interest rate @ 10% per annum for a 5 year term and 12% per annum for a 3 year term. Additionally, the bank charges processing fees @1% of the principal amount borrowed. Target Ltd borrowed loans as follows:

10 lacs for a term of 5 years

8 lacs for a term of 3 years.

Compute the fair value upon initial recognition of the loan in books of Target Ltd. and how will loan processing fee be accounted? (4 Marks April '21)

#### Answer 22

The loans from ABC Bank carry interest @ 10% and 12% for 5 year term and 3 year term respectively. Additionally, there is a processing fee payable @ 1% on the principal amount on date of transaction. It is assumed that ABC Bank charges all customers in a similar manner and hence this is representative of the market rate of interest.

Amortized cost is computed by discounting all future cash flows at market rate of interest. Further, any transaction fees that are an integral part of the transaction are adjusted in the effective interest rate and recognized over the term of the instrument. Hence loan processing fees shall be reduced from the principal amount to arrive the value on day 1 upon initial recognition.

Fair value (5-year term loan) =  $10,00,000 - 10,000 (1\% \times 10,00,000) = 9,90,000$ Fair value (3-year term loan) =  $8,00,000 - 8,000 (1\% \times 8,00,000) = 7,92,000$ .

Now, effective interest rate shall be higher than the interest rate of 10% and 12% on 5 year loan and 3 year loan respectively, so that the processing fees gets recognized as interest over the respective term of loans.

#### **Question 23**

An entity purchases a debt instrument with a fair value of Rs. 1,000 on 15th March, 20X1 and measures the debt instrument at fair value through other comprehensive income. The instrument has an interest rate of 5% over the contractual term of 10 years, and has a 5% effective interest rate. At initial recognition, the entity determines that the asset is not a purchased or original credit-impaired asset.

On 31st March 20X1 (the reporting date), the fair value of the debt instrument has decreased to Rs. 950 as a result of changes in market interest rates. The entity determines that there has not been a significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition and that ECL should be measured at an amount equal to 12 month ECL, which amounts to Rs. 30.

On 1st April 20X1, the entity decides to sell the debt instrument for Rs. 950, which is its fair value at that date.

Pass journal entries for recognition, impairment and sale of debt instruments as per Ind AS 109. Entries relating to interest income are not to be provided.

(MTP 5 Marks March '21, RTP Nov '19)

#### Answer 23

On Initial recognition

Debit	Credit
(Rs.)	(Rs.)

040

Financial asset-FVOCI	Dr.	1,00	
To Cash		0	1,00
10 00011			0

On Impairment of debt instrument

	Debi t (Rs.)	Credi t (Rs. )
Impairment expense (P&L) Dr.	30	_
Other comprehensive income Dr.	20	
To Financial asset-FVOCI		50

The cumulative loss in other comprehensive income at the reporting date was Rs. 20. That amount consists of the total fair value change of Rs. 50 (that is, Rs. 1,000-Rs. 950) offset by the change in the accumulated impairment amount representing 12-month ECL, that was recognized (Rs. 30).

#### On Sale of debt instrument

		Credit (Rs.)
Cash	950	
To Financial asset –FVOCI		950
Loss on sale (P&L)	20	
To Other comprehensive income		20

#### Question 24

On 1 April 2019, Shelter Ltd. issued 5,000, 8% debentures maturing on 31 March 2024. The debentures are convertible at the option of the holder into equity shares of Shelter Ltd. at a conversion price of Rs. 105 per share or redeemable at face value of Rs. 100 each. Interest is payable annually in cash. At the date of issue, Shelter Ltd. could have issued non-convertible debt with a 5 year term bearing a coupon interest rate of 12%. On 1 April 2022, the convertible debentures have a fair value of Rs. 5,25,000. Shelter Ltd. makes a tender offer to debenture holders to repurchase the debentures for Rs. 5,25,000, which the holders accepted. At the date of repurchase, Shelter Ltd. could have issued non-convertible debt with a 2 year term bearing a coupon interest rate of 9%.

Show accounting entries in the books of Shelter Ltd. for recording of equity and liability component:

- (i) At the time of initial recognition and
- (ii) At the time of repurchase of the convertible debentures.

The following present values of Rs. 1 at 8%, 9% & 12% are supplied to you:

Interest	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Rate					
8%	0.926	0.857	0.794	0.735	0.681
9%	0.917	0.842	0.772	0.708	0.650
12%	0.893	0.797	0.712	0.636	0.567
	1				

(MTP 10 Marks Oct '20, MTP 12 Marks Apr'19, MTP 10 Marks Aug'18)At the time of initial recognition

 $_{\text{Page}}941$ 

(i)	Rs.
Liability component	
Present value of 5 yearly interest payments of Rs. 40,000,	
discounted at 12% annuity (40,000 x 3.605)	1,44,200
Present value of Rs. 5,00,000 due at the end of 5 years,	
discounted at 12%, compounded yearly (5,00,000 x 0.567)	2,83,500
	4,27,700
Equity component	
(Rs. 5,00,000 – Rs. 4,27,700)	72,300
Total proceeds	5,00,000

**Note:** Since Rs. 105 is the conversion price of debentures into equity shares and not the redemption price, the liability component is calculated @ Rs. 100 each only.

**Journal Entry** 

	Rs.	Rs.
Bank Dr.	5,00,00	
To 8% Debentures (Liability component)	0	4,27,70 0
To 8% Debentures (Equity component)		72,300
(Being Debentures are initially recorded a fair value)		

## (ii) At the time of repurchase of convertible debentures

The repurchase price is allocated as follows:

-	Carryi	Fair Value	Differenc
	ng Value @	<b>@</b> 9%	е
	12% D-	De	De
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Liability component			
Present value of 2 remaining yearly interest payments of Rs. 40,000, discounted at 12% and 9%, respectively Present value of Rs. 5,00,000 due in 2 years, discounted at 12% and 9%, compounded yearly,	67,600	70,360 4,21,000	
respectively	,	, ,	
Liability component	4,66,100	4,91,360	(25,260)
Equity component	72,300	33,640*	38,660
Total	5,38,400	5,25,000	13,400

\*(5,25,000 - 4,91,360) = 33,640

## **Journal Entries**

	Rs.	Rs.
8% Debentures (Liability component) Dr.	4,66,100	
Profit and loss A/c (Debt settlement expense) Dr.	25,260	4,91,36
To Bank A/c		0

age 942

(Being the repurchase of the liability component			
recognised)			
8% Debentures (Equity component) Dr.	72,30		
To Bank A/c	0	33,640	
To Reserves and Surplus A/c		38,660	
(Being the cash paid for the equity component recognised)			

#### Question 25

Blueberry Ltd entered into the following transactions during the year ended 31st March,20X2:

- (a) Entered into a speculative interest rate option costing Rs. 10,000 on 1stApril,20X0 to borrow Rs. 6,000,000 from Exon Bank commencing 30th June, 20X2 for 6 months at 4%.
  - The value of the option at 31stMarch.20X2 was Rs.15,250.
- (b) Purchased 6% debentures in Fox Ltd on 1stApril,20X1 (their issue date) for Rs. 150,000 as an investment. Blueberry Ltd. intends to hold the debentures, until their redemption at a premium, in 5 years' time. The effective rate of interest of the bond is 8%.
- (c) Purchased 50,000 shares in Cox Ltd on 1stOctober,20X2 for Rs.3.50 each as an investment. The share price on 31st March, 20X2 was Rs. 3.75.

Show the accounting treatment and relevant extracts from the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 of transactions related to financial instruments. Blueberry Ltd designates financial assets at fair value through Profit or loss only when this is unavoidable. (MTP 10 Marks May '20)

#### Answer 25

Balance Sheet as at 31 <sup>st</sup>	March, 20X2	(Extracts)
--------------------------------------	-------------	------------

Statement of Profit and Loss (Extracts)	
	0
Shares in Cox Ltd. (W.N.3)	1,87,50
	0
6% Debentures in Fox Ltd. (W.N.2)	1,53,00
Interest rate option (W.N.1)	15,250
Financial Assets:	Rs.

Finance Income:

Gain on interest rate option (W.N.1)	5,250
Effective interest on 6% Debentures (W.N.2)	12,000

## **Working Notes:**

## Interest rate option

This is a derivative and so it must be treated as at fair value through profit or loss

Particulars Particulars	Rs.	Rs.
Initial measurement (at cost)		
Financial Asset Dr.	10,000	
To Cash A/c		10,000

At 31st March, 20X2

Particulars	Rs.	Rs.
(Re-measured to fair value)		
Financial Asset (Rs. 15,250 - Rs.10,000)	5,250	
Dr.		
To Profit and loss A/c		5,250

Financial Assets (Rs.10,000 + Rs. 5,250) = Rs.15,250 (Balance Sheet)
Gain on interest option = Rs.5,250 (Statement of Profit and

Loss)

#### 2. Debentures

On the basis of information provided, this can be treated as a held-to-maturity investment

Particulars	Rs.	Rs.
Initial measurement (at cost) Financial Asset Dr.	1,50,000	
To Cash A/c		1,50,000

At 31st March, 20X2 (Amortized cost)

Particulars		Rs.	Rs.
Financial Asset (Rs.1,50,000 x 8%)	Dr.	12,000	
To Finance Income			12,000

Cash (Rs. 1,50,000 x 6%)	Dr.	9,00 0	
To Financial asset			9,00
			0

Amortized cost at 31st March, 20X2

(Rs. 150,000 + Rs. 12,000 - Rs. 9,000) = Rs. 153,000 (Balance Sheet)

Effective interest on 6% debenture = Rs. 12,000 (Statement of Profit and Loss)

#### 3. Shares in Cox Ltd.

These are treated as an available for sale financial asset (shares cannot normally be held to maturity and they are clearly not loans or receivables)

Particulars	Rs.	Rs.
Initial measurement (at cost) Financial Asset (Rs.50,000 x Rs.3.50) Dr.	1,75,000	
To Cash A/c		1,75,000

At 31<sup>st</sup>March, 20X2 (Re-measured at fair value)

Particulars	Rs.	Rs.
Financial Asset [(Rs.50,000 x 3.75) - 1,75,000] Dr.	12,500	
To Other Equity A/c		12,500

Shares in Cox Ltd (Rs.1,75,000 + Rs.12,500) = Rs.1,87,500 (Balance Sheet)

#### Question 26

On 1 April 2018, an 8% convertible loan with a nominal value of Rs. 6,00,000 was issued at par. It is redeemable on 31 March 2022 also at par. Alternatively,

it may be converted into equity shares on the basis of 100 new shares for each Rs. 200 worth of loan.

An equivalent loan without the conversion option would have carried interest at 10%. Interest of Rs. 48,000 has already been paid and included as a finance cost. Present value rates are as follows:

Year End	@ 8%	@ 10%
1	0.93	0.91
2	0.86	0.83
3	0.79	0.75
4	0.73	0.68

How will the Company present the above loan notes in the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2019?(MTP 8 Marks Mar '19)

#### Answer 26

Step 1 There is an 'option' to convert the loans into equity i.e. the loan note holders do not have to accept equity shares; they could demand repayment in the form of cash

Ind AS 32 states that where there is an obligation to transfer economic benefits there should be a liability recognised. On the other hand, where there is not an obligation to transfer economic benefits, a financial instrument should be recognised as equity.

In the above illustration we have both – 'equity' and 'debt' features in the instrument. There is an obligation to pay cash – i.e. interest at 8% per annum and a redemption amount – this is 'financial liability' or 'debt component'. The 'equity' part of the transaction is the option to convert. So it is a compound financial instrument.

Step 2 Debt element of the financial instrument so as to recognise the liability is the present value of interest and principal

The rate at which the same is to be discounted, is the rate of equivalent loan note without the conversion option would have carried interest at 10%, therefore this is the rate to be used for discounting

Step 3 Calculation of the debt element of the loan note as follows:

8% Interest discounted at a rate of 10% Present Value (6,00,000 x 8%)

	<b>17</b>		DIZE	A
S. No	Year	Interest	PVF	Amount
		amount		
Year 1	2019	48,000	0.91	43,680
Year 2	2020	48,000	0.83	39,840
Year 3	2021	48,000	0.75	36,063
				1,19,583
Year 4	2022	648,000	0.68	4,40,640
Amount to be recognised as a liability		5,60,223		

Initial proceeds (6,00,000)

Amount to be recognised as equity 39,777

• In year 4, the loan note is redeemed therefore Rs. 6,00,000 + Rs. 48,000 = Rs. 6,48,000.

Step 4 The next step is to recognise the interest component equivalent to the loan that would carry if there was no option to cover. Therefore, the interest should be recognised at 10%. As on date Rs. 48,000 has been recognised in the statement of profit and loss i.e.  $6,00,000 \times 8\%$  but we have discounted the present value of future interest payments and redemption amount using discount factors of 10%, so the finance charge in the statement of profit and loss must also be recognised

at the same rate i.e. for the purpose of consistency.

The additional charge to be recognised in the income statement is calculated as: Debt component of the financial instrument Rs. 5,60,000

7	oniponionic or circ initialization initialization (1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0					
ĺ	Interest	Rs. 56,000				
	Already	(Rs.				
	statement					48,000) Rs. 8,000
	Addition	Additional charge required				

## Journal Entries for recording additional finance cost for year ended 31 March 2019

	Dr. Amount (Rs.)	Cr. Amount (Rs.)
Finance cost A/c Dr.	8,000	
To Debt component A/c		8,000
(Being interest recorded for difference between		
amount recorded earlier and that to be recorded per Ind AS 32)		

#### Question 27

On 1 January 2018, Entity X writes a put option for 1,00,000 of its own equity shares for which it receives a premium of Rs. 5,00,000. Under the terms of the option, Entity X may be obliged to take delivery of 1,00,000 of its own shares in one year's time and to pay the option exercise price of Rs. 22,000,000. The option can only be settled through physical delivery of the shares (gross physical settlement). Examine the nature of the financial instrument and how it will be accounted assuming that the present value of option exercise price is Rs. 2,00,000? (MTP 5 Marks Aug' 18, RTP Nov'21)

#### Answer 27

This derivative involves Entity X taking delivery of a fixed number of equity shares for a fixed amount of cash. Even though the obligation for Entity X to purchase its own equity shares for Rs. 22,000,000 is conditional on the holder of the option exercising the option, Entity X has an obligation to deliver cash which it cannot avoid.

As per para 23 of Ind AS 32 'Financial Instruments: Presentation', the accounting for financial instrument will be as below:

- The financial liability is recognized initially at the present value of the redemption amount, and is reclassified from equity. This would imply that a financial liability for an amount of present value of Rs. 22,000,000, say Rs. 20,000,000 will be recognised through a debit to equity. The initial premium received (Rs. 5,00,000) is credited to equity.
- Subsequently, the financial liability is measured in accordance with Ind AS 109. While a subsequent paragraph will deal with measurement of financial liabilities. The financial liability of Rs. 20,000,000 will be measured at amortised cost as per Ind AS 109 and finance cost of Rs. 2,000,000 will be recognised over the exercise period.
- If the contract expires without delivery, the carrying amount of the financial liability is reclassified to equity i e. an amount of Rs. 22,000,000 will be reclassified from financial liability to equity.

### Question 28

An asset is sold in 2 different active markets (a market in which transaction for the asset or liability takes place with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis) at different prices. An entity enters into transactions in both markets and can access the price in those markets for the asset at the measurement date.

#### In Market A:

The sale price of the asset is Rs. 26, transaction cost is Rs. 3 and the cost to transport the asset to Market A is Rs. 2 (i.e., the net amount that would be received is Rs. 21).

#### In Market B:

The sale price of the asset is Rs. 25, transaction cost is Re. 1 and the cost to transport the asset to Market B is Rs. 2 (i.e., the net amount that would be received is Rs. 22).

Determine the fair value of the asset by supporting your answer with proper reason. (MTP 4 Marks Aug '18)

#### Answer 28

If Market A is the principal market for the sale of asset (i.e., the market with the greatest volume and level of activity for the asset), the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price that would be received in that market, after taking into account transport cost of Rs. 24. The price in the principal (or most advantageous) market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs.

If neither market is the principal market for the sale of asset, the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price in the most advantageous market. The most advantageous market is the market that maximises the amount that would be received by selling the asset, after taking into account transport cost (i.e., the net amount that would be received in the respective markets). Since the entity would maximise the net amount that would be received for the asset in Market B, the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price in that market ie. sale of asset Rs. 25 less transport cost Rs. 2, resulting in a fair value measurement of Rs. 23.

#### Question 29

- (1) QA Ltd. issued 10,00,000 of 8% Long Term bond-A Series of Rs. 1 each on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2016. The bond tenure is 3 years. Interest is payable annually on 1<sup>st</sup> April each year. The investors expect an effective interest rate on the loan at 10%. QA Ltd. wants you to suggest the suitable accounting entries for the issue of these bonds as per applicable Ind AS. Consider the discounting factor 3 years, 10% discounting factor is 0.751315 and 3 years cumulative discounting factor is 2.48685.
  - (i) What is the principal value of the bond at the initial recognition at the time of issue of bond as per applicable Ind AS?
  - (ii) What is the present value of the interest payment to be recognised as part of the sale price of the bond as per applicable Ind AS?
  - (iii) What are the proceeds of the sale of the bond to be recognized at the time of initial recognition as per applicable Ind AS?
  - (iv) What is the accounting entry to be passed at the time of accounting for payment of interest for the first year?
- (2) QA Ltd. has also issued 10,00,000 of 8% Long Term Bond-B Series of Rs. 1 each on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2016. The bond tenure is 3 years. Interest is payable annually on 1<sup>st</sup> April each year. However, the bond holders of this

series are entitled to convert the bonds to shares of Rs. 1 each on the date of maturity, instead of receiving the principal repayment. Interest rate on the similar bond without conversion option is 10%. QA Ltd. has requested you to suggest the following for this type of instrument:

- (a) What is entry to be passed at the date of issuance of the bond as per applicable Ind AS?
- (b) What is entry to be passed at the date of conversion of the bond as per applicable Ind AS? (MTP 8 Marks Mar '19)

## Answer 29

(1)

- (ii) Option (C): Rs. 7,51,315
- (iii) Option (C): Rs. 1,98,948
- (iv) Option (B) : Rs. 9,50,263
- (v) Option (B): Bond Interest Expenses A/c Dr. Rs. 95,026

To Discount on Bond A/s Rs. 15,026

To Cash/Bank A/c Rs. 80,000

#### Workings for the above

Since the Effective interest rate on the loan is 10% while the Bond has been issued at 8%, the financial liability will be recognized at fair value determined as follows:

## Calculation of initial recognition amount of 8% Long term Loan Bond A Series

201100	
Particulars	Rs.
Present value of the principal repayable after 3 years (10,00,000 x .751315)	7,51,315
Present value of Interest [(10,00,000 x 8%) x 2.48685] Total Present Value of Long term Loan Bond	1,98,948 9,50,263

Interest for the first year recognized in the books as per effective interest rate method

 $= Rs.9,50,263 \times 10\% = Rs.95,026$ 

However, interest paid is @ 8% i.e. Rs.  $10,00,000 \times 8\% = Rs. 80,000$ 

(2) Option (B): Cash/Bank A/c

Rs. 10,00,000

To 8% LT Bond Series B A/c

Rs. 9,50,263

To Share Option A/c

Rs. 49,737

### Workings for the above

It is a compound instrument.

Calculation of initial recognition amount of 8% Long term Loan Bond B Series liability and equity component

Particulars	Rs.
Present value of the principal repayable after 3 years (10,00,000 x .751315)	7,51,315
Present value of Interest [(10,00,000 x 8%) x 2.48685] Total Present Value of Long Term Loan Bond B	1,98,948
Issue proceeds from convertible bond II	9,50,263
Value of equity component (II – I)	10,00,00
	0
	49,737

 $^{\circ}_{\mathrm{age}}948$ 

8% LT Bond Series B A/c

49.737

To Share Capital A/c
To Share Premium A/c

Rs. 10,00,000 Share Option A/cRs.

Rs. 10,00,000 Rs. 49,737

#### Reasoning:

As per para AG32 of Ind AS 32, on conversion of a convertible instrument at maturity, the entity derecognizes the liability component and recognizes it as equity. The original equity component remains as equity (although it may be transferred from one line item within equity to another). There is no gain or loss on conversion at maturity.

#### Question 30

Croton Limited is engaged in the business of trading commodities. The company's main asset are investments in equity shares, preference shares, bonds, non-convertible debenture (NCD) and mutual funds.

The Company collects the periodical income (i.e. interest, dividend, etc.) from the investments and regularly sells the investment in case of favorable market conditions. Such investments have been classified as non-current investments in the financial statements.

Also, the company buys and sells equity shares of companies for earning short term profits from the stock market.

The CFO of company classified all the non-current investments as Fair Value Through Other Comprehensive Income (FVTOCI) and all the current investment as Fair value Through Profit and Loss (FVTPL).

Croton Limited raised the following queries:

- (a) Can the Company classify the equity shares previously held under current investment as FVTOCI if the company decides to hold them for more than one-year (i.e. classify it as non-current)?
- (b) The Company had classified NCDs with a maturity period of less than twelve months from the reporting period as current. This has been classified as FVTPL by the CFO of the company. The Company wants to know whether these NCDs can be recognized as FVTOCI? (MTP 8 Marks Oct '19)

#### Answer 30

- a) It seems that the equity shares are acquired for the purpose of selling it in the near term and therefore are held for trading. Such investments have been appropriately classified as subsequently measured at fair value through profit or loss. Such investments in equity shares cannot be classified as subsequently measured at fair value through other comprehensive income. The option to measure investment in equity shares at fair value through other comprehensive income has to be made at initial recognition. Therefore, equity shares that were held for trading previously cannot be reclassified to fair value through other comprehensive income due to change in business model to not held for trading.
- b) In absence of contractual terms of NCDs, it is assumed that the contractual terms give rise on specified dates to cash flows that are solely payment of principal and interest on the principal outstanding. The business model also includes sales of these instruments on a regular basis. Hence, these instruments will be classified as FVTOCI. Therefore, such NCD investments shall be classified as subsequently measured at Fair Value through Other Comprehensive Income. The classification does not change based on whether the investment is current or non-current as the end of the reporting period. It seems the company has previously classified these investments at fair value

through profit or loss. The company must rectify this by reclassifying as FVTOCI.

#### Question 31

D Ltd. issues preference shares to G Ltd. for a consideration of Rs. 10 lakhs. The holder has an option to convert these preference shares to a fixed number of equity instruments of the issuer anytime up to a period of 3 years. If the option is not exercised by the holder, the preference shares are redeemed at the end of 3 years. The preference shares carry a coupon of RBI base rate plus 1% p.a.

The prevailing market rate for similar preference shares, without the conversion feature or issuer's redemption option, is RBI base rate plus 4% p.a. On the date of contract, RBI base rate is 9% p.a.

Calculate the value of the liability and equity components. (MTP 4 Marks May '20)

#### Answer 31

The values of the liability and equity components are calculated as follows:

Present value of principal payable at the end of 3 years (Rs. 10 lakhs discounted at 13% for 3 years) = Rs. 6,93,050

Present value of interest payable in arrears for 3 years (Rs. 100,000 discounted at 13% for each of 3 years) = Rs. 2,36,115

Paragraph AG31 of Ind AS 32 states that a common form of compound financial instruments is a debt instrument with an embedded conversion option, such as a bond convertible into ordinary shares of the issuer, and without any other embedded derivatives features.

The liability component = Present value of principal + Present value of Interest = Rs. 6,93,050 + Rs.2,36,115 = Rs. 9,29,165 Equity Component = Rs. 10,00,000 -Rs. 9,29,165 = Rs. 70,835

#### Question 32

KK Ltd. has granted an interest free loan of Rs. 10,00,000 to its wholly owned Indian Subsidiary YK Ltd. There is no transaction cost attached to the said loan. The Company has not finalised any terms and conditions including the applicable interest rates on such loans. The Board of Directors of the Company are evaluating various options and has requested your firm to provide your views under Ind AS in following situations:

- (i) The Loan given by KK Ltd. to its wholly owned subsidiary YK Ltd. is interest free and such loan is repayable on demand.
- (ii) The said Loan is interest free and will be repayable after 3 years from the date of granting such loan. The current market rate of interest for similar loan is 10%. Considering the same, the fair value of the loan at initial recognition is Rs.8,10,150.
- (iii) The said loan is interest free and will be repaid as and when YK Ltd. has funds to repay the Loan amount.

Based on the same, KK Ltd. has requested you to suggest the accounting treatment of the above loan in the stand-alone financial statements of KK Ltd. and YK Ltd. and also in the consolidated financial statements of the group. Consider interest for only one year on the above loan for the purpose of providing journal entries. (MTP 8 Marks Oct '20, RTP May'19)

#### Answer 32

#### Scenario (i)

Since the loan is repayable on demand, it has fair value equal to cash

056

consideration given. KK Ltd. and YK Ltd. should recognize financial asset and liability, respectively, at the amount of loan given (assuming that loan is repayable within a year). Upon, repayment, both the entities should reverse the entries that were made at the origination.

#### Journal entries in the books of KK Ltd.

At origination			
Loan to YK Ltd. A/c	Dr.	Rs.	
		10,00,000	
To Bank A/c			Rs. 10,00,000
On repayment			
Bank A/c	Dr.	Rs.	
		10,00,000	
To Loan to YK Ltd. A/c			Rs. 10,00,000

#### Journal entries in the books of YK Ltd.

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
At origination			
Bank A/c	Dr.	Rs.	
		10,00,000	
To Loan from KK Ltd. A	./c		Rs. 10,00,000
On repayment			
Loan from KK Ltd. A/c	Dr.	Rs.	
		10,00,000	
To Bank A/c			Rs. 10,00,000

In the consolidated financial statements, there will be no entry in this regard since loan receivable and loan payable will get set off.

## Scenario (ii)

Applying the guidance in Ind AS 109, a 'financial asset' shall be recorded at its fair value upon initial recognition. Fair value is normally the transaction price. However, sometimes certain type of instruments may be exchanged at off market terms (ie, different from market terms for a similar instrument if exchanged between market participants).

If a long-term loan or receivable that carries no interest while similar instruments if exchange d between market participants carry interest, then fair value for such loan receivable will be lower from its transaction price owing to the loss of interest that the holder bears. In such cases where part of the consideration given or received is for something other than the financial instrument, an entity shall measure the fair value of the financial instrument. The difference in fair value and transaction cost will treated as investment in Subsidiary YK Ltd.

Both KK Ltd. and YK Ltd. should recognise financial asset and liability, respectively, at fair value on initial recognition, i.e., the present value of Rs. 10,00,000 payable at the end of 3 years using discounting factor of 10%. Since the question mentions fair value of the loan at initial recognition as Rs. 8,10,150, the same has been considered. The difference between the loan amount and its fair value is treated as an equity contribution to the subsidiary. This represents a further investment by the parent in the subsidiary.

## Journal entries in the books of KK Ltd. (for one year)

At origination			
Loan to YK Ltd. A/c	Dr.	Rs. 8,10,150	

, age 951

Investment in YK Ltd. A/c	Dr.	Rs.	
·		1,89,850	
To Bank A/c			Rs. 10,00,000
During periods to repayment-	to recognise in	terest	
Year 1 – Charging of Interest			
Loan to YK Ltd. A/c	Dr.	Rs. 81,015	
To Interest income A/c	:		Rs. 81,015
Transferring of interest to Pro	fit and Loss		
Interest income A/c	Dr.	Rs. 81,015	
To Profit and Loss A/c			Rs. 81,015
On repayment			
Bank A/c	Dr.	Rs.	
		10,00,000	
To Loan to YK Ltd. A/c			Rs. 10,00,000
Note Interest people to be re	accuired in ata	tomout of m	mofit and loss

**Note-** Interest needs to be recognized in statement of profit and loss. The same cannot be adjusted against capital contribution recognized at origination.

Journal entries in the books of YK Ltd. (for one year)

Journal entries in the	b DOOKS O	i ik Lia. (10	or one	year
At origination				
Bank A/c	Dr.	Rs.		
		10,00,000		
To Loan from KK Ltd. A	/c		Rs. 8,	10,150
To Equity Contribution	in KK Ltd.		Rs. 1,8	89,850
A/c				
During periods to repayment-	to recogni	se interest		
Year 1				
Interest expense A/c	Dr.	Rs. 81,015		
To Loan from KK Ltd. A	/c		Rs. 8	81,015
On repayment				
Loan from KK Ltd. A/c	Dr.	Rs.		
,		10,00,000		
To Bank A/c				Rs.
·			10,0	00,000
T .1 111 . 1 C 1 1 .		. 1 . 111 1	·	-

In the consolidated financial statements, there will be no entry in this regard since loan and interest income/expense will get set off.

#### Scenario (iii)

Generally, a loan which is repayable when funds are available, cannot be stated as loan repayable on demand. Rather the entity needs to estimate the repayment date and determine its measurement accordingly by applying the concept prescribed in Scenario (iv).

In the consolidated financial statements, there will be no entry in this regard since loan and interest income/expense will get set off.

In case the subsidiary YK Ltd. is planning to grant interest free loan to KK Ltd., then the difference between the fair value of the loan on initial recognition and its nominal value should be treated as dividend distribution by YK Ltd. and dividend income by

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

This question dealt with the accounting treatment of Financial Instruments under various scenarios. Many examinees explained the accounting treatment without passing the journal entries or incomplete journal entries were provided by some of the examinees.

the parent KK Ltd.

#### Question 33

(a) On 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017, XYZ Ltd., a company incorporated in India enters into a contract to buy solar panels from Good Associates, a firm domiciled in UAE, for which delivery is due after 6 months i.e. on 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2017. The purchase price for solar panels is US\$ 50 million.

The functional currency of XYZ is Indian Rupees (INR) and of Good Associates is Dirhams.

The obligation to settle the contract in US Dollars has been evaluated to be an embedded derivative which is not closely related to the host purchase contract. Exchange rates:

- 1. Spot rate on  $1^{st}$  April 2017: USD 1 = Rs. 60
- 2. Six-month forward rate on 1st April, 2017: USD 1 = Rs. 65
- 3. Spot rate on  $30^{th}$  September, 2017: USD 1 = Rs. 66

Analyze the contract and pass the necessary journal entries. (MTP 14 Marks April '18)

#### Answer 33

### (a) This contract comprises of two components:

- Host contract to purchase solar panels denominated in Rs. i.e. a notional payment in Rs. at 6-month forward rate (Rs. 3,250 million or Rs. 325 crores)
- Forward contract to pay US Dollars and receive Rs. i.e. a notional receipt in Rs. In other words, a forward contract to sell US Dollars at Rs. 65 per US Dollar.

It may be noted that the notional Rupees payment in respect of host contract and the notional Rupees receipt in respect of embedded derivative create an offsetting position.

Subsequently, the host contract is not accounted for until delivery. The embedded derivative is recorded at fair value through profit or loss. This gives rise to a gain or loss on the derivative, and a corresponding derivative asset or liability.

On delivery XYZ records the inventory at the amount of the host contract (Rs. 325 crores). The embedded derivative is considered to expire. The derivative asset or liability (i.e. the cumulative gain or loss) is settled by becoming part of the financial liability that arises on delivery.

In this case the carrying value of the currency forward at 30th September 2017 on maturity is Rs. 50 million X (66 minus 65) = Rs. 5 crores (liability/loss). The loss arises because XYZ has agreed to sell US Dollars at `65 per US Dollar whereas in the open market, US Dollar can be sold at Rs. 66 per US Dollar.

No accounting entries are passed on the date of entering into purchase contract.

,age 953

On that date, the forward contract has a fair value of zero (refer section "option and non- option based derivatives" below).

Subsequently, say at 30th September 2017, the accounting entries are as follows:

(all Rs. in crores):

1. Loss on derivative contract 5
To Derivative liability 5
(Being loss on currency forward)

2. Inventory 325
To Trade payables (financial 325 liability)

(Being inventory recorded at forward exchange rate determined on date of contract)

3. Derivative liability 5
To Trade payables (financial liability) 5
(Being reclassification of derivative liability to trade payables upon settlement)

The effect is that the financial liability at the date of delivery is Rs. 330 crores (Rs. 325 crores + Rs. 5 crores), equivalent to US\$ 50 million at the spot rate on 30th September 2017.

Going forward, the financial liability is a US\$ denominated financial instrument. It is retranslated at the dollar spot rate in the normal way, until it is settled.

#### Question 34

Besides construction activity, Buildings & Co. Limited is also engaged in the trading of Copper. On 1st April, 20X1, it had 100 kg of copper costing Rs. 70 per kg - totalling Rs. 7000. The Company has a scheduled delivery of these 100 kgs of copper to its customer on 30 th September, 20X1 at the rate of USD 100 on that date. To protect itself from decline in currency exchange rate (USD to Rs.), the entity hedges its position by entering into currency futures contract for equivalent currency units at Rs. 76 / USD. The future contract mature on 30th September, 20X1. The management performed an assessment of hedge effectiveness and concluded that the hedging relationship qualifies for cash flow hedge accounting. The entity determines and documents that changes in fair value of the currency futures contract will be highly effective in offsetting variability in cash flow of currency exchange. On 30th September, 20X1, the entity closes out its currency futures contract. On the same day, it also sells its inventory of copper at USD 100 when the spot rate is Rs. 72 / USD.

You are required to prepare detailed working and pass necessary journal entries for the sale of copper and the corresponding hedge instrument taken by the company. Pass the journal entries as on the initial date (i.e. 1st April 20X1), first quarter end reporting (i.e. 30th June 20X1) and date of sale of copper and settlement of forward contract (i.e. 30 th September 20X1).

Assume the exchange rates as follows and yield @ 6% per annum.

Date	Future price for 30th September 20X1 delivery (Rs. / USD)
1st April, 20X1	76

30th June, 20X1	74
30th September,	71
20X1	

## (10 Marks April '21)

#### Answer 34

Calculation of discounting factor based on yield @ 6% p.a.

Calculation of discounting factor based on yield (i) 070 p.a.					
Date	Spot rate	Forward rate	Discount factor @		
	at	for 30th	<b>6</b> %		
	indicated	September	p.a. on quarter		
	date	20X1	basis		
1st April, 20X1		76	0.971		
30th June 20X1		74	0.985		
30th September, 20X1	72	71	1		

Determination of fair value change

	Determination of	iaii vaiuc	Change	
		1st April,	30th	30th
		20X1	June,	September,
			20X1	20X1
a	Nominal value in Rs. @ Rs. 76 / USD	7,600	7,600	7,600
b	Nominal value in USD (100 kg			
	for USD 100)	100	100	100
С	Forward rate for 30th September,	76	74	71
	20X1			
d	Value in Rs. (b x c)	7,600	7,400	7,100
e	Difference (a-d)	0	200	500
f	Discount factor (as calculated in	0.971	0.985	1
	the above table)			
g	Fair value (e x f)		197	500
h	Fair value change for the period		197	303*
	* 500 – 197= 303			

## **Journal Entries**

Date	Particulars		Dr.	Cr.
1st April, 20X1 30th June, 20X1	No entry as initial fair value  Future Contract To Cash Flow Hedge Equity)- OCI (Being Change in Fair Value) Instrument recognised in OC a separate component in Equ	Dr. Reserve (Other alue of Hedging I accumulated in		197
30th September, 20X1	Future Contract To Cash Flow Hedge Res Equity) - OCI (Being change in fair value o instrument recognised in OC	f the hedging	303	303
30th September,	Bank/Trade Receivable	Dr.	7,200	

20X1	To Revenue from Contrac	cts with		7,200
	Customers			
	(Being sale of 100 kgs. of cop	per for USD		
	100 recognised at spot rate of	of Rs. 72 for USD		
	1)			
30th	Cash Flow Hedge Reserve (Otl	her Equity) - OCI	500	
September,		Dr.		
20X1	To Revenue from Contracts v	with Customers		
	(Being fair value change in	forward contract		
	reclassified to profit and loss	s and recognised		
	in the line item affected by the	ne hedge item)		
30th	Bank / Cash	Dr.	500	
September,	To Future Contract			500
20X1				

#### Question 35

On 1 January 20X0, Preet Ltd. issues 10 year bonds for Rs. 10,00,000, bearing interest at 10% (payable annually on 31st December each year). The bonds are redeemable on 31 December 20X9 for Rs. 10,00,000. No costs or fees are incurred. The effective interest rate is 10%. On 1 January 20X5 (i.e. after 5 years) Preet Ltd. and the bondholders agree to a modification in accordance with which:

- the term is extended to 31 December 20Y1;
- interest payments are reduced to 5% p.a.;
- the bonds are redeemable on 31 December 20Y1 for Rs. 15,00,000; and
- legal and other fees of Rs. 1,00,000 are incurred.

Preet Ltd. determines that the market interest rate on 1 January 20 X5 for borrowings on similar terms is 11%.

Analyse whether the extinguishment accounting will apply or not as per Ind AS. If yes, determine the fair value of the modified liability and compute the gain or loss on modification. (MTP 14 Marks March '18)

#### Answer 35

(a) The repayment schedule for the original debt till the date of renegotiation is as below:

Date / year ended	Openin	Interes	Cash flows	Closing balance
	g	t		
	balanc	accrua		
	e	1		
1 January 20X0	10,00,000			10,00,000
31 December	10,00,000	1,00,000	(1,00,000)	10,00,000
20X0				
31 December	10,00,000	1,00,000	(1,00,000)	10,00,000
20X1				
31 December	10,00,000	1,00,000	(1,00,000)	10,00,000
20X2				
31 December	10,00,000	1,00,000	(1,00,000)	10,00,000
20X3				
31 December	10,00,000	1,00,000	(1,00,000)	10,00,000
20X4				

On 1 January 20X5, the discounted present value of the remaining cash flows of

956

the original financial liability is Rs. 10,00,000.

On this date, Preet Ltd. will compute the present value of:

- cash flows under the new terms i.e. Rs. 15,00,000 payable on 31 December 20Y1 and Rs. 50,000 payable for each of the 7 years ending 31 December 20Y1.
- any fee paid (net of any fee received) i.e. Rs. 1,00,000 using the original effective interest rate of 10%.

The total of these amounts to Rs. 11,13,158 (Refer Working Note). This differs from the discounted present value of the remaining cash flows of the original financial liability by 11.32% i.e. by more than 10%. Hence, extinguishment accounting applies.

The next step is to estimate the fair value of the modified liability. This is determined as the present value of the future cash flows (interest and principal), using an interest rate of 11% (the market rate at which Preet Ltd. could issue new bonds with similar terms). The estimated fair value on this basis is Rs. 958,097 (Refer Working Note). A gain or loss on modification is then determined as:

Gain (loss) = carrying value of existing liability - fair value of modified liability - fees and costs incurred i.e. Rs. 10,00,000 - Rs. 9,58,097 - Rs. 1,00,000 = Loss of Rs. 58,097

**Working Note:** 

working note:		
Year	Discount factor @ 10%	Discount factor @ 11%
1	0.909091	0.900901
2	0.826446	0.811622
3	0.751315	0.731191
4	0.683013	0.658731
5	0.620921	0.593451
6	0.564474	0.534641
7	0.513158	0.481658
Annuity	4.868418	4.712195

Amount	Discounti ng factor	Present value	ng factor	Present value
	@ 10%		@ 11%	
15,00,000	0.513158	7,69,737	0.481658	7,22,487
1,00,000		1,00,000		
50,000 for 7 years	4.868418	2,43,421	4.712195	2,35,610
		11,13,158		9,58,097
PV of original cash flows @ original EIR Difference		(10,00,00 0) 1,13,158 11.32%		

## Question 36

Which of the following would meet and not meet the definition of financial instruments and fall outside the scope of Ind AS 32?

- (1) Cash deposited in banks
- (2) Gold deposited in banks
- (3) Trade receivables
- (4) Investments in debt instruments
- (5) Investments in equity instruments
- (6) Prepaid expenses
- (7) Inter-corporate loans and deposits
- (8) Deferred revenue
- (9) Tax liability
- (10) Provision for estimated litigation losses. (MTP 4 Marks Oct '21)

#### Answer 36

Table showing classification of various items:

S. No.	Item	Classification
(1)	Cash deposited in banks	Financial Instrument
(2)	Gold deposited in banks	Not a financial instrument
(3)	Trade receivables	Financial Instrument
(4)	Investments in debt instruments	Financial Instrument
(5)	Investments in equity instruments	Financial Instrument
(6)	Prepaid expenses	Not a financial instrument
(7)	Inter-corporate loans and deposits	Financial Instrument
(8)	Deferred revenue	Not a financial instrument
(9)	Tax liability	Not a financial instrument
(10)	Provision for estimated litigation losses	Not a financial instrument

#### **Ouestion 37**

On 1 April 20X1, Sun Limited guarantees a Rs.10,00,000 loan of Subsidiary – Moon Limited, which Bank STDK has provided to Moon Limited for three years at 8%.

Interest payments are made at the end of each year and the principal is repaid at the end of the loan term.

If Sun Limited had not issued a guarantee, Bank STDK would have charged Moon Limited an interest rate of 11%. Sun Limited does not charge Moon Limited for providing the guarantee.

On 31 March 20X2, there is 1% probability that Moon Limited may default on the loan in the next 12 months. If Moon Limited defaults on the loan, Sun Limited does not expect to recover any amount from Moon Limited.

On 31 March 20X3, there is 3% probability that Moon Limited may default on the loan in the next 12 months. If Moon Limited defaults on the loan, Sun Limited does not expect to recover any amount from Moon Limited.

Analyze and provide the accounting treatment of financial guarantee along with journal entries as per Ind AS 109 in the books of Sun Ltd., on initial recognition

and in subsequent periods till 31 March 20X3. (MTP 12 Marks Nov 21, MTP 10 Marks Sep'22, 8 Marks Oct '23, RTP May'21, Old & New SM)

#### Answer 37

#### 1 April 20X1

A financial guarantee contract is initially recognised at fair value. The fair value of the guarantee will be the present value of the difference between the net contractual cash flows required under the loan, and the net contractual cash flows that would have been required without the guarantee.

Particulars Particulars	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total
	(`)	(`)	(`)	(`)
Cash flows based on interest rate of 11% (A)	1,10,00	1,10,000	1,10,000	3,30,000
Cash flows based on interest rate of 8% (B)	80,000	80,000	80,000	2,40,000
Interest rate differential (A-B)	30,000	30,000	30,000	90,000
Discount factor @ 11%	0.901	0.812	0.731	
Interest rate differential discounted at 11%	27,030	24,360	21,930	73,320
Fair value of financial guaranteed contract (at inception)				73,320

**Journal Entry** 

Odumai Encry						
Particulars	Debit (`)	Credit (`)				
Investment in subsidiary Dr.	73,32					
To Financial guarantee (liability)	0	73,32 0				
(Being financial guarantee initially recorded)						

#### 31 March 20X2

Subsequently at the end of the reporting period, financial guarantee is measured at the higher of:

- the amount of loss allowance; and
- the amount initially recognised less cumulative amortization, where appropriate. At 31 March 20X2, there is 1% probability that Moon Limited may default on the loan in the next 12 months. If Moon Limited defaults on the loan, Sun Limited does not expect to recover any amount from Moon Limited. The 12-month expected credit losses are therefore 10,000 ( $10,00,000 \times 1\%$ ).

The initial amount recognised less amortisation is `51,385 (`73,320 + `8,065(interest accrued based on EIR)) – `30,000 (benefit of the guarantee in year 1) Refer table below. The unwound amount is recognised as income in the books of Sun Limited, being the benefit derived by Moon Limited not defaulting on the loan during the period.

Year	Opening balance	EIR @ 11%	Benefits provided	Closing balance
1	73,320	8,065	(30,000)	51,385
2	51,385	5,652	(30,000)	27,037

3	27,037	2,963	(30,000)	-
---	--------	-------	----------	---

<sup>\*</sup> Difference is due to approximation

The carrying amount of the financial guarantee liability after amortisation is therefore 51,385, which is higher than the 12-month expected credit losses of 10,000. The liability is therefore adjusted to 51,385 (the higher of the two amounts) as follows:

hability is therefore adjusted to 01,000 (the	mgner of the	two amounts			
Particulars	Debit	Credit			
	(`)	(`)			
Financial guarantee (liability) Dr.	21,93				
To Profit or loss	5	21,93			
		5			
(Being financial guarantee subsequently adjusted)					

## 31 March 20X3

At 31 March 20X3, there is 3% probability that Moon Limited will default on the loan in the next 12 months. If Moon Limited defaults on the loan, Sun Limited does not expect to recover any amount from Moon Limited. The 12-month expected credit losses are therefore `30.000 (`10,00,000 x 3%).

The initial amount recognised less accumulated amortisation is `27,037, which is lower than the 12-month expected credit losses (`30,000). The liability is therefore adjusted to `30,000 (the higher of the two amounts) as follows:

Particulars		Debit	Credit	
Financial guarantee (liability) To Profit or loss (Note)	Dr.	21,38 5*	21,38	
(Being financial guarantee subsequently adjusted)				

<sup>\*</sup> The carrying amount at the end of 31 March 20X2 = 51,385 less 12-month expected credit losses of 30,000.

#### Question 38

On 1st April, 20X3, Charming Ltd issued 1,00,000 ` 10 bonds for ` 10,00,000. On 1st April, each year, interest at the fixed rate of 8% per year is payable on outstanding capital amount of the bonds (ie the first payment will be made on 1st April, 20X4). On 1st April each year (i.e from 1st April, 20X4), Charming Ltd has a contractual obligation to redeem 10,000 of the bonds at ` 10 per bond. In its statement of financial position at 31st March, 20X4. How should this be presented in the financial statements? (2 Marks March '22)

#### Answer 38

Charming Ltd must present `80,000 accrued interest and `1,00,000 current portion of the non-current bond (i.e. the portion repayable on 1st April, 20X4) as current liabilities. The `9,00,000 due later than 12 months after the end of the reporting period shall be presented as a non-current liability.

#### **Ouestion 39**

On 1st April, 20X1, S Ltd. issued 30,000 6% convertible debentures of face value of `100 per debenture at par. The debentures are redeemable at a premium of 10% on 31 st March, 20X5 or these may be converted into ordinary shares at the option of the holder. The interest rate for equivalent debentures without conversion rights would have been 10%. The date of transition to Ind AS is 1st April, 20X3. Suggest how should S Ltd. account for this compound financial instrument on the

date of transition. The present value of `1 receivable at the end of each year based on discount rates of 6% and 10% can be taken as:

End of year	6%	10%
1	0.94	0.91
2	0.89	0.83
3	0.84	0.75
4	0.79	0.68

#### (MTP 6 Marks March '22)

#### Answer 39

The carrying amount of the debenture on the date of transition under previous GAAP, assuming that all interest accrued other than premium on redemption have been paid, will be 31,50,000 [(30,000 x 100) + (30,000 x 100 x 10/100 x 2/4)]. The premium payable on redemption is being recognised as borrowing costs as per para 4(b) of AS 16 ie under previous GAAP on straight- line basis.

As per para D18 of Ind AS 101, Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation, requires an entity to split a compound financial instrument at inception into separate liability and equity components. If the liability component is no longer outstanding, retrospective application of Ind AS 32 would involve separating two portions of equity. The first portion is recognised in retained earnings and represents the cumulative interest accreted on the liability component.

The other portion represents the original equity component. However, in accordance with this Ind AS, a first-time adopter need not separate these two portions if the liability component is no longer outstanding at the date of transition to Ind AS.

In the present case, since the liability is outstanding on the date of transition, S Ltd. will need to split the convertible debentures into debt and equity portion on the date of transition. Accordingly, we will first measure the liability component by discounting the contractually determined stream of future cash flows (interest and principal) to present value by using the discount rate of 10% p.a. (being the market interest rate for similar debentures with no conversion option).

	(`)
Interest payments p.a. on each debenture	6
Present Value (PV) of interest payment for years 1 to 4 (6 $\square$ 3.17) (Note 1)	19.02
PV of principal repayment (including premium) 110 □ 0.68 (Note 2)	74.80
Total liability component per debenture	93.82
Equity component per debenture (Balancing figure)	6.18
Face value of debentures	100.00
Total equity component for 30,000 debentures	1,85,400
Total debt amount (30,000 x 93.82)	28,14,600

Thus, on the date of initial recognition, the amount of `30,00,000 being the amount of debentures will be split as under:

Debt	`28,14,600
Equity	1.85.400
qui-	

However, on the date of transition, unwinding of `28,14,600 will be done for two years as follows:

Year	Opening balance	Finance cost @ 10%	Interest paid	Closing balance
1	28,14,60	2,81,46	1,80,00	29,16,06
2	0	0	0	0
	<del>29,16,06</del>	2,91,60	<del>1,80,00</del>	30,27,66
	0	6	0	6

Therefore, on transition date, S Ltd. shall -

- a. recognise the carrying amount of convertible debentures at `30,27,666;
- b. recognise equity component of compound financial instrument of `1,85,400;
- c. debit `63,066 to retained earnings being the difference between the previous GAAP amount of `31,50,000 and `30,27,666 and the equity component of compound financial instrument of `1,85,400; and
- d. derecognise the debenture liability in previous GAAP of `31,50,000.

#### Notes:

- 1. 3.17 is present value of annuity factor of `1 at a discount rate of 10% for 4 years.
- 2. On maturity, `110 will be paid (`100 as principal payment + `10 as premium)

#### **Question 40**

Evaluate whether the following items are financial assets or not

luate whether the following items are financial assets or not.			
S. No.	Particulars		
1	Investment in bonds debentures		
2	Loans and receivables		
3	Deposits given		
4	Trade & other receivables		
5	Cash and cash equivalents		
6	Bank balance		
7	Investments in equity shares		
8	Perpetual debt instruments like perpetual bonds, debentures and capital notes.		
9	Physical assets like inventories, property, plant and equipment etc.		
10	Right to use assets like lease vehicle etc.		
11	Intangibles like patents, trademark etc.		
12	Prepaid expenses like prepaid insurance, prepaid rent etc.		
13	Advance given for goods and services		

### (MTP 7 Marks Oct '23)

#### Answer 40

S.	Particulars	Whether FA	Remarks
No.		or not	

1	Investment in bonds	FA	Contractual right to receive
	debentures	171	cash.
2	Loans and receivables	FA	Contractual right to receive
			cash.
3	Deposits given	FA	Contractual right to receive
			cash.
4	Trade & other	FA	Contractual right to receive
	receivables		cash.
5	Cash and cash	FA	Specifically covered in the
	equivalents		definition.
6	Bank balance	FA	Contractual right to receive
			cash.
7	Investments in equity	FA	Equity instrument of another
	shares		entity.
8	Perpetual debt	FA	Such instruments provide the
	instruments like		contractual right to receive
	perpetual bonds,		interest for indefinite future or a
	debentures and capital		right to return of principal under
	notes.		terms that make it very unlikely
			or very far in the future.
9	Physical assets like	No	Control of such assets does not
	inventories, property,		create a present right to receive
	plant and equipment etc.		cash or another financial asset.
10	Right to use assets like	No	Control of such assets does not
	lease vehicle etc.		create a present right to receive
			cash or another financial asset.
11	Intangibles like	No	Control of such assets does not
	patents,		create a present right to receive
	trademark etc.		cash or another financial asset.
12	Prepaid expenses like	No	These instruments provide
	prepaid insurance,		future economic benefit in the
	prepaid rent etc.		form of goods or services, rather
			than the right to receive cash.
13	Advance given for goods	No	These instruments provide
	and services		future economic benefit in the
			form of goods or services, rather
			than the right to receive cash.

### Question 41

ABC Ltd. issues 4% 1,00,000 OCPS at a face value of `100 per share on 1 st April, 20X1 and these are redeemable after 5 years, ie, on 31st March, 20X6. Dividend is non-cumulative. Each preference shares entitles the holders to 10 equity shares and the preference shares are optionally convertible by the holder at any time until maturity. How will the preference shares be classified at initial recognition assuming that a comparable instrument carries a market interest rate of 7%? Provide journal entries for year 1. Will this classification be changed subsequently in case there is likelihood that OCPS will be encashed at the end of the maturity period? (RTP May '22)

#### Answer 41

The OCPS is redeemable at the end of the 5 th year. Hence, the preference share

contains a liability component. Further the dividend payable on the preference shares is non-cumulative. The holder may also be able to convert the preference shares at his option any time until maturity. Paragraph AG 37 of Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation states that non- cumulative dividends paid at the discretion of the issuer entity is part of equity element.

Paragraph 29 of Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation, requires separate recognition of components of a financial instrument that (a) creates a financial liability of the entity; and (b) grants an option to the holder of the instrument to convert it into fixed number of equity instruments of the entity.

From the above paragraphs it is clear that OCPS issued by ABC Ltd. has a financial liability component as well as an equity component, making it a compound financial instrument.

As per paragraph 32, in case of compound financial instruments, the issuer fi rst determines the carrying amount of the financial liability component by measuring the fair value of a similar liability that does not have an associated equity component. The carrying amount of the equity represented by (a) non-cumulative dividend feature and (b) option to convert the preference shares for fixed number of pre-determined ordinary shares is then determined by deducting the fair value of the financial liability component from the fair value of the compound financial instrument as a whole.

## Measurement and recognition (Calculations have been done at full scale):

At 7% market rate of interest, the fair value of the financial liability component of the OCPS is  $^{\circ}$  71,29,862 [100,000 OCPS x  $^{\circ}$  100 x (1/ (1+7%)) 5]

The fair value of the equity component is (residual value) `28,70,138 [`1,00,00,000 - `71,29,862]

## Journal Entries

	Journal Entries		
1 <sup>st</sup> April, 20X1	On Initial recognition		
	Bank Dr.	1,00,00,000	
	To OCPS (Financial liability)		71,29,862
	To OCPS (Equity) (Being OCPS issued and recognized)		28,70,138
31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	Interest expense -		
,	unwinding of discount		
	Interest expense @7% (Refer Dr. W.N.)	4,99,090	
	To OCPS (Financial liablity) (Being interest recorded as per EIR)		4,99,090
	Interest entry will be passed every year till conversion option is not exercised Whenever the option is exercised by the holder to convert to equity Shares OCPS (Financial liability) Dr.	Balance on date of exercise of the option	

796<sub>986</sub>

To OCPS (Equity)	

As per paragraph 30, in case of a convertible financial instrument, the classification of the liability and equity components is not revised as a result of change in the likelihood that a conversion option will be exercised.

In other words, the amount attributable to equity component on initial recognition shall remain in equity and will not be reclassified even if the OCPS are ultimately redeemed in cash by the issuer.

31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X6	If redeemed in cash on maturity		
	OCPS (financial liability) (Refer W.N.) Dr.	1,00,00,00	1,00,00,0
	To Bank		00
	(Being OCPS redeemed on maturity)		

### Working Note:

Calculation of the amortised cost of the financial liability (at full scale):

Year	Opening Balance		Repayment	Closin g Balance
	()			()
1	71,29,862	4,99,090	-	76,28,952
2	76,28,952	5,34,027		81,62,979
3	81,62,979	5,71,409		87,34,388
4	87,34,388	6,11,407		93,45,795
5	93,45,795	6,54,206	10,000,000	-

#### Question 42

To encourage entities to expand their operations in a specified development zone, the government provides interest-free loans to fund the purchase of manufacturing equipment. In accordance with the development scheme, an entity receives an interest -free loan of `5,00,000 from the government for a period of three years. The market rate of interest for similar loans for 3 years is 5% per year.

There are no future performance conditions attached to the interest -free loan. Discuss how to account for the above loan. Pass necessary journal entries in the entity's books of accounts from year 1 to year 3, as per relevant Ind AS. (RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 42

The entity measures the loan on initial recognition at `4,32,000, which is the present value of the loan (financial liability) — `5,00,000/(1.05)3. `68,000, the difference between the loan proceeds received `5,00,000 (the loan's face value) and present value of the loan `4,32,000, is a government grant and is recognised immediately as there are no specified future performance conditions. The amount recognised on day one will accrete to `5,00,000 over the three-year term using the effective interest method.

## **Journal Entries**

## On initial recognition:

o				
			,	,
Cash/Bank (financial asset)	Dr.	[	5,00,000	
To Loan (financial liability)				4,32,000
To Income (profit or loss)				68,000

(Being interest-free loan recognised at fair value and the	
receipt of a government grant)	

#### At the end of Year 1:

	•	
Finance cost (profit or loss) Dr.	21,600	
To Loan (financial liability)		21,600
(Being accretion of time value recognised on the financial		
liability)		

#### Year 2

		•	•
Finance cost (profit or loss)	Dr.	22,680	
To Loan (financial liability)			22,68
			0
(Being accretion of time value recogn:	ised on the financial		
liability)			

#### Year 3

	•	•
Finance cost (profit or loss) Dr.	23,72	
	0	
To Loan (financial liability)		23,720
(Being accretion of time value recognised on the financial		
liability)		

Immediately after all the accretions are recognised, the carrying amount of the loan is equal to its face value of `5,00,000, which is also the amount payable to the government.

80.01111101101			
		,	,
Loan (financial liability)	Dr.	5,00,000	
To Cash/Bank			5,00,000
(Being loan repaid to the government)	_		

## Working Note:

## Calculation of Amortised Cost

Culcult	calculation of finiottisca cost						
Year	Opening balance	Interest at 5%	Cash flow	Closing balance			
	(A)	(B) = (A) $\times 5\%$	(C)	(A) + (B) -			
				(C)			
1	4,32,000	21,600		4,53,600			
2	4,53,600	22,680	_	4,76,280			
3	4,76,280	23,720*	(5,00,000)	_			

<sup>\*</sup> Difference is due to approximation.

#### Question 43

State whether the following items meet the definition of Financial Asset or Financial Liability for an entity:

- (i) A bank advances an entity a five-year loan. The bank also provides the entity with an overdraft facility for a number of years.
- (ii) Entity A owns preference shares in Entity B. The preference shares entitle Entity A to dividends, but not to any voting rights.
- (iii) An entity has a present obligation in respect of income tax due for the prior year.
- (iv) In a lawsuit brought against an entity, a group of people is seeking compensation for damage to their health as a result of land contamination

believed to be caused by waste from the entity's production process. It is unclear whether the entity is the source of the contamination since many entities operate in the same area and produce similar waste. (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 43

- (i) The entity has two financial liabilities namely (a) the obligation to repay the fiveyear loan and (b) the obligation to repay the bank overdraft to the extent that it has borrowed using the overdraft facility. Both the loan and the overdraft result in contractual obligations for the entity to pay cash to the bank for the interest incurred and for the return of the principal.
- (ii) For Entity B: The preference shares may be equity instruments or financial liabilities of Entity B, depending on their terms and conditions.

  For Entity A: Irrespective of Entity B's treatment, the preference shares are a financial asset because the investment satisfies the definition of a financial asset.
- (iii) An income tax liability is created as a result of statutory requirements imposed by the government. The rights and obligations are not created by a contract. Hence, the liability for income-tax dues is not a financial liability.
- (iv) The fact that a lawsuit may result in the payment of cash does not create a financial liability for the entity because there is no contract between the entity and the affected group. The entity will need to consider providing for the payment as per Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets'.

#### Question 44

SEL has applied for a term loan from a bank for business purposes. As per the loan agreement, the loan required a personal guarantee of one of the directors of SEL to be executed. In case of default by SEL, the director will be required to compensate for the loss that bank incurs. Mr. Pure Joy, one of the directors had given guarantee to the bank pursuant to which the loan was sanctioned to SEL. SEL does not pay premium or fees to its director for providing this financial guarantee.

Whether SEL is required to account for the financial guarantee received from its director? Will there be any disclosures under Ind AS 24? (RTP May '23)

#### Answer 44

Ind AS 109 'Financial Instruments', defines a financial guarantee contract as 'a contract that requires the issuer to make specified payments to reimburse the holder for a loss it incurs because a specified debtor fails to make payment when due in accordance with the original or modified terms of a debt instrument.

Based on this definition, an evaluation is required to be done to ascertain whether the contract between director and Bank qualifies as a financial guarantee contract as defined in Appendix A to Ind AS 109. In the given case, it does qualify as a financial guarantee contract as:

- the reference obligation is a debt instrument (term loan);
- the holder i.e. Bank is compensated only for a loss that it incurs (arising on account of non-repayment); and
- the holder is not compensated for more than the actual loss incurred.

Ind AS 109 provides principles for accounting by the issuer of the guarantee. However, it does not specifically address the accounting for financial guarantees by the beneficiary. In an arm's length transaction between unrelated parties, the beneficiary of the financial guarantee would recognise the guarantee fee or premium paid as an expense.

It is also pertinent to note that the entity needs to exercise judgment in assessing the substance of the transaction taking into consideration relevant facts and

circumstances, for example, whether the director is being compensated otherwise for providing guarantee. Based on such an assessment, an appropriate accounting treatment based on the principles of Ind AS should be followed.

In the given case, SEL is the beneficiary of the financial guarantee and it does not pay a premium or fees to its director for providing this financial guarantee. Accordingly, SEL will not be required to account for such financial guarantee in its financial statements considering the unit of account as being the guaranteed loan, in which case the fair value would be expected to be the face value of the loan proceeds that SEL received.

In the given case based on the limited facts provided, SEL will be required to make necessary disclosures of such financial guarantee in accordance with Ind AS 24 as follows:

- (a) the amount of the transactions;
- (b) the amount of outstanding balances, including commitments, and:
  - (i) their terms and conditions, including whether they are secured, and the nature of the consideration to be provided in settlement; and
  - (ii) details of any guarantees given or received;
- (c) provisions for doubtful debts related to the amount of outstanding balances; and
- (d) the expense recognised during the period in respect of bad or doubtful debts due from related parties.

#### Question 45

On 1st April, 20X1, a bank provides an entity with a four-year loan of  $\overline{t}$  5,000 on normal market terms, including charging interest at a fixed rate of 8% per year. Interest is payable at the end of each year. The figure of 8% is the market rate for similar four - year fixed-interest loans with interest paid annually in arrears. Transaction cost of  $\overline{t}$  100 is incurred on originating the loan. Effective interest rate in this case is 8.612%.

In 20X1-20X2, the entity experienced financial difficulties. On 31st March, 20X2, the bank agreed to modify the terms of the loan. Under the new terms, the interest payments in 20X2-20X3 to 20X4-20X5 will be reduced from 8% to 5%. The entity paid the bank a fee of ₹ 50 for paperwork relating to the modification.

Analyse whether the modification of the loan terms constitutes an extinguishment of the original financial liability or not. (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 45

Since the interest was initially set at the market rate, on 1st April, 20X1 the entity on initial recognition will measure the loan at the transaction price, less transaction costs i.e. at ₹ 4,900.

The following is the original amortised cost calculation at 1st April, 20X1:

Time	Carrying amount at 1st April	Effective Interest @ 8.612%	Cash outflow	- · · J
	(a)	(b=ax8.612%)	(c=5000x8%)	$(\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c})$
20X1- 20X2	4,900.00	421.99	(400.00)	4,921.99
20X2- 20X3	4,921.99	423.88	(400.00)	4,945.87
20X3- 20X4	4,945.87	425.94	(400.00)	4,971.81

968

20X4-	4,971.81	428.19	(5,400.00)	_
20X5			,	

#### At 31st March, 20X2:

- 1. The present value of the remaining cash flows of the original financial liability is ₹ 4,921.99 discounted at the original effective interest rate of 8.612%.
- 2. The present value of the cash flows under the new terms discounted using the original effective interest rate is ₹ 4,537.25 (Refer W.N.). Including the ₹ 50 fee, the present value of the total cash flows is ₹ 4,587.25.
- 3. The difference between ₹ 4,921.99 and ₹ 4,587.25 is ₹ 334.74 which is only 6.8% (₹ 334.74  $\div$  ₹ 4,921.99) of the present value of the remaining cash flows of the original financial liability.

The entity applies its judgement to decide whether the terms of the instruments exchanged are substantially different. Since the difference of the discounted present value of the cash flows under the new terms, including any fees paid net of any fees received and discounted using the original effective interest rate, is less than 10% of the present value of the remaining cash flows of the original financial liability, this modification should not be considered a substantial modification of the terms of the existing loan. Therefore, the modification would not be accounted for as an extinguishment of the original financial liability.

#### **Working Note:**

The calculation of the present value of the cash flows under the new terms discounted using the original effective interest rate is as follows:

Time	Cash outflow	Discounting factor @ 8.612%	Present value at 31st March
31st March, 20X3	250.00	0.921	230.25
31st March, 20X4	250.00	0.848	212.00
31st March, 20X5	5,250.00	0.780	4,095.00
Total present value			4,537.25

#### Question 46

On 1st January 2017, Expo Limited agreed to purchase USD (\$) 40,000 from E&I Bank in future on 31st December 2017 for a rate equal to Rs. 65 per USD. Expo Limited did not pay any amount upon entering into the contract. Expo Limited is a listed company in India and prepares its financial statements on a quarterly basis.

Using the definition of derivative included in Ind AS 109 and following the principles of recognition and measurement as laid down in Ind AS 109, you are required to record the entries for each quarter ended till the date of actual purchases of USD.

For the purpose of accounting, use the following information representing marked to market fair value of forward contracts at each reporting date: (RTP 8 Marks May'18)

As at 31st March, 2017	Rs. (50,000)
As at 30th June, 2017	Rs. (30,000)
As at 30th September, 2017	Rs. 24,000
Spot rate of USD on 31st	Rs. 62 per
December, 2017	USD

#### **Answer 46**

# Assessment of the arrangement using the definition of derivative included under Ind AS 109.

Derivative is a financial instrument or other contract within the scope of this Standard with all three of the following characteristics:

- (a) Its value changes in response to the change in foreign exchange rate (emphasis laid)
- (b) It requires no initial net investment or an initial net investment is smaller than would be required for other types of contracts with similar response to changes in market factors.
- (c) It is settled at a future date.

Upon evaluation of contract in question, on the basis of the definition of derivative, it is noted that the contract meets the definition of a derivative as follows:

- (a) The value of the contract to purchase USD at a fixed price changes in response to changes in foreign exchange rate.
- (b) The initial amount paid to enter into the contract is zero. A contract which would give the holder a similar response to foreign exchange rate changes would have required an investment of USD 40,000 on inception.
- (c) The contract is settled in future

The derivative is a forward exchange contract.

As per Ind AS 109, derivatives are measured at fair value upon initial recognition and are subsequently measured at fair value through profit and loss.

## Accounting in each Quarter

## (i) Accounting on 1st January 2017

As there was no consideration paid and without evidence to the contrary the fair value of the contract on the date of inception is considered to be zero. Accordingly, no accounting entries shall be recorded on the date of entering into the contract.

(ii) Accounting on 31st March 2017

Particulars	Dr. (Rs.)	Cr. (Rs.)
Profit and loss A/c Dr.	50,000	
To Derivative financial liability		50,000
(Being mark to market loss on forward		
contract recorded)		

(iii) Accounting on 30th June 2017

Particulars	Dr.	Cr.
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)
Derivative financial liability A/c Dr.	20,000	
To Profit and Loss A/c		20,000
(Being partial reversal of mark to market loss		
on forward contract recorded)		

(iv) Accounting on 30th September 2017

Particulars	Dr. (Rs.)	Cr. (Rs.)
Derivative financial liability A/c Dr.	30,000	
Derivative financial asset A/c Dr.	24,000	
To Profit and Loss A/c		54,000
(Being gain on mark to market of forward contract booked as derivative financial asset		
and reversal of derivative financial liability)		

076

## (v) Accounting on 31st December 2017

The settlement of the derivative forward contract by actual purchase of USD 40,000

Particulars	Dr. (Rs.)	Cr. (Rs.)
Cash (USD Account) (USD 40,000 x Rs.	24,80,00	
62) Dr.	0	
Profit and loss A/c Dr.	1,44,000	
To Cash (USD 40,000 x Rs. 65)		26,00,000
To Derivative financial asset A/c		24,000
(Being loss on settlement of forward contract booked on actual purchase of USD)		

### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Majority of the examinees were not able to state whether the contract met the definition of derivative and hence were not able to record the correct entries for each quarter.

#### Question 47

Perfect Ltd. issued 50,000 Compulsory Cumulative Convertible Preference Shares (CCCPS) as on 1st April, 2017 @ Rs. 180 each. The rate of dividend is 10% payable at the end of every year. The preference shares are convertible into 12,500 equity shares (Face value Rs. 10 each) of the company at the end of 5th year from the date of allotment. When the CCCPS are issued, the prevailing market interest rate for similar debt without conversion option is 15% per annum.

Transaction cost on the date of issuance is 2% of the value of the proceeds. Effective Interest Rate is 15.86%. (Round off the figures to the nearest multiple of Rupee)

Discounting Factor @ 15%

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Discount	0.8696	0.7561	0.6575	0.5718	0.4971
Factor					

You are required to compute Liability and Equity Component and Pass Journal Entries for entire term of arrangement i.e. from the issue of Preference Shares till their conversion into Equity Shares. Keeping in view the provisions of relevant Ind AS (PYP 12 Marks, May'19)

## Answer 47

This is a compound financial instrument with two components – liability representing present value of future cash outflows and balance represents equity component.

Total proceeds = 50,000 Shares x Rs. 180 each = Rs. 90,00,000 Dividend @ = Rs.9,00,000

a. Computation of Liability & Equity Component

Date	Particulars	Cash Flow	Discount Factor	Net present Value
01-Apr-2017		0	1	0.00
31-Mar-2018	Dividend	9,00,000	0.8696	7,82,640
31-Mar-2019	Dividend	9,00,000	0.7561	6,80,490
31-Mar-2020	Dividend	9,00,000	0.6575	5,91,750

971

2022

31-Mar-2021	Dividend	9,00,000	0.5718	5,14,620
31-Mar-2022	Dividend	9,00,000	0.4971	4,47,390
Total Liability Component				30,16,890
Total Proceeds				90,00,000
Total Equity Component (Bal fig)				59,83,110

#### b. Allocation of transaction costs

Particulars	Amount	Allocation	Net Amount	
	a	ъ	a-b	
Liability Component	30,16,890	60,338	29,56,552	
Equity Component	59,83,110	1,19,662	58,63,448	
Total Proceeds	90,00,000	1,80,000	88,20,000	

## c. Accounting for liability at amortized cost

- Initial accounting = Present value of cash outflows less transaction costs

- Subsequent accounting = At amortized cost, ie initial fair value adjusted for interest and repayments of the liability.

Openin Interest @ Cash Closin 15.86% Flow Financi (Dividen Financi al d al Liability В paymen Liability A A+Bt) C C 01-Apr-29,56,552 29,56,55 2017 31-Mar-4,68,909 9,00,000 29,56,552 25,25,46 2018 31-Mar-4,00,538 9,00,000 20,25,99 25,25,461 2019 20,25,999 3,21,323 9,00,000 14,47,32 31-Mar-2020 31-Mar-14,47,322 2,29,545 9,00,000 7,76,867 2021 7,76,867 1,23,133\* 9,00,000 31-Mar-

## d. Journal Entries to be recorded for entire term of arrangement are as follows:

Date	Particulars		Debit	Credit
			Rs.	Rs.
01-Apr-2017	Bank A/c Dr.		88,20,000	
	To Preference Shares A/c			29,56,552
	To Equity Component Preference shares A/c	of		58,63,448

<sup>\*</sup>Difference of Rs. 78 (adjusted in the interest value of 31st March, 2022) is due to approximation of figures in the earlier years.

# £/6

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

	(Being compulsorily convertible preference shares issued. The same are divided into equity component and liability component as per the calculation)		
31-Mar-2018	Preference shares A/c Dr.	9,00,000	
	To Bank A/c		9,00,000
	(Being dividend at the coupon rate of 10% paid to the shareholders)		
31-Mar-2018	Finance cost A/c Dr.	4,68,909	
	To Preference Shares A/c		4,68,909
	(Being interest as per EIR method recorded)		
31-Mar-2019	Preference shares A/c Dr.	9,00,000	
	To Bank A/c		9,00,000
	(Being dividend at the coupon rate of 10% paid to the shareholders)		
31-Mar-2019	Finance cost A/c Dr.	4,00,538	
	To Preference Shares A/c		4,00,538
	(Being interest as per EIR method recorded)		
31-Mar-2020	Preference shares A/c Dr.	9,00,000	
	To Bank A/c		9,00,000
	(Being dividend at the coupon rate of 10% paid to the shareholders)		
31-Mar-2020	Finance cost A/c Dr.	3,21,323	
	To Preference Shares A/c		3,21,323
	(Being interest as per EIR method recorded)		
31-Mar-2021	Preference shares A/c Dr.	9,00,000	
	To Bank A/c		9,00,000
	(Being dividend at the coupon rate of 10% paid to the shareholders)		
31-Mar-2021	Finance cost A/c Dr.	2,29,545	
	To Preference Shares A/c		2,29,545
	(Being interest as per EIR method recorded)		
31-Mar-2022	Preference shares A/c Dr.	9,00,000	
	To Bank A/c		9,00,000
	(Being dividend at the coupon rate of 10% paid to the shareholders)		

31-Mar-2022	Finance cost A/c Dr.	1,23,133	
	To Preference Shares A/c		1,23,133
	(Being interest as per EIR method recorded)		
31-Mar-2022	Equity Component of Preference shares A/c Dr.	58,63,448	
	To Equity Share Capital A/c		1,25,000
	To Securities Premium A/c		57,38,44
	(Being preference shares converted in equity shares and remaining equity component is recognised as securities premium)		8

# Question 48

Make necessary journal entries for accounting of the security deposit made by Admire Ltd., whose details are described below. Assume market interest rate for a deposit for similar period to be 12% per annum.

Particulars	Details
Date of Security Deposit (Starting Date)	1 <sup>st</sup> April, 2014
Date of Security Deposit (Finishing Date)	31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2019
Description	Lease
Total Lease Period	5 years
Discount rate	12%
Security deposit (A)	20,00,000
Present value factor at the 5 <sup>th</sup> year	0.567427

# (PYP 4 Marks, Nov 19)

# Answer 48

The above security deposit is an interest free deposit redeemable at the end of lease term for Rs. 20,00,000. Hence, this involves collection of contractual cash flows and shall be accounted at amortized cost.

Upon initial measurement

opon mitiai measurement			
Particulars	Details		
Security deposit (A)	20,00,000		
Total lease period (Years)	5		
Discount rate	12.00%		
Present value annuity factor	0.567427		
Present value of deposit at beginning (B)	11,34,854		
Prepaid lease payment at beginning (A-B)	8,65,146		

Journal entry at initial recognition

Particulars		Amount	Amoun t
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	11,34,854	
Prepaid lease expenses A/c	Dr.	8,65,146	

974 age

To Bank A/c	20,00,00
	0

Subsequently, every annual reporting year, interest income shall be accrued @ 12% per annum and prepaid expenses shall be amortized on straight line basis over the lease term.

# Following table shows the amortization of security deposit based on discount rate:

Year	Opening balance (A)	Interest @ 12% (B)	Closing balance (A) = (A) + (B)
1	11,34,8	1,36,18	12,71,0
	54	3	37
2	12,71,037	1,52,524	14,23,561
3	14,23,561	1,70,827	15,94,388
4	15,94,388	1,91,327	17,85,715
5	17,85,315	2,14,685*	20,00,000

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation.

# Journal entries for Year 1-5

#### For - Year 1

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	1,36,183	
To Interest income			1,36,183
Lease expense (8,65,146 / 5 years)	Dr.	1,73,029	
To Prepaid lease expenses			1,73,029

#### For - Year 2

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	1,52,524	
To Interest income			1,52,524
Lease expense (8,65,146 / 5 years)	Dr.	1,73,029	
To Prepaid lease expenses			1,73,029

# For - Year 3

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	1,70,827	
To Interest income			1,70,827
Lease expense (8,65,146 / 5 years)	Dr.	1,73,029	
To Prepaid lease expenses			1,73,029

# For - Year 4

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	1,91,327	
To Interest income			1,91,327
Lease expense (8,65,146 / 5 years)	Dr.	1,73,029	
To Prepaid lease expenses			1,73,029

# For - Year 5

Particulars		Amount	Amoun t
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	2,14,685	

376

To Interest income		2,14,685
Lease expense (8,65,146 / 5 years) Dr.	1,73,03	
To Prepaid lease expenses	0	1,73,030

Journal entry for realisation of security deposit at the end of 5 <sup>th</sup> year

Particulars		Amoun	Amount
		t	
Bank A/c	Dr.	20,00,00	
		0	20,00,00
			0

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Some of the examinees did not account for prepaid lease rent at the beginning and thereby erred in the journal entries for accounting of security deposit.

# Question 49

Softech Limited has a policy of providing subsidized loans to its employees for the purpose of buying or construction of residential houses. Mrs. B is a Senior Manager in the Company. The Company granted a loan to her on the following terms:

- Principal amount: `25 lakh
- Interest rate: 4% for the first `10 lakh and 7% for the next `15 lakh
- Loan disbursed on: 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2019
- Tenure: 5 years
- Pre-payment: Full or partial pre-payment at the option of the employee.
- The principal amount of loan shall be recovered in 5 equal installments and will be first applied to 7% interest bearing principal.
- The accrued interest shall be paid on an annual basis.
- Mrs. B must remain in service till the term of the loan ends.
- The market rate of a comparable loan available to Mrs. B, is 12% per annum.
- Give your calculations by adopting the present value factor as:

31.12.20	31.12.20	31.12.20	31.12.20	31.12.20
19	20	21	22	23
0.8929	0.7972	0.7118	0.6355	0.5674

Following table shows the contractually expected cash flows from the loan given to Mrs. B.

					Amount in
				Inflows	
Date	Outflow	Princip	Intere	Interes	Princip
	s	al	st	t	al
			incom	incom	outstandi
			е	е	ng
			(7%)	(4%)	
1 <sup>st</sup> January 2019	(25,00,00				25,00,000
31 <sup>st</sup> December	0)	5,00,00	1,05,00	40,00	20,00,000
2019		0	0	0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
		5,00,00	70,000	40,000	15,00,000
31 <sup>st</sup> December		3,00,00	10,000	+0,000	13,00,000

976

2020	0			
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2021	5,00,00 0	35,000	40,000	10,00,000
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2022	5,00,00 0	-	40,000	5,00,000
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2023	5,00,00 0	-	20,000	-

Mrs. B pre-pays ` 5,00,000 on 31st December 2020, reducing the outstanding principal as on date to ` 10,00,000.

Following table shows the actual cash flows from the loan given to Mrs. B, considering the pre-payment event on 31st December, 2020: (Amount in `)

considering the pre	paymone	ovene on c	7130 2000	Inflows	
Date	Outflo	Principal	Intere	Intere	
	ws		st	st	al
			incom	incom	outstandi
			е	е	ng
			(7%)	(4%)	
1 <sup>st</sup> January 2019	(25,00,00				25,00,000
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2019	0)	5,00,000	1,05,000	40,000	20,00,000
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2020		10,00,00	70,000	40,000	10,00,000
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2021		5,00,000	-	40,000	5,00,000
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2022		5,00,000	-	20,000	-
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2023		1	-	-	-

Record the journal entries (up to 31st December, 2020) in the books of Softech Limited considering the requirements of Ind AS 109. (PYP 14 Marks July 21) Answer 49

As per Ind AS 109, a financial instrument is initially measured and recorded at its fair value. Therefore, considering the market rate of interest of similar loan available to Mrs. B is 12%, the fair value of the contractual cash flows shall be as follows:

		Inflows			
Date	Principal	Interest	Interes	Discoun	PV
		income	t income	t	
		<u>@</u>	(a)	factor	
		7%	4%	@12%	
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2019	5,00,000	1,05,000	40,000	0.8929	5,75,921
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2020	5,00,000	70,000	40,000	0.7972	4,86,292
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2021	5,00,000	35,000	40,000	0.7118	4,09,285
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2022	5,00,000	_	40,000	0.6355	3,43,170

31 <sup>st</sup> December	5,00,000	-	20,000	0.5674	2,95,048
2023					
Total (fair value)					21,09,716

Benefit to Mrs. B, to be considered a part of employee cost for Softech Limited `3,90,284 (`25,00,000 - `21,09,716).

The deemed employee cost is to be amortised over the period of loan i.e. the minimum period that Mrs. B must remain in service.

The amortization schedule of `21,09,716 loan is shown in the following table:

Date	Openin	Total	Interes	Closing
	g	cash inflows	t @	outstandi
	outstandi	(principal	12%	ng
	ng	repayment +		loan
	loan	interest		
1 <sup>st</sup> January 2019	21,09,716	-	-	21,09,716
31 <sup>st</sup> December	21,09,716	6,45,000	2,53,166	17,17,882
2019	17,17,882	6,10,000	2,06,146	13,14,028
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2020	17,17,002	0,10,000	2,00,140	13,14,026
31 <sup>st</sup> December	13,14,028	5,75,000	1,57,683	8,96,711
2021	8,96,711	5,40,000	1,07,605	4 64 216
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2022	0,90,711	5,40,000	1,07,005	4,64,316
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2023	4,64,316	5,20,000	55,684*	-

<sup>\*</sup> Difference of  $^34$  (55,718 – 55,684) is due to approximation.

#### Journal Entries in the books of Softech Limited

# a. 1st January 2019

1 Gairdary 2015			
Particulars		Dr.	Cr.
		(`)	(*)
Loan to Mrs. B A/c	Dr.	21,09,71	
Pre-paid employee cost A/c	Dr.	6	
To Bank A/c		3,90,28	25,00,00
(Being loan asset recorded at in	itial fair	4	0
value)			

# b. 31st December 2019

Particulars	Dr.	Cr.
	(`)	(*)
Bank A/c Dr.	6,45,00	
To Interest income (profit and loss) @ 12%	0	2,53,16
A/c To Loan to Mrs. B A/c		6
(Being first instalment of repayment of loan		3,91,83
accounted for using the amortised cost and		4
effective interest rate of 12%)		
Employee benefit (profit and loss) A/c Dr.	78,057	
To Pre-paid employee cost A/c		78,057
(Being amortization of pre-paid employee cost		
charged to profit and loss as employee benefit		

cost)	

On 31st December 2020, due to pre-payment of a part of loan by Mrs. B, the carrying value of the loan shall be re-computed by discounting the future remaining cash flows by the original effective interest rate.

There shall be two sets of accounting entries on 31st December 2020, first the realisation of the contractual cash flow as shown in (c) below and then the accounting for the pre-payment of `5,00,000 included in (d) below:

#### c. 31st December 2020

31st December 2020		
Particulars	Dr.	Cr.
	()	( )
Bank A/c Dr.	6,10,000	
To Interest income (profit and loss) @ 12%		2,06,146
A/c To Loan to Mrs. B A/c		4,03,854
(Being second instalment of repayment of loan		
accounted for using the amortised cost and		
effective interest rate of 12%)		
Employee benefit (profit and loss) A/cDr.	78,057	
To Pre-paid employee cost A/c		78,057
(Being amortization of pre-paid employee cost		
charged to profit and loss as employee benefit		
cost)		

d. Computation of new carrying value of loan to Mrs. B:

_		Inflo	ows		
Date	Principal	Interest	Interest	Discoun	PV
		income	income	t factor	
		7%	4%	a	
				12%	
31 <sup>st</sup> December	5,00,000	-	40,000	0.8929	4,82,166
2021					
31 <sup>st</sup> December	5,00,000	-	20,000	0.7972	4,14,544
2022					
Total (revised carry	ing value)				8,96,710
Less: Current carry	ring value				(13, 14, 028)
Adjustment					4,17,318
required					

The difference between the amount of pre-payment and adjustment to loan shall be considered a gain, though will be recorded as an adjustment to pre-paid employee cost, which shall be amortised over the remaining tenure of the loan.

e. 31st December 2020 prepayment

Particulars	Dr.	Cr.
	(`)	(^)

Bank A/c	Dr.	5,00,00	
To Pre-paid employee cos	st A/c To Loan to	0	82,682
Mrs. B A/c			4,17,31
(Being gain to Softech Limite	d recorded as an		8
adjustment to pre-paid empl	oyee cost)		

Amortisation of employee benefit cost shall be as follows:

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Some examinees erred in computing the revised carrying value and adjustment amount relating to pre-payment of loan by the employee which led to passing of wrong journal entry in the year of pre-payment.

Date	Opening Balance	Amortised to P&L	Adjustmen t	Closing Balance
1 <sup>st</sup> January 2019	3,90,284			3,90,284
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2019	3,90,284	78,057	82,682	3,12,227
31 <sup>st</sup> December 2020	3,12,227	78,057		1,51,488

#### Question 50

KUPA Ltd. borrowed. 95 lakh as loan from XYZ Bank on 1st April, 2018 at an interest rate of 10% p.a. KUPA Ltd. spent 1,80,912 as loan processing charges. Principal amount of loan is to be repaid in 5 equal instalments and the interest to be paid annually on accrual basis. Effective interest rate on loan is 10.8%.

On 31st March, 2020, KUPA Ltd. faced challenges in business because of sudden change in the technology. It approached XYZ Bank and renegotiated the terms of the loan. Interest rate changed to 15% p.a. Principal amount of loan is to be repaid in 8 equal instalments payable annually starting 31st March, 2021 and the interest is to be paid annually on accrual basis. Before approaching bank, KUPA Ltd. made the interest payment on 31st March, 2020.

You are required to record Journal entries in the books of KUPA Ltd. till 31 st March, 2021, after giving effect of the changes in the terms of the loan on 31st March, 2020. Workings should form part of the answer.

V of ` 1	?ear 1	rear 2	ear 3	ear 4	ear 5	ear 6	ear 7	?ear 8
10%	0.909	0.826	0.751	0.683	0.621	0.564	0.513	0.467
10.8%	0.903	0.815	0.735	0.664	0.599	0.540	0.488	0.440
15%	0.870	0.756	0.658	0.572	0.497	0.432	0.376	0.327

(PYP 12 Marks Dec '21, MTP 13 Marks Mar'23)

#### Answer 50

The following table shows the amortisation of loan based on effective interest rate:

Date		Cash flows		Total	Interest	Closing
		(Principal)	outflows	cash	@ EIR	Amortise
	d cost		(Interest	flows	10.80%	d cost
			<b>@ 10%</b>		(2 x	(2- 5 + 6
(1)	(2)	(3)	and	(3 + 4 =	10.80%	=
			fee) (4)	5)	= 6)	7)
1st April, 2018		(95,00,000	1,80,912			93,19,088
		)				
31st March,	93,19,088	19,00,00	9,50,000	28,50,00	10,06,462	74,75,550
2019		0		0		
31st March,	74,75,550	19,00,00	7,60,000	26,60,00	8,07,359	56,22,909
2020		0		0		
31st March,	56,22,909	19,00,00	5,70,000	24,70,00	6,07,274	37,60,183
2021		0		0		
31st March,	37,60,183	19,00,00	3,80,000	22,80,00	4,06,100	18,86,283
2022		0		0		
31st March,	18,86,283	19,00,00	1,90,000	20,90,00	2,03,717*	
2023		0		0		

<sup>\*</sup> Difference of `2 (2,03,719 – 2,03,717) is due to approximation.

# (i) On 1st April, 2018

,			
Particulars		Dr. (`)	Cr. (`)
Bank A/c	Dr.	93,19,088	
To Loan from bank A/o			93,19,088
(Being loan recorded at its	fair value less		
transaction costs on the in	itial		
recognition date)			

# (ii) On 31st March, 2019

Particulars	Dr. (`)	Cr. (`)
Loan from bank A/c Interest expense To Bank A/c (Being first instalment of lo payment of interest accoun adjustment to the amortised cost of loan)	18,43,5 38 10,06,4 62	28,50,000

# (iii) On 31st March, 2020- Before KUPA Ltd. approached the bank

Particulars		Dr. (`)	Cr. (`)
Interest expense	Dr.	8,07,359	
To Loan from bank A	A/c To Bank A/c		47,359
(Being loan payment of it	nterest recorded		7,60,000
by the Company before i	t approached the		
Bank for			
deferment of principal)			

# Reason for treating the modification as a fresh loan:

Upon receiving the new terms of the loan, KUPA Ltd., re-computed the carrying value of the loan by discounting the new cash flows with the original effective interest rate and comparing the same with the current carrying value of the loan.

As per requirements of Ind AS 109, any change of more than 10% shall be considered a substantial modification, resulting in fresh accounting for the new loan.

The following table shows the present value (PV) of new contractual cash flows

and percentage of variation:

and percentage of					
Date	Cash	Intere	Tota	Discounti	PV of cash
	flows	st	1	ng	
	(princip	outflow	cas	facto	
	al)	<b>@</b>	h	r @	
		15%	outflow	10.80%	
31st March, 2020	(76,00,00				
	0)				
31st March, 2021	9,50,000	11,40,00	20,90,0	0.903	18,87,270
		0	00		
31st March, 2022	9,50,000	9,97,500	19,47,5	0.815	15,87,213
			00		
31st March, 2023	9,50,000	8,55,000	18,05,0	0.735	13,26,675
			00		
31st March, 2024	9,50,000	7,12,500	16,62,5	0.664	11,03,900
			00		
31st March, 2025	9,50,000	5,70,000	15,20,0	0.599	9,10,480
			00		
31st March, 2026	9,50,000	4,27,500	13,77,5	0.540	7,43,850
,		, ,	00		, ,
31st March, 2027	9,50,000	2,85,000	12,35,0	0.488	6,02,680
,		, ,	00		, ,
31st March, 2028	9,50,000	1,42,500	10,92,5	0.440	4,80,700
,		, ,	00		, ,
PV of new contract	tual cash fl	ows discou	inted @		86,42,768
10.80%					
Carrying amount of	+ 47,359)	(75,22,909)			
Difference	·	11,19,859			
Percentage of carr	14.89%				
amount	J B				11.00/0
Danisian Malinata					

# **Decision Making:**

Considering a more than 10% change in PV of cash flows compared to the carrying value of the loan, the existing loan shall be considered to have been extinguished and the new loan shall be accounted for as a separate financial liability.

# The accounting entries for the same are included below:

(i) On 31st March, 2020 - Accounting for extinguishment

On Olst March, 2020 Mcc	bunding for excingu	aisiiiiciic	
Particulars		Dr. (`)	Cr. (`)
Loan from bank (old) A/c	Dr.	75,22,909	
Finance cost	Dr.	77,091	
To Loan from bank (new)	A/c		76,00,00
(Being new loan accounted	for at its principal		O
amount in absence of any	transaction costs		
directly related to such loan	and corresponding		
derecognition of existing loan)			

(ii) On 31st March, 2021

Particulars		Dr. (`)	Cr. (`)
Loan from bank A/c	Dr.	9,50,00	
Interest expense	Dr.	0	
To Bank A/c		11,40,0	20,90,000
(Being first instalment of th	00		
payment of interest accou			
adjustment to the amortise			
	•		

#### Question 51

M Limited has made a security deposit whose details are given below:

Particulars	Details
Date of security deposit (starting date)	1st April, 2016
Date of security deposit (finishing date)	31st March, 2021
Description	Lease
Total lease period	5 years
Security deposit	` 20,00,000
Present value factor at the end of the 5th year	0.6499

Determine, how above financial asset should be measured and briefly explain measurement determined as such. Make necessary journal entries for accounting of the security deposit in the first year and last year. Assume market rate for a deposit for similar period to be 9% p.a. (PYP 5 Marks Dec '21)

#### Answer 51

The given security deposit is an interest free deposit redeemable at the end of lease term for `20,00,000. Hence, this involves collection of contractual cash flows and shall be accounted at amortised cost.

Upon initial measurement

Particulars		₹
Security deposit	(A)	20,00,000
Present value of deposit at beginning (20,00,000 0.6499) (B)	X	(12,99,800)
Prepaid lease payment at beginning	(A-B)	7,00,200

#### **Journal Entries**

Year 1 - beginning

Particulars		,	`
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	12,99,80 0	
Prepaid lease rent (ROU Asset)  To Bank A/c	Dr.	7,00,200	20,00,0
(Recognised present value of security and prepaid lease)			

Subsequently, every annual reporting year, interest income shall be accrued @9% per annum and prepaid expenses shall be amortised on straight line basis over the lease term.

Page **983** 

#### Year 1 - end

Particulars	•	•
Security deposit A/c (12,99,800 x 9%) Dr.	1,16,982	
To Interest income A/c		1,16,982
(Recognised interest on security deposit)		
Depreciation (7,00,200 / 5 years) Dr.	1,40,040	
To Prepaid lease rent (ROU Asset)		1,40,040
(Prepaid lease depreciated for the year)		

# Year 5- end

At the end of 5th year, the security deposit shall accrue `20,00,000 and prepaid lease expenses shall be fully amortised (i.e. depreciated as per Ind AS 116, this prepaid lease rent would be shown as ROU asset).

Journal entry for realize	zation	or securit	y aeposit
Particulars		,	,
Security deposit A/c (Refer W.N.)	Dr.	1,65,227	
To Interest income A/c			1,65,227
(Recognised interest on security deposi	t)		
Depreciation (7,00,200 / 5 years)	Dr.	1,40,040	
To Prepaid lease rent (ROU Asset)			1,40,040
(Prepaid lease depreciated for the year)			
Bank A/c	Dr.	20,00,00	
To Security deposit A/c (Security deposit paid back at the end of	of the	O	20,00,000
lease term)			

# **Working Note:**

# Amortization schedule

1	Amortization	Schoule		
	Year end	Opening balance	Interest income	Closing balance
	1	12,99,800	1,16,982	14,16,782
	2	14,16,782	1,27,510	15,44,292
	3	15,44,292	1,38,986	16,83,278
	4	16,83,278	1,51,495	18,34,773
	5	18,34,773	1,65,227*	20,00,000

<sup>\*</sup> Difference is due to approximation.

# Question 52

X Ltd. has made a borrowing from RGD Bank for `20,000 at a fixed interest of 12% per annum. Loan processing fees were paid additionally amounting to `1,000 and the loan is payable in 4 half-yearly installments of `5,000 each.

	De	tails	are	as	foll	ows:	
--	----	-------	-----	----	------	------	--

Particulars	Details
Loan amount	`20,000
Date of loan (Starting	1 <sup>st</sup> April, 2020
Date)	• '
Date of loan (Finishing	31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2022

Date) Description of	Repayment of loan starts from 30 <sup>th</sup>
repayment	September, 2020 (To be paid on half yearly basis)
Installment amount	` 5,000
Interest rate	12% per annum
Interest charge	Interest to be charged and paid quarterly
Upfront fees	`1,000

Compute the interest to be charged to the statement of profit & loss every quarter over the period of loan. The effective interest rate is 16.60% per annum. (PYP 5 Marks May '22)

#### Answer 52

The loan taken by X Ltd. shall be measured at amortised cost as follows: Initial measurement = At transaction price less processing fee ie. 19,000 (20,000 - 1,000)

Subsequent measurement = Interest to be accrued using effective rate of interest as follows:

Quarter	Opening balance (A)	Interest @ 16.60% (B)=[(A) x 16.60%/4]	Cash flows (C) = [Refer column of D of W.N.]	Closing balanc e (A) + (B) - (C)
1	19,000.00	789.00	600.00	19,189.00
2	19,189.00	796.00	5,600.00	14,385.00
3	14,385.00	597.00	450.00	14,532.00
4	14,532.00	603.00	5,450.00	9,685.00
5	9,685.00	402.00	300.00	9,787.00
6	9,787.00	406.00	5,300.00	4,893.00
7	4,893.00	203.00	150.00	4,946.00
8	4,946.00	204.00*	5,150.00	-

<sup>\*</sup> Difference is due to approximation.

# **Working Note:**

Quarter	Openin g balanc e	12% p.a. (B) = [(A) x	l repayment at quarter	Total Cash flow (D) = (B) + (C)	Closin g balanc e
	(A)	12%/4]	end (C)		(E) = (A) - (C)
1	20,000.00	600.00	-	600.00	20,000.00
2	20,000.00	600.00	5,000.00	5,600.00	15,000.00
3	15,000.00	450.00	-	450.00	15,000.00
4	15,000.00	450.00	5,000.00	5,450.00	10,000.00
5	10,000.00	300.00	ı	300.00	10,000.00
6	10,000.00	300.00	5,000.00	5,300.00	5,000.00
7	5,000.00	150.00	-	150.00	5,000.00
8	5,000.00	150.00	5,000.00	5,150.00	-

# Question 53

Autumn Limited has a policy of providing subsidized loans to its employees for their personal purposes. Mrs. Jama Bai, a senior HR manager in the Company, took a loan of `12.00 lakhs on the following terms:

- Interest rate 4% per annum
- Loan disbursement date: 1st April, 2019
- The principal amount of the loan shall be recovered in 4 equal annual installments commencing from 31st March, 2020
- The accumulated interest computed on reducing balance at simple interest is collected in 3 equal annual installments after collection of the principal amount
- Mrs. Jama Bai must remain in service till the principal and interest are paid
- The market rate of a comparable loan to Mrs. Jama Bai is 9% per annum
- The present value of `1 at 9% per annum at the end of respective years is as follows:

Year ending 31st March	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Present Value	0.9174	0.8417	0.7722	0.7084	0.6499	0.5963	0.5470

Under the assumption that no probable future economic benefits except the return of loan has been guaranteed by the employee, you are required to:

- i. Provide the journal entries at the time of initial recognition of loan on 1st April, 2019 and as at 31st March, 2020; and
- ii. Prepare ledger account of 'Loan to Mrs. Jama Bai' from the inception of the loan till its final payment. (PYP 14 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 53

#### i) Journal Entry

Date	Particulars	Dr.	Cr.
		•	•
1/4/2019	Loan to Mrs. Jama Bai A/c Dr. Pre-paid employee cost A/c	10,43,638 1,56,362	12,00,000
	Dr. To Bank A/c (Being loan to employee recorded at fair value)		
31/3/2020	Loan to Mrs. Jama Bai A/c Dr. To Finance Income A/c (Being finance income @ 9% recorded in the books)	93,927	93,927
31/3/2020	Bank A/c Dr. To Loan to Mrs. Jama Bai A/c (Being installment received at the end of the year)	3,00,000	3,00,000

ii) In the books of Autumn Ltd. Loan to Mrs. Jama Bai A/c

Date	Particulars	Amount	Date	Particulars	Amount
1.4.2019	To Bank A/c	10,43,638	31.3.2020	By Bank A/c	3,00,000
31.3.2020	To Finance income (W.N.3)	93,927	31.3.2020	By Balance c/d	8,37,565
		11,37,565			11,37,565
1.4.2020	To Balance b/d	8,37,565	31.3.2021	By Bank A/c	3,00,000
31.3.2021	To Finance income (W.N.3)	75,381	31.3.2021	By Balance c/d	6,12,946
		9,12,946			9,12,946
1.4.2021	To Balance b/d	6,12,946	31.3.2022	By Bank A/c	3,00,000
31.3.2022	To Finance income (W.N.3)	55,165	31.3.2022	By Balance c/d	3,68,111
		6,68,111			6,68,111
1.4.2022	To Balance b/d	3,68,111	31.3.2023	By Bank A/c	3,00,000
31.3.2023	To Finance income (W.N.3)	33,130	31.3.2023	By Balance c/d	1,01,241
		4,01,241			4,01,241
1.4.2023	To Balance b/d	1,01,241	31.3.2024	By Bank A/c	40,000
31.3.2024	To Finance income (W.N.3)	9,112	31.3.2024	By Balance c/d	70,353
		1,10,353			1,10,353
1.4.2024	To Balance b/d	70,353	31.3.2025	By Bank A/c	40,000
31.3.2025	To Finance income (W.N.3)	6,332	31.3.2025	By Balance c/d	36,685
	,	76,685			76,685
1.4.2025	To Balance b/d	36,685	31.3.2026	By Bank A/c	40,000

31.3.2026	To Finance			
	income (W.N.3)	3,315* 40,000		40,000

<sup>\*</sup>Difference of `13 (`3,315 - `3,302) is due to approximation.

# **Working Notes:**

# 1. Calculation of initial recognition amount of loan to employee

Year	Estimated Cash Flows	PV Factor @9%	Present Value
	•		•
31/3/2020	3,00,000	0.9174	2,75,220
31/3/2021	3,00,000	0.8417	2,52,510
31/3/2022	3,00,000	0.7722	2,31,660
31/3/2023	3,00,000	0.7084	2,12,520
31/3/2024	40,000 (W.N.2)	0.6499	25,996
31/3/2025	40,000 (W.N.2)	0.5963	23,852
31/3/2026	40,000 (W.N.2)	0.5470	21,880
Fair Value of	oan		10,43,638

2. Computation of Interest to be paid

Year	Opening outstanding balance a	Cash Flows	Principal outstanding at year end c	Interest @ 4% on a d	Cumulative Interest e
		•	•	•	•
31/3/2020	12,00,000	3,00,000	9,00,000	48,000	48,000
31/3/2021	9,00,000	3,00,000	6,00,000	36,000	84,000
31/3/2022	6,00,000	3,00,000	3,00,000	24,000	1,08,000
31/3/2023	3,00,000	3,00,000	Nil	12,000	1,20,000
31/3/2024	1,20,000	40,000 (1,20,000/3)			
31/3/2025		40,000 (1,20,000/3)			
31/3/2026		40,000 (1,20,000/3)			

3. Computation of finance cost as per amortization table

Year	Opening Balance (1)	Interest @ 9%	Repayment (3)	Closing Balance (1+2-3)
			•	•
1/4/2019				10,43,638

 $\infty$ 

31/3/2020	10,43,638	93,927	3,00,000	8,37,565
31/3/2021	8,37,565	75,381	3,00,000	6,12,946
31/3/2022	6,12,946	55,165	3,00,000	3,68,111
31/3/2023	3,68,111	33,130	3,00,000	1,01,241
31/3/2024	1,01,241	9,112	40,000	70,353
31/3/2025	70,353	6,332	40,000	36,685
31/3/2026	36,685	3,315*	40,000	Nil

<sup>\*</sup>Difference of `13 (`3,315 - `3,302) is due to approximation.

#### Question 54

A Ltd has made a security deposit whose details are described below. Make necessary journal entries for accounting of the deposit in the first year and last year. Assume market interest rate for a deposit for similar period to be 12% per annum.

Particulars	Details
Date of Security Deposit (Starting Date)	1-Apr-20X1
Date of Security Deposit (Finishing Date)	31-Mar-20X6
Description	Lease
Total Lease Period	5 years
Discount rate	12.00%
Security deposit (A)	10,00,000
Present value factor at the 5 <sup>th</sup> year	0.567427

# (MTP 5 Marks Oct '23)

# Answer 54

The above security deposit is an interest free deposit redeemable at the end of lease term for 10,00,000. Hence, this involves collection of contractual cash flows and shall be accounted at amortised cost.

#### Upon initial measurement -

Particulars	Details
Security deposit (A)	10,00,000
Total Lease Period (Years)	5
Discount rate	12.00%
Present value factor of 5 <sup>th</sup> year end	0.56743
Present value of deposit at beginning (B)	5,67,427
Prepaid lease payment at beginning (A-B)	4,32,573

# Journal Entries

#### Year - 1 beginning

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	5,67,427	
Prepaid lease expenses	Dr.	4,32,573	
To Bank A/c			10,00,000

Subsequently, every annual reporting year, interest income shall be accrued @ 12%

per annum and prepaid expenses shall be amortised on straight line basis over the lease term.

#### Year 1 end

Particulars	Amount	Amount
Security deposit A/c (5,67,427 xDr.	68,091	
12%) To Interest income A/c		68,091
Depreciation (4,32,573 / 5 years) Dr.	86,515	
To Prepaid lease expenses		86,515

At the end of 5th year, the security deposit shall accrue `10,00,000 and prepaid lease expenses shall be fully amortised (i.e. depreciated as per Ind AS 116, this prepaid lease rent would be shown as ROU asset). Journal entry for realisation of security deposit –

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	1,07,143	
To Interest income A/c			1,07,143
Depreciation (4,32,573 / 5 years)	Dr.	86,515	
To Prepaid lease expenses (RC Asset)	U		86,515
Bank A/c	Dr.	10,00,000	
To Security deposit A/c			10,00,00

# Section - B

#### Question 1 Illustration

A Ltd. makes sale of goods to customers on credit of 45 days. The customers are entitled to earn a cash discount @ 2% per annum if payment is made before 45 days and an interest @ 10% per annum is charged for any payments made after 45 days.

Evaluate whether such trade receivable are financial assets or not.

#### Answer 1

A financial asset is an asset where there is a contractual right to receive cash or another financial asset from another entity.

In the above case, A Ltd. has the contractual right to receive cash /bank from its trade receivable recorded in its books of accounts, after the expiry of credit period of 45 days or earlier after passing discounts of 2 % per annum.

Hence trade receivables would meet the definition of financial assets.

#### **Question 2 Illustration**

Z Ltd. (the 'Company') makes sale of goods to customers on credit. Goods are carried in large containers for delivery to the dealers' destinations. All dealers are required to deposit a fixed amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  10,000 as security for the containers, which is returned only when the contract with Company terminates. The deposits carry 8% per annum which is payable only when the contract terminates.

If the containers are returned by the dealers in broken condition or any damage caused, then appropriate adjustments shall be made from the deposits at the time of settlement.

# How would such deposits be treated in books of the dealers?

#### Answer 2

A financial asset is an asset where there is a contractual right to receive cash or another financial asset from another entity.

In the above case, such security deposits are receivable in cash / bank at the end of contract period between the dealer and the Company.

Hence they meet the definition of financial assets.

#### Question 3 Illustration

A Ltd. issues a bond at principal amount of CU 1000 per bond. The terms of bond require annual payments in perpetuity at a stated interest rate of 8 per cent applied to the principal amount of CU 1,000. Assuming 8 per cent to be the market rate of interest for the instrument when it was issued, the issuer assumes a contractual obligation to make a stream of future interest payments having a fair value (present value) of CU1,000 on initial recognition.

Evaluate the financial instrument in the hands of both the holder and the issuer.

#### Answer 3

A financial asset is an asset where there is a contractual right to receive cash or another financial asset from another entity.

- For the Holder There is right to receive cash in future. Hence, it will be classified as a financial asset
- For the Issuer There is contractual obligation to pay cash in future. Hence, it will be classified as a financial liability.

#### **Question 4 Illustration**

A Ltd. (the 'Company') makes purchase of steel for its consumption in normal course of business. The purchase terms provide for payment of goods at 30 days credit and interest payable @ 12% per annum for any delays beyond the credit period.

Analyse whether the transaction leads to any financial instruments and if yes, then what is the nature of that financial instrument?

#### **Answer 4**

A financial liability is any liability where there is a contractual obligation to deliver cash or other financial asset to another entity.

In the above case, A Ltd. has entered into a contractual arrangement for purchase of goods at a fixed consideration payable to the creditor. A contractual arrangement that provides for payment in fixed amount of cash to another entity meets the definition of financial liability.

#### Question 5 Illustration

A Ltd. (the 'Company') makes a borrowing for INR 10 lacs from RBC Bank, with bullet repayment of INR 10 lacs and an annual interest rate of 12% per annum. Now, Company defaults at the end of  $5^{th}$  year and consequently, a rescheduling of the payment schedule is made beginning  $6^{th}$  year onwards. The Company is required to pay INR 1,300,000 at the end of  $6^{th}$  year for one time settlement, in lieu of defaults in payments made earlier.

(a) Does the above instrument meet definition of financial liability? Please

explain.

(b) Analyse the differential amount to be exchanged for one-time settlement.

#### Answer 5

- (a) A Ltd. has entered into an arrangement wherein against the borrowing, A Ltd. has contractual obligation to make stream of payments (including interest and principal). This meets definition of financial liability.
- (b) Let's compute the amount required to be settled and any differential arising upon one time settlement at the end of 6th year –
- ♦ Loan principal amount = ₹ 10,00,000
- ◆ Amount payable at the end of 6th year = ₹ 12,54,400 [10,00,000 x 1.12 x 1.12 (Interest for 5th & 6th year in default plus principal amount)]
- One time settlement = INR 13,00,000
- ♦ Additional amount payable = ₹ 45,600

The above represents a contractual obligation to pay cash against settlement of a financial liability under conditions that are unfavorable to A Ltd. (owing to additional amount payable in comparison to amount that would have been paid without one time settlement). Hence the rescheduled arrangement meets definition of 'financial liability'

#### Question 6 Illustration

Silver Ltd. issued irredeemable preference shares with face value of  $\overline{\epsilon}$  10 each and premium of  $\overline{\epsilon}$  90. These shares carry dividend @ 8% per annum, however dividend is paid only when Silver Ltd declares dividend on equity shares. Analyse the nature of this instrument.

#### Answer 6

In the above case, two main characteristics of the preference shares are:

- (i) Preference shares carry dividend, which is payable only when Company declares dividend on equity shares
- $\label{eq:preference} \mbox{(ii) Preference share are irredeemable.}$

Analysing the definition of equity, an instrument meets definition of equity if:

- (a) It contains no contractual obligation to pay cash; and
- (b) Where an instrument shall be settled in own equity instruments, it's a non-derivative contract that will be settled only by issue of fixed number of shares or a derivative contract that will be settled by issue of fixed number of shares for a fixed amount of cash.
  - In the above instrument, there is no contractual obligation on the Company to pay cash since –
- (i) Face value is not redeemable (except in case of liquidation); and
- (ii) Dividend is payable only if Company declares dividend on equity shares. Since dividend on equity shares is discretionary and the Company can choose not to pay, Company has an unconditional right to avoid payment of cash on preference shares also.

Hence preference shares meet definition of equity instrument.

#### Question 7 Illustration

A Ltd. invests in compulsorily convertible preference shares (CCPS) issued by its subsidiary – B Ltd. at ₹ 1,000 each (₹ 10 face value + ₹ 990 premium). Under the terms of the instrument, each CCPS is compulsorily convertible into one equity share of B Ltd at the end of 5 years. Such CCPS carry dividend @ 12% per annum, payable only when declared at the discretion of B Ltd. Evaluate this under definition of financial instrument.

Answer 7

Page 992

B Ltd. has issued CCPS which provide for -

- (a) Conversion into fixed number of equity shares, ie, one equity share for every CCPS (b) Non-cumulative dividends.
- Applying the definition of 'equity' under Ind AS 32 -
- (a) There is no contractual obligation to deliver cash or other financial asset. Dividends are payable only when declared and hence, at the discretion of the Issuer B Ltd., thereby resulting in no contractual obligation over B Ltd.
- (b) Conversion is into a fixed number of equity shares.

  Hence it meets definition of equity instrument and shall be classified as such in books of B Ltd


#### Question 8 Illustration

Target Ltd. took a borrowing from Z Ltd. for ₹ 10,00,000. Z Ltd. enters into an arrangement with Target Ltd. for settlement of the loan against issue of a certain number of equity shares of Target Ltd. whose value equals ₹ 10,00,000. For this purpose, fair value per share (to determine total number of equity shares to be issued) shall be determined based on the market price of the shares of Target Ltd. at a future date, upon settlement of the contract. Evaluate this under definition of financial instrument.

#### Answer 8

In the above scenario, Target Ltd. is under an obligation to issue variable number of equity shares equal to a total consideration of ₹10,00,000. Hence equity shares are used as currency for purpose of settlement of an amount payable by Target Ltd.

Since this is variable number of shares to be issued in a non-derivative contract for fixed amount of cash, it tantamounts to use of equity shares as 'currency' and hence this contract meets the definition of financial liability in books of Target Ltd.



Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt - Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

# Chapter 11 Unit-2 "Classification and measurement of Financial Assets And Financial Liabilities"

#### Question 1 Illustration

An entity holds investments to collect their contractual cash flows. The funding needs of the entity are predictable and the maturity of its financial assets is matched to the entity's estimated funding needs.

The entity performs credit risk management activities with the objective of minimizing credit losses. In the past, sales have typically occurred when the financial assets' credit risk has increased such that the assets no longer meet the credit criteria specified in the entity's documented investment policy. In addition, infrequent sales have occurred as a result of unanticipated funding needs.

Reports to key management personnel focus on the credit quality of the financial assets and the contractual return. The entity also monitors fair values of the financial assets, among other information.

Evaluate the business model.

#### Answer 1

- Although the entity considers, among other information, the financial assets' fair values from a liquidity perspective (ie the cash amount that would be realised if the entity needs to sell assets), the entity's objective is to hold the financial assets in order to collect the contractual cash flows.
- Sales would not contradict that objective if they were in response to an increase in the assets' credit risk, for example if the assets no longer meet the credit criteria specified in the entity's documented investment policy. Infrequent sales resulting from unanticipated funding needs (eg in a stress case scenario) also would not contradict that objective, even if such sales are significant in value.

Hence the business model of the company is to collect contractual cash flows and not realisation from sale of financial assets.

# **Question 2 Illustration**

An entity's business model is to purchase portfolios of financial assets, such as loans. Those portfolios may or may not include financial assets that are credit impaired.

If payment on the loans is not made on a timely basis, the entity attempts to realise the contractual cash flows through various means—for example, by contacting the debtor by mail, telephone or other methods. The entity's objective is to collect the contractual cash flows and the entity does not manage any of the loans in this portfolio with an objective of realising cash flows by selling them.

In some cases, the entity enters into interest rate swaps to change the interest rate on particular financial assets in a portfolio from a floating interest rate to a fixed interest rate.

Evaluate the business model.

#### Answer 2

The objective of the entity's business model is to hold the financial assets in order to collect the contractual cash flows. The same analysis would apply even if the entity does not expect to receive all of the contractual cash flows (eg some of the financial assets are credit impaired at initial recognition).

Page **995** 

# $966^{\mathrm{gr}}$

# Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

Moreover, the fact that the entity enters into derivatives to modify the cash flows of the portfolio does not in itself change the entity's business model.

#### **Ouestion 3 Illustration**

Entity B sells goods to customers on credit. Entity B typically offers customers up to 60 days following the delivery of goods to make payment in full. Entity B collects cash in accordance with the contractual cash flows of trade receivables and has no intention to dispose of the receivables.

Evaluate the business model.

#### Answer 3

Entity's B objective is to collect contractual cash flows from trade receivables and therefore, trade receivables meet the business model test for the purpose of classifying the financial assets at amortised cost.

# Question 4 Illustration

An entity anticipates capital expenditure in a few years. The entity invests its excess cash in short and long-term financial assets so that it can fund the expenditure when the need arises. Many of the financial assets have contractual lives that exceed the entity's anticipated investment period.

The entity will hold financial assets to collect the contractual cash flows and, when an opportunity arises, it will sell financial assets to re-invest the cash in financial assets with a higher return. The managers responsible for the portfolio are remunerated based on the overall return generated by the portfolio.

Evaluate the business model.

#### Answer 4

The objective of the business model is achieved by both collecting contractual cash flows and selling financial assets. The entity will make decisions on an ongoing basis about whether collecting contractual cash flows or selling financial assets will maximise the return on the portfolio until the need arises for the invested cash.

In contrast, consider an entity that anticipates a cash outflow in five years to fund capital expenditure and invests excess cash in short-term financial assets. When the investments mature, the entity reinvests the cash in new short-term financial assets. The entity maintains this strategy until the funds are needed, at which time the entity uses the proceeds from the maturing financial assets to fund the capital expenditure. Only sales that are insignificant in value occur before maturity (unless there is an increase in credit risk). The objective of this contrasting business model is to hold financial assets to collect contractual cash flows.

#### **Question 5 Illustration**

An entity has a business model with the objective of originating loans to customers and subsequently selling those loans to a securitisation vehicle. The securitisation vehicle issues instruments to investors. The originating entity controls the securitisation vehicle and thus consolidates it.

The securitisation vehicle collects the contractual cash flows from the loans and passes them on to its investors. In the consolidated balance sheet, loans continue to be recognised because they are not derecognised by the securitisation vehicle.

Evaluate the business model

#### Answer 5

The entity originating loans to customers has the objective of realising contractual

cash flows on the loan portfolio only through sale to securitisation vehicle. However, the consolidated group originates loans with the objective of holding them to collect the contractual cash flows.

- Hence, the consolidated financial statements provide for a business model with the objective of collecting contractual cash flows by holding to maturity.
- And in separate financial statements of the entity originating loans to customers, business model is to collect cash flows through sale only.

#### Question 6 Illustration

A financial institution holds financial assets to meet liquidity needs in a 'stress case' scenario (eg, a run on the bank's deposits). The entity does not anticipate selling these assets except in such scenarios. The entity monitors the credit quality of the financial assets and its objective in managing the financial assets is to collect the contractual cash flows. The entity evaluates the performance of the assets on the basis of interest revenue earned and credit losses realised. However, the entity also monitors the fair value of the financial assets from a liquidity perspective to ensure that the cash amount that would be realised if the entity needed to sell the assets in a stress case scenario would be sufficient to meet the entity's liquidity needs. Periodically, the entity makes sales that are insignificant in value to demonstrate liquidity.

# Evaluate the business model.

#### Answer 6

The objective of the entity's business model is to hold the financial assets to collect contractual cash flows. The analysis would not change –

- If during a previous stress case scenario the entity had sales that were significant in value in order to meet its liquidity needs; or
- Recurring sales activity that is insignificant in value is not inconsistent with holding financial assets to collect contractual cash flows; or
- If the entity is required by its regulator to routinely sell financial assets to demonstrate that the assets are liquid, and the value of the assets sold is significant, the entity's business model is not to hold financial assets to collect contractual cash flows. Whether a third party imposes the requirement to sell the financial assets, or that activity is at the entity's discretion, is not relevant to the analysis.

In contrast, if an entity holds financial assets to meet its everyday liquidity needs and meeting that objective involves frequent sales that are significant in value, the objective of the entity's business model is not to hold the financial assets to collect contractual cash flows.

#### **Question 7 Illustration**

Instrument A is a bond with a stated maturity date. Payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding are linked to an inflation index of the currency in which the instrument is issued. The inflation link is not leveraged and the principal is protected.

Evaluate the Contractual cash flows characteristics test

#### Answer 7

The contractual cash flows are solely payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding. Linking payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding to an unleveraged inflation index resets the time value of money to a current level. In other words, the interest rate on the instrument reflects 'real' interest. Thus, the interest amounts are consideration for the time

Page 997

value of money on the principal amount outstanding.

However, if the interest payments were indexed to another variable such as the debtor's performance (eg the debtor's net income) or an equity index, the contractual cash flows are not payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding (unless the indexing to the debtor's performance results in an adjustment that only compensates the holder for changes in the credit risk of the instrument, such that contractual cash flows are solely payments of principal and interest). That is because the contractual cash flows reflect a return that is inconsistent with a basic lending arrangement.

#### Question 8 Illustration

Instrument F is a bond that is convertible into a fixed number of equity instruments of the issuer. Analyse the nature of cash flows.

#### Answer 8

The holder would analyse the convertible bond in its entirety. The contractual cash flows are not payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding because they reflect a return that is inconsistent with a basic lending arrangement; ie the return is linked to the value of the equity of the issuer.

#### Question 9 Illustration

Instrument H is a perpetual instrument but the issuer may call the instrument at any point and pay the holder the par amount plus accrued interest due. Instrument H pays a market interest rate but payment of interest cannot be made unless the issuer is able to remain solvent immediately afterwards. Deferred interest does not accrue additional interest. Analyse the nature of cash flows.

#### Answer 9

The contractual cash flows are not payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding. That is because the issuer may be required to defer interest payments and additional interest does not accrue on those deferred interest amounts. As a result, interest amounts are not consideration for the time value of money on the principal amount outstanding.

If interest accrued on the deferred amounts, the contractual cash flows could be payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding.

#### Question 10 Illustration

Instrument D is loan with recourse and is secured by collateral. Does the collateral affect the nature of contractual cash flows?

#### Answer 10

The fact that a loan is collateralised (since with recourse) does not in itself affect the analysis of whether the contractual cash flows are solely payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding. The collateral is only a security to recover dues.

#### Question 11 Illustration

Instrument G is a loan that pays an inverse floating interest rate (ie the interest rate has an inverse relationship to market interest rates). Analyse the nature of cash flows.

#### Answer 11

Here, interest on the instrument has an inverse relationship to the market rate of interest. Hence, it is unlike a basic lending arrangement which normally comprises of

 $_{Page}$ 998

interest payable on any funds lent, as a consideration for the time value of money, credit risk and profit margin normally existing in such arrangements. This arrangement with an inverse floating interest rate provides the lender with a return which may be higher or lower to the market rate of interest and hence, is not necessarily a consideration for the time value of money on the principal amount outstanding.

Thus, these do not represent contractual cash flows that are solely payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding.

# Question 12 Illustration

An entity purchased a debt instrument for 1,00,000.

The instrument pays interest of 6,000 annually and has 10 years to maturity when purchased. The entity intends to hold the asset to collect the contractual cash flows.

Evaluate the business model test.

#### Answer 12

Entity's objective is to hold the asset to collect the contractual cash flows and not to sell the assets before the maturity period.

Thus, the debt instrument would meet the 'hold-to-collect' business model test.

#### Question 13 Illustration

An entity purchased a debt instrument for 1,00,000.

The instrument pays interest of 6,000 annually and has 10 years to maturity when purchased. The entity intends to hold the asset to collect the contractual cash flows.

Six years have passed and the entity is suffering a liquidity crisis and needs to sell the asset to raise funds.

Evaluate the business model test.

#### Answer 13

Since the sale of financial assets was not expected on initial classification and therefore, does not affect the classification (i.e. there is no retrospective reclassification).

Thus, the debt instrument would still meet the 'hold-to-collect' business model test.

#### Question 14 Illustration

SPPI test for loan with zero interest and no fixed repayment terms Parent H Ltd. provides a loan to its Subsidiary S Ltd. The loan is classified as a current liability in Subsidiary S's financial statements and has the following terms:

- Interest free loan.
- No fixed repayment terms
- Repayable on demand of Parent H Ltd.

Does the loan meet the 'SPPI' or contractual cash flows characteristic test?

Answer 14

Yes. The terms for the repayment of the principal amount of the loan on demand satisfies the criterion of SPPI.

#### Question 15 Illustration

Parent H Ltd. provides a loan of INR 100 million to Subsidiary B. The loan has the following terms:

No interest

9999

- Repayable in ten years.

Does the loan meet the 'SPPI' or contractual cash flows characteristic test?

Answer 15

Yes. The terms for the repayment of the principal amount of the loan on demand satisfies the criterion of SPPI.

#### **Question 16 Illustration**

Entity A Ltd. lends Entity B Ltd. INR 5 million for ten years, subject to the following terms:

- Interest is based on the prevailing variable market interest rate.
- Variable interest rate is capped at 10%.
- Repayable in ten years.

Does the loan meet the 'SPPI' or contractual cash flows characteristic test?

Answer 16

Contractual cash flows of both a fixed rate instrument and a floating rate instrument are payments of principal and interest as long as the interest reflects consideration for the time value of money and credit risk.

Therefore, a loan that contains a combination of a fixed and variable interest rate meets the contractual cash flow characteristics test.

#### **Ouestion 17 Illustration**

H Ltd. makes sale of goods to customers on credit of 60 days. The customers are entitled to earn a cash discount @ 5% per annum if payment is made before 60 days and an interest @ 12% per annum is charged for any payments made after 60 days. Company does not have a policy of selling its debtors and holds them to collect contractual cash flows.

Evaluate the financial instrument.

#### Answer 17

In the above case, since H Ltd. has a contractual right to receive cash flows from its customers and therefore such trade receivable are financial assets for H Ltd.

Further, H Ltd. business model test to collect will satisfy as the objective is to hold its trade receivable to collect contractual cash flows till the end of maturity period and such trade

receivable recorded in books represents contractual cash flows that are solely payments of principal and interest if paid beyond credit period.

Hence such trade receivables are classified at amortised cost.

#### Question 18 Illustration

A Ltd. (the 'Company') has obtained the premises from B Ltd. on lease to carry on its business. The lease contract period is 5 years. As per the lease agreement, A Ltd. has paid security deposits to B Ltd. amounting to  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  10 Lac which is refundable after the expiry of lease agreement.

How would such deposits be treated in books of the A Ltd.?

#### Answer 18

In the above case, since A Ltd. has a contractual right to receive cash flows from its Lessor, B Ltd. and therefore such security deposits receivable are financial assets for A Ltd.

Further, A Ltd. business model test to collect will be satisfied as the objective is to hold its security deposits receivable to collect contractual cash flows till the end of maturity period. And such trade receivable recorded in books represents contractual cash flows that are solely payments of principal and interest.

 $_{\text{Page}}1000$ 

Hence such security deposits receivables are classified at amortised cost.

# Question 19 Illustration

Entity A has surplus funds - INR 50 million

A has not yet found suitable investment opportunity so it buys medium dated (5 year maturity) high quality government bonds in order to generate interest income.

If a suitable investment opportunity arises before the maturity date, the entity will sell the bonds and use the proceeds for the acquisition of a business operation. It is likely that a suitable business opportunity will be found before maturity date.

Whether the investment opportunity will meet the 'hold-to-collect' or 'hold-to-collect & sell business model test?

#### Answer 19

Government bonds would not meet the 'hold-to-collect' business model test because it is considered likely that the bonds will be sold well before their contractual maturity.

However, it is likely that such investment would meet the 'hold-to-collect and sell' business model test.

#### Question 20 Illustration

ABC Bank gave loans to a customer – Target Ltd. that carry fixed interest rate @ 10% per annum for a 5 year term and 12% per annum for a 3 year term. Additionally, the bank charges processing fees @1% of the principal amount borrowed. Target Ltd borrowed loans as follows:

- 10 lacs for a term of 5 years
- -8 lacs for a term of 3 years.

Compute the fair value upon initial recognition of the loan in books of Target Ltd. and how will loan processing fee be accounted?

#### Answer 20

The loans from ABC Bank carry interest @ 10% and 12% for 5 year term and 3 year term respectively. Additionally, there is a processing fee payable @ 1% on the principal amount on date of transaction. It is assumed that ABC Bank charges all customers in a similar manner and hence this is representative of the market rate of interest. Amortised cost is computed by discounting all future cash flows at market rate of interest. Further, any transaction fees that are an integral part of the transaction are adjusted in the effective interest rate and recognised over the term of the instrument. Hence loan processing fees shall be reduced from the principal amount to arrive the value on day 1 upon initial recognition.

Fair value (5 year term loan) = 10,00,000 - 10,000 (1% x 10,00,000) = 9,90,000 Fair value (3 year term loan) = 8,00,000 - 8,000 (1% x 8,00,000) = 7,92,000. Now, effective interest rate shall be higher than the interest rate of 10% and 12% on 5 year loan and 3 year loan respectively, so that the processing fees gets recognised as interest over the respective term of loans.

#### **Question 21 Illustration**

Containers Ltd provides containers for use by customers for multiple purposes. The containers are returnable at the end of the service contract period (3 years) between Containers Ltd and its customers. In addition to the monthly charge, there is a security deposit that each customer makes with Containers Ltd for  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  10,000 per container and such deposit is refundable when the service contract

terminates. Deposits do not carry any interest. Analyse the fair value upon initial recognition in books of customers leasing containers. Market rate of interest for 3 year loan is 7% per annum

#### Answer 21

In the above case, lessee (ie, customers leasing the containers) make interest free deposits, which are refundable at the end of 3 years. Now, this money if it was to lent to a third party would fetch interest @ 7% per annum.

Hence, discounting all future cash flows (ie, ₹10,000)

Fair value on initial recognition =  $10,000 / (1+0.07)^3 = 8,163$ .

Differential on day 1 = 10,000 - 8,163 = 1,837

The differential on day 1 shall be treated as follows:

- **Scenario 1** If fair valuation is determined using level 1 inputs or other observable inputs, difference on day 1 recognised in profit or loss
- Scenario 2 If fair valuation is determined using other inputs, difference on day 1 shall be recognised in profit or loss unless it meets definition of an asset or liability. However, in case of security deposits level 1 fair value is not available. Therefore, in the above case, the fair valuation is made based on unobservable inputs and hence applying scenario 2, difference can be recognised as an asset if it meets the definition. Now, since the lessee gets to use the containers in return for making an interest free deposit plus monthly charges, the lost interest representing day 1 difference between value of deposit and its fair value is like "prepaid lease rent' and can be recognised as such. Prepaid rent (ROU Asset) shall be charged off to profit or loss in a straight lined manner as depreciation as per Ind AS 16.

# Question 22 Illustration

An entity acquires a financial asset for CU 100 plus a purchase commission of CU 2. Initially, the entity recognises the asset at CU 102. The reporting period ends one day later, when the quoted market price of the asset is CU 100. If the asset were sold, a commission of CU 3 would be paid. How would transaction costs be accounted in books of the entity?

#### Answer 22

- On that date, the entity measures the asset at CU 100 (without regard to the possible commission on sale) and recognises a loss of CU 2 in other comprehensive income.
- If the financial asset is measured at fair value through other comprehensive income in accordance with Ind AS 109.4.1.2A, the transaction costs are amortised to profit or loss using the effective interest method.

#### **Ouestion 23 Illustration**

The shareholders of Company C provide C with financing in the form of loan notes to enable it to acquire investments in subsidiaries. The loan notes will be redeemed solely out of dividends received from these subsidiaries and become redeemable only when C has sufficient funds to do so. In this context, 'sufficient funds' refers only to dividend receipts from subsidiaries. Analyse the initial measurement of loan notes.

#### **Answer 23**

In this case -

Loan notes are repayable only then C earns returns in form of dividends from subsidiaries. Hence, C cannot be forced to obtain additional external financing or to liquidate its investments to redeem the shareholder loans. Consequently, the loan

1007

notes are not considered payable on demand. Accordingly -

- Loan notes shall be initially measured at their fair value (plus transaction costs), being the present value of the expected future cash flows, discounted using a marketrelated rate. The amount and timing of the expected future cash flows should be determined on the basis of the expected dividend flow from the subsidiaries. Also, the valuation would need to take into account possible early repayments of principal and corresponding reductions in interest expense.
  - Since the loan notes are interest-free or bear lower-than-market interest, there will
    - a difference between the nominal value of the loan notes i.e. the amount granted - and their fair value on initial recognition. Because the financing is provided by shareholders, acting in the capacity of shareholders, the resulting credit should be reflected in equity as a shareholder contribution in C's balance sheet. Conversely, in books of shareholders, the difference between amount invested and its fair value shall be recorded as 'investment in C Ltd' being representative of the underlying relationship between shareholders and C Ltd.

#### **Ouestion 24 Illustration**

Silver Ltd. has made an investment in optionally convertible preference shares (OCPS) of a Company - Bronze Ltd. at ₹ 100 per share (face value ₹ 100 per share). Silver Ltd. has an option to convert these OCPS into equity shares in the ratio of 1:1 and if such option not exercised till end of 9 years, then the shares shall be redeemable at the end of 10 years at a premium of 20%.

Analyse the measurement of this investment in books of Silver Ltd.

#### Answer 24

The classification assessment for a financial asset is done based on two characteristics:

- Whether the contractual cash flows comprise cash flows that are solely payments of principal and interest on the principal outstanding
- Entity's business model (BM) for managing financial assets Whether the Company's BM is to collect cash flows; or a BM that involves realisation of both contractual cash flows & sale of financial assets;

In all other cases, the financial assets are measured at fair value through profit or loss.

In the above case, the Holder can realise return either through conversion or redemption at the end of 10 years, hence it does not indicate contractual cash flows that are solely payments of principal and interest. Therefore, such investment shall be carried at fair value through profit or loss. Accordingly, the investment shall be measured at fair value periodically with gain/ loss recorded in profit or loss.

#### Question 25 Illustration

A Ltd has made a security deposit whose details are described below. Make necessary journal entries for accounting of the deposit in the first year and last year. Assume market interest rate for a deposit for similar period to be 12% per annum.

Particulars	Details
Date of Security Deposit (Starting Date)	1-Apr-20X1
Date of Security Deposit (Finishing Date)	31-Mar-20X6

Description	Lease
Total Lease Period	5 years
Discount rate	12.00%
Security deposit (A)	10,00,000
Present value factor at the 5 <sup>th</sup> year	0.567427

#### **Answer 25**

The above security deposit is an interest free deposit redeemable at the end of lease term for₹10,00,000. Hence, this involves collection of contractual cash flows and shall be accounted at amortised cost.

Upon initial measurement -

Particulars	Details
Security deposit (A)	10,00,000
Total Lease Period (Years)	5
Discount rate	12.00%
Present value factor of 5 <sup>th</sup> year end	0.56743
Present value of deposit at beginning (B)	5,67,427
Prepaid lease payment at beginning (A-B)	4,32,573

#### Journal Entries

Year - 1 beginning

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	5,67,427	
Prepaid lease expenses	Dr.	4,32,573	
To Bank A/c			10,00,000

Subsequently, every annual reporting year, interest income shall be accrued @ 12% per annum and prepaid expenses shall be amortised on straight line basis over the lease term.

Year 1 end

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Security deposit A/c (5,67,427 x 12%)	Dr.	68,091	
To Interest income A/c			68,091
Depreciation (4,32,573 / 5 years)	Dr.	86,515	
To Prepaid lease expenses			86,515

At the end of 5<sup>th</sup> year, the security deposit shall accrue ₹ 10,00,000 and prepaid lease expenses shall be fully amortised (i.e. depreciated as per Ind AS 116, this prepaid lease rent would be shown as ROU asset). Journal entry for realisation of security deposit −

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Security deposit A/c	Dr.	1,07,143	
To Interest income A/c			1,07,143

Depreciation (4,32,573 / 5 years)	Dr.	86,515	
To Prepaid lease expenses (ROU			86,515
Asset)			
Bank A/c	Dr.	10,00,000	
To Security deposit A/c			10,00,00
			0

#### Question 26 Illustration

A Ltd. invested in equity shares of C Ltd. on  $15^{\text{th}}$  March for ₹ 10,000. Transaction costs were ₹ 500 in addition to the basic cost of ₹ 10,000. On 31 March, the fair value of the equity shares was ₹ 11,200 and market rate of interest is 10% per annum for a 10 year loan. Pass necessary journal entries. Analyse the measurement principle and pass necessary journal entries.

#### Answer 26

The above investment is in equity shares of C Ltd and hence, does not involve any contractual cash flows that are solely payments of principal and interest. Hence, these equity shares shall be measured at fair value through profit or loss. Also, an irrecoverable option exists to designate such investment as fair value through other comprehensive income.

#### Journal Entries

Particulars	Amount	Amount
Upon initial recognition -		
Investment in equity shares of C Ltd. Dr.	10,000	
Transaction cost Dr.	500	
To Bank A/c		10,500
(Being investment recognized at fair value plus transaction costs upon initial recognition)		
Profit and Loss A/c Dr.	500	
To Transaction cost		500
(Being transaction cost incurred on assets measured at FVTPL transferred to P&L A/c)		

Subsequently -		
Investment in equity shares of C Ltd. Dr.	1,200	
To Fair value gain on financial instruments		1,200
(Being fair value gain recognized at year end in P&L)		
Fair value gain on financial instruments Dr.	1,200	
To Profit and Loss A/c		1,200
(Being fair value gain transferred to P&L A/c)		

#### Question 27 Illustration

Metallics Ltd. has made an investment in equity instrument of a company – Castor Ltd. for 19% equity stake. Significant influence not exercised. The investment was made for

₹ 5,00,000 for 10,000 equity shares on 01 April 20X1. On 30 June 20X1 the fair value per equity share is ₹ 45. The Company has taken an irrevocable option to measure such investment at fair value through other comprehensive income.

#### **Answer 27**

The Company has made an irrecoverable option to carry its investment at fair value through other comprehensive income. Accordingly, the investment shall be initially recognised at fair value and all subsequent fair value gains/ losses shall be recognised in other comprehensive income (OCI).

#### Journal Entries

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Upon initial recognition -			
Investment in equity shares of C Ltd.	Dr	5,00,000	
To Bank a/c			5,00,000
(Being investment recognized at			
value fair transaction costs upon initial			
plus recognition)			
Subsequently -			
Fair value loss on financial instruments	Dr.	50,000	
To Investment in equity shares of C			50,000
Ltd.			
(Being fair value loss recognised)			
Fair value reserve in OCI		50,000	
Dr.			
To Fair value loss on financial			50,000
instruments			
(Being fair value loss recognized in other	her		
comprehensive income)			

#### Question 28 Illustration

XYZ Ltd. is a company incorporated in India. It provides INR 10,00,000 interest free loan to its wholly owned Indian subsidiary (ABC). There are no transaction costs.

How should the loan be accounted for, in the Ind AS financial statements of XYZ, ABC and consolidated financial statements of the group? Consider the following scenarios:

- a) The loan is repayable on demand.
- b) The loan is repayable after 3 years. The current market rate of interest for similar loan is 10% p.a. for both holding and subsidiary.
- c) The loan is repayable when ABC has funds to repay the loan.

#### Answer 28

Ind AS 109 requires that a financial assets and liabilities are recognized on initial recognition at its fair value, as adjusted for the transaction cost. In accordance with Ind AS

113 Fair Value Measurement, the fair value of a financial liability with a demand feature (e.g., a demand deposit) is not less than the amount payable on

demand, discounted from the first date that the amount could be required to be paid.

Using the guidance, the loan will be accounted for as below in various scenarios: Scenario (a)

Since the loan is repayable on demand, it has fair value equal to cash consideration given. The parent and subsidiary recognize financial asset and liability, respectively, at the amount of loan given. Going forward, no interest is accrued on the loan.

Upon repayment, both the parent and the subsidiary reverse the entries made at origination.

# Scenario (b)

Both parent and subsidiary recognize financial asset and liability, respectively, at fair value on initial recognition. The difference between the loan amount and its fair value is treated as an equity contribution to the subsidiary. This represents a further investment by the parent in the subsidiary.

	Accounting in the books of XYZ Ltd (Parent)					
S.	Particulars		Amount	Amount		
No.						
	On the date of loan					
1.	Loan to ABC Ltd (Subsidiary)	Dr.	7,51,315			
	Deemed Investment (Capital	Dr.	2,48,685			
	Contribution)					
	in ABC Ltd.					
	To Bank			10,00,000		
	(Being the loan is given to ABC Ltd and recognised at fair value)					
	Accrual of Interest income					
2.	Loan to ABC Ltd	Dr.	75,131			
	To Interest income			75,131		
	(Being interest income accrued) – Year 1					
3.	Loan to ABC Ltd	Dr.	82,645			
	To Interest income			82,645		
	(Being interest income accrued) – Year 2					
4.	Loan to ABC Ltd	Dr.	90,909			
	To Interest income			90,909		
	(Being interest income accrued) – Year 3					

	On repayment of loan			
5.	Bank	Dr.	10,00,000	
	To Loan to ABC Ltd (Subsidiary)			10,00,000

Accounting in the books of ABC Ltd (Subsidiary)

	(Subsidiary)			
S. No.	Particulars		Amount	Amount
	On the date of loan			
1	Bank	Dr.	10,00,000	
	To Loan from XYZ Ltd (Payable)			751,315
	To Equity (Deemed Capital			2,48,685
	Contribution from xyz Ltd)			
	(Being the loan is given to ABC Ltd and			
	recognised at Fair value)			
	Accrual of Interest			
2	Interest expense	Dr.	75,131	
	To Loan from XYZ Ltd (Payable)			75,131
	(Being interest expense recognised) – Year I			
3	Interest expense	Dr.	82,645	
	To Loan from XYZ Ltd (Payable)			82,645
	(Being interest expense recognised) – Year II			
4.	Interest expense	Dr.	90,909	
	To Loan from XYZ Ltd (Payable)			90,909
	(Being interest expense recognised) – Year III			
	On repayment of loan			
5.	Loan from XYZ Ltd (Payable)	Dr.	10,00,000	
	To Bank			10,00,000

# Working Notes:-

# 1 Computation of Present value of loan

 Rate
 10%

 Amount of Loan
 10,00,000

 Year
 3

 Present Value
 7,51,315

# 2 Computation of interest for Year I

Present Value 7,51,315
Rate 10%
Period of interest - for 1 year 1
Closing value at the end of year 1 8,26,446
Interest for 1st year 75,131

# 3 Computation of interest for Year 2

2001

Value of loan as at the beginning of Year 2	8,26,446
Rate	10%
Period of interest - for 2 <sup>nd</sup> year	1
Closing value at the end of year 2	9,09,091
Interest for 2 <sup>nd</sup> year	82,645

# 4 Computation of interest for Year 3

Value of loan as at the beginning of Year 3	9,09,091
Rate	10%
Period of interest - for 3 <sup>rd</sup> year	1
Closing value at the end of year 3	10,00,000
Interest for 3 <sup>rd</sup> year	90,909

# Scenario (c)

Generally, a loan, which is repayable when funds are available, can't be stated to be repayable on demand. Rather, the entities need to estimate repayment date and determine its measurement accordingly. If the loan is expected to be repaid in three years, its measurement will be the same as in scenario (b).

In the Consolidated Financial Statements (CFS), the loan and interest income/expense will get knocked-off as intra-group transaction in all three scenarios. Hence the above accounting will not have any impact in the CFS. However, if the loan is in foreign currency, exchange difference will continue to impact the statement of profit and loss in accordance with the requirements of Ind AS 21.

#### Question 29 Illustration

A Company purchases its raw materials from a vendor at a fixed price of ₹ 1,000 per tonne of steel. The payment terms provide for 45 days of credit period, after which an interest of 18% per annum shall be charged. How would the creditors be classified in books of the Company?

# Answer 29

In the above case, creditors for purchase of steel shall be carried at amortised cost, ie, fair value of amount payable upon initial recognition plus interest (if payment is delayed). Here, fair value upon initial recognition shall be the price per tonne, since the transaction is at market terms between two knowledgeable parties in an armslength transaction and hence, the transaction price is representative of fair value.

#### **Question 30 Illustration**

An entity is about to purchase a portfolio of fixed rate assets that will be financed by fixed rate debentures. Both financial assets and financial liabilities are subject to the same interest rate risk that gives rise to opposite changes in fair value that tend to offset each other. Provide your comments.

# Answer 30

The fixed rate assets provide for contractual cash flows and based on business model of the entity, such fixed rate assets may be classified as 'amortised cost' (if entity collects contractual cash flows) or fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI) (if entity manages through collecting contractual cash and sale of financial assets). In the absence of fair value option, the entity can classify the fixed rate assets as FVOCI with gains and losses on changes in fair value recognised in other

comprehensive income and fixed rate debentures at amortised cost. However, reporting both assets and liabilities at fair value through profit and loss, ie, FVTPL corrects the measurement inconsistency and produces more relevant information. Hence, it may be appropriate to classify the entire group of fixed rate assets and fixed rate debentures at fair value through profit or loss (FVTPL).

# Question 31 Illustration

A Ltd has made a borrowing from RBC Bank for  $\stackrel{?}{ ext{ tentsupper}}$  10,000 at a fixed interest of 10% per

annum. Loan processing fees were additionally paid for ₹ 500 and loan is payable after 5 years in bullet repayment of principal. Details are as follows:

ayabic arcor o years in bance repayment	or principal. Details are as follow
Particulars	Details
Loan amount	₹ 10,000
Date of loan (Starting Date)	1-Apr-20X1
Date of repayment of principal amount	31-March-20X6
(Finishing Date)	
Interest rate	10.00%
Interest charge	Interest to be charged and paid
	yearly
Upfront fees	₹ 500
How would loan be accounted in books of A	A Ltd?

#### Answer 31

The loan taken by A Ltd shall be measured at amortised cost as follows:

- Initial measurement At transaction price less processing fees = 10,000 500 = 9,500
- Subsequently interest to be accrued using effective rate of interest as follows:

Year end	Opening balance	Interes t @ 11.42 %	Repayment of interest & principal	Closing balance
1	9,500	1,085	1,000	9,585
2	9,585	1,095	1,000	9,679
3	9,679	1,105	1,000	9,785
4	9,785	1,117	1,000	9,902
5	9,902	1,098*	11,000	-

<sup>\*</sup> Difference due to approximation

# Computation of IRR

IRR would be the rate using which the present value of cash flow should come out to be

₹9,500 i.e. (₹10,000 less ₹500).

For this, we should first compute present value of cashflows using any two rates as follows:

Ye	Openi	Repayme	Closi	PVF	Prese	PVF	Prese
ar	ng	nt/Cashfl	ng	<b>a</b>	nt	<b>a</b>	nt
en	balanc	ows	balan	10%	Value	13%	Value
d	е		ce		at		at
					10%		13%
					rate		rate

,age 1010

1	9,500	1,000	8,500	0.909	909	0.885	885
2	8,500	1,000	7,500	0.826	826	0.783	783
3	7,500	1,000	6,500	0.751	751	0.693	693
4	6,500	1,000	5,500	0.683	683	0.613	613
5	5,500	11,000	(5,500)	0.621	6,830	0.543	5,970*
					10,000		8,945

<sup>\*</sup>Difference is due to approximation

Taking 10% as discount rate, present value (PV) comes out to be ₹10,000.

If rate is increased by 3% over a base rate of 10%, PV decreases by ₹1,055 (i.e. ₹ 10,000 less ₹8945).

To decrease PV by ₹ 1,055, rate should be increased = 3% To decrease PV by Re.1, rate should be increased = 3%

1,055

To decrease PV by \$500, rate should be increased =3%x(500/1,055)= 1.42% This would mean that the discount rate to get present value of cashflows equivalent to

₹9,500 should be 11.42% (i.e. 10% + 1.42%).

# Question 32 Illustration

A Ltd has made a borrowing from RBC Bank for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  10,000 at a fixed interest of 12% per annum. Loan processing fees were additionally paid for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  500 and loan is payable 4 half-yearly instalments of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2,500 each. Details are as follows:

Particulars	Details		
Loan amount	₹ 10,000		
Date of loan (Starting Date)	1-Apr-20X1		
Date of loan (Finishing Date)	31-March-20X3		
Description of	Repayment of loan starts from 30-Sept-20X1		
repayment	(To be paid half yearly)		
Installment amount	₹ 2,500		
Interest rate	12.00%		
Interest charge	Interest to be charged quarterly		
Upfront fees	₹ 500		

How would loan be accounted in books of A Ltd? Consider IRR is 16.60% p.a.

# Answer 32

The loan taken by A Ltd shall be measured at amortised cost as follows:

- Initial measurement At transaction price less processing fees = 10,000 500 = 9,500
- Subsequently interest to be accrued using effective rate of interest as follows:

Date	Amoun	Re-	Upfront	Amoun	Days	IRR	Revised	Loan
	t of	paymen	fees	t of		Calcul	Interest	Balance
	Loan	t	paid	Interes		a- tion	compute	

Jose 1011

				t			d	
1-Apr-20X1	10,000		500			9,500		
-	10,000		300	200		· · ·	200	0.500
30-Jun- 20X1	ı	ı	1	300	90	(300)	389	9,589
30-Sep-20X1	1	2500	ı	300	92	(2,800)	401	7,190
31-Dec-20X1	1	1	1	225	92	(225)	301	7,266
31-Mar 20X2	ı	2500	1	225	90	(2,725)	297	4,838
30-Jun- 20X2	1	1	-	150	91	(150)	200	4,888
30-Sep-20X2	1	2500	1	150	92	(2,650)	204	2,442
31-Dec-20X2	1	1	1	75	92	(75)	102	2,473
31-Mar-	1	2500	-	75	91	(2,575)	102	-
20X3								
					IRR	16.60%		

# Question 33 Illustration

X Ltd. had taken 6 year term loan in April 20X0 from bank and paid processing fees at the time of sanction of loan.

The term loan is disbursed in different tranches from April 20X0 to April 20X6. On the date of transition to Ind AS, i.e. 1.4.20X5, it has calculated the net present value of term loan disbursed upto 31.03.20X5 by using effective interest rate and proportionate processing fees has been adjusted in disbursed amount while calculating net present value.

What will be the accounting treatment of processing fees belonging to undisbursed term loan amount?

#### **Answer 33**

Processing fee is an integral part of the effective interest rate of a financial instrument and shall be included while calculating the effective interest rate.

- (a) Accounting treatment in case future drawdown is probable
  - It may be noted that to the extent there is evidence that it is probable that the undisbursed term loan will be drawn down in the future, the processing fee is accounted for as a transaction cost under Ind AS 109, i.e., the fee is deferred and deducted from the carrying value of the financial liabilities when the draw down occurs and considered in the effective interest rate calculations.
- (b) Accounting treatment in case future drawdown is not probable

  If it is not probable that the undisbursed term loan will be drawn down in the
  future, then the fees is recognised as an expense on a straight-line basis over the
  term of the loan.

# Question 34 Illustration

PQR Limited had obtained term loan from Bank A in 20X1-20X2 and paid loan processing fees and commitment charges.

In May 20X5, PQR Ltd. has availed fresh loan from Bank B as take-over of facility i.e. the new loan is sanctioned to pay off the old loan taken from Bank A. The company paid prepayment premium to Bank A to clear the old term loan and paid processing fees to Bank B for the new term loan.

Whether the prepayment premium and the processing fees both will be treated as transaction cost (as per Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments) of obtaining the new loan, in the financial statements of PQR Ltd?

#### Answer 34

# (a) Accounting treatment of prepayment premium

Ind AS 109, provides that if an exchange of debt instruments or modification of terms is accounted for as an extinguishment, any costs or fees incurred are recognised as part of the gain or loss on the extinguishment in the statement of profit and loss. Since the original loan was prepaid, the prepayment would result in extinguishment of the original loan. The difference between the CV of the financial liability extinguished and the consideration paid shall be recognised in profit or loss as per Ind AS 109.

Accordingly, the prepayment premium shall be recognised as part of the gain or loss on extinguishment of the old loan.

- **(b)** Accounting treatment of Unamortised processing fee of old loan Unamortised processing fee related to the old loan will also be required to be charged to the statement of profit and loss.
- (c) Accounting treatment of Processing fee for new loan

Transaction costs are "Incremental costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, issue or disposal of a financial asset or financial liability. An incremental cost is one that would not have been incurred if the entity had not acquired, issued or disposed of the financial instrument."

It is assumed that the loan processing fees solely relates to the origination of the new loan (i.e. does not represent loan modification/renegotiation fees). Hence, the processing fees paid to avail fresh loan from Bank B will be considered as transaction cost in the nature of origination fees of the new loan and will be included while calculating effective interest rate as per Ind AS 109

# Question 35 Illustration

A share broking company is dealing in sale/purchase of shares for its own account and therefore is having inventory of shares purchased by it for trading. How will these instruments be accounted for in the financial statements?

#### Answer 35

Ind AS 2, Inventories, states that this Standard applies to all inventories, except financial instruments (Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation and Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments).

Accordingly, the principles of recognising and measuring financial instruments are governed by Ind AS 109, its presentation is governed by Ind AS 32 and disclosures are in accordance with Ind AS 107, Financial Instruments: Disclosures, even if these instruments are held as stock-in trade by a company.

Further Ind AS 101, First-time Adoption of Indian Accounting Standards does not provide any transitional relief from the application of the above standards.

Accordingly, in the given case, the relevant requirements of Ind AS 109, Ind AS 32 and Ind AS 107 shall be applied retrospectively.

# Question 36 Illustration

Bonds for  $\ref{thmodel}$  1,00,000 reclassified as FVTPL. Fair value on reclassification is  $\ref{thmodel}$  90,000. Pass the required journal entry.

#### **Answer 36**

Particulars Amount Amount	t
---------------------------	---

Page 1013

Bonds at FVTPL	Dr.	90,000	
Loss on reclassification	Dr.	10,000	
To Bonds at amortised cost			1,00,000

# Question 37 Illustration

Bonds for  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1,00,000 reclassified as FVOCI. Fair value on reclassification is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  90,000. Pass the required journal entry.

#### Answer 37

Particulars	Amount	Amount	
Bonds at FVOCI	Dr.	90,000	
OCI (Loss on reclassification)	Dr.	10,000	
To Bonds at amortised cost			1,00,000

# Question 38 Illustration

Bonds for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  100,000 reclassified as Amortised cost. Fair value on reclassification is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  90,000. Pass the required journal entry.

#### Answer 38

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Bonds at Amortised cost	Dr.	90,000	
Loss on reclassification	Dr.	10,000	
To Bonds at FVTPL			1,00,000

# Question 39 Illustration

Bonds for  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  100,000 reclassified as FVOCI. Fair value on reclassification is  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  90,000. Pass the required journal entry.

#### Answer 39

Particulars		Amount	Amount
Bonds at FVOCI	Dr.	90,000	
Loss on reclassification	Dr.	10,000	
To Bonds at FVTPL			1,00,000

# Question 40 Illustration

Bonds for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  100,000 reclassified as Amortised cost. Fair value on reclassification is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  90,000 and  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  10,000 loss was recognised in OCI till date of reclassification. Pass required journal entry.

# Answer 40

Particulars	Amount	Amount
Bonds at FVOCI Dr.	10,000	
To OCI - Loss on reclassification		10,000
[Being loss recognized in OCI now re	eversed	
prior to reclassification]		
Bonds (Amortised cost) Dr.	1,00,000	
To Bonds at FVOCI		1,00,000
[Being bonds reclassified from FVOCI to	Amortised	
cost]		

,age 1014

# $^{\mathrm{age}}1015$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

# **Ouestion 41 Illustration**

Bonds for  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  100,000 reclassified as FVTPL. Fair value on reclassification is  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  90,000. Pass the required journal entry.

#### Answer 41

Particulars	Amoun	Amount	
P&L - Loss on reclassification	Dr.	10,000	
To Bonds at FVTOCI			10,000
Bonds at FVTPL	Dr.	90,000	
To Bonds at FVOCI			90,000

# Question 42 Illustration

Entity A originates a single 10 year amortising loan for CU1 million. Taking into consideration the expectations for instruments with similar credit risk (using reasonable and supportable information that is available without undue cost or effort), the credit risk of the borrower, and the economic outlook for the next 12 months, Entity A estimates that the loan at initial recognition has a probability of default (PoD) of 0.5 per cent over the next 12 months. Entity A also determines that changes in the 12-month PoD are a reasonable approximation of the changes in the lifetime PoD for determining whether there has been a significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition. Loss given default (LGD) is estimated as 25% of the balance outstanding. Calculate loss allowance.

#### Answer 42

At reporting date, no change in 12-month POD and entity assesses that there is no significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition – therefore lifetime ECL is not required to be recognised.

Particulars	Details
Loan	₹1,000,000 (A)
LGD	25% (B)
PoD – 12 months	0.5% (C)
Loss allowance (for 12-months ECL)	₹1,250 (A*B*C)

# Question 43

Bank A originates 2,000 bullet loans with a total gross carrying amount of CU 500,000. Bank A segments its portfolio into borrower groups (Groups X and Y) on the basis of shared credit risk characteristics at initial recognition. Group X comprises 1,000 loans with a gross carrying amount per client of CU 200, for a total gross carrying amount of CU 200,000. Group Y comprises 1,000 loans with a gross carrying amount per client of CU 300, for a total gross carrying amount of CU 300,000. There are no transaction costs and the loan contracts

include no options (for example, prepayment or call options), premiums or discounts, points paid, or other fees. Calculate loss rate when

Group Historic	per	annum	Present	value	of	observed
----------------	-----	-------	---------	-------	----	----------

# .U.Lb

# Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

	average defaults	loss assumed
X	4	CU 600
Y	2	CU 450

#### Answer 43

- Bank A measures expected credit losses on the basis of a loss rate approach for Groups X and Y. In order to develop its loss rates, Bank A considers samples of its own historical default and loss experience for those types of loans.
- In addition, Bank A considers forward-looking information, and updates its historical information for current economic conditions as well as reasonable and supportable forecasts of future economic conditions. Historically, for a population of 1,000 loans in each group, Group X's loss rates are 0.3 per cent, based on four defaults, and historical loss rates for Group Y are 0.15 per cent, based on two defaults.

	Numbe r of clients in sample	Estimate d per client gross carrying amount at default	Total estimate d gross carrying amount at default	Histori c per annum average defaults	Estimated total gross carrying amount at default	Presen t value of observe d loss assumed	Loss rate
Group	A	В	$C = A \times B$	D	$E = B \times D$	F	$G = F \div C$
X	1,000	CU 200	CU 2,00,000	4	CU 800	CU 600	0.3%
Y	1,000	CU 300	CU 3,00,000	2	CU 600	CU 450	0.15%

# Question 44 Illustration

Company M, a manufacturer, has a portfolio of trade receivables of CU 30 million in 20X1 and operates only in one geographical region. The customer base consists of a large number of small clients and the trade receivables are categorised by common risk characteristics that are representative of the customers' abilities to pay all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms. The trade receivables do not have a significant financing component in accordance with Ind AS 115. In accordance with paragraph 5.5.15 of Ind AS 109 the loss allowance for such trade receivables is always measured at an amount equal to lifetime expected credit losses.

Please use the following information of debtors outstanding:

	Gross	carrying
	amount	
Current	CU	15,000,000
1-30 days past due	C	U 7,500,000
31-60 days past due	C	U 4,000,000
61-90 days past due	C	U 2,500,000
More than 90 days past due	C	U 1,000,000
	CU	30,000,000

Company M uses following default rates for making provisions:

	Current	1–30 days past due	31–60 days past due	•	More than 90 days past due
Default rate	0.3%	1.6%	3.6%	6.6%	10.6%

# Determine the expected credit losses for the portfolio Answer 44


To determine the expected credit losses for the portfolio, Company M uses a provision matrix. The provision matrix is based on its historical observed default rates over the expected life of the trade receivables and is adjusted for forward-looking estimates. At every reporting date the historical observed default rates are updated and changes in the forward-looking estimates are analysed. In this case it is forecast that economic conditions will deteriorate over the next year.

On that basis, Company M estimates the following provision matrix:

	Current	1–30 days past due	31–60 days past due	61–90 days past due	More than 90 days past due
Default rate	0.3%	1.6%	3.6%	6.6%	10.6%

The trade receivables from the large number of small customers amount to CU 30 million and are measured using the provision matrix.

Gross Lifetime expected credit loss allowance (Gross carrying amount carrying amount x lifetime expected credit loss rate) Current CU CU 45,000 15,000,000 1-30 days past due CU 7,500,000 CU 120,000 CU 144,000 31-60 days past due CU 4,000,000 61-90 days past due CU 2,500,000 CU 165,000 More than 90 days past CU 106,000 CU 1,000,000 due CU 580,000 CU 30,000,000



,age 1018

# Chapter 11 Unit-3 "Financial Instruments Equity and Financial Liabilities"

# Question 1 Illustration

A Ltd. (issuer) issues preference shares to B Ltd (holder). Those preference shares are redeemable at the end of 10 years from the date of issue and entitle the holder to a cumulative dividend of 15% p.a. The rate of dividend is commensurate with the credit risk profile of the issuer. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 1

This instrument provides for mandatory fixed dividend payments and redemption by the issuer for a fixed amount at a fixed future date. Since there is a contractual obligation to deliver cash (for both dividends and repayment of principal) to the preference shareholder that cannot be avoided, the instrument is a financial liability in its entirety

# **Question 2 Illustration**

X Co. Ltd. (issuer) issues debentures to Y Co. Ltd. (holder). Those debentures are redeemable at the end of 10 years from the date of issue. Interest of 15% p.a. is payable at the discretion of the issuer. The rate of interest is commensurate with the credit risk profile of the issuer. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 2

This instrument has two components – (1) mandatory redemption by the issuer for a fixed amount at a fixed future date, and (2) interest payable at the discretion of the issuer.

The first component is a contractual obligation to deliver cash (for repayment of principal with or without premium, as per terms) to the debenture holder that cannot be avoided. This component of the instrument is a financial liability.

The second component of interest payable is discretion of the issuer and hence will be classified as equity. This is also discussed in detailed in the compound financial instrument section (Also refer Illustration 27 in the subsequent section).

#### **Ouestion 3 Illustration**

P Co. Ltd. (issuer) takes a loan from Q Co. Ltd. (holder). The loan is perpetual and entitles the holder to fixed interest of 8% p.a. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 3

This instrument has two components – (1) mandatory interest by the issuer for a fixed amount at a fixed future date, and (2) perpetual nature of the principal amount. The first component is a contractual obligation to deliver cash (for payment of interest) to the lender that cannot be avoided. This component of the instrument is a financial liability.

## **Question 4 Illustration**

Does the lack of access to foreign currency or the need to obtain approval for payment from a regulatory authority, will lead to contractual obligation?

#### Answer 4

Lack of access to foreign currency or the need to obtain approval for payment from a regulatory authority, does not negate the entity's contractual obligation or the

holder's contractual right under the instrument.

# **Question 5 Illustration**

D Ltd. issues preference shares to G Ltd. The holder has an option to convert these preference shares to equity instruments of the issuer anytime up to a period of 10 years. If the option is not exercised by the holder, the preference shares are redeemed at the end of 10 years. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 5

This instrument has two components – (1) contractual obligation that is conditional on holder exercising its right to redeem, and (2) conversion option with the holder. The first component is a financial liability because the entity does not have the unconditional right to avoid delivering cash.

# **Question 6 Illustration**

LMN Ltd. issues preference shares to PQR Ltd. These preference shares are redeemable at the end of 5 years from the date of issue.

The instrument also provides a settlement alternative to the issuer whereby it can transfer a particular commercial building to the holder, whose value is estimated to be significantly higher than the cash settlement amount. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 6

Such preference shares are financial liability because the entity can avoid a transfer of cash or another financial asset only by settling the non-financial obligation.

# **Question 7 Illustration**

ABC Ltd. has two classes of puttable shares – Class A shares and Class B shares. On liquidation, Class B shareholders are entitled to a pro rata share of the entity's residual assets up to a maximum of ₹ 10,000,000.

There is no limit to the rights of the Class A shareholders to share in the residual assets on liquidation. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 7

The cap of ₹10,000,000 means that Class B shares do not have entitlement to a pro rata share of the residual assets of the entity on liquidation. They cannot therefore be classified as equity.

# Question 8 Illustration

Mutual Fund X has an Investment Manager Y. At the inception of the fund, Y had invested a nominal or token amount in units of X. Such units rank last for repayment in the event of liquidation. Accordingly, they constitute the most subordinate class of instruments. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 8

The units held by Y holders are classified as equity as they are most subordinate class of instruments and will be entitled to residual interest.

However, the units held by other unit holders are classified as financial liability as they are not the most subordinate class of instruments – they are entitled to pro rate share of net assets on liquidation, and their claim has a priority over claims of Y.

It may be noted that the most subordinate class of instruments may consist of two or more legally separate types of instruments

# **Question 9 Illustration**

T Motors Ltd. has issued puttable ordinary shares and puttable 'A' ordinary shares whereby holders of ordinary shares are entitled to one vote per share whereas holders of 'A' ordinary shares are not entitled to any voting rights. The holders of two classes of shares are equally entitled to receive share in net assets upon liquidation. Examine whether the financial instrument will be classified as equity.

#### Answer 9

Neither of the two classes of puttable shares can be classified as equity, as they do not have identical features due to the difference in voting rights. It is not possible for T Motors Ltd. to achieve equity classification of the ordinary shares by designating them as being more subordinate than the 'A' ordinary shares, as this does not reflect the fact that the two classes of share are equally entitled to share in entity's residual assets on liquidation.

# Question 10 Illustration

S Ltd. has issued a class of puttable ordinary shares to T Ltd. Besides the put option (which is consistent with other classes of ordinary shares), T Ltd. is also entitled to convert the class of ordinary shares held by it into equity instruments of S Ltd. whose number will vary as per the market value of S Ltd. Examine whether the financial instrument will be classified as equity.

#### Answer 10

The shares cannot qualify for equity classification in their entirety as in addition to the put option there is also a contractual obligation to settle the instrument in variable number of entity's own equity instruments.

### **Question 11 Illustration**

P Ltd. has issued puttable ordinary shares to Q Ltd. Q Ltd. has also entered into an asset management contract with P Ltd. whereby Q Ltd. is entitled to 50% of the profit of P Ltd. Normal commercial terms for similar contracts will entitle the service provider to only 4%-6% of the net profits. Examine whether the financial instrument will be classified as equity.

#### Answer 11

The puttable ordinary shares cannot qualify for equity classification as (a) in addition to the put option, there is another contract between the issuer (P Ltd.) and holder of puttable instrument (Q Ltd.) whose cash flows are based substantially on profit or loss of issuer, (b) whose contractual terms are not similar to a contract between a non-instrument holder and issuer and (c) it has the effect of substantially restricting return on puttable ordinary shares.

# Question 12 Illustration

A Ltd. issued compulsorily convertible preference shares (CCPS) at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  100 each ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  10 face value +  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  90 premium per share) for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  10,00,000. These are convertible into equity shares at the end of 10 years, where the number of equity shares to be issued shall be determined based on fair value per equity share to be determined at the time of conversion.

Evaluate if this is financial liability or equity? What if the conversion ratio was fixed at the time of issue of such preference shares?

#### Answer 12

i. As per Ind AS 109, non-derivative contracts which will be settled against issue of variable number of own equity shares meet the definition of financial liability. In this case, A Ltd. has issued CCPS which are convertible into variable number of shares. Hence, it is akin to use of own equity shares as currency for settlement of the liability of CCPS issued. Accordingly, it meets the definition of financial liability.

Measurement -

**Initial measurement** – This shall be measured at fair value on date of transaction. Since A Ltd shall give shares worth ₹ 10 lacs at the end of 10 years which is equal to the amount borrowed on day 1, the liability is recognised at fair value, determined by discounting future settlement of the borrowed amount. For difference arising on day 1 between amount borrowed and that recognised as liability using level 3 inputs, it is deferred and recognised on a systematic basis over the period of liability.

**Subsequent measurement** – Such liability shall be carried at fair value through profit or loss.

ii. Per Ind AS 109, a non-derivative contract that involves issue of fixed number of equity shares shall be classified as equity.

In this case, if the conversion of CCPS was into a fixed number of equity shares at the end of 10 years, then it meets the definition of equity and hence, shall be classified as 'equity instrument'.

An equity instrument is carried at cost and no further adjustments made to its carrying value after initial recognition.

# Question 13 Illustration

CBA Ltd. issues convertible debentures to RQP Ltd. for a subscription amount of  $\overline{t}$  100 crores. Those debentures are convertible after 5 years into equity shares of CBA Ltd. using a pre-determined formula. The formula is:

100 crores  $X (1 + 10\%)^5$ 

Fair value on date of conversion

Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 13

Such a contract is a financial liability of the entity even though the entity can settle it by delivering its own equity instruments. It is not an equity instrument because the entity uses a variable number of its own equity instruments as a means to settle the contract. The underlying thought behind this conclusion is that the entity is using its own equity instruments 'as currency'

# **Question 14 Illustration**

DF Ltd. issues convertible debentures to JL Ltd. for a subscription amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  100 crores. Those debentures are convertible after 5 years into 15 crore equity shares of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  10 each.

Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 14

This contract is an equity instrument because changes in the fair value of equity shares arising from market related factors do not affect the amount of cash or other financial assets to be paid or received, or the number of equity instruments to be received or delivered.

#### Question 15 Illustration

ST Ltd. purchases an option from AT Ltd. entitling the holder to subscribe to fixed number of equity shares of issuer at a fixed exercise price of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  50 per share at any time during a period of 3 months. Holder paid an initial premium of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  2 per option. Examine whether the financial instrument will be classified as equity.

# Answer 15

For the issuer AT Ltd., this option is an equity instrument as it will be settled by the

exchange of a fixed amount of cash for a fixed number of its own equity instruments. If, on the other hand, if the exercise price of the option was variable, say benchmarked to an index or a variable, other than the market price of equity shares of AT Ltd., the written option will be classified as a "financial liability" in the books of the issuer, AT Ltd

# **Question 16 Illustration**

WC Ltd. writes an option in favour of GT Ltd. wherein the holder can purchase issuer's equity instruments at prices that fluctuate in response to the share price of issuer.

As per the terms, if the share price of issuer is less than ₹ 50 per share, option can be exercised at ₹ 40 per share. If the share price is equal to or more than ₹ 50 per share, option can be exercised at ₹ 60 per share. Explain the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 16

As the contract will be settled by delivery of fixed number of instruments for a variable amount of cash, it is a financial liability.

# Question 17 Illustration

Acquirer Ltd. enters into an arrangement with shareholders of Target Ltd. wherein Acquirer Ltd. will purchase shares of Target Ltd. in a share swap arrangement against a variable amount of cash i.e. market value of Target Ltd.'s equity shares. The share swap ratio is agreed as 1:5 i.e. 1 equity share of Acquirer Ltd. for every 5 equity shares held in Target Ltd. Examine whether the financial instrument will be classified as equity.

#### Answer 17

Such arrangements will not meet the condition for classification as "equity instrument" since the contract will be settled by delivery of fixed number of Acquirer Ltd.'s own equity instruments against a variable amount of cash i.e. market value of Target Ltd.'s equity shares.

Such a contract will likely result in a derivative liability or asset for both the parties.

#### Question 18 Illustration

On 1 January 20X1, NKT Ltd. subscribes to convertible preference shares of VT Ltd. The conversion ratio varies as below:

Conversion upto 31 March 20X1: 1 equity share of VT Ltd. for each preference share held Conversion upto 30 June 20X1: 1.5 equity share of VT Ltd. for each preference share held Conversion upto 31 December 20X1: 2 equity share of VT Ltd. for each preference share held. Examine whether the financial instrument will be classified as equity.

# Answer 18

The convertible preference shares can be classified as "equity instrument" in the books of the issuer, VT Ltd. The conversion ratio doesn't change corresponding to any underlying variable, it only varies in response to passage of time which is a certain event and hence fixed.

# Question 19 Illustration

On 1 January 20X1, HT Ltd. subscribes to convertible preference shares of RT Ltd. The preference shares are convertible in the ratio of 1:1.

The terms of the instrument entitle HT Ltd. to proportionately more equity shares of RT Ltd. in case of a stock split or bonus issue. Examine whether the financial instrument will be classified as equity.

# $_{ extsf{Page}}1024$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

#### Answer 19

The convertible preference shares can be classified as "equity instrument" in the books of the issuer, RT Ltd. The variability in the conversion ratio is only to protect the rights of the holder of convertible instrument vis-à-vis other equity shareholders.

The conversion was always intended to be in a fixed ratio and hence the holder is exposed to the change in equity value. The variability is brought in to maintain holder's exposure in line with other holders.

# **Question 20 Illustration**

On 1 January 20X1, PG Ltd. subscribes to convertible preference shares of BG Ltd. at ₹ 100 per preference share. The preference shares are convertible in the ratio of 10:1 i.e. 10 equity shares for each preference share held. On a fully diluted basis, PG Ltd. is entitled to 30% stake in BG Ltd. If subsequent to the issuance of these convertible preference shares, BG Ltd. issues any equity instruments at a price lower than ₹ 10 per share, conversion ratio will be changed to compensate PG Ltd. for dilution in its stake below the expected dilution at a price of ₹ 10 per share. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 20

The convertible preference shares will be classified as "financial liability" in the books of the issuer, BG Ltd. The variability in the conversion ratio underwrites the return on preference shares and not just protects the rights of convertible instrument holders vis-à-vis equity shareholders

# **Question 21 Illustration**

On 1 January 20X1, NG Ltd. subscribes to convertible preference shares of AG Ltd. at ₹ 100 per preference share. On a fully diluted basis, NG Ltd. is entitled to 30% stake in AG Ltd.

The preference shares are convertible at fair value, subject to, NG Ltd.'s stake not going below 15% and not going above 40%. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 21

The convertible preference shares will be classified as "financial liability" in the books of the issuer, AG Ltd. The variability in the conversion ratio underwrites the return on preference shares to an extent and also restricts that return. The preference shareholder is not entitled to residual net assets of the issuer.

In certain situations, an instrument is convertible only at the option of issuer. While such instruments provide the issuer with an unconditional right to avoid payment of cash, it is important to understand the economic substance of the option. It is also very important to determine whether the option is exercised by the issuer or by shareholders acting in their capacity as instrument holders.

For example, if the convertible instrument is held by the equity shareholders of the issuer and the conversion requires unanimous consent of all the shareholders, it would be inappropriate to consider that the issuer has an unconditional right to avoid payment of cash. In this situation, it would be more relevant to consider the rights of the instrument holders in their capacity as equity shareholders of the issuer.

# Question 22 Illustration

On 1 January 20X1, STAL Ltd. subscribes to convertible preference shares of ATAL Ltd. The preference shares are convertible as below:

Convertible 1:1 if another strategic investor invests in the issuer within one year

Convertible 1.5:1: if a prospectus filing is successfully completed within 2 years Convertible 2:1: if a binding agreement for sale of majority stake by equity shareholders is entered into within 3 years

Convertible 3:1: if none of these events occur in 3 years' time. Examine whether the financial instrument will be classified as equity.

#### Answer 22

In this case the four events can be viewed as discrete because the achievement of each one of these can occur independently of the other (as they relate to different periods). The arrangement can therefore be considered to be economically equivalent to four separate contracts. The price per share and the amount of shares to be issued is fixed in each of these discrete periods, with each event relating to a different year and therefore a separate risk. The "fixed for fixed" test is therefore met.

The instrument is therefore classified as "equity instrument".

# Question 23 Illustration

On 1 January 20X1, RHT Ltd. subscribes to convertible preference shares of RDT Ltd. The preference shares are convertible as below:

Convertible 1:1 if another strategic investor invests at an enterprise valuation (EV) of USD 100 million.

Convertible 1.5:1: if another strategic investor invests at EV of USD 150 million Convertible 2:1: if another strategic investor invests at EV of USD 200 million Convertible 3:1: if no strategic investment is made within a period of 3 years Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 23

The four events are interdependent because the second event cannot be met without also meeting the first event, and the third event cannot be met unless the first two are met.

Therefore, this contract should be treated as a single instrument when applying the "fixed for fixed" test. The test is then failed because the number of shares to be exchanged for cash are variable.

# **Question 24 Illustration**

Entity A issues a bond with face value of USD 100 and carrying a fixed coupon rate of 6% p.a. Each bond is convertible into 1,000 equity shares of the issuer. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

### **Answer 24**

While the number of equity shares is fixed, the amount of cash is not. The variability in cash arises on account of fluctuation in exchange rate of INR-USD. Such a foreign currency convertible bond (FCCB) will qualify the definition of "financial liability". However, Ind AS 32.11 provides, "the equity conversion option embedded in a convertible bond denominated in foreign currency to acquire a fixed number of the entity's own equity instruments is an equity instrument if the exercise price is fixed in any currency."

Accordingly, FCCB will be treated as an "equity instrument".

# Question 25 (Continued from Question 54) Illustration

X Co. Ltd. (issuer) issues debentures to Y Co. Ltd. (holder). Those debentures are redeemable at the end of 10 years from the date of issue. Interest of 15% p.a. is payable at the discretion of the issuer. The rate of interest is commensurate with the credit risk profile of the issuer. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### Answer 25

This instrument has two components – (1) mandatory redemption by the issuer for a fixed amount at a fixed future date, and (2) interest payable at the discretion of the issuer

The first component is a contractual obligation to deliver cash (for repayment of principal with or without premium, as per terms) to the debenture holder that cannot be avoided. This component of the instrument is a financial liability.

The other component, discretionary interest is an equity feature because issuer can avoid payment of cash or another financial asset in this respect.

Therefore, this instrument is concluded to be a compound financial instrument.

# Question 26 (Continued from Question 55) Illustration

P Co. Ltd. (issuer) takes a loan from Q Co. Ltd. (holder). The loan is perpetual and entitles the holder to fixed interest of 8% p.a. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

#### **Answer 26**

This instrument has two components – (1) mandatory interest by the issuer for a fixed amount at a fixed future date, and (2) perpetual nature of the principal amount. The first component is a contractual obligation to deliver cash (for payment of interest) to the lender that cannot be avoided. This component of the instrument is a financial liability.

The other component, perpetual principal, is an equity feature because issuer is not required to pay cash or another financial asset in this respect.

Therefore, this instrument is concluded to be a compound financial instrument.

# Question 27 (Continued from Question 57) Illustration

D Ltd. issues preference shares to G Ltd. The holder has an option to convert these preference shares to equity instruments of the issuer anytime up to a period of 10 years. If the option is not exercised by the holder, the preference shares are redeemed at the end of 10 years. Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

# Answer 27

This instrument has two components – (1) contractual obligation that is conditional on holder exercising its right to redeem, and (2) conversion option with the holder.

The first component is a financial liability because the entity does not have the unconditional right to avoid delivering cash.

The other component, conversion option with the holder, is an equity feature if the "fixed for fixed" test is satisfied. If the conversion option does not fulfil that test, say, because the conversion ratio varies in response to an underlying variable, it is a derivative liability.

Such an instrument is called a "hybrid instrument".

#### **Question 28 (Continued from Question 55) Illustration**

P Co. Ltd. (issuer) takes a loan from Q Co. Ltd. (holder) for ₹ 12 lakhs. The loan is perpetual and entitles the holder to fixed interest of 8% p.a. The rate of interest commensurate with credit risk profile of the issuer is 12% p.a. Calculate the value of the liability and equity components.

 $_{Page}1026$ 

#### Answer 28

The values of the liability and equity components are calculated as follows:

Present value of interest payable in perpetuity (₹96,000 discounted at 12%) = ₹800,000

Therefore, equity component = fair value of compound instrument, say, ₹ 1,200,000 less financial liability component i.e. ₹ 800,000 = ₹ 400,000.

In subsequent years, the profit and loss account is charged with interest of 12% on the debt instrument.

# Question 29 (Continued from Question 81) Illustration

On 1 July 20X1, D Ltd. issues preference shares to G Ltd. for a consideration of ₹ 10 lakhs. The holder has an option to convert these preference shares to a fixed number of equity instruments of the issuer anytime up to a period of 3 years. If the option is not exercised by the holder, the preference shares are redeemed at the end of 3 years. The preference shares carry a fixed coupon of 6% p.a. and is payable every year. The prevailing market rate for similar preference shares, without the conversion feature, is 9% p.a. Calculate the value of the liability and equity components.

#### Answer 29

The values of the liability and equity components are calculated as follows:

Present value of principal payable at the end of 3 years (₹ 10 lakhs discounted at 9% for 3 years) = ₹ 772,183

Present value of interest payable in arrears for 3 years (₹60,000 discounted at 9% for each of 3 years) = ₹151,878

Total financial liability = ₹924,061

Therefore, equity component = fair value of compound instrument, say, ₹ 1,000,000 less financial liability component i.e. ₹ 924,061 = ₹ 75,939.

In subsequent years, the profit and loss account is charged with interest of 9% on the debt instrument.

#### **Question 30 Illustration**

D Ltd. issues preference shares to G Ltd. for a consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  10 lakhs. The holder has an option to convert these preference shares to a fixed number of equity instruments of the issuer anytime up to a period of 3 years. If the option is not exercised by the holder, the preference shares are redeemed at the end of 3 years. The preference shares carry a coupon of RBI base rate plus 1% p.a. and is payable at the end of every year.

The prevailing market rate for similar preference shares, without the conversion feature or issuer's redemption option, is RBI base rate plus 4% p.a. On the date of contract, RBI base rate is 9% p.a.

Calculate the value of the liability and equity components.

#### Answer 30

The values of the liability and equity components are calculated as follows:

Present value of principal payable at the end of 3 years (₹ 10 lakhs discounted at 13% for 3 years) = ₹ 6,93,050

Present value of interest payable in arrears for 3 years (₹ 100,000 discounted at 13% for each of 3 years) = ₹ 2,36,115

Paragraph AG 31 of Ind AS 32 states that a common form of compound financial instruments is a debt instrument with an embedded conversion option, such as a bond convertible into ordinary shares of the issuer, and without any other embedded

derivatives features.

The liability component = Present value of principal + Present value of Interest

Equity Component = ₹10,00,000 - ₹9,29,165 = ₹70,835

# Question 31 Illustration

XYZ Ltd. issues optionally convertible debentures with the following terms: The debentures carry interest at the rate of 7% p.a.

Issuer has option to either:

Convert the instrument into a fixed number of its own shares at any time, or redeem the instrument in cash at any time. The redemption price is the fair value of the fixed number of shares into which the instrument would have converted if it had been converted.

The holder has no conversion or redemption options.

Debentures have a tenor of 12 years and, if not converted or redeemed earlier, will be repaid in cash at maturity, including accrued interest, if any.

Examine the nature of the financial instrument.

# Answer 31

The issuer has the ability to convert the debentures into a fixed number of its own shares at any time. The issuer, therefore, has the ability to avoid making a cash payment or settling the debentures in a variable number of its own shares. Therefore, such a financial instrument is likely to be classified as equity.

However, it must be noted that mere existence of a right to avoid payment of cash is not conclusive. The instrument is to be accounted for as per its substance and hence it needs to be seen whether the conversion option is substantive In this particular situation, the issuer will need to determine whether it is favourable to exercise the conversion option or redemption option. In case of latter, the instrument will be classified as a financial liability (a hybrid instrument, whose measurement is dealt with in a subsequent section).

Practical situations do arise wherein the issuer has an option or obligation to issue own equity instruments only in particular circumstances i.e. the instrument is contingently convertible.

# **Question 32 Illustration**

Optionally convertible redeemable preference shares (continued from Illustration 29)

The amortisation schedule of the instrument is set out below:

Dates	Cash	Finance cost at effective	Liabilit	Equit
	flows	interest rate	У	y
1July 20X1	1,000,000	-	9,24,06	75,93
			1	9
30 June	(60,000)	83,165	9,47,22	75,93
20X2		·	6	9
30 June	(60,000)	85,250	9,72,47	75,93
20X3			6	9
30 June	(10,60,00	87,524	-	75,93
	, , ,	-		

20X4	0)		9

Assume that D Ltd. has an early redemption option to prepay the instrument at `11 lakhs and on 30 June 20X3, it exercises that option. At 30 June 20X3, the interest rate has changed. At that time, D Ltd. could have issued a one-year (i.e. maturity 30 June 20X4) non-convertible instrument at 5%. Calculate the value of the liability and equity components.

#### Answer 32

Ind AS 32 requires that the amount paid (of `11 lakhs) is split by the same method as is used in the initial recording. However, at 30 June 20X3, the interest rate has changed. At that time, D Ltd. could have issued a one-year (i.e. maturity 30 June 20X4) non-convertible instrument at 5%.

The split will be made as below:

Particulars	Amount (`)
Present value of principal payable at 30 June 20X4 in one year's time	9,52,381
(` 10 lakhs discounted at 5% for one year)	
Present value of interest payable (`60,000 discounted at 5% for one year)	57,142
Total liability component	10,09,523
Consideration paid	11,00,000
Residual – equity component	
	90,47
	7


Accordingly, the difference between consideration allocated to liability component (`10,09,523) less carrying amount of financial liability on date of redemption i.e. 30 June 20X3 (`9,72,476), amounting to `37,047 is recognised in profit or loss.

The residual i.e. consideration allocated to equity component is recognised in equity.

An entity may amend the terms of a convertible instrument to induce early conversion, for example by offering a more favourable conversion ratio or paying other additional consideration in the event of conversion before a specified date.

The difference, at the date the terms are amended, between:

- the fair value of the consideration the holder receives on conversion of the instrument under the revised terms and
- the fair value of the consideration the holder would have received under the original terms is recognised as a loss in profit or loss.



Jake 1030

# Chapter 11 Unit-4 "Derivatives and Embedded Derivatives"

# **Question 1 Illustration**

Entity S enters into a ₹ 100 crores notional amount five-year pay-fixed, receive-variable interest rate swap with Counterparty C.

- The interest rate of the variable part of the swap is reset on a quarterly basis to three-month Mumbai Interbank Offer Rate (MIBOR).
- The interest rate of the fixed part of the swap is 10% p.a.
- Entity S prepays its fixed obligation under the swap of ₹ 50 crores (₹ 100 crores × 10% × 5 years) at inception, discounted using market interest
- Entity S retains the right to receive interest payments on the ₹ 100 crores reset quarterly based on three-month MIBOR over the life of the

# Analyse.

#### Answer 1

The initial net investment in the interest rate swap is significantly less than the notional amount on which the variable payments under the variable leg will be The contract requires an initial net investment that is smaller than would be required for other types of contracts that would be expected to have a similar response to changes in market factors, such as a variable rate bond.

Therefore, the contract fulfils the condition 'no initial net investment or an initial net investment that is smaller than would be required for other types of contracts that would be expected to have a similar response to changes in market factors'.

Even though Entity S has no future performance obligation, the ultimate settlement of the contract is at a future date and the value of the contract changes in response to changes in the LIBOR index. Accordingly, the contract is regarded as a derivative contract.

#### Question 2 Illustration

- Entity S enters into a ₹ 100 crores notional amount five-year pay-variable, receive- fixed interest rate swap with Counterparty C.
- The variable leg of the swap is reset on a quarterly basis to three-month MIBOR.
- The fixed interest payments under the swap are calculated as 10% of the swap's notional amount, i.e. ₹ 10 crores p.a.
- Entity S prepays its obligation under the variable leg of the swap at inception at current market rates. Say, that amount is ₹ 36 crores.
- It retains the right to receive fixed interest payments of 10% on ₹ 100 crores every year.

# Analyse.

#### Answer 2

In effect, this contract results in an initial net investment of ₹36 crores which yields a cash inflow of ₹ 10 crores every year, for five years. By discharging the obligation to pay variable interest rate payments, Entity S in effect provides a loan to Counterparty C.

Therefore, all else being equal, the initial investment in the contract should equal that of other financial instruments that consist of fixed annuities. Thus, the initial net investment in the pay-variable, receive-fixed interest rate swap is equal to the

investment required in a non-derivative contract that has a similar response to changes in market conditions.

For this reason, the instrument fails the condition 'no initial net investment or an initial net investment that is smaller than would be required for other types of contracts that would be expected to have a similar response to changes in market factors'. Therefore, the contract is not accounted for as a derivative contract.

## **Question 3 Illustration**

Entity XYZ enters into a forward contract to purchase 1 million ordinary shares of Entity T in one year

- The current market price of T is ₹ 50 per share
- The one-year forward price of T is ₹ 55 per share
- XYZ is required to prepay the forward contract at inception with a ₹ 50 million payment.
   Analyse.

#### Answer 3

Purchase of 1 million shares for current market price is likely to have the same response to changes in market factors as the contract mentioned above. Accordingly, the prepaid forward contract does not meet the initial net investment criterion of a derivative instrument.

# **Question 4 Illustration**

Entity ABC Ltd., whose functional currency is Indian Rupees, sells products in France denominated in Euro. ABC enters into a contract with an investment bank to convert Euro to Indian Rupees at a fixed exchange rate. The contract requires ABC to remit Euro based on its sales volume in France in exchange for Indian Rupees at a fixed exchange rate of 80.00. Is that contract a derivative?

# Answer 4

Yes. The contract has two underlying variables (the foreign exchange rate and the volume of sales); no initial net investment or an initial net investment that is smaller than would be required for other types of contracts that would be expected to have a similar response to changes in market factors, and a payment provision.

# **Question 5 Illustration**

The definition of a derivative requires that the instrument "is settled at a future date". Is this criterion met even if an option is expected not to be exercised, for example, because it is out of the money?

#### Answer 5

Yes. An option is settled upon exercise or at its maturity. Expiry at maturity is a form of settlement even though there is no additional exchange of consideration.

# Question 6 Illustration

Silver Ltd. has purchased 100 ounces of gold on 10 March 20X1. The transaction provides for a price payable which is equal to market value of 100 ounces of gold on 10 April 20X1 and shall be settled by issue of such number of equity shares as is required to settle the aforementioned transaction price at  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  10 per share on 10 April 20X1. Whether this is classified as liability or equity? Own use exemption does not apply.

# Answer 6

In the above scenario, there is a contract for purchase of 100 ounces of gold whose

consideration varies in response to changing value of gold. Analysing this contract as a derivative –

- (a) Value of contract changes in response to change in market value of gold;
- (b) There is no initial net investment
- (c) It will be settled at a future date, i.e. 10 April 20X1.

Since the above criteria are met, this is a derivative contract.

Now, a derivative contract that is settled in own equity other than exchange of fixed amount of cash for fixed number of shares is classified as 'liability'. In this case, since the contract results in issue of variable number of shares based on transaction price to be determined in future, hence, this shall be classified as 'derivative financial liability'. Per Ind AS 109.4.2.1 – A derivative financial liability shall be carried at fair value through profit or loss.

# Question 7 Illustration

Entity – B Ltd writes an option contract for sale of shares of Target Ltd. at a fixed price of ₹ 100 per share to C Ltd. This option is exercisable anytime for a period of 90 days ('American option'). Evaluate this under the definition of financial instrument.

#### Answer 7

In the above case – B Ltd has written an option, which if exercised by C Ltd. will result in B Ltd. selling equity shares of Target Ltd. for fixed cash of ₹ 100 per share. Such option will be exercised by C Ltd. only if the market price of shares of Target Ltd. increases beyond ₹ 100, thereby resulting in contractual obligation over B Ltd. to settle the contract under potential unfavorable terms.

In the above case, if the market price is already ₹ 120 which means that if option is exercised by C Ltd, then B Ltd shall buy shares from the market at ₹ 120 per share and sell at ₹ 100, thereby resulting in a loss or exchange at unfavorable terms to B Ltd. Hence, it meets the definition of financial liability in books of B Ltd.

The additional question that arises here is the nature of this financial liability and if it meets the definition of derivative. A derivative is a financial instrument that meets following conditions –

- (a) Its value changes in response to change in specified variable like interest rate, equity index, commodity price, etc. If the variable is non-financial, it is not specific to party to the contract
- (b) It requires no or little initial net investment
- (c) It is settled at a future date.

Evaluating the above instrument, B Ltd. has written an option whose value changes based on change in market price of equity share, it requires no initial net investment and is settled at a future date (anytime in 90 days). Hence, it meets definition of derivative financial liability in books of B Ltd.

#### **Question 8 Illustration**

A Ltd. issues warrants to all existing shareholders entitling them to purchase additional equity shares of A Ltd. (with face value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  100 per share) at an issue price of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  150 per share. Evaluate whether this constitutes an equity instrument or a financial liability?

#### Answer 8

In this case, Company A Ltd. has issued warrants entitling the shareholders to purchase equity shares of the Company at a fixed price. Hence, it constitutes a contractual arrangement for issuance of fixed number of shares against fixed amount of cash. Now, evaluating this contract under definition of derivative –

 $P_{\text{age}}1033$ 

- (i) The value of warrant changes in response to change in value of underlying equity shares;
- (ii) This involves no initial net investment
- (iii) It shall be settled at a future date.

Hence, this warrant meets the definition of derivative.

Applying definition of equity under Ind AS 32, a derivative contract that will be settled by exchange of fixed number of equity shares for fixed amount of cash meets definition of equity instrument. The above contract is derivative contract that will be settled by issue of fixed number of own equity instruments by A Ltd. for fixed amount of cash and hence meets definition of equity instrument

# Question 9 Illustration

A lease contract contains a provision that rentals increase each year by  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  3 million. Is there an embedded derivative in this contract?

#### Answer 9

The price adjustment feature does not meet the definition of a derivative on a standalone basis since its value does not change in response to changes of some underlying. There is no underlying in this case; hence there is no embedded derivative in the lease contract

# Question 10 Illustration

Entity X issues a redeemable fixed interest rate debenture to Entity Y. Amount of interest and principal is indexed to the value of equity instruments of Entity X.

# Analyse

# Answer 10

In the given case, the host is a fixed interest rate debt instrument. The economic characteristics and risks of a debt instrument are not closely related to those of an equity instrument.

Hence, the exposure of this hybrid instrument to changes in value of equity instruments is an embedded derivative which is required to be separated.

The response above will not change even if the interest payment and principal repayments are indexed to a commodity index or similar underlying.

# **Question 11 Illustration**

A lease contract, between two Indian companies of an asset in India, includes contingent lease rentals that are dependent upon an US inflation index. Can the entity treat inflation linked features as closely related?

#### Answer 11

For inflation linked features, an embedded derivative in a lease contract is considered as closely related to the host if it is an inflation—related index related to inflation in the entity's own economic environment.

In this case, whilst the asset and the lessor and lessee are located in India, lease payment are linked to US index. Hence, embedded derivative is not closely related and needs to be separated.

#### **Question 12 Illustration**

As per the contract entered between lease and lessor, lease rentals will increase by  $\tilde{z}$  3 million, if profit after tax is over  $\tilde{z}$  200 million. Can the entity treat inflation linked features as closely related?

 $_{Page}1034$ 

#### Answer 12

No. Whilst contingent rentals based on sales are closely related to a host lease contract, the same is not true of contingent rentals based on profit after tax.

# Question 13 Illustration

Entity PQR borrows  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  100 crores from CFDH Bank on 1 April 20X1. Interest is payable at 12%

p.a. and there is a bullet repayment of principal at the end of the term.

Term of the loan is 6 years.

The loan includes an option to prepay the loan at  $1^{st}$  April each year with a prepayment penalty of 3%. There are no transaction costs. Without the prepayment option, the interest rate quoted by bank is 11% p.a. Analyse

# Answer 13

Step 1: Identify the host contract and embedded derivative, if any In the given case,

- Host is a debt instrument comprising annual interest payment at 12% p.a. and bullet principal repayment at the end of 6 years.
- Option to prepay the debt at ₹103 crores is an embedded derivative Step 2: Determine the amortised cost of the host debt instrument Whether the prepayment option is likely to be exercised or not, the amortised cost of the host debt instrument should be calculated as present value (PV) of expected cash flows using a fair market interest rate for a debt without the prepayment option (11% p.a. in this case). This is calculated below as ₹ 104.23 crores

Year	Cash	PV @ 11% p.a.	Finance cost	Amortised
	outflow			cost
		₹		
		C	rores	
1	12.00	10.81	11.46	103.68
2	12.00	9.74	11.41	103.09
3	12.00	8.77	11.34	102.43
4	12.00	7.90	11.27	101.70
5	12.00	7.12	11.20	100.90
6	112.00	59.88	11.10	-
		104.22	67.78	

Step 3: Compare the exercise price of the prepayment option with the amortised cost of the host debt instrument

Year	Amortised cost	Exercise	price	of prepayment	Differenc
		option			e
		₹			
		Crore	es		
1	103.68			103.00	0.7%
2	103.09			103.00	0.1%
3	102.43			103.00	-0.6%
4	101.70			103.00	-1.3%
5	100.90			103.00	-2.1%

 $^{\rm age}1035$ 



The management of Entity PQR may formulate an appropriate accounting policy to determine what constitutes "approximately equal". In this case, if the management determines that a difference of more than 2% will indicate that the option's exercise price is not approximately equal to the amortised cost of the host debt instrument, it will need to separate the embedded derivative and account for it as per principles given in the subsequent sub-section.

It may be questioned as to why an option to repay a fixed rate loan early meets the definition of embedded derivative. Let us revisit an important phrase from the definition of embedded derivative:

"...some or all of the cash flows that otherwise would be required by the contract to be modified..."

In the context of a fixed rate debt, it may be interpreted that:

- · the option affects cash flows only if exercised; and
- the cash flows of a fixed rate debt do not vary with interest rates.

  However, in this context, a variation in cash flows should be interpreted as a possible change in the fair value of expected cash flows. Accordingly, the option's expected cash

flows vary according to interest rates in a similar way as a separate option to purchase a fixed rate debt asset at a fixed price. A fixed price option to prepay a fixed rate loan will increase in value as interest rates decline (and vice versa).

# Question 14

Company Z is engaged in the business of importing oil seeds for further processing as well as trading purposes. It enters into the following types of contracts as on 1 October 20X1:

<b>Particulars</b>	Contract 1	Contract 2	Contract 3	
Nature of	Import of oil seeds	Purchase of oil seeds	Contract to sell oil	
8		from a domestic producer / supplier	seeds on the commodity exchange	
Quantity and rate	100 MT at USD 400 per MT to be delivered as on 31 March 20X2	50 MT at ₹ 30,000 per MT to be delivered as on 31 January 20X2	50 MT at USD 450 per MT, maturing as on 15 January 20X2	
Net settlement clause included in the contract	Yes	Yes	Yes	

		Yes – company Z has	
		net settled some of	Yes – these
	There have also	the domestic	contracts are
	been several	purchase contracts.	required to be net
	instances of the oil	However, these	settled with the
Net	seeds being sold	instances constitute	exchange on the
settlement in	prior to or shortly	only 1 per cent of the	maturity date.
practice for	after taking	total domestic	Company Z enters
similar	delivery.	purchase contracts	into these types of
contracts	These instances of	in value.	derivative
	net settlement	The remaining	contracts to hedge
	constitute	contracts are settled	the risks on its
	approximately 30	by taking delivery of	domestic oil seeds
	per cent of the	oil seeds which are	purchase
	value of total	used for further	contracts
	import contracts.	processing.	

Company Z is required to determine if the contracts entered into for purchase and sale of oil seeds are derivatives within the scope of lnd AS 109 or are executory contracts outside the scope of lnd AS 109.

#### Answer 14

#### Contract 1

The following factors indicate that this contract does not meet the 'own use' exemption:

- The contract permits net settlement, and
- There is a past practice of a significant proportion (30 per cent in this illustration) of similar contracts being settled on a net basis either in cash or by sale of the oil seeds prior to delivery/shortly after taking delivery.

Therefore, this contract would fall within the scope of Ind AS 109 and should be recognised as a derivative instrument as on 1 October 20X1. The contract would be in the nature of a forward contract to buy 100 MT of oil seeds as on 31 March 20X2 at USD 400 per MT. Company Z would have to recognise the fair value changes (based on change in forward purchase rate) on this contract in the statement of profit and loss at each reporting date.

# **Contract 2**

Contract 2 also permits net settlement in cash. Further, there have been some instances of similar domestic purchase contracts being settled net in cash in the past. However, these have been infrequent in nature and insignificant in proportion to the total value of similar contracts (i.e. 1 percent in this illustration).

Company Z is in the practice of taking delivery of the oil seeds purchased under similar contracts and using them for further processing in its plants.

This indicates that the domestic purchase contract meets the criteria for the 'own-use' exemption and should be considered as an executory contract.

Therefore, this contract would not fall within the scope of Ind AS 109.

#### **Contract 3**

This contract is in the nature of a derivative contract transacted on a commodity exchange and is required to be net settled in cash on maturity. Therefore, this derivative contract would be covered by lnd AS 109 and required to be classified and measured at **FVTPL** 

# **Question 15 Illustration**

Company A, an Indian company whose functional currency is ₹, enters into

a contract to purchase machinery from an unrelated local supplier, company B. The functional currency of company B is also  $\overline{\epsilon}$ . However, the contract is denominated in USD, since the machinery is sourced by company B from a US based supplier. Payment is due to company B on delivery of the machinery.

Key terms of the contract:	
Contractual features	Details
Contract/order date	9 September 20X1
Delivery/payment date	31 December 20X1
Purchase price	USD 1,000,000
USD/₹ Forward rate on 9 September 20X1 for 31 December 20X1	67.8
maturity	
USD/₹Spot rate on 9 September 20X1	66.4
USD/₹Forward rates for 31 December, on:	
30 September	67.5
31 December (spot rate)	67.0

Company A is required to analyse if the contract for purchase of machinery (a capital asset) from company B contains an embedded derivative and whether this should be separately accounted for on the basis of the guidance in Ind AS 109. Also give necessary journal entries for accounting the same.


#### Answer 15

Based on the guidance above, the USD contract for purchase of machinery entered into by company A includes an embedded foreign currency derivative due to the following reasons:

- The host contract is a purchase contract (non-financial in nature) that is not classified as, or measured at FVTPL.
- The embedded foreign currency feature (requirement to settle the contract by payment of USD at a future date) meets the definition of a stand-alone derivative – it is akin to a USD-
  - ₹ forward contract maturing on 31 December 20X1.
- USD is not the functional currency of either of the substantial parties to the contract (i.e., neither company A nor company B).
- Machinery is not routinely denominated in USD in commercial transactions around the world. In this context, an item or a commodity may be considered 'routinely denominated' in a particular currency only if such currency was used in a large majority of similar commercial transactions around the world. For example, transactions in crude oil are generally considered routinely denominated in USD. A transaction for acquiring machinery in this illustration would generally not qualify for this exemption.
- USD is not a commonly used currency for domestic commercial transactions in the economic environment in which either company A or B operate. This exemption generally applies when the business practice in a particular economic environment is to use a more stable or liquid foreign currency (such as the USD), rather than the local currency, for a majority of internal or cross-border transactions, or both. In the illustration above, companies A and B are companies operating in India and the

- purchase contract is an internal/domestic transaction. USD is not a commonly used currency for internal trade within this economic environment and therefore the contract would not qualify for this exemption.
- Accordingly, company A is required to separate the embedded foreign currency derivative from the host purchase contract and recognise it separately as a derivative.
- The separated embedded derivative is a forward contract entered into on 9 September 20X1, to exchange USD 10,00,000 for ₹ at the USD/₹ forward rate of 67.8 on 31 December 20X1. Since the forward exchange rate has been deemed to be the market rate on the date of the contract, the embedded forward contract has a fair value of zero on initial recognition.
- Subsequently, company A is required to measure this forward contract at its fair value, with changes in fair value recognised in the statement of profit and loss. The following is the accounting treatment at quarter-end and on settlement:

Accounting treatment: Date **Particulars** Amount Amount (₹) (₹) 09-Sep-On initial recognition of the forward X1 contract (No accounting entry recognised since Nil Nil initial fair value of the forward contract is considered to be nil) 30-Sep-Fair value change in forward X1 **contract** Derivative asset (company B) 3,00,000 r. [(67.8-67.5) x10,00,000] 3,00,000 To Profit or loss 31-Decvalue change in forward X1 Forward contract asset contract 5,00,000 B) (company D 5,00,000 r.  $[\{(67.8-67) \times 10,00,000\} - 3,00,000]$ To Profit or loss 31-Dec-Recognition machinery X1 acquired and on settlement 6,78,00,00 Property, plant and equipment 0 D 8,00,000 r. (at forward rate) To Forward contract asset 6,70,00,00 (company B) To Creditor (company B) / Bank



Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or 🕓 +917890770777

 $_{\rm age}1040$ 

# Chapter 11 Unit-5 "Recognition and Derecognition of Financial Instruments"

#### **Question 1 Illustration**

ST Ltd. enters into a forward contract to purchase 10 lakh shares of ABC Ltd. in a month's time for ₹ 50 per share. This contract is entered into with a broker, Mr. AG and not through regular trading mode in a stock exchange. The contract requires Mr. AG to deliver the shares to ST Ltd. upon payment of agreed consideration. Shares of ABC Ltd. are traded on a stock exchange. Regular way delivery is two days. Assess the forward contract.

#### Answer 1

In this case, the forward contract is not a regular way transaction and hence must be accounted for as a derivative i.e. between the date of entering into the contract to the date of delivery, all fair value changes are recognised in profit or loss.

On the other hand, if the forward contract is a regular way transaction, such fair value changes are recognised in other comprehensive income if share of ABC Ltd. are equity instruments and not held for trading.

# **Question 2 Illustration**

NKT Ltd. purchases a call option in a public market permitting it to purchase 100 shares of VT Ltd. at any time over the next one month at a price of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  1,000 per share. If NKT Ltd. exercises its option, it has 7 days to settle the transaction according to regulation or convention in the options market. VT Ltd.'s shares are traded in an active public market that requires two-day settlement

#### **Answer 2**

In this case, the options contract is a regular way transaction as the settlement of the option is governed by regulation or convention in the marketplace for options. Fair value changes between the trade date and settlement date are recognised in other comprehensive income if share of VT Ltd. are equity instruments and not held for trading by NKT Ltd.

The illustrations below explain the flow of journal entries in case of trade date accounting and settlement date accounting for regular way purchase and sale of financial assets.

# Question 3 Illustration

On 1 January 20X1, X Ltd. enters into a contract to purchase a financial asset for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  10 lakhs, which is its fair value on trade date. On 4 January 20X1 (settlement date), the fair value of the asset is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  10.5 lakhs. The amounts to be recorded for the financial asset will depend on how it is classified and whether trade date or settlement date accounting is used. Pass necessary journal entries.

# Answer 3

Journal Entries in the Buyer's Books Trade date accounting

Dr. / C	Particulars	Amortized cost	Fair value through P&L	Fair value through OCI
1 Janua	ry 20X1			
Dr.	Financial asset	10 00,000	10 00,000	10 00,000
Cr.	Financial liability (t	(10,00,000)	(10,00,000)	(10,00,000)

age 1041

# J042

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

	pay)					
	4 January 20X1					
Dr.	Financial asset	-	50,000	50,000		
Dr.	Financial liability (t	10,00,000	10,00,000	10,00,000		
-	pay)		(50,000)			
Cr.	Profit or loss	-	(50,000)	-		
Cr.	Other comprehensiv	-	-	(50,000)		
	income					
Cr.	Cash	(10,00,000)	(10,00,000)	(10,00,000)		

Settlement date accounting

Dr. / Cı	Particulars	Amortized cost	Fair value through P&L	Fair value through OCI
	4 Janua	ary 20X1		
Dr.	Financial asset	10 00,000	10 50,000	10 50,000
Cr.	Profit or loss	_	(50,000)	-
Cr.	Other comprehensiv	-	-	(50,000)
	income			
Cr.	Cash	(10,00,000)	(10,00,000)	(10,00,000)

The above mentioned accounting principles apply only to financial assets and Ind AS 109 does not contain any such principles for financial liabilities

# Question 4 Illustration

State whether the derecognition principles will be applied or not.

- i. Interest strip of an interest-bearing financial asset i.e. the part entitles its holder to interest cash flows of a financial asset
- ii. Dividend strip of an equity share i.e. the part entitles its holder to only dividends arising from an equity share
- iii. Cash flows (principal and asset) upto a certain tenure or first right on a proportion of cash flows of an amortising financial asset. Say, the part entitles its holder to first 80% of the cash flows or cash flows for first 4 of the 6 years' tenure.

#### Answer 4

Derecognition requirements are applied to a part of a financial asset if that part meets **any of the following three** conditions:

- a) The part comprises only **specifically identified cash flows** from a financial asset (or a group of similar financial assets).
  - For example, when an entity enters into an interest rate strip whereby the counterparty obtains the right to the interest cash flows, but not the principal cash flows from a debt instrument, derecognition principles are applied to the interest cash flows
- b) The part comprises only a **fully proportionate (pro rata) share of the cash flows** from a financial asset (or a group of similar financial assets).
  - For example, when an entity enters into an arrangement whereby the counterparty obtains the rights to a 90 per cent share of all cash flows of a debt instrument, derecognition principles are applied to 90 per cent of those cash flows.
- c) The part comprises only a **fully proportionate** (**pro rata**) **share of specifically identified cash flows** from a financial asset (or a group of similar financial assets) For example, when an entity enters into an arrangement whereby the counterparty obtains the rights to a 90 per cent share of interest cash flows from a financial asset,

derecognition principles are applied to 90 per cent of those interest cash flows.

The example of a part of a financial asset at (iii) in Illustration 4 above will not qualify conditions at (b) and (c) above since it does not represent pro rata share of all or specifically identified cash flows.

In (b) and (c) above, if there is more than one counterparty, each counterparty is not required to have a proportionate share of the cash flows provided that the transferring entity has a fully proportionate share.

In all other cases, derecognition principles are applied to the financial asset in its entirety (or to the group of similar financial assets in their entirety).

# **Question 5 Illustration**

State whether the derecognition principles will be applied or not.

- i. Entity Y transfers the rights to the first or the last 90 per cent of cash collections from a financial asset (or a group of financial assets)
- ii. Entity Z transfers the rights to 90 per cent of the cash flows from a group of receivables, but provides a guarantee to compensate the buyer for any credit losses up to 8 per cent of the principal amount of the receivables.

#### Answer 5

In the above circumstances, Entity Y and Entity Z need to apply the derecognition requirements to the financial asset (or a group of similar financial assets) in its entirety

# **Question 6 Illustration**

Entity A makes a five-year interest-bearing loan (the 'original asset') of ₹ 100 crores to Entity B. Entity A settles a Trust and transfers the loan to that Trust. The Trust issues participatory notes to an investor, Entity C, that entitle the investor to the cash flows from the asset.

As per Trust's agreement with Entity C, in exchange for a cash payment of ₹ 90 crores, Trust will pass to Entity C 90% of all principal and interest payments collected from Entity B (as, when and if collected). Trust accepts no obligation to make any payments to Entity C other than 90% of exactly what has been received from Entity B. Trust provides no guarantee to Entity C about the performance of the loan and has no rights to retain 90% of the cash collected from Entity B nor any obligation to pay cash to Entity C if cash has not been received from Entity B.

Compute the amount to be dercognised.

# Answer 6

If the three conditions are met, the proportion sold is derecognised, provided the entity has transferred substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership. Thus, Entity A would report a loan asset of ₹10 crores and derecognise ₹90 crores.

# Question 7 Illustration

A financial asset is sold under repurchase agreement. The repurchase price as per that agreement is (a) fixed price or (b) sale price plus a lender's return. Let's look at three alternate scenarios:

- i. Repurchase agreement is for the same financial asset.
- ii. Repurchase agreement is for substantially the same asset
- iii. Repurchase agreement provides the transferee a right to substitute assets that are similar and of equal fair value to the transferred asset at the repurchase date.

 $P_{\rm age}1043$ 

# State whether the derecognition principles will be applied or not.

#### Answer 7

In each of these scenarios, the transferred financial asset is not derecognised because the transferor retains substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership.

# Let's look at another scenario:

Repurchase agreement provides the transferor only a right of first refusal to repurchase the transferred asset at fair value if the transferee subsequently sells it In this scenario, the transferred financial asset is derecognised because the transferor has transferred substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership.

# Question 8 Illustration

A financial asset is sold and the transferee has a put option. Let's look at some alternate scenarios:

- i. Put option is deeply in the money
- ii. Put option is deeply out of the money.

State whether the derecognition principles will be applied or not.

#### **Answer 8**

In the first scenario, the transferred asset does not qualify for derecognition because the transferor has retained substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership. However, in the second scenario, the transferor has transferred substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership.

# Question 9 Illustration

A financial asset is sold and the transferor has a call option. Let's look at some alternate scenarios:

- i. Call option is deeply in the money
- ii. Call option is deeply out of the money.

What if the transferor holds a call option on an asset that is readily obtainable in the market?

iii. Call option is neither deeply in the money nor deeply out of the money State whether the derecognition principles will be applied or not.

#### Answer 9

In the first scenario, the transferred asset does not qualify for derecognition because the transferor has retained substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership. However, in the second scenario, the transferor has transferred substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership.

In the third scenario, the asset is derecognised. This is because the entity (i) has neither retained nor transferred substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership, and (ii) has not retained control.

# **Question 10 Illustration**

An entity may transfer to a transferee a fixed rate financial asset that is paid off over time, and enter into an amortising interest rate swap with the transferee to receive a fixed interest rate and pay a variable interest rate based on a notional amount.

#### Scenarios:

- i. Notional amount of the swap amortises so that it equals the principal amount of the transferred financial asset outstanding at any point in time.
- ii. Amortisation of the notional amount of the swap is not linked to the principal amount outstanding of the transferred asset.

State whether the derecognition principles will be applied or not.

#### Answer 10

In the first scenario, the swap would generally result in the entity retaining substantial prepayment risk, in which case the entity either continues to recognise all of the transferred asset or continues to recognise the transferred asset to the extent of its continuing involvement.

Such a swap would not result in the entity retaining prepayment risk on the asset. Hence, it would not preclude derecognition of the transferred asset provided the payments on the swap are not conditional on interest payments being made on the transferred asset and the swap does not result in the entity retaining any other significant risks and rewards of ownership on the transferred asset.

#### Question 11 Illustration

ST Ltd. assigns its trade receivables to AT Ltd. The carrying amount of the receivables is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}$ 

State whether the derecognition principles will be applied or not.

#### Answer 11

In this situation, ST Ltd. has transferred the rights to contractual cash flows and has also transferred substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership (credit risk being the most significant risk in this situation).

Accordingly, ST Ltd. derecognises the financial asset and recognises ₹ 1,00,000, the difference between consideration received and carrying amount, as an expense in the statement of profit or loss.

#### **Question 12A Illustration**

Entity C agrees with factoring company D to enter into a debt factoring arrangement. Under the terms of the arrangement, the factoring company D agrees to pay ₹ 91.5 crores, less a servicing charge of ₹ 1.5 crores (net proceeds of ₹ 90 crores), in exchange for 100% of the cash flows from short-term receivables. The receivables have a face value of ₹ 100 crores and carrying amount of ₹ 95 crores.

The customers will be instructed to pay the amounts owed into a bank account of the factoring company. Entity C also writes a guarantee to the factoring company under which it will reimburse any credit losses upto  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  5 crores, over and above the expected credit losses of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  5 crores. The guarantee is estimated to have a fair value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}}$  0.5 crores. Calculate the amount of continuing involvement asset.

#### Answer 12A

In this situation, the "continuing involvement asset" will be recognised at ₹5.5 crores i.e. lower of:

- i. the amount of the asset ₹95 crores
- ii. the guarantee amount ₹5.5 crores

#### **Question 12B Illustration**

Continuing illustration 12A, calculate the amount of associated liability. Answer 12B

The amount of associated liability is recognized at ₹5.5 crores, as below:

i. the guarantee amount (i.e. ₹5 crores) plus

ii. the fair value of the guarantee (i.e. ₹0.5 crores).

#### Question 12C Illustration

Continuing illustration 12A and 12B, pass the necessary Journal Entry.

#### **Answer 12C**

The journal entries passed by Entity C on the date of derecognition is as below:

CashDr. ₹90 croresLoss on derecognitionDr. ₹5 croresContinuing involvemenDr.₹5.5 crores

asset

To Receivables ₹95 crores
To Associated liability ₹5.5 crores


The guarantee liability of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}} 0.5$  crores shall be amortised in profit or loss over the underlying period.

#### **Question 13 Illustration**

Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments requires recognition of renegotiation gain/loss subject to fulfillment of certain conditions as mentioned in the standard. If there has been a renegotiation of terms of (defaulted) borrowings subsequent to the year end, but before the date of approval of financial statements, then should such modification gain/loss be recognised in the current year financial statements itself or in the next year when the terms of (defaulted) borrowings have been renegotiated in accordance with Ind AS 109?

#### Answer 13

As per paragraph 5.4.3 of Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments, whenever contractual cash flows of a financial instrument are renegotiated or otherwise modified and the renegotiation or modification does not result in the derecognition of that financial asset in accordance with this Standard, an entity shall recalculate the gross carrying amount of the financial asset and shall recognise a modification gain or loss in profit or loss. In accessordance with the above, modification gain or loss should be recognised in profit or loss in the period in which the renegotiation has contractually taken place. Accordingly, in the given case, if the terms of the (defaulted) borrowings have been renegotiated in the next year, then the related gain/loss should also be recognised in the next year.



,age 1047

# Chapter 12 Business Combination & Corporate Restructuring

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'	May'	No'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	21	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
					Q10							
					,							
			Q18,		Q15,					Q2,		
MTP	Q12		Q19	Q13	Q17	Q14	Q1	Q3	Q16	Q5	Q11	Q20
					Q4,							
PYP					Q25	Q27	Q28	Q24	Q26			
RTP			Q8	Q7		Q22	Q23	Q9	Q21		Q6	

## Section - A

#### Question 1

On 1st April 20X1, A Limited acquired 80% of the share capital of S Limited. On acquisition date the share capital and reserves of S Ltd. stood at `5,00,000 and `1,25,000 respectively. A Limited paid initial cash consideration of `10,00,000. Additionally, A Limited issued 2,00,000 equity shares with a nominal value of `1 per share at current market value of `1.80 per share. It was also agreed that A Limited would pay a further sum of `5,00,000 after three years. A Limited's cost of capital is 10%. The appropriate discount factor for `1 @ 10% receivable at the end of

1<sup>st</sup> year: 0.91 2<sup>nd</sup> year: 0.83 3<sup>rd</sup> year: 0.75

The shares (issued in the year 20X2-20X3) and deferred consideration have not yet been recorded by A limited.

Below are the Balance Sheet of A Limited and S Limited as at 31st March, 20X3:

	A Limited (` 000)	S Limited (` 000)
Non-current assets:	·	•
Property, plant & equipment	5,500	1,500
Investment in S Limited at cost	1,000	
Current assets:		
Inventory	550	100
Receivables	400	200
Cash	200	50
Equity:	7,650	1,850
Share capital	2,000	500

Retained earnings	1,400	300
	3,400	800
Non-current liabilities	3,000	400
Current liabilities	1,250	650
	7,650	1,850

#### Further information:

- (i) On the date of acquisition the fair values of S Limited's plant exceeded its book value by
  - ` 2,00,000. The plant had a remaining useful life of five years at this date;
- (ii) The consolidated goodwill has been impaired by `2,58,000; and
- (iii) The A Limited Group, values the non-controlling interest using the fair value method. At the date of acquisition, the fair value of the 20% non-controlling interest was `3,80,000.

You are required to prepare Consolidated Balance Sheet of A Limited as at 31 st March, 20X3. (Notes to Account on Consolidated Balance Sheet is not required). (MTP 14 Marks March '22, MTP 15 Marks Oct 21, PYP 15 Marks Jan'21)

#### Answer 1

Consolidated Balance Sheet of A Ltd. and its subsidiary, S Ltd.

as at 31st March, 20X3

Pa	`in 000s		
I.	Assets		
	(1) Non	-current assets	
	(i)	Property Plant & Equipment (W.N.4)	7,120.00
	(ii)	Intangible asset – Goodwill (W.N.3)	1,032.00
	(2) Cur	rent Assets	
	(i)	Inventories (550 + 100)	650.00
	(ii)	Financial Assets	
		(a) Trade Receivables (400 + 200)	600.00
		(b) Cash & Cash equivalents (200 + 50)	250.00
		Total Assets	9,652.00
II.	Equity	and Liabilities	
	(1) Equ	ity	
	(i)	Equity Share Capital (2,000 + 200)	2,200.00
	(ii)	Other Equity	
		(a) Retained Earnings (W.N.6)	1190.85
		(b) Securities Premium	160.00
	(2) Non	-Controlling Interest (W.N.5)	347.40
	(3) Non	-Current Liabilities (3,000 + 400)	3,400.00
	(4) Current Liabilities (W.N.8)		
		Total Equity & Liabilities	9,652.00

#### Working Notes:

# 1. Calculation of purchase consideration at the acquisition date i.e. 1 st April, 20X1

	`in 000s
Payment made by A Ltd. to S Ltd.	
Cash	1,000.00
Equity shares (2,00,000 shares x ` 1.80)	360.00
Present value of deferred consideration (`5,00,000 x 0.75)	375.00
Total consideration	1,735.00

## 2. Calculation of net assets i.e. net worth at the acquisition date i.e. 1st April, 20X1

	`in 000s
Share capital of S Ltd.	500.00
Reserves of S Ltd.	125.00
Fair value increase on Property, Plant and Equipment	200.00
Net worth on acquisition date	825.00

## 3. Calculation of Goodwill at the acquisition date i.e. 1st April, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X3

	`in 000s
Purchase consideration (W.N.1)	1,735.00
Non-controlling interest at fair value (as given in the question)	380.00
	2,115.00
Less: Net worth (W.N.2)	(825.00)
Goodwill as on 1st April 20X1	1,290.00
Less: Impairment (as given in the question)	258.00
Goodwill as on 31st March 20X3	1,032.00

## 4. Calculation of Property, Plant and Equipment as on 31st March 20X3

		`in 000s
A Ltd.		5,500.00
S Ltd.	1,500.0	
	0	
Add: Net fair value gain not recorded yet		
200.00		
Less: Depreciation [(200/5) x 2]		1,620.00
(80.00)	120.00	7,120.00

# 5. Calculation of Post-acquisition gain (after adjustment of impairment on goodwill) and value of NCI as on 31st March 20X3

in 000s in 000s

		NC	A
		I	Ltd.
		(20	(80%)
		%)	
Acquisition date balance		380.00	Nil
Closing balance of Retained	300.00		
Earnings			
Less: Pre-acquisition balance	(125.00)		
Post-acquisition gain	175.00		
Less: Additional Depreciation on	PPE [(200/5) x		
2] (80.00)			
Share in post-acquisition gain	95.00	19.00	76.00
Less: Impairment on goodwill	258.00	(51.60)	(206.40)
		347.40	(130.40)

#### 6. Consolidated Retained Earnings as on 31st March 20X3

	`in 000s
A Ltd.	1,400.00
Add: Share of post-acquisition loss of S Ltd. (W.N.5)	(130.40)
Less: Finance cost on deferred consideration (37.5 + 41.25) (W.N.7)	(78.75)
Retained Earnings as on 31st March 20X3	1,190.85

## 7. Calculation of value of deferred consideration as on 31 st March 20X3

	`in 000s
Value of deferred consideration as on 1st April 20X1 (W.N.1)	375.00
Add: Finance cost for the year 20X1-20X2 (375 x 10%)	37.50
	412.50
Add: Finance cost for the year 20X2-20X3 (412.50 x 10%)	41.25
Deferred consideration as on 31st March 20X3	453.75

#### **8.** Calculation of current Liability as on 31st March, 20X3

	`in 000s
A Ltd.	1,250.00
S Ltd.	650.00
Deferred consideration as on 31st March, 20X3 (W.N.7)	453.75
Current Liability as on 31st March, 20X3	2,353.75

#### Question 2

RST Ltd. prepares consolidated financial statements as at 31st March each year. On 1st July, 2021, RST Ltd. acquired 75% of the equity shares of DHF Ltd. and gained control of DHF Ltd. The issued shares of DHF Ltd. are 1,20,00,000 equity shares. Details of the purchase consideration are as follows:

On 1st July 2021, RST Ltd. issued two shares for every three shares acquired in DHF Ltd. On 1st July 2021, the market value of an equity share in RST Ltd. was `6.50 and the market value of an equity share in DHF Ltd. was `5.50.

On 30th June 2022, RST Ltd. will make cash payment of `71,50,000 to the former shareholders of DHF Ltd. who sold their shares to RST Ltd. on 1st July 2021. On 1st July, 2021, RST Ltd. would have to pay interest at an annual rate of 10% on borrowings.

On 30th June 2023, RST Ltd. may make a cash payment of `3,00,00,000 to the former shareholders of DHF Ltd. who sold their shares to RST Ltd. on 1st July 2021. This payment is contingent upon the revenues of RST Ltd. growing by 15% over the two-year period from 1st July, 2021 to 30th June, 2023. On 1st July 2021, the fair value of this contingent consideration was `2,50,00,000. On 31st March 2022, the fair value of the contingent consideration was `2,20,00,000.

On 1st July 2021, the carrying values of the identifiable net assets of DHF Ltd. in the books of that company was `6,00,00,000. On 1st July 2021, the fair values of these net assets was `7,00,00,000. The rate of deferred tax to apply to temporary differences is 20%.

During the nine months ended on 31st March 2022, DHF Ltd. had a poorer than expected operating performance. Therefore, on 31st March, 2022 it was necessary for RST Ltd. to recognize an impairment of the goodwill arising on acquisition of DHF Ltd. amounting to 12.50% of its total computed value.

Compute the impairment of goodwill in the consolidated financial statements of RST Ltd. under the methods permitted by Ind AS 103 for the initial computation of the non-controlling interest in DHF Ltd. at the acquisition date. (PYP 5 Marks May '22), (MTP 8 Marks May'20), (RTP Nov'18, Old & New SM)

#### Answer 2

Computation of goodwill impairment

	NCI at fair	NCI at proportiona
	value	te share of
		net
		assets
	` in 000	`in 000
Cost of investment		
Share exchange $(12,000 \times 75\% \times 2/3 \times 6.50)$	39,000	39,000
Deferred consideration (7,150 / 1.10)	6,500	6,500
Contingent consideration	25,000	25,000
Total Purchase Consideration (a)	70,500	70,500
Non-controlling interest on the date of		
acquisition: (b)		
Fair value – 3,000 x \ 5.50	16,500	
% of net assets – 68,000 (Refer W.N.) x 25%		17,000
Net assets on the acquisition date (Refer W.N.)	(68,000)	(68,000)
(c)		
Goodwill on acquisition (a+b-c)	19,000	19,500
Impairment @ 12.50%	2,375.00	2,437.50

Working Note:

Net assets on the acquisition date	`in 000
Fair value at the acquisition date	70,000

Deferred tax on fair value adjustments [20% x (70,000	(2,000)
- 60,000)]	60,000
	68,000

#### Question 3

Sun Limited and Moon Limited amalgamated from 1st April, 2021. A new company Sunmoon Limited with shares of `10 each was formed to take over the businesses of the existing companies.

Summarised Balance Sheet as on 31st March, 2021			
Particulars	Note	Sun	Moon
	No.	Limited	Limited
ASSETS		(`)	
Non-current assets		1 70 00 0	1 70 00 00
Property, plant and		1,70,00,0	1,50,00,00
equipment Financial assets		00	0
		21 22 222	11 00 000
Investment		21,00,000	11,00,000
Current assets			
Inventory		25,00,000	55,00,000
Financial assets			
Trade receivables		36,00,000	80,00,000
Cash and cash			
equivalent		9,00,000	• •
Total		2,61,00,0	3,04,00,00
		00	0
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	1		
Equity			
Equity share capital (FV ` 10		1,20,00,0	1,40,00,00
each)		00	0
Other equity		61,00,000	54,00,000
Liabilities			
Non-current liabilities			
Financial liabilities			
Borrowings (12%		60,00,000	80,00,000
Debentures)			
Current liabilities			
Financial liabilities			
Trade payables			
		20,00,000	
Total		2,61,00,0 00	3,04,00,00

**Notes to Accounts:** 

o co.	,	necounts.		
		Sun	Moon	
		Limited	Limited	
		•		•

Other equity		
General reserve	30,00,00	40,00,000
	0	
Profit & Loss	20,00,00	10,00,000
	0	
Investment allowance reserve	10,00,00	2,00,000
	0	
Export profit reserve		2,00,000
	1,00,000	
	61,00,00	54,00,000
	0	·

Sunmoon Limited issued requisite number of shares to discharge the claims of the equity shareholders of the transferor companies. Also, the new debentures were issued in exchange of the old series of both the companies. Compute purchase consideration and advice discharge thereof by preparing a note and draft the Balance Sheet of Sunmoon Limited assuming that Sun Limited and Moon Limited are not under common control and management of larger entity out of Sun Limited and Moon Limited will take over the control of the entity Sunmoon Limited. The fair value of net assets as at 31st March, 2021 of Sun Limited and Moon Limited are as follows:

Assets	Sun	Moon
	Limited	Limited
	`	•
Property, Plant and Equipment	1,90,00,00	1,70,00,000
	0	
Inventory	26,00,000	58,00,000
Fair value of the Business	2,20,00,00	2,80,00,000
	0	

(PYP 14 Marks Dec '21, MTP 14 Marks Mar'23)

#### Answer 3

#### 1. Determination of larger entity out of Sun Ltd. and Moon Ltd.

The management of a larger entity (out of Sun Limited and Moon Limited) will take the control of the Sunmoon Ltd. Since, here Sun Ltd. and Moon Ltd. are not under common control and hence accounting prescribed under Ind AS 103 for business combination will be applied. As per the accounting guidance provided in Ind AS 103, sometimes the legal acquirer may not be the accounting acquirer. In the given scenario although Sunmoon Ltd. is issuing the shares but management of a larger entity out of Sun Ltd. and Moon Ltd. will have control of Sunmoon Ltd.

This can	be determine	d by the follo	wing table:	(^)
inis can	ne determine	a ov tne lollo	wing lable:	

		10110 11115	
		Sun Ltd.	Moon Ltd.
Fair Value	A	2,20,00,0	2,80,00,0
		00	00
Value per share	В	10	10
Number of shares	A/B =	22,00,000	28,00,000
	С		
Total number of shares in			
Sunmoon Ltd. will be			
50,00,000 shares			
(22,00,000 + 28,00,000)			

Thus, % held by each	[(C/50,00,	44%	56%
company in Sunmoon	000) x		
Ltd.	100]		

Note: It is a case of Reverse Acquisition. Since post-merger, Moon Ltd. is bigger in size which is a clear indicator that Moon Ltd. will have control of Sunmoon Ltd. and will be considered as an accounting acquirer. Accordingly, Moon Ltd.'s assets and liabilities will be recorded at historical cost in the merged financial statements.

2. Computation of Purchase Consideration and the manner in which it will be discharged Number of shares to be issued by Moon Ltd. to Sun Ltd. to maintain the same percentage i.e. 56%

Since 14,00,000 shares of Moon Ltd. (given in the balance sheet) represent 56%, the total number of shares would be 25,00,000 shares (14,00,000 shares / 56%).

This implies Moon Ltd. would need to issue 11,00,000 shares (25,00,000 -14,00,000) to Sun Ltd.

Purchase Consideration = 11,00,000 shares x \ 20 per share (ie. 2,80,00,000 / 14,00,000 shares) = `2,20,00,000.

3. Balance Sheet of Supmoon Itd. as a

Balance Sheet of Sunmoon Ltd. as on 1.4.2021  ASSETS	Note	Amount
ASSETS	Note No.	Amount
Non-current assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		3,40,00,00
(1,90,00,000 + 1,50,00,000)		0
Goodwill (Refer Working Note)		18,00,000
Financial assets		
Investment (21,00,000 + 11,00,000)		32,00,000
Current assets		
Inventory (26,00,000 + 55,00,000)		81,00,000
Financial assets		
Trade receivables (36,00,000 + 80,00,000)		1,16,00,00
·		0
Cash and Cash equivalent		
(9,00,000 + 8,00,000)		17,00,000
		6,04,00,00
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		0
Equity		
Equity share capital (of face value of `10 each)	1	2,50,00,00
		0
Other equity	2	1,64,00,00
		0
Liabilities		
Non-current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Borrowings (12% Debentures)	3	1,40,00,00
(60,00,000 + 80,00,000)		0
Current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Trade payables (20,00,000 +		50,00,000
30,00,000)		
		6,04,00,00

		0
TAT . A		

#### Notes to Accounts

		(`)	(`)
1.	Share Capital		
	25,00,000 Equity Shares of 10 each		
	(14,00,000 to Moon Ltd. and 11,00,000 as		2,50,00,00
	computed above, to Sun Ltd.)		0
2.	Other Equity		
	General reserve of Moon Ltd.	40,00,00	
		0	
	Profit and loss of Moon Ltd.	10,00,000	
	Export profit reserve of Moon Ltd.	2,00,000	
	Investment allowance reserve of Moon Ltd.	2,00,000	
	Security premium (11,00,000 shares x 10)	1,10,00,0	1,64,00,00
		00	0
3.	Long Term Borrowings		
	12% Debentures		1,40,00,00
			0

#### Working Note:

Computation of Goodwill

	,
nt	1,90,00,000
	21,00,000
	26,00,000
	36,00,000
	9,00,000
	2,82,00,000
oentures)	(60,00,000)
	(20,00,000)
A	2,02,00,000
В	2,20,00,000
(B-A)	18,00,000
	pentures)  A B

#### Question 4

Parent A holds 100% in its subsidiary B. Parent A had acquired B, 10 years back and had decided to account for the acquisition under the purchase method using fair values of the subsidiary B in its consolidated financial statements. During the current year, A decides to merge B with itself. For the purpose of this proposed merger, what values of B should be used for accounting under the Ind AS? (PYP 4 Marks Nov'19)

#### Answer 4

The acquisition of B Ltd. by A Ltd. is business combination under common control. In such a situation, pooling of interest method should be applied. However, B Ltd. is 100% subsidiary of A Ltd. and A Ltd. in its Consolidated financial statements use to give the carrying values of assets and liabilities of B Ltd. at fair value (as per

acquisition under purchase method). Hence the carrying value for the purpose of pooling of interest method will be the values given in Consolidated financial statements and not in Separate financial statements.

In other words, since B Ltd. is merging with A Ltd. (i.e. parent) nothing has changed and the transaction only means that the assets, liabilities and reserves of B Ltd. which were appearing in the consolidated financial statements of Group A immediately before the merger would now be a part of the separate financial statements of A Ltd. Accordingly, it would be appropriate to recognise the carrying value of the assets, liabilities and reserves pertaining to B Ltd as appearing in the

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

This question is based on Ind AS 103. Many examinees were not conceptually clear as to which values should be considered for business combination under common control.

consolidated financial statements of A Ltd.

#### Question 5

Deepak Ltd., an automobile group acquires 25% of the voting ordinary shares of Shaun Ltd., another automobile business, by paying, Rs. 4,320 crores on 01.04.2017. Deepak Ltd. accounts its investment in Shaun Ltd. using equity method as prescribed under Ind AS 28. At 31.03.2018, Deepak Ltd. recognized its share of the net asset changes of Shaun Ltd. using equity accounting as follows: (Rs. in crore)

**Share of Profit or Loss** 378 Share of Exchange difference in OCI 54 Share of Revaluation Reserve of PPE in OCI 27

The carrying amount of the investment in the associate on 31.03.2018 was therefore

Rs. 4,779 crores (4,320 + 378 + 54 + 27).

On 01.04.2018, Deepak Ltd. acquired remaining 75% of Shaun Ltd. for cash Rs. 13,500 crore. Fair value of the 25% interest already owned was Rs. 4,860 crore and fair value of Shaun Ltd.' s identifiable net assets was Rs. 16,200 crores as on 01.04.2018.

How should such business combination be accounted for in accordance with the applicable Ind AS? (PYP 8 Marks, May'19), (MTP 8 Marks Oct '20)

#### Answer 5

Paragraph 42 of Ind AS 103 provides that in a business combination achieved in stages, the acquirer shall remeasure its previously held equity interest in the acquire at its acquisition-date fair value and recognize the resulting gain or loss, if any, in profit or loss or other comprehensive income, as appropriate. In prior reporting periods, the acquirer may have recognized changes in the value of its equity interest in the acquiree in other comprehensive income. If so, the amount that was recognised in other comprehensive income shall be recognized on the same basis as would be required if the acquirer had disposed of directly the previously held equity interest. Applying the above, Deepak Ltd. records the following entry in its consolidated financial statements:

(Rs. in cro	(Rs. in crore)	
Debit	Credi	
	t	

Identifiable net assets of Shaun	Dr.	16,200	
Ltd.			
Goodwill (W.N.1)	Dr.	2,160	
Foreign currency translation reserve	Dr.	54	13,50
PPE revaluation reserve	Dr.	27	0
To Cash			
To Investment in associate -Si	haun Ltd.		4,779
To Retained earnings (W.N.2)			27
To Gain on previously held interest in			
Shaun Ltd. recognised in	Profit or loss		135
(W.N.3)			
(Recognition of acquisition of Shar	un Ltd.)		

#### Working Notes:

#### 1. Calculation of Goodwill

	Rs.	in
	crore	
Cash consideration	13,500	
Add: Fair value of previously held equity interest in Shaun Ltd.	4,860	
Total consideration	18,360	
Less: Fair value of identifiable net assets acquired	(16,200)	
Goodwill	2,160	

2. The credit to retained earnings represents the reversal of the unrealized gain of

Rs. 27 crore in Other Comprehensive Income related to the revaluation of property, plant and equipment. In accordance with Ind AS 16, this amount is not reclassified to profit or loss.

## 3. The gain on the previously held equity interest in Shaun Ltd. is calculated as follows: Rs. in crore

Fair Value of 30% interest in Shaun Ltd. at 1st April, 2018	4,860
Carrying amount of interest in Shaun Ltd. at 1 <sup>st</sup> April, 2018	(4,779) 81
Unrealised gain previously recognised in OCI Gain on previously held interest in Shaun Ltd. recognised	54
in profit or loss	135

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Many examinees have only calculated goodwill but have not calculated gain on the previously held equity interest in Shaun Ltd. recognized in Profit and Loss. Some of the examinees were not able to pass correct journal entry for the given business

#### Question 6

Mini Limited is a manufacturing entity in textile industry. Mini Limited decided to reduce the cost of manufacturing by setting up its own power plant for their

1058

captive consumption. As per market research report, there was non-operational power plant in nearby area. Hence, it decided to acquire that power plant which was having capacity of 80MW along with all entire labour force. This Power entity was owned by another entity Max Limited. Mini Limited approached Max Limited for acquisition of 80MW power plant at following terms:

- Mini Limited will seek an independent valuation for determining fair value of 80MW power plant.
- (ii) Value of other Non-current assets acquired, and Non-current financial liabilities assumed is  $\mathbf{\xi}$  11.10 million and  $\mathbf{\xi}$  32 million respectively.
- (iii) Consideration agreed between both the parties is at ₹ 51 million.

Both the parties agreed to the terms and entered into agreement on 1st April, 20X1 with immediate effect.

Due to unavoidable circumstances, valuation could not be completed by the time Max Limited finalizes its financial statements for the year ending 31st March, 20X1. Max Limited's annual financial statements records the fair value of 80 MW Power Plant at ₹ 46.90 million with remaining useful life at 40 years.

Max Limited also has license to operate that power plant unrecorded in books.

As on 31st March, 20X1, it has fair value of ₹ 5 million.

Six months after acquisition date, Mini Limited received the independent valuation, which estimated the fair value of 80MW Power Plant as ₹ 54.90 million.

CFO of Mini Limited, wants you to work upon following aspects of the transaction:

- (a) Determine whether transaction should be accounted as asset acquisition or business combination.
- (b) Calculate Goodwill / Bargain Purchase due to the above acquisition.
- (c) Pass necessary journal entities in the books of Mini Limited as per Ind AS 103 and prepare balance sheet as on date of acquisition.
- (d) Determine whether any adjustment is required in case of valuation received subsequent to acquisition. If yes, pass the necessary entries in the books of Mini Limited. (RTP Nov '23)

Balance Sheet of Mini Limited as at 31st March, 20X1

Particulars	(₹ in
	Million)
ASSETS	
Non-current assets	
Property, plant and equipment	2,158
Capital work-in-progress	12
Deferred Tax Assets (Net)	324
Other non-current assets	25
Total non-current assets	2,519
Current assets	
Inventories	368
Financial assets	
(i) Investments	45
(ii) Trade Receivables	762
(iii) Cash and Cash Equivalents	110
(iv) Bank balances other than (iii) above	28

(v) Other financial assets	267
Total current assets	1,580
Total assets	4,099
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	
Equity	
Equity Share Capital	295
Other equity	
Equity component of compound financial	717
instruments	
Reserves and surplus	2,481
Total equity	3,493
Liabilities	268
Non-current liabilities	
Financial Liabilities	
Borrowings	
Total non-current liabilities	268
Current liabilities	
Financial Liabilities	
(i) Trade payables	302
Other current liabilities	36
Total current liabilities	338
Total liabilities	606
Total equity and liabilities	4,099

#### Answer 6

(a) Ind AS 103 defines business as an integrated set of activities and assets that is capable of being conducted and managed for the purpose of providing goods and services to customers, generating investment income (such as dividends or interest) or generating other income from ordinary activities.

In the given scenario, acquisition of power plant along with its labour force will be considered as integrated set of activity as it is capable of being generating power. Hence, transaction will be considered as business combination and not asset acquisition and acquisition method of accounting will be applied.

Thus, following will be the case:

- (i) Acquirer Mini Ltd;
- (ii) Acquiree Max Ltd;
- (iii) Acquisition date 1st April, 20X1
- (b) Calculation of Goodwill:

Particulars	₹ in Million
Purchase consideration (A)	51
Fair Value of Power Plant – PPE	46.90
Fair Value of other non-current assets	11.10
Fair Value of Intangible Asset (License) – Refer Note 1 below	5
Non-Current Liabilities assumed	(32)

# e-1061

#### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

Value of net assets acquired	(B)	31
Goodwill		20

#### Note 1:

The licence to operate power plant is an intangible asset that meets the contractual-legal criterion for recognition separately from goodwill though acquirer cannot sell or transfer it separately from the acquired power plant. Intangible Assets needs to be recorded by the acquirer at the time of accounting for acquisition though not recorded by the acquiree in its book.

(c) Journal Entries for acquiring power plant

Particulars		₹ in Million	₹ in Million
Fair Value of Power Plant	Dr.	46.90	
Fair Value of other assets	Dr.	11.10	
Fair Value of License	Dr.	5	
acquired			
Goodwill	Dr.	20	
To Liabilities assumed			32
To Bank (PC paid)			51

Balance Sheet of Mini Limited as at 1st April, 20X1

Particulars	Notes to Account	₹ in Million
	s	
ASSETS		
Non-current assets		
Property, plant and equipment	1	2,204.90
Intangible Asset (License acquired in business combination)		5.00
Capital work-in-progress		12.00
Goodwill on acquisition		20.00
Deferred Tax Assets (Net)		324.00
Other non-current assets	2	36.10
Total non-current assets		2,602.00
Current assets		
Inventories		368.00
Financial assets		
(i) Investments		45.00
(ii) Trade Receivables		762.00
(iii) Cash and Cash Equivalents	3	59.00
(iv) Bank balances other than (iii) above		28.00
(v) Other financial assets		267.00
Total current assets		1,529.00
Total assets		4,131.00

EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity Share Capital		295.00
Other equity		
Equity component of compound financial instruments		717.00
Reserves and surplus		2,481.00
Total equity		3,493.00
Liabilities		
Non-current liabilities		
Financial Liabilities	4	
Borrowings	4	300.00
Total non-current liabilities		300.00
Current liabilities		
Financial Liabilities		
(i) Trade payables		302.00
Other current liabilities		36.00
Total current liabilities		338.00
Total liabilities		638.00
Total equity and liabilities		4,131.00

Notes to Accounts

1. Property, Plant and Equipment

Particulars	₹ in Million
PPE value as on 1st April, 20X1	2,158.00
Add: Fair Value of Power Plant acquired	46.90
Total	2,204.90

2. Other Non-current Assets

Particulars	₹ in Million
Other non-current assets value as on 1st	25.00
April, 20X1 Add: Fair Value of Non-current assets acquired	11.10
Total	36.10

**3.** Cash and Cash equivalents

Particulars	₹ in Million
Cash and Cash equivalents as on 1st April, 20X1	110
Less: Payment of Purchase consideration transferred	(51)
Total	59

1062

#### 4. Non-current Liabilities

Particulars	₹ in Million
Non-current Liabilities value as on 1st April, 20X1	268
Add: Non-current liabilities assumed in acquisition	32
Total	300

Subsequent Accounting: Ind AS 103 provides a measurement period window, wherein if all the required information is not available on the acquisition date, then entity can do price allocation on provisional basis. During the measurement period, the acquirer shall retrospectively adjust the provisional amounts recognised at the acquisition date to reflect new information obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as on the acquisition date and, if known, would have affected the measurement of the amounts recognised as of that date. Any change i.e. increase or decrease in the net assets acquired due to new information available during the measurement period which existed on the acquisition date will be adjusted against goodwill.

Accordingly, in the financial statements for half year ending 30th September, 20X1, Mini Limited will retrospectively adjusts the prior year information as follows:

- (i) the carrying amount of PPE (including power plant) as of 1st April, 20X1 is increased by ₹ 8 million (i.e. ₹ 54.90 million minus ₹ 46.90 million). The adjustment is measured as the fair value adjustment at the acquisition date less the additional depreciation that would have been recognised if the asset's fair value at the acquisition date had been recognised from that date [(80,00,000/40) x (6/12) = 0.1 million]
- (ii) the carrying amount of goodwill as of 1st April, 20X1 is decreased by ₹ 8 million; and
- (iii) depreciation expense for the period ending 30th September, 20X1 will increase by ₹ 0.1 million
- (iv) disclose in its financial statements of 1st April, 20X1, that the initial accounting for the business combination has not been completed because the valuation of property, plant and equipment has not yet been received;
- (v) disclose in its financial statements of 30th September, 20X1, the amounts and explanation of the adjustments to the provisional values recognised during the current reporting period. Therefore, Mini Limited discloses that comparative information is adjusted retrospectively to increase the fair value of the item of property, plant and equipment at the acquisition date by ₹ 8 million, offset by decrease in goodwill of ₹ 8 million.

#### Journal Entries

(1) PPE (Power Plant) Dr. ₹8 Million

To Goodwill ₹ 8 Million

(2) Depreciation Dr. ₹ 0.1 Million

To Provision for Depreciation ₹ 0.1 Million

#### Question 7

On 1 April 20X1, Alpha Ltd. acquires 80 percent of the equity interest of Beta Pvt. Ltd. in exchange for cash of `300. Due to legal compulsion, Beta Pvt. Ltd. had to dispose of their investments by a specified date. Therefore, they did not have sufficient time to market Beta Pvt. Ltd. to multiple potential buyers. The

management of Alpha Ltd. initially measures the separately recognizable identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date in accordance with the requirement of Ind AS 103. The identifiable assets are measured at `500 and the liabilities assumed are measured at `100. Alpha Ltd. engages on independent consultant, who determined that the fair value of 20 per cent non-controlling interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd. is `84.

Alpha Ltd. reviewed the procedures it used to identify and measure the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and to measure the fair value of both the non controlling interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd. and the consideration transferred. After the review, it decided that the procedures and resulting measures were appropriate. Calculate the gain or loss on acquisition of Beta Pvt. Ltd. and also show the journal entries for accounting of its acquisition. Also calculate the value of the non-controlling interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd. on the basis of proportionate interest method, if alternatively applied? (RTP May '18)

#### Answer 7

The amount of Beta Pvt. Ltd. identifiable net assets [ 400, calculated as 500 -100) exceeds the fair value of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of the non controlling interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd. [ 384 calculated as 300 + 84]. Alpha Ltd. measures the gain on its purchase of the 80 per cent interest as follows:

		`in lakh
Amount of the identifiable net assets acquired (`		400
500 - ` 100)		
Less: Fair value of the consideration transferred		
for Alpha Ltd.	30	
80 per cent interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd.	0	
Add: Fair value of non controlling interest in		(384)
Beta Pvt. Ltd.	84	
Gain on bargain purchase of 80 per cent interest		16

Journal Entry

0 0 0.0	<i>J</i>		
		` in	` in
		lakhs	lakhs
Identifiable assets acquired	Dr.	50	
To Cash		0	300
To Liabilities assumed			100
To OCI/Equity-Gain on the b	argain		16
purchase			
To Equity-non controlling interes	t in Beta		84
	Pvt Ltd.		

If the acquirer chose to measure the non controlling interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd. on the basis of its proportionate interest in the identifiable net assets of the acquire, the recognized amount of the non controlling interest would be `80 (`400 x 0.20). The gain on the bargain purchase then would be 20 (400-(300 + 80)

#### Question 8

Bima Ltd. acquired 65% of shares on 1 June, 20X1 in Nafa Ltd. which is engaged in production of components of machinery. Nafa Ltd. has 1,00,000 equity shares of Rs. 10 each. The quoted market price of shares of Nafa Ltd. was Rs. 12 on the

date of acquisition. The fair value of Nafa Ltd.'s identifiable net assets as on 1 June, 20X1 was

Rs. 80,00,000.

Bima Ltd. wired Rs. 50,00,000 in cash and issued 50,000 equity shares as purchase consideration on the date of acquisition. The quoted market price of shares of Bima Ltd. on the date of issue was Rs. 25 per share.

Bima Ltd. also agrees to pay additional consideration of Rs. 15,00,000, if the cumulative profit earned by Nafa Ltd. exceeds Rs. 1 crore over the next three years. On the date of acquisition, Nafa Ltd. assessed and determined that it is considered probable that the extra consideration will be paid. The fair value of this consideration on the date of acquisition is Rs. 9,80,000. Nafa Ltd. incurred Rs. 1,50,000 in relation to the acquisition. It measures Non-controlling interest at fair value.

How will the acquisition of Nafa Ltd. be accounted by Bima Ltd., under Ind AS 103? Prepare detailed workings and pass the necessary journal entry. (RTP May'21) Answer 8

#### Computation of Goodwill / Capital reserve on consolidation as per Ind AS 103

Particulars	Rs.
Cost of investment:	
Share exchange (50,000 x 25)	12,50,000
Cash consideration	50,00,000
Contingent consideration	9,80,000
Consideration transferred at date of acquisition [A]	72,30,000
Fair value of non-controlling interest at date of acquisition [B] (1,00,000 x 35% x 12)	4,20,000
Total [C] = [A] + [B]	76,50,000
Net assets acquired at date of acquisition [D]	(80,00,000)
Capital Reserve [D] – [C]	3,50,000

In a business combination, acquisition-related costs (including stamp duty) are expensed in the period in which such costs are incurred and are not included as part of the consideration transferred. Therefore, Rs. 1,50,000 incurred by Nafa Ltd. in relation to acquisition, will be ignored by Bima Ltd.

#### Journal entry at the date of acquisition by Bima Limited as per Ind AS 103:

		Rs.	Rs.
Identifiable net assets Dr	•	80,00,00	
To Equity share capital (50,000 x 10)		0	5,00,000
To Securities Premium (50,000 x 15)			7,50,000
To Cash			50,00,00
			0
To Provision for contingent consideration to			9,80,000
Nafa Ltd.			
To Non-controlling Interest			4,20,000
To Capital Reserve			3,50,000

#### Question 9

Smart Technologies Inc. is a Company incorporated in India in 1998 having business in the field of development and installation of softwares, trading of computer peripherals and other IT related equipment and provision of cloud computing services along with other services incidental thereto. It is one of the leading brands in India.

After witnessing immense popularity and support in its niche market, Smart Technologies further grew by bringing its subsidiaries namely:

Company Name	Principle Activity
	Provision of cloud computing services.
Limited	
Micro Fly India Private	Trading of computer peripherals like
Limited	mouse, keyboard, printer etc.

Smart Technologies started preparing its financial statements based on Ind AS from 1st April, 2015 on voluntary basis. The Microfly India Pvt. Ltd. is planning to merge the business of Clouds tries India Pvt. Ltd. with its own for which it presented before the members in the meeting the below extract of latest audited Balance Sheet of Cloudustries (prepared on the basis of Ind AS) for the year ended 31st March, 2017:

Balance Sheet as at March 31, 2017

(Rs. in Crores)

Assets	
Non-current assets	
Property, plant and Equipment	15.00
	15.00
Current Assets	
(a) Financial assets	
Trade Receivables	10.00
Cash and cash equivalents	10.00
Other current assets	8.00
	28.00
Total	43.00

Equity and Liabilities	
Equity	
Equity Share Capital	45.00
Other Equity	
Reserves and Surplus (Accumulated Losses)*	(24.80)
	20.20
Liabilities	
Non-current Liabilities	
Financial liabilities	
Borrowings	2.80

1066

Current Liabilities	20.00
	22.80
Total	43.00

\*The Tax Loss carried forward of the company is Rs. 27.20 crores

On September 5, 2017, the merger got approved by the Directors. The purchase consideration payable by MicroFly to Cloudustries was fixed at Rs. 18.00 crores payable in cash and that MicroFly take over all the assets and liabilities of Cloudustries.

Present the statement showing the calculation of assets/liabilities taken over as per Ind AS. Also mention the accounting of difference between consideration and assets/liabilities taken over. (RTP Nov 18)

#### Answer 9

Before the merger, Cloudustries and MicroFly are the subsidiary of Smart Technologies Inc. As the control is not transitory, the proposed merger will fall under the category of Business combination of entities under common control, it will be accounted as per Appendix C of Ind AS 103 "Business Combination" and Pooling of Interest Method would be applied.

Statement showing the calculation of assets/liabilities taken over and treatment of difference between consideration and assets/liabilities taken over:

Net asset taken over: (Rs. in crore)

Assets taken over:	
Property, Plant and Equipment	15.00
Cash and cash equivalents	10.00
Other current assets	8.00
Trade Receivables	10.00
Total - A	43.00
Less: Liabilities taken over:	
Borrowings	2.80
Current Liabilities	20.00
Total - B	22.80
Net Asset taken over (A-B)	20.20

## Treatment of difference between consideration and assets/liabilities taken over: (Rs. in crore)

Net Asset taken over - A	20.20
Less: Purchase Consideration - B	18.00
Difference (A – B)	1.80

The difference between consideration and assets/liabilities taken over of Rs. 1.80 crore shall be transferred to capital reserve

#### Question 10

Sun Ltd. is an entity with various subsidiaries. The entity closes its books of account at every year ended on 31st March. On 1st July 2015 Sun ltd acquired an 80% interest in Pluto ltd. Details of the acquisition were as follows:

Sun Ltd. acquired 800,000 shares in Pluto Ltd. by issuing two equity shares for every five acquired. The fair value of Sun Ltd.'s share on 1<sup>st</sup> July 2015 was Rs. 4 per share and the fair value of a Pluto's share was Rs. 1.40 per share.

- The cost of issue was 5% per share.
- Sun ltd incurred further legal and professional costs of Rs. 100,000 that was directly related to the acquisition.
- The fair values of the identifiable net assets of Pluto Ltd at 1<sup>st</sup> July 2015 were measured at Rs. 1.3 million. Sun Ltd. initially measured the noncontrolling interest in Pluto Ltd. at fair value. They used the market value of a Pluto Ltd. share for this purpose. No impairment of goodwill arising on the acquisition of Pluto Ltd. was required at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2016 or 2017.

Pluto ltd comprises three cash generating units A, B and C. When Pluto Ltd. was acquired the directors of Sun Ltd. estimated that the goodwill arising on acquisition could reasonably be allocated to units A:B:C on a 2:2:1 basis. The carrying values of the assets in these cash generating units and their recoverable amounts are as follows:

Unit	Carrying value (before goodwill	Recoverable amount
	allocation)	
	Rs. '000	Rs. '000
Α	600	740
В	550	650
С	450	400

Compute the carrying value of the goodwill arising on acquisition of Pluto Ltd. in the consolidated Balance Sheet of Sun Ltd. at 31st March 2018 following the impairment review. Compute the total impairment loss arising as a result of the impairment review, identifying how much of this loss would be allocated to the non-controlling interests in Pluto Ltd. (MTP 6 Marks Oct '18, MTP 8 Marks April '18)

#### Answer 10

1. Computation of goodwill on acquisition

Particular	Amount (Rs. '000)
Cost of investment (8,00,000 x 2/5 x Rs. 4)	1,280
Fair value of non-controlling interest (2,00,000 x Rs. 1·4)	280
Fair value of identifiable net assets at date of acquisition	(1,300)
So goodwill equals	260

Acquisition costs are not included as part of the fair value of the consideration given under Ind AS 103, Business Combination.

2. Calculation of impairment loss

Un it	Carrying value			Recoverab le Amount	Impairme nt Loss
	Before Allocation of Allocati goodwill on (2:2:1)		After Allocatio n		
A	600	10 4	70 4	74 0	Ni 1
В	550	10 4	65 4	65 0	4
С	400	52	45	40	5

|--|

\* After writing down assets in the individual CGU to recoverable amount.

3. Calculation of closing goodwill

Goodwill arising on acquisition (W1)	26
	0
Impairment loss (W2)	(56
	)
So closing goodwill equals	20
	4

4. Calculation of overall impairment loss

On goodwill (W3)	56
On assets in unit C (450 – 400)	50
So total loss equals	106

Rs. 21.2 (20%) of the above is allocated to the NCI with the balance allocated to the shareholders of Sun ltd.

#### Question 11

Company X is engaged in the business of exploration & development of Oil & Gas Blocks. It currently holds participating interest (PI) along with other companies in below mentioned producing Block as follows:

Block Name	Compan y X	Compan y Y	Compan y Z	Total
AWM/01	30%	60%	10%	100%

For the above Block, Company X, Y & Z has entered into unincorporated Joint Venture.

Company Y is the Operator of the Block AWM/01. Company X and Company Z are the Joint Operators. Company Y incurs all the expenditure on behalf of Joint Venture and raise cash call to Company X and Company Z at each month end in respect of their share of expenditure incurred in Joint Venture. All the manpower and requisite facilities / machineries owned by the Joint venture and thereby owned by all the Joint Operators.

For past few months, due to liquidity issues, Company Z defaulted in payment of cash calls to operators. Therefore, company Y (Operator) has issued notice to company Z for withdrawal of their participating right from on 1.4.20X1. However, company Z has filed the appeal with arbitrator on 30.4.20X1.

Financial performance of company Z has not been improved in subsequent months and therefore company Z has decided to withdraw participating interest rights from Block AWM/01 and entered into sale agreement with Company X and Company Y. As per the terms of the agreement, dated 31.5.20X1, Company X will receive 33.33% share & Company Y will receive 66.67% share of PI rights owned by Company Z.

Company X is required to pay  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}$  1,00,000 against 33.33% share of PI rights owned by Company Z.

After signing of sale agreement, Operator (company Y) approach Government of India for modification in PSC (Production Sharing Contract) i.e. removal of Company Z from PSC of AWM/01 and government has approved this transaction on 30.6.20X1. Government approval for the modification in PSC is essential for the given industry in which the joint-operators operate.

Balance Sheet of Company X and Company Z

Particulars	Company X		Company Z	
	31.5.20X1	30.6.20X1	31.5.20X1 30.6.20X1	
	₹	₹	₹	₹
Assets				
Non-Current Assets				
Property, Plant & Equipment	5,00,000	10,00,000	1,50,000	3,00,000
Right of Use Asset	1,00,000	2,00,000	10,000	20,000
Development CWIP	50,000	1,00,000	50,000	1,00,000
Financial Assets				
Loan receivable	25,000	50,000	25,000	50,000
Total Non-Current Assets	6,75,000	13,50,000	2,35,000	4,70,000
Current assets				
Inventories	1,00,000	2,00,000	15,000	30,000
Financial Assets				
Trade receivables	1,50,000	3,00,000	50,000	1,00,000
Cash and cash equivalents	2,00,000	4,00,000	1,00,000	2,00,000
Other Current Assets	2,25,000	50,000	25,000	50,000
Total Current Assets	6,75,000	9,50,000	1,90,000	3,80,000
Total Assets	13,50,000	23,00,000	4,25,000	8,50,000
Equity and Liabilities				
Equity				
Equity share capital	3,00,000	3,00,000	1,00,000	1,00,000
Other equity	2,00,000	3,00,000	75,000	2,50,000
Total Equity	5,00,000	6,00,000	1,75,000	3,50,000
Liabilities				
Non-Current Liabilities				
Provisions	4,00,000	8,00,000	1,00,000	2,00,000
Other Liabilities	1,50,000	3,00,000	50,000	1,00,000
Total Non-Current Liabilities	5,50,000	11,00,000	1,50,000	3,00,000
Current Liabilities				
Financial Liabilities				
Trade Payables	3,00,000	6,00,000	1,00,000	2,00,000
Total Current Liabilities	3,00,000	6,00,000	1,00,000	2,00,000
Total Liabilities	13,50,000	23,00,000	4,25,000	8,50,000

#### **Additional Information:**

- 1. Fair value of PPE & Development CWIP owned by Company Z as per market participant approach is ₹ 5,00,000 & ₹ 2,00,000 respectively.
- 2. Fair value of all the other assets and liabilities acquired are assumed to be at their carrying values (except cash & cash equivalent). Cash and cash equivalents of Company Z are not to be acquired by Company X as per the terms of agreement.
- 3. Tax rate is assumed to be 30%.
- 4. As per Ind AS 28, all the joint operators are joint venturers whereby each parties that have joint control of the arrangement have rights to the net assets of the arrangement and therefore every operator records their share of assets and liabilities in their books.

#### You need to determine the following:

- 1. Whether the above acquisition falls under business or asset acquisition as defined under business combination standard Ind AS 103?
- 2. Determine the acquisition date in the above transaction?

- 3. Prepare Journal entries for the above-mentioned transaction?
- 4. Draft the Balance Sheet for Company X based on your analysis in Part 1 above as at acquisition date.
- 5. Is this a case of step acquisition? Explain. (MTP 20 Mark Sep'22, RTP Nov'21)

#### Answer 11

1. Ind AS 103 defines business as an integrated set of activities and assets that is capable of being conducted and managed for the purpose of providing goods or services to customers, generating investment income (such as dividends or interest) or generating other income from ordinary activities.

For a transaction to meet the definition of a business combination (and for the acquisition method of accounting to apply), the entity must gain control of business that is more than a collection of assets or a combination of assets and liabilities.

To be capable of being conducted and managed for the purpose identified in the definition of a business, an integrated set of activities and assets requires two essential elements—inputs and processes applied to those inputs.

Therefore, an integrated set of activities and assets must include, at a minimum, an input and a substantive process that together significantly contribute to the ability to create output.

In the aforesaid transaction, Company X acquired share of participating rights owned by Company Z for the producing Block (AWM/01). The output exist in this transaction (Considering AWM/01) is a producing block. Also all the manpower and requisite facilities / machineries are owned by Joint venture and thereby all the Joint Operators. Hence, acquiring participating rights tantamount to acquire inputs (Expertise Manpower & Machinery) and it is critical to the ability to continue producing outputs. Thus, the said acquisition will fall under 'Business Acquisition' and hence standard Ind AS 103 is to be applied for the same.

2. As per paragraph 8 of Ind AS 103, acquisition date is the date on which the acquirer obtains control of the acquiree. Further, paragraph 9 of Ind AS 103 clarifies that the date on which the acquirer obtains control of the acquiree is generally the date on which the acquirer legally transfers the consideration, acquires the assets and assumes the liabilities of the acquiree—the closing date. However, the acquirer might obtain control on a date that is either earlier or later than the closing date. An acquirer shall consider all pertinent facts and circumstances in identifying the acquisition date. Since Government of India (GOI) approval is a substantive approval for Company X to acquire control of Company Z's operations, the date of acquisition cannot be earlier than the date on which approval is obtained from GOI. This is pertinent given that the approval from GOI is considered to be a substantive process and accordingly, the acquisition is considered to be completed only on receipt of such approval. Hence, acquisition date in the above scenario is 30.6.20X1.

3. Journal entry for acquisition

· <u> </u>			
		Amount	Amount
Particulars		(₹)	(₹)
Property Plant & Equipment (W.N.1)	Dr.	1,66,650	
Right-of-use Asset	Dr.	6,666	
Development CWIP (W.N.2)	Dr.	66,660	
Financial Assets - Loan Receivables	Dr.	16,665	
Inventories	Dr.	9,999	
Trade Receivables	Dr.	33,330	

1071

Other Current Assets	Dr.	16,665	
Other Current Assets		10,003	
To Provisions			66,660
To Other Liabilities			33,330
To Trade Payables			66,660
To Deferred Tax Liability (W.N.4)			29,997
To Cash & Cash Equivalent (purchase			1,00,000
consideration)			
To Gain on bargain purchase (Other			
Comprehensive Income) (W.N.3) (Bal. fig.)			19,988
(Poing agents agguired and liabilities aggumed from Company 7 recorded at fair			

(Being assets acquired and liabilities assumed from Company Z recorded at fair value along gain on bargain purchase)

4. Balance Sheet of Company X as at 30.6.20X1 (Pre & Post Acquisition of PI rights pertaining to Company Z)

Particulars	Pre-Acquisition	Adjustments	Post- Acquisition
Tarticulars	30.6.20X1	33.33% Share	30.6.20X1
Assets	00.0.20111	00.0070 211410	00.0.20111
Non-Current Assets			
Property Plant & Equipment	10,00,000	1,66,650	11,66,650
Right of Use Asset	2,00,000	6,666	2,06,666
Development CWIP	1,00,000	66,660	1,66,660
Financial Assets	, ,	,	•
Loan receivable	50,000	16,665	66,665
Total Non-Current Assets	13,50,000	2,56,641	16,06,641
Current assets	, ,	, ,	, ,
Inventories	2,00,000	9,999	2,09,999
Financial Assets			
Trade receivables	3,00,000	33,330	3,33,330
Cash and cash equivalents	4,00,000	(1,00,000)	3,00,000
Other Current Assets	50,000	16,665	66,665
Total Current Assets	9,50,000	(40,006)	9,09,994
Total Assets	23,00,000	2,16,635	25,16,635
Equity and Liabilities			
Equity			
Equity share capital	3,00,000		3,00,000
Other equity	3,00,000		3,00,000
Capital Reserve (OCI)		19,988	19,988
Total Equity	6,00,000	19,988	6,19,988
Liabilities			
Non-Current Liabilities			
Provisions	8,00,000	66,660	8,66,660
Other Liabilities	3,00,000	33,330	3,33,330
Deferred Tax Liability		29,997	29,997
Total Non-Current Liabilities	11,00,000	1,29,987	12,29,987
Current Liabilities			
Financial liabilities			
Trade Payables	6,00,000	66,660	6,66,660
Total Current Liabilities	6,00,000	66,660	6,66,660
Total Equity and Liabilities	23,00,000	2,16,635	25,16,635

**5.** As per Ind AS 103, in case an entity acquires another entity step by step through series of purchase then the acquisition date will be the date on which the acquirer obtains control. Till the time the control is obtained the investment will be accounted as per the requirements of other Ind AS 109, if the investments are covered under that standard or as per Ind AS 28, if the investments are in Associates or Joint Ventures.

If a business combination is achieved in stages, the acquirer shall remeasure its previously held equity interest in the acquiree at its acquisition-date fair value and recognise the resulting gain or loss, if any, in profit or loss or other comprehensive income, as appropriate.

Since in the above transaction, company X does not hold any prior interest in Company Z and company holds only 30% PI rights in Block AWM/01 through unincorporated joint venture, this is not a case of step acquisition.

#### Working Notes:

1. Fair Value of Property, plant and equipment

	<u> </u>
Fair Value of PPE in Company Z	₹ 5,00,000
Books	
33.33% Share acquired by Company	₹ 1,66,650
X	

2. Fair Value of Development CWIP:

Fair Value of PPE in Company Z	₹ 2,00,000
Books	
33.33% Share acquired by Company	₹ 66,660
X	

3. Computation Goodwill/Bargain Purchase Gain

Computation Goodwin/Bargain Furchase Gain	
Particulars	As at
	30.6.20X1
	(₹)
Total Non - Current Assets	2,56,641
Total Current Assets (Except Cash & Cash Equivalent of ₹	59,994
66,660) (1,26,654 – 66,660)	
Total Non-Current Liabilities	(99,990)
Total Current Liabilities	(66,660)
Total Deferred Tax Liability (Refer Working note 3)	(29,997)
Net Assets acquired	1,19,988
Less: Consideration Paid	(1,00,000)
Gain on Bargain Purchase* (To be transferred to OCI)	
	19,98
	8

\*In extremely rare circumstances, an acquirer will make a bargain purchase in a business combination in which the value of net assets acquired in a business combination exceeds the purchase consideration. The acquirer shall recognise the resulting gain in other comprehensive income on the acquisition date and accumulate the same in equity as capital reserve, if the reason for bargain purchase gain is clear and evidence exist. If there does not exist clear evidence of the underlying reasons for classifying the business combination as a bargain purchase, then the gain shall be recognised directly in equity as capital reserve. Since in above scenario it is clearly evident that due to liquidity issues, Company Z has to withdraw their participating right from AWM/01. The said bargain purchase gain should be transferred to other comprehensive income on the

acquisition date.

#### 4. Computation of Deferred Tax Liability arising on Business Combination

Particulars	Acquisitio n Date Value (₹)
Total Non - Current Assets	2,56,641
Total Current Assets (Except Cash & Cash Equivalent of ₹	59,994
66,660)	
Total Non-Current Liabilities	(99,990)
Total Current Liabilities	(66,660)
Net Assets Acquired at Fair Value	1,49,985
Book value of Net Assets Acquired	49,995
Temporary Difference	99,990
DTL @ 30% on Temporary Difference	29,997

#### **Question 12**

On 1st April 20X1, A Ltd. acquired 12 Cr shares (representing 80% stake) in B Ltd. by means of a cash payment of Rs. 25 Cr. It is the group policy to value the non-controlling interest in subsidiaries at the date of acquisition at fair value. The market value of an equity share in B Ltd. at 1st April 20X1 can be used for this purpose. On 1st April 20X1, the market value of a B Ltd. share was Rs. 2.00 On 1st April 20X1, the individual financial statements of B Ltd. showed the net assets at Rs. 23 Cr.

The directors of A Ltd. carried out a fair value exercise to measure the identifiable assets and liabilities of B Ltd. at 1st April 20X1. The following matters emerged:

- Property having a carrying value of Rs. 15 Cr at 1<sup>st</sup> April 20X1 had an estimated market value of Rs. 18 Cr at that date.
- Plant and equipment having a carrying value of Rs. 11 Cr at 1<sup>st</sup> April 20X1 had an estimated market value of Rs. 13 Cr at that date.
- Inventory in the books of B Ltd. is shown at a cost of Rs. 2.50 Cr. The fair value of the inventory on the acquisition date is Rs. 3 Cr.

The fair value adjustments have not been reflected in the individual financial statements of B Ltd. In the consolidated financial statements, the fair value adjustments will be regarded as temporary differences for the purposes of computing deferred tax. The rate of deferred tax to apply to temporary differences is 20%.

Calculate the deferred tax impact on above and calculate the goodwill arising on acquisition of B Ltd. (MTP 6 Marks Oct 21)

#### Answer 12

Purchase Consideration: Rs. 25 Cr Non-Controlling Interest [{(12 Cr x (20% / 80%)} x Rs. 2 per share] Rs. 6 Cr

#### Computation of Net Assets of B Ltd.

As per books

Rs. 23.00 Cr

Add: Fair value differences not recognized in books of B Ltd.:

Property (18 Cr – 15 Cr)

Rs. 3.00 Cr

Plant and Equipment (13 Cr – 11 Cr)

Rs. 2.00 Cr

Inventory (3 Cr – 2.5 Cr)

Rs. 0.50 Cr

Rs. 28.5 Cr

Less: Deferred tax liability on fair value difference @ 20% [(3 Cr + 2 Cr + 0.50 Cr) x 20%] (Rs. 1.10 Cr)

Total Net Assets at Fair Value Computation of Goodwill:

Purchase Consideration *Add*: Non-Controlling Interest

Less: Net Assets at Fair Value Goodwill on acquisition date

Rs. 27.40 Cr

Rs. 25.00 Cr Rs. 6.00 Cr

Rs. 31.00 Cr (Rs. 27.40 Cr) Rs. 3.60 Cr

#### Question 13

On September 30, 20X1, Entity A issues 2.5 shares in exchange for each ordinary share of Entity B. All of Entity B's shareholders exchange their shares in Entity B. Therefore, Entity A issues 150 ordinary shares in exchange for all 60 ordinary shares of Entity B. The fair value of each ordinary share of Entity B at September 30, 20X1 is ₹ 40. The quoted market price of Entity A's ordinary shares at that date is ₹ 16.

The fair values of Entity A's identifiable assets and liabilities at September 30, 20X1 are the same as their carrying amounts, except that the fair value of Entity A's non- current assets at September 30, 20X1 is 1,500.

The draft statements of financial position of Entity A and Entity B immediately before the business combination are:

	Entity A	Entity
	(legal parent,	B (legal
	accounting	subsidiary,
	acquiree)	accounting
		acquirer)
Current assets	500	700
Non-current assets	1,300	3,000
Total assets	1,800	3,700
Current liabilities	300	600
Non-current liabilities	400	1,100
Total liabilities	700	1,700
Shareholders' equity		
Retained earnings	800	1,400
Issued equity		
100 ordinary shares	300	
60 ordinary shares		600
Total shareholders' equity	1,100	2,000
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	1,800	3,700

Entity B's earnings for the annual period ended December 31, 20X0 were 600 and that the consolidated earnings for the annual period ended December 31, 20X1 were 800. Also there was no change in the number of ordinary shares issued by Entity B during the annual period ended December 31, 20X0 and during the period from January 1, 20 X1 to the date of the reverse acquisition on September 30, 20X1.

Required:

1075

Calculate the fair value of the consideration transferred, measure goodwill and prepare the consolidated balance sheet as on September 30, 20X1 as per Ind AS. Also compute Earnings per share as on December 31, 20X1. (MTP 12 Marks Mar '18)

#### Answer 13

#### Identifying the acquirer

As a result of Entity A issuing 150 ordinary shares, Entity B's shareholders own 60 per cent of the issued shares of the combined entity (i.e., 150 of the 250 total issued shares). The remaining 40 per cent are owned by Entity A's shareholders. Thus, the transaction is determined to be a reverse acquisition in which Entity B is identified as the accounting acquirer while Entity A is the legal acquirer.

#### Calculating the fair value of the consideration transferred

If the business combination had taken the form of Entity B issuing additional ordinary shares to Entity A's shareholders in exchange for their ordinary shares in Entity A, Entity B would have had to issue 40 shares for the ratio of ownership interest in the combined entity to be the same. Entity B's shareholders would then own 60 of the 100 issued shares of Entity B — 60 per cent of the combined entity. As a result, the fair value of the consideration effectively transferred by Entity B and the group's interest in Entity A is 1,600 (40 shares with a fair value per share of 40). The fair value of the consideration effectively transferred should be based on the most reliable measure. Here, the quoted market price of Entity A's shares provides a more reliable basis for measuring the consideration effectively transferred than the estimated fair value of the shares in Entity B, and the consideration is measured using the market price of Entity A's shares — 100 shares with a fair value per share of 16.

#### Measuring goodwill

Goodwill is measured as the excess of the fair value of the consideration effectively transferred (the group's interest in Entity A) over the net amount of Entity A's recognised identifiable assets and liabilities, as follows:

Consideration effectively transferred		1,600
Net recognized values of Entity A's identifiable assets and liabilities		
Current assets	500	
Non-current assets	1,500	
Current liabilities	(300)	
Non-current liabilities	(400)	(1,300)
Goodwill		300

#### Consolidated statement of financial position at September 30, 20X1

The consolidated statement of financial position immediately after the business combination is:

301113111411011 10.		
Current assets [700 + 500]		1,200
Non-current assets [3,000 + 1,500] Goodwill		4,500
1,300] Goodwiii	Total assets	300
Current liabilities [600 + 300]		6,000
Non-current liabilities [1,100 + 400]	// 1 1: 1 :1:.:	900
	Total liabilities	1,500

Shareholders' equity	2,400
Issued equity 250 ordinary shares [600 + 1,600]	2,200
Retained earnings	
Total shareholders' equity	3,600
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	6,000

The amount recognised as issued equity interests in the consolidated financial statements (2,200) is determined by adding the issued equity of the legal subsidiary immediately before the business combination (600) and the fair value of the consideration effectively transferred (1,600). However, the equity structure appearing in the consolidated financial statements (i.e., the number and type of equity interests issued) must reflect the equity structure of the legal parent, including the equity interests issued by the legal parent to effect the combination. Earnings per share

Earnings per share for the annual period ended December 31, 20X1 is calculated as follows:

150
250
175
4.5
7

Restated earnings per share for the annual period ended December 31, 20X0 is 4.00 [calculated as the earnings of Entity B of 600 divided by the number of ordinary shares Entity A issued in the reverse acquisition (150)].

#### Question 14

H Ltd. acquired equity shares of S Ltd., a listed company, in two tranches as mentioned in the below table:

Date	Equity stake purchased	Remarks	
1 <sup>st</sup> November 2016	15%	The shares were purchased based on the quoted price on	
1 <sup>st</sup> January 2017	45%	the stock exchange on the relevant dates.	

Both the above-mentioned companies have INR as their functional currency. Consequently, H Ltd. acquired control over S Ltd. on 1st January, 2017. Following is the Balance Sheet of S Ltd. as on that date:

Particulars	Carrying value (Rs. in	Fair value (Rs. in
	crore)	crore)
ASSETS:		

Page 1077

Non-current assets		
(a) Property, plant and equipment	40.0	90.0
(b) Intangible assets	20.0	30.0
(c) Financial assets	100.0	350.0
- Investments		
Current assets		
(a) Inventories	20.0	20.0
(b) Financial assets		
- Trade receivables	20.0	20.0
- Cash held in functional currency	4.0	4.5
(c) Other current assets		
Non-current asset held for sale	4.0	4.5
TOTAL ASSETS	208	
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES:		
Equity		
(a) Share capital (face value Rs.100)	12.0	50.4
(b)Other equity	141.0	Not applicable
Non-current liabilities		
(a) Financial liabilities		
- Borrowings		
	20.0	20.0
Current liabilities		
(a) Financial liabilities	28.0	28.0
- Trade payables		
(b) Provision for warranties	3.0	3.0
(c)Current tax liabilities	4.0	4.0
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	208.0	

#### Other information:

Property, plant and equipment in the above Balance Sheet include leasehold motor vehicles having carrying value of Rs. 1 crore and fair value of Rs. 1.2 crore. The date of inception of the lease was 1st April, 2010. On the inception of the lease, S Ltd. had correctly classified the lease as a finance lease. However, if facts and circumstances as on 1st April, 2017 are considered, the lease would be classified as an operating lease. Following is the statement of contingent liabilities of S Ltd. as on 1st January, 2017:

Particulars	Fair	value	Remarks
	(Rs.	in	
	crore)		
Law suit filed by a customer for a claim of Rs. 2 crore	0.5		It is not probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle them claim.  Any amount which would be paid in respect of law suit will be tax deductible.
Income tax demand of Rs. 7 crore raised by tax authorities; S Ltd. has challenged the demand in the court.	2.0		It is not probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the claim.

In relation to the above-mentioned contingent liabilities, S Ltd. has given an indemnification undertaking to H Ltd. up to a maximum of Rs. 1 crore.

Rs. 1 crore represents the acquisition date fair value of the indemnification undertaking.

Any amount which would be received in respect of the above undertaking shall not be taxable. The tax bases of the assets and liabilities of S Ltd. is equal to their respective carrying values being recognised in its Balance Sheet.

Carrying value of non-current asset held for sale of Rs. 4 crore represents its fair value less cost to sell in accordance with the relevant Ind AS.

In consideration of the additional stake purchased by H Ltd. on 1st January, 2017, it has issued to the selling shareholders of S Ltd. 1 equity share of H Ltd. for every 2 shares held in S Ltd. Fair value of equity shares of H Ltd. as on 1st January, 2017 is Rs. 10,000 per share.

On 1st January, 2017, H Ltd. has paid Rs. 50 crore in cash to the selling shareholders of S Ltd. Additionally, on 31st March, 2019, H Ltd. will pay Rs. 30 crore to the selling shareholders of S Ltd. if return on equity of S Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 2019 is more than 25% per annum. H Ltd. has estimated the fair value of this obligation as on 1st January, 2017 and 31st March, 2017 as Rs. 22 crore and Rs. 23 crore respectively. The change in fair value of the obligation is attributable to the change in facts and circumstances after the acquisition date.

Quoted price of equity shares of S Ltd. as on various dates is as follows:

As on November, 2016 Rs. 350 per share

As on 1st January, 2017 Rs. 395 per

share

As on 31st March, 2017 Rs. 420 per

share

On 31st May, 2017, H Ltd. learned that certain customer relationships existing as on

1st January, 2017, which met the recognition criteria of an intangible asset as on that date, were not considered during the accounting of business combination for the year ended 31st March, 2017. The fair value of such customer relationships as on 1st January, 2017 was Rs. 3.5 crore (assume that there are no temporary differences associated with customer relations; consequently, there is no impact of income taxes on customer relations).

On 31st May, 2017 itself, H Ltd. further learned that due to additional customer relationships being developed during the period 1st January, 2017 to 31st March, 2017, the fair value of such customer relationships has increased to Rs. 4 crore as on 31st March, 2017.

On 31st December, 2017, H Ltd. has established that it has obtained all the information necessary for the accounting of the business combination and that more information is not obtainable.

H Ltd. and S Ltd. are not related parties and follow Ind AS for financial reporting. Income tax rate applicable is 30%.

You are required to provide your detailed responses to the following, along with reasoning and computation notes:

- (a) What should be the goodwill or bargain purchase gain to be recognised by H Ltd. in its financial statements for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017. For this purpose, measure non-controlling interest using proportionate share of the fair value of the identifiable net assets of S Ltd.
- (b) Will the amount of non-controlling interest, goodwill, or bargain purchase

- gain so recognised in (a) above change subsequent to 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017? If yes, provide relevant journal entries.
- (c) What should be the accounting treatment of the contingent consideration as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017? (MTP 20 Marks Mar '19, RTP Nov'19)

#### Answer 14

- (i) As an only exception to the principle of classification or designation of assets as they exist at the acquisition date is that for lease contract and insurance contracts classification which will be based on the basis of the conditions existing at inception and not on acquisition date. Therefore, H Ltd. would be required to retain the original lease classification of the lease arrangements and thereby recognise the lease arrangements as finance lease.
- (ii) The requirements in Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets', do not apply in determining which contingent liabilities to recognise as of the acquisition date as per Ind AS 103 'Business Combination'. Instead, the acquirer shall recognise as of the acquisition date a contingent liability assumed in a business combination if it is a present obligation that arises from past events and its fair value can be measured reliably. Therefore, contrary to Ind AS 37, the acquirer recognises a contingent liability assumed in a business combination at the acquisition date even if it is not probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation. Hence H Ltd. will recognize contingent liability of Rs. 2.5 cr. Since S Ltd. has indemnified for Rs. 1 cr., H Ltd. shall recognise an
  - indemnification asset at the same time for Rs. 1 cr.

    As per the information given in the question, this indemnified assi
  - As per the information given in the question, this indemnified asset is not taxable. Hence, its tax base will be equal to its carrying amount. No deferred tax will arise on it.
- (iii) As per Ind AS 103, non-current assets held for sale should be measured at fair value less cost to sell in accordance with Ind AS 105 'Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations'. Therefore, its carrying value as per balance sheet has been considered in the calculation of net assets.
- (iv) Any equity interest in S Ltd. held by H Ltd. immediately before obtaining control over S Ltd. is adjusted to acquisition-date fair value. Any resulting gain or loss is recognised in the profit or loss of H Ltd.
  - (i) Calculation of purchase consideration as per Ind AS 103 Rs. in lakh

Investment in S Ltd.			
On 1 <sup>st</sup> Nov. 2016	15 %	[(12/100) x 395 x 15%]	7.11
On 1 <sup>st</sup> Jan. 2017	45 %		
Own equity given		10,000 x 12% x 45% x 1/2	270
Cash			50
Contingent consideration			22
			349.11

(ii) Calculation of defer tax on assets and liabilities acquired as part of the business combination, including current tax and goodwill.

## age 1081

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Item	Rs. in crore				
	Book value	Fair value	Tax base	Taxable (deductibl e) temporar y differenc e	Deferred tax assets (liability) @ 30%
Property, plant and equipment	40	90	40	50	(15)
Intangible assets	20	30	20	10	(3)
Investments	100	350	100	250	(75)
Inventories	20	20	20	-	-
Trade receivables	20	20	20	-	-
Cash held in functional currency	4	4	4	-	-
Non-current asset held for sale	4	4	4	-	-
Indemnified asset	-	1	1	_	-
Borrowings	20	20	20	-	-
Trade payables	28	28	28	-	-
Provision for warranties	3	3	3	-	-
Current tax liabilities	4	4	4	-	-
Contingent liability		0.5	-	(0.5)	0.15
Deferred tax Liability					(92.85)

#### (iii) Calculation of identifiable net assets acquired

Calculation of identifiable net assets acquired				
	Rs. in crore	Rs. in crore		
Property, plant and equipment	90			
Intangible assets	30			
Investments	350			
Inventories	20			
Trade receivables	20			
Cash held in functional currency	4			
Non-current asset held for sale	4			
Indemnified asset	1			
Total asset		519		
Less: Borrowings	20			
Trade payables	28			
Provision for warranties	3			
Current tax liabilities	4			
Contingent liability (2 + 0.5)	2.50			

## Jese 1082

#### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

Deferred tax liability (W.N.2)	92.8	(150.35)
Net identifiable assets	5	368.65

(a) Calculation of NCI by proportionate share of net assets
Net identifiable assets of S Ltd. on 1.1.2017 (Refer W.N.3) =
372.85 crore NCI on 1.1.2017 = 368.65 crore x 40% = 147.46
crore

Calculation of Goodwill as per Ind AS 103

Goodwill on 1.1.2017 = Purchase consideration + NCI – Net assets

- = 349.11 + 147.46 368.65
- = 127.92 crore
- (b) As per para 45 of Ind AS 103 'Business Combination', if the initial accounting for a business combination is incomplete by the end of the reporting period in which the combination occurs, the acquirer shall report in its financial statements provisional amounts for the items for which the accounting is incomplete.

During the measurement period, the acquirer shall retrospectively adjust the provisional amounts recognised at the acquisition date to reflect new information obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date and, if known, would have affected the measurement of the amounts recognised as of that date.

During the measurement period, the acquirer shall also recognise additional assets or liabilities if new information is obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date and, if known, would have resulted in the recognition of those assets and liabilities as of that date.

The measurement period ends as soon as the acquirer receives the information it was seeking about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date or learns that more information is not obtainable. However, the measurement period shall not exceed one year from the acquisition date.

Further, as per para 46 of Ind AS 103, the measurement period is the period after the acquisition date during which the acquirer may adjust the provisional amounts recognised for a business combination. The measurement period provides the acquirer with a reasonable time to obtain the information necessary to identify and measure the following as of the acquisition date in accordance with the requirements of this Ind AS:

- (a) the identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree;
- (b) .....
- (c) .....; and
- (d) the resulting goodwill or gain on a bargain purchase.

Para 48 states that the acquirer recognises an increase (decrease) in the provisional amount recognised for an identifiable asset (liability) by means of a decrease (increase) in goodwill.

Para 49 states that during the measurement period, the acquirer shall recognise adjustments to the provisional amounts as if the accounting for the business combination had been completed at the acquisition date. Para 50 states that after the measurement period ends, the acquirer shall revise the accounting for a business combination only to correct an error in accordance with Ind AS 8 'Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors'.

On 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2017, H Ltd. has established that it has obtained all the information necessary for the accounting of the business combination and the more information is not obtainable. Therefore, the measurement period for acquisition of S Ltd. ends on 31 <sup>st</sup> December, 2017.

On 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2017 (ie within the measurement period), H Ltd. learned that certain customer relationships existing as on 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2017 which met the recognition criteria of an intangible asset as on that date were not considered during the accounting of business combination for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017. Therefore, H Ltd. shall account for the acquisition date fair value of customer relations existing on 1st January, 2017 as an identifiable intangible asset. The corresponding adjustment shall be made in the amount of goodwill.

Accordingly, the amount of goodwill will be changed due to identification of new asset from retrospective date for changes in fair value of assets and liabilities earlier recognised on provisional amount (subject to meeting the condition above for measurement period). NCI changes would impact the consolidated retained earnings (parent's share). Also NCI will be increased or decreased based on the profit during the post-acquisition period.

#### Journal entry

Customer relationship...... Dr. 3.5 crore
To NCI 1.4 crore

To Goodwill 2.1 crore

However, the increase in the value of customer relations after the acquisition date shall not be accounted by H Ltd., as the customer relations developed after 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2017 represents internally generated intangible assets which are not eligible for recognition on the balance sheet.

(c) Since the contingent considerations payable by H Ltd is not classified as equity and is within the scope of Ind AS 109 'Financial Instruments', the changes in the fair value shall be recognised in profit or loss. Change in Fair value of contingent consideration (23 -22) Rs. 1 crore will be recognized in the Statement of Profit and Loss.

#### **Question 15**

In March 2018, Pharma Ltd. acquires Dorman Ltd. in a business combination for a total cost of Rs. 12,000 lakhs. At that time Dorman Ltd.'s assets and liabilities are as follows:

Item	Rs. in
	lakhs
Assets	
Cash	780
Receivables (net)	5,200
Plant and equipment	7,000
Deferred tax asset	360

## $_{\mathrm{age}}1084$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Liabilities	
Payables	1,050
Borrowings	4,900
Employee entitlement liabilities	900
Deferred tax liability	300

The plant and equipment has a fair value of Rs. 8,000 lakhs and a tax written down value of Rs. 6,000 lakhs. The receivables are short-term trade receivables net of a doubtful debts allowance of Rs. 300 lakhs.

Bad debts are deductible for tax purposes when written off against the allowance account by Dorman Ltd. Employee benefit liabilities are deductible for tax when paid.

Dorman Ltd. owns a popular brand name that meets the recognition criteria for intangible assets under Ind AS 103 'Business Combinations'. Independent valuers have attributed a fair value of Rs. 4.300 lakhs for the brand. However, the brand does not have any cost for tax purposes and no tax deductions are available for the same.

The tax rate of 30% can be considered for all items. Assume that unless otherwise stated, all items have a fair value and tax base equal to their carrying amounts at the acquisition date.

You are required to:

- (i) Calculate deferred tax assets and liabilities arising from the business combination (do not offset deferred tax assets and liabilities)
- (ii) Calculate the goodwill that should be accounted on consolidation. (MTP 10 Marks Aug' 18)

#### Answer 15

Breakdown of assets and liabilities acquired as part of the business combination, including deferred taxes and goodwill.

Item	Rs. In lakhs				
	Boo k valu e	Fair value	Tax base	Taxable (deductibl e) temporary differenc e	Deferred tax asset (liability) @ 30%
Cash	780	7801)	7801)	-	-
Receivables	5,200	5,2001)	5,5003)	(300)	90
Plant and equipment	7,000	8,0002)	6,0004)	2,000	(600)
Brands		4,3002)	<b>-</b> 5)	4,300	(1,290)
Goodwill (Balancing figure)		2,1009)			
Deferred tax asset	360	3,607)			
Total assets		20,740			
Payables	(1,050 )	(1,050) 1)	(1,050) 1)		
Borrowings	(4,900 )	(4,900) <sup>1)</sup>	(4,900) <sup>1</sup>	(900)	270
Employee Entitlement	(900)	$(900)^{1)}$	-6)		

liabilities				
Deferred tax liability	(300)	$(1,890)^{8}$		
Total liabilities		(8,740)		
Consideration paid		12,000		

#### **Notes**

- (1) This amount has been derived from Dorman Ltd.'s Balance Sheet as it is stated that 'unless otherwise stated, all items have a fair value and tax base equal to their carrying amounts in Dorman Ltd.'s Balance Sheet at the acquisition date'.
- (2) Stated fair value in the fact pattern (different to the carrying amount in Dorman Ltd.'s Balance Sheet at the acquisition date).
- (3) Because bad debts are only deductible when written off against the allowance account by Dorman Ltd. the tax base of the receivables is their gross value, i.e., (Rs. 5,200 + Rs. 300) lakhs allowance account.
- (4) Tax written down value of the plant and equipment as stated in the fact pattern.
- (5) As the brand name does not have a cost for tax purposes and no tax deduction is available in relation to it, its tax base is nil.
- (6) As the employee entitlement liabilities are only deductible for tax purposes when paid, their tax base is nil.
- (7) The aggregate deferred tax asset is Rs. 360 lakhs, comprised of Rs.90 lakhs in relation to the receivables and Rs.270 lakhs in relation to the employee entitlement liabilities.
- (8) The aggregate deferred tax liability is Rs. 1,890 lakhs calculated as follows:

Rs. In lakhs		Deferred tax impact of fair value adjustments	
Plant and equipment	300 ([7,000-6,000] × 30%)	300 ([1,000 × 30%)	600
Brand names	0	1,290 (4,300 ×	1,290
		30%)	,
TOTAL	300	1,590	1,890

(9) Goodwill is effectively the 'balancing item' in the equation, applying the requirements of lnd AS 103, para 32. The consideration transferred is Rs. 12,000 lakhs and the net of the acquisition date amounts of the identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed measured in accordance with Ind AS 103, including the deferred tax assets and liabilities arising, is Rs. 9,900 lakhs.

#### Question 16

- (b) How should contingent consideration payable in relation to a business combination be accounted for on initial recognition and at the subsequent measurement as per Ind AS in the following cases:
  - i) On 1 April 2016, A Ltd. acquires 100% interest in B Ltd. As per the terms of agreement the purchase consideration is payable in the following 2 tranches:
    - an immediate issuance of 10 lakhs shares of A Ltd. having face value of INR 10 per share;

• a further issuance of 2 lakhs shares after one year if the profit before interest and tax of B Ltd. for the first year following acquisition exceeds INR 1 crore.

The fair value of the shares of A Ltd. on the date of acquisition is INR 20 per share. Further, the management has estimated that on the date of acquisition, the fair value of contingent consideration is Rs. 25 lakhs.

During the year ended 31 March 2017, the profit before interest and tax of B Ltd. exceeded Rs. 1 crore. As on 31 March 2017, the fair value of shares of A Ltd. is Rs. 25 per share.

- ii) Continuing with the fact pattern in (a) above except for:
  - The number of shares to be issued after one year is not fixed.
  - Rather, A Ltd. agreed to issue variable number of shares having a fair value equal to Rs. 40 lakhs after one year, if the profit before interest and tax for the first year following acquisition exceeds Rs. 1 crore. A Ltd. issued shares with Rs. 40 lakhs after an year. (MTP 12 Marks Oct '19, April '18, RTP May '19, RTP Nov'22)

#### Answer 16

- (a) Paragraph 37 of Ind AS 103, inter alia, provides that the consideration transferred in a business combination should be measured at fair value, which should be calculated as the sum of (a) the acquisition-date fair values of the assets transferred by the acquirer,
- (b) the liabilities incurred by the acquirer to former owners of the acquiree and
- (c) the equity interests issued by the acquirer.

Further, paragraph 39 of Ind AS 103 provides that the consideration the acquirer transfers in exchange for the acquiree includes any asset or liability resulting from a contingent consideration arrangement. The acquirer shall recognise the acquisition-date fair value of contingent consideration as part of the consideration transferred in exchange for the acquiree.

With respect to contingent consideration, obligations of an acquirer under contingent consideration arrangements are classified as equity or a liability in accordance with Ind AS 32 or other applicable Ind AS, i.e., for the rare case of non-financial contingent consideration. Paragraph 40 provides that the acquirer shall classify an obligation to pay contingent consideration that meets the definition of a financial instrument as a financial liability or as equity on the basis of the definitions of an equity instrument and a financial liability in paragraph 11 of Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation. The acquirer shall classify as an asset a right to the return of previously transferred consideration if specified conditions are met. Paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103 provides guidance on the subsequent accounting for contingent consideration.

i) In the given case the amount of purchase consideration to be recognised on initial recognition shall be as follows:

Fair value of shares issued (10,00,000 x Rs.	Rs. 2,00,00,000
20)	
Fair value of contingent consideration	Rs.
	25,00,00
	0
Total purchase consideration	Rs. 2,25,00,000

Subsequent measurement of contingent consideration payable for business combination

 $_{
m age}1086$ 

In general, an equity instrument is any contract that evidences a residual interest in the assets of an entity after deducting all of its liabilities. Ind AS 32 describes an equity instrument as one that meets both of the following conditions:

- There is no contractual obligation to deliver cash or another financial asset to another party, or to exchange financial assets or financial liabilities with another party under potentially unfavourable conditions (for the issuer of the instrument).
- If the instrument will or may be settled in the issuer's own equity instruments, then it is:
- a non-derivative that comprises an obligation for the issuer to deliver a fixed number of its own equity instruments; or
- a derivative that will be settled only by the issuer exchanging a fixed amount of cash or other financial assets for a fixed number of its own equity instruments.

In the given case, given that the acquirer has an obligation to issue fixed number of shares on fulfilment of the contingency, the contingent consideration will be classified as equity as per the requirements of Ind AS 32.

As per paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103, contingent consideration classified as equity should not be re-measured and its subsequent settlement should be accounted for within equity.

Here, the obligation to pay contingent consideration amounting to Rs. 25,00,000 is recognized as a part of equity and therefore not re-measured subsequently or on issuance of shares.

ii) The amount of purchase consideration to be recognised on initial recognition is shall be as follows:

Fair value shares issued (10,00,000	Rs. 2,00,00,000
x Rs. 20)	
Fair value of contingent	Rs. 25,00,000
consideration	
Total purchase consideration	Rs. 2,25,00,000

Subsequent measurement of contingent consideration payable for business combination

The contingent consideration will be classified as liability as per Ind AS 32. As per paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103, contingent consideration not classified as equity should be measured at fair value at each reporting date and changes in fair value should be recognised in profit or loss.

As at 31 March 2017, (being the date of settlement of contingent consideration), the liability would be measured at its fair value and the resulting loss of Rs. 15,00,000 (Rs. 40,00,000 - Rs. 25,00,000) should be recognized in the profit or loss for the period. A Ltd. would recognise issuance of 160,000 (Rs. 40,00,000/25) shares at a premium of Rs. 15 per share.

#### Question 17

X Ltd. and Y Ltd. amalgamated on and from 1st April, 2017. A new company XY Ltd. with shares of Rs. 10 each was formed to take over the businesses of the existing companies.

Summarized Balance Sheet as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 INR in '000

ASSETS	Note No.	X Ltd	Y Ltd
Non-current assets			
Property, Plant and Equipment		8,500	7,500
Financial assets			
Investment		1,050	550
Current assets			
Inventories		1,250	2,750
Trade receivables		1,800	4,000
Cash and Cash equivalents		450	400
		13,050	15,200
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES			
Equity			
Equity share capital (of face value of INR 10 each)		6,000	7,000
Other equity	1	3,050	2,700
Liabilities			
Non-current liabilities			
Financial liabilities			
Borrowings (12% Debentures)		3,000	4,000
Current liabilities			
Trade payables		1,000	1,500
		13,050	15,200

#### Notes to Accounts:

1	Other equity	X Ltd	Y Ltd
	General Reserve	1,500	2,000
	Profit & Loss	1,000	500
	Investment Allowance Reserve	500	100
	Export Profit Reserve	50	100
		3,050	2,700

XY Ltd. issued requisite number of shares to discharge the claims of the equity shareholders of the transferor companies. Also the new debentures were issued in exchange of the old series of both the companies.

Prepare a note showing purchase consideration and discharge thereof and draft the Balance Sheet of XY Ltd:

- (i) Assuming that both the entities are under common control
- (ii) Assuming Y Ltd is a larger entity and their management will take the control of the entity XY Ltd. The fair value of net assets of X and Y limited are as follows:

Assets	X Ltd.	Y Ltd.
	('000)	('000)

Property, Plant and	9,500	1,000
Equipment		
Inventories	1,300	2,900
Fair value of the business	11,000	14,000

(MTP 20 Marks Oct '18)

#### Answer 17

i) (Assumption: Common control transaction)

#### 1. Calculation of Purchase Consideration

		X Ltd.		Y Ltd.
		Rs. '000		Rs. '000
Assets taken over:				
Property, Plant and		85,00		75,00
Equipment				
Investment		10,50		5,50
Inventory		12,50		27,50
Trade receivables				
Cash & Cash		18,00		40,00
equivalent				
Gross Assets		4,50		4,00
Less: Liabilities		130,50		152,00
12% Debentures				
Trade payables	30,00		40,00	
Net Assets taken over				
Less: Reserves and	10,00	(40,00)	15,00	(55,00)
Surplus:				
General Reserve		90,50		97,00
P & L A/c	15,00		20,00	
Investment Allowance	10,00		5,00	
Reserve				
Export Profit Reserve	5,00		1,00	
Purchase Consideration	50	(30,50)	1,00	(27,00)
		60,00		70,00

Total Purchase Consideration = 130,00 (60,00 of AX Ltd. & 70,00 of BX Ltd.)

#### 2. Discharge of Purchase Consideration

No. of shares to be issued to X Ltd =

 $\frac{\text{Net Assets taken over of X Ltd.}}{\text{Net Assets taken over of X ltd.and Y ltd.}} \times \text{Purchase Consideration}$ 

No. of shares to be issued to Y Ltd

 $\frac{1}{\text{Net Assets taken over of X ltd.and Y ltd.}} \times \text{Purchase Consideration}$ 

X Ltd.	Y Ltd.
Rs.	Rs. '000
'000	

$130,00 \times \frac{90.50}{187.50}$ = 6,27,500* Equity shares of Rs. 10 each	62,75	
<b>130,00</b> × <b>97,00</b> / <b>187,50</b> = <b>6,27,500</b> Equity shares of Rs. 10 each		67,25

#### 3. Balance Sheet of XY Ltd. as on 1st April, 2018

INR in '000

ASSETS	Note	;	Amount
	No.		
Non-current assets			
Property, Plant and Equipment			16,000
Financial assets			
Investment			1,600
Current assets			
Inventories			4,000
Trade receivables			5,800
Cash and Cash equivalents			850
			28,250
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES			
Equity			
Equity share capital (of face value of INR 10 each)	1		13,000
Other equity	2		5,750
Liabilities			
Non-current liabilities			
Financial liabilities			
Borrowings		3	7,000
Current liabilities			
Trade payables			2,500
			28,250

#### **Notes to Accounts**

		(Rs.	(Rs. 000)
		000)	
1.	Share Capital		
	13,00,000 Equity Shares of Rs. 10 each		130,00
2.	Reserves and surplus	35,0	
	General Reserve (15,00 + 20,00)	O	
	Profit & Loss (10,00 + 5,00)	15,0	
	Investment Allowance Reserve	0	
	(5,00 + 1,00)	6,0	
		0	

	Export Profit Reserve (50 + 1,00)		57,50
	Long Term Borrowings	1,50	
3	12% Debentures		
•			
			70,00

**ii)** Assuming Y Ltd is a larger entity and their management will take the control of the entity XY Ltd.

In this case Y Ltd. and X Ltd. are not under common control and hence accounting prescribed under Ind AS 103 for business combination will be applied. A question arises here is who is the accounting acquirer XY Ltd which is issuing the shares or X Ltd. or Y Ltd. As per the accounting guidance provided in Ind AS 103, sometimes the legal acquirer may not be the accounting acquirer. In the given scenario although XY Ltd. is issuing the shares but Y Ltd. post-merger will have control and is bigger in size which is a clear indicator that Y Ltd. will be an accounting acquirer. This can be justified by the following table:(In '000s)

	X Ltd.	Y Ltd.
Fair Value	11,000	14,000
Value per share	10	10
No. of shares	1,100	1,400
i.e. Total No. of shares in XY Ltd. = 2,500 thousand shares		
Thus, % Held by each Company in Combined Entity	44%	56%

**Note:** It is a case of Reverse Acquisition.

Accordingly, Y Ltd.'s assets will be recorded at historical cost in the merged financial statements.

(1) Calculation and discharge of Purchase Consideration (All figures are in thousands)

We need to calculate the number of shares to be issued by Y Ltd. to X Ltd. to maintain the same percentage i.e. 56%:

Thus, 700 thousand shares of Y Ltd. (given in the balance sheet) represents 56%. This means that total no. of shares would be 1,250 thousand shares ie 700 thousand shares / 56%.

This implies Y Ltd. would need to issue 550 thousand shares (1,250 - 700) to X Ltd.

Purchase Consideration = 550 thousand shares x Rs. 20 per share (ie. 14,000 thousand / 700 thousand shares) = Rs. 11,000 thousand.

(2) Balance Sheet of XY Ltd. as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2018

	INR	in	'0	00
--	-----	----	----	----

		11C 1111 000
ASSETS	Note	Amount
	No.	
Non-current assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment (9500+7500)		17,000
Goodwill (Refer Working Note)		900
Financial assets		
Investment (1050+550)		1,600

## 760T<sup>age</sup>

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Current assets			
Inventories (1300+2750)			4,050
Trade receivables (1800+4000)			5,800
Cash and Cash equivalents (450+400)			850
			30,200
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES			
Equity			
Equity share capital (of face value of INR 10 each)	1		12,500
Other equity	2		8,200
Liabilities			
Non-current liabilities			
Financial liabilities			
Borrowings (12% Debentures)		3	7,000
Current liabilities			
Trade payables			2,500
			30,200

#### Notes to Accounts

		(Rs. 000)	(Rs. 000)
1.	Share Capital		
	1,250,000 Equity Shares of Rs. 10		1,25,00
	each (700,000 to BX Ltd and 550,000		
	as computed above to AX LTD)		
2.	Reserves and Surplus		
	General reserve of BX Ltd	20,00	
	P&L of BX Ltd	5,00	
	Export Profit Reserve of BX Ltd	1,00	
	Investment Allowance Reserve of BX Ltd	1,00	
	Security Premium (550 shares x Rs. 10)	5,500	8,200
3.	Long Term Borrowings		
	12% Debentures (Assumed that new		
	debentures		
	were issued in exchange of the old		70,00
	series)		

Working Note: Computation of Goodwill:

working Note: Computation of Goodwill:		
Assets:	Rs. in	
	000s	
Property, Plant and Equipment	9,500	
Investment	1,050	
Inventories	1,300	
Trade Receivables	1,800	
Cash & Cash Equivalents	450	
Total Assets	14,100	
Less: Liabilities:		
Borrowings	3,000	
Trade Payables	1,000	

Net Assets	10,100
Purchase Consideration	11,000
Goodwill	900

#### Question 18

Enterprise Ltd. has 2 divisions Laptops and Mobiles. Division Laptops has been making constant profits while division Mobiles has been invariably suffering losses.

On 31st March, 20X2, the division-wise draft extract of the Balance Sheet was: (Rs. in crores)

As. III crores	_		
	Laptops	Mobiles	Total
Property, Plant and Equipment cost	250	500	750
Depreciation	(225)	(400)	(625)
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	25	100	125
(A)			
Current assets:	200	500	700
Less: Current liabilities	(25)	(400)	(425)
(B)	175	100	275
Total (A+B)	200	200	400
Financed by:			
Loan funds	-	300	300
Capital: Equity Rs. 10 each	25	-	25
Surplus	175	(100)	75
	200	200	400

Division Mobiles along with its assets and liabilities was sold for Rs. 25 crores to Turnaround Ltd. a new company, who allotted 1 crore equity shares of Rs. 10 each at a premium of Rs. 15 per share to the members of Enterprise Ltd. in full settlement of the consideration, in proportion to their shareholding in the company. One of the members of the Enterprise Ltd. was holding 52% shareholding of the Company.

Assuming that there are no other transactions, you are asked to:

- (i) Pass journal entries in the books of Enterprise Ltd.
- (ii) Prepare the Balance Sheet of Enterprise Ltd. after the entries in (i).
- (iii) Prepare the Balance Sheet of Turnaround Ltd.(MTP 10 Marks March '21)
  Answer 18

Journal of Enterprise Ltd. (Rs. in crores)

			Dr.	Cr.
(1)	Loan Funds	Dr	300	
	Current Liabilities	Dr	400	
	Provision for Depreciation	Dr	400	
	To Property, Plant and Equipment			500
	To Current Assets			500
	To Capital Reserve			100

(Being division Mobiles along with its assets		
and liabilities sold to Turnaround Ltd. for Rs.		
25 crores)		

#### Notes:

- (1) Any other alternative set of entries, with the same net effect on various accounts, may be given by the students.
- (2) In the given scenario, this demerger will meet the definition of common control transaction. Accordingly, the transfer of assets and liabilities will be derecognized and recognized as per book value and the resultant loss or gain will be recorded as capital reserve in the books of demerged entity (Enterprise Ltd).

#### Enterprise Ltd.

Balance Sheet after reconstruction (Rs. R in crores)

Balance Sheet after recons	struction	(NS. N III C
ASSETS	Note No.	Amount
Non-current assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		25
Current assets		
Other current assets		200
		225
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity share capital (of face value of Rs. 10 each)		25
Other equity (Surplus)		175
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		
Current liabilities		25
		225

#### Notes to Accounts

		(Rs. in crores)
1.	Other Equity	
	Surplus (175-100)	75
	Add: Capital Reserve on reconstruction	100
		175

**Notes to Accounts:** Consequent on transfer of Division Mobiles to newly incorporated company Turnaround Ltd., the members of the company have been allotted 1 crore equity shares of Rs. 10 each at a premium of Rs. 15 per share of Turnaround Ltd., in full settlement of the consideration in proportion to their shareholding in the company.

Balance Sheet of Turnaround Ltd. (Rs. in crores)

ASSETS	Note No.	Amount
Non-current assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		100

,age 1094

Current assets		
Other current assets		500
		600
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity share capital (of face value of Rs. 10 each)	1	10
Other equity	2	(110)
Liabilities		
Non-current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Borrowings		300
Current liabilities		
Current liabilities		400
		600

#### **Notes to Accounts**

		(Rs. in crores)
1.	Share Capital:	
	Issued and Paid-up capital	
	1 crore Equity shares of Rs. 10 each fully paid up	10
	(All the above shares have been issued for consideration other than cash, to the members of Enterprise Ltd. on takeover of Division	
	Mobiles from Enterprise Ltd.)	
2.	Other Equity:	
	Securities Premium	15
	Capital reserve [25- (600 – 700)]	(125)
		(110)

#### **Working Note:**

In the given case, since both the entities are under common control, this will be accounted as follows:

- All assets and liabilities will be recorded at book value
- Identity of reserves to be maintained.
- No goodwill will be recorded.
- Securities issued will be recorded as per the nominal value.

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Some of the examinees were not able to give correctly the Notes to Accounts as regard 'Share Capital' and 'Other Equity'.

Page 1095

#### Question 19

The Balance Sheet of David Ltd. and Parker Ltd. as of 31st March, 20X1 is given below:

(Rs. in lakh)

	(1	Rs. in lak
Assets	David	Parker
	Ltd.	Ltd.
Non-current assets:		
Property, plant and equipment	400	600
Investment	300	200
Current assets:		
Inventories	300	100
Financial		
assets		
Trade receivables	400	200
Cash and cash equivalents	150	200
Others	300	300
Total	1,850	1,600
Equity and Liabilities		
Equity		
Share capital - Equity shares of Rs.	500	400
100 each for Parker Ltd. &Rs. 10each		
for David Limited		
Other Equity	700	275
Non-current liabilities:		
Long term borrowings	200	300
Long term provisions	100	80
Deferred tax	20	55
Current liabilities:		
Short term borrowings	130	170
Trade payables	200	320
Total	1,850	1,600

#### Other Information:

- (i) David Ltd. acquired 70% shares of Parker Ltd. on 1st April, 20X1 by issuing its own shares in the ratio of 1 share of David Ltd. for every 2 shares of Parker Ltd. The fair value of the shares of David Ltd. was Rs. 50 per share.
- (ii) The fair value exercise resulted in the following:
  - (1) Fair value of property, plant and equipment (PPE) on 1st April, 20X1 was Rs. 450 lakh.
  - (2) David Ltd. agreed to pay an additional payment as consideration that is higher of Rs. 30 lakh and 25% of any excess profits in the first year after acquisition, over its profits in the preceding 12 months made by Parker Ltd. This additional amount will be due after 3 years. Parker Ltd. has earned Rs. 20 lakh profit in the preceding year and expects to earn another Rs. 10 lakh.

- (3) In addition to above, David Ltd. also has agreed to pay one of the founder shareholder- Director a payment of Rs. 25 lakh provided he stays with the Company for two years after the acquisition.
- (4) Parker Ltd. had certain equity settled share-based payment award (original award) which got replaced by the new awards issued by David Ltd. As per the original term, the vesting period was 4 years and as of the acquisition date the employees of Parker Ltd. have already served 2 years of service. As per the replaced awards, the vesting period has been reduced to one year (one year from the acquisition date). The fair value of the award on the acquisition date was as follows: Original award - Rs. 6 lakh Replacement award - Rs.
  - 9 lakh
- (5) Parker Ltd. had a lawsuit pending with a customer who had made a claim of Rs. 35 lakh. Management reliably estimated the fair value of the liability to be Rs. 10 lakhs.
- (6) The applicable tax rate for both entities is 40%.

You are required to prepare opening consolidated balance sheet of David Ltd. as on 1 st April, 20X1 along with workings. Assume discount rate of 8%. (MTP 16 Marks April '21)

#### Answer 19

Consolidated Balance Sheet of David Ltd as on 1st April, 20X1 (Rs. in lakh)

	Amount
Assets	
Non-current assets:	
Property, plant and equipment	850.00
Investment	500.00
Current assets:	
Inventories	400.00
Financial assets:	
Trade receivables	600.00
Cash and cash equivalents	350.00
Others	600.00
Total	3,300.00
Equity and Liabilities	
Equity	
Share capital - Equity shares of Rs. 100 each	514.00
Other Equity	1,067.49
Non-Controlling Interest	173.70
Non-current liabilities:	
Financial liabilities:	
Long term borrowings	500.00
Long term provisions (100+80+23.81)	203.81
Deferred tax	11.00

Current liabilities:		
Financial liabilities:		
Short term borrowings		300.00
Trade payables		520.00
Provision for law suit damages		10.00
	Tota	3,300.00
	1	

#### Working Notes:

- a. Fair value adjustment- As per Ind AS 103, the acquirer is required to record the assets and liabilities at their respective fair value. Accordingly, the PPE will be recorded at Rs. 450 lakh.
- b. The value of replacement award is allocated between consideration transferred and post combination expense. The portion attributable to purchase consideration is determined based on the fair value of the replacement award for the service rendered till the date of the acquisition. Accordingly, Rs. 3 lakh (6 x 2/4) is considered as a part of purchase consideration and is credited to David Ltd equity as this will be settled in its own equity. The balance of Rs. 3 lakh will be recorded as employee expense in the books of Parker Ltd over the remaining life, which is 1 year in this scenario.
- c. There is a difference between contingent consideration and deferred consideration. In the given case, Rs. 30 lakh is the minimum payment to be paid after 3 years and accordingly will be considered as deferred consideration. The other element is if company meet certain target then they will get 25% of that or Rs. 30 lakh whichever is higher. In the given case, since the criteria is the minimum what is expected to be paid, the fair value of the contingent consideration has been considered as zero. The impact of time value on deferred consideration has been given @ 8%.
- d. The additional consideration of Rs. 25 lakh to be paid to the founder shareholder is contingent to him/her continuing in employment and hence this will be considered as employee compensation and will be recorded as post combination expenses in the income statement of Parker Ltd. Working Notes:

1. Computation of Purchase Consideration	Rs. i	n lakh	
Particulars		Amoun	
		t	
Share capital of Parker Ltd.		400	
Number of shares	4,00,0		
	00		
Shares to be issued 2:1	2,00,0		
	00		
Fair value per share		50	
Purchase consideration (2,00,000x70%xRs. 50 per		70.00	
share) (A)			
Deferred consideration after discounting Rs. 30 lakh			
for 3 years			
@ 8% (B)		23.81	
Replacement award - Market based measure of the			
acquiree award			

ie Fair value of original award (6) x ratio of the			
portion of the			
vesting period completed (2) / greater of the total			
vesting period (3)			
or the original vesting period (4) of the acquiree			
award ie (6 x 2 / 4)			
(C)			3.00
Purchase consideration (A+B+C)			96.81

#### 2. Allocation of Purchase consideration

Particulars	Book value	Fair value	FV adjustment
	(A)	(B)	(A-B)
Property, plant and equipment	600	450	(150)
Investment	200	200	-
Inventories	100	100	-
Financial assets:			-
Trade receivables	200	200	-
Cash and cash equivalents	200	200	-
Others	300	300	
Less: Financial Liabilities Long term borrowings	(300)	(300)	-
Long term provisions	(80)	(80)	-
Deferred tax	(55)	(55)	-
Financial Liabilities Short term borrowings	(170)	(170)	-
Trade payables	(320)	(320)	-
Contingent liability	-	(10)	(10)
Net assets (X)	675	515	(160)
Deferred tax asset on fair value adjustment (160 x 40%) (Y)		64	160
Net assets (X+Y)		579	
Non-controlling interest (NCI) (579 x 30%) rounded off		173.70	
Capital reserve (Net assets – NCI – PC)		308.49	
Purchase consideration (PC)		96.81	

### 3. Computation of Consolidated amounts of consolidated financial statements

David	Parker Ltd.	PPA	Total
Ltd.	(preacquisition)	Allocation	

Page 1099

## $_{\mathsf{age}}1100$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

	Total	1,850	925	525	3,300
damages	m	1.0=0			
Liability for lawsuit		200	320	10	10
Short term borrowings  Trade payable		200	320	0	300 520
Current liabilities: Financial Liabilities		400			200
Deferred tax		20	55	(64)	11
Long term provisions		100	80	23.81	203.81
Non-current liabilities: Financial Liabilities Long term borrowings		200	300		500
Non-controlling interest		0		173.70	173.70
Capital reserve				308.49	
Security premium (2,00,000 shares x x Rs. 40)	70%			56	56
Replacement award				3	3
Other Equity Other Equity		700			700
shares of Rs. 100 each Shares allotted to Par Ltd. (2,00,000 x 70% Rs. 10 per share)				14	514
Equity and Liabilities Equity Share capital- Equity		500			
	Total	1,850	1,600	(150)	3300
Others		300	300		600
Cash and cash equiva	lents	150	200		350
Financial assets: Trade receivables		400	200		600
Current assets: Inventories		300	100		400
Investment		300	200		500
Non-current assets: Property, plant and equipment		400	600	(150)	850
Assets					

#### Question 20

On 1st April, 20X1, Johansen Ltd. acquired a new subsidiary, Bosman Ltd., purchasing all 150 million shares of Bosman Ltd. The terms of the sale agreement included the exchange of four shares in Johansen Ltd. for every three shares acquired in Bosman Ltd. On 1 st April, 20X1, the market value of a share in Johansen Ltd. was `10 and the market value of a share in Bosman Ltd. `12. The terms of the share purchase included the issue of one additional share in Johansen Ltd. for every five acquired in Bosman Ltd., if the profits of Bosman Ltd. for the two years ending 31st March, 20X3 exceeded a target figure. Current estimates are that it is 80% probable that the management of Bosman Ltd. will achieve this target.

Legal and professional fees associated with the acquisition of Bosman Ltd. shares were `12,00,000, including `2,00,000 relating to the cost of issuing shares. The senior management of Johansen Ltd. estimates that the cost of their time that can be fairly allocated to the acquisition is `2,00,000. This figure of `2,00,000 is not included in the legal and professional fees of `12,00,000 mentioned above.

The individual Balance Sheet of Bosman Ltd. at 1st April, 20X1 comprised net assets that had a fair value at that date of `1,200 million. Additionally, Johansen Ltd. considered Bosman Ltd. possessed certain intangible assets that were not recognized in its individual Balance Sheet:

- Customer relationships reliable estimate of value `100 million. This value has been derived from the sale of customer databases in the past.
- An in-process research and development project that had not been recognised by Bosman Ltd. since the necessary conditions laid down in Indian Accounting Standards for capitalisation were only just satisfied at 31st March, 20X2. However, the fair value of the whole project (including the research phase) is estimated at `50 million.
- Employee expertise estimated value of Director employees of Bosman Ltd. is `80 million.
- The market value of a share in Johansen Ltd. on 31st March, 20X2 was `11. Compute the goodwill on consolidation of Bosman Ltd. that will appear in the consolidated Balance Sheet of Johansen Ltd. at 31st March, 20X2 with necessary explanation of adjustments therein. Also state the treatment of contingent consideration as on 31 st March, 20X2 (12 Marks Sep '23)

Answer 20

Calculation of purchase consideration:

Particulars	in milli
Market value of shares issued (150 million x 4/3 x \ 10)	<b>on</b> 2,000
Initial estimate of market value of shares to be issued (150 million $x 1/5 x 10$ )	300
Total consideration	2,300

Contingent consideration is recognized in full if payment is probable. As per para 53 of Ind AS 103, acquisition-related costs are costs the acquirer incurs to effect a business combination. Those costs include finder's fees; advisory, legal, accounting, valuation and other professional or consulting fees; general administrative costs, including the costs of maintaining an internal acquisitions department; and costs of registering and issuing debt and equity securities. The

acquirer shall account for acquisition-related costs as expenses in the periods in which the costs are incurred and the services are received, with one exception. The costs to issue debt or equity securities shall be recognised in accordance with Ind AS 32 and Ind AS 109.

Statement of fair value of identifiable net assets at the date of acquisition

Particulars	` in million
As per Bosman Ltd.'s Balance Sheet	1,200
Fair value of customer relationships	100
Fair value of research and development project	50
Total net assets acquired	1,350

As per Ind AS 38 'Intangible assets', intangible assets can be recognized separately from goodwill provided they are identifiable, are under the control of the acquiring entity, and their fair value can be measured reliably.

Customer relationships that are similar in nature to those previously traded, pass these tests but employee expertise fail the 'control' test. Both the research and development phases of in process project can be capitalised provided their fair value can be measured reliably.

Statement of computation of goodwill

Particulars	`in million
Fair value of consideration given	2,300
Fair value of net assets acquired	(1,350)
Goodwill on acquisition	950

Paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103 provides guidance on the subsequent accounting for contingent consideration. In general, an equity instrument is any contract that evidences a residual interest in the assets of an entity after deducting all of its liabilities. Ind AS 32 describes an equity instrument as one that meets both of the following conditions:

- > There is no contractual obligation to deliver cash or another financial asset to another party, or to exchange financial assets or financial liabilities with another party under potentially unfavourable conditions (for the issuer of the instrument).
- > If the instrument will or may be settled in the issuer's own equity instruments, then it is:
- a non-derivative that comprises an obligation for the issuer to deliver a fixed number of its own equity instruments; or
- a derivative that will be settled only by the issuer exchanging a fixed amount of cash or other financial assets for a fixed number of its own equity instruments.

In the given case, given that the acquirer has an obligation to issue fixed number of shares on fulfillment of the contingency, the contingent consideration will be classified as equity as per the requirements of Ind AS 32.

As per paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103, contingent consideration classified as equity should not be re-measured and its subsequent settlement should be accounted for within equity.

#### **Question 21**

Veera Limited and Zeera Limited are both in the business of manufacturing and selling of Lubricant. Veera Limited and Zeera Limited shareholders agree to join forces to benefit from lower delivery and distribution costs. The business combination is carried out by setting up a new entity called Meera Limited that issues 100 shares to Veera Limited's shareholders and 50 shares to Zeera Limited's shareholders in exchange for the transfer of the shares in those entities. The number of shares reflects the relative fair values of the entities before the combination. Also respective company's shareholders gets the voting rights in Meera Limited based on their respective shareholding.

Determine the acquirer by applying the principles of Ind AS 103 'Business Combinations'. (RTP Nov'20)

#### Answer 21

As per para B15 of Ind AS 103, in a business combination effected primarily by exchanging equity interests, the acquirer is usually the entity that issues its equity interests. However, in some business combinations, commonly called 'reverse acquisitions', the issuing entity is the acquiree.

Other pertinent facts and circumstances shall also be considered in identifying the acquirer in a business combination effected by exchanging equity interests, including:

The relative voting rights in the combined entity after the business combination - The acquirer is usually the combining entity whose owners as a group retain or receive the largest portion of the voting rights in the combined entity.

Based on above mentioned para, acquirer shall be either of the combining entities (i.e. Veera Limited or Zeera Limited), whose owners as a Group retain or receive the largest portion of the voting rights in the combined entity.

Hence, in the above scenario Veera Limited's shareholder gets 66.67% share (100 /  $150 \times 100$ ) and Zeera Limited's shareholder gets 33.33% share in Meera Limited. Hence, Veera Limited is acquirer as per the principles of Ind AS 103.

#### Question 22

Entity A acquires entity B. Entity A agrees with the former shareholders of entity B to pay `900, with an additional payment of `500 if the subsequent earnings of entity B reach a specified target in three years. The former shareholders also become employees. On the acquisition date, the fair value of the net assets of entity B amount to `850, and the fair value of additional payment is estimated at `200. At the acquisition date, the outflow of additional payment is not probable. Over the next three years, the cumulative earnings of entity B (before considering the effects of the additional payments) amount to `1,050. At the end of year three, entity A pays `500 as the conditions were met. State the impact on the financial position and results of classifying the payments as remuneration and contingent consideration. (RTP May '22)

#### Answer 22

The impact on the financial position and results of classifying the payments as remuneration and contingent consideration is tabulated as follows:

	Additional Payment is classified as		
	Remunerati Conting		
	on	nt	
		considerati	
		on	
Consideration	900	900	
Fair value of additional payment	0	200	

1103

Total consideration	900	1,100
Fair value of net assets	(850)	(850)
Goodwill at acquisition date	50	250
Subsequent changes in additional payment	0	0
Total Goodwill	50	250
Cumulative earnings (before considering additional payment)	1,050	1,050
Impact of additional payment	(500)	(300)
Reported results across three		
years	550	750

#### Question 23

In October 20X1, IHL acquired 75% of Very Relevant Limited by paying cash consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  0.80 million. The fair value of non-controlling interest on the date of acquisition is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  0.20 million. The value of Very Relevant Limited's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103 is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1.10 million.

With respect to acquisition of Very Relevant Limited, determine the value of gain on bargain purchases, when NCI is measured as per:

- (a) Fair value method
- (b) Proportionate share of net identifiable assets method. (RTP May '23) Answer 23

#### (a) When NCI is measured as per fair value method

	₹in
	million
Fair value of consideration transferred	0.80
Fair value of non-controlling interest	0.20
	1.00
Value of Very Relevant Limited's identifiable net	(1.10)
assets as per Ind AS 103	
Gain on bargain purchase	0.10

#### **(b)** When NCI is measured as per proportionate share method

	₹ in million
Fair value of consideration transferred	0.80
Proportional share of non-controlling interest in the net identifiable assets of acquiree (1.10 x 25%)	0.275
	1.075
Value of Very Relevant Limited's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103	(1.10)
Gain on bargain purchase	0.025

#### Question 24

Moon Ltd. acquires 75% of Star Limited on 1st April, 2017 for consideration transferred Rs. 60 lakh. Moon Limited intends to recognize the Non-Controlling Interest (NCI) at proportionate share of fair value of identifiable assets. With the assistance of a suitably qualified valuation professional, Moon Limited measures

the identifiable net assets of Star Limited at Rs. 90 lakh. Moon Limited performs a review and determines that the business combination did not include any transactions that should be accounted for separately from the business combination.

State whether the procedures followed by Moon Limited and the resulting measurements are appropriate or not. Also calculate the bargain purchase gain in the process. (PYP 4 Marks, Nov'18)

#### Answer 24

The amount of Star Ltd.'s identifiable net assets exceeds the fair value of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of the NCI in Star Ltd.'s, resulting in an initial indication of a gain on a bargain purchase. Accordingly, Moon Ltd. reviews the procedures it used to identify and measure the identifiable net assets acquired, to measure the fair value of both the NCI and the consideration transferred, and to identify transactions that were not part of the business combination. Following that review, Moon Ltd. can conclude that the procedures followed and the resulting measurements were appropriate. (Rs.)

•	merice were appropriate. (116.)	
	Identifiable net assets	90,00,000
	Less: Consideration transferred	(60,00,000)
	NCI (90,00,000 x 25%)	(22,50,000)
	Gain on bargain purchase	7,50,000

#### Question 25

MNC Ltd. is in process of setting up a medicine manufacturing business which is at very initial stage. For this purpose, MNC Ltd. as part of its business expansion strategy acquired on 1st April, 2019, 100% shares of Akash Ltd., a company that manufactures pharmacy products. The purchase consideration for the same was by way of a share exchange valued at Rs. 38 crore. The fair value of Akash Ltd.'s assets and liabilities were Rs. 68 crore and Rs. 50 crore respectively, but the same does not include the following:

- (i) A patent owned by Akash Ltd. for an established successful new drug that has a remaining life of 6 years. A consultant has estimated the value of this patent to be
  - Rs. 8 crore. However, the outcome of clinical trails for the same are awaited. If the trails are successful, the value of the drug would fetch the estimated Rs. 12 crore.
- (ii) Akash Ltd. has developed and patented another new drug which has been approved for clinical use. The cost of developing the drug was Rs. 13 crore. Based on early assessment of its sales success, a reputed valuer has estimated its market value at
  - Rs. 19 crore. However, there is no active market for the patent.
- (iii) Akash Ltd.'s manufacturing facilities have received a favourable inspection by a government department. As a result of this, the company has been granted an exclusive five-year license on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2018 to manufacture and distribute a new vaccine. Although the license has no direct cost to the Company, its directors believe that obtaining the license is valuable asset which assures guaranteed sales and the cost to acquire the license is estimated at Rs. 7 crore of remaining period of life. It is expected to generate at least equivalent revenue.

### Suggest the accounting treatment of the above transactions with reasoning under applicable Ind AS in the books of MNC Ltd. (PYP 8 Marks Nov'19)

#### Answer 25

As per para 13 of Ind AS 103 'Business Combination', the acquirer's application of the recognition principle and conditions may result in recognising some assets and liabilities that the acquiree had not previously recognised as assets and liabilities in i ts financial statements. This may be the case when the asset is developed by the entity internally and charged the related costs to expense. Based on the above, the company can recognise following Intangible assets while determining Goodwill / Bargain Purchase for the transaction:

- (i) **Patent owned by Akash Ltd.:** The patent owned will be recognised at fair value by MNC Ltd. even though it was not recognised by Akash Ltd. in its financial statements. The patent will be amortised over the remaining useful life of the asset i.e. 6 years. Since the company is awaiting the outcome of the trials, the value of the patent should be valued at Rs. 8 crore. It cannot be estimated at Rs. 12 crore and the extra Rs. 4 crore should only be disclosed as a contingent asset and not recognised.
- (ii) **Patent internally developed by Akash Ltd.:** As per para 18 of Ind AS 103 'Business Combination', the acquirer shall measure the identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at their acquisition date fair values. Since the patent developed has been approved for clinical use, it is an identifiable asset, hence the same will be measured at fair value ie Rs. 19 crore on the acquisition date.
- (iii) **Grant of Licence to Akash Ltd. by the Government:** As regards to the five-year license, applying para 18 of Ind AS 103, grant asset will be recognised at fair value on the acquisition date by MNC Ltd. On acquisition date, the fair value of the license asset is Rs. 7 crore. However, since the question does not mention about the fair value of the identifiable liability with respect to grant of license for the acquirer, it is assumed that the fair value of the liability with respect to grant, for acquirer is nil. Therefore, only, the grant asset (license) would be recognised at Rs. 7 crore in the books of acquirer MNC Ltd.

Hence the revised working would be as follows:

nonce the levisor weight would be as lenewe.			
Fair value of net assets of Akash Ltd. (68-50)	Rs. 18 crore		
Add: Patent (8 + 19)	Rs. 27 crore		
Add: License	Rs. 7 crore		
Less: Grant for License	(Nil)		
	Rs. 52 crore		
Purchase Consideration	(Rs. 38 crore)		
Capital Reserve	Rs. 14 crore		

#### Question 26

P Limited and S Limited are in business of manufacturing garments. P Limited holds 30% of equity shares of S Limited for last several years. P Limited obtains control of S Limited when it acquires further 65% stake of S Limited's shares, thereby resulting in a total holding of 95% on 31 December 2019. The acquisition had the following features:

- (i) P Limited transfers cash of Rs. 50,00,000 and issues 90,000 shares on 31 December 2019. The market price of P Limited's shares on the date of issue was
  - Rs. 10 per share. The equity shares issued as per this transaction will comprise 5% of the post-acquisition capital of P Limited.

- (ii) P Limited agrees to pay additional consideration of Rs. 4,00,000, if the cumulative profits of S Limited exceeds Rs. 40,00,000 over the next two years. At the acquisition date, it is not considered probable that extra consideration will be paid. The fair value of contingent consideration is determined to be Rs. 2,00,000 at the acquisition date.
- (iii) P Limited spent acquisition-related costs of Rs. 2,00,000.
- (iv) The fair value of the NCI is determined to be Rs. 5,00,000 at the acquisition date based on market price. P Limited decided to measure non-controlling interest at fair value for this transaction.
- (v) P Limited has owned 30% of the shares in S Limited for several years. At 31 December 20.19, the investment is included in P Limited's consolidated balance sheet at Rs. 8,00,000. The fair value of previous holdings accounted for using the equity method is arrived at Rs. 18,00,000.

The fair value of S Limited's net identifiable assets at 31 December 2019 is Rs. 45,00,000, determined in accordance with Ind AS 103.

Analyze the transaction and determine the accounting under acquisition method for the business combination by P Limited. (PYP 8 Marks, Nov'20)

#### Answer 26

#### Identify the acquirer

In this case, P Limited has paid cash consideration to shareholders of S Limited. Further, the shares issued to S Limited pursuant to the acquisition do not transfer control of P Limited to erstwhile shareholders of S Limited. Therefore, P Limited is the acquirer and S Limited is the acquiree.

#### Determine acquisition date

As the control over the business of S Limited is transferred to P Limited on 31 December 2019, that date is considered as the acquisition date.

#### Determine the purchase consideration

The purchase consideration in this case will comprise of the following:

r r	
Cash consideration	Rs. 50,00,000
Equity shares issued (90,000 x 10 i.e., at fair value)	Rs. 9,00,000
Contingent consideration (at fair value)	Rs. 2,00,000
Fair value of previously held interest	Rs. 18,00,000
Total purchase consideration	Rs. 79,00,000

Acquisition cost incurred by and on behalf of P Limited for acquisition of S Limited should be recognized in the Statement of Profit and Loss. As such, an amount of Rs. 2,00,000 should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss.

#### Fair value of identifiable assets and liabilities

The fair value of identifiable net assets (as given in the question) Rs. 45,00,000.

#### Non-Controlling Interest

The management has decided to recognise NCI at its fair value, which is given in the question as Rs. 5,00,000.

### Re-measure previously held interests in case business combination is achieved in stages

In this case, the control has been acquired in stages i.e., before acquisition to control, P Limited exercised significant influence over S Limited. As such, the previously held interest should be measured at fair value and the difference between the fair value and the carrying amount as at the acquisition date should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss. As such, an amount of Rs. 10,00,000 (i.e. 18,00,000-8,00,000) will be recognised in the Statement of Profit

and Loss.

Determination of goodwill or gain on bargain purchase Goodwill should be calculated as follows:

(Rs.)

Total consideration	79,00,000
Recognized amount of any non-controlling interest	5,00,000
Less: Fair value of net identifiable assets	(45,00,000)
Goodwill	39,00,000

#### Question 27

(a) The draft Balance Sheet of JAY Ltd. and KAY Ltd. as at 31st March 2021 is given below:

2021 is given below:		
PARTICULARS	JAY Limited	
	(` in lakh)	(` in lakh)
ASSETS		
NON-CURRENT ASSETS		
Property, Plant & Equipment	400	500
Investments	450	120
CURRENT ASSETS		
Inventories	180	130
Financial Assets		
- Trade Receivables	520	270
- Cash & Cash Equivalents	260	145
- Others	350	175
TOTAL	2,160	1,340
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
EQUITY		
Share Capital		
<ul> <li>JAY Limited: Equity Shares of `10 each</li> </ul>	600	
- KAY Limited: Equity Shares of `100 each		450
OTHER EQUITY	725	210
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Financial Liabilities		
- Long Term Borrowings	350	250
Long Term Provisions	70	80
Deferred Tax	40	20
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Financial Liabilities		
- Short Term Borrowings	125	145
- Trade Payables	250	185
TOTAL	2,160	1,340
		•

#### Other information:

- a. JAY Ltd. acquired 75% shares of KAY Ltd. on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2021 by issuing its own shares in the ratio of 2 shares of JAY Ltd. for every 3 shares of KAY Ltd. The fair value of the shares of JAY Ltd. was `50 per share.
- b. The fair value exercise resulted in the following:
  - Fair value of Property, Plant & Equipment of KAY Ltd. on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2021 was
    - `425 lakh;

Jane 1108

- ii. JAY Ltd. also agreed to pay an additional payment as consideration that is higher of `45 lakh and 30% of any excess profits in the first year, after acquisition, over its profits in the preceding 12 months made by KAY Ltd. This additional amount will be due after 3 years. KAY Ltd. has earned `15 lakh profit in the preceding year and expects to earn another `20 lakh;
- iii. In addition to the above, JAY Ltd. also had agreed to pay one of the founder shareholder a payment of `22 lakh provided he stays with the Company for 3 years after acquisition;
- iv. KAY Ltd. had certain equity settled share based payment award (original award) which got replaced by the new awards issued by JAY Ltd. As per the original terms, the vesting period was 5 years and as of the acquisition date the employees of KAY Ltd. have already served 2 years of service. As per the replaced awards, the vesting period has been reduced to 1 year (1 year from the acquisition date). The fair value of the award on the acquisition date was as follows:
  - Original award `6 lakh
  - Replacement award ` 9 lakh
- v. KAY Ltd. had a lawsuit pending with a customer who made a claim of `70 lakh. Management reliably estimated the fair value of the liability to be `7.5 lakh;
- vi. The applicable tax rate for both entities is 30%.

You are required to prepare consolidated balance sheet of JAY Ltd. as on 1st April, 2021. Assume 10% per annum discount rate. Management notes and working notes should form part of your answer. (15 Marks May '22)

#### Answer 27

(a) Consolidated Balance Sheet of JAY Ltd. as on 1st April, 2021 (` in lakh)

	Amount
Assets	
Non-Current Assets:	
Property, plant and equipment	825.00
Financial assets	
Investment	570.00
Current assets:	
Inventories	310.00
Financial assets	
Trade receivables	790.00
Cash and cash equivalents	405.00
Others	525.00
Total	3,425.00
Equity and Liabilities	
Equity	
Share capital - Equity shares of `10 each	622.50
Other Equity	1,120.38
Non-controlling Interest	150.56
Non-Current liabilities:	
Financial Liabilities	

Long term borrowings		600.00
Long term provisions		183.81
Deferred tax		35.25
Current Liabilities:		
Financial Liabilities		
Short term borrowings		270.00
Trade payables		435.00
Provision for Lawsuit damages		7.50
	Total	3,425.00

#### **Management Notes:**

- a. As per Ind AS 103, the acquirer is required to record the assets and liabilities acquired at their respective fair value. Accordingly, the PPE of KAY Ltd. will be recorded at `425 lakh.
- b. The value of replacement award is allocated between consideration transferred and post combination expense. The portion attributable to purchase consideration is determined based on the fair value of the replacement award for the service rendered till the date of the acquisition. Accordingly, `2.4 lakh (6 x 2/5) is considered as a part of purchase consideration and is credited to JAY Ltd. equity as this will be settled in its own equity. The balance of `6.60 lakh (`9 lakh `2.4 lakh) will be recorded as compensation expense in the post combination financial statements over the remaining life, which is 1 year in this scenario.
- c. There is a difference between contingent consideration and deferred consideration. In the given case `45 lakh is the minimum payment to be paid after 3 years and accordingly will be considered as deferred consideration. The other element is if company meet certain target, then they will get 30% of that or `45 lakh whichever is higher. In the given case, the minimum what is expected to be paid has been considered and the fair value of the contingent consideration has been considered as zero. The impact of time value on deferred consideration has been given @ 10%.
- d. The additional consideration of `22 lakh to be paid to the founder shareholder is contingent to him/her continuing in employment and hence this will be considered as employee compensation and will be recorded as post combination expenses in the income statement of JAY Ltd.

#### **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Computation for Purchase consideration

Particulars		Amount (`)
Share capital of KAY Ltd.		4,50,00,000
Number of shares	4,50,000	
Shares to be issued 3:2	3,00,000	
Fair value `per share		50
		`in lakh
PC (3,00,000 x 75% x `50 per share) (A)		112.50
Deferred consideration after discounting `45 lakh for 3 years @ 10% (P.V. Factor 0.7513) (B)		33.81

# Replacement award market-based measure of the acquiree award (6) x ratio of the portion of the vesting period completed (2) / greater of the total vesting period (3) or the original vesting period (5) of the acquiree award ie (6 x 2/5) (C) PC in lakh (A+B+C) 148.71

2. Allocation of Purchase price

Allocation of Purchase price			
Particulars	Book value	Fair value	
	(A)	(B)	adjustment
			(A-B)
Property, plant and equipment	500.00	425.00	(75.00)
Investment	120.00	120.00	
Inventories	130.00	130.00	
Financial assets:			
Trade receivables	270.00	270.00	
Cash and cash equivalents	145.00	145.00	
Others	175.00	175.00	
	1,340.00	1,265.00	(75.00)
Less: Long term borrowings	(250.00)	(250.00)	
Long term provisions	(80.00)	(80.00)	
Deferred tax	(20.00)	(20.00)	
Short term borrowings	(145.00)	(145.00)	
Trade payables	(185.00)	(185.00)	
Contingent liability	-	(7.50)	(7.50)
Net assets (X)	660.00	577.50	(82.50)
Deferredtax asset on FV			
adjustment (82.50 x 30%) (Y)		24.75	
Net assets (X+Y)		602.25	
Non-controlling interest			
(602.50 x 25%) rounded off		150.56	
Purchase consideration (PC)		148.71	
Capital Reserve (Net assets -			
NCI – PC)		302.98	

3. Computation of consolidated amounts of consolidated financial statements (`in lakh)

		KAY Ltd. (pre- acquisition)	PPA Allocatio n	Total
Assets				
Non-current assets:				
Property, plant and equipment	400.00	500.00	(75.00)	825.00
Investment	450.00	120.00		570.00
Current assets:				
Inventories	180.00	130.00		310.00
Financial assets:				
Trade receivables	520.00	270.00		790.00

age 1111

Cash and cash	260.00	145.00		405.00
equivalents Others	350.00	175.00	_	525.00
Total	2,160.00	1,340.00	(75.00)	3,425.00
Equity and Liabilities	2,100.00	1,010.00	(70.00)	0,120.00
Equity Equity				
Share capital - Equity	600.00			
shares of 10 each	000.00			
Shares allotted to KAY				
Ltd. (W.N.1) (3,00,000 x				
75% x 10 per share)			22.50	622.50
Other Equity	725.00			1,120.38
Replacement award				
(W.N.1)			2.40	
Security Premium				
(W.N.1)				
(3,00,000 shares x 75% x			00.00	
40) Capital Reserve (W.N.2)			90.00 302.98	
. ,			302.98	
Non-controlling interest (W.N.2)	-		150.56	150.56
Non-current liabilities:			130.30	130.30
Financial liabilities				
Long term	350.00	250.00		600.00
borrowings	330.00	200.00		000.00
Long term provisions				
(W.N.1)	70.00	80.00	33.81	183.81
Deferred tax (W.N.2)	40.00	20.00	(24.75)	35.25
Current liabilities:				
Financial liabilities				
Short term	125.00	145.00		270.00
borrowings				
Trade payable	250.00	185.00		435.00
Liability for lawsuit				
damages	-	-	7.50	7.50
Total	2,160.00	680.00	585.00	3,425.00

#### Question 28

The draft balance sheets of Swan Limited and Duck Limited as at 31st March 2023 is as under:

	t`in lakhs	
Swan Duck Limited Limited		
800	1,000	
900	240	
_	Limited 800	

1117

Inventories	360	260
Financial Assets		
- Trade Receivables	1,040	540
- Cash & Cash Equivalents	520	290
Other Current Assets	700	350
Total	4,320	2,680
	Swan	Duck
	Limited	Limited
Equity and Liabilities		
Equity		
Share Capital		
- Swan Limited: Equity Shares of ` 10 each	1,200	-
- Duck Limited: Equity Shares of `	_	900
100 each		
Other Equity	1,450	420
Non-Current Liabilities		
Financial Liabilities		
- Long-Term Borrowings	700	500
Long-Term Provisions	140	200
Deferred Tax	80	-
Current Liabilities		
Financial Liabilities		
- Short-Term Borrowings	250	290
- Trade Payables	500	370
Total	4,320	2,680

On 1st April 2023, Swan Limited acquired 80% equity shares of Duck Limited. Swan Limited agreed to pay to each shareholder of Duck Limited, `20 per equity share in cash and to issue five equity shares of `10 each of Swan Limited in lieu of every six shares held by the shareholders of Duck Limited. The fair value of the shares of Swan Limited was `100 per share as on the date of acquisition. Swan Limited also agreed to pay an additional consideration being higher of `90 lakhs and 30% of any excess profits in the first year, after acquisition, over Duck Limited's profits in the preceding 12 months (financial year 2022-2023) made by Duck Limited. The additional amount will be due in 3 years post the date of acquisition. Duck Limited earned `30 lakhs profit in the preceding year and expects to earn `40 lakhs in financial year 2023-2024.

The fair value exercise resulted in the following:

- i. Fair value of Property, Plant and Equipment and Investments of Duck Limited on 1st April, 2023 was `1,200 lakhs and `300 lakhs respectively.
- ii. Duck Limited owns a popular brand name that meets the recognition criteria for Intangible Assets under Ind AS 103 'Business Combinations'. Independent valuers have attributed a fair value of `250 lakhs for the brand. However, the brand does not have any cost for tax purposes and no tax deductions are available for the same.
- iii. Following is the statement of contingent liabilities of Duck Limited as on 1st April, 2023:

Particulars	Fair Value (` in lakhs)	Remarks
Lawsuit filed by a customer for a claim of 20 lakhs	5	It is not probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the claim. Any amount which would be paid in respect of lawsuit will be tax deductible.
Income tax demand of ` 70 lakhs raised by tax authorities. Duck Limited	20	It is not probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic
has challenged the demand in the High Court		benefits will be required to settle the claim.

iv. Duck Limited had certain equity settled share-based payment awards (original award) which were replaced by the new awards issued by Swan Limited. As per the terms of original awards, the vesting period was 5 years and as of the acquisition date the employees of Duck Limited had already served 2 years of service. As per the new awards, the vesting period has been reduced to 1 year (1 year from the acquisition date). The fair value of the award on acquisition date was as follows:

Original Awards: `12 lakhs

New Awards: `18 lakhs.

- v. Further, Swan Limited has also agreed to pay one of the founder shareholder of Duck Limited a sum of `15 lakhs provided he stays with the Company for two years after the acquisition.
- vi. The acquisition cost of Swan Limited for Duck Limited was `26 lakhs. vii. The applicable tax rate for both the companies is 30%. viii. Assume 10% per annum discount rate.
- vii. ix. Also, assume, unless stated otherwise, all items have a fair value and tax base equal to their carrying amounts at the acquisition date.
- viii. You are required to prepare opening Consolidated Balance Sheet of Swan Limited as on 1st April 2023. Working Notes should form part of your answer. (PYP 15 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 28

#### Consolidated Balance Sheet of Swan Ltd as on 1st April, 2023

	Notes No.	` in lakhs
Assets		
Non-current assets		2,000.00
Property, plant and equipment	9	
Intangible assets	10	250.00
Financial assets		
Investment	11	1,200.00
Current assets		
Inventories	12	620.00
Financial assets:		
Trade receivables	13	1,580.00
Cash and cash equivalents	14	640.00

### 7115

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Other current assets	15	
Total		1,050.00
		7,340.00
Equity and Liabilities		
Equity		
Share capital - Equity shares of `10 each	1	1,260.00
Other equity	2	2,475.18
Non-controlling interest (W.N.4)		330.70
Non-current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Long-term borrowings	3	1,200.00
Long-term provisions	4	407.62
Deferred tax liability	5	231.50
Current Liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Short-term borrowings	6	540.00
Trade payables	7	870.00
Short-term provision	8	25.00
Total		7,340.00

#### Notes to Accounts (All figures are `in lakhs)

1. Equity Share capital

Equity shares of `10 each as per the balance	1,200	
sheet before acquisition of Duck Ltd.		
Shares allotted to Duck Ltd. (7,50,000 x 80% x	60	1,260
` 10)		

2. Other Equity

<u> </u>		
As per the balance sheet before acquisition of Duck Ltd. 1,450 Less: Acquisition cost	1,424	
(26)		
Replacement award	4.80	
Security Premium (7,50,000 shares x 80% x 90)	540	
Capital Reserve (W.N.5)	506.38	2,475.18

3. Long-term borrowings

As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	700	1200
Duck Ltd.	500	
Duck Ltd.		

4. Long-term provisions

As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	140	
Duck Ltd.		
Deferred consideration	67.62	
Duck Ltd.	200	407.62

5. Deferred tax liability

20101104 tun masiney		
As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	80	
Duck Ltd.		

Deferred tax impact due to acquisition of Duck	151.5	0 23	1.50
Ltd. (W.N.2)			
6. <b>Short term borrowings</b> As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	250		
Duck Ltd.	230		
Duck Ltd.	290	540	)
7. Trade payables	200	1010	
As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	500		
Duck Ltd.			
Duck Ltd.	370	870	)
Short-term provisions			
Lawsuit damages	5		
Income-tax demand	20	25	
Property, plant and equipment			
As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	800		
Duck Ltd.			
Duck Ltd.	1200	200	00
10. Intangible assets			
Brand of Duck Ltd. acquired		250	
1. Investment	· ·	.1	
As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	900		
Duck Ltd.			
Duck Ltd.	300	120	00
12. Inventories			
As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	360		
Duck Ltd.			
Duck Ltd.	260	620	)
13. Trade receivables	1		
As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	1040		
Duck Ltd.	F 40	150	20
Duck Ltd.	540	158	30
14. Cash and cash equivalents	T 500		
As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	520		
Duck Ltd.	(0.6)		
Less: Acquisition cost paid	(26)	250	
Less: Paid to Duck Ltd.	(144)	350	
Duck Ltd.		290	640
5. Other current assets	T = c =		
As per the balance sheet before acquisition of	700		
Duck Ltd.	250	101	-0
Duck Ltd. Working Notes:	350	105	00

#### **Working Notes:**

1. Computation of Purchase Consideration

Particulars	No. of shares	
Share capital of Duck Ltd.		900
Number of shares	9,00,000	

Shares to be issued (5 shares against 6 shares of Duck Ltd.)	7,50,000	
Fair value of Swan Ltd.'s share is `100 per share		
Purchase consideration		
Shares issued (7,50,000x 80% x ` 100 per share) (A)		600
Cash payment (* 20 x 9,00,000 x 80%) (B)		144
Deferred consideration (discounting `90		67.62
lakhs for 3		
years @10%) (C)		
Replacement award [Market based measure of		
the acquiree award (12) x ratio of the portion		
of the vesting period completed (2) / greater of		
the total vesting period (3) or the original vesting period (5) of the		4.80
acquiree		7.00
award (ie 12 x 2/5)]		
(D)		
Purchase consideration for 70% shares (A +		816.42
B + C + D)		

2. Computation of deferred tax impact due to change in fair value of asset and liabilities acquired

Particulars	Book value (A)	Fair value (B)	FV adjustment (A-B)
Property, plant and equipment	1,000	1,200	200
Intangible assets (Brand)	-	250	250
Investment	240	300	60
		1,750	510
Less: Contingent liability acquired Provision for lawsuit damages			(5)
Net difference in fair value			505
Deferred tax liability @ 30%			151.5

#### 3. Computation of fair value of net identifiable assets acquired from Duck Ltd.

Particulars	Book value
Total assets as per the balance sheet	2,680
Add: Fair value adjustment in PPE and Investment (200+60)	260
Add: Intangible assets (Brand)	250

Fair value of total identifiable assets	3,190
Less: Total liabilities as per the balance sheet (500+200+290+370)	(1,360)
Less: Contingent liability acquired Lawsuit damages 5 Income tax demand 20 Less: Defer tax liability (W.N.2) Fair value of net identifiable assets (100%)	(25) ( <u>151.50)</u> 1,653.50

## 4. Computation of non-controlling interest in Duck Ltd. (Proportionate share basis)

Non-controlling interest  $(1,653.50 \times 20\%) = 330.70 5$ .

#### 5. Computation of capital reserve on acquisition of Duck Ltd.

Particulars	Book value
Fair value of net identifiable assets	1,653.50
Less: Purchase consideration	(816.42)
Less: NCI (W.N.4)	(330.70)
Capital reserve	506.38

#### **Notes:**

The value of replacement award is allocated between consideration transferred and post combination expense. The portion attributable to

- (a) purchase consideration is determined based on the fair value of the replacement award for the service rendered till the date of the acquisition. Accordingly, `4.8 lakh (12 x 2/5) is considered as a part of purchase consideration and is credited to Swan Ltd.'s equity as this will be settled in its own equity. Since the fair value of the award on the acquisition date is `18 lakh the balance of (18 4.8) `13.2 lakh will be recorded as employee expense in the books of Duck Ltd. over the remaining life, which is 1 year in this scenario.
- (b) With respect to deferred consideration, `90 lakh is the minimum payment to be paid after 3 years. The other element is if company meet certain target then they
  - will get 30% of that or `90 lakh whichever is higher. In the given case, since the minimum what is expected to be paid the fair value of the contingent consideration has been considered as zero. The impact of time value on deferred consideration has been given @ 10%.
- (c) The additional consideration of `15 lakhs to be paid to the founder shareholder is contingent to him/her continuing in employment and hence this will be considered as employee compensation and will be recorded as post combination expenses in the statement of profit and loss of Duck Ltd.

#### Section - B

#### Question 1

Company A and Company B are in power business. Company A holds 25% of equity shares of Company B. On 1<sup>st</sup> November, Company A obtains control of

1118

Company B when it acquires a further 65% of Company B's shares, thereby resulting in a total holding of 90%. The acquisition had the following features:

- Consideration: Company A transfer's cash of ₹59,00,000 and issues 1,00,000 shares on 1<sup>st</sup> November. The market price of Company A's shares on the date of issue is₹10 per share.
- Contingent consideration: Company A agrees to pay additional consideration of ₹7,00,000 if the cumulative profits of Company B exceed ₹70,00,000 over the next two years. At the acquisition date, it is not considered probable that the extra consideration will be paid. The fair value of the contingent consideration is determined to be ₹3,00,000 at the acquisition date.
- Transaction costs: Company A pays acquisition-related costs of ₹1,00,000.
- Non-controlling interests (NCI): The fair value of the NCI is determined to be ₹7,50,000 at the acquisition date based on market prices. Company A elects to measure non-controlling interest at fair value for this transaction.
- Previously held non-controlling equity interest: Company A has owned 25% of the shares in Company B for several years. At 1<sup>st</sup> November, the investment is included in Company A's consolidated balance sheets at ₹6,00,000, accounted for using the equity method; the fair value is ₹20,00,000.

The fair value of Company B's net identifiable assets at 1<sup>st</sup> November is₹60,00,000, determined in accordance with Ind AS 103.

Determine the accounting under acquisition method for the business combination by Company A.

#### Answer 1

#### Identify the acquirer

In this case, Company A has paid cash consideration to shareholders of Company B. Further, the shares issued to Company B pursuant to the acquisition do not transfer control of Company A to erstwhile shareholders of Company B. Therefore, Company A is the acquirer and Company B is the acquiree.

#### Determine acquisition date

As the control over the business of Company B is transferred to Company A on 1<sup>st</sup> November, that date is considered as the acquisition date.

#### Determine the purchase consideration

The purchase consideration in this case will comprise the following:

Cash consideration	₹
	59,00,000
Equity shares issued (1,00,000 x 10 i.e., at	₹
fair value)	10,00,000
Contingent consideration (at fair value)	₹3,00,000
Fair value of previously held interest	₹
	20,00,000

As such, the total purchase consideration is ₹92,00,000.

Acquisition cost incurred by and on behalf of the Company A for acquisition of Company B should be recognised in the Statement of profit and loss. As such, an amount of ₹ 1,00,000 should be recognised in Statement of profit and loss.

#### Determine fair value of identifiable assets and liabilities

The fair value of identifiable net assets is determined at ₹60,00,000.

#### **Measure NCI**

The management has decided to recognise the NCI at its fair value. As such, the NCI will be recognised at ₹ 7,50,000.

1119

Re-measure previously held interests in case business combination is achieved in stages

In this case, the control has been acquired in stages i.e., before acquisition to control, the Company A exercised significant influence over Company B. As such, the previously held interest should be measured at fair value and the difference between the fair value and the carrying amount as at the acquisition date should be recognised in Statement of Profit and Loss. As such, an amount of ₹14,00,000 (i.e., 20,00,000 less 6,00,000) will be recognised in Statement of profit and loss.

#### Determination of goodwill or gain on bargain purchase Goodwill should be calculated as follows:

	(₹)
Total consideration	92,00,000
Recognised amount of any non-controlling interest	7,50,000
Less: Fair value of Company B's net identifiable assets	(60,00,000)
Goodwill	39,50,000

#### **Question 2**

On 31<sup>st</sup> December, 20X1, Entity A issues 2.5 shares in exchange for each ordinary share of Entity B. All of Entity B's shareholders exchange their shares in Entity B. Therefore, Entity A issues 150 ordinary shares in exchange for all 60 ordinary shares of Entity B.

The fair value of each ordinary share of Entity B at  $31^{st}$  December, 20X1 is  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  40. The quoted market price of Entity A's ordinary shares at that date is  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  16.

The fair values of Entity A's identifiable assets and liabilities at 31<sup>st</sup> December, 20X1 are the same as their carrying amounts, except that the fair value of Entity A's non- current assets at 31<sup>st</sup> December, 20X1 is ₹ 1,500. The balance sheets of Entity A and Entity B immediately before the business combination are:

	Entity A	Entity B
	(legal parent,	(legal
	accounting	subsidiary,
	acquiree)	accounting
		acquirer)
Current assets	500	700
Non-current assets	1,300	3,000
Total assets	1,800	3,700
Current liabilities	300	600
Non-current liabilities	400	1,100
Total liabilities	700	1,700
Shareholders' equity		
Retained earnings	800	1,400
Issued equity		
100 ordinary shares	300	
60 ordinary shares		600

Total shareholders' equity	1,100	2,000
Total liabilities and	1,800	3,700
shareholders' equity		

Assume that Entity B's earnings for the annual period ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1 were ₹600 and that the consolidated earnings for the annual period ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 were ₹800. Assume also that there was no change in the number of ordinary shares issued by Entity B during the annual period ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1 and during the period from 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X1 to the date of the reverse acquisition on 31<sup>st</sup> December, 20X1. Calculate the fair value of the consideration transferred measure goodwill and prepare consolidated balance sheet as on 31<sup>st</sup> December, 20X1.

#### Answer 2

#### Identifying the acquirer

As a result of Entity A issuing 150 ordinary shares, Entity B's shareholders own 60 per cent of the issued shares of the combined entity (i.e., 150 of the 250 total issued shares). The remaining 40 per cent are owned by Entity A's shareholders. Thus, the transaction is determined to be a reverse acquisition in which Entity B is identified as the accounting acquirer while Entity A is the legal acquirer. Calculating the fair value of the consideration transferred

If the business combination had taken the form of Entity B issuing additional ordinary shares to Entity A's shareholders in exchange for their ordinary shares in Entity A, Entity B would have had to issue 40 shares for the ratio of ownership interest in the combined entity to be

the same. Entity B's shareholders would then own 60 of the 100 issued shares of Entity B — 60 per cent of the combined entity. As a result, the fair value of the consideration effectively transferred by Entity B and the group's interest in Entity A is  $\gtrless 1,600$  (40 shares with a fair value per share of  $\gtrless 40$ ).

The fair value of the consideration effectively transferred should be based on the most reliable measure. Here, the quoted market price of Entity A's shares provides a more reliable basis for measuring the consideration effectively transferred than the estimated fair value of the shares in Entity B, and the consideration is measured using the market price of Entity A's 100 shares with a fair value per share of ₹ 16.

#### Measuring goodwill

Goodwill is measured as the excess of the fair value of the consideration effectively transferred (the group's interest in Entity A) over the net amount of Entity A's recognised identifiable assets and liabilities, as follows:

	₹	₹
Consideration effectively transferred		1,600
Net recognised values of Entity A's identifiable assets and liabilities		
Current assets	500	
Non-current assets	1,500	
Current liabilities	(300)	
Non-current liabilities	(400)	(1,300)
Goodwill		300

Consolidated balance sheet at 31<sup>st</sup> December, 20X1 The consolidated balance sheet immediately after the business combination is:

ne consolidated balance sneet immediatel	y arcci	tile k	dollicoo	COIIID
				₹
Current assets [700 + 500]				1,200
Non-current assets [3,000 + 1,500]				4,500
Goodwill				300
	То	otal as	sets	6,000
Current liabilities [600 + 300]				900
Non-current liabilities [1,100 + 400]				1,500
	Total	l liabil	ities	2,400
Shareholders' equity				
Issued equity 250 ordinary shares [600 + 1,600]				2,200
Retained earnings				1,400
Total shareholders' equity				3,600
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity				6,000

The amount recognised as issued equity interests in the consolidated financial statements (₹2,200) is determined by adding the issued equity of the legal subsidiary immediately before the business combination (600) and the fair value of the consideration effectively transferred (₹1,600). However, the equity structure appearing in the consolidated financial statements (i.e., the number and type of equity interests issued) must reflect the equity structure of the legal parent, including the equity interests issued by the legal parent to effect the combination.

#### **Ouestion 3**

Scenario 1: New information on the fair value of an acquired loan

Bank F acquires Bank E in a business combination in October, 20X1. The loan by Bank E to Borrower B is recognised at its provisionally determined fair value. In December 20X1, F receives Borrower B's financial statements for the year ended 30<sup>th</sup> September, 20X1, which indicate significant decrease in Borrower B's income from operations. Basis this, the fair value of the loan to B at the acquisition date is determined to be less than the amount recognised earlier on a provisional basis

Scenario 2: Decrease in fair value of acquired loan resulting from an event occurring during the measurement period.

Bank F acquires Bank E in a business combination in October, 20X1. The loan by Bank E to Borrower B is recognised at its provisionally determined fair value. In December 20X1, F receives information that Borrower B has lost its major customer earlier that month and this is expected to have a significant negative effect on B's operations.

Comment on the treatment done by Bank F.

#### Answer 3

**Scenario 1:** The new information obtained by F subsequent to the acquisition relates to facts and circumstances that existed at the acquisition date. Accordingly, an adjustment (i.e., decrease) to in the provisional amount should be recognised for loan to B with a corresponding increase in goodwill.

Scenario 2: Basis this, the fair value of the loan to B will be less than the

# $_{Page}112\overline{5}$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

amount recognised earlier at the acquisition date. The new information resulting in the change in the estimated fair value of the loan to B does not relate to facts and circumstances that existed at the acquisition date, but rather is due to a new event i.e., the loss of a major customer subsequent to the acquisition date. Therefore, based on the new information, F should determine and recognise an allowance for loss on the loan in accordance with Ind AS 109, *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*, with a corresponding charge to profit or loss; goodwill is not adjusted.

#### **Ouestion 4**

Company A acquired 90% equity interest in Company B on 1 st April, 20X1 for a consideration of₹85 crores in a distress sale. Company B did not have any instrument recognised in equity. The Company appointed a registered valuer with whose assistance, the Company valued the fair value of NCI and the fair value identifiable net assets at ₹15 crores and ₹ 100 crores respectively.

Find the value at which NCI has to be shown in the financial statements.

#### Answer 4

In this case, Company A has the option to measure NCI as follows:

- Option 1: Measure NCI at fair value i.e., ₹15 crores as derived by the valuer;
- Option 2: Measure NCI as proportion of fair value of identifiable net assets i.e., ₹10 crores (100 crores x 10%)

#### Question 5

On 1st April, 20X1, Company A acquired 5% of the equity share capital of Company B for 1,00,000. A accounts for its investment in B at Fair Value through OCI (FVOCI) under Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement. At 31 st March, 20X2, A carried its investment in B at fair value and reported an unrealised gain of ₹ 5,000 in other comprehensive income, which was presented as a separate component of equity. On 1st April, 20X2, A obtains control of B by acquiring the remaining 95 percent of B.

Comment on the treatment to be done based on the facts given in the question.

#### Answer 5

At the acquisition date A recognises the gain of ₹5,000 in OCI as the gain or loss is not allowed to be recycled to income statement as per the requirement of Ind AS 109. A's investment in B would be at fair value and therefore does not require remeasurement as a result of the business combination. The fair value of the 5 percent investment (1,05,000) plus the fair value of the consideration for the 95 percent newly acquired interest is included in the acquisition accounting.

#### Question 6

Company A acquires 70 percent of Company S on 1st January, 20X1 for consideration transferred of ₹ 5 million. Company A intends to recognise the NCI at proportionate share of fair value of identifiable net assets. With the assistance of a suitably qualified valuation professional, A measures the identifiable net assets of B at ₹ 10 million. A performs a review and determines that the business combination did not include any transactions that should be accounted for separately from the business combination.

State whether the procedures followed by A and the resulting measurements are appropriate or not. Also calculate the bargain purchase gain in the process.

#### Answer 6

- 1. The amount of B's identifiable net assets exceeds the fair value of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of the NCI in B, resulting in an initial indication of a gain on a bargain purchase. Accordingly, A reviews the procedures it used to identify and measure the identifiable net assets acquired, to measure the fair value of both the NCI and the
- 2. consideration transferred, and to identify transactions that were not part of the business combination.

3. Following that review, A concludes that the procedures followed and the resulting measurements were appropriate.

	(₹)
Identifiable net assets	1,00,00,000
Less: Consideration transferred	(50,00,000)
NCI (10 million x 30%)	(30,00,000)
Gain on bargain purchase	20,00,000

#### **Ouestion 7**

Entity A and entity B provide construction services in India. Entity A is owned by a group of individuals, none of whom has control and does not have a collective control agreement. Entity B is owned by a single individual, Mr. Ram. The owners of entities A and B have decided to combine their businesses. The consideration will be settled in shares of entity B. Entity B issues new shares, amounting to 40% of its issued share capital, to its controlling shareholder, Mr. Ram. Mr. Ram then transfers the shares to the owners of entity A in exchange for their interest in entity A. At this point Mr. Ram controls both entities A and B, owning 100% of entity A and 71.42% of entity B. Mr. Ram had a controlling interest in both entity A and entity B before and after the contribution. Is the combination of entities A and B a combination of entities under common control?

#### Answer 7

No. This is not a business combination of entities under common control. Mr. Ram's control of both entities before the business combination was transitory. The substance of the transaction is that entity B has obtained control of entity A. Entity B accounts for this transaction as a business combination under Ind AS 103 using acquisition accounting.

#### Question 8

On 1 April 20X1, Alpha Ltd. acquires 80 percent of the equity interest of Beta Pvt. Ltd. in exchange for cash of ₹ 300. Due to legal compulsion, Beta Pvt. Ltd. had to dispose of their investments by a specified date. Therefore, they did not have sufficient time to market Beta Pvt. Ltd. to multiple potential buyers. The management of Alpha Ltd. initially measures the separately recognizable identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date in accordance with the requirement of Ind AS 103. The identifiable assets are measured at ₹ 500 and the liabilities assumed are measured at ₹ 100. Alpha Ltd. engages on independent consultant, who determined that the fair value of 20 per cent non-controlling interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd. is ₹ 84.

Alpha Ltd. reviewed the procedures it used to identify and measure the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and to measure the fair value of both the non

controlling interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd. and the consideration transferred. After the review, it decided that the procedures and resulting measures were appropriate. Calculate the gain or loss on acquisition of Beta Pvt. Ltd. and also show the journal entries for accounting of its acquisition. Also calculate the value of the non-controlling interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd. on the basis of proportionate interest method, if alternatively applied?

#### Answer 8

The amount of Beta Pvt. Ltd. identifiable net assets [₹ 400, calculated as ₹ 500 - ₹ 100) exceeds the fair value of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of the non controlling interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd. [₹ 384 calculated as 300 + 84]. Alpha Ltd. measures the gain on its purchase of the 80 per cent interest as follows:

		₹in lakh
Amount of the identifiable net assets acquired (₹		400
500 - ₹100)		
Less: Fair value of the consideration transferred	300	
for Alpha Ltd.	0.4	(20.4)
80 per cent interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd.	84	(384)
Add:Fair value of non controlling interest in		16
Beta Pvt. Ltd.		
Gain on bargain purchase of 80 per cent interest		

Journal Entry

	₹in lakh	₹in lakh
Identifiable assets acquired Dr.	500	
To Cash		300
To Liabilities assumed		100
To OCI/Equity-Gain on the bargain		16
purchase		
To Equity-non controlling interest in Beta Pvt		84
Ltd.		

If the acquirer chose to measure the non controlling interest in Beta Pvt. Ltd. on the basis of its proportionate interest in the identifiable net assets of the acquire, the recognized amount of the non controlling interest would be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  80 ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  400 x 0.20). The gain on the bargain purchase then would be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  20 ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  400- ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  300 +  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}$  80)

#### Question 9

ABC Ltd. prepares consolidated financial statements upto 31 st March each year. On 1st July 20X1, ABC Ltd. acquired 75% of the equity shares of JKL Ltd. and gained control of JKL Ltd. the issued shares of JKL Ltd. is 1,20,00,000 equity shares. Details of the purchase consideration are as follows:

- On 1st July, 20X1, ABC Ltd. issued two shares for every three shares acquired in JKL Ltd. On 1st July, 20X1, the market value of an equity share in ABC Ltd. was ₹ 6.50 and the market value of an equity share in JKL Ltd. was ₹ 6.
- On 30th June, 20X2, ABC Ltd. will make a cash payment of ₹ 71,50,000 to the former shareholders of JKL Ltd. who sold their shares to ABC Ltd. on 1st July, 20X1. On 1st July, 20X1, ABC Ltd. would have to pay interest at an annual rate of 10% on borrowings.
- On 30th June, 20X3, ABC Ltd. may make a cash payment of ₹ 3,00,00,000 to the former shareholders of JKL Ltd. who sold their shares to ABC Ltd. on 1st July, 20X1. This payment is contingent upon the revenues of ABC Ltd. growing by 15% over the two-year period from 1st July, 20X1 to 30th June, 20X3. On 1st July,

20X1, the fair value of this contingent consideration was  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,50,00,000. On 31 st March, 20X2, the fair value of the contingent consideration was  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,20,00,000.

On 1st July, 20X1, the carrying values of the identifiable net assets of JKL Ltd. in the books of that company was  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  6,00,00,000. On 1 st July, 20X1, the fair values of these net assets was  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  7,00,00,000. The rate of deferred tax to apply to temporary differences is 20%.

During the nine months ended on 31st March, 20X2, JKL Ltd. had a poorer than expected operating performance. Therefore, on 31st March, 20X2 it was necessary for ABC Ltd. to recognise an impairment of the goodwill arising on acquisition of JKL Ltd., amounting to 10% of its total computed value.

#### Answer 9

Computation of goodwill impairment

	NCI at fair	NCI at of net
	value	assets
	₹in '000	₹in '000
Cost of investment		
Share exchange (12,000 x 75% x 2/3 x₹6.50)	39,000	39,000
Deferred consideration (7,150 / 1.10)	6,500	6,500
Contingent consideration	25,000	25,000
Non-controlling interest at date of acquisition:		
Fair value – 3000 x ₹6	18,000	
% of net assets – 68,000 (Refer W.N.) x 25%		17,000
Net assets on the acquisition date (Refer W.N.)	(68,000)	(68,000)
Goodwill on acquisition	20,500	19,500
Impairment @ 10%	2,050	1,950

Working Note:

World Hotel	
Net assets on the acquisition date	₹'000
Fair value at acquisition date	70,000
Deferred tax on fair value adjustments [20% x (70,000 – 60,000)]	(2,000)
	68,000

#### **Ouestion 10**

How should contingent consideration payable in relation to a business combination be accounted for on initial recognition and at the subsequent measurement as per Ind AS in the following cases:

On 1 April 20X1, A Ltd. acquires 100% interest in B Ltd. As per the terms of agreement the purchase consideration is payable in the following 2 tranches:

- a. an immediate issuance of 10 lakhs shares of A Ltd. having face value of ₹ 10 per share;
- b. a further issuance of 2 lakhs shares after one year if the profit before interest and tax of B Ltd. for the first year following acquisition exceeds ₹ 1 crore.
  - (i) The fair value of the shares of A Ltd. on the date of acquisition is ₹ 20 per share. Further, the management has estimated that on the date of acquisition, the fair value of contingent consideration is ₹ 25 lakhs.
  - (ii) During the year ended 31 March 20X2, the profit before interest and tax of B Ltd. exceeded ₹ 1 crore. As on 31 March 20X2, the fair value of shares of A Ltd. is ₹ 25 per share.
  - (iii) Continuing with the fact pattern in (a) above except for:

- c. The number of shares to be issued after one year is not fixed.
- d. Rather, A Ltd. agreed to issue variable number of shares having a fair value equal to ₹ 40 lakhs after one year, if the profit before interest and tax for the first year following acquisition exceeds ₹ 1 crore. A Ltd. issued shares with ₹ 40 lakhs after a year.

#### Answer 10

Paragraph 37 of Ind AS 103, inter alia, provides that the consideration transferred in a business combination should be measured at fair value, which should be calculated as the sum of (a) the acquisition-date fair values of the assets transferred by the acquirer, (b) the liabilities incurred by the acquirer to former owners of the acquiree and (c) the equity interests issued by the acquirer.

Further, paragraph 39 of Ind AS 103 provides that the consideration the acquirer transfers in exchange for the acquiree includes any asset or liability resulting from a contingent consideration arrangement. The acquirer shall recognize the acquisition-date fair value of contingent consideration as part of the consideration transferred in exchange for the acquiree.

With respect to contingent consideration, obligations of an acquirer under contingent consideration arrangements are classified as equity or a liability in accordance with Ind AS 32 or other applicable Ind AS, i.e., for the rare case of non-financial contingent consideration. Paragraph 40 provides that the acquirer shall classify an obligation to pay contingent consideration that meets the definition of a financial instrument as a financial liability or as equity on the basis of the definitions of an equity instrument and a financial liability in paragraph 11 of Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation. The acquirer shall classify as an asset a right to the return of previously transferred consideration if specified conditions are met. Paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103 provides guidance on the subsequent accounting for contingent consideration.

(i) In the given case the amount of purchase consideration to be recognized **on initial recognition** shall be as follows:

	₹
Fair value of shares issued (10,00,000 x ₹20)	2,00,00,000
Fair value of contingent consideration	25,00,000
Total purchase consideration	2,25,00,000

Subsequent measurement of contingent consideration payable for business combination

In general, an equity instrument is any contract that evidences a residual interest in the assets of an entity after deducting all of its liabilities. Ind AS 32 describes an equity instrument as one that meets both of the following conditions:

- (a) There is no contractual obligation to deliver cash or another financial asset to another party, or to exchange financial assets or financial liabilities with another party under potentially unfavorable conditions (for the issuer of the instrument).
- (b) If the instrument will or may be settled in the issuer's own equity instruments, then it is:
  - (i) a non-derivative that comprises an obligation for the issuer to deliver a fixed number of its own equity instruments; or
  - (ii) a derivative that will be settled only by the issuer exchanging a fixed amount of cash or other financial assets for a fixed number of its own equity instruments. In the given case, given that the acquirer has an obligation to issue fixed number of shares on fulfilment of the contingency, the contingent consideration will be

classified as equity as per the requirements of Ind AS 32.

As per paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103, contingent consideration classified as equity should not be re-measured and its subsequent settlement should be accounted for

Here, the obligation to pay contingent consideration amounting to ₹25,00,000 is recognized as a part of equity and therefore not re-measured subsequently or on issuance of shares.

(iii) The amount of purchase consideration to be recognized on initial recognition shall be as follows:

	₹
Fair value of shares issued (10,00,000 x ₹20)	2,00,00,000
Fair value of contingent consideration	25,00,000
Total purchase consideration	2,25,00,000

Subsequent measurement of contingent consideration payable for business combination

The contingent consideration will be classified as liability as per Ind AS 32. As per paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103, contingent consideration not classified as equity should be measured at fair value at each reporting date and changes in fair value should be recognized in profit or loss.

As at 31 March 20X2, (being the date of settlement of contingent consideration), the liability would be measured at its fair value and the resulting loss of ₹ 15,00,000 (₹40,00,000 - ₹25,00,000) should be recognized in the profit or loss for the period. A Ltd. would recognize issuance of 160,000 (₹40,00,000/25) shares at a premium of

₹15 per share.

#### **Ouestion 11**

As part of its business expansion strategy, KK Ltd. is in process of setting up a pharma intermediates business which is at very initial stage. For this purpose, KK Ltd. has acquired on 1st April, 20X1, 100% shares of ABR Ltd. that manufactures pharma intermediates. The purchase consideration for the same was by way of a share exchange valued at ₹ 35 crores. The fair value of ABR Ltd.' s net assets was ₹ 15 crores, but does not include:

- A patent owned by ABR Ltd. for an established successful intermediate drug that has a remaining life of 8 years. A consultant has estimated the value of this patent to be
  - ₹ 10 crores. However, the outcome of clinical trials for the same are awaited. If the trials are successful, the value of the drug would fetch the estimated ₹ 15
- (ii) ABR Ltd. has developed and patented a new drug which has been approved for clinical use. The cost of developing the drug was ₹ 12 crores. Based on early assessment of its sales success, the valuer has estimated its market value at ₹20 crores.
- (iii) ABR Ltd.'s manufacturing facilities have received a favourable inspection by a government department. As a result of this, the Company has been granted an exclusive five-year license to manufacture and distribute a new vaccine. Although the license has no direct cost to the Company, its directors believe that obtaining the license is a valuable asset which assures guaranteed sales and the value for the same is estimated at ₹ 10 crores.

## KK Ltd. has requested you to suggest the accounting treatment of the above transaction under applicable Ind AS.

#### Answer 11

As per para 13 of Ind AS 103 'Business Combination', the acquirer's application of the recognition principle and conditions may result in recognising some assets and liabilities that the acquiree had not previously recognised as assets and liabilities in its financial statements. This may be the case when the asset is developed by the entity internally and charged the related costs to expense

Based on the above, the company can recognise following Intangible assets while determining Goodwill / Bargain Purchase for the transaction:

- (i) Patent owned by ABR Ltd.: The patent owned will be recognised at fair value by KK Ltd. even though it was not recognised by ABR Ltd. in its financial statements. The patent will be amortised over the remaining useful life of the asset i.e. 8 years. Since the company is awaiting the outcome of the trials, the value of the patent cannot be estimated at ₹ 15 crore and the extra ₹ 5 crore should only be disclosed as a Contingent Asset and not recognised.
- (ii) Patent internally developed by ABR Ltd.: As per para 18 of Ind AS 103 'Business Combination', the acquirer shall measure the identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at their acquisition date fair values. Since the patent developed has been approved for clinical use, it is an identifiable asset, hence the same will be measured at fair value ie ₹20 crore on the acquisition date.
- (iii) **Grant of Licence to ABR Ltd. by the Government:** As regards to the five-year license, applying para 18 of Ind AS 103, grant asset will be recognised at fair value on the acquisition date by KK Ltd. On acquisition date, the fair value of the license is

₹ 10 crore. However, since the question does not mention about the fair value of the

identifiable liability with respect to grant of license for the acquirer, it is assumed that no conditions with respect to compliance of grant (if any) have been passed to the acquirer. Hence, the fair value of the liability with respect to grant, for acquirer would be nil. Only, the grant asset (license) would be recognised at ₹ 10 crore in the books of acquirer KK Ltd.

Hence the revised working would be as follows:

Fair value of net assets of ABR Ltd. 15 crore

Add: Patent (10 + 20) 30 crore

Add: License 10 crore

Less: Grant for License (Nil)

55 crores

Purchase Consideration (35 crores)

Bargain purchase 20 crore

#### **Question 12**

H Ltd. acquired equity shares of S Ltd., a listed company, in two tranches as mentioned in the below table:

Date	Equity stake purchased		Remarks	
1st November, 20X6	15%	The	shares	were

 $\frac{as}{c}$ 

1 <sup>st</sup> January, 20X7	45%	purchased based on the
•		quoted price on the stock exchange on the relevant
		dates.

Both the above-mentioned companies have Rupees as their functional currency. Consequently, H Ltd. acquired control over S Ltd. on 1st January, 20X7. Following is the Balance Sheet of S Ltd. as on that date:

Particulars	Carrying	Fair value
	value	(₹in
	(₹in crore)	crore)
ASSETS:		•
Non-current assets		
(a) Property, plant and equipment	40.0	90.0
(b) Intangible assets	20.0	30.0
(c) Financial assets	100.0	350.0
- Investments Current assets		
(a) Inventories	20.0	20.0
(b) Financial assets	20.0	20.0
- Trade receivables	4.0	4.5
- Cash held in functional	4.0	4.5
currency		
(c) Other current assets		
Non-current asset held for sale		
TOTAL ASSETS	208	
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES:		
Equity		
(a) Share capital (face value ₹100)	12.0	50.4
(b) Other equity	141.0	Not applicable
Non-current liabilities		
(a) Financial liabilities		
- Borrowings	20.0	20.0
Current liabilities		
(a) Financial liabilities		
- Trade payables	28.0	28.0
(b) Provision for warranties	3.0	3.0
(c) Current tax liabilities	4.0	4.0
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	208.0	

#### Other information:

Property, plant and equipment in the above Balance Sheet include leasehold motor vehicles having carrying value of ₹1 crore and fair value of ₹ 1.2 crore. The date of inception of the lease was 1st April, 20X0. On the inception of the lease, S Ltd. had correctly classified the lease as a finance lease. However, if facts and circumstances as on 1st April, 20X7 are considered, the lease would be classified as an operating lease.

Following is the statement of contingent liabilities of S Ltd. as on 1st January, 20X7:

Particulars				Fair value (₹ in crore)	Remarks							
	Law	suit	filed	by	a	0.5	It	is	not	probable	that	an

customer for a claim of ₹ 2 crore		outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the claim.
		Any amount which would be paid in respect of law suit will be tax deductible.
Income tax demand of ₹ 7 crore raised by tax authorities; S Ltd. has challenged the demand in the court.	2.0	It is not probable that an outflow Of resources embodying Economic benefits will be required to settle the claim.

In relation to the above-mentioned contingent liabilities, S Ltd. has given an indemnification undertaking to H Ltd. up to a maximum of  $\tilde{t}$  1 crore.  $\tilde{t}$  1 crore represents the acquisition date fair value of the indemnification undertaking.

Any amount which would be received in respect of the above undertaking shall not be taxable.

The tax bases of the assets and liabilities of S Ltd. is equal to their respective carrying values being recognised in its Balance Sheet.

Carrying value of non-current asset held for sale of ₹ 4 crore represents its fair value less cost to sell in accordance with the relevant Ind AS.

In consideration of the additional stake purchased by H Ltd. on 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X7, it has issued to the selling shareholders of S Ltd. 1 equity share of H Ltd. for every 2 shares held in S Ltd. Fair value of equity shares of H Ltd. as on 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X7 is ₹10,000 per share.

On 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X7, H Ltd. has paid ₹ 50 crore in cash to the selling shareholders of S Ltd. Additionally, on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X9, H Ltd. will pay ₹ 30 crore to the selling shareholders of S Ltd. if return on equity of S Ltd. for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X9 is more than 25% per annum. H Ltd. has estimated the fair value of this obligation as on 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X7 and 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X7 as ₹ 22 crore and ₹ 23 crore respectively. The change in fair value of the obligation is attributable to the change in facts and circumstances after the acquisition date. Quoted price of equity shares of S Ltd. as on various dates is as follows: As on November, 20X6 ₹ 350 per share As on 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X7 ₹ 395 per share

As on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X7 ₹420 per share

On  $31^{\rm st}$  May, 20X7, H Ltd. learned that certain customer relationships existing as on  $1^{\rm st}$  January, 20X7, which met the recognition criteria of an intangible asset as on that date, were not considered during the accounting of business combination for the year ended  $31^{\rm st}$  March, 20X7. The fair value of such customer relationships as on  $1^{\rm st}$  January, 20X7 was ₹ 3.5 crore (assume that there are no temporary differences associated with customer relations; consequently, there is no impact of income taxes on customer relations).

On 31<sup>st</sup> May, 20X7 itself, H Ltd. further learned that due to additional customer relationships being developed during the period 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X7 to 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X7, the fair value of such customer

relationships has increased to ₹4 crore as on 31st March, 20X7.

On 31<sup>st</sup> December, 20X7, H Ltd. has established that it has obtained all the information necessary for the accounting of the business combination and that more information is not obtainable.

H Ltd. and S Ltd. are not related parties and follow Ind AS for financial reporting. Income tax rate applicable is 30%.

You are required to provide your detailed responses to the following, along with reasoning and computation notes:

- (a) What should be the goodwill or bargain purchase gain to be recognised by H Ltd. in its financial statements for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X7. For this purpose, measure
  - non-controlling interest using proportionate share of the fair value of the identifiable net assets of S Ltd.
- (b) Will the amount of non-controlling interest, goodwill, or bargain purchase gain so recognised in (a) above change subsequent to 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X7? If yes, provide relevant journal entries.
- (c) What should be the accounting treatment of the contingent consideration as on 31st March, 20X7?

#### Answer 12

- (i) As an only exception to the principle of classification or designation of assets as they exist at the acquisition date is that for lease contract and insurance contracts classification which will be based on the basis of the conditions existing at inception and not on acquisition date.
  - Therefore, H Ltd. would be required to retain the original lease classification of the lease arrangements and thereby recognise the lease arrangements as finance lease.
- (ii) The requirements in Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets', do not apply in determining which contingent liabilities to recognise as of the acquisition date as per Ind AS 103 'Business Combination'. Instead, the acquirer shall recognise as of the acquisition date a contingent liability assumed in a business combination if it is a present obligation that arises from past events and its fair value can be measured reliably. Therefore, contrary to Ind AS 37, the acquirer recognises a contingent liability assumed in a business combination at the acquisition date even if it is not probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation. Hence H Ltd. will recognize contingent liability of ₹2.5 cr.

Since S Ltd. has indemnified for ₹ 1 cr., H Ltd. shall recognise an indemnification asset at the same time for ₹ 1 cr.

- As per the information given in the question, this indemnified asset is not taxable. Hence, its tax base will be equal to its carrying amount. No deferred tax will arise on it.
- (iii) As per Ind AS 103, non-current assets held for sale should be measured at fair value less cost to sell in accordance with Ind AS 105 'Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations'. Therefore, its carrying value as per balance sheet has been considered in the calculation of net assets.
- (iv) Any equity interest in S Ltd. held by H Ltd. immediately before obtaining control over S Ltd. is adjusted to acquisition-date fair value. Any resulting gain or loss is recognised in the profit or loss of H Ltd.

C	alculation	of	purchase	con	sideration	as	per	Ind	AS	103	₹in lakh
	Investme	nt	in S Ltd.								

On 1st Nov. 20X6	15%	[(12/100) x 395 x 15%]	7.11
On 1 <sup>st</sup> Jan. 20X7	45%		
Own equity		10,000 x 12% x 45% x	270
given		1/2	
Cash			50
Contingent			22
consideration			
			349.11

(v) Calculation of deferred tax on assets and liabilities acquired as part of the business combination, including current tax and goodwill.

Item	₹in crore				
	Book value	Fair value	Tax base	Taxable (deductible) temporary difference	Deferred tax assets (liability) @ 30%
Property, plant and equipment	40	90	40	50	(15)
Intangible assets	20	30	20	10	(3)
Investments	100	350	100	250	(75)
Inventories	20	20	20	-	-
Trade receivables	20	20	20	-	-
Cash held in functional currency	4	4	4	-	1
Non-current asset held for sale	4	4	4	-	-
Indemnified asset	-	1	1	-	-
Borrowings	20	20	20	-	-
Trade payables	28	28	28	-	-
Provision for warranties	3	3	3	-	_
Current tax liabilities	4	4	4	-	
Contingent liability		0.5	ı	(0.5)	0.15
Deferred tax Liability					(92.85)

(vi) Calculation of identifiable net assets acquired

	₹in	₹in crore
		VIII CIOIC
	crore	
Property, plant and equipment	90	
Intangible assets	30	
Investments	350	
Inventories	20	
Trade receivables	20	
Cash held in functional currency	4	
Non-current asset held for sale	4	
Indemnified asset	1	
Total asset		519
Less: Borrowings	20	
Trade payables	28	
Provision for warranties	3	
Current tax liabilities	4	

Contingent liability (2 + 0.5)	2.50	
Deferred tax liability (W.N.2)	92.85	(150.35)
Net identifiable assets		368.65

(a) Calculation of NCI by proportionate share of net assets

Net identifiable assets of S Ltd. on 1.1.20X7 (Refer W.N.3) = 372.85 crore NCI on 1.1.20X7 = 368.65 crore x 40% = 147.46 crore

Calculation of Goodwill as per Ind AS 103

Goodwill on 1.1.20X7

= Purchase consideration + NCI - Net assets

- = 349.11 + 147.46 368.65
- = 127.92 crore

As per para 45 of Ind AS 103 'Business Combination', if the initial accounting for a business combination is incomplete by the end of the reporting period in which the combination occurs, the acquirer shall report in its financial statements provisional amounts for the items for which the accounting is incomplete.

During the measurement period, the acquirer shall retrospectively adjust the provisional amounts recognised at the acquisition date to reflect new information obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date and, if known, would have affected the measurement of the amounts recognised as of that date.

During the measurement period, the acquirer shall also recognise additional assets or liabilities if new information is obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date and, if known, would have resulted in the recognition of those assets and liabilities as of that date.

The measurement period ends as soon as the acquirer receives the information it was seeking about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date or learns that more information is not obtainable. However, the measurement period shall not exceed one year from the acquisition date.

Further, as per para 46 of Ind AS 103, the measurement period is the period after the acquisition date during which the acquirer may adjust the provisional amounts recognised for a business combination. The measurement period provides the acquirer with a reasonable time to obtain the information necessary to identify and measure the following as of the acquisition date in accordance with the requirements of this Ind AS:

- (a) the identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree;
- (b) .....
- (c) .....; and
- (d) the resulting goodwill or gain on a bargain purchase.

Para 48 states that the acquirer recognises an increase (decrease) in the provisional amount recognised for an identifiable asset (liability) by means of a decrease (increase) in goodwill.

Para 49 states that during the measurement period, the acquirer shall recognise adjustments to the provisional amounts as if the accounting for the business combination had been completed at the acquisition date.

Para 50 states that after the measurement period ends, the acquirer shall revise the accounting for a business combination only to correct an error in accordance with Ind AS 8 'Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors'.

On 31<sup>st</sup> December, 20X7, H Ltd. has established that it has obtained all the information necessary for the accounting of the business combination and the more information is not obtainable. Therefore, the measurement period for acquisition

of S Ltd. ends on 31st December, 20X7.

On 31st May, 20X7 (ie within the measurement period), H Ltd. learned that certain customer relationships existing as on 1st January, 20X7 which met the recognition criteria of an intangible asset as on that date were not considered during the accounting of business combination for the year ended 31st March, 20X7. Therefore, H Ltd. shall account for the acquisition date fair value of customer relations existing on 1st January, 20X7 as an identifiable intangible asset. The corresponding adjustment shall be made in the amount of goodwill. Accordingly, the amount of goodwill will be changed due to identification of new asset from retrospective date for changes in fair value of assets and liabilities earlier recognised on provisional amount (subject to meeting the condition above for measurement period). NCI changes would impact the consolidated retained earnings (parent's share). Also NCI will be increased or decreased based on the profit during the post-acquisition period.

Journal entry

Dr. 3.5 crore Customer relationship

To NCI

1.4 crore

2.1 crore

To Goodwill

However, the increase in the value of customer relations after the acquisition date shall not be accounted by H Ltd., as the customer relations developed after 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X7 represents internally generated intangible assets which are not

eligible for recognition on the balance sheet.

(b) Since the contingent considerations payable by H Ltd is not classified as equity and is within the scope of Ind AS 109 'Financial Instruments', the changes in the fair value shall be recognised in profit or loss. Change in Fair value of contingent consideration (23-22) ₹1 crore will be recognized in the Statement of Profit and Loss.

#### Question 13

Company X is engaged in the business of exploration & development of Oil &

Company X currently holds participating interest (PI) in below mentioned producing Block as follows:

Block	Company	Company	Company	Total
Name	X	Y	Z	
AWM/01	30%	60%	10%	100%

For the above Block, Company X, Y & Z has entered into unincorporated Joint

Company Y is the Operator of the Block AWM/01. Company X & Company Z are the Joint Operators. Company Y incurs all the expenditure on behalf of Joint Venture and raise cash call to Company X & Company Z at each month end in respect of their share of expenditure incurred in Joint Venture. All the manpower and requisite facilities / machineries owned by the Joint venture and thereby owned by all the Joint Operators.

For past few months, due to liquidity issues, Company Z defaulted in payment of cash calls to operators. Therefore, company Y (Operator) has issued notice to company Z for withdrawal of their participating right from on 01.04.20X1. However, company Z has filed the appeal with arbitrator on 30.04.20X1.

Financial performance of company Z has not been improved in subsequent

months and therefore company Z has decided to withdraw participating interest rights from Block AWM/01 and entered into sale agreement with Company X & Company Y. As per the terms of the agreement, dated 31.5.20X1, Company X will receive 33.33% share & Company Y will receive 66.67% share of PI rights owned by Company Z.

Company X is required to pay  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  1 Lacs against 33.33% share of PI rights owned by Company Z.

After signing of sale agreement, Operator (company Y) approach government of India for modification in PSC (Production Sharing Contract) i.e. removal of Company Z from PSC of AWM/01 and government has approved this transaction on 30.6.20X1. Government approval for the modification in PSC is essential given the industry in which the joint operators operate.

Balance sheet of Company X & Company Z are as follows:

	Compa	ny X	Compa	ny Z
<b>Particulars</b>	31.5.20X1	30.6.20X1	31.5.20X1	30.6.20X1
	₹	₹	₹	₹
Assets				
Non-Current Assets Property,				
Plant & Equipment Right of	5,00,000	10,00,000	1,50,000	3,00,000
Use Asset Development CWIP	1,00,000	2,00,000	10,000	20,000
Financial Assets Loan	50,000	1,00,000	50,000	1,00,000
receivable	25,000	50,000	25,000	50,000
Total Non-Current Assets	6,75,000	13,50,000	2,35,000	4,70,000
Current assets Inventories	1,00,000	2,00,000	15,000	30,000
Financial Assets	1,50,000	3,00,000	50,000	1,00,000
Trade receivables  Cash and cash equivalents	2,00,000	4,00,000	1,00,000	2,00,000
Other Current Assets	2,25,000	50,000	25,000	50,000
Total Current Assets	6,75,000	9,50,000	1,90,000	3,80,000
Total Assets	13,50,000	23,00,000	4,25,000	8,50,000
<b>Equity and Liabilities Equity</b>				
Equity share capital Other	3,00,000	3,00,000	1,00,000	1,00,000
equity	2,00,000	3,00,000	75,000	2,50,000
Total Equity Liabilities	5,00,000	6,00,000	1,75,000	3,50,000
Non-Current Liabilities				
Provisions	4,00,000	8,00,000	1,00,000	2,00,000
Other Liabilities	1,50,000	3,00,000	50,000	1,00,000
Total Non-Current Liabilities	5,50,000	11,00,000	1,50,000	3,00,000
Current Liabilities Financial				
Liabilities	2.00.000	6.00.000	1 00 000	0.00.000
Trade Payables	3,00,000	6,00,000	1,00,000	2,00,000
Total Current Liabilities	3,00,000	6,00,000	1,00,000	2,00,000
Total Liabilities  Additional Information:	13,50,000	23,00,000	4,25,000	8,50,000

#### **Additional Information:**

- 1. Fair Value of PPE & Development CWIP owned by Company Z as per Market oparticipant approach is ₹5,00,000 & ₹2,00,000 respectively.
- 2. Fair Value of all the other assets and liabilities acquired are assumed to be at their

carrying values (except cash & cash equivalent).

Cash and cash

1136

equivalents of Company Z are not to be acquired by Company X as per the terms of agreement.

- 3. Tax rate is assumed to be 30%.
- 4. As per Ind AS 28, all the joint operators are joint ventures whereby each parties that have joint control of the arrangement have rights to the net assets of the arrangement and therefore every operator records their share of assets and liabilities in their books.

You need to determine the following:

- 1. Whether the above acquisition falls under business or asset acquisition as defined under business combination standard Ind AS 103?
- 2. Determine the acquisition date in the above transaction?
- 3. Prepare Journal entries for the above-mentioned transaction?
- 4. Draft the Balance Sheet for Company X based on your analysis in Part 1 above as at acquisition date.

#### Answer 13

(1) Ind AS 103 defines business as an integrated set of activities and assets that is capable of being conducted and managed for the purpose of providing goods or services to customers, generating investment income (such as dividends or interest) or generating other income from ordinary activities.

For a transaction to meet the definition of a business combination (and for the acquisition method of accounting to apply), the entity must gain control of an integrated set of assets and activities that is more than a collection of assets or a combination of assets and liabilities.

To be capable of being conducted and managed for the purpose identified in the definition of a business, an integrated set of activities and assets requires two essential elements—inputs and processes applied to those inputs.

Therefore, an integrated set of activities and assets must include, at a minimum, an input and a substantive process that together significantly contribute to the ability to create output.

In the aforesaid transaction, Company X acquired share of participating rights owned by Company Z for the producing Block (AWM/01). The output exist in this transaction (Considering AWM/01) is a producing block. Also all the manpower and requisite facilities / machineries are owned by Joint venture and thereby all the Joint Operators. Hence, acquiring participating rights tantamount to acquire inputs (Expertise Manpower & Machinery) and it is critical to the ability to continue producing outputs. Thus, the said acquisition will fall under the Business Acquisition and hence standard Ind AS 103 is to be applied for the same.

(2) As per paragraph 8 of Ind AS 103, acquisition date is the date on which the acquirer obtains control of the acquiree. Further, paragraph 9 of Ind AS 103 clarifies that the date on which the acquirer obtains control of the acquiree is generally the date on which the acquirer legally transfers the consideration, acquires the assets and assumes the liabilities of the acquiree—the closing date. However, the acquirer might obtain control on a date that is either earlier or later than the closing date. An acquirer shall consider all pertinent facts and circumstances in identifying the acquisition date. Since government of India (GOI) approval is a substantive approval for Company X to acquire control of Company Z's operations, the date of acquisition cannot be earlier than the date on which approval is obtained from GOI. This is pertinent given that the approval from GOI is considered to be a substantive process and accordingly, the acquisition is considered to be completed only on receipt of such approval. Hence acquisition date in the above scenario is

30.6.20X1.

#### (3) Journal entry for acquisition

Particulars		Amount (₹)	Amount (₹)		
Property Plant & Equipment	Dr.	1,66,650			
Right-of-use Asset	Dr.	6,666			
Development CWIP	Dr.	66,660			
Financial Assets - Loan	Dr.	16,665			
Receivables					
Inventories	Dr.	9,999			
Trade Receivables	Dr.	33,330			
Other Current Assets	Dr.	16,665			
To Provisions			66,660		
To Other Liabilities			33,330		
To Trade Payables			66,660		
To Deferred Tax Liability			29,997		
To Cash & Cash Equivalent (purchase			1,00,000		
consideration)					
To Gain on bargain purchase (Other			19,988		
Comprehensive Income)					
(Doing agents acquired and liabilities assumed from Company 7					

(Being assets acquired and liabilities assumed from Company Z recorded at fair value along gain on bargain purchase)

### (4) Balance Sheet of Company X as at 30.6.20X1

### (Pre & Post Acquisition of PI rights pertaining to Company Z)

Particulars	Pre-	Adjustments	Post-
	Acquisition		Acquisition
	30.6.20X1		30.6.20X1
Assets			
Non - Current Assets			
Property Plant & Equipment	10,00,000	1,66,650	11,66,650
Right of Use Asset	2,00,000	6,666	2,06,666
Development CWIP	1,00,000	33,330	1,66,660
Financial Assets			
Loan receivable	50,000	16,665	66,665
Total Non-Current Assets	13,50,000		16,06,641
Current assets			
Inventories	2,00,000	9,999	2,09,999
Financial Assets			
Trade receivables	3,00,000	33,330	3,33,330
Cash and cash equivalents	4,00,000	(1,00,000)	3,00,000
Other Current Assets	50,000	16,665	66,665
Total Current Assets	9,50,000		9,09,994
Total Assets	23,00,000		25,16,635

Equity and Liabilities			
<b>Equity</b> Equity share capital Other equity	3,00,000		3,00,000
Capital Reserve (OCI) Total	3,00,000		3,00,000
<b>Equity Liabilities</b> Non-Current Liabilities Provisions		19,988	19,988
Other Liabilities Deferred Tax Liability	6,00,000		6,19,988
Total Non-Current Liabilities			
Current Liabilities Financial liabilities Trade Payables	8,00,000	66,660	8,66,660
Total Current Liabilities	3,00,000	33,330	3,33,330
Total Equity and Liabilities		29,997	29,997
	11,00,000		12,29,987
	6.00.000	66 660	6 66 660
	6,00,000	66,660	6,66,660
	6,00,000		6,66,660
	23,00,000		25,16,635

**Working Notes** 

1. Determination of Company Z's balance acquired by Company X on 30.6.20X1 (Acquisition Date)

Particulars	As per Company Z Books 30.6.20X	Carrying Value 33.33 % Share	Acquisition Date Value	Remarks
	₹	Silaic	₹	
Assets		`		
Non-Current Assets				
Property Plant & Equipment	3,00,000	99,990	1,66,650	Note 1
Right of Use Asset	20,000	6,666	6,666	
Development CWIP	1,00,000	33,330	66,660	Note 2
Financial Assets				
Loan receivable	50,000	16,665	16,665	
Total Non-Current Assets	4,70,000	1,56,651	2,56,641	
Current assets Inventories Financial Assets	30,000	9,999	9,999	
Trade receivables	1,00,000	33,330	33,330	
Cash and cash	2,00,000	66,660	66,660	
equivalents	50,000	16,665	16,665	
Other Current Assets <b>Total Current Assets Liabilities</b>	3,80,000	1,26,654	1,26,654	
Non-Current Liabilities				
Provisions	2,00,000	66,660	66,660	
Other Liabilities	1,00,000	33,330	33,330	
Total Non-Current	3,00,000	99,990	99,990	

Liabilities			
Current Liabilities Financial	2,00,000	66,660	66,660
liabilities Trade Payables	2,00,000	66,660	66,660
Total Current Liabilities		•	,

#### Note 1: Fair Value of PPE:

Fair Value of PPE in Company Z	₹5,00,000
Books	
33.33% Share acquired by Company	₹1,66,650
X	

Note 2: Fair Value of Development CWIP:

Fair Value of PPE in Company Z	₹2,00,000
Books	
33.33% Share acquired by Company	₹66,660
X	

2. Computation Goodwill/Bargain Purchase Gain

Particulars		As at 30.6.20X1 (₹)
Total Non - Current Assets		2,56,641
Total Current Assets (Except Cash ₹66,660) (1,26,654 - 66,660)	& Cash Equivalent of	59,994
Total Non-Current Liabilities		(99,990)
Total Current Liabilities		(66,660)
Total Deferred Tax Liability (I	Refer Working note	(29,997)
Net Assets Acquired		1,19,988
Less:Consideration Paid		(1,00,000)
Gain on Bargain Purchase ('to OCI)	Γo be transferred	19,988

<sup>\*</sup>In extremely rare circumstances, an acquirer will make a bargain purchase in a business combination in which the value of net assets acquired in a business combination exceeds the purchase consideration. The acquirer shall recognise the resulting gain in other comprehensive income on the acquisition date and accumulate the same in equity as capital reserve, if the reason for bargain purchase gain is clear and evidence exist. If there does not exist clear evidence of the underlying reasons for classifying the business combination as a bargain purchase, then the gain shall be recognised directly in equity as capital reserve. Since in above scenario it is clearly evident that due to liquidity issues, Company Z has to withdraw their participating right from AWM/01. The said bargain purchase gain should be transferred to other comprehensive income on the acquisition date.

3. Computation of Deferred Tax Liability arising on Business Combination

Particulars	Acquisition Date Value
	(₹)
Total Non - Current Assets	2,56,641

Total Current Assets (Except Cash & Cash Equivalent of ₹	59,994
66,660)	
Total Non-Current Liabilities	(99,990)
Total Current Liabilities	(66,660)
Net Assets Acquired at Fair Value	1,49,985
Book value of Net Assets Acquired	(49,995)
Temporary Difference	99,990
DTL @ 30% on Temporary Difference	29,997

**Note:** As per Ind AS 103, in case an entity acquires another entity step by step through series of purchase then the acquisition date will be the date on which the acquirer obtains control. Till the time the control is obtained the investment will be accounted as per the requirements of other Ind AS 109, if the investments are covered under that standard or as per Ind AS 28, if the investments are in Associates or Joint Ventures.

If a business combination is achieved in stages, the acquirer shall remeasure its previously held equity interest in the acquiree at its acquisition-date fair value and recognise the resulting gain or loss, if any, in profit or loss or other comprehensive income, as appropriate.

Since in the above transaction, company X does not hold any prior interest in Company Z & company holds only 30% PI rights in Block AWM/01 trough unincorporated joint venture, this is not a case of step acquisition.

#### Question 14

Entity X acquired entity Y in a business combination as per Ind AS 103. There is an existing share-based plan in entity Y with a vesting condition for 3 years in which 2 years have already lapsed at the date of such business acquisition. Entity X agreed to replace the existing award for the employees of combined entity. The details are as below –

300
1 year
400

## Calculate the share-based payment values? Answer 14

Pre-acquisition period	= 2
Post-acquisition period	= 1
Total fair value at acquisition date	= ₹ 300
Value to be recorded as per business combination	n under Ind AS 103 = ₹
300/3x2=₹ 200	
Value to be recorded as per Ind AS 102	(A) =₹ 300/3x1=₹ 100
Fair value of the replacement of such award	=₹ 400
Difference from acquisition date fair value	(B) =₹ 400 – 300 =₹ 100
Total value to be accounted over vesting period as	s per Ind AS 102 A+B
	= ₹ 100 + ₹ 100 = ₹
	200

#### **Question 15 Illustration**

An entity acquires an equipment and a patent in exchange for ₹ 1,000 crore cash and land. The fair value of the land is ₹ 400 crore and its carrying value

is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  100 crore. Fair values of the equipment and patent are estimated to be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  500 crore and  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  1,000 crore, respectively. The equipment and patent relate to a product that has just recently been commercialised. The market for this product is still developing.

Assume the entity incurred no transaction costs. For ease of convenience, the tax consequences on the gain have been ignored. How should the transaction be accounted for?

#### Answer 15

As per paragraph 2(b) of Ind AS 103, the standard does not apply to "the acquisition of an asset or a group of assets that does not constitute a business. In such cases the acquirer shall identify and recognise the individual identifiable assets acquired (including those assets that meet the definition of, and recognition criteria for, intangible assets in Ind AS 38, Intangible Assets) and liabilities assumed. The cost of the group shall be allocated to the individual identifiable assets and liabilities on the basis of their relative fair values at the date of purchase. Such a transaction or event does not give rise to goodwill". In the given case, the acquisition of equipment and patent does not represent acquisition of a business as equipment and patent relate to a product that has just recently been commercialised.

The cost of the asset acquisition is determined based on the fair value of the assets given, unless the fair value of the assets received is more reliably determinable. In the given case, the fair value measurement of the land appears more reliable than the fair value estimate of the equipment and patent. Thus, the entity should record the acquisition of the equipment and patent as ₹ ,400 crore (the total fair value of the consideration transferred).

Thus, the fair value of the consideration given, i.e., ₹ 1,400 crore is allocated to the individual assets acquired based on their relative estimated fair values. The entity should record a gain of ₹ 300 crore for the difference between the fair value and carrying value of the land.

The equipment is recorded at its relative fair value ((₹ 500 / ₹ 1,500) × ₹ 1,400 = ₹ 467 crore). The patent is recorded at its relative fair value ((₹ 1,000 / ₹ 1,500) × ₹ 1,400 = ₹ 933 Crore).

#### **Question 16 Illustration**

Company A is a pharmaceutical company. Since inception, the Company had been conducting in- house research and development activities through its skilled workforce and recently obtained an intellectual property right (IPR) in the form of patents over certain drugs. The Company's has a production plant that has recently obtained regulatory approvals. However, the Company has not earned any revenue so far and does not have any customer contracts for sale of goods. Company B acquires Company A.

Does Company A constitute a business in accordance with Ind AS 103?

#### Answer 16

The definition of business requires existence of inputs and processes. In this case, the skilled workforce, manufacturing plant and IPR, along with strategic and operational processes constitutes the inputs and processes in line with the requirements of Ind AS 103.

When the said inputs and processes are applied as an integrated set, the Company A will be capable of producing outputs; the fact that the Company A currently does not have revenue is not relevant to the analysis of the definition of business under Ind AS 103. Basis this and presuming that Company A would have been able to obtain access

# $_{\rm age}1143$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

to customers that will purchase the outputs, the present case can be said to constitute a business as per Ind AS 103.

#### **Question 17 Illustration**

Modifying the above illustration, if Company A had revenue contracts and a sales force, such that Company B acquires all the inputs and processes other than the sales force, then whether the definition of the business is met in accordance with Ind AS 103?

#### Answer 17

Though the sales force has not been taken over, however, if the missing inputs (i.e., sales force) can be easily replicated or obtained by the market participant to generate output, it may be concluded that Company A has acquired business. Further, if Company B is also into similar line of business, then the existing sales force of the Company B may also be relevant to mitigate the missing input. As such, the definition of business is met in accordance with Ind AS 103.

#### Question 18 Illustration

Company P Ltd., a manufacturer of textile products, acquires 40,000 equity shares of Company X (a manufacturer of complementary products) out of 1,00,000 shares in issue. As part of the same agreement, the Company P purchases an option to acquire an additional 25,000 shares. The option is exercisable at any time in the next 12 months. The exercise price includes a small premium to the market price at the transaction date.

After the above transaction, the shareholdings of Company P's two other original shareholders are 35,000 and 25,000. Each of these shareholders also has currently exercisable options to acquire 2,000 additional shares. Assess whether control is acquired by Company P.

#### Answer 18

In assessing whether it has obtained control over Company X, Company P should consider not only the 40,000 shares it owns but also its option to acquire another 25,000 shares (a so-called potential voting right). In this assessment, the specific terms and conditions of the option agreement and other factors are considered as follows:

- the options are currently exercisable and there are no other required conditions before such options can be exercised
- if exercised, these options would increase Company P's ownership to a controlling interest of over 50% before considering other shareholders' potential voting rights (65,000 shares out of a total of 1,25,000 shares)
- although other shareholders also have potential voting rights, if all options are exercised Company P will still own a majority (65,000 shares out of 1,29,000 shares)
- the premium included in the exercise price makes the options out-of-the-money. However, the fact that the premium is small and the options could confer majority ownership indicates that the potential voting rights have economic substance. By considering all the above factors, Company P concludes that with the acquisition of the 40,000 shares together with the potential voting rights, it has obtained control of Company X.

#### Question 19 Illustration

Veera Limited and Zeera Limited are both in the business of manufacturing and selling of Lubricant. Shareholders of Veera Limited and Zeera Limited agreed

to join forces to benefit from lower delivery and distribution costs. The business combination is carried out by setting up a new entity called Meera Limited that issues 100 shares to Veera Limited shareholders and 50 shares to Zeera Limited shareholders in exchange for the transfer of the shares in those entities. The number of shares reflects the relative fair values of the entities before the combination. Also respective company's shareholders get the voting rights in Meera Limited based on their respective shareholdings.

Determine the acquirer by applying the principles of Ind AS 103 'Business Combinations'

#### Answer 19

As per para B15 of Ind AS 103, in a business combination effected primarily by exchanging equity interests, the acquirer is usually the entity that issues its equity interests. However, in some business combinations, commonly called 'reverse acquisitions', the issuing entity is the acquiree. Other pertinent facts and circumstances shall also be considered in identifying the acquirer in a business combination effected by exchanging equity interests, including:

The relative voting rights in the combined entity after the business combination - The acquirer is usually the combining entity whose owners as a group retain or receive the largest portion of the voting rights in the combined entity.

Based on above mentioned para, acquirer shall be the either of the combining entities (i.e. Veera Limited or Zeera Limited) whose owners as a Group retain or receive the largest portion of the voting rights in the combined entity.

Hence in the above scenario Veera Limited shareholder gets 67% Share [(100/150) x100] and Zeera Limited shareholder gets 33.33% share in Meera Limited. Hence Veera Limited is acquirer as per the principles of Ind AS 103.

#### Question 20 Illustration

ABC Ltd. incorporated a company Super Ltd. to acquire 100% shares of another entity Focus Ltd. (and therefore to obtain control of the Focus Ltd.). To fund the purchase, Super Ltd. acquired a loan from XYZ Bank at commercial interest rates. The loan funds are used by Super Ltd. to acquire entire voting shares of Focus Ltd. at fair value in an orderly transaction. Post the acquisition, Super Ltd. has the ability to elect or appoint or to remove a majority of the members of the governing body of the Focus Ltd. and also Super Ltd.'s management is in a power where it will be able to dominate the management of the Focus Ltd. Can Super Ltd. be identified as the acquirer in this business combination?

#### Answer 20

Paragraph 6 of Ind AS 103 states that for each business combination, one of the combining entities shall be identified as the acquirer.

While paragraph 7 states that the guidance in Ind AS 110 shall be used to identify the acquirer that is the entity that obtains control of another entity called the acquiree. If a business combination has occurred but applying the guidance in Ind AS 110 does not clearly indicate which of the combining entities is the acquirer, the factors in paragraphs B14–B18 of Ind AS 103 shall be considered in making that determination.

Further, paragraph B15 provides that, in a business combination effected primarily by exchanging equity interests, the acquirer is usually the entity that issues its equity interests. However, in some business combinations, commonly called 'reverse acquisitions', the issuing entity is the acquiree. Other pertinent facts and circumstances shall also be considered in identifying the acquirer in a business combination effected by exchanging equity interests, including:

# $_{ m age}114^{\circ}$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

- (a) The relative voting rights in the combined entity after the business combination: The acquirer is usually the combining entity whose owners as a group retain or receive the largest portion of the voting rights in the combined entity. In determining which group of owners retains or receives the largest portion of the voting rights, an entity shall consider the existence of any unusual or special voting arrangements and options, warrants or convertible securities.
- (b) The existence of a large minority voting interest in the combined entity if no other owner or organised group of owners has a significant voting interest: The acquirer is usually the combining entity whose single owner or organised group of owners holds the largest minority voting interest in the combined entity.
- (c) The composition of the governing body of the combined entity: The acquirer is usually the combining entity whose owners have the ability to elect or appoint or to remove a majority of the members of the governing body of the combined entity.
- (d) The composition of the senior management of the combined entity: The acquirer is usually the combining entity whose (former) management dominates the management of the combined entity.
- (e) The terms of the exchange of equity interests: The acquirer is usually the combining entity that pays a premium over the pre-combination fair value of the equity interests of the other combining entity or entities.
  - The key drivers of the accounting are identifying the party on whose behalf the new entity has been formed and identifying the business acquired. In this scenario, as Super Ltd. has the ability to elect or appoint or to remove a majority of the members of the governing body of the Focus Ltd. and has the ability to dominate the management of the Focus Ltd. Accordingly, Super Ltd. will be identified as the acquirer unless there are conditions to conclude to the contrary.

#### Question 21 Illustration

Can an acquiring entity account for a business combination based on a signed non-binding letter of intent where the exchange of consideration and other conditions are expected to be completed with 2 months?

#### Answer 21

No. as per the requirement of the standard a non- binding Letter of Intent (LOI) does not effectively transfer control and hence this cannot be considered as the basis for determining the acquisition date

#### Question 22 Illustration

On 1<sup>st</sup> April, X Ltd. agrees to acquire the share of B Ltd. in an all equity deal. As per the binding agreement X Ltd. will get the effective control on 1<sup>st</sup> April. However, the consideration will be paid only when the shareholders' approval is received. The shareholder's meeting is scheduled to happen on 30<sup>th</sup> April. If the shareholders' approval is not received for issue of new shares, then the consideration will be settled in cash. What is the acquisition date?

#### **Answer 22**

The acquisition date in the above case is 1<sup>st</sup> April. This is because, in the above scenario, even if the shareholders don't approve the shares, consideration will be settled through payment of cash

#### **Question 23 Illustration**

ABC Ltd. acquired all the shares of XYZ Ltd. The negotiations had commenced on 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X1 and the agreement was finalised on 1<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1.

While ABC Ltd. obtains the power to control XYZ Ltd.'s operations on 1<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1, the agreement states that the acquisition is effective from 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X1 and that ABC Ltd. is entitled to all profits after that date. In addition, the purchase price is based on XYZ Ltd.'s net asset position as at 1<sup>st</sup> January, 20X1. What is the date of acquisition?

#### **Answer 23**

Paragraph 8 of Ind AS 103 provides that acquisition date is the date on which the acquirer obtains control of the acquiree.

Further paragraphs 6 and 7 of Ind AS 110, Consolidated Financial Statements, inter alia, state that an investor controls an investee when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. Thus, an investor controls an investee if and only if the investor has all the following:

- (a) power over the investee;
- (b) exposure, or rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee; and
- (c) the ability to use its power over the investee to affect the amount of the investor's returns.

Further, paragraph 9 of Ind AS 103 clarifies that the date on which the acquirer obtains control of the acquiree is generally the date on which the acquirer legally transfers the consideration, acquires the assets and assumes the liabilities of the acquiree—the closing date. However, the acquirer might obtain control on a date that is either earlier or later than the closing date.

Therefore, in this case, notwithstanding that the price is based on the net assets as at 1st January, 20X1 and that XYZ Ltd.'s shareholders do not receive any dividends after that date, the date of acquisition for accounting purposes will be 1st March, 20X1. It is only on 1st March, 20X1 and not 1st January, 20X1, that ABC Ltd. has the power to direct the relevant activities of XYZ Ltd. so as to affect its returns from its involvement with XYZ Ltd. Accordingly, the date of acquisition is 1st March, 20X1.

#### Question 24 Illustration

ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. are manufacturers of rubber components for a particular type of equipment. ABC Ltd. makes a bid for XYZ Ltd.'s business and the Competition Commission of India (CCI) announces that the proposed transaction is to be scrutinised to ensure that competition laws are not breached. Even though the contracts are made subject to the approval of the CCI, ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. mutually agree the terms of the acquisition and the purchase price before competition authority clearance is obtained. Can the acquisition date in this situation be the date on which ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. agree the terms even though the approval of CCI is awaited (Assume that the approval of CCI is substantive)?

#### Answer 24

Paragraph 8 of Ind AS 103 provides that acquisition date is the date on which the acquirer obtains control of the acquiree.

Further, paragraph 9 of Ind AS 103 clarifies that the date on which the acquirer obtains control of the acquiree is generally the date on which the acquirer legally transfers the consideration, acquires the assets and assumes the liabilities of the acquiree—the closing date. However, the acquirer might obtain control on a date that is either earlier or later than the closing date.

For example, the acquisition date precedes the closing date if a written agreement provides that the acquirer obtains control of the acquiree on a date before the closing

date. An acquirer shall consider all pertinent facts and circumstances in identifying the acquisition date.

Since CCI approval is a substantive approval for ABC Ltd. to acquire control of XYZ Ltd.'s operations, the date of acquisition cannot be earlier than the date on which approval is obtained from CCI. This is pertinent given that the approval from CCI is considered to be a substantive process and accordingly, the acquisition is considered to be completed only on receipt of such approval

#### **Question 25 Illustration**

On 1st April, 20X1, PQR Ltd. acquired 30% of the voting ordinary shares of XYZ Ltd. for ₹ 8,000 crore. PQR Ltd. accounts its investment in XYZ Ltd. using equity method as prescribed under Ind AS 28. At 31st March, 20X2, PQR Ltd. recognised its share of the net asset changes of XYZ Ltd. using equity accounting as follows:

	(₹ in crore)
Share of profit or loss	700
Share of exchange difference in OCI	100
Share of revaluation reserve of PPE in OCI	50

The carrying amount of the investment in the associate on 31st March, 20X2 was therefore

₹ 8,850 crore (8,000 + 700 + 100 + 50).

On 1st April, 20X2, PQR Ltd. acquired the remaining 70% of XYZ Ltd. for cash ₹ 25,000 crore. The following additional information is relevant at that date:

	(₹ in crore)
Fair value of the 30% interest already owned	9,000
Fair value of XYZ's identifiable net assets	30,000

#### How should such business combination be accounted for? Answer 25

Paragraph 42 of Ind AS 103 provides that in a business combination achieved in stages, the acquirer shall remeasure its previously held equity interest in the acquiree at its acquisition-date fair value and recognise the resulting gain or loss, if any, in statement of profit and loss or other comprehensive income, as appropriate. In prior reporting periods, the acquirer may have recognized changes in the value of its equity interest in the acquiree in other comprehensive income. If so, the amount that was recognised in other comprehensive income shall be recognised on the same basis as would be required if the acquirer had disposed directly of the previously held equity interest.

Applying the above, PQR Ltd. records the following entry in its consolidated financial statements:

		(₹in crore)	
		Debit	Credit
Identifiable net assets of XYZ Ltd.	Dr.	30,000	
Goodwill (W.N.1)	Dr.	4,000	
Foreign currency translation reserve	Dr.	100	
PPE revaluation reserve	Dr.	50	
To Cash			25,000
To Investment in associate -XYZ			8,850
Ltd. To Retained earnings			50

(W.N.2)	250
To Gain on previously held interest in XYZ	
recognised in Profit or loss (W.N.3)	
(To recognise acquisition of XYZ Ltd.)	

#### **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Calculation of Goodwill

	₹in crore
Cash consideration	25,000
Add: Fair value of previously held equity interest in XYZ Ltd.	9,000
Total consideration	34,000
Less: Fair value of identifiable net assets acquired	(30,000)
Goodwill	4,000

2. The credit to retained earnings represents the reversal of the unrealized gain of ₹ 50 crore in Other Comprehensive Income related to the revaluation of property, plant and equipment. In accordance with Ind AS 16, this amount is not reclassified to profit or loss.

3. The gain on the previously held equity interest in XYZ Ltd. is calculated as follows: ₹

	₹in
	crore
Fair Value of 30% interest in XYZ Ltd. at 1 <sup>st</sup> April, 20X2	9,000
Carrying amount of interest in XYZ Ltd. at 1 <sup>st</sup> April, 20X2	(8,850)
	150
Unrealised gain previously recognised in OCI	100
Gain on previously held interest in XYZ Ltd. recognised in profit or loss	250

#### **Question 26 Illustration**

Sita Ltd and Beta Ltd decides to combine together for forming a Dual Listed Corporation (DLC). As per their shareholder's agreement, both the parties will retain original listing and Board of DLC will be comprised of 10 members out of which 6 members will be of Sita Ltd and remaining 4 board members will be of Beta Ltd.

The fair value of Sita Ltd is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}}$  100 crores and fair value of Beta Ltd is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}}}$  80 crores. The fair value of net identifiable assets of Beta Limited is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$\sim}}}}$  70 crores. Assume non-controlling Interest (NCI) to be measured at fair value.

You are required to determine the goodwill to be recognised on acquisition.

#### Answer 26

Sita Ltd has more Board members and thereby have majority control in DLC. Therefore, Sita Ltd is identified as acquirer and Beta Ltd as acquiree.

Since no consideration has been transferred, the goodwill needs to be calculated as the difference of Part A and Part B:

#### Part A:

- 1) Consideration paid by Acquirer.- Nil
- 2) Controlling Interest in Acquiree- ₹80 crores
- 3) Acquirer's previously held interest Nil

1148

Part B:

Fair value of net identifiable asset – ₹70 crores

Goodwill is recognised as ₹10 crores (80 – 70 crores) in business combination achieved through contract alone when NCI is measured at fair value.

#### **Question 27 Illustration**

Should stamp duty paid on acquisition of land pursuant to a business combination be capitalised to the cost of the asset or should it be treated as an acquisition related cost and accordingly be expensed off?

#### Answer 27

As per Ind AS 103, the acquisition-related costs incurred by an acquirer to effect a business combination are not part of the consideration transferred.

Paragraph 53 of Ind AS 103 states that, acquisition-related costs are costs the acquirer incurs to effect a business combination. Those costs include finder's fees; advisory, legal, accounting, valuation and other professional or consulting fees; general administrative costs, including the costs of maintaining an internal acquisitions department; and costs of registering and issuing debt and equity securities. The acquirer shall account for acquisition related costs as expenses in the periods in which the costs are incurred and the services are received, with one exception.

**Note:** The costs to issue debt or equity securities shall be recognised in accordance with Ind AS 32 and Ind AS 109.

The stamp duty payable for transfer of assets in connection with the business combination is an acquisition-related cost as described under paragraph 53 of Ind AS 103. Stamp duty is a cost incurred by the acquirer in order to effect the business combination and it is not part of the fair value exchange between the buyer and seller for the business. In such cases, the stamp duty is incurred to acquire the ownership rights in land in order to complete the process of transfer of assets as part of the overall business combination transaction but it does not represent consideration paid to gain control over business from the sellers. It may be noted that the accounting treatment of stamp duty incurred for separate acquisition of an item of property, plant and equipment (i.e. not as part of business combination) differs under Ind AS 16, Property, Plant and Equipment. Unlike Ind AS 16, the acquisition accounting as per Ind AS 103 requires assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination to be measured at fair value. While incurred in connection with a business combination, stamp duty does not increase the future economic benefits from the net assets comprising the business (which would be recognised at fair

value) and hence cannot be capitalised. The examples of costs given in paragraph 53 is only an inclusive list; they are only indicative and do not preclude any other cost to be considered as acquisition-related cost. In the given case, the transfer of land and the related stamp duty is required to be accounted as part of the business combination transaction as per requirements of Ind AS 103 and not as a separate transaction under Ind AS.

Accordingly, stamp duty incurred in relation to land acquired as part of a business combination transaction are required to be recognised as an expense in the period in which the acquisition is completed and given effect to in the financial statements of the acquirer.

#### Question 28 Illustration

ABC Ltd. acquires PQR Ltd. on 30<sup>th</sup> June, 20X1. The assets acquired from PQR Ltd. include an intangible asset that comprises wireless spectrum license. For this intangible asset, ABC Ltd. is required to make an additional one-time payment to the regulator in PQR's jurisdiction in order for the rights to be transferred for its use. Whether such additional payment to the regulator is an acquisition-related cost?

#### Answer 28

As per Ind AS 103, the acquisition-related costs incurred by an acquirer to effect a business combination are not part of the consideration transferred.

Paragraph 53 of Ind AS 103 states that, acquisition-related costs are costs the acquirer incurs to effect a business combination. Those costs include finder's fees; advisory, legal, accounting, valuation and other professional or consulting fees; general administrative costs, including the costs of maintaining an internal acquisitions department; and costs of registering and issuing debt and equity securities. The acquirer shall account for acquisition-related costs as expenses in the periods in which the costs are incurred and the services are received, with one exception. The costs to issue debt or equity securities shall be recognised in accordance with Ind AS 32 and Ind AS 109.

The payment to the regulator represents a transaction cost and will be regarded as acquisition related cost incurred to effect the business combination. Applying the requirements of para 53 of Ind AS 103, it should be expensed as it is incurred. Transfer of rights in the instant case cannot be construed to be separate from the business combination because the transfer of the rights to ABC Ltd. is an integral part of the business combination itself.

It may be noted that had the right been acquired separately (i.e. not as part of business combination), the transaction cost is required to be capitalised as part of the intangible asset as per the requirements of Ind AS 38, Intangible Assets.

#### **Question 29 Illustration**

ABC Ltd. acquired a beverage company PQR Ltd. from XYZ Ltd. At the time of the acquisition, PQR Ltd. is the defendant in a court case whereby certain customers of PQR Ltd. have alleged that its products contain pesticides in excess of the permissible levels that have caused them health damage.

PQR Ltd. is being sued for damages of  $\overline{\xi}$  2 crore. XYZ Ltd. has indemnified ABC Ltd. for the losses, if any, due to the case for amount up to  $\overline{\xi}$  1 crore. The fair value of the contingent liability for the court case is  $\overline{\xi}$  70 lakh.

How should ABC Ltd. account for the contingent liability and the indemnification asset? What if the fair value of the liability is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  1.2 crore instead of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  70 lakh.

#### **Answer 29**

In the current scenario, ABC Ltd. measures the identifiable liability of entity PQR Ltd. at₹70 lakh and also recognises a corresponding indemnification asset of ₹ 70 lakhs on its consolidated balance sheet. The net impact on goodwill from the recognition of the contingent liability and associated indemnification asset is nil.

However, in the case where the liability's fair value is more than  $\mathbf{1}$  crore ie.  $\mathbf{1.2}$  crore, the indemnification asset will be limited to  $\mathbf{1}$  crore only.

#### Question 30 Illustration

ABC Ltd. pays ₹ 50 crore to acquire PQR Ltd. from XYZ Ltd. PQR Ltd. manufactured products containing fiber glass and has been named in 10 class actions concerning the effects of these fiber glass. XYZ Ltd. agrees to indemnify

ABC Ltd. for the adverse results of any court cases up to an amount of  $\overline{10}$  crore. The class actions have not specified amounts of damages and past experience suggests that claims may be up to  $\overline{1}$  crore each, but that they are often settled for small amounts.

ABC Ltd. makes an assessment of the court cases and decides that due to the potential variance in outcomes, the contingent liability cannot be measured reliably and accordingly no amount is recognised in respect of the court cases. How should indemnification asset be accounted for?

#### Answer 30

Since no liability is recognised in the given case, ABC Ltd. will also not recognise an indemnification asset as part of the business combination accounting.

#### Question 31 Illustration

Company A, FMCG company acquires an online e-commerce company E, with the intention to start its retail business. The e-commerce company has over the period have 10 million registered users. However, the e-commerce company E does not have any intention to sale the customer list. Should this customer list be recorded as an intangible in a business combination?

#### Answer 31

In this situation the customer database does not give rise to legal or contractual right. Accordingly, the assessment of its separability will be assessed. The database can be useful to other players and Company E has the ability to transfer this to them. Accordingly, the intention not to transfer will not affect the assessment whether to record this as an intangible or not. Hence customer list should be recorded as an intangible in a business combination.

#### Question 32 Illustration

ABC Ltd. a pharmaceutical group acquires XYZ Ltd. another pharmaceutical business. XYZ Ltd. has incurred significant research costs in connection with two new drugs that have been undergoing clinical trials. Out of the two drugs, one drug has not been granted necessary regulatory approvals. However, ABC Ltd. expects that approval will be given within two years. The other drug has recently received regulatory approval. The drugs' revenue-earning potential was one of the principal reasons why entity ABC Ltd. decided to acquire entity XYZ Ltd. Whether the research and development on either of the drugs be recognised as an intangible asset in the books of ABC Ltd.?

#### Answer 32

Ind AS 38, Intangible Assets provides explicit guidance on recognition of acquired in-process research and development.

Paragraph 21 of Ind AS 38 provides guidance regarding general recognition conditions which require it to be probable that expected future economic benefits will flow to the entity before an intangible asset can be recognised and for the cost to be measured reliably.

As per paragraph 33 of Ind AS 38, both of the standard's general recognition criteria, i.e. probability of benefits and reliable measurement, are always considered to be satisfied for intangible assets acquired in a business combination.

The fair value of an intangible asset reflects expectations about the probability of these benefits, despite uncertainty about the timing or the amount of the inflow. There will be sufficient information to measure the fair value of the asset reliably if it is separable or arises from contractual or other legal rights. If there is a range of possible outcomes with different probabilities, this uncertainty is taken into account

in the measurement of the asset's fair value.

Paragraph 34 of Ind AS 38, provides that in accordance with this Standard and Ind AS 103, an acquirer recognises at the acquisition date, separately from goodwill, an intangible asset of the acquiree, irrespective of whether the asset had been recognised by the acquiree before the business combination.

This means that the acquirer recognises as an asset separately from goodwill an in-process research and development project of the acquiree if the project meets the definition of an intangible asset. An acquiree's in-process research and development project meets the definition of an intangible asset when it:

- (a) meets the definition of an asset; and\
- (b) is identifiable, i.e. is separable or arises from contractual or other legal rights. In accordance with above,
  - (i) The fair value of the first drug reflects the probability and the timing of the regulatory approval being obtained. As per the standard, the recognition criterion of probable future economic benefits is considered to be satisfied in respect of the asset acquired accordingly an asset is recognised. Subsequent expenditure on an in-process research or development project acquired separately is to be dealt with in accordance with paragraph 43 of Ind AS 38.
  - (ii) The rights to the second drug also meet the recognition criteria in Ind AS 8 and are recognised. The approval means it is probable that future economic benefits will flow to ABC Ltd. This will be reflected in the fair value assigned to the intangible asset.

Thus, recognising in-process research and development as an asset on acquisition applies different criteria to those that are required for internal projects. The research costs of internal R&D projects may under no circumstances be capitalised as an intangible asset. It may be pertinent to note that entities will be required to recognise on acquisition some research and development expenditure that they would not have been able to recognise if it had been an internal project. Although the amount attributed to the project is accounted for as an asset, Ind AS 38 requires that any subsequent expenditure incurred after the acquisition of the project is to be accounted for in accordance with paragraphs 54 to 62 of Ind AS 38.

#### Question 33 Illustration

Vadapav Ltd. is a successful company has number of own stores across India and also offers franchisee to other companies. Efficient Ltd. is one of the franchisee of Vadapav Ltd. and is and operates number of store in south India. Vadapav Ltd. decided to acquire Efficient Ltd due to its huge distribution network and accordingly purchased the outstanding shares on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X2. On the acquisition date, Vadapav Ltd. determines that the license agreement reflects current market terms.

#### Answer 33

Vadapav will record the franchisee right as an intangible asset (reacquired right) while doing purchase price allocation and since it is at market terms no gain or loss will be recorded on settlement.

#### **Question 34 Illustration**

ABC Ltd. acquires PQR Ltd. for a consideration of ₹ 1 crore. Four years ago, ABC Ltd. had granted a ten-year license allowing PQR Ltd. to operate in Europe. The cost of the license was ₹2,50,000. The contract allows either party to terminate the franchise at a cost of the unexpired initial fee plus 20%. At

the date of acquisition, the settlement amount is  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  1,80,000 [( $\stackrel{?}{=}$  2,50,000 x 6/10) + 20%].

ABC Ltd. has acquired PQR Ltd., because it sees high potential in the European market and wishes to exploit it. ABC Ltd. calculates that under current economic conditions and at current prices it could grant a six-year franchise for a price of ₹ 4,50,000.

How is the license accounted for as part of the business combination?

Answer 34

Paragraph B51 of Ind AS 103 provides that "the acquirer and acquiree may have a relationship that existed before they contemplated the business combination, referred to here as a 'pre-existing relationship'. A pre-existing relationship between the acquirer and acquiree may be contractual (for example, vendor and customer or licensor and licensee) or non-contractual (for example, plaintiff and defendant)."

Further, paragraph B52 of Ind AS 103 provides that "if the business combination in effect settles a pre-existing relationship, the acquirer recognises a gain or loss, measured as follows:

- (a) for a pre-existing non-contractual relationship (such as a lawsuit), fair value.
- (b) for a pre-existing contractual relationship, the lesser of (i) and (ii):
  - (i) the amount by which the contract is favourable or unfavourable from the perspective of the acquirer when compared with terms for current market transactions for the same or similar items. (An unfavourable contract is a contract that is unfavourable in terms of current market terms. It is not necessarily an onerous contract in which the unavoidable costs of meeting the obligations under the contract exceed the economic benefits expected to be received under it.)
  - (ii) the amount of any stated settlement provisions in the contract available to the counterparty to whom the contract is unfavourable.
    - If (ii) is less than (i), the difference is included as part of the business combination accounting.
    - The amount of gain or loss recognised may depend in part on whether the acquirer had previously recognised a related asset or liability, and the reported gain or loss therefore may differ from the amount calculated by applying the above requirements." Based on the above in the instant case, the license is recognised at ₹4,50,000, the fair value at market rates of a license based on the remaining contractual life. The gain or loss on settlement of the contract is the lower of:
- ₹3,00,000, which is the amount by which the right is unfavorable to ABC Ltd. compared to market terms. This is the difference between the amount that ABC Ltd. could receive for granting a similar right, ₹4,50,000, compared to the carrying value (or the unamortised value) that it was granted for, ₹1,50,000 (2,50,000 X 6/10).
- ₹1,80,000, which is the amount that ABC Ltd. would have to pay to terminate the right at the date of acquisition.
  - The loss on settlement of the contract is  $\gtrless 1,80,000$ . Therefore, out of the  $\gtrless 1$  crore paid,  $\gtrless 98.2$  lakh is accounted for as consideration for the business combination and  $\gtrless 1,80,000$  is accounted for separately as a settlement loss on the re-acquired right.

#### **Question 35 Illustration**

On  $1^{st}$  January, 20X1, A Ltd. acquires 80 per cent of the equity interests of B Ltd. in exchange for cash of  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  15 crore. The former owners of B Ltd. were required to dispose off their investments in B Ltd. by a specified date, and accordingly they did not have sufficient time to find potential buyers. A

qualified valuation professional hired by the management of A Ltd. measures the identifiable net assets acquired, in accordance with the requirements of Ind AS 103, at  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  20 crore and the fair value of the 20 per cent non-controlling interest in B Ltd. at  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  4.2 crore. How should A Ltd. recognise the above bargain purchase?

#### Answer 35

The amount of B Ltd.'s identifiable net assets i.e., ₹20 crore exceeds the fair value of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of the non-controlling interest in B Ltd. i.e.

₹19.2 crore. Therefore, A Ltd. should review the procedures it used to identify and measure the net assets acquired and the fair value of non-controlling interest in B Ltd. and the consideration transferred. After the review, A Ltd. decides that the procedures and resulting measures were appropriate. A Ltd. measures the gain on its purchase of the 80 per cent interest at ₹80 lakh, as the difference between the amount of the identifiable net assets which is ₹20 crore and the sum of purchase consideration and fair value of non-controlling interest, which is ₹19.2 crore (cash consideration of ₹15 crore and fair value of non-controlling interest of ₹4.2 crore).

Assuming there exists clear evidence of the underlying reasons for classifying the business combination as a bargain purchase, the gain on bargain purchase of 80 per cent interest calculated at₹80 lakh, which will be recognised in other comprehensive income on the acquisition date and accumulated the same in equity as capital reserve.

If the acquirer chose to measure the non-controlling interest in B Ltd. on the basis of its proportionate share of identifiable net assets of the acquiree, the recognised amount of the non- controlling interest would be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}4$  crore ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}20$  crore × 0.20). The gain on the bargain purchase then would be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}1$  crore ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}}20$  crore – ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}}15$  crore +  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}}4$  crore)).

#### Question 36 Illustration

Entity X acquired 100% shareholding of Entity Y on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 and had complete the preliminary purchase price allocation and accordingly recorded net assets of ₹ 100 million against the purchase consideration of 150 million. Entity Y had significant carry forward losses on which deferred tax asset was not recorded due to lack of convincing evidence on the acquisition date. However, on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2, Entity Y won a significant contract which is expected to generate enough taxable income to recoup the losses. Accordingly, the deferred tax asset was recorded on the carry forward losses on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2. Whether the aforesaid losses can be adjusted with the Goodwill recorded based on the preliminary purchase price allocation?

#### Answer 36

No, as per the requirement of Ind AS 103, changes to the net assets are allowed which results from the discovery of a fact which existed on the acquisition date. However, change of facts resulting in recognition and de-recognition of assets and liabilities after the acquisition date will be accounted in accordance with other Ind AS. In the above scenario deferred tax asset was not eligible for recognition on the acquisition date and accordingly the new contract on 31st March, 20X2 will tantamount to change of estimate and accordingly will not impact the Goodwill amount.

#### Question 37 Illustration

ABC Ltd. acquires XYZ Ltd. in a business combination on 15<sup>th</sup> January, 20X1. Few days before the date of acquisition, one of XYZ Ltd.'s customers had claimed that certain amounts were due by XYZ Ltd. under penalty clauses for completion delays included in the contract.

ABC Ltd. evaluates the dispute based on the information available at the date of acquisition and concludes that XYZ Ltd. was responsible for at least some of the delays in completing the contract. Based on the evaluation, ABC Ltd. recognises ₹ 1 crore towards this liability which is its best estimate of the fair value of the liability to the customer based on the information available at the date of acquisition.

In October, 20X1 (within the measurement period), the customer presents additional information as per which ABC Ltd. concludes the fair value of liability on the date of acquisition to be  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  2 crore.

ABC Ltd. continues to receive and evaluate information related to the claim after October, 20X1. Its evaluation doesn't change till February, 20X2 (i.e. after the measurement period), when it concludes that the fair value of the liability for the claim at the date of acquisition is  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  1.9 crore. ABC Ltd. determines that the amount that would be recognised with respect to the claim under Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets as at February, 20X2 is  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  2.2 crore.

How should the adjustment to the provisional amounts be made in the financial statements during and after the measurement period?

#### Answer 37

The consolidated financial statements of ABC Ltd. for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1 should include ₹1 crore towards the contingent liability in relation to the customer claim.

When the customer presents additional information in support of its claim, the incremental liability of  $\[Tilde{?}\]$  crore ( $\[Tilde{?}\]$  crore) will be adjusted as a part of acquisition accounting as it is within the measurement period. In its financial statements for the year ending on  $31^{\text{St}}$  March, 20X2, ABC Ltd. will disclose the amounts and explanations of the adjustments to the provisional values recognized during the current reporting period. Therefore, it will disclose that the comparative information for the year ending on  $31^{\text{St}}$  March, 20X1 is adjusted retrospectively to increase the fair value of the item of liability at the acquisition date by  $\[Tilde{?}\]$  1 crore, resulting in a corresponding increase in goodwill.

The information resulting in the decrease in the estimated fair value of the liability for the claim in February, 20X2 was obtained after the measurement period. Accordingly, the decrease is not recognised as an adjustment to the acquisition accounting.

If the amount

determined in accordance with Ind AS 37 subsequently exceeds the previous estimate of the fair value of the liability, then ABC Ltd. recognises an increase in the liability. As the change has occurred after the end of the measurement period, the increase in the liability amounting to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  20 lakh ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$ 2.2 crore –  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}}$ 2 crore) is recognised in profit or loss.

#### Question 38 Illustration

Progressive Ltd is being sued by Regressive Ltd for an infringement of its Patent. At 31st March, 20X2, Progressive Ltd recognised a  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  10 million liability related to this litigation.

On 30th July, 20X2, Progressive Ltd acquired the entire equity of Regressive Ltd for  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  500 million. On that date, the estimated fair value of the expected settlement of the litigation is  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  20 million.

#### Answer 38

In the above scenario the litigation is in substance settled with the business combination transaction and accordingly the ₹ 20 million being the fair value of the litigation liability will be considered as paid for settling the litigation claim and will be not included in the business combination. Accordingly, the purchase price will reduce by 20 million and the difference between 20 and 10 will be recorded in income statement of the Progressive limited as loss on settlement of the litigation.

#### Question 39 Illustration

KKV Ltd acquires a 100% interest in VIVA Ltd, a company owned by a single shareholder who is also the KMP in the Company, for a cash payment of USD 20 million and a contingent payment of USD 2 million. The terms of the agreement provide for payment 2 years after the acquisition if the following conditions are met:

- the EBIDTA margins of the Company after 2 years post acquisition is 21%.
- the former shareholder continues to be employed with VIVA Ltd for at least 2 years after the acquisition. No part of the contingent payment will be paid if the former shareholder does not complete the 2 year employment period.

#### **Answer 39**

In the above scenario the former shareholder is required to continue in employment and the contingent consideration will be forfeited if the employment is terminated or if he resigns. Accordingly, only USD 20 million is considered as purchase consideration and the contingent consideration is accounted as employee cost and will be accounted as per the other Ind AS.

#### **Question 40 Illustration**

ABC Ltd. acquires all of the outstanding shares of XYZ Ltd. in a business combination. XYZ Ltd. had three shareholders with equal shareholdings, two of whom were also senior-level employees of XYZ Ltd. and would continue as employee post acquisition of shares by ABC Ltd.

- The employee shareholders each will receive ₹ 60,00,000 plus an additional payment of
  - ₹ 1,50,00,000 to 2,00,00,000 based on a multiple of earnings over the next two years.
- The non-employee shareholders each receive ₹ 1,00,00,000.

The additional payment of each of these employee shareholders will be forfeited if they leave the employment of XYZ Ltd. at any time during the two years following its acquisition by ABC Ltd. The salary received by them is considered reasonable remuneration for their services.

How much amount is attributable to post combination services?

#### **Answer 40**

Paragraph B55(a) of Ind AS 103 provides an indication that a contingent consideration arrangement in which the payments are automatically forfeited if employment terminates is remuneration for post-combination services.

Arrangements in which the contingent payments are not affected by employment termination may indicate that the contingent payments are additional consideration rather than remuneration.

# $_{ m age}1157$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

In accordance with the above, in the instant case, the additional consideration of₹ 1,50,00,000 to

₹ 2,00,00,000 represents compensation for post-combination services, as the same represents that part of the payment which is forfeited if the former shareholder does not remain in the employment of XYZ Ltd. for two years following the acquisition - i.e., only ₹ 60,00,000 is attributed to consideration in exchange for the acquired business.

#### Question 41 Illustration

Green Ltd acquired Pollution Ltd. as a part of the arrangement Green Ltd had to replace the Pollution Ltd.'s existing equity-settled award. The original awards specify a vesting period of five years. At the acquisition date, Pollution Ltd employees have already rendered two years of service.

As required, Green Ltd replaced the original awards with its own share-based payment awards (replacement award). Under the replacement awards, the vesting period is reduced to 2 year (from the acquisition date).

The value (market-based measure) of the awards at the acquisition date are as follows:

• original awards: ₹ 500

• replacement awards: ₹ 600

As of the acquisition date, all awards are expected to vest.

#### Answer 41

#### Pre-combination period

The value of the replacement awards will have to be allocated between the precombination and post combination period. As of the acquisition date, the fair value of the original award (₹500) will be multiplied by the service rendered upto acquisition date (2 years) divided by greater of original vesting period (5 years) or new vesting period (4 years). Accordingly,  $500 \times 2/5 = 200$  will be considered as precombination service and will be included in the purchase consideration.

#### Post- Combination period

The fair value of the award on the acquisition date is 600 which means the difference between the replacement award whichis 600 and the amount allocated to precombination period (200) is 400 which will be now recorded over the remaining vesting period which is 2 years as an employee compensation cost.

#### **Question 42 Illustration**

P a real estate company acquires Q another construction company which has an existing equity settled share based payment scheme. The awards vest after 5 years of employee service. At the acquisition date, Company Q's employees have rendered 2 years of service. None of the awards are vested at the acquisition date. P did not replace the existing share-based payment scheme but reduced the remaining vesting period from 3 years to 2 year. Company P determines that the market-based measure of the award at the acquisition date is ₹ 500 (based on measurement principles and conditions at the acquisition date as per Ind AS 102).

#### **Answer 42**

The market based measure or the fair value of the award on the acquisition date of 500 is allocated NCI and post combination employee compensation expense. The portion allocable to pre-combination period is  $500 \times 2/5 = 200$  which will be included in pre-combination period and is allocated to NCI on the acquisition date. The amount is computed based on original vesting period.

The remaining expense which is 500-200= 300 is accounted over the remaining vesting

period of 2 years as compensation expenses.

#### **Question 43 Illustration**

Classic Ltd. acquires 60% of the ordinary shares of Natural Ltd. a private entity, for  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  97.5 crore. The fair value of its identifiable net assets is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  150 crore. The fair value of the 40% of the ordinary shares owned by non-controlling shareholders is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  65 crore. Carrying amount of Natural Ltd.'s net assets is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  120 crore.

How will the non-controlling interest be measured?

#### **Answer 43**

Paragraph 19 of Ind AS 103 states that for each business combination, the acquirer shall measure at the acquisition date components of non-controlling interest in the acquiree that are present ownership interests and entitle their holders to a proportionate share of the entity's net assets in the event of liquidation at either:

- (a) fair value; or
- (b) the present ownership instruments' proportionate share in the recognised amounts of the acquiree's identifiable net assets.

All other components of non-controlling interests shall be measured at their acquisition-date fair values, unless another measurement basis is required by Ind AS.

In accordance with above, non-controlling interests will be measured in either of the following manner:

- (a) Non-controlling interests are measured at fair value Under this method, goodwill represents the difference between the fair value of Natural Ltd. and the fair value of its identifiable net assets.
  - Thus, Classic Ltd. will recognise the business combination as follows:

#### (₹in crores)

Identifiable net assets at fair value	Dr	150	
Goodwill*	Dr	12.5	
To Non-controlling interest			65
To Investment in Natural Ltd.			97.5

\*Note: Goodwill is calculated as 97.5+65-150 = 12.5 or 162.5-150 = 12.5

(b) Non-controlling interests are measured at proportionate share of identifiable net assets Under this method, goodwill represents the difference between the total of the consideration transferred less the fair value of the acquirer's share of net assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The non-controlling interests that are present ownership interests and entitle their holders to a proportionate share of the Natural Ltd 's net assets in the event of liquidation (i.e. the ordinary shares) are measured at the non-controlling interest's proportionate share of the identifiable net assets of Natural Ltd.

Thus, Classic will recognise the business combination as follows:


#### (₹in Crores)

			,
Identifiable net assets at fair value	Dr	150	
Goodwill*	Dr	7.5	
To Non-controlling interest (40% x			60
150) Cr			
To Investment in Natural Ltd. Cr			97.5

\*Note: Goodwill is calculated as 97.5+60-150 = 7.5 or  $97.5-(150 \times 60\%) = 7.5$ 

### **Question 44 Illustration**

Company X, the ultimate parent of a large number of subsidiaries, reorganises the retail segment of its business to consolidate all of its retail businesses in a single entity. Under the reorganisation, Company Z (a subsidiary and the biggest retail company in the group) acquires Company X's shareholdings in its one operating subsidiary, Company Y by issuing its own shares to Company X. After the transaction, Company X will directly control the operating and financial policies of Companies Y.



#### **Answer 44**


In this situation, Company Z pays consideration to Company X to obtain control of Company Y. The transaction meets the definition of a business combination. Prior to the reorganisation, each of the parties are controlled by Company X. After the reorganisation, although Company Y is now owned by Company Z, all two companies are still ultimately owned and controlled by Company X. From the perspective of Company X, there has been no change as a result of the reorganisation. This transaction therefore meets the definition of a common control combination and is within the scope of Ind AS 103.

#### **Question 45 Illustration**

ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. are owned by four shareholders B, C, D and E, each of whom holds 25% of the shares in each company. Shareholders B, C and D have entered into a shareholders' agreement in terms of governance of ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. due to which they exercise joint control.

Whether ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. are under common control?

#### **Answer 45**



Appendix C to Ind AS 103 defines common control business combination as a business combination involving entities or businesses in which all the combining entities or businesses are ultimately controlled by the same party or parties both before and after the business combination, and that control is not transitory. As per paragraphs 6 and 7 of Appendix C to Ind AS 103, an entity can be controlled by an individual, or by a group of individuals acting together under a contractual arrangement, and that individual or group of individuals may not be subject to the financial reporting requirements of Ind AS. Therefore, it is not necessary for combining entities to be included as part of the same consolidated financial statements for a business combination to be regarded as one having entities under common control. Also, a group of individuals are regarded as controlling an entity when, as a result of contractual arrangements, they collectively have the power to govern its financial and operating policies so as to obtain benefits from its activities, and that ultimate collective power is not transitory.

In the instant case, both ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. are jointly controlled by group of individuals (B, C and D) as a result of contractual arrangement. Therefore, in the current scenario, ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. are considered to be under common control.

#### **Question 46 Illustration**

ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. are owned by four shareholders B, C, D and E, each of whom holds 25% of the shares in each company. However, there are no agreements between any of the shareholders that they will exercise their voting power jointly.

## Whether ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. are under common control? Answer 46

Appendix C to Ind AS 103 defines 'Common control business combination' as business combination involving entities or businesses in which all the combining entities or businesses are ultimately controlled by the same party or parties both before and after the business combination, and that control is not transitory. Further as per paragraphs 6 and 7 of Appendix C to Ind AS 103, an entity can be controlled by an individual, or by a group of individuals acting together under a contractual arrangement, and that individual or group of individuals may not be subject to the financial reporting requirements of Ind AS. Therefore, it is not necessary for combining entities to be included as part of the same consolidated financial statements for a control. Also a group of individuals are regarded as

controlling an entity when, as a result of contractual arrangements, they collectively have the power to govern its financial and operating policies so as to obtain benefits from its activities, and that ultimate collective power is not transitory. In the present case, there is no contractual arrangement between the shareholders who exercise control collectively over either company. Thus, ABC Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. are not considered to be under common control even if there is an established pattern of voting together.


#### **Question 47 Illustration**

ABC Ltd. had a subsidiary, namely, X Ltd. which was acquired on 1st April, 2XX0. ABC Ltd. acquires all of the shares of Y Ltd. on 1st April, 2X17. ABC Ltd. transfers the shares in Y Ltd. to X Ltd. on 2nd April, 2X17. How should the above transfer of Y Ltd. into X Ltd. be accounted for in the consolidated financial statements of X Ltd.?


#### Before:

ABC Ltd. X Ltd.

#### **Intermediate**



#### After



#### **Answer 47**

Appendix C to Ind AS 103 defines common control business combination as business combination involving entities or businesses in which all the combining entities or businesses are ultimately controlled by the same party or parties both before and after the business combination, and that control is not transitory.

As per paragraph 7 of Appendix C to Ind AS 103, a group of individuals are regarded as controlling an entity when, as a result of contractual arrangements, they collectively have the power to govern its financial and operating policies so as to obtain benefits from its activities, and that ultimate collective power is not transitory. The term 'transitory' has been included as part of Appendix C to Ind AS 103. The word 'transitory' has been included in the common control definition to ensure that acquisition accounting applies to those transactions that look as though they are combinations involving entities under common control, but which in fact represent genuine substantive business combinations with unrelated parties. Based on above, if the intermediate step had been omitted and instead X Ltd. had been the ABC group's vehicle for the acquisition of Y Ltd. - i.e. going straight to the 'after' position - then X Ltd. would have been identified as the acquirer.

## $_{ m age}1162$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Considering X Ltd. and Y Ltd. are under common control (with common parent), it might seem that acquisition accounting is not required because of the specific requirement for common control business combination. However, X Ltd. should be identified as the acquirer and should account for its combination with Y Ltd. using acquisition accounting. This is because X Ltd. would have applied acquisition accounting for Y Ltd. if X Ltd. had acquired Y Ltd directly rather than through ABC Ltd. Acquisition accounting cannot be avoided in the financial statements of X Ltd. simply by placing X Ltd. and Y Ltd. under the common control ABC Ltd shortly before the transaction.

#### Question 48 Illustration

How will the financial statement of the prior periods be restated under common control in the following scenarios:

- a) Common Control period extends beyond the start of comparative period XYZ Ltd acquired PQR Ltd in a common control transaction on 1 October 20X9. The year-end of XYZ Ltd is 31 March. Both XYZ Ltd and PQR Ltd have been controlled by shareholders since their incorporation.
- b) Common Control period started in the comparative period ABC Ltd acquired DEF Ltd in a common control transaction on 1 October 20X9. The year end of ABC Ltd is 31 March. Both ABC Ltd and DEF Ltd are controlled by shareholder A. A made investment in ABC Ltd in 20X0 and made investment in DEF Ltd on 1 October 20X8.

#### Answer 48

Paragraph 9(iii) of Appendix C to Ind AS 103 states that the financial information in the financial statements in respect of prior periods should be restated as if the business combination had occurred from the beginning of the preceding period in the financial statements, irrespective of the actual date of the combination. However, if business combination had occurred after that date, the prior period information shall be restated only from that date.

- a) In accordance with Paragraph 9(iii) above, the entity will be required to restate its financial statements as if the business combination had occurred from the beginning of the preceding period in the financial statements, accordingly in the present case XYZ Ltd will have to restate its comparatives for the financial year 20X8-20X9 as if the acquisition had occurred before 1 April 20X8. Additionally, the results of current year of PQR Ltd will be required to include XYZ's financial statements for the period from 1 April 20X9 to 30 September 20X9.
- b) In accordance with paragraph 9(iii) above, ABC Ltd will have to restate its comparatives for the financial year ended 20X8-20X9 as if the acquisition had occurred on 1 October 20X8, but not earlier. Additionally, the results of current year of DEF Ltd will be required to include the financial statements of ABC Ltd for the period from 1 April 20X9 to 1 October 20X9.

#### Question 49 Illustration

Entity A owns 100% equity shares of entity B since 01.04.20X1. Entity A arranges loan funding from a financial institution in a new wholly-owned subsidiary called "Entity C". The loan is used by Entity C to acquire 100% shareholding in entity B, for cash consideration of ₹ 2,00,000. Entity A applies Ind AS 103 to account for common control transactions and Entity C will adopt the same policy. Fair Value of Net identifiable Assets is ₹ 1,50,000 and Carrying Value of Net Identifiable Assets is ₹ 1,00,000.

## How will Entity C apply acquisition accounting in its consolidated financial statements?

#### **Answer 49**

As per para 2 of appendix C of Ind AS 103, Common control business combination means a business combination involving entities or businesses in which all the combining entities or businesses are ultimately controlled by the same party or parties both before and after the business combination, and that control is not transitory.

In the above scenario, the Entity A controls Entity B before and after the acquisition. After acquisition, entity A controls entity B through entity C. As per para 8 of appendix C of Ind AS 103, Business combinations involving entities or businesses under common control shall be accounted for using the pooling of interest method.

As per para 9(i) of appendix C of Ind AS 103, the pooling of interest method is considered to involve the assets and liabilities of the combining entities are reflected at their carrying amounts.

Based on the above analysis, Entity C cannot be the acquirer. Entity A has created Entity C and is the seller, so Entity C has effectively been formed and issued shares to effect the business combination. Entity C is not a business and the transaction between entity B and Entity C is not a business combination. It is a reorganisation of entity B. As a result, entity B's assets and liabilities are included in Entity C consolidated financial statements at their pre-combination carrying amounts without a fair value uplift.

#### Question 50 Illustration

Enterprise Ltd. has 2 divisions Laptops and Mobiles. Division Laptops has been making constant profits while division Mobiles has been invariably suffering losses.

On 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2, the division-wise draft extract of the Balance Sheet was: (₹in crores)

		Laptops	Mobiles	Tota
				1
Property, Plant and		250	500	750
Equipment cost				
Depreciation		(225)	(400)	(625)
Net Property, Plant and	(A)	25	100	125
Equipment				
Current assets:		200	500	700
Less: Current liabilities		(25)	(400)	(425)
	(B)	175	100	275
Total	(A+B)	200	200	400
Financed by:				
Loan funds		-	300	300
Capital: Equity ₹ 10 each		25	-	25
Surplus		175	(100)	75
		200	200	400

Division Mobiles along with its assets and liabilities was sold for  $\overline{\xi}$  25 crores to Turnaround Ltd. a new company, who allotted 1 crore equity shares of  $\overline{\xi}$  10 each at a premium of  $\overline{\xi}$  15 per share to the members of Enterprise Ltd. in full

1163

settlement of the consideration, in proportion to their shareholding in the company. One of the members of the Enterprise Ltd. was holding 52% shareholding of the Company.

Assuming that there are no other transactions, you are asked to:

- (i) Pass journal entries in the books of Enterprise Ltd.
- (ii) Prepare the Balance Sheet of Enterprise Ltd. after the entries in (i).
- (iii) Prepare the Balance Sheet of Turnaround Ltd.

#### Answer 50

Journal Entries in Enterprise Ltd.

	<del></del>		Dr.	Cr.
(1)	Loan Funds	Dr.	300	
	Current Liabilities Provision for Depreciation	Dr. Dr.	400	
	To Property, Plant and		400	
	Equipment To Current Assets			500
	To Capital Reserve (Being division Mobiles along with its assets			500
	and liabilities sold to Turnaround Ltd. for ₹25 crores)			100

#### Notes:

- (1) Any other alternative set of entries, with the same net effect on various accounts, may be given by the students.
- (2) In the given scenario, this demerger will meet the definition of common control transaction. Accordingly, the transfer of assets and liabilities will be derecognized and recognized as per book value and the resultant loss or gain will be recorded as capital reserve in the books of demerged entity (Enterprise Ltd). Enterprise Ltd.

#### **Balance Sheet after reconstruction**

(₹in crores)

ASSETS	Note No.	Amount
Non-current assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		25
Current assets		
Other current assets		200
		225
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity share capital (of face value of₹10 each)		25
Other equity (Surplus)		175
Liabilities		
Current		
liabilities		25

Current liabilities	225

**Notes to Accounts** 

		(₹ in
		crores)
1.	Other Equity	
	Surplus (175-100)	75
	Add: Capital Reserve on reconstruction	100
		175

**Notes to Accounts:** Consequent on transfer of Division Mobiles to newly incorporated company Turnaround Ltd., the members of the company have been allotted 1 crore equity shares of ₹10 each at a premium of ₹15 per share of Turnaround Ltd., in full settlement of the consideration in proportion to their shareholding in the company.

Balance Sheet of Turnaround Ltd. (₹ in crores)

ASSETS	Note No.	Amount
Non-current assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		100
Current assets		
Other current assets		500
		600
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity share capital (of face value of₹10 each)	1	10
Other equity	2	(110)
Liabilities		
Non-current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Borrowings		300
Current liabilities		
Current liabilities		400
		600

**Notes to Accounts** 

		(₹ in crores)
1.	Share Capital:	
	Issued and Paid-up capital	
	1 crore Equity shares of ₹10 each fully paid up	10
	(All the above shares have been issued for	
	consideration other than	
	cash, to the members of Enterprise Ltd. on takeover of	
	Division Mobiles	
	from Enterprise Ltd.)	
2.	Other Equity:	
	Securities Premium	15
	Capital reserve [25- (600 – 700)]	(125)
		(110)

Working Note:

71165

In the given case, since both the entities are under common control, this will be accounted as follows:

- All assets and liabilities will be recorded at book value
- Identity of reserves to be maintained.
- No goodwill will be recorded.
- Securities issued will be recorded as per the nominal value

#### Question 51 Illustration

Maxi Mini Ltd. has 2 divisions - Maxi and Mini. The draft information of assets and liabilities as at 31st October, 20X2, was as under:

,	Maxi division		Total
			(in crores)
Property, Plant and Equipment			
Cost	600	300	900
Depreciation	(500)	(100)	(600)
W.D.V. (A)	100	200	300
Current assets	400	300	700
Less: Current liabilities	(100)	(100)	(200)
(B)	300	200	500
Total (A+B)	400	400	800
Financed by:			
Loan funds (A)	_	100	100
(secured by a charge on property,			
plant and equipment)			
Own funds:			
Equity capital			50
(fully paid up ₹ 10 per share)			
Other Equity	-	_	650
(B)	5	?	700
Total (A+B)	400	400	800

It is decided to form a new company Mini Ltd. to take over the assets and liabilities of Mini division.

Accordingly, Mini Ltd. was incorporated to take over at Balance Sheet figures, the assets and liabilities of that division. Mini Ltd. is to allot 5 crore equity shares of ₹ 10 each in the company to the members of Maxi Mini Ltd. in full settlement of the consideration. The members of Maxi Mini Ltd. are therefore to become members of Mini Ltd. as well without having to make any further investment.

- (a) You are asked to pass journal entries in relation to the above in the books of Maxi Mini Ltd. and Mini Ltd. Also show the Balance Sheets of the 2 companies as on the morning of 1st November, 20X2, showing corresponding previous year's figures.
- (b) The directors of the 2 companies ask you to find out the net asset value of equity shares pre and post demerger.
- (c) Comment on the impact of demerger on "share holders wealth".

#### Answer 51

Demerged Company: Mini Division of "Maxi Mini Ltd" Resulting Company: "Mini

(a) Journal of Maxi Mini Ltd. (Demerged Company)

		(₹ ir crore	
		Dr.	Cr.
Current liabilities A/c	Dr	100	
Loan fund (secured) A/c	Dr	100	
Provision for depreciation A/c	Dr	100	
Loss on reconstruction (Balancing figure)	Dr	300	
To Property, Plant and Equipment A/c			300
To Current assets A/c			300
(Being the assets and liabilities of Mini division taken out of the books on transfer of the division to Mini Ltd., the consideration being allotment to the members of the company of one equity share of ₹10 each of that company at par for every share held in the company vide scheme of reorganisation)			

**Note:** Any other alternatives set of entries, with the same net effect on various accounts, may be given by the students. In the absence of additional information on fair value of the assets transferred it has been assumed that the group of shareholders control both the demerged and the resultant entity. It is expected that students should evaluate all reorganization from common control parameters and aptly highlight the assumptions in the note while solving the question.

Journal of Mini Ltd.

		(₹ in crores)	
		Dr.	Cr.
Property, Plant and Equipment (300-100) A/c	Dr.	200	
Current assets A/c	Dr.	300	
To Current Liabilities A/c			100
To Secured loan funds A/c			100
To Equity share capital A/c			50
To Capital reserve			250
(Being the assets and liabilities of Mini division of			
Maxi Mini Ltd. taken over and allotment of 5 crores			
equity shares of ₹ 10 each at part as fully paid up to the			
members of Maxi Mini Ltd.)			

## Maxi Mini Ltd. Balance Sheet as at 1<sup>st</sup> November, 20X2 ₹ in crore

ASSETS	Note No.	After Reconstructio	Before Reconstructio
		n	n
Non-current assets			
Property, Plant and	2	100	300
Equipment			
Current assets			
Other current assets		400	700

		500	1,000
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES			
Equity			
Equity share capital (of face		50	50
value of ₹			
₹10 each)			
Other equity	1	350	650
- '			
Liabilities			
Non-current liabilities			
Financial liabilities			
Borrowings		-	100
Current liabilities			
Current liabilities		100	200
		500	1,000

**Notes to Accounts** 

		After Reconstructio	Before Reconstructio
		n	n
1.	Other Equity		
	Other Equity	650	650
	Less: Loss on reconstruction	(300)	_
		350	650
2.	Property, Plant and Equipment	600	900
	Less: Depreciation	(500)	(600)
		100	300

**Notes to Accounts**: Consequent on reconstruction of the company and transfer of Mini division to newly incorporated company Mini Ltd., the members of the company have been allotted 5 crores equity shares of ₹10 each at part of Mini Ltd. The demerged entity and the resultant entity are common control and accordingly the transaction has been accounted at book values of the assets transferred in both the entity.

Mini Ltd.

Balance Sheet as at 1<sup>st</sup> November, 20X2 ₹ in crore

ASSETS	Note	After
	No.	reconstruction
Non-current assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		200
Current assets		
Other current assets		300
		500
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity share capital (of face value of ₹ 10 each)		50
Other equity (capital reserve)		250

Liabilities	
Non-current liabilities	
Financial liabilities	
Borrowings	100
Current liabilities	
Current liabilities	100
	500

#### **Notes to Account**

	(₹ in crores)
1. Share Capital:	
Issued and paid up :	
5 crores Equity shares of₹10 each fully paid up	50
(All the above shares have been issued for	
consideration other than cash, to the members of	
Maxi Mini Ltd., on takeover of Mini division from	
Maxi Mini Ltd.)	

#### (a) Net asset value of an equity share

Pre-demerger

Maxi-Mini Ltd:  $\frac{Rs.700 \ crores}{5 \ crores} = 140$ Post-demerger  $\frac{Rs.400 \ crores}{5 \ crores} = 80$ Mini Ltd:  $\frac{300 \ crores}{5 \ crores} = 60$ 

(b) Demerger into two companies has had no impact on "net asset value" of shareholding. Pre- demerger, it was ₹ 140 per share. After demerger, it is ₹ 80 plus ₹ 60 i.e. ₹ 140 per original share.

It is only yield valuation that is expected to change because of separate focusing on two distinct businesses whereby profitability is likely to improve on account of demerger

#### Question 52 Illustration

AX Ltd. and BX Ltd. amalgamated from  $1^{st}$  January, 20X2. A new Company ABX Ltd. with shares of  $\overline{t}$  10 each was formed to take over the businesses of the existing companies.

## Summarized Balance Sheet as on 31-12-20X2

ASSETS	Note No.	AX Ltd	BX Ltd
Non-current assets			
Property, Plant and		8,500	7,500
Equipment Financial assets		1,050	550
Investment		1,250	2,750
Current assets		1,800	4,000
Inventory		450	400
Trade receivables		13,050	15,200
Cash and Cash equivalent		,	,
		6,000	7,000
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES Equity	1	3,050	2,700
Equity share capital (of face value of ₹			
10 each) Other equity		3,000	4,000
Liabilities		1,000	1,500
Non-current liabilities		13,050	15,200

Financial liabilities		
Borrowings (12% Debentures)		
Current liabilities		
Trade payables		

#### Note:

ABX Ltd. issued requisite number of shares to discharge the claims of the equity shareholders of the transferor companies. Also the new debentures were issued in exchange of the old series of both the companies.

Prepare a note showing purchase consideration and discharge thereof and draft the Balance Sheet of ABX Ltd:

- a. Assuming that both the entities are under common control
- b. Assuming BX Ltd is a larger entity and their management will take the control of the entity ABX Ltd.

The fair value of net assets of AX & BX limited as follows:

Assets	AX Ltd. ('000)	BX Ltd. ('000)
Property, Plant and Equipment	9,500	1,000
Inventory	1,300	2,900
Fair value of the business	11,000	14,000

#### Answer 52

#### (a) Calculation of Purchase Consideration

		AX Ltd.		BX Ltd.
		` '000		` '000
Assets taken over:				
Property, Plant and Equipment		85,00		75,00
Investment		10,50		5,50
Inventory		12,50		27,50
Trade receivables		18,00		40,00
Cash & Cash equivalent		4,50		4,00
Gross Assets		130,50		152,00
Less : Liabilities				
12% Debentures	30,00		40,00	
Trade payables	10,00	(40,00)	15,00	(55,00)
Net Assets taken over		90,50		97,00
Less: Other Equity:				
General Reserve	15,00		20,00	
P & L A/c	10,00		5,00	
Investment Allowance Reserve	5,00		1,00	
Export Profit Reserve	50	(30,50)	1,00	(27,00)
Purchase Consideration		60,00		70,00

Total Purchase Consideration = 130,00 (60,00 of AX Ltd. & 70,00 of BX Ltd.)

Discharge of Purchase Consideration No. of shares to be

issued to AX Ltd =  $\frac{Net \ Asset \ taken \ over \ of \ AX \ Ltd}{Net \ Asset \ of \ AX \ Ltd \ and \ BX \ Ltd} \ X \ Purchase$ 

Consideration

1170

# age 1171

#### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

## No. of shares to be issued to BX Ltd = $\frac{Net\ Asset\ taken\ over\ of\ BX\ Ltd}{Net\ Asset\ of\ AX\ Ltd\ and\ BX\ Ltd}$ X Purchase

Consideration

	AX Ltd	BX Ltd
	In Rs	In Rs
	000	000
$130,00 \times \frac{90,50}{187,50} = 6,27,500$ Equity Shares of Re 10 each	62,75	
$130,00 \times \frac{97,00}{187,50} = 6,72,500$ Equity Shares of Rs 10 each		67,25
Equity Shares of Rs 10 each		

Balance Sheet of ABX Ltd. as on 1.1.20X2

₹ in '000

Balance Sheet of ABX Ltd. as on 1.1.20X2		₹ in '0
ASSETS	Note No.	Amount
Non-current assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		16,000
Financial assets		
Investments		1,600
Current assets		
Inventory		4,000
Trade receivable		5,800
Cash and Cash equivalent		850
		28,250
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity share capital (of face value of ₹10 each)	1	13,000
Other equity	2	5,750
Liabilities		
Non-current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Borrowings	3	7,000
Current liabilities		
Trade payable		2,500
		28,250

#### **Notes to Accounts**

		(₹ 000)	(₹ 000)
1.	Share Capital		
	13,00,000 Equity Shares of ₹10 each		130,00
2.	Other Equity		
	General Reserve (15,00 + 20,00)	35,00	
	Profit & Loss (10,00 + 5,00)	15,00	
	Investment Allowance Reserve (5,00 + 1,00)	6,00	
	Export Profit Reserve (50 + 1,00)	1,50	57,50
3.	Long Term Borrowings		
	12% Debentures		70,00

(a) Assuming BX Ltd is a larger entity and their management will take the control of the entity ABX Ltd.

In this case BX Ltd. and AX Ltd. are not under common control and hence

accounting prescribed under Ind AS 103 for business combination will be applied. A question arises here is who is the accounting acquirer ABX Ltd which is issuing the shares or AX Ltd. or BX Ltd. As per the accounting guidance provided in Ind AS 103, sometimes the legal acquirer may not be the accounting acquirer. In the given scenario although ABX Ltd. is issuing the shares but BX Ltd. post-merger will have control and is bigger in size which is a clear indicator that BX Ltd. will be an accounting acquirer. This can be justified by the following table: (In '000s)

	AX Ltd.	BX Ltd.
Fair Value	11,000	14,000
Value per share	10	10
No. of shares	1,100	1,400
i.e. Total No. of shares in ABX Ltd. = 2,500 thousand shares		
Thus, % Held by each Company in Combined Entity	44%	56%

**Note:** It is a case of Reverse Acquisition.

Accordingly, BX Ltd. assets will be recorded at historical cost in the merged financial statements.

Calculation of Purchase Consideration (All figures are in thousands)

We need to calculate the number of shares to be issued by BX Ltd. to AX Ltd. to maintain the same percentage i.e. 56%:

Thus, 700 thousand shares of BX Ltd. (given in the balance sheet) represents 56%. This means that total no. of shares would be 1,250 thousand shares ie 700 thousand shares / 56%.

This implies BX Ltd. would need to issue 550 thousand shares (1,250 less 700) to AX Ltd

Purchase Consideration = 550 thousand shares  $x \neq 20$  per share (ie. 14,000 thousand / 700 thousand shares) =  $\neq 11,000$  thousand.

Balance Sheet of ABX Ltd. as on 1.1.20X2 ₹ in '000

ASSETS	Note No.	Amount
Non-current assets		
Goodwill (Refer Working Note)		900
Property, Plant and Equipment (9500+7500)		17,000
Financial assets		
Investment (1050+550)		1,600
Current assets		
Inventory (1300+2750)		4,050
Trade receivables (1800+4000)		5,800
Cash and Cash equivalent (450+400)		850
		30,200
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity share capital (of face value of ₹10 each)	1	12,500

# 1173

#### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

Other equity	2		8,200
Liabilities			
Non-current liabilities			
Financial liabilities			
Borrowings (12% Debentures)		3	7,000
Current liabilities			
Trade payables			2,500
			30,200

#### **Notes to Accounts**

		(₹ 000)	(₹ 000)
1.	Share Capital		
	1,250,000 Equity Shares of₹ 10 each (700,000 to BX Ltd and 550,000 as computed above to AX LTD)		1,25,00
2.	Other Equity		
	General reserve of BX Ltd	20,00	
	P&L of BX Ltd	5,00	
	Export Profit Reserve of BX Ltd	1,00	
	Investment Allowance Reserve of BX Ltd	1,00	
	Security Premium (550 shares x 10)	5,500	8,200
3.	Long Term Borrowings		
	12% Debentures		70,00

#### Working Note:

#### Goodwill Computation:

Assets:	₹ in 000s
Property, Plant and Equipment	9,500
Investment	1,050
Inventory	1,300
Trade Receivable	1,800
Cash & Cash Equivalent	450
Total Assets	14,100
Less: Liabilities:	
Borrowings	3,000
Trade Payable	1,000
Net Assets	10,100
Purchase Consideration	11,000
Goodwill	900

#### **Question 53 Illustration**

On 9<sup>th</sup> April, 20X2, Shyam Ltd. a listed company started to negotiate with Ram Ltd, which is an unlisted company about the possibility of merger. On 10<sup>th</sup> May, 20X2, the board of directors of Shyam Ltd. authorized their management to pursue the merger with Ram Ltd. On 15<sup>th</sup> May, 20X2, management of Shyam Ltd. offered management of Ram Ltd. 12,000 shares of

Shyam Ltd. against their total share outstanding. On  $31^{st}$  May, 20X2, the board of directors of Ram Ltd accepted the offer subject to shareholder's vote. On  $2^{nd}$  June, 20X2 both the companies jointly made a press release about the proposed merger.

On 10<sup>th</sup> June, 20X2, the shareholders of Ram Ltd approved the terms of the merger. On 15<sup>th</sup> June, the shares were allotted to the shareholders of Ram Ltd. The market price of the shares of Shyam Ltd was as follows:

Date	Price per share
9 <sup>th</sup> April	70
10 <sup>th</sup> May	75
15 <sup>th</sup> May	60
31 <sup>st</sup> May	70
2 <sup>nd</sup> June	80
10 <sup>th</sup> June	85
15 <sup>th</sup> June	90

What is the acquisition date and what is purchase consideration in the above scenario?

#### Answer 53

As per paragraph 8 of Ind AS 103, the acquirer shall identify the acquisition date, which is the date on which it obtains control of the aquiree. In the above scenario, the acquisition date will the date on which the shares were allotted to the shareholders of Ram Ltd. Although the shareholder approval was obtained on  $10^{th}$  June, 20X2 but the shares were issued only on  $15^{th}$  June, 20X2. Accordingly, the purchase consideration will be on the basis of \$90 ie. the market price on that date. Hence total purchase consideration would be \$10,80,000 (ie 12,000 shares x \$90).

#### **Question 54 Illustration**

The balance sheet of Professional Ltd. and Dynamic Ltd. as of  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2 is given below:

		₹ in lakhs
Assets Professional		Dynamic
	Ltd	Ltd
Non-Current Assets:		
Property, plant and equipment	300	500
Investment	400	100
Current assets:		
Inventories	250	150
Financial assets		
Trade receivables	450	300
Cash and cash equivalents	200	100
Others	400	230
Total	2,000	1,380
Equity and Liabilities		
Equity	500	400
Share capital- Equity shares of ₹ 100 each of		
Dynamic Ltd. and ₹ 10 each of Professional Ltd.		

Other Equity	810	225
Non-Current liabilities:		
Financial liabilities		
Long term borrowings	250	200
Long term provisions	50	70
Deferred tax	40	35
Current Liabilities:		
Financial liabilities		
Short term borrowings	100	150
Trade payables	250	300
Total	2000	1380

#### Other information

- a. Professional Ltd. acquired 70% shares of Dynamic Ltd. on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X2 by issuing its own shares in the ratio of 1 share of Professional Ltd. for every 2 shares of Dynamic Ltd. The fair value of the shares of Professional Ltd was ₹ 40 per share.
- b. The fair value exercise resulted in the following: (all nos in Lakh)
  - a. Fair value of PPE on 1 st April, 20X2 was ₹ 350 lakhs.
  - b. Professional Ltd also agreed to pay an additional payment as consideration that is higher of 35 lakh and 25% of any excess profits in the first year, after acquisition, over its profits in the preceding 12 months made by Dynamic Ltd. This additional amount will be due after 2 years. Dynamic Ltd has earned ₹ 10 lakh profit in the preceding year and expects to earn another ₹ 20 Lakh.
  - c. In addition to above, Professional Ltd also had agreed to pay one of the founder shareholder a payment of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  20 lakh provided he stays with the Company for two year after the acquisition.
  - d. Dynamic Ltd had certain equity settled share based payment award (original award) which got replaced by the new awards issued by Professional Ltd. As per the original term the vesting period was 4 years and as of the acquisition date the employees of Dynamic Ltd have already served 2 years of service. As per the replaced awards the vesting period has been reduced to one year (one year from the acquisition date). The fair value of the award on the acquisition date was as follows:
    - i. Original award- ₹ 5 lakh
    - ii. Replacement award-
- ₹8 lakh.
- e. Dynamic Ltd had a lawsuit pending with a customer who had made a claim of  $\mathbf{\xi}$  50 lakh. Management reliably estimated the fair value of the liability to be  $\mathbf{\xi}$  5 lakh.
- f. The applicable tax rate for both entities is 30%.

You are required to prepare opening consolidated balance sheet of Professional Ltd as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X2. Assume 10% discount rate Answer 54

Consolidated Balance Sheet of Professional Ltd as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X2 (₹ in Lakhs)

	Amount
Assets	
Non-Current Assets:	
Property, plant and equipment	650
Investment	500

Page 1175

Current assets:		
Inventories		400
Financial assets:		
Trade receivables		750
Cash and cash equivalents		300
Others		630
	Tota	3,230
	1	
Equity and Liabilities		
Equity		
Share capital- Equity shares of ₹100 each		514
Other Equity		1,128.62
NCI		154.95
Non-Current liabilities:		
Long term borrowings		450
Long term provisions (50+70+28.93)		148.93
Deferred tax		28.5
Current Liabilities:		
Short term borrowings		250
Trade payables		550
Provision for Law suit Damages		5
	Tota 1	3,230

#### Notes:

- a. Fair value adjustment- As per Ind AS 103, the acquirer is required to record the assets and liabilities at their respective fair value. Accordingly, the PPE will be recorded at ₹350 lakhs.
- b. The value of replacement award is allocated between consideration transferred and post combination expense. The portion attributable to purchase consideration is determined based on the fair value of the replacement award for the service rendered till the date of the acquisition. Accordingly, 2.5 (5 x 2/4) is considered as a part of purchase consideration and is credited to Professional Ltd equity as this will be settled in its own equity. The balance of 2.5 will be recorded as employee expense in the books of Dynamic Ltd over the remaining life, which is 1 year in this scenario.
- c. There is a difference between contingent consideration and deferred consideration. In the given case 35 is the minimum payment to be paid after 2 years and accordingly will be considered as deferred consideration. The other element is if company meet certain target then they will get 25% of that or 35 whichever is higher. In the given case since the minimum what is expected to be paid the fair value of the contingent consideration has been considered as zero. The impact of time value on deferred consideration has been given @ 10%.
- d. The additional consideration of ₹20 lakhs to be paid to the founder shareholder is contingent to him/her continuing in employment and hence this will be considered as employee compensation and will be recorded as post combination expenses in the income statement of Dynamic Ltd.

#### Working Notes:

1. Computation for Purchase consideration

Particulars	Amount	

1176

Share capital of Dynamic Ltd		4,00,00,00
		0
Number of shares	4,00,00	
	0	
Shares to be issued 2:1	2,00,00	
	0	
Fair value ₹per share		40
		₹in lakhs
PC (2,00,000 x 70% x ₹40 per share) (A)		56.00
Deferred consideration after discounting ₹35		
lakhs for 2 years		
@ 10% (B)		28.93
Replacement award Market based measure of		
the acquiree		
award (5) x ratio of the portion of the vesting		
period completed		
(2) / greater of the total vesting period (3) or the		
original vesting		
period (4) of the acquiree award ie (5 x $2/4$ ) (C)		2.50
PC in lakhs (A+B+C)		87.43

2. Allocation of Purchase price

Particulars	Book value	Fair value	FV adjustment
	(A)	(B)	(A-B)
Property, plant and equipment	500	350	(150)
Investment	100	100	-
Inventories	150	150	-
Financial assets:			-
Trade receivables	300	300	-
Cash and cash equivalents	100	100	-
Others	230	230	
Less: Long term borrowings	(200)	(200)	-
Long term provisions	(70)	(70)	-
Deferred tax	(35)	(35)	-
Short term borrowings	(150)	(150)	-
Trade payables	(300)	(300)	-
Contingent liability	-	(5)	(5)
Net assets (X)	625	470	(155)
Deferred tax Asset on FV			
adjustment (155 x 30%) (Y)		46.50	155
, , ,			155
Net assets (X+Y)		516.5	


Non-controlling interest (516.50 x 30%) rounded off	154.95	
Capital Reserve (Net assets – NCI – PC)	274.12	
Purchase consideration (PC)	87.43	

Computation of consolidated amounts of Consolidated financial statements					
	Professional	Dynamic Ltd.		Total	
	Ltd.	(pre-	Allocation		
		acquisition			
Assets					
Non-Current Assets:					
Property, plant and	300	500	(150)	650	
equipmenst					
Investment	400	100		500	
Current assets:					
Inventories	250	150		400	
Financial assets:					
Trade receivables	450	300		750	
Cash and cash equivalents	200	100		300	
Others	400	230		630	
Total	2000	1380	(150)	3230	

	1			
Equity and Liabilities				
Equity				
Share capital- Equity shares of ₹ 100 each	500			
Shares allotted to Dynamic Ltd.				
(2,00,000 x 70% x₹ 10 per share)			14	514
Other Equity	810			
Replacement award (W.N.1)			2.5	2.5
Security Premium (2,00,000 shares x			42	42
70% x₹30) (W.N.1)				
Capital Reserve (W.N.2)			274.12	274.12
Non-controlling interest (W.N.2)	0		154.95	154.95
Non-Current liabilities:				
Financial liabilities				
Long term borrowings	250	200		450
Long term provisions (W.N.1)	50	70	28.93	148.93
Deferred tax (W.N.2)	40	35	(46.5)	28.5
Current Liabilities:				
Financial liabilities				
Short term borrowings	100	150		250
Trade payable	250	300	0	550
Liability for lawsuit damages			5	5
Total	2,000	755	475	3230

## **CA CS CMA Mentoring Program Reviews**

















Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or (S) +917890770777

Jage 1179

## Chapter 13 Unit-3 "Consolidated Financial Statements"

#### Attempts wise Distribution

Atte	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
mpts	21	21	21	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
				Q15,				Q7,				
				Q16,		Q17,	Q24,	Q26,	Q18,		Q14,	Q12,
MTP	Q13		Q21	Q22	Q19	Q23	Q31	Q37	Q20	Q34	Q25	Q27
										Q4,		
PYP	Q3	Q36						Q1		Q35	Q2	
										Q9,		
										Q10,		Q5,
										Q29,		Q6,
RTP	Q8				Q11	Q28		Q32		Q30		Q33

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

On 1st April 2021, P Limited acquired 100% interest in S Limited for `75.00 lakhs when the fair value of the net assets of S Limited was `60.00 lakhs. Goodwill of `15.00 lakhs arose on consolidation. On 31st March, 2023, P Limited disposed off 80% interest in S Limited for `114.00 lakhs. As on the date of disposal, the carrying value of the net assets of S Limited excluding goodwill was `1,20,00,000. The fair value of the remaining interest is `28,50,000.

You are required to:

- i. Calculate the gain or loss on sale of disposal, and
- ii. Pass necessary journal entries on disposal of 80% interest in S Limited in P Limited's separate and consolidated financial statements as on 31st March, 2023. (PYP 5 Marks May '23)

#### Answer 1

#### In P Ltd.'s Separate Financial Statements

(i) Calculation of Gain or Loss on disposal in P Ltd.'s separate financial statements

	` in
	lakhs
Sales proceeds	114.0
Less: Cost of investment in subsidiary (75 x 80%)	(60.0)
Gain on sale in parent's account	54.00

(ii) Journal Entry in P Limited's separate financial statements in lakhs

Date	Particulars		Dr.	Cr.
31.3.2023	Bank A/c	Dr.	114.00	

	Investment in Associates Dr.	15.00	
	To Investment in subsidiary A/c		75.00
	To Gain on sale of subsidiary A/c		54.00
31.3.2023	Gain on sale of subsidiary A/c Dr.	54	
	To Statement of Profit and Loss		54

Note: In case of partial disposal of investment leading to significant influence because of loss of control, the above solution has been provided by applying proportionate approach. However, cost of retained investment on the date of loss of control has not been defined in Ind AS 27 'Separate Financial Statements'. Therefore, paragraphs 10 and 11(a) of Ind AS 8 (i.e. in the absence of accounting policy that specifically applies to a transaction, management shall refer to, and consider the applicability of the requirements in Ind AS dealing with similar and related issues) have been applied. Further, para 25(b) of Ind AS 110 inter alia states that recognition of investment retained in the former subsidiary to be the fair value which shall be regarded as the fair value on initial recognition of a financial asset in accordance with Ind AS 109 or, when appropriate, the cost on initial recognition of an investment in an associate or joint venture. Accordingly, following ALTERNATE solution may also be considered:

(i) Calculation of Gain or Loss on disposal in P Ltd.'s separate financial statements:

	`in lakhs
Sales proceeds	114.0
Fair value of 20% interest retained	28.50
	28.50 142.50
Less: Cost of investment in subsidiary	(75.0)
Gain on sale in parent's account	67.5

(ii) Journal Entry in P Limited's separate financial statements in lakhs

Date	Particulars	Dr.	Cr.
31.3.2023	Bank A/c Dr.	114.00	
	Investment in Associate at cost (as per para 25 Ind AS 110) Dr.	28.50	
	To Investment in subsidiary A/c		75.00
	To Gain on sale of subsidiary A/c		67.50

31.3.2023	Gain on sale of subsidiary A/c Dr.	67.50	
	To Statement of Profit		67.50
	and Loss		

#### In P Ltd.'s Consolidated Financial Statements

i) Calculation of Gain or Loss on disposal in P Ltd.'s consolidated financial statements

	` in lakhs
Sales proceeds	114.00
Fair value of 20% interest retained	28.50 142.50
Less: Net assets disposed, including goodwill	(105.00)
(1,20,00,000 + 15,00,000)	(135.00)
Gain on sale in the group's financial statements	7.50

ii) Journal Entry in P Limited's consolidated financial statements `in lakhs

Date	Particulars	Dr.	Cr.
31.3.2023	Bank A/c Dr. Fair value of Net identifiable assets Dr. To Net asset of subsidiary A/c To Goodwill To Gain on sale of subsidiary A/c	114.00 28.50	120.00 15.00 7.50
31.3.2023	Gain on sale of subsidiary A/c To Statement of Profit or Loss	7.50	7.50

#### Note:

The above solution has been drawn on the assumption that the retained investment had led to significant influence. Accordingly, investment in an associate has been accounted for on partial disposal of investment by P Ltd. However, it may alternatively be assumed that the retained investment, after loss of control due to partial disposal of investment, does not lead to significant influence on S Ltd. In such a situation, retained interest will be measured at fair value in P Ltd.'s Separate Financial Statements as given in the alternate solution and main solution will not be applicable in such a case.

#### Question 2

Given below are the balance sheets of a group of companies comprising X Ltd., Y Ltd. and Z Ltd. as on 31st March, 2022: (₹ in Lakhs)

X Ltd.	Y Ltd.	Z Ltd.

Assets			
Non-current Assets			
Property, plant and equipment	1,120	1,260	1,050
Investment:			
112 lakh shares in Y Ltd.	1,190		
84 lakh shares in Z Ltd.		980	
Current assets			
Inventories	770	245	175
Financial assets:			
Trade receivables	910	350	770
Bills receivables	252	-	105
Cash in hand and at bank	798	140	140
Equity and Liabilities	5,040	2,975	2,240
Shareholder's equity			
Share capital (₹ 10 per share)	2,100	1,400	1,120
Other equity:			
Reserves	630	350	280
Retained earnings	560	175	210
Current liabilities			
Financial liabilities:			
Trade payables	1,645	805	630
Bills payable			
X Ltd.	-	245	-
Y Ltd.	105	-	-
	5,040	2,975	2,240

The following additional information is available:

- (i) X Ltd. holds 80% shares in Y Ltd. and Y Ltd. holds 75% shares in Z Ltd. Their holdings were acquired on 30th September, 2021.
- (ii) The business activities of all the companies are not seasonal in nature and therefore, it can be assumed that profits are earned evenly throughout the year.
- (iii) On 1st April 2021, the following balances stood in the books of Y Ltd. and Z Ltd.

(₹ in lakhs)

	Y	Z Limited
	Limited	
Reserve	280	210
Retained earnings	70	105

(iv) ₹ 35 lakhs included in the inventory figure of Y Ltd. is inventory which has been purchased from Z Ltd. at cost plus 25%.

,age 1183

- (v) The parent company has adopted an accounting policy to measure noncontrolling interest at fair value (quoted market price) applying Ind AS 103. Assume that market price of the shares of Y Ltd. and Z Ltd. are the same as their respective face values.
- (vi) Y Ltd. purchased goods from Z Ltd. after acquiring the shares of Z Ltd. You are required to prepare consolidated balance sheet, as at 31st March 2022, of the group of companies X Limited, Y Limited and Z Limited. (PYP 15 Marks Nov 22) Answer 2

(a) Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Group as on 31st March, 2022

Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Group as on 31st March,				
Particulars	Note No.	₹ in lakh		
ASSETS				
Non-current assets				
(a) Property, Plant and Equipment	1	3,430.00		
Current Assets				
(a) Inventories	2	1,183.00		
(b) Financial assets				
(i) Trade receivables	3	2,142.00		
(ii) Cash and Cash equivalents	4	1,078.00		
Total assets		7,833.00		
EQUITY & LIABILITIES				
Equity attributable to owners of parent				
(a) Share Capital		2,100.00		
(b) Other Equity	5	1,966.30		
Non-controlling interests (W.N.4)		581.70		
Total equity		4,648.00		
LIABILITIES				
Non-current liabilities		Nil		
Current liabilities				
(a) Financial Liabilities				
(i) Trade payables	6	3,185.00		
Total liabilities		3,185.00		
Total Equity and Liabilities		7,833.00		

Notes to Accounts (₹ in lakh)

1.	Property, Plant & Equipment		
	X Ltd.	1,120.00	
	Y Ltd.	1,260.00	
	Z Ltd.	1,050.00	3,430.00
2.	Inventories		
	X Ltd.	770.00	
	Y Ltd. (245 – 7)	238.00	

	Z Ltd.		175.00	1,183.00
3.	Trade Receivables			
	X Ltd.		910.00	
	Y Ltd.		350.00	
	Z Ltd.		770.00	
		(A)	2,030.00	
	Bills Receivables			
	X Ltd. (252 – 245)		7.00	
	Z Ltd.		105.00	
		(B)	112.00	
	Total Trade Receivables (A+B)			2,142.00
4.	Cash & Cash equivalents			
	X Ltd.		798.00	
	Y Ltd.		140.00	
	Z Ltd.		140.00	1,078.00
5.	Other Equity			
	Reserve (W.N.5)		679.00	
	Retained Earnings (W.N.5)		629.30	
	Capital Reserve (W.N.3)		658.00	1,966.30
6.	Trade Payables			
	X Ltd.		1,645.00	
	Y Ltd.		805.00	
	Z Ltd.		630.00	
		(A)	3,080.00	
	Bills payable			
	X Ltd.		105.00	
	Y Ltd. (245 - 245)		-	
		(B)	105.0	2 10 7 2
	Total Trade Payables (A+B)		0	3,185.0 0

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Bills Payable of X Ltd. is not reflecting as Bills Receivable of Y Ltd. This may happen since Y Ltd. may have discounted/endorsed the same to the bank/third party.

#### **Working Notes:**

1. Analysis of Reserves and Surplus

		Y Ltd.		Z Ltd.
Reserves as on 31.3.2021		280.00		210.0
				О
Increase during the year 2021-2022	70.00		70.00	
Increase for the half year till		35.00		35.0
30.9.2021				0

1185

(₹ in lakh)

Balance as on 30.9.2021 (A)		315.00		245.0 0
Total balance as on 31.3.2022		350.00		280.0
Post-acquisition balance of Reserves		35.00		35.0 0
Retained Earnings as on 31.3.2021		70.00		105.0 0
Increase during the year 2021-2022	105.0		105.0	
Increase for the half year till 30.9.2021		52.50		52.5 0
Balance as on 30.09.2021 (B)		122.50		157.5 0
Total balance as on 31.3.2022		175.00		210.0
Post-acquisition balance of RE		52.50		52.5 0
Less: Unrealised Gain on inventories [(35 ÷ 125) x 25]		-		(7.00
Post-acquisition balance of RE for CFS		52.50		45.5 0
Total balance on the acquisition date ie.30.9.2021 (A+B)		437.50		402.5 0

### 2. Calculation of Effective Interest of X Ltd. in Z Ltd.

Acquisition by X Ltd. in Y Ltd. = 80%

Non-controlling Interest of Y Ltd. = 20%

Acquisition by Y Ltd. in Z Ltd. = 75%

Acquisition by Group in Z Ltd.  $(80\% \times 75) = 60\%$ 

Non-controlling Interest of Z Ltd = 40%

#### 3. Calculation of Goodwill / Capital Reserve on acquisition of subsidiaries ₹ in lakhs

( III lakiis				
	Y Ltd.	Z Ltd.		
Investment or consideration	1,190.00	(980 x 80%)		
		784.00		
Add: NCI at Fair value				
(1,400 x 20%)	280.00			
(1,120 x 40%)	-	448.00		
	1,470.00	1,232.00		
Less: Identifiable net assets (Share Capital + Increase in the Reserves	(1,400+437.50)	(1,120+402.50)		
and Surplus till acquisition date)	(1,837.50)	(1,522.50)		
Capital Reserve	367.50	290.50		
Total Capital Reserve (367.50 + 290.50)	658.00			
=>0.00)				

4. Calculation of Non-controlling Interest

₹ in lakhs

3	Y Ltd.	Z Ltd.
At Fair Value (See Note 3)	280.00	448.00
Add: Post Acquisition Reserves (See Note 1)	(35 x 20%) 7.00	$(35 \times 40\%)$ 14.00
Add: Post-acquisition retained earnings (See Note 1)	(52.50 x 20%) 10.50	(45.50 x 40%) 18.20
Less: NCI share of investment in Z Ltd.	(980 x 20%) (196.00)*	-
	101.50	480.20
Total (101.50 + 480.20)	581.70	)

<sup>\*</sup>Note: The non-controlling interest in Y Ltd. will take its proportion in Z Ltd. So, they have to bear their proportion in the investment by Y Ltd. (in Z Ltd.) also.

Calculation of Consolidated Other Equity 5.

₹ in lakhs

	Reserves	Retained Earnings
X Ltd.	630.00	560.00
Add: Share in Y Ltd.	(35 x 80%) 28.00	(52.50 x 80%) 42.00
Add: Share in Z Ltd.	(35 x 60%) 21.00	(45.50 x 60%) 27.30
	679.00	629.30

In the above solution, it is assumed that profits of Z Ltd. has been earned evenly throughout the year irrespective of post-acquisition sale of goods to Y Ltd.

Alternatively, profit on sale of goods to Y Ltd. is deducted from total profit of Z Ltd. before distribution of total profit of Z Ltd. into pre-acquisition and post-acquisition. In such a case, the solution will be as follows:

Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Group as on 31st March, 2022

Particulars	Note No.	₹ in lakh
ASSETS		
Non-current assets		
(a) Property, plant and equipment	1	3,430.00
Current assets		
(c) Inventory	2	1,183.00
(d) Financial assets		
(i) Trade receivable	3	2,142.00
(ii) Cash and cash equivalents	4	1,078.00
Total assets		7,833.00
EQUITY & LIABILITIES		
Equity attributable to owners of parent		
Share Capital		2,100.00

Other Equity	5	1,964.90
Non-controlling interests (W.N.4)		583.10
Total equity		4,648.00
LIABILITIES		
Non-current liabilities		Nil
Current liabilities		
(b) Financial Liabilities	6	
(i) Trade payables		3,185.00
Total liabilities		3,185.00
Total equity and liabilities		7,833.00

Note:	s to Accounts		(₹ in lakl
1.	Property, plant & equipment		
	X Ltd.	1,120.00	
	Y Ltd.	1,260.00	
	Z Ltd.	1,050.00	3,430.00
2.	Inventories		
	X Ltd.	770.00	
	Y Ltd. (245 – 7)	238.00	
	Z Ltd.	175.00	1,183.00
3.	Trade receivable		
	X Ltd.	910.00	
	Y Ltd.	350.00	
	Z Ltd.	770.00	
	(A)	2,030.00	
	Bills receivable		
	X Ltd. (252 – 245)	7.00	
	Z Ltd.	105.00	
	(B)	112.00	
	Total Trade Receivables (A+B)		2,142.00
4.	Cash & cash equivalents		
	X Ltd.	798.00	
	Y Ltd.	140.00	
	Z Ltd.	140.00	1,078.00
5.	Other equity		
	Reserve (W.N.5)	679.00	
	Retained Earnings (W.N.5)	631.40	
	Capital Reserve (W.N.3)	654.50	1,964.90

6.	Trade payable			
	X Ltd.		1,645.00	
	Y Ltd.		805.00	
	Z Ltd.		630.00	
		(A)	3,080.00	
	Bills payable			
	X Ltd.		105.00	
	Y Ltd. (245 - 245)		1	
		(B)	105.0	
	Total of Trade payable (A+B)		0	3,185.00

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Bills Payable of X Ltd. is not reflecting as Bills Receivable of Y Ltd. This may happen since Y Ltd. may have discounted / endorsed the same to the bank/third party.

### **Working Notes:**

Analysis of Reserves and Surplus (₹ in lakh)

<ol> <li>Analysis of Reserves and Surplus</li> </ol>		(₹ 1n	lakh)	
		Y Ltd.		Z Ltd.
Reserves as on 31.3.2021		280.00		210.00
Increase during the year 2021-2022	70.00		70.0	
			0	
Increase for the half year till 30.9.2021		35.00		35.00
Balance as on 30.9.2021 (A)		315.00		245.00
Total balance as on 31.3.2022		350.00		280
Post-acquisition balance of Reserves		35.00		35.00
Retained Earnings as on 31.3.2021		70.00		105.00
Increase during the year 2021-2022 for	105.00	70.00		100.00
Y Ltd.				
Increase during the year 2021-22 for Z				
Ltd. 105.00				
Less: Unrealised gain [(35/125)x25] (7.00)				
Profit of the year earned evenly for Z			98	
Ltd. 98.00				
Increase for the half year till 30.9.2021		52.50		49.00
Balance as on 30.9.2021 (B)		122.50		154.00
Total balance as on 31.3.2022		(175.0		(210.0
		0)		0)
Post-acquisition balance		52.50		56.00
Less: Unrealised gain on inventories				
[(35/125)x25]		_		(7,00)
Post-acquisition balance for CFS		52.50		(7.00) 49.00
Total balance on the acquisition date		22.00		13.00
ie. 30.9.2021 (A+B)		437.50		399.00
, ,			l	

1. Calculation of Effective Interest of X Ltd. in Z Ltd.

Acquisition by X Ltd. in Y Ltd. = 80%
Non-controlling Interest of Y Ltd. = 20%
Acquisition by Y Ltd. in Z Ltd. = 75%
Acquisition by Group in Z Ltd. (80% x 75%) = 60%

Non-controlling Interest of Z Ltd = 40%

2. Calculation of Goodwill / Capital Reserve on the acquisition (₹ in lakhs)

akiisj		
	Y Ltd.	Z Ltd.
Investment or consideration	1,190	(980 x 80%)
		784
Add: NCI at Fair value		
(1,400 x 20%)	280	
(1,120 x 40%)	-	448
	1,470	1,232
Less: Identifiable net assets	(1,400+437.	(1,120+399)
(Share Capital + Increase in the	50)	
Reserves and Surplus till		(1,519.00)
acquisition date)	(1,837.50)	
Capital Reserve	367.50	287.00
Total Capital Reserve (367.50 +	65	54.5
287.00)		0

**3.** Calculation of Non-controlling Interest

(₹ in lakhs)

	Y Ltd.	Z Ltd.
At Fair Value (See Note 3)	280.00	448.00
Add: Post-acquisition Reserves (See Note 1)	(35 x 20%) 7.00	(35 x 40%) 14.00
Add: Post-acquisition Retained Earnings (See Note 1) Less: NCI share of investment in Z Ltd.	(52.50 x 20%) 10.50 (980 x 20%) (196.00)*	(49.00 x 40%) 19.60
	101.50	481.60
Total (101.50 + 481.60)	583.1 0	

<sup>\*</sup>Note: The non-controlling interest in Y Ltd. will take its proportion in Z Ltd. So, they have to bear their proportion in the investment by Y Ltd. (in Z Ltd.) also.

### 4. Calculation of Consolidated Other Equity

(₹ in lakhs)

	Reserves	Retained
		Earnings
X Ltd.	630.00	560.00
Add: Share in Y Ltd.	(35 x 80%)	(52.50 x 80%)
	28.00	42.00

Add: Share in Z Ltd.	(35 x 60%)	(49.00 x 60%)
	21.00	29.40
	679.00	631.40

### Question 3

From the following data, determine in each case:

- (i) Non-controlling interest at the date of acquisition (using proportionate share method) and at the date of consolidation.
- (ii) Goodwill or gain on bargain purchase.
- (iii) Amount of Holding Company's share of profit in the Consolidated Balance Sheet assuming Holding Company's own retained earnings to be `4,00,000 in each basis.

Case	Subsidia	% of	Cost	Date o	f	Consol	idation
	ry	Share	e	Ac	quisition	Dat	е
	Compa	S		1.4	1.2020	31.	3.2021
	ny	Owne					
		đ					
				Shar	Retain	Shar	Retain
				е	ed	е	ed
				Capi	Earnin	Capi	Earnin
				tal	gs (B)	tal	gs (D)
				(A)		(C)	
Case 1	P	90%	2,80,0	2,00,0	1,00,0	2,00,0	1,40,0
			00	00	00	00	00
Case 2	Q	85%	2,08,0	2,00,0	60,000	2,00,0	40,000
	_		00	00	·	00	
Case 3	R	80%	1,12,0	1,00,0	40,000	1,00,0	40,000
			00	00	·	00	
Case 4	S	100%	2,00,0	1,00,0	80,000	1,00,0	1,12,0
			00	00		00	00

The Company has adopted an accounting policy to measure non-controlling interest at NCI's proportionate share of the acquiree's Identifiable Net Assets. It may be assumed that the fair value of acquiree's net identifiable assets is equal to their book values. (PYP 5 Marks Dec '21)

### Answer 3

Non-controlling Interest = the equity in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent. Equity is the residual interest in the assets of an entity after deducting all its liabilities i.e. in this given case Share Capital + Balance in Statement of Profit & Loss (Assuming it to be the net aggregate value of identifiable assets in accordance with Ind AS)

(1) Calculation of amount of NCI at the date of acquisition and consolidation

	% of	Non-controlling	Non-controlling
	shares	interest as at	interest as at
	owned by	the date of	the date of
	NCI	acquisition [E] x [A +	consolidation [E] x [C
	[E]	B]	+ D]
Case 1	[100-90]	30,000	34,00
	10%		0
Case 2	[100-85]	39,000	36,00
	15%		0

Case 3	[100-80]	28,000	28,00
	20%		0
Case 4	[100-100]	Nil	Ni1
	Nil		

(2) Calculation of Goodwill or Gain on bargain purchase

- di-			- 0 2 W-BW ,	Paromaso	
Case	Considerati	Non	Ne	Goodwil	Gain
	on	-	t	1	on
		controlli	Identifiab		bargain
		ng	le		purcha
	[G]	interest	assets	[G]+[H] -	se
		[H]	[A] + [B] = [I]	[I]	[I]-[G]-[H]
Case 1	2,80,000	30,000	3,00,000	10,000	Nil
Case 2	2,08,000	39,000	2,60,000	Nil	13,000
Case 3	1,12,000	28,000	1,40,000	Nil	Ni1
Case 4	2,00,000	Nil	1,80,000	20,000	Nil

(3) In each case the following amount (shown in column No. O) shall be added or deducted from the balance of holding company's retained earnings of `4,00,000:

1,00,00					
Case	%	Retain	Retain	Retaine	Amount to
	Share	ed	ed earnings	d earnings	be
	Holdin	earnin	as on	post-	added
	g	gs	consolidatio	acquisition	/ (deducted)
		as	n date	:	from
		on	31.3.2021		holding's
		1.4.20			retaine
		20		[N] = [M] - [L]	d
	[K]		[M]		earnin
					gs
		[L]			[O] = [K] x
					[N]
Case 1	90%	1,00,000	1,40,000	40,000	36,000
Case 2	85%	60,000	40,000	(20,000)	(17,000)
Case 3	80%	40,000	40,000	Nil	Nil
Case 4	100	80,000	1,12,000	32,000	32,000
	%				

### Question 4

Entity H holds a 20% equity interest in Entity S (an associate) that in turn has a 100% equity interest in Entity T. Entity S recognised net assets relating to Entity T of Rs. 10,000 in its consolidated financial statements. Entity S sells 20% of its interest in Entity T to a third party (a non-controlling shareholder) for Rs. 3,000 and recognises this transaction as an equity transaction in accordance with the provisions of Ind AS 110, resulting in a credit in Entity S's equity of Rs. 1,000. The financial statements of Entity H and Entity S are summarised as follows before and after the transaction:

Before				
	H's conso	lidated	financial statem	ents
Assets		(Rs.)	Liabilities	(Rs.)

Investment in S	2,000	Equity	2,000
Total	2,000	Total	2,000

### S's consolidated financial statements

Assets	(Rs.)	Liabilities	(Rs.)
Assets (from T)	10,00	Equity	10,000
	0		
Total	10,00	Total	10,000
	0		

The financial statements of S after the transaction are summarised below:

After					
S's consolidated financial statements					
Assets	(Rs.)	Liabilities		(Rs.)	
Assets (from T)	10,00	Equity	10,00		
Cash	3,00 0	Equity transaction Impact with non- controlling interest Equity attributable to owners Non-controlling interest	1,000	11,00 0 2,000	
Total	13,00	Total		13,00	

Although Entity H did not participate in the transaction, Entity H's share of net assets in Entity S increased as a result of the sale of S's 20% interest in T. Effectively, H's share in S's net assets is now Rs. 2,200 (20% of Rs. 11,000) i.e., Rs. 200 in addition to its previous share.

How this equity transaction that is recognised in the financial statements of Entity S reflected in the consolidated financial statements of Entity H that uses the equity method to account for its investment in Entity S? (PYP 6 Marks, Nov'20)

### Answer 4

Ind AS 28 defines the equity method as "a method of accounting whereby the investment is initially recognised at cost and adjusted thereafter for the post -acquisition change in the investor's share of the investee's net assets. The investor's profit or lo ss includes its share of the investee's profit or loss and the investor's other comprehensive income includes its share of the investee's other comprehensive income."

Ind AS 28, states, inter alia, that when an associate or joint venture has subsidiaries, associates or joint ventures, the profit or loss, other comprehensive income, and net assets taken into account in applying the equity method are those recognised in the associate's or joint venture's financial statements (including the associate's or joint venture's share of the profit or loss, other comprehensive income and net assets of its associates and joint ventures), after any adjustments necessary to give effect to uniform accounting policies.

The change of interest in the net assets / equity of the associate as a result of the  $\mathfrak{O}$ investee's equity transaction is reflected in the investor's financial statements as 'share of other changes in equity of investee' (in the statement of changes in equity) instead of gain in Statement of profit and loss, since it reflects the post-acquisition change in the net assets of the investee as per the provisions of Ind AS 28 and also faithfully reflects

the investor's share of the associate's transaction as presented in the associate's consolidated financial statements.

Thus, in the given case, Entity H recognises Rs. 200 as change in other equity instead of in statement of profit and loss and maintains the same classification as of its associate, Entity S, i.e., a direct credit to equity as in its consolidated financial statements.

### Question 5

Entities A and B establish a 50:50 joint operation in the form of a separate legal entity, Entity J, whereby each operator has a 50% ownership interest and takes 50% of the output.

On formation of the joint operation, Entity A contributes a property with fair value of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  110 lakhs and intangible asset with fair value of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  10 lakhs whereas Entity B contributes equipment with a fair value of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  120 lakhs.

The carrying amounts of the assets contributed by Entities A and B are  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  100 lakhs and  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  80 lakhs, respectively.

What will be the amount of any gain or loss to be recognised by Entity A and Entity B in its separate financial statements as well as consolidated financial statements? (RTP Nov '23)

### Answer 5

Paragraph B34 of Ind AS 111 states that when an entity enters into a transaction with a joint operation in which it is a joint operator, such as a sale or contribution of assets, it is conducting the transaction with the other parties to the joint operation and, as such, the joint operator shall recognise gains and losses resulting from such a transaction only to the extent of the other parties' interests in the joint operation.

The amount of gain or loss to be recognised by Entity A in its separate financial statements as well as consolidated financial statements will be computed as below:

### (All amounts are ₹ in lakhs)

A's share of fair value of asset contributed by Entity B (50% x ₹ 120 lakhs)	60
Less: Asset contributed by Entity A to the joint operation – carrying amount of proportion ceded to Entity B (50% x ₹ 100 lakhs)	(50)
Gain to be recognised by Entity A	10

The gain can alternatively be calculated as:

Share acquired in fair value of net assets of joint operation (50% x ₹ 240 lakhs)	120
Less: Carrying amount of asset contributed	(100)
Less: Unrealised portion of gain on asset contributed (50% × (₹ 120 lakhs – ₹ 100 lakhs))	(10)
Gain to be recognised by Entity A	10

The amount of gain or loss to be recognised by Entity B in its separate financial statements as well as consolidated financial statements will be computed as below:

### (All amounts are ₹ in lakhs)

B's share of fair value of asset contributed by Entity A	60	
(50% x ₹ 120 lakhs)		

1194

Less: Asset contributed by Entity B to the joint operation –	
carrying amount of proportion ceded to Entity A (50% x ₹	(40)
80 lakhs)	
Gain to be recognised by Entity B	20

The gain can alternatively be calculated as:

The Sam can alternatively be calculated as:	
Share acquired in fair value of net assets of joint operation (50% x ₹ 240 lakhs)	120
Less: Carrying amount of asset contributed	(80)
Less: Unrealised portion of gain on asset contributed (50% × (₹ 120 lakhs – ₹ 80 lakhs))	(20)
Gain to be recognised by Entity B	20

### Question 6

Entity A owns all the share capital of Entity B and controls Entity B. On 1st April, 20X2, Entity A acquired a building from Entity B, for  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  600 lakhs, that the group plans to use as its new head office. Entity B had purchased the building from a third party on 1st April, 20X1 for  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  525 lakhs. At that time, the building was assessed to have a useful life of 21 years and a residual value of Nil. On 1st April, 20X2, the carrying amount of the building was  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  500 lakhs in Entity B's individual financial statements. The estimated remaining useful life of the building measured from 1st April, 20X2 is 20 years and the residual value of the building is still Nil. The method of depreciation followed is straight-line.

Pass necessary Journal Entries for recording the above transactions in the books of Entity B, Entity A and the Group's general ledger. (RTP Nov '23)

### Answer 6

### Journal Entries in the books of Entity B

	₹in	₹in
	lakhs	lakhs
1st April, 20X1		
Building A/c (Property, plant and equipment) Dr.	525	
To Bank A/c		525
(To recognise the purchase of the building for cash)		
31st March, 20X2		
Depreciation (Refer W.N.) Dr.	25	
To Building A/c (Property, plant and equipment)		25
(To recognise depreciation on building for its use in the year 20X1-20X2)		
1st April, 20X2		
Bank A/c Dr.	600	
To Building A/c (Property, plant and equipment)		500
To Profit on sale of Building		100

(To recognise the sale of the building for cash)

### Journal Entries in the books of Entity A

	₹ in lakhs	₹ in lakhs
1st April, 20X2		
Building A/c (Property, plant and equipment) Dr.	600	
To Bank A/c		600
(To recognise the purchase of a building for cash from Entity B)		
31st March, 20X3		
Depreciation A/c (Refer W.N.) Dr.	30	
To Building A/c (Property, plant and equipment)		30
(To recognise depreciation on building for its use in the year 20X2-20X3)		

### Journal Entries in the books of Group

	₹ in lakhs	₹ in lakhs
31st March, 20X3		
Profit on sale of Building Dr.	100	
To Building A/c (Property, plant and equipment)		100
(To eliminate the effects of the intragroup transaction)		
Building A/c (Property plant and equipment) Dr.	5	
To Depreciation A/c (W.N.)		5
(To eliminate the effects of the intragroup transaction)		

### **Working Note:**

### Computation of Depreciation and its Adjustment in the Group's Financial Statements

	In Individual Financial statements of Entity B/Entity A	For adjustmen t in the books of Group	
Particulars	₹ in lakhs	₹ in lakhs	
Cost of Building on 1st April, 20X1 for Entity B	525		
Useful life	21 years		
Depreciation per year (₹ 525 lakhs / 21 years)	25	25	

Cost of Building on 1st April, 20X2 for <b>Entity</b>	600	
Useful life	20 years	
Depreciation per year (₹ 600 lakhs / 20 years)	30	30
Reversal of depreciation in the books of Group		(5)

### Question 7

Identify the type of joint arrangements in each of the following scenarios:

- (i) X Ltd and Y Ltd, manufacturing similar type of mobile phones, form a joint arrangement to manufacture and sell mobile phones. Under the terms of the arrangement, both X Ltd and Y Ltd are to use their own assets to manufacture the mobile phones and both are responsible for liabilities related to their respective manufacture. The arrangement also lays down the distribution revenues from the sale of the mobile phones and expenses incurred thereof. X Ltd however has exclusive control over the marketing and distribution functions and does not require the consent of Y Ltd in this aspect. No separate entity is created for the arrangement.
- (ii) Continuing with (i) above, what would be the classification of the joint arrangement if X Ltd and Y Ltd both jointly control all the relevant activities of the Joint arrangement including the marketing and the distribution functions?
- (iii) What would be the classification of the joint arrangement if under the terms of the arrangement, a separate entity is created to manufacture the mobile phones.
- (iv) Continuing with (iii) above, the joint arrangement is a means of manufacturing mobile phones on a common platform but the output of the joint arrangement is purchased by both X Ltd and Y Ltd in the ratio of 50:50. The joint arrangement cannot sell output to third parties. The price of the output sold to X Ltd and Y Ltd is set by both the parties to the arrangement to cover the production costs and other administrative costs of the joint arrangement entity.
- (v) Would your answer in (iv) above be different if X Ltd and Y Ltd sold their respective share of output to third parties?
- (vi) Assume that in (iv) above, the contractual terms of the arrangement were modified so that the joint arrangement entity is not obliged to sell the output to X Ltd and Y Ltd but was able to sell the output to third parties. (RTP May '22, MTP 7 Marks Apr'23)

### Answer 7

For a joint arrangement to be either a joint operation or joint venture, it depends on whether the parties to the joint arrangement have rights to the assets and obligations for liabilities (will be a joint operation) OR whether the parties to the joint arrangement have rights to the net assets of the arrangement (will be joint venture).

(i) In order to fit into the definition of a joint arrangement, the parties to the joint arrangement should have joint control over the arrangement. In the given case, decisions relating to relevant activities, ie, marketing and distribution, are solely controlled by X Ltd and such decisions do not require the consent of Y Ltd.

- Hence, the joint control test is not satisfied in this arrangement and the arrangement does not fit into the definition of a joint arrangement in accordance with the Standard.
- (ii) Where X Ltd and Y Ltd both jointly control all the relevant activities of the arrangement and since no separate entity is formed for the arrangement, the joint arrangement is in the nature of a joint operation.
- (iii) Where under a joint arrangement, a separate vehicle is formed to give effect to the joint arrangement, then the joint arrangement can either be a joint operation or a joint venture.

### Hence in the given case, if:

- (a) The contractual terms of the joint arrangement, give both X Ltd and Y Ltd rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to the arrangement, and the rights to the corresponding revenues and obligations for the corresponding expenses, then the joint arrangement will be in the nature of a joint operation.
- (b) The contractual terms of the joint arrangement, give both X Ltd and Y Ltd. rights to the net assets of the arrangement, then the joint arrangement will be in the nature of a joint venture.
- (iv) Where the rights to assets and liabilities to obligations are not clear from the contractual arrangement, then other facts and circumstances also need to be considered to determine whether the joint arrangement is a joint operation or a joint venture.
  - When the provision of the activities of the joint venture is primarily to produce output and the output is available / distributed only to the parties to the joint arrangement in some pre-determined ratio, then this indicates that the parties have substantially all the economic benefits of the assets of the arrangement. The only source of cash flows to the joint arrangement is receipts from parties through their purchases of the output and the parties also have a liability to fund the settlement of liabilities of the separate entity. Such an arrangement indicates that the joint arrangement is in the nature of a joint operation.
  - In the given case, the output of the joint arrangement is exclusively used by X Ltd. and Y Ltd. and the joint arrangement is not allowed to sell the output to outside parties. Hence, the joint arrangement between X Ltd. and Y Ltd. is in the nature of a joint operation.
- (v) It makes no difference whether the output of the joint arrangement is exclusively for use by the parties to the joint arrangement or the parties to the arrangement sold their share of the output to third parties. Hence, even if X Ltd. and Y Ltd. sold their respective share of output to third parties, the fact still remains that the joint arrangement cannot sell output directly to third parties. Hence, the joint arrangement will still be deemed to be in the nature of a joint operation.
- (vi) Where the terms of the contractual arrangement enable the separate entity to sell the output to third parties, this would result in the separate entity assuming demand, inventory and credit risks. Such facts and circumstances would indicate that the arrangement is a joint venture.

### Question 8

PP Ltd., a non-investment entity, is the parent of Praja Ltd. within the meaning ∞ of Ind AS 110 'Consolidated Financial Statements'. The investment in Praja Ltd. was carried in the separate financial statements of PP Ltd. at fair value with changes in fair value recognised in the other comprehensive income. On 1st April, 20X2, PP Ltd. qualifies as one that is an investment entity. Carrying amount of the

investment on 1st April, 20X2 was `8,00,000. The fair value of its investment in Praja Ltd was `10,00,000 on that date. PP Ltd had recognised in OCI an amount of `1,00,000 as a previous fair value increase related to the investment in Praja Ltd. How would PP Ltd account for the investment in Praja Ltd on the date of change of its classification/status as an investment entity, in its separate financial statements? (RTP Nov '21)

### Answer 8

- (i) On the date of change, ie, 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X2, PP Ltd (the parent) becoming an investment entity, its investment in Praja Ltd (the subsidiary) shall be at fair value through profit and loss in accordance with Ind AS 109. Accordingly, the new carrying amount will be `10,00,000.
- (ii) The difference between the new carrying amount and the carrying amount of the investment on the date of change will be recognised in the profit and loss. Hence, PP Ltd will recognise an amount of `2,00,000 (`10,00,000 `8,00,000) in profit and loss as gain.
- (iii) Any fair value adjustments previously recognised in OCI in respect of subsidiary ie Praja Ltd. shall be treated as if the investment entity had disposed off the subsidiary at the date of change in status, ie, amounts shall be reclassified from OCI to the profit and loss on the date of change of status. Therefore, `1,00,000 shall be reclassified from OCI to the profit and loss.

shan be reclassified from OCI to the profit a	11a 1055.	
Particulars	,	,
Carrying amount of investment in Praja Ltd [as per (i) above]		10,00,00
Amounts recognised in profit and loss relating to investment in Praja Ltd As per (ii) above As per (iii)	2,00,00 0 1,00,00	3,00,000

### Question 9

Gamma Limited, a parent company, is engaged in manufacturing and retail activities. The group holds investments in different entities as follows:

- Gamma Limited holds 100% Investment in G Limited and D Limited;
- G Limited and D Limited hold 60% and 40% in GD Limited respectively;
- Delta Limited is a 100% subsidiary of GD Limited

Firstly, Gamma Limited wants you to suggest whether GD Limited can avail the exemption from the preparation and presentation of consolidated financial statements as per applicable Ind AS?

Secondly, if all other facts remain the same as above except that G Limited and D Limited are both owned by an Individual (say, Mr. X) instead of Gamma Limited, then explain whether GD Limited can avail the exemption from the preparation and presentation of consolidated financial statements. (RTP May'20)

### Answer 9

As per paragraph 4(a) of Ind AS 110, an entity that is a parent shall present consolidated financial statements. This Ind AS applies to all entities, except as follows:

A parent need not present consolidated financial statements if it meets all the following conditions:

(i) it is a wholly-owned subsidiary or is a partially-owned subsidiary of another entity and all its other owners, including those not otherwise entitled to vote,

- have been informed about, and do not object to, the parent not presenting consolidated financial statements;
- (ii) its debt or equity instruments are not traded in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets);
- (iii) it did not file, nor is it in the process of filing, its financial statements with a securities commission or other regulatory organisation for the purpose of issuing any class of instruments in a public market; and
- (iv) its ultimate or any intermediate parent produces financial statements that are available for public use and comply with Ind ASs, in which subsidiaries are consolidated or are measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with this Ind AS.

In accordance with the above, it may be noted that as per paragraph 4(a)(i) above, a parent need not present consolidated financial statements if it is a:

- wholly-owned subsidiary; or
- is a partially-owned subsidiary of another entity and all its other owners, including those not otherwise entitled to vote, have been informed about, and do not object to, the parent not presenting consolidated financial statements.

Although GD Limited is a partly-owned subsidiary of G Limited, it is the wholly-owned subsidiary of Gamma Limited (and therefore satisfies the condition 4(a)(i) of Ind AS 110 without regard to the relationship with its immediate owners, i.e. G Limited and D Limited). Thus, GD Limited being the wholly owned subsidiary fulfils the conditions as mentioned under paragraph 4(a)(i) and is not required to inform its other owner D Limited of its intention not to prepare the consolidated financial statements.

Thus, in accordance with the above, GD Limited may take the exemption given under paragraph 4(a) of Ind AS 110 from presentation of consolidated financial statements.

In Alternative Scenario, where both G Limited and D Limited are owned by an individual Mr. X, then GD Limited is ultimately wholly in control of Mr. X (i.e., an individual) and hence it cannot be considered as a wholly owned subsidiary of an entity.

This is because Ind AS 110 makes use of the term 'entity' and the word 'entity' includes a company as well as any other form of entity. Since, Mr. X is an 'individual' and not an 'entity', therefore, GD Limited cannot be considered as wholly owned subsidiary of an entity.

Therefore, in the given case, GD Limited is a partially-owned subsidiary of another entity. Accordingly, in order to avail the exemption under paragraph 4(a), its other owner, D Limited should be informed about and do not object to GD Limited not presenting consolidated financial statements. Further, for the purpose of consolidation of G Limited and D Limited, GD Limited will be required to provide relevant financial information as per Ind AS.

### Question 10

An entity P (parent) has two wholly-owned subsidiaries - X and Y, each of which has an ownership interest in an 'associate', entity Z. Subsidiary X is a venture capital organization. Neither of the investments held in associate Z by subsidiaries X and Y is held for trading. Subsidiary X and Y account for their investment in associate Z at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109 and using the equity method in accordance with Ind AS 28 respectively.

How should P account for the investment in associate Z in the following scenarios: Scenario 1: Where both investments in the associate result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis - Subsidiary X and Y ownership interest in associate Z is 25% and 20% respectively.

 $\frac{1}{1}$ 

Scenario 2: When neither of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis, but do provide the parent with significant influence on a combined basis - Subsidiary X and Y ownership interest in associate Z is 10% each.

Scenario 3: When one of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis and the other investment in the associate does not result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis - Subsidiary X and Y ownership interest in associate Z is 30% and 10% respectively. Assume there is significant influence if the entity has 20% or more voting rights. (RTP May 20)


### Answer 10

Paragraph 18 of Ind AS 28 states that, "when an investment in an associate or a joint venture is held by, or is held indirectly through, an entity that is a venture capital organisation, or a mutual fund, unit trust and similar entities including investmentlinked insurance funds, the entity may elect to measure investments in those associates and joint ventures at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109. An entity shall make this election separately for each associate or joint venture, at initial recognition of the associate or joint venture."

Paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28 provides that, "when an entity has an investment in an associate, a portion of which is held indirectly through a venture capital organisation, or a mutual fund, unit trust and similar entities including investment-linked insurance funds, the entity may elect to measure that portion of the investment in the associate at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109 regardless of whether the venture capital organisation has significant influence over that portion of the investment. If the entity makes that election, the entity shall apply the equity method to any remaining portion of its investment in an associate that is not held through a venture capital organisation".

Therefore, fair value exemption can be applied partially in such cases.


**Scenario 1:** Where both investments in the associate result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis.



method of accounting for its 20% interest held by Y.

Under the partial use of fair value exemption, P may elect to measure the 25% interest held by X at fair value through profit or loss.


Scenario 2: When neither of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis, but do provide the parent with significant influence on a combined basis.



In the present case in accordance with the paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28, P must follow equity method of accounting for its 10% interest held by Y, even though Y would not have significant influence on a stand-alone basis.

Under the partial use of fair value exemption, P may elect to measure the 10% interest held by X at fair value through profit or loss.

Scenario 3: When one of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis and the other investment in the associate does not result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis



In the present case, in accordance with paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28, P must follow equity method of accounting for its 10% interest held by Y, even though Y would not have significant influence on a stand-alone basis. Under the partial use of fair value exemption, the P may elect to measure the 30% interest held by X at fair value through profit or loss.

### Question 11

On 1st April 2017 Alpha Ltd. commenced joint construction of a property with Gama Ltd. For this purpose, an agreement has been entered into that provides for joint operation and ownership of the property. All the ongoing expenditure, comprising maintenance plus borrowing costs, is to be shared equally. The construction was completed on 30th September 2017 and utilisation of the property started on 1st January 2018 at which time the estimated useful life of the same was estimated to be 20 years.

Total cost of the construction of the property was Rs. 40 crores. Besides internal accruals, the cost was partly funded by way of loan of Rs. 10 crores taken on 1st January 2017. The loan carries interest at an annual rate of 10% with interest

payable at the end of year on 31st December each year. The company has spent Rs. 4,00,000 on the maintenance of such property.

The company has recorded the entire amount paid as investment in Joint Venture in the books of accounts. Suggest the suitable accounting treatment of the above transaction as per applicable Ind AS. (RTP Nov '18)

### Answer 11

As provided in Ind- AS 111 - Joint Arrangements - this is a joint arrangement because two or more parties have joint control of the property under a contractual arrangement. The arrangement will be regarded as a joint operation because Alpha Ltd. and Gama Ltd. have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of this joint arrangement. This means that the company and the other investor will each recognise 50% of the cost of constructing the asset in property, plant and equipment.

The borrowing cost incurred on constructing the property should under the principles of Ind AS 23 'Borrowing Costs', be included as part of the cost of the asset for the period of construction.

In this case, the relevant borrowing cost to be included is Rs. 50,00,000 (Rs. 10,00,00,000  $\times$  10%  $\times$  6/12).

The total cost of the asset is Rs. 40,50,00,000 (Rs. 40,00,00,000 + Rs. 50,00,000)

Rs. 20,25,00,000 crores is included in the property, plant and equipment of Alpha Ltd. and the same amount in the property, plant and equipment of Gama Ltd.

The depreciation charge for the year ended 31 March 2018 will therefore be Rs. 1,01,25,000 (Rs.  $40,50,00,000 \times 1/20 \times 6/12$ ) Rs. 50,62,500 will be charged in the statement of profit or loss of the company and the same amount in the statement of profit or loss of Gama Ltd.

The other costs relating to the arrangement in the current year totalling Rs. 54,00,000 (finance cost for the second half year of Rs. 50,00,000 plus maintenance costs of Rs. 4,00,000) will be charged to the statement of profit or loss of Alpha Ltd. and Gama Ltd. in equal proportions- Rs. 27,00,000 each.

### **Question 12**

On 1st April, 20X1, Alpha Ltd. commenced joint construction of a property with Gama Ltd. For this purpose, an agreement has been entered into that provides for joint operation and ownership of the property. All the ongoing expenditure, comprising maintenance plus borrowing costs, is to be shared equally. The construction was completed on 30th September 20X1 and utilisation of the property started on 1st January 20X2 at which time the estimated useful life of the same was estimated to be 20 years.

Total cost of the construction of the property was `40 crores. Besides internal accruals, the cost was partly funded by way of loan of `10 crores taken on 1st January, 20X1. The loan carries interest at an annual rate of 10% with interest payable at the end of year on 31 st December each year. The company has spent `4,00,000 on the maintenance of such property.

The company has recorded the entire amount paid as investment in Joint Venture in the books of accounts. Suggest the suitable accounting treatment of the above transaction as per applicable Ind AS. (MTP 6 Marks Sep '23)

### Answer 12

As provided in Ind AS 111 'Joint Arrangements', this is a joint arrangement because two or more parties have joint control of the property under a contractual arrangement. The arrangement will be regarded as a joint operation because Alpha Ltd. and Gama Ltd. have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of this joint arrangement. This means that the company and the

other investor will each recognise 50% of the cost of constructing the asset in property, plant and equipment.

The borrowing cost incurred on constructing the property should under the principles of Ind AS 23 'Borrowing Costs', be included as part of the cost of the asset for the period of construction.

In this case, the relevant borrowing cost to be included is  $^{\circ}$  50,00,000 ( $^{\circ}$  10,00,00,000 x 10% x 6/12).

The total cost of the asset is 40,50,00,000 (40,00,00,000 + 50,00,000)

` 20,25,00,000 crores is included in the property, plant and equipment of Alpha Ltd. and the same amount in the property, plant and equipment of Gama Ltd.

The depreciation charge for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 will therefore be 1,01,25,000 (1,01,25,000) (

The other costs relating to the arrangement in the current year totalling `54,00,000 (finance cost for the second half year of `50,00,000 plus maintenance costs of `4,00,000) will be charged to the statement of profit and loss of Alpha Ltd. and Gama Ltd. in equal proportions - `27,00,000 each.

### Question 13

Blue Ltd. acquired 25% of the equity share capital of Green Ltd. on the first day of the financial year for  $\gtrless 1,25,000$ . As of that date, the carrying value of the net assets of Green Ltd. Was  $\gtrless 3,00,000$  and the fair value was  $\gtrless 4,00,000$ . The excess of fair value over the carrying value was attributable to one of the buildings owned by Green Ltd. having a remaining useful life of 20 years. Green Ltd. earned profit of  $\gtrless 40,000$  and other comprehensive income of  $\gtrless 10,000$  during the year. Calculate the goodwill / capital reserve on the date of acquisition, Blue Ltd.'s share in the profit and other comprehensive income for the year and closing balance of investment at the end of the year. (MTP 8 Marks Nov 21)

### Answer 13

### (1) Goodwill / capital reserve on the date of acquisition

The cost of the investment is higher than the net fair value of the investee's identifiable assets and liabilities. Hence there is goodwill. Amount of goodwill is calculated as follows

	₹
Cost of acquisition of investment	1,25,000
Blue Ltd.'s share in fair value of net assets of Green Ltd. on the date of acquisition (4,00,000 *25%)	(1,00,000)
Goodwill	25,00
	0

Above goodwill will be recorded as part of carrying amount of the investment.

### (2) Share in profit and other comprehensive income of Gren Ltd.

	₹
Share in profit of Green Ltd. (40,000 x 25%)	10,000

Adjustment for depreciation based on fair value (1,00,000 ÷ 20) x 25%	(1,250)
Share in profit after adjustment Share in other comprehensive income (10,000 x 25%)	8,750 2,500

(3) Closing balance of investment at the end of the year

	₹
Cost of acquisition of investment (including goodwill of ₹ 25,000)	1,25,000
Share in profit after adjustments	8,750
Share in other comprehensive income	2,500
Closing balance of investment	1,36,250

### Question 14

High Speed Ltd. has entered into a Share Purchase Agreement ("SPA") with the shareholders of Fast Move Limited to purchase 30% stake in Fast Move Limited as at 1 st June, 20X1 at a price of ₹ 30 per share. As per the terms of SPA, High Speed Ltd. has an option to purchase additional 25% stake in Fast Move Limited on or before 15th June, 20X1 at a price of ₹ 30 per share. Similarly, the selling shareholder has an option to sell additional 25% stake in Fast Move Limited on or before 15.6.20X1 to High Speed Ltd. at a price of ₹ 30 per share. The decisions on relevant activities of Fast Move Limited are made in Annual General Meeting / Extraordinary General Meeting (AGM / EGM). A resolution in AGM / EGM is passed when more than 50% votes are casted in favor of the resolution. An AGM / EGM can be called by giving atleast 21 days advance notice to all shareholders.

With respect to the SPA entered by High Speed Ltd., you are required to determine whether High Speed Ltd. has control over Fast Move Limited as at 1st June, 20X1. (MTP 5 Marks Oct'22)

### Answer 14

Paragraph 10 of Ind AS 110 'Consolidated Financial Statements', states that an investor has power over an investee when the investor has existing rights that give it the current ability to direct the relevant activities, i.e. the activities that significantly affect the investee's returns.

As per the facts given in the question, High Speed Ltd. has 15 days to exercise the option to purchase 25% additional stake in Fast Move Ltd. which will give it majority voting rights of 55% (30% + 25%). This is a substantive potential voting rights which is currently exercisable.

Further, the decisions on relevant activities of Fast Move Ltd. are made in AGM / EGM. An AGM/ EGM can be called by giving atleast 21 days advance notice. A resolution in AGM / EGM is passed when more than 50% votes are casted in favour of the resolution. Thus, the existing shareholders of Fast Move Ltd. are unable to change the existing policies over the relevant activities before the exercise of option by High Speed Ltd.

High Speed Ltd. can exercise the option and get voting rights of more than 50% at the date of AGM/ EGM. Accordingly, the option contract gives High Speed Ltd. the current ability to direct the relevant activities even before the option contract is settled. Therefore, High Speed Ltd. controls Fast Move Ltd. as at 1st June, 20X1.

### Question 15

The following information relates to the results of the parent and subsidiary (jointly) and the investment in associate and joint venture: (All figures are in rupees)

Summarized Balance Sheet as at 31.3.20X1

Summariz	Summarized Balance Sneet as at 31.3.20A1				
	Holding and	Associate	Joint		
	subsidiary		Venture		
Equity and Liabilities					
Called up equity shares of	1,00,000	40,000	10,000		
Rs. 1 each					
General reserve	40,000		-		
Profit and loss account	37,000	27,000	83,000		
Minority Interest	20,000	-	-		
Current Liabilities					
Trade payables -Creditors	20,000	32,000	6,000		
Provision for tax	19,000	11,000	11,000		
	2,36,000	1,10,000	1,10,000		
Assets					
Non-current assets					
Fixed assets- Tangible assets	1,95,000	74,000	41,000		
Investments:					
8,000 shares in Associate	15,000	-	-		
5,000 shares in Joint Venture	5,000	-	-		
Current assets	21,000	36,000	69,000		
	2,36,000	1,10,000	1,10,000		

Details of Profit and Loss account for the year ended 31.3.20X

Details of Front and Boss account for the year chaca of the				
	Holding and Associate		Joint	
	subsidiary		Venture	
Retained profit for the year	15,000	11,000	23,000	
Add: Retained profit brought	22,000	16,000	60,000	
forward Retained profit carried forward	37,000	27,000	83,000	

You are given the following additional information:

- (a) The parent company purchased its investment in the associate two years ago when the balance on the profit and loss account was Rs. 17,000. There are no signs of impairment of the goodwill.
- (b) The parent company entered into a joint venture to access a lucrative market in the former East Germany. It set up a company two years ago and has 50 per cent of the voting rights of the company set up for this joint venture.

Prepare the consolidated balance sheet for the Group as per relevant Accounting Standards for the year ended 31.3.20X1. (MTP 16 Marks March '18)

### Answer 15

(a) Consolidated Balance Sheet as on 31.3.20X1

 $_{
m age}1206$ 

# age 1207

### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Particulars		Note	Rs.
		No.	
I. Equity and Liabilities			
(1) Shareholder's Funds			
(a) Share Capital		1	1,00,000
(b) Reserves and Surplus			
(2) Minority Interest		2	1,20,700
(3) Current Liabilities			20,000
(a) Trade Payables			
(b) Short Term Provisions		3	23,000
II. Assots		4	04.500
II. Assets	Tota	4	24,500
	10ta 1		2,88,200
(a) Fixed assets  Tangible assets	1		
(b) Non-current investment			
(2) Current assets			
		5	2,15,500
		6	17,200
	<b>Т</b>	7	55,500
	Tota 1		2,88,200

#### Notes to Accounts

Not	es to Accounts		
			Rs.
1.	Share Capital		
	Called up equity shares of Rs.		1,00,000
	1 each Reserves and Surplus	40,000	, , , , , , ,
	General Reserve	ŕ	
	Profit and Loss A/c	80,700	1,20,700
3.	(W.N.3) Trade Payables		
	Holding &	20,000	
	Subsidiary Joint	-	02.000
	Venture (50%)	3,000	23,000
4.	Short term provisions		
	Provisions for Tax		
	Holding &	19,000	
	Subsidiary Joint		0.4 = 0.0
	Venture (50%)	5,500	24,500
5.	Tangibles Assets		
	Holding &	1,95,00	
	Subsidiary Joint	0	
	Venture (50%)		2,15,500
	Non-current investment	20,500	
6.	Investment in Associate		
	(W.N.4) Current Asset Holding & Subsidiary		17,200
7	Joint Venture (50%)		11,200
7.	comit venture (00/0)		
		21,000	

34,500 55,500

### **Working Notes:**

Analysis of Profit & Loss of Associate / Joint Venture

	Pre-	Post-
	acquisition	acquisition
	Rs.	Rs.
Profit as on 31.3.20X1 27,000	16,000	11,000
Share of Associate company (20%)	3,200	2,200
Analysis of Profit and Loss of Joint Venture	Nil	83,000
Share of Joint Venture (50%)		41,500

2. Calculation of Goodwill/Capital Reserve

	Associate		Joint Ventur	
		Rs.		Rs.
Investment		15,000		5,000
Less: Nominal Value	8,000		5,000	
Capital Profit	3,200	(11,200)	-	(5,000)
Goodwill		3,800		Nil

Calculation of Consolidated Profit and Loss Account 3.

	Rs.
Profit and Loss Account of Holding & Subsidiary	37,000
Add: Share of Associate (W.N.1)	2,200
Joint Venture (W.N.1)	41,500
	80,700

Calculation of Investment in Associate

	Rs.
Goodwill (W.N.2)	3,800
Net worth	11,200
Cost	15,000
Add: Share of Revenue Profit	2,200
	17,200

Note: Out of Rs. 17,000 existed at the time of acquisition, only Rs. 16,000 (Opening Balance) is continuing in the books of the associate. Therefore, Rs. 16,000 is taken as capital profit assuming that it is a part of that Rs. 17,000 existed at the time of acquisition.

### **Question 16**

On 10th May, 2016, A Ltd. acquired 40% shares of B Ltd. for Rs. 45,00,000. By such an acquisition Rohtas Ltd. can exercise significant influence over B Ltd. During the financial year ending on 31st March, 2016, B Ltd. earned profits Rs. 11,54,000 and declared a dividend of Rs. 2,48,000 on 16th September, 2016. reported earnings of Rs. 26,26,000 for the financial year ending on 31st March, 2017 and declared dividend of Rs. 9,85,000 on 17th August, 2017.

You are required to calculate the carrying amount of investments in Separate Financial Statements of A Ltd. as on 31st March, 2017 and also in Consolidated

Financial Statements of A Ltd. as on 31st March, 2017. What will be the carrying amount of Investments in Consolidated Financial Statements of A Ltd. if prepared on 31st August 2017 on the basis of Accounting Standards? (MTP 6 Marks April '18)

### Answer 16

### (i) Carrying amount of investment in Separate Financial Statement of A Ltd. as on 31.03.2017

	Rs.
Amount paid for investment in Associate (on 10.5.2016)	45,00,000
Less: Pre-acquisition dividend (`2,48,000 x 40%)	(99,200)
Carrying amount as on 31.3.2017	44,00,800

### (ii) Carrying amount of investment in Consolidated Financial Statements of A Ltd. as on 31.3.2017 as per AS 23

•	Rs.
Carrying amount as per separate financial statements	44,00,800
<i>Add</i> : Proportionate share of profit of investee as per equity	
method (40% of ` 26,26,000)	10,50,400
Carrying amount as on 31.3.2017 as per AS 23	54,51,200

## (iii) Carrying amount of investment in Consolidated Financial Statement of A Ltd. as on 31.8.2017 as per AS 23

	Rs.
Carrying amount as on 31.3.2017	54,51,20
	0
Less: Dividend received (`9,85,000 x 40%)	(3,94,00
	0)
Carrying amount as on 31.8.2017 as per AS 23	50,57,20
	0

### Question 17

X Ltd. owns 20% of the voting rights in Y Ltd. and is entitled to appoint one director to the board, which consist of five members. The remaining 80% of the voting rights are held by two entities, each of which is entitled to appoint two directors.

A quorum of four directors and a majority of those present are required to make decisions. The other shareholders frequently call board meeting at the short notice and make decisions in the absence of X Ltd's representative. X Ltd has requested financial information from Y Ltd, but this information has not been provided. X Ltd's representative has attended board meetings, but suggestions for items to be included on the agenda have been ignored and the other directors oppose any suggestions made by X Ltd. Is Y Ltd an associate of X Ltd.? (MTP 4 Marks Mar '19)

### Answer 17

Despite the fact that the X Ltd owns 20% of the voting rights and has representations on the board, the existence of other shareholders holding a significant proportion of the voting rights prevent X Ltd. from exerting significant influence. Whilst it appears the X

Ltd should have the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decision, the other shareholders prevent X Ltd's efforts and stop X Ltd from actually having any influence. In this situation, Y Ltd would not be an associate of X Ltd.

### Question 18

Tee Limited is carrying on the business of developing light weight and medium weight guns for the Indian defence industry. Tee Limited acquired 48% of shares in Kay Limited, a company engaged in advanced research in weapons. Tee Limited acquired shares in Kay Limited to substantiate their position in the industry. The remaining 52% of shares are held by the key management personnel of the Company Kay Limited. The Kay management consists of eleven people who are experts in the fields of advanced weapons and the core of the Company. Tee Limited has the option to purchase remaining 52% at any time by paying 6 times the market price of the share. But on purchase of the shares it is highly

- possible that the key management personnel will leave the company. (A) State whether Tee Limited has control over Kay Limited.
- (B) What will be your answer if Tee Limited had 51% of shares in Kay Limited and Kay Limited can start the research, development and production of weapon only with the stringent approval process of the defence ministry of the Central Government. (MTP 5 Marks Oct '19)

### Answer 18

As per para 7 of Ind AS 110 / IFRS 10, an investor controls an investee if and only if the investor has all the following:

- 1. Power over the investee Further, as per para 10 of the standard, an investor has power over an investee when the investor has existing rights that give it the current ability to direct the relevant activities, ie the activities that significantly affect the investee's returns.
- 2. Exposure, or rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee As per para 15 of the standard, an investor is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee when the investor's returns from its involvement have the potential to vary as a result of the investee's performance.
- 3. The ability to use its power over the investee to affect the amount of the investor's returns An investor is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee when the investor's returns from its involvement have the potential to vary as a result of the investee's performance. The investor's returns can be only positive, only negative or both positive and negative.

### Based on the above guidance, following can be concluded:

(A) Tee limited has acquired 48% in Kay Limited. The purpose of acquiring the shares by Tee limited in it is to substantiate their position in the industry. Kay Limited is a specialist entity that is engaged in advanced research in weapons. Acquiring Kay Limited will help Tee limited to gain access to their research which would complement Tee limited's operations and business of developing light weight and medium weight guns.

The key management personnel who holds 52% shares of Kay Limited are key for running Kay Limited's business of advanced research and will help Tee limited to acquire the market through ground breaking advanced researches of Kay Limited. In case of acquisition of 52% stake of Kay Limited, the key management personnel may leave the organisation and in such a situation Tee limited will not enjoy any economic benefit or infact will lose the benefit of unique technical knowledge of those 11 experts.

Hence, Tee limited would not be able to use its power over Kay Limited to affect the

# amount of its returns which is one of the essential criteria to assess the control, so

- there is no control of Tee limited on Kay Limited. (B) Even though Tee limited has acquired 51% stake in Kay Limited yet it does not
- have power over Kay Limited as it would not be able to exercise its existing rights that give it the current ability to direct the relevant activities, ie the activities that significantly affect the investee's returns. In other words, the relevant activity of Kay Limited is advance research in weapons which will help Tee limited to substantiate their position. However, the research, development and production will start only after stringent approval process of the defence ministry of the Central Government. Thus regulations prevent Tee limited to direct the relevant activity of Kay Limited which ultimately lead to prevent Tee Limited to have control.

### **Question 19**

Preet Pvt. Ltd. has a number of wholly-owned subsidiaries including Stuti Pvt. at 31st March, 2018. Preet Pvt. Ltd.'s consolidated balance sheet and the carrying amount of assets and liabilities of Stuti Pvt. Ltd., included in the respective amount of respective grouped assets and liabilities of the consolidated balance sheet as at 31st March, 2018 are as follows:

Particulars	Consolidated (`in millions)	Group carrying amount of S Pvt. Ltd. asset and liabilities Ltd. (`in millions)
Assets		•
Non-Current Assets		
Goodwill	380	180
Buildings	3,240	1,340
Current Assets		
Inventories	140	40
Trade Receivables	1,700	900
Cash	3,100	1000
Total Assets	8,560	3,460
Equities & Liabilities		
Equity		
Share Capital	1600	
Other Equity		
Retained Earnings	4,260	
Current liabilities		
Trade Payables	2,700	900
Total Equity & Liabilities	8,560	900

Prepare Consolidated Balance Sheet after disposal as on 31st March, 2018 when Preet Pvt. Ltd. group sold 100% shares of Stuti Pvt. Ltd. to independent party for Rs. 3,000 millions. (MTP 16 Marks Aug '18)

### Answer 19

When 100% shares sold to independent party Consolidated Balance Sheet of Preet Pvt. Ltd. and its remaining subsidiaries as on 31st March, 2018.

Pa	rticulars	Note No.	(Rs. in million)
I.	Assets (1) Non-current assets		
	(1) Non-current assets	1	1,900

# 1212

### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

		1	1
	(i) Property Plant & Equipment	2	200
	(ii) Goodwill		
		3	100
(2)	Current Assets	4	800
		5	5,100
			8,100
	(i) Inventories		
	(ii) Financial Assets	6	1,600
	(a) Trade Receivables	7	4,700
	(b) Cash & Cash equivalents		
_	Total Assets	8	1,800
II. Equi	ty and Liabilities	0	
(1)	Equity		8,100
	(i) Equity Share Capital		
	(ii) Other Equity		
(2)	Current Liabilities		
	(i) Financial Liabilities		
	(a) Trade Payables		
	Total Equity &		
	Liabilities		
•	-		

### Notes to Financial Statements:

			(Rs. in million)
1.	Property Plant & Equipment		
	Land & Building		
	Group	3,240	
	Less: Stuti Pvt. Ltd.	(1,340)	1,900
2.	Intangible Assets		
	Goodwill		
	Group	380	
	Less: Stuti Pvt. Ltd.	(180)	200
3.	Inventories		
	Group	140	
	Less: Stuti Pvt. Ltd.	(40)	100
4.	Trade Receivables		
	Group	1,700	
	Less: Stuti Pvt. Ltd.	(900)	800
5.	Cash & cash equivalents		
	Group (WN 2)	5,100	5,100
8.	Trade Payables		
	Group	2,700	
	Less: Stuti Pvt. Ltd.	900	1,800

### Statement of Changes in Equity:

1. Equity Share Capital

Balance begi	at nning of		Changes share	in	Equity capital		at of	the the
repo	rting peri	od	during t	the	year	reporti	ng pe	riod
160	00		0	)		160	0	

Other Equity

2. Other Equity	Share	Equity	R	Reserves & Surplus		
	applicat ion money		Capit al		Securiti es	
Balance at the beginning				4,26 0		4,26 0
Total comprehensive income for the year			0			
Dividend			0			
Total comprehensive income attributable to the parent			0			
Gain on disposal of Stuti Pvt. Ltd.				440		440
Balance at the end of the reporting period			0	4,700		4,700

### **Working Notes:**

1. When sold, the carrying amount of all assets and liabilities attributable to Stuti Pvt. Ltd. were eliminated from the consolidated statement of financial position.

2.	Cash in hand		(in million)
	Cash before disposal of Stuti Pvt. Ltd.	3,100	
	Less: Stuti Pvt. Ltd. Cash	(1,000)	

3,000 Add: Cash realized from disposal Cash in hand 5,10

3. (in million)

Gain	Loss on disposal of entity						
Proceeds from disposal 3,000							
Less.	Net assets of Stuti Pvt. Ltd.	(2,560)					
Gain	on disposal						
		440					

Retained Earnings (in million)

Retained Barnings	
Retained earnings before disposal	4,26
Add: Gain on disposal	0
-	
	440

Retained earnings after disposal	4,70
	0

### Question 20

Sumeru Limited holds 35% of total equity shares of Meru Limited, an associate company. The value of Investments in Meru Limited on March 31, 20X1 is Rs. 3 crores in the consolidated financial statements of Sumeru Limited.

Sumeru Limited sold goods worth Rs. 3,50,000 to Meru Limited. The cost of goods sold. is Rs. 3,00,000. Out of these, goods costing Rs. 1,00,000 to Meru Limited were in the closing stock of Meru Limited.

During the year ended March 31, 20X2 the profit and loss statement of Meru Limited showed a loss of Rs. 1 crore.

- (A) What is the value of investment in Meru Limited as on March 31, 20 X2 in the consolidated financial statements of Sumeru Limited, if equity method is adopted for valuing the investments in associates?
- (B) Will your answer be different if Meru Limited had earned a profit of Rs. 1.50 crores and declared a dividend of Rs. 75 lacs to the equity shareholders of the Company? (MTP 5 Marks Oct '19)

### Answer 20

(A) Value of investment in Meru Ltd. as on 31st March, 20X2 as per equity method in the consolidated financial statements of Sumeru Ltd.

	Rs.
Cost of Investment	3,00,00,00
	0
Less: Share in Post-acquisition Loss (1,00,00,000	(35,00,000
x 35%)	)
Less: Unrealised gain on inventory left unsold	
with Meru Ltd.	
[{(50,000/3,00,000) x 1,00,000} x 35%]	(5,833)
Carrying value as per Equity method	2,64,94,16
	7

(B) Value of investment in Meru Ltd. as on 31st March, 20X2 as per equity method in the consolidated financial statements of Sumeru Ltd.

	Rs.
Cost of Investment	3,00,00,00
	0
Add: Share in Post-Acquisition Profit (1,50,00,000 x	52,50,000
35%)	
Less: Unrealised gain on inventory left unsold with	
Meru Ltd.	
[{(50,000/3,00,000) x 1,00,000} x 35%]	(5,833)
Less: Dividend (75,00,000 x 35%)	(26,25,000
	)
Carrying value as per Equity method	3,26,19,16
	7

### Question 21

DEF Ltd. acquired 100% ordinary shares of Rs. 100 each of XYZ Ltd. on 1st October 20X1. On 31st March, 20X2 the summarised Balance Sheets of the two companies were as given below:

	DEF Ltd.	XYZ Ltd.
Assets		
Property Plant Equipment		
Land & Buildings	15,00,000	18,00,00 0
Plant & Machinery	24,00,000	13,50,00 0
Investment in XYZ Ltd.	34,00,000	-
Inventory	12,00,000	3,64,000
Financial Assets		
Trade Receivable	5,98,000	4,00,000
Cash	1,45,000	80,000
Total	92,43,000	39,94,00 0
Equity & Liabilities		
Equity Capital (Shares of Rs. 100 each fully paid)	50,00,000	20,00,00
Other Equity		
Other reserves	24,00,000	10,00,00 0
Retained Earnings	5,72,000	8,20,000
Financial Liabilities		
Bank Overdraft	8,00,000	-
Trade Payable	4,71,000	1,74,000
Total	92,43,000	39,94,00 0

The retained earnings of XYZ Ltd. showed a credit balance of Rs. 3,00,000 on 1st April 20X1 out of which a dividend of 10% was paid on 1st November; 20X1 DEF Ltd. has recognised the dividend received to profit or loss account; Fair Value of Plant & Machinery as on 1st October 20X1 was Rs. 20,00,000. The rate of depreciation on plant & machinery is 10%.

Following are the increases on comparison of Fair value as per respective Ind AS with Book value as on 1st October 20X1 which are to be considered while consolidating the Balance Sheets.

Liabilities	Amoun	Assets	Amount
	t		
Trade Payables	1,00,0 00	Land & Buildings	10,00,00 0
		Inventories	1,50,000

### **Notes:**

- 1. It may be assumed that the inventory is still unsold on balance sheet date and the Trade Payables are also not yet settled.
- 2. Also assume that the Other Reserves of both the companies as on 31st March

20X2 are the same as was on 1st April 20X1.

- 3. All fair value adjustments have not yet started impacting consolidated post-acquisition profits.
- 4. Investment in XYZ Ltd is carried at cost in the separate financial statements of DEF Ltd.
- 5. Appreciation of Rs.10 lakhs in land & buildings is entirely attributable to land element only.
- 6. Depreciation on plant and machinery is on WDV method.
- 7. Acquisition-date fair value adjustment to inventories of XYZ Ltd. existing at the balance sheet date does not result in need for any write-down.

Prepare consolidated Balance Sheet as on 31st March, 20X2. (MTP 14 Marks Mar '21)

### Answer 21

Consolidated Balance Sheet of DEF Ltd. and its subsidiary, XYZ Ltd. as on 31st March, 20X2

Paı	rticu	lars	B	Note No.	Rs.	
I.	Ass	ets				
	(1)	No	n-current assets			
		(i)	Property Plant & Equipment	1	86,00,000	
	(2)	Cu	rrent Assets			
		(i)	Inventories	2	17,14,000	
		(ii)	Financial Assets			
			(a) Trade Receivables	3	9,98,000	
			(b) Cash & Cash equivalents	4	2,25,000	
Total Assets					1,15,37,000	
II.	Εqι	iity	and Liabilities			
	(1)	Equ	aity			
		(i)	Equity Share Capital	5	50,00,000	
		(ii)	Other Equity	6	49,92,000	
	(2)	Cu	rrent Liabilities			
		(i)	Financial Liabilities			
			(a) Trade Payables	7	7,45,000	
			(b) Short term borrowings	8	8,00,000	
Tota	al Ec	uit	y & Liabilities		1,15,37,000	

### **Notes to Accounts**

			Rs.
1.	Property Plant & Equipment		
	Land & Building	43,00,00	
		0	
	Plant & Machinery (W.N. 7)	43,00,00	86,00,000
		O	
2.	Inventories		
	DEF Ltd.	12,00,00	

		0	
	XYZ Ltd.	5,14,000	17,14,000
3.	Trade Receivables		
	DEF Ltd.	5,98,000	
	XYZ Ltd.	4,00,000	9,98,000
4.	Cash & Cash equivalents		
	DEF Ltd.	1,45,000	
	XYZ Ltd.	80,000	2,25,000
7.	Trade payable		
	DEF Ltd.	4,7	
		1,0	
		00	
	XYZ Ltd.	,	7,45,000
8.	Shorter-term borrowings	4,0 00	
	Bank overdraft		8,00,000

### **Statement of Changes in Equity:**

1. Equity share Capital

Balance beginning reporting p		the	_	capital		at the end reporting
	50,00	0,000	0			50,00,00
						0

2. Other Equity

	Share	Equity		Reserves & Surplus				Total	
	pending	of compound	Capita 1 reserve	Ċ	- Earning		Other Reserves		
Balance at the beginning					0		24,00,00	24,00,000	
Total comprehensive income for the year			0		5,72,00			5,72,000	
Dividends			0	(2	2,00,000			(2,00,000)	
Total comprehensive income attributable to parent			0		3,35,00			3,35,000	

Gain on Bargain purchase		18,85,000			18,85,000
Balance at the end of					
reporting period		18,85,000	7,07,00	24,00,00	49,92,000

It is assumed that there exists no clear evidence for classifying the acquisition of the subsidiary as a bargain purchase and, hence, the bargain purchase gain has been recognized directly in capital reserve. If, however, there exists such a clear evidence, the bargain purchase gain would be recognized in other comprehensive income and then accumulated in capital reserve. In both the cases, closing balance of capital reserve will be Rs. 18,85,000.

### **Working Notes:**

### 1. Adjustments of Fair Value

The Plant & Machinery of XYZ Ltd. would stand in the books at Rs. 14,25,000 on 1<sup>st</sup> October, 20X1, considering only six months' depreciation on Rs. 15,00,000 total depreciation being Rs. 1,50,000. The value put on the assets being Rs. 20,00,000 there is an appreciation to the extent of Rs. 5,75,000.

2. Acquisition date profits of XYZ Ltd. Rs.

Acquisition date profits of A12 btd. Rs.	
Reserves on 1.4. 20X1	10,00,000
Profit & Loss Account Balance on 1.4. 20X1	3,00,000
Profit for 20X2: Total Rs. 8,20,000 less Rs. 1,00,000 (3,00,000 – 2,00,000) i.e. Rs. 7,20,000; for 6 months i.e. up to 1.10.20X1	
Total Appreciation including machinery appreciation (10,00,000 1,50,000 + 5,75,000 - 1,00,000)	16,25,000
Share of DEF Ltd.	32,85,000

3. Post-acquisition profits of XYZ Ltd. Rs.

rost acquisition profits of Mil Lta. Its.	
Profit after 1.10. 20X1 [8,20,000-1,00,000]x 6/12	3,60,000
Less: 10% depreciation on Rs. 20,00,000 for 6 months less depreciation already charged for 2 <sup>nd</sup> half of 20X1-20X2 on Rs. 15,00,000 (1,00,000-75,000)	
Share of DEF Ltd.	3,35,000

4. Consolidated total comprehensive income Rs.

DEF Ltd.	
Retained earnings on 31.3.20X2	5,72,000
Less: Retained earnings as on 1.4.20X1 Profits for the year 20X1-20X2	(0) 5,72,00 0
Less: Elimination of intra-group dividend	(2,00,000)
Adjusted profit for the year	3,72,000

1718

XYZ Ltd.	
Adjusted profit attributable to DEF Ltd. (W.N.3)	3,35,000
Consolidated profit or loss for the year	7,07,000

5. No Non-controlling Interest as 100% shares of XYZ Ltd. are held by DEF Ltd.

6. Gain on Bargain Purchase Rs.

Amount paid for 20,000		34,00,00
shares Par value of shares	20,00,000	0
DEF Ltd.'s share in acquisition date profits of XYZ	32,85,000	
Ltd.		(52,85,00
Gain on Bargain Purchase		O)
		18,85,000

7. Value of Plant & Machinery Rs.


DEF Ltd.		24,00,00
XYZ Ltd.	13,50,00	0
Add: Appreciation on 1.10. 20X1	0	
	5,75,00	
	0	
	19,25,000	
Add: Depreciation for 2nd half charged on pre-		
revalued value	75,000	
Less: Depreciation on Rs. 20,00,000	(1,00,000)	19,00,00
for 6 months		0
		43,00,00
		0

### Question 22

Huge Ltd. has a controlling interest in Subsidiaries P, Q and R and has significant influence over Associates A and B. Subsidiary R has significant influence over Associate C. Determine the related party relationship, as per Ind AS 24, of the entities referred in the question in the following financial statements:

- (i) In consolidated financial statements of Huge Ltd.
- (ii) In individual financial statements of Huge Ltd.
- (iii) In individual financial statements of Subsidiary P
- (iv) In individual financial statements of Subsidiary Q
- (v) In individual financial statements of Subsidiary R
- (vi) In individual financial statements of Associates A, B and C (MTP 10 Marks April '18)

### **Answer 22**



As per para 9 (b) (i) and (ii) of Ind AS 24,

- "An entity is related to a reporting entity if any of the following conditions applies:
- (i) The entity and the reporting entity are members of the same group (which means that each parent, subsidiary and fellow subsidiary is related to the others).
- (ii) One entity is an associate or joint venture of the other entity (or an associate or joint venture of a member of a group of which the other entity is a member)."

### Accordingly,

- (i) For Huge Ltd.'s consolidated financial statements- Associates A, B and C are related to the Group.
- (ii) For Huge Ltd.'s separate financial statements- Subsidiaries P, Q and C and Associates A, B and C are related parties.
- (iii) For Subsidiary P's financial statements- Parent, Subsidiaries Q and R and Associates A, B and C are related parties.
- (iv) For Subsidiary Q's separate financial statements- Parent, Subsidiaries P and R and Associates A, B and C are related parties.
- (v) For Subsidiary R's financial statements- Parent, Subsidiaries P and Q and Associates A, B and C are related parties.
- (vi) For the financial statements of Associates A, B and C- Parent and Subsidiaries

### Question 23

A parent purchased an 80% interest in a subsidiary for Rs. 1,60,000 on 1 April 20X1 when the fair value of the subsidiary's net assets was Rs. 1,75,000. Goodwill of Rs. 20,000 arose on consolidation under the partial goodwill method. An impairment of goodwill of Rs. 8,000 was charged in the consolidated financial statements to 31 March 20X3. No other impairment charges have been recorded. The parent sold its investment in the subsidiary on 31 March 20X4 for Rs. 2,00,000. The book value of the subsidiary's net assets in the consolidated financial statement on the date of the sale was Rs. 2,25,00d (not including goodwill of Rs. 12,000). When the subsidiary met the criteria to be classified as held for sale under Ind AS 105, no write down was required because the expected fair clue less cost to sell (of 100% of the subsidiary) was greater than the carrying value.

The parent carried the investment in the subsidiary at cost, as permitted by Ind AS 27.

Calculate gain or loss on disposal of subsidiary in parents separate and consolidated financial statement as on 31st March 20X4. (MTP 6 Marks April 19)

 $_{
m age}1220$ 

### Answer 23

The parent's separate statement of profit and loss for 20X3-20X4 would show a gain on the sale of investment of Rs. 40,000 calculated as follow:

Rs. '000
Sale proceeds 200
Less.' Cost of investment in subsidiary (160)
Gain on sale in parent's account 40

However, the group's statement of profit & loss for 20X3-20X4 would show a gain on the sale of subsidiary of Rs. 8,000 calculated as follows:

Rs. '000 Sale proceeds 200 Less: share of net assets at date of disposal (Rs. 2,25,000 X 80%) (180)

Goodwill on consolidation at date of sale (W.N 1) (12)

(192)

Gain on sale in the group's account

8

### Working Note

The goodwill on consolidation (assuming partial goodwill method) is calculated as follows:

Rs.'000

Fair value of consideration at the date of acquisition

Non- controlling interest measured at proportionate share of the acquiree's identifiable net assets (1,75,000 X 20%)

35

Less.' fair value of net assets of subsidiary at date of acquisition (175) (140)

Goodwill arising on consolidation 20

Impairment at 31 March 20X3 (8)
Goodwill at 31 March 20X4 12

### Question 24

Two parties structure a joint arrangement in an incorporated entity i.e. Entity A in which each party has a 50% ownership interest. The purpose of the arrangement is to manufacture materials required by the parties for their own, individual manufacturing processes. The arrangement ensures that the parties operate the facility that produces the materials to the quantity and quality specifications of the parties. The legal form of Entity A (an incorporated entity) through which the activities are conducted initially indicates that the assets and liabilities held in Entity A are the assets and liabilities of Entity A. The contractual arrangement between the parties does not specify that the parties have rights to the assets or obligations for the liabilities of Entity A. There are following other relevant facts and circumstances applicable in this case:

- The parties agreed to purchase all the output produced by Entity A in a ratio of 50:50. Entity A cannot sell any of the output to third parties, unless this is approved by the two parties to the arrangement. Because the purpose of the arrangement is to provide the parties with output they require, such sales to third parties are expected to be uncommon and not material.
- The price of the output sold to the parties is set by both parties at a level that is designed to cover the costs of production and administrative expenses incurred by Entity A. Based on this operating model, the arrangement is intended to operate at a break-even level.

Based on the above fact pattern, determine whether the arrangement is a joint operation or a joint venture? Will your conclusion change in case Entity A sells all its output to third parties instead of its owners? (MTP 6 Marks April 22)

### Answer 24

The legal form of Entity A and the terms of the contractual arrangement indicate that the arrangement is a joint venture. However, the other relevant facts and circumstances mentioned above indicates that:

- the obligation of the parties to purchase all the output produced by Entity A reflects the exclusive dependence of Entity A upon the parties for the generation of cash flows and, thus, the parties have an obligation to fund the settlement of the liabilities of Entity A.
- the fact that the parties have rights to all the output produced by Entity A means that the parties are consuming, and therefore have rights to, all the economic benefits of the assets of Entity A.

These facts and circumstances indicate that the arrangement is a joint operation.

The conclusion about the classification of the joint arrangement in these circumstances would not change if, instead of the parties using their share of the output themselves in a subsequent manufacturing process, the parties sold their share of the output to third parties.

If the parties changed the terms of the contractual arrangement so that the arrangement was able to sell output to third parties, this would result in Entity A assuming demand, inventory and credit risks. In that scenario, such a change in the facts and circumstances would require

reassessment of the classification of the joint arrangement. Such facts and circumstances would indicate that the arrangement is a joint venture.

### Question 25

On 1st April 20X1, Investor Ltd. acquires 35% interest in another entity, XYZ Ltd. Investor Ltd. determines that it is able to exercise significant influence over XYZ Ltd. Investor Ltd. has paid total consideration of ₹ 47,50,000 for acquisition of its interest in XYZ Ltd. At the date of acquisition, the book value of XYZ Ltd.'s net assets was ₹ 90,00,000 and their fair value was₹ 1,10,00,000. Investor Ltd. has determined that the difference of ₹ 20,00,000 pertains to an itemof property, plant and equipment (PPE) which has remaining useful life of 10 years.

During the year, XYZ Ltd. made a profit of ₹ 8,00,000. XYZ Ltd. paid a dividend of ₹ 12,00,000 on 31st March, 20X2. XYZ Ltd. also holds a long-term investment in equity securities. Under Ind AS, investment is classified as at FVTOCI in accordance with Ind AS 109 and XYZ Ltd. recognized an increase in value of investment by ₹ 2,00,000 in OCI during the year. Ignore deferred tax implications, if any.

Calculate the closing balance of Investor Ltd.'s investment in XYZ Ltd. as at 31 st March, 20X2 as per the relevant Ind AS. (MTP 8 Marks Oct'22, RTP Nov'20)

### Answer 25

### Calculation of Investor Ltd.'s investment in XYZ Ltd. under equity method:

₹	₹
	47,50,000
31,50,000	
7,00,000	38,50,000
	9,00,000
	47,50,000
	₹ 31,50,000 7,00,000

Share in the profit reported by XYZ Ltd. (35% of ₹ 8,00,000)	2,80,000	
Adjustment to reflecteffect of fair valuation		
[35% of (₹ 20,00,000/10 years)]	(70,000)	
Share of profit in XYZ Ltd. recognised in income by Investor		2,10,000
Ltd.		
Long term equity investment		
FVTOCI gain recognised in OCI (35% of ₹ 2,00,000)		70,000
Dividend received by Investor Ltd. during the year [35% of		
₹ 12,00,000]		(4,20,000)
Closing balance of Investor Ltd.'s investment in XYZ Ltd.		46,10,000

### **Question 26**

On the first day of a financial year, A Ltd. invested in the equity share capital of B Ltd. at a cost of ₹ 1,00,000 to acquire 25% share in the voting power of B Ltd. A Ltd. has concluded that B Ltd. is an associate of A Ltd. At the end of the year, B Ltd. earned profit of ₹ 10,000 and other comprehensive income of ₹ 2,000. In that year, B Ltd. also declared dividend to the extent of ₹ 4,000. Pass necessary entries in the books of A Ltd. to account for the investment in associate. (MTP 4 Marks April '23)

### Answer 26

Following entries would be passed in the books of A Ltd.:

1) Initial entry to record investment done in associate

Investment in B Ltd. A/c Dr. 1,00,000

To Bank A/c 1,00,000

2) Recording of share in the profit of the associate

Investment in B Ltd. A/c Dr. 2,500

To Share in profit of investee (P&L) 2,500

[A Ltd. share in profit would be ₹ 2,500 (₹ 10,000 x 25%)]

3) Recording of share in the other comprehensive income (OCI) of the associate

Investment in B Ltd. A/c Dr. 500

To Share in OCI of investee (OCI) 500

1,000

[A Ltd. share in OCI would be ₹ 500 (₹ 2,000 x 25%)]

4) Recording of dividend distributed by associate

Dividend Receivable A/c Dr. 1,000

To Investment in B Ltd. A/c

[A Ltd. share in dividend would be ₹ 1,000 (₹ 4,000 x 25%)]

### Question 27

On 1st April, 20X1, A Ltd. acquired 80% of the share capital of S Ltd. On acquisition date the share capital and reserves of S Ltd. stood at  $\,^{\circ}$  5,00,000 and  $\,^{\circ}$  1,25,000 respectively. A Ltd.'s paid initial cash consideration of  $\,^{\circ}$  10,00,000. Additionally, A Ltd. issued 2,00,000 equity shares with a nominal value of  $\,^{\circ}$  1 per share at current market value of  $\,^{\circ}$  1.80 per share. It was also agreed that A Ltd. would pay a further sum of  $\,^{\circ}$  5,00,000 after three years. A Ltd.'s cost of capital is 10%. The appropriate discount factor for  $\,^{\circ}$  1  $\,^{\circ}$  10% receivable at the end of

1st year: 0.91 2nd year: 0.83 3rd year: 0.75

The shares and deferred consideration have not yet been recorded by A limited. Below are the Balance Sheet of A Ltd. and S Ltd. as at 31st March, 20X3:

	A Ltd. (` 000)	S Ltd. (` 000)
Non-current assets:		
Property, plant & equipment	5,500	1,500
Investment in S Ltd. at cost	1,000	
Current assets:		
Inventory	550	100
Receivables	400	200
Cash	200	50
Equity:	7,650	1,850
Share capital	2,000	500
Retained earnings	1,400	300
	3,400	800
Non-current liabilities	3,000	400
Current liabilities	1,250	650
	7,650	1,850

### Further information:

- (i) On the date of acquisition the fair values of S Ltd.'s plant exceeded its book value by `2,00,000. The plant had a remaining useful life of five years at this date;
- (ii) The consolidated goodwill has been impaired by `2,58,000; and
- (iii) A Ltd. Group values the non-controlling interest using the fair value method. At the date of acquisition, the fair value of 20% non-controlling interest was 3.80.000.

You are required to prepare Consolidated Balance Sheet of A Ltd. as at 31st March, 20X3. (Notes to Account on Consolidated Balance Sheet is not required). (15 Marks Oct '23)

### Answer 27

# Consolidated Balance Sheet of A Ltd. and its subsidiary, S Ltd. as at 31st March, 20X3

Particulars	`in 000s
I. Assets	
(1) Non-current assets	
(i) Property Plant & Equipment (W.N.4)	7,120.00
(ii) Intangible asset – Goodwill (W.N.3)	1,032.00
(2) Current Assets	
(i) Inventories (550 + 100)	650.00
(ii) Financial Assets	
(a) Trade Receivables (400 + 200)	600.00
(b) Cash & Cash equivalents (200 + 50)	250.00
Total Assets	9,652.00

II. Equity and Liabilities	
(1) Equity	
(i) Equity Share Capital (2,000 + 200)	2,200.00
(ii) Other Equity	
(a) Retained Earnings (W.N.6)	1190.85
(b) Securities Premium	160.00
(2) Non-Controlling Interest (W.N.5)	347.40
(3) Non-Current Liabilities (3,000 + 400)	3,400.00
(4) Current Liabilities (W.N.8)	2,353.75
Total Equity & Liabilities	9,652.00

#### Notes:

- 1. Since the question required not to prepare Notes to Account, the column of Note to Accounts had not been drawn.
- 2. It is assumed that shares were issued during the year 20X2-20X3 and entries are yet to be made.

#### **Working Notes:**

1. Calculation of purchase consideration at the acquisition date i.e. 1 st April, 20X1

	`in 000s
Payment made by A Ltd. to S Ltd. Cash	1,000.00
Equity shares (2,00,000 shares x ` 1.80)	360.00
Present value of deferred consideration (`5,00,000 x 0.75)	375.00
Total consideration	1,735.00

## 2. Calculation of net assets i.e. net worth at the acquisition date i.e. 1 st April, 20X1

	`in 000s
Share capital of S Ltd.	500.00
Reserves of S Ltd.	125.00
Fair value increase on Property, Plant and Equipment	200.00
Net worth on acquisition date	825.00

## 3. Calculation of Goodwill at the acquisition date i.e. 1st April, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X3

	`in 000s
Purchase consideration (W.N.1)	1,735.00
Non-controlling interest at fair value (as given in the question)	380.00
	2,115.00
Less: Net worth (W.N.2)	(825.00)
Goodwill as on 1st April, 20X1	1,290.00

J225

Less: Impairment (as given in the question)	258.00
Goodwill as on 31st March, 20X3	1,032.00

## 4. Calculation of Property, Plant and Equipment as on 31st March, 20X3

		`in 000s
A Ltd.		5,500.00
S Ltd.	1,500.0	
	0	
Add: Net fair value gain not recorded yet		
200.00		
Less: Depreciation [(200/5) x 2]		1,620.00
(80.00)	120.00	1,620.00 7,120.00

## 5. Calculation of Post-acquisition gain (after adjustment of impairment on goodwill) and value of NCI as on 31st March, 20X3

		`in 000s	`in 000s
		NCI (20% )	A Ltd(80%)
Acquisition date balance		380.00	Nil
Closing balance of Retained Earnings	300.00		
Less: Pre-acquisition balance	(125.00)		
Post-acquisition gain	175.00		
Less: Additional Depreciation on PPE [(200/5) x 2] (80.00)			
Share in post-acquisition gain	95.00	19.00	76.00
Less: Impairment on goodwill	258.00	(51.60)	(206.40)
		347.40	(130.40)

6. Consolidated Retained Earnings as on 31st March, 20X3

	`in 000s
A Ltd.	1,400.00
Add: Share of post-acquisition loss of S Ltd. (W.N.5)	(130.40)
Less: Finance cost on deferred consideration (37.5 +	(78.75)
41.25) (W.N.7)	
Retained Earnings as on 31st March, 20X3	1,190.85

## 7. Calculation of value of deferred consideration as on 31st March, 20X3

	`in 000s
Value of deferred consideration as on 1st April, 20X1 (W.N.1)	375.00
Add: Finance cost for the year 20X1-20X2 (375 x 10%)	37.50
	412.50
Add: Finance cost for the year 20X2-20X3 (412.50 x	41.25

10%)	
Deferred consideration as on 31st March, 20X3	453.75

8. Calculation of current Liability as on 31st March, 20X3

	`in 000s
A Ltd.	1,250.00
S Ltd.	650.00
Deferred consideration as on 31st March, 20X3 (W.N.7)	453.75
Current Liability as on 31st March, 20X3	2,353.75

#### Question 28

Angel Ltd. has adopted Ind AS with a transition date of 1st April, 2017. Prior to Ind AS adoption, it followed Accounting Standards notified under Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as "IGAAP").

It has made investments in equity shares of Pharma Ltd., a listed company engaged in the business of pharmaceuticals. The shareholding pattern of Pharma Ltd. is given below:

Shareholders (refer Note 1)	Percentage shareholding as on 1st April, 2017
Angel Ltd.	21%
Little Angel Ltd. (refer Note 2)	24%
Wealth Master Mutual Fund (refer Note 3)	3%
Individual public shareholders (refer Note 4)	52%

- (1) None of the shareholders have entered into any shareholders'
- (2) Little Angel Ltd. is a subsidiary of Angel Ltd. (under Ind AS) in which Angel Ltd. holds 51% voting power.
- (3) Wealth Master Mutual Fund is not related party of either Little Angel Ltd. or Pharma Ltd.
- (4) Individual public shareholders represent 17,455 individuals. None of the individual shareholders hold more than 1% of voting power in Pharma Ltd.

All commercial decisions of Pharma Ltd. are taken by its directors who are appointed by a simple majority vote of the shareholders in the annual general meetings ("AGM"). The following table shows the voting pattern of past AGMs of Pharma Ltd.:

Shareholders	AGM for the financial year:			
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	
Angel Ltd.	Attended and voted in	Attended and voted	Attended and voted in favour of all the	
	favour of all the			
	resolutions	resolutions	resolutions	
Little Angel	Attended and voted as	Attended and voted	Attended and voted as	
Ltd.	per directions of Angel	as per directions of	per directions of Angel	
	Ltd.	Angel Ltd	Ltd	

Wealth Master Mutual Fund	Attended and voted in favour of all the resolutions except for the reappointment of the retiring directors	Attended and voted in favour of all the resolutions except for the reappointment of the retiring directors	Attended and voted in favour of all the resolutions except for the reappointment of the retiring directors
Individuals	7% of the individual shareholders attended the AGM. All the individual shareholders voted in favour of all the resolutions, except that 50% of the individual Shareholders voted against the resolution to appoint the retiring directors.	8% of the individual shareholders attended the AGM. All the individual shareholders voted in favour of all the resolutions, except that 50% of the individual Shareholders voted against the Resolution to appoint the retiring directors.	6% of the individual shareholders attended the AGM. All the individual shareholders voted in favour of all the resolutions, except that 50% of the individual Shareholders voted against the resolution to appoint the retiring directors.

Pharma Ltd. has obtained substantial long term borrowings from a bank. The loan is payable in 20 years from 1st April, 2017. As per the terms of the borrowing, following actions by Pharma Ltd. will require prior approval of the bank:

- Payment of dividends to the shareholders in cash or kind;
- Buyback of its own equity shares;
- Issue of bonus equity shares;
- Amalgamation of Pharma Ltd. with any other entity; and
- Obtaining additional loans from any entity.
- Recently, the Board of Directors of Pharma Ltd. proposed a dividend of Rs. 5 per share. However, when the CFO of Pharma Ltd. approached the bank for obtaining their approval, the bank rejected the proposal citing concerns over the short-term cash liquidity of Pharma Ltd. Having learned about the developments, the Directors of Angel Ltd. along with the Directors of Little Angel Ltd. approached t he bank with a request to re-consider its decision. The Directors of Angel Ltd. and Little Angel Ltd. urged the bank to approve a reduced dividend of at least Rs. 2 per share. However, the bank categorically refused to approve any payout of dividend.
- Under IGAAP, Angel Ltd. has classified Pharma Ltd. as its associate. As the CFO of Angel Ltd., you are required to comment on the correct classification of Pharma Ltd. on transition to Ind AS. (RTP May 19)

#### Answer 28

To determine whether Pharma Limited can be continued to be classified as an associate on transition to lnd AS, we will have to determine whether Angel Limited controls Pharma Limited as defined under Ind AS 110.

An investor controls an investee if and only if the investor has all the following:

- (a) Power over investee
- (b) Exposure, or rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee
- (c) Ability to use power over the investee to affect the amount of the investor's returns.

Page 1228

Since Angel Ltd. does not have majority voting rights in Pharma Ltd. we will have to determine whether the existing voting rights of Angel Ltd. are sufficient to provide it power over Pharma Ltd.

Analysis of each of the three elements of the definition of control:

Elements / conditions	Analysis	
Power over investee	Angel Limited along with its subsidiary Little Angel	
Exposure, or rights,	Limited (hereinafter referred to as "the Angel group") does not have majority voting rights in Pharma Limited.  Therefore, in order to determine whether Angel group have power over Pharma Limited. we will need to analyse whether Angel group, by virtue of its non- majority voting power, have practical ability to unilaterally direct the relevant activities of Pharma Limited. In other words, we will need to analyse whether Angel group has <b>de facto power</b> over Pharma Limited. Following is the analysis of de facto power of Angel over Pharma Limited:  The public shareholding of Pharma Limited (that is, 52% represents thousands of shareholders none individually holding material shareholding,  The actual participation of Individual public shareholders in the general meetings is minimal (that is, in the range of 6% to 8%).  Even the public shareholders who attend the meeting do not consult with each other to vote.  Therefore, as per guidance of Ind AS 110, the public shareholders will not be able to outvote Angel group (who is the largest shareholder group) in any general meeting.  Based on the above-mentioned analysis, we can conclude that Angel group has de facto power over Pharma Limited.	
	involvement with Pharma Limited by virtue of its equity stake.	
over the investee to affect the amount of	nature as they do not relate to the relevant activities (that is, activities that significantly affect he Pharma Limited's returns) of Pharma Limited.  As per Ind AS 110, protective rights are the rights designed to protect the interest of the party holding those rights without giving that party power over the entity to which those rights relate.  Therefore, the protective rights held by the bank should not be considered while evaluating whether or not Angel Group has control over Pharma Limited.	
Conclusion: Since all	the three elements of definition of control is present, it can be	

**Conclusion:** Since all the three elements of definition of control is present, it can be concluded that Angel Limited has control over Pharma Limited.

Since it has been established that Angel Limited has control over Pharma Limited, upon transition to Ind AS, Angel Limited shall classify Pharma Limited as its subsidiary.

#### Question 29

AB Limited and BC Limited establish a joint arrangement through a separate vehicle PQR, but the legal form of the separate vehicle does not confer separation between the parties and the separate vehicle itself. Thus, both the parties have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of PQR. As neither the contractual terms nor the other facts and circumstances indicate otherwise, it is concluded that the arrangement is a joint operation and not a joint venture.

Both the parties own 50% each of the equity interest in PQR. However, the contractual terms of the joint arrangement state that AB Limited has the rights to all of Building No. 1 owned by PQR and the obligation to pay all of the debt owed by PQR to a lender XYZ. AB Limited and BC Limited have rights to all other assets in PQR, and obligations for all other liabilities of PQR in proportion of their equity interests (i.e. 50% each). PQR's summarized balance sheet is as follows: (Rs. in crore)

	Amount
Building 1	240
Building 2	200
Cash	40
Total Assets	480
Equity	140
Debt owed to XYZ	240
Employee benefit plan obligation	100
Total Liabilities	480

How would AB Limited present its interest in PQR in its financial statements? (RTP May'20)

#### Answer 29

8 Paragraph 20 of Ind AS 111 states that "a joint operator shall recognise in relation to its

interest in a joint operation:

- its assets, including its share of any assets held jointly;
- its liabilities, including its share of any liabilities incurred jointly;
- its revenue from the sale of its share of the output arising from the joint operation;
- its share of the revenue from the sale of the output by the joint operation; and
- its expenses, including its share of any expenses incurred jointly."

The rights and obligations, as specified in the contractual arrangement, that an entity has with respect to the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses relating to a joint operation might differ from its ownership interest in the joint operation. Thus a joint operator needs to recognize its interest in the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation on the basis (bases) specified in the contractual arrangement, rather than in proportion of its ownership interest in the joint operation.

Thus, AB Limited would record the following in its financial statements, to account for its rights to the assets of PQR and its obligations for the liabilities of PQR.

	Rs. in crore
Assets	
Cash	20
Building 1*	240

age 1230

Building 2	100
Liabilities	
Debt owned to XYZ (third	240
party)**	
Employees benefit plan	50
obligation	

<sup>\*</sup> Since AB Limited has the rights to all of Building No. 1, it records the amount in its

#### Question 30

A company, AB Ltd. holds investments in subsidiaries and associates. In its separate financial statements, AB Ltd. wants to elect to account its investments in subsidiaries at cost and the investments in associates as financial assets at fair value through profit or loss (FVTPL) in accordance with Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments. Whether AB Limited can carry investments in subsidiaries at cost and investments in associates in accordance with Ind AS 109 in its separate financial statements (RTP Nov'20)

#### Answer 30

Paragraph 10 of Ind AS 27, Separate Financial Statements inter-alia provides that, when an entity prepares separate financial statements, it shall account for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates either at cost, or in accordance with Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments in its separate financial statements. Further, the entity shall apply the same accounting for each category of investments.

It may be noted that although the 'category' is used in number of Standards, it is not defined in any of the Ind AS. It seems that subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures would qualify as separate categories. Thus, the same accounting policies are applied for each category of investments - i.e. each of subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures. However, paragraph 10 of Ind AS 27 should not be read to mean that, in all circumstances, all investments in associates are one 'category' of investment and all investments in joint ventures or an associate are one 'category' of investment. These categories can be further divided into sub-categories provided the sub-category can be defined clearly and objectively and results in information that is relevant and reliable. For example, an investment entity parent can have investment entity subsidiary (at fair value through profit or loss) and non-investment entity subsidiary (whose main purpose is to provide services that relate to the investment entity's investment activities) as separate categories in its separate financial statements. In the present case, investment in subsidiaries and associates are considered to be different categories of investments. Further, Ind AS 27 requires to account for the investment in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates either at cost, or in accordance with Ind AS 109 for each category of Investment. Thus, AB Limited can carry its investments in subsidiaries at cost and its investments in associates as financial assets in accordance with Ind AS 109 in its separate financial statements.

#### Question 31

Solar Limited has an 80% interest in its subsidiary, Mars Limited. Solar Limited holds a direct interest of 25% in Venus Limited. Mars Limited also holds a 30% m interest in Venus Limited. The decisions concerning relevant activities of Venus Limited require a simple majority of votes. How should Solar Limited account for

<sup>\*\*</sup> AB Limited has obligation for the debt owed by POR to XYZ in its entirety.

## its investment in Venus Limited in its consolidated financial statements? (RTP Nov '21, MTP 5 Marks Apr'22)

#### Answer 31

In the present case, Solar Limited controls Mars Limited (since it holds 80% of its voting rights). Consequently, it also controls the voting rights associated with 30% equity interest held by Mars Limited in Venus Limited. Solar Limited also has 25% direct equity interest and related voting power in Venus Limited. Thus, Solar Limited controls 55% (30% + 25%) voting power of Venus Limited. As the decisions concerning relevant activities of Venus Limited require a simple majority of votes. Solar Limited controls Venus Limited and should therefore consolidate it in accordance with Ind AS 110. Although, Solar Limited controls Venus Limited, its entitlement to the subsidiary's economic benefits is determined on the basis of its actual ownership interest. For the purposes of the consolidated financial statements, Solar Limited's share in Venus Limited is determined as 49% [25% + (80% × 30%)]. As a result, 51% of profit or loss, other comprehensive income and net assets of Venus Limited shall be attributed to the non-controlling interests in the consolidated financial statements (this comprises 6%

attributable to holders of non-controlling interests in Mars Limited [reflecting 20% interest of non-controlling shareholders of Mars Limited in 30% of Venus Limited] and

45% to holders of non-controlling interests in Venus Limited).

#### Question 32

'High Speed Limited' manufactures and sells cars. The Company wants to foray into the two-wheeler business and therefore it acquires 30% interest in Quick Bikes Limited for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  5,00,000 as at 1st November, 20X1 and an additional 25% stake as at 1st January, 20X2 for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{\checkmark}{}}$  5,00,000 at its fair value.

Following is the Balance Sheet of Quick Bikes Limited as at 1st January, 20X2:

Liabilities	Carrying value	Fair value	Assets	Carrying value	Fair value
Share capital	1,00,000		Plant and equipment	3,50,000	7,50,000
Reserves	5,50,000		Investment in bonds	4,00,000	5,00,000
Trade payables	1,50,000	1,50,0 00	Trade Receivables	50,000	50,000
Total	8,00,000		Total	8,00,000	

Quick Bikes Limited sells the motorcycles under the brand name 'Super Start' which has a fair value of ₹ 3,50,000 as at 1st January, 20X2. This is a self-generated brand therefore Quick Bikes Limited has not recognized the brand in its books of accounts. Following is the separate balance sheet of High Speed Limited as at 1st January, 20X2:

Liabilities	Amount	Assets	Amount
Share capital	5,00,000	Plant and equipment	13,50,000
Reserves	15,00,00 0	Investment in Quick Bike	10,00,000
Short term loans	4,00,000	Trade Receivables	80,000
Trade payables	3,00,000	Cash and bank balances	5,20,000

 $^{\rm age}1232$ 

Other liabilities	2,50,000		
Total	29,50,00	Total	29,50,000
	0		

In relation to the acquisition of Quick Bikes Limited, you are required to:

- (i) Pass the necessary journal entries to give effect of business combination in accordance with Ind AS 103 as at acquisition date 1st January, 20X2. NCI is measured by the entity at fair value. Provide working notes, Ignore deferred tax implication; and
- (ii) Prepare a consolidated balance sheet of High Speed Limited as at 1st January, 20X2. (RTP May '23)

#### **Answer 32**

(i) Journal Entry

Journal Entry			
		₹	₹
Plant and Equipment	Dr.	7,50,000	
Investment in bonds	Dr.	5,00,000	
Trade Receivables	Dr.	50,000	
Brand	Dr.	3,50,000	
Goodwill (balancing	Dr.	5,00,000	
figure)			
To Investment in Quic	k Bikes		10,00,000
To Profit or loss A/c (V	V.N.1)		1,00,000
To Trade Payables			1,50,000
To NCI (W.N.3)			9,00,000
(Being assets and liabilities value and previous investre fair value on the acquisition	nent considered at		

#### **Working Notes:**

1. Calculation of fair value of shares on the acquisition date 1st January, 20X2

value)30% Shares purchase on 1st November, 20X1 at ₹ $5,00,000$ ₹ 6,00,000Fair value = $[(5,00,000 / 25\%) \times 30\%]$ ₹ 6,00,000Total consideration at fair value on acquisition date₹ 11,00,000Less: Cost of investment(₹ 10,00,000)		
30% Shares purchase on 1st November, 20X1 at ₹ $5,00,000$ Fair value = $[(5,00,000 / 25\%) \times 30\%]$ ₹ 6,00,000  Total consideration at fair value on acquisition date  ₹ 11,00,000  Less: Cost of investment  (₹ 10,00,000)	25% Shares purchase on 1st January, 20X2 (fair	₹ 5,00,000
5,00,000  Fair value = [(5,00,000 / 25%) x 30%] ₹ 6,00,000  Total consideration at fair value on acquisition date ₹ 11,00,000  Less: Cost of investment (₹ 10,00,000)	value)	
Fair value = $[(5,00,000 / 25\%) \times 30\%]$ $₹ 6,00,000$ Total consideration at fair value on acquisition date $₹ 11,00,000$ Less: Cost of investment $(₹ 10,00,000)$	30% Shares purchase on 1st November, 20X1 at ₹	
Total consideration at fair value on acquisition date ₹ 11,00,000  Less: Cost of investment (₹ 10,00,000)	5,00,000	
Less: Cost of investment (₹ 10,00,000)	Fair value = [(5,00,000 / 25%) x 30%]	₹ 6,00,000
, , ,	Total consideration at fair value on acquisition date	₹ 11,00,000
Gain charged to Profit or Loss (5,00,000 + 5,00,000) ₹ 1,00,000	Less: Cost of investment	(₹ 10,00,000)
	Gain charged to Profit or Loss (5,00,000 + 5,00,000)	₹ 1,00,000

2. Computation of Net Identifiable Assets at fair value

	₹
Plant and Equipment	7,50,000
Investment in bonds	5,00,000
Trade Receivables	50,000
Self-generated Brand	
	3,50,000 16,50,00
	16,50,00

1733

	0
Less: Trade Payables	(1,50,00
	0)
Net Identifiable Assets at fair value	15,00,00
	0

3. Measurement of Non-controlling Interest (on fair value basis)

Share of NCI (100- 30-25)	45%
Taking fair value of shares on 1st January, 20X2	₹ 9,00,000
as a base [(11,00,000/ 55%) x 45%]	
3,	7,00,00

## (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheet of High Speed Limited as at 1 st January, 20X2

X2	Note No.	₹
Assets		
Non-current assets		
(a) Property, plant and equipment	1	21,00,000
(b) Intangible asset	2	8,50,000
(c) Investment in bonds		5,00,000
Current Assets		
(a) Financial assets		
(i) Trade receivables	3	1,30,000
(ii) Cash and cash equivalents	4	5,20,000
Equity and Liabilities		41,00,000
Equity		
(a) Equity share capital		5,00,000
(b) Other Equity	5	16,00,000
Non-controlling Interest (W.N.3)		9,00,000
Current Liabilities		
(a) Financial liabilities		
(i) Borrowings	6	4,00,000
(ii) Trade Payables	7	4,50,000
(b) Other Current Liabilities	8	2,50,000
		41,00,000

#### **Notes to Accounts**

S. No.		₹	₹
1.	Property, plant, and equipment		
	High Speed Ltd.	13,50,00 0	
	Quick Bikes Ltd.	7,50,000	21,00,000
2.	Intangible asset		

# 1735

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Goodwill	5,00,000	
Brand value of Quick Bikes Ltd.	3,50,000	8,50,000
Trade Receivables		
High Speed Ltd.	80,000	
Quick Bikes Ltd.	50,000	1,30,000
Cash and cash equivalents		
Quick Bikes Ltd.		5,20,000
Other Equity - Reserves		
High Speed Ltd.	15,00,00	
Add: Gain on investment in Quick Bikes Ltd.	1,00,000	16,00,000
Borrowings		
Short term loans of High-Speed Ltd.		4,00,000
Trade Payables		
High Speed Ltd.	3,00,000	
Quick Bikes Ltd.	1,50,00	4,50,000
Other Current Liabilities	0	
High Speed Ltd.		2,50,000
	Brand value of Quick Bikes Ltd.  Trade Receivables  High Speed Ltd.  Quick Bikes Ltd.  Cash and cash equivalents  Quick Bikes Ltd.  Other Equity - Reserves  High Speed Ltd.  Add: Gain on investment in Quick Bikes Ltd.  Borrowings  Short term loans of High-Speed Ltd.  Trade Payables  High Speed Ltd.  Quick Bikes Ltd.  Other Current Liabilities	Brand value of Quick Bikes Ltd. 3,50,000 Trade Receivables High Speed Ltd. 80,000 Quick Bikes Ltd. 50,000 Cash and cash equivalents Quick Bikes Ltd. Other Equity - Reserves High Speed Ltd. 15,00,00 Add: Gain on investment in Quick Bikes Ltd. Borrowings Short term loans of High-Speed Ltd. Trade Payables High Speed Ltd. 3,00,000 Quick Bikes Ltd. 1,50,00 Other Current Liabilities

# Question 33 Ishwar Ltd. holds investments in Vinayak Ltd. The draft balance sheets of two entities at 31st March, 20X4 were as follows:

Particulars	Ishwar Ltd.	Vinayak Ltd.
	₹ in '000s	₹ in '000s
Assets		
Non-current Assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment	26,20,000	18,50,000
Investment	21,15,000	NIL
Total non-current assets	47,35,000	18,50,000
Current Assets		
Inventories	6,00,000	3,75,000
Trade Receivables	4,50,000	3,30,000
Cash and Cash Equivalents	75,000	60,000
Total current assets	11,25,000	7,65,000
TOTAL ASSETS	58,60,000	26,15,000
Equity and Liabilities		
Equity		
Share Capital (₹ 1 shares)	7,00,000	5,00,000
Retained Earnings	28,65,000	10,50,000
Other Components of Equity	12,50,000	50,000
Total Equity	48,15,000	16,00,000
Non-current Liabilities		
Provisions	6,250	NIL
Long-term Borrowings	4,13,750	4,50,000
Deferred Tax	2,25,000	1,40,000

Total Non-current Liabilities	6,45,000	5,90,000
Current Liabilities		
Trade and Other Payables	3,00,000	2,50,000
Short-term Borrowings	1,00,000	1,75,000
Total Current Liabilities	4,00,000	4,25,000
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	58,60,000	26,15,000

Additional Information:

Ishwar Ltd.'s investment in Vinayak Ltd.

On 1st April, 20X1, Ishwar Ltd. acquired 400 million shares in Vinayak Ltd. by means of a share exchange of one share in Ishwar Ltd. for every two shares acquired in Vinayak Ltd. On 1st April, 20X1, the market value of one share of Ishwar Ltd. was  $\ 7$ .

Ishwar Ltd. appointed a professional firm for conducting due diligence for acquisition of Vinayak Ltd., the cost of which amounted to ₹ 15 million. Ishwar Ltd. included these acquisition costs in the carrying amount of the investment in Vinayak Ltd. in the draft balance sheet of Ishwar Ltd. There has been no change to the carrying amount of this investment in Ishwar Ltd.'s own balance sheet since 1 st April, 20X1.

On 1st April, 20X1, the individual financial statements of Vinayak Ltd. showed the following balances:

- Retained earnings ₹ 750 million
- Other components of equity ₹ 25 million

The directors of Ishwar Ltd. carried out a fair value exercise to measure the identifiable assets and liabilities of Vinayak Ltd. at 1st April, 20X1. The following matters emerged:

- Property having a carrying amount of ₹ 800 million (land component ₹ 350 million, buildings component ₹ 450 million) had an estimated fair value of ₹ 1,000 million (land component ₹ 400 million, buildings component ₹ 600 million). The buildings component of the property had an estimated useful life of 30 years at 1st April, 20X1.
- Plant and equipment having a carrying amount of ₹ 600 million had an estimated fair value of ₹ 700 million. The estimated remaining useful life of this plant at 1st April, 20X1 was four years. None of this plant and equipment had been disposed of between 1st April, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X4.
- On 1st April, 20X1, the notes to the financial statements of Vinayak Ltd. disclosed contingent liability. On 1st April, 20X1, the fair value of this contingent liability was reliably measured at ₹ 30 million. The contingency was resolved in the year ended 31st March, 20X2 and no payments were required to be made by Vinayak Ltd. in respect of this contingent liability.
- The fair value adjustments have not been reflected in the individual financial statements of Vinayak Ltd. In the consolidated financial statements, the fair value adjustments will be regarded as temporary differences for the purposes of computing deferred tax. The rate of deferred tax to apply to temporary differences is 20%.

The directors of Ishwar Ltd. used the proportion of net assets method when measuring the non-controlling interest in Vinayak Ltd. in the consolidated balance sheet.

Impairment review of goodwill on acquisition of Vinayak Ltd.

No impairment of the goodwill on acquisition of Vinayak Ltd. was evident when the reviews were carried out on 31st March, 20X2 and 20X3. On 31st March, 20X4, the directors of Ishwar Ltd. carried out a further review and

concluded that the recoverable amount of the net assets of Vinayak Ltd. at that date was ₹ 2,000 million. Vinayak Ltd. is regarded as a single cash generating unit for the purpose of measuring goodwill impairment.

On 1st April, 20X3, Ishwar Ltd. completed the construction of a non-current asset with an estimated useful life of 20 years. The costs of construction were recognised in property, plant and equipment and depreciated appropriately. Ishwar Ltd. has a legal obligation to restore the site on which the non-current asset is located on 31st March, 2X43. The estimated cost of this restoration work, at 31st March, 2X43 prices, is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{\sim}{}}$  125 million. The directors of Ishwar Ltd. have made a provision of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{\sim}{}}$  6.25 million (1/20 x  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{\sim}{}}$  125 million) in the draft balance sheet at 31st March, 20X4.

An appropriate annual discount rate to use in any relevant calculations is 6% and at this rate the present value of ₹ 1 payable in 20 years is 31.2 paise. Prepare the consolidated balance sheet of Ishwar Ltd. at 31 st March, 20X4. Consider deferred tax implications. (RTP Nov '23)

#### Answer 33

#### Consolidated Balance Sheet of Ishwar Ltd. at 31st March, 20X4

Consolidated Balance Sheet of Ishwar Ltd. at 31st Marc Particulars	₹ in '000s
	VIII 000s
Assets	
Non-current Assets:	
Property, Plant and Equipment	
[(26,20,000 + 18,50,000) + {(2,00,000 (W.N.1) - 15,000 (W.N.1))	
+	47,17,050
(1,00,000  (W.N.1) - 75,000  (W.N.1)) + (39,000 - 1,950)  (WN 7)]	
Investment (21,15,000 – 14,00,000 – 15,000)	7,00,000
Goodwill (W.N.2)	1,85,600
Total non-current assets	56,02,650
Current Assets:	
Inventories (6,00,000 + 3,75,000)	9,75,000
Trade Receivables (4,50,000 + 3,30,000)	7,80,000
Cash and Cash Equivalents (75,000 + 60,000)	1,35,000
Total current assets	18,90,000
TOTAL ASSETS	74,92,650
Equity and Liabilities	
Equity attributable to equity holders of the parent	
Share Capital	7,00,000
Retained Earnings (W.N.5)	30,31,960
Other Components of Equity (W.N.6)	12,70,000
	50,01,960

Total equity	53,55,560
Non-current Liabilities	
Provisions (39,000 + 2,340 (W.N.7))	41,340
Long-term Borrowings (4,13,750 + 4,50,000)	8,63,750
Deferred Tax (W.N.8)	4,07,000
Total non-current liabilities	13,12,090
Current Liabilities	
Trade and Other Payables (3,00,000 + 2,50,000)	5,50,000
Short-term Borrowings (1,00,000 + 1,75,000)	2,75,000
Total Current Liabilities	8,25,000
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	74,92,650

#### **Working Notes:**

### 1. Computation of Net Assets of Vinayak Ltd.

	1st April, 20X1 (Date of acquisition) ₹ in '000s	31st March, 20X4 (Date of consolidation) ₹ in '000s
Share Capital	5,00,000	5,00,000
Retained Earnings:		
Per accounts of Vinayak Ltd.	7,50,000	10,50,000
Fair Value Adjustments:		
Property (10,00,000 – 8,00,000)*	#2,00,000	\$2,00,000
Extra depreciation due to Buildings appreciation* ((6,00,000 – 4,50,000) x 3/30)		\$(15,000)
Plant and Equipment (7,00,000 – 6,00,000)*	#1,00,000	\$1,00,000
Extra depreciation due to Plant and Equipment appreciation* (1,00,000 x <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> )  Contingent Liability*	#(30,000)	\$(75,000) \$NIL
Other Components of Equity	25,000	50,000
Deferred Tax on Fair Value Adjustments*:	23,000	30,000
Date of acquisition (20% x #2,70,000 (from above))	(54,000)	
Date of Consolidation (20% x \$2,10,000 (from above))		(42,000)
Net Assets for Consolidation	14,91,000	17,68,000

The post-acquisition increase in Net Assets is ₹ 2,77,000 (₹ 17,68,000 – ₹ 14,91,000). ₹ 25,000 of this increase is due to changes in Other Components of Equity and the remaining ₹ 2,52,000 due to changes in retained earnings.

#### 2. Computation of Goodwill on Consolidation

	Vinayak Ltd. ₹ in '000s
Cost of Investment:	
Shares issued to acquire Vinayak Ltd. (4,00,000 x ½ x ₹ 7)	14,00,000
Non-controlling Interests at the date of acquisition:	
Vinayak Ltd. – 20% x ₹ 1,491,000 (from W.N.1)	2,98,200
	16,98,200
Net Assets at the date of acquisition:	
Vinayak Ltd. (W.N.1)	(14,91,000)
Goodwill before Impairment	2,07,200
Less: Impairment of Goodwill (refer W.N.3)	(21,600)
Goodwill reported in Consolidated Balance Sheet	1,85,600

#### 3. Impairment of Goodwill on acquisition of Vinayak Ltd.

	Vinayak Ltd. ₹ in '000s
Net Assets of Vinayak Ltd. at 31st March, 20X4 (W.N.1)	17,68,000
Grossed up Goodwill on acquisition (100/80 x ₹ 2,07,200) (Refer Note 1 below)	2,59,00 0 20,27,000
Recoverable amount of Vinayak Ltd. as a CGU	(20,00,000)
Therefore, gross impairment will be	27,000
Impairment attributed to Parent (refer Note 2 below)	21,600

#### Note 1: Grossing up of Goodwill

As per Para C4 of Appendix C to Ind AS 36 Impairment of Assets – If an entity measures non-controlling interests at its proportionate interest in the net identifiable assets of a subsidiary at the acquisition date, rather than at fair value, goodwill attributable to non-controlling interests is included in the recoverable amount of the related Cash Generating Unit but is not recognised in the parent's consolidated financial statements. As a consequence, an entity shall gross up the carrying amount of goodwill allocated to the unit to include the goodwill attributable to the non-controlling interest. This adjusted carrying amount is then compared with the recoverable amount of the unit to determine whether the cash-generating unit is impaired.

#### Note 2: Allocation of Impairment of Goodwill

Since the non-controlling interests of Vinayak Ltd. are measured at proportionate share of identifiable net assets of Vinayak Ltd., the goodwill computed is entirely attributable only to the parent of Vinayak Ltd. Accordingly, the impairment also would be attributed entirely to the parent of Vinayak Ltd., and not to the non-controlling interest.

#### 4. Computation of Non-controlling Interest (NCI)

	Vinayak Ltd. ₹ in '000s
NCI at the date of acquisition (W.N.2)	2,98,200
Share of post-acquisition increase in net assets (20% x ₹ 2,77,000 (from	
W.N.1))	55,400
	3,53,60
	0

5. Computation of consolidated Retained Earnings

	0
	₹ in '000s
Balance as per accounts of Ishwar Ltd.	28,65,000
Adjustments:	
Acquisition costs	(15,000)
Restoration Provision (W.N.7)	1,960
Share of Vinayak Ltd.'s post- acquisition profits (80% x ₹ 2,52,000 (W.N.1))	2,01,600
Impairment of Goodwill (W.N.3)	(21,600)
	30,31,960

6. Other Components of Equity

o. Other components of Equity	
	₹ in '000s
Balance as per accounts of Ishwar Ltd.	12,50,000
Share of Vinayak Ltd.'s post- acquisition balance (80% x ₹ 25,000 (W.N.1))	20,000
()	12,70,000

7. Computation of Restoration Provision

	₹ in '000s
Provision for Restoration originally required (₹ 1,25,000 x 0.312)	39,000
One year's unwinding of discount (₹ 39,000 x 6%) A	(2,340)
One year's depreciation of capitalized cost (₹ 39,000 x 1/20) B	(1,950)
Original provision incorrectly made C	6,250
So retained earnings adjustment equals [C -A – B]	1,960

8. Computation of Deferred Tax

_	₹ in '000s
Ishwar Ltd. + Vinayak Ltd.	3,65,000
Fair value adjustments in Vinayak Ltd. (from W.N.1)	42,000
,	4,07,000

#### Question 34

Summarise d Balance Sheets of PN Ltd. and SR Ltd. as on 31st March, 2018 were given as below:

(Amount in Rs.)

Particulars	PN Ltd.	SR Ltd.
Assets		
Land & building	4,68,000	5,61,600
Plant & Machinery	7,48,800	4,21,200
Investme nt in SR Ltd.	12,48,000	-
Inventories	3,74,400	1,13,600
Trade Receivables	1,86,500	1,24,800
Cash & Cash equivalents	45,200	24,900
Total Assets	30,70,900	12,46,100
Equity & Liabilities		
Equity Share Capital (Shares of Rs. 100 each fully paid)	15,60,000	6,24,000
Other Reserves	9,36,000	3,12,000
Retained Earnings	1,78,400	2,55,800
Trade Payables	1,46,900	34,300
Short-term borrowings	2,49,600	20,000
Total Equity & Liabilities	30,70,900	12,46,100

- (i) PN Ltd. acquired 70% equity shares of Rs. 100 each of SR Ltd. on 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2017.
- (ii) The Retained Earnings of SR Ltd. showed a credit balance of Rs. 93,600 on 1st April, 2017 out of which a dividend of 12% was paid on 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2017.
- (iii) PN Ltd. has credited the dividend received to its Retained Earnings.
- (iv) Fair value of Plant & Machinery of SR Ltd. as on 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2017 was Rs. 6,24,000. The rate of depreciation on Plant & Machinery was 10% p.a.
- (v) Following are the increases on comparison of Fair Value as per respective Ind AS with book value as on 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2017 of SR Ltd. which are to be considered while consolidating the Balance Sheets:
  - (a) Land & Buildings Rs. 3,12,000
  - (b) Inventories Rs. 46,800
  - (c) Trade Payables Rs. 31,200.
- (vi) The inventory is still unsold on Balance Sheet date and the Trade Payables are not yet settled.
- (vii) Other Reserves as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 are the same as was on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017
- (viii) The business activities of both the company are not seasonal in nature and therefore, it can be assumed that profits are earned evenly throughout the year.

Prepare the Consolidated Balance Sheet as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 of the group of entities PN Ltd. and SR Ltd. as per Ind AS. (PYP 15 Marks ,May'19, MTP 16 Marks Oct'20)

Answer 34
Consolidated Balance Sheet of PN Ltd. and its subsidiary SR Ltd. as on 31st March, 2018

Par	Particulars			Rs.		
I.	Ass	sets	No.			
	(1)	Non-current assets				
		(i) Property, Plant & Equipment	1	26,83,200		
		(ii) Goodwill	2	89,402		
	(2)	Current Assets				
		(i) Inventories	3	5,34,800		
		(ii) Financial Assets				
		(a) Trade Receivables	4	3,11,300		
		(b) Cash & Cash equivalents	5	70,100		
		Total Assets		36,88,802		
II.	II. Equity and Liabilities					
	(1)	Equity				
		(i) Equity Share Capital		15,60,000		
	(ii) Other Equity		7	11,39,502		
	(2)	2) Non-controlling Interest (W.N.3)		5,07,300		
	(3)	3) Current Liabilities				
		(i) Financial Liabilities				
		(a) Trade Payables				
		(b) Short term borrowings	8	2,12,400		
		Total Equity &	9	2,69,600		
		Liabilities		36,88,802		

#### Notes to accounts

1110	otes to accounts		
			Rs.
1.	Property, Plant & Equipment		
	Land & Building (4,68,000 + 5,61,600 +	13,41,600	
	3,12,000)		
	Plant & Machinery (W.N.5)	13,41,600	26,83,200
2.	Goodwill		89,402
3.	Inventories		
	PN Ltd.	3,74,400	
	SR Ltd. (1,13,600 +46,800)	1,60,400	5,34,800
4.	Trade Receivables		
	PN Ltd.	1,86,500	
	SR Ltd.	1,24,800	3,11,300
5.	Cash & Cash equivalents		
	PN Ltd.	45,200	
	SR Ltd.	24,900	70,100
8.	Trade Payables		
	PN Ltd.	1,46,900	
	SR Ltd. (34,300 + 31,200)	65,500	2,12,400
9.	Short-term borrowings		
	PN Ltd.	2,49,600	
	SR Ltd.	20,000	2,69,600

Statement of Changes in Equity:

**Equity share Capital** 

	Changes in Equity share capital during the year Rs.	
15,60,000	0	15,60,000

Other Equity

Other Equity	Share	Equity	Reserves & Surplus			Total
	applicati				_	Total
	on	ent	al	d	Reserv	
	money	CIIC		Earning		Rs.
	money			_		its.
			e Rs.	s Rs.	Rs.	
Balance at the			1/2.	172.		
beginning of				0	0.26.000	0.26.000
the				0	9,36,000	9,36,000
reporting period						
Total						
comprehensive			0	1,78,400		1,78,400
income for the						
year						
Dividends			0	(52,416)		(52,416)
Total						
comprehensive						
income			0	77,518		77,518
attributable to						
parent						
Gain on				0		0
Bargain						
purchase						
Balance at the						
end of reporting				2,03,502	9.36.000	11.39.50
period				_,00,004	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2
period			l		l	

#### **Working Notes:**

#### 1. Adjustments of Fair Value

The Plant & Machinery of SR Ltd. would stand in the books at Rs. 4,44,600 on 1st October,2017, Considering only six months' Depreciation on Rs. $\left(\frac{4,21,200}{90\%}\right)$  = 4,68,000; total depreciation being Rs. 4,68,000 × 10% ×  $\frac{6}{12}$  = 23,400. The value put on the assets being Rs. 6,24,000 there is an appreciation to the extent of Rs. 1,79,400.

Acquisition date	profits	of S	R Ltd.	
------------------	---------	------	--------	--

200.	
3,12,000 93,600	
33,333	

Rs.

Reserves on 1.4.2017 Profit& Loss Account Balance on 1.4.2017

Profit for 2017-2018: Total [Rs. 2,55,800-(93,600-74,880)]x	1,18,540
6/12 i.e.	
Rs. 1,18,540 upto 1.10.2017	5,07,000
Total Appreciation Total	*
Holding Co. Share (70%)	10,31,14
-	0
	7,21,798

\*Appreciation = Land & Building Rs. 3,12,000 + Inventories Rs. 46,800 + Plant & Machinery Rs. 1,79,400 - Trade Payables Rs. 31,200 = Rs. 5,07,000

2. Post-acquisition profits of SR Ltd. Rs.

Profit after 1.10.2017 [2,55,800 - (93,600-74,880)] x 6/12	1,18,54
Less: 10% depreciation on Rs. 6,24,000 for 6 months	0
less depreciation already charged for 2 <sup>nd</sup> half of	(7 800)
2017-2018 on	(7,800)
Rs. 4,68,800 (ie 31,200 - 23,400)	1,10,74
Total	0
Share of holding Co. (70%)	77,518
Share of NCI (30%)	33,222

3. Non-controlling Interest Rs.

Par value of 1872 shares	1,87,20
Add: 30% Acquisition date profits [(10,31,140 – 74,88	0 0
x 30%] 30% Post-acquisition profits [W.N.2]	2,86,87
	8
	33,222
	5,07,30
	0

4. GoodwillRs.

0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Amount paid for 4,368 shares		12,48,00
Less: Par value of shares	4,36,80	0
Acquisition date profits-share of PN	0	
Ltd. Goodwill	7,21,79	(11,58,59
	8	8)
		89,402

5. Value of Plant & Machinery: Rs.

value of Figure & Machinery. 165.			
PN Ltd.		7,48,800	
SR Ltd.	4,21,200		
Add: Appreciation on 1.10.2017	1,79,400		
	6,00,600		
Add: Depreciation for 2nd half charged on			
pre- revalued value	23,400		
Less: Depreciation on Rs. 6,24,000 for 6	(31,200)	5,92,800	
months		13,41,600	

6. Consolidated Profit & Loss account Rs.

PN Ltd. (a	s given)		1,78,40	
Less: Divi	dend		0	1,25,98
Share of	PN Ltd. in	post-acquisition	(52,416	4
profits (W	<sup>7</sup> .N.2)		)	
,	,		,	77,518

		2,03,50 2
--	--	--------------

Note: Alternatively, the solution can be done on Net Assets approach on the date of acquisition. In such a situation, answer in substance will be same. However, presentation of working notes will be as below:

1. Net assets of SR Ltd. on the date of acquisition Rs.

Share Capital	6,24,000
Reserves on	3,12,000
1.4.2017	93,600
Profit & Loss Account Balance on 1.4.2017	
Profit for 2017-2018: Total [Rs. 2,55,800-(93,600-	1,18,540
74,880)] x 6/12 i.e.	5,07,000*
Rs. 1,18,540 upto 1.10.2017	16,55,140
Total Appreciation	11,58,598
Total	4,96,542
Holding Co. Share (70%)	
Non-controlling Interest (30)	

<sup>\*</sup>Appreciation = Land and Building Rs. 3,12,000 + Inventories Rs. 46,800+ Plant & Machinery Rs. 1,79,400 – Trade Payables Rs. 31,200 = Rs. 5,07,000

#### 2. Non-controlling Interest Rs.

30% Share in net assets of SR Ltd on 1st	4,96,542
October, 2017 30% Post-acquisition profits	33,222
[WN 2]	(22,464)
Less: Dividend received (30% x 12% x 6,24,000)	5,07,300
·	

#### 3. Goodwill Rs.

Amount paid for 4,368 shares	12,48,000
Acquisition date profits share of PN	(11,58,598)
Ltd. Goodwill	89,402

#### **EXAMINERS' COMMENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EXAMINEES:**

Some of the examinees erred in the calculation of additional depreciation on Plant and Machinery while others erred in Statement of Changes in Equity and Other Equity.

#### Question 35

Entity K is owned by three institutional investors - M Limited, N Limited and C Limited - holding 40%, 40% and 20% equity interest respectively. A contractual arrangement between M Limited and N Limited gives them joint control over the relevant activities of Entity K. It is determined that Entity K is a joint operation (and not a joint venture). C Limited is not a party to the arrangement between M Limited and N Limited. However, like M Limited and N Limited, C Limited also has rights to the assets, and obligations for the liabilities, relating to the joint operation in proportion of its equity interest in Entity K. Would the manner of accounting to be followed by M Limited and N Limited on the one hand and C Limited on the other in respect of their respective interests in Entity K be the same or different?

You are required to explain in light of the relevant provisions in the relevant standard in this regard. (PYP 4 Marks Nov'20)

#### Answer 35

Ind AS 111 states that a joint operator shall recognise in relation to its interest in a joint operation:

- (a) its assets, including its share of any assets held jointly;
- (b) its liabilities, including its share of any liabilities incurred jointly;
- (c) its revenue from the sale of its share of the output arising from the joint operation;
- (d) its share of the revenue from the sale of the output by the joint operation; and
- (e) its expenses, including its share of any expenses incurred jointly.

A joint operator shall account for the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses relating to its interest in a joint operation in accordance with the Ind AS applicable to the particular assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses.

Further, Ind AS 111 states that a party that participates in, but does not have joint control of a joint operation shall also account for its interest in the arrangement in accordance with above provisions of the standard, if that party has rights to the assets, and obligations for the liabilities, relating to the joint operation.

In the given case, all three investors (M Limited, N Limited and C Limited) share in the assets and liabilities of the joint operation in proportion of their respective equity interest. Accordingly, both M Limited and N Limited (which have joint control) and C Limited (which does not have joint control but participates) shall recognise their interest in joint operation as per above guidance while accounting for their respective interests in Entity K in their respective separate financial statements as well as in the consolidated financial statements.

#### Question 36

On 1st April 2019, Big Limited acquired a 35% interest in Dig Limited and achieved a significant influence. The cost of the investment was `3,00,000. Dig Limited has net assets of `5,50,000 as on 1st April 2019. The fair value of those net assets is `6,50,000, since the fair value of property, plant and equipment is `1,00,000 higher than its book value. This property, plant and equipment have a remaining useful life of 8 years. For the financial year 2019-2020, Dig Limited earned a profit (after tax) of `1,00,000 and paid a dividend of `11,000 out of these profits. Dig Ltd. has also recognized the loss of `15,000, that arose from remeasurement of defined benefit directly in 'Other Comprehensive Income'.

Calculate Big Ltd.'s interest in Dig Ltd. as at the year ended 31 st March 2020 under the relevant method. (PYP 5 Marks Jan'21)

#### Answer 36

Calculation of Big Ltd.'s interest in Dig Ltd at the year ended 31 st March, 2020 as per Equity method:

	Amount (`)
Cost of investment (35%)	3,00,000
Share in profit asfter adjustment (Refer Working Note)	30,625
Dividend received by Big Ltd from Dig Ltd (35% x ` 11,000)	(3,850)
Big Ltd.'s share of loss in OCI w.r.t Dig Ltd.'s loss from	
remeasurement of defined benefit liability (35% x 15,000)	(5,250)

Big Ltd.'s interest in Dig Ltd at the end of the year	3,21,525
---	----------

#### Working Note: Computation of Share in profit after adjustment

	Amount (`)
Big Ltd.'s share of Dig Ltd.'s after tax profit (35% x 1,00,000)	35,000
Less: Big Ltd.'s share of depreciation based on fair value	
(35% x 12,500)	(4,375)
Share in profit after adjustment	30,625

#### Question 37

Company P Ltd., a manufacturer of textile products, acquires 40,000 equity shares of Company X (a manufacturer of complementary products) out of 1,00,000 shares in issue. As part of the same agreement, the Company P purchases an option to acquire an additional 25,000 shares. The option is exercisable at any time in the next 12 months. The exercise price includes a small premium to the market price at the transaction date.

After the above transaction, the shareholdings of Company X's two other original shareholders are 35,000 and 25,000. Each of these shareholders also has currently exercisable options to acquire 2,000 additional shares. Assess whether control is acquired by Company P. (MTP 5 Marks April '23)

#### **Answer 37**

In assessing whether it has obtained control over Company X, Company P should consider not only the 40,000 shares it owns but also its option to acquire another 25,000 shares (a so -called potential voting right). In this assessment, the specific terms and conditions of the option agreement and other factors are considered as follows:

- the options are currently exercisable and there are no other required conditions before such options can be exercised
- if exercised, these options would increase Company P's ownership to a controlling interest of over 50% before considering other shareholders' potential voting rights (65,000 shares out of a total of 1,25,000 shares)
- although other shareholders also have potential voting rights, if all options are exercised Company P will still own a majority (65,000 shares out of 1,29,000 shares)
- the premium included in the exercise price makes the options out-of-the-money. However, the fact that the premium is small and the options could confer majority ownership indicates that the potential voting rights have economic substance.


By considering all the above factors, Company P concludes that with the acquisition of the 40,000 shares together with the potential voting rights, it has obtained control of Company X.

#### **Section - B**

## Question 1 Illustration Scenario A:

age 1247

#### Following is the structure of a group headed by Company X:



Company X is a listed entity in India and prepares consolidated financial statements as per the requirements of Ind AS. Company A is an unlisted entity and it is not in the process of listing any of its instruments in public market. Company X does not object to Company A not preparing consolidated financial statements. Whether Company A is required to prepare consolidated financial statements as per the requirements of Ind AS 110?

Assume the same facts as per Scenario A except, Company X is a foreign entity and is listed in stock exchange of a foreign country and it prepares its financial statements as per the generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) applicable to that country. Will your answer be different in this case? Scenario C:

Assume the same facts as per Scenario A except, 100% of the investment in Company A is held by Mr. X (an individual) instead of Company X. Will your answer be different in this case?

#### Answer 1

#### Scenario A:

In this case, Company A satisfies all the conditions for not preparing consolidated financial statements i.e. it is not a listed entity nor it is in the process of listing, the parent of Company A prepares consolidated financial statements as per Ind AS which is available for public use and parent of Company A does not object Company A not preparing consolidated financial statements.

Hence, Company A is not required to prepare consolidated financial statements.

#### Scenario B:

In this case, the consolidated financial statements of parent of Company A are not prepared under Ind AS. Hence Company A cannot avail the exemption from preparation of consolidated financial statements.

#### Scenario C:


In this case, Mr. X (an individual) would not be preparing its financial statements as per the requirements of Ind AS which is available for public use.

Hence Company A cannot avail the exemption from preparation of consolidated financial statements.

#### **Question 2 Illustration**

#### Scenario A:

Following is the structure of a group headed by Company A.



Company A is a listed entity in India and prepares consolidated financial statements as per the requirements of Ind AS. Company C is an unlisted entity and it is not in the process of listing any of its instruments in public market. 60% of the equity share capital of Company C is held by Company A and balance 40% equity share capital is held by other outside investors. Company A does not object to Company C not preparing consolidated financial statements. Whether Company C is required to prepare consolidated financial statements as per the requirements of Ind AS 110?

#### Scenario B:

Assume the same facts as per Scenario A except, the balance 40% of the equity share capital of Company C is held by Company B.

State whether C Limited is required to inform its other owner B Limited (owning 40%) of its intention to not prepare consolidated financial statements as mentioned in paragraph 4(a)(i)?

#### Answer 2

#### Scenario A:

Company C is a partly owned subsidiary of Company A. In such case, Company C should inform the other 40% equity shareholders about Company C not preparing consolidated financial statements and if they do not object then only Company C can avail the exemption from preparing consolidated financial statements.

#### Scenario B:

In this scenario, Company C is 100% held by Company A (60% direct investment and 40% investment through Company B). Hence, Company C is not required to inform to Company B of not preparing consolidated financial statements and can avail the exemption from preparing the consolidated financial statements.

#### **Question 3 Illustration**

A Ltd. and B Ltd. have formed a new entity AB Ltd. for constructing and selling a scheme of residential units consisting of 100 units. Construction of the residential units will be done by A Ltd. and it will take all the necessary decision related to the construction activity. B Ltd. will do the marketing and selling related activities for the units and it will take all the necessary decisions related to marketing and selling. Based on above, who has the power over AB Ltd.?

#### **Answer 3**

In this case, both the investors A Ltd. and B Ltd. have the rights to unilaterally direct different relevant activities of AB Ltd. Here, investors shall determine which activities can most significantly affect the returns of the investee and the investor having the ability to direct those activities would be considered to have power over the investee. Hence, if the investors conclude that the construction related activities would most significantly affect the returns of AB Ltd. then A Ltd. would be said to have power over AB Ltd. On the other hand, if it is concluded that marketing and selling related activities would most significantly affect the returns of AB Ltd. then B Ltd.

would be said to have power over AB Ltd.

#### **Question 4 Illustration**

A Ltd. is an asset manager of a venture capital fund i.e. Fund X. Out of the total outstanding units of the fund, 10% units are held by A Ltd. and balance 90% units are held by other investors. Majority of the unitholders of the fund have right to appoint a committee which will manage the day to day administrative activities of the fund. However, the decisions related to the investments / divestments to be done by Fund X is taken by asset manager i.e. A Ltd. Based on above, who has power over Fund X?

#### Answer 4

In this case, A Ltd. is able to direct the activities that can most significantly affect the returns of Fund X. Hence A Ltd. has power over the investee. However, this does not mean that A Ltd. Has control over the fund and consideration will have to be given to other elements of control evaluation as well i.e. exposure to variable returns and link between power and exposure to variable returns.

#### Question 5 Illustration

An investment vehicle (the investee) is created and financed with a debt instrument held by an investor (the debt investor) and equity instruments held by a number of other investors. The equity tranche is designed to absorb the first losses and to receive any residual return from the investee. One of the equity investors who holds 30 per cent of the equity is also the asset manager.

The investee uses its proceeds to purchase a portfolio of financial assets, exposing the investee to the credit risk associated with the possible default of principal and interest payments of the assets. The transaction is marketed to the debt investor as an investment with minimal exposure to the credit risk associated with the possible default of the assets in the portfolio because of the nature of these assets and because the equity tranche is designed to absorb the first losses of the investee.

The returns of the investee are significantly affected by the management of the investee's asset portfolio, which includes decisions about the selection, acquisition and disposal of the assets within portfolio guidelines and the management upon default of any portfolio assets. All those activities are managed by the asset manager until defaults reach a specified proportion of the portfolio value (ie when the value of the portfolio is such that the equity tranche of the investee has been consumed). From that time, a third-party trustee manages the assets according to the instructions of the debt investor. Based on the above, who has power over the investment vehicle?

#### Answer 5

Managing the investee's asset portfolio is the relevant activity of the investee.

The asset manager has the ability to direct the relevant activities until defaulted assets reach the specified proportion of the portfolio value; the debt investor has the ability to direct the relevant activities when the value of defaulted assets surpasses that specified proportion of the portfolio value.

The asset manager and the debt investor each need to determine whether they are able to direct the activities that most significantly affect the investee's returns, including considering the purpose and design of the investee as well as each party's exposure to variability of returns.

#### Question 6 Illustration

#### Scenario A:

Following is the voting power holding pattern of B Ltd.

- > 10% voting power held by A Ltd.
- > 90% voting power held by 9 other investor each holding 10%

All the investors have entered into a management agreement whereby they have granted the decision-making powers related to the relevant activities of B Ltd. to A Ltd. for a period of 5 years.

After 2 years of the agreement, the investors holding 90% of the voting powers have some disputes with A Ltd. and they want to take back the decision-making rights from A Ltd. This can be done by passing a resolution with majority of the investors voting in favour of the removal of rights from A Ltd. However, as per the termination clause of the management agreement, B Ltd. will have to pay a huge penalty to A Ltd. for terminating the agreement before its stated term.

Whether the rights held by investors holding 90% voting power are substantive? Scenario B:

Assume the same facts as per Scenario A except, there is no penalty required to be paid by B Ltd. for termination of agreement before its stated term. However, instead of all other investors, there are only 4 investors holding total 40% voting power that have disputes with A Ltd. and want to take back decision-making rights from A Ltd.

Whether the rights held by investors holding 40% voting power are substantive? Answer 6

#### Scenario A:

If the investors holding 90% of the voting power exercise their right to terminate the management agreement, then it will result in B Ltd. having to pay huge penalty which will affect the returns of B Ltd. This is a barrier that prevents such investors from exercising their rights and hence such rights are not substantive.

#### Scenario B:

To take back the decision-making rights from A Ltd., investors holding majority of the voting power need to vote in favour of removal of rights from A Ltd. However, the investors having disputes with A Ltd. do not have majority voting power and hence the rights held by them are not substantive.

#### Question 7 Illustration

#### Scenario A:

An investor is holding 30% of the voting power in ABC Ltd. The investor has been granted an option to purchase 30% more voting power from other investors. However, the exercise price of the option is too high compared to the current market price of ABC Ltd. because ABC Ltd. is incurring losses since last 2 years and it is expected to continue to incur losses in future period as well. Whether the right held by the investor to exercise purchase option is substantive?

### Scenario B:

Assume the same facts as per Scenario A except, the option price is in line with the current market price of ABC Ltd. and ABC Ltd. is making profits. However, the option can be exercised in next 1 month only and the investor is not in a position to arrange for the require amount in 1 months' time to exercise the option. Whether the right held by the investor to exercise purchase option is substantive?

#### Scenario C:

Assume the same facts as per Scenario A except, ABC Ltd. is making profits. However, the current market price of ABC Ltd. is not known since the ABC Ltd. is a relatively new company, business of the company is unique and there are no other companies in the market doing similar business. Hence the investor is not sure whether to exercise the purchase option. Whether the right held by the investor to exercise purchase option is substantive?

#### Answer 7

#### Scenario A:

The right to exercise purchase option is not substantive since the option exercise price is too high as compared to current market price of ABC Ltd.

#### <u>Scenario B:</u>

The right to exercise purchase option is not substantive since the time period for the investor to arrange for the requisite amount for exercising the option is too narrow.

#### Scenario C:

The right to exercise purchase option is not substantive. This is because the investor is not able to obtain information about the market value of ABC Ltd. which is necessary in order to compare the option exercise price with market price so that it can decide whether the exercise of purchase option would be beneficial or not.

#### **Question 8 Illustration**

A venture capital fund is managed by an asset manager who has right to take the investment and divestments decisions related to the fund corpus. The asset manager is also holding some stake in the fund. The other investors of the fund have right to remove the asset manager. However, in the present scenario, there is absence of other managers who are willing or able to provide specialised services that the current asset manager is providing and purchase the stake that the current asset manager is holding in the fund. Whether the removal rights available with other investors are substantive?

#### Answer 8

If the other investors exercise their removal rights, then it will impact the operations of the fund and ultimately the returns of the fund since there is no substitute of the current asset manager available who can manage the corpus of the fund. Hence the removal rights held by other investors are not substantive.

#### Question 9 Illustration

ABC Ltd. is a manufacturer of branded garments and is the owner of Brand X. PQR Ltd. has entered into a franchise agreement with ABC Ltd. to allow PQR Ltd. to set up a retail outlet to sell the products of Brand X.

As per the agreement, PQR Ltd. will set up the retail outlet from its own funds, decide the capital structure of the entity, hire employees and their remuneration, select vendors for acquiring capital items, etc. However, ABC Ltd. will give certain operating guidelines like the interior of the retail outlet, uniform of the employees and other such guidelines to protect the brand name of ABC Ltd. Whether the rights held by ABC Ltd. protective or substantive?

#### Answer 9

The activities that most significantly affect the returns of PQR Ltd. are the funding and capital structure of PQR Ltd., hiring of employees and their remuneration, vendors for capital items, etc.

which are exercisable by PQR Ltd. Further, the retails outlet is being set up by PQR Ltd. without any financial support from ABC Ltd. The rights available with ABC Ltd.

are to protect the brand name of ABC Ltd. and such rights do not affect the ability of PQR Ltd. to take decisions about relevant activities. Hence, the rights held by ABC Ltd. are protective rights.

#### **Question 10 Illustration**

An investor holds 45% of the voting rights of an investee. The remaining voting rights are held by thousands of shareholders, none individually holding more than 1% of the voting rights. None of the shareholders has any arrangements to consult any of the others or make collective decisions. Whether the investor holding 45% voting right have power over the investee?

#### Answer 10

On the basis of the absolute size of its holding by the investor and the relative size of the voting rights held by other shareholders, it is more likely that the investor would have power over the investee.

#### **Question 11 Illustration**

ABC Ltd. holds 40% of the voting rights of XYZ Ltd. The remaining voting rights are held by 6 other shareholders, each individually holding 10% each. Whether the investor holding 40% voting right have power over the investee?

#### Answer 11

In this case, it is less likely that ABC Ltd. will have power over XYZ Ltd. since the size of the number of shareholders required to outvote ABC Ltd. is not so high. Additional facts and circumstances should also be considered to determine whether ABC Ltd. has power or not.

#### Question 12 Illustration

An investor holds 35% of the voting rights of an investee. Three other shareholders each hold 5% of the voting rights of the investee. The remaining voting rights are held by numerous other shareholders, none individually holding more than 1% of the voting rights. None of the shareholders has arrangements to consult any of the others or make collective decisions. Decisions about the relevant activities of the investee require the approval of a majority of votes cast at relevant shareholders' meetings-75% of the voting rights of the investee have been cast at recent relevant shareholders' meetings.

Whether the investor's voting rights are sufficient to give it power to direct the relevant activities of the investee?

#### Answer 12

In this case, the active participation of the other shareholders at recent shareholders' meetings indicates that the investor would not have the practical ability to direct the relevant activities unilaterally, regardless of whether the investor has directed the relevant activities because a sufficient number of other shareholders voted in the same way as the investor.

#### Question 13 Illustration

Investor A and two other investors each hold a third of the voting rights of an investee. The investee's business activity is closely related to investor A. In addition to its equity instruments, investor A also holds debt instruments that are convertible into ordinary shares of the investee at any time for a fixed price. The conversion rights are substantive. If the debt were converted,

investor A would hold 60% of the voting rights of the investee. Investor A would benefit from realising synergies if the debt instruments were converted into ordinary shares. Whether investor A has power over the investee?

#### Answer 13

Investor A has power over the investee because it holds voting rights of the investee together with substantive potential voting rights that give it the current ability to direct the relevant activities.

#### **Question 14 Illustration**

PQR Ltd. has entered into a contract with a state government to construct a power plant and distribute the electricity generated from the plant to the households of the state. For this, PQR Ltd. has set up a new entity XYZ Ltd. PQR Ltd. was involved in the design of XYZ Ltd. The decisions related to the relevant activities of XYZ Ltd. i.e. how much electricity to generate or the price at which units of electricity to be sold to customers, etc. are not determined by the voting rights. Whether PQR Ltd. has power over XYZ Ltd.?

#### Answer 14

PQR Ltd. was involved in the design of XYZ Ltd. Accordingly, its involvement in the design may indicate that the investor had the opportunity to obtain rights that are sufficient to give it power over the investee. However, being involved in the design of XYZ Ltd. alone is not sufficient to give PQR Ltd. control over XYZ Ltd. and hence other facts and circumstances, such as other contractual arrangements, should also be considered.

#### Question 15 Illustration

An investee's only business activity, as specified in its founding documents, is to purchase receivables and service them on a day-to-day basis for its investors. Following is the relevant fact pattern:

- > The servicing on a day-to-day basis includes the collection and passing on of principal and interest payments as they fall due.
- > Upon default of a receivable the investee automatically puts the receivable to an investor as agreed separately in an agreement between the investee and the investor.
- > The only relevant activity is managing the receivables upon default because it is the only activity that can significantly affect the investee's returns.
- > Managing the receivables before default is not a relevant activity because the activities before default are predetermined and amount only to collecting cash flows as they fall due and passing them on to investors.

#### Whether the investor has power over the investee?

#### Answer 15

In this question, the design of the investee ensures that the investor has decision-making power only in case of default of a receivable. The terms of the agreement between investee and investor are integral to the overall transaction and the establishment of the investee. Therefore, the terms of the agreement together with the founding documents of the investee lead to the conclusion that the investor has power over the investee even though the investor takes ownership of the receivables only upon default and manages the defaulted receivables outside the legal boundaries of the investee.

Page 1254

#### Question 16 Illustration

A Ltd. is a manufacturer of pharmaceutical products. A Ltd. has invested in share capital of B Ltd. which is a manufacturer of packing material for pharmaceutical products. A Ltd.'s requirements of packing materials for its products are entirely supplied by B Ltd. A Ltd. is not purchasing the packing materials from any other vendors because the materials supplied by other vendors are of inferior quality. Whether A Ltd. has power over B Ltd.?

#### Answer 16

A Ltd. would be the most affected by the operations of B Ltd. since it is dependent on B Ltd. for the supply of packing materials. Therefore A Ltd. would be committed to ensure that B Ltd. operates as designed. This can be an indicator of A Ltd. having power over B Ltd. But it has to consider other facts and circumstances as well to conclude whether it control B Ltd. or not.

#### Question 17 Illustration

A decision maker (fund manager) establishes, markets and manages a publicly traded, regulated fund according to narrowly defined parameters set out in the investment mandate as required by its local laws and regulations. The fund was marketed to investors as an investment in a diversified portfolio of equity securities of publicly traded entities. Following is the relevant fact pattern related to fund manager:

- > Within the defined parameters, the fund manager has discretion about the assets in which to invest.
- > The fund manager has made a 10% pro rata investment in the fund and receives a market-based fee for its services equal to 1% of the net asset value of the fund.
- > The fees are commensurate with the services provided.
- > The fund manager does not have any obligation to fund losses beyond its 10% investment.

The fund is not required to establish, and has not established, an independent board of directors. The investors do not hold any substantive rights that would affect the decision-making authority of the fund manager but can redeem their interests within particular limits set by the fund.

Whether the fund manager controls the fund?

#### Answer 17

Although operating within the parameters set out in the investment mandate and in accordance with the regulatory requirements, the fund manager has decision-making rights that give it the current ability to direct the relevant activities of the fund—the investors do not hold substantive rights that could affect the fund manager's decision-making authority. The fund manager receives a market-based fee for its services that is commensurate with the services provided and has also made a pro rata investment in the fund. The remuneration and its investment expose the fund

#### Question 18 Illustration

A decision maker establishes, markets and manages a fund that provides investment opportunities to a number of investors. The decision maker (fund manager) must make decisions in the best interests of all investors and in accordance with the fund's governing agreements. Nonetheless, the fund manager has wide decision-making discretion. The fund manager receives a market-based fee for its services equal to 1% of assets under management and

 $_{\mathsf{Page}}1255$ 

20% of all the fund's profits if a specified profit level is achieved. The fees are commensurate with the services provided.

Although it must make decisions in the best interests of all investors, the fund manager has extensive decision-making authority to direct the relevant activities of the fund. The fund manager is paid fixed and performance-related fees that are commensurate with the services provided. In addition, the remuneration aligns the interests of the fund manager with those of the other investors to increase the value of the fund, without creating exposure to variability of returns from the activities of the fund that is of such significance that the remuneration, when considered in isolation, indicates that the fund manager is a principal.

The above fact pattern and analysis applies to various scenarios described below. Each scenario is considered in isolation. Determine whether the fund manager control the fund?

#### Scenario A

The fund manager also has a 2% investment in the fund that aligns its interests with those of the other investors. The fund manager does not have any obligation to fund losses beyond its 2% investment. The investors can remove the fund manager by a simple majority vote, but only for breach of contract.

#### Scenario B

The fund manager has a more substantial pro rata investment in the fund but does not have any obligation to fund losses beyond that investment. The investors can remove the fund manager by a simple majority vote, but only for breach of contract.

#### Scenario C

The fund manager has a 20% pro rata investment in the fund but does not have any obligation to fund losses beyond its 20% investment. The fund has a board of directors, all of whose members are independent of the fund manager and are appointed by the other investors. The board appoints the fund manager annually. If the board decided not to renew the fund manager's contract, the services performed by the fund manager could be performed by other managers in the industry.

#### Answer 18

#### Scenario A

The fund manager's 2% investment increases its exposure to variability of returns from the activities of the fund without creating exposure that is of such significance that it indicates that the fund manager is a principal. The other investors' rights to remove the fund manager are considered to be protective rights because they are exercisable only for breach of contract. In this example, although the fund manager has extensive decision-making authority and is exposed to variability of returns from its interest and remuneration, the fund manager's exposure indicates that the fund manager is an agent. Thus, the fund manager concludes that it does not control the fund.

#### Scenario B

In this scenario, the other investors' rights to remove the fund manager are considered to be protective rights because they are exercisable only for breach of contract. Although the fund manager is paid fixed and performance-related fees that are commensurate with the services provided, the combination of the fund manager's investment together with its remuneration could create exposure to variability of returns from the activities of the fund that is of such significance that it indicates

# <sup>2</sup>38e1257

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

that the fund manager is a principal. The greater the magnitude of, and variability associated with, the fund manager's economic interests (considering its remuneration and other interests in aggregate), the more emphasis the fund manager would place on those economic interests in the analysis, and the more likely the fund manager is a principal.

For example, having considered its remuneration and the other factors, the fund manager might consider a 20% investment to be sufficient to conclude that it controls the fund. However, in different circumstances (i.e. if the remuneration or other factors are different), control may arise when the level of investment is different.

#### Scenario C

Although the fund manager is paid fixed and performance-related fees that are commensurate with the services provided, the combination of the fund manager's 20% investment together with its remuneration creates exposure to variability of returns from the activities of the fund that is of such significance that it indicates that the fund manager is a principal. However, the investors have substantive rights to remove the fund manager—the board of directors provides a mechanism to ensure that the investors can remove the fund manager if they decide to do so.

In this scenario, the fund manager places greater emphasis on the substantive removal rights in the analysis. Thus, although the fund manager has extensive decision-making authority and is exposed to variability of returns of the fund from its remuneration and investment, the substantive rights held by the other investors indicate that the fund manager is an agent. Thus, the fund manager concludes that it does not control the fund.

#### **Question 19 Illustration**

An investee is created to purchase a portfolio of fixed rate asset-backed securities, funded by fixed rate debt instruments and equity instruments. The equity instruments are designed to provide first loss protection to the debt investors and receive any residual returns of the investee.

The transaction was marketed to potential debt investors as an investment in a portfolio of asset-backed securities with exposure to the credit risk associated with the possible default of the issuers of the asset-backed securities in the portfolio and to the interest rate risk associated with the management of the portfolio.

On formation, the equity instruments represent 10% of the value of the assets purchased. A decision maker (the asset manager) manages the active asset portfolio by making investment decisions within the parameters set out in the investee's prospectus. For those services, the asset manager receives a market-based fixed fee (i.e. 1% of assets under management) and performance-related fees (i.e. 10% of profits) if the investee's profits exceed a specified level. The fees are commensurate with the services provided. The asset manager holds 35% of the equity in the investee. The remaining 65% of the equity, and all the debt instruments, are held by a large number of widely dispersed unrelated third-party investors. The asset manager can be removed, without cause, by a simple majority decision of the other investors.

Does the asset manager control the investee?

#### Answer 19

The asset manager is paid fixed and performance-related fees that are commensurate with the services provided. The remuneration aligns the interests of the fund manager with those of the other investors to increase the value of the fund. The asset manager has exposure to variability of returns from the activities of the fund because

it holds 35% of the equity and from its remuneration.

Although operating within the parameters set out in the investee's prospectus, the asset manager has the current ability to make investment decisions that significantly affect the investee's returns - the removal rights held by the other investors receive little weighting in the analysis because those rights are held by a large number of widely dispersed investors. In this example, the asset manager places greater emphasis on its exposure to variability of returns of the fund from its equity interest, which is subordinate to the debt instruments. Holding 35% of the equity creates subordinated exposure to losses and rights to returns of the investee, which are of such significance that it indicates that the asset manager is a principal. Thus, the asset manager concludes that it controls the investee.

#### Question 20 Illustration

A decision maker (the sponsor) sponsors a fund, which issues short-term debt instruments to unrelated third-party investors. The transaction was marketed to potential investors as an investment in a portfolio of highly rated mediumterm assets with minimal exposure to the credit risk associated with the possible default by the issuers of the assets in the portfolio. Various transferors sell high quality medium-term asset portfolios to the fund. Each transferor services the portfolio of assets that it sells to the fund and manages receivables on default for a market-based servicing fee. Each transferor also provides first loss protection against credit losses from its asset portfolio through over-collateralisation of the assets transferred to the fund. The sponsor establishes the terms of the fund and manages the operations of the fund for a market-based fee. The fee is commensurate with the services provided. The sponsor approves the sellers permitted to sell to the fund, approves the assets to be purchased by the fund and makes decisions about the funding of the fund. The sponsor must act in the best interests of all investors.

The sponsor is entitled to any residual return of the fund and also provides credit enhancement and liquidity facilities to the fund. The credit enhancement provided by the sponsor absorbs losses of up to 5% of all of the fund's assets, after losses are absorbed by the transferors. The liquidity facilities are not advanced against defaulted assets. The investors do not hold substantive rights that could affect the decision-making authority of the sponsor. Whether the sponsor has control over the fund.


#### Answer 20

Even though the sponsor is paid a market-based fee for its services that is commensurate with the services provided, the sponsor has exposure to variability of returns from the activities of the fund because of its rights to any residual returns of the fund and the provision of credit enhancement and liquidity facilities (i.e. the fund is exposed to liquidity risk by using short-term debt instruments to fund medium-term assets). Even though each of the transferors has decision-making rights that affect the value of the assets of the fund, the sponsor has extensive decision-making authority that gives it the current ability to direct the activities that most significantly affect the fund's returns (i.e. the sponsor established the terms of the fund, has the right to make decisions about the assets (approving the assets purchased and the transferors of those assets) and the funding of the fund (for which new investment must be found on a regular basis)). The right to residual returns of the fund and the provision of credit enhancement and liquidity facilities expose the sponsor to variability of returns from the activities of the fund that is different from that of the other investors. Accordingly, that exposure indicates that the sponsor is a principal and thus the

sponsor concludes that it controls the fund. The sponsor's obligation to act in the best interest of all investors does not prevent the sponsor from being a principal.

#### **Question 21 Illustration**

An asset manager has set up and investment fund for the purpose of acquiring capital contributions from various investors (by issuing them units in the fund) and investing those contributions in the equity share capital of various entities for the purpose of earning capital appreciation on those investments. Following is the existing structure of the fund.



Apart from the investments in various entities, the investment fund also provides its investee the strategic advisory services so that it can result in increase in the capital appreciation from investments in those investees. It also provides its investees financial support in the form of loan to provide them with funds for acquiring capital assets. The investment fund does not hold such investments for a period longer than 5 years. The investment fund measures and evaluate the performance of the investments on fair value basis. Whether the investment fund can be treated as an investment entity?

#### Answer 21


Out of the three elements of the definition of an investment entity, the investment fund fulfils the two elements very clearly i.e. it obtains fund from more than one investor for providing investment management services and measures and evaluates its investments on fair value basis.

The typical characteristics of an investment entity are also present in the structure of the investment fund i.e. more than one investment, more than one investor, investors are unrelated and investment fund issues units in the fund to the investors. With respect to the business objective of the investment fund, the objective is to earn capital appreciation from its investments. The strategic advisory services and financial support provided to investees are extended with the intention of earning higher capital appreciation from the investees.

However, judgement should to be applied that these do not represent substantial business activity or a separate substantial source of income for the investment fund. If the investment fund concludes that these services and financial support to investees are not substantial business activity and substantial source of income for the investment fund, then only the investment fund can be treated as an investment entity.

#### Question 22 Illustration

PQR Ltd. Is established with primary objective of investing in the equity shares of various pharmaceutical companies which are involved in the research and



development of medicine for a critical illness. DEF Ltd. Is a follow subsidiary of PQR Ltd. And DEF Ltd. Has entered into contractual arrangements with all the investees of POR Ltd. That in case they are successful in developing the medicine then they will transfer the patent and distribution rights for that medicine to DEF Ltd. At less then market price. This arrangement is explained in following diagram:

Determine whether PQR Ltd. Can be classified as investment entity?

#### **Answer 22**

POR Ltd. And DEF Ltd. Are part of same group. Further, DEF Ltd. Have exclusive right to acquire the patent and distributions rights from the investees of PQR Ltd. And that too at less then the market price. Hence, the related party of PQR Ltd. Is in position to obtain benefits other than capital appreciation and investment income from the investees that are not available to other parties unrelated to the investee. Accordingly, PQR Ltd. Cannot be classified as investment entity.

#### **Question 23 Illustration**

HTF Ltd. Was formed by T Ltd. To invest in technology start-up companies for capital appreciation. T Ltd. Holds a 70 percent interest in HTF Ltd. And controls HTF Ltd. The other 30 percent ownership interest in HTF Ltd. Is owned by 10 unrelated investors. T Ltd. Holds options to acquire investments held by HTF Ltd., at their fair value, which would be exercised if the technology developed by the investees would benefit the operations of T Ltd. No plans for exiting the investments have been identified by HTF Ltd. HTF Ltd. Is managed by an investment adviser that acts as agent for the investors in HTF Ltd.

Determine whether HTF Ltd. Is an investment entity or not.

#### **Answer 23**

Even though HTF Ltd.'s business purpose is investing for capital appreciation and it provides investment management services to its investors, HTF Ltd. Is not an investment entity because of the following arrangements and circumstances:

- (a) T Ltd., the parent of HTF Ltd. Holds options to acquire investments in investees held by HTF Ltd. If the assets developed by the investees would benefit the operations of T Ltd. This provides a benefit in addition to capital appreciation or investment income; and
- (b) the investment plans of HTF Ltd. Do not include exit strategies for its investments, which are equity investments. The options held by T Ltd. Are not controlled by HTF Ltd. And do not constitute an exit strategy.

# $_{ m age}1261$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

#### **Ouestion 24 Illustration**


ABC Ltd. Is established with primary objective of investing in the equity shares of various entities across various industries based on the detailed research about each industry and entities within that industry being done by the investment manager of the company.

The investment manager decides the timing as to when the investments should be made considering the current market situation. Sometimes, the investment manager decides to invest the idle funds into short-term to medium-term debt instruments with fixed maturity. The exit strategies are in place for the investments done in equity shares but the same is not there for investments done in debt instruments.

Determine whether the entity fulfils the exit strategy condition of being classified as investment entity?

#### Answer 24

The exit strategies are in place for investments done in equity shares. But not in place for investments done in debt instruments. However, it should be noted that the debt instruments have fixed maturity period and they cannot be held for indefinite period. Hence, there is no need for having exit strategies for such instruments. Accordingly, the exit strategy condition is fulfilled for being classified as investment entity.



<sub>age</sub>1262

# Chapter 13 Unit-4 "Consolidation Procedure for Subsidiaries"

#### Question 1 Illustration

A Limited acquires 80% of B Limited by paying cash consideration of  $\overline{t}$  120 crore. The fair value of non-controlling interest on the date of acquisition is  $\overline{t}$  30 crore. The value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103 is  $\overline{t}$  130 crore. Determine the value of goodwill and pass the journal entry.

#### Answer 1

The amount of non-controlling interest can be measured as per

- i) Fair value method or
- ii) Proportionate share method (i.e. proportionate share in the net identifiable assets of the acquiree). The value of goodwill will be different under both the methods. The goodwill is calculated as per both the methods below:

odwin is calculated as per both the methods below.	
Fair value method	₹crore
Fair value of consideration transferred	120
Fair value of non-controlling interest	30
	150
Value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103	(130)
Goodwill	20
Proportionate share method	₹crore
Fair value of consideration transferred	120
Proportional share of non-controlling interest in the net	26
identifiable assets of acquiree (130 x 20%)	
	146
Value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103	(130)
Goodwill	16

#### Journal entries

Fair value method			₹crore
		Dr.	Cr.
Net identifiable assets	Dr.	130	
Goodwill	Dr.	20	
To Cash			120
To Non-controlling interest			30
Proportionate share method		L	₹crore
		Dr.	Cr.
Net identifiable assets	Dr.	130	
Goodwill	Dr.	16	
To Cash			120
To Non-controlling interest			26

# **Question 2 Illustration**

Ram Ltd. Acquires 60% of Raja Ltd. By paying cash consideration of ₹ 750 lakh (including control premium). The fair value of non-controlling interest on the date of acquisition is ₹ 480 lakh. The value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103 is ₹ 1,000 lakh. Determine the value of goodwill and pass the journal entry

#### Answer 2

The amount of non-controlling interest can be measures wither as per

- i) Fair value method or
- ii) Proportionate share method (i.e. proportionate share in the net identifiable assets of the acquiree). The value of goodwill will be different under both the methods. The goodwill is calculated as per both the methods below:

Fair value method	₹lakh
Fair value of consideration transferred	750
Fair value of non-controlling interest	480
	1,230
Value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103	(1,000)
Goodwill	230
Proportionate share method	₹lakh
Fair value of consideration transferred	750
Proportional share of non-controlling interest in the net identifiable assets	
identifiable assets	
of acquiree (1,000 x 40%)	400
	400 1,150
	1,150

#### Journal entries

00	urnai entries		
Fair value method			₹lakh
		Dr.	Cr.
Net identifiable assets	Dr.	1,000	
Goodwill	Dr.	230	
To Cash			750
To Non-controlling interest			480
Proportionate share method			₹lakh
		Dr.	Cr.
Net identifiable assets	Dr.	1,000	
Goodwill	Dr.	150	
To Cash			750
To Non-controlling interest			400

### **Question 3 Illustration**

X Ltd. Acquires 80% of Y Ltd. By paying cash consideration of  $\overline{t}$  400 lakh. The fair value of non-controlling interest on the date of acquisition is  $\overline{t}$  100 lakh. The value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103 is  $\overline{t}$  520 lakh. Determine the value of gain on bargain purchase and pass the journal entry.

#### Answer 3

The amount of non-controlling interest can be measures wither as per

- i) Fair value method or
- ii) Proportionate share method (i.e. proportionate share in the net identifiable assets of the acquiree).

The value of gain on bargain purchase will be different under both the methods. The gain is calculated as per both the methods below:

The gain is calculated as per both the methods below:	
Fair value method	₹lakh
Fair value of consideration transferred	400
Fair value of non-controlling interest	100
	50
Value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103	0
Gain on bargain purchase	(520)
	(20)
Proportionate share method	₹lakh
Fair value of consideration transferred	400
Proportional share of non-controlling interest in the net	
identifiable assets of	
acquiree (520 x 20%)	104
	504
Value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103	(520)
Gain on bargain purchase	(16)

### Journal entries

Fair value method		₹lakh	
		Dr.	Cr.
Net identifiable assets	Dr.	520	
To Cash			400
To Gain on bargain purchase*			20
To Non-controlling interest			100
Proportionate share method			₹lakh
		Dr.	Cr.
Net identifiable assets	Dr.	520	
To Cash			400
To Gain on bargain purchase*			16
To Non-controlling interest			104

<sup>\*</sup> Gain on bargain purchase is either recognised in OCI or is recognised directly in equity as a capital reserve.

#### **Question 4 Illustration**

M Ltd. Acquires 100% of N Ltd. By paying cash consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  100 lakh. The value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103 is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  80 lakh. Determine the value of goodwill

#### Answer 4

The value of goodwill is calculated as follows:

Determination of goodwill	₹lakh
Fair value of consideration transferred	100
Value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103	(80)
Goodwill	20

## Question 5 Illustration

RS Ltd. Holds 30% stake in PQ Ltd. This investment in PQ Ltd. Is accounted as an investment in associate in accordance with Ind AS 28 and the carrying value of such investment in  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  100 lakh. RS Ltd. Purchases the remaining 70% stake for a cash consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  700 lakh. The fair value of previously held 30% stake is measured to be  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  300 lakh on the date of acquisition of 70% stake. The value of PQ Ltd.'s identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103 on that date is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  800 lakh. How should RS Ltd. Account for the business combination?

#### Answer 5

The amount of goodwill is calculated as follows:

Determination of goodwill	₹lakh
Fair value of consideration transferred	700
Fair value of previously held equity interest	300
	1,000
Value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103	(800)
Goodwill	200

RS Ltd. Should record the difference between the fair value of previously held equity interest in the subsidiary and the carrying value of that interest in the profit or loss i.e. ₹200 lakh (300 – 100) should be recognised in profit or loss.

#### Journal entries

Fair value method		₹lakh	
		Dr.	Cr.
Net identifiable assets	Dr.	800	
Goodwill	Dr.	200	
To Cash			700
To Investment in associate			100
To Gain on fair valuation of equity interest	previously held		200

#### Question 6 Illustration

PQR Ltd. Is the subsidiary company of MNC Ltd. In the individual financial statements prepared in accordance with Ind AS, PQR Ltd. Has adopted Straightline method (SLM) of depreciation and MNC Ltd. Has adopted Written-down value method (WDV) for depreciating its property, plant and equipment. As per Ind AS 110, Consolidated Financial Statements, a parent shall prepare consolidated

financial statements using uniform accounting policies for like transactions and other events in similar circumstances.

How will these property, plant and equipment be depreciated in the consolidated financial statements of MNC Ltd. Prepared as per Ind AS?

#### Answer 6

As per paragraph 60 and 61 of Ind AS 16, 'Property, Plant and Equipment', a change in the method of depreciation shall be accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate as per Ind AS 8 'Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors'.

Therefore, the selection of the method of depreciation is an accounting estimate and not an accounting policy.

The entity should select the method that most closely reflects the expected pattern of consumption of the future economic benefits embodied in the asset. That method should be applied consistently from period to period unless there is a change in the expected pattern of consumption of those future economic benefits in separate financial statements as well as consolidated financial statements.

Therefore, there can be different methods of estimating depreciation for property, plant and equipment, if their expected pattern of consumption is different. The method once selected in the individual financial statements of the subsidiary should not be changed while preparing the consolidated financial statements.

Accordingly, in the given case, the property, plant and equipment of PQR Ltd. (subsidiary company) may be depreciated using straight line method and property, plant and equipment of parent company (MNC Ltd.) may be depreciated using written down value method, if such method closely reflects the expected pattern of consumption of future economic benefits embodied in the respective assets.

#### Question 7 Illustration

H Limited has a subsidiary, S Limited and an associate, A Limited. The three companies are engaged in different lines of business.

These companies are using the following cost formulas for their valuation in accordance with Ind AS 2 'Inventories'.

Name of the Company	Cost formula used
H Limited	FIFO
S Limited, A Limited	Weighted average cost

# Whether H Limited is required to value inventories of S Limited and A Limited also using FIFO formula in preparing its consolidated financial statements? Answer 7

Paragraph 19 of Ind AS 110 states that a parent shall prepare consolidated financial statements using uniform accounting policies for like transactions and other events in similar circumstances.

Paragraph B87 of Ind AS 110 states that if a member of the group uses accounting policies other than those adopted in the consolidated financial statements for like transactions and events in similar circumstances, appropriate adjustments are made to that group member's financial statements in preparing the consolidated financial statements to ensure conformity with the group's accounting policies.

Lt may be noted that the above mentioned paragraphs require an entity to apply uniform accounting policies "for like transactions and events in similar circumstances". If any member of the group follows a different accounting policy for like transactions and events in similar circumstances, appropriate adjustments are to be made in preparing consolidated financial statements.

Paragraph 5 of Ind AS 8 defines accounting policies as "the specific principles, bases, conventions, rules and practices applied by an entity in preparing and presenting financial statements."

Ind AS 2 requires inventories to be measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value.

Paragraph 25 of Ind AS 2 states that the cost of inventories shall be assigned by using FIFO or weighted average cost formula. An entity shall use the same cost formula for all inventories having a similar nature and use to the entity. For inventories with a different nature or use, different cost formulas may be justified.

Elaborating on the requirements of paragraph 25, paragraph 26 of Ind AS 2 illustrates that inventories used in one operating segment may have a use to the entity different from the same type of inventories used in another operating segment. However, a difference in geographical

location of inventories (or in the respective tax rules), by itself, is not sufficient to justify the use of different cost formulas.

Paragraph 36(a) of Ind AS 2 requires disclosure of "the accounting policies adopted in measuring inventories, including the cost formula used". Thus, as per Ind AS 2, the cost formula applied in valuing inventories is also an accounting policy.

As mentioned earlier, as per Ind AS 2, different cost formulas may be justified for inventories of a different nature or use. Thus, if inventories of S Limited and A Limited differ in nature or use from inventories of H Limited, then use of cost formula (weighted average cost) different from that applied in respect of inventories of H Limited (FIFO) in consolidated financial statements may be justified. In other words, in such a case, no adjustment needs to be made to align the cost formula applied by S Limited and A Limited to cost formula applied by H Limited.

#### Question 8 Illustration

How should assets and liabilities be classified into current or non-current in consolidated financial statements when parent and subsidiary have different reporting dates?

#### Answer 8

Paragraphs B92 and B93 of Ind AS 110 require subsidiaries with reporting period end different from parent, to provide additional information or details of significant transactions or events if it is impracticable to provide additional information to enable the parent entity to consolidate such financial information at group's reporting period end.

The appropriate classification of the assets and liabilities as current or non-current in the consolidated financial statements has to be determined by reference to the reporting period end of the group. Accordingly, when a subsidiary's financial statements are for a different reporting period end, it is necessary to review the subsidiary's balance sheet to ensure that items are correctly classified as current or non-current as at the end of the group's reporting period.

#### **Question 9 Illustration**

A Limited, an Indian Company has a foreign subsidiary, B Inc. Subsidiary B Inc. has taken a long term loan from a foreign bank, which is repayable after the year 20X9. However, during the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2, it breached one of the conditions of the loan, as a consequence of which the loan became repayable on demand on the reporting date. Subsequent to year end but before the approval of the financial statements, B Inc. rectified the breach and the bank agreed not to demand repayment and to let the loan run for its remaining period to

maturity as per the original loan terms. While preparing its standalone financial statements as per IFRS, B Inc. has classified this loan as a current liability in accordance with IAS 1 'Presentation of Financial Statements'.

Whether A limited is required to classify such loan as current while preparing its consolidated financial statement under Ind AS?

#### Answer 9

As per paragraph 74 of Ind AS 1, where there is a breach of a material provision of a long-term loan arrangement on or before the end of the reporting period with the effect that the liability becomes payable on demand on the reporting date, the entity does not classify the liability as current, if the lender agreed, after the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements for issue, not to demand payment as a consequence of the breach.

The above position under Ind AS 1 differs from the corresponding position under IAS 1. As per paragraph 74 of IAS 1, when an entity breaches a provision of a long-term loan arrangement on or before the end of the reporting period with the effect that the liability becomes payable on demand, it classifies the liability as current, even if the lender agreed, after the reporting period and before the recognized on of the financial statements for issue, not to demand payment as a consequence

of the breach. An entity classifies the liability as current because, at the end of the reporting period, it does not have an unconditional right to defer its settlement for at least twelve months after that date.

Accordingly, the loan liability recognized as current liability by B Inc. in its standalone financial statements prepared as per IFRS, should be aligned as per Ind AS in the consolidated financial statements of A Limited and should be classified as non-current in the consolidated financial statements of A Limited in accordance with Ind AS 1.

#### Question 10 Illustration

XYZ Ltd. Purchased 80% shares of ABC Ltd. On  $1^{st}$  April, 20X1 for  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1,40,000. The issued capital of ABC Ltd., on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X1 was  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1,00,000 and the balance in the Statement of Profit and Loss was  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  60,000.

For the year ending on  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2 ABC Ltd. Has earned a profit of ₹ 20,000 and later on it declared and paid a dividend of ₹ 30,000.

Assume, the fair value of non-controlling interest is same as the fair value on a per-share basis of the purchased interest $^{\#}$ . All net assets are identifiable net assets, there are no non-identifiable assets. The fair value of identifiable net assets is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{\sim}{}}$  1,50,000.

Show by an entry how the dividend should be recorded in the books of XYZ Ltd. Whenever it is received after approval in the ensuing annual general meeting.

What is the amount of non-controlling interest as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 (using Fair value Method) and 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2? Also pass a journal entry on the acquisition date.

(\*This assumption is only for illustration purpose. However, in practical scenarios the fair value of NCI will be different than the fair value of the controlling interest.)

#### Answer 10

XYZ Ltd.'s share of dividend ₹30,000 x 80% = ₹24,000.

₹

1769

		Dr.	Cr.
Bank	Dr.	24,00	
To Profit & Loss A/c		0	24,00
,			0

# Calculation of Non- controlling interest and Journal Entry

NCI on 1<sup>st</sup> April 20X1 = 20% of the fair value on a pre-share basis of the purchased interest.

= 20% x ₹1,75,000 (W.N.1) = ₹35,000

The journal entry recorded on the acquisition date for the 80% interest acquired is as follows:

		₹	
		Dr.	Cr.
Identifiable net assets	Dr.	1,50,000	
Goodwill (Balancing Figure)	Dr.	25,000	
To Cash			1,40,000
To NCI			35,000

#### Working Note 1

Fair value on a per-share basis of the purchased interest / Fair Value of Identifiable net assets

- = consideration transferred x 100/80
- $= 1,40,000 \times 100/80 = ₹1,75,000$

NCI on  $31^{st}$  March 20X2 = NCI on  $31^{st}$  March 20X1 + Share of NCI in Profits of 20X1- 20X2

 $= 35,000 + (20,000 \times 20\%) = ₹39,000$ 

**Note:** Dividend as per Ind AS will be recognized only when approval by the shareholder is received in the annual general meeting.

#### **Question 11 Illustration**

From the facts given in the above illustration, calculate the amount of non-controlling interest as on  $1^{st}$  April, 20X1 (Using NCI's proportionate share method) and  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2.

Also pass a journal entry on the acquisition date.

#### Answer 11

NCI on  $1^{st}$  April 20X1 = 20% of the fair value on identifiable assets.

= 20% x ₹1,50,000 = ₹30,000

The journal entry recorded on the acquisition date for the 80% interest acquired is as follows:

5ws.			
			₹
		Dr.	Cr.
Identifiable net assets	Dr	1,50,00	
	•	0	
Goodwill (Balancing Figure)	Dr	20,000	
To Cash			1,40,000
To NCI			30,000

NCI on 31st March 20X2 = NCI on 31st March 20X1 + Share of NCI in Profits of

1270

20X1-20X2

 $= 30,000 + (20,000 \times 20\%) = ₹34,000$ 

**Note:** Dividend as per Ind AS will be recognized only when approval by the shareholder is received in the annual general meeting.

#### Question 12 Illustration

The facts are same as in the above illustration except that the fair value of net identifiable asset is  $\ref{thm:prop}$  1,60,000. Calculate NCI and Pass Journal Entry on the acquisition date

Note: Use fair value method for 31st March 20X1.

#### Answer 12

#### Calculation of Non- controlling interest and Journal Entry

NCI on 1<sup>st</sup> April 20X1 = 20% of the fair value on a pre-share basis of the purchased interest.

= 20% x ₹1,75,000 (W.N.1) = ₹35,000

The journal entry recorded on the acquisition date for the 80% interest acquired is as follows:

		į	₹
		Dr.	Cr.
Dr.		1,60,00	
		0	
Dr.		15,000	
			1,40,00
			0
			35,000
			Dr. 1,60,00 0

# Working Note 1

Fair value on a per-share basis of the purchased interest / Fair Value of Identifiable net assets

- = consideration transferred x 100/80
- $= 1,40,000 \times 100/80 = ₹1,75,000$

NCI on 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X2 = NCI on 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X1 + Share of NCI in Profits of 20X1-20X2

 $= 35,000 + (20,000 \times 20\%) = ₹39,000$ 

**Note:** Dividend as per Ind AS will be recognized only when approval by the shareholder is received.

#### Question 13 Illustration

The facts are same as in the above illustration except that the fair value of net identifiable asset is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1,60,000. Calculate NCI and Pass Journal Entry on the acquisition date. Use NCI's proportionate share method for 31st March 20X1.

#### Answer 13

NCI on  $1^{st}$  April 20X1 = 20% of the fair value on identifiable assets.

= 20% x ₹1,60,000 = ₹32,000

The journal entry recorded on the acquisition date for the 80% interest acquired is as follows:

	₹	
	Dr.	Cr.

Identifiable net assets	Dr.	1,60,000	
Goodwill (Balancing Figure)	Dr.	12,000	
To Cash			1,40,0
			00
To NCI			32,000

NCI on  $31^{st}$  March 20X2 = NCI on  $31^{st}$  March 20X1 + Share of NCI in Profits of 20X1- 20X2

= 32,000 + (20,000 X 20%) = ₹36,000

**Note:** Dividend as per Ind AS will be recognized only when approval by the shareholder is received

#### **Question 14 Illustration**

A parent owns 60% of a subsidiary. The subsidiary sells some inventory to the parent for  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 35,000$  and makes a profit of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 15,000$  on the sale. The inventory is in the parent's balance sheet at the year end. Examine the treatment of intra-group transaction and pass the necessary journal entry

#### Answer 14

The parent must eliminate 100% of the unrealized profit on consolidation. The inventory will, therefore, be carried in the group's balance sheet at ₹20,000 (₹35,000 -₹15,000). The consolidated income statement will show a corresponding reduction in profit of ₹15,000.

		₹' 000	
		Dr.	Cr.
Consolidated revenue	Dr.	35	
To Cost of sales			20
To Inventory			15

The reduction of group profit of ₹ 15,000 is allocated between the parent company and non- controlling interest in the ratio of their interests 60% and 40%.

#### **Question 15 Illustration**

In the above illustration, assume that it is the parent that makes the sale. The parent owns 60% of a subsidiary. The parent sells some inventory to the subsidiary for ₹ 35,000 and makes a profit of ₹ 15,000. On the sale the inventory is in the subsidiary's balance sheet at the year end. Examine the treatment of intra-group transaction and pass the necessary journal entry.

#### Answer 15

The parent must eliminate 100% of the unrealized profit on consolidation. The inventory will, therefore, be carried in the group's balance sheet at ₹ 20,000 (₹ 35,000 - ₹ 15,000). The consolidated income statement will show a corresponding reduction in profit of ₹ 15,000.

The double entry on consolidation is as follows:

		₹' 000	
		Dr.	Cr.
Consolidated revenue	Dr.	35	
To Cost of sales			20
To Inventory			15

1777

In this case, since it is the parent that has made the sale, the reduction in profit of ₹15,000 is allocated entirely to the parent company.

#### **Question 16 Illustration**

A Ltd, a parent company sold goods costing ₹ '200 lakh to its 80% subsidiary B Ltd. At ₹ 240 lakh. 50% of these goods are lying at its stock. B Ltd. Has measured this inventory at cost i.e. at ₹ 120 lakh. Show the necessary adjustment in the consolidated financial statements (CFS). Assume 30% tax rate.

#### Answer 16

A Ltd. shall reduce the inventories of ₹120 lakh of B Ltd., by ₹20 lakh in CFS. This will increase expenses and reduce consolidated profit by ₹20 lakh. Lt shall also create deferred tax asset of ₹6 lakh since accounting base of inventories (₹100 lakh) is lower than its tax base (₹120 lakh).

#### Question 17 Illustration

Ram Ltd., a parent company purchased goods costing ₹ 100 lakh from its 80% subsidiary Shyam Ltd. At ₹ 120 lakh. 50% of these goods are lying at the godown. Ram Ltd. Has measured this inventory at cost i.e. at ₹ 60 lakh. Show the necessary adjustment in the consolidated financial statements (CFS). Assume 30% tax rate.

#### Answer 17

Ram Ltd. shall reduce the inventories of  $\ref{thmodel}$  60 lakh of Shyam Ltd., by  $\ref{thmodel}$  10 lakh in CFS This will increase expenses and reduce consolidated profit by  $\ref{thmodel}$  10 lakh. Lt shall also create deferred tax asset of  $\ref{thmodel}$  3 lakh since accounting base of inventories ( $\ref{thmodel}$  50 lakh) is lower than its tax base ( $\ref{thmodel}$  60 lakh).

#### Question 18 Illustration

A Ltd. (which is involved in the business of selling capital equipment) a parent company sold a capital equipment costing ₹ 100 lakh to its 80% subsidiary B Ltd. At ₹ 120 lakh. The capital equipment is recorded as PPE by B Ltd. The useful life of the PPE on the date of transfer was 10 years. Show the necessary adjustment in the consolidated financial statements (CFS).

### Answer 18

A Ltd. shall reduce the value of PPE of ₹120 lakh of B Ltd., by ₹20 lakh in CFS This will increase expenses and reduce consolidated profit by ₹20 lakh. Further, A Ltd. should also reduce the depreciation charge of B Ltd. to the extent of value of PPE reduced as above. Hence, A Ltd. should reduce the depreciation by ₹2 lakh (₹20 lakh ÷ 10 years). Further, the sales and cost of goods sold recorded by parent A Ltd. shall also be eliminated.

The double entry on consolidation is as follows:

		₹' lakh	
		Dr.	Cr.
Consolidated revenue	Dr.	120	
To Cost of sales			100
To PPE			18
To Depreciation			2

#### Question 19 Illustration

1773

A Ltd. Acquired 70% equity shares of B Ltd. On 1.4.20X1 at cost of ₹ 10,00,000 when B Ltd. Had an equity share capital of ₹ 10,00,000 and other equity of ₹ 80,000. In the four consecutive years B Ltd. Fared badly and suffered losses of ₹ 2,50,000, ₹ 4,00,000, ₹ 5,00,000 and ₹ 1,20,000 respectively. Thereafter in 20X5-20X6, B Ltd. Experienced turnaround and registered an annual profit of ₹ 50,000. In the next two years i.e. 20X6-20X7 and 20X7-20X8, B Ltd. Recorded annual profits of ₹ 1,00,000, and ₹ 1,50,000 respectively. Show the non-controlling interests and goodwill at the end of each year for the purpose of consolidation. Assume that the assets are at fair value.

#### Answer 19

Year	Profit	Non-	Additional	Goodwill
	(Loss)	controlling	consolidate	
		interest	d P&L (Dr.)	1
		(30%)	Cr.	
At the time of acquisition		3,24,000		2,44,000(W
in 20X1		(W.N.)		.N.)
20X1-20X2	(2,50,000)	(75,000)	(1,75,000)	2,44,000
		2,49,000		
20X2-20X3	(4,00,000)	(1,20,000)	(2,80,000)	2,44,000
		1,29,000		
20X3-20X4	(5,00,00)	(1,50,000)	(3,50,000)	2,44,000
		(21,000)		
20X4-20X5	(1,20,000)	(36,000)	(84,000)	2,44,000
		(57,000)		
20X5-20X6	50,000	15,000	35,000	2,44,000
		(42,000)		
20X6-20X7	1,00,000	30,000	70,000	2,44,000
		(12,000)		
20X7-20X8	1,50,000	45,000	1,05,000	2,44,000
		33,000		

Working note:

rking note.	
Calculation of non-controlling interest:	₹
Share capital	10,00,000
Other equity	80,000
Total	10,80,000
NCI (30% x 10,80,000)	3,24,000

NCI is measured at NCI's proportionate share of the acquiree's identifiable net assets. (Considering the carrying amount of share capital & other equity to be fair value)

Calculation of Goodwill:	₹
Consideration	10,00,000
Non-controlling interest	3,24,000
Less: Net Assets	(10,80,000)

Goodwill 2,44,000

#### **Question 20 Illustration**

From the following data, determine in each case:

- 1) Non-controlling interest at the date of acquisition (using proportionate share method) and at the date of consolidation
- 2) Goodwill or Gain on bargain purchase.
- 3) Amount of holding company's share of profit in the consolidated Balance Sheet assuming holding company's own retained earnings to be ₹ 2,00,000 in each case

Case	Subsidiar y Company	% or shares owned	Cost			Consolid date 31.0	
					Retained earnings [B]		Retained earnings [D]
Case 1	A	90%	1,40,000	1,00,00	50,000	1,00,00	70,000
Case 2	В	85%	1,04,000	1,00,00	30,000	1,00,00	20,000
Case 3	С	80%	56,000	50,000	20,000	50,000	20,000
Case 4	D	100%	1,00,000	50,000	40,000	50,000	56,000

The company has adopted an accounting policy to measure Non-controlling interest at NCI's proportionate share of the acquiree's identifiable net assets. It may be assumed that the fair value of acquiree's net identifiable assets is equal to their book values.

### Answer 20

(1) Non-controlling Interest = the equity in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent. Equity is the residual interest in the assets of an entity after deducting all its liabilities i.e. in this given case Share Capital + Balance in Statement of Profit & Loss (Assuming it to be the net aggregate value of identifiable assets in accordance with Ind AS)

	% shares owned by NCI [E]	interest as at the date of acquisition	
	1.004		[E] X [C + D]
Case 1 [100-90]	10%	15,000	17,000
Case 2 [100-85]	15%	19,500	18,000
Case 3 [100-80]	20%	14,000	14,000
Case 4 [100-100]	Nil	Nil	Nil

(2) Calculation of Goodwill or Gain on bargain purchase

	Consideration <b>[G]</b>	controlling interest	Identifiable		Gain on bargain Purchase [I]– [G] – [H]
Case 1	1,40,000	15,000	1,50,000	5,000	-
Case 2	1,04,000	19,500	1,30,000	-	6,500
Case 3	56,000	14,000	70,000	Nil	Nil
Case 4	1,00,000	0	90,000	10,000	-

,age 1275

(3) On 31.03.20X2 in each case the following amount shall be added or deducted from the balance of holding Co.'s Retained earnings.

	Holding earnings [K] as on		earnings as On	Retained earnings post- acquisition [N	Amount added/(dee from Retained	holding's
				= [M] - [L]	[O] = [K] X	_
1	90%	50,000	70,000	20,000		18,000
2	85%	30,000	20,000	(10,000)		(8,500)
3	80%	20,000	20,000	Nil		Nil
4	100%	40,000	56,000	16,000		16,000

#### Question 21 Illustration

Entity P sells a 20% interest in a wholly owned subsidiary to outside investors for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  100 lakh in cash. The carrying value of the subsidiary's net assets is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  300 lakh, including goodwill of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  65 lakh from the subsidiary's initial acquisition. Pass journal entries to record the transaction.

#### Answer 21

The accounting entry recorded on the disposition date for the 20% interest sold as follows:

		₹lak	h
		Dr.	Cr.
Cash	Dr	100	
To Non-controlling interest (20% x 300 lakh)			60
To Other Equity (Gain on sale of interest in subsidiary)			40

As per para B96 of Ind AS 110, where proportion of the equity of NCI changes, then group shall adjust controlling and non-controlling interest and any difference between amount by which NCI (60 Iakh) is adjusted and fair value of consideration received (100 lakh) to be attributed to parent in other equity ie. 40 lakh.

#### Question 22 Illustration

Entity A acquired 60% of entity B two years ago for ₹ 6,000. At that time, entity B's fair value was ₹ 10,000. Lt had net assets with a fair value of ₹ 6,000 (which is assumed same as book value). Goodwill of ₹ 2,400 was recorded (being ₹ 6,000 – (60% x ₹ 6,000)). On 1 October 20X0, entity A acquires a further 20% interest in entity B, taking its holding to 80%. At that time the fair value of entity B is ₹ 20,000 and entity A pays ₹ 4,000 for the 20% interest. At the time of the purchase the fair value of entity B's net assets is ₹ 12,000 and the carrying amount of the non- controlling interest is ₹ 4,000.

Pass journal entries to record the transaction.

#### Answer 22

The accounting entry recorded for the purpose of the non- controlling interest is as follows:

		ŧ	₹
		Dr.	Cr.
Non-controlling interest (4,000 ÷ 40 x 20)	Dr.	2,000	
Other Equity (Loss on acquisition of interest in	Dr.	2,000	

subsidiary)		
To Cash		4,000

As per para B96 of Ind AS 110, where proportion of the equity of NCI changes, then group shall adjust controlling and non-controlling interest and any difference between amount by which NCI (₹ 2,000) is adjusted and fair value of consideration received (₹ 4,000) to be attributed to parent in other equity i.e. ₹ 2,000.

Note: This illustration mentions two types of fair values:

- Fair value of Entity B, and
- Fair value of net assets of Entity B

It should be borne in mind that the two fair values are different concepts. The former is used only for the purpose of determining the consideration to be paid for purchase of equity interests. It can be seen that for the initial stake purchase, Entity A paid 60% of the "fair value of Entity B" i.e. 60% of ₹10,000 = ₹6,000. Further, for the second purchase transaction, Entity A paid 20% of the "fair value of Entity B" i.e. 20% of ₹20,000 = ₹4,000.

The latter i.e. fair value of net assets of Entity B is used for the purpose of accounting. It can be seen that the goodwill arising on acquisition of Entity B is determined as difference between consideration paid i.e. ₹6,000 and Entity A's share in fair value of net assets of Entity B on date of

acquisition i.e. 60% of ₹6,000 = ₹6,000 minus ₹3,600 = ₹2,400. The fair value of net assets after the date of acquisition (i.e. ₹12,000 in this illustration) is not relevant for accounting purposes.

# **Question 23 Illustration**

A Ltd. Acquired 10% additional shares of its 70% subsidiary. The following relevant information is available in respect of the change in non-controlling interest on the basis of Balance Sheet finalized as on 1.4.20X0:

	₹in thousand
Separate financial statements	As on 31.3.20X0
Investment in subsidiary (70% interest) – at cost	14,000
Purchase price for additional 10% interest	2,600
Consolidated financial statements	
Non-controlling interests (30%)	6,600
Consolidated profit & loss account balance	2,000
Goodwill	600

The reporting date of the subsidiary and the parent is 31 March 20X0. Prepare note showing adjustment for change of non-controlling interest. Should goodwill be adjusted for the change?

#### Answer 23

The following accounting entry is passed:

		₹'000	
		Dr.	Cr.
Non-controlling interest (6,600 ÷ 30 x 10)	Dr.	2,200	
Other Equity (Loss on acquisition of interest in subsidiary)	Dr.	400	

To Cash 2,600

As per para B96 of Ind AS 110, where proportion of the equity of NCI changes, then group shall adjust controlling and non-controlling interest and any difference between amount by which NCI (₹ 22,00,000) is adjusted and fair value of consideration received (₹ 26,00,000) to be attributed to parent in other equity i.e. ₹ 4,00,000. Consolidated goodwill is not adjusted.

# Question 24 Illustration

A Ltd. Acquired 70% shares of B Ltd. On 1.4.20X0 when the fair value of net assets of B Ltd. Was $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  200 lakh. During 20X0-20X1, B Ltd. Made profit of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  100 lakh. Individual and consolidated balance sheets as on 31.3.20X1 are as follows:

	A	В	Group
Assets			
Goodwill			10
PPE	627	200	827
Financial assets:			
Investments	150		
Cash	200	30	230
Other current assets	23	70	93
	1,000	300	1160
Equity and liability			
Share capital	200	100	200
Other equity	800	200	870
Non-controlling interest			90
	1,000	300	1160

A Ltd. Acquired another 10% stake in B Ltd. On 1.4.20X1 at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  32 lakh. The proportionate carrying amount of the non-controlling interest is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  30 lakh. Show the individual and consolidated balance sheet of the group immediately after the change in non-controlling interest.

# Answer 24

		₹ <b>la</b> i	kh
A	В	Workings	Group
			10
627	200		827
182			
168	30	(200+30)- 32)	198
23	70	•	93
1,000	300		1,128
200	100		200
800	200	870-2	868
	182 168 23 1,000	182 168 30 23 70 1,000 300	182 168 30 (200+30)- 32) 23 70 1,000 300

age 1278

Non-controlling interest			90-30	60
	1,000	300		1,128

<sup>\*</sup>Cash has been adjusted through Individual Balance Sheet.

# Journal entry

		₹	lakh
		Dr.	Cr.
Non-controlling interest (90 ÷ 30 x 10)	Dr.	30	
Other Equity (Loss on acquisition of interest in subsidiary)	Dr.	2	
To Cash			32

#### Question 25 Illustration

Amla Ltd. Purchased a 100% subsidiary for ₹ 10,00,000 at the end of 20X1 when the fair value of the subsidiary Lal Ltd.'s net asset was ₹ 8,00, 000.

The parent sold 40% of its investment in the subsidiary in March 20X4 to outside investors for  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  9,00,000. The parent still maintains a 60% controlling interest in the subsidiary. The carrying value of the subsidiary's net assets is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  18,00,000 (including net assets of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  16,00,000 & goodwill of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  2,00,000).

Calculate gain / loss on sale of interest in subsidiary as on 31st March 20X4.

#### Answer 25

As per Ind AS 110, a change in ownership that does not result in a loss of control is equity transaction. The identifiable net assets (including goodwill) remain unchanged and any difference between the amount by which the non-controlling interest is recorded (including the non-controlling interest portion of goodwill) and a fair value of the consideration received is recognized directly in equity and attributed to the controlling interest. For disposals that do not result in the loss of control, the change in the non-controlling interest is recorded at its proportionate interest of the carrying value of the subsidiary.

Gain on the sale of the investment of ₹ 5,00,000 in parent's separate financial statements calculated as follows:

	₹' 000
Sale proceeds	900
Less: Cost of investment in subsidiary (10,00,000 x 40%) Gain on sale in the parent's separate financial statements	(400) 500

As discussed above, the group's consolidated income statement for 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X4 would show no gain on the sale of the interest in the subsidiary. Instead, the difference between the fair value of the consideration received and the amount by which the non-controlling interest is recorded is recognized directly in equity.

	₹' 000
Sale proceeds	900
Less: Recognition of non-controlling interest (18,00,000 x 40%)	(720)
Credit to other equity	180

The entry recognized in the consolidated accounts under Ind AS 110 is:

# 1280

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

		₹*	000
		Dr.	Cr.
Cash	Dr.	900	
	To Non-controlling interest		720
	To Other Equity (Gain on sale of interest in subsidiary)		180

The difference between the gain in the parent's income statement and the increase reported in the group's consolidated equity is 3,20,000. This difference represents the share of post-acquisition profits retained in the subsidiary 3,20,000 [(that is, 18,00,000 - 10,00,000) x 40%] that have been reported in the group's income statement up to the date of sale.

# Question 26 Illustration

Entity A sells 30% interest in its wholly-owned subsidiary to outside investors in an arm 's length transaction for ₹ 500 crore in cash and retains a 70% controlling interest in the subsidiary. At the time of the sale, the carrying value of the subsidiary's net assets in the consolidated financial statements of Entity A is ₹ 1,300 crore, additionally, there is a goodwill of ₹ 200 crore that arose on the subsidiary's acquisition. Entity A initially accounted for NCI representing present ownership interests in the subsidiary at fair value and it recognises subsequent changes in NCI in the subsidiary at NCI's proportionate share in aggregate of net identifiable assets and associated goodwill. How should Entity A account for the transaction?

#### Answer 26

As per paragraph 23 of Ind AS 110, changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in the parent losing control of the subsidiary are equity transactions (i.e. transactions with owners in their capacity as owners). Thus, changes in ownership interest that do not result in loss of control do not impact goodwill associated with the subsidiary or the statement of profit and loss.

Paragraph B96 of Ind AS 110 states that when the proportion of the equity held by non-controlling interests changes, an entity shall adjust the carrying amounts of the controlling and non-controlling interests to reflect the changes in their relative interests in the subsidiary. The entity shall recognise directly in equity any difference between the amount by which the non-controlling interests are adjusted and the fair value of the consideration paid or received, and attribute it to the owners of the parent. Thus, at the time of sale of 30% of its equity interest, consolidated financial statements include an amount of ₹ 1,500 crore in respect of the subsidiary. Accordingly, in the present case, the accounting entry on the date of sale of the 30% interest would be as follows:

		₹in	crore
		Dr.	Cr.
Cash	Dr.	900	
	To Non-controlling interest (1,500 x 30%)		450
	To Other Equity (Gain on sale of interest in subsidiary)		50

#### Question 27 Illustration

A parent company (entity A) has an 80% owned subsidiary (entity B). Entity B makes an acquisition for cash of a third company (entity C), which it then wholly owns. Goodwill of  $\overline{1}$ ,00,000 arises on the acquisition of entity C. How should that goodwill be reflected in consolidated financial statement of entity A? Should it be reflected as

- a) 100% of the goodwill with 20% then being allocated to the non-controlling interest, or
- b) 80% of the goodwill that arises?

#### Answer 27

Assuming that entity B prepares consolidated financial statements, 100% of the goodwill would be recognized on the acquisition of entity C in those financial statements. Entity A should reflect 100% of goodwill and allocate 20% to the non-controlling interest in its consolidated financial statements. This is because the non-controlling interest is a party to the transaction and the goodwill forms part of the net assets of the sub group (in this case, the sub group being the group headed by entity B).

#### Question 28 Illustration

Prepare the consolidated Balance Sheet as on 31st March, 20X2 of a group of companies comprising P Limited, S Limited and SS Limited. Their balance sheets on that date are given below.

	P Ltd.	S Ltd.	SS Ltd.
Assets			
Non-Current Assets			
Property, Plant and Equipment Investment:	320	360	300
32 lakh shares in S Ltd.	340		
24 lakh shares in SS Ltd.		280	
Current Assets			
Inventories	220	70	50
Financial Assets	260	100	220
Trade Receivables	72	-	30
Bills Receivables	228	40	40
Cash in hand and at Bank	1440	850	640
Equity and Liabilities			
Shareholder's Equity			
Share Capital (₹ 10 per share)	600	400	320
Other Equity			
Reserves	180	100	80
Retained earnings	160	50	60
Current Liabilities			
Financial Liabilities			
Trade Payables	470	230	180
Bills Payable			
P Ltd.		70	
SS Ltd.	30	-	-

1440	850	640

The following additional information is available:

- (i) P Ltd. Holds 80% shares in S Ltd. And S Ltd. Holds 75% shares in SS Ltd. Their holdings were acquired on 30<sup>th</sup> September, 20X1.
- (ii) The business activities of all the companies are not seasonal in nature and therefore, it can be assumed that profits are earned evenly throughout the year.
- (iii) On 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 the following balances stood in the books of S Ltd. And SS Ltd.

#### ₹ in Lakhs

	S Limited	SS Limited
Reserves	80	60
Retained earnings	20	30

- (iv) ₹ 10 lakhs included in the inventory figure of S ltd, is inventory which has been purchased from SS Ltd at cost plus 25%.
- (v) The parent company has adopted an accounting policy to measure noncontrolling interest at fair value (quoted market price) applying Ind AS 103. Assume market prices of S Ltd and SS Ltd are the same as respective face values. Answer 28

Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Group as on 31st March, 20X2

Particulars	Note	₹in
	No.	lakh
ASSETS		
Non-current assets		
Property, plant and equipment	1	980
Current assets		
(a) Inventory	2	338
(b) Financial assets		
Trade receivable	3	580
Bills receivable	4	2
Cash and cash equipment	5	308
Total assets		2,208
EQUITY & LIABILITIES		
Equity attributable to owners of parent		
Share Capital		600
Other Equity		
Reserve (W.N.5)		194
Retained Earnings (W.N.5)		179.8
Capital Reserve (W.N.3)		188
Non-controlling interests (W.N.4)		166.2
Total equity		1328
LIABILITIES		
Non-current liabilities		Nil
Current liabilities		
(a) Financial Liabilities		
(i) Trade payables	6	880
Total liabilities		880

470

230

180

880

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Tot	tal equity and liabilities		2,20
Not	tes to Accounts		(₹in lakh)
1.	Property Plant & Equipment		
	P Ltd.	320	
	S Ltd.	360	
	SS Ltd.	300	980
2.	Inventories		
	P Ltd.	220	
	S Ltd. (70-2)	68	
	SS Ltd.	50	338
3.	Trade Receivable		
	P Ltd.	260	
	S Ltd.	100	
	SS Ltd.	220	580
4.	Bills Receivable		
	P Ltd. (72-70)	2	
	S Ltd. (30-30)	-	2
5.	Cash & Cash equivalents		
	P Ltd.	228	
	S Ltd.	40	
	SS Ltd.	40	308

# SS Ltd. Working Notes:

P Ltd.

**Trade Payables** 

1. Analysis of Reserves and Surplus (₹in lakh)

(\III IMIII)			-,
	S Ltd.		SS Ltd.
	80		60
20		20	
	10		10
	90		70
	100		80
	10		10
	20		20
	20		30
30		30	
	15		15
	35		45
	50		60
	15		15
	-	_	(2)
		\$ Ltd. 80 20 10 90 100 10 20 30 15 35 50	S Ltd.         80         20       20         10       90         100       10         20       30         30       30         15       35         50       50

Post-acquisition balance for CFS	15	13	
Total balance on the acquisition date ie.30.9.20X1	125	115	
(A+B)			

# 1. Calculation of Effective Interest of P Ltd. in SS Ltd.

Acquisition by P Ltd. In S Ltd.

= 80%

Acquisition by S Ltd. In SS Ltd.

= 75% Acquisition by Group in SS

Ltd. (80% x 75%)

= 60% Non-controlling Interest = 40%

2. Calculation of Goodwill / Capital Reserve on the acquisition

_	S Ltd.	SS Ltd.
Investment or consideration	340	(280 x 80%)
		224
Add: NCI at Fair value		
(400 x 20%)	80	
(320 x 40%)	-	128
	420	352
Less: Identifiable net assets (Share	(400+125) (525)	(320+115)
Capital + Increase in the Reserves		(435)
and Surplus till acquisition date)		
Capital Reserve	105	83
Total Capital Reserve (105 + 83)	188	

3. Calculation of Non-Controlling Interest

_	S Ltd.	SS Ltd.
At Fair Value (See Note 3)	80	128
Add: Post Acquisition Reserves (See Note 1)	(10 x 20%) 2	(10 x 40%) 4
Add: Post Acquisition Retained Earnings	(15 x 20%) 3	(13 x 40%) 5.2
(See Note 1)		
Less: NCI share of investment in SS Ltd.	(280 x 20%)	-
	(56)*	
	29	137.2
Total (29 + 137.2)		166.2

<sup>\*</sup>Note: The Non-controlling interest in S Ltd. Will take its proportion in SS Ltd. So they have to bear their proportion in the investment by S Ltd. (in SS Ltd.) also.

#### 4. Calculation of Consolidated Other Equity

	Reserves	Retained Earnings
P Ltd.	180	160
Add: Share in S Ltd.	(10 x 80%) 8	(15 x 80%) 12
Add: Share in SS Ltd.	(10 x 60%) 6	(13 x 60%) 7.8
	194	179.8

**Note:** It is assumed date the sale of goods by SS Ltd. Is done after acquisition of shares by S Ltd. Alternatively, it may be assumed that the sale has either been done before acquisition of shares by S Ltd. In SS Ltd. Or sale has been throughout the year. Accordingly, the treatment for unrealized gain may vary.

#### **Question 29 Illustration**

In March 20X1 a group had a 60% interest in subsidiary with share capital of 50,000 ordinary shares. The carrying amount of goodwill is ₹ 20,000 at March 20X1 calculated using the partial goodwill method. On 31 March 20X1, an option

held by the minority shareholders exercised the option to subscribe for a further 25,000 ordinary shares in the subsidiary at  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  12 per share, raising  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  3,00,000. The net assets of the subsidiary in the consolidated balance sheet prior to the option's exercise were  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  4,50,000, excluding goodwill.

Calculate gain or loss on loss of interest in subsidiary due to option exercised by minority shareholder.

#### Answer 29

**Shareholdings** 

	Before		Aft	ter
	No	%	No	%
Group	30,000	60	30,000	40
Other party	20,000	40	45,000	60
	50,000	100	75,000	100
Net assets	₹' 000	%	₹' 000	%
Group's share	270	60	300	40
Other party's share	180	40	450	60
	450	100	750	100

Calculation of group gain on deemed disposal	₹' 000
Fair value of 40% interest retained (₹12 x 30,000)**	360
Less: Net assets derecognized	(450)
Non-controlling interest derecognized	180
Goodwill	(20)
Gain on deemed disposal	70

<sup>\*\*</sup> **Note**: For simplicity, it has been assumed the fair value per share is equal to the subscription price.

As control of the subsidiary is lost, the retained interest is recognized at its fair value at the date control is lost. The resulting remeasurement gain is recognized in profit and loss.

#### Question 30 Illustration

A parent purchased 80% interest in a subsidiary for ₹ 1,60,000 on 1 April 20X1 when the fair value of the subsidiary's net assets was ₹ 1,75,000. Goodwill of ₹ 20,000 arose on consolidation under the partial goodwill method. An impairment of goodwill of ₹ 8,000 was charged in the consolidated financial statements for year ended 31 March 20X3. No other impairment charges have been recorded. The parent sold its investment in the subsidiary on 31 March 20X4 for ₹ 2,00,000. The book value of the subsidiary's net assets in the consolidated financial statements on the date of the sale was ₹ 2,25,000 (not including goodwill of ₹ 12,000). When the subsidiary met the criteria to be classified as held for sale under Ind AS 105, no write off was required because the expected fair value less cost to sell (of 100% of the subsidiary) was greater than the carrying value.

The parent carried the investment in the subsidiary in its separate financial statements at cost, as permitted by Ind AS 27.

Calculate gain or loss on disposal of subsidiary in parent's separate and consolidated financial statements as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X4.

#### Answer 30

The parent's separate statement of profit and loss for 20X3-20X4 would show a gain on the sale of investment of ₹ 40,000 calculated as follow:

	₹' 000
Sales proceeds	200
Less: Cost of investment in subsidiary	(160)
Gain on sale in parent's account	40

However, the group's statement of profit & loss for 20X3-20X4 would show a gain on the sale of subsidiary of ₹8,000 calculated as follows:

<u>·</u>		
		₹'
		000
Sales proceeds		20
Less: Share of net assets at date of disposal (₹2,25,000	(18	0
X 80%) Goodwill on consolidation at date of sale (W.N.)	0)	
Gain on sale in group's account	(12	(19
	)	2)
		·
		8

# Working note

The goodwill on consolidation (assuming partial goodwill method) is calculated as follows:

		₹' 000
Fair value of consideration at the date of acquisition		160
Non- controlling interest measured at proportionate	3	
share of the	5	
acquiree's identifiable net assets (1,75,000 X 20%)		
Less: Fair value of net assets of subsidiary at date of acquisition	(175)	(140)
Goodwill arising on consolidation		20
Impairment at 31 March 20X3		(8)
Goodwill at 31 March 20X4		12

### Question 31 Illustration

AT Ltd. Purchased a 100% subsidiary for ₹ 50,00,000 on  $31^{st}$  March 20X1 when the fair value of the net assets of BT Ltd. Was ₹ 40,00,000. Therefore, goodwill is ₹ 10,00,000. AT Ltd. Sold 60% of its investment in BT Ltd. On  $31^{st}$  March 20X3 for ₹ 67,50,000, leaving the AT Ltd. With 40% and significant influence. At the date of disposal, the carrying value of net assets of BT Ltd. Excluding goodwill is ₹ 80,00,000. Assume the fair value of the investment in associate BT Ltd. Retained is proportionate to the fair value of the 60% sold, that is ₹ 45,00 000. Calculate gain or loss on sale of proportion of BT Ltd. In AT Ltd.'s separate and consolidated financial statements as on  $31^{st}$  March 20X3.

#### Answer 31

AT Ltd.'s standalone statement for profit or loss of 20X2-20X3 would show a gain on the sale of investment of a ₹37,50,000 calculated as follows:

	' lakh
--	--------

Sales proceeds	67.5
Less: Cost of investment in subsidiary (₹50,00,000 * 60%	(30.0)
Gain on sale in parent's account	37.5

In the consolidated financial statements, the group will calculate the gain or loss on disposal differently. The carrying amount of all of the assets including goodwill is derecognized when control is lost. This is compared to the proceeds received and the fair value of the investment retained.

The gain on the disposal will, therefore, be calculated as follows:

	<b>₹' lakh</b>
Sales proceeds	67.5
Fair value of 40%interest retained	45.0
	112.5
Less: Net assets disposed, including goodwill (80,00,000+10,00,000)	(90.0)
Gain on sale in the group's financial statements	22.5

The gain on loss of control would be recorded in consolidated statement of profit and loss. The gain or loss includes the gain of  $\gtrless 13,50,000 \ [\gtrless 67,50,000 - (\gtrless 90,00,000 \times 60\%)]$  on the portion sold. However, it also includes a gain on remeasurement of the 40% retained interest of  $\gtrless 9,00,000 \ (\gtrless 36,00,000* \ to \lang 45,00,000)$ . The entity will need to disclose the portion of the gain that is attributable to remeasuring any remaining interest to fair value, that is,  $\gtrless 9,00,000$ .

### **Question 32 Illustration**

The facts of this illustration are same per the above Illustration, except the group AT Ltd. Disposes of a 90% interest for ₹ 85,50,000 leaving the AT Ltd. With a 10% investment. The fair value of the remaining interest is ₹ 9,50,000 (assumed for simplicity to be pro rata to the fair value of the 90% sold) Calculate gain or loss on sale of proportion of BT Ltd. In AT Ltd.'s separate and consolidated financial statements as on 31 March 20X3.

#### Answer 32

The parent's AT Ltd. income statement in its separate financial statements for 20X2-20X3 would show a gain on the sale of the investment of ₹40,50,000 calculated as follows:

	₹' lakh
Sales proceeds	85.5
Less: Cost of investment in subsidiary (₹50,00,000 * 90%	(45.0)
Gain on sale in parent's account	40.5

In the consolidated financial statements, all of the assets, including goodwill are derecognized when control is lost. This is compared to the proceeds received and the fair value of the investment retained.

	₹' lakh
Sales proceeds	85.5
Fair value of 10%interest retained	9.5
	95.0
Less: Net assets disposed, including goodwill (80,00,000	(90.0)

<sup>\* 90,00,000</sup> x 40%= 36,00,000

+ 10,00,000)	
Gain on sale in the group's financial statements	5.0

The gain on loss of control would be recorded in profit or loss. The gain or loss includes the gain of ₹4,50,000 related to the 90% portion sold [₹85,50,000 – (₹90,00,000 x 90%)] as well as ₹ 50,000 related to the remeasurement of fair value of 10% retained interest (₹ 9,00,000 to ₹9,50,000).

#### **Question 33 Illustration**

MN Ltd. was holding 80% stake in UV Ltd. Now, MN Ltd. has disposed of the entire stake in UV Ltd. in two different transactions as follows:

- > Transaction 1: Sale of 25% stake for a cash consideration of ₹2,50,000
- > Transaction 2: Sale of 55% stake for a cash consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}}$  5,50,000 Both the transactions have happened within a period of one month. In accordance with the guidance given in Ind AS 110, both the transactions have to be accounted as a single transaction.

The net assets of UV Ltd. and non-controlling interest on the date of both the transactions was ₹ 9,00,000 and ₹ 1,80,000 respectively (assuming there were no earnings between the period of two transactions).

How MN Ltd. should account the transaction?

#### **Answer 33**

MN Ltd. will account for the transaction as follows:

		₹
Recognise:		
Fair value of consideration (2,50,000 + 5,50,000)		8,00,000
Derecognise:		
Net assets of UV Ltd.	(9,00,000)	
Non-controlling interest	1,80,000	(7,20,000)
Gain to be recorded in profit or loss		80,000

If MN Ltd. loses control over UV Ltd. on the date of transaction 1, then the above gain is recorded on the date of transaction 1 and MN Ltd. will stop consolidating UV Ltd. from that date. The consideration of ₹5,50,000 receivable in transaction 2 will be shown as consideration receivable.

If MN Ltd. loses control over UV Ltd. on the date of transaction 2, then the above gain is recorded on the date of transaction 2 and MN Ltd. will stop consolidating UV Ltd. from that date. The consideration of ₹2,50,000 received in transaction 1 will be shown as advance consideration received.

#### Question 34 Illustration

A Limited ceased to be in investment entity from  $1^{st}$  April 20X1 on which date it was holding 80% of B Limited. The carrying value of such investment in B Limited (which was measured at fair value through profit or loss) was ₹ 4,00,000. The fair value of non-controlling interest on the date of change in status was ₹ 1,00,000. The value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103 was ₹ 4,50,000 on the date of change in status. Determine the value of goodwill and pass the journal entry on the date of change in status of investment entity. (Assume that non- controlling interest is measured at fair value method)

Jake 1288

### Answer 34

Goodwill calculation:			
Deemed consideration (i.e. fair value of subsidiary on the date of			
change in status)			
Fair value of non-controlling interest			
Value of subsidiary's identifiable net assets as per Ind AS 103			
Goodwill			
		0	
Journal entry	₹		
	Dr.	Cr.	
Net identifiable assets Dr.	4,50,000		
Goodwill Dr.	50,000		
To Investment in B Limited (on date of change in		4,00,000	
status)			
To Non-controlling interest		1,00,000	

## Question 35 Illustration


CD Ltd. purchased a 100% subsidiary for ₹ 20,00,000 on  $31^{st}$  March 20X1 when the fair value of the net assets of KL Ltd. was ₹ 16,00,000. Therefore, goodwill was ₹ 4,00,000. CD Ltd. becomes an investment entity on  $31^{st}$  March 20X3 when the carrying value of its investment in KL Ltd. (measured at fair value through profit or loss) was ₹ 25,00,000. At the date of change in status, the carrying value of net assets of KL Ltd. excluding goodwill was ₹ 19,00,000.

Calculate gain or loss with respect to investment in KL Ltd. on the date of change in investment entity status of CD Ltd.

#### Answer 35

The gain on the disposal will be calculated as follows:

	₹
Fair value of retained interest (100%)	25,00,000
Less: Net assets disposed, including goodwill (19,00,000 + 4,00,000)	(23,00,000
Gain on the date of change in investment entity status of CD	
Ltd.	2,00,000



 $_{\rm age}1290$ 

# Chapter 13 Unit-5 Ind AS 111: "Joint Arrangements"

## Question 1 Illustration

ABC Ltd. and DEF Ltd. have entered into a contractual arrangement to manufacture a product and sell that in retail market. As per the terms of the arrangement, decisions about the relevant activities require consent of both the parties. The parties share the returns of the arrangement equally amongst them. Whether the arrangement can be treated as joint arrangement?

#### Answer 1

The arrangement is a joint arrangement since both the parties are bound by the contractual arrangement and the decisions about relevant activities require unanimous consent of both the parties.

# Question 2 Illustration

PQR Ltd. and XYZ Ltd. established an arrangement in which each has 50% of the voting rights and the contractual arrangement between them specifies that at least 51% of the voting rights are required to make decisions about the relevant activities. Whether the arrangement can be treated as joint arrangement?

#### Answer 2

In this case, the parties have implicitly agreed that they have joint control of the arrangement because decisions about the relevant activities cannot be made without both parties agreeing.

# Question 3 Illustration

A Ltd., B Ltd. and C Ltd. established an arrangement whereby A Ltd. has 50% of the voting rights in the arrangement, B Ltd. has 30% and C has 20%. The contractual arrangement between A Ltd., B Ltd. and C Ltd. specifies that at least 75% of the voting rights are required to make decisions about the relevant activities of the arrangement. Whether the arrangement can be treated as joint arrangement?

#### Answer 3

In this case, even though A can block any decision, it does not control the arrangement because it needs the agreement of B. The terms of their contractual arrangement requiring at least 75% of the voting rights to make decisions about the relevant activities imply that A Ltd. and B Ltd. have joint control of the arrangement because decisions about the relevant activities of the arrangement cannot be made without both A Ltd. and B Ltd. agreeing.

#### Question 4 Illustration

An arrangement has three parties: X Ltd. has 50% of the voting rights in the arrangement and Y Ltd. and Z Ltd. each have 25%. The contractual arrangement between them specifies that at least 75% of the voting rights are required to make decisions about the relevant activities of the arrangement. Whether the arrangement can be treated as joint arrangement?

#### Answer 4

In this case, even though X Ltd. can block any decision, it does not control the arrangement because it needs the agreement of either Y Ltd. or Z Ltd. In this question, X Ltd., Y Ltd. and Z Ltd. collectively control the arrangement. However, there is more than one combination of parties that can agree to reach 75% of the voting rights (i.e. either X Ltd. and Y Ltd. or X Ltd. and Z Ltd.). In such a situation, to be a joint arrangement the

 $_{
m Page}1291$ 

contractual arrangement between the parties would need to specify which combination of the parties is required to agree unanimously to decisions about the relevant activities of the arrangement.

#### **Question 5 Illustration**

An arrangement has A Ltd. and B Ltd. each having 35% of the voting rights in the arrangement with the remaining 30% being widely dispersed. Decisions about the relevant activities require approval by a majority of the voting rights. Whether the arrangement can be treated as joint arrangement?

#### Answer 5

A Ltd. and B Ltd. have joint control of the arrangement only if the contractual arrangement specifies that decisions about the relevant activities of the arrangement require both A Ltd. and B Ltd. agreeing

#### Question 6 Illustration

Electronics Ltd. is established by two investors R Ltd. and S Ltd. The investors are holding 60% and 40% of the voting power of the investee respectively. As per the articles of association of Electronics Ltd., both the investors have right to appoint 2 directors each on the board of Electronics Ltd. The directors appointed by each investor will act in accordance with the directions of the investor who has appointed such director. Further, articles of association provides that the decision about relevant activities of the entity will be taken by board of directors through simple majority. Determine whether Electronics

Ltd. is controlled by a single investor or is jointly controlled by both the

#### Answer 6

investors.

The decisions about relevant activities are required to be taken by majority of board of directors. Hence, out of the 4 directors, at least 3 directors need to agree to pass any decision. Accordingly, the directors appointed by any one investor cannot take the decisions independently without the consent of at least one director appointed by other investor. Hence, Electronics Ltd. is jointly controlled by both the investors. R Ltd. holding majority of the voting rights is not relevant in this case since the voting rights do not given power over the relevant activities of the investee.

#### **Question 7 Illustration**

MN Software Ltd. is established by two investors M Ltd. and N Ltd. Both the investors are holding 50% of the voting power each of the investee.

As per the articles of association of MN Software Ltd., both the investors have right to appoint 2 directors each on the board of the company. The directors appointed by each investor will act in accordance with the directions of the investor who has appointed such director. The decision about relevant activities of the entity will be taken by board of directors through simple majority. Articles of association also provides that M Ltd. has right to appoint the chairman of the board who will have right of a casting vote in case of a deadlock situation. Determine whether MN Software Ltd. is jointly controlled by both the investors.

#### Answer 7

The decisions about relevant activities are required to be taken by majority of board of O directors. Hence, out of the 4 directors, at least 3 directors need to agree to pass any decision. Accordingly, the directors appointed by any one investor cannot take the decisions independently without the consent of at least one director appointed by other

investor. However, the chairman of the board has right for a casting vote in case of a deadlock in the board. Hence, M Ltd. has the ability to take decisions related to relevant activities through 2 votes by directors and 1 casting vote by chairman of the board. Therefore, M Ltd. individually has power over MN Software Ltd. and there is no joint control.

#### **Question 8 Illustration**

ABC Ltd. is established by two investors AB Ltd. and BC Ltd. Each investor is holding 50% of the voting power of the investee.

As per the articles of association of ABC Ltd., AB Ltd. and BC Ltd. have right to appoint 3 directors and 2 directors respectively on the board of ABC Ltd. The directors appointed by each investor will act in accordance with the directions of the investor who has appointed such director. Further, articles of association provides that the decision about relevant activities of the entity will be taken by board of directors through simple majority. Determine whether ABC Ltd. is jointly controlled by both the investors.

#### Answer 8

The decisions about relevant activities are required to be taken by majority of board of directors. Hence, out of the 5 directors, at least 3 directors need to agree to pass any decision. Accordingly, the directors appointed by AB Ltd. can take the decisions independently without the consent of any of the directors appointed by BC Ltd. Hence, ABC Ltd. is not jointly controlled by both the investors. Equal voting rights held by both the investors is not relevant in this case since the voting rights do not given power over the relevant activities of the investee.

#### **Question 9 Illustration**

X Ltd. and Y Ltd. entered into a contractual arrangement to buy a piece of land to construct residential units on the said land and sell to customers.

As per the arrangement, the land will be further divided into three equal parts. Out of the three parts, both the parties will be responsible to construct residential units on one part each by taking decision about relevant activities independently and they will entitled for the returns generated from their own part of land. The third part of the land will be jointing managed by both the parties requiring unanimous consent of both the parties for all the decision making. Determine whether the arrangement is a joint arrangement or not.

#### **Answer 9**

The two parts of the land which are required to be managed by both the parties independently on their own would not fall within the definition of a joint arrangement. However, the third part of the land which is required to be managed by both the parties with unanimous decision making would meet the definition of a joint arrangement.

#### Question 10 Illustration

Entity R and entity S established a new entity RS Ltd. to construct a national highway and operate the same for a period of 30 years as per the contract given by government authorities.

As per the articles of association of RS Ltd, the construction of the highway will be done by entity R and all the decisions related to construction will be taken by \cap \infty entity R independently. After the construction is over, entity S will operate the O highway for the period of 30 years and all the decisions related to operating of highway will be taken by entity S independently. However, decisions related to funding and capital structure of RS Ltd. will be taken by both the parties with

# unanimous consent. Determine whether RS Ltd. is a joint arrangement between entity R and entity S?

#### **Answer 10**

In this case, the investors should evaluate which of the decisions about relevant activities can most significantly affect the returns of RS Ltd. If the decisions related to construction of highway or operating the highway can affect the returns of the RS Ltd. most significantly then the investor directing those decision has power over RS Ltd. and there is no joint arrangement. However, if the decisions related to funding and capital structure can affect the returns of the RS Ltd. most significantly then RS Ltd. is a joint arrangement between entity R and entity S.

# Question 11 Illustration

An entity has four investors A, B, C and D holding 10%, 20%, 30% and 40% voting power respectively. The articles of association requires decisions about relevant activities to be taken by majority voting rights. However, investor A, B and C have informally agreed to vote together. This informal agreement has been effective in recent meetings of the investors to take decisions about relevant activities. Whether A, B and C have joint control over the entity?

#### Answer 11

In this case, three investors have informally agreed to make unanimous decisions. These three investors together also have majority voting rights in the entity. Hence, investor A, B and C have joint control over the entity. The agreement between investor A, B and C need not be formally documented as long as there is evidence of its existence in recent meetings of the investors.

#### Question 12 Illustration

D Ltd., E Ltd. and F Ltd. have established a new entity DEF Ltd. As per the arrangement, unanimous consent of all three parties is required only with respect to decisions related to change of name of the entity, amendment to constitutional documents of the entity to enter into a new business, change in the registered office of the entity, etc. Decisions about other relevant activities require consent of only D Ltd. and E Ltd. Whether F Ltd. is a party with joint control of the arrangement?

# Answer 12

Consent of F Ltd. is required only with respect to the fundamental changes in DEF Ltd. Hence these are protective rights. The decisions about relevant activities are taken by D Ltd. and E Ltd. Hence, F Ltd. is not a party with joint control of the arrangement.

# **Question 13 Illustration**

Entity A and Entity B established a contractual arrangement whereby the decision related to relevant activities are required to be taken by unanimous consent of both the parties. However, in case of any dispute with any vendor or customer of the arrangement, entity A has right to take necessary decisions for the resolution of disputes including decisions of going for the arbitration or filing a suit in court of law. Whether the arrangement is a joint arrangement?

#### Answer 13

The arrangement is a joint arrangement since the contractual arrangement requires decisions about relevant activities to be taken by unanimous consent of both the parties. The right available with entity A to take decisions for resolution of disputes will not prevent the arrangement from being a joint arrangement.

1994

#### **Question 14 Illustration**

P Ltd. and Q Ltd. are two construction entities and they have entered into a contractual arrangement to jointly construct a metro rail project.

The construction of metro rail project involves various activities such as construction of infrastructure (like metro station, control room, pillars at the centre of the road, etc.) for the metro, laying of the tracks, acquiring of the coaches of the metro, etc. The total length of the metro line to be constructed is 50 kms. As per the arrangement, both the parties are responsible to construct 25 kms each. Each party is required to incur its own cost, use its own assets, incur the liability and has right to the revenue from their own part of the work. Determine whether the arrangement is a joint operation or not?

#### Answer 14

The arrangement is a joint operation since the arrangement is not structured through a separate vehicle and each party has rights to the assets, and obligations for the liabilities relating to their own part of work in the joint arrangement.

#### Question 15 Illustration

RS Ltd. and MN Ltd. entered into a contractual arrangement to run a business of providing cars of hire. The cars will be owned by both the parties jointly. The expenses to run the car (like driver salary, petrol, maintenance, insurance, etc.) and revenues from the business will be shared between both the parties as agreed in the contractual arrangement. Determine whether the arrangement is a joint operation or not?

#### Answer 15

The arrangement is a joint operation since the arrangement is not structured through a separate vehicle.

#### Question 16 Illustration

Entity X and Entity Y are engaged in the business of Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) for its customers. Both the parties have jointly won a contract from a customer for executing an EPC contract and for that the parties have established a new entity XY Ltd. The contract will be executed through XY Ltd.

All the assets required for the execution of the contract will be acquired and liabilities relating to the execution will be incurred by XY Ltd. in its own name. Entity X and entity Y will have share in the net profits of XY Ltd. in the ratio of their shareholding i.e. 50% each. Assuming that the arrangement meets the definition of a joint arrangement, determine whether the joint arrangement is a joint operation or a joint venture?

#### Answer 16

The legal form of the separate vehicle is a company. The legal form of the separate vehicle causes the separate vehicle to be considered in its own right. Hence, it indicates that the arrangement is a joint venture. In this case, the parties should further evaluate the terms of contractual arrangements and other relevant facts and circumstance to conclude whether the arrangement is a joint venture or a joint operation

#### Question 17 Illustration

Two entities have established a partnership firm with each party having 50% share in the net profits of the firm. Assuming that the arrangement meets the

Page 1295

# definition of a joint arrangement, determine whether the joint arrangement is a joint operation or a joint venture?

#### Answer 17

In this case, the parties to the arrangement should evaluate whether the legal form creates separation between the partners and the partnership firm. If the parties conclude that they have rights in the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to the partnership firm then this would be a joint operation. If the assessment of legal form of the partnership firm indicates that the firm is a joint operation then there is no need to evaluate any other factors and it is concluded that the partnership firm is a joint operation.

# Question 18 Illustration

Continuing with the illustration 16 above, assume that Entity X and Entity Y have entered into a separate agreement whereby they have agreed that each party has an interest in the assets of the XY Ltd. and each party is liable for the liabilities of XY Ltd. in a specified proportion. Determine whether the joint arrangement is a joint operation or a joint venture?

#### Answer 18

In this case, the terms of the separate agreement may cause the arrangement to be a joint operation.

#### Question 19 Illustration

Two parties structure a joint arrangement in an incorporated entity i.e. Entity A in which each party has a 50% ownership interest. The purpose of the arrangement is to manufacture materials required by the parties for their own, individual manufacturing processes. The arrangement ensures that the parties operate the facility that produces the materials to the quantity and quality specifications of the parties. The legal form of Entity A (an incorporated entity) through which the activities are conducted initially indicates that the assets and liabilities held in Entity A are the assets and liabilities of Entity A. The contractual arrangement between the parties does not specify that the parties have rights to the assets or obligations for the liabilities of Entity A. There are following other relevant facts and circumstances applicable in this case:

- The parties agreed to purchase all the output produced by Entity A in a ratio of 50:50. Entity
  - A cannot sell any of the output to third parties, unless this is approved by the two parties to the arrangement. Because the purpose of the arrangement is to provide the parties with output they require, such sales to third parties are expected to be uncommon and not material.
- The price of the output sold to the parties is set by both parties at a level that is designed to cover the costs of production and administrative expenses incurred by Entity A. On the basis of this operating model, the arrangement is intended to operate at a break-even level.

Based on the above fact pattern, determine whether the arrangement is a joint operation or a joint venture?

#### Answer 19

The legal form of Entity A and the terms of the contractual arrangement indicate that the  $\Box$ arrangement is a joint venture. However, the other relevant facts and circumstances mentioned above indicates that:

• the obligation of the parties to purchase all the output produced by Entity A reflects the exclusive dependence of Entity A upon the parties for the generation

- of cash flows and, thus, the parties have an obligation to fund the settlement of the liabilities of Entity A.
- the fact that the parties have rights to all the output produced by Entity A means that the parties are consuming, and therefore have rights to, all the economic benefits of the assets of Entity A.

These facts and circumstances indicate that the arrangement is a joint operation. The conclusion about the classification of the joint arrangement in these circumstances would not change if, instead of the parties using their share of the output themselves in a subsequent manufacturing process, the parties sold their share of the output to third parties.

If the parties changed the terms of the contractual arrangement so that the arrangement was able to sell output to third parties, this would result in Entity A assuming demand, inventory and credit risks. In that scenario, such a change in the facts and circumstances would require reassessment of the classification of the joint arrangement. Such facts and circumstances would indicate that the arrangement is a joint venture

#### Question 20 Illustration

AB Ltd. and CD Ltd. have entered into a framework agreement to manufacture and distribute a new product i.e. Product X. The two activities to be performed as per the framework agreement are i) Manufacture of Product X and ii) Distribution of Product X. The manufacturing of the product will not be done through a separate vehicle. The parties will purchase the necessary machinery in their joint name. For the distribution of the product, the parties have established a new entity ABCD Ltd. All the goods manufactured will be sold to ABCD Ltd. as per price mutually agreed by the parties. Then ABCD Ltd. will do the marketing and distribution of the product. Both the parties will have joint control over ABCD Ltd.

The legal form of ABCD Ltd. causes it to be considered in its own right (ie the assets and liabilities held in ACD Ltd. are the assets and liabilities of ABC Ltd. and not the assets and liabilities of the parties). Further, the contractual arrangement and other relevant facts and circumstances also do not indicate otherwise.

Determine whether various arrangements under the framework agreement are joint operation or joint venture?

#### Answer 20

The manufacturing of Product X is not done through a separate vehicle and the assets used to manufacture the product are jointly owned by both the parties. Hence, the manufacturing activity is a joint operation.

The distribution of Product X is done through a separate vehicle i.e. ABCD Ltd. Further, AB Ltd. and CD Ltd. do not have rights to the assets, and obligations for the liabilities, relating to ABCD Ltd. Hence ABCD Ltd. is a joint venture.

#### Question 21 Illustration

P and Q form a joint arrangement PQ using a separate vehicle. P and Q each own 50% of the capital of PQ. However, the contractual terms of the joint arrangement states that P has the rights to all of Machinery and the obligation to pay Bank Loan in PQ. P and Q have rights to all other assets in PQ and obligations for all other liabilities in PQ in proportion to their share of capital (i.e. 50% each).

PQ's balance sheet is as follows:

**Balance sheet** 

Liabilities	₹	Assets	₹
Capital	1,50,000	Machinery	2,50,000
Bank Loan	75,000	Cash	50,000
Other Loan	75,000		
	3,00,000		3,00,000

How should P record in its financial statements its rights and obligations in PQ?

#### Answer 21

Under Ind AS 111, P should record the following in its financial statements, to account for its rights in the assets of PQ and its obligations for the liabilities of PQ.

Machinery	2,50,000
Cash	25,000
Capital	75,000
Bank Loan	75,000
Other Loan	37,500

#### Question 22 Illustration

AB Ltd. and BC Ltd. have established a joint arrangement through a separate vehicle PQR. The legal form of the separate vehicle does not confer separation between the parties and the separate vehicle itself. Thus, both the parties have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of PQR. As neither the contractual terms nor the other facts and circumstances indicate otherwise, it is concluded that the arrangement is a joint operation and not a joint venture. Both the parties own 50% each of the equity interest in PQR. However, the contractual terms of the joint arrangement state that AB Ltd. has the rights to all of Building No. 1 owned by PQR and the obligation to pay all of the debt owned by PQR to a lender XYZ. AB Ltd. and BC Ltd. have rights to all other assets of PQR and obligations for all other liabilities of PQR in proportion of their equity interests (i.e. 50% each)

PQR's balance sheet is as follows:

Liabilities		₹	Assets	₹
Debt owed to XY	Z	240	Cash	40
Employee ben obligation	efit plan	100	Building 1	240
Equity		140	Building 2	200
		480		480

How should AB Ltd. record in its financial statements its rights and obligations in PQR?

#### Answer 22

Under Ind AS 111, AB Ltd. should record the following in its financial statements, to account for its rights in the assets of PQR and its obligations for the liabilities of PQR.

	₹
Assets	
Cash	20
Building 1 *	240

age 1298

Building 2	100
Liabilities	
Debt (third party) ^	240
Employee benefit plan obligation	50
Equity	70

<sup>\*</sup> Since AB Ltd. has the rights to all of Building No. 1, it records the amount in its entirety.

#### Question 23 Illustration

A Ltd. is one of the parties to a joint operation holding 60% interest in a joint operation and the balance 40% interest is held by another joint operator. A Ltd. has contributed an asset held by it to the joint operation for the activities to be conducted in joint operation. The carrying value of the asset sold was ₹ 100 and the asset was actually sold for ₹ 80 i.e. at a loss of ₹ 20. How should A Ltd. account for the sale of asset to joint operation in its books?

#### Answer 23

A Ltd. should record the loss on the transaction only to the extent of other party's interest in the joint operation.

The total loss on the transaction is  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  20. Hence, A Ltd. shall record loss on sale of asset to the extent of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  8 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  20 x 40%) which is the loss pertaining to the interest of other party to the joint operation. The loss of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  12 ( $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  20 -  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  8) shall not be recognised as that is unrealised loss.

Further, while accounting its interest in the joint operation, A Ltd. shall record its share in that asset at value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  60 [A Ltd. share of asset  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  48 ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  80 x 60%) plus unrealised loss of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\sim}}$  12].

The journal entry for the transaction would be as follows:

Bank	Dr.	₹32	
Loss on sale	Dr.	₹8	
To Asset			₹4
			0

#### Question 24 Illustration

A Ltd. is one of the parties to a joint operation holding 60% interest in the joint operation and the balance 40% interest is held by another joint operator. A Ltd. has purchased an asset from the joint operation. The carrying value of the asset in the books of joint operation was ₹ 100 and the asset was actually purchased for ₹ 80 i.e. at a loss of ₹ 20. How should A Ltd. account for the purchase of asset from joint operation in its books?

#### Answer 24

A Ltd. should not record its share of the loss until the asset is resold to a third party. The joint operation has sold the asset at  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}80$  by incurring a loss of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}20$ . Hence, A Ltd. shall record the asset at  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}92$  [Purchase price  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}80$  + A Ltd.'s share in loss  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}12$  ( $\stackrel{?}{\sim}20 \times 60\%$ )].

Further, while accounting its interest in the joint operation, A Ltd. shall not record any share in the loss incurred in sale transaction by the joint operation.

The journal entry for the transaction would be as follows:

Asset	Dr.	₹ 32	
To Bank			₹ 32

<sup>^</sup> AB Ltd. has obligation for the debt owed by PQR to XYZ in its entirety





















Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or (S) +917890770777

<sup>2</sup>age 1300

# Chapter 13 Unit-6 Ind AS 28: "Investment in Associates & joint ventures"

#### Question 1 Illustration

E Ltd. holds 25% of the voting power of an investee. The balance 75% of the voting power is held by three other investors each holding 25%.

The decisions about the financing and operating policies of the investee are taken by investors holding majority of the voting power. Since, the other three investors together hold majority voting power, they generally take the decisions without taking the consent of E Ltd. Even if E Ltd. proposes any changes to the financing and operating policies of the investee, the other three investors do not vote in favour of those changes. So, in effect the suggestions of E Ltd. are not considered while taking decisions related to financing and operating policies. Determine whether E Ltd. has significant influence over the investee?

#### Answer 1

Since E Ltd. is holding more than 20% of the voting power of the investee, it indicates that E Ltd. might have significant over the investee. However, the other investors in the investee prevent E Ltd. from participating in the financing and operating policy decisions of the investee. Hence, in this case, E Ltd. is not in a position to have significant influence over the investee.

#### Question 2 Illustration

Kuku Ltd. holds 12% of the voting shares in Boho Ltd. Boho Ltd.'s board comprise of eight members and two of these members are appointed by Kuku Ltd. Each board member has one vote at meeting. is Boho Ltd an associate of Kuku Ltd?

#### Answer 2

Boho Ltd is an associate of Kuku Ltd as significant influence is demonstrated by the presence of directors on the board and the relative voting rights at meetings.

It is presumed that entity has significant influence where it holds 20% or more of the voting power of the investee, but it is not necessary to have 20% representation on the board to demonstrate significant influence, as this will depend on all the facts and circumstances. One board member may represent significant influence even if that board member has less than 20% of the voting power. But for significant influence to exist it would be necessary to show based on specific facts and circumstances that this is the case, as significant influence would not be presumed.

#### **Question 3 Illustration**

M Ltd. holds 10% of the voting power an investee. The balance 90% voting power is held by nine other investors each holding 10%.

The decisions about the relevant activities (except decision about taking borrowings) of the investee are taken by the members holding majority of the voting power. The decisions about taking borrowings are required to be taken by unanimous consent of all the investors. Further, decisions about taking borrowing are not the decisions that most significantly affect the returns of the investee.

#### Determine whether M Ltd. has significant influence over the investee?

#### Answer 3

In this case, though M Ltd. is holding less than 20% of the voting power of the investee, M Ltd.'s consent is required to take decisions about taking borrowings which is one of the relevant activities. Further, since the decisions about taking borrowing are

1301

not the decisions that most significantly affect the returns of the investee, it cannot be said that all the investors have joint control over the investee.

Hence, it can be said that M Ltd. has significant influence over the investee.

#### **Question 4 Illustration**

RS Ltd. is an entity engaged in the business of pharmaceuticals. It has invested in the share capital of an investee XY Ltd. and is holding 15% of XY Ltd.'s total voting power.

XY Ltd. is engaged in the business of producing packing materials for pharmaceutical entities. One of the incentives for RS Ltd. to invest in XY Ltd. was the fact that XY Ltd. is engaged in the business of producing packing materials which is also useful for RS Ltd. Since last many years, XY Ltd.'s almost 90% of the output is procured by RS Ltd.

Determine whether RS Ltd. has significant influence over XY Ltd.?

#### Answer 4

Since 90% of the output of XY Ltd. is procured by RS Ltd., XY Ltd. would be dependent on RS Ltd. for the continuation of its business. Hence, even though RS Ltd. is holding only 15% of the voting power of XY Ltd. it has significant influence over XY Ltd.

#### Question 5 Illustration

Entity X and entity Y operate in the same industry, but in different geographical regions. Entity X acquires a 10% shareholding in entity Y as a part of a strategic agreement. A new production process is key to serve a fundamental change in the strategic direction of entity Y. The terms of agreement provide for entity Y to start a new production process under the supervision of two managers from entity X. The managers seconded from entity X, one of whom is on entity X's board, will oversee the selection and recruitment of new staff, the purchase of new equipment, the training of the workforce and the negotiation of new purchase contracts for raw materials. The two managers will report directly to entity Y's board and as well as to entity X. Analyse.

#### Answer 5

The secondment of the board member and a senior manager from entity X to entity Y gives entity X a range of power over a new production process and may evidence that entity X has significant influence over entity Y. This assessment takes into the account what are the key financial and operating policies of entity Y and the influence this gives entity X over those policies.

#### Question 6 Illustration

R Ltd. is a tyre manufacturing entity. The entity has entered into a technology transfer agreement with another entity Y Ltd. which is also involved in the business of tyre manufacturing. R Ltd. is an established entity in this business whereas Y Ltd. is a relatively new entity.

As per the agreement, R Ltd. has granted to Y Ltd. a license to use its the technical information and know-how which are related to the processes for the manufacture of tyres. Y Ltd. is dependent on the technical information and know-how supplied by R Ltd. because of its lack of expertise and experience in this business. Further, R Ltd. has also invested in 10% of the equity share capital of Y Ltd. Determine whether R Ltd. has significant influence over Y Ltd.?

#### Answer 6

Y Ltd. obtains essential technical information for the running of its business from R Ltd. Hence R Ltd. has significant influence over Y Ltd. despite of holding only 10% of the

equity share capital of Y Ltd.

#### Question 7 Illustration

An entity which is currently holding 10% of the voting power of an entity has an option of purchase additional 15% voting power of the investee from other investors. However, the entity currently does not have financial ability to purchase additional 15% voting power of the investee. Determine whether the entity has significant influence over the investee?

Considering the potential voting rights, the entity can have more than 20% of the voting power of the investee and hence it is presumed that the entity has significant influence over the investee. The fact that the entity does not have financial ability to purchase such additional voting power is not considered in such assessment (It should be noted that under Ind AS 110, potential voting rights which an entity cannot exercise because of its financial ability are not considered as substantive and hence not factored in the assessment. However, under Ind AS 28, there is no such requirement given. Hence the potential voting rights, even if they are not substantive as per Ind AS 110, are included in the assessment of significant influence.)

#### **Ouestion 8 Illustration**

On the first day of a financial year, A Ltd. invested in the equity share capital of B Ltd. at a cost of ₹ 1,00,000 to acquire 25% share in the voting power of B Ltd. A Ltd. has concluded that B Ltd. is an associate of A Ltd. At the end of the year, B Ltd. earned profit of ₹ 10,000 and other comprehensive income of ₹ 2,000. In that year, B Ltd. also declared dividend to the extent of ₹ 4,000. Pass necessary entries in the books of A Ltd. to account for the investment in associate.

#### Answer 8

Following entries would be passed in the books of A Ltd.:

Initial entry to record investment done in associate

Investment in B Ltd. A/c Dr. 1,00,000

1,00,000 To Bank A/c

Recording of share in the profit of the associate

Investment in B Ltd. A/c 2,500

To Share in profit of investee (P&L) 2,500

[A Ltd. share in profit would be ₹2,500 (₹10,000 x 25%)]

Recording of share in the other comprehensive income (OCI) of the associate 3)

Investment in B Ltd. A/c 500

To Share in OCI of investee (OCI) 500

[A Ltd. share in OCI would be ₹500 (₹2,000 x 25%)]

Recording of dividend distributed by associate

Dividend Receivable A/c 1,000 Dr.

> To Investment in B Ltd. A/c 1,000

[A Ltd. share in dividend would be ₹1,000 (₹4,000 x 25%)]

#### Question 9 Illustration

MNO Ltd. holds 15% of the voting power of DEF Ltd. PQR Mutual Fund (which is a subsidiary of MNO Ltd.) also holds 10% voting power of DEF Ltd. Hence, \(\cappa\) MNO Ltd. holds total 25% voting power of DEF Ltd. (15% held by own and 10% held by subsidiary) and accordingly has significant influence over DEF Ltd. How should MNO Ltd. account for investment in DEF Ltd. in its consolidated financial statements?

#### Answer 9

The 15% interest which is held directly by MNO Ltd. should be measured as per equity method of accounting. However, with respect to the 10% interest which is held through a mutual fund, MNO Ltd. can avail the exemption from applying the equity method to that 10% interest and instead measure that investment at fair value through profit or loss. To summarise, the total interest of 25% in DEF Ltd. should be measured as follows:

- > 15% interest held directly by MNO Ltd.: Measure as per equity method of accounting
- > 10% interest held indirectly through a mutual fund: Measure as per equity method of accounting or at fair value thorough profit or loss as per Ind AS 109

#### Question 10 Illustration

#### Answer 10

(1) Goodwill / capital reserve on the date of acquisition

The cost of the investment is higher than the net fair value of the investee's identifiable assets and liabilities. Hence there is goodwill. Amount of goodwill is calculated as follows

	₹
Cost of acquisition of investment	1,25,000
Blue Ltd.'s share in fair value of net assets of Green Ltd. on the date of	
acquisition (4,00,000 *25%)	(1,00,000) <b>25,000</b>
Goodwill	25,000

Above goodwill will be recorded as part of carrying amount of the investment.

(2) Share in profit and other comprehensive income of Gren Ltd.

	₹
Share in profit of Green Ltd. (40,000 x 25%)	10,000
Adjustment for depreciation based on fair value (1,00,000 ÷ 20) x 25%	(1,250)
Share in profit after adjustment	8,750
Share in other comprehensive income (10,000 x 25%)	2,500

(3) Closing balance of investment at the end of the year

g	
	₹
Cost of acquisition of investment (including goodwill of ₹ 25,000)	1,25,000
Share in profit after adjustments	8,750
Share in other comprehensive income	2,500
Closing balance of investment	1,36,250

#### Question 11 Illustration

1304

KL Ltd. has invested in 50% voting power of a joint venture MN Ltd. MN Ltd. has also issued 10% cumulative preference shares to other investors worth ₹ 10,00,000. During the year, MN Ltd. earned profit of ₹ 4,00,000. Also, MN Ltd. has not declared any dividend on the preference shares for current year. Calculate KL Ltd.'s share in the net profit of MN Ltd. for the year.

#### Answer 11

If an associate or a joint venture has outstanding cumulative preference shares that are held by parties other than the entity and are classified as equity, the entity should compute its share of profit or loss after adjusting for dividend on such shares, whether or not the dividends have been declared.

In current case, KL Ltd.'s share in net profit of MN Ltd. would be as follows.

	₹
Profit of MN Ltd. for the year	4,00,000
Dividend on cumulative preference shares (10,00,000 x 10%)	(1,00,000)
Net profit attributable to the holders of equity share	3,00,000
KL Ltd.'s 50% share in net profit of MN Ltd.	1,50,000

#### Question 12

Entity A holds a 20% equity interest in Entity B (as associate) that in turn has a 100% equity interest in Entity C. Entity B recognised net assets relating to Entity C of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}}$  1,000 in its consolidated financial statements. Entity B sells 20% of its interest in Entity C to a third party (a non-controlling shareholder) for  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}}$  300 and recognises this transaction as an equity transaction in accordance with paragraph 23 of Ind AS 110, resulting in a credit in Entity B's equity of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}}$  100.

The financial statements of Entity A and Entity B are summarised as follows before and after the transaction:

#### Before

#### A's consolidated financial statements

Assets	₹	Liabilities	₹
Investment in B	200	Equity	200
Total	200	Total	200

#### B's consolidated financial statements

Assets	₹	Liabilities ₹	
Assets (from C) Total	1,000	Equity Total	1,000

The financial statements of B after the transaction are summarised below After

#### B's consolidated financial statements

Assets	₹	Liabilities		₹
Assets (from C)	1,000	Equity	1,000	
		Equity transaction with non-	100	
Cash	300	controlling interest		
		Equity attributable to owners		1,100
		Non-controlling interest		200
Total	1,300	Total		1,300

7051

Although Entity A did not participate in the transaction, Entity A's share of net assets in Entity B increased as a result of the sale of B's 20% interest in C. Effectively, A's share in B's net assets is now  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  220 (20% of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  1,100) i.e.  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  20 in addition to its previous share. How is an equity transaction that is recognised in the financial statements of Entity B reflected in the consolidated financial statements of Entity A that uses the equity method to account for its investment in Entity B?

#### Answer 12

The change of interest in the net assets / equity of the associate as a result of the investee's equity transaction is reflected in the investor's financial statements as 'share of other changes in equity of investee' (in the statement of changes in equity) instead of gain in Statement of profit and loss, since it reflects the post-acquisition change in the net assets of the investee and also faithfully reflects the investor's share of the associate's transaction as presented in the associate's consolidated financial statements.

Thus, in the given case, Entity A recognises ₹ 20 as change in other equity instead of in statement of profit and loss and maintains the same classification as of its associate, Entity B, i.e., a direct credit to equity as in its consolidated financial statements.

#### Question 13 Illustration

#### Scenario A

M Ltd. has invested in 40% share capital of N Ltd. and hence N Ltd. is an associate of M Ltd. During the year, N Ltd. sold inventory to M Ltd. for a value of ₹ 10,00,000. This included profit of 10% on the transaction price i.e. profit of ₹ 1,00,000. Out the above inventory, M Ltd. sold inventory of ₹ 6,00,000 to outside customers. Hence, the inventory of ₹ 4,00,000 purchased from N Ltd. is still lying with M Ltd. Determine the unrealised profit to be eliminated on above transaction. Scenario B

Assume the same facts as per Scenario A except that the inventory is sold by M Ltd. to N Ltd. instead of N Ltd. selling to M Ltd. Determine the unrealised profit to be eliminated on above transaction.

#### Answer 13

#### Scenario A

Firstly, as part of its equity method accounting for investment in N Ltd., M Ltd. will pass this journal entry:

Investment in N Ltd.

Dr. 40,000

To Share in profit of N Ltd.

40,000

Out of the inventory of ₹ 10,00,000, M Ltd. has sold inventory worth ₹ 6,00,000 to outside customers. Hence, the profit of ₹ 60,000 (6,00,000 \*10% profit margin) on such inventory is realised. However, the inventory worth ₹ 4,00,000 is still held by M Ltd. which consists profit of ₹ 40,000 (4,00,000\*10%). Hence, M Ltd.'s share in such profit i.e. ₹ 16,000 (40,000\*40%) is considered as unrealised.

Accordingly, after recording of share in total profit of N Ltd., M Ltd. should pass following adjustment entry to reverse the unrealised profit margin:

Share in profit of N Ltd.

Dr. 16,000

To Inventory

16,000

In subsequent period, when this inventory of ₹4,00,000 is sold by N Ltd. to an outside customer then the above profit margin of ₹16,000 will be treated as realised and hence the above entry will be reversed in that period.

[**Note**: in the separate financial statements of M Ltd., inventory is carried at ₹4,00,000 whereas in its consolidated financial statements, inventory is carried at ₹3,84,000 (due to elimination entry above in respect of unrealized profit). In the subsequent

Page 1306

period, when the inventory is sold, Inventory Account is credited by ₹ 4,00,000 whereas for the purpose of consolidated financial statements, it should have been credited by only ₹ 3,84,000. The difference is adjusted by debiting back ₹ 16,000 to the Inventory Account and a corresponding recognition of share in profit of associate.]

#### Scenario B

Out of the inventory of ₹ 10,00,000, N Ltd. has sold inventory worth ₹ 6,00,000 to outside customers. Hence, the profit of ₹60,000 (6,00,000 x 10% profit margin) on such inventory is realised. However, the inventory worth ₹ 4,00,000 is still held by N Ltd. which consists profit of ₹ 40,000 (4,00,000\*10%). Out of this profit of ₹ 40,000, profit to the extent of other investor's interest in the investee is treated as realised profit i.e. ₹ 24,000 (40,000\*60%) is treated as realised profit. Balance profit of ₹ 16,000 (40,000\*40%) is considered as unrealised. Hence, M Ltd. should pass following adjustment entry to reverse the unrealised profit:

Sales Dr. 160,000

To Cost of material consumed 144,000 To Investment in N Ltd. 16,000

In subsequent period, when this inventory of ₹4,00,000 is sold by N Ltd. to an outside customer then the above profit margin of ₹ 16,000 will be treated as realised and hence the above entry will be reversed in that period.

#### **Question 14 Illustration**

#### Scenario A

X Ltd. has invested in a joint venture Y Ltd. by holding 50% of its equity share capital. During the year, X Ltd. sold an asset to Y Ltd. at its market value of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}}$  8,00,000. The asset's carrying value in X Ltd.'s books was  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}}$  10,00,000. Determine how should X Ltd. account for the sale transaction in its books.

#### Scenario B

Assume the same facts as per Scenario A except that the asset is sold by Y Ltd. to X Ltd. instead of X Ltd. selling to Y Ltd. Determine how should X Ltd. account for the above transaction in its books.

#### Answer 14

#### Scenario A

X Ltd. should record full loss of  $\ref{200,000}$  (10,00,000 – 8,00,000) in its books as that would represent the impairment loss because the market value has actually declined. This loss would have been recorded even if X Ltd. would have first impaired the asset and then sold to Y Ltd. at zero profit / loss. Following entry should be passed in the books of X Ltd.

Bank A/c Dr. 8,00,000 Loss on sale of asset Dr. 2,00,000

To Asset 10,00,000

#### Scenario B

X Ltd. should record loss to the extent of its share in Y Ltd. Hence, X Ltd.'s share in loss i.e. ₹ 1,00,000 [(10,00,000 – 8,00,000) x 50%] should be recorded by X Ltd. in its books. The loss should be recorded since the market value of the asset has actually declined and this would represent impairment. This loss would have been recorded even if Y Ltd. would have first recorded an impairment loss of ₹ 2,00,000 and then sold to X Ltd. at zero profit / loss. Following entry should be passed in the books of X Ltd.

 $^{
m age}130^{\circ}$ 

Asset Dr. 8,00,000 Share in loss of Y Ltd. Dr. 1,00,000

To Bank 8,00,000
To Investment in Y Ltd. 1,00,000

#### **Question 15 Illustration**

An entity has following three type interests in an associate:

- Equity shares: 25% of the equity shares to which equity method of accounting is applied
- Preference shares: Non-cumulative preference shares that form part of net investment in the associate. Such preference shares are measured at fair value as per Ind AS 109.
- Long-term loan: The loan carrying interest of 10% p.a. The interest income is received at the end of each year. The long-term loan is accounted as per amortised cost as per Ind AS 109. This loan also forms part of net investment in the associate.

At the start of year 1, the carrying value of each of the above interests is as follows:

- Equity shares ₹ 10,00,000
- Preference shares ₹ 5,00,000
- Long-term loan ₹ 3,00,000

Following table summarises the changes in the fair value of preference shares as per Ind AS 109, impairment loss on long-term loan as per Ind AS 109 and entity's share in profit / loss of associate for year 1-5.

End	Increase / (Decrease)	Impairment loss /	Entity's share in
of Year	in fair value of	(reversal) on long-	profit / (loss) of
	preference shares as	term loan as per Ind	associate
	per Ind AS 109	AS 109	
1	(50,000)	(50,000)	(16,00,000)
2	(50,000)	-	(2,00,000)
3	1,00,000	50,000	-
4	50,000	-	10,00,000
5	30,000	-	10,00,000

Throughout year 1 to 5, there has been no objective evidence of impairment in the net investment in the associate. The entity does not have any legal or constructive obligation to share the losses of the associate beyond its interest in the associate. Based on above, determine the closing balance of each of the above interests at the end of each year.

#### Answer 15

#### Year 1

Below table summarises the closing balance of each of the interest at the end of year  $1. \ \mathcal{F}$ 

interest	Opening balance at the start of the year	Ind AS	after applying	profit / (loss) of	Closing balance at the end of the year
	(A)	(B)	I = (A+B)	(D)	I = (C+D)

 $_{
m age}1308$ 

	0	0)	0	0)	
Total	18,00,00	(1,00,00	17,00,00	(16,00,00	1,00,000
Long-term loan	3,00,000	(50,000)	2,50,000	(1,50,000)	1,00,000
Preference share	5,00,000	(50,000)	4,50,000	(4,50,000)	1
				0)	
Equity shares	10,00,000	NA	10,00,000	(10,00,00	-

The entire loss of ₹16,00,000 is recognised. Hence, there is no unrecognised loss at the end of year 1.

#### Year 2

Below table summarises the closing balance of each of the interest at the end of year 2.

Type of interest	Opening balance at the start of the year	Adjustment as per Ind AS 109	Balance after applying Ind AS 109	Share in profit / (loss) of associate	Closing balance at the end of the year
	(A)	(B)	I = (A+B)	(D)	I = (C+D)
Equity shares	-	NA	-	-	-
Preference shares	-	(50,000)	(50,000)	50,000 *	-
Long-term loan	1,00,000	-	1,00,000	(1,00,000)	-
Total	1,00,000	(1,00,000)	17,00,00 0	(50,000)	-

<sup>\*</sup> Recognition of changes in fair value as per Ind AS 109 has resulted in the carrying amount of Preference shares being negative ₹ 50,000. Consequently, the entity shall reverse a portion of the associate's losses previously allocated to Preference shares. Out of the total loss of ₹ 2,00,000 for the year, loss of only ₹ 50,000 is recognized. Hence, there is recognized loss to the extent of ₹ 1,50,000 at the end of year 2.

#### Year 3

Below table summaries the closing balance of each of the interest at the end of year 3:

Type of interest	Opening balance at the start of the year	Ind AS	after	Share in profit / (loss) of associate	Closing balance at the end of the year
	(A)	(B)	I = (A+B)	(D)	I = (C+D)
Equity shares	-	NA	-	_	-
Preference shares	-	1,00,000	1,00,000	(1,00,000)	-
Long-term loan	-	50,000	50,000	(50,000)	-
Total	-	1,50,000	1,50,000	(1,50,000	-

The share in profit / loss for the year is nil. However, there was previously unrecognised loss of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 1,50,000$  which is allocated in current year. After recognising the above loss, there is no unrecognised loss at the end of year 3.

#### Year 4

Below table summarises the closing balance of each of the interest at the end of year 4:

 $_{\rm age}1309$ 

Type of interest	Opening balance at the start of the year	Adjustme nt as per Ind AS 109	Balance after applying Ind AS 109	Share in profit / (loss) of associat e	Closing balance at the end of the year
	(A)	(B)	I = (A+B)	(D)	I = (C+D)
Equity shares	-	NA	-	2,00,000	2,00,000
Preference shares	-	50,000	50,000	5,00,000	5,50,000
Long-term loan	-	-	-	3,00,000	3,00,000
Total	-	50,000	50,000	10,00,000	10,50,000

The entity's share in profit of associate for the year is  $\raiseta 10,00,000$ . The entity shall allocate such profit to each of the instruments in order of their seniority in liquidation. The entity should limit the amount of profit to be allocated to preference shares and long-term loan to the extent of losses previously allocated to them. Hence, the entity has allocated  $\raiseta 5,00,000$  to preference shares and  $\raiseta 3,00,000$  to long-term debt.

There is no unrecognised loss at the end of year 4.

#### Year 5

Below table summarises the closing balance of each of the interest at the end of year 5:

Type of interest	Opening balance at the start of the year	t as per	Balance after applying Ind AS 109	ter applying profit /	
	(A)	(B)	I = (A+B)	(D)	I = (C+D)
Equity shares	2,00,000	NA	2,00,000	10,00,0	12,00,000
Preference shares	5,50,000	30,000	5,80,000	-	5,80,000
Long-term loan	3,00,000	-	3,00,000	-	3,00,000
Total	10,50,000	30,000	10,80,000	10,00,0	20,80,000

The entity's share in profit of associate for the year is ₹ 10,00,000. The entire profit is allocated to equity shares since there is no loss previously allocated to either preference shares or long-term loan.

There is no recognized loss at the end of year 5.

#### Year 1 to 5

The interest accrual on long-term loan would be done in each year at 10% p.a. This will be done without taking into account any adjustment done in the carrying value of long-term loan as per Ind AS 28. Hence, the entity will accrue interest of 30,000 (3,00,000 x 10%) in each year.

#### Question 16 Illustration

CD Ltd. held 50% of the voting power of RS Ltd. which is a joint venture of CD Ltd. The carrying value of the investment in RS Ltd. is ₹ 1,00,000. Now out of the 50% stake, CD Ltd. has sold 20% stake in RS Ltd. to a third party for a consideration of ₹ 80,000. The fair value of the retained 30% interest is ₹ 1,20,000. Determine how much gain / loss should be recorded in profit or loss of CD Ltd.

#### Answer 16

CD Ltd. Shall record in profit or loss difference between below:

racktriangleright the fair value of any retained interest (i.e. ₹1,20,000) and any proceeds from

disposing of a part interest in the joint venture (i.e. ₹80,000); and

> the carrying amount of the investment at the date the equity method was discontinued (i.e. ₹1,00,000).


Hence, CD Ltd. Shall record gain of 1,00,000 in profit or loss.

#### Question 17 Illustration

Ram Ltd. holds 50% of the equity share capital of Shyam Ltd. The balance 50% equity share capital is held by another investor. Ram Ltd. has joint control over Shyam Ltd. and it is a joint venture of Ram Ltd., accounted using equity method. Now Ram Ltd. is planning to sell 10% of the equity share capital of Shyam Ltd. to a third party. Such 10% investment meets the criteria of an asset held for sale and has been measured and disclosed accordingly. Now determine how should Ram Ltd. account 40% interest retained in Shyam Ltd.

#### Answer 17

Till the time 10% stake is sold, Ram Ltd. shall account for the retained interest of 40% as per equity method. After the sale of 10% investment, if Ram Ltd. still has joint control over Shyam Ltd. (e.g. through contractual arrangement) then it shall continue to measure that investment using equity method. However, if Ram Ltd. is not going to have joint control over Shyam Ltd. post the disposal of 10% investment then retained investment of 40% shall be accounted as per Ind AS 109.




,age 1312

# Chapter 13 Unit-7 Ind AS 27: "Separate Financial Statements"

#### **Question 1 Illustration**

Following is the existing and proposed group structure of an original parent A Ltd.

#### **Existing structure**



#### **Proposed structure**

As per the above structure, the Owners of Company A will transfer all their shareholding in Company A to New Co. In exchange of such shares, New Co. will issue its equity shares to the Owners. New Co. will issue the shares to the owners in the same ratio of their existing holding in Company A so that they have same absolute and relative interests in the net assets of the group immediately before and after the reorganisation. The assets and liabilities of the group immediately before the and after the proposed restructuring will also be the same.

The cost of the investment in Company A in the books of the Owners is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  10 lakh. Total equity of Company A (i.e. equity share capital and other equity attributable to the owners) as per its separate financial statements on the date of proposed restructuring is  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  15 lakh.

After the proposed restructuring, New Co. wants to record its investment in Company A at cost. Determine how it should measure the cost of investment in Company A?


#### Answer 1

In current case, New Co. should measure the cost of investment in Company A at the carrying amount of its share of the equity items shown in the separate financial statements of Company A at the date of the restructuring because:

- a) New Co. obtains control of Company A by issuing equity instruments to the Owners in exchange for their existing equity instruments of Company A;
- b) the assets and liabilities of the group immediately before and the proposed restructuring will be same; and
- c) the Owners will have the same absolute and relative interests in the net assets of the group immediately before and after the proposed restructuring.

Hence, New Co. will measure the cost of investment in Company A at ₹15 lakh.

 $_{Page}1313$ 



Jase 1314

# Chapter 14 Ind AS 101: "First -time Adoption of Indian Accounting Standards"

#### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem	Dec'	Jan'	Jul'2	May'	Nov'	May'	May'	May'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'	Nov'
pts	21	21	1	18	18	19	22	23	19	20	22	23
MTP				Q7				Q1	Q5	Q6	Q8	Q9
PYP	Q11											
RTP				Q4		Q10	Q2		Q3			

#### Section - A

#### Question 1

Government of India provides loans to MSMEs at a below-market rate of interest to fund the set-up of a new manufacturing facility. Sukshma Limited's date of transition to Ind AS is 1st April 2020. In financial year 2014-2015, the Company had received a loan of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2.0 crore at a below - market rate of interest from the government. Under Indian GAAP, the Company had accounted for the loan as equity and the carrying amount was  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2.0 crore at the date of transition. The amount repayable on 31st March 2024 will be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2.50 crore. The Company has been advised to recognize the difference of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  0.50 crores in equity by correspondingly increasing the value of various assets under property, plant & equipment by an equivalent amount on proportionate basis. Further, on 31st March 2024 when the loan has to be repaid,  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2.50 crore should be presented as a deduction from property, plant & equipment. Discuss the above treatment and share your views as per applicable Ind AS. (PYP 6 Marks July 21, MTP 7 Marks Apr'23)

#### Answer 1

#### Requirement as per Ind AS:

A first-time adopter shall classify all government loans received as a financial liability or an equity instrument in accordance with Ind AS 32. A first-time adopter shall apply the requirements in Ind AS 109 and Ind AS 20, prospectively to government loans existing at the date of transition to Ind AS and shall not recognise the corresponding benefit of the government loan at a below-market rate of interest as a government grant.

#### Treatment to be done:

Consequently, if a first-time adopter did not, under its previous GAAP, recognise and measure a government loan at a below-market rate of interest on a basis consistent with Ind AS requirements, it shall use its previous GAAP carrying amount of the loan at the date of transition to Ind AS as the carrying amount of the loan in the opening Ind AS Balance Sheet. An entity shall apply Ind AS 109 to the measurement of such loans after the date of transition to Ind AS.

In the instant case, the loan meets the definition of a financial liability in accordance with Ind AS 32. Company therefore reclassifies it from equity to liability. It also uses the previous GAAP carrying amount of the loan at the date of transition as the carrying amount of the loan in the opening Ind AS balance sheet.

It calculates the annual effective interest rate (EIR) starting 1st April 2020 as below: EIR = Amount / Principal(1/t) i.e. 2.50/2(1/4) i.e. 5.74%. approx.

At this rate, ₹ 2 crore will accrete to ₹ 2.50 crore as at 31st March 2024. During the next 4 years, the interest expense charged to statement of profit and loss shall be:

Year ended	Opening amortised cost (₹)	Interest expense for the year (₹) @ 5.74% p.a. approx.	Closing amortised cost (₹)
31st March 2021	2,00,00,000	11,48,000	2,11,48,000
31st March 2022	2,11,48,000	12,13,895	2,23,61,895
31st March 2023	2,23,61,895	12,83,573	2,36,45,468
31st March 2024	2,36,45,468	13,54,532	2,50,00,000

An entity may apply the requirements in Ind AS 109 and Ind AS 20 retrospectively to any government loan originated before the date of transition to Ind AS, provided that the information needed to do so had been obtained at the time of initially accounting for that loan. The accounting treatment is to be done as per above guidance and the advice which the company has been provided is not in line with the requirements of Ind AS 101 .

#### Question 2

ABC Ltd., a public limited company, is in the business of exploration and production of oil and gas and other hydrocarbon related activities outside India. It operates overseas projects directly and/or through subsidiaries, by participation in various joint arrangements and investment in associates. The company was following Accounting Standards as notified under the Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules until 31st March, 20X1. However, it has adopted Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS) with effect from 1st April, 20X1.

The goodwill recognised in accordance with AS 21 and AS 27 was due to line-by-line corporate structure and consolidation the subsidiaries'/proportionate consolidation of jointly controlled entities' financial statements which was prepared on historical costs convention. ABC Ltd. has not taken into consideration the valuation of underlying oil and gas reserves for which excess amount (i.e. goodwill calculated as per the relevant AS requirements) has been paid by the company at the time of acquisition. The company further considered that in oil and gas companies, the goodwill generated on acquisition of mineral rights either through jointly controlled entities or subsidiaries, inherently derives its value from the underlying mineral rights and, accordingly, value of such goodwill depletes as the underlying mineral resources are extracted.

Therefore, taking a prudent approach and considering the above substance, the company amortised the goodwill in respect of its subsidiaries / jointly controlled assets over the life of the underlying mineral rights using Unit of Production method. This allowed the company to utilise the value of goodwill over the life of mineral rights and completely charging off the goodwill over the life of the reserves.

For financial year 20X0-20X1, the company has availed transition exemption under Ind AS 101 and has not applied the principles of Ind AS 103.

ABC Ltd. considering the substance over form of the goodwill to be in the nature of 'acquisition costs' intends to continue amortisation of the goodwill recognised under AS in respect of its subsidiaries / joint ventures (jointly

controlled entities under AS) over the life of the underlying mineral rights using Unit of Production method, under Ind AS also post transition date.

Comment on appropriateness of the accounting treatment, under Ind AS, for amortisation of the goodwill by the company and state whether the accounting treatment in respect of amortisation of goodwill is correct or not. (RTP May **231** 

#### Answer 2

Point (g) of para C4 of Ind AS 101 states that the carrying amount of goodwill or capital reserve in the opening Ind AS Balance Sheet shall be its carrying amount in accordance with previous GAAP at the date of transition to Ind AS after the two adjustments. One of the adjustment states that the standard requires the first -time adopter to recognise an intangible asset that was subsumed in recognised goodwill or capital reserve in accordance with previous GAAP, the first-time adopter shall decrease the carrying amount of goodwill or increase the carrying amount of capital reserve accordingly (and, if applicable, adjust deferred tax and non-controlling

As per the facts given, the entity paid excess amount to avail the rights to use the underlying oil and gas reserves. However, since the rights was not recorded in the books at that time, the value of goodwill subsumed the value of that intangible asset which should be separately identified in the books. Hence, value of goodwill will be reduced accordingly and intangible asset for rights for using mine should be recognised.

Further, regardless of whether there is any indication that the goodwill may be impaired, the first-time adopter shall apply Ind AS 36 in testing the goodwill for impairment at the date of transition to Ind AS and in recognising any resulting impairment loss in retained earnings (or, if so required by Ind AS 36, in revaluation surplus). The impairment test shall be based on conditions at the date of transition to Ind AS. No other adjustments (eg- previous amortisation of goodwill) shall be made to the carrying amount of goodwill / capital reserve at the date of transition to Ind AS.

However, once goodwill is recognised in the opening transition date balance sheet, the entity has to follow the provisions of Ind AS, which states that goodwill is not amortised but rather tested for impairment annually. Accordingly, the amortization of goodwill based on 'Unit of Production' method is not correct after implementation of Ind AS.

#### Question 3

On April 1, 20X1, Sigma Ltd. issued 30,000 6% convertible debentures of face value of Rs. 100 per debenture at par. The debentures are redeemable at a premium of 10% on March 31, 20X5 or these may be converted into ordinary shares at the option of the holder. The interest rate for equivalent debentures without conversion rights would have been 10%. The date of transition to Ind AS is April 1, 20X3. Suggest how should Sigma Ltd. account for this compound financial instrument on the date of transition.

The present value of Re. 1 receivable at the end of each year based on discount rates of 6% and 10% can be taken as: (RTP May '20)

End of year	6%	10%	
1	0.94	0.91	
2	0.89	0.83	
3	0.84	0.75	
4	0.79	0.68	

#### Answer 3

Financial Instruments: Presentation', requires an entity to split a compound financial instrument at inception into separate liability and equity components. If the liability component is no longer outstanding, retrospective application of Ind AS 32 would involve separating two portions of equity. The first portion is recognised in retained earnings and represents the cumulative interest accreted on the liability component. The other portion represents the original equity component. However, in accordance with Ind AS 101, a first-time adopter need not separate these two portions if the liability component is no longer outstanding at the date of transition to Ind AS.

In the present case, since the liability is outstanding on the date of transition, Sigma Ltd. will need to split the convertible debentures into debt and equity portion on the date of transition. Accordingly, we will first measure the liability component by discounting the contractually determined stream of future cash flows (interest and principal) to present value by using the discount rate of 10% p.a. (being the market interest rate for similar debentures with no conversion option).

	Rs.
Interest payments p.a. on each debenture	6
Present Value (PV) of interest payment on each debenture for years 1 to $4 (6 \times 3.17)$ (Note 1)	19.02
PV of principal repayment on each debenture (including premium) 110 x 0.68 (Note 2)	74.80
Total liability component on each debenture (A)	93.82
Total equity component per debenture (Balancing figure) (B) = (C) – (A)	6.18
Face value per debenture (C)	100.00
Equity component per debenture	6.18
Total equity component for 30,000 debentures	1,85,400
Total debt amount (30,000 x 93.82)	28,14,600

Thus, on the date of transition, the amount of Rs. 30,00,000 being the amount of debentures will be split as under:

Debt	Rs.
	28,14,600
Equity	Rs.
	1,85,400

#### **Notes:**

- 1. 3.17 is annuity factor of present value of Re. 1 at a discount rate of 10% for 4 years.
- 2. On maturity, Rs. 110 will be paid (Rs. 100 as principal payments + Rs. 10 as premium)

#### Question 4

XYZ Pvt. Ltd. is a company registered under the Companies Act, 2013 following Accounting Standards notified under Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006. The Company has decided to voluntary adopt Ind AS w.e.f 1st April, 2018 with a transition date of 1st April, 2017.

The Company has one Wholly Owned Subsidiary and one Joint Venture which

are into manufacturing of automobile spare parts.

The -consolidated financial statements of the Company under Indian GAAP are as under:

**Consolidated Financial Statements** 

(in Lakhs)

Particulars	31.03.2018	31.03.2017
Shareholder's		
Funds Share	7,953	7,953
Capital Reserves	16,547	16,597
& Surplus	10,547	10,391
Non-Current		
Liabilities Long Term	1,000	1,000
Borrowings Long Term	1,101	691
Provisions	5,202	5,904
Other Long-Term		
Liabilities		

#### **Additional Information:**

The Company has entered into a joint arrangement by acquiring 50% of the equity shares of ABC Pvt. Ltd. Presently, the same has been accounted as per the proportionate consolidated method. The proportionate share of assets and liabilities of ABC Pvt. Ltd. included in the consolidated financial statement of XYZ Pvt. Ltd. is as under:

Particulars	31.03.2018	31.03.2017
Shareholder's Funds Share	7,953	7,953
Capital Reserves & Surplus	16,547	16,597
Non-Current Liabilities Long	1,000	1,000
Term Borrowings Long Term	1,101	691
Provisions Other Long-Term	5,202	5,904
Liabilities		
Current Liabilities		
Trade Payables	9,905	8,455
Short Term Provisions	500	475
Total	42,208	41,075
Non-Current Assets		
Property Plant & Equipment	21,488	22,288
Goodwill on Consolidation of subsidiary	1,507	1,507
and JV		
Investment Property	5,245	5,245
Long Term Loans & Advances	6,350	6,350
Current Assets Trade		
Receivables	4,801	1,818
Investments	1,263	3,763
Other Current Assets	1,554	104
Total	42,208	41,075

The Investment is in the nature of Joint Venture as per Ind AS 111. The Company has approached you to advice and suggest the accounting adjustments which are required to be made in the opening Balance Sheet as

## on 1st April, 2017. (RTP May 2019)

#### Answer 4

As per paras D31AA and D31AB of Ind AS 101, when changing from proportionate consolidation to the equity method, an entity shall recognize its investment in the joint venture at transition date to Ind AS. That initial investment shall be measured as the aggregate of the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities that the entity had previously proportionately consolidated, including any goodwill arising from acquisition. If the goodwill previously belonged to a larger cash-generating unit, or to a group of cash-generating units, the entity shall allocate goodwill to the joint venture on the basis of the relative carrying amounts of the joint venture and the cash-generating unit or group of cash-generating units to which it belonged. The balance of the investment in joint venture at the date of transition to Ind AS, determined in accordance with paragraph D31AA above is regarded as the deemed cost of the investment at initial recognition

#### MAY, 2019 Accordingly, the deemed cost of the investment will be

Property, Plant & Equipment	1,200
Goodwill (Refer Note below)	119
Long Term Loans & Advances	405
Trade Receivables	280
Other Current Assets	50
Total Assets	2054
Less: Trade Payables	75
Short Term Provisions	35
Deemed cost of the investment in JV	1944

## Calculation of proportionate goodwill share of Joint Venture i.e. ABC Pvt. Ltd.

Property, Plant & Equipment	22,288
Goodwill	1,507
Long Term Loans & Advances	6,350
Trade Receivables	1,818
Other Current Assets	104
Total Assets	32,067
Less: Trade Payables	8,455
Short Term Provisions	475
	23,137

Proportionate Goodwill of Joint Venture

Accordingly, the proportional share of assets and liabilities of Joint Venture will be removed from the respective values assets and liabilities appearing in the balance sheet on 31.3.2017 and Investment in JV will appear under non-current asset in the transition date balance sheet as on 1.4.2017.

## Adjustments made in I GAAP balance sheet to arrive at Transition date Ind AS Balance Sheet

Particulars	31.3.2017	Ind AS	Transition date
		Adjustmen	Balance Sheet as
		t	per Ind AS

Page 1320

<sup>=</sup> [(Goodwill on consolidation of subsidiary and JV/Total relative net asset) x Net asset of JV]

 $<sup>= (1507 / 23,137) \</sup>times 1825 = 119 (approx.)$ 

Total	41,075	(110)	40,965
Short Term Provisions	475	(35)	440
Trade Payables	8,455	(75)	8,380
Current Liabilities			
Other Long-Term Liabilities	5,904		5,904
Long Term Provisions	691		691
Long Term Borrowings	1,000		1,000
Non-Current Liabilities			
Reserves & Surplus	16,597	-	16,597
Share Capital	7,953	-	7,953
Shareholder's Funds			
Total	41,075	(110)	40,965
Other Current Assets	104	(50)	54
Investments ·	3,763	-	3,763
Trade Receivables	1,818	(280)	1,538
Current Assets	-		
Non- current investment in JV	-	1,944	1,944
Long Term Loans & Advances	6,350	(405)	5,945
Investment Property	5,245	-	5,245
Consolidation	1,007	(113)	1,000
Intangible assets - Goodwill on	1,507	(119)	1,388
Property Plant & Equipment	22,288	(1,200)	21,088
Non-Current Assets	22.222	(1, 200)	21 000

#### Question 5

H Ltd. has the following assets and liabilities as at March 31, 20X1, prepared in accordance with previous GAAP:

Particulars	Notes	Amount (Rs.)
Property, Plant and Equipment	1	1,34,50,00
Investments in S. Ltd.	2	48,00,000
Debtors		2,00,000
Advances for purchase of inventory		50,00,000
Inventory		8,00,000
Cash		49,000
Total assets		2,42,99,00
Deferral loan	3	60,00,000
Creditors		30,00,000
Short term borrowing		8,00,000
Provisions		12,00,000

# $P_{\text{age}}1322$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

		T
Total liabilities		1,10,00,00
		0
Share capital		1,30,00,00
_		0
Reserves:		2,99,000
Cumulative translation difference	4	1,00,000
ESOP reserve	4	20,000
Retained earnings		1,79,000
Total equity		1,32,99,00
		0
Total equity and liabilities		2,42,99,00
		0

The following GAAP differences were identified by the Company on first-time adoption of Ind AS with effect from April 1, 20X1:

- 1. In relation to property, plant and equipment, the following adjustments were identified:
  - Property, plant and equipment comprise land held for capital appreciation purposes costing Rs. 4,50,000 and was classified as investment property as per Ind AS 40.
  - Exchange differences of Rs. 1,00,000 were capitalised to depreciable property, plant and equipment on which accumulated depreciation of Rs. 40,000 was recognised.
  - There were no asset retirement obligations.
  - The management intends to adopt deemed cost exemption for using the previous GAAP carrying values as deemed cost as at the date of transition for PPE and investment property.
- 2. The Company had made an investment in S Ltd. (subsidiary of H Ltd.) for Rs. 48,00,000 that carried a fair value of Rs. 68,00,000 as at the transition date. The Company intends to recognise the investment at its fair value as at the date of transition.
- 3. Financial instruments:
  - Deferral loan Rs. 60,00,000:

The deferral loan of Rs. 60,00,000 was obtained on March 31, 20X1, for setting up a business in a backward region with a condition to create certain defined targets for employment of local population of that region. The loan does not carry any interest and is repayable in full at the end of 5 years. In accordance with Ind AS 109, the discount factor on the loan is to be taken as 10%, being the incremental borrowing rate. Accordingly, the fair value of the loan as at March 31, 20X1, is Rs. 37,25,528. The entity chooses to exercise the option given in paragraph B11 of Ind AS 101, i.e., the entity chooses to apply the requirements of Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments and Ind AS 20, Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance, retrospectively as required information had been obtained at the time of initially accounting for deferral loan.

- 4. The retained earnings of the Company contained the following:
  - ESOP reserve of Rs. 20,000: The Company had granted 1,000 options to employees out of which 800 have already vested. The Company followed an intrinsic value method for

recognition of ESOP charge and recognised Rs. 12,000 towards the vested options and Rs. 8,000 over a period of time as ESOP charge and a corresponding reserve. If fair value method had been followed in accordance with Ind AS 102, the corresponding charge would have been Rs. 15,000 and Rs. 9,000 for the vested and unvested shares respectively. The Company intends to avail the Ind AS 101 exemption for share-based payments for not restating the ESOP charge as per previous GAAP for vested options.

• Cumulative translation difference:

Rs. 1,00,000 The Company had a non-integral foreign branch in accordance with AS 11 and had recognised a balance of Rs. 1,00,000 as part of reserves. On first-time adoption of Ind AS, the Company intends to avail Ind AS 101 exemption of resetting the cumulative translation difference to zero. (MTP 15 Marks Oct 19)

#### Answer 5

### 1. Property, plant and equipment:

As the land held for capital appreciation purposes qualifies as investment property, such investment property should be reclassified from property, plant and equipment (PPE) to investment property and presented separately. As the Company has adopted the previous GAAP carrying values as deemed cost, all items of PPE and investment property should be carried at its previous GAAP carrying values. As such, the past capitalized exchange differences require no adjustment in this case.

- **2. Investment in subsidiary:** On first time adoption of Ind AS, a parent company has an option to carry its investment in subsidiary at fair value as at the date of transition in its separate financial statements. As such, the company can recognise such investment at a value of Rs. 68,00,000.
- **3. Financial instruments:** As the deferral loan is a financial liability under Ind AS 109, that liability should be recognised at its present value discounted at an appropriate discounting factor. Consequently, the deferral loan should be recognised at Rs. 37,25,528 and the remaining Rs. 22,74,472 would be recognised as deferred government grant.
- **4. ESOPs:** Ind AS 101 provides an exemption of not restating the accounting as per the previous GAAP in accordance with Ind AS 102 for all options that have vested by the transition date. Accordingly, out of 1000 ESOPs granted, the first-time adoption exemption is available on 800 options that have already vested. As such, its accounting need not be restated. However, the 200 options that are not vested as at the transition date, need to be restated in accordance with Ind AS 102. As such, the additional impact of Rs. 1,000 (i.e., 9,000 less 8,000) would be recognised in the opening Ind AS balance sheet.
- **5. Cumulative translation difference:** As per paragraph D12 of Ind AS 101, the first- time adopter can avail an exemption regarding requirements of Ind AS 21 in context of cumulative translation differences. If a first-time adopter uses this exemption the cumulative translation differences for all foreign operation are deemed to be zero as at the transition date. In that case, the balance is transferred to retained earnings. As such, the balance of Rs. 1,00,000 should be transferred to retained earnings.

Retained earnings should be increased by Rs. 20,99,00	00 on accoun	t of
the following:		
	Rs.	

Increase in fair value of investment in subsidiary (note 2)	20,00,000
Additional ESOP charge on unvested options (note 4)	(1,000)
Transfer of cumulative translation difference balance to retained earnings (note 5)	1,00,000

After the above adjustments, the carrying values of assets and liabilities for the purpose of opening Ind AS balance sheet of Company H should be as under:

Particular			Adjustments	
Non-Current Assets	3			<u>GAAI</u>
Property, plant and equipment	1	1,34,50,000	(4,50,000)	1,30,00,000
Investment property	1	0	4,50,000	4,50,000
Investment in S Ltd.	2	48,00,000	20,00,000	68,00,000
Advances for purchase of inventory		50,00,000		50,00,000
Current Assets				
Debtors		2,00,000		2,00,000
Inventory		8,00,000		8,00,000
Cash		49,000		49,000
Total assets		2,42,99,000	20,00,000	2,62,99,000
Non-current Liabilities				
Deferral loan	3	60,00,000	(22,74,472)	37,25,528
Deferred government grant	3	0	22,74,472	22,74,472
Current Liabilities				
Creditors		30,00,000		30,00,000
Short term borrowing		8,00,000		8,00,000
Provisions		12,00,000		12,00,000
Total liabilities		1,10,00,000		1,10,00,000
Share capital		1,30,00,000		1,30,00,000
Reserves:				
Cumulative translation difference	5	1,00,000	(1,00,000)	0
ESOP reserve	4	20,000	1,000	21,000
Other reserves	6	1,79,000	20,99,000	22,78,000
Total equity		1,32,99,000	20,00,000	1,52,99,00 0
Total equity and liabilities		2,42,99,000	20,00,000	2,62,99,00 0

#### Question 6

Shaurya Limited is the company having its registered and corporate office at New Delhi. 60% of the Shaurya Limited's shares are held by the Government

of India and rest by other investors.

This is the first time that Shaurya limited would be applying Ind AS for the preparation of its financials for the current financial year 2019-2020. Following balance sheet is prepared as per earlier GAAP as at the beginning of the preceding period along with the additional information:

Balance Sheet as at 31 March 2018 (All figures are in '000, unless otherwise specified)

(All figures are in '000, unless otherwise specified)			
Particulars	Amount		
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES			
(1) Shareholders' Funds			
(a) Share Capital	10,00,000		
(b) Reserves & Surplus	25,00,000		
(2) Non-Current Liabilities			
(a) Long Term Borrowings	4,50,000		
(b) Long Term Provisions	3,50,000		
(c) Deferred tax liabilities	3,50,000		
(3) Current Liabilities			
(a) Trade Payables	22,00,000		
(b) Other Current Liabilities	4,50,000		
(c) Short Term Provisions	12,00,000		
TOTAL	85,00,000		
ASSETS			
(1) Non-Current Assets			
(a) Property, Plant & Equipment (net)	20,00,000		
(b) Intangible assets	2,00,000		
(c) Goodwill	1,00,000		
(d) Non-current Investments	5,00,000		
(e) Long Term Loans and Advances	1,50,000		
(f) Other Non-Current Assets	2,00,000		
(2) Current Assets			
(a) Current Investments	18,00,000		
(b) Inventories	12,50,000		
(c) Trade Receivables	9,00,000		
(d) Cash and Bank Balances	10,00,000		
(e) Other Current Assets	4,00,000		
TOTAL	85,00,000		

Additional Information (All figures are in '000):

- 1. Other current liabilities include Rs. 3,90,000 liabilities to be paid in cash such as expense payable, salary payable etc. and Rs. 60,000 are statutory government dues.
- 2. Long term loans and advances include Rs. 40,000 loan and the remaining amount consists Advance to staff of Rs. 1,10,000.

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt -Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

- 3. Other non-current assets of Rs. 2,00,000 consists Capital advances to suppliers.
- 4. Other current assets include Rs. 3,50,000 current assets receivable in cash and Prepaid expenses of Rs. 50,000.
- 5. Short term provisions include Dividend payable of Rs. 2,00,000. The dividend payable had been as a result of board meeting wherein the declaration of dividend for financial year 2017 -2018 was made. However, it is subject to approval of shareholders in the annual general meeting.

Chief financial officer of Shaurya Limited has also presented the following information against corresponding relevant items in the balance sheet:

- a) Property, Plant & Equipment consists a class of assets as office buildings whose carrying amount is Rs. 10,00,000. However, the fair value of said office building as on the date of transition is estimated to be Rs. 15,00,000. Company wants to follow revaluation model as its accounting policy in respect of its property, plant and equipment for the first annual Ind AS financial statements.
- b) The fair value of Intangible assets as on the date of transition is estimated to be Rs. 2,50,000. However, the management is reluctant to incorporate the fair value changes in books of account.
- c) Shaurya Ltd. had acquired 80% shares in a company, Excel private limited few years ago thereby acquiring the control upon it at that time. Shaurya Ltd. recognised goodwill as per erstwhile accounting standards by accounting the excess of consideration paid over the net assets acquired at the date of acquisition. Fair value exercise was not done at the time of acquisition.
- d) Trade receivables include an amount of Rs. 20,000 as provision for doubtful debts measured in accordance with previous GAAP. Now as per latest estimates, the provision needs to be revised to Rs. 25,000.
- e) Company had given a loan of Rs.1,00,000 to an entity for the term of 10 years six years ago. Transaction costs were incurred separately for this loan. The loan carries an interest rate of 7%. The principal amount is to be repaid in equal installments over the period of ten years at the year end. Interest is also payable at each year end. The fair value of loan as on the date of transition is Rs. 50,000 as against the carrying amount of loan which at present amounts to Rs. 40,000. However, Ind AS 109 mandates to charge the interest expense as per effective interest method after the adjustment of transaction costs. Management says it is tedious task in the given case to apply the effective interest rate changes with retrospective effect and hence is reluctant to apply the same retrospectively in its first time adoption.
- f) In the long term borrowings, Rs. 4,50,000 of component is due towards the State Government. Interest is payable on the government loan at 4%, however the prevailing rate in the market at present is 8%. The fair market value of loan stands at Rs. 4,20,000 as on the relevant date.
- g) Under Previous GAAP, the mutual funds were measured at cost or market value, whichever is lower. Under Ind AS, the Company has designated these investments at fair value through profit or loss. The value of mutual funds as per previous GAAP is Rs. 2,00,000 as included in 'current investment'. However, the fair value of mutual funds as on the date of transition is Rs. 2,30,000.
- h) Ignore separate calculation of deferred tax on above adjustments. Assume the net deferred tax income to be Rs. 50,000 on account of Ind AS transition adjustments.

#### Requirements:

- Prepare transition date balance sheet of Shaurya Limited as per Indian

Crack your CA CS CMA Exams in 1 Attempt -Join CA Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1: Working Students (Job) Batch 2: Doing Classes Batch 3: Full time available for Studies Batch 4: Married Students

Visit CAmentoringprogram.org | WhatsApp +91 7890770777 | Telegram https://t.me/caraviagarwaloriginal

all subjects free Question Bank

Page 133

#### **Accounting Standards**

- Show necessary explanation for each of the items presented by chief financial officer in the form of notes, which may or may not require the adjustment as on the date of transition. (MTP 20 Marks Oct'20)

#### Answer 6

## Transition date (opening) IND-AS BALANCE SHEET of SHAURYA LIMITED As at 1 April 2018

(All figures are in '000, unless otherwise specified)

(All figures are in '000, unless otherwise specified)						
Particulars	Previou s GAAP	Transitional Ind AS adjustments	Opening Ind AS Balance sheet			
ASSETS	-					
Non-current assets						
Property, plant and equipment (Note 1)	20,00,000	5,00,000	25,00,000			
Goodwill (Note 2)	1,00,000	-	1,00,000			
Other Intangible assets (Note 3)	2,00,000	-	2,00,000			
Financial assets:	, ,					
Investment	5,00,000	-	5,00,000			
Loans (Note 4)	40,000	10,000	50,000			
Other financial assets	1,10,000	-	1,10,000			
Other non-current assets	2,00,000	-	2,00,000			
Current assets	, ,		, ,			
Inventories	12,50,000	-	12,50,000			
Financial assets	, ,		, ,			
Investment (Note 5)	18,00,000	30,000	18,30,000			
Trade receivables (Note 6)	9,00,000	-	9,00,000			
Cash and cash	10,00,000	-	10,00,000			
equivalents/Bank	, ,		• •			
Other financial assets	3,50,000	-	3,50,000			
Other current assets	50,000	-	50,000			
TOTAL ASSETS	85,00,000	5,40,000	90,40,000			
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES						
Equity						
Equity share capital	10,00,000	-	10,00,000			
Other equity	25,00,000	7,90,000	32,90,000			
Non-current liabilities						
Financial liabilities						
Borrowings (Note-7)	4,50,000	-	4,50,000			
Provisions	3,50,000	-	3,50,000			
Deferred tax liabilities (Net)	3,50,000	(50,000)	3,00,000			
Current liabilities		, ,				
Financial liabilities						
Trade payables	22,00,000	-	22,00,000			
Other financial liabilities	3,90,000	-	3,90,000			
Other current liabilities	60,000	-	60,000			
Provisions (Note-8)	12,00,000	(2,00,000)	10,00,000			
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES OTHER EQUITY	85,00,000	5,40,000	90,40,000			

**OTHER EQUITY** 

				Retained Earnings (Rs.)	Fair	value	Total
					reserve		
As	at	31	March,	27,90,000 (W.N.1)		5,00,000	32,90,000
201	8			, ,			

#### Working Note 1:

Retained earnings balance:	
Balance as per Earlier GAAP	25,00,000
Transitional adjustment due to loan's fair value	10,000
Transitional adjustment due to increase in mutual fund's fair value	30,000
Transitional adjustment due to decrease in deferred tax liability	50,000
Transitional adjustment due to decrease in provisions (dividend)	2,00,000
Total	27,90,000

#### Disclosure forming part of financial statements:

Proposed dividend on equity shares is subject to the approval of the shareholders of the company at the annual general meeting and should not recognized as liability as at the Balance Sheet date.

#### Note 1: Property, plant & Equipment:

As per para D5 of Ind AS 101, an entity may elect to measure an item of property, plant and equipment at the date of transition to Ind AS at its fair value and use that fair value as its deemed cost at that date.

#### Note 2: Goodwill:

Ind AS 103 mandatorily requires measuring the assets and liabilities of the acquiree at its fair value as on the date of acquisition. However, a first time adopter may elect to not apply the provisions of Ind AS 103 with retrospective effect that occurred prior to the date of transition to Ind AS. Hence company can continue to carry the goodwill in its books of account as per the previous GAAP.

#### Note 3: Intangible assets:

Para D7 read with D6 of Ind AS 101 states that a first-time adopter may elect to use a previous GAAP revaluation at, or before, the date of transition to Ind AS as deemed cost at the date of the revaluation, if the revaluation was, at the date of the revaluation, broadly comparable to:

- (a) Fair value; or
- (b) Cost or depreciated cost in accordance with Ind AS, adjusted to reflect, for example, changes in a general or specific price index.

However, there is a requirement that Intangible assets must meet the definition and recognition criteria as per Ind AS 38. Hence, company can avail the exemption given in Ind AS 101 as on the date of transition to use the carrying value as per previous GAAP.

#### Note 4: Loan:

Para B8C of Ind AS 101 states that if it is impracticable (as defined in Ind AS 8) for an entity to apply retrospectively the effective interest method in Ind AS 109, the fair value of the financial asset or the financial liability at the date of transition to Ind ASs shall be the new gross carrying amount of that financial asset or the new amortised cost of that financial liability at the date of transition to Ind AS.

Accordingly, Rs. 50,000 would be the gross carrying amount of loan and difference of Rs. 10,000 (Rs. 50,000 - Rs. 40,000) would be adjusted to retained earnings.

#### Note 5: Mutual Funds:

Para 29 of Ind AS 101 states that an entity is permitted to designate a previously reco gnised financial asset as a financial asset measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with paragraph D19A. The entity shall disclose the fair value of financial assets so designated at the date of designation and their classification and carrying amount in the previous financial statements.

D19A states that an entity may designate a financial asset as measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109 on the basis of the facts and circumstances that exist at the date of transition to Ind AS.

#### Note 6: Trade receivables:

Para 14 of Ind AS 101 states that an entity's estimates in accordance with Ind ASs at the date of transition to Ind AS shall be consistent with estimates made for the same date in accordance with previous GAAP (after adjustments to reflect any difference in accounting policies), unless there is objective evidence that those estimates were in error.

Para 15 of Ind AS 101 further states that an entity may receive information after the date of transition to Ind ASs about estimates that it had made under previous GAAP. In accordance with paragraph 14, an entity shall treat the receipt of that information in the same way as non -adjusting events after the reporting period in accordance with Ind AS 10, Events after the Reporting Period. The entity shall not reflect that new information in its opening Ind AS Balance Sheet (unless the estimates need adjustment for any differences in accounting policies or there is objective evidence that the estimates were in error). Instead, the entity shall reflect that new information in profit or loss (or, if appropriate, other comprehensive income) for the year ended 31 March 2019.

#### **Note 7: Government Grant:**

Para 10A of Ind AS 20 states that the benefit of a government loan at a below-market rate of interest—is treated as a government grant. The loan shall be recognised and measured in accordance with Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments. The benefit of the below-market rate of interest shall be measured—as—the difference—between the initial carrying value of the loan determined in accordance with Ind AS 109, and the proceeds received. The benefit is accounted for in accordance with this Standard.

However, Para B10 of Ind AS 101 states, a first-time adopter shall classify all government loans received as a financial liability or an equity instrument in accordance with Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation. Except as permitted by paragraph B11, a first-time adopter shall apply the requirements in Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments, and Ind AS 20, Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance, prospectively to government loans existing at the date of transition to Ind ASs and shall not recognise the corresponding benefit of the government loan at a below-market rate of interest as a government grant. Consequently, if a first -time adopter did not, under its previous GAAP, recognise and measure a government loan at a below-market rate of interest on a basis consistent with Ind AS requirements, it shall use its previous GAAP carrying amount of the loan at the date of transition to Ind AS as the carrying amount of the loan in the opening Ind AS Balance Sheet. An entity shall apply Ind AS 109 to the measurement of such loans after the date of transition to Ind AS.

#### Note 8: Dividend

Dividend should be deducted from retained earnings during the year when it has been declared and approved. Accordingly, the provision declared for preceding year should be reversed (to rectify the wrong entry). Retained earnings would increase

proportionately due to such adjustment.

#### Question 7

X Ltd. has a subsidiary Y Ltd. On first time adoption of Ind AS by Y Ltd., it availed the optional exemption of not restating its past business combinations. However, X Ltd. in its consolidated financial statements has decided to restate all its past business combinations.

Whether the amounts recorded by subsidiary need to be adjusted while preparing the consolidated financial statements of X Ltd. considering that X Ltd. does not avail the business combination exemption? Will the answer be different if X Ltd. adopts Ind AS after Y Ltd?(MTP 5 Marks April '18)

#### Answer 7

As per para C1 of Appendix C of Ind AS 101, a first-time adopter may elect not to Ind AS 103 retrospectively to past business combinations (business combinations that occurred before the date of transition to Ind AS). However, if a first-time adopter restates any business combination to comply with Ind AS 103, it shall restate all later business combinations and shall also apply Ind AS 110 from that same date.

Based on the above, if X Ltd. restates past business combinations, it would have to be applied to all business combinations of the group including those by subsidiary Y Ltd. for the purpose of Consolidated Financial Statements.

Para D17 of Appendix D of Ind AS 101 states that if an entity becomes a first-time adopter later than its subsidiary the entity shall, in its consolidated financial statements, measure the assets and liabilities of the subsidiary at the same carrying amounts as in the financial statements of the subsidiary, after adjusting for consolidation and equity accounting adjustments and for the effects of the business combination in which the entity acquired the subsidiary. Thus, in case where the parent adopts Ind AS later than the subsidiary then it does not change the amounts already recognized by the subsidiary.

#### Question 8

While preparing an opening balance sheet on the date of transition, an entity is required to:

- (a) recognise all assets and liabilities whose recognition is required by Ind AS;
- (b) reclassify items that it recognised in accordance with previous GAAP as one type of asset, liability or component of equity, but are a different type of asset, liability or component of equity in accordance with Ind AS; and
- (c) apply Ind AS in measuring all recognised assets and liabilities.

Give 2 examples for each of the above categories. (MTP 5 Marks Sep '22, RTP Nov '21)

#### Answer 8

The examples of the items that an entity may need to recognise, derecognise, remeasure, reclassify on the date of transition are as under:

- (a) recognise all assets and liabilities whose recognition is required by Ind AS:
  - (i) customer related intangible assets if an entity elects to restate business combinations
  - (ii) share-based payment transactions with non-employees
- (b) reclassify items that it recognised in accordance with previous GAAP as one type of asset, liability or component of equity, but is a different type of asset, liability or component of equity in accordance with Ind AS:
  - redeemable preference shares that would have earlier been classified as

equity;

- (ii) non-controlling interests which would have been earlier classified outside equity; and
- (c) apply Ind ASs in measuring all recognised assets and liabilities:
  - (i) discounting of long-term provisions
  - (ii) measurement of deferred income taxes for all temporary differences instead of timing differences.

#### Question 9

Mathur India Private Limited has to present its first financials under Ind AS for the year ended 31st March, 20X3. The transition date is 1st April, 20X1. The following adjustments were made upon transition to Ind AS:

- (a) The Company opted to fair value its land as on the date on transition. The fair value of the land as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 was Rs. 10 crores. The carrying amount as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 under the existing GAAP was Rs. 4.5 crores.
- (b) The Company has recognised a provision for proposed dividend of Rs. 60 lacs and related dividend distribution tax of Rs. 18 lacs during the year ended 31st March, 20X1. It was written back as on opening balance sheet date.
- (c) The Company fair values its investments in equity shares on the date of transition. The increase on account of fair valuation of shares is Rs. 75 lacs.
- (d) The Company has an Equity Share Capital of Rs. 80 crores and Redeemable Preference Share Capital of Rs. 25 crores.
- (e) The reserves and surplus as on 1st April, 20X1 before transition to Ind AS was Rs. 95 crores representing Rs. 40 crores of general reserve and Rs. 5 crores of capital reserve acquired out of business combination and balance is surplus in the Retained Earnings.
- (f) The company identified that the preference shares were in nature of financial liabilities.

What is the balance of total equity (Equity and other equity) as on 1st April, 20X1 after transition to Ind AS? Show reconciliation between total equity as per AS (Accounting Standards) and as per Ind AS to be presented in the opening balance sheet as on 1st April, 20X1. Ignore deferred tax impact. (RTP Nov '19) (MTP 8 Marks Sep '23)

#### Answer 9

## Computation of balance total equity as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 after transition to Ind AS

			Rs. in
			crore
Share capital- Equity share Capital			80
Other Equity			
General Reserve		40	
Capital Reserve		5	
Retained Earnings (95-5-40)	50		
Add: Increase in value of land (10-4.5)	5.5		
Add: De recognition of proposed dividend (0.6 + 0.18)	0.78		

Jage 1331

Add: Increase in value of Investment	0.75	57.03	102.03
Balance total equity as on 1st April, 20X1 after			
transition to Ind AS			182.03

Reconciliation between Total Equity as per AS and Ind AS to be presented in the opening balance sheet as on  $1^{\rm st}$  April, 20X1

		Rs. in
		crore
Equity share capital		80
Redeemable Preference share capital		25
		105
Reserves and Surplus		95
Total Equity as per AS		200
Adjustment due to reclassification		
Preference share capital classified as financial liability		(25)
Adjustment due to derecognition		
Proposed Dividend not considered as liability		0.78
as on 1st April 20X1		
Adjustment due to remeasurement		
Increase in the value of Land due to remeasurement at		
fair value	5.5	
Increase in the value of investment due to		
remeasurement at fair value	0.75	6.25
Equity as on 1st April, 20X1 after transition to Ind AS		182.03

#### Question 10

GG Ltd., a listed company, prepares its first Ind AS financial statements for the year ending 31st March, 20X3. The date of transition is 1st April, 20X1. The functional and presentation currency is Rupee. The financial statements as at and for the year ended 31st March, 20X3 contain an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS. Previously it was using Indian GAAP (AS) as base. It has already published its first interim results of quarter 1, quarter 2 and quarter 3 of 20X2-20X3 in accordance with Ind AS 34 and Ind AS 101. The interim financial report included the reconciliations both of total comprehensive income and of equity that are required by Ind AS 101. Since issuing the interim financial report, its management has concluded that one of accounting policy choices applied at the interim should be changed for the full year. How should GG Ltd. deal with the change in accounting policy under Ind AS framework? (RTP May '22)

#### Answer 10

The first annual Ind AS financial statements are prepared in accordance with the specific requirements of Ind AS 101. Subject to certain specified exemptions and exceptions, paragraph 7 of Ind AS 101 requires the entity to use the same accounting policies in its opening Ind AS balance sheet and throughout all periods presented. This override Ind AS 8's requirements for disclosures about changes in accounting policies do not apply in an entity's first Ind AS financial statements. GG Ltd. should include an explanation of the change in policy that it has made since the interim financial report, in the notes to the annual financial statements, in accordance with paragraph 27A of Ind AS 101. The disclosure note is likely to include information , similar to what Ind AS 8 would otherwise require, to help

users of the financial statements to understand the changes that have been made. The entity should also ensure that the reconciliations of total comprehensive income and of equity, presented in the first Ind AS financial statements in accordance with paragraph 24 of Ind AS 101 are updated from those included in the interim financial report to reflect the amended accounting policy.

### Question 11

Rainy Pvt Ltd. is a company registered under the Companies Act, 2013 following Accounting Standards notified under the Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006. The company has decided to present its first financials under Ind AS for the year ended 31st March, 2021. The transition date is 1st April, 2019.

The following adjustments were made upon transition to Ind AS:

- (i) The company opted to fair value its land as on the date on transition. The fair value of the land as on 1st April, 2019 was ₹ 95 lakh. The carrying amount as on 1st April, 2019 under the existing GAAP was ₹ 42.75 lakh.
- (ii) The company has recognised a provision for proposed dividend of ₹ 5.7 lakh and related dividend distribution tax of ₹ 1.65 lakh during the year ended 31st March, 2019. It was written back as on opening balance sheet date.
- (iii) The company had a non-integral foreign branch in accordance with AS 11 and had recognised a balance of ₹ 2 lakh as part of reserves. On first time adoption of Ind AS, the company intends to avail Ind AS exemption of resetting the cumulative translation difference to zero.
- (iv) The company had made an investment in subsidiary for  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  18.62 lakh that carried a fair value of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  25.75 lakh as at the transition date. The company intends to recognise the investment at its fair value as at the date of transition.
- (v) The company has an Equity Share Capital of ₹ 760 lakh and Redeemable Preference Share Capital of ₹ 180 lakh. The company identified that the preference shares were in nature of financial liabilities.
- (vi) The Reserves and Surplus as on 1st April, 2019 before transition to 1nd AS was ₹ 910 lakh representing ₹ 380 lakh of general reserve and ₹ 40 lakh of Capital Reserve acquired out of business combination and balance is surplus in the Retained Earnings.

What is the balance of total equity (Equity and other equity) as on 1 st April, 2019 after transition to Ind AS? Show reconciliation between Total Equity as per AS (Accounting Standards) and as per Ind AS to be presented in the opening balance sheet as on 1st April, 2019. Ignore deferred tax impact. (PYP 8 Marks Dec '21)

### Answer 11

Computation of balance total equity as on 1st April, 2019 after transition to Ind AS

		₹ in
		lakh
Share capital- Equity share Capital		760.00
Other Equity		
General Reserve	380.0	
	0	
Capital Reserve	40.00	

1333

Retained Earnings (910.00 – 380.00 – 40.00)	490.0 0		
Add: Increase in value of land (95.00 – 42.75)	52.25		
Add: Derecognition of proposed dividend (5.70 + 1.65)	7.35		
Add: Transfer of cumulative translation			
difference balance to retained	2.00		
earnings			
Add: Increase in value of		558.7	978.73
Investment (25.75 –	7.13	3	
18.62)			
Balance total equity as on 1st April, 2019			1,738.7
after transition to Ind AS			3

Reconciliation between Total Equity as per AS and Ind AS to be presented

in the opening balance sheet as on 1st April, 2019

in the opening balance sheet as on 1st April, 20		₹in
		lakh
Equity share capital		760.00
Redeemable Preference share capital		
		180.00
		940.00
Reserves and Surplus		
W + 1 D - 1		910.00
Total Equity as per AS		1,850.0
Adjustment due to reclassification:		
Preference share capital classified as financial liability		(180.00)
Adjustment due to de-recognition:		
Proposed dividend not considered as liability as on 1st April, 2019		7.35
Adjustment due to re-measurement:		
Increase in the value of Land due to re- measurement at fair value	52.25	
Resetting of cumulative translation difference balance to zero in Ind AS Transition date Balance Sheet	2.00	
Increase in the value of investment due to re- measurement at fair value		61.38
Equity as on 1st April, 2019 after transition to Ind AS	7.13	1,738.7 3

### Section - B

### Question 1

Company A intends to restate its past business combinations with effect from 30 June 20X0 (being a date prior to the transition date). If business

combinations are restated, whether certain other exemptions, such as the deemed cost exemption for property, plant and equipment (PPE), can be adopted?

### Answer 1

Ind-AS 101 prescribes that an entity may elect to use one or more of the exemptions of the Standard. As such, an entity may choose to adopt a combination of optional exemptions in relation to the underlying account balances.

When the past business combinations after a particular date (30 June 20X0 in the given case) are restated, it requires retrospective adjustments to the carrying amounts of acquiree's assets and liabilities on account of initial acquisition accounting of the acquiree's net assets, the effects of subsequent measurement of those net assets (including amortisation of non-current assets that were recognised at its fair value), goodwill on consolidation and the consolidation adjustments. Therefore, the goodwill and equity (including non-controlling interest (NCI)) cannot be computed by considering the deemed cost exemption for PPE. However, the entity may adopt the deemed cost exemption for its property, plant and equipment other than those acquired through business combinations.

### Question 2

X Ltd. was using cost model for its property, plant and equipment till March 31, 20X2 under previous GAAP. The Ind AS become applicable to the company for financial year beginning April 1, 20X2. On April 1, 20X1, i.e., the date of its transition to Ind AS, it used fair value as the deemed cost in respect of its property, plant and equipment. X Ltd. wants to follow revaluation model as its accounting policy in respect of its property, plant and equipment for the first annual Ind AS financial statements. Whether use of fair values as deemed cost on the date of transition and use of revaluation model in the first annual Ind AS financial statements would amount to a change in accounting policy?

### Answer 2

In the instant case, X Ltd. is using revaluation model for property, plant and equipment for the first annual Ind AS financial statements and using fair value of property, plant and equipment on the date of the transition, as deemed cost. Since the entity is using fair value at the transition date as well as in the first Ind AS financial statements, there is no change in accounting policy and mere use of the term 'deemed cost' would not mean that there is a change in accounting policy.

### Question 3

Y Ltd. is a first time adopter of Ind AS. The date of transition is April 1, 20X5. On April 1, 20X0, it obtained a 7 year US \$ 1,00,000 loan. It has been exercising the option provided in Paragraph 46/46A of AS 11 and has been amortising the exchange differences in respect of this loan over the balance period of such loan. On the date of transition to Ind AS, Y Ltd. wants to discontinue the accounting policy as per the previous GAAP and follow the requirements of Ind AS 21 with respect to recognition of foreign exchange differences. Whether the Company is permitted to do so?

### Answer 3

Ind AS 101 provides that a first-time adopter may continue the policy adopted for accounting for exchange differences arising from translation of long-term foreign currency monetary items recognised in the financial statements for the period ending immediately before the beginning of the first Ind AS financial reporting period as per the previous GAAP. Ind AS 101 gives an option to continue the existing accounting

policy. Hence, Y Ltd. may opt for discontinuation of accounting policy as per previous GAAP and follow the requirements of Ind AS 21. The cumulative amount lying in the Foreign Currency Monetary Item Translation Difference Account (FCMITDA) as per AS 11 should be derecognised by an adjustment against retained earnings on the date of transition.

### **Question 4**

A company has chosen to elect the deemed cost exemption in accordance with Ind AS 101. However, it does not wish to continue with its existing policy of capitalising exchange fluctuation on long term foreign currency monetary items to property, plant and equipment i.e. it does not want to elect the exemption available as per Ind AS 101. In such a case, how would the company be required to adjust the foreign exchange fluctuation already capitalised to the cost of property, plant and equipment under previous GAAP?

### Answer 4

- 1. Ind AS 101 permits to continue with the carrying value for all of its property, plant and equipment as per the previous GAAP and use that as deemed cost for the purposes of first time adoption of Ind AS. Accordingly, the carrying value of property, plant and equipment as per previous GAAP as at the date of transition need not be adjusted for the exchange fluctuations capitalized to property, plant and equipment. Separately, it allows a company
- to continue with its existing policy for accounting for exchange differences arising from translation of long term foreign currency monetary items recognised in the financial statements for the period ending immediately before the beginning of the first Ind AS financial reporting period as per the previous GAAP. Accordingly, given that Ind AS 101 provides these two choices independent of each other, it may be possible for an entity to choose the deemed cost exemption for all of its property, plant and equipment and not elect the exemption of continuing the previous GAAP policy of capitalising exchange fluctuation to property, plant and equipment. In such a case, in the given case, a harmonious interpretation of the two exemptions would require the company to recognise the property, plant and equipment at the transition date at the previous GAAP carrying value (without any adjustment for the exchanges differences capitalized under previous GAAP) but for the purposes of the first (and all subsequent) Ind AS financial statements, foreign exchange fluctuation on all long term foreign currency borrowings that arose after the transition date would be recognised in the statement of profit and loss.

### Question 5

XYZ Pvt. Ltd. is a company registered under the Companies Act, 2013 following Accounting Standards notified under Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006. The Company has decided to voluntarily adopt Ind AS w.e.f 1st April, 20X2 with a transition date of 1st April, 20X1. The Company has one Wholly Owned Subsidiary and one Joint Venture which are into manufacturing of automobile spare parts.

The consolidated financial statements of the Company under Indian GAAP are as under:

Consolidated Financial Statemer	(₹ in Lakhs)	
Particulars	31.03.20X2	31.03.20X1
Shareholder's Funds		

Share Capital	7,95	7,9
Reserves & Surplus	3	53
Non-Current Liabilities Long Term	16,5	16,5
Borrowings Long Term Provisions	47	97
Other Long-Term Liabilities		
Current Liabilities	1,00	1,000
Trade Payables	0	691
<b>Short Term Provisions</b>	1,10	5,904
	1	0,201
	5,20	8,455
	2	475
	_	773
	9,905	
	500	
Total	42,208	41,075
Non-Current Assets	,	•
Property Plant & Equipment	21,4	22,2
Goodwill on Consolidation of	88	88
subsidiary and JV Investment	1,50	1,5
Property	7	07
Long Term Loans & Advances	5,24	5,2
Current Assets Trade Receivables	5	45
Investments ·	6,35	6,3
Other Current Assets	0,33	50
	· ·	00
	4,80	1,818
	1	3,763
	1,26	104
	3	104
	1,55	
	4	
Total	42,208	41,075
	•	•

### **Additional Information:**

The Company has entered into a joint arrangement by acquiring 50% of the equity shares of ABC Pvt. Ltd. Presently, the same has been accounted as per the proportionate consolidated method. The proportionate share of assets and liabilities of ABC Pvt. Ltd. included in the consolidated financial statement of XYZ Pvt. Ltd. is as under:

Particulars	₹ in Lakhs
Property, Plant & Equipment	1,200
Long Term Loans & Advances	405
Trade Receivables	280
Other Current Assets	50
Trade Payables	75
Short Term Provisions	35

The Investment is in the nature of Joint Venture as per Ind AS 111.

The Company has approached you to advice and suggest the accounting adjustments which are required to be made in the opening Balance Sheet as on 1st April, 20X1.

### Answer 5

As per paras D31AA and D31AB of Ind AS 101, when changing from proportionate consolidation to the equity method, an entity shall recognise its investment in the joint venture at transition date to Ind AS.

That initial investment shall be measured as the aggregate of the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities that the entity had previously proportionately consolidated, including any goodwill arising from acquisition. If the goodwill previously belonged to a larger cash- generating unit, or to a group of cashgenerating units, the entity shall allocate goodwill to the joint venture on the basis of the **relative** carrying amounts of the joint venture and the cash-generating unit or group of cash-generating units to which it belonged. The balance of the investment in joint venture at the date of transition to Ind AS, determined in accordance with paragraph D31AA above is regarded as the deemed cost of the investment at initial recognition.

### Accordingly, the deemed cost of the investment will be

Property, Plant & Equipment	1,200
Goodwill (Refer Note below)	119
Long Term Loans & Advances	405
Trade Receivables	280
Other Current Assets	50
Total Assets	2,054
Less: Trade Payables	75
Short Term Provisions	35
Deemed cost of the investment in JV	1,944

### Calculation of proportionate goodwill share of Joint Venture ie ABC Pvt. Ltd.

Property, Plant & Equipment	22,288
Goodwill	1,507
Long Term Loans & Advances	6,350
Trade Receivables	1,818
Other Current Assets	104
Total Assets	32,067
Less: Trade Payables	8,455
Short Term Provisions	475
	23,137

Note: Only those assets and liabilities have been taken into account for calculation of 'proportionate goodwill share of Joint Venture', which were given in the question as 'proportionate share of assts and liabilities of ABC Ltd. added to XYZ Ltd.' Proportionate Goodwill of Joint Venture

<sup>= [(</sup>Goodwill on consolidation of subsidiary and JV/Total relative net asset) x Net

asset of JV]

= (1507 / 23,137) x 1825 = 119 (approx.)

Accordingly, the proportional share of assets and liabilities of Joint Venture will be removed from the respective values assets and liabilities appearing in the balance sheet on 31.3.20X1 and Investment in JV will appear under non-current asset in the transition date balance sheet as on 1.4.20X1.

Adjustments made in previous GAAP balance sheet to arrive at Transition date Ind AS Balance Sheet

Transition Date Ind AS Balance Sheet of XYZ Pvt. Ltd. as at 1st April, 20X1

Particulars	Previous GAAP	Ind AS Adjustme nt	Ind AS GAAP
Non-Current Assets			
Property, Plant & Equipment	22,288	(1,200)	21,088
Investment Property	5,245	-	5,245
Intangible assets - Goodwill on Consolidation	1,507	(119)	1,388
Financial Assets			
Long Term Loans & Advances	6,350	(405)	5,945
Non- current investment in JV	-	1,944	1,944
Current Assets	-		
Financial Assets			
Investments ·	3,763	-	3,763
Trade Receivables	1,818	(280)	1,538
Other Current Assets	104	(50)	54
Total	41,075	(110)	40,965
Equity and liabilities			
Equity			
Share Capital	7,953	-	7,953
Other equity	16,597	-	16,597
Non-Current Liabilities			
Financial Liabilities			
Borrowings	1,000		1,000
Long Term Provisions	691		691
Other Long-Term Liabilities	5,904		5,904
Current Liabilities			
Financial Liabilities			
Trade Payables	8,455	(75)	8,380
Short Term Provisions	475	(35)	440
Total	41,075	(110)	40,965

# Ouestion 6

Mathur India Private Limited has to present its first financials under Ind AS for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X3. The transition date is 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1. The following adjustments were made upon transition to Ind AS:

- (a) The Company opted to fair value its land as on the date on transition. The fair value of the land as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 was ₹ 10 crores. The carrying amount as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 under the existing GAAP was ₹ 4.5 crores.
- (b) The Company has recognised a provision for proposed dividend of ₹ 60 lacs and related dividend distribution tax of ₹ 18 lacs during the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X1. It was written back as on opening balance sheet date.
- (c) The Company fair values its investments in equity shares on the date of transition. The increase on account of fair valuation of shares is ₹ 75 lacs.
- (d) The Company has an Equity Share Capital of ₹ 80 crores and Redeemable Preference Share Capital of ₹ 25 crores.
- (e) The reserves and surplus as on 1st April, 20X1 before transition to Ind AS was
  - ₹ 95 crores representing ₹ 40 crores of general reserve and ₹ 5 crores of capital reserve acquired out of business combination and balance is surplus in the Retained Earnings.
- (f) The company identified that the preference shares were in nature of financial liabilities.

What is the balance of total equity (Equity and other equity) as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1 after transition to Ind AS? Show reconciliation between total equity as per AS (Accounting Standards) and as per Ind AS to be presented in the opening balance sheet as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1. Ignore deferred tax impact.

### Answer 6

## Computation of balance total equity as on 1st April, 20X1 after transition to Ind AS

			₹ in crore
Share capital- Equity share Capital			80
Other Equity			
General Reserve		40	
Capital Reserve		5	
Retained Earnings (95-5-40)	50		
Add: Increase in value of land (10-4.5)	5.5		
<i>Add:</i> De recognition of proposed dividend (0.6 + 0.18)	0.78		
Add: Increase in value of Investment	0.75	57.03	102.03
Balance total equity as on 1st April, 20X1			
after transition to Ind AS			182.03

Reconciliation between Total Equity as per AS and Ind AS to be presented in the opening balance sheet as on 1st April, 20X1

1340

		₹ in
		crore
Equity share capital		80
Redeemable Preference share capital		25
		105
Reserves and Surplus		95
Total Equity as per AS		200
Adjustment due to reclassification		
Preference share capital classified as financial liability		(25)
Adjustment due to derecognition		
Proposed Dividend not considered as liability as on 1st April 20X1		0.78
Adjustment due to remeasurement		
Increase in the value of Land due to remeasurement at fair value	5. 5	
Increase in the value of investment due to	0.7	6.25
remeasurement at fair value	5	
Equity as on 1st April, 20X1 after transition to Ind AS		182.03

### Question 7

ABC Ltd is a government company and is a first-time adopter of Ind AS. As per the previous GAAP, the contributions received by ABC Ltd. from the government (which holds 100% shareholding in ABC Ltd.) which is in the nature of promoters' contribution have been recognised in capital reserve and treated as part of shareholders' funds in accordance with the provisions of AS 12, Accounting for Government Grants. State whether the accounting treatment of the grants in the nature of promoters' contribution as per AS 12 is also permitted under Ind AS 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance. If not, then what will be the accounting treatment of such grants recognised in capital reserve as per previous GAAP on the date of transition to Ind AS.

### Answer 7

Paragraph 2 of Ind AS 20, "Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance" inter alia states that the Standard does not deal with government participation in the ownership of the entity.

Since ABC Ltd. is a Government company, it implies that government has 100% shareholding in the entity. Accordingly, the entity needs to determine whether the payment is provided as a shareholder contribution or as a government. Equity contributions will be recorded in equity while grants will be shown in the Statement of Profit and Loss.

Where it is concluded that the contributions are in the nature of government grant, the entity shall apply the principles of Ind AS 20 retrospectively as specified in Ind AS 101 'First Time Adoption of Ind AS'. Ind AS 20 requires all grants to be recognised as income on a systematic basis over the periods in which the entity recognises as expenses the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate. Unlike AS 12, Ind AS 20 requires the grant to be classified as either a

capital or an income grant and does not permit recognition of government grants in the nature of promoter's contribution directly to shareholders' funds.

Where it is concluded that the contributions are in the nature of shareholder contributions and are recognised in capital reserve under previous GAAP, the provisions of paragraph 10 of Ind AS 101 would be applied which states that except in certain cases, an entity shall in its opening Ind AS Balance Sheet:

- (a) recognise all assets and liabilities whose recognition is required by Ind AS;
- (b) not recognise items as assets or liabilities if Ind AS do not permit such recognition;
- (c) reclassify items that it recognised in accordance with previous GAAP as one type of asset, liability or component of equity, but are a different type of asset, liability or component of equity in accordance with Ind AS; and
- (d) apply Ind AS in measuring all recognised assets and liabilities.

Accordingly, as per the above requirements of paragraph 10(c) in the given case, contributions recognised in the Capital Reserve should be transferred to appropriate category under 'Other Equity' at the date of transition to Ind AS.

### Question 8 (Illustration)

X Ltd. is required to adopt Ind AS from April 1, 20X1, with comparatives for one year, i.e., for 20X0-20X1. What will be its date of transition?

### Answer 8

The date of transition for X Ltd. will be April 1, 20X0 being the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented. To explain it further, X Ltd. is required to adopt an Ind AS from April 1, 20X1 (i.e. year 20X1-20X2), and it will give comparatives as per Ind AS for 20X0-20X1. Accordingly, the beginning of the comparative period will be April 1, 20X0 which will be considered as date of transition.

### Question 9 (Illustration)

Company B is a foreign subsidiary of Company A and has adopted IFRS as issued by IASB as its primary GAAP for its local financial reporting purposes. Company B prepares its financial statements as per Accounting Standards specified under Section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013 read with Rule 7 of the Companies (Accounts) Rules, 2014 for the purpose of consolidation with Company A. On transition of Company A to Ind-AS, what would be the previous GAAP of the foreign subsidiary Company B for its financial statements prepared for consolidation with Company A?

### Answer 9

Ind AS 101 defines previous GAAP as the basis of accounting that a first-time adopter used for its statutory reporting requirements in India (*emphasis added*) immediately before adopting Ind AS. For instance, companies preparing their financial statements in accordance with the Accounting Standards specified under Section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013 read with Rule 7 of the Companies (Accounts) Rules, 2014 shall consider those financial statements as previous GAAP financial statements. Accordingly, the previous GAAP of the foreign subsidiary for the purpose of consolidation under Ind-AS with the parent company would be accounting standards specified under Section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013 read with Rule 7 of the Companies (Accounts) Rules, 2014 and not the IFRS as issued by the IASB since the first time adoption has to be considered in the context of India only.

### Question 10 (Illustration)

E Ltd. is required to first time adopt Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS) from 1 April 20X1. The management of E Ltd. has prepared its financial statements in accordance with Ind AS and an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS has been given by the management. However, the there is a disagreement on application of one Ind AS between the management and the auditor. Can such financial statements of E Ltd. be treated as first Ind AS financial statements?

### Answer 10

Ind AS 101 defines first Ind AS financial statements as "The first annual financial statements in which an entity adopts Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS), by an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS." In accordance with the above definition, if an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS has been given in the financial statements, even if the auditor's report contains a qualification because of disagreement on application of Indian Accounting Standard(s), it would be considered that E Ltd. has done the first time adoption of Ind AS. In such a case, exemptions given under Ind AS 101 cannot be availed again. If, however, the unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS is not given in the financial statements, such financial statements would not be considered to be first Ind AS financial statements.

### Question 11 (Illustration)

Ind AS requires allocation of losses to the non-controlling interest, which may ultimately lead to a debit balance in non-controlling interests, even if there is no contract with the non-controlling interest holders to contribute assets to the Company to fund the losses. Whether this adjustment is required or permitted to be made retrospectively?

### Answer 11

In case an entity elects not to restate past business combinations, Ind AS 101 requires the measurement of non-controlling interests (NCI) to follow from the measurement of other assets and liabilities on transition to Ind AS. However, Ind AS 101 contains a mandatory exception that prohibits retrospective allocation of accumulated profits between the owners of the parent and the NCI. In case an entity elects not to restate past business combinations, the previous GAAP carrying value of NCI is not changed other than for adjustments made (remeasurement of the assets and liabilities subsequent to the business combination) as part of the transition to Ind AS. As such, the carrying value of NCI in the opening Ind AS balance sheet cannot have a deficit balance on account of recognition of the losses attributable to the non-controlling interest, which was not recognised under the previous GAAP as part of NCI in the absence of contract to contribute assets to fund such a deficit. However, the NCI could have a deficit balance due to remeasurement of the assets and

liabilities subsequent to the business combination as part of the transition to Ind AS. In case an entity restates past business combination, Ind AS 101 requires that the balance in NCI as at the date of transition shall be determined retrospectively in accordance with Ind AS, taking into account the impact of other elections made as part of the adoption of Ind AS.

As such, the NCI could have a deficit balance on account of losses attributable to the NCI, even if there is no obligation on the holders of NCI to contribute assets to fund such a deficit.

### Question 12 (Illustration)

A Ltd. had made certain investments in B Ltd.'s convertible debt instruments. The conversion rights are substantive rights and would provide A Ltd. with a control over B Ltd. A Ltd. has evaluated that B Ltd. would be treated as its subsidiary under Ind AS and, hence, would require consolidation in its Ind AS consolidated financial statements. B Ltd. was not considered as a subsidiary, associate or a joint venture under previous GAAP. How should B Ltd. be consolidated on transition to Ind AS assuming that A Ltd. has opted to avail the exemption from retrospective restatement of past business combinations?

### Answer 12

Ind AS 101 prescribes an optional exemption from retrospective restatement in relation to past business combinations. Ind AS 101 prescribes that when the past business combinations are not restated and a parent entity had not consolidated an entity as a subsidiary in accordance with its previous GAAP (either because it was not regarded as a subsidiary or no consolidated financial statements were required under previous GAAP), then the subsidiary's assets and liabilities would be included in the parent's opening consolidated financial statements at such values as would appear in the subsidiary's separate financial statements if the subsidiary were to adopt the Ind AS as at the parent's date of transition. For this purpose, the subsidiary's separate financial statements would be prepared as if it was a first-time adopter of Ind AS i.e. after applying the relevant first- time adoption mandatory exceptions and voluntary exemptions. In other words, the parent will adjust the carrying amount of the subsidiary's assets and liabilities to the amounts that Ind AS would require in the subsidiary's balance sheet.

The deemed cost of goodwill equals the difference at the date of transition between:

- (a) the parent's interest in those adjusted carrying amounts; and
- (b) the cost in the parent's separate financial statements of its investment in the subsidiary.

The measurement of non-controlling interest and deferred tax follows from the measurement of other assets and liabilities.

It may be noted here that the above exemption is available only under those circumstances where the parent, in accordance with the previous GAAP, has not presented consolidated financial statements for the previous year; or where the consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with the previous GAAP but the entity was not treated as a subsidiary, associate or joint venture under the previous GAAP.

### Question 13 (Illustration)

A Ltd. has a subsidiary B Ltd. On first time adoption of Ind AS by B Ltd., it availed the optional exemption of not restating its past business combinations. However, A Ltd. in its consolidated financial statements has decided to restate all its past business combinations. Whether the amounts recorded by subsidiary need to be adjusted while preparing the consolidated financial statements of A Ltd. considering that A Ltd. does not avail the business combination exemption? Will the answer be different if A Ltd. adopts Ind AS after B Ltd?

### Answer 13

As per Ind AS 101: "A first-time adopter may elect not to apply Ind AS 103 retrospectively to past business combinations (business combinations that occurred before the date of transition to Ind AS). However, if a first-time adopter restates any

# <sub>age</sub>1345

### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

business combination to comply with Ind AS 103, it shall restate all later business combinations and shall also apply Ind AS 110 from that same date.

For example, if a first-time adopter elects to restate a business combination that occurred on 30 June 20X0, it shall restate all business combinations that occurred between 30 June 20X0 and the date of transition to Ind AS, and it shall also apply Ind AS 110 from 30 June 20X0." Based on the above, if A Ltd. restates past business combinations, it would have to be applied to all business combinations of the group including those by subsidiary B Ltd. for the purpose of Consolidated Financial Statements. Ind AS 101 states, "However, if an entity becomes a first-time adopter later than its subsidiary (or associate or joint venture) the entity shall, in its consolidated financial statements, measure the assets and liabilities subsidiary (or associate or joint venture) at the same carrying amounts as in the financial statements of the subsidiary (or associate or joint venture), after adjusting for consolidation and equity accounting adjustments and for the effects of the business combination in which the entity acquired the subsidiary." Thus, in case where the parent adopts Ind AS later than the subsidiary (for example, if the parent non-banking financial company and the subsidiary is a trading or manufacturing company) then it does not change the amounts already recognised by the subsidiary.

### **Question 14 (Illustration)**

X Ltd. is a first time adopter of Ind AS. The date of transition is April 1, 20X1. It has given 200 stock options to its employees. Out of these, 75 options have vested on November 30, 20X0 and the remaining 125 will vest on November 30, 20X1. What are the options available to X Ltd. at the date of transition?

### Answer 14

Ind AS 101 provides that a first-time adopter is encouraged, but not required, to apply Ind AS 102 on 'Share-based Payment' to equity instruments that vested before the date of transition to Ind AS. However, if a first time adopter elects to apply Ind AS 102 to such equity instruments, it may do so only if the entity has disclosed publicly the fair value of those equity instruments, determined at the measurement date, as defined in Ind AS 102.

Having regard to the above, X Ltd. has the following options:

- For 75 options that vested before the date of transition:
  - (a) To apply Ind AS 102 and account for the same accordingly, provided it has disclosed publicly the fair value of those equity instruments, determined at the measurement date, as defined in Ind AS 102.
  - (b) Not to apply Ind AS 102.
  - However, for all grants of equity instruments to which Ind AS 102 has not been applied, i.e., equity instruments vested but not settled before date of transition to Ind AS, X Ltd. would still need to disclose the information.
- For 125 options that will vest after the date of transition: X Ltd. will need to account for the same as per Ind AS 102.

### Question 15 (Illustration)

X Ltd. is the holding company of Y Ltd. X Ltd. is required to adopt Ind AS from April 1, 20X1. X Ltd. wants to avail the optional exemption of using the previous GAAP carrying values in respect of its property, plant and equipment whereas Y Ltd. wants to use fair value of its property, plant and equipment as its deemed cost on the date of transition. Examine whether X Ltd. can do so for its consolidated financial statements. Also, examine whether different entities

in a group can use different basis for arriving at deemed cost for property, plant and equipment in their respective standalone financial statements.

### Answer 15

Where there is no change in its functional currency on the date of transition to Ind AS, a first-time adopter to Ind AS may elect to continue with the carrying value of all of its property, plant and equipment as at the date of transition measured as per the previous GAAP and use that as its deemed cost at the date of transition after making necessary adjustments. If a first time adopter chooses this option then the option of applying this on selective basis to some of the items of property, plant and equipment and using fair value for others is not available. Nothing prevents different entities within a group to choose different basis for arriving at deemed cost for the standalone financial statements. However, in Consolidated Financial Statements, the entire group should be treated as one reporting entity. Accordingly, it will not be permissible to use different basis for arriving at the deemed cost of property, plant and equipment on the date of transition by different entities of the group for the purpose of preparing Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Question 16 (Illustration)

For the purpose of deemed cost on the date of transition, an entity has the option of using the carrying value as the deemed cost. In this context, suggest which carrying value is to be considered as deemed cost: original cost or net book value? Also examine whether this would have any impact on future depreciation charge?

### Answer 16

For the purpose of deemed cost on the date of transition, if an entity uses the carrying value as the deemed cost, then it should consider the net book value on the date of transition as the deemed cost and not the original cost because carrying value here means net book value. The future depreciation charge will be based on the net book value and the remaining useful life on the date of transition. Further, as per the requirements of Ind AS 16, the depreciation method, residual value and useful life need to be reviewed atleast annually. As a result of this, the depreciation charge may or may not be the same as the depreciation charge under the previous GAAP.

### Question 17 (Illustration)

Is it possible for an entity to allocate cost as per the previous GAAP to a component based on its fair value on the date of transition even when it does not have the component-wise historical cost?

### Answer 17

Yes, an entity can allocate cost to a component based on its fair value on the date of transition. This is permissible even when the entity does not have component-wise historical cost.

### Question 18 (Illustration)

Revaluation under previous GAAP can be considered as deemed cost if the revaluation was, at the date of the revaluation, broadly comparable to fair value or cost or depreciated cost of assets in accordance with Ind AS, adjusted to reflect, e.g., changes in a general or specific price index. What is the acceptable time gap of such revaluation from the date of transition? Can adjustments be made to take effects of events subsequent to revaluation?

### Answer 18

There are no specific guidelines in Ind AS 101 to indicate the acceptable time gap of such revaluation from the date of transition. The management of an entity needs to exercise judgement in this regard. However, generally, a period of 2–3 years may be treated as an acceptable time gap of such revaluation from the date of transition. In any case, adjustments should be made to reflect the effect of material events subsequent to revaluation.

### Question 19 (Illustration)

Y Ltd. is a first time adopter of Ind AS. The date of transition is April 1, 20X1. On the date of transition, there is a long-term foreign currency monetary liability of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  60 crores (US \$ 10 million converted at an exchange rate of US \$ 1 =  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  21 60). The accumulated exchange difference on the date of transition is nil since Y Ltd. was following AS 11 notified under the Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006 and has not exercised the option provided in paragraph 46/46A of AS 11. The Company wants to avail the option under paragraph 46A of AS 11 prospectively or retrospectively on the date of transition to Ind AS. How should it account for the translation differences in respect of this item under Ind AS 101?

### Answer 19

Ind AS 101 provides that a first-time adopter may continue the policy adopted for accounting for exchange differences arising from translation of long-term foreign currency monetary items recognised in the financial statements for the period ending immediately before the beginning of the first Ind AS financial reporting period as per the previous GAAP.

### If the Company wants to avail the option prospectively

The Company cannot avail the exemption given in Ind AS 101 and cannot exercise option under paragraph 46/46A of AS 11, prospectively, on the date of transition to Ind AS in respect of Long term foreign currency monetary liability existing on the date of transition as the company has not availed the option under paragraph 46/46A earlier. Therefore, the Company need to recognise the exchange differences in accordance with the requirements of Ind AS 21, The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates which requires all foreign exchange differences to be recognised in profit or loss, except such foreign exchange differences which are accounted for as an adjustment to borrowing costs in accordance with Ind AS 23.

### If the Company wants to avail the option retrospectively

The Company cannot avail the exemption given in Ind AS 101 and cannot exercise the option under paragraph 46/46A of AS 11 retrospectively on the date of transition to Ind AS in respect of long term foreign currency monetary liability that existed on the date of transition since the option is available only if it is in continuation of the accounting policy followed in accordance with the previous GAAP. Y Ltd. has not been using the option provided in Para 46/46A of AS 11, hence, it will not be permitted to use the option given in Ind AS 101 retrospectively.

### Question 20 (Illustration)

Y Ltd. is a first time adopter of Ind AS. The date of transition is April 1, 20X5. On April 1, 20X1, it obtained a 7 year US\$ 1,00,000 loan. It has been exercising the option provided in Paragraph 46/46A of AS 11 and has been amortising the exchange differences in respect of this loan over the balance period of such loan. On the date of transition, the company wants to continue the same

# accounting policy with regard to amortising of exchange differences. Whether the Company is permitted to do so?

### Answer 20

Ind AS 101 provides that a first-time adopter may continue the policy adopted for accounting for exchange differences arising from translation of long-term foreign currency monetary items recognised in the financial statements for the period ending immediately before the beginning of the first Ind AS financial reporting period as per the previous GAAP. In view of the above, the Company can continue to follow the existing accounting policy of amortising the exchange differences in respect of this loan over the balance period of such long term liability.

### Question 21 (Illustration)

A Ltd. acquired B Ltd. in a business combination transaction. A Ltd. agreed to pay certain contingent consideration (liability classified) to B Ltd. As part of its investment in its separate financial statements, A Ltd. did not recognise the said contingent consideration (since it was not considered probable). A Ltd. considered the previous GAAP carrying amounts of investment as its deemed cost on first-time adoption. In that case, does the carrying amount of investment required to be adjusted for this transaction?

### Answer 21

In accordance with Ind AS 101, an entity has an option to treat the previous GAAP carrying values, as at the date of transition, of investments in subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures as its deemed cost on transition to Ind AS. If such an exemption is adopted, then the carrying values of such investments are not adjusted. Accordingly, any adjustments in relation to recognition of contingent consideration on first time adoption shall be made in the statement of profit and loss.

### Question 22 (Illustration)

On April 1, 20X1, Sigma Ltd. issued 30,000 6% convertible debentures of face value of  $\overline{t}$  100 per debenture at par. The debentures are redeemable at a premium of 10% on 31 March 20X5 or these may be converted into ordinary shares at the option of the holder. The interest rate for equivalent debentures without conversion rights would have been 10%. The date of transition to Ind AS is 1 April 20X3. Suggest how should Sigma Ltd. account for this compound financial instrument on the date of transition. The present value of  $\overline{t}$  1 receivable at the end of each year based on discount rates of 6% and 10% can be taken as:

End of year	6%	10%
1	0.94	0.91
2	0.89	0.83
3	0.84	0.75
4	0.79	0.68

### Answer 22

The carrying amount of the debenture on the date of transition under previous GAAP, assuming that all interest accrued other than premium on redemption have been paid, will be  $\stackrel{?}{=}$  31,50,000 [(30,000 x 100) + (30,000 x 100 x 10/100 x 2/4)]. The premium payable on redemption is being recognised as borrowing costs as per para 4(b) of AS 16 ie under previous GAAP on straight-line basis.

As per para D18 of Ind AS 101, Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments:

Presentation, requires an entity to split a compound financial instrument at inception into separate liability and equity components. If the liability component is no longer outstanding, retrospective application of Ind AS 32 would involve separating two portions of equity. The first portion is recognised in retained earnings and represents the cumulative interest accreted on the liability component. The other portion represents the original equity component. However, in accordance with this Ind AS, a first-time adopter need not separate these two portions if the liability component is no longer outstanding at the date of transition to Ind AS.

In the present case, since the liability is outstanding on the date of transition, Sigma Ltd. will need to split the convertible debentures into debt and equity portion on the date of transition. Accordingly, we will first measure the liability component by discounting the contractually determined stream of future cash flows (interest and principal) to present value by using the discount rate of 10% p.a. (being the market interest rate for similar debentures with no conversion option).

	(₹)
Interest payments p.a. on each debenture	6
Present Value (PV) of interest payment for years 1 to 4 (6 $\square$ 3.17) (Note 1)	19.02
PV of principal repayment (including premium) 110 □ 0.68 (Note 2)	74.80
Total liability component per debenture	93.82
Equity component per debenture (Balancing figure)	6.18
Face value of debentures	100.00
Total equity component for 30,000 debentures	1,85,400
Total debt amount (30,000 x 93.82)	28,14,60 0

Thus, on the date of initial recognition, the amount of ₹ 30,00,000 being the amount of debentures will be split as under:

Debt	₹ 28,14,600
Equity	₹ 1,85,400

However, on the date of transition, unwinding of ₹ 28,14,600 will be done for two years as follows:

Year	Opening	Finance cost	Interest	Closing
	balance	<b>@ 10%</b>	paid	balance
1	28,14,600	2,81,460	1,80,000	29,16,06
				0
2	29,16,060	2,91,606	1,80,000	30,27,66
				6

Therefore, on transition date, Sigma Ltd. shall -

- a. recognise the carrying amount of convertible debentures at ₹ 30,27,666;
- b. recognise equity component of compound financial instrument of ₹1,85,400;
- c. debit ₹ 63,066 to retained earnings being the difference between the previous GAAP amount of ₹ 31,50,000 and ₹ 30,27,666 and the equity component of compound financial instrument of ₹ 1,85,400; and
- d. derecognise the debenture liability in previous GAAP of ₹ 31,50,000.

### Notes:

- 1. 3.17 is present value of annuity factor of ₹ 1 at a discount rate of 10% for 4 years.
- 2. On maturity, ₹ 110 will be paid (₹ 100 as principal payment + ₹ 10 as premium)

### Question 23 (Illustration)

H Ltd. has the following assets and liabilities as at March 31, 20X1, prepared in accordance with previous GAAP:

Particulars	Notes	Amount (₹)
Property, Plant & Equipment	1	1,34,50,000
Investments in S. Ltd.	2	48,00,000
Trade Receivables		2,00,000
Advances for purchase of inventory		50,00,000
Inventory		8,00,000
Cash		49,000
Total assets		2,42,99,000
VAT deferral loan	3	60,00,000
Creditors		30,00,000
Short term borrowing		8,00,000
Provisions		12,00,000
Total liabilities		1,10,00,000
Share capital		1,30,00,000
Reserves:		2,99,000
Cumulative translation difference	4	1,00,000
ESOP reserve	4	20,000
Retained earnings		1,79,000
Total equity		1,32,99,000
Total equity and liabilities		2,42,99,000

The following GAAP differences were identified by the Company on first-time adoption of Ind AS with effect from April 1, 20X1:

- 1. In relation to property, plant and equipment, the following adjustments were identified:
  - Property, plant and equipment comprise land held for capital appreciation purposes costing ₹ 4,50,000 and was classified as investment property as per Ind AS 40.
  - Exchange differences of ₹ 1,00,000 were capitalised to depreciable property, plant and equipment on which accumulated depreciation of ₹ 40,000 was recognised.
  - There were no asset retirement obligations.
  - The management intends to adopt deemed cost exemption for using the previous GAAP carrying values as deemed cost as at the date of transition for PPE and investment property.
- 2. The Company had made an investment in S Ltd. (subsidiary of H Ltd.) for ₹ 48,00,000 that carried a fair value of ₹ 68,00,000 as at the transition date. The Company intends to recognise the investment at its fair value as at the date of transition.

- 3. Financial instruments:
  - VAT deferral loan ₹ 60,00,000 :

The VAT deferral loan of ₹ 60,00,000 was obtained on March 31, 20X1, for setting up a business in a backward region with a condition to create certain defined targets for employment of local population of that region. The loan does not carry any interest and is repayable in full at the end of 5 years. In accordance with Ind AS 109, the discount factor on the loan is to be taken as 10%, being the incremental borrowing rate. Accordingly, the fair value of the loan as at March 31, 20X1, is ₹ 37,25,528. The entity chooses to exercise the option given in paragraph B11 of Ind AS 101, i.e., the entity chooses to apply the requirements of Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments and Ind AS 20, Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance, retrospectively as required information had been obtained at the time of initially accounting for VAT deferral loan

- 4. The retained earnings of the Company contained the following:
  - ESOP reserve of ₹ 20,000:

The Company had granted 1,000 options to employees out of which 800 have already vested. The Company followed an intrinsic value method for recognition of ESOP charge and recognised ₹ 12,000 towards the vested options and ₹ 8,000 over a period of time as ESOP charge and a corresponding reserve. If fair value method had been followed in accordance with Ind AS 102, the corresponding charge would have been ₹ 15,000 and ₹ 9,000 for the vested and unvested shares respectively. The Company intends to avail Ind AS 101 exemption for share-based payments for not restating the ESOP charge as per previous GAAP for vested options.

• Cumulative translation difference:

₹ 1,00,000 The Company had a non-integral foreign branch in accordance with AS 11 and had recognised a balance of ₹ 1,00,000 as part of reserves. On first-time adoption of Ind AS, the Company intends to avail Ind AS 101 exemption of resetting the cumulative translation difference to zero. Prepare transition date Ind AS balance sheet of Company H showing adjustments to the values of assets and liabilities.

### **Answer 23**

### Adjustments for opening balance sheet as per Ind AS 101

Property, Plant & Equipment: As the land held for capital appreciation purposes qualifies as investment property, such investment property should be reclassified from property, plant and equipment (PPE) to investment property and presented separately. As the Company has adopted the previous GAAP carrying values as deemed cost, all items of PPE and investment property should be carried at its previous GAAP carrying values.

- **1.** As such, the past capitalised exchange differences require no adjustment in this case.
- 2. **Investment in subsidiary:** On first time adoption of Ind AS, a parent company has an option to carry its investment in subsidiary at fair value as at the date of transition in its separate financial statements. As such, the

- company can recognise such investment at a value of ₹ 68,00,000.
- 3. Financial instruments: As the VAT deferral loan is a financial liability under Ind AS 109, that liability should be recognised at its present value discounted at an appropriate discounting factor. Consequently, the VAT deferral loan should be recognised at ₹ 37,25,528 and the remaining ₹ 22,74,472 would be recognised as deferred government grant.
- **ESOPs:** Ind AS 101 provides an exemption of not restating the accounting as per the previous GAAP in accordance with Ind AS 102 for all options that have vested by the transition date. Accordingly, out of 1000 ESOPs granted, the first-time adoption exemption is available on 800 options that have already vested. As such, its accounting need not be restated. However, the 200 options that are not vested as at the transition date, need to be restated in accordance with Ind AS 102. As such, the additional impact of ₹ 1,000 (i.e., 9,000 less 8,000) would be recognised in the opening Ind AS balance sheet.
- 5. Cumulative translation difference: As per paragraph D12 of Ind AS 101, the first-time adopter can avail an exemption regarding requirements of Ind AS 21 in context of cumulative translation differences. If a first-time adopter uses this exemption the cumulative translation differences for all foreign operation are deemed to be zero as at the transition date. In that case, the balance is transferred to retained earnings. As such, the balance of ₹ 1,00,000 should be transferred to retained earnings

**6.** Retained earnings:

	Rs.
Increase in fair value of investment in	20,00,000
subsidiary (note 2)	
Additional ESOP charge on unvested options (note 4)	(1,000)
Transfer of cumulative translation difference balance to retained earnings (note 5)	1,00,000
Increase in Retained Earnings	20,99,000

The transition date Ind AS Balance Sheet after the above adjustments in the carrying values of assets and liabilities is as under:

> Transition date Ind AS Balance Sheet of H Ltd. as at 1st April, 20X1

Particular	Notes	Previou	Adjustments	Ind AS GAAP
		S		
N. C. A. A.		GAAP		
Non-Current Assets				
Property, Plant &	1	1,34,50,000	(4,50,000)	1,30,00,000
Equipment			,	
Investment property	1	0	4,50,000	4,50,000
Current Assets				
Inventory		8,00,000		8,00,000
Financial assets:			20.00.00	
Investment in S Ltd.	2	48,00,000	20,00,00	68,00,000
Trade Receivables		2,00,000		2,00,000

Cash		49,000		49,000
Other current asset – (Advances for				
purchase of inventory)		50,00,000		50,00,000
Total assets		2,42,99,000	20,00,000	2,62,99,000
Share capital		1,30,00,000		1,30,00,000
Other Equity:				
Cumulative translation difference	5	1,00,000	(1,00,000)	0
ESOP reserve	4	20,000	1,000	21,000
Retained earnings	6	1,79,000	20,99,000	22,78,000
Total equity		1,32,99,000	20,00,000	1,52,99,000
Non-current Liabilities				
Financial liability:				
VAT deferral loan	3	60,00,000	(22,74,472)	37,25,528
Deferred government grant	3	0	22,74,47 2	22,74,472
Current Liabilities				
Financial Liabilities				
Trade payables		30,00,000		30,00,000
Short term borrowings		8,00,000		8,00,000
Provisions		12,00,000		12,00,000
Total liabilities		1,10,00,000		1,10,00,000
Total equity and liabilities		2,42,99,00	20,00,000	2,62,99,000

### Question 24 (Illustration)

Shaurya Limited is the company having its registered and corporate office at New Delhi. 60% of Shaurya Limited's shares are held by the Government of India and rest by other investors.

This is the first time that Shaurya limited would be applying Ind AS for the preparation of its financials for the current financial year 2019-2020. Following balance sheet is prepared as

Balance Sheet as at 31 March 2018
(All figures are in '000, unless otherwise specified)

or mroo operation,
Amount
10,00,000
25,00,000
4,50,000
3,50,000
3,50,000

(3) Current Liabilities	
(a) Trade Payables	22,00,000
(b) Other Current Liabilities	4,50,000
(c) Short Term Provisions	12,00,000
TOTAL	85,00,000
ASSETS	
(1) Non-Current Assets	
(a) Property, Plant & Equipment (net)	20,00,000
(b) Intangible assets	2,00,000
(c) Goodwill	1,00,000
(d) Non-current Investments	5,00,000
(e) Long Term Loans and Advances	1,50,000
(f) Other Non-Current Assets	2,00,000
(2) Current Assets	
(a) Current Investments	18,00,000
(b) Inventories	12,50,000
(c) Trade Receivables	9,00,000
(d) Cash and Bank Balances	10,00,000
(e) Other Current Assets	4,00,000
TOTAL	85,00,000

Additional Information (All figures are in '000):

- 1. Other current liabilities include ₹ 3,90,000 liabilities to be paid in cash such as expense payable, salary payable etc. and ₹ 60,000 are statutory government dues.
- 2. Long term loans and advances include  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  40,000 loan and the remaining amount consists Advance to staff of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  1,10,000.
- 3. Other non-current assets of  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$  2,00,000 consists Capital advances to suppliers.
- 4. Other current assets include ₹ 3,50,000 current assets receivable in cash and Prepaid expenses of ₹ 50,000.
- 5. Short term provisions include Dividend payable of ₹ 2,00,000. The dividend payable had been as a result of board meeting wherein the declaration of dividend for financial year 2017-2018 was made. However, it is subject to approval of shareholders in the annual general meeting. Chief financial officer of Shaurya Limited has also presented the following information against corresponding relevant items in the balance sheet:
- a) Property, Plant & Equipment consists a class of assets as office buildings whose carrying amount is ₹ 10,00,000. However, the fair value of said office building as on the date of transition is estimated to be ₹ 15,00,000. Company wants to follow revaluation model as its accounting policy in respect of its property, plant and equipment for the first annual Ind AS financial statements.
- b) The fair value of Intangible assets as on the date of transition is estimated to be  $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{|}}$  2,50,000. However, the management is reluctant to incorporate the fair value changes in books of account.
- c) Shaurya Ltd. had acquired 80% shares in a company, Excel private limited few years ago thereby acquiring the control upon it at that time. Shaurya Ltd. recognised goodwill as per erstwhile accounting standards by accounting the excess of consideration paid over the net assets acquired at the date of

- acquisition. Fair value exercise was not done at the time of acquisition.
- d) Trade receivables include an amount of ₹ 20,000 as provision for doubtful debts measured in accordance with previous GAAP. Now as per latest estimates using hindsights, the provision needs to be revised to ₹ 25,000.
- e) Company had given a loan of ₹ 1,00,000 to an entity for the term of 10 years six years ago. Transaction costs were incurred separately for this loan. The loan carries an interest rate of 7%. The principal amount is to be repaid in equal installments over the period of ten years at the year end. Interest is also payable at each year end. The fair value of loan as on the date of transition is ₹ 50,000 as against the carrying amount of loan which at present amounts to ₹ 40,000. However, Ind AS 109 mandates to recognise the interest income as per effective interest method after the adjustment of transaction costs. Management says it is tedious task in the given case to apply the effective interest rate changes with retrospective effect and hence is reluctant to apply the same retrospectively in its first time adoption.
- f) In the long-term borrowings,  $\stackrel{?}{\phantom{}}$  4,50,000 of component is due towards the State Government. Interest is payable on the government loan at 4%, however the prevailing rate in the market at present is 8%. The fair market value of loan stands at  $\stackrel{?}{\phantom{}}$  4,20,000 as on the relevant date.
- g) Under Previous GAAP, the mutual funds were measured at cost or market value, whichever is lower. Under Ind AS, the Company has designated these investments at fair value through profit or loss. The value of mutual funds as per previous GAAP is ₹ 2,00,000 as included in 'current investment'. However, the fair value of mutual funds as on the date of transition is ₹ 2,30,000.
- h) Ignore separate calculation of deferred tax on above adjustments. Assume the net deferred tax income to be  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  50,000 on account of Ind AS transition adjustments.

### Requirements:

- Prepare transition date balance sheet of Shaurya Limited as per Indian Accounting Standards
- Show necessary explanation for each of the items presented by chief financial officer in the form of notes, which may or may not require the adjustment as on the date of transition.

### Answer 24

### Transition date (opening) IND-AS Balance Sheet of Shaurya Limited As at 1 April 2018 (All figures are in '000, unless otherwise specified)

Particulars	Previou s GAAP	Transitional Ind AS adjustments	Opening Ind AS Balance Sheet
ASSETS			
Non-current assets			
Property, plant and equipment (Note 1)	20,00,000	5,00,000	25,00,000
Goodwill (Note 2)	1,00,000	-	1,00,000
Other Intangible assets (Note 3)	2,00,000	-	2,00,000

, age 1355

Financial assets:			
Investment	5,00,000	-	5,00,000
Loans (Note 4)	40,000	10,000	50,000
Other financial assets	1,10,000	-	1,10,000
Other non-current assets	2,00,000	-	2,00,000
Current assets			
Inventories	12,50,000	-	12,50,000
Financial assets			
Investment (Note 5)	18,00,000	30,000	18,30,000
Trade receivables (Note 6)	9,00,000	1	9,00,000
Cash and cash	10,00,000	-	10,00,000
equivalents/Bank			
Other financial assets	3,50,000	-	3,50,000
Other current assets	50,000	-	50,000
TOTAL ASSETS	85,00,000	5,40,000	90,40,000
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES			
Equity			
Equity share capital	10,00,000	-	10,00,000
Other equity	25,00,000	7,90,000	32,90,000
Non-current liabilities			
Financial liabilities			
Borrowings (Note-7)	4,50,000	-	4,50,000
Provisions	3,50,000	-	3,50,000
Deferred tax liabilities (Net)	3,50,000	(50,000)	3,00,000
Current liabilities		·	
Financial liabilities			
Trade payables	22,00,000	-	22,00,000
Other financial liabilities	3,90,000	_	3,90,000
Other current liabilities	60,000	-	60,000
Provisions (Note-8)	12,00,000	(2,00,000)	10,00,000
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	85,00,000	5,40,000	90,40,000

### OTHER EQUITY

	Retained Earnings (₹)	Fair value reserve	
As at 31 March, 2018	27,90,000 (W.N.1)	5,00,000	32,90,000

### **Working Note 1:**

Retained earnings balance:	
Balance as per Earlier GAAP	25,00,000

Transitional adjustment due to loan's fair value	10,000
Transitional adjustment due to increase in mutual fund's fair value	30,000
Transitional adjustment due to decrease in deferred tax liability	50,000
Transitional adjustment due to decrease in provisions (dividend)	2,00,000
Total	27,90,000

### Disclosure forming part of financial statements:

Proposed dividend on equity shares is subject to the approval of the shareholders of the company at the annual general meeting and should not recognized as liability as at the Balance Sheet date.

### Note 1: Property, plant & Equipment:

As per para D5 of Ind AS 101, an entity may elect to measure an item of property, plant and equipment at the date of transition to Ind AS at its fair value and use that fair value as its deemed cost at that date.

Para D7AA has to be applied for all items of property, plant and equipment. So, if D5 exemption is taken for buildings, Ind AS will have to be applied retrospectively for other assets as well. Since, an entity elect to measure an item of property, plant and equipment at the date of transition to Ind AS at its fair value and use that fair value as its deemed cost at that date, it is assumed that the carrying amount of other assets based on retrospective application of Ind AS is equal to their fair value of ₹ 10 lakhs.

### Note 2: Goodwill:

Ind AS 103 mandatorily requires measuring the assets and liabilities of the acquiree at its fair value as on the date of acquisition. However, a first time adopter may elect to not apply the provisions of Ind AS 103 with retrospective effect that occurred prior to the date of transition to Ind AS.

Hence company can continue to carry the goodwill in its books of account as per the previous GAAP.

### Note 3: Intangible assets:

Para D7 read with D6 of Ind AS 101 states that a first-time adopter may elect to use a previous GAAP revaluation at, or before, the date of transition to Ind AS as deemed cost at the date of the revaluation, if the revaluation was, at the date of the revaluation, broadly comparable to:

- (a) Fair value; or
- (b) Cost or depreciated cost in accordance with Ind AS, adjusted to reflect, for example, changes in a general or specific price index.

However, there is a requirement that Intangible assets must meet the definition and recognition criteria as per Ind AS 38. Hence, company can avail the exemption given in Ind AS 101 as on the date of transition to use the carrying value as per previous GAAP.

### Note 4: Loan:

Para B8C of Ind AS 101 states that if it is impracticable (as defined in Ind AS 8) for an entity to apply retrospectively the effective interest method in Ind AS 109, the fair value of the financial asset or the financial liability at the date of transition to Ind ASs shall be the new gross carrying amount of that financial asset or the new amortised cost of that financial liability at the date of transition

to Ind AS. Accordingly, ₹ 50,000 would be the gross carrying amount of loan and difference of ₹ 10,000 (₹ 50,000 – ₹ 40,000) would be adjusted to retained earnings.

### Note 5: Mutual Funds:

Para 29 of Ind AS 101 states that an entity is permitted to designate a previously recognised financial asset as a financial asset measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with paragraph D19A. The entity shall disclose the fair value of financial assets so designated at the date of designation and their classification and carrying amount in the previous financial statements.

D19A states that an entity may designate a financial asset as measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109 on the basis of the facts and circumstances that exist at the date of transition to Ind AS.

### Note 6: Trade receivables:

Para 14 of Ind AS 101 states that an entity's estimates in accordance with Ind AS at the date of transition to Ind AS shall be consistent with estimates made for the same date in accordance with previous GAAP (after adjustments to reflect any difference in accounting policies), unless there is objective evidence that those estimates were in error.

Para 15 of Ind AS 101 further states that an entity may receive information after the date of transition to Ind AS about estimates that it had made under previous GAAP. In accordance with paragraph 14, an entity shall treat the receipt of that information in the same way as non-adjusting events after the reporting period in accordance with Ind AS 10, Events after the Reporting Period.

The entity shall not reflect that new information in its opening Ind AS Balance Sheet (unless the estimates need adjustment for any differences in accounting policies or there is objective evidence that the estimates were in error). Instead, the entity shall reflect that new information in profit or loss (or, if appropriate, other comprehensive income) for the year ended 31 March 2019.

### Note 7: Government Grant:

Para 10A of Ind AS 20 states that the benefit of a government loan at a below-market rate of interest is treated as a government grant. The loan shall be recognised and measured in accordance with Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments. The benefit of the below-market rate of interest shall be measured as the difference between the initial carrying value of the loan determined in accordance with Ind AS 109, and the proceeds received. The benefit is accounted for in accordance with this Standard.

However, Para B10 of Ind AS 101 states, a first-time adopter shall classify all government loans received as a financial liability or an equity instrument in accordance with Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation. Except as permitted by paragraph B11, a first-time adopter shall apply the requirements in Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments, and Ind AS 20, Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance, prospectively to government loans existing at the date of transition to Ind ASs and shall not recognise the corresponding benefit of the government loan at a below-market rate of interest as a government grant. Consequently, if a first-time adopter did not, under its previous GAAP, recognise and measure a government loan at a below-market rate of interest on a basis consistent with Ind AS requirements, it shall use its previous GAAP carrying amount of the loan at the date of transition to Ind AS as the carrying amount of the loan in the opening Ind AS Balance Sheet. An entity shall apply Ind AS 109 to the measurement of such loans after the date of


# $^{ m ge}1359$

### Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

transition to Ind AS.

Note 8: Dividend

Dividend should be deducted from retained earnings during the year when it has been declared and approved. Accordingly, the provision declared for preceding year should be reversed (to rectify the wrong entry). Retained earnings would increase proportionately due to such adjustment.



Jage 1360

### Chapter 15 "Analysis of Financial Statements"

### **Attempts wise Distribution**

Attem pts	Dec' 21	Jan' 21	Jul'2 1	May' 18	Nov' 18	May' 19	May' 22	May' 23	Nov' 19	Nov' 20	Nov' 22	Nov' 23
			Q7,			Q1,	Q6,					
MTP			Q8	Q11		Q2	Q14			Q5		Q9
PYP					Q12				Q3	Q13	Q4	
RTP				Q10								

### Section – A

### Question 1

On April 1, 20X1, Pluto Ltd. has advance a loan for Rs. 10 lakhs to one of its employees for an interest rate at 4% per annum (market rate 10%) which is repayable in 5 equal annual installments along with interest at each year end. Employee is not required to give any specific performance against this benefit.

The accountant of the company has recognised the staff loan in the balance sheet equivalent to the amount disbursed i.e. Rs. 10 lakhs. The interest income for the period is recognised at the contracted rate in the Statement of Profit and Loss by the company i.e. Rs. 40,000 (Rs.  $10 \text{ lakhs } \times 4\%$ ).

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance with the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment alongwith working for the same. (MTP 8 Marks Mar '19)

### Answer 1

The above treatment needs to be examined in the light of the provisions given in Ind AS 32 and Ind AS 109 on Financial Instruments' and Ind AS 19 'Employee Benefits'.

### Para 11 (c) (i) of Ind AS 32 'Financial Instruments: Presentation' states that:

"A financial asset is any asset that is:

(c) a contractual right:

### (i) to receive cash or...."

Further, paragraph 5.1.1 of Ind AS 109 states that:

"at initial recognition, an entity shall measure a financial asset or financial liability at its fair value".

Further, paragraph 5.1.1 of Appendix B to Ind AS 109 states that:

"The fair value of a financial instrument at initial recognition is normally the transaction price (i.e. the fair value of the consideration given or received. However, if part of the consideration given or received is for something other than the financial instrument, an entity shall measure the fair value of the financial instrument. For example, the fair value of a long term loan or receivable that carries no interest can be measured as the present value of all future cash receipts discounted using the prevailing market(s) of interest rate of similar instrument with a similar credit rating. Any additional amount lent is an expense or reduction of income unless it qualifies for recognition as some other type of asset".

Further, paragraph 5.2.1 of Ind AS 109 states that:

"After initial recognition, an entity shall measure a financial asset at:

- (a) amortised cost;
- (b) fair value through other comprehensive income; or
- (c) fair value through profit or loss.

Further, paragraph 5.4.1 of Ind AS 109 states that:

"Interest revenue shall be calculated by using the effective interest method. This shall be calculated by applying the effective interest rate to the gross carrying amount of a financial asset"

Paragraph 8 of Ind AS 19 states that:

"Employee Benefits are all forms of consideration given by an entity in exchange for service rendered by employees or for the termination of employment".

The Accountant of Pluto Ltd. has recognised the staff loan in the balance sheet at Rs. 10 lakes being the amount disbursed and Rs. 40,000 as interest income for the period is recognised at the contracted rate in the statement of profit and loss which is not correct and not in accordance with Ind AS 19, Ind AS 32 and Ind AS 109.

Accordingly, the staff advance being a financial asset shall be initially measured at the fair value and subsequently at the amortised cost. The interest income is calculated by using the effective interest method. The difference between the amount lent and fair value is charged as Employee benefit expense in statement of profit and loss.

### a) Calculation of Fair Value of the Loan

Year	Cash Inflow	Discounting	Factor	Present Value
		(10%)		
1	2,40,000		0.909	2,18,160
2	2,32,000		0.826	1,91,632
3	2,24,000		0.751	1,68,224
4	2,16,000		0.683	1,47,528
5	2,08,000		0.621	1,29,168
	Total			8,54,712

Staff loan should be initially recorded at Rs. 8,54,712.

### b) Employee Benefit Expense

Loan Amount – Fair Value of the loan = Rs. 10,00,000 – Rs. 8,54,712 = Rs. 1,45,288

Rs. 1,45,288 shall be charged as Employee Benefit expense in Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31.03.20X2.

Amortisation table:

	Opening balance of Staff Advance (a)	Interest (10%) (b)= (a x 10%)	Repayment (c)	Closing balance of Staff Advance (d) = a + b - c
1	8,54,712	85,471	2,40,000	7,00,183
2	7,00,183	70,018	2,32,000	5,38,201
3	5,38,201	53,820	2,24,000	3,68,021
4	3,68,021	36,802	2,16,000	1,88,823
5	1,88,823	19,177 (b.f.)	2,08,000	Nil

Balance Sheet extracts showing the presentation of staff loan as at 31st March 20X2

Ind AS compliant Division II of Sch III needs to be referred for presentation requirement in Balance Sheet on Ind AS.

Assets Non-Current Assets	
Financial Assets	
(i) Loan	5,38,201
Current Assets	
Financial Assets	
(i) Loans (7,00,183 - 5,38,201)	1,61,982

### Question 2

On 5<sup>th</sup> April, 20X2, fire damaged a consignment of inventory at one of the Jupiter's Ltd.'s warehouse. This inventory had been manufactured prior to 31" March 20X2 costing Rs. 8 lakhs. The net realizable value of the inventory prior to the damage was estimated at Rs. 9.60 lakhs. Because of the damage caused to the consignment of inventory, the company was required to spend an additional amount of Rs. 2 lakhs on repairing and re-packaging of the inventory. The inventory was sold on 15\* May, 20X2 for proceeds of Rs. 9 lakhs.

The accountant of Jupiter Ltd. treats this event as an adjusting event and adjusted this event of causing the damage to the inventory in its financial statement and accordingly re-measures the inventories as follows: Rs. lakhs

Cos	8.00
Net realizable value (9.6 -2)	7.60
Inventories (lower of cost and net realizable clue)	7.60

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant in regard to financial par ending on 31.0.20X2 is in compliance of the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment along with working for the same. (MTP 8 Marks April '19)

### Answer 2

The above treatment needs to be examined in the light of the provisions given in Ind AS 10 'Events after the Reporting Period' and Ind AS 2 'Inventories'.

Para 3 of Ind AS 10 'Events after the Reporting Period' demes "Events after the reporting period are those events, favorable and unfavorable, that occur between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue. Two types of events can be identified:

- (a) those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period (adjusting events after the reporting period); and
- (b) those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting period (non- adjusting events after the reporting period).

Further, paragraph 10 of Ind AS 10 states that:

"An entity shall not adjust the amounts recognized in its financial statements to reject non-adjusting events after the reporting period".

Further, paragraph 6 of Ind AS 2 defines:

"Net realizable clue is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business

Jage 1363

less the estimated costs of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale".

Further, paragraph 9 of Ind AS 2 states that:

Inventories shall be measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value".

Accountant of Jupiter Ltd. has re-measured be inventories after adjusting the event in its financial statement which is not correct and nor in accordance with provision of Ind AS 2 and Ind AS 10. Accordingly, be event causing the damage to the inventory occurred after the reposing date and as per the principles laid down under Ind AS 10 'Events Alter the Reporting Date' is a non-adjusting event as it does not affect conditions at the reporting date. Non-adjusting events are not recognized in the financial statement5, but are disclosed where their effect is material. Therefore, as per the provisions of Ind AS 2 and Ind AS 10, the consignment of inventories shall be recorded in the Balance Sheet at a clue of Rs. 8 lakhs calculated below:

	Rs.' lakhs
Cost	8.00
Net realizable value	9.60
Inventories (lower of cost and net realizable clue)	8.00

### **Ouestion 3**

Arun Ltd. is an entity engaged in plantation and farming on a large scale and diversified across India. On 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2018, the company has received a government grant for Rs. 20 lakh subject to a condition that it will continue to engage in plantation of eucalyptus tree for a coming period of five years. The management has a reasonable assurance that the entity will comply with condition of engaging in the plantation of eucalyptus trees for specified period of five years and accordingly it recognizes proportionate grant for Rs. 4 lakh in Statement of Profit and Loss as income following the principles laid down under Ind AS 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance.

### Required:

Evaluate whether the above accounting treatment made by the management is in compliance with the applicable Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment. (PYP 4 Marks, Nov 19)

Arun Ltd. is engaged in plantation and farming on a large scale. This implies that it has agriculture business. Hence, Ind AS 41 will be applicable.

Further, the government grant has been given subject to a condition that it will continue to engage in plantation of eucalyptus tree for a coming period of five years. This implies that it is a conditional grant.

In the absence of the measurement base of biological asset, it is assumed that "Arun Ltd measures its Biological Asset at fair value less cost to sell":

- (i) As per Ind AS 41, the government grant should be recognised in profit or loss when, and only when, the conditions attaching to the government grant are met ie continuous plantation of eucalyptus tree for coming period of 5 years. In this case, the grant shall not be recognised in profit or loss until the five years have passed. The entity has recognised the grant in profit and loss on proportionate basis, which is incorrect.
- (ii) However, if the terms of the grant allow part of it to be retained according to the time elapsed, the entity recognises that part in profit or loss as time passes. Accordingly, the entity can recognise the proportionate grant for Rs. 4 lakh in the statement of Profit and Loss based on the terms of the grant.

Alternatively, it may be assumed that Arun Ltd. measures its Biological Asset at its cost less any accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses (as per para 30 of Ind AS 41):

In such a situation, principles of Ind AS 20 (with respect to conditional grant will apply). According to Ind AS 20, the conditional grant should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss over the periods and in the proportions in which depreciation expense on those assets is recognised. Hence the proportionate recognition of grant Rs. 4 lakh (20 lakh / 5) as income is correct since the entity has reasonable assurance that the entity will comply with the conditions attached to the grant.

**Note:** In case eucalyptus tree is considered as bearer plant by Arun Ltd., then Ind AS 20 will be applicable and not Ind AS 41.

### Question 4

On 1st April, 2021, Mohan Ltd. has sold goods to Hari Ltd. at a consideration of  $\mathbb{T}$  7,50,000. The receipt of this is receivable in three equal instalments of  $\mathbb{T}$  2,50,000 each over a two-year period (receipts on 1st April, 2021; 31st March 2022 and 31st March 2023).

The company is offering a discount of 5% (i.e. ₹ 37,500), if payment is made in full at the time of sale. The sale agreement reflects an implicit interest rate of 5.358% p.a.

The total consideration to be received from such sale is at ₹ 7,50,000 and hence, the management has recognized the revenue from sale of goods for ₹ 7,50,000. You are required to analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance of Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment along with journal entries and extracts of Statement of Profit & Loss and Balance Sheet. (PYP 6 Marks Nov 22)

### Answer 4

The revenue from sale of goods shall be recognised at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable. The fair value of the consideration is determined by discounting all future receipts using an imputed rate of interest where the receipt is deferred beyond normal credit terms. The difference between the fair value and the nominal amount of the consideration is recognised as interest revenue. Hence, the accounting treatment of recognizing revenue of  $\raiset$  7,50,000 by the accountant is not correct.

The fair value of consideration (cash price equivalent) of the sale of goods to be recognised on the date of sale should be calculated as follows:

Period	Consideration	Present value	Present value of
	(Installment)	factor	consideration
	₹		₹
Time of sale	2,50,000	-	2,50,000
End of 1st year	2,50,000	0.949	2,37,250
End of 2nd year	2,50,000	0.901	2,25,250
	7,50,000		7,12,500

Mohan Ltd. will recognise the revenue from sale of goods and finance income as follows:

Particulars	₹	₹
Initial recognition of sale of goods		

365 Jage 1365

Cash / Bank A/c	Dr.	2,50,000	
Trade Receivable A/c	Dr.	4,62,500	
To Sale A/c			7,12,500
Recognition of interest expense and receipt of second installment			
Cash / Bank A/c	Dr.	2,50,000	
To Interest Income A/c (4,62,500 x 5.358%)			24,781
To Trade Receivable A/c			2,25,219
Recognition of interest expense and payment of final installment			
Cash / Bank A/c	Dr.	2,50,000	
To Interest Income A/c (Balancing figure)			12,719
To Trade Receivable A/c (4,62,500 – 2,25,319)			2,37,281

Statement of Profit and Loss (Extracts) for the year ended 31st March, 2022 and 31st March, 2023

for the year ended	i 31st march, 2022 and	31st March, 2023
	As at 31st March, 2022	As at 31st March, 2023
	₹	₹
Income		
Sale of Goods	7,12,500	-
Other Income (Finance income)	24,781	12,719

## Balance Sheet (extracts) as at 31st March, 2022 and 31st March, 2023

	As at 31st March,	As at 31st March,
	2022	2023
	₹	₹
Assets		
Current Assets		
Financial Assets	2,37,28	XXX
Trade	1	
Receivables		

### Question 5

Following are the Financial Statements of Abraham Ltd.: Balance Sheet

Particulars	Note No.	As at 31st March,
	110.	2019 (Rs. in lakh)
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES:		(230) 222 200222
Shareholders' funds		
Share capital (shares of Rs. 10		1,000

each)		
Reserves and surplus	1	2,400
Non-current liabilities		
Long term borrowings	2	5,700
Deferred tax liabilities	3	400
Current liabilities		
Trade payables		300
Short-term provisions		300
Other current liabilities	4	200
Total		10,300
ASSETS		
Non-current assets		
Fixed assets		5,000
Deferred tax assets	3	700
Current assets		
Inventories		1,500
Trade receivables	5	1,100
Cash and bank balances		2,000
Total		10,300
	1	1

### Statement of Profit & Loss

Particular	Not	Year ended 31 <sup>st</sup>		
	е	March, 2019		
	No.	(Rs. in lakh)		
Revenue from operations		6,000		
Expenses:				
Employee benefit expense		1,200		
Operating costs		3,199		
Depreciation		450		
Total expenses		4,849		
Profit before tax		1,151		
Tax expense		201		
Profit after tax		950		

### **Notes to Accounts:**

Note 1: Reserves and surplus (Rs. in lakh)

-		<u>-</u>	(
	Capital reserve	_	500
	Surplus from P & L		
	Opening balance	550	
	Additions	950	1,500

Reserve	for foreseeable lo	ss	400
		Total	2,400
Note 2: Lo	ng-term borrowing	gs	- 1
Term lo	an from bank		5,700
		Total	5,700
Note 3: De	ferred tax		1
Deferred	l tax asset		700
Deferred	l tax liability		400
		Total	300
Note 4: Otl	her current liabilit	ies	1
Unclaim	ed dividends		10
Billing i	n advance		150
Other co	urrent liabilities		40
		Total	200

### **Note 5: Trade Receivables**

Considered good (outstanding within 6 months)	1,065
Considered doubtful (due from past 1	40
year) Provision for doubtful debts	(5)
Total	1,100

### Additional information:

- (i) Share capital comprises of 100 lakh shares of Rs. 10 each.
- (ii) Term Loan from bank for Rs. 5,700 lakh also includes interest accrued and due of Rs. 700 lakh as on the reporting date.
- (iii) Reserve for foreseeable loss is created against a service contract due within 6 months.
- (iv) Inventory should be valued at cost Rs. 1,500 lakh, NRV as on date is Rs. 1,200 lakh.
- (v) A dividend of 10 % was declared by the Board of directors of the company.
- (vi) Accrued Interest income of Rs. 300 lakh is not booked in the books of the company.
- (vii) Deferred taxes related to taxes on income are levied by the same governing tax laws.

Identify and report the errors and misstatements in the above extracts and prepare corrected Balance Sheet and Statement of Profit & Loss and where required the relevant notes to the accounts with explanations thereof. (PYP 12 Marks, Nov'19, MTP 14 Marks Oct '20)

### Answer 5

### Following adjustments / rectifications are required to be done

- 1. Reserve for foreseeable loss for Rs. 400 lakh, due within 6 months, should be a part of provisions. Hence it needs to be regrouped. If it was also part of previous year's comparatives, a note should be added in the notes to account on the regrouping done this year.
- 2. Interest accrued and due of Rs. 700 lakh on term loan will be a part of current liabilities.

- Thus, it should be shown under the heading "Other Current Liabilities".
- As per Ind AS 2, inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value. The amount of any write down of inventories to net realisable value is recognised as an expense in the period the write-down occurs. Hence, the inventories should be valued at Rs. 1,200 lakh and write down of Rs. 300 lakh (Rs. 1,500 lakh - Rs. 1,200 lakh) will be added to the operating cost of the entity.
- In the absence of the declaration date of dividend in the question, it is presumed that the dividend is declared after the reporting date. Hence, no adjustment for the same is made in the financial year 2018-2019. However, a note will be given separately in this regard (not forming part of item of financial statements).
- Accrued income will be shown in the Statement of Profit and Loss as 'Other Income' and as 'Other Current Asset' in the Balance Sheet.
- Since the deferred tax liabilities and deferred tax assets relate to taxes on income levied by the same governing taxation laws, these shall be set off, in accordance with Ind AS 12. The net DTA of Rs. 300 lakh will be shown in the balance sheet.
- As per Division II of Schedule III to the Companies Act, 2013, the Statement of Profit and Loss should present the Earnings per Equity Share.
- In Ind AS, Assets are not presented in the Balance sheet as 'Fixed Asset', rather they are classified under various categories of Non-current assets. Here, it is assumed as 'Property, Plant and Equipment'.
- The presentation of the notes to 'Trade Receivables' will be modified as per the requirements of Division II of Schedule III.

Balance Sheet of Abraham Ltd. For the year ended 31st March. 2019

•	Note No.	(Rs. in lakh)
ASSETS		
Non-current assets		
Property, plant and equipment		5,000
Deferred tax assets	1	300
Current assets		
Inventories		1,200
Financial assets		
Trade receivables	2	1,100
Cash and cash equivalents		2,000
Others financial asset (accrued interest)		300
TOTAL		9,900
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity share capital	3	1,000
Other equity	4	2,000
Non-current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Long-term borrowings	5	5,000
Current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		

Trade payables		300
Others	6	710
Short-term provisions (300 + 400)	7	700
Other current liabilities	8	190
TOTAL		9,900

Statement of Profit and Loss of Abraham Ltd. For the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2019

warch, 2019		
	Note No.	(Rs. in lakh)
Revenue from operations		6,000
Other income		300
Total income		6,300
Expenses		
Operating costs		3,199
Change in inventories cost	9	300
Employee benefits expense		1,200
Depreciation		450
Total expenses		5,149
Profit before tax		1,151
Tax expense		(201)
Profit for the period		950
Earnings per equity share		
Basic		9.5
Diluted		9.5
Number of equity shares (face value of Rs. 10 each)		100 lakh

## Statement of Changes in Equity of Abraham Ltd. For the year ended 31st March, 2019

#### 3. Equity Share Capital

(Rs. in lakh)

Changes in	Balance at the end of
Equity share	the reporting period
capital during	
the year	
0	1,000
	Equity share capital during

4. Other Equity (Rs. in lakh)

Particulars	Reserves Surplus Capital	& Retained	Total
	reserve	Earnings	
Balance at the beginning of the year	500 *	550	1,05 0
Total comprehensive income for the year		950	950

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Capital reserve given in the Note 1 of the question is assumed to be brought forward from the previous year. However, alternatively, if it may be assumed as created during the year.

# Page 1371

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

	Balance at the end of the year	500	1,50 0	2	,00 0
1.	Deferred Tax				(Rs. in lakh)
	Deferred Tax Asset			700	
	Deferred Tax Liability			400	
				300	
2.	Trade Receivables				(Rs. in lakh)
	Trade receivables considered good			1,065	5
	Trade receivables which have signifi- in credit risk	cant increase	40		
	Less: Provision for doubtful deb	ts	(5)	35	5
	Total			1,100	)
3.	Long Term Borrowings				(Rs. in lakh)
	Term Loan from Bank (5,700 - 700)		5	5,000	
	Total		5	5,000	
4.	Other Financial Liabilities				(Rs. in lakh)
	Unclaimed dividends			10	
	Interest on term loan			700	
	Total			710	
5.	Short-term provisions		1		(Rs. in lakh)
	Provisions			300	
	Foreseeable loss against a service con	ıtract		400	
	Total			700	
6.	Other Current Liabilities		1		(Rs. in lakh)
	Billing in Advance			150	
	Other			40	
	Total			190	

#### 7. Dividends not recognised at the end of the reporting period

At year end, the directors have recommended the payment of dividend of 10% i.e. Rs. 1 per equity share. This proposed dividend is subject to the approval of shareholders in the ensuing annual general meeting.

#### Question 6

H Limited having net worth of ₹ 250 crores is required to adopt Ind AS from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X2 in accordance with the Companies (Indian Accounting Standard) Rules 2015.

Mr. R, the senior manager, of H Ltd. has identified following issues which need specific attention of CFO so that opening Ind AS balance sheet as on the date of transition can be prep ared:

Issue 1: As part of Property, Plant and Equipment, Company has elected to measure land at its fair value and want to use this fair value as deemed cost on the date of transition. The carrying value of land as on the date of transition was  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}}$  5,00,000. The land was acquired for a consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  5,00,000. However, the fair value of land as on the date of transition was  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{}{\stackrel{}}{\stackrel{}}}$  8,00,000.

Issue 2: Under Ind AS, the Company has designated mutual funds as investments at fair value through profit or loss. The value of mutual funds as per previous GAAP was ₹ 4,00,000 (at cost). However, the fair value of mutual funds as on the date of transition was ₹ 5,00,000.

Issue 3: Company had taken a loan from another entity. The loan carries an interest rate of 7% and it had incurred certain transaction costs while obtaining the same. It was carried at cost on its initial recognition. The principal amount is to be repaid in equal instalments over the period of loan. Interest is also payable at each year end. The fair value of loan as on the date of transition is ₹ 1,80,000 as against the carrying amount of loan which at present equals

₹ 2,00,000.

Issue 4: The company has declared dividend of ₹ 30,000 for last financial year. On the date of transition, the declared dividend has already been deducted by the accountant from the company's 'Reserves & Surplus' and the dividend payable has been grouped under 'Provisions'. The dividend was only declared by board of directors at that time, and it was not approved in the annual general meeting of shareholders. However, subsequently when the meeting was held it was ratified by the shareholders.

Issue 5: The company had acquired intangible assets as t rademarks amounting to  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2,50,000. The company assumes to have indefinite life of these assets. The fair value of the intangible assets as on the date of transition was  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  3,00,000. However, the company wants to carry the intangible assets at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{?}}$  2,50,000 only.

Issue 6: After consideration of possible effects as per Ind AS, the deferred tax impact is computed as ₹ 25,000. This amount will further increase the portion of deferred tax liability. There is no requirement to carry out the separate calculation of deferred tax on account of Ind AS adjustments.

Management wants to know the impact of Ind AS in the financial statements of company for its general understanding. Prepare Ind AS Impact Analysis Report (Extract) for H Limited for presentation to the management wherein you are required to discuss the corresponding differences between Earlier IGAAP (AS) and Ind AS against each identified issue and its impact there upon for preparation of transition date balance sheet. Also pass journal entry for each of the issues mentioned above. (MTP 12 Marks March '22, 14 Marks Sep'22, RTP May'21)

#### Answer 6

Assessment of Preliminary Impact Assessment of Transition to Ind AS on H Limited's Financial Statements

Issue 1: Fair value as deemed cost for property plant and equipment:

Accounting	Ind AS	Impact on Company's
Standard		financial
s (Erstwhile IGAAP)		statements
As per AS 10,	Ind AS 101 allows	The company has decided to
Property, Plant and	entity to elect to	adopt fair value as deemed
Equipment is	measure Property,	cost in this case. Since fair
recognised at cost less	Plant and Equipment	value exceeds book value, so
depreciation.	on the transition date	the book value should be
	at its fair value or	brought up to fair value. The
	previous GAAP	resulting impact of fair
	carrying value (book	valuation of land ₹ 3,00,000

value)	as	deemed	should be adjusted in other
cost.			equity (revaluation reserve).

### Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars	Debit (₹)	Credit
		(₹)
Property Plant and Equipment (Land) Dr.	3,00,000	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI- Other Equity)		3,00,00
		0

Issue 2: Fair valuation of Financial Assets:

Issue 2: Fair valuation of Financial Assets:			
Accounting	Ind AS	Impact on	
Standards		Company's financial	
(Erstwhile IGAAP)		statements	
As per Accounting	On transition,	All financial assets (other than	
Standard,	financial assets	Investment in subsidiaries,	
investments are	includin	associates and JVs' which are	
measured at lower	g investments	recorded at cost) are initially	
of cost and fair	are	recognized at fair value.	
value.	measured at fair	The subsequent measurement of	
	values except for	such assets are based on its	
	investments in	categorization either Fair Value	
	subsidiaries,	through Profit & Loss (FVTPL) or	
	associates and JVs'	Fair Value through Other	
	which are recorded	Comprehensive Income	
	at cost.	(FVTOCI) or at Amortised Cost	
		based on business model	
		assessment and contractual	
		cash flow characteristics.	
		Since investment in mutual fund	
		are designated at FVTPL, increase	
		of	
		₹ 1,00,000 in mutual funds fair	
		value would increase the value	
		of investments with corresponding increase to	
	corresponding increase		
	Tanama 1 Dustum an 41s	Retained Earnings.	

#### Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars		Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Investment in mutual funds	Dr.	1,00,000	
To Retained earnings			1,00,000

Issue 3: Borrowings - Processing fees/transaction cost:

# ge 1374

### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

As per AS, such expenditure is amortised over the period of the loan. Ind AS 101 states that if it is impracticable for an entity to apply retrospectively the effective interest method in Ind AS 109, the fair value of the financial asset or the financial liability at the date of transition to Ind AS shall be the new gross carrying amount of that financial asset or the new amortised cost of that	Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)	Ind AS	Impact on Company's financial statements
i illiauciai hability. – I	expenditure is charged to Profit and loss account or capitalised as	expenditure is amortised over the period of the loan. Ind AS 101 states that if it is impracticable for an entity to apply retrospectively the effective interest method in Ind AS 109, the fair value of the financial asset or the financial liability at the date of transition to Ind AS shall be the new gross carrying amount of that financial asset or the new	date of transition is  ₹ 1,80,000 as against its book value of ₹ 2,00,000. Accordingly, the difference of ₹ 20,000 is adjusted throu gh Retained

## Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars		Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Borrowings / Loan payable	Dr.	20,000	
To Retained earnings			20,000

#### Issue 4: Proposed dividend:

Accounting Standar ds (Erstwhile IGAAP)	Ind AS	Impact on Company's financial statements
As per AS, provision for proposed divided is made in the year when it has been declared and approved.	for proposed dividend is recognized in the	be deducted from retained earnings during the year when it

### Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars	Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
-------------	-----------	------------

Provisions	Dr.	30,000	
To Retained earnings			30,000

Issue 5: Intangible assets:

Accounting Standards Ind AS (Erstwhile IGAAP)		Impact on Company's
(Erstwille IGAAP)		financial statements
intangible asset cannot be indefinite under IGAAP principles. The Company amortised brand/trademark on a straight line basis over maximum of 10 years as on use the	sed and only tested for	would be no impact as on the date of transition since company intends to use the carrying amount instead of book value at the date

#### Issue 6: Deferred tax

Accounting	Ind AS	Impact on
Standar		Company's
ds (Erstwhile IGAAP)		financial statements
As per AS, deferred	As per Ind AS,	On date of transition to
taxes are accounted	deferred taxes are	Ind AS, deferred tax
as per income	accounted as per	liability would be
statement approach.	balance sheet	increased by ₹ 25,000.
	approach.	

## Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars		Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Retained earnings	Dr.	25,000	
To Deferred tax liability			25,000

#### Question 7

Master Creator Private Limited (a subsidiary of listed company) is an Indian company to whom Ind AS are applicable. Following draft balance sheet is prepared by the accountant for year ending 31st March 20X2.

Balance Sheet of Master Creator Private Limited as at 31st March, 20X2

Particulars	Rs.
ASSETS	
Non-current assets	
Property, plant and equipment	85,37,500
Financial assets	
Other financial assets (Security deposits)	4,62,500
Other non-current assets (capital advances)	17,33,480
Deferred tax assets	2,54,150
Current assets	
Trade receivables	7,25,000

 $_{
m age}1375$ 

Inventories	5,98,050
Financial assets	
Investments	55,000
Other financial assets	2,17,370
Cash and cash equivalents	1,16,950
TOTAL ASSETS	1,27,00,000
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	
Equity share capital	10,00,000
Non-current liabilities	
Other Equity	25,00,150
Deferred tax liability	4,74,850
Borrowings	64,00,000
Long term provisions	5,24,436
Current liabilities	
Financial liabilities	
Other financial liabilities	2,00,564
Trade payables	6,69,180
Current tax liabilities	9,30,820
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	1,27,00,000

#### Additional Information:

1. On 1st April 20X1, 8% convertible loan with a nominal value of Rs. 64,00,000 was issued by the entity. It is redeemable on 31st March 20X5 also at par. Alternatively, it may be converted into equity shares on the basis of 100 new shares for each Rs. 200 worth of loan.

An equivalent loan without the conversion option would have carried interest at 10%. Interest of Rs. 5,12,000 has already been paid and included as a finance cost.

Present Value (PV) rates are as follows:

Year End	@ 8%	@ 10%
1	0.93	0.91
2	0.86	0.83
3	0.79	0.75
4	0.73	0.68

- 2. After the reporting period, the board of directors have recommended dividend of Rs. 50,000 for the year ending 31st March, 20X1. However, the same has not been yet accounted by the company in its financials.
- 3. 'Other current financial liabilities' consists of the following:

Particulars	Amount
	(Rs.)
Wages payable	21,890
Salary payable	61,845

TDS payable	81,265
Interest accrued on trade payables	35,564

4. Property, Plant and Equipment consists following items:

Particulars	Amount (Rs.)	Remarks
Building	37,50,25 0	It is held for administration purposes
Land	15,48,15 0	It is held for capital appreciation
Vehicles	12,37,50 0	These are used as the conveyance for employees
Factory premises	20,01,60	The construction was started on 31st March 20X2 and consequently no depreciation has been charged on it. The construction activities will continue to happen, and it will take 2 years to complete and be available for use.

5. The composition of 'other current financial assets' is as follows:

Particulars	Amount (Rs.)
Interest accrued on bank deposits	57,720
Prepaid expenses	90,000
Royalty receivable from dealers	69,650

- 6. Current Investments consist of securities held for trading which are carried at fair value through profit & loss. Investments were purchased on 1st January,20X2 at Rs. 55,000 and accordingly are shown at cost as at 31st March 20X2. The fair value of said investments as on 31st March 20X2 is Rs. 60,000.
- Trade payables and Trade receivables are due within 12 months.
- 8. There has been no changes in equity share capital during the year.
- 9. Entity has the intention to set off a deferred tax asset against a deferred tax liability as they relate to income taxes levied by the same taxation authority and the entity has a legally enforceable right to set off taxes.
- 10. Other Equity consists retained earnings only. The opening balance of retained earnings was Rs.21,25,975 as at 1st April 20X1.
- 11. No dividend has been actually paid by company during the year.
- 12. Assume that the deferred tax impact, if any on account of above adjustments is correctly calculated in financials.

Being Finance & Accounts manager, you are required to identify the errors and misstatements if any in the balance sheet of Master Creator Private Limited and prepare corrected balance sheet with details on the face of the balance sheet i.e. no need to prepare notes to accounts, after considering the additional information. Provide necessary

explanations/workings for the treated items, wherever necessary. (MTP 16 Marks April '21, MTP 14 Marks Apr'23)

#### Answer 7

Balance Sheet of Master Creator Private Limited as at 31st March, 20X2

Particulars	Workin g/ Note referen ce	(Rs.)
ASSETS		
Non-current assets		
Property, plant and equipment	1	49,87,750
Capital work-in-progress	2	20,01,600
investment Property	3	15,48,150
Financial assets		4,62,500
Other financial assets (Security deposits)	_	17,33,480
Other non-current assets (capital advances)	4	5,98,050
Current assets		60,000
Inventories		7,25,000
Financial assets	F	1,16,950
Investments (55,000 + 5,000)	5	1,27,370
Trade receivables	6	90,000
Cash and cash equivalents	7	49,87,750
Other financial assets	8	20,01,600
Other current assets (Prepaid expenses)	8	15,48,150
TOTAL ASSETS		1,24,50,8 50
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Equity		
Equity share capital	A	10,00,000
Other equity	В	28,44,606
Non-current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
8% Convertible loan	1	60,60,544
Long term provisions		5,24,436
Deferred tax liability	1 2	2,20,700
Current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Trade payables	1 3	6,69,180
Other financial liabilities	1 4	1,19,299

# $_{ m age}1379$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Other current liabilities (TDS payable)	1	81,265
	5	
Current tax liabilities		9,30,820
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		1,24,50,8
		50

## Statement of changes in equity For the year ended 31st March, 20X2

#### A. Equity Share Capital

	Balance
	(Rs.)
As at 31st March, 20X1	10,00,000
Changes in equity share capital during the year	-
As at 31st March, 20X2	10,00,000

#### **B.** Other Equity

	Retained Earnings (Rs.)	Equity componen t of Compoun d Financial Instrumen t (Rs.)	Total (Rs.)
As at 31st March, 20X1	21,25,97	-	21,25,97
Total comprehensive income for the year	5		5
(25,00,150 + 5,000 - 85,504- 21,25,975)	2,93,671	-	2,93,67 1
Issue of compound financial instrument			
during the year	_	4,24,960	
			4,24,960
As at 31st March, 20X2	24,19,64	4,24,960	28,44,60
	6		6

#### Disclosure forming part of Financial Statements:

Proposed dividend on equity shares is subject to the approval of the shareholders of the company at the annual general meeting and not recognized as liability as at the Balance Sheet date. (Note 9)

#### Notes/ Workings: (for adjustments/ explanations)

- 1. Property, plant and equipment are tangible items that: (a) are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes; and (b) are expected to be used during more than one period. Therefore, the items of PPE are Buildings (Rs. 37,50,250) and Vehicles (Rs. 12,37,500), since those assets are held for administrative purposes.
- 2. Property, plant and equipment which are not ready for intended use as

- on the date of Balance Sheet are disclosed as "Capital work-inprogress". It would be classified from PPE to Capital work-in-progress.
- Investment property is property (land or a building-or part of a building—or both) held (by the owner or by the lessee as a right-of-use asset) to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:
  - use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes; or
  - (b) sale in the ordinary course of business.

Therefore, Land held for capital appreciation should be classified as Investment property rather than PPE.

- Assets for which the future economic benefit is the receipt of goods or services, rather than the right to receive cash or another financial asset, are not financial assets.
- Current investments here are held for the purpose of trading. Hence, it is a financial asset classified as FVTPL. Any gain in its fair value will be recognised through profit or loss. Hence, Rs. 5,000 (60,000 - 55,000) increase in fair value of financial asset will be recognized in profit and
- 6. A contractual right to receive cash or another financial asset from another entity is a financial asset. Trade receivables is a financial asset in this case and hence should be reclassified.
- Cash is a financial asset. Hence it should be reclassified. 7.
- Other current financial assets:

Particulars	Amount (Rs.)
Interest accrued on bank	57,720
deposits	31,120
Royalty receivable from dealers	69,650
Total	1,27,370

Prepaid expenses does not result into receipt of any cash or financial asset. However, it results into future goods or services. Hence, it is not a financial asset.

- As per Ind AS 10, 'Events after the Reporting Period', If dividends are declared after the reporting period but before the financial statements are approved for issue, the dividends are not recognized as a liability at the end of the reporting period because no obligation exists at that time. Such dividends are disclosed in the notes in accordance with Ind AS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements.
- 10. 'Other Equity' cannot be shown under 'Non-current liabilities'. Accordingly, it is reclassified under 'Equity'.
- 11. There are both 'equity' and 'debt' features in the instrument. An obligation to pay cash

i.e. interest at 8% per annum and a redemption amount will be treated as 'financial liability' while option to convert the loan into equity shares is the equity element in the instrument. Therefore, convertible loan is a compound financial instrument.

Calculation of debt and equity component and amount to be recognised in the books:

S. No	Year	Interest amount	Discounting factor	Amount
		@ 8%	@ 10%	

Year 1	20X	5,12,000	0.91	4,65,920
Year 2	2 20X	5,12,000	0.83	4,24,960
Year 3	3 20X	5,12,000	0.75	3,84,000
Year 4	4 20X	69,12,000	0.68	, ,
	5	, ,		47,00,160
Amoun	59,75,040			
Initial p	(64,00,00			
	O)			
Amount to be recognized as equity				
	4,24,960			

<sup>\*</sup> In year 4, the loan note will be redeemed; therefore, the cash outflow would be Rs. 69,12,000 (Rs. 64,00,000 + Rs. 5,12,000).

#### Presentation in the Financial Statements:

## In Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended on 31 March 20 X2

Finance cost to be recognized in the Statement of Profit	Rs.
and Loss	5,97,504
(59,75,040 x 10%)	
Less: Already charged to the Statement of Profit and	(Rs.5,12,00
Loss	0)
Additional finance charge required to be recognised in	
the Statement	
of Profit and Loss	Rs.
	85,504

#### In Balance Sheet as at 31 March 20X2

Equity and Liabilities		
Equity		
Other Equity (8% convertible loan)	4,24,960	
Non-current liability		
Financial liability [8% convertible loan – [(59,75,040+5,97,504–5,12,000)]	60,60,54 4	

12. Since entity has the intention to set off deferred tax asset against deferred tax liability and the entity has a legally enforceable right to set off taxes, hence their balance on net basis should be shown as:

Particulars	Amount
	(Rs.)
Deferred tax liability	4,74,850
Deferred tax asset	(2,54,150)
Deferred tax liability (net)	2,20,700

- 13. A liability that is a contractual obligation to deliver cash or another financial asset to another entity is a financial liability. Trade payables is a financial liability in this case.
- 14. 'Other current financial liabilities':

Particulars	Amount
	(Rs.)
Wages payable	21,890
Salary payable	61,845
Interest accrued on trade payables	35,564
Total	1,19,299

15. Liabilities for which there is no contractual obligation to deliver cash or other financial asset to another entity, are not financial liabilities. Hence, TDS payable should be reclassified from 'Other current financial liabilities' to 'Other current liabilities' since it is not a contractual obligation.

#### Question 8

During 20X4-X5, Cheery Limited discovered that some products that had been sold during 20X3-X4 were incorrectly included in inventory at 31st March, 20X4 at Rs. 6,500.

Cheery Limited's accounting records for 20X4-X5 show sales of Rs. 104,000, cost of goods sold of Rs. 86,500 (including Rs. 6,500 for the error in opening inventory), and income taxes of Rs. 5,250.

In 20X3-X4, Cheery Limited reported:

2020-24, Checry Limited reported.		
	Rs.	
Sales	73,500	
Cost of goods sold	(53,500)	
Profit before income taxes	20,000	
Income taxes	(6,000)	
Profit	14,000	
Basic and diluted EPS	2.8	

The 20X3-X4 opening retained earnings was Rs. 20,000 and closing retained earnings was Rs. 34,000. Cheery Limited's income tax rate was 30% for 20X4-X5 and 20X3-X4. It had no other income or expenses.

Cheery Limited had Rs. 50,000 (5,000 shares of Rs. 10 each) of share capital throughout, and no other components of equity except for retained earnings. State how the above will be treated /accounted in Cheery Limited's Statement of profit and loss, statement of changes in equity and in notes wherever required for current period and earlier period(s) as per relevant Ind AS.(MTP 8 Marks March '21)

#### Answer 8

Cheery Limited Extract from the Statement of profit and loss

		(Restated)
	20X4-X5	20X3-X4
	Rs.	Rs.
Sales	1,04,000	73,500
Cost of goods sold	(80,000)	(60,000)
Profit before income taxes	24,000	13,500
Income taxes	(7,200)	(4,050)

Jake 1382

Profit	16,800	9,450
Basic and diluted EPS	3.36	1.89

Cheery Limited Statement of Changes in Equity

	Share	Retained	Total
	capital	earnings	
Balance at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X3	50,000	20,000	70,000
Profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X4			
as restated		9,450	9,450
Balance at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X4	50,000	29,450	79,450
Profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X5		16,800	16,800
Balance at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X5	50,000	46,250	96,250

#### Extract from the $\overline{\text{Notes}}$

Some products that had been sold in 20X3-X4 were incorrectly included in inventory at 31st March, 20X4 at Rs. 6,500. The financial statements of 20X3-X4 have been restated to correct this error. The effect of the restatement on those financial statements is summarized below:

	Effect on 20X3-
	X4
(Increase) in cost of goods sold	(6,500)
Decrease in income tax expenses	1,950
(Decrease) in profit	(4,550)
(Decrease) in basic and diluted EPS	(0.91)
(Decrease) in inventory	(6,500)
Decrease in income tax payable	1,950
(Decrease) in equity	(4,550)

There is no effect on the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period i.e. 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X3.

#### **Ouestion 9**

Deepak started a new company Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. with Iktara Ltd. wherein investment of 55% is done by Iktara Ltd. and rest by Deepak. Voting powers are to be given as per the proportionate share of capital contribution. The new company formed was the subsidiary of Iktara Ltd. with two directors, and Deepak eventually becomes one of the directors of company. A consultant was hired and he charged `30,000 for the incorporation of company and to do other necessary statuary registrations. 30,000 is to be charged as an expense in the books after incorporation of company. The company, Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. was incorporated on 1st April 20X1.

The financials of Iktara Ltd. are prepared as per Ind AS.

An accountant who was hired at the time of company's incorporation, has prepared the draft financials of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. for the year ending 31st March, 20X2 as follows:

#### Statement of Profit and Loss

Particulars	Amount (`)
Revenue from operations	10,00,000

## 1384 Jane 1384

### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

Other Income	1,00,000
Total Revenue (a)	11,00,000
Expenses:	
Purchase of stock in trade	5,00,000
(Increase)/Decrease in stock in trade	(50,000)
Employee benefits expense	1,75,000
Depreciation	30,000
Other expenses	90,000
Total Expenses (b)	7,45,000
Profit before tax (c) = (a)-(b)	3,55,000
Current tax	1,06,500
Deferred tax	6,000
Total tax expense (d)	1,12,500
Profit for the year (e) = (c) - (d)	2,42,500

#### **Balance Sheet**

Particulars Balance Sheet	Amount
Tarticulars	(`)
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	
(1) Shareholders' Funds	
(a) Share Capital	1,00,000
(b) Reserves & Surplus	2,27,500
(2) Non-Current Liabilities	
(a) Long Term Provisions	25,000
(b) Deferred tax liabilities	6,000
(3) Current Liabilities	
(a) Trade Payables	11,000
(b) Other Current Liabilities	45,000
(c) Short Term Provisions	1,06,500
TOTAL	5,21,000
ASSETS	
(1) Non Current Assets	
(a) Property, plant and equipment (net)	1,00,000
(b) Long-term Loans and Advances	40,000
(c) Other Non Current Assets	50,000
(2) Current Assets	
(a) Current Investment	30,000

(b) Inventories	80,000
(c) Trade Receivables	55,000
(d) Cash and Bank Balances	1,15,000
(e) Other Current Assets	51,000
TOTAL	5,21,000

Additional information of Softbharti Pvt Ltd.:

i. Deferred tax liability of `6,000 is created due to following temporary difference:

Difference in depreciation amount as per Income tax and Accounting profit

ii. There is only one property, plant and equipment in the company, whose closing balance as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 is as follows:

Asset description	As per Books	As per Income tax
Property, plant and equipment	`1,00,000	`80,000

- iii. Pre incorporation expenses are deductible on straight line basis over the period of five years as per Income tax. However, the same are immediately expensed off in the books.
- iv. Current tax is calculated at 30% on PBT `3,55,000 without doing any adjustments related to Income tax. The correct current tax after doing necessary adjustments of allowances / disallowances related to Income tax comes to `1,25,700.
- v. After the reporting period, the directors have recommended dividend of `15,000 for the year ending 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 which has been deducted from reserves and surplus. Dividend payable of `15,000 has been grouped under 'other current liabilities' alongwith other financial liabilities.
- vi. There are 'Government statuary dues' amounting to `15,000 which are grouped under 'other current liabilities'.
- vii. The capital advances amounting to `50,000 are grouped under 'Other non-current assets'.
- viii. Other current assets of `51,000 comprise Interest receivable from trade receivables.
- ix. Current investment of `30,000 is in shares of a company which was done with the purpose of trading; current investment has been carried at cost in the financial statements. The fair value of current investment in this case is `50,000 as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2.
- x. Actuarial gain on employee benefit measurements of `1,000 has been omitted in the financials of Softbharti private limited for the year ending 31st March, 20X2.

The financial statements for financial year 20X1-20X2 have not been yet approved. You are required to ascertain that whether the financial statements of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. are correctly presented as per the applicable financial reporting framework. If not, prepare the revised financial statements of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. after the careful analysis of mentioned facts and information. (MTP 16 Marks Nov 21, RTP Nov 20)(MTP 15 Marks Sep '23)

Answer 9

If Ind AS is applicable to any company, then Ind AS shall automatically be made applicable to all the subsidiaries, holding companies, associated companies, and joint ventures of that company, irrespective of individual qualification of set of standards on such companies.

In the given case it has been mentioned that the financials of Iktara Ltd. are prepared as per Ind AS. Accordingly, the results of its subsidiary Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. should also have been prepared as per Ind AS. However, the financials of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. have been presented as per accounting standards (AS).

Hence, it is necessary to revise the financial statements of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. as per Ind AS after the incorporation of necessary adjustments mentioned in the question.

The revised financial statements of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. as per Ind AS and Division II to Schedule III of the Companies Act, 2013 are as follows:

## STATEMENT OF PROFIT AND LOSS for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2

for the year ended 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2		
Particulars	Amount (`)	
Revenue from operations	10,00,000	
Other Income (1,00,000 + 20,000) (refer note -1)	1,20,000	
Total Revenue	11,20,000	
Expenses:		
Purchase of stock in trade	5,00,000	
(Increase) / Decrease in stock in trade	(50,000)	
Employee benefits expense	1,75,000	
Depreciation	30,000	
Other expenses	90,000	
Total Expenses	7,45,000	
Profit before tax	3,75,000	
Current tax	1,25,700	
Deferred tax (W.N.1)	4,800	
Total tax expense	1,30,500	
Profit for the year (A)	2,44,500	
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME		
Items that will not be reclassified to Profit or Loss:	1 000	
Remeasurements of net defined benefit plans	1,000	
Tax liabilities relating to items that will not be reclassified to Profit or Loss		
Remeasurements of net defined benefit plans (tax) [1000 x	(300)	
30%]		
Other Comprehensive Income for the period (B)	700	

#### Total Comprehensive Income for the period (A+B)

2,45,200

## BALANCE SHEET as at 31st March, 20X2

as at 31st March, 20X2	
Particulars	(*)
ASSETS	
Non-current assets	
Property, plant and equipment	1,00,000
Financial assets	
Other financial assets (Long-term loans and advances)	40,000
Other non-current assets (capital advances) (refer note-2)	50,000
Current assets	
Inventories	80,000
Financial assets	
Investments (30,000 + 20,000) (refer note -1)	50,000
Trade receivables	55,000
Cash and cash equivalents/Bank	1,15,000
Other financial assets (Interest receivable from trade receivables)	51,000
TOTAL ASSETS	5,41,000
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	
Equity	
Equity share capital	1,00,000
Other equity	2,45,200
Non-current liabilities	
Provision (25,000 – 1,000)	24,000
Deferred tax liabilities (4,800 + 300)	5,100
Current liabilities	
Financial liabilities	
Trade payables	11,000
Other financial liabilities (Refer note 5)	15,000
Other current liabilities (Govt. statuary dues) (Refer note 3)	15,000
Current tax liabilities	1,25,700
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	5,41,000

## STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY For the year ended 31st March, 20X2

A. EQUITY SHARE CAPITAL

	Balance (`)
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1 Changes in equity share capital during the	1,00,000

 $^{\mathrm{age}}1387$ 

year	
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	1,00,000

**B. OTHER EQUITY** 

OTHER EQUIT	
	Reserves & Surplus
	Retained Earnings
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1	-
Profit for the year	2,44,500
Other comprehensive income for the year	700
Total comprehensive income for the year	2,45,200
Less: Dividend on equity shares (refer note – 4)	-
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	2,45,200

#### DISCLOSURE FORMING PART OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

Proposed dividend on equity shares is subject to the approval of the shareholders of the company at the annual general meeting and not recognized as liability as at the Balance Sheet date. (refer note 4)

#### **Notes:**

- 1. Current investment are held for the purpose of trading. Hence, it is a financial asset classified as FVTPL. Any gain in its fair value will be recognised through profit or loss. Hence, `20,000 (`50,000 `30,000) increase in fair value of financial asset will be recognised in profit and loss. However, it will attract deferred tax liability on increased value (Refer W.N).
- 2. Assets for which the future economic benefit is the receipt of goods or services, rather than the right to receive cash or another financial asset, are not financial assets.
- 3. Liabilities for which there is no contractual obligation to deliver cash or other financial asset to another entity, are not financial liabilities.
- 4. As per Ind AS 10, 'Events after the Reporting Period', If dividends are declared after the reporting period but before the financial statements are approved for issue, the dividends—are not recognized as a liability at the end of the reporting period because no obligation exists at that time. Such dividends are disclosed in the notes in accordance with Ind AS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements.
- 5. Other current financial liabilities:

	(`)
Balance of other current liabilities as per financial statements	45,000
Less: Dividend declared for FY 20X1 – 20X2 (Note – 4)	(15,000)
Reclassification of government statuary dues payable to 'other current liabilities'	(15,000)
Closing balance	15,000

 $^{\mathrm{age}}1388$ 

#### **Working Note:**

Calculation of deferred tax on temporary differences as per Ind AS 12 for financial year 20X1 – 20X2

Item	Carrying	Tax base	Difference	DTA / DTL
	amount	(^)	(`)	@
	(*)			30% (`)
Property, Plant and Equipment	1,00,000	80,000	20,000	6,000-DTL
Pre-incorporation expenses	Nil	24,000	24,000	7,200-DTA
Current Investment	50,000	30,000	20,000	6,000-DTL
Net DTL				4,800-DTL

#### Question 10

A Ltd. is an entity who prepares its financial statements based on Accounting Standards. Following is the draft financial statement for the year ended on 31st March, 20X1:

(Note all figures are Rs. in million)

#### **Balance Sheet**

Particulars	Note	As at March 31, 20X1
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Shareholders' funds		
Share capital (shares of Rs. 10 each)		2,000
Reserves and surplus	1	4,000
Non-current liabilities		
Long-term borrowings	2	11,110
Deferred tax liabilities	3	400
Current liabilities		
Trade payables		600
Short-term provisions		500
Other current liabilities	4	300
TOTAL		18,910
ASSETS		
Non - current assets		
Fixed Assets		11,310
Deferred Tax Assets	3	1,000
Current assets		
Inventories		2,000
Trade receivables	5	2,200
Cash and bank balances		2,400
TOTAL		18,910

Note 1: The Company has achieved a major breakthrough in its consultancy services in South Asia following which it has entered into a contract of rendering

1389

services with Floral Inc. for Rs. 12 Billion during the year. The termination clause of the contract is equivalent to Rs. 14 Million and is payable in case from 15th December 20X5. The transition time schedule is missed management however is of the view that the liability cannot be treated as onerous.

Note 2: The Company is not able to assess the final liability for a particular tax assessment pertaining to the assessment year 20X1-20X2 wherein it has received a demand notice of Rs. 12 Million. However, the company is contesting the same with CIT (Appeals) as on the reporting date.

Statement	of	<b>Profit</b>	&	Loss
-----------	----	---------------	---	------

Particulars	Note	Year ended March 31,
		20X1
Revenue from operations		11,000
Expenses		
Employee Benefit Expense		2,400
Operating Costs		4,400
Depreciation		1,998
Total Expenses		8,798
Profit before tax		2,202
Tax Expense		(300)
Profit after tax		1,902
	1	

Notes to Accounts:

(INR in millions)
1,000
2,000
1,000
4,000
11,110

Note 2:	Long Term Borrowings	
	Term Loan from Bank	11,110
	Total	11,110

Note 3: Deferred Tax	
Deferred Tax Asset	1,000
Deferred Tax Liability	(400)
Total	600

Note 4: Other Current Liabilities	
Unclaimed dividends	6
Billing in Advance	294
Total	300

Note 5: Trade Receivables	
Considered good (outstanding within 6 months)	2,130
considered good (outstanding within 6 months)	2,130

Considered doubtful (due from past 1 year)	80
Provision for doubtful debts	(10)
Total	2,200

#### **Additional Information:**

- (a) Share capital comprises of 200 million shares of Rs. 10 each
- (b) Term Loan from bank for Rs. 11,110 million also includes interest accrued and due of
  - Rs. 11,110 million as on the reporting date.
- (c) Reserve for foreseeable loss is created against a service contract due within 6 months.

#### Required:

- (i) Evaluate and report the errors and misstatements in the above extracts; and,
- (ii) Prepare the corrected Balance Sheet & Statement of Profit and Loss. (RTP May'18)

#### Answer 10

- On evaluation of the financial statements, following was observed: (a)
  - 1. For foreseeable loss provision is made and not reserves. Hence, reserve for foreseeable loss for INR 1000 million, (due within 6 months), should be a part of provision. Therefore, it needs to be regrouped. If it was also a part of previous year's comparatives, then a note should be added in the notes to account for regrouping done this year.
  - 2. Interest accrued and due of INR 1,110 million on term loan will be a part of current liabilities since it is supposed to be paid within 12 months from the reporting date. Hence, it should be shown under the heading "Other Current Liabilities".
  - 3. It can be inferred from Note 3, that the deferred tax liabilities and deferred tax assets relate to taxes on income levied by the same governing taxation laws. Hence, these shall be set off, in accordance with AS 22. The net DTA of INR 600 million shall be shown in the balance sheet.
  - 4. The note to trade receivables was incorrectly presented. The rectified note would be as follows:

Trade receivables (Unsecured)	INR in million
Over six months from the date they were due	
for payment	
i. Considered good	0
ii. Considered doubtful	80
Less: Provision for doubtful debts	(10)
(A)	70
(b) Others	
i. Considered good	2,130
ii. Considered doubtful	0
Less: Provision for doubtful debts	0
(B)	2,130
Total (A + B)	2,200

It is common to have a termination clause in service contracts. Just by having

a termination clause, a company cannot create a liability. Para 14 of AS 29 inter alia states that a provision will be recognized when an enterprise has a present obligation as a result of a past event.

Since there is nothing to show that there is a present obligation, no provision will be made.

As per para 27 of AS 29, a contingent liability is recognized only where the possibility of an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits is not remote. Since there is no onerous liability as on the reporting date, the possibility of an outflow becomes remote. Therefore, no contingent liability will arise. In fact, the management has wrongly worded it as 'onerous liability' in its notes to accounts. Onerous liability arises only when the unavoidable costs of meeting the obligation under the contract exceeds the economic benefits expected to be received from it. This note should be eliminated.

The demand notice from the tax department (that is under litigation) is a clear instance of a 'contingent liability'. Accordingly, the note should be revised as – 'Contingent Liability:

There is a demand notice INR 12 Million, which is under CIT (Appeals) as on the reporting date.

The Statement to Profit and Loss needs to represent earnings per share, as per AS 20.

(b) Revised extracts of the financial statements

Balance Sheet (INR in Million)

alance Sheet		(11117 111 111111
	Note No.	As at March 31, 20X1
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
Shareholders' funds		
Share capital		2,000
Reserves and surplus	1	3,000
Non-current liabilities		
Long-term borrowings	2	10,000
Current liabilities		
Trade payables		600
Short-term provisions		1,500
Other current liabilities	4	1,410
TOTAL		18,510
ASSETS		
Non - current assets		
Property Plant & Equipment		11,310
Deferred Tax Assets	3	600
Current assets		
Inventories		2,000
Trade receivables	5	2,200
Cash and Cash Equivalents		2,400
TOTAL		18,510

Statement of Profit and Loss (INR in Million)

Particular	Note No.	Year ended March 31, 20X1
Revenue from operations		11,000
Expenses		
Operating Costs		4,400
Employee Benefit Expense		2,400
Depreciation		1,998
Total Expenses		8,798
Profit Before Tax		2,202
Tax Expense		300
Profit for the period		1,902
Earnings Per Equity Share		
Basic		9.51
Diluted		9.51
Number of equity shares (face value of Rs. 10 each)		200 million

Revised Notes (wherever applicable):

Note on Reserves and Surplus

(INR in Million)

		/
Capital Reserve		1,000
Surplus from P & L		
Opening Bal	98	
Additions	1,902	2,000
Total		3,000

Note on Long Term Borrowings

	note on zong reim zerrewings	
	Term Loan from Bank	
		10,000
Ì	Total	
		10,000

**Note on Other Current Liabilities** 

note on other current hubintes		
Unclaimed dividends	6	
Interest on Term Loan	1,110	
Billing in Advance	294	
Total	1,410	

#### Question 11

(Includes concepts of Chp 7.2 Property Plant & Equipment, Ind AS 40 Investment Property)

A Ltd. owns three properties which are shown in its financial statements as 'Property, Plant and Equipment'. All three properties were purchased on April 1, 20X1. The details of purchase price and market values of the properties are given as follows:

Rs. in lakhs

	Factory Building	Factory Building	Let-out Building
Purchase price	500	200	300
Market value as on 31.03.20X2	550	220	330
Useful Life	10 Years	10 Years	10 Years
Subsequent Measurement	Cost Model	Revaluation Model	Revaluation Model

Property 1 and 2 are used by A Ltd. as factory building whilst property 3 is letout to a non-related party at a market rent.

A Ltd. does not depreciate any of the properties on the basis that the fair values are exceeding their carrying amount and recognize the difference between purchase price and fair value in Statement of Profit and Loss.

Evaluate whether the accounting policies adopted by A Ltd. in relation to these properties, on various accounting aspects, are in accordance with Ind AS or not. If not, advise the correct treatment along with the workings for the same in all the cases. (MTP 16 Marks March '18)(PYP 10 Marks May '18)

#### Answer 11

#### (i) For classification of assets

Para 6 of Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment' inter alia, states that Property, plant and equipment are tangible items are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes.

As per para 6 of Ind AS 40 'Investment property', Investment property is property held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes; or sale in the ordinary course of business.

According, to the facts given in the questions, since Property 1 and 2 are used as factory buildings, their classification as PPE is correct. However, Property 3 is held to earn rentals; hence, it should be classified as Investment Property. Thus, its classification as PPE is not correct. Property '3' shall be presented as separate line item as Investment Property as per Ind AS 1.

(ii) For

#### valuation of assets

Paragraph 29 of Ind AS 16 states that an entity shall choose either the cost model or the revaluation model as its accounting policy and shall apply that policy to an entire class of property, plant and equipment. Also, paragraph 36 of Ind AS 16 states that If an item of property, plant and equipment is revalued, the entire class of property, plant and equipment to which that asset belongs shall be revalued.

However, for investment property, paragraph 30 of Ind AS 40 states that an entity shall adopt as its accounting policy the cost model to all of its investment property".

Also, paragraph 79 (e) of Ind AS 40 inter alia requires that an entity shall disclose the fair value of investment property. Since property 1 and 2 is used as factory building, they should be classified under same category or class i.e. 'factory building'. Therefore, both the properties should be valued either at cost model or revaluation model. Hence, the valuation model adopted by A Ltd. is not consistent and correct as per Ind AS 16.

In respect to property '3' being classified as Investment Property, there is no

alternative of revaluation model i.e. only cost model is permitted for subsequent measurement. However, A Ltd. is required to disclose the fair value of the investment property in the Notes to Accounts.

## (iii) For changes in value on account of revaluation and treatment thereof Paragraph 39 of Ind AS 16 states that if an asset's carrying amount is increased

as a result of a revaluation, the increase shall be recognized in other comprehensive income and accumulated in equity under the heading 'revaluation surplus'. However, the increase shall be recognized in profit or loss to the extent that it reverses a revaluation decrease of the same asset previously recognized in profit or loss. Accordingly, the revaluation gain

shall be recognized in other comprehensive income and accumulated in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus.

#### (iv) For treatment of depreciation

Paragraph 52 of Ind AS 16 states that Depreciation is recognized even if the fair value of the asset exceeds its carrying amount, as long as the asset's residual value does not exceed its carrying amount. Accordingly, A Ltd. is required to depreciate these properties irrespective of that their fair value exceeds the carrying amount.

#### (v) Rectified presentation in the balance sheet

As per the provisions of Ind AS 1, Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 40, the presentation of these three properties in the balance sheet should be as follows:

Case 1: If A Ltd. has applied the Cost Model to an entire class of property, plant and equipment.

## Balance Sheet extracts as at 31st March 20X2 INR in lakhs

Assets		
Non-Current Assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		
Property '1'	450	
Property '2'	180	630
Investment Property		
Property '3' (Fair value being 330 lakhs) (Cost		270
= 300-30)		

Case 2: If A Ltd. has applied the Revaluation Model to an entire class of property, plant and equipment.

### Balance Sheet extracts as at 31st March 20X2 INR in lakhs

Assets		
Non-Current Assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		
Property '1'	550	
Property '2'	220	770
Investment Properties		
Property '3' (Fair value being 330 lakhs) (Cost = 300-30)		270
Equity and Liabilities		

Other Equity		
Revaluation Reserve*		
Property '1' (550-450)	100	
Property '2' (220-180)	40	140

<sup>\*</sup>The revaluation reserve should be routed through Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) (subsequently not reclassified to Profit and Loss) in the Statement of Profit and Loss and shown as a separate column in Statement of Changes in Equity.

#### Question 12

PB Limited purchased a plastic bottle manufacturing plant for Rs. 24 lakh on 1st April, 2015. The useful life of the plant is 8 years. On 30th September, 2017, PB Limited temporarily stops using the manufacturing plant because demand has declined. However, the plant is maintained in a workable condition and it will be used in future when demand picks up.

The accountant of PB Limited decided to treat the plant as held for sale until the demand picks up and accordingly measures the plant at lower of carrying amount and fair value less cost to sell. The accountant has also stopped charging depreciation for rest of the period considering the plant as held for sale. The fair value less cost to sell on 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2017 and 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018 was Rs. 13.5 lakh and Rs. 12 lakh respectively.

The accountant has made the following working:

The accountant has made the following working		
Carrying amount on initial classification as	Rs.	Rs.
held for sale		
Purchase price of Plant	24,00,00	
-	0	16,50,0
Less: Accumulated Depreciation [(Rs.	7,50,000	00
24,00,000/8)x2.5 years]		
Fair value less cost to sell as on 31st March,		12,00,00
2017		0
The value lower of the above two		12,00,00
		0

Balance Sheet extracts as on 31st March. 2018

Particulars	Rs.
Assets	
Current Assets	
Other Current Assets	
Assets classified as held for sale	12,00,00
	0

#### Required:

Analyze whether the above accounting treatment is in compliance with the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment showing necessary workings. (PYP 8 Marks, Nov'18)

#### Answer 12

As per Ind AS 105 'Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations', an entity shall classify a non-current asset as held for sale if its carrying amount will be recovered principally through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use. For asset to be classified as held for sale, it must be available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets and its sale must be highly probable. In such a situation, an asset cannot be

Page 1396

classified as a non-current asset held for sale, if the entity intends to sell it in a distant future.

For the sale to be highly probable, the appropriate level of management must be committed to a plan to sell the asset, and an active programme to locate a buyer and complete the plan must have been initiated. Further, the asset must be actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value. In addition, the sale should be expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year from the date of classification and actions required to complete the plan should indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

Further Ind AS 105 also states that an entity shall not classify as held for sale a non-current asset that is to be abandoned. This is because its carrying amount will be recovered principally through continuing use. An entity shall not account for a non-current asset that has been temporarily taken out of use as if it had been abandoned. In addition to Ind AS 105, Ind AS 16 states that depreciation does not cease when the asset becomes idle or is retired from active use unless the asset is fully depreciated.

The Accountant of PB Ltd. has treated the plant as held for sale and measured it at the fair value less cost to sell. Also, the depreciation has not been charged thereon since the date of classification as held for sale which is not correct and not in accordance with Ind AS 105 and Ind AS 16.

Accordingly, the manufacturing plant should neither be treated as abandoned asset nor as held for sale because its carrying amount will be principally recovered through continuous use. PB Ltd. shall not stop charging depreciation or treat the plant as held for sale because its carrying amount will be recovered principally through continuing use to the end of their economic life.

#### The working of the same for presenting in the balance sheet will be as follows:

Calculation of carrying amount as on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2018	Rs.
Purchase Price of Plant	24,00,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation (24,00,000/ 8 years) x 3 years	(9,00,000)
Carrying amount before impairment	15,00,000
Less: Impairment loss (Refer Working Note)	(3,00,000)
Revised carrying amount after impairment	12,00,000

#### Balance Sheet extracts as on 31stMarch 2018

Assets	Rs.
Non-Current Assets	
Property, Plant and Equipment	12,00,000

#### Working Note:

Fair value less cost to sell of the Plant = Rs. 12,00,000

Value in Use (not given) or = Nil (since plant has temporarily not been used for manufacturing due to decline in demand)

Recoverable amount = higher of above i.e. Rs. 12,00,000

Impairment loss = Carrying amount – Recoverable amount Impairment loss = Rs. 15,00,000 - Rs. 12,00,000 = Rs. 3,00,000.

#### Question 13

On 1st April, 20X1, Star Limited has advanced a housing loan of `15 lakh to one of its employees at an interest rate of 6% per annum which is repayable in 5 equal

Page 1397

annual installments along with interest at each year end. Employee is not required to give any specific performance against this benefit. The market rate of similar loan for housing finance by banks is 10% per annum. The accountant of the company has recognized the staff loan in the balance sheet equivalent to the amount of housing loan disbursed i.e. `15 lakh. The interest income for the year is recognized at the contracted rate in the Statement of Profit and Loss by the company i.e. `90,000 (6% of

15 lakh). Analyze whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance with the relevant Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment of housing loan, interest and other expenses in the financial statements of Star Limited for the year 20X1 -20X2 along with workings and applicable Ind AS. You are required to explain how the housing loan should be reflected in the Ind AS compliant Balance Sheet of Star Limited on 31st March, 20X2. Ignore defer tax impact (14 Marks April 22, PYP 12 Ma Nov '20)

#### Answer 13

The accounting treatment made by the accountant is not in compliance with Ind AS 109 'Financial Instruments'. As per Ind AS 109, at initial recognition, an entity shall measure a financial asset or financial liability at its fair value. The fair value of a financial instrument at initial recognition is normally the transaction price i.e. the fair value of the consideration given or received.

After initial recognition, an entity shall measure a financial asset either at amortised cost or at fair value through profit and loss or fair value through other comprehensive income.

Here, the loan given to employee is not at market rate. Hence, the fair value of the loan will not be equal to its initial loan proceeds. As per Ind AS 109, a financial instrument is initially measured and recorded in the books at its fair value. Further, interest income to be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss will be the finance income recognised at effective rate of interest i.e. @ 10% and not the rate of interest charged by the company i.e. @ 6%. The correct accounting treatment as per Ind AS 109 will be as under: For measuring the fair value or present value of the loan at initial recognition, market rate of interest of similar loan is considered (level 1 observable input) ie @ 10%, to discount the cash outflows.

The fair value of the loan shall be as follows:

The fair value of the loan shall be as follows:						
Date	Outstanding	Principal	Interest	Total	Discoun	PV
	loan		income @	inflow	t factor	
			6%		(a)	
					10%	
31st March	15,00,000	3,00,000	90,000	3,90,00	0.909	3,54,510
20X2				0		
31st March	12,00,000	3,00,000	72,000	3,72,00	0.826	3,07,272
20X3				0		
31st March	9,00,000	3,00,000	54,000	3,54,00	0.751	2,65,854
20X4				0		
31st March	6,00,000	3,00,000	36,000	3,36,00	0.683	2,29,488
20X5				O		
31st March	3,00,000	3,00,000	18,000	3,18,00	0.621	1,97,478
20X6		·		0		
				Fair val	ue of the loan	13,54,602

As per Ind AS 19, employee benefits are all forms of consideration given by an entity in exchange for services rendered by employees or for termination of employment.

Difference of loan proceeds and present value of the loan (fair value) will be treated as prepaid employee cost irrespective of the fact that employee is not required to give any specific performanc e against this benefit. This is because employee is required to be in service of the company to continue availing the benefits of concessional rate of interest on housing loan. Practically, once the employee leaves the organisation, they have to repay the outstanding loan because the company provides the loan at concessional rate of interest only to its employees. Hence, it is an employee benefit given by the company to its employees. This deemed employee cost of `1,45,398 (15,00,000 - 13,54,602) will be deferred and amortised over the period of loan on straight line basis.

Calculation of amortised cost of loan to employees

Calculation of amortised cost of loan to employees					
Financial year	Amortised	Interest to	Repayment	Amortise	
ending on	cost (opening	be	(includin	d cost	
31st March	balance)	recognised	g	(closing	
		@ 10%	interest)	balance)	
20X2	13,54,602	1,35,460	3,90,00	11,00,06	
			0	2	
20X3	11,00,062	1,10,006	3,72,000	8,38,068	
20X4	8,38,068	83,807	3,54,000	5,67,875	
20X5	5,67,875	56,788	3,36,000	2,88,663	
20X6	2,88,663	29,337*	3,18,000	_	

<sup>\*</sup>  $2,88,663 \times 10\% = 28,866$ . Difference of 471 (29,337 - 28,866) is due to approximation in computation.

#### Journal Entries to be recorded at every period end

On 1st April, 20X1

Particulars		Dr. Amount	Cr. Amount
		(`)	(`)
Loan to employee A/c	Dr.	13,54,602	
Prepaid employee cost A/c	Dr.	1,45,398	
To Bank A/c			15,00,000
(Being loan asset recorded at value)	initial fair		

On 31st March, 20X2

Particulars	Dr. Amount	Cr. Amount
	(`)	(`)
Bank A/c Dr.	3,90,000	
To Finance income A/c (profit and loss) @10%		1,35,460
To Loan to employee A/c		2,54,540
(Being first instalment of repayment of loan accounted for using the amortised cost and effective interest rate @ 10%)		
Employee benefit cost (profit and loss)	29,080	
r.		
To Prepaid employee cost A/c		29,080

# $_{\rm age}1400$

#### Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

(1,45,398/5)	
(Being amortization of pre-paid employee	
cost charged to profit and loss as	
employee benefit cost)	

The following housing loan balances should appear in the financial statements:

Extracts of Balance Sheet of Star Ltd. as at 31st March, 20X2

	<b>/</b>
Non-current asset	
Financial asset	
Loan to employee (11,00,062 – 3,72,000 + 1,10,006)	8,38,068
Other non-current asset	
Prepaid employee cost	87,238
Current asset	
Financial asset	
Loan to employee (3,72,000-1,10,006)	2,61,994
Other current asset	
Prepaid employee cost	29,080

#### Question 14

On 1st April, 20X1, Sun Ltd. has acquired 100% shares of Earth Ltd. for `30 lakh. Sun Ltd. has 3 cash-generating units A, B and C with fair value of `12 lakh, `8 lakh and `4 lakh respectively. The company recognizes goodwill of `6 lakh that relates to CGU 'C' only. During the financial year 20X2-20X3, the CFO of the company has a view that there is no requirement of any impairment testing for any CGU since their recoverable amount is comparatively higher than the carrying amount and believes there is no indicator of impairment. Analyse whether the view adopted by the CFO of Sun Ltd. is in compliance with the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment in accordance with relevant Ind AS. (MTP 6 Marks April 22)

#### Answer 14

Para 9 of Ind AS 36 'Impairment of Assets' states that an entity shall assess at the end of each reporting period whether there is any indication that an asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the entity shall estimate the recoverable amount of the asset.

Further, paragraph 10(b) of Ind AS 36 states that irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, an entity shall also test goodwill acquired in a business combination for impairment annually.

Sun Ltd. has not tested any CGU on account of not having any indication of impairment is partially correct i.e. in respect of CGU A and B but not for CGU C. Hence, the treatment made by the Company is not in accordance with Ind AS 36. Impairment testing in respect of CGU A and B are not required s ince there are no indications of impairment. However, Sun Ltd shall test CGU C irrespective of any indication of impairment annually as the goodwill acquired on business combination

is fully allocated to CGU 'C'.

#### Section - B

#### **Question 1**

Venus Ltd. is a multinational entity that owns three properties. All three properties were purchased on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1. The details of purchase price and market values of the properties are given as follows:

Particulars	Property	Property 2	Property 3
	1		
	Factory	Factory	Let-Out
Purchase price	15,000	10,000	12,000
Market value	16,000	11,000	13,500
31.03.20X2			
Life	10 Years	10 Years	10 Years
Subsequent	Cost	Revaluation	Revaluation
Measurement	Model	Model	Model

Property 1 and 2 are used by Venus Ltd. as factory building whilst property 3 is let-out to a non-related party at a market rent. The management presents all three properties in balance sheet as 'property, plant and equipment'.

The Company does not depreciate any of the properties on the basis that the fair values are exceeding their carrying amount and recognise the difference between purchase price and fair value in Statement of Profit and Loss. Required:

Analyse whether the accounting policies adopted by the Venus Ltd. in relation to these properties is in accordance with Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment alongwith working for the same.

#### Answer 1

The above issue needs to be examined in the umbrella of the provisions given in Ind AS 1 'Presentation of Financial Statements', Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment' in relation to property '1' and '2' and Ind AS 40 'Investment Property' in relation to property '3'.

#### Property '1' and '2'

Para 6 of Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment' defines:

"Property, plant and equipment are tangible items that:

- (a) are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes; and
- (b) are expected to be used during more than one period."

#### Paragraph 29 of Ind AS 16 states that:

"An entity shall choose either the cost model or the revaluation model as its accounting policy and shall apply that policy to an entire class of property, plant and equipment".

#### Further, paragraph 36 of Ind AS 16 states that:

"If an item of property, plant and equipment is revalued, the entire class of property, plant and equipment to which that asset belongs shall be revalued".

Further, paragraph 39 of Ind AS 16 states that:

"If an asset's carrying amount is increased as a result of a revaluation, the increase shall be recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus. However, the increase shall be recognised in profit or loss to the extent that it reverses a revaluation decrease of the same asset previously recognised in profit or loss".

Further, paragraph 52 of Ind AS 16 states that:

"Depreciation is recognised even if the fair value of the asset exceeds its carrying amount, as long as the asset's residual value does not exceed its carrying amount". **Property '3'** 

Para 6 of Ind AS 40 'Investment property' defines:

"Investment property is property (land or a building—or part of a building—or both) held (by the owner or by the lessee under a finance lease) to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:

- (a) use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes; or
- (b) sale in the ordinary course of business".

Further, paragraph 30 of Ind AS 40 states that:

"An entity shall adopt as its accounting policy the cost model to all of its investment property".

Further, paragraph 79 (e) of Ind AS 40 requires that:

"An entity shall disclose the fair value of investment property".

Further, paragraph 54 (2) of Ind AS 1 'Presentation of Financial Statements' requires that:

"As a minimum, the balance sheet shall include line items that present the following amounts:

- (a) property, plant and equipment;
- (b) investment property;

As per the facts given in the question, Venus Ltd. has

- (a) presented all three properties in balance sheet as 'property, plant and equipment';
- (b) applied different accounting policies to Property '1' and '2';
- (c) revaluation is charged in statement of profit and loss as profit; and
- (d) applied revaluation model to Property '3' being classified as Investment Property. These accounting treatment is neither correct nor in accordance with provision of Ind AS 1, Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 40.

Accordingly, Venus Ltd. shall apply the same accounting policy (i.e. either revaluation or cost model) to entire class of property being property '1' and '2". It also required to depreciate these properties irrespective of that, their fair value exceeds the carrying amount. The revaluation gain shall be recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus.

There is no alternative of revaluation model in respect to property '3' being classified as Investment Property and only cost model is permitted for subsequent measurement. However, Venus ltd. is required to disclose the fair value of the property in the Notes to Accounts. Also the property '3' shall be presented as separate line item as Investment Property.

Therefore, as per the provisions of Ind AS 1, Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 40, the presentation of these three properties in the balance sheet is as follows:

Case 1: Venus Ltd. has applied the Cost Model to an entire class of property, plant and equipment.

Balance Sheet extracts as at 31st March, 20X2 ₹

Assets

Non-Current Assets

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property '1' 13,500 Property '2' 9,000 22,500

1407

Investment Properties	
Property '3'	10,800

Case 2: Venus Ltd. has applied the Revaluation Model to an entire class of property, plant and equipment.

Balance Sheet extracts as at 31st March. 20X2 ₹

Dalance Sheet extracts as at 51 march, 20A2				
Assets				
Non-Current Assets				
Property, Plant and Equipment				
Property '1'	16,000			
Property '2'	11,000	27,000		
Investment Properties				
Property '3'		10,800		
Equity and Liabilities				
Other Equity				
Revaluation Reserve				
Property '1'	2,500			
Property '2'	2,000			
		4,500		

The revaluation reserve should be routed through Other Comprehensive Income (subsequently not reclassified to Profit and Loss) in Statement of Profit and Loss and Shown as a separate column in Statement of Changes in Equity.

#### **Ouestion 2**

On 1st January, 20X2, Sun Ltd. was notified that a customer was taking legal action against the company in respect of a financial losses incurred by the customer. Customer alleged that the financial losses were caused due to supply of faulty products on 30<sup>th</sup> September, 20X1 by the Company. Sun Ltd. defended the case but considered, based on the progress of the case up to 31st March, 20X2, that there was a 75% probability they would have to pay damages of ₹ 10 lakhs to the customer.

However, the accountant of Sun Ltd. has not recorded this transaction in its financial statement as the case is not yet finally settled. The case was ultimately settled against the company resulting in to payment of damages of ₹ 12 lakhs to the customer on 15 th May, 20X2. The financials have been authorized by the Board of Directors in its meeting held on 18<sup>th</sup> May, 20X2.

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance of the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment along with working for the same.

#### Answer 2

The above treatment needs to be examined in the light of the provisions given in Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets' and Ind AS 10 'Events After the Reporting Period'.

Para 10 of Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets' defines:

"Provision is a liability of uncertain timing or amount.

Liability is a present obligation of the entity arising from past events, the settlement of which is expected to result in an outflow from the entity of resources embodying economic benefits".

Further, paragraph 14 of Ind AS 37, states:

"A provision shall be recognised when:

- (a) an entity has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;
- (b) it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation; and
- (c) a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation".

Further, paragraph 36 of Ind AS 37, states:

"The amount recognised as a provision shall be the best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the present obligation at the end of the reporting period".

Further, paragraph 3 of Ind AS 10 'Events after the Reporting Period' defines:

- "Events after the reporting period are those events, favourable and unfavourable, that occur between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue. Two types of events can be identified:
- (a) those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period (adjusting events after the reporting period); and
- (b) those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting period (non-adjusting events after the reporting period).

Further, paragraph 8 of Ind AS 10 states that:

"An entity shall adjust the amounts recognised in its financial statements to reflect adjusting events after the reporting period."

The Accountant of Sun Ltd. has not recognised the provision and accordingly not adjusted the amounts recognised in its financial statements to reflect adjusting events after the reporting period is not correct and nor in accordance with provision of Ind AS 37 and Ind AS 10.

As per given facts, the potential payment of damages to the customer is an obligation arising out of a past event which can be reliably estimated. Therefore, following the provision of Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets' – a provision is required. The provision should be for the best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the obligation at  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2 which comes to ₹ 7.5 lakhs (₹ 10 lakhs x 75%).

Further, following the principles of Ind AS 10 'Events After the Reporting Period' evidence of the settlement amount is an adjusting event. Therefore, the amount of provision created shall be increased to ₹ 12 lakhs and accordingly be recognised as a current liability.

#### Question 3

Mercury Ltd. is an entity engaged in plantation and farming on a large scale diversified across India. On  $1^{\text{st}}$  April, 20X1, the company has received a government grant for  $\stackrel{?}{}$ 10 lakhs subject to a condition that it will continue to engage in plantation of eucalyptus tree for a coming period of five years. Eucalyptus trees are not considered as bearer plant in this case.

The management has a reasonable assurance that the entity will comply with condition of engaging in the plantation of eucalyptus tree for specified period of five years and accordingly it recognises proportionate grant for  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 2$  lakhs in Statement of Profit and Loss as income following the principles laid down under Ind AS 20 Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance.

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the management is in compliance of the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment alongwith

# working for the same.

#### Answer 3

As per given facts, the company is engaged in plantation and farming. Hence Ind AS 41 Agriculture shall be applicable to this company.

The above facts need to be examined in the light of the provisions given in Ind AS 20 'Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance' and Ind AS 41 'Agriculture'.

Para 2(d) of Ind AS 20 'Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance' states:

"This Standard does not deal with government grants covered by Ind AS 41, Agriculture".

Further, paragraph 1 (c) of Ind AS 41 'Agriculture', states:

"This Standard shall be applied to account for the government grants covered by paragraphs 34 and 35 when they relate to agricultural activity".

Further, paragraph 1 (c) of Ind AS 41 'Agriculture', states:

"If a government grant related to a biological asset measured at its fair value less costs to sell is conditional, including when a government grant requires an entity not to engage in specified agricultural activity, an entity shall recognise the government grant in profit or loss when, and only when, the conditions attaching to the government grant are met".

Understanding of the given facts, The Company has recognised the proportionate grant for ₹2 lakhs in Statement of Profit and Loss before the conditions attaching to government grant are met which is not correct and nor in accordance with provision of Ind AS 41 'Agriculture'.

Accordingly, the accounting treatment of government grant received by the Mercury Ltd. is governed by the provision of Ind AS 41 'Agriculture' rather Ind AS 20 'Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance'.

Government grant for ₹ 10 lakhs shall be recognised in profit or loss when, and only when, the conditions attaching to the government grant are met i.e. after the expiry of specified period of five years of continuing engagement in the plantation of eucalyptus tree.

# Balance Sheet extracts showing the presentation of Government Grant

as on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	₹
Liabilities	
Non-Current liabilities	
Other Non-Current Liabilities	
Government Grants	10,00,00
	0

#### Question 4

Mercury Ltd. has sold goods to Mars Ltd. at a consideration of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  10 lakhs, the receipt of which receivable in three equal installments of  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  3,33,333 over a two year period (receipts on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X1, 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 and 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X3).

The company is offering a discount of 5 % (i.e.  $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$  50,000) if payment is made in full at the time of sale. The sale agreement reflects an implicit interest rate of 5.36% p.a.

The total consideration to be received from such sale is at  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  10 Lakhs and hence, the management has recognised the revenue from sale of goods for  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  10 lakhs.

Further, the management is of the view that there is no difference in this aspect between Indian GAAP and Ind AS.

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance of the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment along with working for the same.

#### Answer 4

The revenue from sale of goods shall be recognised at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable. The fair value of the consideration is determined by discounting all future receipts using an imputed rate of interest where the receipt is deferred beyond normal credit terms. The difference between the fair value and the nominal amount of the consideration is recognised as interest revenue The fair value of consideration (cash price equivalent) of the sale of goods is calculated as follows:

Year	Consideration (Installment)	Present value factor	Present value of consideration
Time of sale	3,33,333	-	3,33,333
End of 1st year	3,33,333	0.949	3,16,333
End of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year	3,33,334	0.901	3,00,334
	10,00,000		9,50,000

The Company that agrees for deferring the cash inflow from sale of goods will recognise the revenue from sale of goods and finance income as follows:

ise the revenue from saic of goods and infance income as follows.				
Initial recognition of sale of goods		₹	₹	
Cash	Dr.	3,33,333		
Trade Receivable	Dr.	6,16,667		
To Sale			9,50,000	
Recognition of interest expense and receipt of second installment				
Cash	Dr.	3,33,333		
To Interest Income			33,053	
To Trade Receivable			3,00,280	
Recognition of interest				
expense and				
payment of final installment				
Cash	Dr.	3,33,334		
To Interest Income (Balancing figure)			16,947	
To Trade Receivable			3,16,387	

# Statement of Profit and Loss (extracts)

for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3

	As at 31st March, 20X2	As at 31st March, 20X3
Income		
Sale of Goods	9,50,000	-
Other Income (Finance income)	33,053	16,947

Balance Sheet (extracts) as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 and 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X3

As at 31<sup>st</sup> March, As at 31<sup>st</sup> March,

		20X2	20X3
Assets			
Current Assets			
Financial Assets	Trade		
Receivables		3,16,387	XXX

#### Question 5

Master Creator Private Limited (a subsidiary of listed company) is an Indian company to whom Ind AS are applicable. Following draft balance sheet is prepared by the accountant for year ending 31st March 20X2.

Balance Sheet of Master Creator Private Limited as at 31st March, 20X2

Balance Sheet of Master Creator Private Limited as a	t 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2
Particulars	₹
ASSETS	
Non-current assets	
Property, plant and equipment Financial assets	85,37,500
Other financial assets (Security deposits)	4,62,500
Other non-current assets (capital advances)	17,33,480
Deferred tax assets	2,54,150
Current assets	
Trade receivables	7,25,000
Inventories	5,98,050
Financial assets	
Investments	55,000
Other financial assets	2,17,370
Cash and cash equivalents	1,16,950
TOTAL ASSETS	1,27,00,000
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	
Equity share capital	10,00,000
Non-current liabilities	
Other Equity	25,00,150
Deferred tax liability	4,74,850
Borrowings	64,00,000
Long term provisions	5,24,436
Current liabilities	
Financial liabilities	
Other financial liabilities	2,00,564
Trade payables	6,69,180
Current tax liabilities	9,30,820
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	1,27,00,000

#### **Additional Information:**

1. On 1 st April 20X1, 8% convertible loan with a nominal value of ₹64,00,000 was issued by the entity. It is redeemable on 31st March 20X5 also at par. Alternatively, it may be converted into equity shares on the basis of 100 new shares for each ₹200 worth of loan.

An equivalent loan without the conversion option would have carried interest at 10%. Interest of ₹ 5,12,000 has already been paid and included as a finance

cost.

Present Value (PV) rates are as follows:

2	0.86	0.83
3	0.79	0.75
4	0.73	0.68

- 2. After the reporting period, the board of directors have recommended dividend of
- ₹50,000 for the year ending 31 st March, 20X1. However, the same has not been yet accounted by the company in its financials.
- 3. 'Other current financial liabilities' consists of the following:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Wages payable	21,890
Salary payable	61,845
TDS payable	81,265
Interest accrued on trade payables	35,564

4. Property, Plant and Equipment consists following items:

		Demont consists following items.
Particulars	Amount	Remarks
	(₹)	
Building	37,50,250	It is held for administration purposes
Land	15,48,150	It is held for capital appreciation
Vehicles	12,37,500	These are used as the conveyance for employees
Factory premises	20,01,600	• •

5. The composition of 'other current financial assets' is as follows:

Particulars	Amount (Rs.)
Interest accrued on bank deposits	57,720
Prepaid expenses	90,000
Royalty receivable from dealers	69,650

- 6. Current Investments consist of securities held for trading which are carried at fair value through profit & loss. Investments were purchased on 1<sup>st</sup> January,20X2 at ₹55,000 and accordingly are shown at cost as at 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X2. The fair value of said investments as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 20X2 is ₹60,000.
- 7. Trade payables and Trade receivables are due within 12 months.
- 8. There has been no changes in equity share capital during the year.
- 9. Entity has the intention to set off a deferred tax asset against a deferred tax liability as they relate to income taxes levied by the same taxation authority and the entity has a legally enforceable right to set off taxes.
- 10.Other Equity consists retained earnings only. The opening balance of retained earnings was ₹21,25,975 as at 1<sup>st</sup> April 20X1.

- 11. No dividend has been actually paid by company during the year.
- 12. Assume that the deferred tax impact, if any on account of above adjustments is correctly calculated in financials.

Being Finance & Accounts manager, you are required to identify the errors and misstatements if any in the balance sheet of Master Creator Private Limited and prepare corrected balance sheet with details on the face of the balance sheet i.e. no need to prepare notes to accounts, after considering the additional information. Provide necessary explanations/workings for the treated items, wherever necessary.

Answer 5

Balance Sheet of Master Creator Private Limited as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2

Particulars	Working/ Note reference	(₹)
ASSETS		
Non-current assets		
Property, plant and equipment	1	49,87,750
Capital work-in-progress investment	2	20,01,600
Property		
Financial assets	3	15,48,150
Other financial assets (Security deposits)		
Other		
non-current assets (capital advances)		4,62,500
Current assets	4	17,33,480
Inventories		5,98,050
Financial assets		
Investments (55,000 + 5,000)	5	60,000
Trade		
receivables	6	7,25,000
Cash and cash equivalents	7	1,16,950
Other		
financial assets	8	1,27,370
Other current assets (Prepaid expenses)	8	90,000
TOTAL ASSETS		
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		1,24,50,850
Equity		
Equity share capital Other		
equity	A	10,00,000
Non-current liabilities		
Financial liabilities	В	28,44,606
8% Convertible loan	11	60,60,544
Long term provisions		5,24,436
Deferred tax liability	12	2,20,700
Current liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Trade payables	13	6,69,180

# $_{\rm age}1410$

# Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

Other financial liabilities	14	1,19,299
Other current liabilities (TDS payable)	15	81,265
Current tax liabilities		9,30,820
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		1,24,50,850

# Statement of changes in equity For the year ended 31st March,20X2

A. Equity Share Capital

	Balance (₹)
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1	10,00,000
Changes in equity share capital during the year	-
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	10,00,000

B. Other Equity

S. Other Equity	Retained Earnings (₹)	Equity component of Compound Financial Instrument (₹)	Total (₹)
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1	21,25,975	-	21,25,975
Total comprehensive income for			
the year (25,00,150 + 5,000 - 85,504- 21,25,975)	2,93,671	-	2,93,671
Issue of compound financial			
instrument during the year	-	4,24,960	4,24,960
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	24,19,646	4,24,960	28,44,606

## Disclosure forming part of Financial Statements:

Proposed dividend on equity shares is subject to the approval of the shareholders of the company at the annual general meeting and not recognized as liability as at the Balance Sheet date. (Note 9)

#### Notes/ Workings: (for adjustments/ explanations)

- 1. Property, plant and equipment are tangible items that: (a) are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes; and (b) are expected to be used during more than one period. Therefore, the items of PPE are Buildings (₹ 37,50,250) and Vehicles (₹ 12,37,500), since those assets are held for administrative purposes.
- 2. Property, plant and equipment which are not ready for intended use as on the date of Balance Sheet are disclosed as "Capital work-in-progress". It would be classified from PPE to Capital work-in-progress.
- 3. Investment property is property (land or a building—or part of a building—or both) held (by the owner or by the lessee as a right-of-use asset) to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:
  - (a) use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes; or
  - (b) sale in the ordinary course of business.
  - Therefore, Land held for capital appreciation should be classified as Investment property rather than PPE.

- 4. Assets for which the future economic benefit is the receipt of goods or services, rather than the right to receive cash or another financial asset, are not financial assets.
- 5. Current investments here are held for the purpose of trading. Hence, it is a financial asset classified as FVTPL. Any gain in its fair value will be recognised through profit or loss. Hence, ₹ 5,000 (60,000 − 55,000) increase in fair value of financial asset will be recognised in profit and loss.
- 6. A contractual right to receive cash or another financial asset from another entity is a financial asset. Trade receivables is a financial asset in this case and hence should be reclassified.
- 7. Cash is a financial asset. Hence it should be reclassified.
- 8. Other current financial assets:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
terest accrued on bank deposits	57,720
Royalty receivable from dealers	69,650
Total	1,27,370

Prepaid expenses does not result into receipt of any cash or financial asset. However, it results into future goods or services. Hence, it is not a financial asset.

- 9. As per Ind AS 10, 'Events after the Reporting Period', If dividends are declared after the reporting period but before the financial statements are approved for issue, the dividends are not recognized as a liability at the end of the reporting period because no obligation exists at that time. Such dividends are disclosed in the notes in accordance with Ind AS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements.
- 10. Other Equity' cannot be shown under 'Non-current liabilities'. Accordingly, it is reclassified under 'Equity'.
- 11. There are both 'equity' and 'debt' features in the instrument. An obligation to pay cash i.e. interest at 8% per annum and a redemption amount will be treated as 'financial liability' while option to convert the loan into equity shares is the equity element in the instrument. Therefore, convertible loan is a compound financial instrument.

# Calculation of debt and equity component and amount to be recognised in the books:

S. No	Year	Interest amount @ 8%	Discountin g factor @ 10%	
Year 1	20X2	5,12,00	0.9 1	4,65,920
Year 2	20X3	X3 5,12,00 0.8 0 3		4,24,960
Year 3	20X4	5,12,00 0	0.7 5	3,84,000
Year 4	20X5	69,12,0 00	0.6 8	47,00,160
Amount	59,75,040			
Initial p	(64,00,000)			
Amount	4,24,960			

<sup>\*</sup> In year 4, the loan note will be redeemed; therefore, the cash outflow would be  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}69,12,000$  ( $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}64,00,000 + \stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{$}}5,12,000$ ).

# Presentation in the Financial Statements:

In Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended on 31 March 20X2

Finance cost to be recognised in the Statement of	₹5,97,504
Profit and Loss (59,75,040 x 10%)	
Less: Already charged to the Statement of Profit and	(₹5,12,000)
Loss	
Additional finance charge required to be recognized in	
the Statement of Profit and Loss	₹85,504

In Balance Sheet as at 31 March 20X2

Equity and Liabilities	
Equity	
Other Equity (8% convertible loan)	4,24,960
Non-current liability	
Financial liability [8% convertible loan - [(59,75,040+	60,60,544
5,97,504–	
5,12,000)]	

1. Since entity has the intention to set off deferred tax asset against deferred tax liability and the entity has a legally enforceable right to set off taxes, hence their balance on net basis should be shown as:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Deferred tax liability	4,74,850
Deferred tax asset	(2,54,150)
Deferred tax liability (net)	2,20,700

- 2. A liability that is a contractual obligation to deliver cash or another financial asset to another entity is a financial liability. Trade payables is a financial liability in this case.
- 3. 'Other current financial liabilities':

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Wages payable	21,890
Salary payable	61,845
Interest accrued on trade payables	35,564
Total	1,19,299

4. Liabilities for which there is no contractual obligation to deliver cash or other financial asset to another entity, are not financial liabilities. Hence, TDS payable should be reclassified from 'Other current financial liabilities' to 'Other current liabilities' since it is not a contractual obligation.

#### Question 6

HIM Limited having net worth of  $\stackrel{?}{\sim} 250$  crores is required to adopt Ind AS from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 20X2 in accordance with the Companies (Indian Accounting Standard) Rules 2015.

Rahul, the senior manager, of HIM Ltd. has identified following issues which need specific attention of CFO so that opening Ind AS balance sheet as on the date of transition can be prepared:

Issue 1: As part of Property, Plant and Equipment, Company has elected to measure land at its fair value and want to use this fair value as deemed cost on the date of transition. The carrying value of land as on the date of transition

was ₹ 5,00,000. The land was acquired for a consideration of ₹ 5,00,000. However, the fair value of land as on the date of transition was ₹8,00,000.

Issue 2: Under Ind AS, the Company has designated mutual funds as investments at fair value through profit or loss. The value of mutual funds as per previous GAAP was ₹4,00,000 (at cost). However, the fair value of mutual funds as on the date of transition was ₹5,00,000.

Issue 3: Company had taken a loan from another entity. The loan carries an interest rate of 7% and it had incurred certain transaction costs while obtaining the same. It was carried at cost on its initial recognition. The principal amount is to be repaid in equal instalments over the period of loan. Interest is also payable at each year end. The fair value of loan as on the date of transition is ₹1,80,000 as against the carrying amount of loan which at present equals ₹ 2,00,000.

Issue 4: The company has declared dividend of ₹30,000 for last financial year. On the date of transition, the declared dividend has already been deducted by the accountant from the company's 'Reserves & Surplus' and the dividend payable has been grouped under 'Provisions'. The dividend was only declared by board of directors at that time and it was not approved in the annual general meeting of shareholders. However, subsequently when the meeting was held it was ratified by the shareholders.

The company had acquired intangible assets as trademarks Issue 5: amounting to ₹2,50,000. The company assumes to have indefinite life of these assets. The fair value of the intangible assets as on the date of transition was ₹ 3,00,000. However, the company wants to carry the intangible assets at ₹ 2,50,000 only.

Issue 6: After consideration of possible effects as per Ind AS, the deferred tax impact is computed as ₹ 25,000. This amount will further increase the portion of deferred tax liability. There is no requirement to carry out the separate calculation of deferred tax on account of Ind AS adjustments.

Management wants to know the impact of Ind AS in the financial statements of company for its general understanding.

Prepare Ind AS Impact Analysis Report (Extract) for HIM Limited for presentation to the management wherein you are required to discuss the corresponding differences between Earlier IGAAP (AS) and Ind AS against each identified issue for preparation of transition date balance sheet. Also pass journal entry for each issue.

#### Answer 6

Assessment of Preliminary Impact Assessment of Transition to Ind AS on Him Limited's Financial Statements

Issue 1: Fair value as deemed cost for property plant and equipment:

II I WII VUIU	<del>c</del> us u	icemed cost for property plant and equipment.
Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)		Ind AS Impact on Company's financial statements
As per AS	10,	Ind AS 101 allows The company has decided to entity to adopt
Property, and	Plant	elect to measure fair value as deemed cost in Property, this
Equipment	is	Plant and Equipment case. Since fair value exceeds on book
recognised	at	the transition date at value, so the book value

cost	its				shoul	d t	e			
less depreciation.	fair val	ue or	previou	เร	broug	ght	up	to	fair	value.
										The
	GAAP	carryir	ng valu	.e	result	ing	ir	npact	of	fair
					valua	tior	ı of	_		
	(book	valı	ue)	as	land	₹	3,00	,000	shou	ıld be
	deemed	[	•		adjus	ted				
	cost.				in oth	er e	quity			

Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars	Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Property Plant and Equipment Dr.	3,00,000	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI- Other	•	3,00,000
Equity)		

# Issue 2: Fair valuation of Financial Assets:

: Fair valuation of Financial Assets:				
Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)	Ind AS	Impact on Company's financial statements		
Standard, investments are	investments are	Investment in subsidiaries, associates and JVs' which are recorded at cost) are initially recognized at fair value.  The subsequent measurement of such assets are based on its categorization either Fair Value through Profit & Loss		
T 1 T3 - 4	r on the data of twansi	· 4 •		

Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars		Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Investment in mutual funds	Dr.	1,00,000	
To Retained earnings			1,00,000

Issue 3: Borrowings - Processing fees/transaction cost:

Accounting	Ind AS	Impact	on
Standards		Company's	financial
(Erstwhile IGAAP)		statements	

1414

As per AS, such expenditure is charged to Profit and loss account or capitalised as the case may be

per AS, As Ind such expenditure is amortised over the period of the loan. Ind AS 101 states that if it is impracticable for an entity to apply retrospectively effective interest method in Ind AS 109, the fair value of the financial asset or the financial liability at the date of transition to Ind AS shall be the new gross carrying amount of that financial asset or the new amortised of that financial cost liability.

Fair value as on the date oftransition is ₹1,80,000 as against its book value of ₹ 2,00,000. Accordingly, the difference of ₹20,000 is adjusted through retained earnings.

Journal Entry on the date of transition

obtinui Birty on the date of transition					
Particulars	Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)			
Borrowings / Loan payable Dr. To Retained earnings	20,000	20,000			

Issue 4: Proposed dividend:

Accounting Standards	Ind AS	Impact on Company's financial statements
(Erstwhile		
As per AS, provision for proposed divided	proposed dividend is recognised in the year in which it has	from retained earnings during the year when it has been declared and approved. Therefore, the provision declared for preceding year should be reversed (to rectify the wrong entry). Retained
		earnings would increase proportionately due to such adjustment

Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars		Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Provisions	Dr.	30,000	
To Retained earnings			30,000

Issue 5: Intangible assets:

Accounting	Ind AS	Impacton
Standard		Company's
s (Erstwhile IGAAP)		financial statements

Page 1415

The useful life of an intangible asset cannot indefinite under principles. IGAAP The Company amortised brand/trademark on a straight line basis over maximum of 10 years as per AS 26.

The useful life of an intangible asset like brand/trademark can indefinite. Not. required to be amortised and only tested for impairment. Company can avail the exemption given in Ind AS 101 as on the date of transition to use the carrying value as per previous GAAP.

Consequently, there would be no impact as on the date of transition since company intends to use the carrying amount instead of book value at the date of transition.

#### Issue 6: Deferred tax

Accounting Standard s (Erstwhile IGAAP)	Ind AS		Impact or Company's financial statements
As per AS, deferred taxes	As per deferred	Ind AS,	On date of transition to
are accounted as per income	taxes are as per	accounted	Ind AS, deferred ta
statement approach.	balance approach.	sheet	liability would be
			increased by 25,000.

Journal Entry on the date of transition

couring birthy on the date of transition					
Particulars		Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)		
Retained earnings	Dr.	25,000			
To Deferred tax liability			25,000		

#### **Ouestion 7 Illustration**

On 1 April 20X1, Star Limited has advanced a housing loan of ₹ 15 lakhs to one of its employees at an interest rate of 6% per annum which is repayable in 5 equal annual installments along with interest at each year end. Employee is not required to give any specific performance against this benefit. The market rate of similar loan for housing finance by banks is 10% per annum.

The accountant of the company has recognized the staff loan in the balance sheet equivalent to the amount of housing loan disbursed i.e. ₹ 15 lakhs. The interest income for the year is recognized at the contracted rate in the Statement of Profit and Loss by the company i.e. ₹ 90,000 (6% of ₹ 15 lakhs).

Analyze whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance with the relevant Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment of housing loan, interest and other expenses in the financial statements of Star Limited for the year 20X1-20X2 along with workings and applicable Ind AS.

You are required to explain how the housing loan should be reflected in the Ind AS compliant Balance Sheet of Star Limited on 31 March 20X2.

**Answer 7** 

The accounting treatment made by the accountant is not in compliance with Ind AS 109 Financial Instruments'. As per Ind AS 109, at initial recognition, an entity shall measure a financial asset or financial liability at its fair value. The fair value of a financial instrument at initial recognition is normally the transaction price i.e. the fair value of the consideration given or received.

After initial recognition, an entity shall measure a financial asset either at amortised cost or at fair value through profit and loss or fair value through other comprehensive income.

Here, the loan given to employee is not at market rate. Hence, the fair value of the loan will not be equal to its initial loan proceeds. As per Ind AS 109, a financial instrument is initially measured and recorded in the books at its fair value. Further, interest income to be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss will be the finance income recognised at effective rate of interest i.e. @ 10% and not the rate of interest charged by the company i.e. @ 6%.

The correct accounting treatment as per Ind AS 109 will be as under:

For measuring the fair value or present value of the loan at initial recognition, market rate of interest of similar loan is considered (level 1 observable input) ie @ 10%, to discount the cash outflows.

The fair value of the loan shall be as follows:

Da	ate	Outstandin g loan	Principa 1	Interest income @ 6%	Total inflow	Discount factor @ 10%	PV
31	March	15,00,000	3,00,00	90,000	3,90,000	0.909	3,54,51
20X2			0				0
31	March	12,00,000	3,00,00	72,000	3,72,000	0.826	3,07,27
20X3			0				2
31	March	9,00,000	3,00,00	54,000	3,54,000	0.751	2,65,85
20X4			0				4
31	March	6,00,000	3,00,00	36,000	3,36,000	0.683	2,29,48
20X5			0				8
31	March	3,00,000	3,00,00	18,000	3,18,000	0.621	1,97,47
20X6			0				8
Fair va	alue of t	the loan					13,54,6
							02

As per Ind AS 19, employee benefits are all forms of consideration given by an entity in exchange for service rendered by employees or for termination of employment. Difference of loan proceeds and present value of the loan (fair value) will be treated as prepaid employee cost irrespective of the fact that employee is not required to give any specific performance against this benefit. This is because employee is required to be in service of the company to continue availing the benefits of concessional rate of interest on housing loan. Practically, once the employee leaves the organisation, they have to repay the outstanding loan because the company provides the loan at concessional rate of interest only to its employees. Hence, it is an employee benefit given by the company to its employees. This deemed employee cost of ₹ 1,45,398 (15,00,000 − 13,54,602) will be deferred and amortised over the period of loan on straight line basis.

Calculation of amortised cost of loan to employees

Financia 1 year ending on 31 March		be	(including	Amortised cost (closing balance)
20X2	13,54,602	1,35,460	3,90,000	11,00,062
20X3	11,00,062	1,10,006	3,72,000	8,38,068
20X4	8,38,068	83,807	3,54,000	5,67,875
20X5	5,67,875	56,788	3,36,000	2,88,663
20X6	2,88,663	29,337*	3,18,000	-

<sup>\* 2,88,663</sup> x 10% = ₹28,866. Difference of ₹471 (29,337 – 28,866) is due to approximation in computation.

# Journal Entries to be recorded at every period end:

# 1. On 1 April 20X1

Particulars		Dr. Amount (₹)	Cr. Amount (₹)
Loan to employee A/c	Or.	13,54,602	
Prepaid employee cost A/c	Or.	1,45,398	
To Bank A/c			15,00,0 00
(Being loan asset recorded at initial fair value)			

#### 2. On 31 March 20X2

2. On 31 warch 20X2		
Particulars	Dr. Amount (₹)	Cr. Amount (₹)
Bank A/c Dr.	3,90,000	
To Finance income A/c (profit and loss) @10%	þ	1,35,460
To Loan to employee A/c		2,54,540
(Being first instalment of repayment of loan accounted for using the amortised cost and effective interest rate @ 10%)		
Employee benefit cost (profit and loss) A/c Dr.	29,080	
To Prepaid employee cost A/c (1,45,398/5)		29,080
(Being amortization of pre-paid employee cost charged to profit and loss as employee benefit cost)		

# The Following housing loan balances should appear in the financial statements:

# Extracts of Balance sheet of Star Ltd. as at 31 March 20X2

Non-current asset	
Financial asset	
Loan to employee (11,00,062 – 3,72,000 + 1,10,006)	8,38,068
Other non-current asset	
Prepaid employee cost	87,238
Current asset	
Financial asset	

Loan to employee (3,72,000-1,10,006)	2,61,994
Other current asset	
Prepaid employee cost	29,080

Deferred tax on temporary differences arising on the above-mentioned account balances (appearing in the balance sheet) should be recognised. However, in the absence of any tax rate in the question no deferred tax has been recognised.

#### **Question 8 Illustration**

Pluto Ltd. has purchased a manufacturing plant for ₹ 6 lakhs on 1st April, 20X1. The useful life of the plant is 10 years. On 30<sup>th</sup> September, 20X3, Pluto temporarily stops using the manufacturing plant because demand has declined. However, the plant is maintained in a workable condition and it will be used in future when demand picks up.

The accountant of Pluto ltd. decided to treat the plant as held for sale until the demands picks up and accordingly measures the plant at lower of carrying amount and fair value less cost to sell.

Also, the accountant has also stopped charging the depreciation for the rest of period considering the plant as held for sale. The fair value less cost to sell on 30<sup>th</sup> September, 20X3 and 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4 was ₹ 4 lakhs and ₹ 3.5 lakhs respectively.

Th

The accountant has perform	ed the following working	<b>g:</b> ₹
Carrying amount on		
initial classification as		
held for sale		
Purchase Price of Plant	6,00,000	
Less: Accumulated dep	(1,50,000)	4,50,000
(6,00,000/ 10 Years) x 2.5		
years		
Fair Value less cost to sell		4,00,000
as on 30 <sup>th</sup> September,		
20X3		
The value will be lower of		4,00,000
the above two		

Balance Sheet extracts as on 318t March 2014

balance sheet extracts as on 51° march, 2014	
Assets	
Current Assets Other Current Assets Assets classified as held for sale	3,50,000

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance with the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment alongwith the necessary workings.

#### Answer 8

The above treatment needs to be examined in the light of the provisions given in Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment' and Ind AS 105 'Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations'.

Para 6 of Ind AS 105 'Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations' states that:

"An entity shall classify a non-current asset (or disposal group) as held for sale if its carrying amount will be recovered principally through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use".

# Paragraph 7 of Ind AS 105 states that:

"For this to be the case, the asset (or disposal group) must be available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets (or disposal groups) and its sale must be highly probable. Thus, an asset (or disposal group) cannot be classified as a non-current asset (or disposal group) held for sale, if the entity intends to sell it in a distant future".

# Further, paragraph 8 of Ind AS 105 states that:

"For the sale to be highly probable, the appropriate level of management must be committed to a plan to sell the asset (or disposal group), and an active programme to locate a buyer and complete the plan must have been initiated. Further, the asset (or disposal group) must be actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value. In addition, the sale should be expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year from the date of classification and actions required to complete the plan should indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn."

### Paragraph 13 of Ind AS 105 states that:

"An entity shall not classify as held for sale a non-current asset (or disposal group) that is to be abandoned. This is because its carrying amount will be recovered principally through continuing use."

# Paragraph 14 of Ind AS 105 states that:

"An entity shall not account for a non-current asset that has been temporarily taken out of use as if it had been abandoned."

#### Paragraph 55 of Ind AS 16 states that:

"Depreciation does not cease when the asset becomes idle or is retired from active use unless the asset is fully depreciated."

Going by the guidance given above,

The Accountant of Pluto Ltd. has treated the plant as held for sale and measured it at the fair value less cost to sell. Also, the depreciation has not been charged thereon since the date of classification as held for sale which is not correct and not in accordance with Ind AS 105 and Ind AS 16.

Accordingly, the manufacturing plant should neither be treated as abandoned asset nor as held for sale because its carrying amount will be principally recovered through continuous use. Pluto Ltd. shall not stop charging depreciation or treat the plant as held for sale because its carrying amount will be recovered principally through continuing use to the end of their economic life.

The working of the same for presenting in the balance sheet is given as below:

Calculation of carrying amount as on 31st March, 20X4	
Purchase Price of Plant	6,00,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation (6,00,000/ 10 Years) x 3 Years	(1,80,000
	4,20,000
Less: Impairment loss	(70,000)
	2 50 000
	3,50,000

Page 1420

# Balance Sheet extracts as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X4

Dalance Sheet extracts as on 51 march, 2014	
Assets	
Non-Current Assets	
Property, Plant and Equipment	3,50,00
	0

#### Working Note:

Fair value less cost to sell of the Plant = ₹3,50,000

Value in Use (not given) or = Nil (since plant has temporarily not been used for

manufacturing due to decline in demand)

Recoverable amount = higher of above i.e. ₹3,50,000

Impairment loss = Carrying amount - Recoverable amount

Impairment loss = ₹4,20,000 - ₹3,50,000 = ₹70,000.

# **Question 9 Illustration**

On  $5^{th}$  April, 20X2, fire damaged a consignment of inventory at one of the Jupiter's Ltd.'s warehouse. This inventory had been manufactured prior to  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2 costing  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  8 lakhs. The net realisable value of the inventory prior to the damage was estimated at  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  9.60 lakhs. Because of the damage caused to the consignment of inventory, the company was required to spend an additional amount of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  2 lakhs on repairing and re-packaging of the inventory. The inventory was sold on  $15^{th}$  May, 20X2 for proceeds of  $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{}}$  9 lakhs. The accountant of Jupiter Ltd treats this event as an adjusting event and adjusted this event of causing the damage to the inventory in its financial statement and accordingly re-measures the inventories as follows:

Cost	8.00
Net realisable value (9.6 -2)	7.60
Inventories (lower of cost and net realisable value)	7.60

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant in regard to financial year ending on 31.0.20X2 is in compliance of the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment along with working for the same.

#### Answer 9

The above treatment needs to be examined in the light of the provisions given in Ind AS 10

'Events after the Reporting Period' and Ind AS 2 'Inventories'.

**Para 3 of Ind AS 10** 'Events after the Reporting Period' defines "Events after the reporting period are those events, favourable and unfavourable, that occur between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue. Two types of events can be identified:

- (a) those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period (adjusting events after the reporting period); and
- (b) those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting period (non-adjusting events after the reporting period).

# Further, paragraph 10 of Ind AS 10 states that:

"An entity shall not adjust the amounts recognised in its financial statements to reflect non-adjusting events after the reporting period".

Further, paragraph 6 of Ind AS 2 defines:

1471

"Net realisable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business less the estimated costs of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale".

# Further, paragraph 9 of Ind AS 2 states that:

"Inventories shall be measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value". Accountant of Jupiter Ltd. has re-measured the inventories after adjusting the event in its financial statement which is not correct and nor in accordance with provision of Ind AS 2 and Ind AS 10.

Accordingly, the event causing the damage to the inventory occurred after the reporting date and as per the principles laid down under Ind AS 10 'Events After the Reporting Date' is a non- adjusting event as it does not affect conditions at the reporting date. Non-adjusting events are not recognised in the financial statements, but are disclosed where their effect is material.

Therefore, as per the provisions of Ind AS 2 and Ind AS 10, the consignment of inventories shall be recorded in the Balance Sheet at a value of ₹ 8 Lakhs calculated below:

₹' lakhs

Cost	8.00
Net realisable value	9.60
Inventories (lower of cost and net realisable value)	8.00

#### Question 10 Illustration

On 1st April, 20X1, Sun Ltd. has acquired 100% shares of Earth Ltd. for `30 lakhs. Sun Ltd. has 3 cash-generating units A, B and C with fair value of `12 lakhs, `8 lakhs and `4 lakhs respectively. The company recognizes goodwill of Rs 6 lakhs that relates to CGU 'C' only.

During the financial year 20X2-20X3, the CFO of the company has a view that there is no requirement of any impairment testing for any CGU since their recoverable amount is comparatively higher than the carrying amount and believes there is no indicator of impairment.

Analyse whether the view adopted by the CFO of Sun Ltd is in compliance of the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment in accordance with relevant Ind AS

#### Solution 10

The above treatment needs to be examined in the light of the provisions given in Ind AS 36: Impairment of Assets.

**Para 9 of Ind AS 36** 'Impairment of Assets 'states that "An entity shall assess at the end of each reporting period whether there is any indication that an asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the entity shall estimate the recoverable amount of the asset."

#### Further, paragraph 10(b) of Ind AS 36 states that:

"Irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, an entity shall also test goodwill acquired in a business combination for impairment annually." Sun Ltd has not tested any CGU on account of not having any indication of impairment is partially correct i.e. in respect of CGU A and B but not for CGU C. Hence, the treatment made by the Company is not in accordance with Ind AS 36. Accordingly, impairment testing in respect of CGU A and B are not required since there are no indications of impairment. However, Sun Ltd shall test CGU C irrespective of any indication of impairment annually as the goodwill acquired on business combination is fully allocated to CGU 'C'.

# Question 11 Illustration

Deepak started a new company Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. with Iktara Ltd. wherein investment of 55% is done by Iktara Ltd. and rest by Deepak. Voting powers are to be given as per the proportionate share of capital contribution. The new company formed was the subsidiary of Iktara Ltd. with two directors, and Deepak eventually becomes one of the directors of company. A consultant was hired and he charged ₹ 30,000 for the incorporation of company and to do other necessary statuary registrations. ₹ 30,000 is to be charged as an expense in the books after incorporation of company. The company, Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. was incorporated on  $1^{st}$  April 20X1.

The financials of Iktara Ltd. are prepared as per Ind AS.

An accountant who was hired at the time of company's incorporation, has prepared the draft financials of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. for the year ending 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 as follows:

#### Statement of Profit and Loss

Statement of Profit and Loss		
Particulars	Amount (₹)	
Revenue from operations	10,00,000	
Other Income	1,00,000	
Total Revenue (a)	11,00,000	
Expenses:		
Purchase of stock in trade	5,00,000	
(Increase)/Decrease in stock in trade	(50,000)	
Employee benefits expense	1,75,000	
Depreciation	30,000	
Other expenses	90,000	
Total Expenses (b)	7,45,000	
Profit before tax (c) = (a)-(b)	3,55,000	
Current tax	1,06,500	
Deferred tax	6,000	
Total tax expense (d)	1,12,500	
Profit for the year (e) = (c) - (d)	2,42,500	

#### **Balance Sheet**

Particulars	Amount (₹)
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	
(1) Shareholders' Funds	
(a) Share Capital	1,00,000
(b) Reserves & Surplus	2,27,500
(2) Non-Current Liabilities	
(a) Long Term Provisions	25,000
(b) Deferred tax liabilities	6,000
(3) Current Liabilities	
(a) Trade Payables	11,000
(b) Other Current Liabilities	45,000
(c) Short Term Provisions	1,06,500
TOTAL	5,21,000

1473

ASSETS	
(1) Non Current Assets	
(a) Property, plant and equipment (net)	1,00,000
(b) Long-term Loans and Advances	40,000
(c) Other Non Current Assets	50,000
(2) Current Assets	
(a) Current Investment	30,000
(b) Inventories	80,000
(c) Trade Receivables	55,000
(d) Cash and Bank Balances	1,15,000
(e) Other Current Assets	51,000
TOTAL	5,21,000

Additional information of Soft Bharti Pvt Ltd.:

- i. Deferred tax liability of ₹6,000 is created due to following temporary difference: Difference in depreciation amount as per Income tax and Accounting profit
- ii. There is only one property, plant and equipment in the company, whose closing balance as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 20X2 is as follows:

Asset description	As per Books	As per Income tax
Property, plant and equipment	₹1,00,000	₹80,000

- iii. Pre incorporation expenses are deductible on straight line basis over the period of five years as per Income tax. However, the same are immediately expensed off in the books.
- iv. Current tax is calculated at 30% on PBT ₹3,55,000 without doing any adjustments related to Income tax. The correct current tax after doing necessary adjustments of allowances / disallowances related to Income tax comes to ₹1,25,700.
- v. After the reporting period, the directors have recommended dividend of  $\raiset{0.000}$  for the year ending  $31^{st}$  March, 20X2 which has been deducted from reserves and surplus. Dividend payable of  $\raiset{0.000}$  15,000 has been grouped under 'other current liabilities' alongwith other financial liabilities.
- vi. There are 'Government statuary dues' amounting to ₹ 15,000 which are grouped under 'other current liabilities'.
- vii. The capital advances amounting to ₹ 50,000 are grouped under 'Other non-current assets'.
- viii. Other current assets of ₹51,000 comprise Interest receivable from trade receivables.
- ix. Current investment of ₹30,000 is in shares of a company which was done with the purpose of trading; current investment has been carried at cost in the financial statements. The fair value of current investment in this case is ₹ 50,000 as at 31 st March, 20X2.
- x. Actuarial gain on employee benefit measurements of  $\stackrel{?}{}$  1,000 has been omitted in the financials of Softbharti private limited for the year ending 31st March, 20X2.

The financial statements for financial year 20X1-20X2 have not been yet approved.

You are required to ascertain that whether the financial statements of

Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. are correctly presented as per the applicable financial reporting framework. If not, prepare the revised financial statements of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. after the careful analysis of mentioned facts and information.

#### Answer 11

If Ind AS is applicable to any company, then Ind AS shall automatically be made applicable to all the subsidiaries, holding companies, associated companies, and joint ventures of that company, irrespective of individual qualification of set of standards on such companies.

In the given case it has been mentioned that the financials of Iktara Ltd. are prepared as per Ind AS. Accordingly, the results of its subsidiary Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. should also have been prepared as per Ind AS. However, the financials of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. have been presented as per accounting standards (AS).

Hence, it is necessary to revise the financial statements of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. as per Ind AS after the incorporation of necessary adjustments mentioned in the question.

The revised financial statements of Softbharti Pvt. Ltd. as per Ind AS and Division II to Schedule III of the Companies Act, 2013 are as follows:

# STATEMENT OF PROFIT AND LOSS for the year ended 31st March, 20X2

31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	
Particulars	Amount (₹)
Revenue from operations	10,00,000
Other Income (1,00,000 + 20,000) (refer note -1)	1,20,000
Total Revenue	11,20,000
Expenses:	
Purchase of stock in trade	5,00,000
(Increase) / Decrease in stock in trade	(50,000)
Employee benefits expense	1,75,000
Depreciation	30,000
Other expenses	90,000
Total Expenses	7,45,000
Profit before tax	3,75,000
Current tax	1,25,700
Deferred tax (W.N.1)	4,800
Total tax expense	1,30,500
Profit for the year (A)	2,44,500
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	
Items that will not be reclassified to Profit or Loss:	1,000
Remeasurements of net defined benefit plans	
Tax liabilities relating to items that will not be	
reclassified to Profit or Loss	
Remeasurements of net defined benefit plans (tax) [1000 x	(300)
30%]	
Other Comprehensive Income for the period (B)	700
Total Comprehensive Income for the period (A+B)	2,45,200

BALANCE SHEET as at 31st March, 20X2

Particulars	(₹)
ASSETS	
Non-current assets	

1475

Property, plant and equipment	1,00,000
Financial assets	
Other financial assets (Long-term loans and advances)	40,000
Other non-current assets (capital advances) (refer note-2)	50,000
Current assets	
Inventories	80,000
Financial assets	
Investments (30,000 + 20,000) (refer note -1)	50,000
Trade receivables	55,000
Cash and cash equivalents/Bank	1,15,000
Other financial assets (Interest receivable from trade	51,000
receivables)	
TOTAL ASSETS	5,41,000
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	
Equity	
Equity share capital	1,00,000
Other equity	2,45,200
Non-current liabilities	
Provision (25,000 – 1,000)	24,000
Deferred tax liabilities (4800 + 300)	5,100
Current liabilities	
Financial liabilities	
Trade payables	11,000
Other financial liabilities (Refer note 5)	15,000
Other current liabilities (Govt. statuary dues) (Refer note 3)	15,000
Current tax liabilities	1,25,700
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	5,41,000

# STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY For the year ended 31st March, 20X2

# A. EQUITY SHARE CAPITAL

	Balance (₹)
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1	-
Changes in equity share capital during the	1,00,000
year	
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X2	1,00,000

#### B. OTHER EOUITY

	Reserves & Surplus
	Retained Earnings (₹)
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20X1	-
Profit for the year	2,44,500
Other comprehensive income for the year	700
Total comprehensive income for the year	2,45,200
Less: Dividend on equity shares (refer note	-

STATEMENTS:				
DISCLOSURE	<b>FORMING</b>	PART	$\mathbf{OF}$	FINANCIAL
As at 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 20	)X2			2,45,200
- 4)				

Proposed dividend on equity shares is subject to the approval of the shareholders of the company at the annual general meeting and not recognized as liability as at the Balance Sheet date. (refer note 4)

#### Notes:

- 1. Current investment are held for the purpose of trading.

  Hence, it is a financial asset classified as FVTPL. Any gain in its fair value will be recognised through profit or loss. Hence, ₹ 20,000 (₹50,000 ₹30,000) increase in
  - fair value of financial asset will be recognised in profit and loss. However, it will attract deferred tax liability on increased value (Refer W.N).
- 2. Assets for which the future economic benefit is the receipt of goods or services, rather than the right to receive cash or another financial asset, are not financial assets.
- 3. Liabilities for which there is no contractual obligation to deliver cash or other financial asset to another entity, are not financial liabilities.
- 4. As per Ind AS 10, 'Events after the Reporting Period', If dividends are declared after the reporting period but before the financial statements are approved for issue, the dividends are not recognized as a liability at the end of the reporting period because no obligation exists at that time. Such dividends are disclosed in the notes in accordance with Ind AS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements.
- 5. Other current financial liabilities:

	(₹)
Balance of other current liabilities as per financial	45,000
statements	
Less: Dividend declared for FY 20X1 - 20X2 (Note - 4)	(15,000
	)
Reclassification of government statuary dues payable	
to	
'other current liabilities'	(15,000
	)
Closing balance	·
<u> </u>	15,000

# Working Note:

Calculation of deferred tax on temporary differences as per Ind
AS 12 for financial year 20X1 - 20X2

16 12 101 imancial year 2011 2012				
	amount	Tax base (₹)		DTA / DTL @ 30% (₹)
	(₹)			
Property, Plant and Equipment	1,00,000	80,000	20,000	6,000-DTL
Pre-incorporation expenses	Nil	24,000	24,000	7,200-DTA
Current Investment	50,000	30,000	20,000	6,000-DTL
Net DTL				4,800-DTL

# Question 12 Illustration

Mumbai Challengers Ltd., a listed entity, is a sports organization owning

1427

- several cricket and hockey teams. The issues below pertain to the reporting period ending 31 March 20X2.
- (a) Owing to the proposed schedules of Indian Hockey League as well as Cricket Premier Tournament, Mumbai Challengers Ltd. needs a new stadium to host the sporting events. This stadium will form a part of the Property, Plant and Equipment of the company. Mumbai Challengers Ltd. began the construction of the stadium on 1 December, 20X1. The construction of the stadium was completed in 20X2-20X3. Costs directly related to the construction amounted to ₹ 140 crores in December 20X1. Thereafter, ₹ 350 crores have been incurred per month until the end of the financial year. The company has not taken any specific borrowings to finance the construction of the stadium, although it has incurred finance costs on its regular overdraft during the period, which were avoidable had the stadium not been constructed. Mumbai Challengers Ltd. has calculated that the weighted average cost of the borrowings for the period 1 December 20X1 to 31 March 20X2 amounted to 15% per annum on an annualized basis.

The company seeks advice on the treatment of borrowing costs in its financial statements for the year ending 31 March 20X2.

- (b) Mumbai Challengers Ltd. acquires and sells players' registrations on a regular basis. For a player to play for its team, Mumbai Challengers Ltd. must purchase registrations for that player. These player registrations are contractual obligations between the player and the company. The costs of acquiring player registrations include transfer fees, league levy fees, and player agents' fees incurred by the club.
  - At the end of each season, which happens to also be the reporting period end for Mumbai Challengers Ltd., the club reviews its contracts with the players and makes decisions as to whether they wish to sell/transfer any players' registrations. The company actively markets these registrations by circulating with other clubs a list of players' registrations and their estimated selling price. Players' registrations are also sold during the season, often with performance conditions attached. In some cases, it becomes clear that a player will not play for the club again because of, for example, a player sustaining a career threatening injury or being permanently removed from the playing squad for any other reason. The playing registrations of certain players were sold after the year end, for total proceeds, net of associated costs, of ₹ 175 crores. These registrations had a net book value of ₹49 crores.
  - Mumbai Challengers Ltd. seeks your advice on the treatment of the acquisition, extension, review and sale of players' registrations in the circumstances outlined above.
- (c) Mumbai Challengers Ltd. measures its stadiums in accordance with the revaluation model. An airline company has approached the directors offering ₹ 700 crores for the property naming rights of all the stadiums for five years. Three directors are on the management boards of both Mumbai Challengers Ltd. and the airline. Additionally, statutory legislations regulate the financing of both the cricket and hockey clubs. These regulations prevent contributions to the capital from a related party which 'increases equity without repayment in return'. Failure to adhere to these legislations could lead to imposition of fines and withholding of prize money.

Mumbai Challengers Ltd. wants to know how to take account of the naming rights in the valuations of the stadium and the potential implications of the financial regulations imposed by the legislations

#### Answer 12

#### (a) Borrowing Costs

As per Ind AS 23 Borrowing Costs, an entity shall capitalize borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset (i.e. an asset that necessarily takes a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use or sale) as part of the cost of that asset. The borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset are those borrowing costs that would have been avoided if the expenditure on the qualifying asset had not been made. To the extent that an entity borrows funds generally and uses them for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset, the entity shall determine the amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalization by applying a capitalization rate to the expenditures on that asset. The capitalization rate shall be the weighted average of the borrowing costs applicable to all borrowings of the entity that are outstanding during the period.

The capitalization rate of the borrowings of Mumbai Challengers Ltd. during the period of construction is 15% per annum (as given in the question), and therefore, the total amount of borrowing costs to be capitalized is the expenditures incurred on the asset multiplied by the capitalization rate, which is as under:

Particulars	₹ in crores
Costs incurred in December 20X1: (₹ 140 crores x 15% x 4/12)	7.000
Costs incurred in January 20X2: (₹ 350 crores x 15% x 3/12)	13.125
Costs incurred in February 20X2: (₹ 350 crores x 15% x 2/12)	8.750
Costs incurred in March 20X2: (₹ 350 crores x 15% x 1/12)	4.375
Borrowing Costs to be capitalized in 20X1-X2	33.250

OR

Weighted average carrying amount of the stadium during 20X1-X2 is:  $\mbox{$\stackrel{?}{$}$} (140 + 490 + 840 + 1,190) \ crores/4 = $^{?}$ 665 crores Applying the weighted average rate of borrowings of 15% per annum, the borrowing cost to be capitalized is computed as: <math>\mbox{$\stackrel{?}{$}$} 665 \ crores \ x \ (15\% \ x \ 4/12) = \mbox{$\stackrel{?}{$}$} 33.25 \ crores$ 

# (b) Players' Registrations

Acquisition

As per Ind AS 38 Intangible Assets, an entity should recognize an intangible asset where it is probable that the expected future economic benefits that are attributable to the asset will flow to the entity and the cost of the asset can be measured reliably. Accordingly, the **costs** associated with the acquisition of players' registrations would need to be **capitalized which would be the amount of cash or cash equivalent paid or the fair value of other consideration given to acquire such registrations**. In line with Ind AS 38 Intangible Assets, costs would include transfer fees, league levy fees, and player agents' fees incurred by the club, along with other directly attributable costs, if any. Amounts capitalized would be fully amortized over the period covered by the player's contract.

 $_{
m age}1429$ 

Sale of registrations

Player registrations would be classified as assets held for sale under Ind AS 105 Non- Current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations when their carrying amount is expected to be recovered principally through a sale transaction and a sale is considered to be highly probable. To consider a sale to be 'highly probable', the assets (in this case, player registrations) should be actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value. In the given case, it would appear that the management is committed to a plan to sell the registration, that the asset is available for immediate sale and that an active plan to locate a buyer is already in place by circulating clubs. Ind AS 105 stipulates that it should be unlikely that the plan to sell the registrations would be significantly changed or withdrawn. To fulfil this requirement, it would be prudent if only those registrations are classified as held for sale where unconditional offers have been received prior to the reporting date.

Once the conditions for classifying assets as held for sale in accordance with Ind AS 105 have been fulfilled, the player registrations would be stated at lower of carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell, with the carrying amount stated in accordance with Ind AS 38 prior to application of Ind AS 105, subjected to impairment, if any.

Profits and losses on sale of players' registrations would be computed by deducting the carrying amount of the players' registrations from the fair value of the consideration receivable, net of transactions costs. In case a portion of the consideration is receivable on the occurrence of a future performance condition (i.e. contingent consideration), this amount would be recognized in the Statement of Profit and Loss only when the conditions are met.

The players registrations disposed of, subsequent to the year end, for ₹ 175 crores, having a corresponding book value of ₹ 49 crores would be disclosed as a non-adjusting event in accordance with Ind AS 10 Events after the Reporting Period. Impairment review

Ind AS 36 Impairment of Assets requires companies to annually test their assets for impairment. An asset is said to be impaired if the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its recoverable amount. The recoverable amount is higher of the asset's fair value less costs to sell and its value in use (which is the present value of future cash flows expected to arise from the use of the asset). In the given scenario, it is not easy to determine the value in use of any player in isolation as that player cannot generate cash flows on his/her own unless via a sale transaction or an insurance recovery. Whilst any individual player cannot really be separated from the single cash-generating unit (CGU), being a cricket team or a hockey team in the instant case, there may be certain instances where a player is taken out of the CGU when it becomes clear that he/she will not play for the club again. If such circumstances arise, the carrying amount of the player should be assessed against the best estimate of the player's fair value less any costs to sell and an impairment charge should be recognized in the profit **or loss**, which reflects any loss arising.

#### (c) Valuation of stadiums

In terms of Ind AS 113 Fair Value Measurement, stadiums would be valued at the price C which would be received to sell the asset in an orderly transaction between market M participants at the measurement date (i.e. exit price). The price would be the one which maximizes the value of the asset or the group of assets using the principle of the highest and best use. The price would essentially use Level 2 inputs which are inputs

other than quoted market prices included within Level 1 which are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Property naming rights present complications when valuing property. The status of the property indicates its suitability for inviting sponsorship attached

to its name. It has nothing to do with the property itself but this can be worth a significant amount. Therefore, Mumbai Challengers Ltd. could include the property naming rights in the valuation of the stadium and write it off over three years.

Ind AS 24 Related Party Disclosures lists the criteria for two entities to be treated as related parties. Such criteria include being members of the same group or where a person or a close member of that person's family is related to a reporting entity if that person has control or joint control over the reporting entity. Ind AS 24 deems that parties are not related simply because they have a director or a key manager in common. In this case, there are three directors in common and in the absence of any information to the contrary, it appears as though the entities are not related. However, the regulator will need to establish whether the sponsorship deal is a related party transaction for the purpose of the financial control provisions. There would need to be demonstrated that the airline may be expected to influence, or be influenced by, the club or a related party of the club. If the deal is deemed to be a related party transaction, the regulator will evaluate whether the sponsorship is at fair value or not.

### Question 13 Illustration

- (a) Neelanchal Gas Refinery Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as Neelanchal), a listed company, is involved in the production and trading of natural gas and oil. Neelanchal jointly owns an underground storage facility with another entity, Seemanchal Refineries Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as Seemanchal). Both the companies are engaged in extraction of gas from offshore gas fields, which they own and operate independently of each other. Neelanchal owns 60% of the underground facility and Seemanchal owns 40%. Both the companies have agreed to share services and costs accordingly, with decisions relating to the storage facility requiring unanimous agreement of the parties. The underground facility is pressurised so that the gas is pushed out when extracted. When the gas pressure is reduced to a certain level, the remaining gas is irrecoverable and remains in the underground storage facility until it is decommissioned. As per the laws in force, the storage facility should be decommissioned at the end of its useful life.
  - Neelanchal seeks your advice on the treatment of the agreement with Seemanchal as well as the accounting for the irrecoverable gas.
- (b) Neelanchal has entered into a ten-year contract with Uttaranchal Refineries Pvt. Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as Uttaranchal) for purchase of natural gas. Neelanchal has paid an advance to Uttaranchal equivalent to the total quantity of gas contracted for ten years based on the forecasted price of gas. This advanced amount carries interest at the rate of 12.5% per annum, which is settled by Uttaranchal way of supply of extra gas. The contract requires fixed quantities of gas to be supplied each month. Additionally, there is a price adjustment mechanism in the contract whereby the difference between the forecasted price of gas and the prevailing market price is settled in cash on a quarterly basis. If Uttaranchal does not deliver the gas as agreed, Neelanchal has the right to claim compensation computed at the current market price of the gas.

Neelanchal wants to account for the contract with Uttaranchal in accordance with Ind AS 109 Financial Instruments and seeks your inputs in this regard.

#### Answer 13

## (a) Joint Arrangement

As per Ind AS 111 Joint Arrangements, a joint arrangement is an arrangement of which two or more parties have joint control. Joint control is the contractually agreed sharing of control of an arrangement, which exists only when decisions about the relevant activities require the unanimous consent of the parties sharing control. The structure and form of the arrangement determines the nature of the relationship. However, irrespective of the purpose, structure or form of the arrangement, the classification of joint arrangements depends upon the parties' rights and obligations arising from the arrangement. Accordingly, a joint arrangement could be classified as a joint operation or as a joint venture. A joint arrangement which is NOT structured through a separate vehicle is a joint operation. In such cases, the contractual arrangement establishes the parties' rights and obligations. A joint operator accounts for the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses relating to its involvement in a joint operation in accordance with the relevant Ind AS. Based on the information provided, the arrangement with Seemanchal Refineries Ltd. is a joint operation as no separate vehicle is formed and the companies have agreed to share services and costs with decisions regarding the storage facility requiring unanimous agreement of the Neelanchal Gas Refinery Ltd. should recognize its share of the asset as Property, Plant and Equipment.

As per Para 16 of Ind AS 16 Property, Plant and Equipment, the cost of an item of property, plant and equipment comprises the initial estimate of the costs of dismantling and removing the item and restoring the site on which it is located. Ind AS 37 *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets* provides guidance on measuring decommissioning, restoration and similar liabilities. Para 45 of Ind AS 37 provides that where the effect of the time value of money is material, the amount of a provision shall be the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the obligation. Thus, costs incurred by an entity in respect of obligations for dismantling, removing and restoring the site on which an item of property, plant and equipment is situated are recognized and measured in accordance with Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 37, with the journal entry being as under: Property, Plant and Equipment

Dr. xxx

To Provision for Dismantling, Removal and Restoration xxx

Neelanchal Gas Refinery Ltd. should recognize 60% of the cost of decommissioning of the underground storage facility. However, in line Para 29 of Ind AS 37 where an entity is jointly

and severally liable for an obligation, the part of the obligation that is expected to be met by other parties is treated as a contingent liability. Accordingly, Neelanchal Gas Refinery Ltd. should also disclose 40% of the cost of decommissioning of the underground facility as a contingent liability, should there arise future events that prevent Seemanchal Refineries Ltd. from fulfilling its obligations under the arrangement.

As per Ind AS 16, Property, Plant and Equipment are tangible items that:

- (a) are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes; and
- (b) are expected to be used during more than one period.

  Thus, Neelanchal Gas Refinery Ltd. should classify and account for its share of irrecoverable gas as property, plant and equipment, as the irrecoverable gas is necessary for the storage facility to perform its function. Therefore, the irrecoverable gas, being a part of the storage facility, should be capitalized

as a component of the storage facility asset, and should be depreciated to its residual value over the life of the storage facility. However, if the gas is recoverable in full upon decommissioning of the storage facility, then depreciation against the irrecoverable gas component will be recorded only if the estimated residual value of the gas decreases below cost during the life of the facility. Upon decommissioning of the storage facility, when the cushion gas is extracted and sold, the sale of irrecoverable gas is accounted as a disposal of an item of property, plant and equipment in accordance with Ind AS 16 and the resulting gain or loss is recognized in the Statement of Profit and Loss. The natural gas in excess of the irrecoverable gas which is injected into the facility would be treated as inventory in accordance with Ind AS 2 Inventories.

#### (b) Contract with Uttaranchal Refineries Pvt. Ltd.

As per para 2.4 of Ind AS 109 Financial Instruments, this standard applies to those contracts to buy or sell a non-financial item that can be settled net in cash or another financial instrument, or by exchanging financial instruments, as if the contracts were financial instruments, **with the exception** of contracts that were entered into and continue to be held for the purpose of the receipt or delivery of a non-financial item in accordance with the entity's expected purchase, sale or usage requirements (i.e. own use contracts). This contract will result in physical delivery of the commodity i.e. extra gas. Para 2.5 of Ind AS 109 further provides that a contract to buy or sell a non-financial item that can be settled net in cash or another financial instrument, or by

Para 2.5 of Ind AS 109 further provides that a contract to buy or sell a non-financial item that can be settled net in cash or another financial instrument, or by exchanging financial instruments, as if the contract was a financial instrument, may be irrevocably designated as measured at fair value through profit or loss even if it was entered into for the purpose of the receipt or delivery of a non-financial item in accordance with the entity's expected purchase, sale or usage requirements. This designation is available only at inception of the contract and only if it eliminates or significantly reduces a recognition inconsistency recognising that contract because it is excluded from the scope of this Standard. There are various ways in which a contract to buy or sell a non-financial item can be settled net in cash or another financial instrument or by exchanging financial instruments. These include:

- (a) when the terms of the contract permit either party to settle it net in cash or another financial instrument or by exchanging financial instruments;
- (b) when the ability to settle net in cash or another financial instrument, or by exchanging financial instruments, is not explicit in the terms of the contract, but the entity has a practice of settling similar contracts net in cash or another financial instrument or by exchanging financial instruments (whether with the counterparty, by entering into offsetting contracts or by selling the contract before its exercise or lapse);
- (c) when, for similar contracts, the entity has a practice of taking delivery of the underlying and selling it within a short period after delivery for the purpose of generating a profit from short-term fluctuations in price or dealer's margin; and
- (d) when the non-financial item that is the subject of the contract is readily convertible to cash.
  - A written option to buy or sell a non-financial item, such as a commodity, that can be settled net in cash or another financial instrument, or by exchanging financial instruments, is within the scope of Ind AS 109. Such a contract is accounted as a derivative. Such a contract cannot be entered into for the purpose of the receipt or delivery of the non-financial item in


# 1434 see 1434

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

accordance with the entity's expected purchase, sale or usage requirements. Judgment would be required in this area as net settlements caused by unique events beyond management's control may not necessarily prevent the entity from applying the 'own use' exemption to all similar contracts.

In the given case, the contract with Uttaranchal Refineries Pvt. Ltd. will result in physical delivery of extra gas (which is a commodity and not cash, or a financial instrument) for the use of Neelanchal Gas Refinery Ltd. Accordingly, it appears that this contract would be an own use contract falling outside the scope of Ind AS 109 and therefore, would be treated as an executory contract. However, arguments could be placed that the contract is net settled due to the penalty mechanism requiring Uttaranchal Refineries Pvt. Ltd. to compensate Neelanchal Gas Refinery Ltd. at the current prevailing market price. Further, if natural gas is readily convertible into cash at the location of delivery, the contract could be considered net settled. Additionally, if there is volume flexibility, the contract could be regarded as a written option which falls within the scope of Ind AS 109.

However, the contract will probably continue to be regarded as 'own use' as long as it has been entered into and continues to be held for expected counterparties' sale / usage requirements. Additionally, the entity has not irrevocably designated the contract as measured at fair value through profit or loss, thus emphasizing the 'own use' designation



 $_{\mathrm{age}}1435$ 

# Chapter 16 "Professional and Ethical Duty of Chartered Accountant" Section - B

#### Question 1

Shastra Ltd. desires to upgrade its production process since the directors believe that technology-led production is the only way the company can remain competitive. On 1 April 20X5, the company entered into a property lease arrangement in order to obtain tax benefits. However, the draft financial statements do not show a lease asset or a lease liability as on date.

A new financial controller, CA. Sunil Raghavan, joined Shastra Ltd. before the financial year ending 31 March 20X6 and was engaged in the review of financial statements to prepare for the upcoming audit and to begin making a loan application to finance the new technology. CA. Sunil Raghavan believes that the lease arrangement should be recognized in the Balance Sheet. However, the Managing Director, Ms. Anusha Shrivastava, an MBA (Finance), strongly disagrees. She wishes to charge the lease rentals to the Statement of Profit or Loss. Her opinion is based on the understanding that the lease arrangement is merely a monthly rental payment, without any corresponding asset or obligation, since there is no 'invoice' for transfer of asset to Shastra Ltd. Her disagreement also stems from the fact that showing a lease obligation in the Financial Statements would impact the gearing ratio of the company, which could have an adverse impact on the upcoming loan application. Ms. Anusha has made it clear to CA. Sunil Raghavan that at stake is not only the loan application but also his future prospects at Shastra Ltd.

#### Required:

Discuss the potential ethical conflicts which may arise in the above scenario and the ethical principles which would guide how the financial controller should respond to the situation.

#### Answer 1

As per Ind AS 116, Leases, at the inception of a contract, an entity shall assess whether the contract is, or contains, a lease. A contract is, or contains, a lease if the contract conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset for a period of time in exchange for consideration.

In accordance with the above definition, Shastra Ltd. must recognise a right-of-use asset representing the property and a corresponding lease liability for the obligation to make lease payments. At the commencement date, the right-of-use asset so recognised would include:

- The amount of the initial measurement of lease liability;
- Any initial direct costs;
- Any costs to be incurred for dismantling or removing the underlying asset or restoring the site at the end of the lease term.

The liability for the lease obligation would be measured as the present value of future lease payments including payments that would be made towards any residual value

guarantee, discounted using the rate implicit in the lease or the incremental rate of borrowing of the lessor, whichever is available.

The fact that there is no 'invoice' evidencing transfer of the asset cannot be a reason to avoid recognition of the right-of-use asset. In fact, what is being recognised is not an asset, since ownership rights are not transferred. What is sought to be recognised under Ind AS 116 is the right to use the asset in the manner required by the lessee Shastra Ltd. Further, since the lease represents an obligation to pay lease rentals in the future, a corresponding lease liability should be recognised. Not recognising the right-of-use asset or lease liability would not only be a violation of Ind AS 116, Leases, but would also be an incorrect presentation of the financial position, which is critical given that Shastra Ltd. is interested in taking a loan for its operations.

#### **Ethical issues:**

The managing director's threat to the financial controller results in an ethical dilemma for the financial controller. This pressure is greater because the financial controller is new.

# Threats to fundamental principles

The fact that the position of the financial controller has been threatened if the treatment suggested by the managing director is not followed indicates that there is an intimidation threat to the fundamental principles of objectivity and integrity.

Further, as the manging director has flagged the risk that the company may not obtain loan financing if the lease obligation is recorded in the balance sheet, there is an advocacy threat because the financial controller may be compelled to follow an incorrect treatment to maximise the chances of obtaining the loan. This pressure again is greater because the financial controller is new.

#### Professional competence

When preparing the financial statements, the financial controller should ensure that the fundamental principle of professional competence should be followed, which requires that accounts should be prepared in compliance with Ind AS.

Thus, since the arrangement meets the Ind AS 116 criteria for a lease, the right-of-use asset and a corresponding lease liability should be recognised, as otherwise the liabilities of Shastra Ltd. would be understated. The ICAI Code of Ethics and Conduct sets boundaries beyond which accountants should not act. If the managing director refuses application of Ind AS 116, Leases, the financial controller should disclose this to the appropriate internal governance authority, and thus feel confident that his actions were ethical.

If the financial controller were to bend under pressure and accept the managing director's proposed treatment, this would contravene Ind AS 116 and breach the fundamental principle of professional competence. In such a case, he would be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949, which states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute

who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

#### Question 2 (Illustration):

Infostar Ltd. is a listed company engaged in the provision of IT services in India. The directors are paid a bonus based on the profits achieved by the company during the year as per the bonus table given below:

Range of Profit after tax	Bonus to Directors
Less than ₹ 1 crore	NIL
₹ 1 crore to < ₹ 5 crores	2% of Net Profit after tax
₹ 5 crores to < ₹ 10 crores	4% of Net Profit after tax
₹10 crores to < ₹20 crores	6% of Net Profit after tax
₹ 20 crores to < ₹ 30 crores	8% of Net Profit after tax
₹ 30 crores and above	10% of Net Profit after tax

The draft Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31 March 20X2 currently shows a profit of ₹ 2 crores.

#### Issue:

On 25 March 20X2, Infostar Ltd. sold land located adjacent to its head office to a third party Zest Ltd. for a consideration of  $\overline{t}$  40 crores, with an option to purchase the land back on 25 May 20X2 for  $\overline{t}$  40 crores plus a premium of 6%. The amount received from the transaction eliminated the bank overdraft of Infostar Ltd. as on 31 March 20X2. On instructions of the Chief Financial Officer of the company, who is a chartered accountant, the transaction was treated as a sale, including the profit arising on disposal in the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ending 31 March 20X2.

#### Required:

Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above issues with respect to a chartered accountant in service, referring to the relevant Ind AS wherever appropriate.

#### Answer 2

#### **Accounting Treatment**

The sale of land meets the conditions specified in Ind AS 115, Revenue from Contracts with Customers for qualifying as a repurchase agreement as Infostar Ltd. has an option to buy back the land from Zest Ltd. and therefore, control is not transferred as Zest Ltd.'s ability to use and gain benefit from the land is limited. Infostar Ltd. must treat the transaction as a financing arrangement and record both the asset (land) and the financial liability (the amount received which is repayable to Zest Ltd.).

Infostar Ltd. should not have derecognized the land from the financial statements because the risks and rewards of ownership are not transferred. Thus, the substance of

the transaction is a loan of ₹ 40 crores, with the 6% 'premium' on repurchase effectively reflecting interest payment.

Recording the aforesaid transaction as a sale is an attempt to manipulate the financial statements in order to show an improved profit figure and a more favourable cash position. The sale must be reversed and the land should be reinstated at its carrying amount prior to the transaction.

#### **Ethical Issues**

Chartered Accountants are required to comply with the fundamental principles laid down in the Code of Ethics. This includes acting with integrity. It appears that the integrity of CFO is compromised in this situation as he had accounted the transaction as sale and not as a loan or financial arrangement. The effect of accounting it as sale just before the year end is merely to improve profits and eliminate the bank overdraft, thereby making the cash position seem better than it is. This effectively amounts to 'window dressing', which is not honest as it does not present the actual performance and position of Infostar Ltd.

Accountants must also act with objectivity, which means they must not allow bias, conflict or undue influence of others to override professional or business judgments. Therefore, the management must put the interests of the company and the shareholders before their own interests. The pressure to show profits and achieve a bonus is in the self-interest of the directors and seems to have been partly driven the transaction and the subsequent accounting, which is clearly a conflict of interest.

It is further necessary for the accountants to comply with the principles of professional behaviour, which require compliance with relevant laws and regulations. In the instant case, the accounting treatment is not in conformity with Ind AS. The given facts do not make it clear whether CFO is aware of this or not. If he is aware but still applied the incorrect treatment, he has not complied with the principle of professional behaviour. It may be that he was under undue pressure from the directors to record the transaction in this manner. If, however, he is not aware that the treatment is incorrect, then he has not complied with the principle of professional competence as his knowledge and skills are not updated.

In such a case, he is subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. Clause 1 states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

#### Question 3 (Illustration):

Rustom Ltd., a company engaged in oil extraction, has a present obligation to dismantle the oil rig installed by it at the end of the useful life of 10 years. Rustom Ltd. cannot cancel this obligation or transfer it. Rustom Ltd. intends to carry out the dismantling work itself and estimates the cost of the work to be `100 crores at the end of 10 years.

The directors of Rustom Ltd. are aware of the requirements of Ind AS 37 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets', read with Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment'. However, they propose to expense the costs of dismantling the oil rig as and when incurred, with no entries or disclosures in the latest financial statements. They argue that application of Ind AS involves judgment, and although prudence is mentioned in the Conceptual Framework, it is only one among the many ways of achieving faithful representation.

#### Required:

Discuss whether the directors are acting unethically in the above instance what should be the practising Chartered Accountant's course of action in this regard.

#### **Answer 3**

The treatment proposed by the director is in contravention of Ind AS 37. As per Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 37, an entity, at the time of initial recognition of the asset, capitalises the present value of the cost of dismantling to be occurred at the end of the life of the asset, to the cost of the asset by simultaneously creating a provision for the same. In the given case, it appears to be a deliberate intention to contravene Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 37, and not an unintentional mistake.

Though the directors can exercise strong or undue influence over the chartered accountant, the chartered accountant is bound to act with integrity and remain unbiased, recommending to the directors that Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 37 must be complied with, and ensure appropriate entries are passed in the financial statements. The matter may be raised before the non-executive directors, explaining the issue to them and ensure the financial statements are true and fair and comply with the relevant Ind AS.

It is essential for the chartered accountant to inform those in governance (directors) about the necessary corrective measures in this case. By doing so, he uphold the fundamental principle of professional behaviour and demonstrate compliance with relevant laws and regulations. By communicating the corrective measures to those responsible for governance, the chartered accountant can ensure that the contravention of Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 37 is addressed and rectified.

However, if he does not communicate the corrective measures to the directors, the fundamental principle of professional behaviour will be breached. Members should comply with relevant laws and regulations and avoid any action that discredits the profession. By knowingly allowing the directors not to apply the requirements of an Ind AS, the Chartered Accountant would not be acting diligently in accordance with applicable guidance and would not be demonstrating professional competence and due care. In such a situation, he will be subject to professional misconduct under Clauses 5, 6 and 7 of Part I of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949.

Clause 5 states that a chartered accountant is guilty of professional misconduct when he fails to disclose a material fact known to him which is not disclosed in a financial statement, but disclosure of which is necessary in making such financial statement where he is concerned with that financial statement in a professional capacity.

Clause 6 states that a CA is guilty of professional misconduct when he fails to report a material misstatement known to him to appear in a financial statement with which he is concerned in a professional capacity.

Clause 7 states that a Chartered Accountant is guilty of professional misconduct when he does not exercise due diligence or is grossly negligent in the conduct of his professional duties.

# Question 4 (Illustration)

Alaap Ltd.'s directors feel that the company needs a significant injection of capital in order to modernize plant and equipment as the company has been promised firm orders if it can produce goods of international standards. The current lending policies of the banks require prospective borrowers to demonstrate strong projected cash flows, coupled with a Debt Service Coverage Ratio exceeding 10. However, the current projected statement of cash flows does not satisfy the bank's criteria for lending. The directors have told the bank that the company is in an excellent financial position, the financial results and cash flow projections will meet the criteria and the chartered accountant will submit a report to this effect shortly. The chartered accountant has recently joined Alaap Ltd. and has openly stated that he cannot afford to lose his job because of financial commitments.

# Required:

Discuss the potential ethical conflicts which may arise in the above scenario and the ethical principles which would guide how the chartered accountant should respond to the situation.

# Answer 4

The given scenario presents a twofold conflict of interest:

(i) Pressure to obtain finance and chartered accountant's personal circumstances

The chartered accountant is under pressure to provide the bank with a projected cash flow statement that will meet the bank's criteria when in fact the actual projections do not meet the criteria. The chartered accountant's financial circumstances mean that he cannot lose his job, thus the ethical and professional standards required of the accountant are at odds with the pressures of his personal circumstances.

(ii) Duty to shareholders, employees and bank

The directors have a duty to act in the best interests of the company's shareholders and employees, and a duty to present fairly any information the bank may rely on . The injection of capital to modernise plant and equipment appears to be for capacity expansion which will lead to greater profits, thus being in the interests of the shareholders and the employees. However, if such finance is obtained based on misleading information, it could actually be detrimental to the going concern status of the company.

It could be argued that there is a conflict between the short-term and medium-term

interests of the company (the need to modernise the company) and its long-term interests (the detriment to the company's reputation if its directors do not conform to ethics).

# Ethical principles guiding the chartered accountant's response

The chartered accountant's financial circumstances coupled with the pressure from the directors could end up in him knowingly disclosing incorrect information to the bank, thereby compromising the fundamental principles of objectivity, integrity and professional competence.

By exhibiting bias due to the risk of losing his job through reporting favourable cash flows to the bank, objectivity is compromised. Further, integrity is also compromised as by not acting in a straightforward and honest manner, incorrect information is knowingly disclosed. Forecasts, unlike financial statements, do not specify that they have been prepared in accordance with Ind AS. However, the principle of professional competence requires the accountant to prepare the cash flow projections to the best of his professional judgment which would not be the case if the projections showed a more positive position than what is actually anticipated.

# Appropriate action

The chartered accountant faces an immediate ethical dilemma and must apply his moral and ethical judgment. As a professional, he is responsible for presenting the truth, and not to indulge in 'creative accounting' owing to pressure.

Thus, the chartered accountant should put the interests of the company and professional ethics first and insist that the report to the bank be an honest reflection of the company's current financial position. Being an advisor to the directors, he must prevent deliberate misrepresentation to the bank, no matter what the consequences to him are personally. The accountant should not allow any undue influence from the directors to override his professional judgment or integrity. This is in the long-term interests of the company and its survival. It is suggested that the chartered accountant should communicate to the directors to submit the projected statement of cash flows to the bank, which reflects the current position of the company.

Knowingly providing incorrect information is considered as professional misconduct. To prevent such misconduct, a chartered accountant should not provide incorrect projected cash flows to the bank and colour the financial position of the entity. By adhering to the ethical principles, the chartered accountant will maintain his professional integrity and contribute to the trust and reliability placed in the work expected from him.

However, if he submits the incorrect projected statement of cash flows, he would be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. The Clause 1 states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations

made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

# Question 5 (Illustration)

Sunshine Ltd., a listed company in the cosmetics industry, has debt covenants attached to some of its borrowings which are included in Financial Liabilities in the Balance Sheet. These covenants mandate the company to repay the debt in full if Sunshine Ltd. fails to maintain a liquidity ratio and operating margin above the specified limit.

The directors alongwith the CFO of the Company who is a chartered accountant are considering entering into a fresh five-year leasing arrangement but are concerned about the negative impact any potential lease obligations may have on the above-mentioned covenants. Accordingly, the directors and CFO propose that the lease agreement be drafted in such a way that it is a series of six ten-month leases rather than a single five-year lease in order to utilize the short-term lease exemption available under Ind AS 116, Leases. This would then enable accounting for the leases in their legal form. The directors believe that this treatment will meet the requirements of the debt covenant, though such treatment may be contrary to the accounting standards.

# Required:

Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above issue from the perspective of CFO.

# Answer 5

# Lease agreement substance presentation

Stakeholders make informed and accurate decisions based on the information presented in the financial statements and as such, ensuring the financial statements are reliable and of utmost importance. The directors of Sunshine Ltd. are ethically responsible to produce financial statements that comply with Ind AS and are transparent and free from material error. Lenders often attach covenants to the terms of the agreement in order to protect their interests in an entity. They would also be of crucial importance to potential debt and equity investors when assessing the risks and returns from any future investment in the entity.

The proposed action by Sunshine Ltd. appears to be a deliberate attempt to circumvent the terms of the covenants. The legal form would require treatment as a series of short-term leases which would be recorded in the profit or loss, without any right-of-use asset and lease liability being recognized as required by Ind AS 116, Leases. This would be a form of 'off-balance sheet finance' and would not report the true assets and obligations of Sunshine Ltd. As a result of this proposed action, the liquidity ratios would be adversely misrepresented. Further, the operating profit margins would also be adversely affected, as the expenses associated with the lease are likely to be higher than the deprecation charge if a leased asset was recognized, hence the proposal may actually be detrimental to the operating profit covenant.

Sunshine Ltd. is aware that the proposed treatment may be contrary to Ind AS. Such

manipulation would be a clear breach of the fundamental principles of objectivity and integrity as outlined in the Code of Ethics. It is important for a chartered accountants to exercise professional behaviour and due care all the time. The proposals by Sunshine Ltd. are likely to mislead the stakeholders in the entity. This could discredit the profession by creating a lack of confidence within the profession. The directors of Sunshine Ltd. must be reminded of their ethical responsibilities and persuaded that the accounting treatment must fully comply with the Ind AS and principles outlined within the framework should they proceed with the financing agreement.

However, if the CFO fails to comply with his professional duties, he will be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. The Clause 1 states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

# Question 6 (Illustration)

Agastya Ltd. is a listed company engaged in the manufacturing of automotive spare parts. The company is preparing the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X3. The directors of Agastya Ltd. are entitled to an incentive based on the operating profit margin of the company. You have been appointed as a consultant to advise on the preparation of the financial statements, and you notice the following issue:

# Issue:

On 1 April 20X2, Agastya Ltd.'s defined benefit pension scheme was amended to increase the pension entitlement from 12% of final salary to 18.5% of final salary. This amendment was made due to the salary cuts made on account of the pandemic. The chartered accountant has shown such increase in the pension entitlement (amounting to ₹ 85 crores) under the head 'Employee Benefits' forming part of the operating profit. The directors are unhappy with this presentation. They believe that the pension scheme is not integral to the operations of the company since it is paid post-retirement of the employees, and thus insist that such presentation would be misleading in computing the operating profit or loss. Accordingly, the directors propose a change in accounting policy so that all such gains or losses on pension scheme would be recognized under Other Comprehensive Income. The directors believe that this policy choice will make the financial statements more consistent, understandable thereby justifying the same on grounds of fair presentation as defined in the Framework. The pension scheme of Agastya Ltd. is currently in deficit.

# Required:

Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above issues, referring to the relevant Ind AS wherever appropriate from the perspective of the consultant.

# Answer 6

Ethical Implications of change in accounting policy

Ind AS 8, Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors only permits a change in accounting policy if the change is: (i) required by an Ind AS or (ii) results in the financial statements providing reliable and more relevant information about the effects of transactions, other events or conditions on the entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows. A retrospective adjustment is required unless the change arises from a new accounting policy with transitional arrangements to account for the change. It is permissible to depart from the requirements of Ind AS but only in extremely rare circumstances where compliance would be so misleading that it would conflict with overall objectives of the financial statements. Practically, this override is rarely, if ever, invoked.

Ind AS 19, Employee Benefits requires all gains and losses on a defined benefit pension scheme to be recognised in profit or loss except for the remeasurement component relating to plan assets and defined benefit obligations, which must be recognized in Other Comprehensive Income. Accordingly, current service cost, past service cost and net interest cost on the net defined benefit obligation must all be recognized in profit or loss. Ind AS 19 does not offer any alternative treatment as an accounting policy choice in terms of Ind AS 8, and therefore the directors' proposals cannot be justified on the grounds of fair presentation. The directors are ethically bound to prepare financial statements which reflect a true and fair view of the entity's performance and financial position and comply with all Ind AS.

It is the self-interest in the pension scheme that is making the directors consider a change in accounting policy in order to maximize profits for maximizing their bonus potential. The amendment to the pension scheme is a past service cost in terms of Ind AS 19 which should be expensed to the profit or loss during the period such plan amendment has occurred, i.e., immediately. This would impact the operating profits of Agastya Ltd. thereby reducing the potential bonus.

Additionally, it appears that the directors wish to manipulate aspects of the pension scheme such as current service cost and, since the pension scheme is given to be in deficit, the net finance cost. The directors are purposely manipulating the presentation of these items by recording them in equity instead of Profit or Loss. The financial statements would not be compliant with Ind AS and would not give a reliable picture of the true costs to the company of operating the pension scheme and this treatment would make the financial statements less comparable with other entities correctly applying Ind AS 19. Further, the explicit statement given in the financial statements stating that all compliance with Ind AS is achieved would be an incorrect statement to make in the event of the above non-compliance. Further, such treatment would be against the fundamental principles of objectivity, integrity and professional behaviour as stated in the Code of Ethics. The directors need to understand their ethical responsibilities and avoid implementing the proposed change in policy.

As a meaningful addition, the directors could use other tools/indicators within the financial statements to explain the company's results such as drawing attention of the users to the cash generated from operations which would exclude the non-cash pension expense. Alternative measures such as EBITDA could be disclosed where non-cash items are consistently eliminated for comparison purposes.

When a Chartered Accountant discovers that a company's financial position has been

compromised through misstatement, they have two options. They can either report the non-compliance to the authorities or consider withdrawing from the engagement. Both the actions ensure integrity, transparency, and the interests of stakeholders at large.

In case the consultant-chartered accountant is influenced by the director's suggestions and report accordingly, he will be subject to professional misconduct under Clauses 5,7 and 8 of Part I of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949.

Clause 5 states that a Chartered Accountant is guilty of professional misconduct when he fails to disclose a material fact known to him which is not disclosed in a financial statement, but disclosure of which is necessary in making such financial statement where he is concerned with that financial statement in a professional capacity.

Clause 7 states that a Chartered Accountant is guilty of professional misconduct when he does not exercise due diligence or is grossly negligent in the conduct of his professional duties.

Clause 8 of Part I of the Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act 1949 states that a CA is guilty of professional misconduct when he fails to obtain sufficient information which is necessary for expression of an opinion or its exceptions are sufficiently material to negate the expression of an opinion.

# Question 7 (Illustration):

The directors of Spinz Ltd. are eligible for an incentive computed as a percentage of 'Cash Generated from Operations' as defined in Ind AS 7, Statement of Cash Flows in accordance with the terms of their appointment. Due to the onset of the pandemic, the company has not performed well, and it has, in fact, lost Cash from Operations. In order to meet the cash requirements, the directors of Spinz Ltd. are planning to dispose off under-utilized equipment and investments (not subsidiaries or associates). The directors opine that since the cash generated from sale of such equipment and investments would be used for operations, the inflows on such sale would be presented in the Statement of Cash Flows under 'Cash from Operations'. The directors are concerned about meeting the targets in order to ensure security of their jobs and feel that this treatment would enhance the 'cash flow picture' of the business. The inflows on sale of such equipment and investments have the potential to make the 'Cash from Operations' figure positive.

# Required:

Discuss the ethical responsibility of Spinz Ltd.'s Chartered Accountant who is an employee to ensure that the manipulation of the Statement of Cash Flows, as suggested by the directors, does not occur.

### Answer 7

In order to meet targets, it is quite possible that management may want to present a company's results in a favourable manner. Such an objective could be achieved by

employing creative accounting techniques such as window dressing, or as can be seen in the case, inaccurate classification.

As per para 16 of Ind AS 7, separate disclosure of cash flows arising from investing activities is important because the cash flows represent the extent to which expenditures have been made for resources intended to generate future income and cash flows. Only expenditures that result in a recognized asset in the balance sheet are eligible for classification as investing activities. Example of cash flows arising from investing activities are cash receipts from sales of property, plant and equipment, intangibles and other long-term assets.

Presenting proceeds of sale of investments and under-utilized equipment as part of 'Cash from Operations' gives a misleading picture of the financial statements. Operating cash flows are crucial for the long-term survival of the company, and a negative cash from operations figure could be a possible indicator of cash shortage in the short-term, and possibly question the going concern assumption of the entity in the long-run. Further, operating cash flows are recurring, whereas investing and financing cash flows tend to be one-off.

In the given case, it may appear that to meet cash requirements for its operations, the company is selling its investments and equipment. Selling of equipment and investments is not usually a part of trading operations. Such sales generate short-term cash flow and cannot be repeated on a regular basis. The proposed misclassification could be regarded as a deliberate attempt to mislead stakeholders about the performance of Spinz Ltd. and its future performance, which is unethical.

Chartered Accountants have a duty, not only to the company they work for, but also to their professional body (i.e., ICAI), and to the stakeholders of the company. Proceeds received from sale of equipment and investment should be presented under 'Cash Flows from Investing Activities' (instead of 'Operating Activities') in accordance with Ind AS 7, Statement of Cash Flows. As per the Code of Ethics, a Chartered Accountant should follow the fundamental principle of professional competence and due care which includes preparing financial statements in compliance with Ind AS. In case the accountant permits the treatment of the matter as proposed by the management, it would result in a breach of the principle of professional competence and due care. This treatment may be permitted by the accountant under pressure from the management.

The chartered accountant should prevent the management not to proceed with the aforesaid accounting treatment which violates Ind AS 7. In case the management insists on continuing with their suggested treatment, then the chartered accountant must bring this to the attention of the auditors. Otherwise, the chartered accountant would be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. The Clause 1 states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

# Question 8 (Illustration):

Infostar Ltd. is a listed company engaged in the provision of IT services in India. The directors are paid a bonus based on the profits achieved by the company during the year as per the bonus table given below:

**Profit Range Bonus** to **Directors** 

NIL < Profit < ₹ 1 crore

NIL

₹ 1 crore < Profit < ₹ 5 crores 2% of

**Net Profit** 

₹ 5 crores < Profit < ₹ 10 crores

4% of Net Profit

₹ 10 crores < Profit < ₹ 20 crores 6% of Net

**Profit** 

₹ 20 crores < Profit < ₹ 30 crores 8% of Net

**Profit** 

**Profit > ₹ 30 crores** 10%

of Net Profit

The draft Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31 March 20X2 currently shows a profit of ₹ 2 crores.

### Issue:

The employees of Infostar Ltd. have historically been paid an individualperformance-based discretionary incentive for the last 15 years. Based on the past trends and performance, the bonus amount for the year 20X1-20X2 would be ₹ 3 crores. In view of the possibility of the directors not receiving the bonus on account of the company's poor performance, Infostar Ltd.'s Chief Financial Officer (CFO), who is a chartered accountant, has suggested that the discretionary incentive usually payable to the employees could be avoided in the current year, which would result in the company reporting profits. As a part of its annual report, Infostar Ltd. reports employee satisfaction scores, staff attrition rates, gender equality and employee absenteeism rates as non-financial performance measures. The CFO has also told the directors over mail that no stakeholder reads the nonfinancial information anyway, and thus his aforesaid suggestion of not paying the discretionary incentive would not impact the company greatly.

# Required:

Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above issues, referring to the relevant Ind AS wherever appropriate from perspective of CA. Sushil Bhupathy.

# **Answer 8**

**Ethical Considerations** 

Long-term success of any organization strongly depends on the fair treatment of employees, which in turn is based on the ethical behaviour of the management as well as how the same is perceived by the stakeholders. In the given case, the CFO has suggested not paying the discretionary bonus, which the directors are considering as it will enable the company to record profits of ₹ 2 crores, thereby ensuring a bonus pay out to the directors. This suggestion is not illegal at all as the bonus is discretionary rather than statutory/contractual. In other words, the company has no legal obligation to pay the bonus to the employees. However, the reason behind non-payment of the bonus is what gives rise to ethical considerations. The suggestion by the CFO will have the aforesaid impact of reducing expenses and improving profits.

On a moral ground, the suggestion is likely to have negative consequences for the company. The employees would be dissatisfied that the bonus has been withdrawn, and further, when they would see the directors withdrawing bonuses out of the profits arising on a saving in bonus costs, it would have a negative impact on employee morale, which would result in low employee satisfaction scores and poor retention rates, which are reported as non-financial information in the financial statements. Companies are also under increasing pressure to reduce the wage gap between the management and its employees. By not paying a bonus, this metric will be adversely affected.

The CFO's statement that the above action will not negatively impact the company as the non-financial reporting indicators are not widely read by the users is misleading. The non-financial information is becoming increasingly important to the users of financial statements as they care about companies' treatment of their employees and view it as being important in the long-term success of the company.

A chartered accountant has a responsibility to exercise due diligence and clearly consider both financial and non-financial information while discharging his professional duty. It would be unethical for a chartered accountant to guide the management on matters which may result into any kind of disadvantage (it includes even non-financial matters) to the stakeholders.

Further, a distinguishing mark of the accountancy profession is its acceptance of the responsibility to act in the public interest. A chartered accountant's responsibility is not exclusively to satisfy the needs of an individual client or employing organization. Therefore, the Code contains requirements and application material to enable chartered accountants to meet their responsibility to act in the public interest. (Refer Section 100.1 A1, Code of Ethics issued by ICAI)

Hence, it is essential for a chartered accountant to uphold the professional standards and act in accordance with the ethical principles by ensuring transparency and accuracy in financial reporting.

Agastya Ltd. is a listed company engaged in the manufacturing of automotive spare parts. The company is preparing the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X3. The directors of Agastya Ltd. are entitled to an incentive based on the operating profit margin of the company. You have been appointed as a consultant to advise on the preparation of the financial statements, and you notice the following issue.

# Issue:

The draft financial statements include an amount of ₹ 75 lakhs given as loan to a director. The loan has no specific repayment terms; the same is repayable on demand. The directors have included such loan under the heading 'Cash and Cash Equivalents'. They have reasoned that since such loan, which is advanced to one of the directors, is repayable on demand, it is readily convertible to cash. Further the directors opine that such presentation should not be a problem even under the Ind AS Framework as financial statements are essentially prepared in accordance.

# Question 9 (Illustration):

Agastya Ltd. is a listed company engaged in the manufacturing of automotive spare parts. The company is preparing the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X3. The directors of Agastya Ltd. are entitled to an incentive based on the operating profit margin of the company. You have been appointed as a consultant to advise on the preparation of the financial statements, and you notice the following issue:

### Issue:

The draft financial statements include an amount of ₹ 75 lakhs given as loan to a director. The loan has no specific repayment terms; the same is repayable on demand. The directors have included such loan under the heading 'Cash and Cash Equivalents'. They have reasoned that since such loan, which is advanced to one of the directors, is repayable on demand, it is readily convertible to cash. Further the directors opine that such presentation should not be a problem even under the Ind AS Framework as financial statements are essentially prepared in accordance with accounting policies which is the choice of the company, and in this case, Agastya Ltd. has made a policy choice to show such loan as a cash equivalent.

# Required:

Discuss the ethical and accounting implications of the above issues, referring to the relevant Ind AS wherever appropriate.

### **Answer 9**

The directors have included a loan made to a director as a part of Cash and Cash Equivalents. It appears that the directors have misunderstood the definition of Cash and Cash Equivalents, believing the loan to be a cash equivalent. As per Ind AS 7, Statement of Cash Flows, cash equivalents are short-term, highly liquid investments readily convertible to known amounts of cash and which are subject to insignificant risk of changes in value. However, the loan given to the directors is not in place to enable Agastya Ltd. to manage its short-term cash commitments, it has no fixed repayment date and the likelihood of the director defaulting is also not known. Thus, the classification as a cash equivalent is inappropriate.

Instead, the loan should be regarded as a financial asset under Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments. Further information would be required, for example is ₹ 75 lakhs fair value? It could be said that the loan will never be repaid, and accordingly could be regarded as a component of directors' remuneration, and if so, the same should be expensed and disclosed accordingly. Further, since the director is likely to fall into the

category of key management personnel, related party disclosures under Ind AS 24, Related Party Disclosures are likely to be necessary.

The treatment of loan as a cash equivalent breached two fundamental qualitative characteristics prescribed in the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting, namely:

- (i) **Relevance:** The information should be disclosed separately as it is relevant to users.
- (ii) **Faithful representation:** Information must be complete, neutral and free from error. Clearly, this will not be the case if loan to a director is shown as Cash Equivalents.

The said treatment is also violative of the Conceptual Framework's key enhancing qualitative characteristics:

- (i) **Understandability:** if the loan is shown as Cash Equivalents, it masks the true nature of company's practices, thereby reducing the understandability of the financial statements to the users.
- (ii) **Verifiability:** Verifiability ensures that different knowledgeable and independent observers can reach consensus that a particular depiction of a transaction / account balance is a faithful representation. Verifiability gives assurance to the users that the information faithfully represents the economic phenomena it intends to represent. The treatment given by the directors of Agastya Ltd. does not meet this benchmark as it reflects the subjective bias of the directors.
- (iii) **Comparability:** For financial statements to be comparable year-on-year and with other companies, transactions must be correctly classified and presented, which is not happening here. If the cash balance of one year includes a loan to a director and the next year it does not, then you are not comparing like with like.

There is a potential conflict of interest between that of the director and that of the company, which mandates a separate disclosure as a minimum. Further, issues with compliance of section 185 of the Companies Act, 2013 would arise, which is why probably the directors want to hide such loan balance under cash equivalents. Directors are responsible for the financial statements required by statute, and thus it is their responsibility to put right any errors that result in the financial statements not complying with Ind AS. The directors are also legally bound to maintain proper accounting records and recording a loan as cash equivalent clashes with this requirement.

By masking the nature of the transaction, it is possible that the directors are motivated by personal interest and are thus failing in their duty to act honestly and ethically. If one transaction is misleading, it casts doubt on the credibility of the financial statements as a whole.

As a consultant, it becomes his responsibility to get the financial statements rectified and guide the directors about the principles enunciated in Ind AS and the correct treatment in accordance with the standards. Otherwise, he will be subject to

# <sup>age</sup>1452

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

professional misconduct under Clause 6 and 7 of Part I of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949.

Clause 6 of Part I of the Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act 1949 states that a CA is guilty of professional misconduct when he fails to report a material misstatement known to him to appear in a financial statement with which he is concerned in a professional capacity.

The Clause 7, states that a Chartered Accountant is guilty of professional misconduct when he does not exercise due diligence or is grossly negligent in the conduct of his professional duties.

# Question 10 (Illustration):

As at 31 March 20X4, Mitra Ltd. had a plan to dispose off its 75% subsidiary Dosti Ltd. This plan had been approved by the board and was reported in the media as well as to the Stock Exchange where Mitra Ltd. was listed. It is expected that Jaya Ltd., the non-controlling shareholder in Dosti Ltd. holding 25% stake, will acquire the 75% equity interest as well. The sale is expected to be completed by October 20X4. Dosti Ltd. is expected to have substantial trading losses in the period up to the sale. Mr. X, a chartered accountant, who is an employee in the finance department of Mitra Ltd., wishes to show Dosti Ltd. as held for sale in the financial statements and to create a restructuring provision to include the expected costs of disposal and future trading losses. However, the Chief Operating Officer (COO) does not wish Dosti Ltd. to be categorized as held for sale nor to provide for the expected losses. The COO is concerned as to how this may affect the sales and would surely result in bonus targets not being met. He has argued that as the management, it is his duty to secure a high sales price to maximize the return for shareholders of Mitra Ltd. He has also hinted that Mr. X's job could be at stake if such a provision were to be made in the financial statements. The expected costs from the sale are as follows:

Future Trading Losses: ₹ 20 crores

Various legal costs of sale ₹ 1.5 crores

Redundancy costs for Dosti Ltd.'s employees ₹ 4 crores

Impairment losses on Property, Plant and Equipment ₹ 7

croresRequired:

- (a) Discuss the accounting treatment which Mitra Ltd. should adopt to address the issue above for the financial statements.
- (b) Discuss the ethical issues which may arise in the above scenario, including any actions which Mitra Ltd. and Mr. X should take.

# Answer 10

a) In terms of Ind AS 105, Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations,

an entity shall classify a non-current asset (or disposal group) as held for sale if its carrying amount will be recovered principally through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use.

For this to be the case, the asset (or disposal group) must be available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets (or disposal groups) and its sale must be highly probable.

For the sale to be highly probable, the appropriate level of management must be committed to a plan to sell the asset (or disposal group), and an active programme to locate a buyer and complete the plan must have been initiated. Further, the asset (or disposal group) must be actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value. In addition, the sale should be expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year from the date of classification, except in specific cases as permitted by the Standard, and actions required to complete the plan should indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn. The probability of required approvals (as per the jurisdiction) should be considered as part of the assessment of whether the sale is highly probable.

An entity that is committed to a sale plan involving loss of control of a subsidiary shall classify all the assets and liabilities of that subsidiary as held for sale when the criteria set out above are met, regardless of whether the entity will retain a non-controlling interest in its former subsidiary after the sale.

Based on the provisions highlighted above, the disposal of Dosti Ltd. appears to meet the criteria of held for sale. Jaya Ltd. is the probable acquirer, and the sale is highly probable, expected to be completed seven months after the year end, well within the 12-months criteria highlighted above. Accordingly, Dosti Ltd. should be treated as a disposal group, since a single equity transaction is the most likely form of disposal. In case Dosti Ltd. is deemed to be a separate major component of business or geographical area of the group, the losses of the group should be presented separately as a discontinued operation within the Financial Statements of Mitra Ltd.

In terms of Ind AS 105, Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations, an entity shall measure a non-current asset (or disposal group) classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell. The carrying amount of Dosti Ltd. (i.e., the subsidiary of Mitra Ltd.) comprises of the net assets and goodwill less the non-controlling interest. The impairment loss recognised to reduce Dosti Ltd. to fair value less costs to sell should be allocated first to goodwill and then on a pro-rata basis across the other non-current assets of the Company.

The Chief Operating Officer (COO) is incorrect to exclude any form of restructuring provision in the Financial Statements. Since the disposal is communicated to the media as well as the Stock Exchange, a constructive obligation exists. However, ongoing costs of business should not be provided for, only directly attributable costs of restructuring should be provided. Future operating losses should be excluded as no obligating event has arisen, and no provision is required for impairment losses of Property, Plant and Equipment as it is already considered in the remeasurement to fair value less costs to sell. Thus, a provision is required for  $\mathfrak{T}$  5.5 crores ( $\mathfrak{T}$  1.5 crores +  $\mathfrak{T}$  4 crores).

# b) Ethics

Accountants have a duty to ensure that the financial statements are fair, transparent and comply with the accounting standards. Mr. X have committed several mistakes. In particular, he was unaware of which costs should be included within a restructuring provision and has failed to recognise that there is no obligating event in relation to future operating losses. A chartered accountant is expected to carry his work with due care and attention for lending credibility to the financial statements. Accordingly, he must update his knowledge and ensure that work is carried out in accordance with relevant ethical and professional standards. Failure to do so would be a breach of professional competence. Accordingly, Mr. X must ensure that this issue is addressed, for example by attending regular training and professional development courses.


It appears that the chief operating officer is looking for means to manipulate the financial statements for meeting the bonus targets. Neither is he is willing to reduce the profits of the group by applying held for sale criteria in respect of Dosti Ltd. nor is he willing to create appropriate restructuring provisions. Both the adjustment which comply with the requirements of Ind AS will result in reduction of profits. His argument that the management has a duty to maximize the returns for the shareholders is true, but such maximization must not be achieved at the cost of objective and faithful representation of the performance of the Company. In the given case, it appears that the chief operating officer is motivated by bonus targets under the garb of maximizing returns for the shareholders, thereby resulting in misrepresentation of the results of the group.

Further, by threatening to dismiss Mr. X, the COO has acted unethically. Threatening and intimidating behaviour is unacceptable and against all ethical principles. This has given rise to an ethical dilemma for Mr. X. He has a duty to produce financial statements but doing so in a fair manner could result in a loss of job for him. The chartered accountant should approach the chief operating officer and remind him the basic ethical principles and communicate him to do the necessary adjustments in the accounts so that they are fair and objective.

In case Mr. X, falls under undue influence of COO and applies the incorrect accounting treatment, he will be subject to professional misconduct under Clause 1 of Part II of Second Schedule of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. The Clause 1 states that a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, for contravening the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council. As per the Guidelines issued by the Council, a member of the Institute who is an employee shall exercise due diligence and shall not be grossly negligent in the conduct of his duties.

# **CA CS CMA Mentoring Program Reviews**

















Visit CAmentoringprogram.org or (S) +917890770777

,age 1455

# Chapter 17 "Accounting and Technology" Section - B

# Question 1

T Ltd is engaged in transport sector, running a fleet of buses at different routes. T Ltd has identified 3 operating segments:

- Segment 1: Local Route
- **Segment 2: Inter-city Route**
- **Segment 3: Contract Hiring**

The characteristics of each segment are as under:

Segment 1: The local transport authority awards the contract to ply the buses at different routes for passengers. These contracts are awarded following a competitive tender process; the ticket price paid by passengers are controlled by the local transport authority. T Ltd would charge the local transport authority on a per kilometer basis.

Segment 2: T Ltd operates buses from one city to another, prices are set by T Ltd on the basis of services provided (Deluxe, Luxury or Superior).

Segment 3: T Ltd also leases buses to schools under a long-term arrangement.

While Segment 1 has been showing significant decline in profitability, Segment 2 is performing well in respect of higher revenues and improved margins. The management of the company is not sure why is the segment information relevant for users when they should only be concerned about the returns from overall business. They would like to aggregate the Segment 1 and Segment 2 for reporting under 'Operating Segment'.

### Required

What are the steps involved to automate the process to determine whether it is appropriate to aggregate Segments 1 and 2 with reference to Ind AS 108 'Operating Segments'?

# Answer 1

Following steps should be followed to automate the process to determine whether it is appropriate to aggregate Segments 1 and 2 with reference to Ind AS 108 'Operating Segments':

- Extract the relevant financial data related to Segments 1 and 2 from your accounting system.
- Ensure that the data includes segment-specific information such as revenue, expenses, assets, liabilities, and any other relevant metrics.
- Define the criteria for evaluating whether the segments should be aggregated.

- 4. Consider factors such as the nature of the business activities, economic characteristics, customer base, pricing policies, and risks and returns associated with each segment.
- 5. Utilize automated analysis tools or software capable of processing large volumes of financial data.
- 6. Apply predefined algorithms or rules to evaluate the financial performance and characteristics of Segments 1 and 2 based on the defined criteria.
- 7. Conduct a comparative analysis of the financial metrics and performance indicators between Segments 1 and 2.
- 8. Based on the analysis and findings, evaluate whether it is appropriate to aggregate Segments 1 and 2.
- 9. Document the rationale behind the decision, including the analysis results and supporting evidence.
- 10. Use tools such as business intelligence software, data visualization platforms, or custom-built reporting modules to present the aggregated and segmented data in a meaningful way.

# Question 2

New Way Ltd. decides to enter a new market that is currently experiencing economic difficulty and expects that in future the economy will improve. New Way Ltd. enters into an arrangement with a customer in the new region for networking products for promised consideration of `12,50,000.

At contract inception, New Way Ltd. wants to

- (i) Define criteria for identifying contracts with customers, such as enforceable rights and obligations, agreement terms, and consideration.
- (ii) Establish rules to link relevant transactions to specific contracts and assign unique identifiers to each contract

# Required

Advice the steps to automate the process to perform the above tasks on behalf of New Way Ltd.

# Answer 2

A contract management system may be implemented which allows to store and organize contract documents electronically. This system can help you define and capture key contract details, such as enforceable rights and obligations, agreement terms, and consideration.

Accordingly, the said contract management system shall be enabled to configure a mechanism to assign unique identifiers to each contract.

- Integrate the contract management system or accounting software with other

Page 1457

operational systems, such as sales, CRM, or project management systems. This integration allows for the automatic capture and synchronization of contract-related data, ensuring that transactions associated with specific contracts are accurately linked.

- Assign specific tags or attributes to contracts based on the defined criteria, such as contract type, customer name, contract start and end dates, or specific service offerings, to enable efficient searching, filtering, and grouping of contracts based on various criteria.
- Use custom queries or predefined templates to extract information on the number of contracts identified, their characteristics, and the associated transactions. This provides visibility into the implementation of Ind AS 115 and helps to monitor compliance.

# In addition to the above, the following may be adopted:

- Consider utilizing OCR technology to extract relevant information automatically. OCR can convert printed or handwritten text into machine-readable format, enabling efficient extraction of contract details for further processing and analysis.
- Apply machine learning and Neuro-Linguistic Programming (NLP) techniques to analyze and extract contract data automatically. These technologies can help identify specific contract terms, clauses, or obligations, aiding in the accurate identification and classification of contracts based on predefined criteria.
- Utilize workflow automation tools to streamline the contract identification process. Establish predefined rules or triggers within your system that automatically identify new contracts based on specific criteria and assign unique identifiers. This automation reduces manual effort and ensures consistency in contract identification.

# Question 3(Illustration)

A listed company's financial transactions are carried out in ERP. Following financial reporting weaknesses were observed during internal control over financial reporting:

- 1. There is no appropriate documented process with respect to financial closing and reporting, including the identification and updating of internal and external financial reporting requirements and deadlines.
- 2. Changes made to the financial closing and reporting process are not valid and properly authorised.
- 3. Roles and responsibilities in the financial closing and reporting process are not clearly defined, documented, updated, and not communicated to appropriate departments and individuals on a timely basis.

# $^{ m age}1459$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

- 4. Individuals in financial reporting roles do not have the necessary understanding of the organisation's operations and appropriate accounting knowledge to properly perform their assigned responsibilities.
- 5. When alternative accounting treatments are available for a significant event or transaction, the decisions on which treatments to select are not documented, approved by management, and are not communicated to the audit committee.
- 6. General policies are not established and documented regarding permissible overrides of existing policies and procedures for the financial closing and reporting process.
- 7. User profiles (on General Ledger (G/L) system) are not monitored / maintained to ensure that appropriate individuals have access to financial reporting process.
- 8. The appropriate accounting treatment is not specified for each non-routine event, transaction, and account balance, including those requiring the use of accounting estimates and judgment in the selection and application of accounting principles.
- 9. Relevant, sufficient, and reliable data necessary to record, process, and report each non-routine event or transaction is not captured.
- 10. There are no procedures to ensure all postings have occurred in the correct period.
- 11. The application of the entity's accounting policies to each non-routine event or transaction is not performed on a timely basis and appropriately documented by knowledgeable and qualified personnel using approved methods and formats.
- 12. All non-routine events and transactions are not accurately processed in the appropriate accounting period.
- 13. There is no independent review of application of the entity's accounting policies to each non-routine event or transaction for appropriateness and absence of bias by an individual with the appropriate level of authority and experience.
- 14. There is no basis for significant estimates and judgments associated with each non-routine event or transaction.
- 15. No analysis is prepared accurately and consistently in accordance with the entity's defined financial closing process and in the appropriate accounting period.
- 16. All sources of information for routine and non-routine events and transactions are not identified and analysed.

- 17. There are no reconciliations for all significant accounts and no independent review of such reconciliation.
- 18. All intercompany transactions and balances are not identified, reconciled, and appropriately eliminated in consolidation in the appropriate accounting period.
- 19. All suspense accounts are not identified and monitored.
- 20. The trial balance(s) used to prepare the financial statements are not generated from the final general ledger(s).
- 21. All trial-balance accounts are not appropriately and consistently grouped for presentation in the financial statements for accounting periods presented.
- 22. There are no restrictions to access and to run transactions in the automated consolidation software which may compromise the integrity of financial data
- 23. All related-party events and transactions are not identified and authorised, appropriately accounted for, and disclosed in the appropriate accounting period.
- 24. There are no procedures to ensure all postings have occurred in the correct period.
- 25. Entries recorded directly to the financial statements are not valid. Provide illustrative steps for Financial Closing and Reporting.

Provide illustrative steps for Financial Closing and Reporting.

# Answer 3

Following are the illustrative steps for Financial Closing and Reporting:

- Verify that the process includes identification and updating of internal and external financial reporting requirements and deadlines.
- Review the documented process to ensure it aligns with the organization's financial reporting policies and regulatory guidelines.
- Use the ERP system's change management functionality to track and validate changes made to the financial closing and reporting process using system logs and audit trail.
- Verify that changes to the process are authorized by designated individuals with appropriate authority using system logs.
- Review the change requests, approvals, and documentation within the ERP system to ensure proper authorization and validation of process changes.
- Validate that roles and responsibilities in the financial closing and reporting process are clearly defined within the ERP system by reviewing users access matrix configurations and system logs

# $_{ m age}1461$

# Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

- 7. Assess the qualifications and training records of individuals assigned to financial reporting roles within the ERP system.
- 8. Validate that individuals responsible for financial reporting have the necessary understanding of the organization's operations and appropriate accounting knowledge.
- 9. Validate that decisions on alternative accounting treatments for significant events or transactions are documented and approved by management by reviewing the Journal vouchers listing.
- 10. Review the ERP system for documentation of accounting treatment decisions, including approvals and communication to the audit committee.
- 11. Review the ERP system's user administration functionality to ensure appropriate individuals have access to the financial reporting process.
- 12. Review whether proper KYC validation controls in place for creating account masters and review the process for identifying related party transactions.
- 13. Validate that the ERP system captures and documents the appropriate accounting treatment for each non-routine event, transaction, and account balance by reviewing Journal Vouchers listing.
- 14. Use the ERP system's audit trail and reporting capabilities to validate that all postings have occurred in the correct accounting period reviewing accounting period configuration controls.
- 15. Review the system's controls for preventing backdating or unauthorized adjustments to postings by reviewing the posting date and transactions date of entries.

# Question 4 (Illustration)

Company XYZ is a manufacturing company that implements Ind AS 2 and wants your advice on utility of an ERP system for inventory management. They also aim to integrate ICOFR controls into their ERP system to ensure accurate inventory valuation, minimize the risk of inventory fraud, and enhance process efficiency and accordingly they need your guidance in integrating ICOFR in ERP system.

Also, advice the steps to be followed if the company cannot afford a ERP system but still want to ensure proper implementation of Ind AS 2 to the extent possible.

# **Answer 4**

# A. ERP System for inventory management

ERP system integrates all relevant modules, such as inventory management, production, purchasing, and cost accounting. This ensures data consistency and reduces manual errors in recording and processing transactions. Following illustrative steps may be followed to configure and enable ERP with following modules:

# $_{ m age}1462$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

- Maintain an updated and accurate Bill of Materials (BOM) Management within the ERP system, specifying the components required for each control unit. This allows the system to calculate the total cost of materials accurately by considering the quantities and costs of each component.
- Implement Purchase order controls within the ERP system to manage the procurement process effectively. This includes verifying purchase requisitions, obtaining appropriate approvals, and ensuring that the correct quantities and costs of materials are recorded.
- Define appropriate costing methods within the ERP system to allocate costs to inventory accurately. The ERP system should be configured to apply the chosen costing method consistently across all inventory transactions.
- Track labour costs within the ERP system by integrating with timekeeping or attendance systems. This ensures accurate recording of the number of hours worked by production workers and enables the calculation of labour costs based on the defined hourly rate.
- Define an overhead absorption rate within the ERP system to allocate production overheads to inventory. This rate should be based on the normal level of production per month. The ERP system should apply the overhead rate consistently to all units produced during the period.
- Integrate the ERP system with the general ledger and expense allocation modules to accurately allocate non-production expenses such as factory rent, energy costs, and selling and administrative costs. This ensures that these expenses are appropriately recorded and reflected in the cost of inventory.
- Perform periodic reconciliations between the inventory records within the ERP system and physical inventory counts. This helps identify any discrepancies and ensures the accuracy of inventory valuation.
- Utilise the reporting and analytics capabilities of the ERP system to generate accurate and timely reports on inventory costs. These reports should provide detailed breakdowns of material costs, labour costs, overheads, and any other relevant cost components.

# Integration of ICOFR in ERP system:

The management of company XYZ may integrate ICOFR controls in ERP system by using following points:

1. The integration of ICOFR into ERP system is configured to enforce segregation of duties within the inventory management process. For example, the system restricts the ability to initiate purchase orders, receive goods, and update inventory records to separate individuals. This segregation ensures that no single employee has the ability to manipulate inventory quantities or values without appropriate checks and balances.

# $_{ m age}1463$

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

- 2. ICOFR is incorporated by implementing access controls in the ERP system. Users are granted access to inventory-related functions based on their roles and responsibilities. For instance, only authorized personnel can modify inventory master data, update cost information, or perform inventory counts. This prevents unauthorized access and reduces the risk of data manipulation or theft.
- 3. To ensure proper authorization, the ERP system includes workflow approval processes for inventory transactions. For example, when a purchase requisition is raised, the system automatically routes it through predefined approval hierarchies based on transaction value or other criteria. This ensures that inventory purchases are authorized by the appropriate individuals before they are processed.
- 4. The company utilizes barcode or radio-frequency identification (RFID) technology to enhance inventory control and accuracy. The ERP system is integrated with barcode scanners or RFID readers, allowing real-time tracking of inventory movements. This reduces manual data entry errors and provides accurate and upto-date inventory information within the system.
- 5. ICOFR requires periodic physical inventory counts to verify the accuracy of recorded inventory quantities. The ERP system supports this process by generating inventory count sheets or reports based on predefined criteria such as product categories or locations. The system can also reconcile the physical count results with the recorded quantities, highlighting any discrepancies for further investigation and adjustment.
- 6. Technology-driven data analytics tools can be integrated into the ERP system to identify inventory-related exceptions or anomalies. For example, the system can analyse inventory turnover ratios, slow-moving or obsolete items, or abnormal inventory cost fluctuations. These analytics help in detecting potential control weaknesses or irregularities, enabling timely action by management.
- 7. The ERP system can provide management dashboards or customized reports that display key inventory control indicators. These dashboards summarize information such as inventory turnover, stock levels, and valuation accuracy. They facilitate monitoring and decision- making, enabling management to assess the effectiveness of ICOFR controls and take corrective actions if needed.

# B. Inventory management in the absence of efficient ERP system

In the absence of ERP system or in the absence of properly configured ERP system, the alternative procedure available is by exporting the data to a spreadsheet and perform the following steps:

- 1. Export the relevant data from the accounting package, including information such as quantities, costs, labour hours, and overhead expenses into a spreadsheet. Ensure that the exported data contains all the necessary details to calculate the inventory costs accurately.
- 2. Organize the exported data in appropriate columns. Label each column with the

- corresponding data, such as item codes, quantities, costs, labour hours, and overhead expenses.
- 3. Use the formulas to calculate the material costs for each item. Multiply the quantities of each component by their respective costs. If there are multiple components, sum up the costs of all components to get the total material cost for each item.
- 4. Use the formulas to calculate the labour costs for each item. Multiply the labour hours for each item by the defined hourly rate to obtain the labour cost.
- 5. Determine the overhead absorption rate based on the normal level of production per month. Multiply the rate by the total labour hours to calculate the total overhead cost. Divide the overhead cost by the total quantity of items produced to get the overhead cost per item.
- 6. If there are non-production expenses such as rent, energy costs, or administrative costs, allocate them to each item using an appropriate method. This can be based on quantities, labour hours, or other relevant factors. Apply formulas to distribute the expenses accordingly.
- 7. Sum up the material costs, labour costs, overhead costs, and allocated non-production expenses for each item to obtain the total inventory cost.
- 8. If you have physical inventory counts, compare the calculated inventory costs in spreadsheet with the physical counts. Identify any discrepancies and investigate the causes. Adjust the inventory costs as necessary to reconcile them with the physical counts.
- 9. Create reports in spreadsheet that provide a breakdown of the inventory costs for each item. Include material costs, labour costs, overhead costs, and allocated non-production expenses. Use formatting and charts to present the information clearly.

# Question 5 (Illustration)

Company Z is engaged in the business of importing oil seeds for further processing as well as trading purposes. It enters into the following types of contracts as on 1st October 20X1:

Particulars	Contract 1	Contract 2	Contract 3
Nature of	Import of oil seeds	Purchase of oil seeds	Contract to sell oil
Contract	from a foreign	from a domestic	seeds on the
	supplier	producer / supplier	commodity exchange
Quantity and		50 MT at `30,000 per	50 MT at USD 450 per
rate	400	MT to be delivered as	MT, maturing as on
	per MT to be	on 31st January 20X2	15th January 20X2
	delivered as on	Č	Ū
	31st March 20X2		

1464

# ,age 1465

# Paper 1 - Financial Reporting

Net settlement	Yes	Yes	Yes
clause included			
in the contract			
		1 0	Yes - these contracts
-		net settled some of the	-
		domestic purchase	
	seeds being sold		exchange on the
	prior to or shortly		maturity date.
	after taking delivery.		
			Company Z enters into
	These instances of	only 1 per cent of the	these types of
	net settlement	total domestic	derivative contracts to
	constitute	purchase contracts in	hedge the risks on its
	approximately 30	value.	domestic oil seeds
	per cent of the value		purchase contracts
	of total import	The remaining	-
	contracts.	contracts are settled by	
		taking delivery of oil	
		seeds which are used	
		for further processing.	

Company Z wants to determine if the contracts entered into for purchase and sale of oil seeds are derivatives within the scope of Ind AS 109 or are executory contracts outside the scope of Ind AS 109. Though the Company Z is using an ERP accounting package it is not properly configured to provide the required reports for above said decision making. Therefore, Company Z requires your advice on whether such process of determining the nature of contracts is possible through use of external sources of technology.

# Answer 5

Yes, it is possible by extracting the data from the accounting package or by connecting to the database of the accounting package.

For example, the same can be done by connecting the spreadsheet with database through ODBC connectivity or by extracting the data from accounting package into a spreadsheet. In case the data is being extracted from accounting package, the following steps may be followed:

- 1. Identify the relevant data fields in the accounting package that contain the contract information, such as contract particulars, quantities, rates, and settlement details.
- 2. Export the required data from the accounting package in a compatible format (e.g., CSV, Excel, or other supported formats).
- 3. Open the exported data in Microsoft Excel.
- 4. Clean the data by removing any unnecessary or irrelevant columns and rows.
- 5. Ensure that the data is properly formatted and aligned for further analysis.
- 6. Define the rules or criteria for categorizing the contracts as derivative or executory

based on the requirements of Ind AS 109.

- 7. Establish conditions using Excel formulas or logical functions to evaluate the contract data.
- **8.** Apply the defined rules or criteria to the contract data using Excel formulas or logical functions.
- 9. Use functions such as IF, AND, OR, or VLOOKUP to evaluate the conditions and determine the nature of each contract.
- **10.** Create additional columns in Excel to categorize the contracts based on the analysis results.
- **11.** Assign appropriate labels or values to indicate whether a contract is a derivative or an executory contract.

# Question 6 (Illustration)

An entity provides broadband services to its customers along with voice call service. Customer buys modem from the entity. However, customer can also get the connection from the entity and modem from any other vendor. The installation activity requires limited effort and the cost involved is almost insignificant. It has various plans where it provides either broadband services or voice call services or both.

Comment on how to identify whether the performance obligations under the contract is distinct by using an automated process?

### Answer 6

To identify the performance obligations under the contract and determine if they are distinct, an automated process can be implemented using technology. The following steps can be taken:

- a. Analyze the clauses in the contract related to the services provided (broadband services, voice call services, modem sales).
- **b.** Each clause should be codified using appropriate parameters or tags to capture the relevant information.
- c. Assign Boolean values (0 or 1) to each parameter or tag in the codified clauses.
- **d.** Use "0" to represent "No" and "1" to represent "Yes" for each parameter.
- **e.** Define the criteria for evaluating the performance obligations based on the parameters and their Boolean values.
- f. Consider factors such as the type of service involved, benefits derived by the customer, and promises made in the contract regarding the transfer of goods or services.
- g. Develop an automated algorithm or script that evaluates the Boolean values of the parameters according to the defined criteria.

# /(

# Paper 1 – Financial Reporting

- h. Calculate scores or weights for each parameter based on their significance in determining performance obligations.
- i. Utilize the scores or weights assigned to the parameters to determine if the performance obligations are distinct.
- j. If the total score exceeds a certain threshold, consider it a separate performance obligation.

The automated process should flag and identify these distinct performance obligations based on the evaluation results.



CA MENTORING PROGRAM
Dr. CA Ravi Agarwal

# CA FINAL INTER | FOUNDATION

# MENTORSHIP

FOR CA STUDENTS

By CA Ravi Agarwal

(Founder of CA Mentoring Program in India)

Crack your CA Exam In 1 Attempt

Join CA Ravi Agarwal Mentoring Program if you are unable to manage/focus on your studies.

Batch 1 - working student (doing job)

Batch 2 - attending lectures

Batch 3 - full time available for studies

Batch 4 - married student

