C.A. FOUNDATION

BUSINESS LAWS – THE INDIAN CONTRACT ACT, 1872

Question Bank

UNIT 1: NATURE OF CONTRACTS

- Q.1. Mr. Ramesh promised to pay ₹ 50,000 to his wife Mrs. Lali so that she can spend the sum on her 30th birthday. Mrs. Lali insisted her husband to make a written agreement if he really loved her. Mr. Ramesh made a written agreement and the agreement was registered under the law. Mr. Ramesh failed to pay the specified amount to his wife Mrs. Lali. Mrs. Lali wants to file a suit against Mr. Ramesh and recover the promised amount. Referring to the applicable provisions of the Contract Act, 1872, advise whether Mrs. Lali will succeed.
 (3 Marks)
- Q.2. A shop-keeper displayed a pair of dress in the show-room and a price tag of ₹ 2,000 was attached to the dress. Ms. Lovely looked to the tag and rushed to the cash counter. Then she asked the shop-keeper to receive the payment and pack up the dress. The shop-keeper refused to hand-over the dress to Ms. Lovely in consideration of the price stated in the price tag attached to the Ms. Lovely seeks your advice whether she can sue the shop-keeper for the above cause under the Indian Contract Act, 1872. (3 Marks)
- Q.3. Explain the modes of revocation of an offer as per the Indian Contract Act, 1872. (5 Marks)
- Q.4. Mr. X a businessman has been fighting a long drawn litigation with Mr. Y an industrialist. To support his legal campaign he enlists the services of Mr. C a Judicial officer stating that the amount of ₹10 lakhs would be paid to him if he does not take up the brief of Mr. Y.
 - Mr. C agrees but, at the end of the litigation Mr. X refuses to pay to Mr. C. Decide whether Mr. C can recover the amount promised by Mr. X under the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872? (4 Marks)
- Q.5. Mr. S aged 58 years was employed in a Government Department. He was going to retire after two years. Mr. D made a proposal to Mr. S to apply for voluntary retirement from his post so that Mr. D can be appointed in his place. Mr. D offered a sum of ₹10 Lakhs as consideration to Mr. S in order to induce him to retire.
 - Mr. S refused at first instance but when he evaluated the amount offered as consideration is just double of his cumulative remuneration to be received during the tenure of two years of employment, he agreed to receive the consideration and accepted the above agreement to receive money to retire from his office.
 - Whether the above agreement is valid? Explain with reference to provision of Indian Contract Act, 1872.

(4 Marks)

- Q.6. Define the term acceptance under the Indian Contract Act, 1872. Explain the legal rules regarding a valid acceptance.
 (7 Marks)
- Q.7. Mr. B makes a proposal to Mr. S by post to sell his house for ₹ 10 lakhs and posted the letter on 10th April 2020 and the letter reaches to Mr. S on 12th April 2020. He reads the letter on 13th April 2020.
 - Mr. S sends his letter of acceptance on 16th April 2020 and the letter reaches Mr. B on 20th April 2020. On 17th April Mr. S changed his mind and sends a telegram withdrawing his acceptance. Telegram reaches to Mr. B on 19th April 2020.

Examine with reference to the Indian Contract Act, 18/2:

- (i) On which date, the offer made by Mr. B will complete?
- (ii) Discuss the validity of acceptance.
- (iii) What would be validity of acceptance if letter of revocation and letter of acceptance reached together? (6 Marks)
- **Q.8.** Ramaswami proposed to sell his house to Ramanathan. Ramanathan sent his acceptance by post. Next day, Ramanathan sends a telegram withdrawing his acceptance. Examine the validity of the acceptance according to the Indian Contract Act, 1872 in the light of the following:
 - (a) The telegram of revocation of acceptance was received by Ramaswami before the letter of acceptance.
 - (b) The telegram of revocation and letter of acceptance both reached together.
- Q.9. A coolie in uniform picks up the luggage of R to be carried out of the railway station without being asked by R and R allows him to do so. Examine whether the coolie is entitled to receive money from R under the Indian Contact Act, 1872.
- Q.10. Comment on the following statements:
 - (a) Acceptance must be absolute and unqualified.
 - (b) Acceptance must be in the prescribed mode.
- Q.11. Define an offer. Explain the essentials of a valid offer. How an offer is different from an invitation to offer?
- Q.12. A sends an offer to B to sell his second-car for ₹ 1,40,000 with a condition that if B does not reply within a week, he (A) shall treat the offer as accepted. Is A correct in his proposition? What shall be the position if B communicates his acceptance after one week?
- Q.13. Explain the type of contracts in the following agreements under the Indian Contract Act, 1872:
 - (i) A coolie in uniform picks up the luggage of A to be carried out of the railway station without being asked by A and A allows him to do so.
 - (ii) Obligation of finder of lost goods to return them to the true owner
 - (iii) A contracts with B (owner of the factory) for the supply of 10 tons of sugar, but before the supply is effected, the fire caught in the factory and everything was destroyed.
- Q.14. Define the term "Acceptance'. Discuss the legal provisions relating to communication of acceptance.
- Q.15. Explain the type of contracts in the following agreements under the Indian Contract Act, 1872:
 - (i) X promise to sell his scooter to Y for ₹ 1 Lac. However, the consent of X has been procured by Y at a gun point.
 - (ii) A bought goods from B in 2015. But no payment was made till 2019.
 - (iii) G agrees to give tuitions to H, a pre-engineering student, from the next month and H in consideration promises to pay G ₹ 5,000 per month.
- Q.16. Mr. Pratham applied for a job as principal of a school. The school management decided to appoint him. One member of the school management committee privately informed Mr. Pratham that he was appointed but official communication was not given from the school. Later, the management of the school decided to appoint someone else as a principal. Mr. Pratham filed a suit against the school for cancellation of his appointment and claimed damages for loss of salary. State with reasons, will Mr. Pratham be successful in

- Q.17. Rahul, a minor, falsely representing his age, enters into an agreement with a shopkeeper for a loan amount for purchasing a laptop. He gave his expensive watch as a security and took a loan of ₹ 40,000. He was very happy to get ₹ 40,000 and quickly went to the market and purchased a laptop worth ₹ 30,000. He happily spent the rest of the amount with his friends on a pleasure trip.
 - Later on, Rahul realized that his watch was an expensive watch and he should not have given like this to the shopkeeper. So, he went back to the shopkeeper and asked for his watch back. Also, he refused to repay the loan amount. The shopkeeper disagrees to this and files a case against minor for recovery of the loan amount. Can the shopkeeper succeed in recovering the loan amount under the Indian Contract Act, 1872?
- Q.18. State the various modes of revocation of offer under the Indian Contract Act, 1872.

(7 Marks)

- Q.19. Father promised to pay his son a sum of rupee one lakh if the son passed C.A. examination in the first attempt. The son passed the examination in the first attempt, but father failed to pay the amount as promised. Son files a suit for recovery of the amount. State along with reasons whether son can recover the amount under the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
 (4 Marks)
- Q.20. Shambhu Dayal started "self service" system in his shop. Smt. Prakash entered the shop, took a basket and after taking articles of her choice into the basket reached the cashier for payments. The cashier refuses to accept the price. Can Shambhu Dayal be compelled to sell the said articles to Smt. Prakash? Decide as per the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
 (4 Marks)
- Q.21. Rahul goes to super market to buy a washing machine. He selects a branded washing machine having a price tag of ₹ 15000 after a discount of ₹ 3000. Rahul reaches at cash counter for making the payment, but cashier says, "Sorry sir, the discount was upto yesterday. There is no discount from today. Hence you have to pay ₹ 18000." Rahul got angry and insists for ₹ 15000. State with reasons whether under Indian Contract Act, 1872, Rahul can enforce the cashier to sale at discounted price i.e. ₹ 15000.
- Q.22. Mr. Shekhar wants to sell his car. For this purpose, he appoints Mr. Nadan, a minor as his agent. Mr. Shekhar instructs Mr. Nadan that car should not be sold at price less than ₹ 1,00,000. Mr. Nadan ignores the instruction of Mr. Shekhar and sells the car to Mr. Masoom for ₹ 80,000. Explain the legal position of contract under the Indian Contract Act, 1872 whether:
 - (i) Mr. Shekhar can recover the loss of ₹ 20,000 from Mr. Nadan?
 - (ii) Mr. Shekhar can recover his car from Mr. Masoom?

(4 Marks)

Q.23. "All contracts are agreements, but all agreements are not contracts". Comment.

(4 Marks)

UNIT 2: CONSIDERATION

Q.1. State the exceptions to the rule "An agreement without consideration is void".

(5 Marks)

Q.2. Mr. Sohanlal sold 10 acres of his agricultural land to Mr. Mohanlal on 25th September 2018 for ₹ 25 Lakhs.

The Property papers mentioned a condition amongst other details that whosever purchases the land is

- Q.3. Define consideration. What are the legal rules regarding consideration under the Indian Contract Act, 1872? (7 Marks)
- Q.4. In light of provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 answer the following:
 - Mr. Y given loan to Mr. G of INR 30,00,000. Mr. G defaulted the loan on due date and debt became time barred. After the time barred debt, Mr. G agreed to settle the full amount to Mr. Y. Whether acceptance of time barred debt Contract is enforceable in law? (2 Marks)
- Q.5. A stranger to a contract cannot sue, however in some cases even a stranger to contract may enforce a claim. Explain.
- Q.6. Mr. Balwant, an old man, by a registered deed of gift, granted certain landed property to Ms. Reema, his daughter. By the terms of the deed, it was stipulated that an annuity of ₹ 20, 000 should be paid every year to Mr. Sawant, who was the brother of Mr. Balwant. On the same day Ms. Reema made a promise to Mr. Sawant and executed in his favour an agreement to give effect to the stipulation. Ms. Reema failed to pay the stipulated sum. In an action against her by Mr. Sawant, she contended that since Mr. Sawant had not furnished any consideration, he has no right of action.
 - Examining the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, decide, whether the contention of Ms. Reema is valid?
- Q.7. Define consideration. State the characteristics of a valid consideration.
- Q.8. "No consideration, no contract" Comment.
- Q.9. "Only a person who is party to a contract can sue on it". Explain this statement and describe its exceptions, if any.
- Q.10. "To form a valid contract, consideration must be adequate". Comment.
- Q.11. In light of provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 answer the following:
 - (ii) Mr. Y has given loan to Mr. G of ₹ 30,00,000. Mr. G defaulted the loan on due date and debt became time barred. After the time barred debt, Mr. G agreed to settle the full amount to Mr. Y. Whether acceptance of time barred debt contract is enforceable in law?
- Q.12. Mr. Ram Lal Birla was a big businessman of city Pune having two sons and one married daughter. He decided to gift his one house to his daughter. For this purpose, he called his lawyer at his house and made a written document for such gift. The lawyer advised him to get the transfer document properly registered. When they both were going for registratio n of document, they met with an accident and both of them died. Later, his daughter found the document and claimed the house on the basis of that document. Explain, whether she can get the house as gift under the Indian Contract Act, 1872?

UNIT 3: OTHER ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF A CONTRACT

- Q.2. "Mere silence is not fraud" but there are some circumstances where the "silence is fraud". Explain the circumstances as per the provision of Indian Contract Act, 1872?

 (7 Marks)
- Q.3. Discuss the essentials of Undue Influence as per the Indian Contract Act, 1872. (5 Marks)
- Q.4. Explain the term 'Coercion" and what are the effects of coercion under Indian Contract Act, 1872.

(5 Marks)

- Q.5. Define Misrepresentation and Fraud. Explain the difference between Fraud and Misrepresentation as per the Indian Contract Act, 1872. (7 Marks)
- Q.6. State with reason(s) whether the following agreements are valid or void:
 - (i) A clause in a contract provided that no action should be brought upon in case of breach.
 - (ii) Where two courts have jurisdiction to try a suit, an agreement between the parties that the suit should be filed in one of those courts alone and not in the other.
 - (iii) X offers to sell his Maruti car to Y. Y believes that X has only Wagon R Car but agrees to buy it.
 - (iv) X, a physician and surgeon, employs Y as an assistant on a salary of ₹75,000 per month for a term of two years and Y agrees not to practice as a surgeon and physician during these two years. (4 Marks)
- Q.7. 'X' agreed to become an assistant for 2 years to 'Y' who was practicing Chartered Accountant at Jodhpur. It was also agreed that during the term of agreement 'X' will not practice as a Chartered Accountant on his own account within 20 kms of the office of 'Y' at Jodhpur. At the end of one year, 'X' left the assistantship of 'Y' and started practice on his own account within the said area of 20 kms.
 - Referring to the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, decide whether 'X' could be restrained from doing so?
- **Q.8.** A student was induced by his teacher to sell his brand new car to the latter at less than the purchase price to secure more marks in the examination. Accordingly the car was sold. However, the father of the student persuaded him to sue his teacher. State on what ground the student can sue the teacher?
- **Q.9.** "Though a minor is not competent to contract, nothing in the Contract Act prevents him from making the other party bound to the minor". Discuss.
- **Q.10.** Decide with reasons whether the following agreements are valid or void under the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872:
 - (i) Vijay agrees with Saini to sell his black horse for ₹ 3,00,000. Unknown to both the Parties, the horse was dead at the time of the agreement.
 - (ii) Sarvesh sells the goodwill of his shop to Vikas for ₹ 10,00,000 and promises not to carry on such business forever and anywhere in India.
 - (iii) Mr. X agrees to write a book with a publisher. After few days, X dies in an accident.
- Q.11. Point out with reason whether the following agreements are valid or void:
 - (a) Kamala promises Ramesh to lend ₹ 500,000 in lieu of consideration that Ramesh gets Kamala's marriage dissolved and he himself marries her.
 - (d) In an agreement between Prakash and Girish, there is a condition that they will not institute legal

- **Q.13.** What is a wagering agreement? Describe the transactions which resembles with wagering transactions but are not void.
- Q.14. Explain the concept of 'misrepresentation' in matters of contract. Sohan induced Suraj to buy his motorcycle saying that it was in a very good condition. After taking the motorcycle, Suraj complained that there were many defects in the motorcycle. Sohan proposed to get it repaired and promised to pay 40% cost of repairs After a few days, the motorcycle did not work at all. Now Suraj wants to rescind the contract. Decide giving reasons whether Suraj can rescind the contract?
- Q.15. Mr. S, aged 58 years was employed in a Government department. He was going to retire after two years. Mr. D made a proposal to Mr. S, to apply for voluntary retirement from his post so that Mr. D can be appointed in his place. Mr. D offered a sum of ₹ 10 Lakhs as consideration to Mr. S in order to induce him to retire.

Mr. S refused at first instance but when he evaluated the amount offered as consideration is just double of his cumulative remuneration to be received during the tenure of two years of employment, he agreed to receive the consideration and accepted the above agreement to receive money to retire from his office.

Whether the above agreement is valid? Explain with reference to provision of the Indian Contract Act, 1872?

- Q.16. "An agreement, the meaning of which is not certain, is void". Discuss.
- Q.17. Ishaan, aged 16 years, was studying in an engineering college. On 1st March, 2016 he took a loan of ₹ 2 lakhs from Vishal for the payment of his college fee and agreed to pay by 30th May, 2017. Ishaan possesses assets worth ₹ 15 lakhs. On due date Ishaan fails to pay back the loan to Vishal. Vishal now wants to recover the loan from Ishaan out of his assets. Decide whether Vishal would succeed referring to the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
 (4 Marks)
- Q.18. Vikas, aged 16 years, was studying in an engineering college. On 1st March, 2019 he took a loan of ₹2 lakhs from Rahul for the payment of his college fee and agreed to pay by 30th May, 2020. Vikas possesses assets worth ₹ 15 lakhs. On due date, Vikas fails to pay back the loan to Rahul. Rahul now wants to recover the loan from Vikas out of his assets. Decide whether Rahul would succeed referring to the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
 (6 Marks)
- Q.19. P sells by auction to Q a horse which P knows to be unsound. The horse appears to be sound but P knows about the unsoundness of the horse. Is this contract valid in the following circumstances under the Indian Contract Act, 1872:
 - (a) If P says nothing about the unsoundness of the horse to Q.
 - (b) If P says nothing about it to Q who is P's daughter who has just come of age.
 - (c) If Q says to P "If you do not deny it, I shall assume that the horse is sound." P says nothing. (3 Marks)
- Q.20. Rohan is running a grocery store in Delhi. He sells his grocery business, including goodwill worth ₹ 1,00,000 to Rohit for a sum of ₹ 5,00,000. After the sale of goodwill, Rohit made an agreement with Rohan. As per this agreement, Rohan is not to open another grocery store (similar kind of business) in the whole of India for next ten years. However, Rohan opens another store in the same city two months later. What are the rights available with Rohit regarding the restriction imposed on Rohan with reference to Indian Contract Act, 1872?

UNIT 4: PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT

- Q.1. X, Y and Z are partners in a firm. They jointly promised to pay ₹ 3,00,000 to D. Y become insolvent and his private assets are sufficient to pay 1/5 of his share of debts. X is compelled to pay the whole amount to D. Examining the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, decide the extent to which X can recover the amount from Z.
 (4 Mark)
- Q.2. Mr. X and Mr. Y entered into a contract on 1st August, 2018, by which. Mr. X had to supply 50 tons of sugar to Mr. Y at a certain price strictly within a period of 10 days of the contract. Mr. Y also paid an amount of ₹ 50,000 towards advance as per the terms of the above contract. The mode of transportation available between their places is roadway only. Severe flood came on 2nd August, 2018 and the only road connecting their places was damaged and could not be repaired within fifteen days. Mr. X offered to supply sugar on 20th August, 2018 for which Mr. Y did not agree. On 1st September, 2018, Mr. X claimed compensation of ₹ 10,000 from Mr. Y for refusing to accept the supply of sugar, which was not there within the purview of the contract. On the other hand, Mr. Y claimed for refund of ₹ 50.000 which he had paid as advance in terms of the contract. Analyse the above situation in terms of the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 and decide on Y's contention.
- Q.3. Mr. Rich aspired to get a self-portrait made by an artist. He went to the workshop of Mr. C an artist and asked whether he could sketch the former's portrait on oil painting canvass. Mr. C agreed to the offer and asked for ₹ 50,000 as full advance payment for the above creative work. Mr. C clarified that the painting shall be completed in 10 sittings and shall take 3 months.

On reaching to the workshop for the 6th sitting, Mr. Rich was informed that Mr. C became paralyzed and would not be able to paint for near future. Mr. C had a son Mr. K who was still pursuing his studies and had not taken up his father's profession yet?

Discuss in light of the Indian Contract Act, 1872?

- (i) Can Mr. Rich ask Mr. K to complete the artistic work in lieu of his father?
- (ii) Could Mr. Rich ask Mr. K for refund of money paid in advance to his father?

(6 Marks)

Q.4. Mr. Sonumal a wealthy individual provided a loan of ₹ 80,000 to Mr. Datumal on 26.02.2019. The borrower Mr. Datumal asked for a further loan of ₹ 1,50,000. Mr. Sonumal agreed but provided the loan in parts at different dates. He provided ₹ 1,00,000 on 28.02.2019 and remaining ₹ 50,000 on 03.03.2019.

On 10.03.2019 Mr. Datumal while paying off part ₹ 75,000 to Mr. Sonumal insisted that the lender should adjusted ₹ 50,000 towards the loan taken on 03.03.2019 and balance as against the loan on 26.02.2019.

Mr. Sonumal objected to this arrangement and asked the borrower to adjust in the order of date of borrowal of funds.

Now you decide:

- (i) Whether the contention of Mr. Datumal correct or otherwise as per the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872?
- (ii) What would be the answer in case the borrower does not insist on such order of adjustment of repayment?
- (iii) What would the mode of adjustment/appropriation of such part payment in case neither Mr. Sonumal nor Mr. Datumal insist any order of adjustment on their part? (6 Marks)
- Q.5. In light of provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 answer the following:
 - (i) Mr. S and Mr. R made contract wherein Mr. S agreed to deliver paper cup manufacture machine to

- (ii) Whether L can compel only the legal representatives of Y to pay the loan of ₹ 90,000, if X, Y and Z died?
- (iii) Whether Y and Z are released from their liability to L and X is released from his liability to Y and Z for contribution, if L releases X from his liability and sues Y and Z for payment? (6 Marks)
- Q.7. Explain what is meant by 'Supervening Impossibility' as per the Indian Contract Act, 1872 with the help of an example. What is the effect of such impossibility? (5 Marks)
- Q.8. A received certain goods from B promising to pay ₹ 1,00,000. Later on, A expressed his inability to make payment. C, who is known to A, pays ₹ 60,000 to B on behalf of A. However, A was not aware of the payment. Now B is intending to sue A for the amount of ₹ 1,00,000. Discuss whether the contention of B is right?
- Q.9. Ajay, Vijay and Sanjay are partners of software business and jointly promises to pay ₹ 6,00, 000 to Kartik. Over a period of time Vijay became insolvent, but his assets are sufficient to pay one-fourth of his debts. Sanjay is compelled to pay the whole. Decide whether Sanjay is required to pay whole amount himself to Kartik in discharging joint promise under the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
- **Q.10.** P sells by auction to Q a horse which P knows to be unsound. The horse appears to be sound but P knows about the unsoundness of the horse. Is this contract valid in the following circumstances:
 - (a) If P says nothing about the unsoundness of the horse to Q.
 - (b) If P says nothing about it to Q who is P's daughter who has just come of age.
 - (c) If Q says to P "If you do not deny it, I shall assume that the horse is sound." P says nothing.
- Q.11. X received certain goods from Y and promised to pay ₹ 60,000. Later on, X expressed his inability to make payment. Z, who is known to X, pays ₹ 40,000 to Y on behalf of X. however, X was not aware of the payment. Now Y is intending to sue X for the amount of ₹ 60,000. Can Y do so? Advise.
- Q.12. X, Y and Z jointly borrowed ₹ 50,000 from A. The whole amount was repaid to A by Y. Decide in the light of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 whether:
 - (i) Y can recover the contribution from X and Z,
 - (ii) Legal representatives of X are liable in case of death of X,
 - (iii) Y can recover the contribution from the assets, in case Z becomes insolvent.
- **Q.13.** "The basic rule is that the promisor must perform exactly what he has promised to perform." Explain stating the obligation of parties to contracts.
- Q.14. What will be rights with the promisor in following cases? Explain with reasons:
 - (a) Mr. X promised to bring back Mr. Y to life again.
 - (b) A agreed to sell 50 kgs of apple to B. The loaded truck left for delivery on 15th March but due to riots in between reached B on 19th March.
 - (c) An artist promised to paint on the fixed date for a fixed amount of remuneration but met with an accident and lost his both hands.
 - (d) Abhishek entered into contract of import of toys from China. But due to disturbance in the relation of both the countries, the imports from China were banned.

Mr. S and Mr. R made contract wherein Mr. S agreed to deliver paper cup manufacture machine to Mr. R and to receive payment on delivery. On the delivery date, Mr. R didn't pay the agreed price. Decide whether Mr. S is bound to fulfil his promise at the time of delivery?

- Q.16. Mr. X was a Disk Jockey at a five star hotel bar. As per the contract, he is supposed to perform every weekend (i.e. twice a week). Mr. X will be paid ₹ 1500 per day. However, after a month, Mr. X willfully absents himself from the performance.
 - (i) Does the hotel have the right to end the contract?
 - (ii) If the hotel sends out a mail to X that they are interested to continue the contract and X accepts, can the hotel rescind the contract after a month on this ground subsequently?
 - (iii) In which of the cases (termination of contract or continuance of contract) can the hotel claim damages that it has suffered as a result of this breach?
- Q.17. State the grounds upon which a contract may be discharged under the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
 (7 Marks)
- Q.18. Mr. JHUTH entered into an agreement with Mr. SUCH to purchase his (Mr. SUCH's) motor car for ₹ 5,00,000/- within a period of three months. A security amount of ₹ 20,000/- was also paid by Mr. JHUTH to Mr. SUCH in terms of the agreement. After completion of three months of entering into the agreement, Mr. SUCH tried to contract Mr. JHUTH to purchase the car in terms of the agreement. Even after lapse of another three month period, Mr. JHUTH neither responded to Mr. SUCH, nor to his phone calls. After lapse of another period of six months. Mr. JHUTH contracted Mr. SUCH and denied to purchase the motor car. He also demanded back the security amount of ₹ 20,000/- from Mr. SUCH. Referring to the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, state whether Mr. SUCH is required to refund the security amount to Mr. JHUTH. Also examine the validity of the claim made by Mr. JHUTH, if the motor car would have destroyed by an accident within the three month's agreement period.
- Q.19. Enumerate the persons by whom a contract may be performed under the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872. (7 Marks)
- Q.20. Krish, Kamya and Ketan are partners in a firm. They jointly promised to pay ₹ 6,00,000 to Dia. Kamya become insolvent and her private assets are sufficient to pay 1/5 of her share of debts. Krish is compelled to pay the whole amount to Dia. Examining the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, decide the extent to which Krish can recover the amount from Ketan.
 (4 Marks)
- Q.21. X, Y and Z jointly borrowed ₹ 1,50,000 from A. The whole amount was repaid to A by Y. Decide in the light of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 whether:
 - (a) Y can recover the contribution from X and Z,
 - (b) Legal representatives of X are liable in case of death of X,
 - (c) Y can recover the contribution from the assets, in case Z becomes insolvent. (4 Marks)
- Q.22. "When a party to a contract has refused to perform, or disabled himself from performing his promise in its entirety, the promisee may put an end to the contract". Explain. (5 Marks)

₹ 50,000 towards advance as per the terms of the above contract. The mode of transportation available between their places is roadway only. Severe flood came on 2nd August, 2020 and the only road connecting their places was damaged and could not be repaired within fifteen days. Mr. X offered to supply sugar on 20th August, 2020 for which Mr. Y did not agree. On 1st September, 2020, Mr. X claimed compensation of ₹ 10,000 from Mr. Y for refusing to accept the supply of sugar, which was not there within the purview of the contract. On the other hand, Mr. Y claimed for refund of ₹ 50,000, which he had paid as advance in terms of the contract. Analyse the above situation in terms of the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 and decide on Y's contention. (6 Marks)

- Q.24. Mr. Murari owes payment of 3 bills to Mr. Girdhari as on 31st March, 2020. (i) ₹ 12,120 which was due in May 2016. (ii) ₹ 5,650 which was due in August 2018 (iii) ₹ 9,680 which was due in May 2019. Mr. Murari made payment on 1st April 2020 as below without any notice of how to appropriate them:
 - (i) A cheque of ₹ 9,680
 - (ii) A cheque of ₹ 15,000

Advice under the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872.

(6 Marks)

UNIT 5: BREACH OF CONTRACT AND ITS REMEDIES

- Q.1. M Ltd., contract with Shanti Traders to make and deliver certain machinery to them by 30.6.2017 for ₹ 11.50 lakhs. Due to labour strike, M Ltd. could not manufacture and deliver the machinery to Shanti Traders. Later, Shanti Traders procured the machinery from another manufacturer for ₹ 12.75 lakhs. Due to this Shanti Traders was also prevented from performing a contract which it had made with Zenith Traders at the time of their contract with M Ltd. and were compelled to pay compensation for breach of contract. Advise Shanti Traders the amount of compensation which it can claim from M Ltd., referring to the legal provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
- Q.2. In light of provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 answer the following:
 - A & B entered into a contract to supply unique item, alternate of which is not available in the market. A refused to supply the agreed unique item to B. What directions could be given by the court for breach of such contract?

 (2 Marks)
- **Q.3.** "An anticipatory breach of contract is a breach of contract occurring before the time fixed for performance has arrived". Discuss stating also the effect of anticipatory breach on contracts.
- **Q.4.** What is the law relating to determination of compensation, on breach of contract, contained in section 73 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872?
- Q.5. What do you mean by Quantum Meruit and state the cases where the claim for Quantum Meruit arises?
- Q.6. 'X' entered into a contract with 'Y' to supply him 1,000 water bottles @ ₹ 5.00 per water bottle, to be delivered at a specified time. Thereafter, 'X' contracts with 'Z' for the purchase of 1,000 water bottles @ ₹ 4.50 per water bottle, and at the same time told 'Z' that he did so for the purpose of performing his contract entered into with 'Y'. 'Z' failed to perform his contract in due course and market price of each water bottle on that day was ₹ 5.25 per water bottle. Consequently, 'X' could not procure any water bottle and 'Y' rescinded the contract. Calculate the amount of damages which 'X' could claim from 'Z' in the circumstances? What would be your answer if 'Z' had not informed about the 'Y's contract? Explain with reference to the provisions of the Indian Contract Act 1872