

Income From Other Sources

Q1

Study Mat

Rahul holding 28% of equity shares in a company, took a loan of ₹ 5,00,000 from the same company. On the date of granting the loan, the company had accumulated profit of ₹ 4,00,000. The company is engaged in some manufacturing activity.

- (i) Is the amount of loan taxable as deemed dividend, if the company is a company in which the public are substantially interested?
- (ii) What would be your answer, if the lending company is a private limited company (i.e. a company in which the public are not substantially interested)?

Answer

Any payment by a company, other than a company in which the public are substantially interested, of any sum by way of advance or loan to an equity shareholder, being a person who is the beneficial owner of shares holding not less than 10% of the voting power, is deemed as dividend under section 2(22)(e), to the extent the company possesses accumulated profits.

- (i) The provisions of section 2(22)(e), however, will not apply where the loan is given by a company in which public are substantially interested. In such a case, the loan would not be taxable as deemed dividend.
- (ii) However, if the loan is taken from a private company (i.e. a company in which the public are not substantially interested), which is a manufacturing company and not a company where lending of money is a substantial part of the business of the company, then, the provisions of section 2(22)(e) would be attracted, since Rahul holds more than 10% of the equity shares in the company.

The amount chargeable as deemed dividend cannot, however, exceed the accumulated profits held by the company on the date of giving the loan. Therefore, the amount taxable as deemed dividend would be limited to the accumulated profit i.e., ₹ 4,00,000 and not the amount of loan which is ₹ 5,00,000.

Q2

Study Mat

Mr. A, a dealer in shares, received the following without consideration during the PY 2021-22 from his friend Mr. B, -

- (1) Cash gift of ₹ 75,000 on his anniversary, 15th April, 2021.
- (2) Bullion, the fair market value of which was ₹ 60,000, on his birthday, 19th June, 2021.
- (3) A plot of land at Faridabad on 1st July, 2021, the stamp value of which is ₹ 5 lakh on that date. Mr. B had purchased the land in April, 2009.

Mr. A purchased from his friend Mr. C, who is also a dealer in shares, 1000 shares of X Ltd. @ ₹ 400 each on 19th June, 2021, the fair market value of which was ₹ 600 each on that date. Mr. A sold these shares in the course of his business on 23rd June, 2021.

Further, on 1st November, 2021, Mr. A took possession of property (building) booked by him two years back at ₹ 20 lakh. The stamp duty value of the property as on 1st November, 2021 was ₹ 32 lakh and on the date of booking was ₹ 23 lakh. He had paid ₹ 1 lakh by account payee cheque as down payment on the date of booking.

On 1st March, 2022, he sold the plot of land at Faridabad for ₹ 7 lakh.

Compute the income of Mr. A chargeable under the head "Income from other sources" and "Capital Gains" for AY 2022-23.

Answer

Computation of "Income from other sources" of Mr. A for the AY 2022-23

	Particulars	₹
(1)	Cash gift is taxable under section 56(2)(x), since it exceeds ₹ 50,000	75,000
(2)	Since bullion is included in the definition of property, therefore, when bullion is received without consideration, the same is taxable, since the aggregate fair market value exceeds ₹ 50,000	60,000
(3)	Stamp value of plot of land at Faridabad, received without consideration, is taxable under section 56(2)(x)	5,00,000
(4)	Difference of ₹ 2 lakh in the value of shares of X Ltd. purchased from Mr. C, a dealer in shares, is not taxable as it represents the stock-in-trade of Mr. A. Since Mr. A is a dealer in shares and it has been mentioned that the shares were subsequently sold in the course of his business, such shares represent the stock-in-trade of Mr. A.	-
(5)	Difference between the stamp duty value of ₹ 23 lakh on the date of booking and the actual consideration of ₹ 20 lakh paid is taxable under section 56(2)(x) since the difference exceeds ₹ 2,00,000, being the higher of ₹ 50,000 and 10% of consideration	3,00,000
	Income from Other Sources	9,35,000

Computation of "Capital Gains" of Mr. A for the AY 2022-23

Particulars	₹
Sale Consideration	7,00,000
Less: Cost of acquisition [deemed to be the stamp value charged to tax under section 56(2)(x) as per section 49(4)]	5,00,000
Short-term capital gains	2,00,000

Note – The resultant capital gains will be short-term capital gains since for calculating the period of holding, the period of holding of previous owner is not to be considered.

Q3

Study Mat

Mr. Hari, a property dealer, sold a building in the course of his business to his friend Rajesh, who is a dealer in automobile spare parts, for ₹ 90 lakh on 1.1.2022, when the stamp duty value was ₹ 150 lakh. The agreement was, however, entered into on 1.9.2021 when the stamp duty value was ₹ 140 lakh. Mr. Hari had received a down payment of ₹ 15 lakh by a crossed cheque from Rajesh on the date of agreement. Discuss the tax implications in the hands of Hari and Rajesh, assuming that Mr. Hari has purchased the building for ₹ 75 lakh on 12th July, 2020.

Would your answer be different if Hari was a share broker instead of a property dealer?

Answer

Case 1: Tax implications if Mr. Hari is a property dealer

In the hands of the seller, Mr. Hari	In the hands of the buyer, Mr. Rajesh
<p>In the hands of Hari, the provisions of section 43CA would be attracted, since the building represents his stock-in-trade and he has transferred the same for a consideration less than the stamp duty value; and the stamp duty value exceeds 110% of consideration.</p> <p>Under section 43CA, the option to adopt the stamp duty value on the date of agreement can be exercised only if whole or part of the consideration has been received on or before the date of agreement by way of account payee cheque or draft or by use of ECS through a bank account or through such other prescribed electronic mode on or before the date of agreement. In this case, since the down payment of ₹ 15 lakh is received on the date of agreement by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque, the option cannot be exercised.</p> <p>Therefore, ₹ 75 lakh, being the difference between the stamp duty value on the date of transfer i.e., ₹ 150 lakh, and the purchase price i.e., ₹ 75 lakh, would be chargeable as business income in the hands of Mr. Hari, since stamp duty value exceeds 110% of the consideration.</p>	<p>Since Mr. Rajesh is a dealer in automobile spare parts, the building purchased would be a capital asset in his hands. The provisions of section 56(2)(x) would be attracted in the hands of Mr. Rajesh who has received immovable property, being a capital asset, for inadequate consideration and the difference between the consideration and stamp duty value exceeds ₹ 9,00,000, being the higher of ₹ 50,000 and 10% of consideration.</p> <p>Therefore, ₹ 60 lakh, being the difference between the stamp duty value of the property on the date of registration (i.e., ₹ 150 lakh) and the actual consideration (i.e., ₹ 90 lakh) would be taxable under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of Mr. Rajesh, since the payment on the date of agreement is made by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque/draft or ECS or through such other prescribed electronic mode.</p>

Case 2: Tax implications if Mr. Hari is a stock broker

In the hands of the seller, Mr. Hari	In the hands of the buyer, Mr. Rajesh
<p>In case Mr. Hari is a stock broker and not a property dealer, the building would represent his capital asset and not stock-in-trade. In such a case, the provisions of section 50C would be attracted in the hands of Mr. Hari, since building is transferred for a consideration less than the stamp duty value; and the stamp duty value exceeds 110% of consideration.</p> <p>Thus, ₹ 75 lakh, being the difference between the stamp duty value on the date of registration (i.e., ₹ 150 lakh) and the purchase price (i.e., ₹ 75 lakh) would be chargeable as short-term capital gains.</p> <p>It may be noted that under section 50C, the option to adopt the stamp duty value on the date of agreement can be exercised only if whole or part of the consideration has been received on or before the date of agreement by way of account payee cheque or draft or by use of ECS through a bank account or through such other prescribed electronic mode on or before the date of agreement. In this case, since the down payment of ₹ 15 lakhs has been received on the date of agreement by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque, the option cannot be exercised.</p>	<p>There would be no difference in the taxability in the hands of Mr. Rajesh, whether Mr. Hari is a property dealer or a stock broker.</p> <p>Therefore, the provisions of section 56(2)(x) would be attracted in the hands of Mr. Rajesh who has received immovable property, being a capital asset, for inadequate consideration and the difference between the consideration and stamp duty value exceeds ₹ 9,00,000, being the higher of ₹ 50,000 and 10% of consideration.</p> <p>Therefore, ₹ 60 lakh, being the difference between the stamp duty value of the property on the date of registration (i.e., ₹ 150 lakh) and the actual consideration (i.e., ₹ 90 lakh) would be taxable under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of Mr. Rajesh, since the payment on the date of agreement is made by crossed cheque and not account payee cheque/draft or ECS or through such other prescribed electronic mode.</p>

Q4

Study Mat

Examine under which heads the following incomes are taxable:

- (i) Rental income in case property held as stock-in-trade for 3 years
- (ii) Dividend on shares in case of a dealer in shares
- (iii) Salary received by a partner from his partnership firm
- (iv) Rental income of machinery
- (v) Winnings from lotteries by a person having the same as business activity
- (vi) Salaries payable to a Member of Parliament
- (vii) Receipts without consideration
- (viii) In case of retirement, interest on employee's contribution if provident fund is unrecognized.
- (ix) Rental income in case of a person engaged in the business of letting out of properties.

Answer

	Particulars	Head of Income
(i)	Rental income in case property held as stock-in trade for 3 years	Income from house property
(ii)	Dividend on shares in case of a dealer in shares	Income from other sources
(iii)	Salary by partner from his partnership firm	Profits and gains of business or profession
(iv)	Rental income of machinery (See Note below)	Profits and gains of business or profession/ Income from other sources
(v)	Winnings from lotteries by a person having the same as business activity	Income from other sources
(vi)	Salaries payable to a Member of Parliament	Income from other sources
(vii)	Receipts without consideration	Income from other sources
(viii)	In case of retirement, interest on employee's contribution if provident fund is unrecognized	Income from other sources
(xi)	Rental income in case of a person engaged in the business of letting out of properties	Profits and gains from business or profession

Note : As per section 56(2)(ii), rental income of machinery would be chargeable to tax under the head "Income from Other Sources", if the same is not chargeable to income-tax under the head "Profits and gains of business or profession".

Q5

Study Mat

Examine whether the following are chargeable to tax and the amount liable to tax :

- A sum of ₹ 1,20,000 was received as gift from non-relatives by Raj on the occasion of the marriage of his son Pravin.
- Interest on enhanced compensation of ₹ 96,000 received on 12-3-2022 for acquisition of urban land, of which 40% relates to PY 2020-21.

Answer

S. No.	Taxable/Not Taxable	Answer Amount liable to tax (₹)	Reason
(i)	Taxable	1,20,000	The exemption from applicability of section 56(2)(x) would be available if, inter alia, gift is received from a relative or gift is received on the occasion of marriage of the individual himself. In this case, since gift is received by Mr. Raj from a non-relative on the occasion of marriage of his son, it would be taxable in his hands under section 56(2)(x).

(ii)	Taxable	48,000	<p>As per section 145B(1), interest received by the assessee on enhanced compensation shall be deemed to be the income of the year in which it is received, irrespective of the method of accounting followed by the assessee.</p> <p>Interest of ₹ 96,000 on enhanced compensation is chargeable to tax in the year of receipt i.e. PY 2021-22 under section 56(2)(viii) after providing deduction of 50% under section 57(iv). Therefore, ₹ 48,000 is chargeable to tax under the head "Income from other sources".</p>
------	----------------	---------------	---

Q6

Study Mat

On 10.10.2021, Mr. Govind (a bank employee) received ₹ 5,00,000 towards interest on enhanced compensation from State Government in respect of compulsory acquisition of his land effected during the financial year 2015-16.

Out of this interest, ₹ 1,50,000 relates to the financial year 2016-17; ₹ 1,65,000 to the financial year 2017-18; and ₹ 1,85,000 to the financial year 2018-19. He incurred ₹ 50,000 by way of legal expenses to receive the interest on such enhanced compensation.

How much of interest on enhanced compensation would be chargeable to tax for the assessment year 2022-23?

Answer

Section 145B provides that interest received by the assessee on enhanced compensation shall be deemed to be the income of the assessee of the year in which it is received, irrespective of the method of accounting followed by the assessee and irrespective of the financial year to which it relates.

Section 56(2)(viii) states that such income shall be taxable as 'Income from other sources'.

50% of such income shall be allowed as deduction by virtue of section 57(iv) and no other deduction shall be permissible from such Income.

Therefore, legal expenses incurred to receive the interest on enhanced compensation would not be allowed as deduction from such income.

Computation of interest on enhanced compensation taxable as "Income from other sources" for the AY 2022-23:

Particulars	₹
Interest on enhanced compensation taxable under section 56(2)(viii)	5,00,000
Less: Deduction under section 57(iv) (50% x ₹ 5,00,000)	2,50,000
Taxable interest on enhanced compensation	2,50,000

Q7

Study Mat

Examine the following transactions in the context of Income-tax Act, 1961:

- (i) Mr. B transferred 500 shares of R (P) Ltd. to M/s. B Co. (P) Ltd. on 10.10.2021 for ₹ 3,00,000 when the market price was ₹ 5,00,000. The indexed cost of acquisition of shares for Mr. B was computed at ₹ 4,45,000. The transfer was not subjected to securities transaction tax.

Determine the income chargeable to tax in the hands of Mr. B and M/s. B Co.(P)Ltd. because of the above said transaction.

- (ii) Mr. Chezian is employed in a company with taxable salary income of ₹ 5,00,000. He received a cash gift of ₹ 1,00,000 from Atma Charitable Trust(registered under section 12AA) in December 2021 for meeting his medical expenses.

Is the cash gift so received from the trust chargeable to tax in the hands of Mr. Chezian?

Answer

- (i) Any movable property received for inadequate consideration by any person is chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x), if the difference between aggregate Fair Market Value of the property and consideration exceeds ₹ 50,000.

Thus, share received by M/s B. Co. (P) Ltd. from Mr B for inadequate consideration is chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x) to the extent of ₹ 2,00,000.

As per section 50CA, since, the consideration is less than the fair market value of unquoted shares of R (P) Ltd., fair market value of shares of the company would be deemed to be the full value of consideration. It is presumed that the shares of R (P) Ltd are unquoted shares.

The full value of consideration (₹ 5,00,000) less the indexed cost of acquisition(₹ 4,55,000) would result in a long term capital gains of ₹ 55,000 in the hands of Mr. B.

- (ii) The provisions of section 56(2)(x) would not apply to any sum of money or any property received from any trust or institution registered under section 12AA. Therefore, the cash gift of ₹ 1 lakh received from Atma Charitable Trust, being a trust registered under section 12AA, for meeting medical expenses would not be chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of Mr. Chezian.

Q8

RTP May 18

From the following transactions relating to Mrs. Sonu, determine the amount chargeable to tax in her hands for the AY 2022-23. Your answer should be supported by reasons:

- (i) Received cash gifts on the occasion of her marriage on 19-11-2021 of ₹ 2,10,000. It includes gift of ₹ 55,000 received from non-relatives.
- (ii) On 1-1-2022, being her birthday, she received a gift of ₹ 45,000 by means of cheque from her father's maternal uncle.
- (iii) On 12-2-2022, she acquired a vacant site from her friend for ₹ 1,12,000. The State stamp valuation authority fixed the value of site at ₹ 1,92,000 for stamp duty purpose.
- (iv) She bought 50 equity shares of a private company from another friend for ₹ 75,000.

The fair market value of such shares on the date of purchase was ₹ 1,33,000.

Answer

Computation of amount chargeable to tax in hands of Mrs. Sonu for AY 2022-23

	Particulars	₹
(i)	Cash gift of ₹ 2,10,000 received on the occasion of her marriage is not taxable, since gifts received by an individual on the occasion of marriage is excluded from tax under section 56(2)(x), even if the same are from non-relatives.	Nil
(ii)	Even though father's maternal uncle does not fall within the definition of "relative" under section 56(2)(x), gift of ₹ 45,000 received from him by cheque is not chargeable to tax since the aggregate sum of money received by Mrs. Sonu without consideration from non-relatives (other than on the occasion of marriage) during the previous year 2021-22 does not exceed ₹ 50,000.	Nil
(iii)	Purchase of vacant site for inadequate consideration on 12.2.2022 would attract the provisions of section 56(2)(x). Where any immovable property is received for a consideration which is less than the stamp duty value of the property by an amount exceeding ₹ 50,000, the difference between the stamp duty value and consideration is chargeable to tax in the hands of Individual. Therefore, in the given case ₹ 80,000 (₹ 1,92,000 - ₹ 1,12,000) is taxable in the hands of Mrs. Sonu.	80,000
(iv)	Since shares are included in the definition of "property" and difference between the purchase value and fair market value of shares is ₹ 58,000 (₹ 1,33,000 - ₹ 75,000) i.e. it exceeds ₹ 50,000, the difference would be taxable under section 56(2)(x).	58,000
Amount chargeable to tax		1,38,000

Q9

RTP Nov 18

Mr. Pranav has 15% shareholding in TRP(P) Ltd. (engaged in trading business of toys) and has also 50% share in Pranav & Sons, a partnership firm. The accumulated profit of TRP(P) Ltd. is ₹ 30 lakh. Pranav & Sons had taken a loan of ₹ 35 lakh from TRP(P) Ltd.

Examine whether the above loan can be treated as dividend as per the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

Answer

Section 2(22)(e) provides that any payment by a company, not being a company in which public are substantially interested, of any sum by way of advance or loan

- to a shareholder, being a person who is the beneficial owner of shares holding not less than 10% of voting power, or
- to any concern in which such shareholder is a partner and in which he has a substantial interest (i.e., he is beneficially entitled to not less than 20% of the income of such concern) is deemed as dividend, to the extent the company possesses accumulated profits.

In the present case, the loan given by TRP(P) Ltd. to Pranav & Sons, a partnership firm would be deemed

as dividend, since Mr. Pranav is the beneficial owner of 15% shareholding in TRP(P) Ltd. and also has substantial interest in Pranav & Sons (as he is beneficially entitled to 50% of the income of the firm).

However, the amount of loan would be deemed as dividend only to the extent TRP(P) Ltd. possesses accumulated profits. Therefore, out of the loan of ₹ 35 lakhs given to Pranav & Sons, only ₹ 30 lakhs, i.e., to the extent of accumulated profit of TRP(P) Ltd., would be deemed as dividend.

Q10

RTP Nov 18

Discuss the taxability or otherwise in the hands of the recipients, as per the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961:

- (i) MNS Private Limited, a closely held company, issued 12,000 shares at ₹ 125 per share. (The face value of the share is ₹ 80 per share and the fair market value of the share is ₹ 110 per share).
- (ii) Mr. Arun received an advance of ₹ 56,000 on 11-09-2021 against the sale of his house. However, due to non-payment of instalment in time, the contract has cancelled and the amount of ₹ 56,000 was forfeited.
- (iii) Mr. Nitin, transferred a house property to his son Mr. Raj without consideration. The value of the house is ₹ 12 lacs as per the Registrar of stamp duty.
- (iv) Mr. Tanmay gifted a refrigerator to his sister's daughter Tannu on her marriage. The fair market value of the refrigerator is ₹ 75,000.

Answer

S. No.	Taxable / Not Taxable	Reason
(i)	Taxable	<p>Since MNS Private Limited, a closely held company, issued 12,000 shares at a premium (i.e., issue price exceeds the face value of shares), the excess of the issue price of the shares over the fair market value would be taxable under section 56(2)(viib) in its hands under the head "Income from other sources".</p> <p>Therefore, ₹ 1,80,000 [12,000 × ₹ 15 (₹ 125 – ₹ 110)] shall be taxable as income in the hands of MNS Private Limited under the head "Income from other sources".</p>
(ii)	Taxable	<p>Any sum of money received as an advance or otherwise in the course of negotiations for transfer of a capital asset would be chargeable to tax under the head "Income from other sources", if such amount is forfeited and the negotiations do not result in transfer of such capital asset [Section 56(2)(ix)].</p> <p>Therefore, the amount of ₹ 56,000 received as advance would be chargeable to tax in the hands of Mr. Arun under the head "Income from other sources", since it is forfeited on account of cancellation of contract for transfer of house, being a capital asset, due to non-payment of installment in time.</p>

(iii)	Not Taxable	As per section 56(2)(x), immovable property received without consideration by any person from his relative is not taxable. In the present case, since Mr. Nitin is the father of Mr. Raj, ₹ 12 lakhs, being the stamp duty value of house property received, without consideration, would not be chargeable to tax in the hands of Mr. Raj.
(iv)	Not Taxable	Refrigerator is not included in the definition of "property", for the purpose of taxability under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of the recipient under the head "Income from other sources". Further, the same has been received by Tannu on occasion of her marriage from her maternal uncle, being a relative. Hence, ₹ 75,000, being the fair market value of refrigerator received without consideration from a relative on the occasion of a her marriage is not taxable in the hands of Tannu, even though its value exceeds ₹ 50,000.

Q 11

Nov 19 (New)

MLX Investments (P) Ltd. was incorporated during PY 2018-19 having a paid up capital of ₹ 10 lacs. In order to increase its capital, the company further issues, 1,00,000 shares (having face value of ₹ 100 each) during the year at par as on 01-08-2021. The FMV of such share as on 01-08-2021 was ₹ 85.

- Determine the tax implications of the above transaction in the hands of company, assuming it is the only transaction made during the year.
- Will your answer change, if shares were issued at ₹ 105 each?
- What will be your answer, if shares were issued at ₹ 105 and FMV of the share was ₹ 120 as on 01-08-2021?

Answer

The provisions of section 56(2)(viib) would be attracted, where consideration is received from a resident person by a company, other than a company in which public are substantially interested, in excess of the face value of shares i.e., where shares are issued at a premium. In such a case, the difference between the consideration received and the fair market value would be chargeable to tax under the head "Income from Other Sources".

- In this case, since MLX Investments (P) Ltd., a closely held company issued 1,00,000 shares (having face value of ₹ 100 each) at par i.e., ₹ 100 each, though issue price is greater than FMV, no amount would be chargeable to tax as income from other sources.
- In this case, since shares are issued at a premium, the amount by which the issue price of ₹ 105 each exceeds the FMV of ₹ 85 each would be chargeable to tax under the head "Income from other sources". Hence, ₹ 20 lakh, being ₹ 20 (i.e., ₹ 105 - ₹ 85) x 1,00,000 shares, would be chargeable under section 56(2)(viib).
- If shares are issued at ₹ 105 each and FMV of share is ₹ 120 each, no amount would be chargeable to tax even though the shares were issued at a premium, since shares are issued at a price which is less than the fair market value.

Q12

Nov 20 (New)

Ms. Julie received following amounts during the previous year 2021-22.

- (1) Received loan of ₹ 5,00,000 year from the ABC Private Limited, a closely held company engaged in textile business. She is holding 10% of the equity share capital in the said company. The accumulated profit of the company was ₹ 2,00,000 on the date of the loan.
- (2) Received Interest on enhanced compensation of ₹ 5,00,000. Out of this interest, ₹ 1,50,000 relates to the previous year 2017-18, ₹ 1,90,000 relates to previous year 2018-19 and ₹ 1,60,000 relates to previous year 2019-20. She paid ₹ 1 lakh to her advocate for his efforts in the matter.

Discuss the tax implications, if any, arising from these transactions in i her hand with reference to Assessment Year 2022-23.

Answer

- (1) Any payment by way of loan by a closely held company to its shareholder holding not less than 10% of voting power is deemed as dividend, to the extent of accumulated profits of the company. Accordingly, out of ₹ 5 lakhs given by ABC Pvt. Ltd. to Ms. Julie, loan to the extent of ₹ 2 lakhs would be treated as deemed dividend for the A.Y.2022-23.
- (2) Interest on enhanced compensation is chargeable to tax under the head "Income from other sources" in the year of receipt, after providing for deduction of 50% of such income. Accordingly, ₹ 2,50,000 [₹ 5,00,000 – ₹ 2,50,000, being 50% of ₹ 5 lakh] would be chargeable to tax in the hands of Ms. Julie under the head "Income from Other Sources" for the A.Y.2022-23.

Q13

May 21 (Old)

Mr. Mani holding 20% of the equity share capital in XY Ltd., a manufacturing company in which public is substantially interested, took a loan of ₹ 4,50,000 from it on 10th May, 2021. The accumulated profits of the company on that date amounted to ₹ 4,00,000.

Answer

Loan of ₹ 4,50,000 taken by Mr. Mani from XY Ltd., in which he holds 20% of the equity share capital, would not be deemed as dividend u/s 2(22)(e) to the extent of accumulated profits of ₹ 4,00,000, since XY Ltd. is a company in which public is substantially interested.

Hence, no amount would be taxable as deemed dividend in the hands of Mr. Mani.

Q14

MTP Oct 21

Aggarwal & Sons, HUF purchased a house property in the year 1950 for ₹ 50,000. On 31.10.2021, the HUF was totally partitioned and the aforesaid house property was given to Mr. Subhash Aggarwal, a member of the family. Fair Market value of the house as on 31.10.2021 was ₹ 21,00,000. FMV of the house as on 1.4.2001 was ₹ 3,50,000. What will be the tax implications in the hands of Mr. Subhash Aggarwal and the HUF?

Answer

Tax implications in the hands of HUF

As per section 47, any distribution of capital assets on the total or partial partition of a HUF would not be regarded as transfer for the purpose of capital gains tax.

In this case, Aggarwal & Sons, HUF transferred the asset to Mr. Subhash Aggarwal, a member of HUF on total partition of the HUF. Hence, the transaction would not be regarded as transfer.

Tax implications in the hands of Mr. Subhash Aggarwal

If an immovable property is received by any person without consideration, the stamp duty value of such property would be taxed as the income of the recipient under section 56(2)(x), if it exceeds ₹ 50,000. However, it would not be taxable as income if the transfer is by way of a transfer, inter alia, on total or partial partition of a HUF.

In the give case, since Mr. Subhash Aggarwal received the house property on total partition of the HUF, it would not be taxable in his hand.

Q 15

MTP Nov 21

- (i) Mrs. Neha transferred 100 shares of ABC (P) Ltd. to M/s. XYZ Co. (P) Ltd. on 10.9.2021 for ₹ 3,00,000 when the market price was ₹ 5,00,000. The indexed cost of acquisition of shares for Mrs. Neha was computed at ₹ 4,30,000. The transfer was not subjected to securities transaction tax.

Determine the income chargeable to tax in the hands of Mrs. Neha and M/s. XYZ Co. (P) Ltd. because of the above said transaction.

- (ii) Mr. Chetan is employed in a company with taxable salary income of ₹ 5,50,000. He received a cash gift of ₹ 1,00,000 from Atma Charitable Trust (registered under section 12AA) in December 2021 for meeting his medical expenses.

Is the cash gift so received from the trust chargeable to tax in the hands of Mr. Chetan?

Answer

- (i) Any movable property received for inadequate consideration by any person is chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x), if the difference between aggregate Fair Market Value of the property and consideration exceeds ₹ 50,000.

Thus, share received by M/s XYZ (P) Ltd. from Mrs. Neha for inadequate consideration is chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x) to the extent of ₹ 2,00,000.

As per section 50CA, since, the consideration is less than the fair market value of unquoted shares of ABC (P) Ltd., fair market value of shares of the company would be deemed to be the full value of consideration. It is presumed that the shares of ABC (P) Ltd are unquoted shares.

The full value of consideration (₹ 5,00,000) less the indexed cost of acquisition (₹ 4,30,000) would result in a long term capital gains of ₹ 70,000 in the hands of Mrs. Neha.

- (ii) The provisions of section 56(2)(x) would not apply to any sum of money or any property received from any trust or institution registered under section 12AA. Therefore, the cash gift of ₹ 1 lakh

For PD | D2H Enquiries :

6290948313 | 8420129525 | 6290935202 | 033 2555 2254

d2hclasses@mohitsir.com

For Live Enquiries :

+91 9830741471 | +91 33 2555 1197

www.meplclasses.com



received from Atma Charitable Trust, being a trust registered under section 12AA, for meeting medical expenses would not be chargeable to tax under section 56(2)(x) in the hands of Mr. Chetan.