Question 1:

Can equity share with differential voting rights be issued? If yes, state the conditions under which such shares may be issued?

[May 2018]

Solution

<u>Conditions</u> for the issue of equity shares with differential rights (Rule 4 of the Companies (Share capital and Debenture) Rules, 2014): No company limited by shares shall issue equity shares with differential rights as to dividend, voting or otherwise, <u>unless</u> it complies with the following conditions, namely:-

- a. the articles of association of the company authorizes the issue of shares with differential rights;
- b. the issue of shares is authorized by an <u>ordinary resolution</u> passed at a general meeting of the shareholders.
 - However, where the equity shares of a company are listed on a recognized stock exchange, the issue of such shares shall be approved by the shareholders through <u>postal ballot</u>;
- c. the <u>voting power</u> in respect of shares with differential rights of the company shall not exceed 74% of total voting power including voting power in respect of equity shares with differential rights issued at any point of time;
- d. omitted
- e. the company has <u>not defaulted</u> in filing financial statements and annual returns for 3 financial years immediately preceding the financial year in which it is decided to issue such shares;
- f. the company has <u>no subsisting default</u> in the payment of a declared dividend to its shareholders or repayment of its matured deposits or redemption of its preference shares or debentures that have become due for redemption or payment of interest on such deposits or debentures or payment of dividend:
- g. the company has <u>not defaulted</u> in payment of the dividend on preference shares or repayment of any term loan from a public financial institution or State level financial institution or Scheduled Bank that has become repayable or interest payable thereon or dues with respect to statutory payments relating to its employees to any authority or default in crediting the amount in Investor Education and Protection Fund to the Central Government;
 - However, a company may issue equity shares with differential rights upon expiry of five years from the end of the financial Year in which such default was made good.
- h. the company has <u>not been penalized</u> by Court or Tribunal during the last three years of any offence under the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992, the Securities Contracts Regulation Act, 1956, the Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 or any other special Act, under which such companies being regulated by sectoral regulators.

Question 2:

Mr. A was having 500 equity shares of Open Sky Aircrafts Limited. Mr. B acquired these shares of the company from Mr. A but the signature of Mr. A, the transferor on the transfer deed was forged. The company registered the shares in the name of Mr. B by issuing share certificate. Mr. B sold 100 equity shares to Mr. C on the basis of share certificate issued by Open Sky Aircrafts Ltd. Mr. B and Mr. C are not having the knowledge of forgery. State the rights of Mr. A, Mr. B and Mr. C under the Companies Act, 2013.

[MTP May 2020, RTP Nov 2021]

OR

500 equity shares of ABC Limited were acquired by Mr. Amit, but the signature of Mr. Manoj, the transferor, on the transfer deed was forged. Mr. Amit, after getting the shares registered by the company in his name, sold 250 equity shares to Mr. Abhi on the strength of the share certificate issued by ABC Limited. Mr. Amit and Mr. Abhi were not aware of the forgery. What are the liabilities/rights of Mr. Manoj, Amit and Abhi against the company with reference to the aforesaid shares?

Answer

According to Section 46(1) of the Companies Act, 2013, a share certificate once issued under the common

seal, if any, of the company or signed by two directors or by a director and the Company Secretary, wherever the company has appointed a Company Secretary", specifying the shares held by any person, shall be prima facie evidence of the title of the person to such shares. Therefore, in the normal course the person named in the share certificate is for all practical purposes the legal owner of the shares therein and the company cannot deny his title to the shares.

However, a forged transfer is a nullity. It does not give the transferee (Mr. B) any title to the shares. Similarly any transfer made by Mr. B (to Mr. C) will also not give a good title to the shares as the <u>title of the buyer is only as good as that of the seller</u>.

Therefore, if the company acts on a forged transfer and removes the name of the real owner (Mr. A) from the Register of Members, then the company <u>is bound to restore the name of Mr. A</u> as the holder of the shares and to <u>pay him any dividends</u> which he ought to have received <u>(Barton v. North Staffordshire Railway Co.)</u>.

In the above case, 'therefore, Mr. A has the right against the company to get the shares recorded in his name. However, neither Mr. B nor Mr. C have any rights against the company even though they are bona fide purchasers.

However, since Mr. A seems to be the perpetrator of the forgery, he will be liable both criminally and for compensation to Mr. B and Mr. C

Question 3:

Growmore Limited's share capital is divided into different classes. Now, Growmore Limited intends to vary the rights attached to a particular class of shares. Explain the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 to Growmore Limited as to obtaining consent from the shareholders in relation to variation of rights.

[RTP Nov 2018]

Answer

According to section 48 of the Companies Act, 2013-

- 1) <u>Variation in rights of shareholders with consent</u>: Where a share capital of the company is divided into different classes of shares, the rights attached to the shares of any class may be varied with the <u>consent</u> in writing of the holders of <u>not less than three-fourths</u> of the issued shares of that class or by means of a <u>special resolution</u> passed at a separate meeting of the holders of the issued shares of that class:
 - a. if provision with respect to such variation is <u>contained in the memorandum or articles</u> of the company; or
 - b. in the absence of any such provision in the memorandum or articles, if such variation is not prohibited by the terms of issue of the shares of that class:
 - Provided that if variation by one class of shareholders affects the rights of any other class of shareholders, the <u>consent of three-fourths of such other class</u> of shareholders shall also be obtained and the provisions of this section shall apply to such variation.
- 2) <u>Cancellation of variation</u>: Where the holders of <u>not less than ten per cent</u> of the issued shares of a class did not consent to such variation or vote in favour of the special resolution for the variation, they may <u>apply to the Tribunal</u> to have the variation cancelled, and where any such application is made, the variation shall not have effect unless and until it is confirmed by the Tribunal:

Provided that an application under this section shall be made within <u>twenty-one days</u> after the date on which the consent was given or the resolution was passed, as the case may be, and may be made on behalf of the shareholders entitled to make the application by such one or more of their number as they may appoint in writing for the purpose.

Question 4:

Walnut Limited has an authorized share capital of 1,00,000 equity shares of Rs. 100 per share and an amount of Rs. 3 crores in its Share Premium Account as on 31-3-2018. The Board of Directors seeks your advice about the application of share premium account for its business purposes. Please give your advice

[RTP May 2019, ICAI Module, MTP May 2019]

Answer

According to <u>section 52</u> of the Companies Act, 2013, where a company issues shares at a premium, whether for cash or otherwise, a sum equal to the aggregate amount of the premium received on those shares shall be transferred to a "securities premium account" and the provisions of this Act relating to reduction of share capital of a company shall, except as provided in this section, apply as if the securities premium account were the paid-up share capital of the company.

The securities premium account may be applied by the company—

- a) towards the issue of unissued shares of the company to the members of the company as fully paid <u>bonus</u> shares:
- b) in writing off the <u>preliminary</u> expenses of the company;
- c) in writing off the expenses of, or the commission paid or discount allowed on, any <u>issue</u> of shares or debentures of the company;
- d) in providing for the <u>premium</u> payable on the redemption of any redeemable preference shares or of any debentures of the company; or
- e) for the <u>purchase of its own shares</u> or other securities under section 68

The securities premium account may be applied by such class of companies, as may be prescribed and whose financial statement comply with the accounting standards prescribed for such class of companies under section 133:

- a) in paying up unissued equity shares of the company to be issued to members of the company as fully paid bonus shares; or
- b) in writing off the expenses of or the commission paid or discount allowed on any issue of equity shares of the company; or
- c) for the purchase of its own shares or other securities under section 68.

Keeping the above points in view Walnut Foods Limited should proceed to utilise the amount of Securities Premium Account.

Question 5:

State the reasons for the issue of shares at premium or discount. Also write in brief the purposes for which the securities premium account can be utilized?

[Jan 2021]

Answer

When a company issues shares at a price higher than their face value, the shares are said to be issued at premium and the differential amount is termed as premium. On the other hand, when a company issues shares at a price lower than their face value, the shares are said to be issued at discount and the differential amount is termed as discount. However, as per the provisions of section 53 of the Companies Act, 2013, a company is prohibited to issue shares at a discount except in the case of an issue of sweat equity shares given under section 54 of the Companies Act, 2013.

As per the provisions of section 52(1) of the Companies Act, 2013, where a company issues shares at a premium, whether for cash or otherwise, a sum equal to the aggregate amount of the premium received on those shares shall be transferred to a "securities premium account".

Application of Securities Premium Account: Same as mentioned in previous question

Question 6

ABC Limited is a public company incorporated in New Delhi. The Board of Directors (BOD) of the company wants to bring a public issue of 100000 equity shares of Rs. 10 each. The BOD has appointed an underwriter for this issue for ensuring the minimum subscription of the issue. The underwriter advised the BOD that due to current economic situation of the Country it would be better if the company offers these shares at a discount of Rs. 1 per share to ensure full subscription of this public issue. The Board of Directors agreed to the suggestion of underwriter and offered the shares at a discount of Rs. 1 per share. The issue was fully subscribed and the shares were allotted to the applicants in due course.

Decide whether the issue of shares as mentioned above is valid or not as per Section 53 of Companies Act 2013. What would be your answer in the above case if the shares are issued to employees as Sweat equity shares?

[Nov 2020]

Answer

As per the provisions of section 53(1) read with section 54 of the Companies Act, 2013, a company shall not issue shares at a discount, except in the case of an issue of sweat equity shares.

As per the provisions of section 53(2) of the Companies Act, 2013, any share issued by a company at a discount shall be <u>void</u>.

In terms of the above provisions, issue of shares by ABC Limited at a discount of Rs. 1 per share is not valid.

In case the above shares have been issued to employees as Sweat equity shares, then the issue of shares at discount is valid. [Section 54(1) of the Companies Act, 2013]

Question 7

Trisha Data Security Limited was incorporated on 1st August, 2019 with a paid- up share capital of Rs. 200 crores. Within such a small period of about one year in operation, it has earned sizeable profits and has topped the charts for its high employee-friendly environment. The company wants to issue sweat equity to its employees. A close friend of the CEO of the company has told him that the company cannot issue sweat equity shares as minimum 2 years have not elapsed since the time company commenced its business. The CEO of the company has approached you to advise about the essential conditions to be fulfilled before the issue of sweat equity shares especially since their company is just about a year old.

[ICAI Module, MTP May 2019, May 2020, RTP May 2019,]

Answer

Relevant provision:

Sweat equity shares of a class of shares already issued.

According to section 54 of the Companies Act, 2013, a company <u>may issue</u> sweat equity shares of a class of shares already issued, if the following conditions are fulfilled, namely:

- (i) the issue is authorised by a <u>special resolution</u> passed by the company;
- (ii) the resolution <u>specifies</u> the number of shares, the current market price, consideration, if any, and the class or classes of directors or employees to whom such equity shares are to be issued;
- (iii) where the equity shares of the company are <u>listed</u> on a recognised stock exchange, the sweat equity shares are issued in accordance with the regulations made by the <u>Securities and Exchange Board</u> in this behalf and if they are <u>not so listed</u>, the sweat equity shares are issued in accordance with <u>such rules</u> as prescribed under Rule 8 of the Companies (Share and Debentures) Rules, 2014

The rights, limitations, restrictions and provisions as are for the time being applicable to equity shares shall be applicable to the sweat equity shares issued under Section 54 and the holders of such shares shall <u>rank</u> <u>pari passu</u> with other equity shareholders.

Given case and analysis:

Trisha Data Security Limited can issue Sweat equity shares by following the conditions as mentioned above. It <u>does not make a difference that the company is just about a year old</u> because no such minimum time limit of 2 years in operations is specified under Section 54.

Question 8:

Yellow Pvt Ltd. is an unlisted company incorporated in the year 2012. The company have share capital of rupees fifty crores. The company has decided to issue sweat equity shares to its directors and employees. The company decided to issue 10% sweat equity shares (which in total will add up to 30% of its paid up equity shares), with a locking period of five years, as it is a start-up company. How would you justify these facts in relation to the provision for issue of sweat equity shares by a start-up company, with reference to the provision of the Company Act, 2013. Explain?

[RTP May 2019, MTP March 19, May 2020]

Answer

Relevant provision:

Sweat Equity Shares is governed by Section 54 of the Companies Act, 2013 and Rule 8 of Companies (Share capital and debentures) Rules, 2014. According to Section 54 the company can issue sweat equity shares to its director and permanent employees of the company.

According to rule 8 (4) proviso, states that a start up company, is defined in a notification number Ministry of Commerce and industry Government of India, may issue sweat equity share not exceeding 50% of its paid up share capital up to 10 years from the date of its in incorporation or registration.

According to Rule 8(5), the sweat equity shares issued to directors or employees shall be locked in/ non transferable for a period of 3 years from the date of allotment and the fact that the share certificates are under lock-in too.

Analysis and conclusion:

Hence, in the above case the company can issue sweat equity shares by passing special resolution at its general meeting. The company as a startup company is right in issue of 10% sweat equity share as it is overall within the limit of 50% of its paid up share capital. But the lock in period of the shares is limited to maximum three years period from the date of allotment.

Question 9:

Due to insufficient profits, Silver Robotics Limited is unable to redeem its existing preference shares amounting to Rs. 10,00,000 (10,000 preference shares of Rs. 100 each) though as per the terms of issue they need to be redeemed within next two months. It did not, however, default in payment of dividend as and when it became due. What is the remedy available to the company in respect of outstanding preference shares as per the Companies Act, 2013?

[ICAI Module]

Answer

Relevant provision:

According to Section 55(3) of the Companies Act, 2013, where a company is not in a position to redeem any preference shares or to pay dividend, if any, on such shares in accordance with the terms of issue (such shares hereinafter referred to as unredeemed preference shares), it may:

- with the consent of the holders of three-fourths in value of such preference shares, and
- with the approval of the Tribunal on a petition made by it in this behalf,

issue further redeemable preference shares equal to the amount due, including the dividend thereon, in respect of the unredeemed preference shares, and on the issue of such further redeemable preference shares, the unredeemed preference shares shall be deemed to have been redeemed.

Provided that the Tribunal shall, while giving approval under this sub-section, order the redemption forthwith of preference shares held by such persons who have not consented to the issue of further redeemable preference shares.

Given case and conclusion:

In view of the provisions of Section 55 (3), Silver Robotics Limited can initiate steps for the issue of further

redeemable preference shares equal to the amount due i.e., Rs. 10,00,000. For this purpose, it shall obtain the consent of the holders of three-fourths in value of such preference shares and also seek approval of the Tribunal by making a petition.

In case, there are certain preference shareholders who have not accorded their consent for the proposal of issuing further redeemable preference shares, the Tribunal may order the company to redeem forthwith such preference shares.

Accordingly, Silver Robotics Limited must be ready with sufficient funds for the redemption of preference shares held by those who have not consented. On the issue of such further redeemable preference shares by the company, the unredeemed preference shares shall be deemed to have been redeemed.

Question 10:

Ramesh, a resident of New Delhi, sent a transfer deed duly signed by him as transferee and his brother Suresh as transferor, for registration of transfer of shares to Ryan Entertainment Private Limited at its Registered Office in Mumbai. He did not receive the transferred shares certificates even after the expiry of four months from the date of dispatch of transfer deed. He lodged a criminal complaint in the Court at New Delhi. Decide, under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013, whether the Court at New Delhi is competent to act in the said matter?

[ICAI Module]

Answer

Relevant provision

According to Section 56 (4) of the Companies Act, 2013 every company, unless prohibited by any provision of law or of any order of court, Tribunal or other authority, shall <u>deliver the certificates</u> of all shares transferred within a period of <u>one month</u> from the date of receipt by the company of the instrument of transfer.

Further, as per Section 56 (6), where any default is made in complying with the provisions of sub-sections (1) to (5), the company and every officer of the company who is in default shall be liable to a penalty of fifty thousand rupees.

In this case, the jurisdiction binding on the company is that of the State in which the registered office of the company is situated i.e., Mumbai. Hence, the Court at Delhi is not competent to act in the matter.

Question 11:

Mr. Nilesh has transferred 1000 equity shares of Perfect Vision Private Limited to his sister Ms. Mukta. The company did not register the transfer of shares and also did not send a notice of refusal to Mr. Nilesh or Ms. Mukta within the prescribed period. Discuss as per the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013, whether aggrieved party has any right(s) against the company?

OR

A company refuses to register transfer of shares made by Mr. X to Mr. Y. The company does not even send a notice of refusal to Mr. X. or Mr. Y respectively within the prescribed period. Has the aggrieved party any right(s) against the company for such refusal? Advise as per the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

OR

Harsh purchased 1000 shares of Singhania Ltd. from Pratik and sent those shares to the company for transfer in his name. The company neither transferred the shares nor sent any notice of refusal of transfer to any party within the period stipulated in the Companies Act, 2013. What is the time frame in which the company is supposed to reply to transferee? Does Harsh, the transferee have any remedies against the company for not sending any intimation in relation to transfer of shares to him?

[ICAI Module, MTP March, 2019, RTP May 2018, MTP May 2019]

Answer

The problem given in the question is governed by Section 58 of the Companies Act, 2013 dealing with the

refusal to register transfer and appeal against such refusal.

In the present case, the company has committed the wrongful act of not sending the notice of refusal to register the transfer of shares.

Under section 58 (1), if a private company limited by shares refuses to register the transfer of, or the transmission by operation of law of the right to any securities or interest of a member in the company, then the company shall <u>send notice of refusal</u> to the transferor and the transferee or to the person giving intimation of such transmission, within a period of <u>thirty days</u> from the date on which the instrument of transfer, or the intimation of such transmission, was delivered to the company.

According to Section 58 (3), the transferee <u>may appeal</u> to the Tribunal against the refusal within a period of <u>thirty days</u> from the date of receipt of the notice or in case no notice has been sent by the company, within a period of sixty days from the date on which the instrument of transfer or the intimation of transmission, was delivered to the company.

In this case, as the company has <u>not sent even a notice of refusal</u>, Ms. Mukta being <u>transferee can file an appeal</u> before the Tribunal within a period of <u>sixty days from the date</u> on which the instrument of transfer was <u>delivered</u> to the company.

Question 12

The Directors of Mars Motors India Ltd. desire to alter Capital Clause of the Memorandum of Association of their company. Advise them about the ways in which the said clause may be altered under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

[ICAI Module, MTP May 2020]

Answer

Alteration of Capital:

Under section 61 (1) a limited company having a share capital may, if <u>authorised by its Articles</u>, alter its Memorandum in its general meeting to:

- (i) <u>increase</u> its authorized share capital by such amount as it thinks expedient;
- (ii) <u>consolidate</u> and divide all or any of its share capital into shares of a larger amount than its existing shares;
 - However, no consolidation and division which results in changes in the voting percentage of shareholders shall take effect unless it is approved by the Tribunal on an application made in the prescribed manner.
- (iii) <u>convert</u> all or any of its paid- up shares into stock and reconvert that stock into fully paid shares of any denomination.
- (iv) <u>sub-divide</u> its shares, or any of them, into shares of smaller amount than is fixed by the Memorandum;
- (v) <u>cancel</u> shares which, at the date of the passing of the resolution in that behalf, have not been taken or agreed to be taken by any person, and diminish the amount of its share capital by the amount of the shares so cancelled.

Further, under <u>section 64</u> where a company alters its share capital in any of the above-mentioned ways, the company shall file a notice in the prescribed form with the Registrar within a period of <u>thirty days</u> of such alteration, along with an altered memorandum.

The capital clause of memorandum, if authorised by the articles, shall be altered by passing an ordinary resolution as per Section 61 (1) of the Companies Act, 2013.

Question 13:

VRS Company Ltd. is holding 45% of total equity shares in SV Company Ltd. The Board of Directors of SV Company Ltd. (incorporated on January 1, 2019) decided to raise the share capital by issuing further equity shares. The Board of Directors resolved not to offer any shares to VRS Company Ltd., on the ground that it was already holding a high percentage of the total number of shares issued by SV Company Ltd. The Articles of Association of SV Company Ltd. provide that the new shares should first be offered to the existing

shareholders of the company. On March 1, 2019 SV Company Ltd. offered new equity shares to all the shareholders except VRS Company Ltd.

Referring to the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 examine the validity of the decision of the Board of Directors of SV Company Ltd. of not offering any further shares to VRS Company Limited.

[ICAI Module]

Answer

Relevant provision:

The legal issues involved herein are covered under Section 62 (1) of the Companies Act, 2013.

Section 62 (1) (a) of the Companies Act, 2013 provides that if, at any time, a company having a share capital proposes to increase its subscribed capital by issue of further shares, such shares should <u>first be offered to the existing equity shareholders</u> of the company as at the date of the offer, in proportion to the paid-up capital on those shares. Hence, the company cannot ignore a section of the existing shareholders and must offer the shares to the existing equity shareholders in proportion of their holdings.

Given case:

As per facts of the case, the Articles of SV Company Ltd. provide that the new shares should first be offered to the existing shareholders. However, the company offered new shares to all shareholders excepting VRS Company Ltd., which held a major portion of its equity shares. It is to be noted that under the Companies Act, 2013, SV Company Ltd. did not have any legal authority to do so.

Analysis and conclusion:

Therefore, in the given case, decision of the Board of Directors of SV Company Ltd. not to offer any further equity shares to VRS Company Ltd. on the ground that VRS Company Ltd. already held a high percentage of shareholding in SV Company Ltd. is <u>not valid</u>. Such a decision violates the provisions of Section 62 (1) (a) as well as Articles of the issuing company.

Question 14:

Shilpi Developers India Limited owed to Sunil Rs. 10,000. On becoming this debt payable, the company offered Sunil 100 shares of Rs. 100 each in full settlement of the debt. The said shares were allotted to Sunil as fully paid-up in lieu of his debt. Examine the validity of this allotment in the light of the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

[ICAI Module]

Answer

Relevant provision:

Under Section 62 (1) (c) of the Companies Act, 2013 where at any time, a company having a share capital proposes to increase its subscribed capital by the issue of further shares, either for cash or for a consideration other than cash, <u>such shares may be offered to any persons</u>, if it is authorised by a <u>special resolution</u> and if the <u>price</u> of such shares is <u>determined by a empowered</u> to allot the shares to Sunil in settlement of its debt to him. This valuation report of a registered valuer, subject to the compliance with the applicable provisions of Chapter III and any other conditions as may be prescribed.

In the present case, Shilpi Developers India Limited's allotment, to be classified as shares issued for consideration other than cash, must be approved by the members by a special resolution. Further, the valuation of the shares must be done by a registered valuer,

subject to the compliance with the applicable provisions of Chapter III and any other conditions as may be prescribed.

Question 15:

X Ltd. issued a notice on 1st Feb, 2018 to its existing shares holders offering to purchase one extra share for every five shares held by them.

The last date to accept the offer was 15th Feb, 2018 only. Mr. Kavi has given an application to renounce the shares offered to him in favour of Mr. Ravi, who is not a shareholder of the company. Examine the validity of application of Mr. Kavi under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013. Would your answer differ if Mr. Kavi

is a shareholder of X Ltd.?

[Nov 2019]

Answer

Relevant provision:

According to section 62 of the Companies Act, 2013, where at any time, a company having a share capital proposes to increase its subscribed capital by the issue of further shares, such shares shall be offered to persons who, at the date of the offer, are <u>holders of equity shares</u> of the company in proportion, as nearly as circumstances admit, to the paid-up share capital on those shares <u>by sending a letter of offer subject to the following conditions</u>, namely:-

- (i) the offer shall be made by notice <u>specifying the number of shares offered and limiting a time</u> not being less than fifteen days and not exceeding thirty days from the date of the offer within which the offer, if not accepted, shall be deemed to have been declined;
- (ii) unless the articles of the company otherwise provide, the offer aforesaid shall be <u>deemed to include a right exercisable by the person concerned to renounce</u> the shares offered to him or any of them in favour of any other person; and the notice referred to in clause (i) shall contain a statement of this right;
- (iii) after the expiry of the time specified in the notice aforesaid, or on receipt of earlier intimation from the person to whom such notice is given that he declines to accept the shares offered, the Board of Directors may dispose of them in such manner which is not dis-advantageous to the shareholders and the company.

Given case:

In the instant case, X Ltd. issued a notice on 1st Feb, 2018 to its existing shares holders offering to purchase one extra share for every five shares held by them. The last date to accept the offer was 15th Feb, 2018 only. Mr. Kavi has given an application to renounce the shares offered to him in favour of Mr. Ravi, who is not a shareholder of the company.

Analysis and conclusion:

As nothing is specified related to the Articles of the company, it is assumed offer shall be deemed to include a right of renunciation. Hence, Mr. Kavi can renounce the shares offered to him in favour of Mr. Ravi, who is not a shareholder of the company.

In the second part of the question, even if Mr. Ravi is a shareholder of X Ltd. then also it <u>does not affect</u> the right of renunciation of shares of Mr. Kavi to Mr. Ravi.

Question 16

Dhyan Dairy Ltd., a dairy products manufacturing company wants to set-up a new processing unit at Udaipur. Due to paucity of funds, the existing shareholders are not willing to fund for expansion. Hence, the Company approached Shayam Ltd. for subscribing to the shares of the Company for expansion purposes. Can Dhyan Dairy Ltd. issue shares only to Shayam Ltd. under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013? If so, state the conditions

[MTP March 2018]

OR

Earth Ltd., a Public Company offer the new shares (further issue of shares) to persons other than the existing shareholders of the Company. Explain the conditions when shares can be issued to persons other than existing shareholders. Discuss whether these shares can be offered to the Preference Shareholders?

[RTP Nov 2018]

Answer

Issue of Further Shares:

According to Section 62 (1) of the Companies Act, 2013 if at any time, a company having a share capital proposes to increase its subscribed capital by the issue of further shares, such shares should be offered to

- (i) the <u>existing equity shareholders</u> of the company as at the date of the offer, in proportion to the capital paid up on those shares.
- (ii) <u>employees</u> under a scheme of employees' stock option subject to a special resolution passed by the company and subject to such conditions as may be prescribed.
- (iii) to <u>any persons</u>, if it is authorised by a special resolution, whether or not those persons include the persons referred to in clause (i) or clause (ii), either for cash or for a consideration other than cash, if the price of such shares is determined by the valuation report of a registered valuer subject to such conditions as may be prescribed.

Since, in the given case Dhyan Dairy Ltd. approached Shayam Ltd. for subscribing to the shares of the company for its expansion and Shayam Ltd. is neither an existing equity shareholder of the company nor an employee, Dhyan Dairy Ltd., if it is authorised by a special resolution, may issues shares to Shayam Ltd. either for cash or for a consideration other than cash, subject to the condition that the price of such shares is determined by the valuation report of a registered valuer.

<u>About Preference Shareholders</u>: From the wordings of Section 62 (1) (c), it is quite clear that these shares can be issued to any persons who may be preference shareholders as well provided such issue is authorized by a special resolution of the company and are issued on such conditions as may be prescribed.

Question 17

ABC Ltd. has following balances in their Balance Sheet as on 31st March, 2018:

The following Parameter in their Parameter are the early interest, and the		
		Rs.
(1)	Equity shares capital (3.00 lakhs equity shares of Rs. 10 each)	30.00 lacs
(2)	Free reserves	5.00 lacs
(3)	Securities Premium Account	3.00 lacs
(4)	Capital redemption reserve account	4.00 lacs
(5)	Revaluation Reserve	3.00 lacs

Directors of the company seeks your advice in following cases:

- (i) Whether company can give bonus shares in the ratio of 1:3?
- (ii) What if company decide to give bonus shares in the ratio of 1:2?

[Nov 2018]

Answer

Relevant provision - Issue of bonus shares [Section 63]:

As per Section 63 of the Companies Act, 2013, a company may issue fully paid-up bonus shares to its members, in any manner whatsoever, out of—

- a. its free reserves:
- b. the securities premium account; or
- c. the capital redemption reserve account

Provided that no issue of bonus shares shall be made by capitalising reserves created by the revaluation of assets.

Analysis and conclusion:

As per the given facts, ABC Ltd. has total eligible amount of INR 12 lakhs (i.e. 5.00+3.00+4.00) out of which bonus shares can be issued and the total share capital is INR 30.00 lakhs. Accordingly:

- (i) For issue of 1:3 bonus shares, there will be a requirement of INR 10 lakhs (i.e., $1/3 \times 30.00$ lakh) which is well within the limit of available amount of INR 12 lakhs. So, ABC Limited can go ahead with the bonus issue in the ratio of 1:3.
- (ii) In case ABC Limited intends to issue bonus shares in the ratio of 1:2, there will be a requirement of INR 15 lakhs (i.e., $\frac{1}{2} \times 30.00$ lakh). Here in this case, the company cannot go ahead with the issue of bonus

shares in the ratio of 1:2, since the requirement of INR 15 Lakhs is exceeding the available eligible amount of INR 12 lakhs

Question 18:

Shankar Portland Cement Limited is engaged in the manufacture of different types of cements and has got a good brand value. Over the years, it has built a good reputation and its Balance Sheet as at March 31, 2020 showed the following position:

- 1. Authorized Share Capital (25,00,000 equity shares of Rs. 10/- each) Rs. 2,50,00,000
- 2. Issued, subscribed and paid-up Share Capital (10,00,000 equity shares of Rs. 10/- each, fully paid-up) Rs. 1,00,00,000
- 3. Free Reserves Rs. 3,00,00,000

The Board of Directors are proposing to declare a bonus issue of 1 share for every 2 shares held by the existing shareholders. The Board wants to know the conditions and the manner of issuing bonus shares under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

[ICAI Module, MTP Nov 2020]

Answer

According to Section 63 of the Companies Act, 2013, a company may issue fully paid-up bonus shares to its members, in any manner whatsoever, out of -

- (i) its free reserves;
- (ii) the securities premium account; or
- (iii) the capital redemption reserve account.

Provided that no issue of bonus share shall be made by capitalising reserves created by revaluation of assets.

<u>Conditions for issue of Bonus Shares</u>: No company shall capitalise its profits or reserves for the purpose of issuing fully paid-up bonus shares, unless—

- (i) it is authorised by its Articles;
- (ii) it has, on the recommendation of the Board, been authorised in the general meeting of the company;
- (iii) it has not defaulted in payment of interest or principal in respect of fixed deposits or debt securities issued by it;
- (iv) it has not defaulted in respect of payment of statutory dues of employees, such as, contribution to provident fund, gratuity and bonus;
- (v) the partly paid-up shares, if any, outstanding on the date of allotment, are made fully paid-up;
- (vi) it complies with such conditions as are prescribed by Rule 14 of the Companies (Share Capital and debentures) Rules, 2014 which states that the company which has once announced the decision of its Board recommending a bonus issue, shall not subsequently withdraw the same.

Further, the company has to ensure that the bonus shares shall not be issued in lieu of dividend.

For the issue of bonus shares Shankar Portland Cement Limited will require reserves of Rs. 50,00,000 (i.e. half of Rs. 1,00,00,000 being the paid-up share capital), which is readily available with the company.

Hence, after following the above conditions relating to the issue of bonus shares, the company may proceed for a bonus issue of 1 share for every 2 shares held by the existing shareholders.

Question 19:

The Authorized share capital of SSP Limited is Rs. 5 crore divided into 50 Lakhs equity shares of Rs. 10 each. The Company issued 30 Lakhs equity shares for subscription which was fully subscribed. The Company called so far Rs. 8 per share and it was paid up. Later on the Company proposed to reduce the Nominal Value of equity share from Rs. 10 each to Rs. 8 each and to carry out the following proposals:

- (i) Reduction in Authorized Capital from Rs. 5 crore divided into 50 Lakhs equity shares of Rs. 10 each to Rs. 4 crore divided into 50 Lakhs equity shares of Rs. 8 each.
- (ii) Conversion of 30 Lakhs partly paid up equity shares of Rs. 8 each to fully paid up equity shares of Rs. 8 each there by relieving the shareholders from making further payment of Rs. 2 per share.

State the procedures to be followed by the Company to carry out the above proposals under the provisions of

the Companies Act, 2013.

[Nov 2020]

Answer

(i) Procedure for reduction of share capital-

In order to carry out proposals by SSP Limited to reduce the nominal value of the equity share, the company has to comply with the procedure given under section 66 of the Companies Act, 2013 which deals with the Reduction of share capital.

Procedure

- 1) Reduction of share capital by special resolution: Subject to confirmation by the Tribunal on an application by the company, a company limited by shares or limited by guarantee and having a share capital may, by a special resolution, reduce the share capital in any manner and in particular, may:
 - a) extinguish or reduce the liability on any of its shares in respect of the share capital not paid-up; or
 - b) either with or without extinguishing or reducing liability on any of its shares,—
 - cancel any paid-up share capital which is lost or is unrepresented by available assets;
 or
 - (ii) pay off any paid-up share capital which is in excess of the wants of the company, alter its memorandum by reducing the amount of its share capital and of its shares accordingly.
- 2) <u>Issue of Notice from the Tribunal</u>: The Tribunal shall give notice of every application made to it to the Central Government, Registrar and the creditors of the company and shall take into consideration the representations, if any, made to it by them <u>within a period of three months</u> from the date of receipt of the notice.
- 3) Order of tribunal: The Tribunal may, if it is satisfied that the debt or claim of every creditor of the company has been discharged or determined or has been secured or his consent is obtained, make an order confirming the reduction of share capital on such terms and conditions as it deems fit
- 4) <u>Publishing of order of confirmation of tribunal</u>: The order of confirmation of the reduction of share capital by the Tribunal shall be published by the company in such manner as the Tribunal may direct.
- 5) <u>Delivery of certified copy of order to the registrar</u>: The company shall deliver a certified copy of the order of the Tribunal and of a minute approved by the Tribunal to the Registrar within thirty days of the receipt of the copy of the order, who shall register the same and issue a certificate to that effect.

(ii) Alteration of Share Capital:

SSP Limited proposes to alter its share capital. The Present authorized share capital Rs. 5 Crore will be altered to Rs. 4 Crore. According to Section 61 of the Companies Act, 2013, a limited company having a share capital may alter its capital part of the memorandum.

A limited company having a share capital may, if so authorized by its articles, alter its memorandum in its general meeting to -

- <u>Cancel</u> shares which, at the date of the passing of the resolution in that behalf, have not been taken or agreed to be taken by any person, and diminish the amount of its share capital by the amount of the shares so cancelled. The cancellation of shares shall not be deemed to be reduction of share capital.
- A company shall <u>within 30 days</u> of the shares having been consolidated, converted, sub-divided, redeemed, or cancelled or the stock having been reconverted, shall give a <u>notice</u> to the Registrar in the prescribed form along with an altered memorandum [Section 64 of the Companies Act, 2013].

The Company has to follow the above procedures to alter its authorized share capital.

Question 20:

OLAF Limited, a subsidiary of PQR Limited, decides to give a loan of Rs. 4,00,000 to its Human Resource Manager Mr. Surya Nayan, who does not fall in the category of Key Managerial Personnel and draws a salary of Rs. 40,000 per month, to buy 500 partly paid-up equity shares of Rs. 1000 each in OLAF Limited. Examine the validity of company's decision under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

[ICAI Module, RTP May 2020, MTP Nov 2020]

Answer

Restrictions on purchase by company or giving of loans by it for purchase of its share:

As per section 67 (3) of the Companies Act, 2013 a company is allowed to give a loan to its employees subject to the following limitations:

- (a) The employee must <u>not be a director</u> or Key Managerial Personnel;
- (b) The amount of such loan shall <u>not exceed an amount equal to six months' salary</u> of the employee.
- (c) The loan must be extended for subscribing fully paid-up shares.

In the given instance, Human Resource Manager Mr. Surya Nayan is not a Key Managerial Personnel of the OLAF Limited. Further, he is drawing a salary of Rs. 40,000 per month and wants to avail loan for purchasing 500 partly paid-up equity shares of Rs. 1000 each of OLAF Limited in which he is employed.

Keeping the above facts and legal provisions in view, decision of OLAF Limited in granting a loan of Rs. 4,00,000 for purchase of its partly paid-up shares to Human Resource Manager is <u>invalid</u> due to following reasons:

- a. The amount of loan is more than 6 months' salary of Mr. Surya Nayan, the HR Manager. It should have been restricted to Rs. 2,40,000 only.
- b. The loan to be given by OLAF Limited to its HR Manager Mr. Surya Nayan is meant for purchase of partly paid shares.

Question 21

Natraj Limited is engaged in the manufacturing of glass products. It wants to provide financial assistance to its employees to enable them to subscribe for fully paid shares of the company. Advise whether it amount to purchase of its own shares. If, in the instant case, the company itself purchasing to redeem its preference shares, does it amount to acquisition of its own shares?

[MTP April 2021]

Answer

Yes, the financial assistance to its employees by the company to enable them to subscribe for the shares of the company will amount to the company purchasing its own shares. However, <u>section 67(3)</u> of the Companies Act, 2013, permits a company to the give loans to its employees other than its directors or key managerial personnel, for an amount not exceeding their salary or wages for a period of six months with a view to enabling them to purchase or subscribe for fully paid -up shares in the company or its holding company to be held by them by way of beneficial ownership.

Section 68 of the Companies Act, 2013 however, allows a company to buy back its own shares under certain circumstances and subject to fulfilment of prescribed conditions.

Purchasing in order to redeem its preference shares, <u>does amount to acquisition or purchase of its own shares</u>. But this is allowed in terms of section 68 of the Companies Act, 2013 subject to the fulfilment of prescribed conditions, and upto specified limits and only after following the prescribed procedure.

Question 22

Heavy Metals Limited wants to provide financial assistance to its employees, to enable them to subscribe

for certain number of fully paid shares. Considering the provision of the Companies Act, 2013, what advice would you give to the company in this regard?

[RTP Nov 2018]

Answers

Under section 67 (2) of the Companies Act, 2013 <u>no public company</u> is allowed to give, directly or indirectly and whether by means of a loan, guarantee, or security, any financial assistance for the purpose of, or in connection with, a purchase or subscription, by any person of any shares in it or in its holding company.

However, section 67 (3) makes an exception by allowing companies to give loans to their employees other than its directors or key managerial personnel, for an amount not exceeding their salary or wages for a period of six months with a view to enabling them to purchase or subscribe for fully paid-up shares in the company or its holding company to be held by them by way of beneficial ownership.

It is further provided that <u>disclosures</u> in respect of <u>voting rights not</u> exercised directly by the employees in respect of shares to which the scheme relates shall be made in the Board's report in such manner as may be prescribed.

Hence, Heavy Metals Ltd can provide financial assistance up to the specified limit to its employees to enable them to subscribe for the shares in the company provided the shares are purchased by the employees to be held for beneficial ownership by them.

However, the directors or key managerial personnel will not be eligible for such assistance.

Question 23

State the legal provisions in respect of 'Declaration of Solvency', which an unlisted public company needs to adhere to while taking steps to buy-back its own shares.

[ICAI Module]

Answer

According to Section 68 (6), where an <u>unlisted public company</u> has passed a special resolution under Section 68 (2) (b) or the Board has passed a resolution under item (ii) of the proviso to Section 68 (2) (b) to buy-back its own shares, it shall, before making such buy-back, file with the Registrar a 'Declaration of Solvency' in Form SH-9.

The declaration shall be verified by an <u>affidavit</u> to the effect that the Board has made a full inquiry into the affairs of the company as a result of which they have formed an opinion that it is capable of meeting its liabilities and <u>will not be rendered insolvent</u> within a period of one year from the date of declaration of solvency adopted by the Board.

The declaration shall be <u>signed</u> by at least two directors of the company, one of whom shall be the managing director, if any.

Question 24

Kavish Ltd., desirous of buying back of all its equity shares from the existing shareholders of the company, seeks your advice. Examining the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 discuss whether the above buy back of equity shares by the company is possible. Also , state the sources out of which buy-back of shares can be financed?

[RTP May 2018]

Answers

In terms of section 68 (2) (c) of the Companies Act, 2013 a company is allowed to buy back a maximum of 25% of the aggregate of its paid- up capital and free reserves. Hence, the company in the given case is not allowed to buy back its entire equity shares.

Section 68 (1) of the Companies Act, 2013 specifies the sources of funding buy back of its shares and other specified securities as under:

- a) Free reserves or
- b) Security Premium account or
- c) Proceeds of the issue of any shares or other specified securities

However, under the proviso to section 68 (1) no buy back of shares or any specified securities can be made out of the proceeds of an earlier issue of the same kind of shares or same kind of specified securities.

Question 25

London Limited, at a general meeting of members of the company, passed an ordinary resolution to buy-back 30 percent of its equity share capital. The articles of the company empower the company for buy-back of shares. Explaining the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013, examine:

- A. Whether company's proposal is in order?
- B. Would your answer be still the same in case the company instead of 30 percent, decides to buy-back only 20 per cent of its equity share capital?

[Jan 2021]

Answer

Relevant provision:

According to the provisions of section 68 (2) of the Companies Act, 2013, no company shall purchase its own shares or other specified securities under sub-section (1), unless—

- (a) the buy-back is authorised by its articles;
- (b) a <u>special resolution</u> has been passed at a general meeting of the company authorising the buy-back: Provided that nothing contained in this clause shall apply to a case where—
 - (i) the buy-back is, ten per cent or less of the total paid-up equity capital and free reserves of the company; and
 - (ii) such buy-back has been authorised by the Board by means of a resolution passed at its meeting;
- (c) the buy-back is twenty-five per cent or less of the aggregate of paid-up capital and free reserves of the company:
 - Provided that in respect of the buy-back of equity shares in any financial year, the reference to twenty-five per cent in this clause shall be construed with respect to its total paid-up equity capital in that financial year.

Given case:

In the instant case, London Limited, at a general meeting of members of the company, passed an ordinary resolution to buy back 30% of its equity share capital. The articles of the company empower the company for buy back of shares.

Conclusion

- (A) the Company's proposal is not in order, since a special resolution as required by the above provision has not been passed, rather an ordinary resolution has only been passed.
- (B) if the company instead of 30%, decides to buy back only 20% (even if it is within the specified limit of 25%) of its equity share capital, then also special resolution is required. Hence, our answer will not change. This proposal of the company will also be not in order.

Question 26:

Xgen Limited has a paid-up equity capital and free reserves to the extent of Rs. 50,00,000. The company is planning to buy-back shares to the extent of Rs. 4,50,000. The company approaches you for advice with regard to the following

- (a) Is special resolution required to be passed?
- (b) What is the time limit for completion of buy-back?

(c) What should be ratio of aggregate debts to the paid-up capital-and free reserves after buy-back?
[May 2018]

Answer

According to the provisions of section 68 (2) of the Companies Act, 2013, no company shall purchase its own shares or other specified securities under sub-section (1), unless—

- (a) the buy-back is authorised by its articles;
- (b) a <u>special resolution</u> has been passed at a general meeting of the company authorising the buy-back: Provided that nothing contained in this clause shall apply to a case where—
 - (i) the buy-back is, ten per cent or less of the total paid-up equity capital and free reserves of the company; and
 - (ii) such buy-back has been authorised by the Board by means of a resolution passed at its meeting;

<u>Time limit for Completion of Buy Back:</u> As per section 68(4), every buy-back shall be completed within a period of <u>one year</u> from the date of passing of the special resolution, or as the case may be, the resolution passed by the Board under sub-section (2).

<u>Ratio of aggregate debts</u>: Provision also specifies that ratio of the aggregate debts (secured and unsecured) owed by the company after buy back is not more than twice the paid up capital and its free reserves. However, Central Government may prescribe higher ratio of the debt for a class or classes of companies.

As per the stated facts, Xgen Ltd. has a paid up equity capital and free reserves to the extent of Rs. 50,00,000. The company planned to buy back shares to the extent of Rs. 4,50,000.

Referring to the above provisions, the answers will be as follows:

- No, special resolution will not be required as the buyback is less than 10% of the total paid-up equity
 capital and free reserves (50,00,000x10/100= 5,00,000) of the company, but such buy back must be
 authorized by the Board by means of a resolution passed at its meeting.
- 2. Time limit for completion of buy back will be- within a period of one year from the date of passing of the resolution by the Board.
- 3. The ratio of the aggregate debts (secured and unsecured) owed by the company after buy back should not be more than twice the paid up capital and its free reserves.

The above buy-back is possible when backed by the authorization by the articles of the company.

Question 27

"The offer of buy-back of its own shares by a company shall not be made within a period of six months from the date of the closure of the preceding offer of buy-back, if any and cooling period to make further issue of same kind of shares including allotment of further shares shall be a period of one year from the completion of buy back subject to certain exceptions." Examine the validity of this statement by explaining the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 in this regard.

[July 2021]

Answer

According to proviso to section 68(2) of the Companies Act, 2013, no offer of buy-back, shall be made within a period of one year from the date of the closure of the preceding offer of buy-back, if any.

Section 68 (8) casts an obligation that where a company completes a buy-back of its shares or other specified securities under this section, it shall not make further issue of same kind of shares including allotment of further shares under section 62 (1) (a) or other specified securities within a period of six months except by way of bonus issue or in the discharge of subsisting obligations such as conversion of warrants, stock option schemes, sweat equity or conversion of preference shares or debentures into equity shares.

Keeping in view of the above provisions, the statement "the offer of buy-back of its own shares by a company shall not be made within a period of six months from the date of the closure of the preceding offer of buy back, if any and cooling period to make further issue of same kind of shares including allotment of further shares shall be a period of one year from the completion of buy back subject to certain exceptions" is not valid.

Question 28:

Which fund may be utilized by a public limited company for purchasing (buy back) its own shares? Also explain the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 regarding the circumstances in which a company is prohibited to buy back its own shares.

[May 2019]

Answers

Funds utilized for purchase of its own securities: Section 68 of the Companies Act, 2013 states that a company may purchase its own securities out of:

- i) its free reserves; or
- ii) the securities premium account; or
- iii) the proceeds of the issue of any shares or other specified securities.

However, buy-back of any kind of shares or other specified securities cannot be made out of the proceeds of an earlier issue of the same kind of shares or same kind of other specified securities.

Prohibition for buy-back in certain circumstances [Section 70]

- (1) The provision says that no company shall directly or indirectly purchase its own shares or other specified securities-
 - (a) through any subsidiary company including its own subsidiary companies; or
 - (b) through any investment company or group of investment companies; or
 - (c) if a default is made by the company in repayment of deposits or interest payment thereon, redemption of debentures or preference shares or payment of dividend to any shareholder or repayment of any term loan or interest payable thereon, to any financial institutions or banking company;
 - But where the default is remedied and a period of three years has lapsed after such default ceased to subsist, then such buy-back is not prohibited.
- (2) No company shall directly or indirectly purchase its own shares or other specified securities in case such company has not complied with provisions of Sections 92 (Annual Report), 123 (Declaration of dividend), 127 (Punishment for failure to distribute dividends), and section 129 (Financial Statements).

Question 29:

XYZ unlisted company passed a special resolution in a general meeting on January 5th, 2019 to buy back 30% of its own equity shares. The Articles of Association empowers the company to buy back its own shares. Earlier the company has also passed a special resolution to buy back its own shares on January 15th, 2018. The company further decided that the payment for buyback be made out of the proceeds of the company's earlier issue of equity share. In the light of the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013,

- (i) Decide, whether the company's proposal is in order.
- (ii) What will be your answer if buy back offer date is revised from January 5 th, 2019 to January 25th 2019 and percentage of buyback is reduced from 30% to 25% keeping the source of purchase as above?

 [Nov 2019]

Answer

- (i) In the instant case, the company's proposal is not in order due to the following reasons:
 - a. Though XYZ unlisted company passed a <u>special resolution</u> but it proposed to buy back 30% of its own equity shares. But as per section 68(2)(c) of the Companies Act, 2013, buy-back of equity shares in any financial year <u>shall not exceed 25%</u> of its total paid up equity capital in that financial

year.

- b. The <u>Articles of Association</u> empowers the company to buy back its own shares. This condition is in order as per section 68(2)(a).
- c. Earlier the company has also passed a special resolution to buy back its own shares on January 15th, 2018, now the company passed a special resolution on January 5th, 2019 to buy back its own shares. This is not valid as no offer of buy-back, shall be <u>made within a period of one year</u> from the date of the closure of the preceding offer of buy-back, if any. [proviso to section 68(2)]
- d. The company further decided that the payment for buy back be made out of the proceeds of the company's earlier issue of equity share. This is not in order as according to proviso to section 68(1), buy-back of any kind of shares or other specified securities cannot be made out of the proceeds of an earlier issue of the same kind of shares or same kind of other specified securities.
- (ii) If buy back offer date is revised from 5th January 2019 to January 25th 2019 and percentage of buy back is reduced from 30% to 25% keeping the source of purchase as above, then also the company's proposal is not in order as buy-back of any kind of shares or other specified securities <u>cannot be made out of the proceeds of an earlier issue of the same kind of</u> shares or same kind of other specified securities.

Question 30:

What are the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 relating to the appointment of 'Debenture Trustee' by a company? Whether the following can be appointed as 'Debenture Trustee':

- (i) A shareholder who has no beneficial interest.
- (ii) A creditor whom the company owes Rs. 499 only.
- (iii) A person who has given a guarantee for repayment of amount of debentures issued by the company?

 [ICAI Module, Nov 2016]

Answer

<u>Appointment of Debenture Trustee</u>: Under section 71 (5) of the Companies Act, 2013, no company shall issue a prospectus or make an offer or invitation to the public or to its members exceeding five hundred for the subscription of its debentures, unless the company has, before such issue or offer, appointed one or more debenture trustees and the conditions governing the appointment of such trustees shall be such as may be prescribed.

Rule 18 (2) of the Companies (Share Capital and Debentures) Rules, 2014, framed under the Companies Act for the issue of secured debentures provide that before the appointment of debenture trustee or trustees, a written consent shall be obtained from such debenture trustee or trustees proposed to be appointed and a statement to that effect shall appear in the letter of offer issued for inviting the subscription of the debentures. Further according to the rules, no person shall be appointed as a debenture trustee, if he-

- (i) beneficially holds shares in the company;
- (ii) is promoter, director or key managerial personnel or any other officer or an employee of the company or its holding, subsidiary or associate company;
- (iii) is beneficially entitled to moneys which are to be paid by the company otherwise than as remuneration payable to the debenture trustee;
- (iv) is indebted to the company, or its subsidiary or its holding or associate company or a subsidiary of such holding company;
- (v) has furnished any guarantee in respect of principal debts secured by the debentures or interest thereon;
- (vi) Has any pecuniary relationship with the company amounting to two percent. or more of its gross turnover or total income or fifty lakh rupees or such higher amount as may be prescribed, whichever is lower, during the two immediately preceding financial years or during the current financial year;
- (vii) is a relative of any promoter or any person who is in the employment of the company as director or key managerial personnel;

Thus, based on the above provisions answers to the given questions are as follows:

(i) A shareholder who has no beneficial debenture trustee.

interest, can be appointed as a

- (ii) A creditor whom company owes Rs. 499 cannot be appointed as a debenture trustee. The amount owed is immaterial.
- (iii) A person who has given guarantee for repayment of principal and interest thereon in respect of debentures also cannot be appointed as a debenture trustee.